

# Filtered fiber functors over a general base

Paul Ziegler\*

January 5, 2024

## Abstract

We prove that every filtered fiber functor on the category of dualizable representations of a smooth affine group scheme with enough dualizable representations comes from a graded fiber functor.

## 1 Introduction

This article is concerned with filtered fiber functors over general bases, extending the previous article [Zie15] of the author. Let  $R$  be a ring,  $G$  a smooth group scheme over  $R$  and  $(\Gamma, \leq)$  a totally ordered group. For any scheme  $S$ , we denote by  $\mathbf{Gr}(S)$  (resp.  $\mathbf{Fil}(S)$ ) the category of  $\Gamma$ -graded (resp.  $\Gamma$ -filtered) quasicoherent  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules. If  $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G$  denotes the tensor category of dualizable representations of  $G$  over  $R$ , then a filtered fiber functor over an  $R$ -scheme  $S$  is an exact  $R$ -linear tensor functor

$$\mathbf{Rep}^\circ(G) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fil}(S).$$

One way of obtaining such a functor is to take an  $R$ -linear exact tensor functor  $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}(S)$  and compose it with the natural functor  $\mathbf{Gr}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fil}(S)$  turning a graded  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules into a filtered one. Such filtered fiber functors are called splittable. Then our main theorem is:

**Theorem 1.1** (c.f. Theorem 4.12). *If  $G$  has enough dualizable representations, then every filtered fiber functor on  $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G$  over an affine  $R$ -scheme  $S$  is splittable.*

A different proof of this result was recently given by Wedhorn in [Wed23]. For many reductive group schemes, this result was previously proved by Cornut in [Cor20]. In case the base ring  $R$  is a field, this was proved in for many group schemes in [SR72, Subsection IV.2.4] by Deligne and for all group schemes by the author in [Zie15].

As with our previous work [Zie15], this result is motivated by applications for example in the theory of Shimura varieties.

Our proof proceeds by reduction to the case where  $R$  is a field. To do this, we need to construct the base change of a filtered fiber functor with respect to a change  $R \rightarrow R'$  of the base ring over which we consider the category  $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G$ . For this, we first collect some facts on exact categories, the Gabriel-Quillen embedding of such categories into an abelian category, and the base change of cocomplete linear abelian categories. This is then applied to the exact category  $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G$ .

**Acknowledgment** The author thanks Johannes Anschütz for conversations regarding the content of this article. The author acknowledges support by the ERC in form of Eva Viehmann's Consolidator Grant 770936: Newton Strata and by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through the Collaborative Research Centre TRR 326 "Geometry and Arithmetic of Uniformized Structures", project number 444845124.

---

\*TU Darmstadt, ziegler@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Exact Categories

By an exact category we mean such a category in the sense of Quillen, c.f. [Büh10, Def. 2.1].

**Lemma 2.1** ([Büh10, Lemma 10.20]). *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abelian category and  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  a full additive subcategory whose class of objects is closed under extensions in  $\mathcal{A}$ . The class of sequences in  $\mathcal{B}$  which are short exact in  $\mathcal{A}$  makes  $\mathcal{B}$  into an exact category.*

We fix a small exact category  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category admitting kernels. A functor  $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is *left exact* if for every exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$$

in  $\mathcal{A}$  the morphism  $F(X) \rightarrow F(Y)$  is a kernel of  $F(Y) \rightarrow F(Z)$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

We denote by  $\text{Fun}_{\text{lex}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$  the category whose objects are given by such functors and whose morphisms are given by arbitrary natural transformations of such functors.

Dually for category  $\mathcal{C}$  admitting cokernels we define right exact functors  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  as left exact functors  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$  and let  $\text{Fun}_{\text{rex}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C})$  be the category of such functors.

**Definition 2.3.** We denote by  $\text{Lex}(\mathcal{A})$  the category  $\text{Fun}_{\text{lex}}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$  of left exact functors from  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}$  to the category  $\text{Ab}$  of abelian groups.

**Theorem 2.4** (c.f. [Büh10, Appendix A]). *(i) The category  $\text{Lex}(\mathcal{A})$  is a Grothendieck category. In particular it is abelian, complete and cocomplete.*

*(ii) The Yoneda functor  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Lex}(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $X \mapsto \text{Hom}(\_, X)$  is fully faithful and reflects exactness.*

We will always consider  $\mathcal{A}$  as a full subcategory of  $\text{Lex}(\mathcal{A})$  via the Yoneda embedding.

**Definition 2.5.** (i) Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abelian category. A set  $\mathcal{S}$  of objects of  $\mathcal{A}$  *generates*  $\mathcal{A}$  if for every pair of objects  $Y$  and  $Z$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  and for every pair of morphisms  $f, g: Y \rightarrow Z$ , if for every morphism  $h: X \rightarrow Y$  with  $X \in \mathcal{S}$  the compositions  $f \circ h$  and  $g \circ h$  are equal, then  $f$  and  $g$  are equal.

(ii) Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category which admits all filtered colimits. An object  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  is *finitely presented* if the functor  $\text{Hom}(X, \_): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  preserves filtered colimits.

**Proposition 2.6** ([KL15, 2.17]). *The objects of  $\mathcal{A}$  are finitely presented in  $\text{Lex}(\mathcal{A})$  and generate  $\text{Lex}(\mathcal{A})$ .*

**Definition 2.7.** For  $F \in \text{Lex}(\mathcal{A})$ , we define the category  $\mathcal{A} \downarrow F$  as follows:

- (i) Objects consist of an object  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  together with a morphism  $X \rightarrow F$  in  $\text{Lex}(\mathcal{A})$ .
- (ii) Morphisms are given by commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & X' \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & F & \end{array}$$

in  $\text{Lex}(\mathcal{A})$ .

The following follows by a standard argument from the fact that  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Lex}(\mathcal{A})$  is the Yoneda embedding:

**Lemma 2.8.** (i) For  $F \in \text{Lex}(\mathcal{A})$ , the natural morphism

$$\text{colim}_{X \in \mathcal{A} \downarrow F} X \rightarrow F,$$

where the colimit is formed in  $\text{Lex}(\mathcal{A})$ , is an isomorphism.

(ii) For objects  $F, G \in \text{Lex}(\mathcal{A})$ , the natural homomorphism

$$\lim_{X \in \mathcal{A} \downarrow F} \text{colim}_{Y \in \mathcal{A} \downarrow G} \text{Hom}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F, G)$$

is an isomorphism.

**Definition 2.9.** For cocomplete categories  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$ , we denote by  $\text{Fun}_{\text{cc}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  the category of colimit-preserving functors  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  and by  $\text{Fun}_{\text{ia}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \subset \text{Fun}_{\text{cc}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$  the full subcategory of functors which admit a right adjoint.

The embedding  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Lex}(\mathcal{A})$  has the following universal property:

**Proposition 2.10.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  a cocomplete additive category. The functors

$$\text{Fun}_{\text{ia}}(\text{Lex}(\mathcal{A}), \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\text{cc}}(\text{Lex}(\mathcal{A}), \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{\text{rex}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}),$$

the second of which is induced by the exact functor  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Lex}(\mathcal{A})$ , are equivalences of categories.

*Proof.* Consider a right-exact functor  $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . We extend  $F$  to a functor  $F^*: \text{Lex}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  by

$$F^*(X) := \text{colim}_{Y \in \mathcal{A} \downarrow X} F(Y),$$

for  $X \in \text{Lex}(\mathcal{A})$  and similarly on the level of morphisms using Lemma 2.8 (ii). We construct a right adjoint  $F_*: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Lex}(\mathcal{A})$  to  $F^*$  as follows: For  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , let  $F_*(C)$  be the functor  $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ ,  $X \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F(X), C)$ . The fact that  $F$  is right-exact implies that this functor is left exact. Thus it defines an object  $F_*C \in \text{Lex}(\mathcal{A})$ . This construction is naturally functorial in  $C$ .

Hence we have proved essential surjectivity of the functors in question. Full faithfulness follows from Lemma 2.8.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.11.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Grothendieck abelian category and  $\mathcal{B}$  a full subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$  whose objects are finitely presented in  $\mathcal{A}$ , generate  $\mathcal{A}$  and are closed under extensions in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Endow  $\mathcal{B}$  with the unique exact structure for which the inclusion  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is exact and reflects exactness given by Lemma 2.1. The inclusion  $\mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}$  extends to an equivalence  $\text{Lex}(\mathcal{B}) \cong \mathcal{A}$  which is unique up to a canonical isomorphism.

*Proof.* This is a special case of [KL15, Proposition 2.3], using the fact that in our situation the category  $\text{Sh}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{T}_{\Lambda}^{\text{add}})$  appearing there is canonically isomorphic to  $\text{Lex}(\mathcal{B})$  by Subsections 2.4 and 2.5 of [KL15].  $\square$

## 2.2 Tensor Categories

We refer to symmetric monoidal categories (resp. symmetric monoidal functors, resp. monoidal natural transformations) as tensor categories (resp. tensor functors, resp. tensor morphisms).

**Definition 2.12.** Let  $R$  be a ring.

- (i) An  $R$ -linear tensor category is a tensor category  $\mathcal{T}$  which is additionally equipped with a  $R$ -linear structure for which the tensor functor  $\otimes: \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is  $R$ -linear.
- (ii) An  $R$ -linear exact tensor category is a  $R$ -linear tensor category which is additionally equipped with an exact structure for which the tensor functor  $\otimes: \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is exact.

- (iii) Let  $f: R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism. For a  $R$ -linear tensor category  $\mathcal{T}$  and a  $S$ -linear tensor category  $\mathcal{T}'$ , a  $f$ -linear tensor functor  $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}'$  is a functor which is both monoidal and  $f$ -linear. In case  $f = \text{id}_R$ , we will call such functors simply  $R$ -linear tensor functors.

**Construction 2.13.** Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be an exact tensor category. Then  $\text{Lex}(\mathcal{T})$  is in a natural way a tensor category:

By Proposition 2.10 the tensor functor  $\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  induces a right exact functor  $\text{Lex}(\mathcal{T}) \times \text{Lex}(\mathcal{T}) \cong \text{Lex}(\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \text{Lex}(\mathcal{T})$ . The various constraints making up the tensor category  $\mathcal{T}$  can be described as natural transformations between certain functors. Hence again by Proposition 2.10 these extend uniquely to  $\text{Lex}(\mathcal{T})$  and make this category into an abelian tensor category.

### 2.3 Base change

In this subsection we consider a cocomplete  $R$ -linear category  $\mathcal{A}$  and its base change along a ring homomorphism  $R \rightarrow R'$ . We recall the construction of this base change from [SR72, II.1.5.2]:

**Construction 2.14.** The category  $\mathcal{A}_{R'}$  is defined as follows: Its objects are pairs  $(X, a)$  consisting of an object  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  and a homomorphism  $a: R' \rightarrow \text{End}(X)$  of  $R$ -algebras. Morphisms  $(X, a) \rightarrow (X', a')$  are morphisms  $X \rightarrow X'$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  compatible with  $a$  and  $a'$  in the natural way.

There is a natural functor  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{R'}$ ,  $X \mapsto X \otimes_R R'$  which is left adjoint to the forgetful functor  $\mathcal{A}_{R'} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ .

**Proposition 2.15** ([SR72, II.1.5.3.1]). *The functor  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{R'}$  from Construction 2.14 satisfies the following 2-universal property: For every  $R'$ -linear cocomplete category  $\mathcal{B}$ , the functor  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{R'}$  induces an equivalence*

$$\text{Fun}_{cc, R'}(\mathcal{A}_{R'}, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{cc, R}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$$

from the category of cocontinuous  $R'$ -linear functors  $\mathcal{A}_{R'} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  to the category of cocontinuous  $R$ -linear functors  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ .

Here, given a cocontinuous  $R$ -linear functor  $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , the cocontinuous  $R'$ -linear extension  $F': \mathcal{A}_{R'} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  sends a pair  $(X, a)$  to the largest quotient of  $F(X)$  on which the two actions of  $R'$  coincide.

Now assume in addition that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a tensor category with the tensor product given a cocontinuous  $R$ -linear functor  $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ . Via the universal property from Proposition 2.15, this functor induces a  $R'$ -linear functor  $\mathcal{A}_{R'} \times \mathcal{A}_{R'} = (\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A})_{R'} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{R'}$ . By [SR72, II.1.5.4], this functor makes  $\mathcal{A}_{R'}$  into a  $R'$ -linear tensor category. Then the functor  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{R'}$  is a tensor functor which has the following 2-universal property:

**Proposition 2.16.** *For every  $R'$ -linear cocomplete tensor category  $\mathcal{B}$  with cocontinuous tensor functor, the functor  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{R'}$  induces an equivalence*

$$\text{Fun}_{cc, R'}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{A}_{R'}, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{cc, R}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$$

from the category of cocontinuous  $R'$ -linear tensor functors  $\mathcal{A}_{R'} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  to the category of cocontinuous  $R$ -linear tensor functors  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ .

### 2.4 Categories of representations of group schemes

Let  $R$  be a ring and  $G$  an affine group scheme over  $\text{Spec}(R)$ .

**Definition 2.17.** (i) We denote by  $\text{Rep } G$  the category of representations of  $G$  on arbitrary  $R$ -modules.

(ii) We denote by  $\text{Rep}^{\circ} G \subset \text{Rep } G$  the full subcategory of rigid objects, that is of representations of  $G$  on finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules.

(iii) We denote the forgetful functor to  $\text{Mod}(R)$  on any of these categories by  $\omega_G$ .

- (iv) For a ring homomorphism  $R \rightarrow S$ , an affine group scheme  $H$  over  $\text{Spec}(S)$  and a homomorphism  $h: H \rightarrow G_S$ , we denote by  $h^*$  the induced  $f$ -linear tensor functors  $\text{Rep } G \rightarrow \text{Rep } H$  and  $\text{Rep}^\circ G \rightarrow \text{Rep}^\circ H$ .

The subcategory  $\text{Rep}^\circ G$  is closed under tensor products. On  $\text{Rep}^\circ G$  there exists an exact structure given by those sequences for which the underlying sequence of  $R$ -modules is exact. We will always endow  $\text{Rep}^\circ G$  with this exact structure. Equivalently, this exact structure is induced via Lemma 2.1 from the embedding  $\text{Rep}^\circ G \hookrightarrow \text{Rep } G$ .

**Lemma 2.18.** *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *Every object of  $\text{Rep } G$  admits an epimorphism from a direct sum of objects of  $\text{Rep}^\circ G$ .*
- (ii) *The inclusion  $\text{Rep}^\circ G \hookrightarrow \text{Rep } G$  induces an equivalence  $\text{Lex}(\text{Rep}^\circ G) \cong \text{Rep } G$ .*

*Proof.* The implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) follows from Lemma 2.8.

The fact that the objects of  $\text{Rep}^\circ G$  are dualizable implies that they are finitely presented in  $\text{Rep } G$ . Hence the implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) is a special case of Proposition 2.11.  $\square$

**Definition 2.19.** We say that  $G$  has enough dualizable representations if the equivalent conditions of Lemma 2.18 are satisfied.

For an  $R$ -scheme  $S$ , a fiber functor on  $\text{Rep}^\circ G$  over  $S$  is an exact  $R$ -linear tensor functor  $\omega: \text{Rep}^\circ G \rightarrow \text{Mod}_S$ . We denote by  $\omega_G: \text{Rep}^\circ G \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R$  the standard fiber functor (i.e. the forgetful functor).

**Definition 2.20.** Let  $R' \rightarrow R''$  be an homomorphism of  $R$ -algebra and let  $\omega: \text{Rep}^\circ G \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{R'}$  be a fiber functor.

- (i) Let  $\underline{\text{End}}(\omega)(R'')$  be the  $R''$ -module of  $R''$ -linear natural transformations  $\omega_{R''} \rightarrow \omega_{R''}$ .
- (ii) Let  $\underline{\text{End}}^\otimes(\omega)(R'')$  be the submodule of  $\underline{\text{End}}(\omega)(R'')$  consisting of those natural transformations  $h$  such that for all  $X$  and  $Y$  in  $\text{Rep}^\circ G$ , the associated endomorphism  $h_{X \otimes Y}$  of  $\omega(X \otimes Y)_{R''}$  is equal to  $h_X \otimes \text{id}_{\omega(Y)_{R''}} + \text{id}_{\omega(X)_{R''}} \otimes h_Y$ .
- (iii) For varying  $R''$ , these modules form natural sheaves  $\underline{\text{End}}^\otimes(\omega) \subset \underline{\text{End}}(\omega)$  over  $\text{Spec}(R')$ .
- (iv) We endow  $\underline{\text{End}}^\otimes(\omega)$  with the natural conjugation action of  $\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\omega)(R)$ .

**Proposition 2.21** ([Zie22, Thm. 3.2]). *There exists a natural isomorphism between the sheaf  $\underline{\text{Lie}}(\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\omega))$  of Lie algebras and the sheaf  $\underline{\text{End}}^\otimes(\omega_G)$ . This isomorphism is equivariant with respect to the adjoint action of  $\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\omega)$  on  $\underline{\text{Lie}}(\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\omega))$  and the above  $\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\omega)$ -action on  $\underline{\text{End}}^\otimes(\omega)$ .*

The following result generalizes Deligne's theorem on the fqc-local isomorphy of any two fiber functors on a Tannakian category.

**Theorem 2.22** (Lurie ([Lur05, 5.11])). *If  $G$  is smooth and has enough dualizable representations, then any two fiber functors  $\text{Rep}^\circ G \rightarrow \text{Mod}_S$  are isomorphic fqc-locally on  $S$ .*

### 3 Graded Fiber Functors

Let  $R$  be a ring, let  $S$  be a scheme over  $\text{Spec}(R)$  and let  $G$  be an affine group scheme over  $\text{Spec}(R)$  which has enough dualizable representations.

We fix a totally ordered abelian group  $(\Gamma, \leq)$  which we write additively. We let  $D^\Gamma$  be multiplicative group scheme over  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$  with character group  $\Gamma$ .

**Definition 3.1.** (i) We denote by  $\text{Mod}(S)$  the category of quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules.

- (ii) We denote by  $\mathbf{Gr}(S) \cong \mathbf{Rep}_S D_S^\Gamma$  the category of  $\Gamma$ -graded quasi-coherent sheaves with its standard structure as an abelian tensor category.
- (iii) We denote by  $\mathbf{forg}: \mathbf{Gr}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}(S)$  the forgetful functor.

**Definition 3.2.** (i) A graded fiber functor  $\gamma$  on  $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G$  over  $S$  is an exact  $R$ -linear tensor functor  $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}(S)$ .

- (ii) A *morphism* between two graded weak fiber functors is a tensor morphism.
- (iii) We denote the resulting *category of graded fiber functors* on  $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G$  over  $S$  by  $\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}^\otimes(\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G, \mathbf{Gr})(S)$ .
- (iv) For a morphism of schemes  $S' \rightarrow S$ , composition with the pullback functor  $\mathbf{Gr}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}(S')$  defines a pullback functor  $\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}^\otimes(\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G, \mathbf{Gr})(S) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Hom}}^\otimes(\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G, \mathbf{Gr})(S')$ . With these pullback functors the categories  $\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}^\otimes(\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G, \mathbf{Gr})(S)$  for varying  $S$  form a fibered category over  $\mathbf{Spec}(R)$  which we denote by  $\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}^\otimes(\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G, \mathbf{Gr})$ .

**Definition 3.3.** For any graded fiber functor  $\gamma$  on  $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G$  over  $S$ , we let  $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\gamma)$  be the functor  $(\mathbf{Sch}/S) \rightarrow (\mathbf{Groups})$  which sends  $S' \rightarrow S$  to the group of tensor automorphisms of  $(\gamma)_S$  and morphisms to pullbacks.

**Proposition 3.4.** For any graded fiber functor  $\gamma$  on  $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G$  over  $S$ , the functor  $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\gamma)$  is representable by a scheme which is affine over  $S$ .

*Proof.* The functor  $\mathbf{forg}: \mathbf{Gr}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}(S)$  induces a monomorphism  $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\gamma) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\mathbf{forg} \circ \gamma)$ . Since e.g. by Theorem 2.22 the functor  $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\mathbf{forg} \circ \gamma)$  is representable by a scheme which is affine over  $S$ , it suffices to show that this monomorphism is representable by a closed immersion. This can be shown by the argument used in the proof of [Zie15, Theorem 4.6].  $\square$

**Construction 3.5.** Since  $\mathbf{Gr}(S)$  can be identified with the category of representations of  $D^\Gamma$  over  $S$ , by [SR72, II.3.1.1] the action of  $D^\Gamma$  gives an isomorphism

$$D_S^\Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\mathbf{forg}: \mathbf{Gr}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}(S)).$$

Thus to any fiber functor  $\gamma$  on  $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G$  over  $S$  we can associate the cocharacter

$$\chi(\gamma): D_S^\Gamma \cong \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\mathbf{forg}: \mathbf{Gr}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}(S)) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\mathbf{forg} \circ \gamma).$$

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $\mathbf{GFF}(S)$  be the following category:

Objects are pairs  $(\omega, \chi)$  consisting of a fiber functor  $\omega$  on  $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G$  over  $S$  and a cocharacter  $\chi$  of  $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\mathbf{forg} \circ \gamma)$  over  $S$ .

A morphism  $(\omega, \chi) \rightarrow (\omega', \chi')$  is a tensor morphism  $\lambda: \omega \rightarrow \omega'$  for which the following diagram, in which the vertical morphism is induced by  $\lambda$ , commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\omega) \\ & \nearrow \chi & \downarrow \\ D_S^\Gamma & & \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\omega') \\ & \searrow \chi' & \end{array}$$

The following generalizes a result of Saavedra Rivano for Tannakian categories, c.f. [SR72, IV.1.3].

**Theorem 3.7.** Every graded fiber functor  $\gamma$  on  $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G$  over  $S$  fits into the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Rep}^\circ G & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow \gamma & \\ \mathbf{Rep}^\circ \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\mathbf{forg} \circ \gamma) & \xrightarrow{\chi(\gamma)} & \mathbf{Gr}(S) \end{array}$$

Hence the natural functor  $\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}^\otimes(\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G, \mathbf{Gr})(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{GFF}(S)$  which sends  $\gamma$  to  $(\mathbf{forg} \circ \gamma, \chi(\gamma))$  is an equivalence of categories.

*Proof.* The commutativity of the diagram follows from the construction of  $\chi(\gamma)$ . Then using this diagram one readily defines a functor  $\mathbf{GFF}(S) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Hom}}^{\otimes}(\mathbf{Rep}^{\circ} G, \mathbf{Gr})(S)$  which is inverse to the functor in question.  $\square$

## 4 Filtered Fiber Functors

We continue with the setup from the previous section.

### 4.1 Filtered Modules

Let  $S$  be a scheme.

**Definition 4.1.** We denote by  $\mathbf{Fil}(S)$  the category of  $\Gamma$ -filtered quasi-coherent sheaves on  $S$ , that is the following category:

- (i) Objects of  $\mathbf{Fil}(S)$  consist of a quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  of together with an increasing filtration  $(F^{\leq \gamma} \mathcal{M})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  by quasi-coherent submodules  $F^{\leq \gamma} \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\bigcap_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}} F^{\leq \gamma} \mathcal{M} = 0$  and  $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}} F^{\leq \gamma} \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}$ .
- (ii) Morphisms  $(\mathcal{M}, (F^{\leq \gamma} \mathcal{M})_{\gamma}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{N}, (F^{\leq \gamma} \mathcal{N})_{\gamma})$  are  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -linear morphisms  $h: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  which satisfy  $h(F^{\leq \gamma} \mathcal{M}) \subset F^{\leq \gamma} \mathcal{N}$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

By abuse of notation we shall often write  $\mathcal{M}$  for an object  $(\mathcal{M}, (F^{\leq \gamma} \mathcal{M})_{\gamma \in \Gamma})$  of  $\mathbf{Fil}(S)$ .

This category is naturally a tensor category: The tensor product of  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  is given by the module  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$  with the filtration given by

$$F^{\leq \gamma}(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}) = \sum_{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = \gamma} F^{\leq \gamma_1} \mathcal{M} \otimes F^{\leq \gamma_2} \mathcal{N}.$$

As in [Zie15, Lemma 4.2], the dualizable objects of  $\mathbf{Fil}(S)$  are those for which  $\mathcal{M}$  is a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -module of finite rank and for which all  $F^{\leq \gamma} \mathcal{M}$  are locally direct summands of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

We call a sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \rightarrow 0$$

in  $\mathbf{Fil}(S)$  exact if the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F^{\leq \gamma} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow F^{\leq \gamma} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow F^{\leq \gamma} \mathcal{N} \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence of quasi-coherent sheaves for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . This makes  $\mathbf{Fil}(S)$  into an exact category.

In case  $S = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$  for a ring  $R$  we also write  $\mathbf{Fil}(R)$  for  $\mathbf{Fil}(S)$ . This is then a  $R$ -linear exact tensor category.

For  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{Fil}(S)$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  we let  $F^{< \gamma} \mathcal{M} := \bigcup_{\gamma' < \gamma} F^{\leq \gamma'}$ . There is a natural exact tensor functor  $\mathrm{gr}: \mathbf{Fil}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}(S)$  which sends a filtered module  $\mathcal{M}$  to its associated graded  $\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} F^{\leq \gamma} \mathcal{M} / F^{< \gamma} \mathcal{M}$ .

Similarly, there is a natural exact tensor functor  $\mathrm{fil}: \mathbf{Gr}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fil}(S)$  which sends a graded module  $\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathcal{M}^{\gamma}$  to the same module  $\mathcal{M}$  equipped with the filtration  $F^{\leq \gamma} \mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{\gamma' \leq \gamma} \mathcal{M}^{\gamma'}$ .

### 4.2 Filtered Fiber Functors

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $S$  be a scheme over  $R$ .

- (i) A *filtered fiber functor* on  $\mathbf{Rep}^{\circ} G$  over  $S$  is an exact  $R$ -linear tensor functor  $\varphi: \mathbf{Rep}^{\circ} G \rightarrow \mathbf{Fil}(S)$ .
- (ii) An *isomorphism*  $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi'$  of such filtered fiber functors is an isomorphism of tensor functors.

(iii) For a filtered fiber functor  $\varphi$ , we call the functor  $\text{forg} \circ \varphi: \mathbf{Rep}^\circ G \rightarrow \mathbf{Fil}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}(S)$  the *underlying fiber functor of  $\varphi$* .

(iv) For a morphism of schemes  $S' \rightarrow S$  and a filtered fiber functor  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G$  over  $S$ , we let  $\varphi_{S'}$  be the composition  $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G \rightarrow \mathbf{Fil}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{Fil}(S')$ .

For a filtered fiber functor  $\varphi$  with underlying fiber functor  $\omega$  and an object  $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ G$ , we will denote the filtration of  $\omega(X)$  given by  $\varphi$  by  $(\omega(X)^{\varphi \leq \gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ .

**Definition 4.3.** For a filtered fiber functor  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G$  over  $S$ , we let  $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\varphi)$  be the functor  $(\mathbf{Sch}/S) \rightarrow (\mathbf{Groups})$  which sends  $S' \rightarrow S$  to the group of tensor automorphisms of  $\varphi_{S'}$  and morphisms to pullbacks.

The following fact is proved in the same way as Proposition 3.4.

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a filtered fiber functor on  $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G$  over  $S$ . The functor  $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\varphi)$  is representable by a closed subgroup scheme of  $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\text{forg} \circ \varphi)$ . In particular it is affine over  $S$ .*

**Definition 4.5.** Using Theorems 3.4 and 4.4, we can associate to any filtered fiber functor  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G$  over  $S$  the following group schemes which are affine over  $S$ :

- (i)  $G(\varphi) := \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\text{forg} \circ \varphi)$
- (ii)  $P(\varphi) := \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\varphi) \subset G(\varphi)$
- (iii)  $L(\varphi) := \underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\text{gr} \circ \varphi)$
- (iv)  $U(\varphi) := \ker(P(\varphi) \xrightarrow{\text{gr}} L(\varphi))$

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $S$  be a scheme over  $R$ . Every filtered fiber functor  $\varphi: \mathbf{Rep}^\circ G \rightarrow \mathbf{Fil}(S)$  extends to a cocontinuous tensor functor  $\tilde{\varphi}: \mathbf{Rep} G \rightarrow \mathbf{Fil}(S)$ . Such an extension  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is unique up to a unique isomorphism.*

*Proof.* By our assumption on  $G$ , the inclusion  $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Rep} G$  induces an equivalence  $\mathbf{Lex}(\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Rep} G$ . Under this equivalence, the existence and uniqueness of  $\tilde{\varphi}$  follows from the universal property given by Proposition 2.10.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.7.** *We consider ring homomorphisms  $R \rightarrow R' \rightarrow R''$  and a filtered fiber functor  $\varphi: \mathbf{Rep}^\circ G \rightarrow \mathbf{Fil}(\text{Spec}(R''))$ .*

(i) *There exists a filtered fiber functor  $\varphi^{R'}$  on  $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G_{R'}$  over  $R''$  which makes the following diagram commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Rep}^\circ G & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow \varphi & \\ \mathbf{Rep}^\circ G_{R'} & \xrightarrow{\varphi^{R'}} & \mathbf{Fil}(\text{Spec}(R'')) \end{array}$$

*Such a functor  $\varphi^{R'}$  is unique up to a unique isomorphism.*

- (ii) *The base change functor  $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G \rightarrow \mathbf{Rep}^\circ G_{R'}$  induces an isomorphism from the group of tensor automorphisms  $\varphi^{R'}$  to the group of tensor automorphisms of  $\varphi$ .*
- (iii) *The base change functor  $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G \rightarrow \mathbf{Rep}^\circ G_{R'}$  induces isomorphisms  $P(\varphi^{R'}) \xrightarrow{\sim} P(\varphi)$ ,  $L(\varphi^{R'}) \xrightarrow{\sim} L(\varphi)$  and  $U(\varphi^{R'}) \xrightarrow{\sim} U(\varphi)$  of group schemes over  $R''$ .*

*Proof.* (i) By Lemma 4.6, the functor  $\varphi$  extends to a cocontinuous tensor functor  $\tilde{\varphi}: \mathbf{Rep} G \rightarrow \mathbf{Fil}(S)$  which is unique up to unique isomorphism. By [SR72, II.2.0.02], the functor  $\mathbf{Rep} G \rightarrow \mathbf{Rep} G_{R'}$  is canonically isomorphic to the functor  $\mathbf{Rep} G \rightarrow (\mathbf{Rep} G)_{R'}$  from Construction 2.14.



Hence by the universal property given by Proposition 2.16, there is a right-exact  $R'$ -linear tensor functor  $\tilde{\varphi}^{R'}$ , which is unique up to unique isomorphism, which makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Rep} G & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow \tilde{\varphi} & \\ \mathbf{Rep} G_{R'} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}^{R'}} & \mathbf{Fil}(R'). \end{array}$$

By composing  $\tilde{\varphi}^{R'}$  with the inclusion  $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G_{R'} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Rep} G_{R'}$  we obtain a functor  $\varphi^{R'}$  as desired, which is however a priori only right exact. However, the fact that every element of  $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G_{R'}$  is dualizable implies that  $\varphi^{R'}$  is exact.

From the various universal properties which we have used to construct it, one checks that any such functor  $\varphi^{R'}$  must arise in this way and that hence it is unique up to unique isomorphism.

(ii) In the construction of  $\varphi^{R'}$  given above, the various universal properties show that the groups of tensor automorphisms of the functors  $\varphi$ ,  $\tilde{\varphi}$ ,  $\tilde{\varphi}^{R'}$  and  $\varphi^{R'}$  are canonically equal.

(iii) Let  $R'''$  be an  $R''$ -algebra. Then by (i) the filtered fiber functors  $(\varphi_{R'''})^{R'}$  and  $(\varphi^{R'})_{R'''}$  on  $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G_{R'}$  over  $R'''$  are isomorphic. Applying (ii) to these fiber functors for varying  $R'''$  shows that the induced homomorphism  $P(\varphi^{R'}) \rightarrow P(\varphi)$  is an isomorphism. Carrying out the analogue of the above arguments for graded instead of filtered fiber functors shows that  $L(\varphi^{R'}) \rightarrow L(\varphi)$  is an isomorphism. This implies that  $U(\varphi^{R'}) \rightarrow U(\varphi)$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

### 4.3 Splittings

We continue with the notation from the previous subsection. We fix a scheme  $S$  over  $R$  and a filtered fiber functor on  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G$  over  $S$ . We denote the underlying fiber functor  $\text{forg} \circ \varphi: \mathbf{Rep}^\circ G \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_S$  of  $\varphi$  by  $\omega$ .

**Definition 4.8.** (i) A *splitting* of  $\varphi$  is a graded fiber functor  $\gamma$  on  $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G$  over  $S$  for which  $\varphi = \text{fil} \circ \gamma$ .

(ii) The filtered fiber functor  $\varphi$  is *splittable* if there exists such a splitting.

(iii) The functor  $\underline{\text{Spl}}(\varphi): (\mathbf{Sch}/S) \rightarrow (\mathbf{Sets})$  sends a scheme  $S'$  over  $S$  to the set of splittings of  $\varphi_{S'}$  and acts on morphisms by pullbacks.

The following generalizes [Zie15, Lemma 4.10] and is proved in exactly the same way:

**Lemma 4.9.** *Giving a splitting of  $\varphi$  is the same as giving a cocharacter  $\chi$  of  $P(\varphi)$  over  $S$  whose composition with  $\text{gr}: P(\varphi) \rightarrow L(\varphi)$  is the cocharacter  $\chi(\text{gr} \circ \varphi)$  of  $L(\varphi)$ .*

**Definition 4.10.** We call a cocharacter  $\chi$  as in Lemma 4.9 a *cocharacter which splits  $\varphi$* .

Lemma 4.9 gives a natural left action of  $U(\varphi)$  on  $\underline{\text{Spl}}(\varphi)$ : Any conjugate of a cocharacter which splits  $\varphi$  by a section of  $U(\varphi)$  again splits  $\varphi$ .

**Lemma 4.11** ([SR72, IV.2.2.1]). *This action turns  $\underline{\text{Spl}}(\varphi)$  into a  $U(\varphi)$ -pseudotorsor, in the sense that for each scheme  $S'$  over  $S$ , the group  $U(\varphi)(S')$  acts simply transitively on  $\underline{\text{Spl}}(\varphi)(S')$ .*

The following is our main theorem:

**Theorem 4.12.** *Let  $G$  be a smooth affine group scheme over  $R$  which has enough dualizable representations. Let  $\varphi$  be filtered fiber functor on  $\mathbf{Rep} G$  over some  $R$ -scheme  $S$ . Then  $\varphi$  is splittable on every affine open subset of  $S$ .*

The proof of this result will be given in several steps. Clearly the claim for affine  $S$  implies the claim for general  $S$ . Hence we assume from now on that  $S = \text{Spec}(R')$  for an  $R$ -algebra  $R'$ .

### The case where $R$ is a field

First we consider the case where  $R$  is a field:

In case  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ , the following is given by [Zie15, Theorem 4.15]. However, the proof given in *loc.cit.* also works for arbitrary index groups  $\Gamma$ .

**Theorem 4.13.** *Assume that  $R$  is a field and that  $\varphi$  is a filtered fiber functor on  $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G$  over  $S$ . Then  $\varphi$  is splittable.*

### Étale-local splittability

Next we show that in the situation of Theorem 4.12, the filtered fiber functor  $\varphi$  is splittable étale-locally on  $S$ . For this we will need the following results:

**Lemma 4.14.** *Let  $M$  be a finitely generated module over a local ring  $R$  with residue field  $k$ . Let  $U$  and  $V$  be two local direct summands of  $M$ . If the special fibers  $U_k$  and  $V_k$  give a direct sum decomposition  $M_k = U_k \oplus V_k$ , then  $M = U \oplus V$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $R$  is local, the submodules  $U$  and  $V$  are direct summands of  $M$ . So there exist projections  $\pi: M \rightarrow U$  and  $\pi': M \rightarrow V$ . We consider the addition map  $f: U \oplus V \rightarrow M$  and the map  $g = (\pi, \pi'): M \rightarrow U \oplus V$ . By our assumption, the special fibers of both  $f$  and  $g$  are surjective. Hence by Nakayama's lemma, the two compositions  $f \circ g$  and  $g \circ f$  are surjective. By [Sta18, Tag 05G8], any surjective endomorphism of a finitely generated module is an isomorphism. So  $f \circ g$  and  $g \circ f$  are isomorphisms, which implies that  $f$  and  $g$  are isomorphisms.  $\square$

The following result, as well as its proof, is essentially a repetition of a part of the proof of [Zie15, Theorem 5.8].

**Lemma 4.15.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a filtered fiber functor on  $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G$  over  $S$ . Let  $s \in S$  be a point. If the fiber  $\varphi_s$  is splittable, then there exists an étale neighborhood  $S' \rightarrow S$  of  $s$  such that  $\varphi_{S'}$  is splittable.*

*Proof.* Let  $\chi_s$  be a cocharacter of  $P(\varphi)_s$  which splits  $\varphi_s$ . By Theorem 2.22, the group schemes  $G_S$  and  $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\omega)$  are isomorphic fpqc-locally on  $S$ . Hence since  $G$  is smooth so is  $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\omega)$ . By [Dem70, Théorème XI.5.8] this implies that there exist an étale morphism  $S' \rightarrow S$ , a point  $s' \in S'$  over  $s$  with trivial residue field extension  $k(s')/k(s)$  and a cocharacter  $\chi$  of  $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}^\otimes(\omega)$  over  $S'$  whose fiber in  $s'$  is equal to  $\chi_s$ .

We show that  $\varphi_{\mathcal{O}_{S',s'}}$  is splittable: Let  $\omega'$  be the fiber functor  $(\text{forg} \circ \varphi)_{\mathcal{O}_{S',s'}}$  on  $\mathbf{Rep}^\circ G$  over  $\mathcal{O}_{S',s'}$ . Then for  $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ G$  the filtered fiber functor  $\varphi$  gives a filtration  $(\omega'(X)^{\varphi \leq \gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  on  $\omega'(X)$ . We write the grading of  $\omega'(X)$  defined by  $\chi$  as  $\omega'(X) = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \text{gr}_\chi^\gamma(\omega'(X))$ . This grading induces an decreasing filtration on  $\omega'(X)$  by the submodules  $\omega'(X)^{\chi \geq \gamma} := \bigoplus_{\gamma' \geq \gamma} \text{gr}_\chi^{\gamma'}(\omega'(X))$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

Now for  $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ G$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  we claim that the submodules  $\omega'(X)^{\varphi \leq \gamma}$  and  $\omega'(X)^{\chi > \gamma} := \bigoplus_{\gamma' > \gamma} \text{gr}_\chi^{\gamma'}(\omega'(X))$  of  $\omega'(X)$  give a direct sum decomposition

$$(4.16) \quad \omega'(X) = \omega'(X)^{\varphi \leq \gamma} \oplus \omega'(X)^{\chi > \gamma}.$$

Since by construction the cocharacter  $\chi$  splits  $\varphi$  in  $s'$ , this is the case in the fiber above  $s'$ . Since  $X \in \mathbf{Rep}^\circ G$  is dualizable, so is  $\varphi'(X)$ , and hence  $\omega'(X)^{\varphi \leq \gamma}$  locally is a direct summand of  $\omega'(X)$ . So is  $\omega'(X)^{\chi > \gamma}$  by construction. Hence Lemma 4.14 show that the decomposition (4.16) exists.

As in [Zie15, Lemma 4.3], the existence of these direct sum decompositions for all  $X$  and  $\gamma$  shows that the two filtrations  $(\omega'(X)^{\varphi \leq \gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  and  $(\omega'(X)^{\chi \geq \gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  are opposite in the sense that

$$\text{gr}^\gamma \omega'(X) := \omega'(X)^{\varphi \leq \gamma} \cap \omega'(X)^{\chi \geq \gamma}$$

defines a grading of  $\omega'(X)$  which splits both filtrations. Since this grading is functorial in  $X$  and compatible with tensor products we have constructed a graded fiber functor  $\gamma'$  on  $\mathbf{Rep} G$  over  $\mathcal{O}_{S',s'}$  which splits  $\varphi_{\mathcal{O}_{S',s'}}$ .  $\square$

Using this we prove:

**Theorem 4.17.** *In the situation of Theorem 4.12, the filtered fiber functor  $\varphi$  is splittable étale-locally on  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Consider a closed point  $s$  of  $S = \text{Spec}(R)$  and the associated ring homomorphism  $R \rightarrow k(s)$ . By applying Theorem 4.13 to the filtered fiber functor  $\varphi^{k(s)}$  given by Proposition 4.7, we see that the fiber  $\varphi_s$  is splittable. Hence by Lemma 4.15 there exists an étale neighborhood of  $s$  on which  $\varphi$  is splittable. By varying  $s$  over  $S$  we obtain the claim.  $\square$

### Splittability

To obtain splittability over  $S$  itself, consider in more detail the structure of the automorphism group  $P(\varphi)$ :

**Lemma 4.18.** *The extension  $\tilde{\varphi}: \text{Rep } G \rightarrow \text{Fil}(S)$  from Lemma 4.6 is exact.*

*Proof.* Since it suffices to prove the claim étale-locally on  $S$ , by Theorem 4.17 we may assume that  $\varphi$  is splittable. Then the claim follows from the fact that every graded fiber functor on  $\text{Rep}^\circ G$  over  $S$  extends to an exact functor  $\text{Rep } G \rightarrow \text{Gr}_S$ .  $\square$

**Definition 4.19.** Let  $\varphi$  be a filtered fiber functor on  $\text{Rep}^\circ G$  over  $S$ . For an element  $\alpha \in \Gamma^{\leq 0}$ , we let  $U_{\leq \alpha}(\varphi)$  be the subgroup functor of  $P(\varphi)$  consisting of those sections which act as the identity on the bundle  $\omega(X)^{\varphi \leq \gamma} / \omega(X)^{\varphi \leq \gamma + \alpha}$  for all  $X \in \text{Rep}^\circ G$  and all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

We also let  $U_{< \gamma}(\varphi) := \text{colim}_{\gamma' < \gamma} U_{\leq \gamma'}(\varphi) \subset P(\varphi)$ .

Note that in particular  $U_{\leq 0}(\varphi) = P(\varphi)$  and  $U_{< 0}(\varphi) = U(\varphi)$ .

**Lemma 4.20.** *In the situation of Proposition 4.7, the isomorphism  $P(\varphi^{R'}) \rightarrow P(\varphi)$  restricts to isomorphisms  $U_{\leq \alpha}(\varphi^{R'}) \rightarrow U_{\leq \alpha}(\varphi)$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma^{\leq 0}$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.18, the extension of  $\varphi$  (resp.  $\varphi^{R'}$ ) to  $\text{Rep } G$  (resp.  $\text{Rep } G_{R'}$ ) is exact. Since every representation  $X \in \text{Rep}^\circ G$  embeds into  $\omega(X) \otimes_{\rho_G} \rho_G \in \text{Rep } G$  via the comodule morphism, this implies that a section of  $P(\varphi)$  (resp.  $P(\varphi^{R'})$ ) is in  $U_{\leq \alpha}(\varphi)$  (resp.  $U_{\leq \alpha}(\varphi^{R'})$ ) if and only if it acts as the identity on  $\omega(\rho_{G,R'})^{\varphi \leq \gamma} / \omega(\rho_{G,R'})^{\varphi \leq \gamma + \alpha}$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . This proves the claim.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.21.** *For each  $\alpha \in \Gamma^{\leq 0}$  there exists an element  $\alpha' < \alpha$  of  $\Gamma$  for which  $U_{< \alpha}(\varphi) = U_{\leq \alpha'}(\varphi)$ .*

*Proof.* As in the proof of Lemma 4.20, whether a section of  $P(\varphi)$  lies in  $U_{\leq \alpha}(\varphi)$  can be seen from its action on  $\omega(\rho_{G,R'})$ . Since  $\rho_G$  is finitely generated over  $R$  and can be written as a colimit of dualizable representations, there exists an object  $X \in \text{Rep}^\circ G$  together with a map  $X \rightarrow \rho_G$  whose image contains a generating set of  $\rho_G$ . For such an  $X$  it follows that a section of  $P(\varphi)$  is in  $U_{\leq \alpha}(\varphi)$  if and only if it acts as the identity on  $\omega(X)^{\varphi \leq \gamma} / \omega(X)^{\varphi \leq \gamma + \alpha}$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Using this, the fact that the filtration  $(\omega(X)^{\varphi \leq \gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  has only finitely many steps implies the claim.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.22.** *The subgroup functors  $U_{\leq \alpha}(\varphi)$  and  $U_{< \alpha}(\varphi)$  of  $P(\varphi)$  are representable by closed subschemes of which are smooth over  $S$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.21 it suffices to prove the claim for the functors  $U_{\leq \alpha}(\varphi)$ . Since it suffices to prove the claim étale-locally on  $S$ , by Theorem 4.17 we may assume that  $\varphi$  is splittable and that  $S = \text{Spec}(R')$  is affine. Then using Lemma 4.20 we may replace  $\varphi$  by  $\varphi^{R'}$  and hence assume that  $S = \text{Spec}(R)$ .

By Theorem 2.22, the group schemes  $G$  and  $\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\omega)$  differ by a  $G$ -torsor on  $S$ . Using the induced isomorphism  $\text{Rep}^\circ G \cong \text{Rep}^\circ \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\omega)$  we may replace  $G$  by  $\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\omega)$  and hence can assume that  $\omega = \text{forg}: \text{Rep}^\circ G \rightarrow \text{Mod}_R$ . Then the claim is given by [SR72, IV 2.1.4.1].  $\square$

**Construction 4.23.** Assume that  $S = \text{Spec}(R)$ . Let  $\alpha \in \Gamma^{<0}$ . Let  $g \in U_{\leq \alpha}(\varphi)(S')$  for some  $S$ -scheme  $S'$ . For  $X \in \text{Rep}^\circ G$ , the endomorphism  $h_X := g - \text{id}_{\omega(X)_{S'}}$  of  $\omega(X)_{S'}$  maps  $\omega(X)_{S'}^{\varphi \leq \gamma}$  into  $\omega(X)_{S'}^{\varphi \leq \gamma + \alpha}$  for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Hence it naturally induces a homomorphism  $\tilde{h}_X: \text{gr}(\varphi(X)) \rightarrow \text{gr}(\varphi(X))$  of degree  $\alpha$ . For  $X, Y \in \text{Rep}^\circ G$ , the identity

$$h_{X \otimes Y} = h_X \otimes \text{id}_{\omega(Y)_{S'}} + \text{id}_{\omega(X)_{S'}} \otimes h_Y + h_X \otimes h_Y$$

implies that the  $\tilde{h}_X$  are compatible with tensor products in the sense of Definition 2.20. Hence for varying  $X$  these endomorphisms define an element

$$\ell(g) \in \underline{\text{End}}^\otimes(\text{forg} \circ \text{gr} \circ \varphi)(S').$$

By Theorem 2.22, the fiber functor  $\text{forg} \circ \text{gr} \circ \varphi$  on  $\text{Rep}^\circ G$  is isomorphic to  $\omega_G$  fpqc-locally on  $X$ . Hence  $\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\text{forg} \circ \varphi \circ \text{gr})$  is representable by a smooth group scheme over  $S'$ . So using Proposition 2.21 we can consider  $\ell(g)$  as an element

$$\ell(g) \in \underline{\text{Lie}}(\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\text{forg} \circ \text{gr} \circ \varphi))(S') = \underline{\text{Lie}}(\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\text{forg} \circ \text{gr} \circ \varphi))_{S'}.$$

Using the equivariance statement in Proposition 2.21, the fact that the  $\tilde{h}_X$  are of degree  $\alpha$  translates to the fact that  $\ell(g)$  lies in the subspace  $\text{gr}_\alpha(\underline{\text{Lie}}(\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\text{forg} \circ \text{gr} \circ \varphi))_{S'})$  of elements of degree  $\alpha$  with respect to the grading of  $\underline{\text{Lie}}(\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\text{forg} \circ \text{gr} \circ \varphi))$  defined by  $\text{gr} \circ \varphi$  via the adjoint action. The assignment  $g \mapsto \ell(g)$  is functorial in  $S'$  and so we obtain a morphism

$$(4.24) \quad \ell: U_{\leq \alpha}(\varphi) \rightarrow \mathbb{V}(\text{gr}_\alpha \underline{\text{Lie}}(\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\text{forg} \circ \text{gr} \circ \varphi)))$$

of schemes over  $S$ .

**Lemma 4.25.** *Let  $\alpha \in \Gamma^{<0}$ .*

- (i) *The morphism (4.24) is a group homomorphism with respect to the additive group structure on its target.*
- (ii) *The kernel of (4.24) is equal to  $U_{< \alpha}(\varphi)$ .*

*Proof.* (i) For sections  $g, h \in U_{\leq \alpha}(\varphi)(S')$  and for an element  $x \in F^\gamma(\omega(X)_{S'})$  we find

$$(g(h(x)) - x) - (g(x) - x) - (h(x) - x) = g(h(x) - x) - (h(x) - x) \in \omega(X)_{S'}^{\varphi \leq \gamma + 2\alpha}.$$

This implies (i).

Claim (ii) follows from the definition of (4.24).  $\square$

The proof of the following fact is motivated by the proof of [Con14, 2.3.1]:

**Proposition 4.26.** *Consider a homomorphism  $h: G \rightarrow H$  of smooth affine group schemes for which every geometric fiber of the induced inclusion  $i: G/\ker(h) \rightarrow H$  is an isomorphism. Then  $i$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* The quotient sheaf  $G/\ker(h)$  is representable by a separated algebraic space of finite type over  $S$  (e.g. since it is equal to the quotient stack  $[G/\ker(h)]$ ). The assumption on the fibers of  $i$  implies that  $i$  is quasi-finite. Since  $i$  is a monomorphism and  $G/\ker(h)$  is separated, the morphism  $i$  is separated as well. Hence by [Sta18, Tag 03XX], the algebraic space  $G/\ker(h)$  is representable by a scheme. Since  $G$  is smooth over  $S$ , so is  $G/\ker(h)$ . By the assumption on  $i$ , the induced map  $\underline{\text{Lie}}(G/\ker(h)) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Lie}}(H)$  is an isomorphism on every geometric fiber. Hence it is an isomorphism, which shows that  $i$  is étale. Hence, by [Sta18, Tag 025G], as an étale monomorphism  $i$  is an open immersion. Then the assumption on the fibers of  $i$  ensures that  $i$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.27.** *For each  $\alpha < 1$ , the quotient sheaf  $U_{\leq \alpha}(\varphi)/U_{< \alpha}(\varphi)$  is representable by the affine group scheme underlying a vector bundle over  $S$ .*

*More precisely, the morphism (4.24) induces an isomorphism*

$$U_{\leq \alpha}(\varphi)/U_{< \alpha}(\varphi) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{V}(\text{gr}_\alpha \underline{\text{Lie}}(\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\text{forg} \circ \text{gr} \circ \varphi))).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.25, the morphism 4.24 factors through a monomorphism

$$U_{\leq \alpha}(\varphi)/U_{< \alpha}(\varphi) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{V}(\mathrm{gr}_{\alpha} \mathrm{Lie}(\underline{\mathrm{Aut}}^{\otimes}(\mathrm{forg} \circ \mathrm{gr} \circ \varphi))).$$

of flat sheaves.

To show that this monomorphism is an isomorphism we may work étale locally on  $S$ . So by Theorem 4.17 we may assume that  $S$  is splittable.

By Proposition 4.26, it suffices to prove that all geometric fibers of (4.24) are isomorphisms. So using Lemma 4.20 we may reduce to the case that  $R$  is a field. Then the monomorphism  $U_{\alpha}(\varphi)/U_{< \alpha}(\varphi) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{V}(\mathrm{gr}_{\alpha} \mathrm{Lie}(\underline{\mathrm{Aut}}^{\otimes}(\mathrm{gr} \circ \varphi)))$  is representable by a closed immersion, and it suffices to show that the source and target of this closed immersion have the same dimension. This is given by [SR72, IV 2.1.4.1].  $\square$

Now we can prove Theorem 4.12:

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.11 and Theorem 4.17, the sheaf  $\underline{\mathrm{Spl}}(\varphi)$  is a torsor under  $U(\varphi)$ . By Theorem 4.27, the group scheme  $U(\varphi)$  is an iterated extension of vector group schemes over  $S$ . Hence any  $U(\varphi)$ -torsor over an affine base is trivial.  $\square$

## References

- [Büh10] Theo Bühler. Exact categories. *Expo. Math.*, 28(1):1–69, 2010.
- [Con14] Brian Conrad. Reductive group schemes. In *Autour des schémas en groupes. Vol. I*, volume 42/43 of *Panor. Synthèses*, pages 93–444. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2014.
- [Cor20] Christophe Cornut. Filtrations and buildings. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 266(1296):147, 2020.
- [Dem70] A. Demazure, M. Grothendieck. *Schémas en groupes. II: Groupes de type multiplicatif, et structure des schémas en groupes généraux*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 152. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1962/64 (SGA 3), Dirigé par M. Demazure et A. Grothendieck.
- [KL15] Dmitry Kaledin and Wendy Lowen. Cohomology of exact categories and (non-)additive sheaves. *Adv. Math.*, 272:652–698, 2015.
- [Lur05] Jacob Lurie. Tannaka duality for geometric stacks. 2005. arXiv:0412266.
- [SR72] Neantro Saavedra Rivano. *Catégories Tannakiennes*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 265. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972.
- [Sta18] The Stacks Project Authors. *Stacks Project*. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2018.
- [Wed23] Torsten Wedhorn. Extension and lifting of  $G$ -bundles on stacks, 2023. arXiv:2311.05151.
- [Zie15] Paul Ziegler. Graded and filtered fiber functors on Tannakian categories. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 14(1):87–130, 2015.
- [Zie22] Paul Ziegler. Normed fiber functors. 2022. arXiv:2203.17073.