

Indecomposable motivic cycles on $K3$ surfaces of degree 2

Ramesh Sreekantan

January 3, 2024

Abstract

In this paper we construct new indecomposable motivic cycles in the group $H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}(2))$ where X is a degree 2 $K3$ surface. This generalizes our construction in [Sre22] for Kummer surfaces of Abelian surfaces as well as the recent work of Ma and Sato [MS23] on degree 2 $K3$ surfaces.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Degree 2 $K3$ surfaces	2
1.2	Motivic cycles	2
1.3	Acknowledgements	3
2	$K3$ surfaces of degree 2	3
2.1	$K3$ surfaces as double covers of \mathbb{P}^2 ramified at a sextic	3
2.2	Lattices and the Moduli of $K3$ surfaces	3
2.3	$K3$ surfaces with larger Picard number	5
3	Motivic cycles	7
3.1	Presentation of cycles in $H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}(2))$	7
3.2	The localization sequence	8
4	Construction of the cycles on the generic $K3$ surface of degree 2.	9
4.1	A Theorem in Enumerative Geometry	9
4.2	Indecomposable Motivic cycles	10
4.2.1	Construction	10
4.2.2	Indecomposability	12
5	Applications	15
5.1	Mumford's conjecture.	15
5.2	Hodge- \mathcal{D} -conjecture for $K3$ surfaces over a local field	15
5.3	Relations with modular forms	15

1 Introduction

1.1 Degree 2 $K3$ surfaces

A degree 2 $K3$ surface X is a $K3$ surface on which there is a line bundle \mathcal{L} such that $\mathcal{L}^2 = (\mathcal{L}.\mathcal{L}) = 2$. This is equivalent to the $K3$ surface being a double cover of \mathbb{P}^2 ramified at a sextic. The linear system $|\mathcal{L}|$ gives a map to \mathbb{P}^2 which is ramified at a sextic.

Conversely if S is a sextic in \mathbb{P}^2 with at most isolated rational double point singularities, then the desingularisation of the double cover ramified at S is a $K3$ surface of degree 2.

Generically the rank of the Neron-Severi group (the Picard number $\rho(X)$) is 1 - though there are some interesting special cases when the rank is much larger. If the sextic is a product of six lines, then the rank is 16 and the moduli of such $K3$ surfaces is four dimensional.

On this 4-fold there are two notable subvarieties. If the six lines are further tangent to a conic, then the $K3$ surface is a Kummer surface of a principally polarised Abelian surface and the Picard number is 17 [BW03]. If the six lines degenerate such that three of them are concurrent then Clingher and Malmendier show that the $K3$ surface is the Kummer surface of an Abelian surface with a polarization of type $(1, 2)$ [CM17].

1.2 Motivic cycles

In this paper we construct elements in the motivic cohomology group $H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}(2))$ where X is a degree 2 $K3$ surface. The group $H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}(2))$ has many avatars - it is usually defined as a graded piece of $K_1(X)$ with respect to the Adams filtration, but for us we will use the fact that it is the same as the Bloch higher Chow group $CH^2(X, 1)$.

The cycles we construct are *indecomposable* - namely they are non-trivial elements of the quotient of the motivic cohomology group by subgroup of cycles coming from lower graded pieces of the filtration. In a sense they are ‘new’ cycles.

In general it is not so easy to find indecomposable cycles and the discovery of them is a minor cause for celebration. They have a number of applications - from algebraicity of values of Greens functions [Mel08],[Sre22], to the Hodge- \mathcal{D} -conjecture [CL05], [Sre08],[Sre14] to torsion in co-dimension 2 [Mil92],[Spi99]. For varieties over number fields, the existence of indecomposable cycles is predicted by the Beilinson conjectures though there are few cases when these have been realised.

The method of construction is similar to that in [Sre22] where we studied the case of Abelian surfaces in some detail. The idea is to show the existence of certain rational curves on \mathbb{P}^2 . In this paper we show that the same construction can be generalised to this much larger class of varieties, The Kummer surfaces of principally polarised Abelian surfaces are special cases of $K3$ surfaces of degree 2.

As this paper was nearing completion we became aware of the preprint of Ma and Sato [MS23] where they also construct indecomposable motivic cycles in this case. Their methods of proof are quite different though. Their construction can be viewed as a special case when the rational curve

is a line.

A corollary of the construction is another proof of a conjecture of Mumford's on the existence of infinitely many rational curves on a generic $K3$ surface of degree 2.

1.3 Acknowledgements

The history of this article is linked with the online seminar on $K3$ surfaces which has resulted in these proceedings. I spoke in the seminar on the construction in the article [Sre22] which was about Kummer surfaces of principally polarised Abelian surfaces, Prof. Armand Brumer was in the audience and he asked about the non-principally polarised case. When I dug into the literature I became aware of the work of Clingher and Malmendier [CM17] on the $(1, 2)$ case and it was clear that the argument extends to that case. It soon became clear that the argument extends to the general degree 2 case as well. So I would first like to thank Devendra Tiwari and the rest of the organisers for inviting me to speak and second would like to thank Armand Brumer for his comment. I would also like to thank Shouhei Ma and Ken Sato for their comments. I would like to thank Subham Sarkar for his comments and making me aware of the preprint of Ma and Sato. I would like to thank Arvind Nair for his remarks on orthogonal Shimura varieties. Finally I would like to thank the Indian Statistical Institute for their support.

2 $K3$ surfaces of degree 2

2.1 $K3$ surfaces as double covers of \mathbb{P}^2 ramified at a sextic

Let X be a $K3$ surface with a line bundle \mathcal{L} such that $\mathcal{L}^2 = 2$. The linear system $|\mathcal{L}|$ has no fixed points and maps to \mathbb{P}^2 . This is a double cover ramified at a sextic $S = S_X$. We call S_X the *associated sextic* of X . The hyperplane section on \mathbb{P}^2 pulls back to a double cover of a line ramified at six points - hence is a genus 2 curve in $|\mathcal{L}|$ [May72]. On the complement of an infinite union of closed subvarieties, the Picard number $\rho(X)$ of the such $K3$ surfaces is 1 generated by this curve [Sha80]. Such $K3$ surfaces are called *generic*.

Conversely suppose S is a sextic in \mathbb{P}^2 with only isolated double point singularities. Then the minimal desingularisation of the double cover ramified at S is a $K3$ surface of degree 2. If there are double points on the sextic the Picard number of the $K3$ surfaces is larger as the exceptional cycles in the desingularization give new elements of the Neron-Severi group.

The compactification of the moduli of smooth sextics was first studied by Shah [Sha80] and more recently Yu and Zheng [YZ23] have studied the singular sextic cases.

2.2 Lattices and the Moduli of $K3$ surfaces

In this section we state some results about the moduli of $K3$ surfaces of degree 2. These results can be found in Bruinier [Bru08]. We have followed Peterson [Pet15].

If X is a $K3$ surface, the group $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is of rank 22. As a lattice it is

$$H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) = U^{\oplus 3} \oplus E_8(-1)^{\oplus 2}$$

where U is a unimodular lattice of rank 2 and signature $(1, 1)$ and $E_8(-1)$ is the root lattice of the Lie algebra E_8 with the (-1) indicating that we take the negative of the standard positive definite quadratic form. Hence this is a lattice of signature $(3, 19)$

In $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ the class of \mathcal{L} gives us an element \mathcal{L} of square 2. Let L_2 be the orthogonal complement \mathcal{L}^\perp of \mathcal{L} in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. This is a lattice of signature $(2, 19)$

$$L_2 = \langle -2 \rangle \oplus U^{\oplus 2} \oplus E_8(-1)^{\oplus 3}$$

where $\langle -2 \rangle$ is the rank 1 lattice generated by an element w such that $(w, w) = -2$.

The moduli of $K3$ surfaces of degree 2 can be realised as an orthogonal Shimura variety associated to the lattice L_2 as follows.

For a lattice L let $O(L)$ denote the group of isometries of L . Let L^\wedge denote the dual lattice

$$\hat{L} = \{m \in L \otimes \mathbb{Q} \mid (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } n \in L\}$$

The discriminant group is $D_L = \hat{L}/L$. Let $\tilde{O}(L) = \text{Ker}\{O(L) \rightarrow O(D_L)\}$. Let $O^+(L)$ denote the subgroup of $O(L)$ of spinor norm 1 and $\tilde{O}^+(L) = \tilde{O}(L) \cap O^+$.

Let

$$\mathcal{D} \cup \overline{\mathcal{D}} = \{Cz \mid (z, z) = 0, (z, \bar{z}) > 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}(L \otimes \mathbb{C})$$

Choose a component \mathcal{D} . The moduli space of $K3$ surfaces of degree 2 with transcendental lattice L is

$$\mathcal{M}_L = \tilde{O}^+(L) \backslash \mathcal{D}$$

An alternative description is as a quotient of the Hermitian symmetric space of $O(L)$. If L is of signature $(2, n)$ this is

$$\mathbb{H} = \frac{O(2, n)}{O(2) \times O(n)}$$

The moduli space \mathcal{M} is

$$\mathcal{M} = \tilde{O}^+(L) \backslash \mathbb{H}$$

When L is the lattice L_2 this moduli \mathcal{M}_2 is a 19 dimensional variety. If one considers singular sextics, the transcendental lattice becomes smaller as the exceptional fibres are elements of the Neron-Severi group. One interesting submoduli is when the sextic S degenerates in to six lines. Here the Picard number is 16 as the Neron-Severi is generated by the class of \mathcal{L} and the 15 exceptional fibres over the nodes of S . The transcendental lattice is hence of signature $(2, 4)$ and the moduli space is 4 dimensional.

In this there is a particularly interesting divisor – if the six lines are tangent to a conic. Such $K3$ surfaces have Picard number 17 and the transcendental lattice is of signature $(2, 3)$. The new cycle is

obtained as a component of the double cover of the conic. These $K3$ surfaces are the Kummer surfaces of principally polarised abelian surfaces and the moduli is nothing but an alternative description of the Siegel modular threefold.

In between there are several other moduli corresponding to singular sextics. These are described in Yu and Zheng. [YZ23]

2.3 $K3$ surfaces with larger Picard number

As mentioned above, when the sextic has nodal singularities, the corresponding $K3$ surface has additional elements in the Neron-Severi group coming from the exceptional fibres. In this section we will determine another condition under which there are new elements in the Neron-Severi group. In what follows we use \mathcal{L} to denote the class of the line bundle \mathcal{L} in the Neron-Severi group. Let D be an element of $NS(X)$ which is not a multiple of \mathcal{L} . The moduli of those $K3$ surfaces of degree 2 where the Neron-Severi group is generated by \mathcal{L} and D is a divisor on \mathcal{M}_2 .

Recall that $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}) = 2$. Consider the intersection matrix of the lattice generated by \mathcal{L} and D ,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & (\mathcal{L}.D) \\ (\mathcal{L}.D) & (D.D) \end{pmatrix}$$

Let $\Delta = \Delta(D) = -\det(A) = (\mathcal{L}.D)^2 - 2D^2$ and $\delta = (D.\mathcal{L}) \bmod 2$, so $\delta = \{0, 1\}$. From the Hodge Index theorem we have that $\Delta(D) \geq 0$ and $\Delta > 0$ if and only if D is not a multiple of \mathcal{L} .

The *irreducible Noether-Lefschetz divisor* $\mathcal{P}_{\Delta, d}$ is the closure of the set of polarised $K3$ surfaces where the Picard lattice is a rank 2 lattice with discriminant $\Delta(D) = \Delta$ and class δ .

For number theoretic applications one considers Heegner divisors which are sums of these $\mathcal{P}_{\Delta, \delta}$ for certain choices of Δ . [Pet15]. Numbers determined by them are known to appear as coefficients of modular forms.

It is known that the Picard number of a Abelian surface with real multiplication is at least 2 which translates to the corresponding Kummer surface having Picard number at least 18. Birkenhake-Wilhelm [BW03] showed, generalizing Humbert, that this corresponds to certain special rational curves on the associated \mathbb{P}^2 . Conversely, if one has special rational curves on \mathbb{P}^2 there are additional cycles in the corresponding $K3$ surface.

Along the lines of their argument, we have the following. Recall that a $K3$ surface X is called *generic* if the Picard number $\rho(X) = 1$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let X be a generic $K3$ surface of degree 2 and S_X the associated sextic in \mathbb{P}^2 . Let $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ denote the double cover of \mathbb{P}^2 ramified at S_X induced by \mathcal{L} . Suppose there exists a rational curve Q of degree d in \mathbb{P}^2 such that it meets S_X only at points of even multiplicity. Then Q determines an element in the Neron-Severi group of X whose class is not a multiple of the class of L . In particular, the moduli point of X lies on $\mathcal{P}_{\Delta, \delta}$ for some Δ and δ .*

Proof. The Picard number of \mathbb{P}^2 is 1 so the class of Q is a multiple of the class of the hyperplane section H . Since it is of degree d , $Q \in |dH|$. $\pi^*(H) = \mathcal{L}$ hence $\pi^{-1}(Q) \in |\mathcal{L}^d|$. In particular, it is not a new element. So we cannot simply use the preimage of Q .

Let $C = \pi^{-1}(Q)$ be the double cover of Q induced by the map $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$. Let $\eta : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ denote its normalization. The ramification points of $\pi : C \rightarrow Q$ are the points $S_X \cap Q$. By assumption S_X and Q meet with even multiplicity. In the double cover C these are nodal points. In the normalization \tilde{C} , these are no longer ramified. Since Q is rational the normalization \tilde{C} is then an *unramified* double cover of \mathbb{P}^1 . This cannot be irreducible as \mathbb{P}^1 is simply connected and does not have an irreducible unramified double cover. Since it is a double cover it has two components, say \tilde{C}_1 and \tilde{C}_2 .

Hence $C = C_1 \cup C_2$ for some possibly singular rational curves C_1 and C_2 . To show that the classes of C_1 and C_2 are not multiples of the class of \mathcal{L} we show that $\Delta(C_1) > 0$.

Let ι denote the involution determined by the double cover. One has

$$\iota(C_1) = C_2$$

The involution extends to the Neron-Severi group and acts trivially on \mathcal{L} . If C_1 is a multiple of \mathcal{L} then $\iota(C_1) = C_1$. Hence $C_1 = C_2$ and the whole curve is ramified.

Further this implies

$$C_1^2 = (C_1, C_2) = 3d$$

as they meet at the double points. Since π is a double cover we have

$$(C, \mathcal{L}) = 2(Q, H) = 2d$$

where H is the hyperplane section in \mathbb{P}^2 . Since $C = C_1 + C_2 = 2C_1$ this implies

$$(C_1, \mathcal{L}) = d$$

Therefore

$$\Delta(C_1) = (\mathcal{L}, C_1)^2 - 2(C_1^2) = d^2 - 6d = d(d - 6)$$

This cannot be 0 unless $d = 0$, in which case Q is of degree 0, or $d = 6$. If $d = 0$ then Q is a point. Hence the only possibility is $d = 6$ and Q is the ramified sextic. Mayer [May72], Propostion 3(d), shows that the ramified sextic is irreducible, so $\pi^{-1}(Q)$ cannot split in to two components. \square

In fact the theorem likely holds for higher genus curves as well [BW03], Proposition 6.4. We will only be concerned with the case when the curve is rational in what follows and hence will restrict ourselves to that case.

The converse need not be true. Namely there exists $\mathcal{P}_{\Delta, \delta}$ such that the extra cycle need not be represented by a *rational* curve.

For a rational curve Q which meets the sextic S at points of even multiplicity, let \mathcal{P}_Q denote the copy of $\mathcal{P}_{\Delta(Q), \delta}$ where the lattice is determined by Q .

In the case when the sextic is a product of six lines tangent to a conic it is known that the $K3$ surface X is the Kummer surface of a principally polarized Abelian surface. In that case Δ is called

the *Humbert invariant* and corresponds to the moduli of Abelian surfaces with endomorphism ring containing $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{\Delta}]$. For a generic Kummer surface the Picard number is 17 and on these Humbert surfaces the Picard number is at least 18. This special case was studied in some detail in [Sre22].

In the case when the sextic degenerates to six lines three of which are concurrent the K3 surface is the Kummer surface of an Abelian surface with polarization of type $(1, 2)$ [CM17]. Once again the $\mathcal{P}_{\Delta, \delta}$ will correspond to Abelian surfaces with extra endomorphism.

3 Motivic cycles

3.1 Presentation of cycles in $H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}(2))$.

Let X be a surface over a field K . The group $H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}(2))$ has the following presentation. It is generated by sums of the form

$$\sum (C_i, f_i)$$

where C_i are curves on X and f_i functions on them satisfying the co-cycle condition

$$\sum \operatorname{div}(f_i) = 0$$

Equivalently these can be thought of as codimensional 2 subvarieties of $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ which do not meet $X \times 0$ and $X \times \infty$. The cycle given by the sum of the graphs of f_i in $X \times \mathbb{P}^1$ has this property.

Relations are given by the Tame symbol of a pair of functions. If f and g are two functions on X , the Tame symbol of the pair

$$\tau(f, g) = \sum_{Z \in X^1} \left(Z, \frac{f^{\operatorname{ord}_g(Z)}}{g^{\operatorname{ord}_f(Z)}} \right)$$

satisfies the co-cycle condition and such cycles are defined to be 0 in the group.

One example of an element of this group is a cycle of the form (C, a) where a is a nonzero constant function and C is a curve on X . This trivially satisfies the cocycle condition. More generally, if L/K is a finite extension and $X_L = X \times_{\operatorname{Spec}(K)} \operatorname{Spec}(L)$ then one has a product map

$$\bigoplus_{L/K} H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(X_L, \mathbb{Q}(1)) \otimes H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(X_L, \mathbb{Q}(1)) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{L/K} H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X_L, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \xrightarrow{Nm_{L/K}} H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}(2))$$

The image of this is the group of *decomposable cycles* $H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}(2))_{dec}$. The group of *indecomposable cycles* is the quotient group

$$H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}(2))_{indec} = H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}(2)) / H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}(2))_{dec}$$

In general it is not clear how to construct non-trivial elements of this group.

A way of constructing cycles in this group is as follows. Since we use it in the main construction we label it a proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let Q be a nodal rational curve on X with node P . Let $\nu : \tilde{Q} \rightarrow Q$ be its normalization in the blow up $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ at P . The strict transform \tilde{Q} meets the exceptional fibre E_P at two points P_1 and P_2 . Both \tilde{Q} and E_P are rational curves. Let f_P be the function with $\text{div}(f_P) = P_1 - P_2$ on \tilde{Q} and similarly let g_P be the function with $\text{div}(g_P) = P_2 - P_1$ on E_P . Then*

$$\tilde{Z}_P = (\tilde{Q}, f_P) + (E_P, g_P)$$

is an element of $H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Q}(2))$ and its pushforward $Z_P = \pi_(\tilde{Z}_P)$ is an element of $H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}(2))$.*

A priori it is not clear that if this cycle is indecomposable. We will show that is the case in many instances. For this we need to use the localization sequence in motivic cohomology.

3.2 The localization sequence

If $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ is a family of varieties over a base S with X_η the generic fibre and X_s the fibre over a closed subvariety s then one has a localization sequence relating the three.

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X_\eta, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \xrightarrow{\partial} \bigoplus_{s \in S^1} H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(X_s, \mathbb{Q}(1)) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{M}}^4(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \rightarrow \cdots$$

where S^1 denotes the set of closed subvarieties of codimension 1. The boundary map of an element $Z = \sum_i (C_{\eta,i}, f_{\eta,i})$ is given as follows. Let \mathcal{C} denote the closure of C_η in \mathcal{X} . Then

$$\partial(Z) = \sum_i \text{div}_{\mathcal{C}_i}(f_{\eta,i})$$

The cocycle condition $\sum_i \text{div}_{C_{\eta,i}}(f_{\eta,i}) = 0$ implies that the ‘horizontal’ divisors cancel out and only the components in some of the fibres survive.

Let \mathcal{X} be a family of $K3$ surfaces. To check for indecomposability one can use the boundary map ∂ . Over the algebraic closure of the base field, a decomposable cycle in $H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X_\eta, \mathbb{Q}(2))$ is given by (C_η, a_η) where C_η is a curve on X_η and a_η a constant (that is, a function on the base S).

The boundary map is particularly simple to compute in the case of a decomposable element

$$\partial((C_\eta, a_\eta)) = \sum \text{ord}_s(a_\eta) C_s$$

where C_s is the restriction of the closure \mathcal{C} of C_η to the fibre over s . Hence in the boundary of decomposable elements one can obtain only those cycles in the special fibres which are the restrictions of cycles in the generic fibre. In particular, if Z_η is a motivic cycle and the boundary contains cycles which are *not* the restriction of cycles in the generic fibre then the motivic cycle is necessarily indecomposable. We will show that that is the case for our cycles.

An alternate way, as is done in Chen-Lewis [CL05], Ma-Sato [MS23] or Sato [Sat23], is to compute the Beilinson regulator and show that, when evaluated against a particular transcendental $(1, 1)$ form, this is non-zero. In the case when the base is the ring of integers of a global field or a local field, the boundary map can be thought of as a non-Archimedean regulator map and the idea is essentially

the same. The transcendental form is represented by a new algebraic cycle in the special fibre. Evaluation against this form corresponds to intersecting with the new cycle. That being non-zero implies that the component of the boundary in the direction of the new cycle is non-zero. This also fits in to the philosophy espoused by Manin [Man91] that the Archimedean component of an arithmetic variety can be viewed as the ‘special fibre at ∞ ’.

4 Construction of the cycles on the generic $K3$ surface of degree 2.

4.1 A Theorem in Enumerative Geometry

We use Proposition 3.1 to construct infinitely many distinct cycles in the generic $K3$ surface of degree 2. The cycles we construct will be defined on those $K3$ surfaces whose moduli point lies in the complement of the special subvarieties \mathcal{P}_Q .

To apply Proposition 3.1 we need a suitable rational curve in the generic $K3$ surface. We find that by ‘deforming’ the curve Q to the generic degree 2 $K3$ surface.

There is a well known theorem in enumerative geometry [Zin05] which states the following:

Theorem 4.1. *Let N_d denote the number of rational curves of degree d passing through $3d - 1$ points in \mathbb{P}^2 in general position. Then N_d is finite and non-zero.*

Proof. A proof of this can be found in the book by Dusa McDuff and Dieter Salamon [MS12], page 213. □

The numbers $N_1 = 1$, $N_2 = 1$, $N_3 = 12$ are classical. The precise number N_d is the celebrated theorem of Kontsevich-Manin [KM94] and Ruan-Tian [RT95].

Recall that \mathcal{P}_Q is the submoduli of the moduli of $K3$ surfaces X of degree 2 where there is a rational curve Q of degree d which meets the sextic S_X at points of even multiplicity. Since Q is of degree d it meets S_X at $6d$ points. However, since they meet at either ordinary double points q of the sextic or points of even multiplicity, there are at most $3d$ distinct points of intersection.

If we discard one of the double points of $Q \cap S_X$, we determine $3d - 1$ points. Hence the theorem above suggests that perhaps for *any* S_X we can find a rational curve of degree d passing through these $3d - 1$ points.

We have the following theorem, the proof of which was suggested to me by Tom Graber. The proof is easier as we assume that for some X there exists a rational curve meeting S_X at the correct number of points.

Theorem 4.2 ((Graber,S.)). *Consider pairs (S, Q) where S is a sextic in \mathbb{P}^2 and Q is a rational curve of degree d . In general they will meet at $6d$ points. Suppose there exists a sextic S_0 and a nodal rational curve Q_0 of degree d such that they meet at $\leq 3d + 1$ points of the following type*

1. k nodes of S_0 , if S_0 has ordinary nodes.

2. $3d - 1 - k$ other points tangent to S_0 (which could coincide to be of even multiplicity).

3. 2 other points (which could coincide and be of one of the above types).

Then, for **any** sextic S with $m \geq k$ ordinary double points, the number $N_{d,k}$ of nodal rational curves Q of degree d meeting the sextic at $3d - 1 - k$ points of tangency and passing through k nodes is finite and non-zero.

Proof. Let \mathcal{M}_d be the space of degree d nodal rational curves in \mathbb{P}^2 and let \mathcal{S} be the space of sextic curves. It is known that the dimension $\dim \mathcal{M}_d = 3d - 1$. Let $\dim(\mathcal{S}) = s$.

Let \mathcal{X} be the locus in $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{S}$ parametrizing pairs (Q, S) where Q is tangent to S at $3d - 1$ points. There is a morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \pi : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{S} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{6d} \\ \pi(Q, S) &\longrightarrow (Q \cap S) \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{H}_{6d} is the Hilbert scheme of $6d$ points in \mathbb{P}^2 .

Let Z be the stratum of \mathcal{H}_{6d} parametrizing subschemes of $3d - 1$ double points \cup 2 reduced points. Then \mathcal{X} is just $\pi^{-1}(Z)$. The closure \bar{Z} is an irreducible codimension $(3d - 1)$ subscheme of \mathcal{H}_{6d} as it is determined by choosing $3d + 1$ points - which is $6d + 2$ dimensional - and then $3d - 1$ tangents at $3d - 1$ of those points - which makes it $9d + 1$ dimensional. Further \mathcal{H}_{6d} is $12d$ dimensional. Since \mathcal{H}_{6d} is smooth every irreducible component of $\pi^{-1}(\bar{Z})$ has codimension at most $(3d - 1)$.

From the Theorem of Birkenhake and Wilhelm, we know that for some S_0 there are only finitely many Q_0 - namely when the corresponding Abelian surface has extra endomorphisms and $Q_0 \cap S_0$ has $3d$ points. Equivalently, the local dimension of $\mathcal{X} \cap (\mathcal{M} \times \{S_0\})$ is 0. Looking at π near (Q_0, S_0) , since $\dim(\mathcal{X}) \geq \dim(\mathcal{S})$ and the fact that the local fibre dimension is 0 at (Q_0, S_0) implies all nearby fibre dimensions are 0. This is equivalent to the fact that there are finitely many such Q for every S . \square

For instance, if Q is a conic, there exists 70956 conics tangent to a sextic at five points [Gat05] (Corollary 3.6).

4.2 Indecomposable Motivic cycles

4.2.1 Construction

We now use Theorem 4.2 to construct a motivic cycle.

Theorem 4.3. *Let \mathcal{P}_Q as above be the moduli of degree 2 K3 surfaces X for which there is a rational curve Q of degree d meeting the associated sextic S_X at $3d$ points of even multiplicity. Assume \mathcal{P}_Q is non-empty. Then there exists a motivic cycle*

$$Z_{Q_\eta} \in H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X_\eta, \mathbb{Q}(2))$$

where X_η is the generic K3 surface of degree 2. This cycle is defined in the fibres of the complement of \mathcal{P}_Q : If $f z \notin \mathcal{P}_Q$ the cycle restricts to give a cycle $Z_{Q_z} \in H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X_z, \mathbb{Q}(2))$ where X_z is the K3 surface corresponding to z .

Proof. Let X_z denote the K3 surface corresponding to the point z . Let $S_z = S_{X_z}$ be the associated sextic. Let \bar{X}_z denote the surface which is obtained by blowing down the exceptional cycles of X_z lying over the nodes of S_z - so \bar{X}_z is a double cover of \mathbb{P}^2 ramified at S_z .

If z_0 lies on \mathcal{P}_Q , the curve Q determines $3d$ points $Q \cap S_{z_0}$. If we discard one of them, from Theorem 4.2 above, if S_η denotes the generic sextic, then there exists a rational curve Q_η which meets S_η at $3d - 1$ points of the type determined by $Q \cap S_{z_0}$. Q_η will meet S_η at two other points s_η and t_η which are not points of even multiplicity.

Let $\pi : C_\eta \rightarrow Q_\eta$ be the double cover of Q_η in \bar{X}_η . The normalization of C_η is a double cover of \mathbb{P}^1 ramified at two points - namely the points s_η and t_η and so is an *irreducible conic*. This has nodes at the points $C_\eta \cap S_\eta$ and there are $3d - 1$ such nodes.

Let P_η be one of nodes. If it is a node of S_η as well then from Proposition 3.1, using the function f_{P_η} on the strict transform \tilde{C}_η of C_η along with the function g_{P_η} on the exceptional fibre E_{P_η} , we obtain a cycle

$$Z_{Q_\eta} = (\tilde{C}_{P_\eta}, f_{P_\eta}) + (E_{P_\eta}, g_{P_\eta}) \in H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X_\eta, \mathbb{Q}(2))$$

If P_η is not a node then let $b : X_{P_\eta} \rightarrow X_\eta$ be the blow up of X_η at P_η . We can construct a function on the strict transform of C_η in X_{P_η} as above to obtain a cycle in $H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X_{P_\eta}, \mathbb{Q}(2))$ and push that down using b_* to obtain a cycle $Z_{Q_\eta} \in H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X_\eta, \mathbb{Q}(2))$.

We further Z_{Q_η} by requiring the function f_{P_η} satisfy

$$f_{P_\eta}(s_\eta) = 1$$

If z is a point on the moduli space, Z_{Q_η} restricts to give a cycle in the motivic cohomology of X_z as long as the restriction of C_η is irreducible. This happens as long as $z \notin \mathcal{P}_Q$, or equivalently as long as s_z and t_z are distinct. So it is defined in the complement of the fibres over \mathcal{P}_Q . □

For instance, consider the case of the conics meeting the sextic tangentially. There always exists a conic meeting the sextic tangentially at five points. This conic meets the sextic at two other points. The normalisation of the double cover of this conic ramified at all the points of intersection is a double cover of \mathbb{P}^1 ramified at two points - namely t_z and s_z - hence is an irreducible smooth rational curve.

One can restrict to the case when the sextic degenerates to a product of six lines - so the moduli space is 4 dimensional. The K3 surface is obtained by blowing up the nodes of the double cover of \mathbb{P}^2 ramified at these 6 lines. There are 15 nodes. The generic Picard number of the degree 2 K3 surface X corresponding to this type of a sextic is 16. 15 of those come from the exceptional fibres and one more coming from the hyperplane section.

The conic above will be tangent to 5 of the six lines and will meet the remaining line at 2 points. If these points coincide then the conic is tangent to the six lines and then one knows that corresponding $K3$ surface is the Kummer surface of an Abelian surface. And further, the Picard number is 17 - one more cycle coming from a component of the double cover of the conic.

This is an example of the above situation - on the 4 dimensional moduli of double covers of degenerate sextics, there is a motivic cycle supported in the fibres over the complement of the moduli of Kummer surfaces. This is distinct from the cycles constructed in [Sre22] or [MS23].

To apply the theorem we need that \mathcal{P}_Q is non-empty. The theorems (Theorem 7.1-7.4) of Birkenhake-Wilhelm [BW03] show that for certain choices of Δ there exists such rational curves with $\Delta(Q) = \Delta$. The case above is $\Delta = 6$ since the component of the double cover of the special conic is a -1 curve. In the Birkenhake-Wilhelm case one only obtains $\Delta \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ since they are discriminants of real quadratic fields. Ma and Sato [MS23] consider the case when Q is a line meeting the sextic at 3 points of tangency. This corresponds to the case when $\Delta = 4$.

In the construction we have made some choices. We chose a point to discard to obtain $3d - 1$ points and we also chose the node P_η . A different choice of point to discard results in a different rational curve Q_η but the support of the boundary is the same. Another choice of P_η will result in a different function f_{P_η} .

In the next section, we will show that all these choices will result in cycles with the same boundary. Interestingly, Sato [Sat23] shows that in the case he considers of products of elliptic curves, the Archimedean regulator *does* depend on the choice of P_η .

4.2.2 Indecomposability

We now claim that Z_{Q_η} in $H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X_\eta, \mathbb{Q}(2))$ is in fact an indecomposable cycle.

Recall that over \mathcal{P}_Q the conic C_η gains a new node R_Q since s_η and t_η coincide. As a result it breaks up into two components.

There are cycles $\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,1}$ and $\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,2}$ in the universal $K3$ surface over \mathcal{P}_Q such that

$$\tilde{C}|_{\mathcal{P}_Q} = \tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,1} + \tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,2} + E_{R_Q}$$

where \tilde{C} denotes the closure of \tilde{C}_η in the universal $K3$ surface over the moduli space (or its blow up at P_η) and E_{R_Q} the exceptional fibre over the node R_Q .

As explained in Section 3.2, if a cycle is decomposable, then the boundary under the connecting homomorphism of the localization sequence is the restriction of a generic cycle. If we show that the boundary is *not* the restriction of a generic cycle then it is necessarily indecomposable.

Theorem 4.4. *The boundary of the cycle Z_{Q_η} is supported in the fibres over \mathcal{P}_Q and is a non-zero multiple of $\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,1} - \tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,2}$.*

$$\partial(Z_{Q_\eta}) = \tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,1} - \tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,2}$$

This cycle is not the restriction of a cycle in the generic fibre and hence the cycle Z_Q is indecomposable

Proof. Let $Z_{Q_\eta} = (\tilde{C}_\eta, f_{P_\eta}) + (E_{P_\eta}, g_{P_\eta})$ be the cycle in the generic fibre constructed in Theorem 4.3.

$$\operatorname{div}(f_{P_\eta}) = P_{\eta,1} - P_{\eta,2}$$

where $P_{1,\eta}$ and $P_{2,\eta}$ are the two points lying over P_η . We have further assumed that $f_{P_\eta}(s_\eta) = 1$, where s_η is one of the non-singular ramified points.

The double cover $\pi : X_\eta \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{K_\eta}^2$ induces an involution ι on C_η which fixes the ramified points. This involution lifts to the normalization \tilde{C}_η . If $P_{1,\eta}$ and $P_{2,\eta}$ are two points lying over a singular ramified point P_η , then $\iota(P_{1,\eta}) = P_{2,\eta}$. Further $\iota(E_{P_\eta}) = E_{P_\eta}$ for any node P_η .

Let $f^\iota = f \circ \iota$. Then $f_{P_\eta}^\iota$ has divisor

$$\operatorname{div}(f_{P_\eta}^\iota) = P_{\eta,2} - P_{\eta,1} = -\operatorname{div}(f_{P_\eta})$$

hence

$$f_{P_\eta}^\iota = \frac{c_\eta}{f_{P_\eta}}$$

for some constant (function on the base) c_η . Since we have further assumed that $f(s_\eta) = 1$ and $\iota(s_\eta) = s_\eta$ we have $c_\eta \equiv 1$.

The closure of \mathcal{C}_Q of \tilde{C}_η has two components over \mathcal{P}_Q , $\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,1}$ and $\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,2}$ and the involution interchanges them. The closure of one of the points lying over P_η will lie on $\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,1}$ and the other on $\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,2}$. Let $P_{\mathcal{P}_Q,i}$ denote the closures of $P_{\eta,i}$ and we can assume $P_{\mathcal{P}_Q,i}$ lies on $\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,i}$.

The closure of points s_η and t_η meet over \mathcal{P}_Q at a point R_Q hence \tilde{C}_Q has a node at R_Q . Let \tilde{C}_Q be the blow up of \mathcal{C}_Q at R_Q . This has fibre

$$\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,1} + \tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,2} + E_{R_Q}$$

over \mathcal{P}_Q . The involution fixes E_{R_Q} .

The boundary map in the localization sequence is

$$\partial(Z_{Q_\eta}) = \operatorname{div}_{\tilde{C}_Q}(f_{P_\eta}) + \operatorname{div}_{E_{P_\eta}}(g_{P_\eta}).$$

The first term is

$$\operatorname{div}_{\tilde{C}_Q}(f_{P_\eta}) = \mathcal{H} + a_1\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,1} + a_2\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,2} + a_3E_{R_Q}$$

We then observe that

$$\operatorname{div}_{\tilde{C}_Q}(f_{P_\eta}^\iota) = \iota(\operatorname{div}(f_{P_\eta})) \text{ and } f_{P_\eta}^\iota = \frac{1}{f_{P_\eta}}$$

Therefore, on one hand

$$\operatorname{div}_{\tilde{C}_Q}(f_{P_\eta}^\iota) = \iota(\mathcal{H} + a_1\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,1} + a_2\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,2} + a_3E_{R_Q}) = -\mathcal{H} + a_1\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,2} + a_2\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,1} + a_3E_{R_Q}$$

and on the other

$$\operatorname{div}_{\tilde{C}_Q}(f_{P_\eta}^\iota) = -\operatorname{div}_{\tilde{C}_{P_\eta}}(f_{P_\eta}) = -\mathcal{H} - a_1\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,1} - a_2\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,2} - \sum a_c E_c.$$

This implies $a_1 = -a_2$ and $a_3 = -a_3$. Hence $a_3 = 0$. Let $a = a_1$. We have

$$\operatorname{div}_{\tilde{C}_Q}(f_{P_\eta}) = \mathcal{H} + a(\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,1}\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,2}).$$

To show $a \neq 0$ we suppose $a = 0$. Then

$$\operatorname{div}_{\tilde{C}_Q}(f_{P_\eta}) = \mathcal{H}$$

Since $\operatorname{div}(f_{P_\eta})$ does not contain $\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,1}$ it restricts to a function on $\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,1}$ and has degree 0. However, $\mathcal{H} \cap \tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,1} = P_{1,\mathcal{P}_Q}$ so $\deg(\operatorname{div}_{\tilde{C}_Q}(f_{P_\eta})) = 1$ which is a contradiction. Hence $a \neq 0$.

Finally, $\operatorname{div}_{E_P}(g_P) = -\mathcal{H}$ as $E_{\mathcal{P}_Q}$ remains irreducible when z lies on \mathcal{P}_Q . Hence

$$\partial(Z_Q) = a \left(\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,1} - \tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,2} \right).$$

for some $a \neq 0$. In particular, it is indecomposable.

To compute a we do the following. Let h be a function on the base with divisor $\operatorname{div}(h) = \mathcal{P}_Q - \sum a_D D$ and such that $\operatorname{Supp}(D) \cap \mathcal{P}_Q = \emptyset$. Such a function exists as H^1 of the moduli spaces is 0 so homological and rational equivalence are the same. Let (\tilde{C}_η, h) be the decomposable cycle in $H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X_\eta, \mathbb{Q}(2))$. Then

$$\partial((\tilde{C}_\eta, h)) = \tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,1} + \tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,2} + E_{R_Q} - \sum a_D \tilde{C}_{Q,D}$$

where $\tilde{C}_{Q,D}$ is the $\tilde{C}_Q|_D$. These fibres are irreducible and have no intersection with cycles in the fibres over \mathcal{P}_Q .

The function $h^{-a} f_{P_\eta}$ has divisor

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}_{\tilde{C}_Q}(h^{-a} f_{P_\eta}) &= \mathcal{H} + a \left(\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,1} - \tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,2} \right) - a \left(\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,1} + \tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,2} + E_{R_Q} - \sum a_D \tilde{C}_{Q,D} \right) \\ &= \mathcal{H} - 2a \tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,2} - a E_{R_Q} + a \sum a_D \tilde{C}_{Q,D} \end{aligned}$$

so does not have $\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,1}$ in its support. We can therefore restrict the function $h^{-a} f_{P_\eta}$ to it and

$$\deg(\operatorname{div}(h^{-a} f_{P_\eta})) = 0$$

On the other hand one has

$$\deg(\operatorname{div}(h^{-a} f_{P_\eta})) = (\operatorname{div}_{\tilde{C}_Q}(h^{-a} f_{P_\eta}), \tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,1})$$

Since $(\mathcal{H}, \tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,1}) = 1$, $(\tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,2}, \tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,1}) = 0$, $(E_{R_Q}, \tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,1}) = 1$ and $(\tilde{C}_{Q,D}, \tilde{C}_{\mathcal{P}_Q,1}) = 0$ for all D , this implies

$$0 = 1 - a$$

Therefore $a = 1$.

□

5 Applications

There are some immediate consequences of the existence of such indecomposable motivic cycles. In the case of the base being a local ring, Spiess [Spi99] shows that it has some consequences for torsion in codimension 2. Here we give a rough outline of possible other applications.

5.1 Mumford's conjecture.

Mumford conjectured that there are infinitely many rational curves on a $K3$ surface. Mori and Mukai [MM83] showed this in the complex case and Bogomolov, Hassett and Tschinkel [BHT11] showed this in the case of mixed characteristics using a delicate deformation argument deforming rational curves in special fibres to the generic fibre.

A byproduct of the construction above is that there are infinitely many rational curves on the general degree 2 $K3$ surface. The motivic cycles we have are made up of rational curves and there are infinitely many distinct ones as they degenerate on different moduli.

5.2 Hodge- \mathcal{D} -conjecture for $K3$ surfaces over a local field

Let X is a variety over a local field K with residue field k . Let X_k denote the special fibre of a regular proper model \mathcal{X} over \mathcal{O}_K . The Hodge \mathcal{D} -conjecture asserts that the map

$$H_{\mathcal{M}}^3(X, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{\mathcal{M}}^2(\mathcal{X}_k, \mathbb{Q}(1))$$

is surjective. This is equivalent to showing that for any cycle in the Neron-Severi group there is a motivic cycle bounding it.

In several cases, if X is a surface, the rank of the Neron-Severi of the special fibre is greater than that of the general fibre. In these cases, For instance, in the case of a product of elliptic curves without CM , in the special fibre the Frobenius induces complex multiplication and the graph of the Frobenius is a new cycle.

In some instances, the enumerative geometry argument might work directly in mixed characteristic (at least for odd primes). For instance, the statement that there is a conic tangent to 5 lines general position is purely algebraic and extends to the mixed characteristic case.

5.3 Relations with modular forms

The Heegner divisors on the moduli space of Abelian surfaces are intimately connected with modular forms, as in the case of the theorems of Gross-Zagier [GZ86], Hirzebruch-Zagier [HZ76], van der Geer [vdG82] and Hermann [Her95].

The theorems state that Heegner divisors give rise to coefficients of modular forms. Borcherds showed that all these theorems can be proved in a similar manner. The idea is that to show a certain power series is a modular form of a certain weight k , it suffices to show its coefficients satisfy relations

between coefficients of modular forms of that weight. The space of ‘relations between coefficients of modular forms of weight k ’ is the space of weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight $2 - k$.

So an approach to this theorem is to relate weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight $2 - k$ with ‘relations between Heegner divisors’. Borchers did so by showing that his lift of weakly holomorphic modular forms gave rise to functions with divisorial support on Heegner divisors - or in other words a relation of rational equivalence among Heegner divisors.

There are similar theorems expected for higher codimension Heegner cycles in the universal families over these Shimura varieties. Gross and Zagier [GZ86] that Heegner divisors on a modular curve are related to modular forms of weight $\frac{3}{2}$. Zhang [Zha97] showed that Heegner cycles on self product of the universal family are related to higher weight modular forms. For instance if one considers the threefold which is compactification of self-product the universal elliptic curve, then the Heegner cycles are related to coefficients of weight $\frac{5}{2}$.

It is intriguing to speculate if these can be proved along the lines of Borchers theorem. The modular form formalism extends without any problem. Relations among Heegner cycles are given by elements of the motivic cohomology group so the question becomes ‘Can one construct motivic cycles from weakly holomorphic modular forms?’. Borchers theorem is an example of this as functions are elements of the motivic cohomology group $H_{\mathcal{M}}^1(S_\eta, \mathbb{Q}(1))$ where S_η is generic point of the modular variety.

Borchers lifts are functions, so one cannot expect it to directly work for higher codimensions. However, given a motivic cycle in the generic fibre of the the universal family one can consider its regulator. This is a current on certain forms and for a suitable choice of form varying over the family computing the regulator on it gives a function on the base. Hence one can ask whether a certain Borchers lift of weakly holomorphic forms is the regulator of a motivic cycle. In [Sre22] and some work in progress on products of elliptic curves we provide some evidence of this for codimension 2.

In this paper what we construct is relations between codimension two cycles in the universal family of $K3$ surfaces of degree 2 and one might expect that once again there is a relation between the regulator of these cycles and Borchers lifts of modular forms.

References

- [BHT11] Fedor Bogomolov, Brendan Hassett, and Yuri Tschinkel. Constructing rational curves on $K3$ surfaces. *Duke Math. J.*, 157(3):535–550, 2011.
- [Bru08] Jan Hendrik Bruinier. Hilbert modular forms and their applications. In *The 1-2-3 of modular forms*, Universitext, pages 105–179. Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- [BW03] Christina Birkenhake and Hannes Wilhelm. Humbert surfaces and the Kummer plane. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 355(5):1819–1841, 2003.

- [CL05] Xi Chen and James D. Lewis. The Hodge- D -conjecture for $K3$ and abelian surfaces. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 14(2):213–240, 2005.
- [CM17] Adrian Clingher and Andreas Malmendier. On the geometry of $(1,2)$ -polarized kummer surfaces, 2017.
- [Gat05] Andreas Gathmann. The number of plane conics that are five-fold tangent to a given curve. *Compos. Math.*, 141(2):487–501, 2005.
- [GZ86] Benedict H. Gross and Don B. Zagier. Heegner points and derivatives of L -series. *Invent. Math.*, 84(2):225–320, 1986.
- [Her95] C. F. Hermann. Some modular varieties related to \mathbf{P}^4 . In *Abelian varieties (Egloffstein, 1993)*, pages 105–129. de Gruyter, Berlin, 1995.
- [HZ76] F. Hirzebruch and D. Zagier. Intersection numbers of curves on Hilbert modular surfaces and modular forms of Nebentypus. *Invent. Math.*, 36:57–113, 1976.
- [KM94] M. Kontsevich and Yu. Manin. Gromov-Witten classes, quantum cohomology, and enumerative geometry. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 164(3):525–562, 1994.
- [Man91] Yu I. Manin. Three-dimensional hyperbolic geometry as p -adic arakelov geometry. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 104(2):223–244, 1991.
- [May72] Alan L. Mayer. Families of $K - 3$ surfaces. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 48:1–17, 1972.
- [Mel08] Anton Mellit. Higher green’s functions for modular forms, 2008.
- [Mil92] Stephen J. M. Mildenhall. Cycles in a product of elliptic curves, and a group analogous to the class group. *Duke Math. J.*, 67(2):387–406, 1992.
- [MM83] Shigefumi Mori and Shigeru Mukai. The uniruledness of the moduli space of curves of genus 11. In *Algebraic geometry (Tokyo/Kyoto, 1982)*, volume 1016 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 334–353. Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- [MS12] Dusa McDuff and Dietmar Salamon. *J-holomorphic curves and symplectic topology*, volume 52 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 2012.
- [MS23] Shouhei Ma and Ken Sato. Higher chow cycles on some $k3$ surfaces with involution, 2023.
- [Pet15] Arie Peterson. Modular forms on the moduli space of polarised $k3$ surfaces, 2015.
- [RT95] Yongbin Ruan and Gang Tian. A mathematical theory of quantum cohomology. *J. Differential Geom.*, 42(2):259–367, 1995.

- [Sat23] Ken Sato. A group action on higher chow cycles on a family of kummer surfaces. 2023.
- [Sha80] Jayant Shah. A complete moduli space for $K3$ surfaces of degree 2. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 112(3):485–510, 1980.
- [Spi99] Michael Spiess. On indecomposable elements of K_1 of a product of elliptic curves. *K-Theory*, 17(4):363–383, 1999.
- [Sre08] Ramesh Sreekantan. A non-Archimedean analogue of the Hodge- \mathcal{D} -conjecture for products of elliptic curves. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 17(4):781–798, 2008.
- [Sre14] Ramesh Sreekantan. Higher Chow cycles on Abelian surfaces and a non-Archimedean analogue of the Hodge- D -conjecture. *Compos. Math.*, 150(4):691–711, 2014.
- [Sre22] Ramesh Sreekantan. Algebraic cycles and values of green’s functions, 2022.
- [vdG82] G. van der Geer. On the geometry of a Siegel modular threefold. *Math. Ann.*, 260(3):317–350, 1982.
- [YZ23] Chenglong Yu and Zhiwei Zheng. Moduli of nodal sextic curves via periods of $K3$ surfaces. *Adv. Math.*, 430:Paper No. 109219, 20, 2023.
- [Zha97] Shouwu Zhang. Heights of Heegner cycles and derivatives of L -series. *Invent. Math.*, 130(1):99–152, 1997.
- [Zin05] Alexsey Zinger. Counting plane rational curves: Old and new approaches. *Math ArXiv - math/0507105*, 2005.