

SCHWARTZ κ -DENSITIES FOR THE MODULI STACK OF RANK 2 BUNDLES ON A CURVE OVER A LOCAL FIELD

ALEXANDER BRAVERMAN, DAVID KAZHDAN, AND ALEXANDER POLISHCHUK

ABSTRACT. Let Bun be the moduli stack of rank 2 bundles with fixed determinant on a smooth proper irreducible curve C over a local field F . We show how to associate with a Schwartz κ -density, for $\text{Re}(\kappa) \geq 1/2$, a smooth function on the corresponding coarse moduli space of very stable bundles. In the non-archimedean case we also prove that the stack Bun is κ -bounded in the sense of [4, Def. 2.10] for any $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let C be a smooth (connected) proper curve over a local field F and let G be a reductive group.

The *analytic Langlands correspondence* (that is still only partially understood) is concerned with the study of certain Hecke operators on Schwartz vector spaces associated with the stack of G -bundles over C (see [10–12], [4] and [5]). Conjecturally, these (commuting) operators extend to compact operators on the corresponding Hilbert space with a discrete joint spectrum, which is expected to be related to some “Galois side” objects for the Langlands dual group G^\vee .

In this paper we study the Schwartz spaces $\mathcal{S}(\text{Bun}_G, |\omega|^\kappa)$ of κ -densities (where $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$) on the stack Bun_G over F . In the non-archimedean case the Schwartz spaces of algebraic stacks were introduced in [13] (see also [4] and [5]). In the archimedean case similar spaces were introduced in [15]. These Schwartz spaces are defined as inductive limits of the spaces $\mathcal{S}(X, |p^*\omega|^\kappa)_{H(F)}$ of coinvariants, where $[X/H] \hookrightarrow \text{Bun}_G$ is an open substack with X a smooth variety, $p : X \rightarrow \text{Bun}_G$ is the corresponding map, and $H \simeq \text{GL}_N$ (in the archimedean case taking coinvariants involves taking the closure of the subspace of elements of the form $hv - v$).

Recall that a G -bundle P on C is called *very stable* if there are no nonzero nilpotent Higgs fields $\phi \in H^0(C, P_{\mathfrak{g}})$ where $P_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the vector bundle corresponding to P and the adjoint representation of G . Let $M_G^{vs} \subset \text{Bun}_G$ denote the coarse moduli space of very stable bundles. Then [4, Conjecture 3.5(1)] states in particular that for $\text{Re}(\kappa) \geq 1/2$, every Schwartz κ -density in $\mathcal{S}(\text{Bun}_G, |\omega|^\kappa)$ defines in a natural way a smooth κ -density on $M_G^{vs}(F)$. The main result of the present paper is a proof of this Conjecture in the case when $G = \text{SL}_2$ in both archimedean and non-archimedean cases.

D.K. is partially supported by the ERC grant 101142781. A.P. is partially supported by the NSF grants DMS-2001224 and DMS-2349388, by the Simons Travel grant MPS-TSM-00002745, and within the framework of the HSE University Basic Research Program.

Namely, for each line bundle L_0 on C , we consider the stacks Bun_{L_0} of rank 2 bundles E with $\det(E) \simeq L_0$ (for trivial L_0 this is exactly Bun_{SL_2}), and the open DM-substack of very stable bundles $\mathcal{M}_{L_0}^{vs} \subset \text{Bun}_{L_0}$, which is dense if C has genus $g \geq 2$. The stack $\mathcal{M}_{L_0}^{vs}$ is a quotient of a smooth variety $M_{L_0}^{vs}$ by the trivial action of μ_2 (so $M_{L_0}^{vs}$ is also the coarse moduli space of $\mathcal{M}_{L_0}^{vs}$). We prove that for $\text{Re}(\kappa) \geq 1/2$, for finite type substacks $[X/G] \subset \text{Bun}_{L_0}$ (with $G = \text{GL}_N$), the integrals of Schwartz κ -densities on $X(F)$ over F -points of very stable G -orbits are absolutely convergent (away from a subset of orbits of measure zero) and define a map

$$\pi_\kappa : \mathcal{S}(\text{Bun}_{L_0}, |\omega|^\kappa) \rightarrow C^\infty(M_{L_0}^{vs}, |\omega|^\kappa),$$

where in the non-archimedean case the class C^∞ consists of locally constant sections. More precisely, we consider push-forwards of Schwartz κ -densities on $X(F)$ (restricted to the very stable locus) to $M_{L_0}^{vs}$ in the sense of distributions, and prove that the obtained distributions are of class C^∞ (see Theorem 6.8).

In addition, in the non-archimedean case we prove a certain boundedness result (announced in [4]), Theorem 6.9, stating that in the Schwartz spaces $\mathcal{S}(\text{Bun}_{L_0}, |\omega|^\kappa)$ one can replace Bun_{L_0} by a substack of finite type.

Note that in [10] the authors consider in the archimedean case another Schwartz space of half-densities on Bun_G defined using global (twisted) differential operators on Bun_G . Conjecturally their space should coincide with the space $\mathcal{S}(\text{Bun}_G, |\omega|^{1/2})$ used in our paper. This is related to the conjectural statement that the image of $\pi_{1/2}$ is in L^2 (an archimedean analog of [4, Conj. 3.5(3)]).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we gather necessary facts about Schwartz spaces. In the archimedean case we refer to results from [1], [3] and [2]. We extend some of these results to the case of Schwartz sections depending holomorphically on a complex parameter. In Section 3, we prove a slight extension of [7, Thm. 6.9], which gives a criterion for an element of the Schwartz coinvariants space to come from an invariant open subset. In Section 4 we prove a similar surjectivity criterion in the archimedean case, Theorem 4.1, which is an extension of [2, Thm. B.0.2] and is proved in a similar way. In Section 5 we apply the results of Sections 3 and 4 to study the push-forwards of Schwartz κ -densities on a G -variety X to U_0/G , where $U_0 \subset X$ is an open subset such that G -acts freely on U_0 and the geometric quotient U_0/G exists. The main results are Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.4 which compare properties of such push-forwards for Schwartz κ -densities on X and on a G -invariant open subset $U \subset X$. In Section 6, we apply these results to the stacks Bun_{L_0} and their natural filtration by substacks $\text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n}$ (see 6.1). The main additional ingredient (which is hard to generalize to the case of higher rank) is finding an explicit form of possible specializations of very stable bundles (see Sec. 6.2). The main results, Theorems 6.8 and 6.9 are proved in Sec. 6.3.

Acknowledgment. We thank Pavel Etingof for help with the proof of Lemma 5.3.

2. SCHWARTZ SPACES

2.1. Schwartz spaces for varieties. Let F be a local field. We denote by $|\cdot|: F^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ the standard absolute value (so for $F = \mathbb{C}$ we have $|z| = z\bar{z}$, and for a non-archimedean field, $|a| = q^{-v(a)}$, where q is the number of elements in the residue field).

For any smooth F -variety X , an (algebraic) line bundle L over X , and a continuous character $\chi: F^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ we have the corresponding complex line bundle L^χ over $X(F)$. In particular, for $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ we denote by $|L|^\kappa$ the complex line bundle corresponding to the character $\chi(a) = |a|^\kappa$. Note that for a non-archimedean field F , the topological space $X(F)$ is an l -space¹, and the transition functions of $|L|^\kappa$ are locally constant.

For example, if ω_X is the algebraic line bundle of top forms on X then $|\omega_X|$ is the line bundle of complex densities on $X(F)$.

Assume first that F is non-archimedean. Then we can define Schwartz spaces of line bundles on $X(F)$. More generally, if X is an l -space and L is a complex line bundle on X (so the transition functions of L are locally constant), we denote by $C^\infty(X, L)$ the space of locally constant sections, and define the Schwartz subspace $\mathcal{S}(X, L) \subset C^\infty(X, L)$ as sections with compact support. These definitions also make sense for arbitrary sheaves of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces (see [6, Sec. 1.3] and Sec. 3.2 below).

Now consider the archimedean case, $F = \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{R} . Recall that for any smooth variety X over F , Aizenbud and Gourevitch define in [1] the space $\mathcal{S}(X)$ of Schwartz functions on $X(F)$ (which agrees with the standard Schwartz space in the case $X = \mathbb{A}^n$). They also define the space of tempered functions $\mathcal{T}(X)$, which are smooth functions f such that for any affine open $U \subset X$ and a polynomial differential operator D on U , the function $D(f|_U)$ grows at most polynomially. An important property of tempered functions is that for $f \in \mathcal{T}(X)$, one has $f \cdot \mathcal{S}(X) \subset \mathcal{S}(X)$. Note that for any open embedding $U \hookrightarrow X$ there is a natural linear embedding $\mathcal{S}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X)$.

Now let V be an algebraic vector bundle over X , L_1 and L_2 are algebraic line bundles, and $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$. We consider the corresponding complex vector bundle

$$E_\kappa := V \otimes_F |L_1|^\kappa \otimes |L_2|$$

over $X(F)$. We denote by $C^\infty(X, E_\kappa)$ the space of smooth sections.

Similarly to [1] we can define the Schwartz subspace $\mathcal{S}(X, E_\kappa) \subset C^\infty(X, E_\kappa)$. Note that E_κ is not a Nash bundle, so the definitions of [1] do not directly apply. However, we can still mimic the definition of [1] by choosing a finite open covering (U_i) such that $V|_{U_i}$, $L_1|_{U_i}$ and $L_2|_{U_i}$ are trivial and considering the linear span of the spaces of C^∞ -sections of E_κ coming from the Schwartz sections of the trivial bundles $E_\kappa|_{U_i}$ over U_i (see Definition 2.2 below for a similar definition with holomorphic dependence on κ). One can show that the usual properties still hold for the obtained Schwartz spaces $\mathcal{S}(X, E_\kappa)$ using tempered partitions of unity (see [1, Thm. 5.2.1]) and the fact that the transition functions of E_κ are tempered.

¹This means that it is locally compact, Hausdorff, and every point has a basis of compact open neighborhoods.

2.2. Schwartz sections with holomorphic dependence on a parameter. Let X be a smooth variety over F . We can define Schwartz functions on X depending holomorphically on $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an open region.

Definition 2.1. We define $\mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D)$ as the space of holomorphic functions on D with values in $\mathcal{S}(X)$. By definition, these are functions $f : D \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X)$, such that for every continuous linear functional (any functional if F is non-archimedean) $\varphi : \mathcal{S}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the function $\varphi \circ f$ is holomorphic.

Note that if f is a tempered function on $X(F)$ (locally constant in the non-archimedean case), then we have a well defined continuous operator on $\mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D)$ given by $\varphi(x, \kappa) \mapsto f(x) \cdot \varphi(x, \kappa)$.

We can also talk about Schwartz sections of the trivial bundle over X , depending holomorphically on a parameter $\kappa \in D$ (and this notion does not change under a tempered change of trivializations).

It is known that in the archimedean case,

$$\mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D) \simeq \mathcal{S}(X) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}(D), \quad (2.1)$$

where $\mathcal{H}(D)$ is the space of holomorphic functions on D , and $\hat{\otimes}$ is the topological tensor product of nuclear spaces (see [14, ch.II, §3, n.3, Ex.2,3]).

In the non-archimedean case we have instead

$$\mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D) \simeq \mathcal{S}(X) \otimes \mathcal{H}(D).$$

Next, we want to consider Schwartz sections of nontrivial vector bundles. Assume first that $F = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . As before, let V be an algebraic vector bundle over X , L_1 and L_2 are algebraic line bundles, and

$$E_\kappa := V \otimes_F |L_1|^\kappa \otimes |L_2|,$$

where $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$.

Then we can define Schwartz sections of E_κ , depending holomorphically on $\kappa \in D$.

Definition 2.2. We define $\mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, E_\kappa)$ as the space of smooth sections of E_κ over $X \times D$, lying in the image of

$$\bigoplus_i \mathcal{S}^{hol}(U_i \times D, E_\kappa|_{U_i}) \rightarrow C^\infty(X \times D, E_\kappa)$$

for some open covering (U_i) of X such that $V|_{U_i}$ and $L_1|_{U_i}, L_2|_{U_i}$ are trivial.

The existence of tempered partition of unity shows that this definition does not depend on a choice of an open covering.

If F is non-archimedean, we can give an analogous definition for the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, |L_1|^\kappa \otimes |L_2|)$ (i.e., for trivial V).

Note that if $U \subset X$ is Zariski open then we have a natural embedding (extension by zero),

$$\mathcal{S}^{hol}(U \times D, E_\kappa) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, E_\kappa).$$

Also, for every $\kappa \in D$ we have a continuous map

$$\mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, E_\kappa) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X, E_\kappa)$$

given by the evaluation at κ .

Lemma 2.3. *Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a smooth morphism of algebraic varieties, surjective on F -points, and let $E'_\kappa = f^*E_\kappa \otimes |\omega_f|$ (in the nonarchimedean case we assume V to be trivial). Then for each D , the integration map*

$$f_* : \mathcal{S}^{hol}(Y \times D, E'_\kappa) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, E_\kappa)$$

is surjective.

Proof. Let us give a proof for archimedean F . The non-archimedean case is analogous but simpler. By the partition of unity, the assertion is local in X , so we can assume that E_κ is trivial, and we just need to prove the surjectivity of the map

$$f_* : \mathcal{S}^{hol}(Y \times D) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D).$$

But this follows from the surjectivity of $f_* : \mathcal{S}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X)$ (see [3, Thm. B.2.4]) and from isomorphisms (2.1) (using the fact that all the spaces involved are nuclear Fréchet spaces). \square

2.3. Schwartz spaces for stacks and coinvariants. We consider admissible smooth algebraic stacks over F (see [5, Sec. 2.2]). Recall that they are increasing unions of open substacks of the form $[X/G]$ where G is a linear algebraic group over F acting on a smooth F -variety X . The Schwartz spaces can still be defined for line bundles over such stacks. In the non-archimedean case this is done in [13] (see also [5, Sec. 2.2]). In the archimedean case this is due to Sakellaridis (see [15]).

In the case of a quotient stack $[X/G]$, where $G = \mathrm{GL}_N$, the Schwartz spaces $\mathcal{S}([X/G], |\mathcal{L}|^\kappa)$ can be computed as (algebraic) coinvariants of $\mathcal{S}(X, |L|^\kappa \otimes |\wedge^{top}(\mathfrak{g})|^{-1})$ with respect to the $G(F)$ -action, where L is the pull-back of \mathcal{L} to X . In the archimedean case, the definition of coinvariants $\mathcal{S}(X, |L|^\kappa \otimes |\wedge^{top}(\mathfrak{g})|^{-1})_{G(F)}$ is slightly different: one has to take the quotient by the closure of the span of elements of the form $gv - v$. Note that we can pull out the factor $|\wedge^{top}(\mathfrak{g})|^{-1}$ when taking coinvariants since $G = \mathrm{GL}_N$ acts trivially on it.

Similarly, for an open domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$, we define $\mathcal{S}^{hol}([X/G] \times D, |\mathcal{L}|^\kappa)$ as appropriate coinvariants of $\mathcal{S}(X \times D, |L|^\kappa \otimes |\wedge^{top}(\mathfrak{g})|^{-1})$.

Lemma 2.4. *Assume $G = \mathrm{GL}_N$ and F is archimedean. Then there are natural surjective maps*

$$\mathcal{S}(X, |L|^\kappa)_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X, |L|^\kappa)_{G(F)},$$

$$\mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, |L|^\kappa)_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, |L|^\kappa)_{G(F)},$$

where we use the usual algebraic coinvariants with respect to the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

Proof. This follows from the fact that \mathfrak{g} acts trivially on $\mathcal{S}(X, |L|^\kappa)_{G(F)}$ (resp., $\mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, |L|^\kappa)_{G(F)}$). \square

2.4. Borel's theorem with a holomorphic parameter. Here we assume that $F = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . Let X be a smooth F -variety, $Z \subset X$ a smooth closed subvariety, and let N_Z be the normal bundle to Z in X . Let us consider the decreasing filtration on $\mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, E_\kappa)$ defined as follows: $F_Z^i \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, E_\kappa)$ consists of $f(x, \kappa)$ such that for any algebraic differential operator D of order $\leq i - 1$ defined on an open $U \subset X$, one has $Df|_{U \cap Z} = 0$.

Lemma 2.5. *One has*

$$F_Z^i \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, E_\kappa) / F_Z^{i+1} \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, E_\kappa) \simeq \mathcal{S}^{hol}(Z \times D, S^i N_Z^\vee \otimes E|_Z).$$

Proof. It is clear that the natural map from the left-hand side to the right-hand side is injective. Thus, we need to prove surjectivity. Using partition of unity and local étale coordinates, we can reduce to the case of a trivial bundle E and of an embedding $Z = \mathbb{A}^k \subset \mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{A}^k = X$. Let us partition coordinates on X as (y, z) where z are coordinates on Z . Then we can identify $\mathcal{S}^{hol}(Z \times D, S^i N_Z^\vee)$ with functions $f(y, z, \kappa)$ which are homogeneous polynomial of degree i in y , with coefficients that are in $\mathcal{S}^{hol}(Z \times D)$. But we can view such f as elements of $F_Z^i \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, E_\kappa)$. \square

We need the following analog of Borel's theorem.

Proposition 2.6. *For each open subset $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ let us consider the map*

$$\tau_D : \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, E_\kappa) / \mathcal{S}^{hol}((X - Z) \times D, E_\kappa) \rightarrow \varprojlim \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, E_\kappa) / F_Z^i \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, E_\kappa).$$

Then it is injective, and for any open D' such that $\overline{D'}$ is compact and is contained in D , the natural restriction map

$$\varprojlim \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, E_\kappa) / F_Z^i \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, E_\kappa) \rightarrow \varprojlim \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D', E_\kappa) / F_Z^i \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D', E_\kappa) \quad (2.2)$$

factors through the image of $\tau_{D'}$.

Proof. First, we claim that $\mathcal{S}^{hol}((X - Z) \times D, E_\kappa)$ is exactly the kernel of the map

$$\tilde{\tau}_D : \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, E_\kappa) \rightarrow \varprojlim \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, E_\kappa) / F_Z^i \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, E_\kappa).$$

Indeed, this immediately follows from the similar statement for a fixed κ .

Thus, it remains to check that the map (2.2) factors through the image of $\tilde{\tau}_{D'}$. Using partition of unity and local étale coordinates, we reduce to the case of trivial E and of an embedding $Z = \mathbb{A}^k \subset \mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{A}^k = X$. Then the element of $\varprojlim \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, E_\kappa) / F_Z^i \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, E_\kappa)$ can be viewed as a formal series

$$f(y, z, \kappa) = \sum_{i \geq 0} f_i(y, z, \kappa),$$

where $f_i(y, z, \kappa)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree i in y , with coefficients that are in $\mathcal{S}^{hol}(Z \times D)$. We claim that there exists then $F(y, z, \kappa) \in \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D')$, such that the formal expansion of F in y is equal to $f|_{D'}$. Indeed, this follows from the standard proof of Borel's theorem. \square

3. COINVARIANTS FOR SCHWARTZ SECTIONS: NON-ARCHIMEDEAN CASE

3.1. Homology for smooth representations of l -groups. We use the setup of l -spaces and l -groups from [7] (see also [6]).

Let G be an l -group, $\mathcal{H}(G)$ the Hecke algebra of locally constant compactly supported distributions on G , $\mathcal{M}(G)$ the category of smooth representations of G . Recall that it is equivalent to the category $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}(G))$ of nondegenerate left $\mathcal{H}(G)$ -modules (see [6, Sec. 2.1]). It is known that this category has enough projectives (see [6, Sec. 2.2]).

Lemma 3.1. $\mathcal{H}(G)$ is projective as a left $\mathcal{H}(G)$ -module.

Proof. $\mathcal{H}(G)$ is the direct limit of the projective modules $\mathcal{H}(G)e_K$, where e_K is the idempotent corresponding to a compact open subgroup $K \subset G$. Hence, for any $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}(G)}(\mathcal{H}(G), M) \simeq \varprojlim_K \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{H}(G)e_K, M) = \varprojlim_K e_K M,$$

where the maps $e_K M \rightarrow e_{K'} M$ for $K \subset K'$ are given by the multiplication with $e_{K'}$. But these maps are surjective (since $e_{K'} = e_{K'} e_K$), so for an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$ we get an exact sequence of inverse systems satisfying the Mittag-Leffler condition, which implies that the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}(G)}(\mathcal{H}(G), \cdot)$ is exact. \square

For a smooth G -representation M , we denote by M_G the space of G -coinvariants. The integration defines a homomorphism $\mathcal{H}(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and we view \mathbb{C} as a right $\mathcal{H}(G)$ -module via this homomorphism. It is easy to see that for $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ there is a natural isomorphism

$$M_G \simeq \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(G)} M. \quad (3.1)$$

Definition 3.2. We define smooth homology $H_i^{sm}(G, M)$ of G with coefficients in $M \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ as the left derived functors of the functor of coinvariants $M \mapsto M_G$ on the category $\mathcal{M}(G)$.

It follows from (3.1) that

$$H_i^{sm}(G, M) \simeq \mathrm{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{H}(G)}(\mathbb{C}, M).$$

Example 3.3. If G is a union of compact subgroups then the functor $M \mapsto M_G$ is exact, so $H_{>0}^{sm}(G, M) = 0$.

Lemma 3.4. Let F be a local non-archimedean field, $G = F^* \rtimes F^n$, where F^* acts linearly on F^n . Let $\chi : F^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be a character, trivial on some open subgroup of F^* . We denote by \mathbb{C}_χ the smooth 1-dimensional representation of G , where χ extends to G via the projection $G \rightarrow F^*$. Assume $\chi \neq 1$. Then

$$H_*^{sm}(G, \mathbb{C}_\chi) = 0.$$

Proof. Since F^n is a union of compact subgroups, this reduces to the case $G = F^*$. Moreover, we can replace G by its quotient G_0 by an open compact subgroup. Note that G_0 is an extension of \mathbb{Z} by a finite commutative group K , and we just need to compute the usual homology $H_*(G_0, \mathbb{C}_\chi)$. If $\chi|_K \neq 1$, the assertion follows from the spectral sequence. In the

case $\chi|_K = 1$, we reduce to the case $G_0 = \mathbb{Z}$. Then we immediately compute the homology using the resolution

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \xrightarrow{t^{-1}} \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0$$

of the trivial module over $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}] = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$. \square

Now let $H \subset G$ be a closed subgroup. We denote by

$$\mathrm{ind}_H^G : \mathcal{M}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(G)$$

the functor of compact induction. It is known that it is an exact functor.

Note that $\mathcal{H}(G)$ has a natural $\mathcal{H}(G)$ -bimodule structure, hence, we can view it as an $\mathcal{H}(G) - \mathcal{H}(H)$ -bimodule.

For a locally compact group H we denote by Δ_H the *modular character* $H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}^*$ (see [8, 0.5]), [7, Sec. 1.19]). In the case when $H = \underline{H}(F)$, where F is a local field, and \underline{H} is a linear algebraic group over F , we have an *algebraic modular character* $\Delta_H^{\mathrm{alg}} : H \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ given by the adoint action of H on $\wedge^{\mathrm{top}} \mathrm{Lie}(H)^*$. Then Δ_H is the composition of the $\Delta_H^{\mathrm{alg}}(F) : H(F) \rightarrow F^*$ with $|\cdot| : F^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}^*$.

Lemma 3.5. (*[8, Thm. 1.4]*) *For a closed subgroup $H \subset G$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}(H)$, one has a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{ind}_H^G(M) \simeq \mathcal{H}(G) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(H)} (M \cdot (\Delta_H / \Delta_G|_H)),$$

Lemma 3.6. *The functor ind_H^G takes projectives to projectives.*

Proof. The right adjoint functor to ind_H^G is given by $N \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}(G)}(\mathcal{H}(G), N)$, where the $\mathcal{H}(H)$ -structure is induced by the right action of $\mathcal{H}(H)$ on $\mathcal{H}(G)$. The assertion follows from the fact that the latter functor is exact since $\mathcal{H}(G)$ is projective as an object of $\mathcal{M}(G)$ by Lemma 3.1. \square

Lemma 3.7. *For $M \in \mathcal{M}(H)$, one has a natural isomorphism*

$$H_i^{\mathrm{sm}}(G, \mathrm{ind}_H^G M) \simeq H_i^{\mathrm{sm}}(H, M \cdot (\Delta_H / \Delta_G|_H)).$$

Proof. For $i = 0$, this follows from [7, Prop. 2.29], or can be deduced from Lemma 3.5 together with (3.1). The general case follows from Lemma 3.6. \square

3.2. Equivariant sheaves on l -spaces. Let G be an l -group acting on an l -space X . Let $\mathcal{M}(G, X)$ denote the category of G -equivariant sheaves on X (see [6, Sec. 1.3]). Recall that a G -equivariant sheaf F on X is equipped with an isomorphism of sheaves on $G \times X$,

$$\alpha : p^* F \rightarrow a^* F,$$

where $p : G \times X \rightarrow X$ is the projection and $a : G \times X \rightarrow X$ is the action map, satisfying the natural cocycle condition on $G \times G \times X$.

If X is a point then $\mathcal{M}(G, pt)$ is naturally equivalent to $\mathcal{M}(G)$, the category of smooth representations of G (see [6, Sec. 1.3]).

If $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous map of l -spaces, compatible with actions of G on X and Y , then we have an exact functor

$$\pi_! : \mathcal{M}(G, X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(G, Y).$$

Furthermore, a G -equivariant version of the base change holds, similar to [6, Sec. 1.3, Prop. 3].

In the case of the projection to the point $\pi : X \rightarrow pt$, we have $\pi_!(F) = \mathcal{S}(X, F)$, the Schwartz space of compactly supported sections of F , with the natural (smooth) action of G .

If Y has a trivial G -action, then by the base change, for any point $y \in Y$ and a G -equivariant sheaf F on X , we have an isomorphism in $\mathcal{M}(G)$,

$$(\pi_! F)_y \simeq \mathcal{S}(\pi^{-1}(y), F|_{\pi^{-1}(y)}). \quad (3.2)$$

If $H \subset G$ is a closed subgroup, then for $M \in \mathcal{M}(H)$, the compactly induced representation $\text{ind}_H^G M$ can be identified with the Schwartz space of the corresponding G -equivariant sheaf on G/H . Hence, we get the following Corollary from Lemma 3.7.

Corollary 3.8. *Let $H \subset G$ is a closed subgroup, and let L be a G -equivariant complex line bundle on G/H . Then*

$$H_i^{sm}(G, \mathcal{S}(G/H, L)) \simeq H_i^{sm}(H, \chi_L \cdot (\Delta_H / \Delta_{G|H})),$$

where $\chi_L : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ is the character given by the action of H on the fiber of L at the point with the stabilizer H .

If $U \subset X$ is an open subset, $Z = X \setminus U$ the complementary closed subset, then for any sheaf F on X we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(U, F) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X, F) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(Z, F|_Z) \rightarrow 0$$

(see [6, Sec. 1.3, Prop. 4]). We also have the following co-Cech complexes associated with open coverings.

Lemma 3.9. *For any open covering (U_i) of X and any G -equivariant sheaf F on X , where each U_i is G -invariant, we have an exact sequence of smooth G -representations*

$$\dots \bigoplus_{i,j} \mathcal{S}(U_{ij}, F) \rightarrow \bigoplus_i \mathcal{S}(U_i, F) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X, F) \rightarrow 0,$$

in which the differentials are defined in a dual way to the Cech complex (see [16, Sec. 21.8]).

Proof. This follows from the exactness of the functor $\mathcal{S}(X, \cdot)$ and the exactness of the corresponding sheafified complex, where we use the direct sums of sheaves of the form $j_! F|_U$, for $j : U \hookrightarrow X$ with $U = U_{i_1 \dots i_k}$. \square

It is well known that sheaves of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces on an l -space Y correspond to nondegenerate $\mathcal{S}(Y)$ -modules (see [6, Sec. 1.3]), while smooth G -representations correspond to nondegenerate $\mathcal{H}(G)$ -modules, where $\mathcal{H}(G)$ is the Hecke algebra. We can get a similar description for the category of G -equivariant sheaves on Y , where G acts trivially on Y .

Definition 3.10. We say that an $\mathcal{H}(G) \otimes \mathcal{S}(Y)$ -module M is *strongly nondegenerate* if $\mathcal{H}(G)M = M$ and $\mathcal{S}(Y)M = M$.

Lemma 3.11. *If Y has a trivial G -action then the functor $F \mapsto \mathcal{S}(Y, F)$ gives an equivalence of $\mathcal{M}(G, Y)$ with the category of strongly nondegenerate $\mathcal{H}(G) \otimes \mathcal{S}(Y)$ -modules M . Under this equivalence the functor of push-forward to the point $F \mapsto \mathcal{S}(Y, F) \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ corresponds to restricting the module structure to $\mathcal{H}(G)$. Also, for each point $y \in Y$, the stalk functor $F \mapsto F_y \in \mathcal{M}(G)$ corresponds to the functor $M \mapsto M \otimes_{\mathcal{S}(Y)} \mathbb{C}_y$, where \mathbb{C}_y is the $\mathcal{S}(Y)$ -module corresponding to the homomorphism $ev_y : \mathcal{S}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of evaluation at y .*

Proof. Let us denote by $\mathcal{M}'(\mathcal{H}(G) \otimes \mathcal{S}(Y))$ the category of nondegenerate $\mathcal{H}(G) \otimes \mathcal{S}(Y)$ -modules. First, we observe that the functor $F \mapsto \mathcal{S}(Y, F)$ can be enriched to a functor

$$\mathcal{M}(G, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}'(\mathcal{H}(G) \otimes \mathcal{S}(Y)).$$

Indeed, the nondegenerate $\mathcal{S}(Y)$ -module $\mathcal{S}(Y, F)$ has a G -action commuting with the $\mathcal{S}(Y)$ -module structure, and $\mathcal{S}(Y, F)$ is a smooth G -representation. Hence, $\mathcal{S}(Y, F)$ has a nondegenerate $\mathcal{H}(G)$ -module structure commuting with the $\mathcal{S}(Y)$ -module structure, i.e., it becomes a strongly nondegenerate $\mathcal{H}(G) \otimes \mathcal{S}(Y)$ -module.

Conversely, starting with $M \in \mathcal{M}'(\mathcal{H}(G) \otimes \mathcal{S}(Y))$, we get a sheaf F on Y with $\mathcal{S}(Y, F) = M$, and we can use the smooth G -action on M to get a G -equivariant structure on F . Namely, we know that M corresponds to a G -equivariant sheaf on a point, which is given by a map of $\mathcal{S}(G)$ -modules,

$$\alpha : \mathcal{S}(G) \otimes M \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(G) \otimes M$$

satisfying a certain cocycle condition. But the map α commutes with the $\mathcal{S}(Y)$ -module structures, hence, it corresponds to a map $p^*F \rightarrow p^*F$ of sheaves on $G \times X$, so it gives a G -equivariant structure on F . \square

Assume Y has a trivial G -action. For each open compact subgroup $K \subset G$ and each open compact $L \subset Y$, let $P_{K,L}$ denote the object of $\mathcal{M}(G, Y)$ corresponding to the strongly nondegenerate $\mathcal{H}(G) \otimes \mathcal{S}(Y)$ -module $\mathcal{H}(G)e_K \otimes \mathcal{S}(Y)e_L$, where $e_K \in \mathcal{H}(G)$ (resp., $e_L \in \mathcal{S}(Y)$) is the idempotent corresponding to K (resp., L).

Lemma 3.12. *The objects $P_{K,L} \in \mathcal{M}(G, Y)$ are projective. For every object F of $\mathcal{M}(G, Y)$ there is a surjective from a direct sum of some $P_{K,L}$ to F . For every point $y \in Y$, the stalk $(P_{K,L})_y$ is a projective $\mathcal{H}(G)$ -module. Also, $\mathcal{S}(Y, P_{K,L})$ is a projective $\mathcal{H}(G)$ -module.*

Proof. It is clear that the $\mathcal{H}(G) \otimes \mathcal{S}(Y)$ -module $\mathcal{H}(G)e_K \otimes \mathcal{S}(Y)e_L$ is projective since $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{H}(G)e_K \otimes \mathcal{S}(Y)e_L, M) = (e_K \otimes e_L)M$. Furthermore, since for a strongly nondegenerate $\mathcal{H}(G) \otimes \mathcal{S}(Y)$ -module M , every $x \in M$ is contained in $(e_K \otimes e_L)M$ for some (K, L) , there is a surjection from a direct sum of some $\mathcal{H}(G)e_K \otimes \mathcal{S}(Y)e_L$ to M .

The stalks of $P_{K,L}$ are given by

$$(P_{K,L})_y \simeq (\mathcal{H}(G)e_K) \otimes (\mathcal{S}(Y)e_L) \otimes_{\mathcal{S}(M)} \mathbb{C}_y \simeq \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}(G)e_K, & y \in L \\ 0, & y \notin L, \end{cases}$$

so they are projective $\mathcal{H}(G)$ -modules.

Finally, viewed as an $\mathcal{H}(G)$ -module, $\mathcal{H}(G)e_K \otimes \mathcal{S}(Y)e_L$ is a direct sum of copies of $\mathcal{H}(G)e_K$, hence it is projective. \square

Still assuming that Y has a trivial G -action let us consider the functor of G -coinvariants

$$\mathcal{M}(G, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(Y) : F \mapsto F_G,$$

which corresponds to the functor $M \mapsto \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(G)} M$ on strongly nondegenerate $\mathcal{H}(G) \otimes \mathcal{S}(M)$ -modules. Thus, by definition $\mathcal{S}(Y, F_G) = \mathcal{S}(Y, F)_G$. We denote by $F \mapsto H_i(G, F)$ the left derived functors $\mathcal{M}(G, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(Y)$.

Lemma 3.13. *Assume Y has a trivial G -action. Then for $F \in \mathcal{M}(G, Y)$ and $y \in Y$, we have natural isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} H_i(G, F)_y &\simeq H_i(G, F_y), \\ \mathcal{S}(Y, H_i(G, F)) &\simeq H_i(G, \mathcal{S}(Y, F)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $i = 0$ the first isomorphism is [7, Prop. 2.36] and the second holds by definition. The general case follows from Lemma 3.12 by considering projective resolutions consisting of direct sums of objects $P_{K,L}$. \square

Now assume we have an l -space X with an action of an l -group G and a continuous map of l -spaces $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$, such that $\pi(gx) = \pi(x)$ (so it is G -equivariant, where G acts trivially on Y).

Lemma 3.14. *For a G -equivariant sheaf F on X and a point $y \in Y$, we have natural isomorphisms*

$$H_i(G, \pi_! F)_y \simeq H_i(G, \mathcal{S}(\pi^{-1}(Y), F|_{\pi^{-1}(y)})).$$

Proof. Set $F' := \pi_! F \in \mathcal{M}(G, Y)$. By Lemma 3.13, we have

$$H_i(G, F')_y \simeq H_i(G, F'_y).$$

It remains to apply the isomorphism (3.2). \square

Recall that the graph of a G -action on X is the image of the map $G \times X \rightarrow X \times X : (g, x) \mapsto (x, gx)$.

Definition 3.15. An action of an l -group G on an l -space X is called *locally separated* (resp., *separated*) if the graph of the action in $X \times X$ is locally closed (resp., closed). By [7, Lem. 6.2], this is equivalent to requiring that the diagonal in $(X/G) \times (X/G)$ is locally closed (resp., closed).

Note that in [7] separated group actions are called regular. By [7, Lem. 6.4], if the action of G on X is separated then the quotient X/G is an l -space.

Proposition 3.16. *Assume that the action of G on X is locally separated, and let F be a G -equivariant sheaf on X . Fix $i_0 \geq 0$. Assume that for every G -orbit $\Omega \subset X$, one has $H_i(G, \mathcal{S}(\Omega, F|_{\Omega})) = 0$ for $i \leq i_0$. Then $H_i(G, \mathcal{S}(X, F)) = 0$ for $i \leq i_0$.*

Proof. Assume first that the action of G on X is separated, set $Y = X/G$, and let $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ be the natural projection, so that the fibers of π are exactly G -orbits.

Set $F' := \pi_! F \in \mathcal{M}(G, Y)$. By Lemma 3.14, our assumption implies that the sheaf $H_i(G, F')$ has zero stalks, hence it is zero. Now using Lemma 3.13, we get

$$H_i(G, \mathcal{S}(X, F)) \simeq H_i(G, \mathcal{S}(Y, F')) \simeq \mathcal{S}(Y, H_i(G, F')) = 0.$$

Now consider the general case when the action of G on X is locally separated. Then the diagonal in $(X/G) \times (X/G)$ is closed in a neighborhood of every point (\bar{x}, \bar{x}) . Hence, there exists an open neighborhood \bar{U} of \bar{x} in X/G , such that the diagonal is closed in $\bar{U} \times \bar{U}$. The preimage $U \subset X$ of \bar{U} is a G -equivariant open subset such that the action of G on U is separated. Hence, there exists an open covering (U_i) of X such that U_i are G -invariant and the action of G on each U_i is separated. It follows that the action of G on each $U_{i_1 \dots i_k} = U_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_k}$ is separated, and so by the first part of the argument,

$$H_i(G, \mathcal{S}(U_{i_1 \dots i_k}, F)) = 0$$

for $i \leq i_0$. Now the similar vanishing for $H_i(G, \mathcal{S}(X, F))$ follows from the long exact sequence of smooth G -representations

$$\dots \bigoplus_{i,j} \mathcal{S}(U_{ij}, F) \rightarrow \bigoplus_i \mathcal{S}(U_i, F) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X, F) \rightarrow 0$$

(see Lemma 3.9). □

3.3. Adding a coefficient ring. Let X be an l -space, G an l -group. Instead of considering G -equivariant sheaves of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces on X as before, we can consider the category $\mathcal{M}(G, X; R)$ of G -equivariant sheaves of R -modules on X , where R is a commutative \mathbb{C} -algebra.

All the results of Section 3.2 extend naturally to this setup. For example, in the case when G acts trivially on X , the category $\mathcal{M}(G, X; R)$ is equivalent to the category of strongly nondegenerate $R \otimes \mathcal{H}(G) \otimes \mathcal{S}(X)$ -modules.

Definition 3.17. An R -line bundle over X is a sheaf \mathcal{L} of R -modules such that for some open covering (U_i) we have an isomorphism of $\mathcal{L}|_{U_i}$ with the sheaf of R -valued locally constant functions. Thus, such \mathcal{L} is given by the transition functions f_{ij} which are locally constant R^* -valued functions on $U_i \cap U_j$. For an extension of rings $R \hookrightarrow R'$ and an R -line bundle \mathcal{L} , we have a natural R' -line bundle $\mathcal{L} \otimes_R R'$, given by the same transition functions via the embedding $R \hookrightarrow R'$.

Let us describe the main example of an R -line bundle we are interested in (for the coefficient ring $R = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$). Consider the homomorphism

$$F^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]^* : x \mapsto |x|_t := t^{-v(x)},$$

where $|x| = q^{-v(x)}$. Suppose $X = \underline{X}(F)$, where \underline{X} is an F -variety, and L is an algebraic line bundle on \underline{X} . Then we can construct an $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ -line bundle $|L|_t$ on X as follows. Let (\underline{U}_i) be an open covering such that L is glued from trivial line bundles on \underline{U}_i using transition

functions $f_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}^*(\underline{U}_i)$. Then we glue $|L|_t$ out of sheaves of locally constant $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ -valued functions on $U_i = \underline{U}_i(F)$ using $|f_{ij}|_t$ as $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]^*$ -valued transition functions.

For any open region $D \subset \mathbb{C}$, we have a natural embedding of \mathbb{C} -algebras,

$$\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}(D) : t \mapsto q^\kappa,$$

and the corresponding embedding

$$\mathcal{S}(X, |L|_t) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}(X, |L|_t \otimes \mathcal{H}(D)) \simeq \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, |L|^\kappa).$$

For $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$, we can consider the localization

$$R_c := \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}][\frac{1}{t-c}].$$

Then if $q^\kappa \neq c$ on the region $D \subset \mathbb{C}$, the above embeddings extend to

$$R_c \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}(D), \quad \mathcal{S}(X, |L|_t \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]} R_c) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, |L|^\kappa).$$

We have the following analog of Lemma 3.4.

Lemma 3.18. *Let $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]^*$ be a locally constant homomorphism. Assume that there exists a closed embedding $F^* \hookrightarrow G$, such that*

$$\chi|_{F^*}(x) = t^{-v(x)} \cdot c^{v(x)},$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Let R be an R_c -algebra. Then viewing χ as a homomorphism $G \rightarrow R^*$, we have

$$H_0^{sm}(G, R_\chi) = 0,$$

where R_χ is R with the G -action given by χ . Assume in addition that $G = F^* \ltimes F^n$, and χ is trivial on F^n . Then $H_*^{sm}(G, R_\chi) = 0$.

Proof. The proof is completely parallel to that of Lemma 3.4. We just have to use the fact that $t/c - 1$ is invertible in R_c . \square

We also have the following versions of Corollary 3.8 and Proposition 3.16. The proofs are similar, so we omit them.

Corollary 3.19. *Let R be a commutative \mathbb{C} -algebra. For a closed subgroup $H \subset G$ a G -equivariant R -line bundle L on G/H , one has*

$$H_i^{sm}(G, \mathcal{S}(G/H, L)) \simeq H_i^{sm}(H, \chi_L \cdot (\Delta_H / \Delta_G|_H)),$$

where $\chi_L : H \rightarrow R^*$ is the character given by the action of H on the fiber of L at the point with the stabilizer H (and we view $\Delta_H / \Delta_G|_H$ as taking values in $\mathbb{C}^* \subset R^*$).

Proposition 3.20. *Assume that the action of G on X is locally separated, and let F be a G -equivariant sheaf of R -modules on X (where R is a commutative ring). Fix $i_0 \geq 0$. Assume that for every G -orbit $\Omega \subset X$, one has $H_i(G, \mathcal{S}(\Omega, F|_\Omega)) = 0$ for $i \leq i_0$. Then $H_i(G, \mathcal{S}(X, F)) = 0$ for $i \leq i_0$.*

3.4. An extension result for coinvariants of Schwartz sections. We formulate a general result in the framework of l -spaces and l -groups, which extends the classical [7, Thm. 6.9] on invariant distributions.

Theorem 3.21. *Let X be an l -space equipped with action of an l -group G , $Z \subset X$ be a G -invariant closed subset. Assume that the action of G on Z is constructible (i.e., the graph of the action in $Z \times Z$ is constructible). Let R be a commutative ring, L a G -equivariant R -line bundle on X . Assume that for every $z \in Z$, one has*

$$H_i^{sm}(G_z, \chi_{L,z} \cdot \Delta_{G_z} / \Delta_G|_{G_z}) = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

for $i = 0, 1$ (resp., for $i = 0$), where G_z is the stabilizer of $z \in Z$. Then the natural map

$$\mathcal{S}(X - Z, L)_G \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X, L)_G \quad (3.4)$$

is an isomorphism (resp., surjective).

Note that by [7, Sec. 6.15], the condition of constructibility of action is satisfied if $G = \underline{G}(F)$, $X = \underline{X}(F)$, where \underline{X} is a variety over a local field F , \underline{G} is a linear algebraic group over F acting algebraically on \underline{X} .

Lemma 3.22. *Assume that the action of G on Z is constructible. Then there exists a filtration $Z = Z^0 \supset Z^1 \supset \dots \supset Z^k = \emptyset$ by closed G -invariant subsets, such that the action of G on $Z^i \setminus Z^{i+1}$ is locally separated (see Def. 3.15).*

Proof. Set $\overline{Z} = Z/G$ and let us consider the diagonal $\Delta \subset \overline{Z} \times \overline{Z}$. As in [7, Sec. 6.7], let us consider the finite filtration $\Delta \supset \Delta^1 \supset \dots \supset \Delta^k = \emptyset$ by closed subsets, defined recursively as follows: Δ^{i+1} is the set of points $(\overline{z}, \overline{z}) \in \Delta^i$ such that Δ^i is not closed in any neighborhood of $(\overline{z}, \overline{z})$ (in $\overline{Z} \times \overline{Z}$). By the identification $\Delta \simeq \overline{Z}$ we get a filtration $\overline{Z} \supset \overline{Z}^1 \supset \dots$ of \overline{Z} . We claim that the corresponding filtration of Z by G -invariant closed subspaces satisfies our requirement. Indeed, by definition, $\Delta^i \setminus \Delta^{i+1}$ is locally closed in $\overline{Z} \times \overline{Z}$, hence, in $(Z^i \setminus Z^{i+1}) \times (Z^i \setminus Z^{i+1})$. Therefore, the diagonal is locally closed in $(Z^i \setminus Z^{i+1}) \times (Z^i \setminus Z^{i+1})$, and so the action of G on $Z^i \setminus Z^{i+1}$ is locally separated. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.21. The exact sequence of smooth G -representations

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X - Z, L) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X, L) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(Z, L|_Z) \rightarrow 0$$

and the long exact sequence of smooth G -homology show that it is enough to prove the vanishing

$$H_i^{sm}(G, \mathcal{S}(Z, L|_Z)) = 0$$

for $i = 0, 1$ (resp., $i = 0$).

Using Lemma 3.22 we reduce to the case when the action of G on Z is locally separated. Now applying Proposition 3.20, we are reduced to showing that for each G -orbit $\Omega \subset Z$, one has

$$H_i^{sm}(G, \mathcal{S}(\Omega, L|_\Omega)) = 0$$

for $i = 0, 1$ (resp., $i = 0$). It remains to apply Corollary 3.19 and the vanishing (3.3) for $i = 0, 1$ (resp., $i = 0$). \square

3.5. Corollary for admissible stacks. Let $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ be an admissible stack of finite type over F (where F is a nonarchimedean local field, $G = \mathrm{GL}_N$), \mathcal{L} a line bundle on \mathcal{X} , L the corresponding G -equivariant line bundle on X .

We can associate with L and with a number $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$, a $G(F)$ -equivariant \mathbb{C} -line bundle $|L|^\kappa$ on $X(F)$, and by passing to $G(F)$ -coinvariants, the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X}, |L|^\kappa)$ (see Sec. 2.3). On the other hand, we can define a $G(F)$ -equivariant $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ -line bundle $|L|_t$ on $X(F)$ and a $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ -module $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X}, |L|_t)$ defined as $G(F)$ -coinvariants of $\mathcal{S}(X(F), |L|_t)$ (see Sec. 3.3).

For an open substack $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{X}$ and $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$, there is a natural map

$$j_{\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}, \kappa} : \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{U}, |L|^\kappa) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X}, |L|^\kappa).$$

Also, for every commutative $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ -algebra R , we can consider a natural map

$$j_{\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}}^R : \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{U}, |L|_t \otimes R) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X}, |L|_t \otimes R).$$

For every point $x \in \mathcal{X}$, the action of the group $\mathrm{Aut}(x)$ on the line bundle \mathcal{L} gives a character

$$\chi_{\mathcal{L}, x} : \mathrm{Aut}(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m.$$

On the other hand, we have the algebraic modular character $\Delta_{\mathrm{Aut}(x)}^{\mathrm{alg}} : \mathrm{Aut}(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$.

For a character $\chi : \mathrm{Aut}(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ and a cocharacter $\lambda^\vee : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(x)$, we define an integer $m = \langle \chi, \lambda^\vee \rangle$ so that

$$\chi(\lambda^\vee(a)) = a^m.$$

Lemma 3.23. (i) Fix $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$. Assume that for every point $x \in \mathcal{X}(F) \setminus \mathcal{U}(F)$, there exists an algebraic homomorphism $\lambda^\vee : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(x)$ (defined over F), such that

$$q^{\kappa \cdot \langle \chi_{\mathcal{L}, x}, \lambda^\vee \rangle} \neq q^{-\langle \Delta_{\mathrm{Aut}(x)}^{\mathrm{alg}}, \lambda^\vee \rangle},$$

where q is the number of elements in the residue field. Then $j_{\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}, \kappa}$ is surjective. Assume in addition that for each $x \in \mathcal{X}(F) \setminus \mathcal{U}(F)$, we have $\mathrm{Aut}(x) \simeq \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_a^n$, where $\lambda^\vee : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(x)$ given by the natural embedding. Then $j_{\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}, \kappa}$ is an isomorphism.

(ii) Let R be a commutative $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ -algebra. Assume that for every point $x \in \mathcal{X}(F) \setminus \mathcal{U}(F)$, there exists an algebraic homomorphism $\lambda^\vee : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(x)$, such that

$$t^{\langle \chi_{\mathcal{L}, x}, \lambda^\vee \rangle} - q^{-\langle \Delta_{\mathrm{Aut}(x)}^{\mathrm{alg}}, \lambda^\vee \rangle} \in R^*.$$

Then the map $j_{\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}}^R$ is surjective. If in addition $\mathrm{Aut}(x) \simeq \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_a^n$ and $\lambda^\vee : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(x)$ is given by the natural embedding, then $j_{\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}}^R$ is an isomorphism.

(iii) Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an open region. Assume that for every point $x \in \mathcal{X}(F) \setminus \mathcal{U}(F)$, there exists an algebraic homomorphism $\lambda^\vee : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(x)$, such that

$$\mathrm{Re}(\kappa) \cdot \langle \chi_{\mathcal{L}, x}, \lambda^\vee \rangle \neq \langle -\Delta_{\mathrm{Aut}(x)}^{\mathrm{alg}}, \lambda^\vee \rangle$$

for every $\kappa \in D$. Then the map

$$j_{\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}}^{\mathrm{hol}} : \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{hol}}(\mathcal{U} \times D, |L|^\kappa) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{hol}}(\mathcal{X} \times D, |L|^\kappa)$$

is surjective.

Proof. (i) Let $U \subset X$ be the G -invariant open subset corresponding to $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{X}$. For $x \in X(F)$, we have $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}}(x) = G_x \subset G$, the stabilizer of x . Since $\Delta_{G(F)} = 1$, by Theorem 3.21, to check that $j_{\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}, \kappa}$ surjective (resp., an isomorphism), we need to know that

$$H_i^{sm}(G_x(F), |\chi_{\mathcal{L}, x}|^{\kappa} \cdot |\Delta_{G_x}^{alg}|) = 0$$

for $x \notin U(F)$ and $i = 0$ (resp., $i \leq 1$). For $i = 0$ we are just computing the coinvariants, and the assumption implies that the corresponding character $G_x(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ is nontrivial. To compute higher homology we use the extra assumption on the structure of $\text{Aut}(x)$ and apply Lemma 3.4.

(ii) This is parallel to (i) using Lemma 3.18.

(iii) This follows from (ii) with $R = \mathcal{H}(D)$, viewed as a $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ -algebra via $t \mapsto q^{\kappa}$. \square

4. COINVARIANTS FOR SCHWARTZ SECTIONS: ARCHIMEDEAN CASE

4.1. Formulation of the extension result and the first reduction. Now we assume that $F = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . We want to prove the following version of [2, Thm. B.0.2]².

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be the set of F -points of a smooth algebraic F -variety equipped with an algebraic action of a connected linear algebraic group G (over F) with the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , and let $Z \subset X$ be a G -invariant closed subvariety. Let V (resp., L_1, L_2) be an algebraic G -equivariant vector bundle (resp., line bundles) over X . As in Sec. 2.1, consider $E_{\kappa} = V \otimes |L_1|^{\kappa} \otimes |L_2|$, where $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$. For $z \in Z$ we denote by $G_z \subset G$ the stabilizer subgroup, and by \mathfrak{g}_z its Lie algebra. Let $\chi_{L_i, z} : G_z \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ denote the character of action on $L_i|_z$. Let D be either an open region in \mathbb{C} or a single point $D = \{\kappa_0\}$. Assume that for any $z \in Z$, $\kappa \in D$ and $m \geq 0$ we have*

$$[|\chi_{L_1, z}|^{\kappa} \otimes |\chi_{L_2, z}| \otimes V|_z \otimes S^m(N_{G_z}^{\vee})|_z \otimes \Delta_{G_z} / \Delta_G|_{G_z}]_{\mathfrak{g}_{z, r}} = 0$$

for some reductive subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_{z, r} \subset \mathfrak{g}_z$, where $N_{G_z}^{\vee}$ is the conormal bundle to Gz . Then for any open $D' \subset D$ such that $\overline{D'}$ is compact and is contained in D , the map on coinvariants

$$[\mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, E_{\kappa}) / \mathcal{S}^{hol}((X - Z) \times D, E_{\kappa})]_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow [\mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D', E_{\kappa}) / \mathcal{S}^{hol}((X - Z) \times D', E_{\kappa})]_{\mathfrak{g}}$$

is zero. In the case $D = \{\kappa_0\}$, the conclusion is

$$[\mathcal{S}(X, E_{\kappa_0}) / \mathcal{S}(X - Z, E_{\kappa})]_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0$$

We follow the same steps as in [2].

First, we observe that if we have a filtration $Z_1 \subset Z_2 \subset \dots \subset Z_r = Z$ by G -invariant closed subvarieties then it is enough to prove the result for each pair $(X - Z_{i-1}, Z_i - Z_{i-1})$.

Definition 4.2. An action of an algebraic group G on an algebraic variety Z is called *factorizable* if Z is smooth, the schematic image Y of the map $G \times X \rightarrow X \times X : (g, x) \mapsto (x, gx)$ is a smooth variety, and the map $G \times Z \rightarrow Y$ is smooth.

²The modular characters appear in [2, Thm. B.0.2] with the opposite sign due to a different convention.

There exists a filtration $Z_1 \subset \dots \subset Z_r = Z$ be G -invariant closed subvarieties, such that the action of G on $Z_i - Z_{i-1}$ is factorizable (see [2, Thm. B.0.11]). Thus, we can assume that Z is smooth and the action of G on Z is *factorizable*.

By an analog of Borel's theorem (see Prop. 2.6) together with Lemma 2.5, Theorem 4.1 now reduces to the following result (which is an analog of [2, Thm. B.0.12]), which has to be applied to $X = Z$ and the bundle $S^m(N_Z^\vee) \otimes (V \otimes |L_1|^\kappa \otimes |L_2|)|_Z$. Note that to deduce Theorem 4.1 from Theorem 4.3 we use reductivity of $\mathfrak{g}_{z,r}$, as we have to pass from the space $N_{Gz}^\vee|_z$ to its subspace $N_Z^\vee|_z$.³

Theorem 4.3. *Let (X, G, V, L_1, L_2, D) be as in Theorem and assume in addition that the action of G on Z is factorizable. Assume that for any $z \in Z$ and $\kappa \in D$ we have*

$$[|\chi_{L_1,z}|^\kappa \otimes |\chi_{L_2,z}| \otimes V|_z \otimes \Delta_{G_z}/\Delta_{G|_{G_z}}]_{\mathfrak{g}_z} = 0,$$

where \mathfrak{g}_z is the Lie algebra of G_z . Then

$$\mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, E_\kappa)_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0.$$

In the case $D = \{\kappa_0\}$, the conclusion is

$$\mathcal{S}^{hol}(X, E_{\kappa_0})_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0.$$

4.2. Description of coinvariants.

Lemma 4.4. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite dimensional Lie algebra (over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}), and let V be a nuclear Frechet space with a continuous \mathfrak{g} -action, such that $\mathfrak{g} \cdot V$ is closed in V . Then for a nuclear Frechet space W (with the trivial \mathfrak{g} -action), we have*

$$\mathfrak{g} \cdot (V \hat{\otimes} W) = (\mathfrak{g} \cdot V) \hat{\otimes} W.$$

Proof. By definition $\mathfrak{g} \cdot (V \hat{\otimes} W)$ is the image of the action map

$$\mathfrak{g} \otimes (V \hat{\otimes} W) \rightarrow V \hat{\otimes} W,$$

which can be identified with the map $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes V) \hat{\otimes} W \rightarrow V \hat{\otimes} W$ induced by the action map $\mathfrak{g} \otimes V \rightarrow V$. By assumption, the latter map factors as the composition of the surjection $\mathfrak{g} \otimes V \rightarrow V_0 := \mathfrak{g} \cdot V$ and a closed embedding $V_0 \rightarrow V$. Now the assertion follows from the fact that the induced maps $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes V) \hat{\otimes} W \rightarrow V_0 \hat{\otimes} W$ and $V_0 \hat{\otimes} W \rightarrow V \hat{\otimes} W$ are still surjective and injective, respectively. \square

Let $a : G \times X \rightarrow X$ be the action map $(g, x) \mapsto gx$, $p : G \times X \rightarrow X$ the projection. The G -equivariant structure on E_κ gives a (tempered) map of bundles on $G \times X$, $p^*(E_\kappa) \xrightarrow{\sim} a^*(E_\kappa)$, and hence a map

$$\alpha : p^!(E_\kappa) \xrightarrow{\sim} a^!(E_\kappa),$$

where for a smooth morphism f we set $f^!(F) := f^*(F) \otimes |\omega_f|$ (here we use the identifications $\omega_p \simeq \omega_a \simeq p_G^* \omega_G$).

Similar to [2], we consider the kernel of the integration map,

$$\mathcal{S}^{hol}(G \times X \times D, p^! E_\kappa)_{0,X} := \ker(p_* : \mathcal{S}^{hol}(G \times X \times D, p^! E_\kappa) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, E_\kappa)).$$

³There seems to be a gap in the proof of [2, Thm. B.0.2] at a similar step.

and then we consider the image of this subspace under the above map α ,

$$\mathcal{S}^{hol}(G \times X \times D, a^! E_\kappa)_{0,X,a} := \alpha(\mathcal{S}^{hol}(G \times X \times D, p^! E_\kappa)_{0,X}).$$

Proposition 4.5. *We have*

$$\mathfrak{g} \cdot \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, E_\kappa) = a_*(\mathcal{S}^{hol}(G \times X \times D, a^* E_\kappa \otimes |\omega_a|)_{0,X,a}).$$

Proof. The integration map

$$a_* : \mathcal{S}^{hol}(G \times X \times D, a^* E_\kappa \otimes |\omega_a|) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, E_\kappa)$$

is surjective (see Lemma 2.3) and is compatible with G -actions, where G acts on the G -coordinate in $G \times X$. It follows that $\mathfrak{g} \cdot \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, E_\kappa)$ is the image of $\mathfrak{g} \cdot \mathcal{S}^{hol}(G \times X \times D, a^* E_\kappa \otimes |\omega_a|)$. Furthermore, the isomorphism

$$p^* E_\kappa \otimes |\omega_p| \simeq p^* E_\kappa \otimes |p_G^* \omega_G| \simeq a^* E_\kappa \otimes |\omega_a|$$

is compatible with G -actions, where $p^* E_\kappa$ has a trivial G -action. Now we have an isomorphism of \mathfrak{g} -representations,

$$\mathcal{S}^{hol}(G \times X \times D, p^* E_\kappa \otimes |p_G^* \omega_G|) \simeq \mathcal{S}(G, |\omega_G|) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X, E_\kappa).$$

Recall that $\mathfrak{g} \cdot \mathcal{S}(G, |\omega_G|)$ coincides with the (closed) subspace $\mathcal{S}(G, |\omega_G|)_0$ of f with $\int_G f = 0$ (see [2]). This gives an identification

$$\mathfrak{g} \cdot \mathcal{S}^{hol}(G \times X \times D, p^* E_\kappa \otimes |p_G^* \omega_G|) \simeq \mathcal{S}(G, |\omega_G|)_0 \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X, E_\kappa)$$

(see Lemma 4.4). It remains to observe that the completed tensored product of the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(G, |\omega_G|)_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(G, |\omega_G|) \xrightarrow{\int_G} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0$$

with $\mathcal{S}^{hol}(X, E_\kappa)$ gives the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{hol}(G \times X \times D, p^* E_\kappa \otimes |p_G^* \omega_G|)_{0,X} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{hol}(G \times X \times D, p^* E_\kappa \otimes |p_G^* \omega_G|) \xrightarrow{p_*} \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, E_\kappa) \rightarrow 0.$$

□

Next, we will consider the case of a smooth group scheme over X .

Proposition 4.6. *Let $\pi : H \rightarrow X$ be a smooth group scheme over X , V_κ a family of $H(F)$ -equivariant vector bundles over $X(F)$ of the form $P \otimes |L_1|^\kappa \otimes |L_2|$, where $\kappa \in D_0$. Assume that for every $x \in X$ and $\kappa \in D \subset D_0$, one has $(V_\kappa|_x)_{\mathfrak{h}|_x} = 0$. Then the composition*

$$\mathcal{S}^{hol}(H \times D, \pi^* V_\kappa \otimes |\omega_\pi|)_{0,X} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{S}^{hol}(H \times D, \pi^* V_\kappa \otimes |\omega_\pi|) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, V_\kappa),$$

induced by the H -action map $\alpha : \pi^ V_\kappa \rightarrow \pi^* V_\kappa$ followed by the integration along $\pi : H \rightarrow X$, is surjective.*

Proof. Step 1. The surjective composed map

$$\mathcal{S}^{hol}(H \times D, \pi^* V_\kappa \otimes |\omega_\pi|) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{S}^{hol}(H \times D, \pi^* V_\kappa \otimes |\omega_\pi|) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, V_\kappa),$$

is a map of $\mathcal{S}(X, \mathfrak{h})$ -representations, where the action on the source considers V_κ with the trivial H -action (cf. the proof of [2, Cor. 9.17]).

Step 2. The image of the map $\mathcal{S}(X, \mathfrak{h}) \otimes \mathcal{S}^{hol}(H \times D, \pi^* V_\kappa \otimes |\omega_\pi|) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{hol}(H \times D, \pi^* V_\kappa \otimes |\omega_\pi|)$ associated with the trivial H -action on V_κ is contained in $\mathcal{S}^{hol}(H \times D, \pi^* V_\kappa \otimes |\omega_\pi|)_{0,X}$.

Indeed, by partition of unity, we can assume that V_κ is trivial. We have the following identifications:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}^{hol}(H \times D, |\omega_\pi|) &\simeq \mathcal{S}(H, |\omega_\pi|) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}^{hol}(D), & \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D) &\simeq \mathcal{S}(X) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}^{hol}(D), \\ \mathcal{S}^{hol}(H \times D, |\omega_\pi|)_{0,X} &\simeq \mathcal{S}(H, |\omega_\pi|)_{0,X} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}^{hol}(D), \end{aligned}$$

where the latter identification is obtained by looking at the completed tensor product with $\mathcal{S}^{hol}(D)$ of the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(H, |\omega_\pi|)_{0,X} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(H, |\omega_\pi|) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \mathcal{S}(X) \rightarrow 0.$$

By [2, Lem. B.1.14], we have an inclusion

$$\text{im}(\mathcal{S}(X, \mathfrak{h}) \otimes \mathcal{S}(H, |\omega_\pi|) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(H, |\omega_\pi|)) \subset \mathcal{S}(H, |\omega_\pi|)_{0,X}.$$

This implies that the composition

$$\mathcal{S}(X, \mathfrak{h}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}(H, |\omega_\pi|) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(H, |\omega_\pi|) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} \mathcal{S}(X)$$

is zero. Tensoring with $\mathcal{S}^{hol}(D)$, we deduce that the composition

$$\mathcal{S}(X, \mathfrak{h}) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}(H, |\omega_\pi|) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}^{hol}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(H, |\omega_\pi|) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}^{hol}(D) \xrightarrow{\pi_* \otimes \text{id}} \mathcal{S}(X) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}^{hol}(D),$$

is zero, which gives the assertion in view of the above identifications.

Step 3. The map $\mathcal{S}(X, \mathfrak{h}) \otimes \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, V_\kappa) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, V_\kappa)$ (induced by the H -action on V_κ) is surjective. The action of \mathfrak{h} on V_κ gives a morphism of vector bundles

$$\alpha_{\mathfrak{h}} : \mathfrak{h} \otimes V_\kappa \rightarrow V_\kappa$$

over $X \times D$, and our assumption implies that it is surjective. By the partition of unity, we can assume that X is affine, and can trivialize P , L_1 and L_2 . and \mathfrak{h} as a vector bundle. Let us write $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}$, $V_\kappa = V_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}$, where \mathfrak{h}_0 and V_0 are finite-dimensional vector spaces. Then $\alpha_{\mathfrak{h}}$ can be viewed as a surjective algebraic morphism

$$\mathfrak{h}_0 \otimes V_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times D} \rightarrow V_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times D}$$

over $X \times D$ (the dependence on κ will be linear).

We have identifications

$$\mathcal{S}(X, \mathfrak{h}) \simeq \mathfrak{h}_0 \otimes \mathcal{S}(X), \quad \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, V_\kappa) \simeq V_0 \otimes \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D),$$

so the map we are interested in is obtained as the composition

$$\mathfrak{h}_0 \otimes \mathcal{S}(X) \otimes V_0 \otimes \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_0 \otimes V_0 \otimes \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\mathfrak{h}}} V_0 \otimes \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D),$$

where the first arrow is induced by the natural surjective map $\mathcal{S}(X) \otimes \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D)$. Thus, it suffices to prove surjectivity of the map

$$\mathfrak{h}_0 \otimes V_0 \otimes \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\mathfrak{h}}} V_0 \otimes \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D). \quad (4.1)$$

To this end we can choose a section

$$s : V_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_0 \otimes V_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times D}$$

of α_{fh} . Then s gives rise to a map

$$V_0 \otimes \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D) \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_0 \otimes V_0 \otimes \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D),$$

which is a section of (4.1), proving its surjectivity.

Step 4. Now the assertion follows formally from Steps 1, 2 and 3. \square

4.3. Representation of torsors. We use the terminology of [2, Sec. B.1.4] concerning (families of) torsors.

We consider a smooth morphism of F -varieties, $\pi : T \rightarrow Y$ with the torsor structure over Y . This means that we are given a map $m : T \times_Y T \times_Y T \rightarrow T$ which is locally of the form $m(x, y, z) = z(z^{-1}x)(z^{-1}y)$ for some group structure. For every $t \in T$ we denote by H_t the fiber $\pi^{-1}(\pi(t))$ with the unique group structure such that t is the neutral element. In the archimedean case we denote by \mathfrak{h}_t the Lie algebra of H_t . An (algebraic) representation of T is a pair of (algebraic) vector bundles V_1, V_2 on Y , together with a morphism $\alpha : \pi^*V_1 \rightarrow \pi^*V_2$ such that for every $t_0 \in T$, the map $t \mapsto \alpha_t \alpha_{t_0}^{-1} : V_2|_{\pi(t_0)} \rightarrow V_2|_{\pi(t)}$ gives an action of H_{t_0} on $V_2|_{\pi(t_0)}$. There is a natural operation of tensor product of representations of T .

We have the following Corollary from Proposition 4.6 (an analog of [2, Cor. B.1.27]).

Corollary 4.7. *Let $\pi : T \rightarrow Y$ be an algebraic torsor over F , $(V_{1,\kappa}, V_{2,\kappa}, \alpha)$ a family of representations of $T(F)$, obtained as the tensor product of an algebraic representation (P_1, P_2) , with 1-dimensional representations of the form $(|L_1|^\kappa, |L_2|^\kappa)$ and $(|M_1|, |M_2|)$ (where (L_1, L_2) and (M_1, M_2) are algebraic representations of T). Assume that for every $t \in T$ and $\kappa \in D$, one has $(V_{2,\kappa}|_{p(t)})_{\mathfrak{h}_t} = 0$. Then the composition*

$$\mathcal{S}^{hol}(T \times D, \pi^*V_{1,\kappa} \otimes |\omega_\pi|)_{0,Y} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{S}^{hol}(T \times D, \pi^*V_{2,\kappa} \otimes |\omega_\pi|) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \mathcal{S}^{hol}(Y \times D, V_\kappa), \quad (4.2)$$

is surjective.

Proof. If there is a section $\sigma : Y \rightarrow T$ then T becomes a family of smooth groups and the assertion follows from Proposition 4.6. The general case follows from this by choosing local sections and a partition of unity. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.3. Let $Y \subset X \times X$ denote the image of the map $(p, a) : G \times X \rightarrow X \times X : (g, x) \mapsto (x, gx)$. In other words, Y is the variety of pairs (x_1, x_2) such that x_1 and x_2 are in the same G -orbit. By assumption, Y is smooth and the map

$$b : G \times X \rightarrow Y : (g, x) \mapsto (x, gx)$$

is smooth. Hence, the projections $p_1, p_2 : Y \rightarrow X$ are also smooth.

Via the map b , we can view $G \times X$ as a torsor over Y . Note that $b^{-1}(x_1, x_2)$ is identified with $\{g \in G \mid gx_1 = x_2\}$. For each $(g, x) \in G \times X$, the corresponding group $H_{g,x}$ is canonically identified with $H_x \simeq H_{gx}$.

The pair of bundles on Y ,

$$V_{i,\kappa} = p_i^* E_\kappa \otimes |\omega_{p_i}|, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

has a natural structure of a representation over the torsor $G \times X$. Namely, for $(x_1, x_2) \in Y$, and an element $g \in G$ such that $gx_1 = x_2$, we use the map

$$V_{1,\kappa}|_{(x_1,x_2)} \simeq E_\kappa|_{x_1} \otimes |\omega_\Omega|_{x_2} \xrightarrow{\alpha_g \otimes \alpha_{g^{-1}}} E_\kappa|_{x_2} \otimes |\omega_\Omega|_{x_1},$$

induced by the action of g on E_κ and by the action of g^{-1} on ω_Ω , where Ω is the G -orbit containing x_1 and x_2 . The corresponding representation of H_x on $V_{2,\kappa}|_x$ can be identified with $E_\kappa \otimes \Delta_{H_x} \otimes \Delta_G^{-1}|_{H_x}$. Thus our assumption implies that for every $x \in X$, one has $(V_{2,\kappa}|_x)_{\mathfrak{h}_x} = 0$.

Applying Corollary 4.7 we deduce surjectivity of the composition (4.2). On the other hand, by Proposition 4.5, we need to prove surjectivity of the composition

$$\mathcal{S}^{hol}(G \times X \times D, p^! E_\kappa)_{0,X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}^{hol}(G \times X \times D, p^! E_\kappa) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{S}^{hol}(G \times X \times D, a^! E_\kappa) \xrightarrow{a_*} \mathcal{S}^{hol}(X \times D, E_\kappa).$$

Since $a = p_2 \circ b$, and the map $p_2 : Y \rightarrow X$ is smooth and surjective on F -points, by Lemma 2.3, it is enough to prove surjectivity of the similar composition with a_* replaced by the map

$$b_* : \mathcal{S}^{hol}(G \times X \times D, a^! E_\kappa) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{hol}(Y \times D, p_2^! E_\kappa).$$

Finally, we observe that we have an inclusion

$$\mathcal{S}^{hol}(G \times X \times D, b^* V_{1,\kappa} \otimes |\omega_b|)_{0,Y} \subset \mathcal{S}^{hol}(G \times X \times D, p^! E_\kappa)_{0,X}$$

(the first space is the kernel of b_* , while the second is the kernel of p_*), and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}^{hol}(G \times X \times D, p^! E_\kappa) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathcal{S}^{hol}(G \times X \times D, a^! E_\kappa) \\ \sim \downarrow & & \downarrow \sim \\ \mathcal{S}^{hol}(G \times X \times D, b^! V_{1,\kappa}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathcal{S}^{hol}(G \times X \times D, b^! V_{2,\kappa}). \end{array}$$

Hence, the assertion follows from surjectivity of (4.2). \square

4.4. Corollary for admissible stacks. Let \mathcal{X} be an admissible stack of finite type over F , \mathcal{L} a line bundle on \mathcal{X} .

Let $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{X}$ an open substack. For every $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$, there is a natural map

$$j_{\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}, \kappa} : \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{U}, |\mathcal{L}|^\kappa) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X}, |\mathcal{L}|^\kappa)$$

and for an open region $D \subset \mathbb{C}$, a map

$$j_{\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}, D}^{hol} : \mathcal{S}^{hol}(\mathcal{U} \times D, |\mathcal{L}|^\kappa) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{hol}(\mathcal{X} \times D, |\mathcal{L}|^\kappa)$$

As before, for every point $x \in \mathcal{X}$, we consider the character

$$\chi_{\mathcal{L}, x} : \text{Aut}(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m.$$

and the algebraic modular character $\Delta_{\text{Aut}(x)}^{alg}$ of $\text{Aut}(x)(F)$.

Lemma 4.8. *Let D be either an open region in \mathbb{C} or $D = \{\kappa_0\}$. Assume that for every point $x \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{U}$ and $\kappa \in D$, there exists an algebraic homomorphism $\lambda^\vee : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \text{Aut}(x)$, such that for any character $a \mapsto a^m$ of \mathbb{G}_m appearing in the action on $S^\bullet H^0 T_{\mathcal{X}}^*|_x$ one has*

$$\kappa \cdot \langle \chi_{\mathcal{L},x}, \lambda^\vee \rangle + m \neq -\langle \Delta_{\text{Aut}(x)}^{\text{alg}}, \lambda^\vee \rangle.$$

Then for any open $D' \subset D$ such that $\overline{D'}$ is compact and is contained in D , the image of the restriction map

$$\mathcal{S}^{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{X} \times D, |\mathcal{L}^\kappa|) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{X} \times D', |\mathcal{L}^\kappa|)$$

is contained in the image of $j_{\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}, D'}^{\text{hol}}$. In the case $D = \{\kappa_0\}$, the conclusion is that $j_{\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}, \kappa_0}$ is surjective.

Proof. We can assume that $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$, where $G = \text{GL}_N$, and $\mathcal{U} = [U/G]$, where $U \subset X$ is open. Let L denote the pull-back of \mathcal{L} to X . We have $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}}(x) = G_x \subset G$, the stabilizer subgroup of $x \in X$. Theorem 4.1 implies that the top horizontal arrow in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}^{\text{hol}}(X \times D, |L|^\kappa)_{\mathfrak{g}} / \text{im } \mathcal{S}^{\text{hol}}(U \times D, |L|^\kappa)_{\mathfrak{g}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}^{\text{hol}}(X \times D', |L|^\kappa)_{\mathfrak{g}} / \text{im } \mathcal{S}^{\text{hol}}(U \times D', |L|^\kappa)_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{S}^{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{X} \times D, |\mathcal{L}^\kappa|) / \text{im } \mathcal{S}^{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{U} \times D, |\mathcal{L}^\kappa|) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}^{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{X} \times D', |\mathcal{L}^\kappa|) / \text{im } \mathcal{S}^{\text{hol}}(\mathcal{U} \times D', |\mathcal{L}^\kappa|) \end{array}$$

is zero. Now we observe that the vertical arrows in this diagram are surjective by Lemma 2.4. Hence, the bottom horizontal arrow is also zero. \square

5. INTEGRATION OVER ORBITS

5.1. Setup and two extension results. In this section F is either archimedean or non-archimedean.

Let X be a smooth G -scheme over F (where $G = \text{GL}_N$), L a G -equivariant line bundle on X representing a line bundle \mathcal{L} on the stack $[X/G]$, and let $U_0 \subset X$ be an open G -invariant subscheme such that G acts freely on U_0 and the quotient U_0/G exists. Let us choose a trivialization $\text{vol}_{\mathfrak{g}} \in |\wedge^{\text{top}}(\mathfrak{g})^{-1}|$.

First, we observe that for each $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$, there is a well defined map

$$\pi_{U_0, \kappa} : \mathcal{S}(U_0(F), |L|^\kappa)_{G(F)} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}((U_0/G)(F), |L_0|^\kappa),$$

where L_0 is the descent of L to U_0/G , given by absolutely convergent integrals

$$\pi_{U_0, \kappa}(\varphi)(x) = \int_{\Omega_x(F)} \varphi \cdot \text{vol}_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad (5.1)$$

where $\Omega_x \subset X$ is the G -orbit of $x \in U_0$ (which is closed in U_0).

We are interested in finding conditions under which the above map extends to a map

$$\pi_{X, U_0, \kappa} : \mathcal{S}(X(F), |L|^\kappa)_{G(F)} \rightarrow C^\infty((U_0/G)(F), |L_0|^\kappa), \quad (5.2)$$

given by the same formula (5.1) (where in the nonarchimedean case C^∞ refers to locally constant functions). For technical reasons we will define $\pi_{U_0, \kappa}(\varphi)$ as distributions

on $(U_0/G)(F)$, so actually we will be able to control the integrals (5.1) only away from a set of orbits of measure zero.

We will consider the space of distributions $\mathcal{D}((U_0/G)(F), |L_0|^\kappa)$ acting on sections of $|L_0|^{-\kappa} \otimes |\omega|$, so that we have an inclusion

$$C^\infty((U_0/G)(F), |L_0|^\kappa) \subset \mathcal{D}((U_0/G)(F), |L_0|^\kappa).$$

Definition 5.1. We say that the pair (U_0, X) is $|L|^\kappa$ -nice if for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(X(F), |L|^\kappa)$, and every $\psi \in \mathcal{S}((U_0/G)(F), |L_0|^{-\kappa} \otimes |\omega|)$, the integral

$$\pi_{X, U_0, \kappa}(\varphi)(\psi) := \int_{U_0(F)} \psi \cdot \varphi|_{U_0(F)} \cdot \text{vol}_{\mathfrak{g}} \quad (5.3)$$

is absolutely convergent, and the corresponding distribution $\pi_{X, U_0, \kappa}(\varphi) \in \mathcal{D}((U_0/G)(F), |L_0|^\kappa)$ belongs to the subspace $C^\infty((U_0/G)(F), |L_0|^\kappa)$.

It is easy to see using Fubini's theorem that in the situation of the above definition the integrals (5.1) are absolutely convergent away from a set of orbits of measure zero. It is plausible that if (U_0, X) is $|L|^\kappa$ -nice then in fact the values of the obtained C^∞ -sections $\pi_{X, U_0, \kappa}(\varphi)$ are given by absolutely convergent integrals (5.1) but we do not know how to prove this (and this is not essential for us).

From now on we specialize to the case $\mathcal{L} = \omega_X$, so $L = \omega_X \otimes \Lambda^{\text{top}}(\mathfrak{g})$. Note that since the action of G on $\Lambda^{\text{top}}(\mathfrak{g})$ is trivial, we can (and will) identify L with ω_X as a G -equivariant bundle.

Assume we have some open G -invariant subschemes $U_0 \subset U \subset X$ such that G acts freely on U_0 and the quotient U_0/G exists.

As before, for $x \in X(F)$, $G_x = \text{Aut}_{[X/G]}(x)$ is the stabilizer of x , and $\chi_{\omega, x} : G_x \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ is the character given by the action on $\omega_X|_x$.

Lemma 5.2. Fix some real numbers $a < 1$, $b > 1$. Assume that for any real number k in the interval $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$, the pair (U_0, U) is $|\omega|^k$ -nice. Assume in addition that

- either F is non-archimedean, and for any $x \in (X \setminus U)(F)$ there exists a cocharacter $\lambda^\vee : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G_x$ such that

$$k \cdot \langle \chi_{\omega, x}, \lambda^\vee \rangle \neq -\langle \Delta_{G_x}^{\text{alg}}, \lambda^\vee \rangle$$

for any $k \in (a, b)$;

- or F is archimedean, and for any $x \in (X \setminus U)(F)$ there exists a cocharacter $\lambda^\vee : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G_x$, such that for any character $a \mapsto a^m$ of \mathbb{G}_m appearing in $S^\bullet H^0 T_{[X/G]}^*|_x$,

$$k \cdot \langle \chi_{\omega, x}, \lambda^\vee \rangle + m \neq -\langle \Delta_{G_x}^{\text{alg}}, \lambda^\vee \rangle$$

for any $k \in (a, b)$.

Then the pair (U_0, X) is $|\omega|^\kappa$ -nice for any κ in the strip $a < \text{Re}(\kappa) < b$.

Proof. By shrinking U_0/G we can assume that there exists a nowhere vanishing G -invariant top form η_0 on U_0/G . On the other hand, we can cover X with open subsets U_i such that there exist nowhere vanishing top forms η_i on U_i , so that $\eta_i \cdot \text{vol}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}$ are trivializations of $\omega_X|_{U_i} \otimes \Lambda^{\text{top}}(\mathfrak{g})$.

It is enough to consider sections of $\mathcal{S}(X(F), |L|^\kappa)$ supported on some U_i . Using the trivializations of $L|_{U_i}$, we can write these sections as

$$\varphi_\kappa := \varphi \cdot |\eta_i \cdot \text{vol}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}|^\kappa,$$

where $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(U_i(F))$, so the corresponding integrals can be written as

$$\pi_{X, U_0, \kappa}(\varphi_\kappa)(\psi|\eta_0|^{1-\kappa}) = \int_{U_0(F) \cap U_i(F)} \psi \cdot \varphi \cdot \left| \frac{\eta_i}{\text{vol}_{\mathfrak{g}} \eta_0} \right|^\kappa \cdot |\eta_0| \cdot \text{vol}_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad (5.4)$$

where $\psi \in \mathcal{S}((U_0/G)(F))$. Note that here $\eta_i/(\text{vol}_{\mathfrak{g}} \eta_0)$ is a non-vanishing function on $(U_i \cap U_0)(F)$.

Furthermore, it is enough to consider the Schwartz sections as above with φ non-negative (since any φ can be expressed as a difference of non-negative Schwartz functions). From now on, we fix such $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(U_i(F))$.

Step 1. We observe that for $\text{Re}(\kappa) = 1$, the integral (5.4) absolutely converges, since the measure $\varphi \cdot |\eta_i|$ has finite volume. Hence, (5.4) defines a well defined distribution $\pi_{X, U_0, \kappa}(\varphi_\kappa) \in \mathcal{D}((U_0/G)(F), |\omega|^\kappa)$.

Step 2. It is enough to prove the relevant absolute convergence for κ in any slightly smaller strip $a' < \text{Re}(\kappa) < b'$, where $a' = a + \epsilon$, $b' = b - \epsilon$. Let us pick a bounded open domain D' contained in this strip such that $D' \cap \mathbb{R} = (a', b')$. By Lemma 4.8 in the archimedean case or by Lemma 3.23(iii) in the non-archimedean case, there exists an element

$$\tilde{\varphi}_\kappa \in \mathcal{S}(U(F) \times D', |\omega|^\kappa)_{G(F)}$$

lifting φ_κ . Note that

$$\pi_{U, U_0, \kappa}(\tilde{\varphi}_\kappa) \in C^\infty((U_0/G)(F), |\omega|^\kappa) \subset \mathcal{D}((U_0/G)(F), |\omega|^\kappa)$$

depends holomorphically on $\kappa \in D'$.

Step 3. We know that for $\text{Re}(\kappa) = 1$, the integrals defining the distribution $\pi_{X, U_0, \kappa}(\varphi_\kappa)$ are absolutely convergent and we have an equality of twisted distributions on $(U_0/G)(F)$.

$$\pi_{U, U_0, \kappa}(\tilde{\varphi}_\kappa) = \pi_{U, U_0, \kappa}(\varphi_\kappa) = \pi_{X, U_0, \kappa}(\varphi_\kappa).$$

In particular, for $\text{Re}(\kappa) = 1$, the image of $\pi_{X, U_0, \kappa}$ is contained in $C^\infty((U_0/G)(F), |\omega|^\kappa)$.

Step 4. Now we arrive at the following situation: we have a holomorphic map

$$\{\kappa \in \mathbb{C} \mid a' < \text{Re}(\kappa) < b'\} \rightarrow C^\infty((U_0/G)(F)) \subset \mathcal{D}((U_0/G)(F)) : \kappa \mapsto \pi_{U, U_0, \kappa}(\tilde{\varphi}_\kappa),$$

such that for $\text{Re}(\kappa) = 1$ it is given by absolutely converging integrals $\pi_{X, U_0, \kappa}(\varphi_\kappa)(\psi|\eta_0|^{1-\kappa})$.

Now by Lemma 5.3 below, we conclude that for any non-negative ψ the integral (5.4) converges absolutely for all κ in the strip $a' < \text{Re}(\kappa) < b'$, and agrees with $\pi_{U, U_0, \kappa}(\tilde{\varphi}_\kappa)(\psi|\eta_0|^{1-\kappa})$. In particular, the distribution it defines belongs to $C^\infty((U_0/G)(F))$. \square

The proof of the following Lemma is due to Pavel Etingof.

Lemma 5.3. *Let Y be a smooth variety over a local field F , f an invertible function on Y , μ a positive measure on $Y(F)$. Consider the integrals depending on $s \in \mathbb{C}$,*

$$I(s) = \int_{Y(F)} |f|^s \mu.$$

Assume that $I(s_0) < \infty$ for some $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, so that $I(s)$ is well defined for $\operatorname{Re}(s) = s_0$, and there exists an analytic continuation of $I(s)$ into an open neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ of s_0 . Suppose $s_0 \in (a, b) \subset U \cap \mathbb{R}$. Then $I(s) < \infty$ for $a < \operatorname{Re}(s) < b$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $s_0 = 0$. Let us write $I(s) = I_+(s) + I_-(s)$, where

$$I_+(s) = \int_{|f| \geq 1} |f|^s \cdot \mu, \quad I_-(s) = \int_{|f| < 1} |f|^s \mu.$$

Since $I(0) < \infty$, the integral $I_+(s)$ (resp., $I_-(s)$) converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \leq 0$ (resp., $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq 0$).

It is enough to prove that $I_+(b) < \infty$ and $I_-(a) < \infty$. Let us prove the convergence of $I_-(a)$ (the proof for $I_+(b)$ is analogous). The existence of an analytic continuation of $I(s)$ into $a < \operatorname{Re}(s) < \epsilon$ (where $\epsilon > 0$) implies that $I_-(s)$ extends to a function on $a < \operatorname{Re}(s) \leq 0$, analytic on $a < \operatorname{Re}(s) < 0$ and continuous on $a < \operatorname{Re}(s) \leq 0$ (note for $I_+(s)$ this follows from the absolute convergence in $a < \operatorname{Re}(s) \leq 0$). It follows that $I_-(s)$ has an analytic continuation to the region $a < \operatorname{Re}(s)$.

Set

$$a_0 := \inf\{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid I_-(s) < \infty\}.$$

Note that $a_0 \leq 0$. We want to prove that $a_0 \leq a$. Assume that $a_0 > a$. Consider the positive measure

$$\nu := |f|^{a_0} \cdot \delta_{|f| < 1} \cdot \mu.$$

Then the integral

$$J(s) := \int_{Y(F)} |f|^s \cdot \nu$$

has the following properties:

- $J(s) < \infty$ for every $s > 0$;
- $J(s)$ has an analytic continuation to $a - a_0 < \operatorname{Re}(s)$.

The analyticity of $J(s)$ near $s = 0$ implies that s near 0 one has

$$J^{(n)}(s) = \int_{Y(F)} (\ln |f|)^n \cdot |f|^s \cdot \nu,$$

for each $n \geq 0$. Since $J(s)$ is analytic at $s = 0$, there exists some $R > 0$ and a constant $C > 0$, such that

$$|J^{(n)}(0)| = \int_{Y(F)} (-\ln |f|)^n \nu \leq C \cdot n! \cdot R^n.$$

But this implies that for $0 \leq t < R^{-1}$, one has

$$J(-t) = \int |f|^{-t} \nu = \int \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} (-\ln |f|)^n \nu \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C (tR)^n < \infty.$$

Hence, $L_-(a_0 - t) < \infty$ for $0 \leq t < R^{-1}$, contradicting the definition of a_0 . \square

We also need another type of extension of $\pi_{U, U_0, \kappa}$.

Lemma 5.4. *Assume that the pair (U_0, U) is $|\omega|^\kappa$ -nice. Assume also that for every point $y \in (U_0/G)(F)$, there exists a neighborhood $V_y \subset (U_0/G)(F)$ of y and a closed subset $Z_y \subset X(F)$, such that $Z_y \subset U(F)$, and for every $x \in U_0(F)$ mapping to V_y , one has $G(F)x \subset Z_y$. Then the pair (U_0, X) is $|\omega|^\kappa$ -nice.*

Proof. It is enough to prove the assertion about distributions $\pi_{X, U_0, \kappa}(\varphi)$ restricted to V_y , i.e., when ψ is supported on V_y . Set $W_y := X(F) \setminus Z_y$. Consider the open covering $X(F) = U(F) \cup W_y$. For every $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(X(F), |\omega|^\kappa)$, we can write

$$\varphi = \varphi_U + \varphi_{W_y},$$

where $\varphi_U \in \mathcal{S}(U(F), |\omega|^\kappa)$ and $\varphi_{W_y} \in \mathcal{S}(W_y, |\omega|^\kappa)$. Now by our assumptions, the distribution

$$\pi_{X, U_0, \kappa}(\varphi_U) = \pi_{U, U_0, \kappa}(\varphi_U)$$

is well defined and of class C^∞ , while $\pi_{X, U_0, \kappa}(\varphi_{W_y})|_{V_y} \equiv 0$. This immediately implies the assertion. \square

5.2. A version with smooth coarse moduli. Let X be a smooth G -scheme over F (where $G = \mathrm{GL}_N$), L a G -equivariant bundle on X , and $U_0 \subset X$ an open G -invariant subset such that there exists a smooth coarse moduli space U_0/G of $[U_0/G]$. In other words, U_0/G is a geometric quotient for the action of G on U_0 , but we do not require the action of G on U_0 to be free. Then the natural projection $U_0 \rightarrow U_0/G$ is smooth and its fibers are exactly G -orbits. Assume also that L descends to a line bundle \bar{L} on U_0/G . We have natural integration maps

$$\pi_{U_0, \kappa} : \mathcal{S}(U_0(F), |L|^\kappa)_{G(F)} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}((U_0/G)(F), |\bar{L}|^\kappa),$$

and the results of Section 5.1 about extensions of these maps to maps $\pi_{X, U_0, \kappa}$ of the form (5.2) are still valid.

6. APPLICATION TO Bun_G

6.1. Filtration of the stack of rank 2 bundles. Let C be a curve of genus $g \geq 2$. Let Bun_{L_0} denote the stack of rank 2 bundles E on C , equipped with an isomorphism $\det(E) \simeq L_0$, where L_0 is a fixed line bundle. Morphisms in this stack are isomorphisms compatible with the identifications of the determinant bundles. In particular, for $L_0 = \mathcal{O}$, $\mathrm{Bun}_{\mathcal{O}}$ is the stack of SL_2 -bundles on C .

It is well known that each stack Bun_{L_0} is admissible (but not of finite type). We denote by $\mathrm{Bun}_{L_0}^{ss} \subset \mathrm{Bun}_{L_0}$ the substack of semistable bundles.

Definition 6.1. For each n , we define an open substack $\mathrm{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n} \subset \mathrm{Bun}_{L_0}$ consisting of bundles E such that any line subbundle $A \subset E$ has $\deg(A) \leq n$.

The filtration $(\mathrm{Bun}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\leq n})$ plays an important role in the proof that the stack $\mathrm{Bun}_{\mathrm{SL}_2}$ is truncatable in [9, Sec. 6]. Note that $\mathrm{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq \lfloor \deg(L_0)/2 \rfloor}$ is exactly the substack of semistable bundles $\mathrm{Bun}_{L_0}^{ss}$.

Definition 6.2. For any n , we define $(\text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n})^0 \subset \text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n}$ to be the substack consisting of E such that for any degree n line subbundle $A \subset E$ one has $H^1(A^2L_0^{-1}) = 0$.

Lemma 6.3. (i) For $E \in \text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n}$, we have $E \in (\text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n})^0$ if and only if for any degree n line bundle A with $H^1(A^2L_0^{-1}) \neq 0$ one has $\text{Hom}(A, E) = 0$.

(ii) $(\text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n})^0$ is an open substack of $\text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n}$.

(iii) Every $E \in (\text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n})^0 \setminus \text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n-1}$ has form $E = A \oplus A^{-1}L_0$, where $\deg(A) = n$ and $H^1(A^2L_0^{-1}) = 0$.

(iv) $(\text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n})^0 = \text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n-1}$ unless $n \geq (g-1 + \deg(L_0))/2$.

(v) $(\text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n})^0 = \text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n}$ for $n > g-1 + \deg(L_0)/2$.

Proof. (i) We just have to note that if $A \rightarrow E$ is a nonzero morphism from a line bundle A of degree n then A is necessarily is a subbundle of E (otherwise, there would be a subbundle in E of degree $> n$).

(ii) The subvariety $Z \subset \text{Pic}^n(C)$ of A with $H^1(A^2L_0^{-1}) \neq 0$ is closed in $\text{Pic}^n(C)$, hence proper. Therefore, the locus of E such that $\text{Hom}(A, E) \neq 0$ for some $A \in Z$ is closed, and so its complement is open.

(iii) For such E there exists a line subbundle $A \subset E$ such that $\text{Ext}^1(A^{-1}L_0, A) \simeq H^1(A^2L_0^{-1}) = 0$, so the extension $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow E/A \rightarrow 0$, where $E/A \simeq A^{-1}L_0$, splits.

(iv) If $H^1(A^2L_0^{-1}) = 0$ then $\deg(A^2L_0^{-1}) = 2n - \deg(L_0) \geq g-1$. Hence, E cannot have a line subbundle A of degree n with $H^1(A^2L_0^{-1}) = 0$ unless $n \geq (g-1 + \deg(L_0))/2$.

(v) This follows from the fact that if $\deg(A^2L_0^{-1}) = 2n - \deg(L_0) > 2g-2$ then $H^1(A^2L_0^{-1}) = 0$. \square

Lemma 6.4. Assume $n \geq (g-1 + \deg(L_0))/2$, and

$$\text{Re}(\kappa) \cdot 2(2n - \deg(L_0)) - (2n - \deg(L_0) - g + 1) \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$$

(resp.,

$$\text{Re}(\kappa) \neq \frac{2n - \deg(L_0) - g + 1}{2(2n - \deg(L_0))}$$

in the non-archimedean case). Then for every point $x \in ((\text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n})^0 \setminus \text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n-1})(F)$, there exists a cocharacter $\lambda^\vee : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \text{Aut}(x)$ such that in the archimedean case, for any character $a \mapsto a^m$ of \mathbb{G}_m appearing in $S^\bullet H^0 T_{\text{Bun}_{L_0}}^*|_x$, one has

$$\text{Re}(\kappa) \cdot \langle \chi_{\mathcal{L}, x}, \lambda^\vee \rangle + m \neq -\langle \Delta_{\text{Aut}(x)}^{\text{alg}}, \lambda^\vee \rangle;$$

while in the non-archimedean case,

$$\text{Re}(\kappa) \cdot \langle \chi_{\omega, x}, \lambda^\vee \rangle \neq -\langle \Delta_{\text{Aut}(x)}^{\text{alg}}, \lambda^\vee \rangle.$$

Proof. By Lemma 6.3(iii), every E in $(\text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n})^0 \setminus \text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n-1}$ has form $E = A \oplus A^{-1}L_0$, with $\deg(A) = n$ and $H^1(A^2L_0^{-1}) = 0$. Note that this implies that $\deg(A^2L_0^{-1}) \geq g-1 > 0$, hence $H^0(A^{-2}L_0) = 0$.

One has

$$\underline{\text{End}}_0(E) \simeq \mathcal{O} \oplus A^2L_0^{-1} \oplus A^{-2}L_0.$$

Hence, the Lie algebra of automorphisms of E (with trivial determinant) is $H^0(\mathcal{O}) \oplus H^0(A^2L_0^{-1})$, and the fiber of the canonical line bundle of Bun_{L_0} at E is identified with

$$\omega_{\text{Bun}_{L_0}}|_E \simeq \det(H^1(\mathcal{O})^*) \otimes \det(H^1(A^{-2}L_0)^*) \otimes \det(H^0(A^2L_0)).$$

Let us consider the subgroup $\lambda^\vee : \mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(E)$ acting by (a, a^{-1}) on $A \oplus A^{-1}L_0$. Since the induced action of \mathbb{G}_m on $A^2L_0^{-1}$ (resp., $A^{-2}L_0$) has weight 2 (resp., -2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi_\omega, \lambda^\vee \rangle &= 2(\chi(C, A^2L_0^{-1}) - \chi(C, A^{-2}L_0)) = 4(2n - \deg(L_0)), \\ -\langle \Delta_{\text{Aut}(E)}^{alg}, \lambda^\vee \rangle &= 2\chi(C, A^2L_0^{-1}) = 2(2n - \deg(L_0) - g + 1) \end{aligned}$$

(here we use the vanishing of $h^1(A^2L_0^{-1})$).

Also, the \mathbb{G}_m -weights of $H^0T_{\text{Bun}_{L_0}, E}^* \simeq H^1(A^{-2}L_0)^* \oplus H^1(\mathcal{O})^*$ are 0 and 2. Hence, the weights on the symmetric algebra of $H^0T_{\text{Bun}_{L_0}, E}^*$ are non-negative even integers. Now the assertion follows from our assumption on κ . \square

6.2. Limits of very stable bundles. Recall that a rank 2 bundle E on C is *very stable* if any nilpotent Higgs field $\phi : E \rightarrow E \otimes \omega_C$ is zero. It is known that a very stable bundle is stable.

We need some information on bundles which can appear as specializations of very stable bundles. Recall that a bundle E_0 is a specialization of E if there exists a family of bundles on C , (E_s) , parametrized by an irreducible base S and a point $s_0 \in S$ such that $E_s \simeq E$ for $s \neq s_0$ and $E_{s_0} \simeq E_0$.

Lemma 6.5. *Let E be a very stable bundle with $\det(E) \simeq L_0$. Assume $E_0 \in \text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n}$, where $n \geq \deg(L_0)/2$, is such that $\text{Hom}(E, E_0) \neq 0$. Then $E_0 \in (\text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n})^0$. In particular, E does not specialize to any bundle in $\text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n} \setminus (\text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n})^0$, where $n \geq \deg(L_0)/2$.*

Proof. Assume that $\text{Hom}(E, E_0) \neq 0$ and $E_0 \in \text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n} \setminus (\text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n})^0$. Then there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow A^{-1}L_0 \rightarrow 0$$

with $\deg(A) = n$, where $H^1(A^2L_0^{-1}) \neq 0$. Since $n \geq \deg(L_0)/2$, we have $\deg(A^{-1}L_0) \leq \mu(E) = \deg(L_0)/2$, hence $\text{Hom}(E, A^{-1}L_0) = 0$ by the stability of E .

Since $\text{Hom}(E, E_0) \neq 0$, the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E, E_0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E, A^{-1}L_0) = 0$$

shows that $\text{Hom}(E, A) \neq 0$. Let $E \rightarrow A$ be a nonzero map. Such a map factors through a surjection $E \rightarrow A(-D)$, where D is an effective divisor. Therefore, E fits into an extension

$$0 \rightarrow A^{-1}L_0(D) \rightarrow E \rightarrow A(-D) \rightarrow 0$$

Next, we observe that

$$\text{Hom}(A, \omega_C A^{-1}L_0) \simeq H^0(\omega_C A^{-2}L_0) \simeq H^1(A^2L_0^{-1})^* \neq 0,$$

Hence, the composition

$$E \rightarrow A(-D) \rightarrow A \rightarrow \omega_C A^{-1}L_0 \rightarrow \omega_C A^{-1}L_0(D) \rightarrow KE$$

gives a nonzero nilpotent Higgs field on E , so E cannot be very stable. \square

Corollary 6.6. *For $n < (g - 1 + \deg(L_0))/2$, a very stable bundle E with determinant L_0 never specializes to a bundle in $\text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n}$ which is not isomorphic to E .*

Proof. Assume E specializes to $E_0 \in \text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n}$, where $E_0 \neq E$. Applying Lemma 6.5 iteratively, and taking into account Lemma 6.3(iv), we see that E_0 is semistable. But then the condition $\text{Hom}(E, E_0) \neq 0$ gives a contradiction, since E and E_0 have the same slope and E is stable. \square

The following result on possible specializations of very stable bundles is not needed for our argument but it clarifies the picture.

Proposition 6.7. *Let E be a rank 2 very stable bundle, and let E_0 be a specialization of E such that $E_0 \neq E$. Then $E \simeq A \oplus B$, where A and B are line bundles such that $\text{Ext}^1(B, A) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $L_0 := \det(E)$. By Corollary 6.6, $E_0 \in \text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n} \setminus \text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n-1}$ for some $n \geq (g - 1 + \deg(L_0))/2$. Hence, by Lemma 6.5, $E \in (\text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n})^0 \setminus \text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n-1}$, and the assertion follows from Lemma 6.3(iii). \square

6.3. Main theorems. Consider the open substack $\mathcal{M}_{L_0}^{vs} \subset \text{Bun}_{L_0}$ of very stable bundles, and let $M_{L_0}^{vs}$ be its coarse moduli space. The variety $M_{L_0}^{vs}$ is smooth and the line bundle ω on $\mathcal{M}_{L_0}^{vs}$ descends to ω on $M_{L_0}^{vs}$.

Theorem 6.8. *Assume that $g \geq 2$. For every open substack of the form $[X/\text{GL}_N]$ in Bun_{L_0} , let $X^{vs} \subset X$ denote the open subset corresponding to very stable bundles. Then for $\text{Re}(\kappa) \geq 1/2$, the pair (X^{vs}, X) is $|\omega|^\kappa$ -nice, so there is a well defined map*

$$\pi_\kappa : \mathcal{S}(\text{Bun}_{L_0}, |\omega|^\kappa) \rightarrow C^\infty(M_{L_0}^{vs}, |\omega|^\kappa),$$

where $\pi_\kappa(\varphi)$, viewed as a distribution, is given by absolutely convergent integrals (5.3).

Proof. First, let $X^s \subset X$ be the G -invariant open subset corresponding to stable bundles (where $G = \text{GL}_N$). Then we have a smooth geometric quotient X^s/G of the action of G on X^s , which implies that the pair (X^{vs}, X^s) is $|\omega|^\kappa$ -nice for any $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$.

Next, let us show that for $n < (g - 1 + \deg(L_0))/2$, the pair $(X^{vs}, X^{\leq n})$ is $|\omega|^\kappa$ -nice for any $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$, where $X^{\leq n} \subset X$ is the G -invariant open subset corresponding to $\text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n}$. Indeed, since $(\text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n})^0 = \text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n-1}$ for $n < (g - 1 + \deg(L_0))/2$ (see Lemma 6.3(iv)), by Lemma 6.5, for such n the G -orbits of points in X^{vs} are contained in X^s . Hence, the assertion follows from Lemma 5.4 applied to the embedding $X^s \hookrightarrow X^{\leq n}$. In more detail, given a compact set K of G -orbits in X^{vs}/G , we have a closed subset $Z_K \subset X(F)$ consisting of points corresponding to bundles E_0 such that $\text{Hom}(E, E_0) \neq 0$ for some $E \in K$. By Lemma 6.5, we have $Z_K \subset X^s(F)$. On the other hand, by semicontinuity of $\dim \text{Hom}(E, E_0)$, the closures of G -orbits in K are contained in Z_K . Hence, we can apply Lemma 6.5 to deduce that the pair $(X^{vs}, X^{\leq n})$ is $|\omega|^\kappa$ -nice.

Next, we will use induction on n to prove that for every n , the pair $(X^{vs}, X^{\leq n})$ is $|\omega|^\kappa$ -nice for $\text{Re}(\kappa) \geq 1/2$. For some $n \geq (g - 1 + \deg(L_0))/2$, let

$$X^{\leq n-1} \subset (X^{\leq n})^0 \subset X$$

denote the open subsets corresponding to the substacks $\text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n-1} \subset (\text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n})^0$. By the induction assumption (or the case $n < (g-1 + \deg(L_0))/2$), we can assume that the claim holds for $X^{\leq n-1}$, i.e, the pair $(X^{vs}, X^{\leq n-1})$ is $|\omega|^\kappa$ -nice.

Note that we have

$$\text{Re}(\kappa) \geq \frac{1}{2} > \frac{2n - \deg(L_0) - g + 1}{2(2n - \deg(L_0))}.$$

Hence, by Lemma 6.4, we can apply by Lemma 5.2 to the inclusion $[X^{\leq n-1}/G] \subset [(X^{\leq n})^0/G]$ and to the interval

$$(a, b) = \left(\frac{2n - \deg(L_0) - g + 1}{2(2n - \deg(L_0))}, b \right)$$

with b sufficiently large, and deduce that the pair $(X^{vs}, (X^{\leq n})^0)$ is $|\omega|^\kappa$ -nice.

Finally, we would like to apply Lemma 5.4 to the embedding $(X^{\leq n})^0 \subset X^{\leq n}$. We need to check that the assumptions of this lemma are satisfied. Given a compact set K of G -orbits in X^{vs}/G , we have a closed subset $Z_K \subset X(F)$ consisting of points corresponding to bundles E_0 such that $\text{Hom}(E, E_0) \neq 0$ for some $E \in K$. By Lemma 6.5, we have $Z_K \subset (X^{\leq n})^0(F)$. On the other hand, by semicontinuity of $\dim \text{Hom}(E, E_0)$, the closures of G -orbits in K are contained in Z_K . Hence, by Lemma 5.4, the pair $(X^{vs}, X^{\leq n})$ is $|\omega|^\kappa$ -nice.

Since $X^{\leq n} = X$ for sufficiently large n , the assertion follows. \square

In the non-archimedean case we can also prove the following boundedness result, which implies that the stack $\mathcal{S}(\text{Bun}_{L_0})$ is κ -bounded for each $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ in the terminology of [4, Def. 2.10].

Theorem 6.9. *Assume F is non-archimedean and $g \geq 2$.*

(i) *For $n > g - 2 + \deg(L_0)/2$ and $\text{Re}(\kappa) \geq 1/2$, the natural map*

$$\mathcal{S}(\text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n}, |\omega|^\kappa) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\text{Bun}_{L_0}, |\omega|^\kappa)$$

is an isomorphism.

(ii) *For any $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$, the map*

$$\mathcal{S}(\text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n}, |\omega|^\kappa) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\text{Bun}_{L_0}, |\omega|^\kappa)$$

is an isomorphism for large enough n .

Proof. (i) It suffices to prove that under these assumptions the map

$$\mathcal{S}(\text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n}, |\omega|^\kappa) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n+1}, |\omega|^\kappa) \tag{6.1}$$

is an isomorphism. We are going to apply Lemma 3.23(i) to the inclusion $\text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n} \hookrightarrow \text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n+1}$.

First of all, we observe that by Lemma 6.3(v), we have $\text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n+1} = (\text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n+1})^0$, so by Lemma 6.3(iii), every $E \in \text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n+1} \setminus \text{Bun}_{L_0}^{\leq n}$ has form $E = A \oplus A^{-1}L_0$, where $H^1(A^2L_0^{-1}) = 0$. In this case $\deg(A^2L_0^{-1}) > 0$, so $H^0(A^{-2}L_0) = 0$. It follows that the group $\text{Aut}(E)$ is the semidirect product of \mathbb{G}_m acting by (a, a^{-1}) on $A \oplus A^{-1}L_0$ with the additive group $\text{Hom}(A^{-1}L_0, A) = H^0(A^2L_0^{-1})$. Together with Lemma 6.4 this implies that the assumptions of Lemma 3.23(i) are satisfied and we deduce that the map (6.1) is an isomorphism.

(ii) Pick N such that $N > g - 2 + \deg(L_0)/2$ and $\text{Re}(\kappa) \neq \frac{2n - \deg(L_0) - g + 1}{2(2n - \deg(L_0))}$ for $n > N$. Then the same argument as in (i) shows that (6.1) is an isomorphism for $n \geq N$. \square

Note that Theorems 6.8 and 6.9 imply [4, Conj. 3.5(1)] for $G = \mathrm{SL}_2$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aizenbud, D. Gourevitch, *Schwartz functions on Nash manifolds*, IMRN(2008), no.5, Art. ID rnm 155, 37 pp.
- [2] A. Aizenbud, D. Gourevitch, *Smooth transfer of Kloosterman integrals (the archimedean case)*, Amer. J. Math. 135 (2013), no. 1, 143–182.
- [3] A. Aizenbud, D. Gourevitch, *Generalized Harich-Chandra descent, Gelfand pairs and an archimedean analog of Jacquet-Rallis’s theorem*, Duke Math. J. 149 (2009), no. 3, 509–567.
- [4] A. Braverman, D. Kazhdan, *Automorphic functions on moduli spaces of bundles on curves over local fields: a survey*, arXiv: 2112.08139.
- [5] A. Braverman, D. Kazhdan, A. Polishchuk, *Hecke operators for curves over non-archimedean local fields and related finite rings*, arXiv:2305.09595.
- [6] J. Bernstein, *Representations of p -adic Groups*, Notes of lectures at Harvard Univ., 1992, available at math.tau.ac.il/~bernstei.
- [7] J. Bernstein, A. Zelevinsky, *Representations of the group $GL(n, F)$, where F is a local non-Archimedean field*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 31 (1976), no. 3, 5–70.
- [8] P. Cartier, *Representations of p -adic groups: a survey*, in *Automorphic forms, representations and L -functions (Corvallis, Ore., 1977)*, Part 1, 111–155, AMS, Providence, RI, 1979.
- [9] V. Drinfeld, D. Gaitsgory, *Compact generation of the category of D -modules on the stack of G -bundles on a curve*, Camb. J. Math. 3 (2015), no. 1-2, 19–125.
- [10] P. Etingof, E. Frenkel, D. Kazhdan, *An analytic version of the Langlands correspondence for complex curves*, arXiv:1908.09677.
- [11] P. Etingof, E. Frenkel, D. Kazhdan, *Hecke operators and analytic Langlands correspondence for curves over local fields*, arXiv:2103.01509.
- [12] P. Etingof, E. Frenkel, D. Kazhdan, *A general framework for the analytic Langlands correspondence*, arXiv:2311.03743.
- [13] D. Gaitsgory, D. Kazhdan, *Algebraic groups over a 2-dimensional local field: some further constructions*, in *Studies in Lie theory*, 97–130, Birkhäuser Boston, MA, 2006
- [14] A. Grothendieck, *Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires*, Mem. AMS 16 (1955).
- [15] Y. Sakellaridis, *The Schwartz space of a smooth semi-algebraic stack*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 22 (2016), no. 4, 2401–2490.
- [16] *Stacks project*, at stacks.math.columbia.edu.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, ONTARIO, CANADA
Email address: braval@math.toronto.edu

EINSTEIN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM, JERUSALEM 91904, ISRAEL
Email address: kazhdan@math.huji.ac.il

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE, OR 97403, USA; NATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, MOSCOW, RUSSIA
Email address: apolish@uoregon.edu