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#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the notion of the diagonal property and the weak point property for an ind-variety. We prove that the ind-varieties of higher rank divisors of integral slopes on a smooth projective curve have the weak point property. Moreover, we show that the ind-variety of $(1, n)$-divisors has the diagonal property. Furthermore, we obtain that the Hilbert schemes associated to the good partitions of a constant polynomial satisfy the diagonal property. In the process of obtaining this, we provide the exact number of such Hilbert schemes up to isomorphism by proving that the multi symmetric products associated to two distinct partitions of a positive integer $n$ are not isomorphic.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety over the field of complex numbers. By the diagonal subscheme of $X$, denoted by $\Delta_{X}$, one means the image of the embedding $\delta: X \rightarrow X \times X$ given by $\delta(x)=(x, x)$, where $x \in X$. This subscheme plays a central role in intersection theory. In fact, to get hold of the fundamental classes of any subschemes of a variety $X$, it's enough to know the fundamental class of the diagonal $\Delta_{X}$ of $X$, (cf. [14]).

In this paper, we talk about the diagonal property and the weak point property of some varieties. Broadly speaking, the diagonal property of a variety $X$ is a property which demands a special structure of the diagonal $\Delta_{X}$ and therefore very significant to study from the viewpoint of intersection theory. Moreover, being directly related to the diagonal subscheme $\Delta_{X}$, this property imposes strong conditions on the variety $X$ itself. For example, this property is responsible for the existence or non-existence of cohomologically trivial line
bundles on $X$. The weak point property is also very much similar to diagonal property but a much weaker one. Both of these notions were introduced in [15]. Many mathematicians have studied about the diagonal property and the weak point property of varieties, (cf. [6], [7], [9]). In this paper, we introduce these two notions for an ind-variety, that is an inductive system of varieties and showed that the ind-varieties of higher rank divisors of integral slope on a smooth projective curve $C$ satisfy these properties. Also, we show that some Hilbert schemes associated to good partitions of a constant polynomial satisfy the diagonal property.

Before mentioning the results obtained in this paper more specifically, let us fix some notations which we are going to use repeatedly. We denote by $\mathbb{C}$ the field of complex numbers. In this paper, by $C$ we always mean a smooth projective curve over $\mathbb{C}$. The notation $\mathcal{O}_{C}$ is reserved for the structure sheaf over $C$. For a given divisor $D$ on $C$, by $\mathcal{O}_{C}(D)$ we mean the corresponding line bundle over $C$ and denote its degree by $\operatorname{deg}(D)$. By $\operatorname{Sym}^{d}(C)$ we denote the $d$-th symmetric power of the curve $C$. For a given positive integer $n$ and a locally free sheaf (equivalently, a vector bundle) $\mathcal{F}$ over $C$, by $\mathcal{F}^{n}$ we mean the direct sum of $n$ many copies of $\mathcal{F}$. By Quot ${ }_{\mathcal{G}}^{d}$ we denote the Quot scheme parametrizing all torsion quotients of $\mathcal{G}$ having degree $d, \mathcal{G}$ being any coherent sheaf on $C$. For a given polynomial $P(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$, we denote the Quot scheme parametrizing all torsion quotients of $\mathcal{G}$ having Hilbert polynomial $P(t)$ by $\operatorname{Quot}_{\mathcal{G}}^{P}$.

Let us now go through the chronology of this paper in a bit more detail. The manuscript is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we recall the definitions of the diagonal property and the weak point property for a smooth projective variety and talk about a relation between these two properties. Moreover, for a smooth projective curve $C$ over $\mathbb{C}$, we recall a couple of relevant results about the variety $\operatorname{Sym}^{d}(C)$ and the Quot scheme Quot ${ }_{\mathcal{O}_{C}{ }^{d} \text {. In Section 3, we }}$ recall the definition of $(r, n)$-divisors on $C \&$ the ind-variety made out of such divisors. We then precisely define, what we mean by the diagonal property and the weak point property of an ind-variety and prove the following theorems followed by that.

Theorem 1.1. Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve over $\mathbb{C}$. Also let $r \geq 1$ and $n$ be two integers. Then the ind-variety of $(r, n)$-divisors having integral slope on $C$ has the weak point property.

Theorem 1.2. Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve over $\mathbb{C}$ and $n$ any given integer. Then the ind-variety of $(1, n)$-divisors on $C$ has the diagonal property.

In Section 4, we deal with the Hilbert scheme associated to a polynomial $P$ and its good partition. E. Bifet has dealt with these schemes in [2]. Moreover, he showed that the Quot scheme Quot ${ }_{\mathcal{O}_{C}^{r}}^{P}$ can be written as disjoint union of some smooth, the torus $\mathbb{G}_{m}^{r}$-invariant, locally closed vector bundles over the mentioned Hilbert schemes. Here, we talk about the diagonal property of such Hilbert schemes and found the exact number of such schemes. Towards that, we first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 1.3. Let $n$ be a given positive integer. Then any partition of $n$ is also a good partition of $n$ and vice versa.

In the Lemma 1.3, we interpret the integer $n$ as a constant polynomial and therefore it makes sense to talk about good partition of $n$. We then deal with the products of projective spaces corresponding to distinct partitions of same length of a given integer $n$ and show the following:

Proposition 1.4. Let $n$ be a positive integer. Let $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{s}\right)$ and $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{s}\right)$ be two distinct partitions of $n$ of same length $s$. Then $\mathbb{P}^{m_{1}} \times \mathbb{P}^{m_{2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{m_{s}}$ is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{n_{1}} \times \mathbb{P}^{n_{2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_{s}}$.

By a multi symmetric product of $C$ of type $\left[\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}\right), n\right]$ we mean the product $\operatorname{Sym}^{n_{1}}(C) \times \operatorname{Sym}^{n_{2}}(C) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Sym}^{n_{r}}(C),\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)$ being a partition of $n$. Then we look upon the multi symmetric products corresponding to partitions of different lengths and prove that they are not isomorphic by showing that their first Betti number differ. Specifically, we obtain :

Proposition 1.5. Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve over $\mathbb{C}$ of genus $g$ with $g \geq 1$. Let $n$ be a positive integer, and $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)$ and $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{s}\right)$ two distinct partitions of $n$ of different lengths. Then the multi symmetric product of $C$ of type $\left[\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}\right), n\right]$ and $\left[\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{s}\right), n\right]$ are not isomorphic.

Using Lemma 1.3, Proposition 1.4 and Proposition 1.5, we obtain the following theorem :
Theorem 1.6. Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve over $\mathbb{C}$ and $n$ a positive integer. Let $p(n)$ denote the number of partitions of $n$. Then the following hold:
(1) There are at most $p(n)$ many Hilbert schemes $\operatorname{Hilb} \frac{n}{C}$ (up to isomorphism) associated to the constant polynomial $n$ and its good partitions $\underline{n}$ satisfying diagonal property.
(2) Moreover, this upper bound is attained by any genus 0 curve $C$ and hence is sharp.
(3) Furthermore, for $n=1,2,3$, the upper bound is attained by any curve $C$.

We further look at the multi symmetric products corresponding to distinct partitions of same length of a given integer and check whether they are isomorphic or not. In that context, we obtain:

Proposition 1.7. Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve over $\mathbb{C}$ of genus $g$ with $g \geq 1$. Let $n$ be a positive integer, and $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)$ and $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ two distinct partitions of $n$ of same length. Then the multi symmetric product of $C$ of type $\left[\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}\right), n\right]$ and $\left[\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{r}\right), n\right]$ are not isomorphic.

We prove Proposition 1.7 by breaking it down into two cases, namely $\min \left\{n_{r}, m_{r}\right\} \leq 2 g-1$ and $\min \left\{n_{r}, m_{r}\right\} \geq 2 g-1$. For the first case, we prove using Betti numbers of the involved multi symmetric products (cf. Proposition 4.15). We use the projective bundle nature of symmetric products for the later case (cf. Proposition 4.18).

Using Proposition 1.7, we further strengthen Theorem 1.6 to the maximum possible extent. Precisely, we obtain :

Theorem 1.8. Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve over $\mathbb{C}$ and $n$ a positive integer. Let $p(n)$ denote the number of partitions of $n$. Then there are exactly $p(n)$ many Hilbert schemes Hilb $\frac{n}{C}$ (up to isomorphism) associated to the constant polynomial $n$ and its good partitions $\underline{n}$ satisfying diagonal property.

## 2. On the diagonal property and the weak point property of a variety

In this section, we recall the notions of the diagonal property and the weak point property of a variety and talk about relations between these two properties. Moreover, for a smooth projective curve $C$ over $\mathbb{C}$, we recall a couple of relevant results about the variety $\operatorname{Sym}^{d}(C)$ and the Quot scheme Quot ${ }_{\mathcal{O}_{C}^{n}}^{d}$.

Let us begin with the precise definitions of the diagonal property and the weak point property of a variety.

Definition 2.1. Let $X$ be a variety over the field of complex numbers. Then $X$ is said to have the diagonal property if there exists a vector bundle $E \rightarrow X \times X$ of rank equal to the dimension of $X$, and a global section $s$ of $E$ such that the zero scheme $Z(s)$ of $s$ coincides with the diagonal $\Delta_{X}$ in $X \times X$.

Definition 2.2. Let $X$ be a variety over the field of complex numbers. Then $X$ is said to have the weak point property if there exists a vector bundle $F \rightarrow X$ of rank equal to the dimension of $X$, and a global section $t$ of $F$ such that the zero scheme $Z(s)$ of $s$ is a reduced point of $X$.

Remark 2.3. It can be noted immediately that for a variety, having the weak point property is in fact a weaker condition than having the diagonal property. To prove this precisely, let's stick to the notations of Definition 2.1 and 2.2. Let us choose a point $x_{0} \in X$. Then $Z\left(\left.s\right|_{X \times\left\{x_{0}\right\}}\right)=\left\{x_{0}\right\}$. Therefore, the diagonal property implies the weak point property.

We now quickly go through some results related to the diagonal property and the weak point property of two varieties which arise very naturally from a given curve $C$. To be specific, we look upon the varieties $\operatorname{Sym}^{d}(C)$ and Quot $_{\mathcal{O}_{C}^{n}}^{d}$. We mention a couple of results in this context. These are due to [4].

Theorem 2.4. Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve over $\mathbb{C}$. Then, the d-th symmetric product $\operatorname{Sym}^{d}(C)$ of the curve $C$ has the diagonal property for any positive integer $d$.

Proof. See [4, Theorem 3.1, p. 447].
Theorem 2.5. Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $d$ and $n$ be two given positive integer such that $n \mid d$. Then the Quot scheme Quot $_{\mathcal{O}_{C}^{n}}^{d}$ parametrizing the torsion quotients of $\mathcal{O}_{C}^{n}$ of degree d has the weak point property.

Proof. See [4, Theorem 2.2, p. 446-447].
Remark 2.6. Let us discuss about the hypothesis of Theorem 2.5. Firstly, positivity of the integer $n$ is necessary as we are talking about the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{C}^{n}$. Moreover, if we assume that $d$
is a positive integer and $n \mid d$, then there exists a positive integer $r$ such that $d=n r$. The positivity of this integer $r$ is heavily used in the proof. Indeed, the authors first showed that to prove Theorem 2.5, it is enough to show that the Quot scheme Quot ${ }_{L^{n}}^{d}$ has the weak point property for some degree $r$ line bundle $L$ over $C$. Now the line bundle $L$ is taken to be the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{C}\left(r x_{0}\right)$, where $x_{0} \in X$. Now positivity of $r$ gives the natural inclusion $i: \mathcal{O}_{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C}\left(r x_{0}\right)$. This in turn gives the following short exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C}\left(r x_{0}\right)^{n} \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the torsion sheaf $T$ as in (1) lies in the sheaf Quot $_{\mathcal{O}_{C}\left(r x_{0}\right)^{n}}^{d}$, the sheaf they wanted to work on to prove the required result. So, positivity of $d$ has a huge role to play in the proof.

Remark 2.7. It is worthwhile to note a connection between Theorem $2.4 \& 2.5$. If we take, $n=1$, then Theorem 2.5 says that for any positive integer $d$, the Quot scheme Quot ${ }_{\mathcal{O}_{C}}^{d}$ has the weak point property. As, $\operatorname{Sym}^{d}(C) \cong$ Quot $_{\mathcal{O}_{C}}^{d}$, by Remark 2.3, Theorem 2.5 follows from Theorem 2.4 for $n=1$ case.
3. Higher rank divisors on a curve, corresponding ind-varieties and the diagonal \& the weak point property

In this section, we recall the definition of higher rank divisors on a curve, corresponding ind-varieties and quasi-isomorphism between them. Then we introduce the notion of the diagonal property and the weak point property for an ind-variety in general and prove some results about the ind-varieties of higher rank divisor in particular.

Let us denote by $K$ the field of rational functions on $C$, thought as a constant $\mathcal{O}_{C}$-module.
Definition 3.1. A divisor of rank $r$ and degree $n$ over $C$ is a coherent sub $\mathcal{O}_{C}$-module of $K^{\oplus r}$ having rank $r$ and degree $n$. This is denoted by $(r, n)$-divisor.

Remark 3.2. Since we take $C$ to be smooth, these $(r, n)$-divisors coincide with the matrix divisors defined by A. Weil, (cf. [16]).

Let us denote the set of all $(r, n)$-divisors on $C$ by $\operatorname{Div}^{r, n}$. Let $D$ be an effective divisor of degree $d$ over $C$. Then corresponding to $D$, let us define the following subset of Div ${ }^{r, n}$, denoted by $\operatorname{Div}^{r, n}(D)$ as follows:

Definition 3.3. $\operatorname{Div}^{r, n}(D):=\left\{E \in \operatorname{Div}^{r, n} \mid E \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{C}(D)^{\oplus r}\right\}$.
Then clearly we have, $\operatorname{Div}^{r, n}=\bigcup_{D \geq 0} \operatorname{Div}^{r, n}(D)$. Also, the elements of $\operatorname{Div}^{r, n}(D)$ can be identified with the rational points of the Quot scheme Quot O $_{\mathcal{O}_{C}(D)^{r}}^{m}$, where $m=r \cdot \operatorname{deg}(D)-n$. Therefore taking $D=\mathcal{O}_{C}$, we can say that the elements of $\operatorname{Div}^{r, n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}\right)$ can be identified with the rational points of the Quot scheme Quot ${ }_{\mathcal{O}_{C}^{r}}^{-n}$.

Let us now recall what one means by a inductive system of varieties.
Definition 3.4. An ind-variety $\mathbf{X}=\left\{X_{\lambda}, f_{\lambda \mu}\right\}_{\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda}$ is an inductive system of complex algebraic varieties $X_{\lambda}$ indexed by some filtered ordered set $\Lambda$. That is to say, an ind-variety is a collection $\left\{X_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of complex algebraic varieties, where $\Lambda$ is some filtered ordered set,
along with the morphisms $f_{\lambda \mu}: X_{\lambda} \rightarrow X_{\mu}$ of varieties for every $\lambda \leq \mu$ such that the following diagrams commute for every $\lambda \leq \mu \leq \nu$.


Taking the indexing set $\Lambda$ to be the set of effective divisors on $C$, we have the inclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Div}^{r, n}\left(D_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Div}^{r, n}\left(D_{\beta}\right), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

induced by the closed immersion $\mathcal{O}_{C}\left(D_{\alpha}\right)^{\oplus r} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C}\left(D_{\beta}\right)^{\oplus r}$ for any pair of effective divisors $D_{\alpha}, D_{\beta}$ satisfying $D_{\alpha} \leq D_{\beta}$.

Definition 3.5. The ind-variety determined by the inductive system consisting of the varieties $\operatorname{Div}^{r, n}(D)$ and the closed immersions as in (2) is denoted by Div $^{r, n}$.

Now we are going to consider another ind-variety. Given any effective divisor $D$ on $C$, we consider a complex algebraic variety $Q^{r, n}(D)$ defined as follows.

Definition 3.6. $Q^{r, n}(D):=\operatorname{Quot}_{\mathcal{O}_{C}^{r}}^{n+r \cdot \operatorname{deg}(D)}$.
Let $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ be any two effective divisors with $D_{2} \geq D_{1}$. Denoting $D_{2}-D_{1}$ as $D$, we have the following structure map denoted by $\mathcal{O}_{C}(-D)$.

$$
\mathcal{O}_{C}(-D): \operatorname{Quot}_{\mathcal{O}_{C}^{r}}^{n+r \cdot \operatorname{deg}\left(D_{1}\right)} \rightarrow \operatorname{Quot}_{\mathcal{O}_{C}^{r}}^{n+r \cdot \operatorname{deg}\left(D_{2}\right)}
$$

where the map $\mathcal{O}_{C}(-D)$ means tensoring the submodules with $\mathcal{O}_{C}(-D)$. Elaborately, let $(\mathcal{F}, q) \in \operatorname{Quot}_{\mathcal{O}_{C}^{r}}^{n+r \cdot \operatorname{deg}\left(D_{1}\right)}$. Therefore we have the following exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(q) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C}^{r} \xrightarrow{q} \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where degree of $\mathcal{F}$ is $n+r \cdot \operatorname{deg}\left(D_{1}\right)$ and hence degree of $\operatorname{Ker}(q)$ is $-n-r \cdot \operatorname{deg}\left(D_{1}\right)$. Tensoring this by $\mathcal{O}_{C}(-D)$ we get,

$$
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(q) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C}(-D)^{r} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-D) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Here $\operatorname{deg}\left(\operatorname{Ker}(q) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-D)\right)=r \cdot\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(D_{1}\right)-\operatorname{deg}\left(D_{2}\right)\right)-n-r \cdot \operatorname{deg}\left(D_{1}\right)=-n-r \cdot \operatorname{deg}\left(D_{2}\right)$. Now as $\mathcal{O}_{C}(-D)^{r}$ sits inside $\mathcal{O}_{C}^{r}, \operatorname{Ker}(q) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-D)$ also sits inside $\mathcal{O}_{C}^{r}$. Therefore we now get the following exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(q) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C}^{r} \xrightarrow{q_{1}} \mathcal{F}_{1} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $\operatorname{deg}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right)=n+r \cdot \operatorname{deg}\left(D_{2}\right)$. Hence, $\mathcal{F}_{1} \in \operatorname{Quot}_{\mathcal{O}_{C}^{r}}^{n+r \cdot \operatorname{deg}\left(D_{2}\right)}$. Thus, the map $\mathcal{O}_{C}(-D)$ : $\operatorname{Quot}_{\mathcal{O}_{C}^{r}}^{n+r \cdot \operatorname{deg}\left(D_{1}\right)} \rightarrow \operatorname{Quot}_{\mathcal{O}_{C}^{r}}^{n+r \cdot \operatorname{deg}\left(D_{2}\right)}$ given by $(\mathcal{F}, q) \mapsto\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}, q_{1}\right)$ is well defined. Therefore for $D_{2} \geq D_{1}$ we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O}_{C}(-D): Q^{r, n}\left(D_{1}\right) \rightarrow Q^{r, n}\left(D_{2}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 3.7. The ind-variety determined by the inductive system consisting of the varieties $Q^{r, n}(D)$ and the morphisms as in (3) is denoted by $\mathbf{Q}^{r, n}$.

Let us clarify what we mean by a good enough morphism in the category of ind-varieties.
Definition 3.8. Let $\mathbf{X}=\left\{X_{D}, f_{D D_{1}}\right\}_{D, D_{1} \in \mathcal{D}}$ and $\mathbf{Y}=\left\{Y_{D}, g_{D D_{1}}\right\}_{D, D_{1} \in \mathcal{D}}$ be two inductive system of complex algebraic varieties, where $\mathcal{D}$ is the ordered set of all effective divisors on $C$. Then by a morphism $\boldsymbol{\Phi}=\left\{\alpha,\left\{\phi_{D}\right\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}\right\}$ from $\mathbf{X}$ to $\mathbf{Y}$ we mean an order preserving map $\alpha: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ together with a family of morphisms $\phi_{D}: X_{D} \rightarrow Y_{\alpha(D)}$ satisfying the following commutative diagrams for all $D, D_{1} \in \mathcal{D}$ with $D \leq D_{1}$.


Remark 3.9. Note that $\alpha: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ being an order preserving map, $D \leq D_{1} \Rightarrow \alpha(D) \leq$ $\alpha\left(D_{1}\right)$. Therefore the map $g_{\alpha(D) \alpha\left(D_{1}\right)}: Y_{\alpha(D)} \rightarrow Y_{\alpha\left(D_{1}\right)}$ makes sense.

Definition 3.10. Let $\mathbf{X}=\left\{X_{D}, f_{D D_{1}}\right\}_{D, D_{1} \in \mathcal{D}}$ and $\mathbf{Y}=\left\{Y_{D}, g_{D D_{1}}\right\}_{D, D_{1} \in \mathcal{D}}$ be two inductive system of complex algebraic varieties. Then a morphism $\mathbf{\Phi}=\left\{\alpha,\left\{\phi_{D}\right\}_{D \in \mathcal{D}}\right\}$ from $\mathbf{X}$ to $\mathbf{Y}$ is said to be a quasi-isomorphism if
(a) $\alpha(\mathcal{D})$ is a cofinal subset of $\mathcal{D}$,
(b) given any integer $n$ there exists $D_{n} \in \mathcal{D}$ such that for all $D \geq D_{n}, \phi_{D}: X_{D} \rightarrow Y_{\alpha(D)}$ is an open immersion and codimension of $Y_{\alpha(D)}-\phi_{D}\left(X_{D}\right)$ in $Y_{\alpha(D)}$ is greater than $n$, i.e for $D \gg 0$ the maps $\phi_{D}: X_{D} \rightarrow Y_{\alpha(D)}$ are open immersion and very close to being surjective.

Now we recall an important theorem which talks about the quasi-isomorphism between the ind-varieties defined in Definition 3.5 and 3.7.

Theorem 3.11. There is a natural quasi-isomorphism between the ind-varieties $\mathbf{D i v}^{r, n}$ and $\mathbf{Q}^{r,-n}$.

Proof. See [3, Remark, page-647]. Infact, let $D$ be an effective divisor on $C$ of degree $d$. Let $(\mathcal{F}, q) \in \operatorname{Quot}_{\mathcal{O}_{C}(D)^{r}}^{r d-n}$. Then we have the following exact sequence.

$$
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(q) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C}(D)^{r} \xrightarrow{q} \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $\operatorname{deg}(\mathcal{F})=r d-n$. Tensoring this with $\mathcal{O}_{C}(-D)$ we get,

$$
0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(q) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C}^{r} \xrightarrow{q_{1}} \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-D) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $\operatorname{deg}\left(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-D)\right)=r d-n$. Hence, $\left(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-D), q_{1}\right) \in \operatorname{Quot}_{\mathcal{O}_{C}^{r}}^{r d-n}$. So we get a map Quot ${ }_{\mathcal{O}_{C}(D)^{r}}^{r d-n} \rightarrow$ Quot $_{\mathcal{O}_{C}^{r}}^{r d-n}$. Restricting this map to the rational points of Quot ${ }_{\mathcal{O}_{C}(D)^{r}}^{r d-n}$, we obtain a map $\operatorname{Div}^{r, n}(D) \rightarrow Q^{r,-n}(D)$. This map in turn will induce the required quasiisomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Div}^{r, n} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}^{r,-n}
$$

Remark 3.12. By Theorem 3.11, we can interpret $\mathbf{Q}^{r,-n}$ as the ind-variety of $(r, n)$-divisors on $C$.

Now we are in a stage to describe what we mean by the diagonal property and the weak point property of an ind-variety. In this regard, we have couple of definitions as follows. The notion of smoothness of an ind-variety (cf. [3, $\S 2, ~ p .643])$ motivates us to define the following two notions relevant to our context.

Definition 3.13. Let $\Lambda$ be a filtered ordered set. Let $X=\left\{X_{\lambda}, f_{\lambda \mu}\right\}_{\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda}$ be an ind-variety. Then $X$ is said to have the diagonal property (respectively weak point property) if there exists some $\lambda_{0} \in \Lambda$ such that for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_{0}$, the varieties $X_{\lambda}$ 's have the diagonal property (respectively weak point property).

Let us now associate a rational number to a given higher rank divisor. In fact, this number helps us to find some ind-varieties having the diagonal property and weak point property.

Definition 3.14. For a given $(r, n)$-divisor, the rational number $\frac{n}{r}$ is said to its slope.
We now prove a couple of theorems about the diagonal property and weak point property of ind-varieties of $(r, n)$-divisors, when the rational number as in Definition 3.14 is in fact an integer.

Theorem 3.15. Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve over $\mathbb{C}$. Also let $r \geq 1$ and $n$ be two integers. Then the ind-variety of $(r, n)$-divisors having integral slope on $C$ has the weak point property.

Proof. It can be noted that a $(r, n)$-divisor is of integral slope if and only if $n$ is an integral multiple of $r$, by Definition 3.14. Therefore, the ind-variety $\mathbf{D i v}^{r, k r}$, or equivalently $\mathbf{Q}^{r,-k r}$ by Remark 3.12, is the ind-variety of higher rank divisors of integral slope.

Let $D$ be an effective divisor of degree $d$ on $C$. Then we have, $Q^{r,-n}(D)=$ Quot $_{\mathcal{O}_{C}^{r}}^{r d-n}$ by Definition 3.6. Now if $n=r k$ for some integer $k$, then $Q^{r,-r k}(D)=\operatorname{Quot}_{\mathcal{O}_{C}^{r}}^{r d-r k}=\operatorname{Quot}_{\mathcal{O}_{C}^{r}}^{r(d-k)}$. Now let's pick an effective divisor $D_{0}$ of degree $d_{0}$ satisfying the inequality $d_{0}>k$. Then for all $D \geq D_{0}$ and $n=r k$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}(D) \geq \operatorname{deg}\left(D_{0}\right)=d_{0}>k \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{r,-n}(D)=Q^{r,-r k}(D)=\operatorname{quot}_{\mathcal{O}_{C}^{r}}^{r(\operatorname{deg}(D)-k)} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $r(\operatorname{deg}(D)-k)$ is a positive integer by (4). Therefore, by Theorem 2.5, Definition 3.13 and (5), the ind-variety $\mathbf{Q}^{r,-k r}$ has the weak point property. Hence we have the assertion.

Theorem 3.16. Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve over $\mathbb{C}$ and $n$ any given integer. Then the ind-variety of $(1, n)$-divisors on $C$ has the diagonal property.

Proof. Let $D$ be an effective divisor of degree $d$ on $C$. Then we have, $Q^{1,-n}(D)=$ Quot $_{\mathcal{O}_{C}}^{d-n}$. Now let's pick an effective divisor $D_{1}$ of degree $d_{1}$ satisfying the inequality $d_{1}>n$. Then for all $D \geq D_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg}(D) \geq \operatorname{deg}\left(D_{1}\right)=d_{1}>n \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{1,-n}(D)=\operatorname{Quot}_{\mathcal{O}_{C}}^{\operatorname{deg}(D)-n} \cong \operatorname{Sym}^{\operatorname{deg}(D)-n}(C) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\operatorname{deg}(D)-n$ is a positive integer by (6). Therefore, by Theorem 2.4, Definition 3.13 and (7), the ind-variety $\mathbf{Q}^{1,-n}$ of all $(1, n)$-divisors has the diagonal property.

Remark 3.17. It can be noted a particular case of Theorem 3.15, namely the case $r=1$, follows from Theorem 3.16 and Remark 2.3.

## 4. The diagonal property of the Hilbert schemes associated to a constant polynomial and its good partitions

In this section, we talk about the Hilbert schemes associated to a polynomial and its good partitions. First we mention the importance of studying such Hilbert schemes and then show that few of these Hilbert schemes satisfy the diagonal property. Moreover, we provide an upper bound on the number of such Hilbert schemes.

Let $P(t)$ be a polynomial with rational coefficients. We use the notation Hilb ${ }_{C}^{P}$ to denote the Hilbert scheme parametrizing all subschemes of $C$ having Hilbert polynomial $P(t)$. Let $n$ be a positive integer. Then interpreting $n$ as a constant polynomial, by $\operatorname{Hilb}_{C}^{n}$ we mean the Hilbert scheme parametrizing subschemes of $C$ having Hilbert polynomial $n$. Let us recall the notion of a good partition of a polynomial and a Hilbert scheme associated to that.

Definition 4.1. Let $\underline{P}=\left(P_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{s}$ be a family of polynomials with rational coefficients. Then $\underline{P}$ is said to be a good partition of $P$ if $\sum_{i=1}^{s} P_{i}=P$ and $\operatorname{Hilb}_{C}^{P_{i}} \neq \phi$ for all $i$.

Definition 4.2. The Hilbert scheme associated to a polynomial $P$ and its good partition $\underline{P}$, denoted by $\operatorname{Hilb} \frac{P}{C}$, is defined as $\operatorname{Hilb} \frac{P}{C}:=\operatorname{Hilb}_{C}^{P_{1}} \times_{\mathbb{C}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hilb}_{C}^{P_{s}}$.

Remark 4.3. At this point it is worthwhile to mention the importance of the Hilbert scheme Hilb $\frac{P}{C}$. Recall that by Quot ${ }_{\mathcal{F}}^{P}$ we denote the Quot scheme parametrizing all torsion quotients of $\mathcal{F}$ having having Hilbert polynomial $P(t)$. We have a decomposition of $\operatorname{Quot}_{\mathcal{O}_{C}^{r}}^{P}$ as follows, whenever Quot ${ }_{\mathcal{O}_{C}^{r}}^{P}$ is smooth.

$$
\operatorname{Quot}_{\mathcal{O}_{C}^{r}}^{P}=\bigsqcup_{\substack{\text { is a } \underline{\text { Pood partition that } P}}}^{\bigsqcup_{\underline{P}},}
$$

where each $\mathcal{S}_{P}$ is smooth, the torus $\mathbb{G}_{m}^{r}$-invariant, locally closed and isomorphic to a vector bundle over the scheme $\operatorname{Hilb} \frac{P}{C}$, (cf. [2, p. 610]). Therefore, the cohomology of Quot ${ }_{\mathcal{O}_{C}^{r}}^{P}$ can be given by the direct sum of the cohomologies of $\operatorname{Hilb} \frac{P}{C}$, where the sum varies over the good partitions of the polynomial $P$. So to study the cohomology ring $H^{*}\left(\operatorname{Quot}_{\mathcal{O}_{C}^{r}}^{P}\right)$, it is enough the cohomology rings $H^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hilb} \frac{P}{C}\right), \underline{P}$ being good partition of the polynomial $P$. Now, to get hold of the cohomology rings $H^{*}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{\bar{C}}\right)$, it's nice to get hold of the structure of the Hilbert scheme Hilb $\frac{P}{C}$. Now, as the diagonal property and the weak point property force strong conditions on the underlying variety (cf. [15]), therefore to the study the cohomology of Quot $\mathcal{O}_{C}^{r}$ it's reasonable enough to check whether the Hilbert schemes Hilb $\frac{P}{C}$ 's posses these properties or not.

Remark 4.3 motivates us to talk about the diagonal property of the Hilbert schemes associated to a constant polynomial and some particular good partitions of the same. Towards that, we have the following lemma followed by a definition.

Definition 4.4. Let $n$ be a positive integer. Then a partition of $n$ of length $s$ is given by a $s$-tuple $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{s}\right)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{r} n_{i}=n$ and $n_{1} \geq n_{2} \geq \cdots \geq n_{s}>0$ for all $i$. The integers $n_{i}$ 's are called parts of the partition $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{s}\right)$.

Lemma 4.5. Let $n$ be a given positive integer. Then any partition of $n$ is also a good partition of $n$ and vice versa.

Proof. Let $n$ be a positive integer. As $n_{i}>0$ and $\operatorname{Hilb}_{C}^{n_{i}}$ is isomorphic to the moduli space $\operatorname{Sym}^{n_{i}}(C)$ of effective divisors of degree $n_{i}$ over $C$, we have $\operatorname{Hilb}_{C}^{n_{i}} \neq \emptyset$ for all $i$. Therefore, by Definition 4.1, the chosen partition of $n$ is a good partition as well. Converse part follows from the fact that given any given integer $k, \operatorname{Hilb}_{C}^{k}$ is non-empty if and only if $k$ is positive.

We now have the following lemma which says that if two varieties have diagonal property, then so does their product. The statement of the lemma can be found in the literature (cf. [15, p. 1235], [5, p. 47]). The proof though is not available to the best of our knowledge. Therefore, for the sake of completeness, we provide the proof of the same.

Lemma 4.6. Let $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ be two varieties over $\mathbb{C}$ satisfying the the diagonal property. Then the product variety $X_{1} \times X_{2}$ also have the diagonal property.

Proof. Let $i=1,2$. Let the dimension of $X_{i}$ be $n_{i}$. As $X_{i}$ satisfy the diagonal property, by Definition 2.1, there exists a vector bundle $E_{i}$ over $X_{i} \times X_{i}$ of rank $n_{i}$ and a section $s_{i}$ of $E_{i}$ such that the zero scheme $Z\left(s_{i}\right)$ of $s_{i}$ is the diagonal $\Delta_{X_{i}}$. Let $p_{i}:\left(X_{1} \times X_{2}\right) \times\left(X_{1} \times X_{2}\right) \rightarrow$ $X_{i} \times X_{i}$ are the projection maps given by $p_{i}\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(x_{i}, x_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. Consider the vector bundle $p_{1}^{*} E_{1} \oplus p_{2}^{*} E_{2}$ of rank $n_{1}+n_{2}$ over $\left(X_{1} \times X_{2}\right) \times\left(X_{1} \times X_{2}\right)$. Then the zero scheme $Z\left(\left(p_{1}^{*} s_{1}, p_{2}^{*} s_{2}\right)\right)$ of the section $\left(p_{1}^{*} s_{1}, p_{2}^{*} s_{2}\right)$ of $p_{1}^{*} E_{1} \oplus p_{2}^{*} E_{2}$ is the diagonal $\Delta_{X_{1} \times X_{2}}$ of $X_{1} \times X_{2}$. Hence, the assertion follows by Definition 2.1.

By multiprojective space, one means product of projective spaces (cf. [13, Chapter 3, §36, p. 150]). The following lemma says that given two distinct partition of a positive integer $n$, the corresponding multiprojective spaces are not isomorphic. More precisely, we have the following.

Proposition 4.7. Let $n$ be a positive integer. Let $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{s}\right)$ and $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{s}\right)$ be two distinct partitions of $n$ of same length s. Then $\mathbb{P}^{m_{1}} \times \mathbb{P}^{m_{2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{m_{s}}$ is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{n_{1}} \times \mathbb{P}^{n_{2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_{s}}$.

Proof. The cohomology ring $H^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{m_{i}}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$ of $\mathbb{P}^{m_{i}}$ is the ring $\frac{\mathbb{Z}\left[x_{i}\right]}{\left\langle x_{i}^{m_{i}+1}\right\rangle}$, where $x_{i}$ is a generator of $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{P}^{m_{i}}, \mathbb{Z}\right)(\simeq \mathbb{Z})$, for all $1 \leq i \leq s$. Similarly, $H^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n_{i}}, \mathbb{Z}\right)=\frac{\mathbb{Z}\left[y_{i}\right]}{\left\langle y_{i}^{n_{i}+1}\right\rangle}$, for all $1 \leq i \leq s$. Now,
by Künneth formula, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{m_{1}} \times \mathbb{P}^{m_{2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{m_{s}}, \mathbb{Z}\right) & =\frac{\mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}\right]}{\left\langle x_{1}^{m_{1}+1}\right\rangle} \otimes \frac{\mathbb{Z}\left[x_{2}\right]}{\left\langle x_{2}^{m_{2}+1}\right\rangle} \otimes \cdots \otimes \frac{\mathbb{Z}\left[x_{s}\right]}{\left\langle x_{s}^{m_{s}+1}\right\rangle} \\
& =\frac{\mathbb{Z}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{s}\right]}{\left\langle x_{1}^{m_{1}+1}, x_{2}^{m_{2}+1}, \cdots, x_{s}^{m_{s}+1}\right\rangle}=M \text { (say) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we have $H^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n_{1}} \times \mathbb{P}^{n_{2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_{s}}, \mathbb{Z}\right)=\frac{\mathbb{Z}\left[y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{s}\right]}{\left\langle y_{1}^{n_{1}+1}, y_{2}^{n_{2}+1}, \cdots, y_{s}^{n_{s}+1}\right\rangle}=N$ (say). Now, for all $1 \leq i \leq s$, let

$$
p r_{m_{i}}: \mathbb{P}^{m_{1}} \times \mathbb{P}^{m_{2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{m_{s}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{m_{i}}
$$

be the $i$-th projection map. Then, in the ring $M, x_{i}$ can be interpreted as the first Chern class $c_{1}\left(p r_{m_{i}}^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{m_{i}}}(1)\right)\right)$ of the pullback of the hyperplane bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{m_{i}}}(1)$ on $\mathbb{P}^{m_{i}}$ via the projection map $p r_{m_{i}}$. Moreover, under the identification of the Picard group $\operatorname{Pic}\left(\mathbb{P}^{m_{1}} \times \mathbb{P}^{m_{2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{m_{s}}\right)$ of $\mathbb{P}^{m_{1}} \times \mathbb{P}^{m_{2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{m_{s}}$ with direct sum of $s$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}$, the line bundle $p r_{m_{i}}^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{m_{i}}}(1)\right)$ is nothing but $(0,0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0), 1$ being in the $i$-th place. Therefore, $\operatorname{pr}_{m_{i}}^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{m} m_{i}}(1)\right)$ is globally generated (cf. [8, Theorem 7.1, p. 150]). Moreover, as $\mathbb{P}^{m_{1}} \times \mathbb{P}^{m_{2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{m_{s}}$, being a projective variety, is complete and therefore $\operatorname{pr}_{m_{i}}^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{m_{i}}}(1)\right)$ is nef (cf. [10, Example 1.4.5, p. 51]), but not ample (cf. [8, Example 7.6.2, p. 156]), for all $1 \leq i \leq s$. Following similar notations, $y_{i}=c_{1}\left(p r_{n_{i}}^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n_{i}}}(1)\right)\right)$, where the bundle $\operatorname{pr}_{n_{i}}^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n_{i}}}(1)\right)$ is nef, but not ample. So, if there exists an isomorphism between $\mathbb{P}^{m_{1}} \times \mathbb{P}^{m_{2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{m_{s}}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{n_{1}} \times \mathbb{P}^{n_{2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_{s}}$, then $p r_{m_{i}}^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{m_{i}}}(1)\right)$ must go to some $\operatorname{pr}_{n_{j}}^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n_{j}}}(1)\right)$ under that isomorphism (cf. [10, Example 1.4.5, p. 51]). Therefore, $x_{i}$ should map to some $y_{j}$ under the induced isomorphism at the cohomology level, that is to say $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{s}\right)=\left(y_{\sigma(1)}, y_{\sigma(2)}, \cdots, y_{\sigma(s)}\right)$, for some $\sigma \in S_{s}$. Now, as the partitions $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{s}\right)$ and $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{s}\right)$ of $n$ are distinct, $m_{i} \neq n_{i}$ for some $i$. So, the rings $M$ and $N$ are not isomorphic. But that is a contradiction to our assumption that $\mathbb{P}^{m_{1}} \times \mathbb{P}^{m_{2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{m_{s}}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{n_{1}} \times \mathbb{P}^{n_{2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_{s}}$ are isomorphic. Hence, the assertion follows.

We now generalise Proposition 4.7 from projective spaces to symmetric product of curves. Towards that we have the following definition.

Definition 4.8. Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve over $\mathbb{C}$ and $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)$ a partition of a given positive integer $n$. Then a multi symmetric product of $C$ of type $\left[\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}\right), n\right]$ is defined as the product $\operatorname{Sym}^{n_{1}}(C) \times \operatorname{Sym}^{n_{2}}(C) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Sym}^{n_{r}}(C)$.

Proposition 4.9. Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve over $\mathbb{C}$ of genus $g$. Then the $r$-th Betti number $B_{r}$ of $\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(C)$ is given by

$$
B_{r}=B_{2 n-r}=\binom{2 g}{r}+\binom{2 g}{r-2}+\ldots,
$$

where $0 \leq r \leq n$.
Proof. See [11, Equation 4.2, p. 322].
Lemma 4.10. Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve over $\mathbb{C}$ of genus $g$. Then the first Betti number $B_{1}$ of the multi symmetric product of $C$ of type $\left[\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}\right), n\right]$ is 2 rg .

Proof. We prove this by induction on $r$, the length of a partition. For $r=1$, by Proposition 4.9, we have $B_{1}=\binom{2 g}{1}=2 g$. Let us now consider the case $r=2$. Let us denote the Poincaré polynomial of a space $X$ by P.P of $X$. Then we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { P.P of } \quad \operatorname{Sym}^{n_{1}}(C)=1+2 g x+\cdots+x^{2 n_{1}}, \\
& \text { P.P of } \quad \operatorname{Sym}^{n_{2}}(C)=1+2 g x+\cdots+x^{2 n_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, as Poincaré polynomial of a product space is obtained by the product of the Poincaré polynomials of the corresponding spaces, we have:

$$
\text { P.P of } \operatorname{Sym}^{n_{1}}(C) \times \operatorname{Sym}^{n_{2}}(C)=1+4 g x+\cdots+x^{2\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)} .
$$

Therefore, the first Betti number of $\operatorname{Sym}^{n_{1}}(C) \times \operatorname{Sym}^{n_{2}}(C)$ is $4 g$.
Let us now assume that for $r=m$, the first Betti number of the multi symmetric product of $C$ of type $\left[\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{m}\right), n\right]$ is $2 m g$. Then the Poincaré polynomial of the multi symmetric product of $C$ of type $\left[\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{m+1}\right), n\right]$ is given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { P.P of } \operatorname{Sym}^{n_{1}}(C) \times \operatorname{Sym}^{n_{2}}(C) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Sym}^{n_{m+1}}(C) \\
&=\mathrm{P} . \mathrm{P} \text { of } \operatorname{Sym}^{n_{1}}(C) \times \operatorname{Sym}^{n_{2}}(C) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Sym}^{n_{m}}(C) \cdot \mathrm{P} . \mathrm{P} \text { of } \operatorname{Sym}^{n_{m+1}}(C) \\
& \quad=\left(1+2 m g x+\cdots+x^{2\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+\cdots+n_{m}\right)}\right) \cdot\left(1+2 g x+\cdots+x^{2 n_{m+1}}\right)  \tag{8}\\
& \quad=1+2(m+1) g x+\cdots+x^{2\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+\cdots+n_{m+1}\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, from (8), we obtain that the first Betti number of the multi symmetric product of $C$ of type $\left[\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{m+1}\right), n\right]$ is $2(m+1) g$. Hence we have the assertion.

Proposition 4.11. Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve over $\mathbb{C}$ of genus $g$ with $g \geq 1$. Let $n$ be a positive integer, and $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)$ and $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{s}\right)$ two distinct partitions of $n$ of different lengths. Then the multi symmetric product of $C$ of type $\left[\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}\right), n\right]$ and $\left[\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{s}\right), n\right]$ are not isomorphic.

Proof. By Lemma 4.10, the first Betti number of the multi symmetric products of type $\left[\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}\right), n\right]$ and $\left[\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{s}\right), n\right]$ are 2 rg and 2 sg respectively. Now as the partitions $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)$ and $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{s}\right)$ are of different lengths, that is $r \neq s$, and $g>0$, the first Betti number of the multi symmetric product of corresponding types are also different, and hence they are not isomorphic.

We now have the following theorem which says about the diagonal property of the Hilbert schemes associated to a constant polynomial and its good partitions. Moreover, we provide an upper bound on the number of such Hilbert schemes and prove that the obtained bound is the best possible bound.

Theorem 4.12. Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve over $\mathbb{C}$ and $n$ a positive integer. Let $p(n)$ denote the number of partitions of $n$. Then the following hold:
(1) There are at most $p(n)$ many Hilbert schemes Hilb $\frac{n}{C}$ (up to isomorphism) associated to the constant polynomial $n$ and its good partitions $\underline{n}$ satisfying diagonal property.
(2) Moreover, this upper bound is is attained by any genus 0 curve $C$ and hence is sharp.
(3) Furthermore, for $n=1,2,3$, the upper bound is attained by any curve $C$.

Proof. Given a positive integer $n$, a partition $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{s}\right)$ of $n$ is also a good partition by Lemma 4.5. Moreover, the associated Hilbert scheme is given by $\operatorname{Hilb}_{C}^{n_{1}} \times_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hilb}_{C}^{n_{2}} \times_{\mathbb{C}}$ $\cdots \times_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hilb}_{C}^{n_{s}}$. As $\operatorname{Hilb}_{C}^{m} \cong \operatorname{Sym}^{m}(C)$ for any positive integer $m$, by Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 4.6, we get that the associated Hilbert scheme $\operatorname{Hilb}_{C}^{n_{1}} \times_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hilb}_{C}^{n_{2}} \times_{\mathbb{C}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hilb}_{C}^{n_{s}}$ satisfies the diagonal property. Therefore, given any arbitrary partition of $n$, the associated Hilbert scheme has the diagonal property.

Now let us take two distinct partition of $n$, say $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{s}\right)$ and $\left(n_{1}^{\prime}, n_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, n_{t}^{\prime}\right)$. Then we have the following two mutually exclusive and exhaustive cases:
First Case : $s \neq t$
In this case, the associated Hilbert schemes $\operatorname{Hilb}_{C}^{n_{1}} \times_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hilb}_{C}^{n_{2}} \times_{\mathbb{C}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hilb}_{C}^{n_{s}}$ and $\operatorname{Hilb}_{C}^{n_{1}^{\prime}} \times_{\mathbb{C}}$ $\operatorname{Hilb}_{C}^{n_{2}^{\prime}} \times_{\mathbb{C}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hilb}_{C}^{n_{t}^{\prime}}$ are distinct as they can be written as product of different number of $\operatorname{Hilb}_{C}^{m}$,s.
Second Case : $s=t$
In this case, as $n_{i} \neq n_{i}^{\prime}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq s$, therefore $\operatorname{Hilb}_{C}^{n_{i}} \neq \operatorname{Hilb}_{C}^{n_{i}^{\prime}}$. Hence the associated Hilbert schemes $\operatorname{Hilb}_{C}^{n_{1}} \times_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hilb}_{C}^{n_{2}} \times_{\mathbb{C}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hilb}_{C}^{n_{s}}$ and $\operatorname{Hilb}_{C}^{n_{1}^{\prime}} \times_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hilb}_{C}^{n_{2}^{\prime}} \times_{\mathbb{C}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hilb}_{C}^{n_{s}^{\prime}}$ are not same as well.

Therefore, we conclude that any two distinct partitions of $n$ give us two distinct Hilbert schemes associated to those partitions satisfying the diagonal property. So, up to isomorphism, there could be at most as many such Hilbert schemes as there are partitions of $n$. Hence the first part of the assertion follows from Lemma 4.5.

We now show that the obtained upper bound for number of Hilbert schemes associated to the good partitions of the constant polynomial $n$ satisfying diagonal property is in fact is achieved in genus 0 case. Indeed, let us consider $C=\mathbb{P}^{1}$. Then, $\operatorname{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n}=\operatorname{Sym}^{n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)=\mathbb{P}^{n}$. Now let us take two distinct partition of $n$, say $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{s}\right)$ and $\left(n_{1}^{\prime}, n_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, n_{t}^{\prime}\right)$. Then, as before, we have the following two mutually exclusive and exhaustive cases:
First Case : $s \neq t$
In this case, the associated Hilbert schemes $\operatorname{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n_{1}} \times_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n_{2}} \times_{\mathbb{C}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n_{s}}$ and $\operatorname{Hill}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n_{1}^{\prime}} \times_{\mathbb{C}}$ $\operatorname{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n_{2}^{\prime}} \times_{\mathbb{C}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hill}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n_{t}^{\prime}}$ are not isomorphic as their Picard groups are not so. That is to say,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pic}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n_{1}} \times_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n_{2}} \times_{\mathbb{C}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n_{s}}\right) & \cong \oplus_{i=1}^{s} \mathbb{Z} \not \not \oplus_{i=1}^{t} \mathbb{Z} \\
& =\operatorname{Pic}\left(\operatorname{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n_{1}^{\prime}} \times_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n_{2}^{\prime}} \times_{\mathbb{C}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n_{t}^{\prime}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Second Case : $s=t$
In this case, the associated Hilbert schemes $\operatorname{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n_{1}} \times_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n_{2}} \times_{\mathbb{C}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n_{s}}$ and $\operatorname{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n_{1}^{\prime}} \times_{\mathbb{C}}$ $\operatorname{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n_{2}^{\prime}} \times_{\mathbb{C}} \cdots \times_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hilb}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{n_{s}^{\prime}}$ are not isomorphic by Proposition 4.7.

Hence the second part of the assertion follows.
Last part of the assertion follows from Proposition 4.11 modulo the fact that no two partitions of $m$ are of same length for $m=1,2,3$.

Let us now check whether multi symmetric product spaces corresponding to two different partitions of a given integer having same length are isomorphic or not. Proposition 4.7 gives
us the hope to believe that they too are non-isomorphic. Let us calculate some Betti numbers of multi symmetric products corresponding to smaller values of $n$. Also we confine ourselves to the cases where the number of parts is less than equal to 3 to avoid tedious calculations.

Let us take $n=5$, and the partitions $(4,1)$ and $(3,2)$. Then by Proposition 4.9, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { P.P of } \quad \operatorname{Sym}^{4}(C)=1+2 g x+\left(1+\binom{2 g}{2}\right) x^{2}+\cdots+x^{8}, \\
& \text { P.P of } \quad \operatorname{Sym}^{1}(C)=1+2 g x+x^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { P.P of } \operatorname{Sym}^{4}(C) \times \operatorname{Sym}^{1}(C)=1+4 g x+\left(2+4 g^{2}+\binom{2 g}{2}\right) x^{2}+\cdots+x^{10} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again by Proposition 4.9, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { P.P of } \quad \operatorname{Sym}^{3}(C)=1+2 g x+\left(1+\binom{2 g}{2}\right) x^{2}+\cdots+x^{6}, \\
& \text { P.P of } \quad \operatorname{Sym}^{2}(C)=1+2 g x+\left(1+\binom{2 g}{2}\right) x^{2}+2 g x^{3}+x^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { P.P of } \operatorname{Sym}^{3}(C) \times \operatorname{Sym}^{2}(C)=1+4 g x+\left(2+4 g^{2}+2\binom{2 g}{2}\right) x^{2}+\cdots+x^{10} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us take $n=14$ and the partitions $(8,6)$ and $(12,2)$. Then by Proposition 4.9, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { P.P of } \quad \operatorname{Sym}^{8}(C)=1+2 g x+\left(1+\binom{2 g}{2}\right) x^{2}+\left(2 g+\binom{2 g}{3}\right) x^{3}+\cdots+x^{16}, \\
& \text { P.P of } \quad \operatorname{Sym}^{6}(C)=1+2 g x+\left(1+\binom{2 g}{2}\right) x^{2}+\left(2 g+\binom{2 g}{3}\right) x^{3}+\cdots+x^{12}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { P.P of } \operatorname{Sym}^{8}(C) \times \operatorname{Sym}^{6}(C)=1+4 g x & +\left(2+4 g^{2}+2\binom{2 g}{2}\right) x^{2} \\
& +\left(8 g+4 g\binom{2 g}{2}+2 g\binom{2 g}{3}\right) x^{3}+\cdots+x^{28} . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Again by Proposition 4.9, we have :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { P.P of } \quad \operatorname{Sym}^{12}(C)=1+2 g x+\left(1+\binom{2 g}{2}\right) x^{2}+\left(2 g+\binom{2 g}{3}\right) x^{3}+\cdots+x^{24}, \\
& \text { P.P of } \quad \operatorname{Sym}^{2}(C)=1+2 g x+\left(1+\binom{2 g}{2}\right) x^{2}+2 g x^{3}+x^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { P.P of } \operatorname{Sym}^{12}(C) \times \operatorname{Sym}^{2}(C)=1+4 g x & +\left(2+4 g^{2}+2\binom{2 g}{2}\right) x^{2} \\
& +\left(8 g+4 g\binom{2 g}{2}+\binom{2 g}{3}\right) x^{3}+\cdots+x^{28} . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

In both of these examples, we considered two parts. Now let us work with 3 parts. Let us take $n=11$ and the partitions $(5,4,2)$ and $(4,4,3)$. Then by Proposition 4.9, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { P.P of } \quad \operatorname{Sym}^{5}(C)=1+2 g x+\left(1+\binom{2 g}{2}\right) x^{2}+\left(2 g+\binom{2 g}{3}\right) x^{3}+\cdots+x^{10}, \\
& \text { P.P of } \quad \operatorname{Sym}^{4}(C)=1+2 g x+\left(1+\binom{2 g}{2}\right) x^{2}+\left(2 g+\binom{2 g}{3}\right) x^{3}+\cdots+x^{8}, \\
& \text { P.P of } \quad \operatorname{Sym}^{3}(C)=1+2 g x+\left(1+\binom{2 g}{2}\right) x^{2}+\left(2 g+\binom{2 g}{3}\right) x^{3}+\cdots+x^{6},  \tag{13}\\
& \text { P.P of } \quad \operatorname{Sym}^{2}(C)=1+2 g x+\left(1+\binom{2 g}{2}\right) x^{2}+2 g x^{3}+x^{4} .
\end{align*}
$$

From (13) we obtain :

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { P.P of } \quad \operatorname{Sym}^{4}(C) \times \operatorname{Sym}^{2}(C)=1+4 g x & +\left(2+4 g^{2}+2\binom{2 g}{2}\right) x^{2} \\
& +\left(8 g+4 g\binom{2 g}{2}+\binom{2 g}{3}\right) x^{3}+\cdots+x^{12} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { P.P of } \quad \operatorname{Sym}^{4}(C) \times \operatorname{Sym}^{4}(C)=1+4 g x & +\left(2+4 g^{2}+2\binom{2 g}{2}\right) x^{2}  \tag{15}\\
& +\left(8 g+4 g\binom{2 g}{2}+2\binom{2 g}{3}\right) x^{3}+\cdots+x^{16} .
\end{align*}
$$

From (13) and (14), we obtain :

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { P.P of } \operatorname{Sym}^{5}(C) \times \operatorname{Sym}^{4}(C) & \times \operatorname{Sym}^{2}(C)=1+6 g x+\left(3+12 g^{2}+3\binom{2 g}{2}\right) x^{2}  \tag{16}\\
& +\left(18 g+8 g^{3}+12 g\binom{2 g}{2}+2\binom{2 g}{3}\right) x^{3}+\cdots+x^{22}
\end{align*}
$$

From (13) and (15), we obtain :

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { P.P of } \quad \operatorname{Sym}^{4}(C) \times \operatorname{Sym}^{4}(C) & \times \operatorname{Sym}^{3}(C)=1+6 g x+\left(3+12 g^{2}+3\binom{2 g}{2}\right) x^{2} \\
& +\left(18 g+8 g^{3}+12 g\binom{2 g}{2}+3\binom{2 g}{3}\right) x^{3}+\cdots+x^{22} . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 4.13. (1) It can be noted that the 2nd Betti numbers of the multi symmetric product of type $[(4,1), 5]$ and $[(3,2), 5]$ are different (cf. (9) and (10)) whenever $g \geq 1$. Similarly, whenever $g \geq 2$, the 3rd Betti numbers of both the pairs of the multi symmetric product of type $[(8,6), 14] \&[(12,2), 14]$ and $[(5,4,2), 11] \&[(4,4,3), 11]$ are different (cf. ((11) \& (12)) and ((16) \& (17))).
(2) It can be noted that at least the first two Betti numbers, i.e, the 0th and 1st Betti numbers of the multi symmetric product of type $[(4,1), 5]$ and $[(3,2), 5]$ are same (cf. (9) and (10)), whereas the 0th, 1st and 2nd Betti numbers of both the pairs of the multi symmetric product of type $[(8,6), 14] \&[(12,2), 14]$ and $[(5,4,2), 11] \&$ $[(4,4,3), 11]$ are same (cf. $((11) \&(12))$ and $((16) \&(17)))$.

We have the following lemma motivated by part (2) of Remark 4.13.
Lemma 4.14. Let $m$ and $n$ be two integers satisfying $m>n \geq 1$. Let $B_{i}^{m}$ (respectively $B_{j}^{n}$ ) be the $i$-th Betti number of $\operatorname{Sym}^{m}(C)$ (respectively the $j$-th Betti number of $\operatorname{Sym}^{n}(C)$ ) for all $1 \leq i \leq 2 m$ (respectively $1 \leq j \leq 2 n$ ). Then $B_{i}^{m}=B_{i}^{n}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. Follows directly from Proposition 4.9.
Now we are in a situation to prove that multi symmetric products corresponding to distinct partitions of an integer of same length are not isomorphic. In fact, generalizing the observations made in Remark 4.13, we have the following proposition when the smallest part among all the parts of the partitions involved is bounded above.

Proposition 4.15. Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve over $\mathbb{C}$ of genus $g \geq 1$. Let $n$ be a positive integer, and $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)$ and $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ two distinct partitions of $n$ of same length. Then the multi symmetric product of $C$ of type $\left[\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}\right), n\right]$ and $\left[\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{r}\right), n\right]$ are not isomorphic whenever $\min \left\{n_{r}, m_{r}\right\} \leq 2 g-1$.

Proof. To prove the proposition, it is enough to show that at least one of the Betti numbers of the multi symmetric product of $C$ of type $\left[\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}\right), n\right]$ and $\left[\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{r}\right), n\right]$ is different. Equivalently, it is enough to show that the at least one of the coefficients of the Poincaré polynomials of these two spaces are different. Now, if some of the parts of the partitions $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)$ and $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ of $n$ are equal, then their contribution to the Poincaré polynomials of the corresponding multi symmetric products is going to be
the same. Therefore, we can assume w.l.o.g that $n_{i} \neq m_{j}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq r$. Moreover, we can assume that $n_{1}<m_{1}$, w.l.o.g. Hence we have :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \leq n_{1} \leq n_{2} \leq \cdots \leq n_{r} \\
& 1 \leq m_{1} \leq m_{2} \leq \cdots \leq m_{r} \\
& n_{1}<m_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, Poincaré polynomials of the multi symmetric products are of degree $2 n$. Let us look at the coefficients of $x^{n_{1}+1}, x$ being the indeterminant of the polynomials. The coefficient of $x^{n_{1}+1}$ in the Poincaré polynomial of the multi symmetric product $\operatorname{Sym}^{n_{1}}(C) \times \operatorname{Sym}^{n_{2}}(C) \times$ $\cdots \times \operatorname{Sym}^{n_{r}}(C)$ arise as the sum of the product of the coefficients of lesser powers of $x$ in the Poincaré polynomials of $\operatorname{Sym}^{n_{i}}(C)$ 's such that those powers add up to $n_{1}+1$. That is,

Coefficient of $x^{n_{1}+1}$ in the P.P of $\operatorname{Sym}^{n_{1}}(C) \times \operatorname{Sym}^{n_{2}}(C) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Sym}^{n_{r}}(C)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\sum_{t_{1}+t_{2}+\cdots+t_{r}=n_{1}+1}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{r} \text { Coefficient of } x^{t_{i}} \text { in the P.P of } \operatorname{Sym}^{n_{i}}(C)\right) . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we have :
Coefficient of $x^{n_{1}+1}$ in the P.P of $\operatorname{Sym}^{m_{1}}(C) \times \operatorname{Sym}^{m_{2}}(C) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Sym}^{m_{r}}(C)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\sum_{t_{1}+t_{2}+\cdots+t_{r}=n_{1}+1}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{r} \text { Coefficient of } x^{t_{i}} \text { in the P.P of } \operatorname{Sym}^{m_{i}}(C)\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now as the number of parts in both the partitions are same, which is $r$, not only the number of summands on the r.h.s of (18) and (19) are same but also the number of terms which are getting multiplied in each such summand are also same.
By Proposition 4.9, we have :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Coefficient of } x^{n_{1}+1} \text { in the P.P of } \operatorname{Sym}^{n_{1}}(C) \\
&=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\binom{2 g}{n_{1}-1}+\binom{2 g}{n_{1}-3}+\cdots+\binom{2 g}{0}, & \text { if } n_{1} \text { is odd } \\
\binom{2 g}{n_{1}-1}+\binom{2 g}{n_{1}-3}+\cdots+\binom{2 g}{1}, & \text { if } n_{1} \text { is even. }
\end{array}\right. \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, as $n_{1}<m_{1}$ or equivalently $n_{1}+1 \leq m_{1}$, by Proposition 4.9, we have :
Coefficient of $x^{n_{1}+1}$ in the P.P of $\operatorname{Sym}^{m_{1}}(C)$

$$
=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\binom{2 g}{n_{1}+1}+\binom{2 g}{n_{1}-1}+\cdots+\binom{2 g}{0}, & \text { if } n_{1} \text { is odd }  \tag{21}\\
\binom{2 g}{n_{1}+1}+\binom{2 g}{n_{1}-1}+\cdots+\binom{2 g}{1}, & \text { if } n_{1} \text { is even. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Therefore, whenever $n_{1} \leq 2 g-1$, by (20) and (21), we have :

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { Coefficient of } & x^{n_{1}+1} \text { in the P.P of } \operatorname{Sym}^{n_{1}}(C) \\
& \neq \text { Coefficient of } x^{n_{1}+1} \text { in the P.P of } \operatorname{Sym}^{m_{1}}(C) . \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

By (22), all the summands on the r.h.s of (18) and (19) are equal (by Lemma 4.14) except the summand

Coefficient of $x^{n_{1}+1}$ in the P.P of $\operatorname{Sym}^{n_{1}}(C)$
$\times$ constant coefficient in the P.P of $\operatorname{Sym}^{n_{2}}(C) \times \cdots$
$\times$ constant coefficient in the P.P of $\operatorname{Sym}^{n_{r}}(C)$
$=$ Coefficient of $x^{n_{1}+1}$ in the P.P of $\operatorname{Sym}^{n_{1}}(C) \times\binom{ 2 g}{0} \times \cdots \times\binom{ 2 g}{0}$
$=$ Coefficient of $x^{n_{1}+1}$ in the P.P of $\operatorname{Sym}^{n_{1}}(C)$
in the coefficient of $x^{n_{1}+1}$ in the Poincaré polynomial of $\operatorname{Sym}^{n_{1}}(C) \times \operatorname{Sym}^{n_{2}}(C) \times \cdots \times$ $\operatorname{Sym}^{n_{r}}(C)$ and the summand

Coefficient of $x^{n_{1}+1}$ in the P.P of $\operatorname{Sym}^{m_{1}}(C)$
$\times$ constant coefficient in the P.P of $\operatorname{Sym}^{m_{2}}(C) \times \cdots$
$\times$ constant coefficient in the P.P of $\operatorname{Sym}^{m_{r}}(C)$
$=$ Coefficient of $x^{n_{1}+1}$ in the P.P of $\operatorname{Sym}^{m_{1}}(C) \times\binom{ 2 g}{0} \times \cdots \times\binom{ 2 g}{0}$
$=$ Coefficient of $x^{n_{1}+1}$ in the P.P of $\operatorname{Sym}^{m_{1}}(C)$
in the coefficient of $x^{n_{1}+1}$ in the Poincaré polynomial of $\operatorname{Sym}^{m_{1}}(C) \times \operatorname{Sym}^{m_{2}}(C) \times \cdots \times$ Sym $^{m_{r}}(C)$. Therefore $\left(n_{1}+1\right)$-th Betti number $B_{n_{1}+1}$ are different for the multi symmetric product of $C$ of type $\left[\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}\right), n\right]$ and $\left[\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{r}\right), n\right]$ and hence the assertion follows.

Let us now check whether the multi symmetric products corresponding to distinct partitions of an integer of same length are isomorphic or not when the smallest part is bounded below.

Let $J_{d}(C)$ be the moduli space of isomorphism classes of line bundles of degree $d$ over $C$ and $J(C)$ be the Jacobian variety of $C$. Let us choose a point $P \in C$. Consider the following composition map:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{d, P}: \operatorname{Sym}^{d}(C) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{d}} J_{d}(C) \xrightarrow{\otimes \mathcal{O}(-d P)} J(C)  \tag{23}\\
& D \longmapsto \mathcal{O}_{C}(D) \longmapsto \mathcal{O}_{C}(D-d P) .
\end{align*}
$$

Given $r$ many positive integers $d_{1}, d_{2}, \cdots, d_{r}$, we denote the product map $\alpha_{d_{1}, P} \times \cdots \times \alpha_{d_{r}, P}$ by $\alpha_{d_{1}, \cdots, d_{r}, P}$. That is, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{d_{1}, \cdots, d_{r}, P}: \operatorname{Sym}^{d_{1}}(C) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Sym}^{d_{r}}(C) & \rightarrow J(C) \times \cdots \times J(C)  \tag{24}\\
\left(D_{1}, \ldots, D_{r}\right) & \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{C}\left(D_{1}+\cdots+D_{r}-\left(d_{1}+\cdots+d_{r}\right) P\right)
\end{align*}
$$

We now check that the fibres of this map are multiprojective spaces. To be precise, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.16. Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve of genus $g$. Let $d_{1}, \cdots, d_{r}$ be $r$ many positive integers satisfying $d_{i} \geq 2 g-1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then the fibre of the map $\alpha_{d_{1}, \cdots, d_{r}, P}$, as in (24), is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{n_{1}-g} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_{r}-g}$.

Proof. Let us denote the canonical line bundle over $C$ by $K_{C}$. Then for any $D \in \operatorname{Sym}^{d}(C)$, the degree $\operatorname{deg}\left(K_{C} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(D)^{*}\right)$ of the line bundle $K_{C} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(D)^{*}$ is $2 g-2-d$. Hence, for $d \geq 2 g-1$, by Serre duality we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{1}\left(C, \mathcal{O}_{C}(D)\right)=h^{0}\left(C, K_{C} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(D)^{*}\right)=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by Riemann-Roch theorem and (25), we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
h^{0}\left(C, \mathcal{O}_{C}(D)\right) & =h^{0}\left(C, \mathcal{O}_{C}(D)\right)-h^{1}\left(C, \mathcal{O}_{C}(D)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{deg}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}(D)\right)+(1-g)  \tag{26}\\
& =d-g+1
\end{align*}
$$

By Abel's theorem (cf. [1, p. 18]), fibre of the map $\alpha_{d}$, as in (23), over any line bundle $L \in J_{d}(C)$ is the complete linear system $|D|$ of a divisor $D$ on $C$ with $\mathcal{O}_{C}(D)=L$. Moreover, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|D|=\mathbb{P}\left(H^{0}\left(C, \mathcal{O}_{C}(D)\right)\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by (26) and (27), we obtain that the map $\alpha_{d}$, as in (23) is a projective bundle, with fibres $\mathbb{P}^{d-g}$, for all $d \geq 2 g-1$. Moreover, so is the map $\alpha_{d, P}$ as the map $\otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-d P)$ is an isomorphism between $J_{d}(C)$ and $J(C)$ (cf. (28)).


Therefore, given any $r$ many positive integers $d_{1}, \cdots, d_{r}$, satisfying $d_{i} \geq 2 g-1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, the assertion follows from the fact that each $\alpha_{d_{i}, P}$ is a projective bundle with fibre $\mathbb{P}^{d_{i}-g}$.

The following lemma characterises any morphism from a multiprojective space to an abelian variety.

Lemma 4.17. Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve of genus $g$. Let $d_{1}, \cdots, d_{r}$ be $r$ many positive integers. Then any morphism from $\mathbb{P}^{d_{1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{d_{r}}$ to product of $r$ many copies of the Jacobian variety $J(C)$ of $C$ with itself is constant.

Proof. It can be noted that the multiprojective space $\mathbb{P}^{d_{1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{d_{r}}$ is a unirational variety and $J(C)^{r}$, being product of abelian varieties, is an abelian variety. Therefore the assertion follows from the fact that any rational map from a unirational variety to an abelian variety is constant, (cf. [12, Proposition 3.10, p. 20]).

Now we are ready to check whether the multi symmetric products corresponding to distinct partitions of an integer of same length are isomorphic or not when the smallest part is bounded below. We have the following proposition in that regard.

Proposition 4.18. Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve over $\mathbb{C}$ of genus $g$ with $g \geq 1$. Let $n$ be a positive integer, and $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)$ and $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ two distinct partitions of
$n$ of same length. Then the multi symmetric product of $C$ of type $\left[\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}\right), n\right]$ and $\left[\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{r}\right), n\right]$ are not isomorphic whenever $\min \left\{n_{r}, m_{r}\right\} \geq 2 g-1$.

Proof. If possible, let there exists an isomorphism $\psi$ from the multi symmetric product of $C$ of type $\left[\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}\right), n\right]$ to the multi symmetric product of $C$ of type $\left[\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{r}\right), n\right]$. Now, by Lemma 4.16, we have the following diagram:


For any $\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_{r}\right) \in J(C) \times \cdots \times J(C)$, consider the morphism

$$
\left.\alpha_{m_{1}, \cdots, m_{r}, P} \circ \psi\right|_{\alpha_{n_{1}, \cdots, n_{r}, P}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_{r}\right)}
$$

given as follows


Now, by Lemma 4.16, we have that the morphism $\left.\alpha_{m_{1}, \cdots, m_{r}} \circ \psi\right|_{\alpha_{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}, P}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_{r}\right)}$, as in (29), is a morphism from $\mathbb{P}^{n_{1}-g} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_{r}-g}$ to $J(C)^{r}$ and therefore is constant, say $\left(\mathcal{M}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{M}_{r}\right)$, by Lemma 4.17. So it must factor through $\alpha_{m_{1}, \cdots, m_{r}, P}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{M}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{M}_{r}\right)$ (cf. (30)).

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{n_{1}, \cdots, n_{r}, P}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_{r}\right) \xrightarrow{\left.\psi\right|_{\alpha_{n_{1}}, \ldots, n_{r}, P}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_{r}\right)} & \alpha_{m_{1}, \cdots, m_{r}, P}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{M}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{M}_{r}\right) \\
& \underset{\sim}{\downarrow})  \tag{30}\\
& \left\{\left(\mathcal{M}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{M}_{r}\right)\right\} \subseteq J(C)^{r}
\end{align*}
$$

So, by Lemma 4.16, the inclusion as in (30), is actually an inclusion between two multiprojective spaces (cf. (31)).


As both $\mathbb{P}^{n_{1}-g} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_{r}-g}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{m_{1}-g} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{m_{r}-g}$ have the same dimension $n$, we have:

$$
\mathbb{P}^{n_{1}-g} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_{r}-g} \simeq \mathbb{P}^{m_{1}-g} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{m_{r}-g}
$$

Then by Proposition 4.7, we obtain :

$$
m_{i}=n_{i} \text { for all } 1 \leq i \leq r .
$$

But this contradicts the fact that $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)$ and $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ are two distinct partitions of $n$. Therefore, any such isomorphism $\psi$ can't exist. Hence, the assertion follows.

Remark 4.19. In Proposition 4.15 and Proposition 4.18, the condition $g \geq 1$ is necessary as this makes sure that all the parts of the partitions are positive.

Altogether, we obtain the following :
Proposition 4.20. Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve over $\mathbb{C}$ of genus $g$ with $g \geq 1$. Let $n$ be a positive integer, and $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)$ and $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ two distinct partitions of $n$ of same length. Then the multi symmetric product of $C$ of type $\left[\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}\right), n\right]$ and $\left[\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{r}\right), n\right]$ are not isomorphic.

Proof. Follows from Proposition 4.15 and Proposition 4.18.
Finally, we observe that Theorem 4.12 can be modified further. That is to say, we conclude that the upper bound as in Theorem 4.12, is achieved by any curve $C$ of any genus $g$ for any positive integer $n$. To be precise, we obtain the following :

Theorem 4.21. Let $C$ be a smooth projective curve over $\mathbb{C}$ and $n$ a positive integer. Let $p(n)$ denote the number of partitions of $n$. Then there are exactly $p(n)$ many Hilbert schemes Hilb $\frac{n}{C}$ (up to isomorphism) associated to the constant polynomial $n$ and its good partitions $\underline{n}$ satisfying diagonal property.

Proof. Follows from Theorem 4.12 and Proposition 4.20.
Remark 4.22. It can be noted that the condition on the parts of a partition in the hypothesis of Proposition 4.18 holds by default (cf. Definition 4.4) for $g=1$. Therefore, one can conclude that the upper bound, as in Theorem 4.12, is attained by complex elliptic curves as well, only using Proposition 4.11 and Proposition 4.18. This can be thought of as an intermediate stage of the modification from Theorem 4.12 to Theorem 4.21.
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