A note on a question of Shioda about integral sections

Jia-Li Mo^1

¹Department of Mathematics, Soochow University, Shizi RD 1, Suzhou 215006, Jiangsu, China

Abstract

We consider a rational elliptic surface with a relatively minimal fibration. We compute the number of integral sections in the above rational elliptic surface. As an application, we obtain an estimate of polynomial solutions of some equations.

Keywords: Mordell-Weil group; Integral section; Rational elliptic surface

1 Introduction

Let C be a smooth curve over a filed k (an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero), and K be its function field. Now, we give an elliptic curve over K, a K-rational point P on the original elliptic curve over K is called a section.

In this paper, we use the language of algebraic surface. Let S be a smooth projective surface having a relatively minimal elliptic fibration $f: S \to C$ with the zero-section O over a curve C. Assume that S has at least one singular fibre, then the group E(K) of K-rational points is finitely generated (Mordell-Weil Theorem). It can be identified with the group of sections of f. For each Pin E(K), we denote by (P) the image curve of the corresponding section $C \to S$, the curve (P) may be also called a **section** without confusion. An element P of E(K) is called integral if (P) is disjoint from the zero-section (O). Let \mathcal{P} be the set of all integral sections:

$$\mathcal{P} = \{ P \in E(K) | (P) \cap (O) = \emptyset \}$$

The easy analogue of Siegel's theorem ([12]) about the finiteness of integral sections of elliptic curves can refer to [10]: Any elliptic surface admits only finitely many integral sections.

Moreover, Shioda gave an interesting open question in [7]: Given an elliptic surface S over \mathbb{P}^1 of arithmetic genus $\chi(S)$, with the generic fibre F, what is the number of integral sections?

Email address: Jia-Li Mo: mojiali0722@126.com;

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 11G05, 14H10, 14J27.

Shioda in [7] proved that the number is at most 240 for rational surfaces, and he computed the exact number for r = 8, 7, 6. It is well known that, this open problem involves not only combinations but also the arithmetic structures of elliptic surface if $r \leq 5$. In our paper, we totally solve this open question for rational surfaces. We give the exact number for integral sections of every case. In fact, we consider the following sets $\mathcal{P}_i = \{P_i \in E(K) | (P_i) \cap (O) = \emptyset$ and $\langle P_i, P_i \rangle = k_i\}$ in our paper. Now, we state the main theorem:

Theorem 1. The structures of integral sections of every rational elliptic surface are given in table 1-10. In these tables, the rows are arranged in the following order and we also give other indices, they descend with respect to:

• (1) r — the rank of E(K); (2) T — the trivial lattice; (3) v(T) — the number of roots in the trivial lattice T; (4) $\langle P_i, P_i \rangle$ — the height pairing; (5) $m(P_i)$ — the number of combinatorial multiplicity of P; (6) $\#\mathcal{P}_i$ — the number of integral sections of different height pairing.

Estimate the number of integer solutions of an equation is an interesting question. Similarly, we consider the number of polynomial solutions of an equation. As an application of Theorem 1, we try to use discriminant to estimate the number of polynomial solutions for the following Weierstrass equations:

Theorem 2. For

$$y^{2} = x^{3} + a(t)x^{2} + b(t)x + c(t)$$

where a(x), b(x), c(x) are polynomials. And deg $(a(t)) \leq 2$, deg $(b(t)) \leq 4$, deg $(c(t)) \leq 6$. Let the number of polynomial solutions be n, and the discriminant be $\Delta(t)$. Then

- $0 \le n \le 3$, if $\Delta(t)$ has only one solution, which is not 12-fold root.
- $0 \le n \le N_0$ (where $N_0 \ge 26$), if $\Delta(t)$ satisfies one of the following conditions:
 - (i) it has only two different solutions.
 - (ii) it has only three different solutions and deg $(\Delta(t)) = 12$.
- $n \ge 1$, if $\Delta(t)$ satisfies one of the following conditions:
 - (i) it has more than three different solutions.
 - (ii) it has only three different solutions and deg $(\Delta(t)) < 12$.
 - (iii) it has $3 \leq \deg \Delta(t) \leq 9$.

Acknowledgements: I thank Dr. Cheng Gong for useful discussions about the elliptic fibrations. I also thank the reviewers for their good advise. This paper was partly supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK 20211305), and Postgraduate Research & Practice Innovation Program of Jiangsu Province (KYCX22_3180).

2 Definitions and terminology

By a rational elliptic surface, we mean a (smooth projective) rational surface over k, say S, which is given with a relatively minimal elliptic fibration $f: S \to C$. Now, we study the number of integral sections of rational elliptic surfaces with sections.

First, we recall and fix some standard notation in dealing with Mordell-Weil groups (see [11]). The reader can refer to [5, 10] for more details.

- $\langle P, Q \rangle$: the height pairing $(P, Q \in E(K))$, as defined by Shioda in [10]. This is a symmetric bilinear pairing which is positive-definite modulo torsion.
- $R := \{v \in C | f^{-1}(v) \text{ is reducible} \}.$
- m_v : the number of irreducible components $\Theta_{v,i}$ of fiber F_v of an elliptic surface.
- $\Theta_{v,i}$ $(0 \le i \le m_{v-1})$: irreducible components of $f^{-1}(v)(v \in R)$, with i = 0 corresponding to the identity component, which intersects with zero section (O).
- T_v : the lattice generated by $\Theta_{v,i}$ (i > 0) with the sign changed, this is a root lattice of type A, D, E determined by the type of reducible fiber $f^{-1}(v)$.
- $T = \bigoplus_{v \in R} T_v$: the trivial lattice.
- $E(K)^0$: the narrow Mordell-Weil lattice of E/K, and $E(K)^0 = \{P \in E(K) | (P) \text{ meet } \Theta_{v,0},$ for all $v \in R\}$. This is a certain subgroup of finite index in E(K).
- $E(K)^{0^{\vee}}$: the dual lattice of $E(K)^0$ (= free part in E(K)).
- v(T): the number of roots in the trivial lattice T, especially, if we fix T, then value of v(T) can be computed by [6, Table 2.2].

We know that the Mordell-Weil group E(K) of an elliptic surface S is canonically isomorphic to the quotient group NS(S)/Triv(S), where $Triv(S) = T \bigoplus \langle O, F \rangle$. It has a height pairing on E(K).

For any $P, Q \in E(K)$, let $\langle P, Q \rangle = (\varphi(P).\varphi(Q))$. Then it defines a Q-valued symmetric bilinear pairing on E(K) which induces the structure of a positive-definite lattice on $E(K)/E(K)_{tors}$. The lattice $(E(K)/E(K)_{tors}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ will be called the Mordell-Weil lattice of the elliptic curve E/K, or of the elliptic surface $f: S \to C$ over k.

The following theorem gives us the explicit formula (see [10]) for the height pairing.

Theorem 3. (Shioda, 1990) For any $P, Q \in E(K)$, we have

$$< P, Q >= \chi(S) + (P.O) + (Q.O) - (P.Q) - \sum_{v \in R} contr_v(P,Q),$$

in particular,

$$< P, P >= 2\chi(S) + 2(P.O) - \sum_{v \in R} contr_v(P).$$

Now, suppose that (P) intersects $\Theta_{v,i}$ and (Q) intersects $\Theta_{v,j}$ and assume that $i \ge 1, j \ge 1$. Then the contribution terms $contr_v(P, Q)$ and $contr_v(P)$ are given in [10, Table (8.16)].

Since we also give another index in Theorem 1, we're going to briefly introduce m(P). It is the combinatorial multiplicity which is defined by Shioda in [7].

Definition 4. For any $P \in E(K)$, let R(P) denote the subset of $v \in R$ such that (P) intersects some non-identity component $\Theta_{v,i}(i \neq 0)$ of $f^{-1}(v)$. The root graph associated with P, denoted by $\Delta(P)$, is the connected graph with the vertices $D(P), \Theta_{v,i}(v \in R(P), i \neq 0)$, where D(P) := (P) - (O) - F and two vertices α, β are connected by an edge iff the intersection number $\alpha \cdot \beta = 1$. By a distinguished root of $\Delta(P)$, we mean a linear combination of the vertices of the form: $D_p = D(P) + \sum_{v,i} n_{v,i} \Theta_{v,i}(n_{v,i} \in \mathbb{Z}, \geq 0)$ satisfying $D_p^2 = -2$. Further we denote by m(P) the number of distinguished roots in the root graph $\Delta(P)$, and call it the combinatorial multiplicity of P.

Moreover, Shioda gave the following theorem for an rational elliptic surfaces over \mathbb{P}^1 in [7]:

Theorem 5.

$$\sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} m(P) = 240 - v(T)$$

3 Proof of the Theorem 1

In this section, we will give the full proof of Theorem 1. First, for our purposes, we take into account a lemma as following:

Lemma 6. On a rational elliptic surface S, P is a section and its height pairing $\langle P, P \rangle = 2$. Then P is an integral section if and only if $P \in E(K)^0$.

Proof: If P is an integral section, (O.P) = 0 by definition. then we get $\sum_{v \in R} contr_v(P) = 0$ by the height pairing formula, that is $P \in E(K)^0$.

If $P \in E(K)^0$, we have $\sum_{v \in R} contr_v(P) = 0$, from height pairing $2 = \langle P, P \rangle = 2 + 2(P.O) - 0$, it follows that (O.P) = 0, then P is an integral section. \Box

Here, we give another lemma which is used later:

Lemma 7. Let P be a section of the Mordell-Weil group E(K), $P \in E(K)$ and $P \notin E(K)^0$ (we use $P \in E(K) - E(K)^0$ later). There exists $P' \in E(K)^{0^{\vee}}$ such that $\langle P, P \rangle = \langle P', P' \rangle$. Moreover, $\#P \leq \#P' \cdot | E(K)_{tor} |$. Where #P means the number of integral sections with the same height pairing value $\langle P, P \rangle$, and #P' means the number of sections in $E(K)^{0^{\vee}}$ with the same height pairing value $\langle P, P \rangle$.

Proof: $P \notin E(K)^{0^{\vee}}$, there exists a torsion element $\alpha \in E(K)_{tor}$ of order n, such that $P - \alpha \in E(K)^{0^{\vee}}$. let $P' = P - \alpha$, then we have $\langle P', P' \rangle = \langle P - \alpha, P - \alpha \rangle = \langle P, P \rangle$. So it gives rise to $\#P \leq \#P' \cdot |E(K)_{tor}|$. \Box

Now, we start to give the full proof of Theorem 1—compute the combinatorial multiplicity of integral sections and the number of integral sections of every rational elliptic surface. We know that the Mordell-Weil groups E(K) of rational surfaces have 74 types (see [5]). First, we define two situations as follows:

- Situation (S1): There exists two kinds of $\sum_{v \in R} contr_v(P)$. The difference between the two numbers is 2.
- Situation (S2): For sections P_1, P_2 , they have the same height pairing value which corresponds to a different combinatorial multiplicity of P_i . In fact, the intersection ways of P_i with the fibre are also different.

According to the above two situations, we shall divide the proof into four cases:

Case *I*: 1–20, 23, 25–27, 30–33, 35–37, 39, 40, 43–47, 49, 50, 55, 56, 62–65, 67–69 and 73 have no Situations (S1) and (S2).

Case II: 24, 41, 42 and 61 have only Situation (S1).

Case *III*: 21, 22, 28, 29, 34, 48, 51, 52, 54, 57, 58, 66, 70–72 and 74 have only Situation (S2).

Case IV: 38, 53, 59 and 60 have both Situations (S1) and (S2).

The proof of Case I: In 74 types of E(K), the most basic case for rational elliptic surfaces, the case $rank(T) \leq 2$ which corresponds to the case of higher Mordell-Weil rank r = 8, 7 or 6 (cases 1-4). They were verified in [8].



Figure 1

For the remaining cases, we need to choose another way to accomplish them and make use of Shioda's method on the Mordell-Weil groups. With the given concepts, we are now ready to prove the case No. 16, $T = D_5$, where v(T) = 40 and Mordell-Weil group $E(K) \cong A_3^{\vee}$. It is a representation for case *I*. For a reducible fibre is I_1^* (see Figure 1). First, according to different the height pairing value of sections, we compute $m(P_i)$ for integral section respectively.

By the height formula in Theorem 3, we have for any $P \in E(K), \langle P, P \rangle = 2\chi(S) + 2(P.O) - 2\chi(S)$

 $\sum_{v \in R} contr_v(P)$, then we find the height pairing:

$$< P, P >= 2 + 2(P.O) - \begin{cases} 0, & P \in E(K)^{0}, \ P \text{ intersects } \Theta_{v,0}; \\ 1, & P \in E(K) - E(K)^{0}, \ P \text{ intersects } \Theta_{v,1}; \\ 5/4, & P \in E(K) - E(K)^{0}, \ P \text{ intersects } \Theta_{v,2}; \\ 5/4, & P \in E(K) - E(K)^{0}, \ P \text{ intersects } \Theta_{v,3}. \end{cases}$$

If we suppose that $P_i \in \mathcal{P}_i$ (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) are integral sections, since intersection ways of P_3 and P_4 with the fibres are symmetric, we can merge P_3 and P_4 into one new set. Then there are sections of height $\langle P_i, P_i \rangle = 2, 1, 3/4, (i = 1, 2, 3)$. By inspection of the height formula, this requires that these sections are disjoint from the zero section and meet I_1^* .

First, by a distinguished root of the root graph associated with P_i , we mean a linear combination of the vertices of the form: $D_p = D(P) + \sum_{v,i} n_{v,i} \Theta_{v,i}$ ($v \in R(P)$, $i \neq 0$) and ($n_{v,i} \in \mathbb{Z}, \geq 0$) satisfying $D_p^2 = -2$. We have $((P) - (O) - (F) + \sum_{v,i} n_{v,i} \Theta_{v,i})^2 = -2$ ($i = 1, \dots, 5$), $F^2 = 0$ by [8]. For any $P \in E(K)$, we have $P^2 = -\chi(S) = -1$. Since it just has one singular fibre I_1^* , which is a reducible singular fibre. Then every component $\Theta_{v,i}$ of F_v is a smooth rational curve which has self-intersection number $(\Theta_{v,i})^2 = -2$, and $(\Theta_{v,i} \cdot \Theta_{v,j}) = 1$ ((i, j) = (1, 4), (2, 5), (3, 5), (4, 5)) which can be read off from the above Figure 1.

When $\langle P_1, P_1 \rangle = 2$, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{5} (n_{v,i})^2 - (n_{v,1}n_{v,4}) - (n_{v,2}n_{v,5}) - (n_{v,3}n_{v,5}) - (n_{v,4}n_{v,5}) = 0.$$
(1)

It just has only one solution: (0, 0, 0, 0, 0). Thus $m(P_1) = 1$.

When $\langle P_2, P_2 \rangle = 1$, in the same way of above. Since $(P_2 \Theta_{v,1}) = 1$, we get equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{5} (n_{v,i})^2 - (n_{v,1}n_{v,4}) - (n_{v,2}n_{v,5}) - (n_{v,3}n_{v,5}) - (n_{v,4}n_{v,5}) - n_{v,1} = 0.$$
⁽²⁾

This equation can be computed the number of solutions, which has 10 integer solutions:

- $(n_{v,1}, n_{v,2}, n_{v,3}, n_{v,4}, n_{v,5})$:
- (2,1,1,2,2), (1,1,1,2,2), (1,1,1,1,1), (1,1,1,1,2), (1,1,0,1,1)
- (1,0,1,1,1), (1,0,0,1,0), (1,0,0,1,1), (1,0,0,0,0), (0,0,0,0,0)

It means $m(P_2) = 10$.

When $\langle P_3, P_3 \rangle = 3/4$, because intersection number of P_3 and $\Theta_{v,2}$ or $\Theta_{v,3}$ is 1, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{5} (n_{v,i})^2 - (n_{v,1}.n_{v,4}) - (n_{v,2}.n_{v,5}) - (n_{v,3}.n_{v,5}) - (n_{v,4}.n_{v,5}) - n_{v,3} = 0.$$
(3)

 $m(P_3) = 16$, two intersection ways have same $m(P_3)$ and its integer solutions as follows:

• (1,2,2,2,3), (1,1,2,2,2), (1,1,2,2,3), (1,1,2,1,2), (1,1,1,2,2), (1,1,1,1,1)

- (1,1,1,1,2), (1,0,1,1,1), (0,1,2,1,2), (0,1,1,1,1), (0,1,1,1,2), (0,1,1,0,1)
- (0,0,1,1,1), (0,0,1,0,0), (0,0,1,0,1), (0,0,0,0,0)

In the following, we compute the number of their integral sections.

When $\langle P_1, P_1 \rangle = 2$, with the notation of Lemma 6, it has $P_1 \in E(K)^0 \cong A_3$, the Gram matrix of A_3 as above Figure 1. We know that $rank(E(K)) = rank(E(K)^0) = 3$, assume that e_1, e_2, e_3 are basis of $E(K)^0$ such that $P_1 = ae_1 + be_2 + ce_3$ $(a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z})$. According to $\langle P_1, P_1 \rangle = 2$, it follows that $2a^2 - 2ab + 2b^2 - 2bc + 2c^2 = 2$, which has 12 integer solutions (a, b, c) below by computing the equation. It means $\#\mathcal{P}_1 = 12$, this is easily verified that these 12 integral solutions are exactly 12 integral sections.

• $(0,0,\pm 1), (0,\pm 1,0), (\pm 1,0,0), (0,1,1), (0,-1,-1), (1,1,0), (-1,-1,0), (1,1,1), (-1,-1,-1)$

When $\langle P_2, P_2 \rangle = 1, P_2 \in E(K) - E(K)^0$, we assume that e'_1, e'_2, e'_3 are basis of E(K) such that $P_2 = ae'_1 + be'_2 + ce'_3$ $(a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z})$. According to $\langle P_2, P_2 \rangle = 1$, it follows that $3/4a^2 + ab + b^2 + 1/2ac + bc + 3/4c^2 = 1$, which has 6 integer solutions. We need to check that whether there indeed are 6 integer solutions corresponding to 6 integral sections by an explicit height pairing. We know $\sum_{v \in R} contr_v(P_2) = 2\chi(S) + 2(O.P_2) - \langle P_2, P_2 \rangle$ and $max(\sum_{v \in R} contr_v(P_2)) = 5/4$, so $2 + 2(O.P_2) - 1 \leq 5/4$, moveover $(O.P_2) \leq 1/8$. We get $(O.P_2) = 0$. In fact, we have 6 integral sections as follows:

• (0,±1,0), (1,0,-1), (-1,0,1), (-1,1,-1), (1,-1,1)

When $\langle P_3, P_3 \rangle = 3/4$, e'_1 , e'_2 , e'_3 are basis of E(K) such that $P_2 = ae'_1 + be'_2 + ce'_3(a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z})$. According to $\langle P_3, P_3 \rangle = 3/4$, it follows that $3/4a^2 + ab + b^2 + 1/2ac + bc + 3/4c^2 = 3/4$, which has 8 integer solutions. We know $\sum_{v \in R} contr_v(P_3) = 2\chi(S) + 2(O.P_3) - \langle P_3, P_3 \rangle$ and $max(\sum_{v \in R} contr_v(P_3)) = 5/4$, so $2 + 2(O.P_3) - 1 \le 5/4$, and $(O.P_3) \le 1/8$. We have $(O.P_3) = 0$. In fact, we have 8 integral sections as follows:

• $(0,0,\pm 1), (\pm 1,0,0), (0,1,-1), (0,-1,1), (1,-1,0), (-1,1,0)$

This computation method can be extended directly to other case. Here all cases can be treated by exactly the same arguments as above.

Proof of Case II

Coming to the case of second category above, we only touch upon a relevant case No. 24. $T = A_1^5$, where v(T) = 10 and Mordell-Weil group $E(K) \cong A_3^{\vee} \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}_2$. There are 5 reducible fibres of type *III*. By the explicit formula of the height pairing, we have $P \in E(K)$ as follows:

$$< P, P >= 2 + 2(P.O) - \begin{cases} 0, & P \in E(K)^{0}, P \text{ intersects } \Theta_{v_{k},0} \ (k = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5); \\ 5/2, & P \in E(K) - E(K)^{0}, P \text{ intersects } \Theta_{v_{k},1} \ (k = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5); \\ 2, & P \in E(K) - E(K)^{0}, P \text{ intersects } \Theta_{v_{k},1} \ (k = 1, 2, 3, 4); \\ 3/2, & P \in E(K) - E(K)^{0}, P \text{ intersects } \Theta_{v_{k},1} \ (k = 1, 2, 3); \\ 1, & P \in E(K) - E(K)^{0}, P \text{ intersects } \Theta_{v_{k},1} \ (k = 1, 2); \\ 1/2, & P \in E(K) - E(K)^{0}, P \text{ intersects } \Theta_{v_{1},1}. \end{cases}$$

 $P \in E(K) - E(K)^0$ and $\sum_{v \in R} contr_v(P) = 5/2$, so $\langle P, P \rangle = -1/6$. However, $\langle P, P \rangle = (\varphi(P).\varphi(P))$ this defines a Q-valued symmetric bilinear pairing on E(K) which induces the structure of a positive-definite lattice on $E(K)/E(K)_{tors}$. Hence, there is no such P. If assume that $P_i \in \mathcal{P}_i$, thus we get $\langle P_i, P_i \rangle = 2$, 0, 1/2, 1, 3/2 (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5).

First, according to different the height pairing value of sections, we compute their $m(P_i)$. Here we can use the same method from the previous case. Now we have $D_p^2 = -2$, then $((P) - (O) - (F) + \sum_{v_{k},i} n_{v_{k},i} \Theta_{v_{k},i})^2 = -2$ $(i = \{1\}, k = \{1, \dots, 5\})$ with $(P.O) = 0, F^2 = 0, (P.P) = (O, O) = -\chi(S) = -1, (\Theta_{v_{k},1})^2 = -2$. Since it has 5 singular fibres *III*, then $(\Theta_{v_{k},1}.\Theta_{v_{k'},1}) = 0, k \neq k'$. Moreover, according to different intersection ways of *P* and reducible fibre's components, intersection number of *P* and $\Theta_{v_{k},1}$ is 1, so we obtain 5 equations as follows.

$$(1): \sum_{i=1}^{5} (n_{v_{i},1})^{2} = 0; \quad (2): \sum_{i=1}^{5} (n_{v_{i},1})^{2} - n_{v_{1},1} - n_{v_{2},1} - n_{v_{3},1} - n_{v_{4},1} = 0;$$

$$(3): \sum_{i=1}^{5} (n_{v_{i},1})^{2} - n_{v_{1},1} - n_{v_{2},1} - n_{v_{3},1} = 0; \quad (4): \sum_{i=1}^{5} (n_{v_{i},1})^{2} - n_{v_{1},1} - n_{v_{2},1} = 0; \quad (4)$$

$$(5): \sum_{i=1}^{5} (n_{v_{i},1})^{2} - n_{v_{1},1} = 0.$$

After we compute the non-negative integer solutions of these five equations, then it is clear that $\langle P_i, P_i \rangle = 2, 0, 1/2, 1, 3/2$, hence, $m(P_i) = 1, 16, 8, 4, 2$ (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5).

Second, we compute the number of their integral sections. In the same way of the case No.16, when $\langle P_1, P_1 \rangle = 2$, we have $\#\mathcal{P}_1 = 6$. When $\langle P_2, P_2 \rangle = 0$, because E(K) has a symmetric bilinear pairing which is positive-definite modulo torsion, we derive the equivalence $\langle P_2, P_2 \rangle = 0$ iff $\varphi(P_2) = 0$ iff $P_2 \in E(K)_{tor}$, hence $P_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. From [6], we know that for any torsion element Pof order not divisible by char(k), the sections (P) and (O) are disjoint. Here char(k) = 0, it is clear that it has only 1 non-trivial integral section (not contain section (O)).

When $\langle P_3, P_3 \rangle = 1/2$, $P_3 \in E(K) - E(K)^0$, we get $\#\mathcal{P}_3 = 6$. Suppose a torsion element $\alpha \in E(K)_{tor}$, then $\langle P_3, P_3 \rangle = \langle P_3 + \alpha, P_3 + \alpha \rangle = 1/2$ by Lemma 7, here $\alpha = \overline{1} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. According to the height pairing formula. It is easy to check that following result: if P_3 is an integral section, then $P_3 + \alpha$ is also an integral section. Finally, we can obtain 12 integral sections. When $\langle P_4, P_4 \rangle = 1$, it has 24 integral sections in the same way.

When $\langle P_5, P_5 \rangle = 3/2$, $P_5 \in E(K) - E(K)^0$. Here, we find that it has Situation (S2). If assume that P_5 is an integral section, we get $\#P_5 = 8$. However, while we are checking whether it is integral section, observe that $(O.P_5) \leq 1$ by the height pairing formula. It means that the number of integral sections less than or equal to 16 by Lemma 7, hence, we can assume that number of integral section is $\#P_5 := x$. We have $240 - v(T) = 1 \cdot 16 + 6 \cdot 1 + 24 \cdot 4 + 12 \cdot 8 + 2x$, this can easily be obtained from Theorem 5, so x = 8.

Proof of case *III*

First, we list an instance and turn to case No. 51 in case III. $T = A_5 \bigoplus A_2$, v(T) = 36 and Mordell-Weil group $E(K) \cong A_1^{\vee} \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}_3$. The two reducible fibres are I_6 and I_3 . By the explicit formula of the height pairing, we have for $P \in E(K)$, then

$$< P, P >= 2 + 2(P.O) - \begin{cases} 0, & P \in E(K)^{0}, P \text{ intersects } \Theta_{v_{1},0} \text{ and } \Theta_{v_{2},0}; \\ 2, & P \in E(K) - E(K)^{0}, P \text{ intersects } \Theta_{v_{1},2} \text{ and } \Theta_{v_{2},1}; \\ 5/6, & P \in E(K) - E(K)^{0}, P \text{ intersects } \Theta_{v_{1},1} \text{ and } \Theta_{v_{2},0}; \\ 4/3, & P \in E(K) - E(K)^{0}, P \text{ intersects } \Theta_{v_{1},2} \text{ and } \Theta_{v_{2},0}; \\ 3/2, & P \in E(K) - E(K)^{0}, P \text{ intersects } \Theta_{v_{1},3} \text{ and } \Theta_{v_{2},0}; \\ 3/2, & P \in E(K) - E(K)^{0}, P \text{ intersects } \Theta_{v_{1},1} \text{ and } \Theta_{v_{2},1}; \\ 2/3, & P \in E(K) - E(K)^{0}, P \text{ intersects } \Theta_{v_{1},0} \text{ and } \Theta_{v_{2},1}; \\ 13/6, & P \in E(K) - E(K)^{0}, P \text{ intersects } \Theta_{v_{1},3} \text{ and } \Theta_{v_{2},1}. \end{cases}$$

There is no such P such that $\sum_{v \in R} contr_v(P) = 13/6$. If we assume that P_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7) are integral sections, then we get $\langle P_i, P_i \rangle = 2, 0, 7/6, 2/3, 1/2, 1/2, 4/3$. When $\langle P_i, P_i \rangle = 7/6, 2/3, 4/3$ (i = 3, 4, 7), it has $P \in E(K) - E(K)^0$. Assume that e_1 is a basis of E(K) such that $P = a e_1$ by the Gram matrix of A_1^{\vee} , it is easy to get $1/2a^2 = 7/6, 2/3, 4/3$, which have no integer solution.

First, we have $m(P_i) = 1$, 45 (i = 1, 2) and $\#\mathcal{P}_1 = 2$, $\#\mathcal{P}_2 = 2$ by an easy computation. When $\langle P_i, P_i \rangle \geq 1/2$ (i = 5, 6), we get 6 integral sections. But in this situation, we know that it just has Situation (S2). The first is that P_5 intersects components $\Theta_{v_1,3}$ and $\Theta_{v_2,0}$, and corresponding to $m(P_5) = 20$, we assume that the number of integral sections is $\#\mathcal{P}_5 := x$. The second situation is that P intersects components $\Theta_{v_1,1}$ and $\Theta_{v_2,1}$, and corresponding to $m(P_6) = 18$, we assume that the number of integral section is $\#\mathcal{P}_6 := y, x + y = 6$. In fact, we can then apply the same method such that we have $240 - v(T) = 1 \cdot 2 + 45 \cdot 2 + 20x + 18y$, so x = 2, y = 4.

Proof of case IV

This situation is slightly more complicated than the previous three cases. Finally, as an explicit illustration, we will go into details only for an instructive case: No.53.

We consider the case No.53 where $T = A_5 \bigoplus A_1^2$, v(T) = 34 and $E(K) \cong \langle 1/6 \rangle \bigoplus \mathbb{Z}_2$. The three reducible fibres are I_6 , I_2 and I_2 . As discussed above, we get

$$\sum_{v \in R} contr_v(P) = 0, \ 2, \ 5/6, \ 4/3, \ 4/3, \ 3/2, \ 1/2, \ 1, \ 11/6, \ 11/6, \ 3/7, \ 5/2$$



Figure 2

When $\sum_{v \in R} contr_v(P) = 3/7$, 5/2, there is no such P. It is clear that

 $\langle P_i, P_i \rangle = 2, 0, 7/6, 2/3, 2/3, 1/2, 3/2, 1, 1/6, 1/6 \ (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10).$

When $\langle P_i, P_i \rangle \ge 2$, 7/6, 1/2, 1 (i = 1, 3, 6, 8), which have no integer solution. We assume that P_i (i = 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10) are integral sections.

When $\langle P_2, P_2 \rangle = 0$, we can proceed similarly for the computation, it gives rise to $\#\mathcal{P}_1 = 1$ and $m(P_1) = 40$.

When $\langle P_7, P_7 \rangle = 3/2$ in this situation, we know that it just has Situation (S1). It has $m(P_7) = 2$. But it gives rise to $(O.P_7) \leq 1$ by checking whether it is an integral section or not. Hence, we can assume that the number of such integral sections is $\#\mathcal{P}_7 := x$. As we remarked in Lemma 7, it proves $x \leq 4$.

When $\langle P_i, P_i \rangle = 2/3$ (i = 4, 5), it has 4 integral sections in all. But in this situation, we know that it just has Situation (S2). The first is that P_4 should pass through the components $\Theta_{v_1,2}$, $\Theta_{v_2,0}$ and $\Theta_{v_3,0}$ of every reducible fibre (as depicted in Figure 2) and corresponding to $m(P_4) = 15$. Assume that the number of such integral sections is $\#\mathcal{P}_4 := y$. The second situation is that P_5 intersects components $\Theta_{v_1,5}$, $\Theta_{v_2,0}$ and $\Theta_{v_3,1}$, and corresponding to $m(P_5) = 12$, we assume that the number of such integral sections is $\#\mathcal{P}_5 := z$. In fact y + z = 4.

When $\langle P_i, P_i \rangle = 1/6$ (i = 9, 10), it has 4 integral sections in all. And it just has Situation (S2) as above. The first is that P_9 intersects components $\Theta_{v_1,4}$, $\Theta_{v_2,1}$ and $\Theta_{v_3,0}$, and corresponding to $m(P_9) = 30$. We assume that the number of such integral sections is $\#\mathcal{P}_9 := w$. The second situation is that P_{10} intersects components $\Theta_{v_1,1}$, $\Theta_{v_2,1}$ and $\Theta_{v_3,1}$, and corresponding to $m(P_{10}) = 24$, we assume that the number of such integral sections is $\#\mathcal{P}_{10} := t$. In fact w + t = 4.

In a word, according to relevant computation value of P_i , we have $240 - v(T) = 40 \cdot 1 + 2x + 15y + 12z + 30w + 24t$. The non-negative integer solutions (x, y, z, w, t) satisfying the conditions are (2, 4, 0, 1, 3), (2, 2, 2, 2, 2), (2, 0, 4, 3, 1). We know that $O \neq P_1 \in E(K)_{tor}$, and P_1 intersects components $\Theta_{v_1,3}, \Theta_{v_2,0}$ and $\Theta_{v_3,1}$. It is easy to check $P_4 + P_1 \in \mathcal{P}_5, P_5 + P_1 \in \mathcal{P}_4, P_9 + P_1 \in \mathcal{P}_{10}$ and $P_{10} + P_1 \in \mathcal{P}_9$. It implies that y = z and w = t, hence the unique solution is (2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2). The other cases in this situation are similar. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

4 Proof of Theorem 2

In this section, we will prove Theorem 2. First, we recall a lemma in [10]. It helps us estimate the number of solutions of the Weierstrass equation as follows:

$$y^2 = x^3 + a_2(t)x^2 + a_4(t)x + a_6(t), \ \deg a_i(t)) \le i \qquad (*)$$

Lemma 8. Let $P = (x, y) \in E(K), P \neq O$. Then the section (P) is an integral section, if and only if x and y are polynomials for t of degree ≤ 2 or ≤ 3 , i.e., of the form:

$$x = gt^2 + at + b, \quad y = ht^3 + ct^2 + dt + e$$

with $a, b, \ldots, g, h \in k$.

Now, we start our proof.

Proof. (1) If $\Delta(t)$ has only one solution which is not 12-fold root. Then the Weierstrass equation (*) is minimal. And it must correspond to an elliptic fibration over \mathbb{P}^1 . This fibration has exactly two singular fibers by [1]. Then by [3] or [4], its T must be one of $E_8, E_7 \oplus A_1, E_6 \oplus A_2, D_4 \oplus D_4$. In these cases, every section is an integral section and corresponds to a polynomial solution (see [9]). From the table in Theorem 1, we have $0 \le n \le 3$.

(2) If $\Delta(t)$ has only two solutions or three different solutions with deg $\Delta(t) = 12$. Then (*) corresponds to an elliptic fibration over \mathbb{P}^1 with two or three singular fibers. From the classifications in [3] or [4], its T must be one of the followings:

$$E_8, E_7 \oplus A_1, E_6 \oplus A_2, D_4 \oplus D_4, D_5 \oplus A_3, D_6 \oplus A_1^2, D_8, D_6 \oplus A_1,$$

 $D_5 \oplus A_1^2, D_5 \oplus A_2, E_7, E_6 \oplus A_1, D_7, A_2^3, D_4 \oplus A_1^2, D_5 \oplus A_1, D_6, D_4 \oplus A_2, E_6.$

From the table in Theorem 1, we have $n \leq N_0$, and N_0 is at least 26. (In fact, we know that $N_0 \leq 144 \times 10^{14.2}$ from [2].)

- (3)-(i) If Δ(t) has more than three solutions, or three different solutions with deg Δ(t) < 12. Then it corresponds to an elliptic fibration over P¹ with four singular fibers. We compute Euler-Poincare characteristic χ_{top}(F) for every elliptic fiber in the following:
 - Fiber type : $I_n (n \ge 0)$ $I_n^* (n \ge 0)$ II III IV II^* III^* IV^* - $\chi_{top}(F)$: n 6+n 2 3 4 10 9 8

We have the formula $\chi_{top}(S) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi_{top}(F)$. Because S is a rational surface, $\chi_{top}(S) = 12$. If an elliptic fibration with II^* and other three singular fibers, then $\chi_{top}(S) \ge 10 + 1 + 1 + 1$. This is a contradiction. It means that there are no fibration with II^* in this case. From the table in Theorem 1, and we have $n \ge 1$.

• (3)-(ii) If $3 \leq \deg \Delta(t) \leq 9$, it means the valuation of $\Delta(t)$ must be not 10 at every point in \mathbb{P}^1 . From Tate's algorithm, there is no fibration with II^* in this case.

Now, in the following we give some examples. These examples show that the bounds are attainable in Theorem 2.

Example 9

- (1) The Weiestrass equation $y^2 = x^3 + t^3$ corresponds to an ellptic fibration over \mathbb{P}^1 with $I_0^*(t = \infty)$ and I_0^* (t=0). We compute $\Delta(t) = -27t^6$. It is easy to see $T = D_4 \bigoplus D_4$. From the table in Theorem 1, we have n = 3.
- (2) The Weiestrass equation $y^2 = x^3 3tx^2 + 3t^2x + t^2 + t^3 + t^4$ corresponds to an ellptic fibration over \mathbb{P}^1 with IV, IV and IV, at t = 0, t = -1, $t = \infty$. It is easy to see $T = A_2^3$. We compute $\Delta(t) = -27t^4(1+t)^4$. From the table, we have $n \ge 26$.
- (3) The Weiestrass equation $y^2 = x^3 + t^2x^2 + (t^3 + t^4)x + t^6$ corresponds to an elliptic fibration over \mathbb{P}^1 with III^* (at t=0), and I_1, I_1, I_1 . It is easy to see $T = E_7$. We compute $\Delta(t) = -16t^{12} + 8t^{11} - 11t^{10} - 4t^9$. We can obtain n = 1 by the table in Theorem 1.

References

- Beauville, A.: Le nombre minimum de fibres singulières d'une courbe stable sur ℙ¹, Astèrisque, 86 (1981), 97–108.
- [2] Hindry, M., Silverman, J. H.: The canonical height and integral points on elliptic curves. Invent. Math. 93 (1988), 419–450.
- [3] Schmickler-Hirzebruch, U.: Elliptische Flächen über P₁C mit drei Ausnahmefasern und die hypergeometrische Differentialgleichung, Schriftenreihe des Mathematischen Instituts der Universität Münster, 2. Serie, 33, Universität Münster, (1985)
- [4] Nguen, K. V.: On the classification of elliptic fibrations with a small number of singular fibers over a base of genus 1, Russian Math. Surveys 52 (1997), 1316–1317.
- [5] Oguiso, K., Shioda, T.: The Mordell-Weil lattice of a rational elliptic surface. Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli. 40 (1990), 83–99.
- [6] Schütt, M., Shioda, T.: Mordell-Weil Lattices. Springer (2019).
- [7] Shioda, T.: Gröbner Basis, Mordell-Weil Lattices and Deformation of Singularities, I. Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. no. 2, 86 (2010), 21-26.
- [8] Shioda, T.: Gröbner Basis, Mordell-Weil Lattices and Deformation of Singularities, II. Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. no. 2, 86 (2010), 27-32.
- [9] Shioda, T.: Integral points and Mordell-Weil lattices, in A panorama of number theory or the view from Baker's garden, Cambridge University Press (2002).

- [10] Shioda, T.: On the Mordell-Weil lattices. Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli. 39 (1990), 211– 240.
- [11] Shioda, T.: Theory of Mordell-Weil lattices. Proc. ICM Kyoto-1990, I, (1991), 473–489.
- [12] Siegel, C.L.: Über einige Anwendungen diophantischer Approximationen. Abhandlungen Akad. Berlin, Physikalisch-mathematische Klasse, Nr. 1, 70pp (1929).

Table 1: Main table

No.	r	Т	v(T)	$\langle P_i, P_i \rangle$	$m(P_i)$	$\#\mathcal{P}_i$
1	8	{0}	0	2	1	240
2	7	A_1	2	2	1	126
				3/2	2	56
3	6	A_2	6	2	1	72
				4/3	3	54
4	6	$A_1{}^2$	4	2	1	60
				3/2	2	64
				1	4	12
5	5	A_3	12	2	1	40
				5/4	4	32
				1	6	10
6	5	$A_2 \bigoplus A_1$	8	2	1	30
				3/2	2	20
				4/3	3	30
				5/6	6	12
7	5	$A_1{}^3$	6	2	1	26
				3/2	2	48
				1	4	24
				1/2	8	2
8	4	A_4	20	2	1	20
				6/5	5	20
				4/5	10	10
9	4	D_4	24	2	1	24
				1	8	24
10	4	$A_3 \bigoplus A_1$	14	2	1	14
				3/2	2	12
				5/4	4	16
				1	6	6
				3/4	8	8
				1/2	12	2

Table 2: Main table

No.	r	Т	v(T)	$\langle P_i, P_i \rangle$	$m(P_i)$	$\#\mathcal{P}_i$
11	4	$A_2{}^2$	12	2	1	12
				4/3	3	36
				2/3	9	12
12	4	$A_2 \bigoplus A_1^2$	10	2	1	12
				3/2	2	16
				4/3	3	14
				1	4	6
				5/6	6	16
				1/3	12	2
13	4	$A_{1}{}^{4}$	8	0	16	1
				2	1	24
				1	4	48
14	4	$A_{1}{}^{4}$	8	2	1	8
				3/2	2	32
				1	4	24
				1/2	8	8
15	3	A_5	30	2	1	8
				7/6	6	12
				2/3	15	6
				1/2	20	2
16	3	D_5	40	2	1	12
				1	10	6
				3/4	16	8
17	3	$A_4 \bigoplus A_1$	22	2	1	6
				3/2	2	6
				6/5	5	8
				4/5	10	6
				7/10	10	6
				3/10	20	2

Table 3: Main table

No.	r	Т	v(T)	$\langle P_i, P_i \rangle$	$m(P_i)$	$\#\mathcal{P}_i$
18	3	$D_4 \bigoplus A_1$	26	2	1	6
				3/2	2	8
				1	8	12
				1/2	16	6
19	3	$A_3 \bigoplus A_2$	18	2	1	4
				4/3	3	10
				5/4	4	8
				1	6	4
				7/12	12	8
				1/3	18	2
20	3	$A_2^2 \bigoplus A_1$	14	2	1	6
				3/2	2	2
				4/3	3	12
				5/6	6	12
				2/3	9	8
				1/6	18	2
21	3	$A_3 \bigoplus A_1^2$	16	0	24	1
				2	1	12
				1	6	6
				1	4	6
				3/4	8	16
22	3	$A_3 \bigoplus A_1^2$	16	2	1	4
				3/2	2	8
				5/4	4	8
				1	6	2
				1	4	4
				3/4	8	8
				1/2	12	4
				1/4	16	2

No.	r	Т	v(T)	$\langle P_i, P_i \rangle$	$m(P_i)$	$\#\mathcal{P}_i$
23	3	$A_2 \bigoplus A_1{}^3$	12	2	1	2
				3/2	2	12
				4/3	3	6
				1	4	6
				5/6	6	12
				1/2	8	2
				1/3	12	6
24	3	$A_{1}{}^{5}$	10	0	16	1
				2	1	6
				3/2	2	8
				1	4	24
				1/2	8	12
25	2	A_6	42	2	1	2
				8/7	7	6
				4/7	21	4
				2/7	35	2
26	2	D_6	60	2	1	4
				1	12	4
				1/2	32	4
27	2	E_6	72	2	1	6
				2/3	27	6
28	2	$A_5 \bigoplus A_1$	32	0	40	1
				2	1	6
				2/3	15	6
				2/3	12	6

Table 4: Main table

Table 5: Main table

No.	r	Т	v(T)	$\langle P_i, P_i \rangle$	$m(P_i)$	$\#\mathcal{P}_i$
29	2	$A_5 \bigoplus A_1$	32	2	1	2
				3/2	2	2
				7/6	6	4
				2/3	15	2
				2/3	12	4
				1/2	20	2
				1/6	30	2
30	2	$D_5 \bigoplus A_1$	42	2	1	2
				3/2	2	4
				1	10	2
				3/4	16	4
				1/2	20	2
				1/4	32	2
31	2	$A_4 \bigoplus A_2$	26	2	1	2
				6/5	5	2
				4/3	3	4
				4/5	10	4
				8/15	15	6
				2/15	30	2
32	2	$D_4 \bigoplus A_2$	30	4/3	3	6
				1	8	6
				1/3	24	6
33	2	$A_4 \bigoplus A_1^2$	24	3/2	2	4
				6/5	5	4
				1	4	2
				4/5	10	2
				7/10	10	4
				3/10	20	4
				1/5	20	2

Table 6: Main table

No.	r	Т	v(T)	$\langle P_i, P_i \rangle$	$m(P_i)$	$\#\mathcal{P}_i$
34	2	$D_4 \bigoplus A_1^2$	28	0	32	1
				2	1	4
				1	8	4
				1	4	4
				1/2	16	8
35	2	$A_3{}^2$	24	0	36	1
				2	1	4
				1	6	8
				1/2	16	8
36	2	$A_3{}^2$	24	5/4	4	8
				1	6	4
				1/2	16	4
				1/4	24	4
37	2	$A_3 \bigoplus A_2 \bigoplus A_1$	20	2	1	2
				4/3	3	2
				5/4	4	4
				5/6	6	4
				3/4	8	2
				7/12	12	4
				1/2	12	2
				1/3	18	2
				1/12	24	2
38	2	$A_3 \bigoplus A_1{}^3$	18	0	24	1
				2	1	2
				3/2	2	4
				1	6	2
				1	4	2
				3/4	8	8
				1/2	12	2
				1/2	8	2
				1/4	16	4

Table 7: Main table

No.	r	Т	v(T)	$\langle P_i, P_i \rangle$	$m(P_i)$	$\#\mathcal{P}_i$
39	2	$A_2{}^3$	18	0	27	2
				2	1	6
				2/3	9	18
40	2	$A_2{}^2 \bigoplus A_1{}^2$	16	3/2	2	4
				4/3	3	4
				5/6	6	8
				2/3	9	4
				1/3	12	4
				1/6	18	4
41	2	$A_2 \bigoplus A_1^4$	14	0	16	1
				4/3	3	6
				1	4	12
				1/3	12	12
42	2	$A_{1}{}^{6}$	12	0	16	3
				2	1	4
				1	4	12
				1/2	8	16
43	1	E_7	126	2	1	2
				1/2	56	2
44	1	A_7	56	0	70	1
				2	1	2
				1/2	28	4
45	1	A_7	56	9/8	8	2
				1/2	28	2
				1/8	56	2
46	1	D_7	84	1	14	2
				1/4	64	2
47	1	$A_6 \bigoplus A_1$	44	8/7	7	2
				9/14	14	2
				2/7	35	2
				1/14	42	2

Table 8: Main table

No.	r	Т	v(T)	$\langle P_i, P_i \rangle$	$m(P_i)$	$\#\mathcal{P}_i$
48	1	$D_6 \bigoplus A_1$	62	0	64	1
				2	1	2
				1/2	32	2
				1/2	24	2
49	1	$E_6 \bigoplus A_1$	74	3/2	2	2
				2/3	27	2
				1/6	54	2
50	1	$D_5 \bigoplus A_2$	46	4/3	3	2
				3/4	16	2
				1/3	30	2
				1/12	48	2
51	1	$A_5 \bigoplus A_2$	36	0	45	2
				2	1	2
				1/2	20	2
				1/2	18	4
52	1	$D_5 \bigoplus A_1^2$	44	0	40	1
				1	10	2
				1	4	2
				1/4	32	4
53	1	$A_5 \bigoplus A_1^2$	34	0	40	1
				3/2	2	2
				2/3	15	2
				2/3	12	2
				1/6	30	2
				1/6	24	2
54	1	$D_4 \bigoplus A_3$	36	0	48	1
				1	8	2
				1	6	2
				1/4	32	4

Table 9: Main table

No.	r	Т	v(T)	$\langle P_i, P_i \rangle$	$m(P_i)$	$\#\mathcal{P}_i$
55	1	$A_4 \bigoplus A_3$	32	5/4	4	2
				4/5	10	2
				9/20	20	2
				1/5	30	2
				1/20	40	2
56	1	$A_4 \bigoplus A_2 \bigoplus A_1$	28	6/5	5	2
				5/6	6	2
				8/15	15	2
				3/10	20	2
				2/15	30	2
				1/30	30	2
57	1	$D_4 \bigoplus A_1{}^3$	30	0	32	3
				2	1	2
				1/2	16	6
				1/2	8	2
58	1	$A_3^2 \bigoplus A_1$	26	0	36	3
				2	1	2
				1/2	12	6
				1/2	16	2
59	1	$A_3 \bigoplus A_2 \bigoplus A_1{}^2$	22	0	24	1
				4/3	3	2
				3/4	8	4
				1/12	24	4
				1/3	18	2
				1/3	12	2
60	1	$A_3 \bigoplus A_1^4$	20	0	24	2
				0	16	1
				1	6	2
				1	4	4
				1/4	16	8

No.	r	Т	v(T)	$\langle P_i, P_i \rangle$	$m(P_i)$	$\#\mathcal{P}_i$
61	1	$A_2{}^3 \bigoplus A_1$	20	0	27	2
				3/2	2	2
				2/3	9	6
				1/6	18	6
62	0	E_8	240	no exist	0	0
63	0	A_8	72	0	84	2
64	0	D_8	112	0	128	1
65	0	$E_7 \bigoplus A_1$	128	0	112	1
66	0	$A_5 \bigoplus A_2 \bigoplus A_1$	38	0	45	2
				0	40	1
				0	36	2
67	0	$A_4{}^2$	40	0	50	4
68	0	$A_2{}^4$	24	0	27	8
69	0	$E_6 \bigoplus A_2$	78	0	81	2
70	0	$A_7 \bigoplus A_1$	58	0	70	1
				0	56	2
71	0	$D_6 \bigoplus A_1^2$	64	0	48	2
				0	64	1
72	0	$D_5 \bigoplus A_3$	52	0	60	1
				0	64	2
73	0	$D_4{}^2$	48	0	64	3
74	0	$A_3{}^2 \bigoplus A_1{}^2$	28	0	24	3
				0	32	1
				0	36	3

Table 10: Main table