

AN EXAMPLE FOR KUZNETSOV-SHINDER CONJECTURE

TANYA KAUSHAL SRIVASTAVA

ABSTRACT. We give an example for the Kuznetsov-Shinder conjecture, with infinitely many non-isomorphic but L-equivalent varieties.

1. THE GROTHENDIECK RING OF VARIETIES

Let k be a field of characteristic zero. One define the Grothendieck ring of varieties over k as the ring generated by isomorphism classes $[X]$ of algebraic varieties X over k with relations $[X] = [Z] + [U]$ for every closed subvariety $Z \subset X$ having open complement $U \subset X$. The product structure of this ring is induced by the products of varieties. The ring is denoted by $K_0(\text{Var}/k)$. The ring has a unit element given by the equivalence class of a point $[\text{Spec}(k)]$. This ring was introduced by Grothendieck in his correspondence with Serre [3]. Results of Bittner [1] and Looijenga [16] give an alternate description of this ring, showing that the ring is generated by isomorphism classes of smooth projective varieties subject to the relation: $[X] + [Z] = [Y] + [E]$, whenever Y is smooth projective, $Z \subset Y$ is a smooth subvariety, X is a blow-up of Y along Z , and $E \subset X$ is the exceptional divisor. The following lemmas are very useful and easy consequences of the definition of Grothendieck ring of varieties.

Lemma 1.1. [12, Lemma 2.1] *Assume $M \rightarrow S$ is a Zariski locally trivial fibration with fiber F . Then $[M] = [S][F]$ in $K_0(\text{Var}/k)$.*

Lemma 1.2. *In the Grothendieck ring of varieties $K_0(\text{Var}/k)$, we have:*

- (1) $[\emptyset] = 0$
- (2) $[\text{Spec } k] = 1$
- (3) $[\mathbb{P}^n] = 1 + \mathbb{L} + \dots + \mathbb{L}^n$, where $\mathbb{L} = [\mathbb{A}^1]$.

The above lemma is very easy to prove, see for example [18].

Poonen has shown that the ring $K_0(\text{Var}/k)$ is not a domain [19]. Let us denote by \mathbb{L} the equivalence class of the affine line. It has been further shown that \mathbb{L} is a zero-divisor in the ring $K_0(\text{Var}/k)$ [2], [17], [8].

Definition 1.3. Let X and Y be smooth projective varieties. They are called **D -equivalent** if their derived categories $D^b(X)$ and $D^b(Y)$ of bounded complexes of coherent sheaves are equivalent as triangulated categories, i.e.,

Date: January 2, 2024.

there exists an equivalence of categories $\phi : D^b(X) \rightarrow D^b(Y)$ which commutes with the translations and sends any distinguished triangle to a distinguished triangle.

Definition 1.4. Two algebraic varieties X and Y are called **L -equivalent** if the differences $[X] - [Y]$ vanishes in the localization $K_0(\text{Var}_{\mathbb{C}})[\mathbb{L}^{-1}]$. Equivalently, for some $n > 0$ we have $([X] - [Y])\mathbb{L}^n = 0$.

Question(Kuznetsov-Shinder): Assume X and Y are D -equivalent smooth projective varieties. What is the relation between the classes $[X]$ and $[Y]$ in the Grothendieck ring $K_0(\text{Var}/k)$?

A partial answer to the above question of theirs was conjectured by them.

Kuznetsov-Shinder Conjecture: If X and Y are smooth projective simply connected varieties such that $D(X)$ and $D(Y)$, then there is a non-negative integer $r \geq 0$ such that $([X] - [Y])\mathbb{L}^r = 0$. Equivalently, D -equivalence of simply connected varieties implies their L -equivalence.

Remark 1.5. The condition of being simply connected is necessary. Efimov [5] and independently Ito et. al [9], showed that there exist derived equivalent Abelian varieties over \mathbb{C} which are not L -equivalent.

For a list of known positive cases of Kuznetsov-Shinder conjecture, we refer the reader to the survey by Ito et. al [9].

1.1. An example in support: Rational 3-folds. Lesieutre [14] gave an example of a rational threefold to show that a smooth projective variety can have infinitely many Fourier-Mukai partners, i.e., there are infinitely many non-isomorphic smooth projective varieties (all rational threefolds) whose derived category of coherent sheaves are all isomorphic.

Theorem 1.6. [14] *Let \mathbf{p} denote an ordered 8-tuple of distinct points in \mathbb{P}^3 , and let $X_{\mathbf{p}}$ be the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^3 at the points of \mathbf{p} . There is an infinite set W of configurations of 8 points in \mathbb{P}^3 such that if \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{q} are distinct elements of W , then $D(X_{\mathbf{p}}) \cong D(X_{\mathbf{q}})$ but are not isomorphic.*

A rational variety is simply connected (with the etale fundamental group being trivial or if defined over \mathbb{C} then with the usual topological fundamental group) [4, Corollary 4.18]. In particular this example satisfies the assumptions of Kuznetsov-Shinder conjecture. We can easily show that this example provide support for the conjecture. The conjecture holds for it.

Proposition 1.7. *Each pair of non-isomorphic derived equivalent $X_{\mathbf{p}}$ and $X_{\mathbf{q}}$ have the same class in the Grothendieck ring of varieties. In particular, they are \mathbb{L} -equivalent.*

Proof. From [14, Lemma 1], we know that $X_{\mathbf{p}}$ and $X_{\mathbf{q}}$ are isomorphic iff \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{q} coincide upto an automorphism of \mathbb{P}^3 and permutation of points. From the alternate description of $K_0(\text{Var}/k)$ using blowups (see [1] and [16]), we can write

$$(1) \quad [X_{\mathbf{p}}] = [\mathbb{P}^3] - 8[k] + [E],$$

where E is the exceptional divisor and $[k]$ is the equivalence class of a point, which is our unit element. The exceptional divisor of the blow up is Zariski locally isomorphic to $\{pt\} \times \mathbb{P}^2$ ([7, Theorem II 8.24]). Thus, from the relation above and lemma 1.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} [X_{\mathbf{p}}] &= \mathbb{L}^3 + \mathbb{L}^2 + \mathbb{L} + 1 - 8 + \mathbb{L}^2 + \mathbb{L} + 1 \\ &= \mathbb{L}^3 + 2\mathbb{L}^2 + 2\mathbb{L} - 6. \end{aligned}$$

Since this formula, does not depend on the configuration of the 8 points in \mathbb{P}^3 , we see that all the varieties $X_{\mathbf{p}}$ have the same class in the Grothendieck ring of varieties and hence are \mathbb{L} -equivalent. \square

Remark 1.8. Note that the above example shows that there can be infinitely many isomorphism classes of varieties which have the same equivalence class in Grothendieck ring of varieties.

1.2. Grothendieck Ring of Varieties and Birationality. One can study rationality problems in algebraic geometry using the Grothendieck ring of varieties. Consider the following lemma:

Lemma 1.9. [6, Lemma 2.1] *Let X, X' be smooth birationally equivalent varieties of dimension d . Then we have an equality in the Grothendieck ring $K_0(\text{Var}/k)$:*

$$[X'] - [X] = \mathbb{L} \cdot \mathcal{M}$$

where \mathcal{M} is a linear combination of classes of smooth projective varieties of dimension $d - 2$.

This immediately gives the following rationality condition as a corollary:

Corollary 1.10. *If X is a rational smooth d -dimensional variety, then*

$$(2) \quad [X] = [\mathbb{P}^d] + \mathbb{L} \cdot \mathcal{M}_X$$

where \mathcal{M}_X is a linear combination of classes of smooth projective varieties of dimension $d - 2$.

Remark 1.11. Localizing with respect to a zero divisor:

Further, there is another open conjecture of Larsen and Lunts [13].

Conjecture: If $[X] = [Y]$, then X is birational to Y .

The above conjecture is trivially true for the example of Lesieutre as we have constructed varieties which are birational to each other. The conjecture has been proven true for Calabi-Yau's by Liu and Sebag in [15, Corollary 1]. A weaker result in this direction was already proven by Larsen and Lunts in [13]:

Theorem 1.12. ([13]) *The quotient-ring $K_0(\text{Var}/k)/\mathbb{L}$ is naturally isomorphic to the free abelian group generated by classes of stable birational*

equivalence of smooth projective connected varieties together with its natural ring structure. In particular, if X and Y_1, \dots, Y_m are smooth projective connected varieties and

$$[X] = \sum_{j=1}^m n_j [Y_j] \quad \text{mod } \mathbb{L}$$

for some $n_j \in \mathbb{Z}$, then X is stably birationally equivalent to one of the Y_j .

Recall that two smooth projective varieties X and Y are called **stably birationally equivalent** if for some $m, n \geq 1$, $X \times \mathbb{P}^m$ is birationally equivalent to $Y \times \mathbb{P}^n$.

Remark 1.13. Generally the notion of stable birational equivalence is weaker than birational equivalence. However, for varieties with non-negative Kodaira dimension these two notions are the same [6, Lemma 2.6].

1.3. Comparison with K-equivalence. Kawamata introduced K-equivalence in [10].

Definition 1.14. Let X and Y be smooth projective varieties. They are called **K-equivalent** if they are birationally equivalent and if there exists a smooth projective variety Z with birational morphisms $f : Z \rightarrow X$ and $g : Z \rightarrow Y$ such that the pull-backs of the canonical divisors are linearly equivalent: $f^*K_X \sim g^*K_Y$.

He further conjectured the following

Kawamata's DK-Conjecture: [10] Let X and Y be birationally equivalent smooth projective varieties. Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) There exists an equivalence of triangulated categories $D^b(X) \cong D^b(Y)$.
- (2) There exists a smooth projective variety Z and birational morphisms $f : Z \rightarrow X$ and $g : Z \rightarrow Y$ such that $f^*K_X \sim g^*K_Y$.

In other words, for birational varieties D-equivalence is the same as K-equivalence. For a survey of the current known examples for this conjecture along with its more general form, see [11].

The above example of Lesieture satisfies the DK-conjecture as well. This can be seen easily by taking Z to be the blowup of \mathbb{P}^3 at all the distinct points in the sets \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{q} of $X_{\mathbf{p}}$ and $X_{\mathbf{q}}$. The maps f and g are just the respective blow downs. Note that pullback of the canonical divisors of $X_{\mathbf{p}}$ and $X_{\mathbf{q}}$ are the same.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was prepared for talk at 38th Annual Conference of Ramanujan Mathematical Society, 2023 and is supported by the SERB POWER grant No. SPG/2021/002307.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bittner F., *The universal Euler characteristic for varieties of characteristic zero*, Compos Math. 140(4), 1011-1032, 2004.
- [2] Borisov L., *Class of the affine line is a zero divisor in the Grothendieck ring*, arXiv:1412.6194.
- [3] Colmez P., Serre J.P., *Grothendieck-Serre Correspondence: Bilingual Edition*, American Mathematical Society, 2022.
- [4] Debarre, O, *Higher-dimensional algebraic geometry*, 1st Edition, Universitext, Springer, 2001.
- [5] Efimov A.I., *Some remarks on L-equivalence of algebraic varieties*, Sel. Math. New Series, 24:3753-3762, 2018.
- [6] Galkin, S., Shinder, E., *The Fano variety of lines and rationality problem for a cubic hypersurface*, arXiv: 1405.5154, 2014.
- [7] Hartshorne R., *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Text in Mathematics 52, Springer, 1977.
- [8] Ito, A., Miura, M., Okawa, S., Ueda, K., *The class of the affine line is a zero divisor in the Grothendieck ring: Via G_2 -Grassmannians*, J. Algebraic Geom. 28 (2019), 245-250. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1090/jag/731>
- [9] Ito, A., Miura, M., Okawa, S., Ueda, K., *Derived equivalence and Grothendieck ring of varieties: the case of K3 surfaces of degree 12 and abelian varieties*. Sel. Math. New Ser. 26, 38 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00029-020-00561-x>
- [10] Kawamata, Y. *D-equivalence and K-equivalence*, J. Differential Geom., 61, no. 1, 147-171, 2002.
- [11] Kawamata Y., *Birational geometry and derived categories*, Surveys in Differential Geometry, 22(1):291-317, 2017.
- [12] Kuznetsov A., Shinder E., *Grothendieck ring of varieties, D- and L-equivalence, and families of quadrics*, Sel. Math. New Series, 2017.
- [13] M. Larsen, V. Lunts, *Motivic measures and stable birational geometry*, Moscow Mathematical Journal, Vol.3 (1), 2003, 85-95.
- [14] Lesiuture J., *Derived-Equivalent Rational Threefolds*, International Mathematics Research Notices, Volume 2015, Issue 15, 2015, Pages 6011-6020, <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnu117>.
- [15] Liu Q., Sebag J., *The Grothendieck ring of varieties and piecewise isomorphisms*, Math Z., 265, 321-342, 2010.
- [16] Looijenga, E., *Motivic measures*, Seminaire Bourbaki 42, 267-297 (1999-2000).
- [17] Martin N., *The class of the affine line is a zero divisor in the Grothendieck ring: an improvement*, Comptes Rendus Mathematique, Volume 354, Issue 9, 936-939, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2016.05.016>.
- [18] Mustața M., *Lecture 8 in Lecture notes on Zeta-functions in Algebraic Geometry*, <https://dept.math.lsa.umich.edu/~mmustata/lecture8.pdf>
- [19] Poonen B., *The Grothendieck ring of varieties is not a domain*, Math. Res. Letters, 9(4):493-498, 2002.

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY GANDHINAGAR, GANDHINAGAR-382355, INDIA.
 Email address: ks.tanya@iitgn.ac.in