# CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS OF A SPECTRAL NUMERICAL METHOD FOR A PERIDYNAMIC FORMULATION OF RICHARDS' EQUATION

FABIO V. DIFONZO AND SABRINA F. PELLEGRINO

ABSTRACT. We study the implementation of a Chebyshev spectral method with forward Euler integrator proposed in [6] to investigate a peridynamic nonlocal formulation of Richards' equation. We prove the convergence of the fully-discretization of the model showing the existence and uniqueness of a solution to the weak formulation of the method by using the compactness properties of the approximated solution and exploiting the stability of the numerical scheme. We further support our results through numerical simulations, using initial conditions with different order of smoothness, showing reliability and robustness of the theoretical findings presented in the paper.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Richards' equation is a prominent tool in the description of porous media phenomena, specifically dealing with water movement in unsaturated soils. It is derived by applying Darcy-Buckingham law to the law of mass conservation for an incompressible porous medium and constant liquid density. Existence and uniqueness of the original formulation of Richards' equation are due to [46] (see also [36, 8] and references therein). However, determining analytical solutions to Richards' equation is prohibitive under general setting on the constitutive relations typically used in the local formulation of the equation, and so numerical procedures are needed to provide explicitly computed solutions. As is well known, Richards' equation is a highly nonlinear, and possibly degenerate, parabolic equation, for which standard numerical schemes for parabolic equations fail to return reliable solutions. In fact, several approaches have been investigated according to the nature of soil through which water movement occurs: for homogeneous soils we refer to, among others, [4, 12, 28, 19]; for heterogeneous media several different approaches have been proposed, using piecewise smooth dynamical system tools (see [5, 10]); linear domain decomposition (see [2, 43]); Kirchhoff transform (see [9, 45]); finite element methods (see [35, 3]); formal asymptotics (see [27]). As a general reference for the numerical features in Richards' equation, the interested reader is referred to the survey [18], whereas [39] frames Richards' equation into the context of hydrological modeling.

However, as common in diffusion phenomena through porous media, a nonlocal approach carries features and properties possibly useful for further analysis. This idea traces back to the '60s (see [42]), and since then there has been an increasing interest,

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 52A21, 26E25.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$  Richards' equation, nonlocal models, peridynamics, Chebyshev spectral methods.

involving nonlocal behaviors in the hydraulic conductivity (see [20]); fractional terms in the time derivative of water content (see [38, 26]); or, also, using memory component in modeling water stress in the root water uptake (see [47, 14, 7, 11]).

In the context of nonlocal formulations of Richards' equation, [16] extended the equation to incorporate nonlocal effects, providing a foundation for studying capillary flows. Later, in [24], the peridynamic paradigm has been applied to better describe the porous media and the dynamics of water therein, paving the way for a powerful approach to deal with the nonlinear terms in Richards' equation.

However, these nonlocal variants introduce challenges and opportunities, requiring specialized numerical schemes. In [6] authors propose an explicit Euler numerical scheme, based on Chebyshev spectral method, to solve a nonlocal formulation of Richards' equation. Therein and in [17], several examples have been provided supporting the properties that the proposed numerical scheme should retain order 2 in space and order 1 in time, under mild smoothness assumptions on the initial conditions.

Spectral methods seem to be very efficient and accurate when applied to nonlocal peridynamic models. Indeed, they can benefit of the convolution-based definition of the integral operator and as a consequence they can exploit the properties of the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) algorithm. Following this idea, in [15, 40] the authors perform a comparison between the implementation of Fourier spectral methods and quadrature formulas. However, trigonometric polynomials need to require periodic boundary conditions, so they cannot be applied alone to more general models. A way to overcome the issue is to make a volume penalization at the boundaries as in [30, 25, 33] or to replace Fourier polynomials by Chebyshev polynomials, as in [32, 31, 34].

Spectral spatial discretization based on the approximation of the solution by means of a finite series of Chebyshev polynomials is suitable to incorporate Dirichlet boundary conditions and allows to get a high-order accuracy when applied to the nonlocal peridynamic formulation of Richards' equation (see, for instance, [6]).

The convergence analysis of a specific numerical scheme tailored for the nonlocal variant is the focus of this paper, building upon state-of-the-art techniques in numerical analysis, mesh-free methods, and adaptive discretization strategies (see also [1]).

The remaining of the paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we present the model, its spatial discretization and we recall the convergence result for the semi-discrete scheme. Section 3 is devoted to the deduction of the fully spectral discretization of the model and provide a rigorous proof of its convergence to a weak solution to the proposed nonlocal Richards' model. Section 4 provides some numerical simulations and finally Section 5 concludes the paper.

# 2. A NONLOCAL FORMULATION OF RICHARDS' EQUATION BASED ON PERIDYNAMICS

We consider the following peridynamic formulation of Richards' equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions proposed in [24] (2.1)

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}(z,t) = \int_{B_{\delta}(z)} \frac{\varphi(z'-z)}{|z'-z|} \frac{K(z)+K(z')}{2} [H(z') - H(z)] \, \mathrm{d}z' + S(z), & z \in [-1,1], \, t \in [0,T] \\ \theta(z,0) = \theta^{0}(z), & z \in [-1,1], \\ \theta(-1,t) = \theta_{0}(t), & t \in [0,T], \\ \theta(1,t) = \theta_{Z}(t), & t \in [0,T], \end{cases}$$

where  $\theta$  represents the water content, K is the hydraulic conductivity function, H is the hydraulic potential, which is related to the matric head  $h_m$  by  $H(z,t) = h_m(z,t) + z$ , and, finally, S is the root uptake term.

Let also

(2.2) 
$$\mathcal{L}(\theta(z,t)) = \int_{B_{\delta}(z)} \frac{\varphi(z'-z)}{|z'-z|} \frac{K(z) + K(z')}{2} [H(z') - H(z)] dz'$$

denote the peridynamic integral operator in (2.1). It represents the nonlocal counterpart of the diffusivity term, as it takes into account long-range interactions between water particles (see [44, 37]). The length of such interactions is parameterized by the positive scalar value  $\delta$  called *horizon*. Due to the absence of partial spatial derivatives, the model is able to remain consistent even in presence of singularities and, therefore, it can incorporate desiccation cracks. Additionally, the function  $\varphi$  is the so-called *influence function* and represents the convolution kernel of the model, which operates as the weight of the discrete mean value of the spatial interactions.

The behavior of this function strongly defines the profile of the solution and its dispersive effects. In particular, in [6], in order to allow the boundary conditions to be effective in the model, the authors define a *distributed influence function* in the following way (see Figure 1)

(2.3) 
$$\varphi_{\delta}(z) := \begin{cases} \frac{|z|-1+\delta}{\delta}, & |z| \ge 1-\delta, \\ 0, & |z| < 1-\delta. \end{cases}$$

Due to the nonlinearity of the model, a numerical approach is needed in order to study the properties of the solution. In particular, in [6] the model is discretized by using Chebyshev spectral collocation scheme for spatial discretization with forward Euler method for the time marching. Moreover, the authors prove the convergence of the semi-discrete method by projecting the approximated solution into the space of Chebyshev polynomials and exploiting the Lipschitz continuity of the peridynamic operator  $\mathcal{L}$  in (2.2).

Additionally, the authors show numerically the convergence of the fully-discrete scheme without providing a rigorous proof. The aim of this work is to complete the analysis adding the proof of the convergence of the fully-discrete scheme showing the compactness and stability properties of the approximated solution.

In what follows, we recall the construction of the spectral method for the spatial discretization and its convergence. We refer the reader to [6] for more details. Moreover,



FIGURE 1. The distributed influence function  $\varphi_{\delta}(z)$ .

we provide a brief review of the functional spaces and of the projection operator we will use in the next section to prove the convergence of the fully-discrete method.

Let N > 0, and  $z_h := \cos(h\pi/N)$ , for  $h = 0, \ldots, N$  be a partition of the spatial domain [-1, 1] obtained by using the non-uniform Chebyshev-Gauss-Lobatto (CGL) collocation points.

**Remark 2.1.** The choice to take [-1,1] as spatial domain is to simplify the computations; however, more general intervals can be considered by applying an affine transformation.

We look for an approximation of the solution to (2.1) in the following form

(2.4) 
$$\theta^N(z,t) = \sum_{k=0}^N \bar{\theta}_k(t) T_k(z),$$

where  $T_k(z)$  is the k-th Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind, defined as  $T_k(z) := \cos(k \arccos z)$ , which is an orthogonal polynomial with respect to the weight  $w(z) = \left(\sqrt{1-z^2}\right)^{-1}$ , and  $\bar{\theta}_k(t)$  is the k-th discrete Chebyshev coefficient given by

(2.5) 
$$\bar{\theta}_k(t) := \frac{1}{\gamma_k} \sum_{h=0}^N \theta(z_h, t) T_k(z_h) w_h,$$

where

(2.6) 
$$\gamma_k := \begin{cases} \pi, & k = 0, N, \\ \frac{\pi}{2}, & k = 1, \dots, N-1, \end{cases}$$

and

(2.7) 
$$w_h := \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{2N}, & h = 0, N, \\ \frac{\pi}{N}, & h = 1, \dots, N - 1. \end{cases}$$

We set

$$\Lambda(z) := K(z)H(z),$$
  
$$\overline{\varphi}_{\delta}(z) := \frac{\varphi_{\delta}(z)}{\|z\|},$$

and

$$\beta = \int_{-1}^{1} \overline{\varphi}_{\delta}(z) \, \mathrm{d}z = 2 \left( 1 + \frac{1-\delta}{\delta} \ln(1-\delta) \right).$$

If we replace  $\theta$  by  $\theta^N$  into equation (2.1), thanks to the Convolution Theorem, we obtain the semi-discretization of the model at each collocation point  $z_h$  as follows

(2.8) 
$$\frac{\partial \theta^{N}}{\partial t}(z_{h},t) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left( \mathcal{F} \left( \overline{\varphi}_{\delta} \right) \mathcal{F} \left( \Lambda \right) \right)(z_{h}) + K(z_{h}) \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left( \mathcal{F} \left( \overline{\varphi}_{\delta} \right) \mathcal{F} \left( H \right) \right)(z_{h}) \right) \\ - \frac{1}{2} \left( H(z_{h}) \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left( \mathcal{F} \left( \overline{\varphi}_{\delta} \right) \mathcal{F} \left( K \right) \right)(z_{h}) + \beta \Lambda(z_{h}) \right) + S(z_{h}),$$

with initial condition

(2.9) 
$$\theta^N(z_h, 0) = \theta^{0,N}(z_h), \qquad h = 0, \dots, N,$$

and boundary conditions

(2.10) 
$$\begin{aligned} \theta^N(z_0,t) &= \theta^N_0(t), \qquad t \in [0,T], \\ \theta^N(z_N,t) &= \theta^N_Z(t), \qquad t \in [0,T], \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$  denote the discrete Chebyshev transform and the discrete inverse Chebyshev transform defined in (2.5) and (2.4), respectively.

In [6], the authors prove the convergence of the semi-discrete scheme (2.8)-(2.9)-(2.10) in the space of all continuous functions in the weighted Sobolev space  $H_w^s([-1,1])$ , with  $w(z) = \left(\sqrt{1-z^2}\right)^{-1}$  and for any  $s \ge 1$ . The proof makes use of the projector operator into the orthogonal space of Chebyshev polynomials and exploits the Lipschitz boundedness of H and K.

We introduce the space of Chebyshev polynomials of degree N, defined as

$$S_N = \text{span} \{ T_k(z) \mid 0 \le z \le N \} \subset L^2_w([-1, 1]),$$

and the orthogonal projection operator  $P_N: L^2_w([-1,1]) \to S_N$  given by

$$P_N u(z) = \sum_{k=0}^N \bar{u}_k T_k(x) w_k,$$

where the weight  $w_k$  is defined in (2.7) and is such that for any  $u \in L^2_w([-1,1])$ , the following equality holds

(2.11) 
$$(u - P_N u, \varphi)_w = \int_{-1}^1 (u - P_N u) \varphi \ w \, \mathrm{d}z = 0, \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in S_N.$$

5

Then, using (2.2), the semi-discrete scheme for (2.8)-(2.9)-(2.10) can be reformulated in terms of  $P_N$  as follows

(2.12) 
$$\frac{\partial \theta^N}{\partial t}(z,t) = P_N \mathcal{L}\left(\theta^N(z,t)\right) + P_N S(z),$$

(2.13) 
$$\theta^N(z,0) = P_N \theta^0(z),$$

with boundary conditions

(2.14) 
$$\begin{aligned} \theta^{N}(-1,t) &= P_{N}\theta_{0}(t), \quad t \in [0,T] \\ \theta^{N}(1,t) &= P_{N}\theta_{Z}(t), \quad t \in [0,T], \end{aligned}$$

where  $\theta^N(z,t) \in S_N$  for every  $0 \le t \le T$ .

We fix  $s \ge 1$  and define by  $X_s := \mathcal{C}^0(0,T; H^s_w([-1,1]))$  the space of all continuous functions in the weighted Sobolev space  $H^s_w([-1,1])$ , with norm

$$\|u\|_{X_s}^2 = \max_{t \in [0,T]} \|u(\cdot,t)\|_{s,w}^2$$

for any T > 0.

From now on, we denote by C a generic positive constant. There hold the following results.

**Lemma 2.2** ([13, Theorem 3.1]). For any real  $0 \le \mu \le s$ , there exists a positive constant C such that

(2.15) 
$$\|\theta - P_N \theta\|_{H^{\mu}_w([-1,1])} \le \frac{C}{N^{s-\mu}} \|\theta\|_{H^s_w([-1,1])}, \text{ for every } \theta \in H^s_w([-1,1]).$$

**Theorem 2.3** ([6, Theorem 4]). Let  $s \ge 1$  and  $\theta(z,t) \in X_s$  be the solution to the initial-boundary-valued problem (2.1) and  $\theta^N(z,t)$  be the solution to the semi-discrete scheme (2.12)-(2.13)-(2.14). Then, there exists a positive constant C, independent on N, such that

(2.16) 
$$\left\|\theta - \theta^N\right\|_{X_1} \le C(T) \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)^{s-1} \|\theta\|_{X_s},$$

for any initial data  $\theta^0 \in H^s_w([-1,1])$  and for any T > 0.

### 3. Fully spectral discretization of the model

Let  $N_T > 0$  be a positive integer and  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_{N_T} = T$  be a uniform partition of [0, T], namely, if we set  $\Delta t = T/N_T$ , then  $t_n = n\Delta t$ , for  $n = 0, 1, \ldots, N_T$ . Given an arbitrary function  $\psi(t)$ , we write  $\psi_n$  as the value of  $\psi$  at  $t = n\Delta t$ . The backward difference form is  $d_t \psi_n = (\psi_n - \psi_{n-1}) / \Delta t$  for any sequence  $\{\theta_n\}$ .

We assume that  $S \in L^2_w([-1,1])$  and that the initial condition  $\theta_0^N \in H^1_w([-1,1])$  is such that

(3.1) 
$$\|\theta^0 - \theta_0^N\|_{L^2_w([-1,1])} \le \frac{C}{N^{2-\mu}} \|\theta^0\|_{L^2_w([-1,1])}, \text{ for any } 0 \le \mu \le 2.$$

Thus, the fully-discrete spectral scheme for the model can be written as

(3.2) 
$$\begin{cases} \theta_n^N = \theta_{n-1}^N + \Delta t \left( P_N \mathcal{L} \left( \theta_n^N \right) + P_N S \right), \\ \theta_0^N = P_N \theta_0^0. \end{cases}$$

In this section, we prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution to (3.2) and that such solution converges to the solution of the continuous model (2.1) as  $\Delta t \to 0$  and  $N \to \infty$ . To do so, we prove some preliminary Lemmas.

The following result provides a nonlocal counterpart of the maximum principle for strong solution of parabolic equations.

**Lemma 3.1** (see [23]). Let  $\theta$  be a strong solution to (2.1) for  $t \in [0,T]$ . Then

(3.3) 
$$\theta(z,t) \le e^{t/2} \|S\|_{L^2_w([-1,1])} + \max\left\{\sup_{z \in (-1,1)} \theta^0, \sup_{t \in (0,T]} \theta_0(t), \sup_{t \in (0,T]} \theta_Z(t)\right\},$$

for any  $z \in [-1,1]$  and  $t \in [0,T]$ .

As a consequence of Lemma 3.1 we can assume that the water content  $\theta$  in (2.1) is uniformly bounded.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $\theta_m^N(z)$  be the solution to the fully-discrete scheme (3.2). Then,  $\mathcal{L}(\theta_m^N) \in L^2_w([-1,1]).$ 

*Proof.* Due to the definition of  $\varphi_{\delta}$  in (2.3) and since H and K are locally Lipschitz, using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we find

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \left( \mathcal{L}(\theta_m^N) \right)^2 \, \mathrm{d}z = \int_{B_1(z)} \frac{\left(\varphi_{\delta}(z'-z)\right)^2}{\|z'-z\|^2} \frac{\left(K(z)+K(z')\right)^2}{4} \left(H(z')-H(z)\right)^2 \, \mathrm{d}V_{z'} < \infty,$$

and this proves the claim.

We prove the following stability property.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $\theta_m^N$  be the numerical solution of (3.2) for every  $1 \le m \le N_T$ , then  $\theta_m^N$  satisfies the following stability estimate

(3.4) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \left\| \theta_{n}^{N} - \theta_{n-1}^{N} \right\|_{L_{w}^{2}([-1,1])}^{2} + \left\| \theta_{m}^{N} \right\|_{L_{w}^{2}([-1,1])}^{2} + \Delta t \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left\| \mathcal{L} \left( \theta_{n}^{N} \right) \right\|_{L_{w}^{2}([-1,1])}^{2} \leq C_{0},$$

where  $C_0$  is a generic positive constant depending on  $\theta^0$  and S.

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi_n^N = 2\theta_n^N$ . We consider the inner product with  $\varphi_n^N$  in (3.2):

(3.5) 
$$\frac{2}{\Delta t} \left( \theta_n^N - \theta_{n-1}^N, \theta_n^N \right) = 2 \left( P_N \mathcal{L} \left( \theta_n^N \right), \theta_n^N \right) + 2 \left( P_N S, \theta_n^N \right).$$

Since  $2(a - b, a) = a^2 - b^2 + (a - b)^2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|\theta_{n}^{N}\right\|_{L_{w}^{2}([-1,1])}^{2} - \left\|\theta_{n-1}^{N}\right\|_{L_{w}^{2}([-1,1])}^{2} + \left\|\theta_{n}^{N} - \theta_{n-1}^{N}\right\|_{L_{w}^{2}([-1,1])}^{2} \\ &= 2\Delta t \left(P_{N}\mathcal{L}\left(\theta_{n}^{N}\right), \theta_{n}^{N}\right) + 2\Delta t \left(P_{N}S, \theta_{n}^{N}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Adding over n = 1..., m, and using Cauchy inequality, Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 2.2, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|\theta_{m}^{N}\right\|_{L_{w}^{2}([-1,1])}^{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left\|\theta_{n}^{N} - \theta_{n-1}^{N}\right\|_{L_{w}^{2}([-1,1])}^{2} \\ &= \left\|\theta_{0}^{N}\right\|_{L_{w}^{2}([-1,1])} + 2\Delta t \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left(P_{N}\mathcal{L}\left(\theta_{n}^{N}\right), \theta_{n}^{N}\right) + 2\Delta t \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left(P_{N}S, \theta_{n}^{N}\right) \\ &\leq \left\|\theta_{0}^{N}\right\|_{L_{w}^{2}([-1,1])} + 2\Delta t \left\|P_{N}S\right\|_{L_{w}^{2}([-1,1])}^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left\|\theta_{n}^{N}\right\|_{L_{w}^{2}([-1,1])}^{2} \\ &+ 2\Delta t \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left\|P_{N}\mathcal{L}\left(\theta_{n}^{N}\right) - \mathcal{L}\left(\theta_{n}^{N}\right)\right\|_{L_{w}^{2}([-1,1])}^{2} \left\|\theta_{n}^{N}\right\|_{L_{w}^{2}([-1,1])}^{2} \\ &+ 2\Delta t \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left\|\mathcal{L}\left(\theta_{n}^{N}\right)\right\|_{L_{w}^{2}([-1,1])}^{2} \left\|\theta_{n}^{N}\right\|_{L_{w}^{2}([-1,1])}^{2} \\ &\leq \left\|\theta_{0}^{N}\right\|_{L_{w}^{2}([-1,1])}^{2} + 2\Delta t \left(\frac{C}{N} + 1\right) \leq C_{0}, \end{aligned}$$
that proves the claim.

that proves the claim.

**Lemma 3.4.** If  $\theta_n^N$  satisfies the stability condition of Lemma 3.3, then it is the unique solution to the weak formulation (3.2).

*Proof.* For any  $\varphi^N \in S_N$ , considering the inner product with  $\varphi^N$  in (3.2), we have

(3.7) 
$$\frac{1}{\Delta t} \left( \theta_n^N, \varphi^N \right) = \left( P_N \mathcal{L} \left( \theta_n^N \right), \varphi^N \right) + \left( P_N S, \varphi^N \right) + \frac{1}{\Delta t} \left( \theta_{n-1}^N, \varphi^N \right).$$

Let us define the bilinear form

(3.8) 
$$G\left(\theta_{n}^{N},\varphi^{N}\right) \coloneqq \frac{1}{\Delta t}\left(\theta_{n}^{N},\varphi^{N}\right) - \left(P_{N}\mathcal{L}\left(\theta_{n}^{N}\right),\varphi^{N}\right)$$

It is continuous and coercive thanks to the orthogonality of  $P_N$  and Lemma 3.2. Therefore, the solution attained for problem (3.7) is unique. 

We introduce now some interpolated functions. Let  $\theta_{\Delta t}^N(\cdot, t)$  be the piecewise linear continuous interpolation of the solution  $\theta_n^N$ ,  $n = 1, \ldots, N$  on the time interval  $(t_{n-1}, t_n]$ , namely

(3.9) 
$$\theta_n^N(\cdot,t) = \frac{t-t_{n-1}}{\Delta t} \ \theta_n^N(\cdot,t) + \frac{t_n-t}{\Delta t} \ \theta_{n-1}^N.$$

Moreover, we define the piecewise constant extensions of  $\theta_n^N$  and  $\theta_{n-1}^N$  respectively as follows

(3.10) 
$$\widehat{\theta}^{N}_{\Delta t}(\cdot, t) = \theta^{N}_{n},$$
$$\widehat{\theta}^{N}_{\Delta t}(\cdot, t) = \theta^{N}_{n-1}$$

for any  $t \in (t_{n-1}, t_n]$ .

The next result is an a-priori stability estimate on  $\theta_{\Delta t}^N$ , independent on N and  $\Delta t$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** Given the sequence  $\{\theta_{\Delta t}^N\}$ , there exists a positive constant C > 0 independent on N and  $\Delta t$  such that

(3.11) 
$$\left\| \partial_t \theta^N_{\Delta t} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2_w([-1,1]))} \le C.$$

*Proof.* Cauchy inequality gives us

$$\int_{0}^{T} \int_{-1}^{1} \left| \partial_{t} \theta_{\Delta t}^{N} \varphi^{N} \right| \, \mathrm{d}z \, \mathrm{d}t = \int_{0}^{T} \int_{-1}^{1} \left| P_{N} \mathcal{L} \left( \theta_{\Delta t}^{N} \right) + P_{N} S \right| \left| \varphi^{N} \right| \, \mathrm{d}z \, \mathrm{d}t$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int -1^{1} \left( P_{N} \mathcal{L} \left( \theta_{\Delta t}^{N} \right) \right)^{2} \left( \varphi^{N} \right)^{2} \, \mathrm{d}z \, \mathrm{d}t$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{-1}^{1} \left( P_{N} S \right)^{2} \left( \varphi^{N} \right)^{2}.$$

The claim is proved.

Now we can prove the convergence result for the fully-discrete solution.

**Theorem 3.6.** There exists a function  $\theta \in L^2(0,T; L^2_w([-1,1]))$  such that, as  $N \to \infty$  and  $\Delta t \to 0$ , there hold

$$(3.12) \qquad \begin{array}{l} \widetilde{\theta}_{\Delta t}^{N}, \, \widehat{\theta}_{\Delta t}^{N}, \, \theta_{\Delta t}^{N} \rightharpoonup \theta & weakly \ in \quad L^{2}\left(0, T; L_{w}^{2}\left(\left[-1, 1\right]\right)\right), \\ \partial_{t}\theta_{\Delta t}^{N} \rightharpoonup \partial_{t}\theta & weakly \ in \quad L^{2}\left(0, T; L_{w}^{2}\left(\left[-1, 1\right]\right)\right), \\ \widetilde{\theta}_{\Delta t}^{N}, \, \widehat{\theta}_{\Delta t}^{N}, \, \theta_{\Delta t}^{N} \rightarrow \theta & in \quad L^{2}\left(0, T; L_{w}^{q}\left(\left[-1, 1\right]\right)\right), \end{array}$$

with  $1 \leq q \leq 2$ .

*Proof.* Lemma 3.3 ensures that the sequences  $\{\widetilde{\theta}^N_{\Delta t}\}$ ,  $\{\widehat{\theta}^N_{\Delta t}\}$  and  $\{\theta^N_{\Delta t}\}$  are bounded and, as a consequence, each of them admits a weak convergent subsequence.

We prove now that these sequences (still denoted by the same way to lighten the notation) converge to the same limit  $\theta$ . Indeed, using the interpolation inequality, Cauchy inequality and Lemma 3.3 we obtain

$$\begin{split} \left\| \theta_{\Delta t}^{N} - \widetilde{\theta}_{\Delta t}^{N} \right\|_{L^{2}\left(0,T; L_{w}^{q}([-1,1])\right)}^{2} &\leq \Delta t \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left\| \theta_{n}^{N} - \theta_{n-1}^{N} \right\|_{L_{w}^{q}([-1,1])}^{2} \\ &\leq \Delta t \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left\| \theta_{n}^{N} - \theta_{n-1}^{N} \right\|_{L_{w}^{1}([-1,1])}^{2\alpha} \left\| \theta_{n}^{N} - \theta_{n-1}^{N} \right\|_{L_{w}^{2}([-1,1])}^{2-2\alpha} \\ &\leq C \left( \Delta t \right)^{\alpha} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left\| \theta_{n}^{N} - \theta_{n-1}^{N} \right\|_{L_{w}^{2}([-1,1])}^{2} \right)^{\alpha} \\ & \left( \Delta t \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left\| \theta_{n}^{N} - \theta_{n-1}^{N} \right\|_{L_{w}^{2}([-1,1])}^{2} \right)^{1-\alpha} \\ & \xrightarrow{\Delta t \to 0} 0 \end{split}$$

where  $\alpha = \frac{2-q}{q}$ . Similarly, we find

$$\left\| \theta_{\Delta t}^N - \widehat{\theta}_{\Delta t}^N \right\|_{L^2\left(0,T; L^q_w([-1,1])\right)}^2 \stackrel{\Delta t \to 0}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

9



FIGURE 2. With reference to Example 4.1, the profile of the soil moisture for different time values. The parameters of the simulations are N = 100,  $\Delta t = 0.06$  s and  $\delta = 0.15$ .

Finally, these convergences are strong in  $L^2(0,T; L^q_w([-1,1]))$  thanks to Aubin-Lions Lemma and Lemma 3.5.

# 4. NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

In this section we test our proposed method on different soils with different initial conditions: in Example 4.1 we use a function with a discontinuity in its first derivative; in Example 4.2 we use a periodic function. Moreover, in both cases a sink forcing term S(z) is active as in (2.1), representing the water uptake due to root systems.

Also, we consider the classical Van Genuchten-Mualem constitutive relations in the unsaturated zone, given by

$$\theta(h_m) = \theta_r + \frac{\theta_S - \theta_r}{(1 + |\alpha h_m|^n)^m}, \quad m := 1 - \frac{1}{n},$$

$$K(h_m) = K_S \left[\frac{1}{1 + |\alpha h_m|^n}\right]^{\frac{m}{2}} \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + |\alpha h_m|^n}\right)^m\right]^2,$$

where  $\theta_r$  and  $\theta_S$  represent the residual and the saturated water content, respectively,  $K_S$  the saturated hydraulic conductivity, and  $\alpha$ , n are fitting parameters. Moreover, according to Remark 2.1, we perform our simulations in the spatial domain [0, Z].

**Example 4.1.** As in [4, 21], we consider a sand with parameters

$$\theta_r = 0.075, \, \theta_S = 0.287, \, \alpha = 0.036, \, n = 1.56, \, K_S = 0.00094 \, cm/s.$$



FIGURE 3. With reference to Example 4.2, the profile of the soil moisture for different time values. The parameters of the simulations are N = 100,  $\Delta t = 0.06$  s and  $\delta = 0.15$ .

We added a sink term  $S = -700 \, s^{-1}$  and parameter  $\delta = 0.15$  in (2.3). We set our initial and boundary conditions as follows

$$\theta(0,t) = 0.2234 \left(1 - \frac{t}{T}\right) + 0.1810 \frac{t}{T}, \ t \in [0,T],$$
  
$$\theta(Z,t) = 0.1386 \left(1 - \frac{t}{T}\right) + 0.1174 \frac{t}{T}, \ t \in [0,T],$$

while initial condition is defined as

$$\theta(z,0) = \begin{cases} 0.1386 + 0.0594(x+1), & x \in [-1,0], \\ 0.2234 + 0.0254(x-1), & x \in [0,1], \end{cases} \quad x \coloneqq \frac{Z-2z}{Z}, \ z \in [0,Z],$$

showing a discontinuity in the first derivative at  $z = \frac{Z}{2}$ . We select Z = 30 cm, T = 60 s; moreover, we used  $\Delta t = 0.06$  s and N = 100. Results are shown in Figure 2.

**Example 4.2.** As in [22], we consider a Hills Berino loamy fine sand with parameters

$$\theta_r = 0.0286, \ \theta_S = 0.3658, \ \alpha = 0.028, \ n = 2.2390, \ K_S = 0.0063 \ cm/s.$$

We added a sink term  $S = -1000 \, s^{-1}$  and parameter  $\delta = 0.15$  in (2.3). We set our initial and boundary conditions as follows

$$\theta(0,t) = 0.2646 \left(1 - \frac{t}{T}\right) + 0.1972 \frac{t}{T}, \ t \in [0,T],$$
  
$$\theta(Z,t) = 0.1298 \left(1 - \frac{t}{T}\right) + 0.0960 \frac{t}{T}, \ t \in [0,T],$$

while initial condition is defined as the periodic function

$$\theta(z,0) = -0.0674 \cos\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\pi\right) + 0.1972, \ x := \frac{Z-2z}{Z}, \ z \in [0,Z],$$

We select Z = 30 cm, T = 60 s; moreover, we used  $\Delta t = 0.06$  s and N = 100. Results are shown in Figure 3.

#### 5. Conclusions

We have studied a fully-discrete spectral scheme for a nonlocal formulation of Richards' equation based on the peridynamic theory. We prove the convergence of the method to the unique weak solution to the problem as the timestep size tends to zero and the total number of collocation points used for the discretization of the spatial domain goes to infinity. The proof is based on the fact that the numerical approximation of the solution satisfies the stability and the compactness properties. Finally, we have given some simulations to show a numerical verification of the existence of weak solution to our model.

The present work suggests several possible directions for future and already ongoing research studies. In particular, it would be of interest study the convergence of the scheme when we reduce the regularity of the initial conditions to a Radon measure (see for instance [29]). Moreover, we plan to construct a generalization of the model to 2D in order to represent and to study the evolution of desiccation cracks implicitly incorporated into the model. To do this, in order to avoid the Gibbs' phenomenon near discontinuities, we would investigate the implementation of a filtering strategy coupled with the Chebyshev spectral discretization as in [41].

## Acknowledgments

FVD has been supported by *REFIN* Project, grant number 812E4967 funded by Regione Puglia.

SFP has been supported by *REFIN* Project, grant number D1AB726C funded by Regione Puglia, and by *PNRR MUR - M4C2* project, grant number N00000013 - CUP D93C22000430001.

The two authors gratefully acknowledge the support of INdAM-GNCS 2023 Project, grant number CUP\_E53C22001930001.

### References

[1] R. Alebrahim and S. Marfia. Adaptive PD-FEM coupling method for modeling pseudo-static crack growth in orthotropic media. *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*, 294:109710, 2023.

- [2] Costanza Aricò, Marco Sinagra, and Tullio Tucciarelli. The mast-edge centred lumped scheme for the flow simulation in variably saturated heterogeneous porous media. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 231(4):1387 – 1425, 2012.
- [3] Elena Bachini, Matthew W. Farthing, and Mario Putti. Intrinsic finite element method for advection-diffusion-reaction equations on surfaces. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 424, 2021.
- [4] M. Berardi, F. Difonzo, F. Notarnicola, and M. Vurro. A transversal method of lines for the numerical modeling of vertical infiltration into the vadose zone. *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, 135:264 – 275, 2019.
- [5] M. Berardi, F. Difonzo, M. Vurro, and L. Lopez. The 1D Richards' equation in two layered soils: a Filippov approach to treat discontinuities. Advances in Water Resources, 115:264–272, may 2018.
- [6] M. Berardi, F. V. Difonzo, and S. F. Pellegrino. A Numerical Method for a Nonlocal Form of Richards' Equation Based on Peridynamic Theory. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 143:23–32, 2023.
- [7] Marco Berardi, Marcello D'Abbicco, Giovanni Girardi, and Michele Vurro. Optimizing water consumption in Richards' equation framework with step-wise root water uptake: a simplified model. *Transport in Porous Media*, 142:469–498, 2022.
- [8] Marco Berardi and Fabio V. Difonzo. Strong solutions for Richards' equation with Cauchy conditions and constant pressure gradient. *Environmental Fluid Mechanics*, 20(1):165–174, Feb 2020.
- [9] Marco Berardi and Fabio V. Difonzo. A quadrature-based scheme for numerical solutions to Kirchhoff transformed Richards' equation. Journal of Computational Dynamics, 9(2):69 – 84, 2022.
- [10] Marco Berardi, Fabio Vito Difonzo, and Luciano Lopez. A mixed MoL-TMoL for the numerical solution of the 2D Richards' equation in layered soils. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 79:1990–2001, 2020.
- [11] Marco Berardi and Giovanni Girardi. Modeling plant water deficit by a non-local root water uptake term in the unsaturated flow equation. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, 128:107583, 2024.
- [12] Luca Bergamaschi and Mario Putti. Mixed finite elements and newton-type linearizations for the solution of Richards'equation. International Journal for Numerical methods in Engineering, 45:1025– 1046, 1999.
- [13] C. Canuto and A. Quarteroni. Approximation results for orthogonal polynomials in Sobolev spaces. Math. Comp., 38:67–86, 1982.
- [14] Andrea Carminati. A model of root water uptake coupled with rhizosphere dynamics. Vadose Zone Journal, 11, 2012.
- [15] G. M. Coclite, A. Fanizzi, L. Lopez, F. Maddalena, and S. F. Pellegrino. Numerical methods for the nonlocal wave equation of the peridynamics. *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, 155:119 – 139, 2020.
- [16] V. Di Federico and M. D'Elia. Nonlocal Richards' equation for capillary flows. Water Resources Research, 49(2):1071–1078, 2013.
- [17] Fabio V. Difonzo and Francesco Di Lena. Numerical modeling of peridynamic richards' equation with piecewise smooth initial conditions using spectral methods. Symmetry, 15(5), 2023.
- [18] Matthew W. Farthing and Fred L. Ogden. Numerical solution of Richards' equation: A review of advances and challenges. Soil Science Society of America Journal, 81(8):04017025, 2017.
- [19] Alessandra Feo and Fulvio Celico. High-resolution shock-capturing numerical simulations of threephase immiscible fluids from the unsaturated to the saturated zone. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), 2021.
- [20] Ivan A. Guerrini and D. Swartzendruber. Soil water diffusivity as explicitly dependent on both time and water content. Soil Science Society of America Journal, 56(2):335–340, 1992.
- [21] R. Haverkamp, M. Vauclin, J. Touma, J.P. Wierenga, and G. Vachaud. A comparison of numerical simulation models for one-dimensional infiltration. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 41 285–295, 1977.:285–295, 1977.
- [22] R. G. Hills, I. Porro, D. B. Hudson, and P. J. Wierenga. Modeling one-dimensional infiltration into very dry soils: 1. model development and evaluation. *Water Resources Research*, 25(6):1259–1269, 1989.
- [23] Q. Huang, J. Duan, and J.L. Wu. Maximum principles for nonlocal parabolic Waldenfels operators. Bulletin of Mathematical Sciences, 09(03):1950015, 2019.

- [24] Rami Jabakhanji and Rabi Mohtar. A peridynamic model of flow in porous media. Advances in Water Resources, 78, 02 2015.
- [25] S. Jafarzadeh, A. Larios, and F. Bobaru. Efficient solutions for nonlocal diffusion problems via boundary-adapted spectral methods. *Journal of Peridynamics and Nonlocal Modeling*, 2:85 – 110, 2020.
- [26] M. L. Kavvas, A. Ercan, and J. Polsinelli. Governing equations of transient soil water flow and soil water flux in multi-dimensional fractional anisotropic media and fractional time. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 21(3):1547–1557, 2017.
- [27] Kundan Kumar, Florian List, Iuliu Sorin Pop, and Florin Adrian Radu. Formal upscaling and numerical validation of unsaturated flow models in fractured porous media. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 407:109138, 2020.
- [28] Wencong Lai and Fred L. Ogden. A mass-conservative finite volume predictor-corrector solution of the 1D Richards' equation. *Journal of Hydrology*, 523:119 – 127, 2015.
- [29] N. Limić and M. Rogina. Monotone schemes for a class of nonlinear elliptic and parabolic problems. Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications, 11(6):4546-4553, 2010. Multiscale Problems in Science and Technology.
- [30] L. Lopez and S. F. Pellegrino. A spectral method with volume penalization for a nonlinear peridynamic model. International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, 122(3):707–725, 2021.
- [31] L. Lopez and S. F. Pellegrino. A fast-convolution based space-time Chebyshev spectral method for peridynamic models. *Advances in Continuous and Discrete Models*, 70(1), 2022.
- [32] L. Lopez and S. F. Pellegrino. A non-periodic Chebyshev spectral method avoiding penalization techniques for a class of nonlinear peridynamic models. *International Journal for Numerical Meth*ods in Engineering, 123(20):4859–4876, 2022.
- [33] L. Lopez and S. F. Pellegrino. A space-time discretization of a nonlinear peridynamic model on a 2D lamina. Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 116:161–175, 2022.
- [34] L. Lopez and S. F. Pellegrino. Computation of Eigenvalues for Nonlocal Models by Spectral Methods. Journal of Peridynamics and Nonlocal Modeling, 5(2):133–154, 2023.
- [35] Gianmarco Manzini and Stefano Ferraris. Mass-conservative finite volume methods on 2-d unstructured grids for the Richards' equation. Advances in Water Resources, 27(12):1199 – 1215, 2004.
- [36] W. Merz and P. Rybka. Strong solutions to the Richards equation in the unsaturated zone. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 371(2):741–749, 2010.
- [37] S. Oterkus, E. Madenci, and A. Agwai. Peridynamic thermal diffusion. Journal of Computational Physics, 265:71 – 96, 2014.
- [38] Yakov Pachepsky, Dennis Timlin, and Walter Rawls. Generalized Richards' equation to simulate water transport in unsaturated soils. *Journal of Hydrology*, 272(1):3–13, 2003.
- [39] Claudio Paniconi and Mario Putti. Physically based modeling in catchment hydrology at 50: Survey and outlook. Water Resources Research, 51:7090–7129, 2015.
- [40] S. F. Pellegrino. Simulations on the peridynamic equation in continuum mechanics. Springer Proceedings in Complexity. 13th Chaotic Modeling and Simulation International Conference, CHAOS 2020, pages 635–649, 2021.
- [41] S. F. Pellegrino. A filtered Chebyshev spectral method for conservation laws on network. Computers & Mathematics with Applications, 151:418–433, 2023.
- [42] Stephen L. Rawlins and Walter H. Gardner. A test of the validity of the diffusion equation for unsaturated flow of soil water. Soil Science Society of America Journal, 27(5):507–511, 1963.
- [43] David Seus, Koondanibha Mitra, Iuliu Sorin Pop, Florin Adrian Radu, and Christian Rohde. A linear domain decomposition method for partially saturated flow in porous media. Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 333:331 – 355, 2018.
- [44] S. A. Silling. Reformulation of elasticity theory for discontinuities and long-range forces. Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids, 48(1):175–209, 2000.
- [45] Heejun Suk and Eungyu Park. Numerical solution of the Kirchhoff-transformed Richards equation for simulating variably saturated flow in heterogeneous layered porous media. *Journal of Hydrology*, 579:124213, 2019.
- [46] Hans Wilhelm Alt and Stephan Luckhaus. Quasilinear elliptic-parabolic differential equations. Mathematische Zeitschrift, 183(3):311–341, Sep 1983.

[47] Xun Wu, Qiang Zuo, Jianchu Shi, Lichun Wang, Xuzhang Xue, and Alon Ben-Gal. Introducing water stress hysteresis to the Feddes empirical macroscopic root water uptake model. Agricultural Water Management, 240:106293, 2020.

ISTITUTO PER LE APPLICAZIONI DEL CALCOLO "MAURO PICONE", CONSIGLIO NAZIONALE DELLE RICERCHE, VIA G. AMENDOLA 122/I, 70126 BARI, ITALY *Email address:* fabiovito.difonzo@cnr.it

Dipartimento di Ingegneria Elettrica e dell'Informazione, Politecnico di Bari, 70125 Bari, Italy

Email address: sabrinafrancesca.pellegrino@poliba.it