

A QUANTUM $H^*(T)$ -MODULE VIA QUASIMAP INVARIANTS

JAE HWANG LEE

ABSTRACT. For X a smooth projective variety, the quantum cohomology ring $QH^*(X)$ is a deformation of the usual cohomology ring $H^*(X)$, where the product structure is modified to incorporate quantum corrections. These correction terms are defined using Gromov–Witten invariants. When X is toric with the geometric quotient description $V//T$, the cohomology ring $H^*(V//T)$ also has the structure of a quantum $H^*(T)$ -module. In this paper, we give a new deformation using quasimap invariants with a light point. This defines $H^*(T)$ -module structure on $H^*(X)$ through a modified version of the WDVV equations. Using the Atiyah–Bott localization theorem, we explicitly compute this structure for the Hirzebruch surface of type 2. We conjecture that this new quantum module structure is isomorphic to the natural module structure of the Batyrev ring for a semipositive toric variety.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Moduli Spaces of Stable Toric $m k$ -pointed Quasimaps to X_Σ	4
3. Global Construction	7
4. The Quantum $H^*(T)$ -module Structure on $H^*(X_\Sigma)$	9
5. The Quantum $H^*((\mathbb{C}^*)^2)$ -module for the Hirzebruch Surface \mathbb{F}_2	19
6. The Batyrev Quantum Ring for \mathbb{F}_2	40
References	42

1. INTRODUCTION

Quantum cohomology is a central object within the interests of both mathematics and physics, as it is related to string theory and mirror symmetry [29]. The idea of quantum cohomology first appeared in physics [24]. The first mathematical construction was given in terms of symplectic geometry for semi-positive symplectic manifolds [28, 32].

Quantum cohomology has a ring structure whose product is called the *quantum product*. The quantum product is a deformation of the product of the ordinary cohomology. In algebraic geometry side, it is defined by using Gromov–Witten invariants [15, 19, 23] via the moduli space of stable maps. One notable application of the quantum product structure, especially *associativity*, has been shown in [23] by verifying the prediction given in [10] on the number of rational curves of degree 4 on a quintic 3-fold.

However, it is not easy to compute quantum cohomology in general. When the space X is a smooth Fano toric variety, the quantum cohomology ring $QH^*(X)$ agrees with the Batyrev ring $\text{Bat}^*(X)$, defined in [6] (see [15, Example 8.1.2.2 or Example 11.2.5.2] or an

extension of [31]). On the other hand, the Hirzebruch surface of type 2, say \mathbb{F}_2 , which is not Fano, but *semipositive*, shows a failure of such an equality [15, Example 11.2.5.2].

In this paper, we define a new operation with different quantum deformations (Definition 4.1) of the product of the ordinary cohomology using 2|1-quasimap invariants, instead of 3-pointed GW invariants. The moduli space of $m|k$ -pointed quasimaps were introduced in [13] (see Definition 2.2). Here, $m|k$ means the number of heavy and light markings, respectively, where heavy markings are the ordinary ones and light markings are infinitesimally weighted ones [21]. This operation defines not a product structure, but a module structure; the operation satisfies the compatibility (1.1) with the cup product in a cohomology ring. This is exactly an analogue of associativity of the quantum product, or Witten-Dijkgraaf-Verlinde-Verlinde (WDVV) equations.

Let X_Σ be a smooth projective toric variety whose toric geometric quotient description (Theorem 2.1) is given by $V//T$, where V is a finite dimensional \mathbb{C} -vector space and T is a complex torus. Denote $H^*(T)$ the group cohomology of T . Then, the following is the main theorem which defines the quantum $H^*(T)$ -module structure on $H^*(X_\Sigma)$:

Theorem 4.2 (Quantum $H^*(T)$ -module structure). For $\xi, \zeta \in H^*(T)$ and $\phi \in H^*(X_\Sigma)$,

$$(1.1) \quad \xi \star (\zeta \star \phi) = (\xi \cdot \zeta) \star \phi.$$

We call this structure the *(small) quantum $H^*(T)$ -module structure*.

An explicit computation for the quantum module structure of \mathbb{F}_2 will be given in Section 5 by applying the Atiyah–Bott localization theorem. Suppose that $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{F}_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}D_2 \oplus D_4$, where D_2 and D_4 are the torus-invariant divisors such that $D_2 \cdot D_2 = 0$ and $D_4 \cdot D_2 = -2pt$. The geometric quotient construction of \mathbb{F}_2 is $\mathbb{C}^4//(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$. The group cohomology of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ is given by $\mathbb{C}[q_2, q_4]$. A full description of the quantum module structure of \mathbb{F}_2 is given as follows:

Theorem 5.1. The quantum $H^*((\mathbb{C}^*)^2)$ -module structure for \mathbb{F}_2 is given by the following

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_2 \star 1 &= D_2 - \frac{1}{2}f(q_4)D_4 & \sigma_4 \star 1 &= (1 + f(q_4))D_4 \\ \sigma_2 \star D_2 &= q_2q_4(1 + f(q_4)) - \frac{1}{2}f(q_4)pt & \sigma_4 \star D_2 &= -\frac{1}{2}q_2f(q_4) + (1 + f(q_4))pt \\ \sigma_2 \star D_4 &= -2q_2q_4(1 + f(q_4)) + (1 + f(q_4))pt & \sigma_4 \star D_4 &= q_2(1 + f(q_4)) - 2(1 + f(q_4))pt \\ \sigma_2 \star pt &= q_2q_4(1 + f(q_4))D_4 & \sigma_4 \star pt &= q_2D_2 - \frac{1}{2}q_2(1 + f(q_4))D_4, \end{aligned}$$

where $f(z) = \sum_{d \geq 1} \binom{2d}{d} z^d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4z}} - 1$.

An interesting observation of this result is that the quantum module structure of \mathbb{F}_2 coincides with the Batyrev ring of \mathbb{F}_2 regarded as a module. In other words, we found a geometric interpretation of the Batyrev ring of \mathbb{F}_2 through 2|1-quasimap invariants. Based on this evidence, we conjecture the following:

Conjecture 1.1. For a smooth semipositive toric variety $V//T$, the quantum $H^*(T)$ -module structure of $V//T$ coincides with a natural module structure of the Batyrev ring of $V//T$.

To prove Theorem 4.2, which is an analogue of WDVV equations, a type of a splitting lemma as in [1, 4, 22] is required. We need to deal with the virtual fundamental classes of the quasimap moduli spaces and the diagonal pullback. Instead of directly using the perfect obstruction theory as in [4], we use the localized top Chern classes [17, ch14.1], which is more elementary notion. There is a construction of the moduli space of quasimaps with light points as a zero locus of a section of a vector bundle on a smooth DM stack [12, 14]. This global model gives rise to the virtual fundamental classes as the localized top Chern, which agrees with the Behrend–Fantachi version in [8] (see [33]).

In our localization computation for the quantum module structure of \mathbb{F}_2 , there are some technical key features that we would like to highlight:

- (1) The module structure in Theorem 4.2 allows us to assume that the degree of the insertion from the light marking is one.
- (2) In general, the map forgetting a heavy point does not define a universal curve in quasimap case. However, the map forgetting a light point gives rise to a universal curve [21]. This allow us to have the divisor equation as in [20]. Thus, the computation of the module structure boils down to the computation of all possible 2-pointed quasimap invariants.
- (3) Having 2 heavy markings gives rise to a chain of \mathbb{P}^1 's for the source curve of a quasimap.
- (4) When applying the localization theorem, a contribution of one fixed locus can be expressed as a fraction whose numerator is a homogeneous polynomial in V and W , where V and W are weights given in (5.7), and the denominator is W^N for some N . This allows us to look at particular type of fixed loci, called the necessary fixed loci (see the paragraph above Corollary 5.5, and Figure 5, and Figure 7).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give a preliminaries on the quotient construction of a toric variety and recall the definition of the moduli space of stable toric quasimaps with light points. Such a moduli space of quasimaps will be constructed with a global embedding in Section 3. The definition of the new quantum deformation using these moduli spaces is given in Section 4. We also prove Splitting Lemma 4.7. In Section 5, we elaborate our computation for the quantum module structure of the Hirzebruch surface of type 2, using the Atiyah–Bott localization theorem. In Section 6, we verify that the quantum module structure of \mathbb{F}_2 agrees with the Batyrev ring realized as a module.

Acknowledgement. I have benefited from conversations with Jeongseok Oh and Woonam Lim on the matter of virtual fundamental classes and localization computations, respectively. Special thanks to Qaasim Shafi for the suggestion of Luca Battistella's dissertation [3], from which I was able to connect this research with the Batyrev rings. Last but not least, I am thankful for the many helpful discussions with Renzo Cavalieri and Mark Shoemaker. This project was partially supported by Renzo Cavalieri's NSF DMS 2100962, Mark Shoemaker's NSF Grant 1708104, and fully supported by the Department

of Mathematics Summer Research Fellowship for 2023 at Colorado State University. I gratefully acknowledge financial support from Douglas Ortego.

2. MODULI SPACES OF STABLE TORIC $m|k$ -POINTED QUASIMAPS TO X_Σ

Through out this paper, our base field is the complex numbers \mathbb{C} . Let M be a \mathbb{Z} -lattice, and N the dual lattice, and $\Sigma \subseteq N_{\mathbb{R}} := N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ a smooth complete fan. Write X_Σ for the corresponding smooth projective toric variety with the torus $N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}^*$.

2.1. Geometric Quotients for Toric Varieties. The toric variety X_Σ can be expressed as a geometric quotient using data of the fan Σ . A *primitive collection* is a subset P of rays in $\Sigma(1)$ such that

- i) P is not contained in any $\sigma \in \Sigma$;
- ii) every proper subset of P is contained in $\sigma(1)$ for some $\sigma \in \Sigma$.

Define

$$Z_\Sigma := \bigcup_{P: \text{ a primitive collection}} \mathbf{V}(x_\rho \mid \rho \in P) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{\Sigma(1)},$$

where ρ is chosen to be the minimal generator of the ray. This is the *irrelevant subset* to the fan Σ .

Since the fan Σ is complete, we have an exact sequence

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)} \longrightarrow \text{Cl}(X_\Sigma) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $m \in M$ goes to $\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} \langle m, \rho \rangle$. Smoothness of X_Σ allows us to identify the class group $\text{Cl}(X_\Sigma)$ with the Picard group $\text{Pic}(X_\Sigma)$. Denote the matrix of the map $\mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)} \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X_\Sigma)$ by $(a_{i\rho})$ where $\rho = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ with $n := |\Sigma(1)|$ and $r := \text{rank Pic}(X_\Sigma)$. Applying $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(-, \mathbb{C}^*)$, we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^r \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^{\Sigma(1)} \longrightarrow N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}^* \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus, the torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^r$ is acting on $\mathbb{C}^{\Sigma(1)}$ through the componentwise multiplication, which can be represented by the transposition of the $r \times n$ matrix $(a_{i\rho})$. In this case, the geometric quotient associated to X_Σ is given as follows from [16, Theorem 5.1.11].

Theorem 2.1. *Given a smooth complete fan Σ , there is a natural isomorphism between the corresponding toric variety and the geometric quotient*

$$X_\Sigma \simeq \mathbb{C}^{\Sigma(1)} \setminus Z_\Sigma // (\mathbb{C}^*)^r.$$

2.2. The moduli space of stable toric $m|k$ -quasimaps. We recall the definition of the moduli space of stable toric quasimaps with m heavy points and k light points to a smooth projective toric variety X_Σ . For details, we refer to [12] for a construction of the moduli space of stable toric quasimaps with heavy markings and [13] for the one with light markings.

Choose $\alpha_\rho \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that for a line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{X_\Sigma}(1) := \otimes_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} \mathcal{O}(D_\rho)^{\otimes \alpha_\rho}$ on X_Σ to be ample, where D_ρ is the torus invariant divisor on X_Σ corresponding to ρ .

Definition 2.2. A *stable toric $m|k$ -pointed quasimap* to X_Σ of genus g is the data

$$((C; x_1, \dots, x_m; y_1, \dots, y_k), \{L_\rho\}_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)}, \{s_\rho\}_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)}, \{\phi_m\}_{m \in M})$$

where

- C is a connected, at most nodal, projective curve of genus g ,
- $\{x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_k\}$ are nonsingular marked points,
- $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ are distinct and disjoint from $\{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$,
- L_ρ are line bundles on C ,
- $s_\rho \in \Gamma(C, L_\rho)$ are global sections,
- (compatibility) the trivializations $\phi_m : \otimes_\rho L_\rho^{(m, \rho)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$ are isomorphisms satisfying $\phi_m \otimes \phi_{m'} = \phi_{m+m'}$ for all $m, m' \in M$,

satisfying

- (1) (nondegeneracy) there is a finite (possibly empty) set of smooth points $B \subset C$, disjoint from $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset C$, such that for every $z \in C \setminus B$, there exists a maximal cone $\sigma \in \Sigma_{max}$ such that $u_\rho(z) \neq 0$, $\forall \rho \notin \sigma$,
- (2) (stability) $\omega_C(x_1 + \dots + x_m + \epsilon(y_1 + \dots + y_k)) \otimes \mathcal{L}^\epsilon$ is ample for every rational number $\epsilon > 0$, where $\mathcal{L} := \otimes_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} L_\rho^{\otimes \alpha_\rho}$.

Denote a stable quasimap by $(C; \underline{x}; \underline{y}, \underline{L}, \underline{s}, \underline{\phi})$. Two stable quasimaps $(C; \underline{x}; \underline{y}, \underline{L}, \underline{s}, \underline{\phi})$ and $(C'; \underline{x}'; \underline{y}', \underline{L}', \underline{s}', \underline{\phi}')$ are *isomorphic* if there exists

$$(f : C \rightarrow C', \{\theta_\rho : L_\rho \rightarrow f^*(L'_\rho)\}_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)}),$$

where f and θ_ρ are isomorphism such that

$$f(x_i) = x'_i, f(y_i) = y'_i, \theta_\rho(s_\rho) = f^*(s'_\rho), \phi_m = f^*(\phi'_m) \circ (\otimes_\rho \theta_\rho^{(m, \rho)}).$$

Definition 2.3. Given a stable toric quasimap $(C; \underline{x}; \underline{y}, \underline{L}, \underline{s}, \underline{\phi})$, the map $\text{Pic}(X_\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(C)$ sending $\mathcal{O}_{X_\Sigma}(D_\rho) \mapsto L_\rho$ is a well-defined homomorphism because of the compatibility condition of the trivializations ϕ_m . Composing with the degree map to \mathbb{Z} , there is a \mathbb{Z} -linear homomorphism from $\text{Pic}(X_\Sigma)$ to \mathbb{Z} . By Poincaré duality, a perfect pairing between $H_2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \text{Pic}(X_\Sigma)$, gives rise to a unique class $\beta \in H_2(X_\Sigma, \mathbb{Z})$ determined by

$$\beta \cdot D_\rho = \deg L_\rho,$$

for all $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$. This β is called the **degree** of the stable quasimap. Denote the degree restricted to a component C' of the source curve of a quasimap by $\beta_{C'}$.

Remark 2.4. (1) We call x_i a *heavy marking* and y_j a *light marking*.

- (2) From the degree of the log-canonical bundle $\omega_C(\sum x_i + \epsilon \sum y_j) \otimes \mathcal{L}^\epsilon$, we obtain $2g - 2 + m \geq 0$.
- (3) On a rational component C' of C , if $\beta_{C'} > 0$, then C' must have at least two special points, i.e., a heavy marking or a node. When $\beta_{C'} = 0$, there are at least three special points, or at most one of them can be replaced by a light point.
- (4) On a genus one component, there is at least one special point or a light marking, otherwise the line bundle \mathcal{L} restricted to the component must be of positive degree.
- (5) The subset $B \subset C$ is the set of *base points* of a quasimap. Away from each base point, the sections of a quasimap defines a map to X_Σ .

- (6) Observe that the nondegeneracy condition is only related to heavy markings. Thus, light markings can collide with base points.
- (7) We do not have any *rational tails*, i.e., a component without any markings, since a quasimap with such a component is not stable. It follows that the number of components is finite, because the number of markings is finite.

Remark 2.5. The compatibility condition of trivializations ϕ_m in Definition 2.2 can be dropped, since it can be recovered from the matrix $(a_{i\rho})$ of the map $\mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)} \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X_\Sigma)$ in 2.1. Thus, choosing an integral basis for $\text{Pic}(X_\Sigma)$, say $\{\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_r\}$, the data of a degree β stable toric quasimap $(C; \underline{x}; \underline{y}, \underline{L}, \underline{s}, \phi)$ is equivalent to the data

$$(C; \underline{x}; \underline{y}, \underline{P}, \underline{s}),$$

where P_i are line bundles on C with $\deg P_i = \int_\beta c_1(\mathcal{P}_i)$, and $L_\rho = \bigotimes_{i=1}^r P_i^{\otimes a_{i\rho}}$. In Section 3, we will use this equivalent description for quasimaps.

The following moduli space was constructed in [12, 13].

Definition 2.6. Fix $g, m, k \geq 0$, $\beta \in H_2(X_\Sigma)$. The **moduli space of degree β stable toric quasimaps** to X_Σ is the moduli stack parametrizing isomorphism classes of families of stable toric $m|k$ -quasimaps of degree β . Denote it by $Q_{g,m|k}(X_\Sigma, \beta)$.

2.3. Stack quotients. Due to the presence of base points, a quasimap does not define a map to the toric variety $X_\Sigma \simeq \mathbb{C}^\Sigma \setminus Z_\Sigma // (\mathbb{C}^*)^r$. Instead, the natural target of a quasimap is the stack quotient $[V/T]$, where $V := \mathbb{C}^\Sigma$ and $T := (\mathbb{C}^*)^r$. Note that X_Σ is an open substack of $[V/T]$.

The cohomology of the stack quotient is given by

$$H^*([V/T]) = H^*([\text{pt}/T]) = H_T^*(\text{pt}) = H^*(T) = \mathbb{C}[\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r],$$

where $H^*(T)$ is the group cohomology of T . The variables σ_j have a geometric interpretation. Suppose that we have an integral basis $\{\mathcal{P}_j\}_{j=1}^r$ of $\text{Pic}(X_\Sigma)$, where \mathcal{P}_j correspond to $\mathcal{O}_{X_\Sigma}(D_j)$. The j th column of the $r \times n$ action matrix $(a_{i\rho})$ from the toric quotient defines a T -equivariant line bundle $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{pt}$. Then, the equivariant Euler class of this bundle gives $\sigma_i \in H_T^*(\text{pt})$.

In [13], the moduli space of quasimaps with light points $Q_{g,m|k}(X_\Sigma, \beta)$ was identified with the following moduli space of quasimaps to a stack quotient without any light points

$$Q_{g,m|0}([\mathbb{C}^n / (\mathbb{C}^*)^r] \times [\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{C}^*]^k, (\beta, 1, \dots, 1)).$$

In [14], they showed that such a moduli space has a perfect obstruction theory to define the virtual fundamental class.

2.4. Evaluation maps. For a i th heavy marking, the evaluation map

$$ev_i : Q_{g,m|k}(X_\Sigma, \beta) \rightarrow X_\Sigma$$

is well-defined since heavy markings are distinct from base points and light markings, so that sections of a quasimap defines a map to X_Σ .

In contrast, since light markings can collide with base points, sections of a quasimap might not define a map to X_Σ to define an evaluation map. In this case, the stack quotient

$[V/T]$ can be used as the target of an evaluation map at each light marking, since base points can land on the complement of X_Σ in $[V/T]$. Thus, the evaluation map at the j th light marking is given as follows:

$$\hat{e}v_j : Q_{g,m|k}(X_\Sigma, \beta) \rightarrow [V/T].$$

For a fixed genus g and a degree β , the $m|k$ -pointed quasimap invariants are defined as follows:

Definition 2.7. For $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m \in H^*(X_\Sigma)$ and $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \in H^*([V/T])$, an $m|k$ -pointed quasimap invariant is defined by

$$\langle \phi_1, \dots, \phi_m \mid \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \rangle_{g,m|k,\beta} := \int_{[Q_{g,m|k}(X_\Sigma, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}} \prod_{i=1}^m ev_i^*(\phi_i) \prod_{j=1}^k \hat{e}v_j^*(\xi_j).$$

3. GLOBAL CONSTRUCTION

The quasimap moduli spaces $Q_{g,m|k}(X_\Sigma, \beta)$ have a global description. In other words, it can be realized as a stack-theoretic zero locus $Z(s)$ of a section s of a vector bundle E over a smooth Deligne-Mumford stack B . In this case, the virtual class of the moduli space is given by the localized Euler class. We briefly review the localized Euler class in [17, §14.1], and construct the virtual class by giving the global setting for our moduli space. A detailed construction is given in [12].

3.1. Localized Euler classes. For a fiber square of schemes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{j} & Y' \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ X & \xrightarrow{i} & Y \end{array}$$

with i a regular embedding of codimension d , there exists an induced homomorphism between the Chows groups

$$i^! : A_* Y' \rightarrow A_* X',$$

which is called the **Gysin homomorphism** [17, §6.2].

Let E be a rank e vector bundle over a pure n -dimensional scheme X , and s is a section of E . Denote the zero scheme by $Z(s)$. Consider the fiber square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z(s) & \xrightarrow{i} & X \\ i \downarrow & & \downarrow s \\ X & \xrightarrow{0} & E \end{array}$$

where 0 is the zero section. The **localized Euler class** is defined in [17, §14.1] as the class

$$(3.1) \quad e(E, s) := 0^![X] \in A_{n-e}(Z(s)).$$

It satisfies

$$i_*(e(E, s)) = c_e(E) \cap [X] \in A_{n-e}X.$$

For later use, we give the following proposition:

Proposition 3.1 (Multiplicativity of localized Euler classes, [17, Example 17.4.8]). Let E_i be a rank e_i vector bundle over a pure n -dimensional scheme X with a section s_i , where $i = 1, 2$, and $E = E_1 \oplus E_2$, $s = s_1 \oplus s_2$. Then,

$$e(E, s) = e(E_1, s_1) \cup e(E_2, s_2) \in A_{n-(e_1+e_2)}(Z(s)).$$

3.2. Global construction of $Q_{g,m|k}(X_\Sigma, \beta)$. For a smooth project toric variety X_Σ with its fan Σ , the quasimap moduli spaces $Q_{g,m|k}(X_\Sigma, \beta)$ can be embedded into a smooth Delign-Mumford stack over which there is a vector bundle with a section that singles out the quasimap moduli. In this way, one may construct the virtual fundamental class of the quasimap moduli space using the localized Euler class.

There are natural forgetful maps from $Q_{g,m|k}(X_\Sigma, \beta)$ to the r -fold fibered product of the Picard stack $\mathfrak{Pic}_{g,m|k}^r$ with $r := \text{rank Pic}(X_\Sigma)$ by forgetting sections, and to the moduli stack of prestable curves $\mathfrak{M}_{g,m|k}$ by forgetting both sections and line bundles. Denoted the forgetful maps by fgt_s and $\text{fgt}_{s,1}$, respectively.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q_{g,m|k}(X_\Sigma, \beta) & \xrightarrow{\text{fgt}_s} & \mathfrak{Pic}_{g,m|k}^r \\ & \searrow \text{fgt}_{s,1} & \downarrow \text{fgt}_1 \\ & & \mathfrak{M}_{g,m|k} \end{array}$$

Write $\mathfrak{Pic}^{r,\circ}$ and \mathfrak{M}° as the substacks which the forgetful maps fgt_s and $\text{fgt}_{s,1}$ factor through, respectively. Equivalently, $\mathfrak{Pic}^{r,\circ}$ can be defined as the substack in the following way: For a family of quasimaps $C \rightarrow S$, there exists a positive integer $M = M(g, \beta, m, k)$ such that for all geometric points $s \in S$, $H^1(C_s, L_\rho(M)) = 0$ for all ρ [12, Cor 3.1.11]. Suppose that $\{\mathcal{P}_i\}$ is an integral basis for $\text{Pic}(X_\Sigma)$. We obtain the substack by imposing the following conditions:

- (1) the degree of L_i is equal to $\int_\beta c_1(\mathcal{P}_i)$
- (2) the stability condition holds,

$$\omega_C \left(\sum_{l=1}^m x_l + \sum_{l'=1}^k \epsilon y_{l'} \right) \otimes \left(\otimes_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} L_\rho^{\otimes \alpha_\rho} \right)^\epsilon$$

is ample for every rational number $\epsilon > 0$, where $L_\rho := \otimes_i L_i^{\otimes a_{i\rho}}$.

- (3) $H^1(C, L_\rho(M)) = 0$ for all $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$.

These are open conditions, so the substack $\mathfrak{Pic}^{r,\circ}$ is open.

Let $\pi_c : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^\circ$ be the universal curve. Then, a universal curve, denoted by $\mathcal{C}\mathfrak{Pic}^\circ$, over $\mathfrak{Pic}^{r,\circ}$ is given by the fiber product of \mathcal{C} and $\mathfrak{Pic}^{r,\circ}$ over \mathfrak{M}° with the two projections $\pi_1 : \mathcal{C}\mathfrak{Pic}^{r,\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $\pi_2 : \mathcal{C}\mathfrak{Pic}^{r,\circ} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Pic}^{r,\circ}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}\mathfrak{Pic}^\circ & \xrightarrow{\pi_2} & \mathfrak{Pic}^{r,\circ} \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_p \\ \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\pi_c} & \mathfrak{M}^\circ \end{array}$$

By the stability condition (2) in Definition 2.2 of a stable toric quasimap, one can take a π_2 -relative ample bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over $\mathcal{C}\mathfrak{Pic}^\circ$, whose fiber at $((C, \underline{x}, \underline{y}), (L_i)_{i=1}^r) \in \mathfrak{Pic}^{r,\circ}$ is

given by

$$(3.2) \quad \omega_C \left(\sum_{l=1}^m x_l + \sum_{l'=1}^k \epsilon y_{l'} \right) \otimes \left(\otimes_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} L_\rho^{\otimes \alpha_\rho} \right)^{\epsilon_0},$$

where ϵ_0 can be chosen so that for all $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0) \cap \mathbb{Q}$, such a line bundle with ϵ_0 replaced by ϵ is isomorphic to the line bundle (3.2) from [12, Cor 3.1.5 and Lem 3.1.10]. Also, there are universal line bundles \mathcal{L}_ρ over $\mathcal{C}\mathfrak{Pic}^\circ$ with $\rho = 1, \dots, r$, whose fiber over a point $((C, \underline{x}, \underline{y}), (L_i)_{i=1}^r) \in \mathfrak{Pic}^{r, \circ}$ is L_ρ . Write $\mathcal{V} := \oplus_\rho \mathcal{L}_\rho$.

As in the proof of [7, Proposition 5] or [33, Lemma 2.5], we take

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{B} := (\pi^*(\pi_*(\mathcal{V}^\vee(M))))^\vee(M),$$

where $\pi := \pi_2$ and $\mathcal{V}(M) := \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{O}(M)$. This gives an embedding

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{V} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$$

with $\mathbf{R}^1\pi_*(\mathcal{B}) = 0$, where \mathcal{E} is the cokernel of the embedding. Then, we also have $\mathbf{R}^1\pi_*(\mathcal{E}) = 0$. Thus, $[\mathbf{R}\pi_*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\pi_*(\mathcal{E})]$ forms a two-term resolution of $\mathbf{R}\pi_*(\mathcal{V})$ by vector bundles.

Define the total space of sections of $\pi_*(\mathcal{B})$ by

$$(3.5) \quad \text{tot}(\pi_*(\mathcal{B})) := \text{Spec}(\text{Sym}(\mathbf{R}^1\pi_*(\omega_\pi \otimes \mathcal{B}^\vee))),$$

where ω_π is the relative dualizing sheaf for π and denote the corresponding map by

$$p : \text{tot}(\pi_*(\mathcal{B})) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Pic}^{r, \circ}.$$

The fiber of p at $((C, \underline{x}, \underline{y}), (L_i)_{i=1}^r)$ is $\oplus_\rho H^0(C, L_\rho)$. Impose the generic nondegeneracy condition appearing in Definition 2.2. Then, we obtain an open substack in $\text{tot}(\pi_*(\mathcal{B}))$ which is smooth and Deligne-Mumford, say B . By pulling back $\pi_*(\mathcal{E})$ via p and restricting to B , we obtain a vector bundle $E := p^*\pi_*(\mathcal{E})|_B$, and a tautological section s induced from the map

$$H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{B}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}).$$

The zero locus $Z(s)$ of the section s is exactly the quasimap moduli space $Q_{g, m|k}(X_\Sigma, \beta)$.

This global model for a construction of the moduli space of quasimaps

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & E & \\ & \downarrow \nearrow^s & \\ Z(s) & \longrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

gives rise to the localized Euler class $e(E, s)$ defined in Section 3.1. In [12, Thm 3.2.1], the virtual fundamental class $[Q_{g, m|k}(X_\Sigma, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}$ is given in this way. This does not depend on the choice of embeddings and vector bundles and agrees with the Behrend–Fantechi virtual class [7] defined using relative perfect obstruction $\mathbf{R}\pi_*\mathcal{B}^\vee$ (see [33, Prop 2.14]).

4. THE QUANTUM $H^*(T)$ -MODULE STRUCTURE ON $H^*(X_\Sigma)$

4.1. Quantum $H^*(T)$ -module structure on $H^*(X_\Sigma)$. Assume $g = 0$, $m = 2$ and $k = 1$ to define a $H^*(T)$ -module structure on $H^*(X_\Sigma)$. Let $\{T_i\}$ be a basis for $H^*(X_\Sigma)$ and $\{T^j\}$ the dual basis under the intersection pairing on X_Σ .

Definition 4.1. For $\xi \in H^*(T)$ and $\phi \in H^*(X_\Sigma)$, define the **quantum $H^*(T)$ -action** on $H^*(X_\Sigma)$ via the 2|1-pointed quasimap invariants

$$\xi \star \phi := \sum_{\beta \in \text{Eff}} \sum_i \langle \phi, T_i | \xi \rangle_{0,2|1,\beta} T^i.$$

The following theorem defines a $H^*(T)$ -module structure on $H^*(X_\Sigma)$.

Theorem 4.2 (Quantum $H^*(T)$ -module structure). *For $\xi, \zeta \in H^*(T)$ and $\phi \in H^*(X_\Sigma)$,*

$$(4.1) \quad \xi \star (\zeta \star \phi) = (\xi \cdot \zeta) \star \phi.$$

This can be viewed as a modified version of WDVV equations via 3-pointed GW invariants. We need a splitting lemma to prove our $H^*(T)$ -module structure in Theorem 4.2.

Expand the left-hand side of (4.1):

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \xi \star (\zeta \star \phi) &= \xi \star \left(\sum_{\beta \in \text{Eff}} \sum_i \langle \phi, T_i | \zeta \rangle_{0,2|1,\beta} T^i \right) \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in \text{Eff}} \sum_{\substack{\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \text{Eff} \\ \beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta}} \sum_{i,j} \langle \phi, T_i | \zeta \rangle_{0,2|1,\beta_1} \langle T^i, T_j | \xi \rangle_{0,2|1,\beta_2} T^j \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the right-hand side of (4.1) is the following:

$$(4.3) \quad (\xi \cdot \zeta) \star \phi = \sum_{\beta \in \text{Eff}} \sum_j \langle \phi, T_j | \xi \cdot \zeta \rangle_{0,2|1,\beta} T^j$$

To have the equality between (4.2) and (4.3), it is enough to see the degree β part of the coefficients of T^j are the same, i.e.,

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{\substack{\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \text{Eff} \\ \beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta}} \sum_i \langle \phi, T_i | \zeta \rangle_{0,2|1,\beta_1} \langle T^i, T_j | \xi \rangle_{0,2|1,\beta_2} = \langle \phi, T_j | \xi \cdot \zeta \rangle_{0,2|1,\beta}.$$

There is a forgetful morphism

$$\text{ft} : Q_{0,2|2}(X_\Sigma, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{M}_{0,2|2},$$

where $\overline{M}_{0,2|d}$ is a Losev-Manin space defined in [26]. Note that $\overline{M}_{0,2|2} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$, so that the divisors $D(13|24)$ and $D(3 = 4)$ are equivalent in $H^*(\overline{M}_{0,2|2})$, where

- $D(13|24)$ is the class given by the locus of nodal curves that the one component has a heavy point marked by 1 and a light point marked by 3, and the other component has a heavy point marked by 2 and a light point marked by 4,
- $D(3 = 4)$ is the class given by the locus of an irreducible component where the 3rd and 4th light markings are colliding.

By pulling back through the forgetful morphism as in [1, Prop 6.2.2],

$$(4.5) \quad \text{ft}^*(D(13|24)) = \text{ft}^*(D(3 = 4)).$$

We will derive (4.4) from (4.5) by showing that the left-hand side of (4.4) is the same as

$$ev_1^*(\phi) ev_2^*(\psi) \hat{e}v_3^*(\xi) \hat{e}v_4^*(\zeta) \cap \text{ft}^*(D(13|24)),$$

and the right-hand side of (4.4) is the same as

$$ev_1^*(\phi) ev_2^*(\psi) \hat{e}v_3^*(\xi) \hat{e}v_4^*(\zeta) \cap \text{ft}^*(D(3 = 4)).$$

The former part requires the diagonal pullback.

4.2. Diagonal pullback. Let $\Delta : X_\Sigma \rightarrow X_\Sigma \times X_\Sigma$ be the diagonal embedding for a smooth projective toric variety X_Σ . It is regular of codimension equal to $\dim X_\Sigma$. For a basis $\{T_i\}$ and the dual basis $\{T^i\}$,

$$(4.6) \quad [\Delta(X_\Sigma)] = \sum_i T_i \otimes T^i \in H^*(X_\Sigma) \otimes H^*(X_\Sigma) \simeq H^*(X_\Sigma \times X_\Sigma),$$

where i in T_i and T^i means dimension and codimension, respectively.

Recall the (3.6) gives a global model for a construction of the virtual fundamental class of $Q := Q_{0,2|2}(X_\Sigma, \beta)$. There is a gluing morphism

$$\text{gl} : \mathfrak{M}_{0,2|1}^\circ \times \mathfrak{M}_{0,2|1}^\circ \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{0,2|2}^\circ$$

$$(C_1, (x_1, n_1; y_1)) \times (C_2, (x_2, n_2; y_2)) \mapsto (C_1 \sqcup C_2/n_1 \sim n_2, (x_1, x_2; y_1, y_2)),$$

where $C_1 \sqcup C_2/n_1 \sim n_2$ is the nodal curve given by C_1 and C_2 gluing n_1 and n_2 . Denote $Q_i := Q_{0,2|1}(X_\Sigma, \beta_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. One can see that

$$D(13|24; \beta_1, \beta_2) = Q_1 \times_{X_\Sigma} Q_2,$$

and the following fiber square commutes as in [1, Prop 5.2.2],

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigsqcup_{\beta_1+\beta_2=\beta} Q_1 \times_{X_\Sigma} Q_2 & \longrightarrow & Q \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{M}_{0,2|1}^\circ \times \mathfrak{M}_{0,2|1}^\circ & \xrightarrow{\text{gl}} & \mathfrak{M}_{0,2|2}^\circ \end{array}$$

The following proposition relates the diagonal pullbacks of $[Q_1]^{\text{vir}} \times [Q_2]^{\text{vir}}$ for all (β_1, β_2) with $[Q]^{\text{vir}}$ pulled back via the gluing morphism.

Proposition 4.3 (Diagonal pullback). The pullback of $[Q]^{\text{vir}}$ via the gluing morphism is the same as the diagonal pullback of $[Q_1]^{\text{vir}} \times [Q_2]^{\text{vir}}$, i.e.,

$$\text{gl}^! [Q]^{\text{vir}} = \sum_{\beta_1+\beta_2=\beta} \Delta^! ([Q_1]^{\text{vir}} \times [Q_2]^{\text{vir}}),$$

where $\Delta : X_\Sigma \rightarrow X_\Sigma \times X_\Sigma$ is the diagonal embedding.

Fix β_1 and β_2 with $\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta$ and let $D := D(13|24; \beta_1, \beta_2)$. Denote a natural inclusion by $i_{\beta_1|\beta_2} : D \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{\beta_1+\beta_2=\beta} Q_1 \times_{X_\Sigma} Q_2$. To prove Proposition 4.3, it is enough to show the following lemma.

Lemma 4.4. *The following holds*

$$i_{\beta_1|\beta_2}^! \text{gl}^! [Q]^{\text{vir}} = \Delta^! ([Q_1]^{\text{vir}} \times [Q_2]^{\text{vir}}).$$

We pull back universal curves over $\mathfrak{Pic}_{0,2|1,\beta_i}^{r,\circ}$ via the projection p_i and denote them by \mathcal{C}_i :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}_i & \xrightarrow{\bar{p}_i} & \mathcal{C}\mathfrak{Pic}_{0,2|1,\beta_i}^{r,\circ} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{Pic}_{0,2|1,\beta_1}^{r,\circ} \times \mathfrak{Pic}_{0,2|1,\beta_2}^{r,\circ} & \xrightarrow{p_i} & \mathfrak{Pic}_{0,2|1,\beta_i}^{r,\circ} \end{array}$$

Then, there is a universal curve

$$\mathcal{C}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{C}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{Pic}_{0,2|1,\beta_1}^{r,\circ} \times \mathfrak{Pic}_{0,2|1,\beta_2}^{r,\circ},$$

with the inclusions $c_i : \mathcal{C}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{C}_2$.

Consider that there is a restriction morphism

$$r : \mathrm{gl}^* \mathfrak{Pic}_{0,2|2,\beta}^{r,\circ} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Pic}_{0,2|1,\beta_1}^{r,\circ} \times \mathfrak{Pic}_{0,2|1,\beta_2}^{r,\circ},$$

given in the following way: over $(C_i, (x_i, n_i; y_i))_{i=1}^2 \in \mathfrak{M}_{0,2|1}^\circ \times \mathfrak{M}_{0,2|1}^\circ$,

$$(C_1 \sqcup C_2/n_1 \sim n_2, \{L_j\}_{j=1}^r) \longmapsto ((C_1, \{L_j|_{C_1}\}_{j=1}^r), (C_2, \{L_j|_{C_2}\}_{j=1}^r)).$$

Denote $\mathrm{gl}^* \mathfrak{Pic}_{0,2|2,\beta}^{r,\circ}$ by \mathcal{C} . There is a morphism $\bar{r} : r^*(\mathcal{C}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{C}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{C}_2$ induced by the restriction morphism r . Also, there is a natural morphism

$$\nu : r^*(\mathcal{C}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{C}_2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C},$$

given by normalizing a nodal curve at the node. By abuse of notation, we write

$$\nu_* \mathcal{V}_i := \nu_* \bar{r}^* c_{i*} \bar{p}_i^* \mathcal{V}_i, \quad \nu_* \mathcal{B}_i := \nu_* \bar{r}^* c_{i*} \bar{p}_i^* \mathcal{B}_i.$$

Observe that we have the normalization exact sequence

$$\mathrm{gl}^* \mathcal{V} \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^2 \nu_* \mathcal{V}_i \xrightarrow{d} \mathrm{gl}^* \mathcal{V}|_n,$$

where the map d is given by the difference.

To have evaluation maps, using Lemma 3.4.1 in [11], we replace $\bigoplus_{i=1}^2 \mathcal{B}_i$ by $\bigoplus_{i=1}^2 \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_i$ with a surjective morphism δ commuting the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{i=1}^2 \nu_* \mathcal{V}_i & \hookrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i=1}^2 \nu_* \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_i \\ d \downarrow & \swarrow \delta & \\ \mathrm{gl}^* \mathcal{V}|_n & & \end{array}$$

with $\mathbf{R}^1 \pi_* \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_i = 0$, where $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{gl}^* \mathfrak{Pic}_{0,2|2,\beta}^{r,\circ}$. Namely, define $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_i$ to be the equalizer of the following two morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B}_i \oplus (\mathcal{V}_i)|_{n_i} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{proj}_1} & \mathcal{B}_i \xrightarrow{\mathrm{restriction}} (\mathcal{B}_i)|_{n_i} \\ \mathcal{B}_i \oplus (\mathcal{V}_i)|_{n_i} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{proj}_2} & (\mathcal{V}_i)|_{n_i} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{inclusion}} (\mathcal{B}_i)|_{n_i} \end{array}$$

Then, we obtain maps

$$(4.7) \quad e_i : \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_i \rightarrow (\mathcal{V}_i)|_{n_i}.$$

Taking the difference of e_1 and e_2 defines the desired morphism δ . Therefore, over \mathcal{C} , there is a commutative diagram

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{gl}^* \mathcal{V} & \hookrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i=1}^2 \nu_* \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_i & \xrightarrow{s} & \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \\ \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^2 \nu_* \mathcal{V}_i & \hookrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i=1}^2 \nu_* \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_i & \xrightarrow{s_1 \oplus s_2} & \bigoplus_{i=1}^2 \nu_* \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i \\ d \downarrow & \swarrow \delta & & & \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\bigoplus_{i=1}^2 \nu_* \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i$ are defined as the cokernels.

The exact sequence in the second row defines $e(E_1 \oplus E_2, s_1 \oplus s_2) = [Q_1]^{\text{vir}} \times [Q_2]^{\text{vir}}$, where $E_1 \oplus E_2$ is the pullback of $\pi_* \bigoplus_{i=1}^2 \nu_* \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i$ along $p : \text{tot}(\pi_* \bigoplus_{i=1}^2 \nu_* \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_i) \rightarrow \text{gl}^* \mathfrak{Pic}_{0,2|2,\beta}^{r,\circ}$ as in the global construction method in Section 3.2. The exact sequence in the first row in (4.8) gives rises to $e(E, s) = \text{gl}^1[Q]^{\text{vir}}$, where $E := p^* \pi_* \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$. The exact sequence

$$(4.9) \quad \ker(\delta) \hookrightarrow \pi_* \bigoplus_{i=1}^2 \nu_* \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_i \rightarrow \text{gl}^* \mathcal{V}$$

defines $e(V_n, \delta)$ where $V_n := p^* \pi_* \text{gl}^* \mathcal{V}|_n$. We give a relation among those three localized Euler classes.

Lemma 4.5. *The three localized Euler classes $e(E, s)$, $e(E_1 \oplus E_2, s_1 \oplus s_2)$, $e(V_n, \delta)$ are related in the following way:*

$$e(E, s) = e(E_1 \oplus E_2, s_1 \oplus s_2) \cup e(V_n, \delta) \in A^*(Z(s)).$$

Before proving Lemma 4.5, we give a lemma that will be used.

Lemma 4.6. *Given a commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & P \\ & & & & \nearrow q_P \\ A & \xrightarrow{\quad \iota \quad} & M & \xrightarrow{\quad q \quad} & B \\ & \searrow \rho & \nearrow \iota_N & \searrow \nu_M & \\ & & N & \xrightarrow{\quad \nu_N \quad} & P' \end{array}$$

with exact sequences $A \hookrightarrow M \twoheadrightarrow B$, $N \hookrightarrow M \twoheadrightarrow P$ and $A \hookrightarrow N \twoheadrightarrow P'$, we can construct the following commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & K' & & P & & \\ & & \nearrow i_{K'} & & \nearrow \tilde{q}_P & & \\ & & \downarrow \rho_M & & \downarrow \pi_P & & \\ A & \xrightarrow{\quad \varphi \quad} & K & \xrightarrow{\quad \iota \quad} & M & \xrightarrow{\quad \psi \quad} & P \oplus P' \\ & \searrow & \downarrow i_N & \searrow & \downarrow \nu_B & \searrow & \\ & & N & \xrightarrow{\quad \nu_N \quad} & P' & & \end{array}$$

with exact sequences $K \hookrightarrow M \twoheadrightarrow P \oplus P'$ and $K' \hookrightarrow M \twoheadrightarrow P'$.

Proof. (Construction of \tilde{q}_P and its surjectivity) For $b \in B$, take $m \in q^{-1}(b)$, and define $\tilde{q}_P(b) := q_P(m)$. It is well-defined; for some $m' \in q^{-1}(b)$, $q(m-m') = 0$, thus $\iota(a) = m-m'$ for some $a \in A$. Since $\iota(a) = \iota_N(\rho(a))$, By exactness of $N \hookrightarrow M \twoheadrightarrow P$, $q_P(m-m') = 0$. Surjectivity follows by the construction.

(Existence of an exact sequence $K \hookrightarrow M \twoheadrightarrow P \oplus P'$) By the universal property of product, there is a unique morphism $\bar{q} : M \rightarrow P \oplus P'$. Take $K := \ker \bar{q}$.

(Construction of $\psi : B \rightarrow P \oplus P'$ and ν_B) Assume $a \in A$ with $q(\iota(a)) = 0$. Commutativity $\iota = \iota_N \circ \rho$ and exactness of $A \hookrightarrow N \twoheadrightarrow P'$, $q_P(\iota(a)) = 0$ and $\nu_M(\iota(a)) = 0$, respectively. Since $B = \text{coker } \iota$, by the universal property of the cokernel, there is a unique morphism $\psi : B \rightarrow P \oplus P'$.

(Surjectivity of ν_B and \tilde{q}_P) Define $\nu_B := \pi_{P'} \circ \phi$. $\nu_M = \nu_B \circ q$ and $q_P = \tilde{q}_B \circ q$ imply that ν_B and \tilde{q}_P are surjective.

(Construction of K' , i_N and $i_{K'}$) Define $K' := \ker \nu_M$. For $k \in K$ with $\bar{q} \circ \bar{\iota}(k) = 0$, then $q_P(\iota(k)) = 0$ and $\nu_M(\iota(k)) = 0$ by projecting via π_P and $\pi_{P'}$. By the universal property of N and K' being the kernels, there are unique morphisms i_N and $i_{K'}$. Since $\bar{\iota}$ is injective, one can show that i_N and $i_{K'}$ are injective as well.

(Construction of an isomorphism φ) We use the universal property of the kernel K . Note that $\nu_M(\iota(a)) = 0$ because of commutativity $\iota = \iota_N \circ \rho$ and $\nu_N = \nu_M \circ \iota_N$, and exactness of $A \hookrightarrow N \rightarrow P'$. Also, one can see that $q_P(\iota(a)) = 0$. From the construction of \bar{q} , $\bar{q}(\iota(a)) = 0$. Thus, there exist a unique map $\varphi : A \rightarrow K$ by the universal property. Next, we show that there is a unique morphism $\tilde{\varphi} : K \rightarrow A$ such that $i_N = \rho \circ \tilde{\varphi}$. We show that the composition $K \hookrightarrow N \rightarrow P'$ is the zero morphism. Consider that the composition $K \hookrightarrow M \rightarrow P'$ is the zero morphism since $K' \hookrightarrow M \rightarrow P'$ is exact and $\bar{\iota} = \rho_M \circ i_{K'}$. By commutativity $\iota_N \circ i_N = \bar{\iota}$ and $\nu_N = \nu_M \circ \iota_N$, we have the desired result. Therefore, A being the kernel of ν_N gives rise to a unique morphism $\tilde{\varphi} : K \rightarrow A$. Last, we show that φ is an isomorphism. Consider that injectivity of ρ implies injectivity of φ . For surjective, for $k \in K$, set $a := \tilde{\varphi}(k)$. Observe that

$$i_N(\varphi(a) - k) = \rho(a) - i_N(k) = \rho(\tilde{\varphi}(k)) - i_N(k) = i_N(k) - i_N(k) = 0.$$

Since i_N is injective, $\varphi(a) = k$, which implies that φ is surjective.

(Claim: ψ is an isomorphism) Since $A \simeq K$, $B \simeq \text{Im}(\bar{q})$. Thus, it is enough to show that ψ is surjective. Let $(p, p') \in P \oplus P'$. Using π_P and $\pi_{P'}$, there exist $m \in M$ and $n \in N$ such that $\bar{q}(m) = (p, x)$, $\bar{q}(\iota_N(n)) = (y, p')$ where $x := \nu_M(m)$ and $y := q_P(\iota_N(n))$. Consider that exactness of $N \hookrightarrow M \rightarrow P$ implies that $y = 0$. The same way shows that there exists $n' \in N$ such that $\bar{q}(\iota_N(n')) = (0, x)$. Then, observe that

$$\bar{q}(m + \iota_N(n) - \iota_N(n')) = (p, x) + (0, p') - (0, x) = (p, p').$$

Hence, ψ is an isomorphism. \square

We apply Lemma 4.6 to the diagram (4.8).

Proof of Lemma 4.5. Take

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= \text{gl}^* \mathcal{V}, & M &:= \bigoplus_{i=1}^2 \nu_* \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_i, & B &:= \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \\ N &:= \bigoplus_{i=1}^2 \nu_* \mathcal{V}_i, & P &:= \bigoplus_{i=1}^2 \nu_* \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i, & P' &:= \text{gl}^* \mathcal{V}|_n \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.6,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \simeq \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^2 \nu_* \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i \right) \bigoplus \text{gl}^* \mathcal{V}|_n.$$

Apply Proposition 3.1 to obtain the relation among three classes. \square

Recall that $e(E, s) = [Q]^{\text{vir}}$, $e(E_1 \oplus E_2, s_1 \oplus s_2) = [Q_1]^{\text{vir}} \times [Q_2]^{\text{vir}}$. We prove Lemma 4.4

Proof of Lemma 4.4. Let $Y := \text{tot}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^2 \nu_* \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_i)$. Note that Y is smooth.

(Step1: $\Delta^![Y] = e(V_n, \delta)$) Consider the following diagram of fiber squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 Z(\delta) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & Z(\delta) & \longrightarrow & Y \\
 \text{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow 0_{V_n} \\
 Z(\delta) & \longrightarrow & Y & \xrightarrow{\delta} & V_n \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 X_\Sigma & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & X_\Sigma \times X_\Sigma & &
 \end{array}$$

The evaluation map $Y \rightarrow [V/T] \times [V/T]$ at n_1 and n_2 is induced from e_1 and e_2 in (4.7). The evaluation map $Z(\delta) \rightarrow [V/T]$ is well-defined since $Z(\delta)$ is defined where the evaluation maps induced from e_1 and e_2 coincide. Since n_1 and n_2 do not collide with any base points and light markings, those evaluation maps factor through $X_\Sigma \times X_\Sigma$ and X_Σ , respectively. Then, from [17, Theorem 6.4],

$$(4.10) \quad 0_{V_n}^! \Delta^![Y] = \Delta^! 0_{V_n}^![Y]$$

Using the definition of the localized top Chern class (3.1) and [17, Remark 6.2.1], the equation (4.10) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{id}^* \Delta^![Y] &= \text{id}^* e(V_n, \delta) \\
 \Delta^![Y] &= e(V_n, \delta)
 \end{aligned}$$

(Step2: $\Delta^! e(E_1 \oplus E_2, s_1 \oplus s_2) = e(E_1 \oplus E_2, s_1 \oplus s_2) \cup e(V_n, \delta)$) Consider the following diagram of fiber squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 Z(s) & \longrightarrow & Z(s_1 \oplus s_2) & \longrightarrow & Y \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow 0_{E_1 \oplus E_2} \\
 Z(\delta) & \longrightarrow & Y & \xrightarrow{s_1 \oplus s_2} & E_1 \oplus E_2 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 X_\Sigma & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & X_\Sigma \times X_\Sigma & &
 \end{array}$$

We apply [17, Theorem 6.4], again.

$$(4.11) \quad 0_{E_1 \oplus E_2}^! \Delta^![Y] = \Delta^! 0_{E_1 \oplus E_2}^![Y] = \Delta^! e(E_1 \oplus E_2, s_1 \oplus s_2)$$

By the Step1, the left-hand side of (4.11) becomes $0_{E_1 \oplus E_2}^! e(V_n, \delta)$. Note that surjectivity of $\delta : \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_i \rightarrow \text{gl}^* \mathcal{V}_n$ implies that $Z(\delta)$ is smooth. Thus,

$$0_{E_1 \oplus E_2}^! e(V_n, \delta) = e(E_1 \oplus E_2, s_1 \oplus s_2) \cup e(V_n, \delta).$$

Last, applying Lemma 4.5, we conclude with $\Delta^! e(E_1 \oplus E_2, s_1 \oplus s_2) = e(E, s)$. \square

4.3. The pullback $\text{ft}^*(D(13|24))$. We describe splitting lemma for $\text{ft}^*(D(13|24))$. The class $\text{ft}^*(D(13|24))$ is given from

$$\text{ft}^{-1}(D(13|24)) = \bigsqcup_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta} D(13|24; \beta_1 | \beta_2),$$

where $D(13|24; \beta_1, \beta_2)$ is the locus of quasimaps whose source curve is a nodal curve where the first and third markings lie in some components whose degree is β_1 , and the second and fourth markings lie in the other components of degree β_2 .

We give a splitting lemma to prove the WDVV equations (4.4):

Lemma 4.7 (Splitting lemma). *For $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \text{Eff}$ with $\beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta$, $\phi, \psi \in H^*(X_\Sigma)$, and $\xi, \zeta \in H^*([V/T])$,*

$$(4.12) \quad \int_{[D(13|24; \beta_1, \beta_2)]} ev_1^*(\phi) ev_2^*(\psi) \hat{e}v_3^*(\xi) \hat{e}v_4^*(\zeta) = \sum_i \langle \phi, T_i | \xi \rangle_{0,2|1, \beta_1} \langle \psi, T^i | \zeta \rangle_{0,2|1, \beta_2}$$

Our strategy to prove Lemma 4.7 is based on [1]. Set $X := X_\Sigma$. One can write down

$$ev_{2*}(ev_1^*(\phi) \hat{e}v_3^*(\xi) \cap [Q_1]^{\text{vir}}) = \sum_j c_j T^j.$$

Applying the projection formula gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi, T_i | \xi \rangle_{\beta_1} &= ev_{2*}(ev_1^*(\phi) ev_2^*(T_i) \hat{e}v_3^*(\xi) \cap [Q_1]^{\text{vir}}) \cap X \\ &= T_i ev_{2*}(ev_1^*(\phi) \hat{e}v_3^*(\xi) \cap [Q_1]^{\text{vir}}) \cap X = c_i. \end{aligned}$$

Write $\bar{e}v$ for the evaluations on Q_2 . Then, it is easy to see that

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_i \langle \phi, T_i | \xi \rangle_{\beta_1} \langle \psi, T^i | \zeta \rangle_{\beta_2} &= \langle \psi, \sum_i \langle \phi, T_i | \xi \rangle_{\beta_1} T^i | \zeta \rangle_{\beta_2} \\ &= \bar{e}v_1^*(\psi) \bar{e}v_3^*(\zeta) \bar{e}v_2^*(ev_{2*}(ev_1^*(\phi) \hat{e}v_3^*(\xi) \cap [Q_1]^{\text{vir}})) \cap [Q_2]^{\text{vir}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the abbreviation e for ev , consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q_1 \times_X Q_2 & \xrightarrow{p_2} & Q_2 \\ p_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{e}_2 \\ Q_1 & \xrightarrow{e_2} & X \end{array}$$

We prove the following lemma, which is Lemma 6.2.7 in [1] modified to our case.

Lemma 4.8. *For $z_i \in A^*(Q_i)$, the following equality holds:*

$$(4.14) \quad p_{2*}(p_1^* z_1 p_2^* z_2 \cap \Delta^!([Q_1]^{\text{vir}} \times [Q_1]^{\text{vir}})) = (\bar{e}_2^* e_{2*}(z_1 \cap [Q_1]^{\text{vir}})) z_2 \cap [Q_2]^{\text{vir}}$$

Proof. For convenience, write $e := e_2$ and $\bar{e} := \bar{e}_2$. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Q_1 \times_X Q_2 & \xrightarrow{p_2} & Q_2 & \xrightarrow{\bar{e}} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \Gamma_{\bar{e}} & & \downarrow \Delta \\ Q_1 \times Q_2 & \xrightarrow{e \times \text{id}} & X \times Q_2 & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \bar{e}} & X \times X \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{\pi}_1 & & \\ Q_1 & \xrightarrow{e} & X & & \end{array}$$

where $\Gamma_{\bar{e}}$ is the graph of \bar{e} . Denote the projections on the second factor by $\pi_2 : Q_1 \times Q_2 \rightarrow Q_2$ and $\bar{\pi}_2 : X \times Q_2 \rightarrow Q_2$. Then, $\bar{\pi}_2 \circ \Gamma_{\bar{e}} = \text{id}$ and $\bar{\pi}_1 \circ \Gamma_{\bar{e}} = \bar{e}$. Since X is smooth, $\Gamma_{\bar{e}}$ is regular. Thus, one can apply [17, Thm 6.2(c), Thm 6.2(a) and Remark 6.2.1] and the

projection formula to the left-hand side of (4.14). Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_{2*}(p_1^*z_1p_2^*z_2 \cap \Delta^!([Q_1]^{\text{vir}} \times [Q_2]^{\text{vir}})) &= p_{2*}\Delta^!(\pi_1^*z_1\pi_2^*z_2 \cap ([Q_1]^{\text{vir}} \times [Q_2]^{\text{vir}})) \\
 &= p_{2*}\Gamma_{\bar{e}}^!(\pi_1^*z_1\pi_2^*z_2 \cap ([Q_1]^{\text{vir}} \times [Q_2]^{\text{vir}})) \\
 &= \Gamma_{\bar{e}}^!(e \times id)_*(\pi_1^*z_1\pi_2^*z_2 \cap ([Q_1]^{\text{vir}} \times [Q_2]^{\text{vir}})) \\
 &= \Gamma_{\bar{e}}^*(e \times id)_*(\pi_1^*z_1\pi_2^*z_2 \cap ([Q_1]^{\text{vir}} \times [Q_2]^{\text{vir}})) \\
 &= \Gamma_{\bar{e}}^*(e \times id)_*(\pi_1^*(z_1 \cap [Q_1]^{\text{vir}})\pi_2^*(z_2 \cap [Q_2]^{\text{vir}})) \\
 &= \Gamma_{\bar{e}}^*(\bar{\pi}_1^*e_*(z_1 \cap [Q_1]^{\text{vir}})\bar{\pi}_2^*(z_2 \cap [Q_2]^{\text{vir}})) \\
 &= \Gamma_{\bar{e}}^*\bar{\pi}_1^*e_*(z_1 \cap [Q_1]^{\text{vir}})\Gamma_{\bar{e}}^*\bar{\pi}_2^*(z_2 \cap [Q_2]^{\text{vir}}) \\
 &= (\bar{e}^*e_*(z_1 \cap [Q_1]^{\text{vir}}))z_2 \cap [Q_2]^{\text{vir}}
 \end{aligned}$$

□

We prove Lemma 4.7.

proof of Lemma 4.7. Take $z_1 := ev_1^*(\phi)\hat{e}v_3^*(\xi)$ and $z_2 := \bar{e}v_1^*(\psi)\bar{e}v_3^*(\zeta)$ and apply Lemma 4.14. Then, (4.13) becomes

$$(4.15) \quad p_{2*}(p_1^*(ev_1^*(\phi)\hat{e}v_3^*(\xi))p_2^*(\bar{e}v_1^*(\psi)\bar{e}v_3^*(\zeta)) \cap \Delta^!([Q_1]^{\text{vir}} \times [Q_2]^{\text{vir}})).$$

Recall that $D := D(13|24; \beta_1, \beta_2)$, $Q := Q_{0,2|2}(X_\Sigma, \beta)$, $Q_i := Q_{0,2|i}(X_\Sigma, \beta_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $i_{\beta_1|\beta_2} : D \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{\beta_1+\beta_2=\beta} Q_1 \times_{X_\Sigma} Q_2$. Applying Lemma 4.4 to (4.15) gives us

$$(4.16) \quad p_{2*}(p_1^*(ev_1^*(\phi)\hat{e}v_3^*(\xi))p_2^*(\bar{e}v_1^*(\psi)\bar{e}v_3^*(\zeta)) \cap i_{\beta_1|\beta_2}^!gl^![Q]^{\text{vir}}).$$

Pushing forward (4.16) to the Chow ring of a point, we obtain (4.12) in Lemma 4.7. Therefore, we proved Lemma 4.7. □

Therefore, by Lemma 4.7, the left-hand side of (4.4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{\substack{\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \text{Eff} \\ \beta_1 + \beta_2 = \beta}} \int_{[D(13|24; \beta_1, \beta_2)]} ev_1^*(\phi)ev_2^*(\psi)\hat{e}v_3^*(\xi)\hat{e}v_4^*(\zeta) \\
 (4.17) \quad &= \int_{\text{ft}^*(D(13|24))} ev_1^*(\phi)ev_2^*(\psi)\hat{e}v_3^*(\xi)\hat{e}v_4^*(\zeta)
 \end{aligned}$$

4.4. The pullback $\text{ft}^*(D(3 = 4))$. Consider that there is a natural inclusion

$$\text{inc} : \mathfrak{M}_{0,2|1}^\circ \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{0,2|2}^\circ,$$

by sending $(C; x_1, x_2, y_1)$ to $(C; x_1, x_2, y_1, y_1)$, since two light points in $\mathfrak{M}_{0,2|2}^\circ$ can collide. Denote $Q' := Q_{0,2|1}(X_\Sigma, \beta)$. There is a fibered square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Q' & \longrightarrow & Q \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathfrak{M}_{0,2|1}^\circ & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} & \mathfrak{M}_{0,2|2}^\circ
 \end{array}$$

We successively pull back along the inclusion to obtain the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{V} & \xleftarrow{\text{inc}} & \text{inc}^*\mathcal{V} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{C}\mathfrak{Pic}_{0,2|2}^{r,\circ} & \xleftarrow{\text{inc}} & \text{inc}^*\mathcal{C}\mathfrak{Pic}_{0,2|2}^{r,\circ} \\
\pi \downarrow & & \pi' \downarrow \\
\mathfrak{Pic}_{0,2|2}^{r,\circ} & \xleftarrow{\text{inc}} & \text{inc}^*\mathfrak{Pic}_{0,2|2}^{r,\circ} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathfrak{M}_{0,2|2}^\circ & \xleftarrow{\text{inc}} & \mathfrak{M}_{0,2|1}^\circ
\end{array}$$

For the notations, we use inc for all the induced inclusions. One can observe that the embedding $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ pulled back over $\mathfrak{M}_{0,2|1}^\circ$ via the inclusion defines the virtual fundamental classes $[Q']^{\text{vir}}$ using the method in Section 3.2. By the definition of the total space of sections (3.5), we have an induced map

$$Y' := \text{tot}(\pi'_*\mathcal{B}') \rightarrow Y := \text{tot}(\pi_*\mathcal{B}),$$

where $\mathcal{B}' := \text{inc}^*\mathcal{B}$. Also, denote $\mathcal{E}' := \text{inc}^*\mathcal{E}$ and $E' := p'^*\pi'_*(\mathcal{E}')$, where $p' : \text{tot}(\pi'_*\mathcal{B}') \rightarrow \text{inc}^*\mathfrak{Pic}_{0,2|1}^{r,\circ}$. There are induced maps $Q' \rightarrow Q$ and $E' \rightarrow E$.

We relate the virtual fundamental classes $[Q]^{\text{vir}}$ and $[Q']^{\text{vir}}$.

Lemma 4.9. *The following holds*

$$\text{inc}^![Q]^{\text{vir}} = [Q']^{\text{vir}}.$$

Proof. From [17, Thm 6.4], the fibered diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
Q' & \longrightarrow & Q & \longrightarrow & Y \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow 0 \\
Y' & \longrightarrow & Y & \longrightarrow & E \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
\mathfrak{M}_{0,2|1}^\circ & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} & \mathfrak{M}_{0,2|2}^\circ & &
\end{array}$$

implies

$$\text{inc}^![Q]^{\text{vir}} = \text{inc}^!0^![Y] = 0^!\text{inc}^![Y].$$

Then, since the zero sections 0 and $0'$ are regular embeddings, by [17, Thm 6.2(c)], the following fibered squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Q' & \longrightarrow & Y' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
Y' & \xrightarrow{0'} & E' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
Y & \xrightarrow{0} & E
\end{array}$$

gives rise to

$$0^!\text{inc}^![Y] = 0'^!\text{inc}^![Y] = 0'^![Y'] = [Q']^{\text{vir}}.$$

□

Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q & \xrightarrow{ev_1 \times ev_2 \times \hat{ev}_3 \times \hat{ev}_4} & X_\Sigma \times X_\Sigma \times [V/T] \times [V/T] \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow id \\ Q' & \xrightarrow{ev'_1 \times ev'_2 \times \hat{ev}'_3 \times \hat{ev}'_4} & X_\Sigma \times X_\Sigma \times [V/T] \times [V/T] \end{array}$$

Then, applying Lemma 4.9 gives us

$$\begin{aligned} (4.18) \quad & \text{inc}'(ev_1^*(\phi)ev_2^*(\psi)\hat{ev}_3^*(\xi)\hat{ev}_4^*(\zeta) \cap [Q]^{\text{vir}}) \\ &= \text{inc}'(ev_1^*(\phi)ev_2^*(\psi)\hat{ev}_3^*(\xi)\hat{ev}_4^*(\zeta)) \cap \text{inc}'[Q]^{\text{vir}} \\ &= ev_1'^*(\phi)ev_2'^*(\psi)\hat{ev}_3'^*(\xi)\hat{ev}_4'^*(\zeta) \cap [Q']^{\text{vir}} \\ &= ev_1'^*(\phi)ev_2'^*(\psi)\hat{ev}_3'^*(\xi \cup \zeta) \cap [Q']^{\text{vir}} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since the following fibered square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q' & \longrightarrow & Q \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ft} \\ D(3=4) & \longrightarrow & \overline{M}_{0,2|2} \end{array}$$

implies $\text{ft}^*(D(3=4)) \simeq Q'$, by (4.18), the right-hand side of (4.4) becomes

$$\langle \phi, T_j | \xi \cdot \zeta \rangle_{0,2|1,\beta} = \int_{\text{ft}^*(D(3=4))} ev_1^*(\phi)ev_2^*(\psi)\hat{ev}_3^*(\xi)\hat{ev}_4^*(\zeta).$$

Hence, from the equivalence (4.5), we achieve the equality in (4.4).

5. THE QUANTUM $H^*((\mathbb{C}^*)^2)$ -MODULE FOR THE HIRZEBRUCH SURFACE \mathbb{F}_2

In this section, we compute the $H^*((\mathbb{C}^*)^2)$ -module structure for the Hirzebruch surface of type 2, which we denote by \mathbb{F}_2 . It may be represented as a toric variety with fan Σ depicted in Figure 1, where $\rho_1 = (-1, 2)$, $\rho_2 = (1, 0)$, $\rho_3 = (0, 1)$, $\rho_4 = (0, -1)$ are primitive ray generators. Note that $|\Sigma(1)| = 4$ and $\text{rank Pic}(\mathbb{F}_2) = 2$. Thus, there is a $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ -action on \mathbb{C}^4 given by a 4×2 matrix; in this case, the matrix is

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The geometric quotient description from Section 2 for \mathbb{F}_2 is

$$\mathbb{F}_2 \simeq \mathbb{C}^4 // (\mathbb{C}^*)^2.$$




FIGURE 1. The fan for \mathbb{F}_2

Each column of the matrix gives rise to a line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(D_i)$, where D_i is the prime torus invariant divisor on \mathbb{F}_2 defined as the zero locus of the i -th coordinate function on \mathbb{C}^4 . We omit the subscript \mathbb{F}_2 in the notation of such a line bundle when the context is clear.

For the complete description of the $H^*((\mathbb{C}^*)^2)$ -module structure, it is required to compute all 2|1-pointed quasimap invariants for \mathbb{F}_2 . We will apply the Atiyah–Bott localization formula in [19, §4.3].

5.1. Linearization. From the theory of equivariant cohomology proposed in [2, §2], the \mathbb{C}^* -equivariant cohomology of a point or the group cohomology of \mathbb{C}^* , denoted by $H^*(\mathbb{C}^*) := H_{\mathbb{C}^*}^*(\text{pt})$, is the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[\alpha]$ where α represents the Chern class of the hyperplane line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^\infty}(1)$ (this convention is from [19, §27.1]). Consider the complex line bundle over a point $L_k \rightarrow \text{pt}$ with a \mathbb{C}^* -action on the fiber given by $t.v = t^k v$. With the choice of the convention for α , the equivariant top Chern class of L_k , denoted by $e^{\mathbb{C}^*}(L_k)$, is $-k\alpha$. We call this the *weight* of L_k .

Consider a $\mathcal{T} := (\mathbb{C}^*)^4$ -action on $\mathbb{F}_2 \simeq \mathbb{C}^4 // (\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ given by

$$(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) \cdot (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = (t_1 z_1, t_2 z_2, t_3 z_3, t_4 z_4).$$

The corresponding fixed points are

$$p_1 = (1 : 0 : 1 : 0), \quad p_2 = (0 : 1 : 1 : 0), \quad p_3 = (0 : 1 : 0 : 1), \quad p_4 = (1 : 0 : 0 : 1).$$

One can find the weights of the tangent spaces at each $(\mathbb{C}^*)^4$ -fixed point with respect to this action. For example, at the fixed point $p_1 = (1 : 0 : 1 : 0) = D_2 \cap D_4$, we have $(1 : \frac{t_2}{t_1} : 1 : \frac{t_4}{t_3})$. It follows that

$$e^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{T}_{p_1}\mathbb{F}_2) = e^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{O}^{\mathcal{T}}(D_2)|_{p_1} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\mathcal{T}}(D_4)|_{p_1}) = (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \cdot (\alpha_3 - \alpha_4 - 2\alpha_1),$$

where $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$ and $\alpha_3 - \alpha_4 - 2\alpha_1$ are the weights at p_1 along D_4 -direction and D_2 -direction, respectively, [25, §4.3]. In the same fashion, the four line bundles $\mathcal{O}(D_i)$ are canonically linearized in a sense that the weights of $\mathcal{O}^{\mathcal{T}}(D_i)|_{p_k}$ are given by the weights of the corresponding tangent spaces at the fixed point. All the weights of the equivariant line bundles associated with D_i restricted to each fixed point are in table 1.

From the fan Σ of \mathbb{F}_2 , the cohomology ring of \mathbb{F}_2 can be written using the divisors D_2 and D_4 as generators:

$$H^*(\mathbb{F}_2) \simeq \mathbb{Q}[D_2, D_4] / \langle D_2^2, D_4^2 + 2D_2D_4 \rangle,$$

where $D_2D_4 = [\text{pt}]$. The reason why the divisors D_2 and D_4 were chosen is discussed in Section 6.1. The cohomology ring has a graded structure as a \mathbb{C} -vector space:

$$H^*(\mathbb{F}_2) = H^0(\mathbb{F}_2) \oplus H^2(\mathbb{F}_2) \oplus H^4(\mathbb{F}_2) = \mathbb{C} \cdot [\mathbb{F}_2] \oplus (\mathbb{C} \cdot [D_2] \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot [D_4]) \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot [pt].$$

The cohomology of the corresponding stack quotient is given as follows:

$$H^*(\mathbb{C}^4 // (\mathbb{C}^*)^2) \simeq H^*((\mathbb{C}^*)^2) \simeq \mathbb{C}[\sigma_2, \sigma_4],$$

where σ_2 and σ_4 are the classes from the line bundles determined by the second column $(1, 0)^T$ and fourth column $(0, 1)^T$ of the action matrix (5.1) above.

The following Theorem describes an explicit quantum $H^*((\mathbb{C}^*)^2)$ -module structure on $H^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ given from Theorem 4.2.

Theorem 5.1. *The quantum $H^*((\mathbb{C}^*)^2)$ -module structure for \mathbb{F}_2 (as defined in a previous section) is given by the following*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_2 \star 1 &= D_2 - \frac{1}{2}f(q_4)D_4 & \sigma_4 \star 1 &= (1 + f(q_4))D_4 \\ \sigma_2 \star D_2 &= q_2q_4(1 + f(q_4)) - \frac{1}{2}f(q_4)pt & \sigma_4 \star D_2 &= -\frac{1}{2}q_2f(q_4) + (1 + f(q_4))pt \\ \sigma_2 \star D_4 &= -2q_2q_4(1 + f(q_4)) + (1 + f(q_4))pt & \sigma_4 \star D_4 &= q_2(1 + f(q_4)) - 2(1 + f(q_4))pt \\ \sigma_2 \star pt &= q_2q_4(1 + f(q_4))D_4 & \sigma_4 \star pt &= q_2D_2 - \frac{1}{2}q_2f(q_4)D_4, \end{aligned}$$

where $f(z) = \sum_{d \geq 1} \binom{2d}{d} z^d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4z}} - 1$.

We verify Theorem 5.1 through a series of reductions and computations.

5.2. Reduction to $\langle 1, D_i \rangle_{0,2,dD_4}$. By linearity, we only consider the monomials in $\mathbb{C}[\sigma_2, \sigma_4]$ for the insertion coming from the light marking. From the module structure in Theorem 4.2, it suffices to reduce to the case of the generators σ_2 and σ_4 . Thus, it is enough to compute

$$\langle T_i, T_j \mid \sigma_k \rangle_{0,2|1,\beta},$$

where $T_0 := [\mathbb{F}_2]$, $T_1 := [D_2]$, $T_2 := [D_4]$, $T_3 := [pt]$ form a basis for $H^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$.

In general, the forgetful map $Q_{0,m+1|k}(X, \beta) \rightarrow Q_{0,m|k}(X, \beta)$, forgetting a heavy marking, does not define a well-defined morphism in the case of the moduli space of quasimaps. On the other hand, forgetting a light marking $Q_{0,m|k+1}(X, \beta) \rightarrow Q_{0,m|k}(X, \beta)$ defines a universal curve of $Q_{0,m|k}(X, \beta)$ [21, §2.2]. As a consequence, the divisor equation holds for the insertion from the one light marking, i.e.,

$$(5.2) \quad \langle T_i, T_j \mid \sigma_k \rangle_{0,2|1,\beta} = (D_k \cdot \beta) \langle T_i, T_j \rangle_{0,2,\beta}.$$

Therefore, proving Theorem 5.1 reduces to compute 2-pointed quasimap invariants of \mathbb{F}_2 with all possible insertions for all effective curve classes $\beta \in H_2(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}D_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}D_4$.

Write $\beta = aD_2 + bD_4$. Then, the dimension of 2-pointed quasimap moduli spaces of \mathbb{F}_2 is

$$\dim Q_{0,2}(\mathbb{F}_2, \beta) = 1 + 2a.$$

Observe that one insertion from $H^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ can have codimension up to 2. Since there are only 2 insertions, a must be 0 or 1. The only possible pairs of insertions that we need to consider are

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D_i, 1 \rangle_{0,2,\beta} & \text{ when } \beta = dD_4, \\ \langle D_i, pt \rangle_{0,2,\beta} & \text{ when } \beta = D_2 + dD_4, \end{aligned}$$

where $i = 2, 4$ and $d \geq 0$. Since computing $\langle D_i, pt \rangle_{0,2,\beta}$ is somewhat similar, we elaborate computation of $\langle D_i, 1 \rangle_{0,2,dD_4}$ in detail.

For a component C' of a quasimap, there exists a positive number $d(= \beta_{C'})$, which is the *degree* of the component C' , such that

$$L_1|_{C'} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{C'}(d), \quad L_2|_{C'} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{C'}(d), \quad L_3|_{C'} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{C'}, \quad L_4|_{C'} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{C'}(-2d).$$

	$\mathcal{O}^T(D_1)$	$\mathcal{O}^T(D_2)$	$\mathcal{O}^T(D_3)$	$\mathcal{O}^T(D_4)$
$p_1 = (1 : 0 : 1 : 0)$	0	$\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$	0	$\alpha_3 - \alpha_4 - 2\alpha_1$
$p_2 = (0 : 1 : 1 : 0)$	$\alpha_2 - \alpha_1$	0	0	$\alpha_3 - \alpha_4 - 2\alpha_2$
$p_3 = (0 : 1 : 0 : 1)$	$\alpha_2 - \alpha_1$	0	$2\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 + \alpha_4$	0
$p_4 = (1 : 0 : 0 : 1)$	0	$\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$	$2\alpha_1 - \alpha_3 + \alpha_4$	0

TABLE 1. The weights of line bundles at each fixed points

One key observation when $\beta = dD_4$ is that, on a component C' , the negative degree of the fourth line bundle forces the corresponding section of the quasimap to be the zero section along C' . Therefore, every component maps to D_4 in \mathbb{F}_2 , which contains the fixed points, p_1 and p_2 . In this case, it satisfies that $N_{D_4/\mathbb{F}_2} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)$ with $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)) = 0$. This property is called *rigidity* [9]. Observe that the fact that D_3 and D_4 are disjoint divisors implies

$$(5.3) \quad \langle D_3, 1 \rangle_{0,2,dD_4} = 0.$$

Since $D_3 = 2D_2 + D_4$ and $D_1 = D_2$, there are relations

$$(5.4) \quad \langle D_4, 1 \rangle_{0,2,dD_4} = -2\langle D_2, 1 \rangle_{0,2,dD_4}, \quad \langle D_1, 1 \rangle_{0,2,dD_4} = \langle D_2, 1 \rangle_{0,2,dD_4}.$$

Therefore, it is enough to compute

$$\langle D_2, 1 \rangle_{0,2,dD_4}.$$

5.3. Fixed loci for $\langle D_2, 1 \rangle_{0,2,dD_4}$. The $(\mathbb{C}^*)^4$ -action on \mathbb{F}_2 induces an action on $Q_{0,2}(\mathbb{F}_2, \beta)$, so we can apply Atiyah–Bott localization to compute $\langle D_2, 1 \rangle_{0,2,\beta}$.

Depending on existence of a base point, there are two types of components of the source curve for a quasimap in F . For convenience, we give the following definitions to distinguish them.



Definition 5.2. Let F be a fixed locus in $Q_{0,2}(\mathbb{F}_2, \beta)$ under the torus action, and $(C; \underline{p}; \underline{q}, \underline{L}, \underline{\phi})$ a quasimap in F . We say that a component $C' \subseteq C$ is of **base-type** if the quasimap has a base point in C' . Otherwise, we say C' is of **map-type**. When all the components of C are of map-type, we say F is a fixed locus of **map-type**. Otherwise, F is called a fixed locus of **base-type**.

One can express a fixed locus in $Q_{0,2}(\mathbb{F}_2, \beta)$ as a decorated chain graph. The fact that the moduli spaces of quasimaps we consider have two marked points forces quasimaps to have a chain of \mathbb{P}^1 's for their source curve. Thus, a fixed locus can correspond to a chain graph:

- An edge represents one map-type component of a quasimap,
- A vertex between two edges represents either a node or a heavy marking.

We decorate our chain graph to encode the rest of the information:

- A dashed half-edge at a vertex corresponds to one base-type component.
- A blue labelling at a vertex stands for the fixed point in the image of a quasimap.
- A red labelling at one end stands for a heavy marking.


FIGURE 2. A toric diagram of \mathbb{F}_2

FIGURE 3. The decorated chain graph of a general fixed locus for $\beta = dD_4$

- e_i on an edge is the degree of the map-type component represented by the edge.
- b_j on a dashed half-edge is the degree of the base-type component represented by the half-edge.

We provide informative notes on a decorated chain graph for a fixed locus:

- When a dashed half-edge is attached to a vertex, the number of nodes represented by the vertex is equal to the number of edges attached to the vertex.
- Without loss of generality, we fix the order of the red labellings for heavy markings.
- We put only one blue labelling, since these will alternate along consecutive vertices by rigidity. In fact, it is redundant, but it reminds us where the marking goes.
- We omit the red and blue color when it is clear.

Figure 3 shows the graph of a general fixed locus, including all possible types of vertices:

- (1) a vertex at one end without any dashed half-edge,
- (2) an interior vertex (i.e., not at one end) without any dashed half-edge,
- (3) an interior vertex with a dashed half-edge,
- (4) a vertex at one end with a dashed half-edge.

Depending on where a vertex goes, we classify vertices in the following way: for $k \in \{1, 2\}$, define

I_k^m : the set of all interior vertices: 1) mapping to p_k , 2) not carrying any dashed half-edges,

I_k^b : the set of all interior vertices: 1) mapping to p_k , 2) carrying a dashed half-edge,

I_k^{end} : the set of all vertices at one end: 1) mapping to p_k , 2) carrying a dashed half-edge.

To collect vertices going to either p_1 or p_2 , denote

$$I^m := I_1^m \sqcup I_2^m, \quad I^b := I_1^b \sqcup I_2^b, \quad I^{end} := I_1^{end} \sqcup I_2^{end}, \quad I^B := I^b \sqcup I^{end}.$$

Last, introduce the following notations to count vertices in each sets

$$\begin{aligned} N_k^m &:= |I_k^m|, & N_k^b &:= |I_k^b|, & N_k^{end} &:= |I_k^{end}|, \\ N^m &:= |I^m|, & N^b &:= |I^b|, & N^{end} &:= |I^{end}|. \end{aligned}$$

We assign to each vertex v the data $(i(v), b(v), e(v), e'(v), n(v), n'(v))$, where

- $i(v)$: the corresponding fixed point p_i to which the vertex v goes,
- $b(v)$: the degree of dashed half-edge attached to v ; 0 if nothing is attached,
- $e(v)$: the degree of an edge attached to v ; this is always positive,
- $e'(v)$: the degree of another line, if it exists. Otherwise, it is 0.
- $n(v)$: one node that is represented by v
- $n'(v)$: another node, if it exists.

We omit v and write i, b, e, e', n, n' when it is clear in the context. See Figure 4.

5.4. Virtual normal bundle. Applying localization, we obtain a formula for the invariant $\langle D_2, 1 \rangle_{0,2,dD_4}$ in terms of equivariant classes

$$(5.5) \quad \langle D_2, 1 \rangle_{0,2,dD_4} = \sum_{F: \text{fixed loci}} \int_F \frac{ev_1^* c_1^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{O}^{\mathcal{T}}(D_2))|_F}{e^{\mathcal{T}}(N_F^{\text{vir}})},$$

where N_F^{vir} is the virtual normal bundle to F in the moduli space. For a detailed explanation, we refer readers to [15, §9.2], [18, §4], or [19, §27.4].

For a fixed locus F in $Q_{0,2}(\mathbb{F}_2, \beta)$, we compute $e^{\mathcal{T}}(N_F^{\text{vir}})$. For a vector bundle E with a torus action, denote its nonzero weight part by E^{mov} . From the tangent-obstruction sequence as in [15, ch7 §1.4, ch9 §2.1] or [19, §24.4], the formula for the inverse of the equivariant Euler class of the virtual normal bundle to F is given by

$$(5.6) \quad \frac{1}{e^{\mathcal{T}}(N_F^{\text{vir}})} = \frac{e^{\mathcal{T}}(\text{Aut}(C, x_1, x_2)^{\text{mov}})}{e^{\mathcal{T}}(\text{Def}(C, x_1, x_2)^{\text{mov}}) e^{\mathcal{T}}(\text{Def}(\underline{s})^{\text{mov}})},$$

where $(C; \underline{x}, \underline{L}, \underline{s})$ is a quasimap in F .

For convenience, set notations for weights

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 &:= e^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{O}(D_2)|_{p_1}) = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2, & V_1 &:= e^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{O}(D_4)|_{p_1}) = \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 - 2\alpha_1, \\ W_2 &:= e^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{O}(D_2)|_{p_2}) = \alpha_2 - \alpha_1, & V_2 &:= e^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{O}(D_4)|_{p_2}) = \alpha_3 - \alpha_4 - 2\alpha_2. \end{aligned}$$

Also, we write $W := W_1, V := V_1$. Then, it is easy to see that

$$(5.7) \quad W_1 = W, \quad W_2 = -W, \quad V_1 = V, \quad V_2 = V + 2W.$$

We explain each factor in (5.6). For a more detailed explanation, we refer [19, §27.4] to the reader.

1) Automorphisms of $(C; x_1, x_2)$: we do not have any contributions from automorphisms of a pointed source curve. The source curve of a quasimap in a fixed locus looks like a chain of \mathbb{P}^1 's, each component with exactly two special points: a node or a heavy marking (recall that a red labelling in a decorated graph represents a heavy marking).

2) Deformation of $(C; x_1, x_2)$: a vertex $v \in I^m$ has valence 2 (dashed half-edges are not counted). Since the two map-type components attached to this vertex are not contracting, the weight from deformation of the source curve comes from the tensor product of the




FIGURE 4. A picture of a local vertex

two tangent lines at the node in each component. Thus, the contribution to $e^{\mathcal{T}}(N_F^{\text{vir}})$ is

$$(5.8) \quad (-1)^{N_2^m} W_1^{N^m} \prod_{v \in I^m} \left(\frac{1}{e} + \frac{1}{e'} \right).$$

Consider that a vertex $v \in I^B$ can have one or two edges. Define

$$\epsilon(v) := \begin{cases} 0, & v \in I^{\text{end}} \\ 1, & v \in I^b. \end{cases}$$

For a vertex $v \in I^{\text{end}}$, there is one contracting component (dashed half-edge) and one non-contracting component (edge). On the other hand, a vertex $v \in I^b$ has one contracting component with two nodes to which two non-contracting components are attached. For instance, Figure 4 shows a local picture around a vertex, where the right figure is a picture of the graph in geometric side. In this case, the weight contribution from deformation of curves is obtained as follow. At the nodes $n(n')$, resp) along $C_e(C_{e'}$, resp), we obtain the weight $\frac{W_i}{e}(\frac{W_i}{e'}$, resp). On the other hand, C_b may be view as an element of the Losev-Manin space $\overline{M}_{2|b}$. Deformations of a contracting component gives rise to a ψ -class at the node, see [19, §25.2]. Thus, along C_b , we have a ψ -classes $\psi_n := \psi_1(\overline{M}_{2|b})$ and $\psi_{n'} := \psi_2(\overline{M}_{2|b})$. The contribution to $e^{\mathcal{T}}(N_F^{\text{vir}})$ is

$$(5.9) \quad \prod_{v \in I^B} \left(\frac{W_i}{e} - \psi_n \right) \left(\frac{W_i}{e'} - \psi_{n'} \right)^{\epsilon(v)}.$$

3) Deformation of sections \underline{s} : There is an Euler sequence

$$(5.10) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \oplus_{\rho} \mathcal{L}_{\rho} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$$

over a universal curve $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow Q := Q_{0,2}(\mathbb{F}_2, \beta)$. In [12, §5.3], the relative obstruction theory over $\mathfrak{M}_{0,2}^{\circ}$ is given by

$$E_{Q/\mathfrak{M}_{0,2}^{\circ}}^{\bullet} := (\mathbf{R}^{\bullet} \pi_* \mathcal{F})^{\vee}.$$

We will use this to figure out the weight of $\text{Def}(\underline{s})$.

For the source curve C of a given quasimap in a general fixed locus, one can break C into its components using normalization, and the normalization exact sequence is the following:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \bigoplus_{C_e: \text{map-type}} \mathcal{O}_{C_e} \oplus \bigoplus_{C_b: \text{base-type}} \mathcal{O}_{C_b} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n: \text{node}} \mathcal{O}_n \rightarrow 0$$

Tensoring \mathcal{F} and taking cohomology gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{F}) &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{C_e: \text{map-type}} H^0(C_e, \mathcal{F}) \oplus \bigoplus_{C_b: \text{base-type}} H^0(C_b, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n: \text{node}} T_{p_n} \mathbb{F}_2 \\ &\rightarrow H^1(C, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{C_e: \text{map-type}} H^1(C_e, \mathcal{F}) \oplus \bigoplus_{C_b: \text{base-type}} H^1(C_b, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where p_n is the fixed point in \mathbb{F}_2 where the node n goes to.

Over map-type components C_e , since sections define a map, it amounts to compute the weights of $H^i(C_e, (\underline{s}|_{C_e})^* T\mathbb{F}_2)$. Thus, the contribution to $1/e^{\mathcal{T}}(N_F^{\text{vir}})$ for one map-type component of degree e is

$$(5.11) \quad \frac{e^{2e} \prod_{j=0}^{2e-2} (V + \frac{1+j}{e} W)}{e(e!)^2 W^{2e} (-1)^e},$$

which agrees with the one from the moduli space of stable maps.

For the nodes, since $T_{p_n} \mathbb{F}_2 \simeq (\mathcal{O}(D_i) \oplus \mathcal{O}(D_4))|_{p_n}$ where $i = 1, 2$ depending on p_n , one can easily take the equivariant Euler class for their weights. The contribution to $1/e^{\mathcal{T}}(N_F^{\text{vir}})$ is

$$(5.12) \quad \left(\prod_{v \in I^m} W_i V_i \right) \left(\prod_{v \in I^{\text{end}}} W_i V_i \right) \left(\prod_{v \in I^b} W_i^2 V_i^2 \right).$$

For a vertex $v \in I^B$, there is a corresponding base-type component C_b may be regarded as an element in the Losev-Manin space $\overline{M}_{2|b}$. In this case, since C_b has base points, the component is contracting to a torus fixed point

$$p_1 = D_2 \cap D_4 \text{ or } p_2 = D_1 \cap D_4.$$

Thus, it is enough to consider the following from (5.10)

$$\mathbf{R}^\bullet \pi_*(\mathcal{L}_2 \oplus \mathcal{L}_4)|_F \text{ or } \mathbf{R}^\bullet \pi_*(\mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \mathcal{L}_4)|_F,$$

respectively. Because of the base points on C_b , we consider the following line bundles

$$\mathcal{O}_{C_b}(q_1 + \cdots + q_b) \text{ and } \mathcal{O}_{C_b}(-2q_1 - \cdots - 2q_b),$$

where q_i are the base points on C_b . One can apply the divisor sequence iteratively to these:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_b}^{\mathcal{T}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{b-1} q_k\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_b}^{\mathcal{T}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^b q_k\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_b}^{\mathcal{T}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^b q_k\right)|_{q_b} \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_b}^{\mathcal{T}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{b-2} q_k\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_b}^{\mathcal{T}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{b-1} q_k\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_b}^{\mathcal{T}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{b-1} q_k\right)|_{q_{b-1}} \rightarrow 0 \\ &\quad \vdots \\ 0 &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_b}^{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_b}(q_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_b}^{\mathcal{T}}(q_1)|_{q_1} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_b}^{\mathcal{T}}(-2 \sum_{k=1}^b q_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_b}^{\mathcal{T}}(-2 \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} q_k - q_b) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_b}^{\mathcal{T}}(-2 \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} q_k - q_b)|_{q_b} \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_b}^{\mathcal{T}}(-2 \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} q_k - q_b) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_b}^{\mathcal{T}}(-2 \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} q_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_b}^{\mathcal{T}}(-2 \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} q_k)|_{q_b} \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_b}^{\mathcal{T}}(-2 \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} q_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_b}^{\mathcal{T}}(-2 \sum_{k=1}^{b-2} q_k - q_{b-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_b}^{\mathcal{T}}(-2 \sum_{k=1}^{b-1} q_k - q_{b-1})|_{q_{b-1}} \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_b}^{\mathcal{T}}(-2 \sum_{k=1}^{b-2} q_k - q_{b-1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_b}^{\mathcal{T}}(-2 \sum_{k=1}^{b-2} q_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_b}^{\mathcal{T}}(-2 \sum_{k=1}^{b-2} q_k)|_{q_{b-1}} \rightarrow 0 \\ &\quad \vdots \\ 0 &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_b}^{\mathcal{T}}(-2q_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_b}^{\mathcal{T}}(-q_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_b}^{\mathcal{T}}(-q_1)|_{q_1} \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_b}^{\mathcal{T}}(-q_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_b}^{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_b}^{\mathcal{T}}|_{q_1} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the long exact sequence and equivariant Euler class, one can obtain the contribution deformation of sections to $1/e^{\mathcal{T}}(N_F^{\text{vir}})$: for $v \in I^B$,

$$(5.13) \quad e^{\mathcal{T}}(H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C^{\mathcal{T}}(q_1 + \cdots + q_b)))^{-1} \cdot e^{\mathcal{T}}(H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C^{\mathcal{T}}(-2q_1 - \cdots - 2q_b))) \\ = \frac{1}{b!} \frac{V_i}{W_i(W_i - \hat{\psi}_1)} \prod_{j=2}^b \frac{(V_i - 2\Delta_j)(V_i - 2\Delta_j + \hat{\psi}_j)}{W_i + \Delta_j},$$

where D_{ij} is the divisor on $\overline{M}_{2|b}$ parameterizing curves with q_i and q_j colliding, $\Delta_i := D_{1i} + D_{2i} + \cdots + D_{i-1,i}$, and $\hat{\psi}_j = -e^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{O}(q_j)|_{q_j})$ is the ψ -class in $\overline{M}_{0,2|b}$ at the light point q_j . The factor $1/b!$ comes from permuting the base points. For more detailed explanation, we refer readers to [30].

Combining (5.8), (5.9), (5.12), (5.11), (5.13) together, one can write a formula of the inverse of the Euler class of the virtual normal bundle for a fixed locus F .

Proposition 5.3. For a fixed locus F of $Q_{0,2}(\mathbb{F}_2, dD_4)$,

$$(5.14) \quad \frac{1}{e^{\mathcal{T}}(N_F^{\text{vir}})} = \text{Cont}(VC) \text{Cont}_m(NS) \prod_{e \in \text{Edges}} \text{Cont}_E(e) \prod_{v \in I^B} \text{Cont}_B(v),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cont}(VC) &:= (-1)^{N_2^m + N_2^{\text{end}} + 2N_2^b} V_1^{N_1^m + N_1^{\text{end}} + 2N_1^b} V_2^{N_2^m + N_2^{\text{end}} + 2N_2^b} W_1^{N_1^m + N_1^{\text{end}} + 2N_1^b} \\ \text{Cont}_m(NS) &:= \left((-1)^{N_2^m} W_1^{N_1^m} \prod_{v \in I^m} \left(\frac{1}{e_v} + \frac{1}{e'_v} \right) \right)^{-1} \\ \text{Cont}_E(e) &:= \frac{1}{e} \frac{e^{2e} \prod_{j=0}^{2e-2} (V_1 + \frac{1+j}{e} W_1)}{(e!)^2 W_1^{2e} (-1)^e} \\ \text{Cont}_B(v) &:= \int_{\overline{M}_{0,2|b}} \frac{1}{b!} \frac{\frac{V_i}{W_i^2} \prod_{j=2}^b \frac{(V_i - 2\Delta_j)^2}{W_i + \Delta_j}}{\left(\frac{W_i}{e} - \psi_n \right) \left(\frac{W_i}{e'} - \psi_{n'} \right)^{\epsilon(v)}}. \end{aligned}$$

$\text{Cont}(VC)$ is the contribution from vertex counting in the chain graph of F , $\text{Cont}_m(NS)$ the contribution from node smoothing at a map-type vertex, $\text{Cont}_E(e)$ the contribution of an edge, and $\text{Cont}_B(v)$ the contribution of a base-type vertex. Also, note that we omitted all ψ -classes $\hat{\psi}_j$ from (5.19).

5.5. Simplifying the formula. We simplify the formula (5.14) to obtain a rational number through a series of observations. One can observe that the denominator in (5.14) would be of the form W^N . This allows us to consider some fixed loci that contribute to the final answer. As a result, we do not need to figure out the complete expansion of $\text{Cont}_B(v)$ which requires a somewhat complicated combinatorics problem.

Lemma 5.4. *The denominator in (5.14) is of the form W^N for some N .*

Proof. It is clear by applying geometric series to the term $\left(\frac{W_i}{e} - \psi_n \right) \left(\frac{W_i}{e'} - \psi_{n'} \right)$. Recall that $W_i = \pm W$. \square

Since a term in the numerator containing V does not contribute to the final answer, we take $V = 0$ in (5.15). Then, we obtain

$$\frac{(-1)^{b-1} 2^{2b-2} 2W}{W^2} \prod_{j=2}^b \frac{(W - \Delta_j)^2}{W - \Delta_j} = \frac{(-1)^{b-1} 2^{2b-1}}{W} \prod_{j=2}^b (W - \Delta_j).$$

Thus, assuming $\epsilon(v) = 1$, i.e., $v \in I^b$,

$$(5.16) \quad \text{Cont}_B(v)|_{V=0} = \frac{(-1)^{b-1} e e' 2^{2b-1} W^{b-4}}{b!} \int_{\overline{M}_{0,2|b}} \prod_{j=2}^b \left(1 - \frac{\Delta_j}{W}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{b-1} \frac{1}{(-W)^k} \sum_{m=0}^k (e\psi_n)^{k-m} (e'\psi_{n'})^m$$

5.6. Calculus on $\overline{M}_{0,2|b}$. To simplify (5.16), we give some facts about calculus on $\overline{M}_{0,2|b}$.

For the intersection of ψ -classes at heavy points, it is known from [27, Example 4.5] that

$$(5.17) \quad \int_{\overline{M}_{0,2|b}} \psi_n^{b-1-m} \psi_{n'}^m = \binom{b-1}{m}.$$

Also, observe that the locus corresponding to $D_{\{i_1, \dots, i_l\}}$ is naturally isomorphic to as the Losev-Manin space $\overline{M}_{0,2|b-l+1}$. It follows that

$$(5.18) \quad \int_{\overline{M}_{0,2|b}} D_{\{i_1, \dots, i_{j+1}\}} \psi_n^{b-1-j-m} \psi_{n'}^m = \int_{\overline{M}_{0,2|b-j}} \psi_n^{b-1-j-m} \psi_{n'}^m$$

On the other hand, the intersection of ψ -classes at both heavy and light points is the following from [27, §4.6]

$$(5.19) \quad \int_{\overline{M}_{0,2|b}} \prod_{i=1}^2 \psi_i^{n_i} \prod_{j=1}^b \hat{\psi}_j^{m_j} = 0$$

if $m_j \neq 0$ for some j .

There are classes coming from collisions of light markings.

Definition 5.7. Let b be a positive integer and $1 \leq l \leq b$. For a subset $I = \{i_j\}_{j=1}^l \subseteq [b]$ of l distinct elements, define

$$D_{\{i_1, \dots, i_l\}}$$

to be the cycle class in $H^*(\overline{M}_{0,2|b})$ of the closure of the locus where all i_j th light markings are colliding. Similarly, for $I' \subseteq [b]$ with $I \cap I' = \emptyset$, denote

$$D_I D_{I'} \in H^*(\overline{M}_{0,2|b})$$

by the cycle class for the closure of the locus where light markings in I are colliding with the ones in I' , but not any markings in I' , and vice versa.

When $l = 1$, $D_{\{i_1\}} = [\overline{M}_{0,2|b}] =: 1$. The codimension of $D_{\{i_1, \dots, i_l\}}$ is $l - 1$. We provide a simple lemma for the product of two such cycle classes in $H^*(\overline{M}_{0,2|b})$.

Lemma 5.8. For subsets $I, I' \subseteq [b]$,

$$D_I \cdot D_{I'} = \begin{cases} D_I D_{I'}, & \text{if } |I \cap I'| = 0 \\ D_{I \cup I'}, & \text{if } |I \cap I'| = 1 \\ D_{I \cup I'} (-\hat{\psi}_{I \cap I'})^{|I \cap I'| - 1}, & \text{if } |I \cap I'| > 1, \end{cases}$$

where $\hat{\psi}_J := \hat{\psi}_j|_{D_J}$ for every $j \in J$ (note that $\hat{\psi}_j|_{D_J} = \hat{\psi}_{j'}|_{D_J}$ for every $j, j' \in J$).

Proof. When $|I \cap I'| = 1$, $D_I \cdot D_{I'}$ is the locus where all light marking in $I \cap I'$ are colliding without any repetition. Thus, $D_I \cdot D_{I'} = D_{I \cup I'}$.

Write $D_{12} := D_{\{1,2\}}$ and $-\hat{\psi}_{12} := -\hat{\psi}_{\{1,2\}}$. We claim

$$(5.20) \quad D_{12}^2 = (-\hat{\psi}_{12})D_{12}.$$

Recall the fact that $D_{12} \simeq \overline{M}_{0,2|1} \xrightarrow{i} \overline{M}_{0,2|2}$. Consider the exact sequence

$$T_{\overline{M}_{0,2|1}} \hookrightarrow i^*T_{\overline{M}_{0,2|2}} \rightarrow N_i.$$

Then, since $\overline{M}_{0,2|1} \simeq \text{pt}$,

$$D_{12}^2 = D_{12} \cdot e(N_i) = -D_{12} \cdot e(T_{D_{12}}^*) = -D_{12} \cdot \hat{\psi}_{12}.$$

Observe that for $I = \{1, 2, \dots, b\}$, using (5.20) gives

(5.21)

$$D_I^2 = (D_{12} \cdot D_{23} \cdots D_{b-1,b})^2 = (-\hat{\psi}_{12 \dots b})^{b-1} (D_{12} \cdot D_{23} \cdots D_{b-1,b}) = (-\hat{\psi}_I)^{b-1} D_I.$$

Thus, in general, for subsets $I, I' \subseteq [b]$ with $|I \cap I'| > 1$ and $i \in I \cap I'$, applying (5.21) implies

$$\begin{aligned} D_I \cdot D_{I'} &= D_{(I \setminus (I \cap I')) \cup \{i\}} \cdot D_{(I' \setminus (I \cap I')) \cup \{i\}} \cdot D_{I \cap I'}^2 \\ &= D_{(I \setminus (I \cap I')) \cup \{i\}} \cdot D_{(I' \setminus (I \cap I')) \cup \{i\}} \cdot D_{I \cap I'} (-\hat{\psi}_{I \cap I'})^{|I \cap I'| - 1} \\ &= D_{I \cup I'} (-\hat{\psi}_{I \cap I'})^{|I \cap I'| - 1} \end{aligned}$$

When $|I \cap I'| = 0$, we claim that D_I and $D_{I'}$ are not equivalent. Without loss of generality, assume that $|I| = |I'|$. Otherwise, codimensions of D_I and $D_{I'}$ are different. Let $b := |I| + |I'|$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $I = \{1, \dots, k\}$, $I' = \{k+1, \dots, b\}$. Suppose that D_I and $D_{I'}$ are equivalent. Then, observe

$$\begin{aligned} D_I \cdot D_{I'} \cdot D_{k,k+1} &= D_I^2 \cdot D_{k,k+1} \\ D_{12 \dots b} &= (-\hat{\psi}_I)^{k-1} D_{12 \dots k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the integration over $\overline{M}_{0,2|b}$ leads us to a contradiction with (5.19)

$$\int_{\overline{M}_{0,2|b}} D_{12 \dots b} = \int_{\overline{M}_{0,2|1}} 1 = 1 \neq 0 = \int_{\overline{M}_{0,2|b}} (-\hat{\psi}_I)^{k-1} D_{12 \dots k+1} = \int_{\overline{M}_{0,2|b-k}} (-\hat{\psi}_I)^{k-1}.$$

□

5.7. Unordered set partitions. We also introduce basic combinatorics to reduce (5.16). Let b be a positive integer. Denote by $P(b)$ the set of all unordered set partitions of $[b]$. We always write an element $P \in P(b)$ as $P = (P_1, \dots, P_l)$ such that $p_1 := |P_1| \geq p_2 := |P_2| \geq \dots \geq p_l := |P_l|$. Also, for $\lambda \vdash b$, denote $P(b, \lambda)$ by the subset of $P(b)$ satisfying $p_i = \lambda_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, l = l(\lambda)$, where $l(\lambda)$ is the length of λ . There is a decomposition of $P(b)$

$$P(b) = \bigsqcup_{\lambda \vdash b} P(b, \lambda).$$

For a partition $\lambda \vdash b$, the size $|P(b, \lambda)|$ is known

$$|P(b, \lambda)| = \frac{b!}{\prod_{N=1}^{\infty} (N!)^{k_N} k_N!},$$

where $(k_N)_{N=1}^\infty$ is the multiplicity sequence for λ by letting k_N be the number of N 's in λ .

We introduce notations for the class D_I related to the above unordered set partitions. If $P = (P_1, \dots, P_l) \in P(b)$, write

$$D_P := D_{P_1} D_{P_2} \cdots D_{P_l}.$$

The codimension of D_P is $b - l$. For $\lambda \vdash b$,

$$D_\lambda := \sum_{P \in P(b, \lambda)} D_P.$$

The following is a lemma for simplifying (5.16).

Lemma 5.9. *The following identities hold in $H^*(\overline{M}_{0,2|b})$:*

$$\prod_{j=2}^b (1 + \Delta_j) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash b} \left(\prod_{q=1}^{l(\lambda)} (\lambda_q - 1)! \right) D_\lambda = \sum_{l=1}^b \sum_{\lambda \vdash b: l(\lambda)=l} \left(\prod_{q=1}^{l(\lambda)} (\lambda_q - 1)! \right) D_\lambda.$$

Proof. We formally expand the left-hand side, apply Lemma 5.8, and then we count the number of D_I for a subset $I \subseteq [b]$.

For $\lambda \vdash b$ and $P \in P(b, \lambda)$, there is a way to produce D_P by choosing 1 or D_{ij} in each factor $(1 + \Delta_j)$ and multiplying. Thus, one can write

$$\prod_{j=2}^b (1 + \Delta_j) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash b} \sum_{P \in P(b, \lambda)} c_P D_P.$$

Since P_k are disjoint, the number of ways to form each D_{P_k} is independent of P_k 's, say c_{P_k} . It is possible to write

$$c_P D_P = \prod_{k=1}^{l(\lambda)} c_{P_k} D_{P_k}.$$

We count c_{P_k} . Without loss of generality, we may write $P_k = \{1, 2, \dots, \lambda_k\}$. First, we choose $D_{i\lambda_k}$ in the factor $1 + \Delta_{\lambda_k}$, which is $|P_k \setminus \{\lambda_k\}|$ amount of choices. Next, we have $|P_k \setminus \{\lambda_k, \lambda_k - 1\}|$ amount of choices from $1 + \Delta_{\lambda_k - 1}$. This way amounts to

$$c_{P_k} = (\lambda_k - 1)!.$$

Therefore, it proves the first equality.

The second equality follows from expanding the first expansion with respect to codimensions. \square

Applying the second identity in Lemma 5.9 to the factor $\prod_{j=2}^b (1 - \frac{\Delta_j}{W})$, one can write (5.16) as follows:

$$(5.22) \quad \frac{(-1)^{b-1} e e' 2^{2b-1} W^{b-4}}{b!} \sum_{l=1}^b \sum_{\lambda \vdash b: l(\lambda)=l} \sum_{k=0}^{b-1} \sum_{m=0}^k \left(\prod_{q=1}^{l(\lambda)} (\lambda_q - 1)! \right) \frac{(-1)^{b-l+k} e^{k-m} e'^m}{W^{b-l+k}} \int_{\overline{M}_{0,2|b}} D_\lambda \psi_n^{k-m} \psi_{n'}^m$$

To obtain nonzero values for the integration, $\dim \overline{M}_{0,2|b} = b - 1$ must be the same as

$$\text{codim} (D_\lambda \psi_n^{k-m} \psi_{n'}^m) = (b - l) + (k - m) + m = b - l + k.$$

Set $k := l - 1$. Then, we use (5.17) and (5.18) to reduce (5.22), and obtain

$$(5.23) \quad \text{Cont}_B(v) = \frac{ee'2^{2b-1}}{b!W^3} \cdot \sum_{l=1}^b (e + e')^{l-1} \sum_{\lambda \vdash b: l(\lambda)=l} \left(\prod_{q=1}^{l(\lambda)} (\lambda_q - 1)! \right) |P(b, \lambda)|$$

5.8. Symmetric functions theory. To reduce (5.23), we need basic symmetric function theory. We follow notations from [34]. Denote $p(b, \lambda)$ to be the subset of permutations in S_b whose cycle type is λ . Also, let

$$z_\lambda := \prod_{N=1}^{\infty} (N)^{k_N} k_N!,$$

where $(k_N)_{N=1}^{\infty}$ is the multiplicity sequence for λ as before. Then, one can easily see

$$(5.24) \quad \left(\prod_{q=1}^{l(\lambda)} (\lambda_q - 1)! \right) |P(b, \lambda)| = |p(b, \lambda)| = b! z_\lambda^{-1}.$$

For positive numbers b and e , introduce the multiset coefficient

$$\binom{(b)}{(e)} := \binom{b + e - 1}{e},$$

which counts the number of monomials of degree e in b variables. One can have the following expression for a multiset coefficient.

Lemma 5.10. *For positive numbers b and e , the multiset coefficient $\binom{(b)}{(e)}$ can be written as follows:*

$$(5.25) \quad \binom{(b)}{(e)} = \sum_{l=1}^b \frac{e^{l-1}}{(b-1)!} \sum_{\lambda \vdash b: l(\lambda)=l} |p(b, \lambda)|$$

Before starting a proof, recall that homogeneous and power sum symmetric functions, denoted by h_b and p_λ , are the following:

$$h_b := \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_b \leq b} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_b}$$

$$p_k := \sum_{i=1}^b x_i^k, \quad p_\lambda := p_{\lambda_1} \cdots p_{\lambda_l}.$$

Proof. Proposition 7.7.6 in [34] gives us

$$h_b = \sum_{\lambda \vdash b} z_\lambda^{-1} p_\lambda.$$

Evaluating $(1, \dots, 1)$ of length e to both h_b and p_λ above and using the second equality in (5.24) give us

$$h_b(1, \dots, 1) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash b} z_\lambda^{-1} p_\lambda(1, \dots, 1) = \sum_{l=1}^b \sum_{\lambda \vdash b: l(\lambda)=l} \frac{|p(b, \lambda)|}{b!} e^l$$

$$\binom{(e)}{(b)} = \frac{e}{b} \sum_{l=1}^b \frac{e^{l-1}}{(b-1)!} \sum_{\lambda \vdash b: l(\lambda)=l} |p(b, \lambda)|$$

$$\binom{(b)}{(e)} = \sum_{l=1}^b \frac{e^{l-1}}{(b-1)!} \sum_{\lambda \vdash b: l(\lambda)=l} |p(b, \lambda)|.$$

□

We can obtain a concise simplification for (5.23).

Corollary 5.11. *For a necessary fixed locus F , assuming $\epsilon(v) = 1$, and a vertex $v \in I^B$,*

$$\text{Cont}_B(v)|_{V=0} = \frac{ee'2^{2b-1}}{bW^3} \binom{b}{e+e'}.$$

Proof. Use the first equality in (5.24) to (5.23), then apply Lemma 5.10. □

5.9. Computation of the invariants $\langle D_2, 1 \rangle_{0,2,dD_4}$. We complete our computation of the invariants $\langle D_2, 1 \rangle_{0,2,dD_4}$. The following is a contribution to a term in (5.5) for each necessary fixed locus.

Proposition 5.12. Let F be a necessary fixed locus and $b + e + e' = d$. Then, the contribution of the term corresponding to F in (5.5) to the invariant $\langle D_2, 1 \rangle_{0,2,dD_4}$ is the following:

$$(5.26) \quad \frac{ev_1^* c_1^T(\mathcal{O}^\mathcal{T}(D_2))|_F}{e^{\mathcal{T}}(N_F^{\text{vir}})} \Big|_{V=0} = \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^d}{2d} \binom{2d}{d}, & F = F_d; \\ \frac{(-1)^d}{2d} \binom{2e}{e} \binom{2e'}{e'}, & F = F_{e,e'}; \\ \frac{(-1)^{d-b} 2^{2b}}{2b} \binom{d-1}{b-1} \binom{2e}{e}, & F = F_e^b; \\ \frac{(-1)^{d-b} 2^{2b}}{2b} \binom{d-1}{b-1} \binom{2e}{e} \binom{2e'}{e'}, & F = F_{e,e'}^b. \end{cases}$$

Proof. As in the proof of Corollary 5.5, the numerator is $ev_1^* c_1^T(\mathcal{O}^\mathcal{T}(D_2))|_F = W$. Once we derive the formulas in (5.26) when $F = F_{e,e'}$, $F_{e,e'}^b$, the rest cases can be done in a similar fashion. Assume $F = F_{e,e'}$. Using Proposition 5.3 with Corollary 5.11 to F , one can derive the following

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{W}{e^{\mathcal{T}}(N_F^{\text{vir}})} \Big|_{V=0} &= W \frac{e^{2e} \prod_{j=0}^{2e-2} \binom{1+j}{e} W}{e(e!)^2 W^{2e} (-1)^e} \cdot \frac{e'^{2e'} \prod_{j=0}^{2e'-2} \binom{1+j}{e'} W}{e'(e')^2 W^{2e'} (-1)^{e'}} \cdot \frac{(-1)(2W)W}{(-1)W \left(\frac{1}{e} + \frac{1}{e'}\right)} \\ &= W \cdot \frac{(-1)^e}{2eW} \binom{2e}{e} \frac{(-1)^{e'}}{2e'W} \binom{2e'}{e'} \cdot \frac{2W^2 ee'}{W(e+e')} = \frac{(-1)^d}{2d} \binom{2e}{e} \binom{2e'}{e'}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, let $F = F_{e,e'}^b$. Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{W}{e^{\mathcal{T}}(N_F^{\text{vir}})} \Big|_{V=0} &= W \frac{e^{2e} \prod_{j=0}^{2e-2} \binom{1+j}{e} W}{e(e!)^2 W^{2e} (-1)^e} \cdot \frac{e'^{2e'} \prod_{j=0}^{2e'-2} \binom{1+j}{e'} W}{e'(e')^2 W^{2e'} (-1)^{e'}} \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{(-1)^2 (2W)^2 W^2}{1} \cdot \frac{ee' 2^{2b-1}}{bW^3} \binom{b}{e+e'} \\ &= W \frac{(-1)^{e+e'}}{4ee'W^2} \binom{2e}{e} \binom{2e'}{e'} \cdot (-1)^2 4W^4 \cdot \frac{ee' 2^{2b-1}}{bW^3} \binom{b}{e+e'} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{d-b} 2^{2b}}{2b} \binom{d-1}{b-1} \binom{2e}{e} \binom{2e'}{e'}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Finally, we sum up all the cases in Proposition 5.12 to end our computation for the 2-pointed quasimap invariant $\langle D_2, 1 \rangle_{0,2,dD_4}$.

Proposition 5.13. All 2-pointed degree dD_4 quasimap invariants of \mathbb{F}_2 are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D_1, 1 \rangle_{0,2,dD_4} &= \langle D_2, 1 \rangle_{0,2,dD_4} = -\frac{1}{2d} \binom{2d}{d}, \\ \langle D_3, 1 \rangle_{0,2,dD_4} &= 0, \quad \langle D_4, 1 \rangle_{0,2,dD_4} = \frac{1}{d} \binom{2d}{d}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the Atiyah–Bott localization theorem the invariant $\langle D_2, 1 \rangle_{0,2,dD_4}$ is obtained by summing the contributions of each necessary fixed locus with setting $V = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D_2, 1 \rangle_{0,2,dD_4} &= \frac{ev_1^* c_1^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{O}^{\mathcal{T}}(D_2))|_{F_d}}{e^{\mathcal{T}}(N_{F_d}^{\text{vir}})} \Big|_{V=0} + \sum_{e=1}^{d-1} \frac{ev_1^* c_1^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{O}^{\mathcal{T}}(D_2))|_{F_{e,d-e}}}{e^{\mathcal{T}}(N_{F_{e,d-e}}^{\text{vir}})} \Big|_{V=0} \\ &+ \sum_{b=1}^{d-1} \frac{ev_1^* c_1^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{O}^{\mathcal{T}}(D_2))|_{F_{d-b}^b}}{e^{\mathcal{T}}(N_{F_{d-b}^b}^{\text{vir}})} \Big|_{V=0} + \sum_{b=1}^{d-2} \sum_{e=1}^{d-b-1} \frac{ev_1^* c_1^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{O}^{\mathcal{T}}(D_2))|_{F_{e,d-b-e}^b}}{e^{\mathcal{T}}(N_{F_{e,d-b-e}^b}^{\text{vir}})} \Big|_{V=0}. \end{aligned}$$

Apply Proposition 5.12, and observe that the first term is in fact a summand of the first summation as the case $e = 0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{e=0}^{d-1} \frac{(-1)^d}{2d} \binom{2e}{e} \binom{2(d-e)}{d-e} + \sum_{b=1}^{d-1} \frac{(-1)^{d-b} 2^{2b}}{2b} \binom{d-1}{b-1} \binom{2(d-b)}{d-b} \\ &+ \sum_{b=1}^{d-2} \sum_{e=1}^{d-b-1} \frac{(-1)^{d-b} 2^{2b}}{2b} \binom{d-1}{b-1} \binom{2e}{e} \binom{2(d-b-e)}{d-b-e}. \end{aligned}$$

From the second summation, we leave the case $b = d - 1$ which is -4^{d-1} , and the rest can be inside of the last summation as the case $e = 0$ since $\frac{1}{b} \binom{d-1}{b-1} = \frac{1}{d} \binom{d}{b}$. Then,

$$\sum_{e=0}^{d-1} \frac{(-1)^d}{2d} \binom{2e}{e} \binom{2(d-e)}{d-e} - 4^{d-1} + \sum_{b=1}^{d-2} \frac{(-1)^{d-b} 2^{2b}}{2d} \binom{d}{b} \sum_{e=0}^{d-b-1} \binom{2e}{e} \binom{2(d-b-e)}{d-b-e}.$$

The first and second terms can collapse into the last summation as the case $b = 0$ and $b = d - 1$, respectively. Thus,

$$(5.27) \quad \sum_{b=0}^{d-1} \frac{(-1)^{d-b} 2^{2b}}{2d} \binom{d}{b} \sum_{e=0}^{d-b-1} \binom{2e}{e} \binom{2(d-b-e)}{d-b-e}.$$

Using the formula $\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2(n-k)}{n-k} = 4^n$, one can write the equation (5.27) as

$$(5.28) \quad \sum_{b=0}^{d-1} \frac{(-1)^{d-b} 2^{2b}}{2d} \binom{d}{b} \left(4^{d-b} - \binom{2(d-b)}{d-b} \right).$$

The term $\sum_{b=0}^{d-1} \frac{(-1)^{d-b} 2^{2b}}{2d} \binom{d}{b} 4^{d-b}$ is in fact the case $b = d$ of $\sum_{b=0}^{d-1} \frac{(-1)^{d-b-1} 2^{2b}}{2d} \binom{d}{b} \binom{2(d-b)}{d-b}$. Thus, the equation (5.28) becomes

$$\sum_{b=0}^d \frac{(-1)^{d-b-1} 4^b}{2d} \binom{d}{b} \binom{2(d-b)}{d-b}.$$

Apply the formula $\binom{2n}{n} = (-4)^n \binom{-1/2}{n}$ to have

$$-\frac{4^d}{2d} \sum_{b=0}^d \binom{d}{b} \binom{-1/2}{d-b}.$$

For the last step to obtain $-\frac{1}{2d} \binom{2d}{d}$, it is enough to show that the coefficient of x^d from the following is $4^{-d} \binom{2d}{d}$

$$(1+x)^{d-1/2} = \sum_{l=0}^d x^l \sum_{b=0}^l \binom{d}{b} \binom{-1/2}{d-b} + (\text{higher order terms}).$$

To achieve this, write

$$(1+x)^{d+1-1/2} = \dots + a_{d+1}x^{d+1} + \dots.$$

Observe that the induction hypothesis for d allows us to write the derivative of $(1+x)^{d+1-1/2}$ as

$$(d+1/2)(1+x)^{d-1/2} = (d+1/2)(\dots + 4^{-d} \binom{2d}{d} x^d + \dots).$$

Therefore, a_{d+1} is equal to

$$\frac{1}{d+1} (d+1/2) 4^{-d} \binom{2d}{d} = 4^{-(d+1)} \binom{2(d+1)}{d+1}.$$

We computed $\langle D_3, 1 \rangle_{0,2,dD_4} = 0$ from (5.3). From the relation (5.4), we can derive $\langle D_4, 1 \rangle_{0,2,dD_4} = \frac{1}{d} \binom{2d}{d}$. \square

Therefore, we successfully computed $\langle D_i, 1 \rangle_{0,2,dD_4}$ for all $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

5.10. Computation of the invariants $\langle D_i, pt \rangle_{0,2,\beta}$ for $\beta = D_2 + dD_4$. To have a full description of the quantum module structure for \mathbb{F}_2 , it still remains to compute 2-pointed quasimap invariants for degree $D_2 + dD_4$, with $d \geq 0$. This computation is very similar to the computation for dD_4 in the previous section. Thus, we omit details, but point out all the features that are different from the previous computation.

In order to compute the invariant $\langle D_i, pt \rangle_{0,2,D_2+dD_4}$ by localization, choose $[p_4]^\mathcal{T} = c_1^\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{O}^\mathcal{T}(D_2)) \cdot c_1^\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{O}^\mathcal{T}(D_3))$ as an equivariant lift for the insertion $[pt]$; note that $D_2 \cap D_3 = p_4$.

Let $\beta = D_2 + dD_4$ and F a fixed locus in $Q_{0,2}(\mathbb{F}_2, \beta)$. From the degree β , there is only one component of degree D_2 for a quasimap in F . The other components of degree $d'D_4$ with $d' \leq d$ must have their image on the D_4 -curve in \mathbb{F}_2 .

Lemma 5.14. *Let $\beta = D_2 + dD_4$ and F a fixed locus in $Q_{0,2}(\mathbb{F}_2, \beta)$. Then, with the above choice of our equivariant lift for $[pt]$ -insertion, for a quasimap in F , there is a component C_0 in the source curve C satisfying*

- (i) C_0 must be at one end in C (a chain of \mathbb{P}^1 's);
- (ii) the second marking must be on C_0 ;
- (iii) the image of C_0 lies on the D_2 -curve;
- (iv) C_0 is of map-type.

Hence,

$$\langle D_3, pt \rangle_{0,2,D_2+dD_4} = 0.$$

Proof. Since we have a unique component of degree D_2 , say C_0 , (i) is clear.

For (ii), due to our choice of the equivariant lift $[p_4]^T$ for the $[pt]$ -insertion, the second marking must be in C_0 . Otherwise, there is no chance for the second marking to go to p_4 .

Note that $(D_2 \cdot D_\rho)_{\rho=1}^4 = (0, 0, 1, 1)$ implies that the image of C_0 lies on either D_1 or D_2 . Since the second marking is in C_0 , the image of C_0 must lie on the D_2 -curve. Thus, (iii) is proved.

Claim that C_0 cannot have any base points for (iv). If there is a base point, C_0 contracts to either p_1 or p_4 . It must be p_4 , since the second marking on C_0 must map to p_4 . However, because the consecutive component of degree $d'D_4$ must land on the D_4 -curve, contradiction occurs. Hence, C_0 must be of map-type.

So far, the only component of degree D_2 , which is of map-type and located at one end of the chain of \mathbb{P}^1 's, has the second marking that goes to p_4 . To verify $\langle D_3, pt \rangle_{0,2,D_2+dD_4} = 0$, observe that the first marking is in a component of degree $d''D_4$ that is at the other end of the chain. This component maps to the D_4 -curve. Recall that $D_3 \cap D_4 = \emptyset$. Thus, there is no such a quasimap in F . Therefore, $\langle D_3, pt \rangle_{0,2,D_2+dD_4} = 0$. \square

From the relations in $H^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$, it suffices to compute

$$\langle D_1, pt \rangle_{0,2,D_2+dD_4}.$$

In our chain graph expression for a fixed locus, we depict the component of degree D_2 by a vertical line. Fix this vertical line on the left. The chain graph of a general fixed locus is presented in Figure 6.

The D_1 -insertion forces that the number of horizontal edges in the chain graph of a fixed locus must be odd so that the first marking maps to D_1 .

To have a formula similar to (5.14) in Proposition 5.3, we need to modify the notations and introduce more: for $k \in \{1, 2\}$,

I_k^m : the set of all interior vertices: 1) mapping to p_k , 2) not carrying any dashed half-edges, 3) not at the left end,

I_k^b : the set of all interior vertices: 1) mapping to p_k , 2) carrying a dashed half-edge, 3) not at the left end,

I_2^{end} : the set of all vertices at the right end: 1) mapping to p_2 , 2) carrying a dashed half-edge,

$I_{D_2}^m$: the set of all interior vertices at the left end: 1) mapping to p_1 , 2) not carrying any dashed half-edges,

$I_{D_2}^b$: the set of all interior vertices at the left end: 1) mapping to p_1 , 2) carrying a dashed half-edge.




FIGURE 6. The chain graph of a general fixed locus for $\beta = D_2 + dD_4$

Collect the vertices regardless of where they go

$$I^m := I_1^m \sqcup I_2^m, \quad I^b := I_1^b \sqcup I_2^b, \quad I^B := I^b \sqcup I_2^{end}.$$

Count them

$$\begin{aligned} N_k^m &:= |I_k^m|, & N_k^b &:= |I_k^b|, & N_2^{end} &:= |I_2^{end}|, \\ N^m &:= |I^m|, & N^b &:= |I^b|, \\ N_{D_2}^m &:= |I_{D_2}^m|, & N_{D_2}^b &:= |I_{D_2}^b|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $N_{D_2}^m, N_{D_2}^b, N_2^{end} \in \{0, 1\}$, and $N_{D_2}^m + N_{D_2}^b = 1$.

One can have a formula for the inverse Euler class of the virtual normal bundle of a general fixed locus F when $\beta = D_2 + dD_4$ through a similar way to have Proposition 5.3. Let e_0 be the degree of the first horizontal edge from the left, and b_0 the degree of the dashed half-edge attached to the leftmost vertex, if it is in $I_{D_2}^b$.

Proposition 5.15. For a fixed locus F in $Q_{0,2}(\mathbb{F}_2, D_2 + dD_4)$, is

$$\begin{aligned} (5.29) \quad & \frac{(ev_1^* c_1^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{O}^{\mathcal{T}}(D_1))) ev_2^*(c_1^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{O}^{\mathcal{T}}(D_2)) \cdot c_1^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{O}^{\mathcal{T}}(D_3)))|_F}{e^{\mathcal{T}}(N_F^{\text{vir}})} \\ &= -\frac{W_1^2 V_1}{W_1 V_1^2} \text{Cont}(VC) \text{Cont}_m(NS) \text{Cont}_{D_2}(NS) \\ & \cdot \prod_{\text{edges}} \text{Cont}_E(e) \prod_{v \in I^B} \text{Cont}_B(v) \cdot \text{Cont}_B(D_2), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cont}(VC) &:= (-1)^{N_2^m + N_2^{end} + 2N_2^b} V_1^{N_1^m + 2N_1^b + N_{D_2}^m + 2N_{D_2}^b} V_2^{N_2^m + N_2^{end} + 2N_2^b} W_1^{N^m + N_2^{end} + N_{D_2}^m + 2N_{D_2}^b + 2N^b}, \\ \text{Cont}_m(NS) &:= \left((-1)^{N_2^m} W_1^{N^m} \prod_{v \in I^m} \left(\frac{1}{e_v} + \frac{1}{e'_v} \right) \right)^{-1}, \\ \text{Cont}_{D_2}(NS) &:= \left(\left(V_1 + \frac{W_1}{e_0} \right)^{N_{D_2}^m} \right)^{-1}, \\ \text{Cont}_E(e) &:= \frac{e^{2e} \prod_{j=0}^{2e-2} \left(V_1 + \frac{1+j}{e} W_1 \right)}{(e!)^2 W^{2e} (-1)^e}, \\ \text{Cont}_B(v) &:= \frac{1}{b!} \int_{\overline{M}_{0,2|b}} \frac{\frac{V_i}{W_i^2} \prod_{j=2}^b \frac{(V_i - 2\Delta_j)^2}{W_i + \Delta_j}}{\left(\frac{W_i}{e} - \psi_n \right) \left(\frac{W_i}{e'} - \psi_{n'} \right)^{\epsilon(v)}}, \\ \text{Cont}_B(D_2) &:= \left[\frac{1}{b_0!} \int_{\overline{M}_{0,2|b_0}} \frac{\frac{V_1}{W_1^2} \prod_{j=2}^{b_0} \frac{(V_1 - 2\Delta_j)^2}{W_1 + \Delta_j}}{\left(\frac{W_1}{e_0} - \psi_n \right) \left(V_1 - \psi_{n'} \right)} \right]^{N_{D_2}^b}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Observe that the weight of the numerator coming from the insertions D_1 and pt is:

$$(ev_1^* c_1^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{O}^{\mathcal{T}}(D_1))) ev_2^*(c_1^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{O}^{\mathcal{T}}(D_2)) \cdot c_1^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{O}^{\mathcal{T}}(D_3)))|_F = W^2 V.$$

The edge contribution from the map-type component of degree D_2 is given by

$$-\frac{1}{W_1 V_1^2}$$

as (5.11).

Also, we use the same formula when we compute (5.8) to compute $\text{Cont}_{D_2}(NS)$. \square

Besides the numerator, new factors appearing in formula (5.29) compared to formula (5.14) for the previous case are

$$-\frac{1}{W_1 V_1^2}, N_{D_2}^m, N_{D_2}^b, N_{D_2}^{end}, \left(V + \frac{W}{e_0}\right)^{N_{D_2}^m},$$

which all are related to the component of degree D_2 . We will pay attention to these in our analysis on the denominator of formula (5.29).

Lemma 5.16. *The denominator of the formula (5.29) is of the form W^N for some N .*

Proof. The factor $\frac{W_1^2 V_1}{W_1 V_1^2}$ in formula (5.29) is $\frac{W_1}{V_1}$. We want to cancel the factor $\frac{1}{V} = \frac{1}{V_1}$. Note that we cannot just cancel this using the factor V_1 from either $\text{Cont}_B(v)$ or $\text{Cont}_B(D_2)$. The formal case is not possible because there is a map-type fixed locus that does not have this contribution term, or because there is a case where $v \in I^B$ might not map to p_1 so as to have $V_1 = V$ factor. The latter case is not appropriate since the left corner vertex might not carry any dashed half-edges. Thus, we need to cancel the factor $\frac{1}{V}$ using a factor from some other places. Recall that $N_{D_2}^m + N_{D_2}^b = 1$ for all fixed locus. Therefore, we can cancel $\frac{1}{V}$ by $V_1^{N_{D_2}^m + N_{D_2}^b}$ in $\text{Cont}(VC)$.

The next factor we need to consider is $(V_1 + \frac{W_1}{e_0})^{N_{D_2}^m}$ in $\text{Cont}_{D_2}(NS)$. Observe that the horizontal edge at the leftmost end is the map-type component of degree $e_0 = e$. Thus, with $j = 0$, there is a factor $(V_1 + \frac{1+j}{e} W_1)$ in $\text{Cont}_E(e)$ to cancel the factor $(V_1 + \frac{W_1}{e_0})^{N_{D_2}^m}$ in $\text{Cont}_{D_2}(NS)$.

Last, the factor $\frac{1}{V_1}$ from $\frac{1}{V_1 - \psi_{n'}} = \frac{1}{V_1} \sum_l (\psi_{n'}/V)^l$ is cancelled by the factor V_1 coming from the numerator in $\text{Cont}_B(D_2)$.

Hence, the denominator of formula (5.29) is W^N for some N . \square

Since we figured out the form of the denominator in formula (5.29), we can decide necessary fixed loci whose contribution is nonzero.

Corollary 5.17. *The general graphs of necessary fixed loci for $\beta = D_2 + dD_4$ are in Figure 7, where $b + e = d$. We denote them as F'_b .*

Proof. Consider the factor

$$\frac{W^2 V}{W V^2} V^{N_1^m + 2N_1^b + N_{D_2}^m + 2N_{D_2}^b}$$



FIGURE 7. Necessary fixed loci for $\beta = D_2 + dD_4$

in formula (5.29) has positive exponent, when $N_{D_2}^b = 1$. Because of Lemma 5.16, the fixed loci with $N_{D_2}^b = 1$ has zero contribution to the final answer. Thus, we will only consider the case $N_{D_2}^m = 1$ from $N_{D_2}^m + N_{D_2}^b = 1$. Meanwhile, the exponents of V_1, N_1^m , in $\text{Cont}(VC)$ must be zero to give nonzero contribution. Hence, the only possible fixed loci with nonzero contribution have

$$N_{D_2}^b = 0, N_{D_2}^m = 1, N_1^m = 0, 2N_1^b = 0, N_2^{\text{end}} = 1.$$

□

For $\beta = D_2 + dD_4$ with $b + e = d$, let F be a necessary fixed locus depicted in Figure 7. Applying the contents in Sections 5.6, 5.7, and 5.8 with setting $V = 0$, one can have the following simplification of formula (5.29):

$$(5.30) \quad (-1)^{e-1} \frac{e(2e-1)!}{(e!)^2} \left(\frac{4^b e}{b} \binom{b+e-1}{b-1} \right)^{N_2^{\text{end}}} = \frac{(-1)^{d-b-1} 4^b}{2} \binom{2(d-b)}{d-b} \binom{d-1}{b}.$$

We give a computation of the invariants $\langle D_1, pt \rangle_{0,2,D_2+dD_4}$.

Proposition 5.18. All 2-pointed degree $D_2 + dD_4$ quasimap invariants of \mathbb{F}_2 are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D_1, pt \rangle_{0,2,D_2+dD_4} &= \langle D_2, pt \rangle_{0,2,D_2+dD_4} = \frac{1}{2(2d-1)} \binom{2d}{d}, \\ \langle D_3, pt \rangle_{0,2,D_2+dD_4} &= 0, \quad \langle D_4, pt \rangle_{0,2,D_2+dD_4} = -\frac{1}{(2d-1)} \binom{2d}{d}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Apply the Atiyah–Bott localization theorem to $\langle D_1, pt \rangle_{0,2,D_2+dD_4}$, and use (5.30). Then, similar argument in the proof of Proposition 5.13 gives

$$\sum_{b=0}^{d-1} \frac{(-1)^{d-b-1} 4^b}{2} \binom{2(d-b)}{d-b} \binom{d-1}{b} = -\frac{4^d}{2} \sum_{b=0}^{d-1} \binom{d-1}{d} \binom{-1/2}{d-b}.$$

Thus, it is enough to show

$$\sum_{b=0}^{d-1} \binom{d-1}{b} \binom{-1/2}{d-b} = -\frac{4^{-d}}{(2d-1)} \binom{2d}{d}.$$

The coefficient of x^d in $(1+x)^{d-1-1/2}$ is $\sum_{b=0}^{d-1} \binom{d-1}{b} \binom{-1/2}{d-b}$. We use induction. Let a_{d+1} be the coefficient of x^{d+1} in $(1+x)^{d-1/2}$. Then, applying $\frac{d}{dx}$ and the induction hypothesis give us

$$a_{d+1} = -\frac{4^{-d}}{2d-1} \frac{d-1/2}{d+1} \binom{2d}{d} = -\frac{4^{-(d+1)}(2d+2)(2d+1)}{(2d+1)(d+1)^2} \binom{2d}{d} = -\frac{4^{-(d+1)}}{(2d+1)} \binom{2(d+1)}{d+1}.$$

Lemma 5.14 computes $\langle D_3, pt \rangle_{0,2,D_2+dD_4}$. The relation allows us to compute $\langle D_1, pt \rangle_{0,2,D_2+dD_4}$ and $\langle D_4, pt \rangle_{0,2,D_2+dD_4}$. □

From the relation $D_3 = 2D_1 + D_4$, one can derive

$$\langle D_4, pt \rangle_{0,2,D_2+dD_4} = -\frac{1}{2d-1} \binom{2d}{d}.$$

Propositions 5.13 and 5.18 are necessary ingredients to prove the main Theorem 5.1 in this paper.

proof of Theorem 5.1. We show the computation of $\sigma_2 \star 1$, and the rest can be computed in a very similar way. Let $q_2 := q^{D_2}$ and $q_4 := q^{D_4}$. Recall that $f(z) := \sum_{d \geq 1} \binom{2d}{d} z^d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4z}} - 1$. Applying Proposition 5.13, the divisor equation (5.2), and the Point mapping axiom and the Degree axiom in [15, §7.3] give us

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_2 \star 1 &:= \sum_i \sum_{\beta \in \text{Eff}} q^\beta \langle 1, T_i \mid \sigma_2 \rangle_{0,2|1,\beta} T^i \\
&= \sum_{d \geq 0} q_4^d \langle 1, D_2 \mid \sigma_2 \rangle_{0,2|1,dD_4} (2D_2 + D_4) + \sum_{d \geq 0} q_4^d \langle 1, D_4 \mid \sigma_2 \rangle_{0,2|1,dD_4} D_2 \\
&= (D_2 \cdot D_2)(2D_2 + D_4) + \sum_{d \geq 1} q_4^d (D_2 \cdot dD_4) \frac{-1}{2d} \binom{2d}{d} (2D_2 + D_4) \\
&\quad + (D_2 \cdot D_4) D_2 + \sum_{d \geq 1} q_4^d (D_2 \cdot dD_4) \frac{1}{d} \binom{2d}{d} D_2 \\
&= D_2 - \frac{1}{2} f(q_4) D_4.
\end{aligned}$$

□

6. THE BATYREV QUANTUM RING FOR \mathbb{F}_2

For a smooth projective toric variety X_Σ , Batyrev defined in [6] a ring from the data of the fan Σ . In [3, §1.1.8], the author pointed out that the quantum deformation given by 3-pointed quasimap invariants is not the same as the Batyrev ring of \mathbb{F}_2 due to the failure of the divisor equation. In this section, we show that the quantum module structure of \mathbb{F}_2 in Theorem 4.2 using 2|1-pointed quasimap invariants agrees with the Batyrev ring of \mathbb{F}_2 realized as a natural module over the ring $\mathbb{C}[[q_2, q_4]]$.

6.1. The Batyrev ring of X_Σ . Let $v_1, \dots, v_s \in N \cap \Sigma(1)$ be primitive integral generators for the rays. There are two ideals in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_s]$. The first ideal is given by

$$P_\Sigma := \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^s \langle m, v_i \rangle x_i \mid m \in M \right\rangle.$$

For a primitive collection $P = \{v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k}\}$, we have the relation

$$(6.1) \quad v_{i_1} + \dots + v_{i_k} = c_1 v_{j_1} + \dots + c_l v_{j_l},$$

where v_{j_1}, \dots, v_{j_l} are the generators of $\sigma \in \Sigma$ such that $v_{i_1} + \dots + v_{i_k} \in \sigma$ and $c_1, \dots, c_l \geq 0$. Using the dual of the exact sequence (2.1), the relation (6.1) gives rise to a class β_P in $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. This class β_P is effective [5, Thm 2.15], [15, Example 8.1.2.2]. Then, the second ideal is defined by

$$SP_\Sigma := \langle x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k} - q^{\beta_P} x_{j_1}^{c_1} \cdots x_{j_l}^{c_l} \mid P : \text{primitive collection} \rangle$$

This ideal is called the *quantum Stanley Reisner ideal*.

Using these ideals, Batyrev defined the following ring

$$\text{Bat}H^*(X) := \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_s] / (P_\Sigma + SP_\Sigma).$$

When X_Σ is Fano, the quantum cohomology ring of X_Σ coincides with the Batyrev ring; however, this is false when X_Σ is not Fano, but *semipositive*, i.e., the anticanonical

divisor is nef. The Hirzebruch surface of type 2, \mathbb{F}_2 , exactly shows the failure, see [15, Example 11.2.5.2].

Since the effective cone of \mathbb{F}_2 is generated by D_2 and D_4 , one can write down all β_P as the nonnegative linear combination of D_2 and D_4 . The Batyrev ring for \mathbb{F}_2 can be written as

$$\text{Bat}H^*(\mathbb{F}_2) = \mathbb{C}[x_2, x_4] / \langle x_2^2 - q_4x_4^2, (2x_2 + x_4)x_4 - q_2 \rangle.$$

The quantum $H^*((\mathbb{C}^*)^2)$ -module of \mathbb{F}_2 has the following relations.

Lemma 6.1. *The following relations hold in the quantum $H^*((\mathbb{C}^*)^2)$ -module of \mathbb{F}_2 :*

$$(2\sigma_2 + \sigma_4) \star (\sigma_4 \star 1) = q_2, \quad \sigma_2 \star (\sigma_2 \star 1) = q_4\sigma_4 \star (\sigma_4 \star 1).$$

Proof. Let $f(z) = \sum_{d \geq 1} \binom{2d}{d} z^d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4z}} - 1$. Then, one can verify

$$(6.2) \quad (1 + f)^2(1 - 4z) = 1,$$

$$(6.3) \quad 4z(1 + f)^2 = f(2 + f).$$

Observe the following:

$$\begin{aligned} (2\sigma_2 + \sigma_4) \star (\sigma_4 \star 1) &= (1 + f)(2\sigma_2 + \sigma_4) \star D_4 \\ &= q_2(1 + f)(-4q_4(1 + f) + (1 + f)) \\ &= q_2(1 + f)^2(-4q_4 + 1) \stackrel{(6.2)}{=} q_2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_2 \star (\sigma_2 \star 1) &= \sigma_2 \star \left(D_2 - \frac{1}{2}fD_4 \right) \\ &= \left(q_2q_4(1 + f) - \frac{1}{2}fpt \right) - \frac{1}{2}f \left(-2q_2q_4(1 + f) + (1 + f)pt \right) \\ &= q_2q_4(1 + f)^2 - \frac{1}{2}ptf(2 + f) \stackrel{(6.3)}{=} q_2q_4(1 + f)^2 - pt2q_4(1 + f)^2 \\ &= q_4(1 + f)^2(q_2 - 2pt), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_4 \star (\sigma_4 \star 1) &= (1 + f)\sigma_4 \star D_4 \\ &= (1 + f) \left(q_2(1 + f) - 2(1 + f)pt \right) \\ &= (1 + f)^2(q_2 - 2pt). \end{aligned}$$

Here, f stands for $f(q_4)$. Thus, we have

$$\sigma_2 \star (\sigma_2 \star 1) = q_4\sigma_4 \star (\sigma_4 \star 1).$$

□

One can see that the two relations in Lemma 6.1 are matching up with the relations in the Batyrev ring $\text{Bat}H^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ by viewing $\sigma_2 \star 1$ and $\sigma_4 \star 1$ as x_2 and x_4 , respectively.

Lemma 6.2. *The Batyrev ring $\text{Bat}H^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is generated by $1, x_2, x_4$ and x_2x_4 over $\mathbb{C}[[q_2, q_4]]$.*

Proof. Applying Nakayama's lemma to the local ring $\mathbb{C}[[q_2, q_4]]$ with the unique maximal ideal (q_2, q_4) , one can show that the set $\{1, x_2, x_4, x_2x_4\}$ generates $\text{Bat}H^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ over $\mathbb{C}[[q_2, q_4]]$. □

Remark 6.3. The above proof of Lemma 6.2 does not give us a concrete way to write down an element in $\text{Bat}H^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ with respect to the generating set $\{1, x_2, x_4, x_2x_4\}$. It is worthwhile to observe, for instance, how $x_2^2x_4$ can be written in terms of $1, x_2, x_4$, and x_2x_4 , since it requires the equation (6.2), which comes from the series $f(z) = \sum_{d \geq 1} \binom{2d}{d} z^d$ that contains some 2-pointed quasimap invariants of \mathbb{F}_2 .

$$\begin{aligned} x_2^2x_4 &= q_4x_4^3 = x_4q_4(q_2 - 2x_2x_4) = q_2q_4x_4 - 2q_4x_2x_4^2 \\ &= q_2q_4x_4 - 2q_4x_2(q_2 - 2x_2x_4) = q_2q_4x_4 - 2q_2q_4x_2 + 4q_4x_2^2x_4 \\ (1 - 4q_4)x_2^2x_4 &= q_2q_4(x_4 - 2x_2) \\ x_2^2x_4 &= q_2q_4(1 + f(q_4))^2(x_4 - 2x_2). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that finding generating sets over $\mathbb{C}[[q_2, q_4]]$ using the relations in the quantum cohomology ring involves with some generating series whose coefficients are given by 2-pointed quasimap invariants.

A natural $H^*((\mathbb{C}^*)^2) = \mathbb{C}[\sigma_2, \sigma_4]$ -module structure on $\text{Bat}H^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is given by

$$\sigma_2 \cdot x_2^a x_4^b = x_2^{a+1} x_4^b, \quad \sigma_4 \cdot x_2^a x_4^b = x_2^a x_4^{b+1}.$$

For convenience, we call this the *Batyrev module*.

Proposition 6.4. The $\mathbb{C}[\sigma_2, \sigma_4]$ -module $\text{Bat}H^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is isomorphic to the quantum $H^*((\mathbb{C}^*)^2)$ -module of \mathbb{F}_2 from Theorem 5.1.

Proof. Denote the generating sets by $\alpha := \{1, x_2, x_4, x_2x_4\}$ and $\mu := \{1, D_2, D_4, pt\}$ for the Batyrev module and the quantum module, respectively. Using Lemma 6.2, one can represent the action of σ_i as matrices using α and μ , respectively, say $[\sigma_i]_\alpha^\alpha$ and $[\sigma_i]_\mu^\mu$.

Define a linear function ϕ in the following way:

$$1 \mapsto 1, \quad x_2 \mapsto \sigma_2 \star 1, \quad x_4 \mapsto \sigma_4 \star 1, \quad x_2x_4 \mapsto (\sigma_2\sigma_4) \star 1.$$

This map extends linearly over $\mathbb{C}[[q_2, q_4]]$. Then, the matrix presentation of ϕ over $\mathbb{C}[[q_2, q_4]]$ is the following:

$$[\phi]_\mu^\alpha := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -2(1+f)^2q_2q_4 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (-1/2)f & 1+f & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (1+f)^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where f is denoted for $f(q_4)$. One can check

$$[\phi]_\mu^\alpha [\sigma_i]_\alpha^\alpha = [\sigma_i]_\mu^\mu [\phi]_\mu^\alpha,$$

which shows that ϕ is $\mathbb{C}[\sigma_2, \sigma_4]$ -linear over $\mathbb{C}[[q_2, q_4]]$. From Lemma 6.1, there exists a well-defined induced map from the Batyrev module to the quantum module. The determinant of $[\phi]_\mu^\alpha$ is $(1+f)^3$. Since by equation (6.2) with $z = q_4$, $(1+f)^3$ is an invertible element in $\mathbb{C}[[q_2, q_4]]$, so that ϕ is an $\mathbb{C}[\sigma_2, \sigma_4]$ -isomorphism over $\mathbb{C}[[q_2, q_4]]$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Dan Abramovich, Tom Graber, and Angelo Vistoli. Gromov-Witten theory of Deligne-Mumford stacks. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 130(5):1337–1398, 2008.

- [2] David Anderson and William Fulton. *Equivariant Cohomology in Algebraic Geometry*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2023.
- [3] Luca Battistella. *Alternative compactifications in low genus Gromov-Witten theory*. PhD thesis, Imperial College London, 2018.
- [4] Luca Battistella and Navid Nabijou. A Lefschetz-type theorem for quasimap invariants via relative quasimaps, 2017. arXiv:1710.11158v1.
- [5] Victor V. Batyrev. On the classification of smooth projective toric varieties. *Tohoku Mathematical Journal*, 43(4):569 – 585, 1991.
- [6] Victor V Batyrev. Quantum cohomology rings of toric manifolds. *Journées de géométrie algébrique d’Orsay - Juillet*, (218):9–34, 1992.
- [7] K. Behrend. Gromov-Witten invariants in algebraic geometry. *Invent. Math.*, 127(3):601–617, 1997.
- [8] K. Behrend and Barbara Fantechi. The intrinsic normal cone. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 128, 02 1998.
- [9] Jim Bryan and Rahul Pandharipande. On the rigidity of stable maps to Calabi-Yau threefolds. In *The interaction of finite-type and Gromov-Witten invariants (BIRS 2003)*, volume 8 of *Geom. Topol. Monogr.*, pages 97–104. Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2006.
- [10] Philip Candelas, Xenia C. De La Ossa, Paul S. Green, and Linda Parkes. A pair of Calabi-Yau manifolds as an exactly soluble superconformal theory. *Nuclear Physics B*, 359(1):21–74, 1991.
- [11] Ionut Ciocan-Fontanine, David Favero, Jérémy Guéré, Bumsig Kim, and Mark Shoemaker. *Fundamental Factorization of a GLSM Part I: Construction*, volume 289 of *Memoirs*. American Mathematical Society, 2023.
- [12] Ionuț Ciocan-Fontanine and Bumsig Kim. Moduli stacks of stable toric quasimaps. *Advances in Mathematics*, 225(6):3022–3051, 2010.
- [13] Ionuț Ciocan-Fontanine and Bumsig Kim. Big I-functions. 69:323–348, 2016.
- [14] Ionuț Ciocan-Fontanine, Bumsig Kim, and Davesh Maulik. Stable quasimaps to git quotients. *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, 75:17–47, 2014.
- [15] D.A. Cox and S. Katz. *Mirror Symmetry and Algebraic Geometry*. American Mathematical Society, 1999.
- [16] D.A. Cox, J.B. Little, and H.K. Schenck. *Toric Varieties*. Graduate studies in mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 2011.
- [17] William Fulton. *Intersection Theory*. Springer New York, NY, 1998.
- [18] Tom Graber and Rahul Pandharipande. Localization of virtual classes. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 135:487–518, 1997.
- [19] K. Hori. *Mirror Symmetry*. Clay mathematics monographs. American Mathematical Society, 2003.
- [20] Masao Jinzenji and Kohki Matsuzaka. Hori’s equation for gravitational virtual structure constants of Calabi-Yau hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{N-1}$. 2023.
- [21] Bumsig Kim and Hyenho Lho. Mirror theorem for elliptic quasimap invariants. *Geometry & Topology*, 22, 06 2015.
- [22] Joachim Kock and Israel Vainsencher. *An Invitation to Quantum Cohomology: Kontsevich’s Formula for Rational Plane Curves*. Birkhäuser Boston, 10 2017.
- [23] Maxim Kontsevich. *Enumeration of Rational Curves Via Torus Actions*. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1995.
- [24] Wolfgang Lerche, Cumrun Vafa, and Nicholas P. Warner. Chiral rings in $n = 2$ superconformal theories. *Nuclear Physics B*, 324(2):427–474, 1989.
- [25] Chiu-Chu Melissa Liu. Localization in Gromov-Witten theory and orbifold Gromov-Witten theory. In *Handbook of moduli. Vol. II*, volume 25 of *Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM)*, pages 353–425. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2013.

- [26] Andrey S. Losev and Yuri I. Manin. New moduli spaces of pointed curves and pencils of flat connections. *Michigan Mathematical Journal*, 48:443–472, 2000.
- [27] Alina Marian, Dragos Ștefan Oprea, and Rahul Pandharipande. The moduli space of stable quotients. *Geometry & Topology*, 15:1651–1706, 2009.
- [28] D. McDuff and D. Salamon. *J-holomorphic Curves and Quantum Cohomology*. University lecture series. American Mathematical Society, 1994.
- [29] D. R. Morrison. Mathematical aspects of mirror symmetry. *IAS/Park City Mathematics Series*, pages 265–340, 1997.
- [30] Dragos Oprea. *Notes on the Moduli Space of Stable Quotients*, pages 69–135. Springer Basel, Basel, 2016.
- [31] Zhenbo Qin and Yongbin Ruan. Quantum cohomology of projective bundles over \mathbb{P}^n . *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 350, 08 1996.
- [32] Yongbin Ruan and Gang Tian. A mathematical theory of quantum cohomology. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 42(2):259–367, 1995.
- [33] Mark Shoemaker. Virtual classes for hypersurfaces via two-periodic complexes. *Contemp. Math.*, 763:89–116, 2021.
- [34] Richard P. Stanley. *Enumerative Combinatorics: Volume 2*. Cambridge University Press, 1999.