

QUANTUM GEOMETRY, INTEGRABILITY, AND OPERS

PETER KOROTEEV

ABSTRACT. This review article discusses recent progress in understanding of various families of integrable models in terms of algebraic geometry, representation theory, and physics. In particular, we address the connections between soluble many-body systems of Calogero-Ruijsenaars type, quantum spin chains, spaces of opers, representations of double affine Hecke algebras, enumerative counts to quiver varieties, to name just a few. We formulate several conjectures and open problems. *This is a contribution to the proceedings of the conference on Elliptic Integrable Systems and Representation Theory, which was held in August 2023 at University of Tokyo.*

1. INTRODUCTION

In this work, we review the current status of integrable models of the Calogero-Ruijsenaars family in the context of recent developments in algebraic geometry and representation theory. This study is strongly motivated by progress in string theory and supersymmetric quantum field theories beginning in the early 90s [SW, SW2].

Out of plethora of SUSY theories with eight supercharges one particular theory stands out – the $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$ theory [DW] where the star by tradition denotes soft breaking of supersymmetry in half from the maximal amount for a four-dimensional theory which does not include gravity. Unlike many other theories $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$ theory has very few parameters – gauge coupling constant, gauge group type, and the SUSY breaking mass.

This relative simplicity of the parameter space of the model quickly attracted experts in representation theory, algebraic geometry, and integrable systems. Physics results have been expeditiously interpreted mathematically, fostering a rich and fruitful synergy between these disciplines over the past couple of decades.

The Diamond. The content of the current review can be summarized in Fig. 2 by the 3×3 *diamond*. Each of the nine ovals of the diamond contains the following data which we exhibit in Fig. 1 and explain below.

- (1) **Phase Space.** In the discussion of complex algebraic integrable systems with n degrees of freedom the phase space is Lagrangian fibration of complex dimension $2n$, equipped with a holomorphic symplectic 2-form, over a smooth base whose fibers are Abelian varieties. In proper Darboux coordinates (\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) the symplectic form reads $\Omega = \sum_i dp_i \wedge dq_i$ and n Hamiltonians $H_1(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}), \dots, H_N(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$ Poisson commute with each other. The Abelian nature of the Lagrangian fibers above implies that each coordinate and momentum can take values in complex line \mathbb{C} , in a complex line without origin \mathbb{C}^\times , or in an elliptic curve $\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{C}^\times/\mathfrak{p}^\mathbb{Z}$, where \mathfrak{p} is modular parameter. Therefore each many-body integrable model belongs either to *rational*, *trigonometric* or *elliptic* type depending on where its coordinates and momenta




FIGURE 1. Notations for the diamond in Fig. 2

take their values. For instance, Hamiltonians of the tRS model in the middle of the diamond are periodic in both coordinates and momenta hence the notation for its phase space – $\mathbb{C}_p^\times \times \mathbb{C}_x^\times$.

- (2) **Type of Oper.** The space of G -opers under certain conditions describes the phase space of a classical many-body system according to [KZ4]. The space of opers thereby provides a bridge to establish the quantum/classical duality between quantum spin chains and classical n -particle systems. In the terminology of [KZ4] this description corresponds to *magnetic frame*, i.e. the tCM model is dual to the XXX spin chain in the magnetic frame. There is also an *electric frame* of the quantum/classical duality under which the tCM is dual to tGaudin model and the rRS is dual to the XXX chain. Here $e(G, q)$ -opers stands for (G, q) -opers on the elliptic curve (elliptic q -opers [GKSZ]). Similarly, eG -opers are elliptic opers from the same work in progress.
- (3) **Many-body system.** The name of the corresponding n -particle model – Calogero-Moser (CS), Ruijsenaars-Schneider (RS), double elliptic (DELL), and their duals. Prefixes r, t , and e stand for rational, trigonometric, and elliptic respectively.
- (4) **Spin Chain.** The quantum spin chain which is related to the many-body system from the same oval via the quantum/classical duality in the magnetic frame. The known models are XXX, XXZ, XYZ, and Gaudin spin systems. There are rational, trigonometric, and elliptic Gaudin systems.
- (5) **Equation on Baxter functions.** Baxter Q -functions play important roles in representation theory and enumerative geometry. These functions depend on an auxiliary (spectral) parameter and satisfy certain difference or differential equations depending on the type of G -oper. For example, for the (G, q) -oper they satisfy a difference QQ -relation.
- (6) **Algebra for n particles.** The phase space of a complex algebraic integrable system is a holomorphic symplectic manifold \mathcal{X} . The ring of holomorphic functions on this manifold admits deformation quantization $\mathcal{O}^q(\mathcal{X})$ taken with respect to its holomorphic symplectic form. In the case when \mathcal{X} is the moduli space of $SL(n)$ -flat connections on the punctured torus the latter yields spherical subalgebra of the double affine Hecke algebra or DAHA for \mathfrak{gl}_n [O2, C3]. This algebra admits degenerations.
- (7) **Algebra for $n \rightarrow \infty$.** \mathfrak{gl}_n DAHAs admit direct $n \rightarrow \infty$ limit which is known in the literature by various names: Hall algebra of the elliptic curve, Ding-Iohara-Miki

algebra, Drinfeld double of shuffle algebra, quantum toroidal algebra $U_{q,h}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_1)$.

This algebra and its degenerations, i.e. affine Yangian $Y_{h,\epsilon}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_1)$ are interesting at their own right, in particular, they act on equivariant K-theory (cohomology) of Hilbert scheme of points on \mathbb{C}^2 .

Enumerative Geometry. In addition, the middle and top northwest-southeast diagonals of Fig. 2 are colored in yellow and green respectively which designates similarities of the corresponding integrable models and representation theoretic and algebro-geometric structures. For instance, the eigenfunctions of the tCM, tRS, and dual eRS models up to a simple multiple coincide with quasimap vertex functions of quantum equivariant cohomology, K-theory, and elliptic cohomology of the cotangent bundle to the complete flags in \mathbb{C}^n . Representation theoretically they describe equivariant Euler characteristics of Laumon spaces. This will be addressed later in the paper.

Supersymmetric Gauge Theories. It was suggested long time ago [GKM⁺, GM, GGM], and was confirmed in the subsequent publications by numerous authors, that the wave functions of the quantum Hamiltonians of eCM, eRS, and DELL are the supersymmetric partition functions in the presence of codimension-two defects of the dual 4, 5, and 6-dimensional theories with adjoint matter respectively¹ (see [KS] for summary and references). The corresponding eigenvalues are represented by vacuum expectation values of local chiral observables in the respected dimensions.

One can immediately see that the diamond contains a plethora of question marks which are placed instead of unknown models or algebraic structures. For instance, to the best of the authors' knowledge, there is no known oper description of the phase space of the elliptic integrable systems on the green diagonal, nor are there dual quantum lattice models for the eCM, eRS, and DELL. We invite the reader to search for answers to these questions.

In the main part of the paper we describe various ovals of the diamond together with interactions between its various components.

Acknowledgements. The author is indebted to his colleagues and collaborators from whom he had learned various lessons in mathematical physics over the years. In particular, I would like to thank A. Zeitlin, E. Frenkel, and D. Sage for continuous collaboration and encouragement. This conference paper precedes a larger manuscript which will explain in greater detail beautiful mathematical structures of the diamond in Fig. 2 which will appear in the near future. This note will be continuously updated until then. The author will maintain <https://math.berkeley.edu/~pkoroteev/diamond33.pdf> where more details and references will be posted. I encourage my colleagues to submit materials and missing references. Finally, I would like to thank H. Konno and J. Shiraishi for inviting me to present this work at the conference on integrable systems and representation theory in Tokyo, Japan in August 2023.

¹the 5d theory is compactified on a circle, while the 6d theory is compactified on a torus.




FIGURE 2. The Diamond

2. (G, q) -OPERS, TRS MODEL, AND XXZ CHAIN

The space of opers has recently become a natural framework for studying integrable systems and the dualities between them [FKSZ, KZ4]. Below we review models of type A leaving the study of the other classical types for the upcoming work [KZ].

We begin our description in the middle oval of the diamond, viz. with $(SL(N), q)$ -opers (see [KZ4] for more details). Let $X = \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\}$. Consider the automorphism $M_q : X \rightarrow X$ sending $z \mapsto qz$, where $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$.

Definition 2.1. Let $U \subset X$ be a Zariski open dense subset and let $V = U \cap M_q^{-1}(U)$. A meromorphic $(GL(r+1), q)$ -oper on \mathbb{P}^1 is a triple $(A, E, \mathcal{L}_\bullet)$, where E is a vector bundle of rank $r+1$ on \mathbb{P}^1 and \mathcal{L}_\bullet is the corresponding complete flag of the vector bundles,

$$\mathcal{L}_{r+1} \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{L}_{i+1} \subset \mathcal{L}_i \subset \mathcal{L}_{i-1} \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{L}_1 = E,$$

where \mathcal{L}_{r+1} is a line bundle, so that the meromorphic $(GL(r+1), q)$ -connection $A \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_U}(E, E^q)$, where E^q is the pullback of E under M_q , satisfies the following conditions:

- i) $A \cdot \mathcal{L}_i \subset \mathcal{L}_{i-1}^q$,
- ii) The restriction of $A \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}_\bullet, \mathcal{L}_\bullet^q)$ to V is invertible and satisfies the condition that the induced maps

$$\bar{A}_i : \mathcal{L}_i / \mathcal{L}_{i+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{i-1}^q / \mathcal{L}_i^q, \quad i = 2, \dots, r$$

are isomorphisms on V .

An $(SL(r+1), q)$ -oper is a $(GL(r+1), q)$ -oper with the condition that $\det A = 1$ on V .

Changing the trivialization of E via $g(z) \in SL(r+1)(z)$ modifies $A(z)$ by the following q -gauge transformation

$$(2.1) \quad A(z) \mapsto g(qz)A(z)g(z)^{-1}.$$

thereby endowing A with the structure of $(SL(r+1), q)$ -connection.

The above definition can be reformulated in a local form – given a section $s(z)$ of \mathcal{L}_{r+1} , the oper condition is as follows. Consider the following determinants

$$(2.2) \quad W_k(s)(z) = \left. \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \prod_{j=0}^{i-2} A(q^{i-2-j}z) s(q^{i-1}z) \right|_{\Lambda^k \mathcal{L}_{r-k+2}^{q^{k-1}}}, \quad k = 2, \dots, r+1.$$

The oper conditions are equivalent to the fact that all the above determinants are nonzero.

Definition 2.2. We say that $(SL(r+1), q)$ -oper has regular singularities defined by the collection of polynomials $\{\Lambda_i(z)\}_{i=1, \dots, r}$ when \bar{A}_i is an isomorphism away from the zeros of $\Lambda_i(z)$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$.

In local terms, the above definition implies the following

$$(2.3) \quad W_k(s)(z) = P_1(z) \cdot P_2(qz) \cdots P_k(q^{k-1}z), \quad P_i(z) = \Lambda_r(z) \Lambda_{r-1}(z) \cdots \Lambda_{r-i+1}(z).$$

Definition 2.3. The $(SL(r+1), q)$ -oper is called Z -twisted if there exists $g(z) \in SL(r+1)(z)$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad A(z) = g(qz)Zg(z)^{-1},$$

where Z is a diagonal element of $SL(r+1)$.

Definition 2.4. A Miura $(SL(r+1), q)$ -oper is a quadruple $(A, E, \mathcal{L}_\bullet, \hat{\mathcal{L}}_\bullet)$, where $(A, E, \mathcal{L}_\bullet)$ triple is $(SL(r+1), q)$ -oper and the complete flag $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_\bullet$ of subbundles in E is preserved by the q -connection A .

One can immediately see that if Z is regular semisimple element then there are $(r+1)!$ Miura operators for a given Z -twisted $(SL(r+1), q)$ -oper.

Relative position between flags \mathcal{L}_\bullet and $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_\bullet$ can be described using the following determinant

$$(2.5) \quad \mathcal{D}_k(s) = e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{r+1-k} \wedge s(z) \wedge Z s(qz) \wedge \cdots \wedge Z^{k-1} s(q^{k-1}z)$$

for $k = 2, \dots, r+1$ and where $s(z)$ is vector of polynomials with components $\{s_i(z)\}_{i=1, \dots, r+1}$ in the standard basis e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{r+1} . The functions $\mathcal{D}_k(s)$ have a subset of zeroes, which coincide with those of $W_k(s)(z)$. The remaining zeros of $\mathcal{D}_k(s)$ are given by points at which the two flags fail to be in a generic position. We have accordingly

$$(2.6) \quad \det_{i,j} \left[\xi_i^{j-1} s_{r+1-k+i}^{(j-1)}(z) \right] = \beta_k W_k \mathcal{V}_k,$$

where $i, j = 1, \dots, k$ and $s_i^{(m)} = s_i(q^m z)$, $Z = \text{diag}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{r+1})$, and

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{V}_k(z) = \prod_{a=1}^{r_k} (z - v_{k,a}),$$

while β_k are some constants. The boundary conditions are $\mathcal{V}_0 = 1$ and $\mathcal{V}_{r+1} = 1$ since $\mathcal{D}_{r+1}(s) = W_{r+1}(s)$.

The remarkable property of polynomials (2.7) is that they solve the QQ -system.

Theorem 2.5 ([KSZ]). *Polynomials $\{\mathcal{V}_k(z)\}_{k=1, \dots, r}$ provide the solution to the QQ -system*

$$(2.8) \quad \xi_{i+1} Q_i^+(qz) Q_i^-(z) - \xi_i Q_i^+(z) Q_i^-(qz) = (\xi_{i+1} - \xi_i) \Lambda_i(z) Q_{i-1}^+(qz) Q_{i+1}^+(z),$$

so that $Q_j^+(z) = \mathcal{V}_j(z)$. The polynomials Q_j^+, Q_j^- for $j = 1, \dots, r$ can be presented using minors

$$(2.9) \quad Q_j^+(z) = \frac{1}{F_i(z)} \frac{\det(M_{1, \dots, j})}{\det(V_{1, \dots, j})}, \quad Q_j^-(z) = \frac{1}{F_i(z)} \frac{\det(M_{1, \dots, j-1, j+1})}{\det(V_{1, \dots, j-1, j+1})},$$

where $F_i(z) = W_{r-i}(q^{i-r}z)$,

$$(2.10) \quad M_{i_1, \dots, i_j} = \begin{bmatrix} s_{i_1} & \xi_{i_1} s_{i_1}^{(1)} & \cdots & \xi_{i_1}^{j-1} s_{i_1}^{(j-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ s_{i_j} & \xi_{i_j} s_{i_j}^{(1)} & \cdots & \xi_{i_j}^{j-1} s_{i_j}^{(j-1)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad V_{i_1, \dots, i_j} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & q\xi_{i_1} & \cdots & q^{j-1} \xi_{i_1}^{j-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & q\xi_{i_j} & \cdots & q^{j-1} \xi_{i_j}^{j-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

are the quantum Wronskian and the Vandermonde matrix respectively.

We can now combine two theorems from [FKSZ] and [KZ5].

Theorem 2.6. *The following three spaces are pairwise isomorphic:*

- i) Space of solutions of the nondegenerate $SL(r+1)$ QQ -system (2.8)
- ii) Space of solutions of the Bethe Ansatz equations for $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)$ XXZ spin chain:

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{Q_i^+(qs_{i,k})}{Q_i^+(q^{-1}s_{i,k})} \frac{\xi_i}{\xi_{i+1}} = - \frac{\Lambda_i(s_{i,k}) Q_{i+1}^+(qs_{i,k}) Q_{i-1}^+(s_{i,k})}{\Lambda_i(q^{-1}s_{i,k}) Q_{i+1}^+(s_{i,k}) Q_{i-1}^+(q^{-1}s_{i,k})},$$

where $i = 1, \dots, r$ and $k = 1, \dots, r + 1$.

iii) Space of Z -twisted Miura $(SL(r + 1), q)$ -opers with regular singularities $\text{Fun}(q\text{Op}_Z^\Lambda)$.

In i) above the nondegeneracy condition implies that the roots of polynomials Q_i^+ are pairwise q -distinct.

2.1. The tRS model and $(SL(N), q)$ -opers. In order to find connection with the many-body systems we need to consider a special type of q -oper for which the polynomials $\{s_i(z)\}_{i=1, \dots, r+1}$ describing the line bundle \mathcal{L}_r are all of degree one [KZ4]. Provided that Z is regular semisimple and $\deg \mathcal{D}_k = k$, we have $\mathcal{D}_{r+1}(z) = \Lambda(z)$. Thus we have from Theorem 2.6

$$(2.12) \quad \text{Fun}(q\text{Op}_Z^\Lambda) = \frac{\mathbb{C}(q, \xi_i, p_i, a_i)}{(\mathcal{D}_{r+1}(z) = \Lambda(z))} = \frac{\mathbb{C}(q, \xi_i, s_{k,l}, a_i)}{\text{Bethe}},$$

where Bethe stands for the relations (2.11), specialized to $q\text{Op}_Z^\Lambda$.

In order to see the connection with the Ruijsenaars model assume $s_i(z) = z - p_i$ for some complex p_i . The latter will appear momentarily as tRS momenta. Because of that

$$(2.13) \quad M_{1, \dots, r+1}(z) = V_{1, \dots, r+1} \cdot z + M_{1, \dots, r+1}(0).$$

Now, using Theorem 2.5 for $j = r + 1$ we get

$$(2.14) \quad P(z) = \frac{\det(M_{1, \dots, r+1})}{\det(V_{1, \dots, r+1})},$$

or, after inverting the Vandermonde matrix $V_{1, \dots, r+1}$, the formula reads

$$(2.15) \quad P(z) = \det(z - T),$$

where

$$(2.16) \quad T = -M_{1, \dots, r+1}(0) \cdot (V_{1, \dots, r+1})^{-1}.$$

As it was shown in [KSZ] the latter is the Lax matrix for the tRS model

$$(2.17) \quad T_{ij} = \frac{\prod_{m \neq j}^{r+1} (q^{-1} \xi_i - \xi_m)}{\prod_{l \neq j}^{r+1} (\xi_j - \xi_l)} p_i, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, r + 1$$

whose characteristic polynomial (2.15) generates integrals of motion

$$(2.18) \quad \det(z - T) = \sum_k H_k^{tRS}(q, \{\xi_i\}, \{p_i\}) z^k,$$

such that

$$(2.19) \quad H_k^{tRS} = \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{J} \subset \{1, \dots, L\} \\ |\mathcal{J}| = k}} \prod_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{J} \\ j \notin \mathcal{J}}} \frac{q^{-1} \xi_i - \xi_j}{\xi_i - \xi_j} \prod_{m \in \mathcal{J}} p_m.$$

Assuming $\Lambda(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{r+1} (z - a_i)$ we have

Theorem 2.7 ([KSZ]). *There is an isomorphism of algebras*

$$(2.20) \quad \text{Fun}(q\text{Op}_Z^\Lambda) \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}(q, \xi_i, p_i, a_i)}{(H_k^{tRS} - e_k(a_1, \dots, a_{r+1}))_{k=1, \dots, r+1}},$$

where e_k are elementary symmetric functions of their variables.

2.2. Quantum/Classical Duality – Magnetic Frame. Our results can be summarized via *quantum/classical duality* which was geometrized in [GK]. Consider the tRS phase space with symplectic form $\Omega = \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \frac{dp_i}{p_i} \wedge \frac{dx_i}{x_i}$. The tRS Hamiltonians commute with each other with respect to the Poisson bracket induced by Ω .

Corollary 2.8. *We have the following isomorphisms:*

$$(2.21) \quad \frac{\mathbb{C}(q, \xi_i, s_{k,l}, a_i)}{\text{Bethe}} \cong \text{Fun}(q\text{Op}_Z^\Lambda) \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}(q, \xi_i, p_i, a_i)}{(\{H_k^{tRS} - e_k(\{a_i\})\}_{k=1, \dots, r+1})}.$$

The latter space is isomorphic to the space of functions on the intersection of $\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_2$ of two Lagrangian subvarieties with respect to Ω

$$(2.22) \quad \mathcal{L}_1 : \{x_i = \xi_i\}, \quad \mathcal{L}_2 : \{H_i^{tRS} = e_i(\{a_i\})\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, r+1.$$

The above theorem establishes the quantum/classical duality in the *magnetic frame* according to the terminology of [KZ3] since the tRS coordinates x_i are represented in terms of twist parameters ξ_i of the q -oper.

2.3. Quantum/Classical Duality – Electric Frame. Remarkably there is another way to see integrability in the oper setup – the quantum/classical duality in the *electric frame* in which the tRS coordinates are roots of polynomial $\Lambda(z)$ (see Section 4 of [KZ3]). The corresponding tRS integrals of motion read

$$(2.23) \quad H_k^{!,tRS} := \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{J} \subset \{1, \dots, L\} \\ |\mathcal{J}|=k}} \prod_{\substack{i \in \mathcal{J} \\ j \notin \mathcal{J}}} \frac{q a_i - a_j}{a_i - a_j} \prod_{m \in \mathcal{J}} p_m = e_k(\xi_i), \quad q = 1, \dots, r+1.$$

Theorem 2.9 ([KZ3]). *There is an isomorphism of algebras:*

$$(2.24) \quad \text{Fun}(q\text{Op}_Z^\Lambda) \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}(\{\xi_i\}, \{a_i\}, \hbar)(\{p_i\})}{((2.23))}.$$

The latter space is isomorphic to the space of functions on the intersection of $\mathcal{L}_1 \cap \mathcal{L}_2$ of two Lagrangian subvarieties with respect to Ω

$$(2.25) \quad \mathcal{L}_1 : \{x_i = a_i\}, \quad \mathcal{L}_2 : \{H_i^{!,tRS} = e_i(\{\xi_i\})\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, r+1,$$

where $H_i^{!,tRS}$ are the left hand sides of (2.23).

2.4. 3d Mirror Symmetry. The electric and magnetic frames in the above description are related by the 3d mirror symmetry upon which the twist and singularity parameters $\{a_i\}$ and $\{\xi_i\}$ are interchanged as well as q is replaced with q^{-1} .

Proposition 2.10 ([KZ4]). *The following algebras are isomorphic*

$$(2.26) \quad \frac{\mathbb{C}(q, \xi_i, p_i, a_i)}{(H_k^{tRS} - e_k(a_1, \dots, a_{r+1}))_{k=1, \dots, r+1}} \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}(q^{-1}, a_i, p'_i, \xi_i)}{(H_k^{!,tRS} - e_k(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{r+1}))_{k=1, \dots, r+1}}$$

Theorem 2.11. *The space of canonical trigonometrically-twisted q -opers on \mathbb{P}^1 is self-dual, namely:*

$$(2.27) \quad \text{Fun}(q\text{Op}_{Z'}^{\Lambda'}) \cong \text{Fun}(q\text{Op}_Z^{\Lambda}).$$

where $Z' = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_{r+1})$ and $\Lambda'(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{r+1} (z - \xi_i)$.

In the integrability world 3d mirror symmetry has been known as *bispectral duality* (see [KZ3] for most recent review and references). In the diamond Fig. 2 3d mirror symmetry is manifested by reflection about the vertical line passing through diamonds 1, 5, and 9. Thus tCM is dual to rRS, XXX is dual to tGaudin, and tRS, XXZ, rCM, and rGaudin are self-dual [FK, MTV2, FA, FK2, MTV]. Some models in the diamond are defined as dual to known models, such as dual eCM and dual eRS. The latter two can be also obtained via degenerate limits from DELL which we shall address later.

2.5. Limits and Degenerations. The bottom four ovals (#5,7,8, and 9) of the diamond have been studied in [KZ4]. In particular, the author together with A. Zeitlin studied spaces of (G, ϵ) -opers, trigonometrically twisted G -opers (tG-opers), and rationally twisted G -opers (rG-opers). For the (G, ϵ) -opers, one picks $X = \mathbb{P}^1$ in the Definition 2.1 as well as replaces M_q with additive shift $M_\epsilon : z \mapsto z + \epsilon$. As for the tG-opers and rG-opers one considers a vector bundle E together with a complete flag of subbundles \mathcal{L}_\bullet over \mathbb{P}^1 with connection $\nabla : E \rightarrow E \otimes K$ and demands that the maps $\mathcal{L}_i / \mathcal{L}_{i+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{i-1} / \mathcal{L}_i \otimes K$ are isomorphisms away, perhaps, from a set of isolated singularities. Then the tG-oper connection can be brought to the form $\partial_z + Z$ meanwhile the rG-oper connection can be set to $\partial_z + \frac{Z}{z}$ by a gauge transformation $v(z) \in G(z)$ or $v(z) \in B_+(z)$ for Miura opers [BSZ].

Each type of opers leads to its own set of quantum/classical dual models in the magnetic frame:

$$\text{rRS/tGaudin} \qquad \text{tCM/XXX} \qquad \text{rCM/rGaudin}$$

In the electric frame, the dualities go as follows

$$\text{rRS/XXX} \qquad \text{tCM/rRS} \qquad \text{rCM/rGaudin}$$

As was pointed out earlier the tRS model and the XXZ chain are self-dual under 3d mirror symmetry (bispectral duality).

The tRS, rRS, tCM, and rCM models can be obtained from the Calogero-Moser space description by taking certain limits, see [KZ4] for review.

2.6. Elliptic Opers. The ongoing work [GKSZ] is developing an oper description for ovals #2 and #4 of the diamond. As for the $e(G, q)$ -opers, we merely replace the base of the oper bundle with the elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}_w = \mathbb{C}^\times / w^\mathbb{Z}$ and provide a similar definition to 2.1. In local trivialization, the components of sections of the elliptic q -oper bundle are now theta functions $\theta_1(z/u_i|w)$. The following statement holds

Theorem 2.12. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of nondegenerate solutions of the sl_n XYZ Bethe equations and the set of elliptic nondegenerate Z -twisted $(SL(n), q)$ -opers with appropriate regular singularities and weights.*

Additionally, for special type of elliptic q -opers in which all components of the section contain one theta-function, there exists an alternative description in terms of the dual eRS model (the quantum/classical duality in the magnetic frame)

Proposition 2.13.

$$(2.28) \quad \text{Fun}(\text{ell.}q\text{Op}_Z^\Lambda) \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}((q, w, \xi_i, p_i, a_i))}{(\{H_k^{\text{dual eRS}}\}_{k=1, \dots, r+1})}.$$

The Hamiltonians will be specified below.

In the electric frame, it is expected that the eRS model will be dual to the XYZ spin chain. To the best of our knowledge, this has not been shown yet.

3. ENUMERATIVE GEOMETRY AND QUANTUM MANY-BODY SYSTEMS

The next step in our construction is to quantize each classical many-body system from the diamond and find connections with enumerative geometry. We begin with the quantum equivariant K-theory of quiver varieties and the tRS system (oval #5). Below we shall introduce quantum K-theory vertex functions and formulate difference equations that they satisfy. More details are provided in [KZ2, K].

For a given quiver variety X , the quantum multiplication in its localized T -equivariant K-theory $K_T(X)[[z]]$ can be defined by studying moduli spaces of quasimaps QM [CFKM] in all positive degrees from a base curve into the variety.

$$A \circledast B = A \otimes B + \sum_{\mathbf{d}=1} z^{\mathbf{d}} A \circledast_{\mathbf{d}} B.$$

This product enables us to construct a unital quantum K-theory ring. The quasimap moduli spaces from \mathbb{C} into X have a natural action of the maximal torus, lifting its action from X . When there are at most two special points and the base curve \mathbb{C} is \mathbb{P}^1 , we extend T by additional torus \mathbb{C}_q^\times , which scales \mathbb{P}^1 such that the tangent space $T_0\mathbb{P}^1$ has character denoted by q . We will include this action in the full torus by $\mathbb{T} = T \times \mathbb{C}_q^\times$. We assume that the two fixed points of \mathbb{C}_q^\times are 0 and ∞ . As explained in [O3], one can construct various enumerative invariants of X using virtual structure sheaves \mathcal{O}_{vir} for $\text{QM}^{\mathbf{d}}$. Using the above two marked points, one can define a vertex function (analog of Givental's J-function).

Definition 3.1. The element

$$(3.1) \quad V^{(\tau)}(z) = \sum_{\mathbf{d}=0}^{\infty} z^{\mathbf{d}} \text{ev}_{p_2, *}\left(\text{QM}_{\text{nonsing } p_2}^{\mathbf{d}}, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\text{vir}}^{\tau}(\mathcal{V}_i|_{p_1})\right) \in K_{\mathbb{T}_q}(X)_{\text{loc}}[[z]]$$

is called bare vertex with descendent $\tau \in K_T(X)$.

The vertex function is an element of localized quantum K-theory of X . Using the localization theorem one can compute the above vertex functions as equivariant integrals over the moduli space of quasimaps [PSZ, KPSZ]. The ring structure of the K-theory arises in the saddle point limit of the vertex function in the equivariant parameter q corresponding to the \mathbb{C}^\times -action on the base curve.

Proposition 3.2. For X being a cotangent bundle to complete flag variety of type A the vertex function coefficient for the identity class $\tau = 1$ reads

$$(3.2) \quad V_p^{(1)}(z) = \sum_{d_{i,j} \in C} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{q}{\hbar} \frac{\xi_i}{\xi_{i+1}} \right)^{d_i} \prod_{j,k=1}^i \frac{\left(q \frac{x_{i,j}}{x_{i,k}}, q \right)_{d_{i,j}-d_{i,k}}}{\left(\hbar \frac{x_{i,j}}{x_{i,k}}, q \right)_{d_{i,j}-d_{i,k}}} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^i \prod_{k=1}^{i+1} \frac{\left(\hbar \frac{x_{i+1,k}}{x_{i,j}}, q \right)_{d_{i,j}-d_{i+1,k}}}{\left(q \frac{x_{i+1,k}}{x_{i,j}}, q \right)_{d_{i,j}-d_{i+1,k}}},$$

where we define $x_{n,k} = a_k$ – equivariant parameters for the final node of the quiver, and $z_i = \frac{\xi_i}{\xi_{i+1}}$ are the quantum (Kähler) parameters.

In [KZ2, K] it was shown that normalized quantum K-theoretic vertex functions for cotangent bundles to flag varieties of type A are the eigenfunctions of the tRS Hamiltonians.

In the magnetic frame

Theorem 3.3 ([K]). *Consider $H_r^{tRS}(\xi)$ from (2.19) such that quantum parameters and momenta satisfy the quantum torus relation $p_i \xi_j = q^{\delta_{ij}} \xi_j p_i$. Then $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{p}}$ are eigenfunctions of $H_r^{tRS}(\xi)$ up to a simple multiplicative prefactor*

$$(3.3) \quad H_r^{tRS}(\xi) \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{p}}^{(1)} = e_r(\mathbf{a}) \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{p}}^{(1)}, \quad r = 1, \dots, n,$$

where e_r is elementary symmetric polynomial of degree r of a_1, \dots, a_n .

Similarly, in the electric frame

Theorem 3.4 ([KZ2]). *Consider $H_r^{!tRS}(a)$ from (2.23) such that $p_i a_j = q^{\delta_{ij}} a_j p_i$. Then*

$$(3.4) \quad H_r^{!tRS}(a) \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{p}}^{(1)} = e_r(\xi) \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{p}}^{(1)}, \quad r = 1, \dots, n,$$

where e_r is elementary symmetric polynomial of degree r of ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n .

The proof applied ideas of [HR3, HR, HR2] to complex setup.

In other words, tRS wave functions coincide with K-theory vertex functions and the information about the enumerative invariants can be obtained directly from integrability. The semiclassical limit $q \rightarrow 1$ will lead to equations of motion of the tRS model which will serve as relations in the ideal of quantum K-theory ring for the quiver variety in question.

Theorem 3.5. *For a given quiver variety X of type A_r the eigenvalues of the quantum multiplication operator by quantum class $\widehat{\tau}(z)$ are given by the character $\tau(s_I)$ of the corresponding virtual representation of the global symmetry evaluated on the solutions of Bethe ansatz equations for the \mathfrak{gl}_r XXZ spin chain.*

The operator of quantum multiplication by the generating function of tautological bundles in quantum K-theory of X coincides with Baxter Q -operator for the XXZ chain. One identifies each energy sector of the Hilbert space of the spin chain with the space of equivariant localized K-theory of X . The Hilbert space of the spin chain can be regarded as a module of a quantum affine algebra for \mathfrak{gl}_r .

Theorem 3.6. *Quantum equivariant K-theory of $T^*\mathbb{F}l_n$ reads*

$$(3.5) \quad QK_T(T^*\mathbb{F}l_n) = \frac{\mathbb{C}[\zeta_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, \zeta_n^{\pm 1}; a_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, a_n^{\pm 1}, \hbar^{\pm 1}; p_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, p_n^{\pm 1}]}{(H_r^{tRS}(\zeta_i, p_i, \hbar) - e_r(a_1, \dots, a_n))}.$$

Note that geometrically tRS momenta p_i are multiplication operators by quantum tautological classes $\widehat{\hbar}^{j-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{\Lambda^j V_j}(z) \otimes \widehat{\Lambda^{j-1} V_{j-1}}(z)$ of $T^*\mathbb{F}l_n$.

3.1. Truncations to Macdonald Polynomials. Macdonald polynomials $P_\lambda(\xi; q, \hbar)$ can be obtained from infinite series (3.2) by specifying equivariant parameters a_1, \dots, a_n on a lattice spanned by q and \hbar .

Proposition 3.7 ([K]). *Let λ be a partition of k elements of length n and $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$. Let*

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{a_{i+1}}{a_i} = q^{\ell_i} \hbar, \quad \ell_i = \lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Then there exists a fixed point \mathbf{q} of the maximal torus for which the coefficient vertex function (3.2) truncates to

$$(3.7) \quad \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{q}}^{(1)} = P_\lambda(\xi; q, \hbar).$$

3.2. The DELL System. Together with Shakirov, we have introduced [KS] the quantum *double elliptic or double periodic* (DELL) integrable system whose Hamiltonians enjoy double periodicity both in momenta and coordinates. This model sits in oval # 1 and is at the top of the hierarchy of integrable many-body systems of Calogero-Ruijsenaars type. Shortly after, the Hamiltonians were slightly modified in [GZ2, GZ] to be better fit the dualities which are addressed here, in particular, a Lax operator has been produced.

The quantum DELL model for $N - 1$ degrees of freedom (or N degrees of freedom with the removed center of mass) is described by the following Hamiltonians

$$(3.8) \quad \widehat{H}_a = \mathcal{O}_0^{-1} \mathcal{O}_a, \quad a = 1, \dots, N - 1,$$

where operators $\mathcal{O}_0, \mathcal{O}_1, \dots, \mathcal{O}_{N-1}$ are Fourier modes of the following current

$$(3.9) \quad \mathcal{O}(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_n z^n = \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_N = -\infty}^{\infty} (-z)^{\sum n_i} w^{\sum \frac{n_i(n_i-1)}{2}} \prod_{i < j} \theta_p(\hbar^{n_i - n_j} x_i / x_j) p_1^{n_1} \dots p_N^{n_N}.$$

Here θ_p are certain modifications of θ -functions while the canonically conjugate position and momentum operators obeying canonical q -commutation relation $x_i p_j = q^{\delta_{ij}} p_j x_i$. As one can see, the DELL Hamiltonians are highly nonlocal as they involve formal infinite series of functions of shift operators. As of this writing, it remains to be proven that DELL Hamiltonians (3.8) commute with each other; the commutativity has been checked up to several orders in expansion in elliptic parameters p and w .

We have also found the formal spectrum of DELL Hamiltonians which can be formulated using localization. The utilizes the elliptic version of affine Laumon space [N]

Conjecture 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{Z}_{inst}^{DELL}(p, x_1, \dots, x_N)$ be an equivariant elliptic genus of the affine Laumon space. Then there exists a function $\lambda(z, \mathbf{a}, w, p)$ such that*

$$(3.10) \quad \mathcal{O}(z) \mathcal{Z}_{inst}^{DELL}(p, x_1, \dots, x_N) = \lambda(z, \mathbf{a}, w, p) \mathcal{O}_0 \mathcal{Z}_{inst}^{DELL}(p, x_1, \dots, x_N).$$

In particular, by expanding currents $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}(z)$ as in (3.9) and $\lambda(z, \mathbf{a}, w, p) = \sum_n \lambda_n(\mathbf{a}, w, p) z^n$ in z we obtain similar relations for each operator $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_n(z)$, or, using (3.8) we obtain the eigenvalue problem for DELL Hamiltonians

$$(3.11) \quad \mathcal{H}_n \mathcal{Z}_{inst}^{DELL}(p, x_1, \dots, x_N) = \lambda_n(\mathbf{a}, w, p) \mathcal{Z}_{inst}^{DELL}(p, x_1, \dots, x_N).$$

At the moment there exists a plethora of computer computational evidence that the above conjectures hold.

The classical DELL model was introduced in [HIV, BGOR, BH] using six dimensional gauge theories with eight supercharges compactified on a torus. It was shown how to construct the spectral curve, or, equivalently, the Seiberg-Witten curve of the corresponding 6d theory, from M-theory. The two elliptic parameters of DELL, p and w are related to the gauge coupling of the 6d theory and to the elliptic modulus of the compactification torus respectively.

There has been parallel efforts to construct quantum DELL system based on self-duality under 3d mirror symmetry. The summary of the up to date results in that direction as well as references are given in [MM].

3.3. The Elliptic RS Model. The eRS model from oval # 3 can be understood as either elliptic deformation of the tRS model or as the limit $w \rightarrow 0$ of the DELL system above.

Its Hamiltonians read

$$(3.12) \quad \mathcal{H}_r^{eRS} = \sum_{\substack{J \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \\ |J|=r}} \prod_{\substack{i \in J \\ j \notin J}} \frac{\theta_1(\hbar \zeta_i / \zeta_j | \mathbf{p})}{\theta_1(\hbar \zeta_i / \zeta_j | \mathbf{p})} \prod_{i \in J} p_k,$$

where $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ is the parameter of elliptic deformation.

The current progress in finding the spectrum of the eRS model can be summarized as the following conjecture for the eigenfunctions and theorem of the eigenvalues

Conjecture 3.9 ([BKK]). *The eigenfunctions of the elliptic Ruijsenaars-Schneider Hamiltonians*

$$(3.13) \quad \mathcal{H}_k^{eRS} \mathcal{Z}^{RS}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x}) = \lambda_k(\mathbf{a}) \mathcal{Z}^{RS}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x}), \quad k = 1, \dots, N-1.$$

are given by the K -theoretic holomorphic equivariant Euler characteristic of the affine Laumon space $\mathcal{L}_d^{\text{aff}}$

$$(3.14) \quad \mathcal{Z}^{RS} = \sum_d \mathbf{q}^d \int_{\mathcal{L}_d} 1,$$

where $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$ is a string of \mathbb{C}^\times -valued coordinates on the maximal torus of $\mathcal{L}_d^{\text{aff}}$.

Theorem 3.10 ([GKKS]). *The eigenvalues $\lambda_k(\mathbf{a})$ are equivariant Chern characters of bundles $\Lambda^r \mathcal{W}$, where \mathcal{W} is the trivial bundle of the corresponding ADHM space*

$$(3.15) \quad \lambda_k(\mathbf{a}) = \prod_{n=0}^{k-1} \frac{\theta(\hbar^{N-n})}{\theta(\hbar^{n+1})} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{Z}^{RS}(\mathbf{a}, \hbar^\rho \mathbf{q}^{\omega_k})}{\mathcal{Z}^{RS}(\mathbf{a}, \hbar^\rho)}, \quad k = 1, \dots, N-1,$$

where \mathcal{Z}^{RS} is defined in the previous theorem, ω_k is the k -th fundamental weight of representation of $SU(N)$, and ρ is the $SU(N)$ Weyl vector.

3.4. The Calogero-Moser Systems. The Calogero-Moser systems have been shown to be related to quantum cohomology of quiver varieties. It was also shown in [N] that Euler characteristic of the affine Laumon space is the eigenfunction of the elliptic Calogero-Moser system following conjectures of [B].

More recently, the eCM eigenvalue problem was approached [N2, N3] from the vantage point of qq -characters and the author have found an implicit proof.

Representation-theoretically, the wavefunctions of the many-body models on the yellow diagonal are described by Euler characteristic of the Laumon space whereas those on the green diagonal are described by their affine analogs.

3.5. Spaces of Opers for Elliptic Many-Body Systems. A careful reader may find an obvious drawback in the diamond in Fig. 2. All ovals on the yellow and white diagonals have spaces of opers assigned to the respected integrable models. However, there are no known oper-like structures for the elliptic (affine) models of the green diagonal. Presumably one needs an additional geometric structure which reflects the elliptic/affine deformation, i.e. another multiplicative/additive action on the base of the oper bundle with respect to which one needs to work equivariantly. This represents itself an intriguing problem. Perhaps certain ideas from the original work of Cherednik [C, C2] could be geometrized.

As far as space of opers and dual integrable systems are concerned, another interesting direction is to extend the setup to superalgebras. Some progress in this direction has been made in [Z, HMY, Z2].

3.6. DAHAs. The double affine Hecke algebra (DAHA) for Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ can be described as follows. Consider an orbifold fundamental group of the once-punctured torus $\pi_1(T^2 \setminus pt)/W$ where W is the Weyl group of G . It is generated by A and B-cycle monodromies X_i and Y_i as well as by braid generators T_i modulo a set of relations (see [C3] and also [GKN⁺, MNNZ]). Then $\pi_1(T^2 \setminus pt)/W$ admits a central extension with parameter q and is referred to as elliptic braid group. Finally, $\text{DAHA}(X, Y)_{q, \hbar}$ for \mathfrak{g} , which in our classification lives in oval #5, is obtained by taking the quotient of the latter by Hecke relations $(T_i - \hbar)(T_i - \hbar^{-1}) = 0$.

The appearance of $T^2 \setminus pt$ in the description of DAHA is not surprising since the same Riemann surface describes the moduli space of vacua of the $\mathcal{N} = 2^*$ theory which gave rise to Calogero-Ruijsenaars systems in the first place.

Next, we consider a spherical subalgebra of DAHA which can be obtained by conjugating each element $\alpha \in \text{DAHA}(X, Y)_{q, \hbar}$ with an idempotent element e : $e\alpha e$. Remarkably, the spherical subalgebra can be understood geometrically as the deformation quantization of the coordinate ring of the moduli space of flat $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -connections on $\mathcal{M}_{\text{flat}}(G_{\mathbb{C}}, T^2 \setminus pt)$ with respect to the Poisson bracket defined by complex structure J (the latter space is hyper Kähler) [O, O2].

The connection between DAHA and tRS model arises while studying functional and polynomial representations of spherical DAHA. For $SL(N)$ DAHA $N-1$ of those generators become tRS (or Macdonald) shift operators. Similar constructions exist for trigonometric-elliptic DAHA [C] in oval #3 and for rational elliptic DAHA [C2] in oval #6 where certain generators coincide with elliptic RS and elliptic CM Hamiltonians respectively.

Spherical DAHA acts on the space of symmetric polynomials over $\mathbb{Q}(q, \hbar)$ of n -variables. Let us specialize on the locus (3.6). The q -hypergeometric functions coming from the spectrum of the tRS model (3.2) truncate to Macdonald polynomials depending on the quantum parameters. Macdonald (tRS) operators act on P_{λ} by multiplication by symmetric polynomial of parameters $s_l(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ evaluated at (3.6) [K]. The above vertex functions therefore can be treated as highest weight vectors for DAHA modules over space of symmetric polynomials. Unless we further specify the values of q and \hbar these modules are infinite-dimensional and contain Macdonald polynomials parameterized by Young tableaux $\lambda = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ with n columns. Proper combinations of DAHA generators acts on the states in the highest weight module by adding to or removing boxes from tableau λ thereby producing a different Macdonald polynomials.

Representation theory of DAHA and its degenerations – trigonometric and rational Cherednik algebras from ovals #7,8, and 9 – is extremely rich and has deep connections with geometry of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{flat}}(G_{\mathbb{C}}, T^2 \setminus pt)$. Already when $G = SL(2)$ the category of DAHA representations is highly sophisticated [GKN⁺]. The following has been concluded

Proposition 3.11. *There is a derived equivalence between the category of compact Lagrangian branes on $\mathcal{M}_{\text{flat}}(G_{\mathbb{C}}, T^2 \setminus pt)$ and the category of finite-dimensional $SL(2)$ DAHA-modules.*

This statement needs to be understood for higher rank algebras as well as for other versions of DAHA from the diamond. Representation theory of elliptic DAHAs is currently poorly understood. The double elliptic version of DAHA has not yet been constructed and represents a formidable challenge.

REFERENCES

- [B] A. Braverman, *Spaces of quasi-maps into the flag varieties and their applications*, [math/0603454](#).
- [BGOR] H. W. Braden, A. Gorsky, A. Odessky, and V. Rubtsov, *Double elliptic dynamical systems from generalized Mukai-Sklyanin algebras*, Nucl.Phys. **B633** (2002), 414–442, [hep-th/0111066](#).
- [BH] H. W. Braden and T. J. Hollowood, *The Curve of compactified 6-D gauge theories and integrable systems*, JHEP **0312** (2003), 023, [hep-th/0311024](#).
- [BKK] M. Bullimore, H.-C. Kim, and P. Koroteev, *Defects and quantum seiberg-witten geometry*, JHEP **05** (2015), 095, [1412.6081](#).
- [BSZ] T. J. Brinson, D. S. Sage, and A. M. Zeitlin, *Opers on the projective line, wronskian relations, and the bethe ansatz* (202112), [2112.02711](#).
- [C1] I. Cherednik, *Difference-elliptic operators and root systems*, International Mathematics Research Notices **1995** (199501), no. 1, 43–59, <https://academic.oup.com/imrn/article-pdf/1995/1/43/6768451/1995-1-43.pdf>.
- [C2] I. Cherednik, *Elliptic quantum many-body problem and double affine knizhnik-zamolodchikov equation*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **169** (1995May), no. 2, 441–461.
- [C3] I. Cherednik, *Double affine Hecke algebras*, Cambridge University Press, 2005.
- [CFKM] I. Ciocan-Fontanine, B. Kim, and D. Maulik, *Stable Quasimaps to GIT Quotients*, Journal of Geometry and Physics **75** (2014), 17–47.
- [DW] R. Donagi and E. Witten, *Supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory and integrable systems*, Nucl.Phys. **B460** (1996), 299–334, [hep-th/9510101](#).
- [FA] L. Feher and V. Ayadi, *Trigonometric Sutherland Systems and their Ruijsenaars Duals from Symplectic Reduction*, J.Math.Phys. **51** (2010), 103511, [1005.4531](#).
- [FK1] L. Feher and C. Klimcik, *On the duality between the hyperbolic Sutherland and the rational Ruijsenaars-Schneider models*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **42** (2009), 185202, [0901.1983](#).
- [FK2] L. Feher and C. Klimcik, *Self-duality of the compactified Ruijsenaars-Schneider system from quasi-Hamiltonian reduction*, Nucl.Phys. **B860** (2012), 464–515, [1101.1759](#).
- [FKSZ] E. Frenkel, P. Koroteev, D. S. Sage, and A. M. Zeitlin, *q-Opers, QQ-Systems, and Bethe Ansatz*, J. Europ. Math. Soc. (2023), [2002.07344](#).
- [GGM] A. Gorsky, S. Gukov, and A. Mironov, *SUSY field theories, integrable systems and their stringy / brane origin. 2.*, Nucl.Phys. **B518** (1998), 689–713, [hep-th/9710239](#).
- [GK] D. Gaiotto and P. Koroteev, *On three dimensional quiver gauge theories and integrability*, Journal of High Energy Physics **2013** (2013), no. 5.
- [GKKS] A. Gorsky, P. Koroteev, O. Koroteeva, and S. Shakirov, *Double Inozemtsev Limits of the Quantum DELL System*, Phys.Lett.B **826** (2022), 136919, [2110.02157](#).
- [GKM⁺] A. Gorsky, I. Krichever, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov, and A. Morozov, *Integrability and Seiberg-Witten exact solution*, Phys.Lett. **B355** (1995), 466–474, [hep-th/9505035](#).
- [GKN⁺] S. Gukov, P. Koroteev, S. Nawata, D. Pei, and I. Saberi, *Branes and DAHA Representations*, SpringerBriefs in Math. Phys. **1** (2023), [2206.03565](#).
- [GKSZ] A. Grekov, P. Koroteev, M. Semenyakin, and A. Zeitlin, *Elliptic opers*, to appear.
- [GM] A. Gorsky and A. Mironov, *Integrable many body systems and gauge theories* (2000), [hep-th/0011197](#).
- [GZ1] A. Grekov and A. Zotov, *Characteristic determinant and Manakov triple for the double elliptic integrable system*, SciPost Phys. **10** (2021), no. 3, 055, [2010.08077](#).
- [GZ2] A. Grekov and A. Zotov, *On Cherednik and Nazarov-Sklyanin large N limit construction for double elliptic integrable system* (20212), [2102.06853](#).
- [HIV] T. J. Hollowood, A. Iqbal, and C. Vafa, *Matrix models, geometric engineering and elliptic genera*, JHEP **0803** (2008), 069, [hep-th/0310272](#).
- [HMY] C. Huang, E. Mukhin, B. Vicedo, and C. Young, *The solutions of $\mathfrak{gl}_{M|N}$ bethe ansatz equation and rational pseudodifferential operators* (201809), [1809.01279](#).
- [HR1] M. Hallnäs and S. Ruijsenaars, *Joint eigenfunctions for the relativistic Calogero-Moser Hamiltonians of hyperbolic type. I. First steps*, ArXiv e-prints (June 2012), [1206.3787](#).
- [HR2] M. Hallnäs and S. Ruijsenaars, *Kernel functions and Bäcklund transformations for relativistic Calogero-Moser and Toda systems*, Journal of Mathematical Physics **53** (December 2012), no. 12, 123512, [1206.3786](#).

- [HR3] M. Hallnäs and S. Ruijsenaars, *A recursive construction of joint eigenfunctions for the hyperbolic nonrelativistic Calogero-Moser Hamiltonians*, ArXiv e-prints (May 2013), [1305.4759](#).
- [K] P. Koroteev, *A-type Quiver Varieties and ADHM Moduli Spaces*, Commun. Math. Phys. **381** (2021), 175–207, [1805.00986](#).
- [KPSZ] P. Koroteev, P. P. Pushkar, A. Smirnov, and A. M. Zeitlin, *Quantum k-theory of quiver varieties and many-body systems*, Sel. Math. New Ser. **27** (2021), 87, [1705.10419](#).
- [KS] P. Koroteev and S. Shakirov, *The Quantum DELL System*, Lett. Math. Phys. **110** (2020), 969–999, [1906.10354](#).
- [KSZ] P. Koroteev, D. Sage, and A. Zeitlin, *(SL(N, q)-Opers, the q-Langlands Correspondence, and Quantum/Classical Duality*, Commun. Math. Phys. **381** (2021), no. 2, 641–672, [1811.09937](#).
- [KZ1] P. Koroteev and A. Zeitlin, *in progress*.
- [KZ2] P. Koroteev and A. M. Zeitlin, *qKZ/tRS Duality via Quantum K-Theoretic Counts*, Math. Res. Lett. **28**, (2021), no. 2, 435–470, [1802.04463](#).
- [KZ3] P. Koroteev and A. M. Zeitlin, *3d Mirror Symmetry for Instanton Moduli Spaces*, Commun. Math. Phys. (2023), [2105.00588](#).
- [KZ4] P. Koroteev and A. M. Zeitlin, *The Zoo of Opers and Dualities*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2023), [2208.08031](#).
- [KZ5] P. Koroteev and A. M. Zeitlin, *Toroidal q-Opers*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **22** (2023), no. 2, 581–642, [2007.11786](#).
- [MM] A. Mironov and A. Morozov, *On the status of dell systems* (202309), [2309.06403](#).
- [MNNZ] Y. Matsuo, S. Nawata, G. Noshita, and R.-D. Zhu, *Quantum toroidal algebras and solvable structures in gauge/string theory* (202309), [2309.07596](#).
- [MTV1] E. Mukhin, V. Tarasov, and A. Varchenko, *Bispectral and (gl_N, gl_M) Dualities, Discrete Versus Differential*, [math/0605172](#).
- [MTV2] E. Mukhin, V. Tarasov, and A. Varchenko, *Gaudin Hamiltonians generate the Bethe algebra of a tensor power of vector representation of gl_N* (2009), [0904.2131](#).
- [N1] A. Negut, *Laumon spaces and the calogero-sutherland integrable system*, Inventiones mathematicae **178** (2009), no. 2, 299–331.
- [N2] N. Nekrasov, *BPS/CFT correspondence: non-perturbative Dyson-Schwinger equations and qq-characters*, JHEP **03** (2016), 181, [1512.05388](#).
- [N3] N. Nekrasov, *BPS/CFT correspondence V: BPZ and KZ equations from qq-characters* (2017), [1711.11582](#).
- [O1] A. Oblomkov, *Double affine hecke algebras and calogero-moser spaces*, Representation Theory of the American Mathematical Society **8** (2004), no. 10, 243–266, [math/0303190](#).
- [O2] A. Oblomkov, *Double affine Hecke algebras of rank one and affine cubic surfaces*, International Mathematics Research Notices **2004** (2004), no. 18, 877–912, [math/0306393](#).
- [O3] A. Okounkov, *Lectures on k-theoretic computations in enumerative geometry* (2015), [1512.07363](#).
- [PSZ] P. P. Pushkar, A. V. Smirnov, and A. M. Zeitlin, *Baxter q-operator from quantum k-theory*, Advances in Mathematics **360** (2020), 106919.
- [SW1] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *Monopole Condensation, And Confinement In N=2 Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theory*, Nucl. Phys. **B426** (1994), 19–52, [hep-th/9407087](#).
- [SW2] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *Monopoles, duality and chiral symmetry breaking in N=2 supersymmetric QCD*, Nucl. Phys. **B431** (1994), 484–550, [hep-th/9408099](#).
- [Z1] A. M. Zeitlin, *Superopers on supercurves*, MPIM: 2014-7 (201311), [1311.5997](#).
- [Z2] A. M. Zeitlin, *Superopers revisited* (202307), [2307.02675](#).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CA 94720, USA AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK, BUFFALO, NY, 14068, USA