

ON THE STRONGLY REGULAR LOCUS OF THE INERTIA STACK OF Bun_G

DANIEL R. GULOTTA

ABSTRACT. Let G be a connected reductive group over a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . We show that for each $b \in B(G)$, the strongly regular locus of the inertia stack of Bun_G^b is open in the inertia stack of Bun_G . As a consequence, we extend the computation of Hansen–Kaletha–Weinstein of trace distributions of the cohomology of local shtuka spaces $\text{Sht}_{G,b,\mu}$ to non-basic b and in some cases beyond the elliptic locus. If b is closed in $B(G, \mu)$, then we compute the trace distribution of the entire strongly regular locus.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Outline of the paper	4
Acknowledgments	4
2. Automorphisms of G -bundles in general	4
2.1. Centralizers and bundles	4
2.2. The coarse quotient $G//G$, and the strongly regular locus	4
3. Automorphisms of G -bundles on the Fargues–Fontaine curve	5
3.1. G -bundles on the Fargues–Fontaine curve	5
3.2. The strongly regular locus of $\text{In}(\text{Bun}_G)$	6
4. Hecke correspondences and trace distributions	8
4.1. Local shtuka spaces and Hecke correspondences	8
4.2. Geometrization of trace distributions	9
4.3. Some results on characteristic classes	12
4.4. Fixed points in Hecke correspondences	15
4.5. Computing trace distributions	17
References	18

1. INTRODUCTION

Let F be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , and let G be a connected reductive group over F . Scholze [SW20] has defined a tower of moduli spaces of mixed characteristic local shtukas

$$\text{Sht}_{G,b,\mu} = \varprojlim_K \text{Sht}_{G,b,\mu,K}.$$

Here, μ is a conjugacy class of cocharacters $\mu: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G$ defined over \overline{F} , b is an element of the Kottwitz set $B(G, \mu)$, and K ranges over open compact subgroups of $G(F)$. Let E be the field of definition of the conjugacy class of μ . Then $\text{Sht}_{G,b,\mu,K}$

is a locally spatial diamond over $\mathrm{Spd} \check{E}$, where \check{E} is the completion of the maximal unramified extension of E .

The tower $\mathrm{Sht}_{G,b,\mu,K}$ has an action of $G(F) \times G_b(F)$, where $G_b(F)$ is the automorphism group of the isocrystal associated with b .

The cohomology of $\mathrm{Sht}_{G,b,\mu}$ is of interest in the local Langlands program. Let ℓ be a prime different from p . The geometric Satake equivalence attaches to each μ an object \mathcal{S}_μ in the equivariant derived category of étale \mathbb{Z}_ℓ sheaves on $\mathrm{Sht}_{G,b,\mu,K}$. Let C be the completion of the algebraic closure of F . For any smooth representation ρ of $G_b(F)$ with coefficients in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, define

$$R\Gamma(G, b, \mu)[\rho] = \varinjlim_K R\mathrm{Hom}_{G_b(F)}(R\Gamma_c(\mathrm{Sht}_{G,b,\mu,K,C}, \mathcal{S}_\mu), \rho).$$

Fargues and Scholze [FS, Corollary I.7.3] have shown that if ρ is a finite length admissible representation of $G_b(F)$, then $R\Gamma(G, b, \mu)[\rho]$ is represented by a complex of $G(F) \times W_E$ -representations that are finite length and admissible as representations of $G(F)$.

One way to study $R\Gamma(G, b, \mu)[\rho]$ explicitly is via trace distributions. Recall that for any admissible smooth representation π of $G(F)$ and any compactly supported smooth function $f: G(F) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, the endomorphism of π given by $\int_{G(F)} f(g)\pi(g) dg$ has finite-dimensional image, so it makes sense to define its trace $\mathrm{tr}(f|\pi)$. If π also has finite length, then it has a Harish-Chandra character Θ_π , which is a smooth function from the regular semisimple locus $G(F)_{\mathrm{rs}}$ to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ such that

$$\mathrm{tr}(f|\pi) = \int_{G(F)_{\mathrm{rs}}} f(g)\Theta_\pi(g) dg.$$

Similarly, a finite length admissible smooth representation ρ of $G_b(F)$ has a Harish-Chandra character Θ_ρ . If an object in the derived category $D(G(F), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ has finite length admissible cohomology groups, then its Harish-Chandra character is defined to be the alternating sum of the Harish-Chandra characters of the cohomology groups.

For any finite length admissible smooth representation ρ of $G_b(F)$, Hansen–Kaletha–Weinstein compare the elliptic parts of the Harish-Chandra characters of ρ and $R\Gamma(G, b, \mu)[\rho]$.

Theorem 1.0.1 ([HKW22, Theorem 6.5.2]). *Assume b is basic. Let ρ be a finite length admissible smooth representation of $G_b(F)$, and let $\pi = R\Gamma(G, b, \mu)[\rho]$.*

Let $g \in G(F)$ be an elliptic element. Then

$$\Theta_\pi(g) = \sum_{(g, g', \lambda) \in \mathrm{Rel}_b} \dim r_\mu[\lambda]\Theta_\rho(g').$$

Here, Rel_b is a set parametrizing pairs of stably conjugate elements of $G(F)$ and $G_b(F)$, plus some additional data. If ρ belongs to a supercuspidal L -packet, then this identity confirms a prediction of the Kottwitz and refined local Langlands conjectures.

Recall that an element of a reductive group is *strongly regular* if its centralizer is a torus. It would be useful to generalize Theorem 1.0.1 to strongly regular g , since the strongly regular locus is dense in $G(F)$, while the elliptic locus may not be dense. We make some progress toward this goal.

Theorem 1.0.2 (Theorem 4.5.3). *Let ρ be a finite length admissible representation of $G_b(F)$, and let $\pi = R\Gamma(G, b, \mu)[\rho]$. Let $g \in G(F)$ be a strongly regular element. Suppose that for all specializations b' of b in $B(G, \mu)$, g is not stably conjugate to any element of $G_{b'}(F)$. Then*

$$\Theta_\pi(g) = \sum_{(g', \lambda) \in \text{Rel}_b} \dim r_\mu[\lambda] \Theta_\rho(g') \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (1 - \alpha(\lambda))^{-1}.$$

Here, Φ^+ is the set of roots of G that are positive with respect to the parabolic subgroup of G associated with b .

Our definition of Rel_b is slightly different from the one in [HKW22], but the elliptic locus of our Rel_b is the same as the elliptic locus of theirs.

Example 1.0.3. Let M be a Levi subgroup of G , let μ be a cocharacter of G whose centralizer is M , and let $b = \mu(p)$. After accounting for normalization, Theorem 1.0.2 recovers van Dijk's formula for the Harish-Chandra character of a parabolically induced representation [vD72, Theorem 3].

A key step in the proof of Theorem 1.0.2 is the following result:

Theorem 1.0.4 (Theorem 3.2.4). *For each $b \in B(G)$, the strongly regular locus of the inertia stack of Bun_G^b is open in the inertia stack of Bun_G .*

Here, Bun_G is the stack classifying G -bundles on the Fargues–Fontaine curve.

The reason that Theorem 1.0.2 does not cover the entire strongly regular locus is the following. An admissible representation ρ of $G_b(F)$ can be considered as a sheaf Bun_G^b , and the trace distribution of ρ can be regarded as the characteristic class of this sheaf. One can also consider the characteristic class of the sheaf $i_{b*}\rho$ on Bun_G . Theorem 1.0.4 implies that this characteristic class determines a trace distribution on the strongly regular locus of $G_{b'}(F)$ for each $b' \in B(G)$. The b -component is the usual trace distribution of ρ . If b' is neither b nor a specialization of b , then the b' -component is zero. If b' is a specialization of b , then the b' -component may be nonzero, as the following example shows. We do not know how to compute such components.

We learned of the following example from [Han21a].

Example 1.0.5. Let $G = GL_2$, let μ be minuscule, let b be the basic class in $B(G, \mu)$, and let b' be the non-basic class in $B(G, \mu)$. Then $G_b(F) = D^\times$, where D is the nontrivial quaternion algebra over F . Let ρ be the trivial representation of $G_b(F)$. Then the image of $R\Gamma(G, b, \mu)[\rho]$ in $\text{Groth}(G(F))$ is $\text{St} - 1$, where St denotes the Steinberg representation and 1 denotes the trivial representation. The Harish-Chandra character of the element $g = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & 0 \\ 0 & t_2 \end{pmatrix} \in G(F)$ is $-2 + \frac{|t_1| + |t_2|}{|t_1 - t_2|}$. In particular, it is generally not zero. Because g is not stably conjugate to any element of $G_b(F)$, the Harish-Chandra character can be nonzero only if the b' -component of the characteristic class of $i_{b*}\rho$ is nonzero.

One might wonder if there is some other complex of sheaves (such as $i_{b!}\rho$) that restricts to ρ on Bun_G^b such that the b' -component of its characteristic class is zero. If such a complex existed, then $-2 + \frac{|t_1| + |t_2|}{|t_1 - t_2|}$ would have to be a linear combination of Harish-Chandra characters of Eisenstein series, and that is not the case.

1.1. Outline of the paper. In section 2, we prove some general results about endomorphisms of G -bundles. In Section 3, we will use these results to prove Theorem 1.0.4. In Section 4, we will explain how to modify the argument of [HKW22] to prove Theorem 1.0.2.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank David Hansen and Jared Weinstein for helpful discussions. This work was supported in part by the Simons Foundation (Grant Number 814268 via the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute, MSRI). I would also like to thank Boston University and the Hausdorff Research Institute for Mathematics for their hospitality.

2. AUTOMORPHISMS OF G -BUNDLES IN GENERAL

Let F be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , and let G be a connected reductive group over F . We will sometimes abuse notation and write G for the diamond $(G^{\text{an}})^\diamond$, and do similarly with other schemes.

2.1. Centralizers and bundles.

Proposition 2.1.1. *Let Y be a sousperfectoid space over F , and let $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'$ be G -bundles on Y . Let $g \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{E}$, $g' \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{E}'$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ denote the centralizer of g , and let $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'$ denote the equalizer of the maps $\text{Isom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}') \rightarrow \text{Isom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}')$ given by $x \mapsto gx$ and $x \mapsto xg'$.*

Suppose that étale locally on Y , g and g' are conjugate. Further suppose that we are given a connected reductive group H over F and an isomorphism of groups $H \times_F Y \cong \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$.

Then:

- (1) $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}'$ is an H -bundle.
- (2) There is an isomorphism of G -bundles $\mathcal{E}' \cong (\tilde{\mathcal{E}}' \times_Y \mathcal{E})/H$.
- (3) Modifications of G -bundles $\mathcal{E} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{E}'$ intertwining g and g' are in bijection with modifications of H -bundles $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \dashrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}'$.

Proof. This follows immediately from the definitions. □

2.2. The coarse quotient $G//G$, and the strongly regular locus. Consider the action of G on itself by conjugation, and let $G//G = \text{Spec}(\Gamma(G, \mathcal{O}_G))^G$ denote the coarse quotient.

Any morphism $H \rightarrow G$ of connected reductive groups over F induces a morphism $H//H \rightarrow G//G$.

Let Y be a scheme or sousperfectoid space over F , and let \mathcal{E} be a G -bundle over Y . Suppose we are given an étale covering $Z \rightarrow Y$ along with a trivialization $\tau: \mathcal{E} \times_Y Z \xrightarrow{\sim} G \times_F Z$. The action $\underline{\text{Aut}} \mathcal{E} \times_Y (G \times_F Z) \rightarrow (G \times_F Z)$ is of the form $(h, g, z) \mapsto (g\gamma_\tau(h, z), z)$ for some $\gamma_\tau: \underline{\text{Aut}} \mathcal{E} \times_Y Z \rightarrow G$. The map γ_τ depends τ only up to conjugation. Moreover, the composite

$$\underline{\text{Aut}} \mathcal{E} \times_Y Z \xrightarrow{\gamma_\tau} G \rightarrow G//G$$

descends to a map $\chi_\mathcal{E}: \underline{\text{Aut}} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow G//G$.

Example 2.2.1. If G' is an inner form of G , then $\underline{\text{Isom}}(G, G')$ is a G -bundle over F , with automorphism group G' . There is an induced map $G' \rightarrow G//G$.

Recall that an element of G is said to be *strongly regular* if its centralizer is a torus.

Lemma 2.2.2. *The strongly regular locus of G is the pullback of an open subset of the coarse quotient $G//G$.*

We will call this open set the strongly regular locus of $G//G$, and denote it by $(G//G)_{\text{sr}}$.

Proof. This follows from [Ste65, §2.15]. \square

Definition 2.2.3. Let X be a scheme, sousperfectoid space, or v -stack over $G//G$. The *strongly regular locus* of X , denoted X_{sr} , is the pullback $X \times_{G//G} (G//G)_{\text{sr}}$.

Two strongly regular elements of G are stably conjugate if and only if they have the same image in $G//G$. The following lemma generalizes this fact.

Lemma 2.2.4. *The map $G \times_F G_{\text{sr}} \rightarrow G_{\text{sr}} \times_{G//G} G_{\text{sr}}$ given by $(g, h) \mapsto (h, ghg^{-1})$ admits an étale local section.*

Proof. We claim the map is smooth and surjective. Indeed, it is straightforward to check smoothness using Chevalley restriction, and [Ste65, Corollary 6.6] implies surjectivity. Then an étale local section exists by [Gro67, Corollaire 17.16.3(ii)].

Alternatively, one can use the Bruhat decomposition to give an explicit étale local section. Choose a finite extension K/F such that G_K is split, and let B and \overline{B} be opposite Borel subgroups of G_K . Let $T = B \cap \overline{B}$, and let N and \overline{N} be the unipotent radicals of B and \overline{B} , respectively. Let W be the Weyl group of G_K . Recall that $G_K = \bigsqcup_{w \in W} Bw\overline{B}$, and that $Bw\overline{B} \subseteq B\overline{B}w = N\overline{N}wT$. Therefore, $G/T = \cup_{w \in W} N\overline{N}wT/T$. Choose a representative in the normalizer of T for each element of W . The map $T_{\text{sr}} \times_K N \times_K \overline{N} \times_K N \times_K \overline{N} \times W \rightarrow G \times_F G_{\text{sr}}$ given by $(t, n_1, \overline{n}_1, n_2, \overline{n}_2, w) \mapsto (n_2\overline{n}_2w\overline{n}_1^{-1}n_1^{-1}, n_1\overline{n}_1t\overline{n}_1^{-1}n_1^{-1})$ is the desired étale local section. \square

Corollary 2.2.5. *Let Y be a sousperfectoid space over F , let $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'$ be G -bundles over Y , and let $g \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{E}, g' \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{E}'$ be strongly regular automorphisms. Then g and g' are étale locally conjugate iff the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{g} & \underline{\text{Aut}} \mathcal{E} \\ \downarrow g' & & \downarrow \chi_{\mathcal{E}} \\ \underline{\text{Aut}} \mathcal{E}' & \xrightarrow{\chi_{\mathcal{E}'}} & G//G \end{array}$$

commutes.

3. AUTOMORPHISMS OF G -BUNDLES ON THE FARGUES–FONTAINE CURVE

3.1. G -bundles on the Fargues–Fontaine curve. As in the previous section, F denotes a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , and G denotes a connected reductive group over F . Let \check{F} denote the completion of the maximal unramified extension of F , and let $\sigma: \check{F} \rightarrow \check{F}$ denote the Frobenius map.

Given $b \in G(\check{F})$, let G_b denote the algebraic group over F whose functor of points is given by

$$G_b(R) = \left\{ g \in G(R \otimes_F \check{F}) \mid b\sigma(g) = gb \right\}.$$

The group G_b is an inner form of a Levi subgroup of the quasisplit inner form of G .

The Kottwitz set $B(G)$ is defined to be the set of σ -conjugacy classes of $G(\check{F})$. In other words, two elements $b, b' \in G(\check{F})$ are considered to be equivalent if there exists $\gamma \in G(\check{F})$ such that $b' = \sigma(\gamma)b\gamma^{-1}$. The isomorphism class of the group G_b depends only on the image of b in $B(G)$.

Let Perf denote the category of perfectoid spaces over \mathbb{F}_p , and let $\text{Perf}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$ denote the category of perfectoid spaces over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. For any affinoid perfectoid $S = \text{Spa}(R, R^+)$ in Perf with pseudouniformizer ϖ , define

$$Y_S = (\text{Spa } W_{\mathcal{O}_F}(R^+)) \setminus \{p[\varpi] = 0\}$$

$$X_S = Y_S / \text{Frob}^{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

The space X_S is the adic Fargues–Fontaine curve. This definition can be glued, so it makes sense to define Y_S and X_S for any S in $\text{Perf}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$.

For any $b \in B(G)$ and any $S \in \text{Perf}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$, there is a corresponding vector bundle $\mathcal{E}_{b,S}$ on X_S .

Definition 3.1.1 ([FS, Definition III.0.1, Theorem III.0.2]). Let Bun_G be the v -stack sending $S \in \text{Perf}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$ to the groupoid of G -bundles on X_S .

For any $b \in \text{Bun}_G$, let Bun_G^b be the substack classifying G -bundles that are isomorphic to $\mathcal{E}_{b,x}$ at every geometric point x .

Let \tilde{G}_b denote the functor $\text{Perf}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ defined by $\tilde{G}_b(S) = \text{Aut } \mathcal{E}_{b,S}$. It is representable by a locally spatial diamond. There are maps $\underline{G}_b(F) \rightarrow \tilde{G}_b \rightarrow \underline{G}_b(F)$, whose composition is the identity.

3.2. The strongly regular locus of $\text{In}(\text{Bun}_G)$.

Lemma 3.2.1. *Let S be a perfectoid space over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. For any map $X_S \rightarrow G//G$, there is a unique continuous map $|S| \rightarrow (G//G)(F)$ that makes the following diagram commute.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_S & \longrightarrow & G//G \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ |S| & \longrightarrow & \underline{(G//G)(F)} \end{array}$$

Proof. By [FS, Proposition II.2.5(ii)], maps $X_S \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_F^1$ are in bijection with maps $|S| \rightarrow F$. Since $G//G$ is the diamond of an affine variety, the lemma follows. \square

Definition 3.2.2. For any map of v -stacks $X \rightarrow S$, the *inertia stack* $\text{In}_S(X)$ is the fiber product $X \times_{X \times_S X} X$.

In the case $S = *$, we will shorten the notation to $\text{In}(X)$.

The stack $\text{In}(\text{Bun}_G)$ classifies G -bundles equipped with an automorphism.

Corollary 3.2.3. *There is a map $\chi: \text{In}(\text{Bun}_G) \rightarrow \underline{(G//G)(F)}$ such that for any $S \in \text{Perf}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}$, and any morphism $S \rightarrow \text{In}(\text{Bun}_G)$ inducing a G -bundle \mathcal{E} over X_S*

equipped with an automorphism $g \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{E}$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \underline{\text{Aut } \mathcal{E}} & & \\
 \uparrow g & \searrow \chi_{\mathcal{E}} & \\
 X_S & \longrightarrow & G//G \\
 \downarrow & & \uparrow \\
 |S| & \longrightarrow & \underline{(G//G)(F)} \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow |\chi| & \\
 \underline{|\text{In}(\text{Bun}_G)|} & &
 \end{array}$$

commutes.

Define $\text{In}(\text{Bun}_G)_{\text{sr}} = \chi^{-1}(\underline{(G//G)(F)}_{\text{sr}})$.

Theorem 3.2.4. *For each $b \in B(G)$, $\text{In}(\text{Bun}_G^b)_{\text{sr}}$ is open in $\text{In}(\text{Bun}_G^b)$. In particular, $\text{In}(\text{Bun}_G)_{\text{sr}} = \bigsqcup_{b \in B(G)} \text{In}(\text{Bun}_G^b)_{\text{sr}}$.*

The strategy of the proof will be to show that if \mathcal{E} is a G -bundle on X_S admitting a strongly regular automorphism, then locally on $|S|$, \mathcal{E} can be obtained from the construction of Proposition 2.1.1, with H a torus. If H is a torus, then $|\text{Bun}_H|$ is discrete.

Any $b \in B(G)$ induces a morphism $* \rightarrow \text{Bun}_G$. The group \tilde{G}_b can be identified with the fiber product $* \times_{\text{Bun}_G} \text{In}(\text{Bun}_G)$. Let $\chi_{\tilde{G}_b}$ denote the composite $\tilde{G}_b \rightarrow \text{In}(\text{Bun}_G) \rightarrow (G//G)(F)$. One can also define a map $\chi_{G_b}: G_b \rightarrow (G//G)$ as in Example 2.2.1.

Lemma 3.2.5. *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \tilde{G}_b & \longrightarrow & \underline{G_b(F)} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{G}_b \\
 & \searrow \chi_{\tilde{G}_b} & \downarrow \chi_{G_b} & \swarrow \chi_{\tilde{G}_b} & \\
 & & \underline{(G//G)(F)} & &
 \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. It is clear from the definitions that the triangle on the right commutes. To see that the large triangle also commutes, observe that the composite $\tilde{G}_b \rightarrow \underline{G_b(F)} \rightarrow \tilde{G}_b$ is a limit of conjugation maps, and $\chi_{\tilde{G}_b}$ is conjugation invariant. \square

Lemma 3.2.6. *Let T be a maximal torus of G_b , and let T_{sr} denote the preimage of $(G//G)_{\text{sr}}$ under the map $T \rightarrow G//G$ induced by χ_{G_b} . Then the induced map $T(F)_{\text{sr}} \rightarrow (G//G)(F)_{\text{sr}}$ is a local homeomorphism.*

Proof. By Chevalley restriction, the map $T_{\text{sr}} \rightarrow (G//G)_{\text{sr}}$ is étale. Then the lemma follows from the inverse function theorem for locally F -analytic manifolds [Ser92, §II.III.9]. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.2.4. Let S be a perfectoid space, and let \mathcal{E} be a G -bundle over X_S equipped with a strongly regular endomorphism g . It induces a map $S \rightarrow \text{In}(\text{Bun}_G)$. Let $b \in B(G)$, and let $z = \text{Spa}(K, K^+)$ be a point of S whose image

in Bun_G is contained in Bun_G^b . We need to show that some open neighborhood of z also maps to Bun_G^b .

Let t be the image of g_z under the map $\tilde{G}_b(K) \rightarrow G_b(F)$. By Lemma 3.2.5, g_z and t determine the same element of $(G//G)(F)$. Let T be the centralizer of t in G_b . By Lemma 3.2.6, after replacing S with an open neighborhood of z , we can find a map $|S| \rightarrow T(F)_{\mathrm{sr}}$ such that the composite $|S| \rightarrow T(F)_{\mathrm{sr}} \xrightarrow{X_{G_b}} (G//G)(F)_{\mathrm{sr}}$ agrees with the map $|S| \rightarrow (G//G)(F)_{\mathrm{sr}}$ induced by g . Let g' the endomorphism of the bundle $\mathcal{E}_{b,S}$ induced by this map. By Corollary 2.2.5, g and g' are étale locally conjugate. By Proposition 2.1.1, we can find a T -bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ such that $\mathcal{E} = (\tilde{\mathcal{E}} \times \mathcal{E}_{b,S})/T$. Then the map $S \rightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_G$ factors through Bun_T . Since $|\mathrm{Bun}_T|$ is discrete, the map $|S| \rightarrow |\mathrm{Bun}_G|$ must be locally constant. \square

4. HECKE CORRESPONDENCES AND TRACE DISTRIBUTIONS

Now, we will use Theorem 3.2.4 and Proposition 2.1.1 to generalize [HKW22, Section 6]. We will recall the setup of [HKW22] and explain how to generalize it.

4.1. Local shtuka spaces and Hecke correspondences. The functor $\rho \mapsto R\Gamma(G, b, \mu)[\rho]$ is closely related to the Hecke operators defined in [FS, §IX].

Hecke operators are defined using the diagram of v -stacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathrm{Hecke} & \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hecke}^{\mathrm{loc}} \\ & \swarrow h_1 & \searrow h_2 \\ \mathrm{Bun}_G & & \mathrm{Bun}_G \times \mathrm{Div}^1 \end{array}$$

Here $\mathrm{Div}^1 = (\mathrm{Spd} \check{F})/\mathrm{Frob}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the diamond classifying degree 1 Cartier divisors on the Fargues–Fontaine curve, Hecke is the stack classifying modifications of vector bundles on the Fargues–Fontaine curve that are isomorphisms away from a single point of Div^1 , and $\mathrm{Hecke}^{\mathrm{loc}}$ is the local Hecke stack $[L^+G \backslash LG / L^+G]$.

The stack $\mathrm{Hecke}^{\mathrm{loc}}$ has a stratification by Schubert cells. For any conjugacy class of cocharacters μ of G , there is a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathrm{Hecke}_{\leq \mu} & \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hecke}_{\leq \mu}^{\mathrm{loc}} \\ & \swarrow h_{1, \leq \mu} & \searrow h_{2, \leq \mu} \\ \mathrm{Bun}_G & & \mathrm{Bun}_G \times \mathrm{Div}^1 \end{array}$$

The maps $h_{1, \leq \mu}$ and $h_{2, \leq \mu}$ are proper [FS, §I.2].

The local shtuka space $\mathrm{Sht}_{G, b, \mu, C}$ is the fiber product

$$\mathrm{Hecke}_{\leq \mu} \times_{\mathrm{Bun}_G \times \mathrm{Bun}_G \times \mathrm{Div}^1} \mathrm{Spd} C,$$

where the map from $\mathrm{Spd} C$ to the first copy of Bun_G corresponds to the G -bundle $\mathcal{E}_{b,C}$, the map from $\mathrm{Spd} C$ to the second copy of Bun_G corresponds to the trivial G -bundle $\mathcal{E}_{1,C}$, and the map $\mathrm{Spd} C \rightarrow \mathrm{Div}^1$ is induced by the inclusion $\check{F} \hookrightarrow C$.

Since $\mathrm{Sht}_{G, b, \mu, C}$ lives over C , it will be convenient to work with $\mathrm{Bun}_G \times \mathrm{Spd} C$, which we will denote by $\mathrm{Bun}_{G, C}$.

The space $\mathrm{Sht}_{G, b, \mu, C}$ is a locally spatial diamond. It admits an action of $G(F) \times \tilde{G}_b(C)$, and in particular of the subgroup $G(F) \times G_b(F)$. For any compact open

subgroup $K \subset G(F)$, the quotient $\text{Sht}_{G,b,\mu,K,C} = \text{Sht}_{G,b,\mu,C}/K$ is also a locally spatial diamond [SW20, §23].

For any small v -stack X , and $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -algebra Λ , let $D_{\text{ét}}(X, \Lambda)$ be the category defined in [Sch, Definition 1.7].

Choose a prime $\ell \neq p$. Let q be the size of the residue field of F . For each nonnegative integer n , the geometric Satake equivalence [FS, §VI] associates with μ an object $\mathcal{S}_{\mu,n}$ in $D_{\text{ét}}(\text{Hecke}^{\text{loc}}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z})$. (One sometimes uses a different normalization that is defined over $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z})[q^{1/2}]$, where q is the size of the residue field of F , in order to trivialize a cyclotomic twist in the Weil group action. But since we have nothing to say about the Weil group action, we do not do this.) We will also write $\mathcal{S}_{\mu,n}$ for the pullback of this object to $\text{Sht}_{G,b,\mu,K,C}$. Define

$$R\Gamma_c(\text{Sht}_{G,b,\mu,K,C}, \mathcal{S}_{\mu}) = \varinjlim_U \varprojlim_n R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{S}_{\mu,n}),$$

where U runs over quasicompact open subsets of $\text{Sht}_{G,b,\mu,K,C}$. For any finite length admissible representation ρ of $G_b(F)$, define

$$R\Gamma(G, b, \mu)[\rho] = \varinjlim_{K \subset G(F)} R\text{Hom}_{G_b(F)}(R\Gamma_c(\text{Sht}_{G,b,\mu,K,C}, \mathcal{S}_{\mu}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}, \rho).$$

By [HKW22, Proposition 6.4.5], $R\Gamma(G, b, \mu)[\rho]$ is a finite length object in the derived category of smooth representations of $G(F)$ with coefficients in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}$.

There is an equivalent way of defining $R\Gamma(G, b, \mu)[\rho]$ that makes use of more of the machinery in [FS].

For any small v -stack X and discrete \mathbb{Z}_{ℓ} -algebra Λ , Fargues–Scholze define a category $D_{\text{lis}}(X, \Lambda)$ [FS, VII.6.1]. If Λ is killed by a power of ℓ , then $D_{\text{lis}}(X, \Lambda)$ is equivalent to $D_{\text{ét}}(X, \Lambda)$ by [FS, Proposition VII.6.6].

Proposition 4.1.1 ([FS, Proposition VII.7.1]). *There are equivalences*

$$D(G_b(F), \Lambda) \cong D_{\text{lis}}([*/G_b(F)], \Lambda) \cong D_{\text{lis}}(\text{Bun}_G^b, \Lambda) \cong D_{\text{lis}}([\text{Spd } C/G_b(F)], \Lambda) \cong D_{\text{lis}}(\text{Bun}_{G,C}^b, \Lambda).$$

The geometric Satake correspondence determines an object \mathcal{S}_{μ} in $D_{\text{lis}}(\text{Hecke}^{\text{loc}}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell})$, which is essentially the limit of the $\mathcal{S}_{\mu,n}$. We also denote by \mathcal{S}_{μ} its pullback to $\text{Hecke}_{\leq \mu}$. The Hecke operator $T_{\mu}: D(\text{Bun}_G, \Lambda) \rightarrow D(\text{Bun}_G \times \text{Div}^1, \Lambda)$ is defined by

$$T_{\mu}A = h_{2*}(h_1^*A \otimes \mathcal{S}_{\mu}) = h_{2, \leq \mu*}(h_{1, \leq \mu}^*A \otimes \mathcal{S}_{\mu}).$$

We will also denote the induced functor $D(\text{Bun}_{G,C}, \Lambda) \rightarrow D(\text{Bun}_{G,C}, \Lambda)$ by T_{μ} .

Proposition 4.1.2 ([HKW22, Proposition 6.4.5]).

$$R\Gamma(G, b, \mu)[\rho] \cong i_1^* T_{-\mu} i_{b*} \rho.$$

Here, i_b denotes the composite

$$[\text{Spd } C/\underline{G}_b(F)] \rightarrow [\text{Spd } C/\tilde{G}_b] \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Bun}_{G,C}^b \rightarrow \text{Bun}_{G,C},$$

and i_1 is defined similarly.

4.2. Geometrization of trace distributions. Let $C_c(G_b(F), \Lambda)$ denote the space of locally constant Λ -valued functions on $G_b(F)$, and let $\text{Haar}(G_b(F), \Lambda)$ denote the space of Λ -valued Haar measures on $G_b(F)$. Define

$$(4.2.1) \quad \text{Dist}(G_b(F), \Lambda) = \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(C_c(G_b(F), \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \text{Haar}(G_b(F), \Lambda), \Lambda).$$

A Λ -representation ρ of $G_b(F)$ is said to be smooth if the stabilizer of any vector is open. A smooth representation ρ is said to be admissible if for every compact

open pro- p subgroup $K \subset G_b(F)$, the derived K -invariants of ρ are represented by a perfect complex. Any admissible smooth representation ρ determines a trace distribution $\text{tr. dist}(\rho) \in \text{Dist}(G, \Lambda)$. For any $f \in C_c(G_b(F), \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \text{Haar}(G_b(F), \Lambda)$, the operator $\int_{g \in G_b(F)} f(g)\rho(g)$ has finitely presented image, and $\text{tr. dist}(\rho)(f)$ is defined to be its trace.

If in addition Λ is isomorphic to \mathbb{C} and ρ has finite length, then $\text{tr. dist}(\rho)$ has a Harish-Chandra character Θ_{ρ} [HC99, Theorem 16.3]. Here, Θ_{ρ} is a locally constant function from the regular semisimple locus $G_b(F)_{\text{rs}}$ of $G_b(F)$ to Λ satisfying

$$(\text{tr. dist}(\rho))(f) = \int_{g \in G_b(F)_{\text{rs}}} \Theta_{\rho}(g)f(g)$$

for all $f \in C_c(G, \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} \text{Haar}(G, \Lambda)$. (Since $G_b(F)_{\text{rs}}$ is not compact, we need to choose an isomorphism $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \cong \mathbb{C}$ to make sense of the integral. However, since Θ_{ρ} is uniquely determined by the values of the integrals for which f has support in a compact subset of $G_b(F)_{\text{rs}}$, Θ_{ρ} does not depend on the choice of isomorphism.) Since the strongly regular locus is dense in the regular semisimple locus, there is no harm in integrating over $G_b(F)_{\text{sr}}$ instead of $G_b(F)_{\text{rs}}$.

The trace distribution can be reinterpreted in terms of characteristic classes.

Let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a fine map of decent v -stacks, as defined in [GHW, Definitions 1.1 and 1.3]. Let $\text{In}_S(X)$ be the inertia stack of X , as in Definition 3.2.2. In the category $D_{\text{ét}}(X, \Lambda)$, there is a notion of f -universally locally acyclic (ULA) objects [FS, Definition IV.2.1]. For any $A \in D_{\text{ét}}(X, \Lambda)$ that is ULA over S , there is an associated characteristic class $\text{cc}_{X/S}(A) \in H^0(\text{In}_S(X), K_{\text{In}_S(X)/S})$ [HKW22, Definition 4.3.6]. Here, $K_{\text{In}_S(X)/S} = \text{In}_S(f)^! \Lambda$, where $\text{In}_S(f): \text{In}_S(X) \rightarrow S$ is the map induced by f .

We will take $S = \text{Spd } C$.

Proposition 4.2.2 ([HKW22, Example 4.2.5]). *There is an isomorphism*

$$H^0(\text{In}_S([S/G_b(F)], K_{\text{In}_S([S/G_b(F)])}) \cong \text{Dist}(G_b(F), \Lambda)^{G_b(F)}.$$

Here, $G_b(F)$ acts on $\text{Dist}(G_b(F), \Lambda)$ by conjugation.

Proposition 4.2.3.

- (1) *An element of $D(G_b(F), \Lambda)$ is admissible if and only if the corresponding element of $D_{\text{ét}}([S/G_b(F)], \Lambda)$ is ULA over S .*
- (2) *Under the isomorphism of Proposition 4.2.2, if ρ is admissible, then*

$$\text{cc}_{[S/G_b(F)]/S}(\rho) = \text{tr. dist}(\rho).$$

Proof. The first claim can be proved in the same way as [FS, Theorem V.7.1]. The second claim is [HKW22, Proposition 4.4.3]. \square

One can also consider characteristic classes on the stack $\text{Bun}_{G,C}^b = [S/\tilde{G}_b]$.

Proposition 4.2.4. *The map $G_b(F) \rightarrow \tilde{G}_b$ induces an isomorphism*

$$\text{In}_S([S/G_b(F)])_{\text{sr}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{In}_S([S/\tilde{G}_b])_{\text{sr}}.$$

Lemma 4.2.5. [FS, Proposition III.5.1] *There is an isomorphism*

$$\tilde{G}_b \cong \underline{G}_b(F) \times \tilde{G}_b^{>0}$$

and for any $\lambda > 0$, $\tilde{G}_b^{\geq \lambda} / \tilde{G}_b^{> \lambda}$ is isomorphic to the Banach-Colmez space associated with the slope $-\lambda$ part of the isocrystal $(\text{Lie } G \otimes_F \check{F}, \text{Ad}(b)\sigma)$.

Lemma 4.2.6. *For any $\lambda \geq 0$ and any affinoid perfectoid space $\text{Spa}(R, R^+)$, the quotient map $\widetilde{G}_b^{>0} \times \text{Spa}(R, R^+) \rightarrow \widetilde{G}_b^{>0}/\widetilde{G}_b^{>\lambda} \times \text{Spa}(R, R^+)$ admits a section.*

Proof. Let X_R^{alg} denote the schematic Fargues–Fontaine curve over R , and let H denote the inner twisting of $G \times X_R^{\text{alg}}$ by \mathcal{E}_b . The slope filtration on \mathcal{E}_b induces a filtration on H . The map $H^{>0} \rightarrow H^{>0}/H^{>\lambda}$ is smooth, so it admits an étale local section by [Gro67, Corollaire 17.16.3(ii)]. The obstruction to constructing a section globally lies in $H_{\text{ét}}^1(X_R^{\text{alg}}, H^{>\lambda})$, which is zero since each $H_{\text{ét}}^1(X_R^{\text{alg}}, H^{>\lambda'}/H^{>\lambda'})$ is zero by [FS, Proposition II.2.5(iii)]. Therefore, there exists a section $H^{>0}/H^{>\lambda} \rightarrow H^{>0\lambda}$. Taking global sections gives the desired section $\widetilde{G}_b^{>0}/\widetilde{G}_b^{>\lambda} \times \text{Spa}(R, R^+) \rightarrow \widetilde{G}_b^{>0} \times \text{Spa}(R, R^+)$. \square

Lemma 4.2.7. *The map $\underline{G}_b(F)_{\text{sr}} \times \widetilde{G}_b^{>0} \rightarrow (\widetilde{G}_b)_{\text{sr}}$ that sends $(g, h) \mapsto hgh^{-1}$ is an isomorphism of diamonds.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that the map becomes an isomorphism after base change to an arbitrary affinoid perfectoid $\text{Spa}(R, R^+)$. We will inductively construct inverses to the maps

$$q_\lambda: \underline{G}_b(F)_{\text{sr}} \times (\widetilde{G}_b^{>0}/\widetilde{G}_b^{>\lambda}) \times \text{Spa}(R, R^+) \rightarrow (\widetilde{G}_b)_{\text{sr}}/\widetilde{G}_b^{>\lambda} \times \text{Spa}(R, R^+).$$

The base case $\lambda = 0$ is trivial.

Suppose we have constructed the inverse of q_λ , and let λ' be the next largest step in the filtration. Consider the map $G_b(F) \rightarrow \text{End}(\widetilde{G}_b^{>\lambda'}/\widetilde{G}_b^{>\lambda'})$ sending $g \in G_b(F)$ to the endomorphism $h \mapsto g^{-1}h^{-1}gh$. If g is in $G_b(F)_{\text{sr}}$, then its image must be an injective endomorphism, and all injective endomorphisms of a positive Banach–Colmez space are automorphisms. So the endomorphism of $G_b(F)_{\text{sr}} \times \widetilde{G}_b^{>\lambda'}/\widetilde{G}_b^{>\lambda'}$ sending $(g, h) \mapsto (g, g^{-1}h^{-1}gh)$ is invertible. Use Lemma 4.2.6 to lift both the inverse of this map and the inverse of q_λ . Taking the product of the two lifts gives an inverse of $q_{\lambda'}$. \square

Proposition 4.2.4 follows immediately from Lemma 4.2.7.

Corollary 4.2.8.

(1) *For each $b \in B(G)$, there is an isomorphism*

$$H^0(\text{In}_S([S/\widetilde{G}_b])_{\text{sr}}, K_{\text{In}_S([S/\widetilde{G}_b])_{\text{sr}}/S}) \cong \text{Dist}(G_b(F)_{\text{sr}}, \Lambda)^{G_b(F)}.$$

(2) *There is an isomorphism*

$$H^0(\text{In}_S(\text{Bun}_{G,S})_{\text{sr}}, K_{\text{In}_S(\text{Bun}_{G,S})_{\text{sr}}/S}) \cong \prod_{b \in B(G)} \text{Dist}(G_b(F)_{\text{sr}}, \Lambda)^{G_b(F)}.$$

Proof. The first item follows from Propositions 4.2.2 and 4.2.4. The second item follows from the first and Theorem 3.2.4. \square

The problem of computing the trace distribution is therefore reduced to the problem of determining how the characteristic classes interact with the operations appearing in Proposition 4.1.2. The analysis can be summarized as follows.

- Lemma 4.3.3 uses Propositions 4.3.1 and 4.3.2 to compute the restriction of $\mathrm{cc}_{\mathrm{In}_S(\mathrm{Bun}_{G,C})/S}(i_{b*}\rho)$ to some of the strata of $\mathrm{In}_S(\mathrm{Bun}_{G,C})_{\mathrm{sr}}$. Our lack of knowledge about what happens on the remaining strata is the reason that we are unable to extend Theorem 1.0.2 to the entire strongly regular locus.
- Proposition 4.3.11 relates $\mathrm{cc}_{\mathrm{In}_S(\mathrm{Bun}_{G,C})/S}(i_{b*}\rho)$ to the trace distribution of ρ (considered as a representation of $G_b(F)$).
- The pullback to h_1^* and tensor product with \mathcal{S}_μ are treated in [HKW22, Proposition 6.4.8]. We make no changes to this part of their argument.
- Proposition 4.3.2 reduces the problem of analyzing the pushforward h_{2*} to the problem of characterizing the fibers of h_2 . This analysis is performed in Section 4.4.
- Proposition 4.3.1 handles the pullback i_1^* .

Section 4.5 combines all of the ingredients.

4.3. Some results on characteristic classes.

Proposition 4.3.1 ([HKW22, Lemma 4.3.7]). *Let $i: U \rightarrow X$ be an open immersion of decent v -stacks fine over S . Then $\mathrm{In}_S(i): \mathrm{In}_S(U) \rightarrow \mathrm{In}_S(X)$ is also an open immersion. If $A \in D(X, \Lambda)$ is ULA over S , then so is i^*A , and*

$$\mathrm{cc}_{U/S}(i^*A) = \mathrm{In}_S(i)^* \mathrm{cc}_{X/S}(A).$$

Proposition 4.3.2 ([HKW22, Corollary 4.3.9]). *Let $p: X \rightarrow Y$ be an proper morphism of decent v -stacks fine over S . Then $\mathrm{In}_S(p): \mathrm{In}_S(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{In}_S(Y)$ is also proper. If $A \in D(X, \Lambda)$ is ULA over S , then so is $p_!A$, and*

$$\mathrm{cc}_{X/S}(p_!A) = \mathrm{In}_S(p)_! \mathrm{cc}_{Y/S}(A).$$

Lemma 4.3.3. *Let ρ be a representation of $G_b(F)$. Under the isomorphism of Corollary 4.2.8(2), the b -component of $\mathrm{cc}_{\mathrm{In}_S(\mathrm{Bun}_{G,C})}(i_{b*}\rho)$ is the usual trace distribution of ρ . If $b' \in B(G)$ is not in the closure of b , then the b' -component of $\mathrm{cc}_{\mathrm{In}_S(\mathrm{Bun}_{G,C})}(i_{b*}\rho)$ is zero.*

Proof. The map i_b is a composite of the open immersion $\mathrm{Bun}_G^b \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{Bun}_G^b}$ and the closed immersion $\overline{\mathrm{Bun}_G^b} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bun}_G$. So the claim follows from Propositions 4.3.1 and 4.3.2. \square

If b' is a specialization of b , then the b' -component of $\mathrm{cc}_{\mathrm{In}_S(\mathrm{Bun}_{G,C})/S}(i_{b*}\rho)$ is generally not zero; see Example 1.0.5.

The rest of this section is devoted to computing the restriction of $\mathrm{cc}_{\mathrm{In}_S(\mathrm{Bun}_{G,C})/S}(i_{b*}\rho)$ to $\mathrm{In}_S(\mathrm{Bun}_{G,C}^b)_{\mathrm{sr}}$. Since Proposition 4.2.3 relates the characteristic class of a sheaf on $[S/\underline{G}_b(F)]$ to a trace distribution, it remains only to compare the characteristic class of a sheaf on $[S/\underline{G}_b(F)]$ to the characteristic class of its pullback to $[S/\tilde{G}_b]$. In Proposition 4.3.11, we will show that the two classes are related by a factor D_b^- , defined as follows.

Let ν_b be the Newton point of b . Recall from [FS, §III.5.1.1] that ν_b and $-\nu_b$ determine a pair of opposite parabolic subgroups P_b^+, P_b^- of the quasisplit inner form of G , and G_b is an inner form of $M_b = P_b^+ \cap P_b^-$. Over some finite extension F' of F , $(G_b)_{F'}$ becomes conjugate to $(M_b)_{F'}$; use this conjugation to identify the two groups. For $g \in G_b(F)$, let $D_b^-(g)$ be defined by

$$(4.3.4) \quad D_b^-(g) = \det(1 - \mathrm{Ad} g | \mathrm{Lie}((P_b^-)_{F'}) / \mathrm{Lie}((M_b)_{F'})).$$

Then $D_b^-(g)$ lies in F since $\text{Gal}(F'/F)$ acts on g by conjugation. It does not depend on F' or the choice of conjugation.

Lemma 4.3.5. *Let*

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}' \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'' \rightarrow 1$$

be an exact sequence of group diamonds that are locally spatial and separated and cohomologically smooth over $\text{Spd } C$. Assume the morphisms are locally compactifiable of finite $\dim.\text{trg}$.

Let $f: \text{Spd } C \rightarrow [\text{Spd } C/\mathcal{G}]$ be the quotient map, and define f', f'' similarly. Let g be an automorphism of \mathcal{G} that respects the exact sequence.

Suppose that $f'_!\Lambda$ and $f''!\Lambda$ are shifts of the constant sheaf Λ , and that g acts by $\lambda', \lambda'' \in \Lambda^\times$ on $f'_!\Lambda$ and $f''!\Lambda$, respectively. Then $f_!\Lambda$ is also a shift of the constant sheaf Λ , and g acts by $\lambda'\lambda''$ on $f_!\Lambda$.

Proof. Consider the diagram

$$(4.3.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Spd } C & \longrightarrow & [\text{Spd } C/\mathcal{G}'] & \longrightarrow & [\text{Spd } C/\mathcal{G}] \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \text{Spd } C & \longrightarrow & [\text{Spd } C/\mathcal{G}'']. \end{array}$$

The square is cartesian, so we may apply base change. \square

Lemma 4.3.7. (1) *Let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle on the Fargues–Fontaine curve X_C with positive slopes. Let $\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{E})$ denote the corresponding Banach–Colmez space. Let $f: \text{Spd } C \rightarrow [\text{Spd } C/\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{E})]$ be the quotient map. Then $f_!\Lambda \cong \Lambda[-2 \deg \mathcal{E}]$.*

(2) *Let \mathcal{E} be a skyscraper sheaf on X_C supported on the divisor corresponding to C , and let $f: \text{Spd } C \rightarrow [\text{Spd } C/\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{E})]$ be the quotient map. Then $f_!\Lambda \cong \Lambda[-2 \deg \mathcal{E}]$.*

Proof. First, we prove (1). Let $\tilde{f}: \mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \text{Spd } C$ be the base change of f . By [Han21b, Proposition 4.8], $\tilde{f}_!\Lambda \cong \Lambda[-2 \dim \mathcal{E}]$. To show that $f_!\Lambda \cong \Lambda[-2 \dim \mathcal{E}]$, we need to show that translation by $\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{E})$ induces the identity $\tilde{f}_!\Lambda$. Indeed, $\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{E})$ is connected, while $\tilde{f}_!\Lambda$ is discrete.

Next, we prove (2). In this case, $\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{E})$ is an extension of copies of \mathbb{G}_a . By base change (see the diagram (4.3.6) above), it suffices to consider the case $\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathbb{G}_a$. In that case, $\tilde{f}_!\Lambda \cong \Lambda[-2]$. The translation action on $\tilde{f}_!\Lambda \cong \Lambda[-2]$ must be trivial since \mathbb{G}_a is connected, so $\tilde{f}_!\Lambda \cong \Lambda[-2]$. \square

Lemma 4.3.8. *Let C be a complete algebraically closed extension of F , let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle on the Fargues–Fontaine curve X_C with positive slopes, and let $\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{E})$ be the associated Banach–Colmez space. Let $f: \text{Spd } C \rightarrow [\text{Spd } C/\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{E})]$ be the usual map. Let g be an automorphism of \mathcal{E} . Then the action of g on $f_!\Lambda$ is multiplication by $|\det g|^{[F:\mathbb{Q}_p]}$. Here, $\det g$ is defined to be the image of g in $\text{Aut}(\wedge^{\text{rk } \mathcal{E}} \mathcal{E}) \cong F^\times$.*

Proof. We will reduce to a special case using Lemma 4.3.5. Lemma 4.3.7 guarantees that the conditions of Lemma 4.3.5 are met.

Using the slope filtration, we reduce to the case where \mathcal{E} is semistable, and using exact sequences $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}(-1) \rightarrow 0$, we reduce to the case where \mathcal{E} has slope $\leq [F:\mathbb{Q}_p]$. Using Jordan normal form, we further reduce to the

case where g acts by a scalar (and F is replaced by a finite extension). In that case, the claim follows from an explicit description of $\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{E})$ as a cover of a formal \mathcal{O}_F -module. \square

In the remainder of this subsection, we will let $X = [S/\underline{G}_b(F)]$, $\tilde{X} = [S/\tilde{G}_b]$. To simplify notation, we will omit the subscript when writing fiber products over S , so $X \times X$ denotes the fiber product over S rather than the absolute fiber product. Let $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times X$ and $\tilde{\Delta} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X} \times \tilde{X}$ denote the diagonal maps, and let $p_1, p_2 : X \times X \rightarrow X$, $\tilde{p}_1, \tilde{p}_2 : \tilde{X} \times \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ denote the projections. Let $q : X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$, $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the maps induced by the respective maps $\underline{G}_b(F) \rightarrow \tilde{G}_b$, $\tilde{G}_b \rightarrow \underline{G}_b(F)$.

Lemma 4.3.9. *The map f is ℓ -cohomologically smooth.*

Proof. Since $\tilde{G}_b^{>0}$ is isomorphic to a unit ball, $\tilde{G}_b^{>0} \rightarrow *$ is cohomologically smooth. By [Sch, Proposition 23.15], $* \rightarrow [*/\tilde{G}_b^{>0}]$ is cohomologically smooth. Choose m so that $\tilde{G}_b \rightarrow L_m^+ G \setminus L^+ G$ is an injection. By loc. cit., $L_m^+ G \setminus L^+ G \rightarrow L_m^+ G \setminus L^+ G / \tilde{G}_b^{>0}$ is ℓ -cohomologically smooth. By [Sch, Proposition 23.13], $L_m^+ G \setminus L^+ G / \tilde{G}_b^{>0} \rightarrow G_C$ is ℓ -cohomologically smooth. By [Sch, Proposition 23.15], $L_m^+ G \setminus L^+ G / \tilde{G}_b \rightarrow G_C / \underline{G}_b(F)$ is ℓ -cohomologically smooth. Then by definition, f is ℓ -cohomologically smooth. \square

The characteristic class is the composition of a coevaluation map and an evaluation map. These maps are constructed in [HKW22, Proposition 4.3.5].

Lemma 4.3.10. *Let A be an object in $D_{\text{ét}}(X, \Lambda)$ that is ULA over S . Consider the coevaluation maps*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{coev} : \Lambda &\rightarrow \Delta^!(A^\vee \boxtimes A) \\ \widetilde{\text{coev}} : \Lambda &\rightarrow \tilde{\Delta}^!(f^! A^\vee \boxtimes f^* A). \end{aligned}$$

The isomorphisms

$$q^* \tilde{\Delta}^!(f^! A^\vee \boxtimes f^* A) \cong q^* \tilde{\Delta}^!(f \times \text{id})^!(\text{id} \times f)^*(A^\vee \boxtimes A) \cong q^* f^* \Delta^!(A^\vee \boxtimes A) \cong \Delta^!(A^\vee \boxtimes A)$$

identify the pullback of $\widetilde{\text{coev}}$ along q with coev . Here, the middle isomorphism is smooth base change.

Proof. Recall the definition of coev . There is a natural map

$$\Lambda \rightarrow R\text{Hom}(A, A) \cong R\text{Hom}(\Delta^* p_1^* A, \Delta^! p_2^! A) \cong \Delta^! R\text{Hom}(p_1^* A, p_2^! A).$$

Similarly, there is a natural map

$$A^\vee \boxtimes A \rightarrow R\text{Hom}(p_1^* A, p_2^! A),$$

which is an isomorphism when A is ULA. Then coev is the composition of the first map with the pullback of the inverse of the second.

One can then check that the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} R\text{Hom}(f^* A, f^* A) &\cong f^* R\text{Hom}(A, A) \\ R\text{Hom}(\tilde{p}_1^* A, \tilde{p}_2^! A) &\cong (f \times \text{id})^!(\text{id} \times f)^* R\text{Hom}(p_1^* A, p_2^! A) \\ f^! A^\vee \boxtimes f^* A &\cong (f \times \text{id})^!(\text{id} \times f)^*(A^\vee \boxtimes A) \\ \tilde{\Delta}^!(f \times \text{id})^!(\text{id} \times f)^* &\cong f^* \Delta^! \end{aligned}$$

constructed using smooth base change and adjunction are compatible with each other. \square

Proposition 4.3.11. *Let A be an object in $D_{\text{ét}}(X, \Lambda)$ that is ULA over S . Under the isomorphism of Proposition 4.2.4,*

$$\text{cc}_{\text{In}_S(\tilde{X})/S}(f^*A)_{\text{sr}} = D_b^- \text{cc}_{\text{In}_S(X)/S}(A)_{\text{sr}},$$

where D_b^- was defined in (4.3.4).

Proof. In the diagrams below, all morphisms $X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ are q , all morphisms $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ are f , and all morphisms $X \rightarrow X$ and $\tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ are the identity (though the morphism $q \circ f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ would appear in some intermediate calculations that we have omitted).

We will consider the base changes $\text{In}(\tilde{X}) \times_{\tilde{X}} X$ and $\text{In}(X) \times_{\tilde{X}} X$, where the map $\text{In}(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ is projection onto the second vector and the map $\text{In}(X) \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ is projection onto the second factor followed by q . These two stacks are isomorphic, via the composition

$$X \times_{X \times X} X \times_{\tilde{X}} X \xrightarrow{\sim} X \times_{X \times \tilde{X}} \tilde{X} \times_{\tilde{X}} X \xrightarrow{\sim} X \times_{X \times \tilde{X}} X \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{X} \times_{\tilde{X} \times \tilde{X}} X \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{X} \times_{\tilde{X} \times \tilde{X}} \tilde{X} \times_{\tilde{X}} X.$$

Under this isomorphism, the pullbacks of $\text{cc}_{\text{In}_S(X)/S}(A)$ and $\text{cc}_{\text{In}_S(\tilde{X})/S}(f^*A)$ agree. Using many applications of base change and smooth base change (recall that f is cohomologically smooth), we see that both pullbacks are computed by a cohomological correspondence whose underlying correspondence is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & X \times_{\tilde{X} \times X} X & & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ & X & & X & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ S & & \tilde{X} \times X & & X \end{array}$$

Here, the sheaves on S , $\tilde{X} \times X$, and X are Λ , $f^!A^\vee \boxtimes A$, and $q^*K_{\tilde{X}}$, respectively, and the maps of sheaves are the ones obtained by applying smooth base change along f to the usual evaluation and coevaluation maps. The compatibility of the two maps $\Lambda \rightarrow (q \times \text{id})^!(f^!A^\vee \boxtimes A)$ follows from Lemma 4.3.10, and the other compatibilities are straightforward to check.

Let $s: \text{In}(X) \rightarrow \text{In}(\tilde{X})$ be the morphism induced by q . We will consider the base change $s': \text{In}(X) \times_{\tilde{X}} X \rightarrow \text{In}(\tilde{X}) \times_{\tilde{X}} X$. The stacks $\text{In}(\tilde{X}) \times_{\tilde{X}} X$ and $\text{In}(X) \times_{\tilde{X}} X$ can be identified with $[\tilde{G}_b//G_b(F)]$, and \tilde{G}_b can be written as the semi-direct product $\underline{G}_b(F) \ltimes \tilde{G}_b^{>0}$. Under these identifications, s' corresponds to the endomorphism of $\underline{G}_b(F) \ltimes \tilde{G}_b^{>0}$ given by

$$mn \mapsto n^{-1}mn = m(m^{-1}n^{-1}mn).$$

Applying Lemmas 4.2.5 and 4.3.8 and base change repeatedly yields the desired factor of D_b^- . \square

4.4. Fixed points in Hecke correspondences. Let $\text{Hecke}^{b,*}$ denote preimage of Bun_G^b in Hecke under h_1 , and let $\text{Hecke}^{*,1}$ denote the preimage of $\text{Bun}_G^b \times \text{Div}^1$ in Hecke under h_2 . Let $\text{Hecke}^{b,1}$ denote the intersection of $\text{Hecke}^{b,*}$ and $\text{Hecke}^{*,1}$.

The stack $\text{In}(\text{Hecke}^{b,1})$ parametrizes triples (g, g', ϕ) , where $g \in G(F)$, $g' \in \tilde{G}_b$, and $\phi: \mathcal{E}_1 \dashrightarrow \mathcal{E}_b$ is a modification, up to equivalence. Two triples (g, g', ϕ) and

(h, h', ψ) are considered equivalent if there exist exist $\gamma \in G(F), \gamma' \in \tilde{G}_b$ such that $h = \gamma g \gamma^{-1}, g' = \gamma' h' \gamma'^{-1}, \psi = \gamma' \phi \gamma^{-1}$.

Suppose we have a triple (g, g', ϕ) such that g is strongly regular, with centralizer T . Then by Proposition 2.1.1, the modification ϕ must come from a modification of T -bundles. Let $\text{inv}[b](g, g') \in B(T)$ denote the isomorphism class of the T -bundle associated with \mathcal{E}_b .

For any torus T over F , let $X_*(T)$ denote its cocharacter lattice. Also, observe that $B(T)$ has the structure of an abelian group.

Lemma 4.4.1.

- (1) *There is a unique functorial family of isomorphisms*

$$\beta_T: X_*(T)_\Gamma \rightarrow B(T)$$

for each torus T over F such that $\beta_{\mathbb{G}_m}$ sends the identity cocharacter to the class of $p \in \check{F}^\times$.

- (2) *There is a modification of T -bundles $\mathcal{E}_1 \dashrightarrow \mathcal{E}_b$ with parameter μ if and only if $\beta_T(\mu) = b$.*

Proof. The rule that sends a cocharacter μ to the isomorphism class of the T -bundle obtained by modifying the trivial T -bundle by μ is a natural transformation $X_*(-) \rightarrow B(-)$. This natural transformation factors through $X_*(-)_\Gamma \rightarrow B(-)$. By [Kot85, Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 2.3], any natural transformation $X_*(-)_\Gamma \rightarrow B(-)$ is uniquely determined by the map $X_*(\mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow B(\mathbb{G}_m)$. One can check that for $T = \mathbb{G}_m$, the identity cocharacter is sent to the isomorphism class of \mathbb{G}_m -bundles corresponding to $p \in B(\mathbb{G}_m)$. By loc. cit., the corresponding natural transformation is an equivalence. \square

Definition 4.4.2. Let Rel_b be the set of equivalence classes of of triples (g, g', λ) , where $g \in G(F)_{\text{sr}}, g' \in G_b(F)_{\text{sr}}$ are stably conjugate, and $\lambda \in X_*(T)$ has the property that its image in $X_*(T)_\Gamma \cong B(T)$ is the same as $\text{inv}[b](g, g')$. Here, T is the centralizer of g in G .

For any cocharacter μ of G , let $\text{Rel}_{b,\mu}$ be the subset of Rel_b consisting of triples (g, g', λ) for which $\lambda \leq \mu$.

This is slightly different from [HKW22, Definition 3.2.4], which only required that λ and $\text{inv}[b](g, g')$ had the same image in $\pi_1(G)_\Gamma$. The two definitions agree on the elliptic locus, by [HKW22, Theorem 6.2.3].

The following extends [HKW22, Corollary 6.2.4].

Lemma 4.4.3. *The inclusions*

$$\text{In}_S(\text{Hecke}_{G, \leq \mu, S}^{b,*})_{\text{sr}} \hookrightarrow \text{In}_S(\text{Hecke}_{G, \leq \mu, S}^{b,1})_{\text{sr}} \hookrightarrow \text{In}_S(\text{Hecke}_{G, \leq \mu, S}^{*,1})_{\text{sr}}$$

are open and closed immersions.

There is a homeomorphism

$$\left| \text{In}_S \left(\text{Hecke}_{G, \leq \mu, S}^{b,1} \right)_{\text{sr}} \right| \cong \text{Rel}_{b,\mu} .$$

Proof. The first claim follows from Theorem 3.2.4, and the second follows from Proposition 2.1.1 and Lemma 4.4.1. \square

4.5. **Computing trace distributions.** Define a function

$$\mathcal{T}_{b,\mu}^{G_b \rightarrow G} : \text{Dist}(G_b(F)_{\text{sr}}, \Lambda)^{G_b(F)} \rightarrow \text{Dist}(G(F)_{\text{sr}}, \Lambda)^{G(F)}$$

as follows.

If T is a maximal torus, then we can identify $[T(F)//T(F)]$ with an open and closed substack of $[G(F)//G(F)]$. Hence we can identify $\text{Dist}(T(F)_{\text{sr}}, \Lambda)^{T(F)}$ with a direct summand of $\text{Dist}(G(F)_{\text{sr}}, \Lambda)$. Given $\phi \in \text{Dist}(G(F)_{\text{sr}}, \Lambda)$, we will denote its restriction to T by $\phi|_T$.

For any $\phi \in \text{Dist}(G_b(F)_{\text{sr}}, \Lambda)^{G_b(F)}$, define $\mathcal{T}_{b,\mu}^{G_b \rightarrow G}(\phi)$ such that for any $g \in G(F)_{\text{sr}}$,

$$(4.5.1) \quad \mathcal{T}_{b,\mu}^{G_b \rightarrow G}(\phi)|_{Z_G(g)} = \sum_{(g,g',\lambda) \in \text{Rel}_b} \dim r_\mu[\lambda] \left(\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^-} (1 - \alpha(\lambda)) \right) \phi|_{Z_{G_b}(g')}.$$

Here, $Z_G(g)$ and $Z_{G_b}(g')$ denote the centralizers of g and g' , respectively, and Φ^- is the set of roots of G that are positive with respect to the parabolic P_b^- .

The following extends [HKW22, Proposition 6.4.7].

Proposition 4.5.2. *Let Λ be a torsion \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -algebra, and let ρ be an admissible representation of $G_b(F)$ with coefficients in λ . Then we have an equality*

$$\text{tr. dist} R\Gamma(G, b, \mu)[\rho]_{\text{sr}} = \mathcal{T}_{b,\mu}^{G_b \rightarrow G} \text{tr. dist}(\rho)_{\text{sr}}$$

in $\text{Dist}(G(F)_{\text{sr}}, \Lambda)^{G(F)}$.

Proof. We will abbreviate Hecke as H and Bun_G as B .

We consider the following diagram, which extends [HKW22, (6.4.2)].

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{In}(H^{b,1})_{\text{sr}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{i}'_b} & \text{In}(H^{*,1})_{\text{sr}} & \xrightarrow{\text{In}(h_2^{*,1})_{\text{sr}}} & \text{In}(B^1)_{\text{sr}} \\ \downarrow \tilde{i}'_1 & & \downarrow j'_1 & & \downarrow j_1 \\ & & \text{In}(H^{*,1}) & \xrightarrow{\text{In}(h_2^{*,1})} & \text{In}(B^1) \\ & & \downarrow \text{In}(i'_1) & & \downarrow \text{In}(i_1) \\ \text{In}(H^{b,*})_{\text{sr}} & \xrightarrow{j'_b} & \text{In}(H^{b,*}) & \xrightarrow{\text{In}(i'_b)} & \text{In}(H) & \xrightarrow{\text{In}(h_2)} & \text{In}(B) \\ \downarrow \text{In}(h_1^{b,*})_{\text{sr}} & & \downarrow \text{In}(h_1^{b,*}) & & \downarrow \text{In}(h_1) & & \\ \text{In}(B^b)_{\text{sr}} & \xrightarrow{j_b} & \text{In}(B^b) & \xrightarrow{\text{In}(i_b)} & \text{In}(B) & & \end{array}$$

As in the proof of [HKW22, Proposition 6.4.7], we have

$$j_1 \text{cc}_{B^1/S}(i_* T_V^\vee(i_b)_* \rho) \cong (\text{In}(h_2^{*,1})_{\text{sr}})(j'_1)^* \text{In}(i'_1)^* \text{cc}_{H/S}(h_1^*(i_b)_* \rho \otimes \mathcal{S}_{V^\vee}).$$

By Proposition 3.2.4, for any $b' \in B(G)$, $\text{In}(H^{b',*})_{\text{sr}}$ is open and closed in $\text{In}(H)_{\text{sr}}$. If $b' \neq b$, then the pullback of $\text{cc}_{H/S}(h_1^*(i_b)_* \rho \otimes \mathcal{S}_{V^\vee})$ to $\text{In}(H^{b',*})_{\text{sr}}$ is zero. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & (j'_1)^* \text{In}(i'_1)^* \text{cc}_{H/S}(h_1^*(i_b)_* \rho \otimes \mathcal{S}_{V^\vee}) \\ &= (j'_1)^* \text{In}(i'_1)^* \text{In}(i'_b)_* (j'_b)^* \text{In}(i'_b)^* \text{cc}_{H/S}(h_1^*(i_b)_* \rho \otimes \mathcal{S}_{V^\vee}) \\ &= (\tilde{i}'_b)_* (\tilde{i}'_1)^* (j'_b)^* \text{In}(i'_b)^* \text{cc}_{H/S}(h_1^*(i_b)_* \rho \otimes \mathcal{S}_{V^\vee}), \end{aligned}$$

where we used proper base change in the last line.

Again following the argument of loc. cit., we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tilde{i}'_b)_* (\tilde{i}'_1)^* (j'_b)^* \text{In}(i'_b)^* \text{cc}_{H/S}(h_1^*(i_b)_* \rho \otimes \mathcal{S}_{V^\vee}) \\ &= (\tilde{i}'_b)_* (\tilde{i}'_1)^* (\text{cc}_{B^b/S} \rho_{\text{rs}} \boxtimes \text{In}(B_m^{\text{loc}})_{\text{sr}} \text{cc}_{H_m^{\text{loc}}/S}(\mathcal{S}_{V^\vee})_{\text{sr}}) . \end{aligned}$$

Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(\text{In}(B^b)_{\text{sr}}, K_{\text{In}(B^b)/S}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Dist}(G_b(F)_{\text{sr}}, \Lambda)^{G_b(F)} \\ \downarrow -\boxtimes \text{In}(B_m^{\text{loc}})_{\text{sr}} \text{cc}_{H_m^{\text{loc}}/S}(\mathcal{S}_{V^\vee})_{\text{sr}} & & \downarrow q_1^*(-) \otimes (-1)^{(2\rho_G)\mu} \text{rank } V^\vee[-] \\ H^0(\text{In}(H^{b,*})_{\text{sr}}, K_{\text{In}(H^{b,*})/S}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Dist}(\pi_0(\text{Fix}(\alpha_b)_{\text{sr}}), \Lambda)^{G_b(F)} \\ \downarrow (\tilde{i}'_1)^* & & \downarrow (p_1)^* \\ H^0(\text{In}(H^{b,1})_{\text{sr}}, K_{\text{In}(H^{b,1})/S}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Dist}(\pi_0(\text{Fix}(\alpha_{\text{Sht}})_{\text{sr}}), \Lambda)^{G(F) \times G_b(F)} \\ \downarrow (\tilde{i}_b)_* & & \downarrow (p_2)_* \\ H^0(\text{In}(H^{*,1})_{\text{sr}}, K_{\text{In}(H^{*,1})/S}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Dist}(\text{Fix}(\alpha_1)_{\text{sr}}, \Lambda)^{G(F)} \\ \downarrow \text{In}(h_2^{*,1}) & & \downarrow (q_2)_* \\ H^0(\text{In}(B^1)_{\text{sr}}, K_{\text{In}(B^1)/S}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Dist}(G(F)_{\text{sr}}, \Lambda)^{G(F)} \end{array} .$$

With these modifications, the argument of [HKW22] also works in our more general situation. The factor of $\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^-} (1 - \alpha(\lambda))$ in (4.5.1) comes from Proposition 4.3.11. \square

Finally, we arrive at our main result.

Theorem 4.5.3. *Let ρ be an admissible finite length representation of $G_b(F)$, and let $\pi = R\Gamma(G, b, \mu)[\rho]$. Let $g \in G(F)$. Suppose that for all specializations b' of b in $B(G, \mu)$, g is not stably conjugate to any element of $G_{b'}(F)$. Then*

$$\Theta_\pi(g) = \sum_{(g, g', \lambda) \in \text{Rel}_b} \dim r_\mu[\lambda] \Theta_\rho(g') \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (1 - \alpha(\lambda))^{-1} .$$

Here, Φ^+ is the set of roots of G that are positive with respect to the parabolic P_b^+ .

Proof. This follows from Proposition 4.5.2 in the same way that [HKW22, Theorem 6.5.2] follows from [HKW22, Proposition 6.4.7]. The difference between the factor of $\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^-} (1 - \alpha(\lambda))$ in (4.5.1) and the factor of $\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} (1 - \alpha(\lambda))^{-1}$ in this theorem comes from applying the Weyl integration formula to G_b and G . \square

REFERENCES

- [FS] L. Fargues and P. Scholze. Geometrization of the local Langlands correspondence. arXiv:2102.13459.
- [GHW] D. Gulotta, D. Hansen, and J. Weinstein. An enhanced six-functor formalism for diamonds and v-stacks. arXiv:2202.12467.
- [Gro67] A. Grothendieck. Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas IV. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (32):361, 1967.
- [Han21a] D. Hansen. H.-Kaletha-Weinstein study guide and FAQ. <https://totallydisconnected.wordpress.com/2021/05/06/h-kaletha-weinstein-study-guide-and-faq/>, 2021.

- [Han21b] D. Hansen. Moduli of local shtukas and Harris’s conjecture. *Tunis. J. Math.*, 3(4):749–799, 2021.
- [HC99] Harish-Chandra. *Admissible invariant distributions on reductive p -adic groups. Notes by Stephen DeBacker and Paul J. Sally jun*, volume 16 of *Univ. Lect. Ser.* Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 1999.
- [HKW22] D. Hansen, T. Kaletha, and J. Weinstein. On the Kottwitz conjecture for local shtuka spaces. *Forum Math. Pi*, 10:79, 2022. Id/No e13.
- [Kot85] R. E. Kottwitz. Isocrystals with additional structure. *Compos. Math.*, 56:201–220, 1985.
- [Sch] P. Scholze. Étale cohomology of diamonds. arXiv:1709.07343.
- [Ser92] J.-P. Serre. *Lie algebras and Lie groups. 1964 lectures, given at Harvard University*, volume 1500 of *Lect. Notes Math.* Berlin etc.: Springer-Verlag, 2nd ed. edition, 1992.
- [Ste65] R. Steinberg. Regular elements of semisimple algebraic groups. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (25):49–80, 1965.
- [SW20] P. Scholze and J. Weinstein. *Berkeley lectures on p -adic geometry*, volume 207 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2020.
- [vD72] G. van Dijk. Computation of certain induced characters of P -adic groups. *Math. Ann.*, 199:229–240, 1972.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF UTAH, SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84112, USA
Email address: dgulotta@math.utah.edu