

# THE DENSITY PROPERTY FOR GENERALIZED CALOGERO–MOSER SPACES WITH INNER DEGREES OF FREEDOM

RAFAEL B. ANDRIST AND GAOFENG HUANG

ABSTRACT. We prove the density property for generalized Calogero–Moser spaces with inner degrees of freedom. This allows us to describe the holomorphic automorphism group of these complex affine manifolds. These generalized Calogero–Moser spaces can also be understood as quiver varieties corresponding to moduli spaces of  $SU(2)$  instantons on a non-commutative  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The notions of density property and flexibility express in a precise way that the automorphism group of a Stein manifold or a complex affine variety, respectively, is “large”, and in particular infinite-dimensional. Moreover, such spaces allow for various approximation and interpolation results on holomorphic automorphisms.

In a previous paper, the first author [And21] established the so-called density property for the Calogero–Moser spaces  $\mathcal{C}_n$ , thus giving a description of their group of holomorphic automorphisms. Calogero–Moser spaces  $\mathcal{C}_n$  were originally motivated by physics, and can be interpreted as a completion of the phase space of  $n$  indistinguishable particles moving in the complex line  $\mathbb{C}$ . They have also become an object of intense study in algebraic geometry, since the spaces  $\mathcal{C}_n$  turn out to be smooth complex affine varieties that are diffeomorphic to the Hilbert schemes of  $n$  points in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , see Wilson [Wil98]. In this article we investigate a family of generalized Calogero–Moser spaces and establish the density property for them.

We will use the following notation: We write  $M_{n \times k}$  for the vector space of complex  $n \times k$ -matrices,  $I_n$  for the identity matrix of size  $n$ , and  $\mathfrak{gl}_k$  for the Lie algebra of the Lie group  $GL_k(\mathbb{C})$ .

Before turning to their generalizations, we first recall the definition of the Calogero–Moser spaces:

**Definition 1.1** (according to [Wil98]). The *Calogero–Moser space*  $\mathcal{C}_n$  is defined as a geometric quotient as follows:

$$\{(A, B, v, w) \in T^*(M_{n \times n} \times M_{n \times 1}) : [A, B] - vw = -I_n\} // GL_n(\mathbb{C}),$$

and the  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ -action is given by

$$g \cdot (A, B, v, w) = (gAg^{-1}, gBg^{-1}, gv, wg^{-1})$$

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 32M17, 32Q56, 14J42, 14D21.

*Key words and phrases*. density property, holomorphic automorphisms, Andersen–Lempert theory, Calogero–Moser spaces, instanton moduli spaces.

where  $A, B \in M_{n \times n}$ ,  $v \in M_{n \times 1}$ ,  $w \in M_{1 \times n}$  and  $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

This construction can also be understood as the result of symplectic reduction, and the Calogero–Moser spaces  $\mathcal{C}_n$  carries a natural symplectic holomorphic structure. A symplectic version of the density property for the Calogero–Moser spaces was studied by the authors in a recent article [AH23].

The notion of Calogero–Moser spaces can be generalized in various ways, and these spaces have been studied in the literature. Note that in the defining equation above for the Calogero–Moser space,  $[A, B] - vw = -I_n$  can be rephrased as  $\mathrm{rank}([A, B] + I_n) = 1$ . One natural generalization is to allow also higher ranks.

For such a generalized Calogero–Moser space, Gibbons and Hermsen [GH84] suggested the physical interpretation with particles that possess inner degrees of freedom. In this article we consider the case of rank two or less.

According to Nakajima [Nak94, Section 3] we have the following correspondence. Let  $\mu_{\mathbb{R}}: M_{n \times n} \times M_{n \times n} \times M_{n \times 2} \times M_{2 \times n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}_n$ , where  $\mathfrak{u}_n$  is the Lie algebra of the unitary group  $\mathrm{U}(n)$ , and  $\mu_{\mathbb{C}}: M_{n \times n} \times M_{n \times n} \times M_{n \times 2} \times M_{2 \times n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_n$  be defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(A, B, v, w) &= [A, A^\dagger] + [B, B^\dagger] - vv^\dagger + w^\dagger w \\ \mu_{\mathbb{C}}(A, B, v, w) &= [A, B] - vw\end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a homeomorphism

$$(\mu_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(0) \cap \mu_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(\tau \cdot I_n)) / \mathrm{U}(n) \cong \mu_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(\tau \cdot I_n) // \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

for  $\tau \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . The left-hand side can also be interpreted as the moduli space of  $\mathrm{SU}(2)$  instantons on a non-commutative  $\mathbb{R}^4$  according to Nekrasov and Schwarz [NS98, Section 6.3]. This name is also adopted in the article of Bielawski and Pidstrygach [BP11] which will be our main reference for the study of the automorphism group.

**Definition 1.2** ([BP11]). *The generalized Calogero–Moser space of  $n$  particles with  $2n$  inner degrees of freedom  $N_{n,\tau}$  is the complex affine variety*

$$\{(A, B, v, w) \in T^*(M_{n \times n} \times M_{n \times 2}) : [A, B] - vw = \tau \cdot I_n\} // \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

where  $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ , and the  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -action is given by

$$(1) \quad g \cdot (A, B, v, w) = (gAg^{-1}, gBg^{-1}, gv, wg^{-1})$$

where  $A, B \in M_{n \times n}$ ,  $v \in M_{n \times 2}$ ,  $w \in M_{2 \times n}$  and  $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Here we use the natural identification  $(M_{n \times 2})^* \cong M_{2 \times n}$ .

Our main result is the following:

**Theorem 1.3.** *The generalized Calogero–Moser space with two inner degrees of freedom, i.e. the smooth affine variety  $N_{n,\tau}$  with  $\tau \neq 0$ , has the algebraic density property.*

**Corollary 1.4.** *The group of holomorphic automorphisms of  $N_{n,\tau}$  with  $\tau \neq 0$  acts infinitely transitively on  $N_{n,\tau}$ .*

*Proof.* This follows directly from Theorem 1.3 and Proposition 3.5.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.5.** *The affine variety  $N_{n,\tau}$  with  $\tau \neq 0$  is flexible in the sense of Arzhantshev et al. [AFK<sup>+</sup>13]. In particular, the group of special holomorphic automorphisms  $\text{SAut}(N_{n,\tau})$  of  $N_{n,\tau}$  acts infinitely transitively.*

We introduce the notions of flexibility and of  $\text{SAut}(M)$  in Section 3. We would like to point out that Theorem 1.5 is a stronger statement than the corollary above, since  $\text{SAut}(M)$  is strictly smaller than  $\text{Aut}(M)$ .

The article is organized as follows: In Section 2 we recall the basic properties about  $N_{n,\tau}$ , in particular coordinate charts and the transitivity of the automorphism group. In Section 3 we provide the necessary tools from Andersén–Lempert theory to prove the density property. Finally, in Section 4 we establish the density property for  $N_{n,\tau}$  with  $\tau \neq 0$ .

## 2. BASIC PROPERTIES

In this section we recall from [BP11] numerous definitions and some properties of the affine variety  $N_{n,\tau}$  which we will need later.

In [BP11]  $N_{n,\tau}$  was identified as a subvariety in the moduli space of representations in  $(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C})$  of the double of the following quiver  $Q$



instead of the standard choice of quiver



Reversing one of the arrows between the two vertices makes it possible to place the quadrupel  $(A, B, v, w)$  into the pair  $(\hat{A}, \hat{B})$  of  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ -matrices. In this way, they found local coordinates which resemble Darboux coordinates on a Zariski-open subset and employed them to study the symplectic structure as well as the group of symplectomorphisms of the affine quiver variety  $N_{n,\tau}$ .

**A matrix interpretation.** To interpret the affine variety  $N_{n,\tau}$  as a symplectic quotient of  $T^*(M_{n \times n} \times M_{n \times 2})$  by  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ , we start with the following moment map  $\mu$  for the  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -action in (1)

$$\mu: M_{n \times n} \times M_{n \times n} \times M_{n \times 2} \times M_{2 \times n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}_n, (A, B, v, w) \mapsto [A, B] - vw$$

When  $\tau \neq 0$ ,  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  acts freely on  $\mu^{-1}(\tau \cdot I_n)$  and hence

$$N_{n,\tau} = \mu^{-1}(\tau \cdot I_n) / \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

is smooth.

Another interpretation of  $N_{n,\tau}$  brought forward in [BP11] is to embed the pair  $(A, B)$  into a pair of square matrices  $(\hat{A}, \hat{B})$  of size  $n+1$ , and then fill the last column and the last row with the components of  $v$  and  $w$  such that the

original  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -action is induced by an appropriate action by conjugation on  $(\hat{A}, \hat{B})$ . More precisely: write  $v = (v_1, v_2)$ ,  $w = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix}$  and let

$$\hat{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A & v_1 \\ w_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{B} = \begin{pmatrix} B & v_2 \\ -w_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Denote by  $\mathfrak{m}_{n+1}$  the subspace of  $M_{(n+1) \times (n+1)} \cong \mathfrak{gl}_{n+1}$  consisting of matrices with zero  $(n+1, n+1)$ -entry. Now identify the group  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  with the subgroup  $G$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$  which consists of

$$\begin{pmatrix} L & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

The  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -action of the first interpretation turns into a  $G$ -conjugation on the pair  $(\hat{A}, \hat{B})$ , which in turn gives the new moment map  $\mu_G$  as the upper-left  $(n \times n)$ -minor of the commutator

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = \begin{pmatrix} [A, B] - vw & Av_2 - Bv_1 \\ w_2B + w_1A & * \end{pmatrix}$$

**The action of  $G$  on  $\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1}$ .** For  $\hat{A} \in \mathfrak{gl}_{n+1}$  we write

$$(2) \quad \hat{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A & x \\ y & \Lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad A \in M_{n \times n}, x \in M_{n \times 1}, y \in M_{1 \times n}, \Lambda \in \mathbb{C}$$

**Definition 2.1.**  $\hat{A}$  is called  *$G$ -regular*, if its  $G$ -orbit has maximal dimension. Any  $\hat{A}$  in the following variety  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^0$

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^0 = \left\{ \hat{A} \text{ } G\text{-regular} : \forall z \in \mathbb{C}^n \quad Az = \lambda z \implies \hat{A} \begin{pmatrix} z \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \neq \lambda \begin{pmatrix} z \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

and with diagonalizable  $A$  can be  $G$ -conjugated to the following form

$$(3) \quad \left( \begin{array}{ccc|c} \lambda_1 & \cdots & 0 & x_1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \lambda_n & x_n \\ \hline 1 & \cdots & 1 & \Lambda \end{array} \right)$$

where the  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  may be different from those in (2).

For more details we refer to [BP11, Section 3].

**Definition 2.2.** An element  $\hat{A}$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$  is called *strongly semisimple*, if  $\hat{A}$  is in  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^0$  and both  $A, \hat{A}$  are regular semisimple.

**Decomposition of  $\hat{B}$  and local coordinates.** For  $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$ , let

$$\hat{\tau} = \mathrm{diag}(\tau, \dots, \tau, -n\tau)$$

Let  $\mathfrak{m}^-, \mathfrak{m}^+$  be the subspaces of  $\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1}$  spanned by matrices whose only non-zero entries are the last row and by matrices whose only non-zero entries are in the last column, respectively, and with the  $(n+1, n+1)$ -entry being zero, i.e.

$$\mathfrak{m}^+ = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : x \in M_{n \times 1} \right\}, \quad \mathfrak{m}^- = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix} : y \in M_{1 \times n} \right\},$$

where we use the same notation as in Equation (2). We set  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{m}^-$ . To find a large coordinate chart, another observation in [BP11] is to consider the product  $N_{n,\tau} \times \mathbb{C}^2$  as the symplectic quotient  $\mu_G^{-1}(\tau \cdot I)$  of  $T^*\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$  by  $G$ , without projecting to the upper-left matrix. The defining equation  $\mu_G(\hat{A}, \hat{B}) = \tau \cdot I_n$  becomes

$$(4) \quad [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \in \hat{\tau} + \mathfrak{m}$$

**Proposition 2.3.** [BP11, Proposition 4.3] *Let  $\hat{A} \in \mathfrak{gl}_{n+1}$  be strongly semisimple and  $\hat{B} \in \mathfrak{gl}_{n+1}$  such that  $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \in \hat{\tau} + \mathfrak{m}$ . Then  $\hat{B}$  can be decomposed uniquely as  $B_1 + B_2$  where*

$$[A, B_1] = 0, \quad [\hat{A}, B_2] \in \hat{\tau} + \mathfrak{m}^+, \quad B_1 = \text{diag}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n, 0)$$

Moreover, the entries of  $[\hat{A}, B_2]$  are uniquely determined by  $\tau$ , the eigenvalues of  $A$  and the eigenvalues of  $\hat{A}$ .

In the paragraph below,  $B_1$  stands for  $\text{diag}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$  in  $[A, B_1] = 0$  as in Proposition 2.3.

Let  $\tilde{N}_{n,\tau}^{\text{reg}}$  be the open subset of  $N_{n,\tau} \times \mathbb{C}^2$  which consists of  $G$ -orbits of  $(\hat{A}, \hat{B})$  with strongly semisimple  $\hat{A}$ . Choose a representative  $(\hat{A}, \hat{B})$  where  $\hat{A}$  is in the form (3). Then we have (4), and the last proposition gives the following decomposition for  $\hat{B}$

$$\hat{B} = B_1 + B_2$$

such that  $B_1 = \text{diag}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n, 0)$  and

$$[A, B_1] = 0, \quad [\hat{A}, B_2] = \hat{\tau} + m, \quad m \in \mathfrak{m}^+$$

Denote by  $\hat{\lambda}_1, \dots, \hat{\lambda}_{n+1}$  the pairwise distinct eigenvalues of  $\hat{A}$  and let  $g \in \text{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$  such that

$$g\hat{A}g^{-1} = D_{\hat{\lambda}} = \text{diag}(\hat{\lambda}_1, \dots, \hat{\lambda}_{n+1})$$

This implies that

$$[D_{\hat{\lambda}}, gB_2g^{-1}] = g(\hat{\tau} + m)g^{-1}$$

which, together with the second part of Proposition 2.3, leads to the conclusion that the off-diagonal entries of  $gB_2g^{-1}$  depend only on  $\lambda_i, \hat{\lambda}_j$  and  $\tau$ . Moreover, the diagonal entries  $\hat{\mu}_1, \dots, \hat{\mu}_{n+1}$  of  $gB_2g^{-1}$  serve as additional coordinates, and we have

$$B_2 = g^{-1}D_{\hat{\mu}}g + g^{-1}Sg$$

where  $S$  is off-diagonal and is determined by  $\tau, \lambda_i, \hat{\lambda}_j, i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, n+1$ . In summary, there exist the following local coordinates

$$\left( \lambda_i, \hat{\lambda}_j, \mu_i, \hat{\mu}_j \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, n+1$$

for  $(\hat{A}, \hat{B})$  in the Zariski-open subset  $\tilde{N}_{n,\tau}^{\text{reg}} \subset N_{n,\tau} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ .

**The action of the automorphism group.** Let  $\overline{Q}$  be the double of the quiver  $Q$ ,  $V := (\mathbb{C}^n, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\mathcal{R}(\overline{Q}, V) \cong T^*\mathcal{R}(Q, V)$  be the symplectic vector space of all representations of  $\overline{Q}$  in  $V$ . An element of  $\mathcal{R}(\overline{Q}, V)$  can be written as

$$(A, B, X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2)$$

where  $A, B \in M_{n \times n}$ ,  $X_1, X_2 \in M_{n \times 1}$ ,  $Y_1, Y_2 \in M_{1 \times n}$ . The following action

$$(g, h) \cdot (A, B, X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2) = (gAg^{-1}, gBg^{-1}, gX_1h^{-1}, gX_2h^{-1}, hY_1g^{-1}, hY_2g^{-1}),$$

of  $\mathrm{PGL}(V) = (\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{C})) / \mathbb{C}^*$  on  $\mathcal{R}(\overline{Q}, V)$  with  $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $h \in \mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{C})$  is effective and induces a moment map  $\nu$

$$([A, B] + X_1Y_2 - X_2Y_1, Y_1X_2 - Y_2X_1) \in \mathfrak{gl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1$$

see [BP11, Part 2]. For the coadjoint orbit  $O$  of  $\mathrm{PGL}(V)$

$$O = \begin{pmatrix} \tau \cdot I_n & 0 \\ 0 & -n\tau \end{pmatrix}$$

the symplectic quotient  $\mathcal{R}_O(\overline{Q}, V) := \nu^{-1}(O) / \mathrm{PGL}(V)$  is isomorphic to the affine variety  $N_{n, \tau}$  by the mapping

$$X_1 \mapsto -v_1, X_2 \mapsto v_2, Y_1 \mapsto w_1, Y_2 \mapsto w_2$$

Denote by  $\mathbb{C}\overline{Q}$  the path algebra of  $\overline{Q}$ . This is an algebra over the ring  $R := \mathbb{C}^2$  generated by the arrows in  $\overline{Q}$  with the concatenation as multiplication. The idempotents of  $R$  correspond to constant paths at the vertices of  $Q$ . The group  $\mathrm{Aut}_R(\mathbb{C}\overline{Q})$  of  $R$ -automorphisms of the path algebra  $\mathbb{C}\overline{Q}$  acts on the symplectic vector space  $\mathcal{R}(\overline{Q}, V)$ , see [BP11, Section 5]. The stabilizer

$$\mathrm{Aut}_R(\mathbb{C}\overline{Q}; c) = \{ \phi \in \mathrm{Aut}_R(\mathbb{C}\overline{Q}) : \phi(c) = c \}$$

acts on  $\mathcal{R}(\overline{Q}, V)$  such that the moment map  $\nu$  for the action of  $\mathrm{PGL}(V)$  is preserved, see [BP11, Section 6]. Here,

$$c := [a, a^*] + [x, x^*] + [y, y^*], \quad Q : \begin{array}{c} \circ \xrightarrow{a} \bullet \xrightarrow{y} \circ \\ \bullet \xleftarrow{x} \circ \end{array}$$

with  $a^*, x^*, y^*$  denoting the remaining arrows of  $\overline{Q}$ , which are the reverse arrows of  $a, x, y$ , respectively, and  $[a, a^*]$  denoting the commutator  $aa^* - a^*a$ . Hence, the subgroup  $\mathrm{Aut}_R(\mathbb{C}\overline{Q}; c)$  acts on the quiver variety  $\mathcal{R}_O(\overline{Q}, V)$ , which is isomorphic to the space  $N_{n, \tau}$ . Thus, we can identify  $\mathrm{Aut}_R(\mathbb{C}\overline{Q}; c)$  as a subgroup of  $\mathrm{Aut}(N_{n, \tau})$ .

### 3. ANDERSÉN–LEMPERT THEORY

The density property for complex manifolds was introduced by Varolin [Var01, Var00] around the year 2000 in order to generalize the theorems of Andersén–Lempert [AL92] and Forstnerič–Rosay [FR93, FR94] from  $\mathbb{C}^n$  to Stein manifolds.

**Definition 3.1** ([Var01]). We say that a complex manifold  $M$  has the *density property* if the Lie algebra generated by complete holomorphic vector fields on  $M$  is dense (w.r.t. the topology of locally uniform convergence) in the Lie algebra of all holomorphic vector fields on  $M$ .

The density property may be difficult to verify, but it is implied [Var00] by the following algebraic version:

**Definition 3.2** ([Var01]). We say that a smooth complex algebraic variety  $M$  has the *algebraic density property* if the Lie algebra generated by complete algebraic vector fields on  $M$  coincides with the Lie algebra of all algebraic vector fields on  $M$ .

The main technical observation behind these definitions is the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $M$  be a complex manifold, and let  $\Theta$  and  $\Xi$  be complete holomorphic vector fields on  $M$  with flows  $\varphi_t$  and  $\psi_t$ , respectively.*

- (1) *The flow of  $\Theta + \Xi$  is given by  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi_{t/n} \circ \psi_{t/n})^{on}$ .*
- (2) *For  $t > 0$  the flow of  $[\Theta, \Xi]$  is given by*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \psi_{-\sqrt{t/n}} \circ \varphi_{-\sqrt{t/n}} \circ \psi_{\sqrt{t/n}} \circ \varphi_{\sqrt{t/n}} \right)^{on}.$$

*The limits are locally uniform on the domain of definition of the respective flows.*

A direct consequence of this lemma is that one can use the flows of the generators of any dense Lie subalgebra of holomorphic vector fields to approximate the flows of all holomorphic vector fields. However, a much stronger theorem can be proved which provides a version of Runge approximation for holomorphic injections of a domain by global holomorphic automorphisms:

**Theorem 3.4** ([AL92, FR93, FR94, Var00]). *Let  $M$  be a Stein manifold and let  $\Omega \subseteq M$  be an open subset. Assume there exists a  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -isotopy of holomorphic injections  $\varphi_t: \Omega \rightarrow M$  such that  $\varphi_0$  is the natural embedding  $\Omega \hookrightarrow M$  and such that  $\varphi_t(\Omega)$  is a Runge subset of  $M$  for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then there exists a continuous family of holomorphic automorphisms  $\Phi_t: M \rightarrow M$  such that  $\varphi_1$  is approximated uniformly on compacts of  $\Omega$  by  $\Phi_1$ .*

It turns out that this theorem has a lot of interesting geometric consequences. This nowadays so-called Andersén–Lempert theory has developed rapidly over the last decades and found many applications. For further details we refer to the recent overview article by Forstnerič and Kutzschebauch [FK22]. We mentioned only one important consequence here, namely:

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $M$  be a Stein manifold, then the group of holomorphic automorphisms acts infinitely transitively on  $M$ , i.e. for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , the action is  $m$ -transitive.*

Given the wide range of possible applications, it is therefore important to find families of Stein manifolds with the density property.

**Example 3.6.** The following families are known to have the density property:

- (1)  $\mathbb{C}^n$  for  $n \geq 2$  [AL92]
- (2) linear algebraic groups  $G < \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ , where the connected components of  $G$  must be different from  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  [KK08]
- (3) affine homogeneous spaces  $X := G/H$  where  $H < G < \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  and where the connected components of  $X$  must be different from  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  [DDK10, KK17]
- (4) Koras–Russell cubic threefold and related families [Leu16]
- (5) Gizatullin surfaces with reduced degenerate fibre [And18]
- (6) Calogero–Moser spaces [And21]
- (7) certain Gromov–Vaserstein fibers [AFH<sup>+</sup>23]

One of the main tools in establishing the density property is the notion of a compatible pair of vector fields, introduced by Kaliman and Kutschebauch [KK08].

**Definition 3.7.** Let  $M$  be a smooth complex affine variety. We call a pair of algebraic vector fields  $(\Theta, \Xi)$  on  $M$  a *compatible pair* if the following hold:

- (1)  $\Theta$  is a locally nilpotent derivation.  $\Xi$  is either a locally nilpotent derivation or induces a  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action.
- (2) There exists a regular function  $h \in \mathbb{C}[M]$ , such that  $\Xi(h) = 0$ , and  $\Theta^2(h) = 0$ , but  $\Theta(h) \neq 0$ .
- (3) There exists a non-zero ideal  $I \subset \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{(\ker \Theta) \cdot (\ker \Xi)\}$  in the ring  $\mathbb{C}[M]$ .

**Definition 3.8.** A smooth complex affine variety  $M$  is called *tangentially semi-homogeneous* if it is  $\mathrm{Aut}(M)$ -homogeneous and admits a *generating set* consisting of one vector, i.e. there exist  $x_0$  in  $M$ ,  $v$  in  $T_{x_0}M$  such that the image of  $v$  under the induced action of the isotropy group of  $x_0$  on  $T_{x_0}M$  spans the tangent space.

**Theorem 3.9.** [KK08, Theorem 2, Remark 2.7] *Let  $M$  be a smooth complex affine variety that*

- (1) *is tangentially semi-homogeneous*
- (2) *admits a compatible pair*

*Then  $M$  has the algebraic density property.*

For a complex variety  $M$ , we write  $\mathrm{SAut}(M)$  for the subgroup of the automorphism group  $\mathrm{Aut}(M)$ , which is generated by all algebraic one-parameter unipotent subgroups of  $\mathrm{Aut}(M)$  and call  $\mathrm{SAut}(M)$  the *special automorphism group* of  $M$ . The vector field corresponding to such an algebraic one-parameter unipotent subgroup is a locally nilpotent derivation.

We need the following result from Arzhantsev et al. [AFK<sup>+</sup>13].

**Theorem 3.10.** [AFK<sup>+</sup>13, Theorem 4.14] *Let  $M$  be an irreducible affine algebraic variety of dimension at least 2 equipped with an algebraic volume form  $\omega$  defined on  $M_{\mathrm{reg}}$ , and let  $G \subset \mathrm{SAut}(M)$  be a subgroup generated by a saturated set  $\mathcal{N}$  of locally nilpotent derivations. If  $G$  acts on  $M$  with an open orbit  $O$ , then for every  $m \geq 0$  and every finite subset  $Z \subset O$  there exists an automorphism  $g \in G$  with prescribed  $m$ -jets  $j_p^m$  at the points  $p \in Z$ , provided these jets preserve  $\omega$  and inject  $Z$  into  $O$ .*



According to [AFK<sup>+</sup>13, Definition 2.1], a set  $\mathcal{N}$  of locally nilpotent vector fields on an irreducible affine algebraic variety  $M$  is called *saturated*, if the following two conditions hold:

- (1)  $\mathcal{N}$  is closed under conjugation by elements of  $H$ , where  $H$  is the subgroup of  $\mathrm{SAut}(M)$  generated by  $\mathcal{N}$ .
- (2) For all  $V \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $f \in \ker V$ , we have  $fV \in \mathcal{N}$ .

**Lemma 3.11.** *Let  $M$  be a complex affine algebraic manifold of dimension at least 2. If the special holomorphic automorphism group  $\mathrm{SAut}(M)$  acts transitively on  $M$ , then  $M$  is tangentially semi-homogeneous.*

*Proof.* Apply [AFK<sup>+</sup>13, Theorem 4.14] to the entire special automorphism group  $G = \mathrm{SAut}(M)$ , which has the entire space  $M$  as a single open orbit by transitivity. The set  $\mathcal{N}$  here is the set of all locally nilpotent vector fields, which satisfies the above two conditions and hence is saturated. Then the induced jet interpolation implies the tangential semi-homogeneity, i.e. the isotropy group  $G_p$  at a point  $p$  in  $M$  induces a transitive action on the tangent space  $T_pM$ .  $\square$

Arzhantsev et al. [AFK<sup>+</sup>13] introduced the notion of flexibility.

**Definition 3.12** ([AFK<sup>+</sup>13, p. 768]). Let  $M$  be a complex variety. A point  $x \in M$  is called *flexible* if its tangent space  $T_xM$  is spanned by finitely many locally nilpotent derivations. We say that  $M$  is *flexible* if all regular points  $M_{\mathrm{reg}}$  of  $M$  are flexible.

They proved the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.13.** *For an irreducible complex affine variety  $M$  of dimension at least 2, the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) The group  $\mathrm{SAut}(M)$  acts transitively on  $M_{\mathrm{reg}}$ .
- (2) The group  $\mathrm{SAut}(M)$  acts infinitely transitively on  $M_{\mathrm{reg}}$ .
- (3)  $M$  is a flexible variety.

#### 4. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

We will first establish the tangential semi-homogeneity of  $N_{n,\tau}$ ,  $\tau \neq 0$ , using the tools of the previous section.

In [BP11, Theorem 8.1] it is shown that the subgroup  $T := \mathrm{TAut}_R(\mathbb{C}\bar{Q}; c)$  of  $\mathrm{Aut}_R(\mathbb{C}\bar{Q}; c)$  acts transitively on  $N_{n,\tau}$  when  $\tau \neq 0$ . In their subsequent discussion [BP11, Remark 8.3] they also pointed out that the center of  $T$  acts trivially on  $N_{k,\tau}$ . Since the other generators of the group  $T$  are special automorphisms, cf. [BP11, Section 7], it follows that the subgroup  $\mathrm{SAut}(N_{n,\tau})$  acts transitively on  $N_{n,\tau}$ . Therefore we have the following

**Lemma 4.1.**  *$\mathrm{SAut}(N_{n,\tau})$  acts transitively on the affine variety  $N_{n,\tau}$  when  $\tau \neq 0$ .*

**Lemma 4.2.** *The smooth affine variety  $N_{n,\tau}$  with  $\tau \neq 0$  is tangentially semi-homogeneous.*

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 3.11.  $\square$

Next, we will find a compatible pair of vector fields on  $N_{n,\tau}$ ,  $\tau \neq 0$ . This task will occupy us for the rest of this section.

Recall the definition of  $N_{n,\tau}$

$$\{(A, B, v, w) \in T^*(M_{n \times n} \times M_{n \times 2}) : [A, B] - vw = \tau \cdot I\} // \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

where the group  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  acts by

$$g \cdot (A, B, v, w) = (gAg^{-1}, gBg^{-1}, gv, wg^{-1})$$

Recall that in the matrix interpretation, we write  $v = (v_1, v_2)$  and  $w = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix}$  and augment the matrices  $A, B$  by  $v$  and  $w$  to the matrices  $\hat{A}$  and  $\hat{B}$  in  $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$  as follows.

$$(5) \quad \hat{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A & v_1 \\ w_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \hat{B} = \begin{pmatrix} B & v_2 \\ -w_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

With its canonical embedding into  $\mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ , the  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -action turns into simultaneous conjugation on the pair  $(\hat{A}, \hat{B})$ .

Consider the following  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -action  $\varphi: \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{End}(T^*\mathfrak{s}_{n+1})$

$$(6) \quad \varphi \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right) (\hat{A}, \hat{B}) = (a\hat{A} + b\hat{B}, c\hat{A} + d\hat{B})$$

**Lemma 4.3.** *The action  $\varphi$  induces an  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -action on the quotient  $N_{n,\tau}$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\varphi$  commutes with the  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -action. To see that it preserves the condition  $[A, B] - vw = \tau \cdot I$ , we translate this action back to the representation  $(A, B, v, w)$ . Spelling out the action for each component we get

$$\begin{aligned} a\hat{A} + b\hat{B} &= \begin{pmatrix} aA + bB & av_1 + bv_2 \\ aw_2 - bw_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ c\hat{A} + d\hat{B} &= \begin{pmatrix} cA + dB & cv_1 + dv_2 \\ cw_2 - dw_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

A comparison with the entries in (5) then shows that  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  acts as

$$\begin{aligned} (A, B) &\rightarrow (aA + bB, cA + dB) \\ (v_1, v_2) &\rightarrow (av_1 + bv_2, cv_1 + dv_2) \\ (w_1, w_2) &\rightarrow (dw_1 - cw_2, -bw_1 + aw_2) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &[A, B] - vw \\ &\mapsto (ad - bc)[A, B] - \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} \right)^T \begin{pmatrix} d & -c \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

which equals  $[A, B] - vw$  by the defining relation of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ .  $\square$

A group action is called *nondegenerate*, if its orbit has generic maximal dimension and if it has no fixed points. Our first aim is to show that  $\varphi$  is nondegenerate.

**Lemma 4.4.** *The  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -action on  $N_{n,\tau}$  is fixed-point free.*

*Proof.* Consider the diagonal element  $h = \text{diag}(e^t, e^{-t})$  acting on  $(\hat{A}, \hat{B})$

$$e^t \hat{A} = \begin{pmatrix} e^t A & e^t v_1 \\ e^t w_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e^{-t} \hat{B} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-t} B & e^{-t} v_2 \\ -e^{-t} w_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

In terms of  $(A, B, v, w)$ , this is

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(h)(A, B, v, w) &= \left( e^t A, e^{-t} B, (e^t v_1, e^{-t} v_2), \begin{pmatrix} e^{-t} w_1 \\ e^t w_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= (e^t A, e^{-t} B, hv, wh^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

For  $(A, B, v, w)$  to be a fixed point in the  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -quotient,  $(e^t A, e^{-t} B)$  would have to be in the same conjugacy class as  $(A, B)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{C}$ . This would require  $(A, B) = (0, 0)$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** *There exists a point  $p \in N_{n,\tau}$ ,  $\tau \neq 0$  such that the  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -orbit of  $p$  on  $N_{n,\tau}$  is of maximal dimension.*

*Proof.* To obtain information about the dimension of the  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -orbit, we work on the open subset  $\tilde{N}_{n,\tau}^{\text{reg}} \subset N_{n,\tau} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ , where  $A, \hat{A}$  are both diagonalizable but not simultaneously and local Darboux coordinates are available. We consider  $N_{n,\tau}$  as a subset of  $N_{n,\tau} \times \mathbb{C}^2$

$$N_{n,\tau} \hookrightarrow N_{n,\tau} \times \{0\} \subset N_{n,\tau} \times \mathbb{C}^2$$

We shall find three vector fields that are induced by the  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -action and linearly independent at one point on the slice  $N_{n,\tau} \times \{0\}$ . Because this slice is invariant under the  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -action on  $N_{n,\tau} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ , cf. Lemma 4.3, these vector fields are actually tangent to the slice. Together with the linear independence this implies that the  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -orbit of  $p$  is of maximal dimension on  $N_{n,\tau}$ .

A point  $p \in \tilde{N}_{n,\tau}^{\text{reg}}$  is in  $N_{n,\tau} \times \{0\}$ , when for a representative  $(\hat{A}, \hat{B})$  the  $(n+1, n+1)$ -entries of both matrices are zero. This is equivalent to the following two relations:

$$(7) \quad \text{tr } \hat{A} = \text{tr } A, \quad \Pi_{n+1, n+1} \hat{B} = 0$$

where  $\Pi_{n+1, n+1}$  denotes the projection to the  $(n+1, n+1)$ -entry.

First consider the action of  $h_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ :

$$(\hat{A}, \hat{B}) \mapsto (\hat{A}, \hat{B} + t\hat{A})$$

Recall that after diagonalizing  $A$  by a  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -conjugation,  $\hat{A}$  is of the form

$$(8) \quad \left( \begin{array}{ccc|c} \lambda_1 & \cdots & 0 & x_1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \lambda_n & x_n \\ \hline 1 & \cdots & 1 & \Lambda \end{array} \right)$$

while  $\hat{B}$  is decomposed into two parts

$$\hat{B} = B_1 + B_2$$

satisfying

$$[A, B_1] = 0, \quad [\hat{A}, B_2] = \hat{\tau} + m, \quad m \in \mathfrak{m}^1$$

Now, we identify  $B_1$  with  $\begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and consider  $B_1 \in \mathfrak{gl}_n$ , the Lie algebra of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Recall also that this decomposition of  $\hat{B}$  is unique by Proposition 2.3. Since the  $\hat{A}$ -component is fixed by the above action, the associated local Darboux coordinates

$$\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \hat{\lambda}_1, \dots, \hat{\lambda}_{n+1}$$

remain unchanged. For the  $\hat{B}$ -part

$$\hat{B} + t\hat{A} = B_1 + B_2 + t\hat{A} = \tilde{B}_1 + \tilde{B}_2$$

By uniqueness, it suffices to find one pair  $\tilde{B}_1, \tilde{B}_2$  that works. Take  $\tilde{B}_1 = B_1, \tilde{B}_2 = B_2 + t\hat{A}$  and check the requirements

$$[A, \tilde{B}_1] = 0, \quad [\hat{A}, \tilde{B}_2] = [\hat{A}, B_2] = \hat{\tau} + m$$

Since  $B_1 = \mathrm{diag}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n, 0)$ , the coordinates  $\mu_j$  are also fixed. Let  $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$  be the matrix that diagonalizes  $\hat{A}$

$$g\hat{A}g^{-1} = D_{\hat{\lambda}} = \mathrm{diag}(\hat{\lambda}_1, \dots, \hat{\lambda}_{n+1})$$

Then

$$g\tilde{B}g^{-1} = gB_2g^{-1} + tg\hat{A}g^{-1} = gB_2g^{-1} + tD_{\hat{\lambda}}$$

Now recall that  $B_2$  is of the form

$$B_2 = g^{-1}D_{\hat{\mu}}g + g^{-1}Sg$$

where  $D_{\hat{\mu}} = \mathrm{diag}(\hat{\mu}_1, \dots, \hat{\mu}_{n+1})$  is the diagonal part of  $gB_2g^{-1}$  and  $S$  the off-diagonal part whose entries only depend on  $\tau, \lambda_j$  and  $\hat{\lambda}_k$ . Hence, for the diagonal part of  $g\tilde{B}g^{-1}$  we have

$$D_{\hat{\mu}} + tD_{\hat{\lambda}}$$

that is:  $h_1$  acts on the coordinates  $\hat{\mu}_k$  as

$$(\hat{\mu}_1, \dots, \hat{\mu}_{n+1}) \mapsto (\hat{\mu}_1 + t\hat{\lambda}_1, \dots, \hat{\mu}_{n+1} + t\hat{\lambda}_{n+1})$$

For a second direction, consider the action of  $h_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ :

$$(\hat{A}, \hat{B}) \mapsto (\hat{A} + t\hat{B}, \hat{B})$$

Note that  $\hat{A}$  being strongly semisimple is an open condition, we may choose  $|t|$  small such that  $\hat{A} + t\hat{B}$  remains being strongly semisimple. Take a point  $p \in \tilde{N}_{n,\tau}^{reg}$  with coordinates  $\mu_j = 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Then

$$B_1 = 0, \quad \hat{B} = B_2 = g^{-1}D_{\hat{\mu}}g + g^{-1}Sg$$

We consider now the change along the  $\partial/\partial\hat{\lambda}_k$ -direction:

$$(9) \quad \mathrm{tr}(\hat{A} + t\hat{B}) = \mathrm{tr}(\hat{A} + tg^{-1}D_{\hat{\mu}}g + tg^{-1}Sg) = \mathrm{tr} \hat{A} + (\mathrm{tr} D_{\hat{\mu}})t$$

since  $S$  is off-diagonal; and

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathrm{tr}(\hat{A} + t\hat{B})^2 &= \mathrm{tr} \hat{A}^2 + (2 \mathrm{tr} D_{\hat{\lambda}} D_{\hat{\mu}} + 2 \mathrm{tr} D_{\hat{\lambda}} S)t + o(t) \\ &= \mathrm{tr} \hat{A}^2 + (2 \mathrm{tr} D_{\hat{\lambda}} D_{\hat{\mu}})t + o(t) \end{aligned}$$

since the trace of the product of a diagonal matrix and an off-diagonal matrix is zero. Now, since the eigenvalues and the trace functions of powers of a matrix form two equivalent sets of coordinates, we can switch to the trace coordinates

$$s_k := \text{tr } \hat{A}^k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$$

The Equations (9) and (10) imply the component of  $V_2$

$$(11) \quad \text{tr } D_{\hat{\mu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s_1}, \quad 2 \text{tr } D_{\hat{\lambda}} D_{\hat{\mu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s_2}$$

respectively.

For the third direction let us consider again the action of  $h = \text{diag}(e^t, e^{-t})$ . First look at the  $\hat{A}$ -component

$$e^t \hat{A} = \left( \begin{array}{ccc|c} e^t \lambda_1 & & 0 & e^t x_1 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & & e^t \lambda_n & e^t x_n \\ \hline e^t & \dots & e^t & e^t \Lambda \end{array} \right)$$

To get back the standard form for  $\hat{A}$ , conjugate it with  $\begin{pmatrix} e^t I_n & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\hat{A}' = \left( \begin{array}{ccc|c} e^t \lambda_1 & & 0 & e^{2t} x_1 \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & & e^t \lambda_n & e^{2t} x_n \\ \hline 1 & \dots & 1 & \Lambda \end{array} \right)$$

Note that the corresponding  $A'$  is still  $\text{diag}(e^t \lambda_1, \dots, e^t \lambda_n)$  and the matrix  $g'$  used for diagonalization for  $\hat{A}'$  is the same  $g$  for  $\hat{A}$ , since the eigenvalues of  $A, \hat{A}$  scale with the same factor  $e^t$

$$g e^t \hat{A} g^{-1} = e^t D_{\hat{\lambda}} = \text{diag}(e^t \hat{\lambda}_1, \dots, e^t \hat{\lambda}_{n+1})$$

Then we have

$$\text{tr}(e^t \hat{A}) = e^t \text{tr } \hat{A}, \quad \text{tr}(e^t \hat{A})^2 = e^{2t} \text{tr } \hat{A}^2$$

which imply the following components of  $V$

$$(12) \quad s_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial s_1}, \quad 2s_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial s_2}$$

Denote the associated vector fields for the actions of  $h_1, h_2, h$  by  $V_1, V_2, V$ , respectively. From the preceding discussion,  $V_1$  has only non-vanishing components in  $\partial/\partial \hat{\mu}_k$ , thus it suffices to show that  $V_2, V$  are linearly independent along the  $\hat{\lambda}_k$ -directions; then  $V$  cannot be in the span of  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ .

Choose coordinates  $\lambda_j, \hat{\lambda}_k, \hat{\mu}_k$  for  $p$ , such that the projections of  $V_2$  and  $V$  to the  $(s_1, s_2)$ -directions in Equations (11), (12) are linearly independent, i.e.

$$(\text{tr } D_{\hat{\mu}})s_2 - (\text{tr } D_{\hat{\lambda}} D_{\hat{\mu}})s_1 \neq 0$$

such that the first relation in Equation (7) is fulfilled,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \hat{\lambda}_k$$

and such that the second relation in (7) is valid,

$$\Pi_{n+1, n+1} \hat{B} = \Pi_{n+1, n+1} (g^{-1} D_{\hat{\mu}} g + g^{-1} S g) = 0$$

Then the point  $p$  is in  $N_{n, \tau} \times \{0\}$  and  $V_1, V_2, V$  are linearly independent at  $p$ .  $\square$

With this computation, the algebraic density property of  $N_{n, \tau}, \tau \neq 0$  follows from the criterion with compatible pairs. The method is parallel to that in [And21] for the Calogero–Moser space.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* By [KK08, Lemma 3.6, Corollary 3.10], the pair of complete vector fields  $(V_1, V_2)$  constructed in the proof of Lemma 4.5 is compatible. Now Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 3.9 establish the algebraic density property of  $N_{n, \tau}, \tau \neq 0$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.5.* By Lemma 4.1 the group of special holomorphic automorphisms  $\text{SAut}(M)$  acts transitively on  $N_{n, \tau}$  for  $\tau \neq 0$ . By Theorem 3.13 this implies flexibility and infinite transitivity.  $\square$

#### FUNDING

The research of Rafael Andrist was supported by the European Union (ERC Advanced grant HPDR, 101053085 to Franc Forstnerič) and grant N1-0237 from ARRS, Republic of Slovenia. Gaofeng Huang was supported by the Schweizerisches Nationalfonds Grant 200021-207335.

#### REFERENCES

- [AL92] Erik Andersén and László Lempert, *On the group of holomorphic automorphisms of  $\mathbf{C}^n$* , *Invent. Math.* **110** (1992), no. 2, 371–388, DOI 10.1007/BF01231337. MR1185588
- [And18] Rafael B. Andrist, *The density property for Gizatullin surfaces with reduced degenerate fibre*, *J. Geom. Anal.* **28** (2018), no. 3, 2522–2538, DOI 10.1007/s12220-017-9916-y. MR3833804
- [And21] ———, *The density property for Calogero–Moser spaces*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **149** (2021), no. 10, 4207–4218, DOI 10.1090/proc/15457. MR4305975
- [AH23] Rafael B. Andrist and Gaofeng Huang, *The symplectic density property for Calogero–Moser spaces* (2023), available at [arXiv:2301.09444](https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.09444).
- [AFH<sup>+</sup>23] Rafael B. Andrist, Gene Freudenburg, Gaofeng Huang, Frank Kutzschebauch, and Josua Schott, *A Criterion for the Density Property of Stein Manifolds* (2023), available at [arXiv:2308.07015](https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.07015).
- [AFK<sup>+</sup>13] I. Arzhantsev, H. Flenner, S. Kaliman, F. Kutzschebauch, and M. Zaidenberg, *Flexible varieties and automorphism groups*, *Duke Math. J.* **162** (2013), no. 4, 767–823, DOI 10.1215/00127094-2080132. MR3039680
- [BP11] Roger Bielawski and Victor Pidstrygach, *On the symplectic structure of instanton moduli spaces*, *Adv. Math.* **226** (2011), no. 3, 2796–2824, DOI 10.1016/j.aim.2010.10.001. MR2739794
- [DDK10] F. Donzelli, A. Dvorsky, and S. Kaliman, *Algebraic density property of homogeneous spaces*, *Transform. Groups* **15** (2010), no. 3, 551–576, DOI 10.1007/s00031-010-9091-8. MR2718937

- [FK22] F. Forstnerič and F. Kutzschebauch, *The first thirty years of Andersén-Lempert theory*, *Anal. Math.* **48** (2022), no. 2, 489–544, DOI 10.1007/s10476-022-0130-1. MR4440754
- [FR93] Franc Forstnerič and Jean-Pierre Rosay, *Approximation of biholomorphic mappings by automorphisms of  $\mathbf{C}^n$* , *Invent. Math.* **112** (1993), no. 2, 323–349, DOI 10.1007/BF01232438. MR1213106
- [FR94] ———, *Erratum: “Approximation of biholomorphic mappings by automorphisms of  $\mathbf{C}^n$ ”* [*Invent. Math.* **112** (1993), no. 2, 323–349; MR1213106 (94f:32032)], *Invent. Math.* **118** (1994), no. 3, 573–574, DOI 10.1007/BF01231544. MR1296357
- [GH84] John Gibbons and Theo Hermsen, *A generalisation of the Calogero-Moser system*, *Phys. D* **11** (1984), no. 3, 337–348, DOI 10.1016/0167-2789(84)90015-0. MR0761664
- [KK08] Shulim Kaliman and Frank Kutzschebauch, *Criteria for the density property of complex manifolds*, *Invent. Math.* **172** (2008), no. 1, 71–87, DOI 10.1007/s00222-007-0094-6. MR2385667
- [KK17] ———, *Algebraic (volume) density property for affine homogeneous spaces*, *Math. Ann.* **367** (2017), no. 3-4, 1311–1332, DOI 10.1007/s00208-016-1451-9. MR3623226
- [Leu16] Matthias Leuenberger, *(Volume) density property of a family of complex manifolds including the Koras-Russell cubic threefold*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **144** (2016), no. 9, 3887–3902, DOI 10.1090/proc/13030. MR3513546
- [Nak94] Hiraku Nakajima, *Instantons on ALE spaces, quiver varieties, and Kac-Moody algebras*, *Duke Math. J.* **76** (1994), no. 2, 365–416, DOI 10.1215/S0012-7094-94-07613-8. MR1302318
- [NS98] Nikita Nekrasov and Albert Schwarz, *Instantons on noncommutative  $\mathbf{R}^4$ , and  $(2, 0)$  superconformal six-dimensional theory*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **198** (1998), no. 3, 689–703, DOI 10.1007/s002200050490. MR1670037
- [Var01] Dror Varolin, *The density property for complex manifolds and geometric structures*, *J. Geom. Anal.* **11** (2001), no. 1, 135–160, DOI 10.1007/BF02921959. MR1829353
- [Var00] ———, *The density property for complex manifolds and geometric structures. II*, *Internat. J. Math.* **11** (2000), no. 6, 837–847, DOI 10.1142/S0129167X00000404. MR1785520
- [Wil98] George Wilson, *Collisions of Calogero-Moser particles and an adelic Grassmannian*, *Invent. Math.* **133** (1998), no. 1, 1–41, DOI 10.1007/s002220050237. With an appendix by I. G. Macdonald. MR1626461

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AMERICAN UNIVERSITY OF BEIRUT, BEIRUT, LEBANON,  
AND FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA, LJUBLJANA,  
SLOVENIA

*Email address:* rafael-benedikt.andrist@fmf.uni-lj.si

UNIVERSITY OF BERN, MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, BERN, SWITZERLAND

*Email address:* gaofeng.huang@unibe.ch