An efficient Galerkin method for problems with physically realistic boundary conditions.

Olga Podvigina

Institute of Earthquake Prediction Theory and Mathematical Geophysics 84/32 Profsoyuznaya St., 117997 Moscow, Russian Federation

December 27, 2023

Abstract

The Galerkin method is often employed for numerical integration of evolutionary equations, such as the Navier–Stokes equation or the magnetic induction equation. Application of the method requires solving an equation of the form P(Av - f) = 0at each time step, where v is an element of a finite-dimensional space \mathcal{V} with a basis satisfying boundary conditions, P is the orthogonal projection on this space and Ais a linear operator. Usually the coefficients of v expanded in the basis are found by calculating the matrix of PA acting on \mathcal{V} and solving the respective system of linear equations. For physically realistic boundary conditions (such as the no-slip boundary conditions for the velocity, or for a dielectric outside the fluid volume for the magnetic field) the basis is often not orthogonal and solving the problem can be computationally demanding. We propose an algorithm giving an opportunity to reduce the computational cost for such a problem. Suppose there exists a space \mathcal{W} that contains \mathcal{V} , the difference between the dimensions of \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{V} is small relative to the dimension of \mathcal{V} , and solving the problem P(Aw - f) = 0, where w is an element of W, requires less operations than solving the original problem. The equation P(Av - f) = 0is then solved in two steps: we solve the problem P(Aw - f) = 0 in \mathcal{W} , find a correction h = v - w that belongs to a complement to \mathcal{V} in \mathcal{W} , and obtain the solution w + h. When the dimension of the complement is small the proposed algorithm is more efficient than the traditional one.

1 Introduction

Spectral methods are often employed for numerical solution of partial differential equations, because relying on a relatively small number of unknowns they provide accurate approximations to solutions [2, 4, 7, 18]. The three most commonly used spectral methods are the

Galerkin, tau and collocation methods. In the Galerkin and tau methods the solution is expanded in series of basis functions. The coefficient of the series are found from the condition that the scalar product of the residual with each test function is zero. In the collocation method the solution is approximated in the physical space by minimising the discrepancy over a set of collocation points.

Solving an evolutionary equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t) = F(\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t))$$

by the Galerkin method requires finding the coefficients $\{c_j(t)\}_{j=1}^N$ such that the approximate solution

$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_j(t)\phi_j(\mathbf{x})$$

satisfies

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t) - F(\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t)), \phi_j(\mathbf{x})\right) \text{ for any } 1 \le j \le N \text{ and } 0 < t < T.$$
(1)

Here the functions $\{\phi_j(\mathbf{x})\}_{j=1}^N$ satisfy the desired boundary conditions, and (\cdot, \cdot) is a scalar product in the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} to which they belong.

Denote by \mathcal{V} the space spanned by $\{\phi_j(\mathbf{x})\}_{j=1}^N$ and by $P_{\mathcal{V}}$ the orthogonal projection on \mathcal{V} . Provided $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, 0)$ belongs to \mathcal{V} , equation (1) is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t) = P_{\mathcal{V}} F(\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t)) \text{ for any } 0 < t < T.$$

Depending on the equation and boundary conditions, the basis $\{\phi_j(\mathbf{x})\}_{j=1}^N$ can be comprised of Fourier exponentials, linear combinations of Chebychev, Legendre, Jacobi or some other polynomials, or their products [4, 6, 8, 9, 16, 21, 19]. The projection $P_{\mathcal{V}}$ can be easily computed for the conditions of space periodicity, since the Fourier exponentials comprise an orthogonal basis and they individually satisfy the conditions. For other boundary conditions, the functions ϕ_j usually involve linear combinations of polynomials and computing $P_{\mathcal{V}}F(\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t))$ may become a non-trivial problem, which is extensively studied in literature [4, 12, 13, 20, 22].

In this paper we propose a new algorithm for computing $P_{\mathcal{V}}F(\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t))$ or, in more general terms, for computing v such that

$$P_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}v - f) = 0 \text{ and } v \in \mathcal{V}, \tag{2}$$

where \mathcal{A} is a linear operator.

The algorithm resembles the tau method: First, we compute w, an approximation to v, such that

$$P_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}w - f) = 0 \text{ and } w \in \mathcal{W},\tag{3}$$

where w is not required to satisfy boundary conditions. Second, we add to w a correction h such that v = w + h solves (2). The space $\mathcal{W} \supset \mathcal{V}$ must satisfy two conditions: (i) it is more

convinient to compute w than to compute v, and (ii) the dimension of $\mathcal{W} \ominus \mathcal{V}$ is small. This is still (a varient of) the Galerkin method, because the same solution is obtained, as by the traditional Galerkin algorithm (up to roundoff errors).

In the next section we prove a theorem providing a mathematical background for the algorithm and define the algorithm as a sequence of steps. In Section 3 we apply the algorithm for solving equations (2) in the context of one-dimensional problems stated on the interval [-1, 1] subject to the boundary conditions $v(\pm 1) = 0$; the basis in \mathcal{V} is then comprised of linear combinations of Chebyshev polynomials.

In section 4 we apply the algorithm for solving equations of magnetoconvection in a plane horizontal layer. The flow velocity, temperature and magnetic field are required to be periodic in horizontal directions. The fields are decomposed in series in the Fourier exponentials in x_1 and x_2 and Chebyshev polynomials in x_3 . Computing $P_{\mathcal{V}}F(\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t))$ is reduced to solving a number of one-dimensional problems, some of which have been solved in section 3.

In the conclusion we briefly summarise the results and discuss other possible implementations of the algorithm.

2 Description of the algorithm

Recall the traditional formulation of the Galerkin method. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space with a basis $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, \mathcal{A} an operator acting on \mathcal{H} and f an element of \mathcal{H} . Solving the problem $\mathcal{A}v = f$ by the Galerkin method requires finding a set of coefficients $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^N$ such that the sum

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i \phi_i$$

satisfies the relations

$$(\mathcal{A}v - f, \phi_i) = 0$$
, for any $1 \le i \le N$

Here (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the scalar product in \mathcal{H} .

The method can be formulated in a slightly different way. Denote by \mathcal{V} the subspace of \mathcal{H} spanned by $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^N$ and by $P_{\mathcal{V}}$ the orthogonal projection on \mathcal{V} . Solving the problem $\mathcal{A}v = f$ by the Galerkin method requires finding v such that

$$P_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}v - f) = 0 \text{ and } v \in \mathcal{V}.$$
(4)

Evidently, the two formulations are equivalent.

Below we prove a theorem that gives the mathematical foundation of the new algorithm. The proof relies on the following lemma:

Lemma 1. Let \mathcal{B} be a linear operator acting on a finite-dimensional space \mathcal{W} , \mathcal{V} a subspace of \mathcal{W} and $P_{\mathcal{V}}$ an orthogonal projection on \mathcal{V} . Denote by \mathcal{V}^{\perp} the orthogonal complement to \mathcal{V} in \mathcal{W} . Suppose the operator $\mathcal{C} = P_{\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}$ satisfies det $\mathcal{C} \neq 0$. (Here we use the same notation for the linear operator \mathcal{C} and for its matrix.) Then any $s \in \mathcal{V}^{\perp}$ can be uniquely decomposed as

$$s = q + \tilde{q}, \text{ where } P_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{B}q = 0 \text{ and } \tilde{q} \in \mathcal{V}.$$
 (5)

Proof. Denote $f = P_{\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{B}s$. If f = 0, then in the decomposition (5) we set q = s and $\tilde{q} = 0$.

If $f \neq 0$, we define $\tilde{q} \in \mathcal{V}$ as the solution to the equation $C\tilde{q} = f$. The solution exists and is unique due to the condition that det $\mathcal{C} = 0$. The difference $q = s - \tilde{q}$ satisfies

$$P_{\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{B}q = P_{\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{B}(s-\tilde{q}) = P_{\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{B}s - P_{\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{B}\tilde{q} = f - \mathcal{C}\tilde{q}$$

Therefore, $P_{\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{B}q = 0$.

Theorem 1. Let the operator \mathcal{B} and the spaces \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{V} be the same as in lemma 1 and f be an element of \mathcal{W} . Denote by s_i , $1 \leq i \leq K$, vectors comprising an orthonormal basis in \mathcal{V}^{\perp} . By lemma 1, $s_i = q_i + \tilde{q}_i$, where $P_{\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{B}q_i = 0$ and $\tilde{q}_i \in \mathcal{V}$. Suppose that $w \in \mathcal{W}$ is a solution ¹ to the problem

$$P_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{B}w - f) = 0.$$

Then

$$v = w - \sum_{i=1}^{K} b_i q_i, \text{ where } b_i = (w, s_i), i = 1, ..., K,$$
 (6)

satisfies

$$P_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{B}v - f) = 0 \text{ and } v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Proof. The inclusion $v \in \mathcal{V}$ holds true because

$$v = w - \sum_{i=1}^{K} b_i q_i = w - \sum_{i=1}^{K} b_i (s_i - \tilde{q}_i) = (w - \sum_{i=1}^{K} (w, s_i) s_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{K} b_i \tilde{q}_i,$$

where the first term in the sum belongs to \mathcal{V} since the basis s_i is an orthonormal basis in \mathcal{V}^{\perp} , and the second term belongs to \mathcal{V} since all \tilde{q}_i are elements of \mathcal{V} . The equation $P_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{B}v - f) = 0$ is satisfied, because

$$P_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{B}v - f) = P_{\mathcal{V}}(B(w - \sum_{i=1}^{K} b_i q_i) - f) = P_{\mathcal{V}}(Bw - f) - \sum_{i=1}^{K} b_i P_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{B}q_i) = 0.$$

The new algorithm is applicable when \mathcal{A} in (4) is a linear operator. Suppose that there exists a space $\mathcal{W} \supset \mathcal{V}$, such that solving the problem $P_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}w - f) = 0$, where $w \in \mathcal{W}$, is more efficient than solving $P_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}v - f) = 0$, where $v \in \mathcal{V}$. The proposed algorithm is comprised of three steps.

Preliminary step. Computing an orthonormal basis in \mathcal{V}^{\perp} and decomposing the basis as $s_i = q_i + \tilde{q}_i$, following ² lemma 1. The basis is found by solving the equation $P_{\mathcal{V}}s_i = 0$, where $s_i \in \mathcal{W}$, and the vectors \tilde{q} by solving $P_{\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{A}(\tilde{q}_i - s_i) = 0$, where $\tilde{q}_i \in \mathcal{V}$.

¹Note, that the solution is not unique.

²The lemma requires that det $\mathcal{C} \neq 0$, where \mathcal{C} is the matrix of the operator $P_{\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}$. Generically the condition is satisfied. This is always implicitly assumed when using the traditional Galerkin method, because otherwise the equation (4) does not have a solution for certain f's.

Main step. Solving the equation $P_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}w - f) = 0$, where $w \in \mathcal{W}$. Correction step. Computing v from w by (6).

The method is efficient if the dimension of \mathcal{V}^{\perp} is considerably smaller than that of \mathcal{V} and equation (4) is solved many times for different f's. This happens, e.g., in the course of numerical integration of evolutionary equations. An example of such an application is presented in section 4. The preliminary step is performed only once and the obtained vectors s_i and q_i are stored. Since dim $\mathcal{V}^{\perp} \ll \dim \mathcal{V}$, the memory usage increases insignificantly and the correction step requires a small amount of computations. The efficiency is achieved because the problem $P_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}w - f) = 0$, where $w \in \mathcal{W}$, is easier to solve than the original one.

Remark 1. Solving the problem discussed in the beginning of this section by the Petrov-Galerkin method requires finding v such that

$$P_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{A}v - f) = 0 \text{ and } v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

Here \mathcal{U} is a subspace of \mathcal{H} , different from \mathcal{V} , and dim $\mathcal{U} = \dim \mathcal{V}$. In other words, the only difference between the Galerkin and the Petrov–Galerkin method is that $P_{\mathcal{V}}$ is replaced by $P_{\mathcal{U}}$. The lemma and the theorem hold true for $P_{\mathcal{V}}$ replaced by $P_{\mathcal{U}}$. Thus, the algorithm remains applicable for solving linear equations by the Petrov–Galerkin method.

3 Two simple examples

3.1 Example 1

The problem. Let \mathcal{H} be the space of L^2_w functions on the interval [-1, 1] with the basis comprised of Chebyshev polynomials. For the scalar product defined as

$$(f,g) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{fg}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \,\mathrm{d}\,x,$$

the basis is orthogonal. We choose the space \mathcal{W} spanned by a finite number of polynomials, $\mathcal{W} = \langle T_0, T_1, ..., T_{N+1} \rangle$, and \mathcal{V} is the subspace of \mathcal{W} comprised of polynomials vanishing at ± 1 . A basis in \mathcal{V} is, e.g., $T_2 - T_0, T_3 - T_1, ..., T_{N+1} - T_1$. (Here and below an even N is assumed.)

The operator \mathcal{A} is the identity. The equation (4) takes now the form

$$P_{\mathcal{V}}(v-f) = 0 \text{ and } v \in \mathcal{V}.$$
(7)

The preliminary step. Since \mathcal{A} is the identity, $P_{\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{V}^{\perp} = 0$, and thus $\tilde{q}_i = 0$. Since

$$(T_n, T_m) = \begin{cases} 0 & n \neq m \\ \pi & n = m \neq 0 \\ \pi/2 & n = m = 0 \end{cases}$$
(8)

the basis in \mathcal{V}^{\perp} comprised of

$$s_{1} = q_{1} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}(N+4)} (2T_{0} + T_{2} + \dots + T_{N}),$$

$$s_{2} = q_{2} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}(N+2)} (T_{1} + T_{3} + \dots + T_{N+1})$$
(9)

is orthonormal.

The main step. For a given

$$f = \sum_{i=0}^{M} f_i T_i;$$

a solution to $P_{\mathcal{V}}(w-f) = 0$, where $w \in \mathcal{W}$, is

$$w = \sum_{i=0}^{N+1} f_i T_i.$$

The correction step. By (8) and (9),

$$b_1 = (w, s_1) = \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{N+4} (2f_0 + \sum_{i=2, i \text{ even}}^N f_i), \quad b_2 = (w, s_2) = \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{N+2} \sum_{i=1, i \text{ odd}}^{N+1} f_i.$$

Finally,

$$v = w - b_1 s_1 - b_2 s_2$$

Hence, computing v is reduced to computing two scalar products and two subtractions. By contrast, application of the traditional algorithm requires solving a system of N linear equations in N variables [22].

3.2 Example 2

The problem. The spaces \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{V} are the same, as in example 1. We solve equation (4) for $\mathcal{A}v = \alpha v + \beta v''$.

The preliminary step. The basis (9) in \mathcal{V}^{\perp} is used. The vectors $\tilde{q}_i \in \mathcal{V}$ are solutions to the problem $P_{\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{A}(\tilde{q}_i - s_i) = 0$ obtained by any standard algorithm. The vectors q_1 and q_2 satisfy $q_j = s_j - \tilde{q}_j$.

The main step. The element f and the solution $w \in W$ are expanded in sums of Chebyshev polynomials:

$$f = \sum_{i=0}^{M} f_i T_i, \quad w = \sum_{i=0}^{N+1} w_i T_i.$$

The equation $P_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}w - f) = 0$ takes the form

$$\alpha \sum_{i=0}^{N+1} w_i T_i + \beta \sum_{i=0}^{N+1} w_i T_i'' = \sum_{i=0}^{N+1} f_i T_i.$$
(10)

To set up a recursive algorithm, we modify the equation by adding an extra term,

$$\alpha \sum_{i=0}^{N+1} w_i T_i + \beta \sum_{i=0}^{N+1} w_i T_i'' = \sum_{i=0}^{N+1} f_i T_i + \sum_{i=0}^{N+1} a_i T_i'',$$
(11)

where initially we set $a_i = 0$ for all $0 \le i \le N + 1$. Since T''_i is a sum of polynomials of degree i - 2 or less, (10) implies

$$w_{N+1} = \frac{1}{\alpha} f_{N+1}$$
 and $w_N = \frac{1}{\alpha} f_N$.

Using the relation

$$2T_k = \frac{1}{2(k+1)(k+2)}T''_{k+2} - \frac{1}{(k-1)(k+1)}T''_k + \frac{1}{2(k-1)(k-2)}T''_{k-2},$$

we replace T''_{N+1} and T''_N in (11) by sums of polynomials and derivatives of smaller indices. In the modified equations,

$$f_{N-1}^{new} = f_{N-1}^{old} - 4\beta N(N+1)w_{N+1}, \ f_{N-2}^{new} = f_{N-2}^{old} - 4\beta N(N-1)w_N,$$

$$a_{N-1}^{new} = a_{N-1}^{old} + \frac{2(N+1)}{N-2}(\beta w_{N+1} - a_{N+1}), \ a_{N-2}^{new} = a_{N-2}^{old} + \frac{2N}{N-3}(\beta w_N - a_N),$$

$$a_{N-3}^{new} = a_{N-3}^{old} - \frac{N(N+1)}{(N-2)(N-3)}(\beta w_{N+1} + a_{N+1}), \ a_{N-4}^{new} = a_{N-4}^{old} - \frac{N(N-1)}{(N-3)(N-4)}(\beta w_N + a_N),$$

while no other terms are changed.

As a result of this substitution, we obtained the same equation as (11), except that summation is performed up to i = N - 1. Repeating the above calculations with indices reduced by two, we obtain w_{N-1} , w_{N-2} and a new equation with summation up to N - 3. Repeating this procedure N/2 - 1 more times, we calculate all coefficients w_i . The correction step is performed as described in theorem 1.

4 Application for solving the equations of magnetohydrodynamics

4.1 Equations

We consider a conductive fluid in a plane horizontal layer heated from below and rotating about an inclined axis. The equations governing the temporal evolution of the fluid and the magnetic field are the Navier–Stokes equation

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{v} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{v}) + P\tau \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}} + P\Delta \mathbf{v} + PR\theta \mathbf{e}_{z} - \nabla p - \mathbf{b} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{b}), \tag{12}$$

the magnetic induction equation

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{b}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{b}) + \eta \Delta \mathbf{b}$$
(13)

and the heat transfer equation

$$\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial t} = -(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\theta + v_z + \Delta\theta,\tag{14}$$

where \mathbf{e}_{r} is the unit vector parallel to the rotation axis. The flow and the magnetic field are solenoidal

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0. \tag{15}$$

Here **v** denotes the flow velocity, p the modified pressure, **b** the magnetic field and θ the difference between the flow temperature and the linear temperature profile. The equations involve the following parameters: the Prandtl number, P, and the magnetic Prandtl number, Pm, describing the properties of the fluid, the Rayleigh number, R, proportional to the temperature difference between the upper and lower boundaries, and the Taylor number, $Ta = \tau^2$, where τ is proportional to angular velocity.

The flow satisfies the no-slip condition on the horizontal boundaries, which are kept at constant temperatures:

$$v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = 0, \quad \theta = 0 \quad \text{at } x_3 = \pm 1.$$
 (16)

We assume electrically insulating upper and perfectly electrically conducting lower boundaries. The boundary conditions for the magnetic field are, thus,

$$\mathbf{b}|_{x_3=1} = \nabla h, \quad \nabla^2 h = 0 \text{ for } x_3 \ge 1, \quad h \to 0 \text{ for } x_3 \to \infty,$$

$$\frac{\partial b_1}{\partial x_3}|_{x_3=-1} = \frac{\partial b_2}{\partial x_3}|_{x_3=-1} = b_3|_{x_3=-1} = 0.$$
 (17)

The fields are periodic in the horizontal directions:

$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{v}(x_1 + n_1 L_1, x_2 + n_2 L_2, x_3),$$

$$\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b}(x_1 + n_1 L_1, x_2 + n_2 L_2, x_3),$$

$$\theta(\mathbf{x}) = \theta(x_1 + n_1 L_1, x_2 + n_2 L_2, x_3).$$
(18)

4.2 An explicit method

In this section we give an example of application of the new algorithm for numerical integration of equations (12)–(14) by an explicit time stepping. For simplicity, the Euler scheme is used. Generalisations for more complex methods, such as the Runge–Kutta or Adams–Bashford methods, can be done with minor modifications. The system (12)–(14) takes the form $\dot{\mathbf{u}} = f(\mathbf{u})$, where $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{v}, \theta, \mathbf{b})$ and $f(\mathbf{u}) = (f_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{u}), f_{\theta}(\mathbf{u}), f_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{u}))$. The Euler scheme with the time step δt results in the relation

$$\mathbf{u}(t_{m+1}) = \mathbf{u}(t_m) + \delta t f(\mathbf{u}(t_m)).$$
(19)

For the unknown fields expanded in finite sums of products of Fourier exponentials in x_1 and x_2 and Chebyshev polynomials in x_3 , the Galerkin method stipulates modifying equation (19) into the form

$$\mathbf{u}(t_{m+1}) = \mathbf{u}(t_m) + \delta t P_{\mathcal{U}} f(\mathbf{u}(t_m)), \tag{20}$$

where $P_{\mathcal{U}}$ is the orthogonal projection into space of fields satisfying the boundary conditions.

In the following subsections we perform the spatial discretisation and derive numerical schemes for temperature, magnetic field and flow velocity individually.

4.2.1 The temperature

The temperature field is sought as a sum of products of the Fourier exponentials of x_1 and x_2 and functions of x_3 ,

$$\theta(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum_{|n_1| \le N_1, |n_2| \le N_2} \Theta_{n_1, n_2}(x_3, t) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\alpha_1 n_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 n_2 x_2)},\tag{21}$$

where each $\Theta_{n_1,n_2}(x_3,t)$ is a sum of Chebyshev polynomials,

$$\Theta_{n_1,n_2}(x_3,t) = \sum_{0 \le n_3 \le N_3 + 1} \theta_{\mathbf{n}}(t) T_{n_3}(x_3).$$
(22)

The boundary condition $\theta(x_1, x_2, \pm 1, t) = 0$ implies

$$\Theta_{n_1,n_2}(\pm 1,t) = 0 \text{ for all } n_1 \text{ and } n_2.$$
(23)

For the θ component of **u**, equation (20) takes the form

$$\theta(t_{m+1}) = \theta(t_m) + \delta t P_{\mathcal{U}} f_{\theta}(\mathbf{u}(t_m)), \qquad (24)$$

where f_{θ} is the r.h.s. of (14) and $P_{\mathcal{U}}$ is the projection into the space \mathcal{U} of functions (21),(22) satisfying boundary conditions (23).

The nonlinear term in (14) is computed in the physical space employing an FFT to switch between the physical and spectral spaces. Differentiation in x_1 and x_2 is trivial. To differentiate in x_3 , we use the relation

$$2T_k(z) = \frac{1}{k+1}T'_{k+1}(z) - \frac{1}{k-1}T'_{k-1}(z)$$

implying that the coefficients of the series

$$a(z) = \sum_{0 \le k \le K+1} a_k T_k(z) \text{ and } a'(z) = b(z) = \sum_{0 \le k \le K} b_k T_k(z)$$
(25)

satisfy the relation [4]

$$b_k = b_{k+2} + 2(k+1)a_{k+1}, \ 1 \le k \le K, \quad 2b_0 = b_2 + 2a_1.$$
(26)

Therefore, the coefficients b_k can be computed for decreasing k starting from k = K.

We expand f_{θ} similarly to θ ,

$$f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum_{|n_1| \le K_1, |n_2| \le K_2} F_{n_1,n_2}(x_3,t) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\alpha_1 n_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 n_2 x_2)},\tag{27}$$

where

$$F_{n_1,n_2}(x_3,t) = \sum_{0 \le n_3 \le K_3 + 1} f_{\mathbf{n}}(t) T_{n_3}(x_3).$$
(28)

By (23), when computing $P_{\mathcal{U}}f_{\theta}$, the projection $P_{\mathcal{U}}$ into the space of fields satisfying the boundary condition can be computed individually for each term $F_{n_1,n_2}(x_3,t)$. The numerical scheme (24) now takes the form

$$\Theta_{n_1,n_2}(x_3, t_{m+1}) = \Theta_{n_1,n_2}(x_3, t_m) + \delta t P_{\mathcal{V}}(F_{n_1,n_2}(x_3, t_m))$$
(29)

for all (n_1, n_2) , where \mathcal{V} and $P_{\mathcal{V}}$ are the same as in example 1.

4.2.2 The magnetic field

The magnetic field is represented as the sum of the toroidal, \mathbf{T}^{b} , poloidal, \mathbf{P}^{b} , and mean field, \mathbf{M}^{b} , components,

$$\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x},t) = \mathbf{T}^{b}(\mathbf{x},t) + \mathbf{P}^{b}(\mathbf{x},t) + \mathbf{M}^{b}(x_{3},t),$$
(30)

where

$$\mathbf{T}^{b}(\mathbf{x},t) = \nabla \times (T^{b}(\mathbf{x},t)\mathbf{e}_{3}),$$

$$\mathbf{P}^{b}(\mathbf{x},t) = \nabla \times \nabla \times (P^{b}(\mathbf{x},t)\mathbf{e}_{3}),$$

$$\mathbf{M}^{b}(x_{3},t) = (M_{1}^{b}(x_{3},t), M_{2}^{b}(x_{3},t), 0)$$
(31)

Boundary conditions (17) for the magnetic field imply that the component satisfy the following boundary conditions

$$T^{b}|_{x_{3}=1} = 0, \ \partial T^{b}/\partial x_{3}|_{x_{3}=-1} = 0,$$

$$(\partial^{2}P^{b}/\partial x_{1}\partial x_{3}, \partial^{2}P^{b}/\partial x_{2}\partial x_{3}, -\partial^{2}P^{b}/\partial x_{1}^{2} - \partial^{2}P^{b}/\partial x_{2}^{2})|_{x_{3}=1} = \nabla h,$$

$$\partial^{2}P^{b}/\partial x_{3}^{2}|_{x_{3}=-1} = 0, \ P^{b}|_{x_{3}=-1} = 0,$$

$$\mathbf{M}^{b}|_{x_{3}=1} = 0, \ \partial \mathbf{M}^{b}/\partial x_{3}|_{x_{3}=-1} = 0.$$

(32)

Denote by $\mathbf{f}_b(\mathbf{x}, t)$ the r.h.s. of equation (13). It is computed the same way as the r.h.s. of the heat transfer equation. As a result, we obtain $\mathbf{f}_b(\mathbf{x}, t)$ in the form of the series

$$\mathbf{f}_{b}(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum_{|n_{1}| \le N_{1}, |n_{2}| \le N_{2}} \mathbf{F}_{n_{1},n_{2}}(x_{3},t) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\alpha_{1}n_{1}x_{1} + \alpha_{2}n_{2}x_{2})},\tag{33}$$

where $\mathbf{F}_{n_1,n_2}(x_3,t) = (F_{n_1,n_2}^1(x_3,t), F_{n_1,n_2}^2(x_3,t), F_{n_1,n_2}^3(x_3,t))$ and each $F_{n_1,n_2}^j(x_3,t)$ is decomposed as a sum of $N_3 + 2$ Chebyshev polynomials in x_3 .

Since $\mathbf{f}_b(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is solenoidal, it is a sum of the poloidal, toroidal and mean-field components:

$$\mathbf{f}_b(\mathbf{x},t) = \mathbf{T}^f(\mathbf{x},t) + \mathbf{P}^f(\mathbf{x},t) + \mathbf{M}^f(x_3,t).$$
(34)

The components are expressed in terms of $T^{f}(\mathbf{x},t)$, $P^{f}(\mathbf{x},t)$, $M_{1}^{f}(x_{3},t)$ and $M_{2}^{f}(x_{3},t)$ as in (31). The toroidal and poloidal components are expanded in the series

$$T^{f}(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum_{|n_{1}| \le N_{1}, |n_{2}| \le N_{2}} G^{T}_{n_{1},n_{2}}(x_{3},t) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\alpha_{1}n_{1}x_{1}+\alpha_{2}n_{2}x_{2})},$$

$$P^{f}(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum_{|n_{1}| \le N_{1}, |n_{2}| \le N_{2}} G^{P}_{n_{1},n_{2}}(x_{3},t) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\alpha_{1}n_{1}x_{1}+\alpha_{2}n_{2}x_{2})}.$$
(35)

By (31), (34) and (35), the coefficients of the components can be determined from the relations

$$-(\alpha_1^2 n_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 n_2^2) G_{n_1,n_2}^T = (i \alpha_2 n_2 F_{n_1,n_2}^1 - i \alpha_1 n_1 F_{n_1,n_2}^2),$$

$$-(\alpha_1^2 n_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 n_2^2) G_{n_1,n_2}^P = F_{n_1,n_2}^3, \ M_1 = F_{0,0}^1, \ M_2 = F_{0,0}^2.$$
(36)

 $P_{\mathcal{U}}\mathbf{f}_b(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is computed individually for each component and each pair (n_1, n_2) . We denote by \mathcal{V} the spaces of x_3 -dependent functions $g(x_3)$ such that $g(x_3) \exp(i(\alpha_1 n_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 n_2 x_2))$ satisfies the boundary conditions (32). The spaces are different for different components, and for the poloidal component the spaces depend on n_1 and n_2 . To simplify the notation, we do not use indices indicating the dependencies. The space \mathcal{W} is spanned by the Chebyshev polynomials of indices from 0 to $N_3 + 1$.

Since $T'_j(-1) = (-1)^j j^2$, boundary conditions (32) imply that for the toroidal component and any (n_1, n_2) the orthogonal complement to \mathcal{V} in \mathcal{W} is spanned by

$$\tilde{s}_1 = (1, 2, ..., 2) \text{ and } \tilde{s}_2 = (0, -1, 4, ..., (-1)^{N+1} (N+1)^2).$$
 (37)

For given \tilde{s}_1 and \tilde{s}_2 , an orthonormal basis in \mathcal{V}^{\perp} can be easily computed. For the mean-field component, the projections $P_{\mathcal{V}}M_1^f(x_3, t)$ and $P_{\mathcal{V}}M_2^f(x_3, t)$ are also computed using this basis.

A harmonic function h can be represented as a sum of terms

$$h_{n_1,n_2}(\mathbf{x}) = C e^{i(\alpha_1 n_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 n_2 x_2) - (\alpha_1^2 n_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 n_2^2)^{1/2} x_3}.$$
(38)

Since

$$T'_k(\pm 1) = (\pm 1)^k k^2$$
 and $T''_k(\pm 1) = (\pm 1)^k \frac{k^4 - k^2}{3}$,

the boundary conditions (32) for the pair (n_1, n_2) take the form

$$\sum_{0 \le n_3 \le N_3 + 1} ((\alpha_1^2 n_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 n_2^2)^{1/2} - n_3^2) b_{\mathbf{n}}^P = 0, \ \sum_{2 \le n_3 \le N_3 + 1} (-1)^{n_3} (n_3^4 - n_3^2) b_{\mathbf{n}}^P = 0,$$

$$\sum_{0 \le n_3 \le N_3 + 1} (-1)^{n_3} b_{\mathbf{n}}^P = 0,$$
(39)

where $b_{\mathbf{n}}^{P}$ are the coefficients of P^{b} decomposed in the basis of Fourier exponentials and Chebyshev polynomials. Therefore, for a given pair (n_1, n_2) , the orthogonal complement to \mathcal{V} is spanned by three vectors \tilde{s}_1 , \tilde{s}_2 and \tilde{s}_3 ; the components are

$$\tilde{s}_{1,0} = 2(\alpha_1^2 n_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 n_2^2)^{1/2}, \ \tilde{s}_{1,j} = ((\alpha_1^2 n_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 n_2^2)^{1/2} - j^2)1, \ j = 1, ..., N_3,$$

$$\tilde{s}_{2,j} = (-1)^j (j^4 - j^2), \ j = 0, ..., N_3,$$

$$\tilde{s}_{3,0} = 2, \ \tilde{s}_{3,j}^P = (-1)^j, \ j = 1, ..., N_3.$$
(40)

An orthonormal basis in \mathcal{V}^{\perp} can be found by orthogonalising and normalising these vectors.

Denote by $B_{n_1,n_2}^T(x_3,t)$ and $B_{n_1,n_2}^P(x_3,t)$ the coefficients of $T^b(\mathbf{x},t)$ and $P^b(\mathbf{x},t)$, respectively, expanded in the series in $\exp(i(\alpha_1n_1x_1 + \alpha_2n_2x_2))$. The Euler time stepping

$$\mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}, t_{m+1}) = \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{x}, t_m) + \delta t P_{\mathcal{U}} \mathbf{f}_b(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t_m))$$

for the coefficients exploits the relations

$$B_{n_1,n_2}^T(x_3, t_{m+1}) = B_{n_1,n_2}^T(x_3, t_m) + \delta t P_{\mathcal{V}}(G_{n_1,n_2}^T(x_3, t_m)),$$

$$B_{n_1,n_2}^P(x_3, t_{m+1}) = B_{n_1,n_2}^P(x_3, t_m) + \delta t P_{\mathcal{V}}(G_{n_1,n_2}^P(x_3, t_m)),$$
(41)

where G_{n_1,n_2}^T and G_{n_1,n_2}^P are obtained using (36). The basis in \mathcal{V}^{\perp} is defined by (37) or (40). The scheme for the mean-field component is

$$M_1^b(x_3, t_{m+1}) = M_1^b(x_3, t_m) + \delta t P_{\mathcal{V}}(F_{0,0}^1(x_3, t_m)), \ M_2^b(x_3, t_{m+1}) = M_2^b(x_3, t_m) + \delta t P_{\mathcal{V}}(F_{0,0}^2(x_3, t_m))$$
(42)

where \mathcal{V}^{\perp} are spanned by (37). The projection can be computed as in Example 1.

4.2.3 The flow velocity

Similarly to magnetic field, the velocity is represented as a sum of the toroidal, poloidal and mean-field components:

$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x},t) = \mathbf{T}^{v}(\mathbf{x},t) + \mathbf{P}^{v}(\mathbf{x},t) + \mathbf{M}^{v}(x_{3},t),$$
(43)

where

$$\mathbf{T}^{v}(\mathbf{x},t) = \nabla \times (T^{v}(\mathbf{x},t)\mathbf{e}_{3}),$$

$$\mathbf{P}^{v}(\mathbf{x},t) = \nabla \times \nabla \times (P^{v}(\mathbf{x},t)\mathbf{e}_{3}),$$

$$\mathbf{M}^{v}(x_{3},t) = (M_{1}^{v}(x_{3},t), M_{2}^{v}(x_{3},t), 0)$$
(44)

The scalar fields $T^{v}(\mathbf{x},t)$ and $P^{v}(\mathbf{x},t)$ are expanded in series in the Fourier harmonics $\exp(i(\alpha_{1}n_{1}x_{1} + \alpha_{2}n_{2}x_{2}))$; we denote the coefficients $V_{n_{1},n_{2}}^{T}(x_{3},t)$ and $V_{n_{1},n_{2}}^{P}(x_{3},t)$, respectively.

The boundary conditions (17) imply

$$T^{v}|_{x_{3}=\pm 1} = 0, \ P^{v}|_{x_{3}=\pm 1} = 0, \ \partial P^{v}/\partial x_{3}|_{x_{3}=\pm 1} = 0, \ \mathbf{M}^{v}|_{x_{3}=\pm 1} = 0.$$
 (45)

Computing the r.h.s. of equation (12) is more difficult, than those of (13) and (14), because it involves ∇p defined by the condition that the sum is solenoidal. We denote by $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x},t)$ the r.h.s. of (12) without ∇p ,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{f}} = \mathbf{v} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{v}) + P\tau \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}} + P\Delta \mathbf{v} + PR\theta \mathbf{e}_{z} - \mathbf{b} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{b})$$

and decompose it,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x},t) = \mathbf{T}^{f}(\mathbf{x},t) + \mathbf{P}^{f}(\mathbf{x},t) + \mathbf{M}^{f}(x_{3},t) - \nabla p(\mathbf{x},t),$$
(46)

where $\mathbf{T}^{f}(\mathbf{x},t)$ and $\mathbf{P}^{f}(\mathbf{x},t)$ are determined by $T^{f}(\mathbf{x},t)$ and $P^{f}(\mathbf{x},t)$ similarly to (31). The fields $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x},t)$, $T^{f}(\mathbf{x},t)$ and $P^{f}(\mathbf{x},t)$ are expanded in series in $\exp(i(\alpha_{1}n_{1}x_{1} + \alpha_{2}n_{2}x_{2}))$; we denote the coefficients $\mathbf{F}_{n_{1},n_{2}}(x_{3},t)$, $G^{T}_{n_{1},n_{2}}(x_{3},t)$ and $G^{P}_{n_{1},n_{2}}(x_{3},t)$, respectively.

Performing FFT's, multiplication and differentiation, we obtain $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}$ in the form of the series (33). By (31), the coefficients of the components T^f and $\mathbf{M}^f(x_3, t)$ are determined by the relation

$$-(\alpha_1^2 n_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 n_2^2) G_{n_1, n_2}^T = (i \alpha_2 n_2 F_{n_1, n_2}^1 - i \alpha_1 n_1 F_{n_1, n_2}^2), \ M_1 = F_{0, 0}^1, \ M_2 = F_{0, 0}^2.$$
(47)

Therefore, the Euler scheme for the toroidal and mean-field components is

$$V_{n_{1},n_{2}}^{T}(x_{3},t_{m+1}) = V_{n_{1},n_{2}}^{T}(x_{3},t_{m}) + \delta t P_{\mathcal{V}}(G_{n_{1},n_{2}}^{T}(x_{3},t_{m})),$$

$$M_{1}^{v}(x_{3},t_{m+1}) = M_{1}^{v}(x_{3},t_{m}) + \delta t P_{\mathcal{V}}(F_{0,0}^{1}(x_{3},t_{m})),$$

$$M_{2}^{v}(x_{3},t_{m+1}) = M_{2}^{v}(x_{3},t_{m}) + \delta t P_{\mathcal{V}}(F_{0,0}^{2}(x_{3},t_{m})),$$
(48)

where G_{n_1,n_2}^T are determined by (47) and the space \mathcal{V} is the same as in Example 1.

To determine $G_{n_1,n_2}^P(\mathbf{x},t)$, we apply twice the operator $\nabla \times$ to (46) and obtain

$$(\alpha_1^2 n_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 n_2^2)((\alpha_1^2 n_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 n_2^2)G_{n_1,n_2}^P + \partial^2 G_{n_1,n_2}^P / \partial x_3^2) =$$

$$(\alpha_1^2 n_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 n_2^2)F_{n_1,n_2}^3 + i\alpha_1 n_1 \partial F_{n_1,n_2}^1 / \partial x_3 + in_2 \partial F_{n_1,n_2}^2 / \partial x_3.$$
(49)

Hence, for the poloidal component of $P_{\mathcal{U}}\mathbf{f}_v(\mathbf{x},t)$ the computation is reduced to solving for each pair (n_1, n_2) the equation in $v = P_{\mathcal{V}}G^P_{n_1,n_2}$,

$$P_{\mathcal{V}}(\alpha v + \beta v'' - f) = 0, \ v \in \mathcal{V}$$

where α , β and f are determined by (49) and the space \mathcal{V} is defined by (45). This problem has been considered in Example 2.

4.3 Numerical results

Equations (12)-(14) have been integrated in time using a fourth-order Runge–Kutta scheme

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}_1 &= \delta t P_{\mathcal{U}} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(t_m)) \\ \mathbf{d}_2 &= \delta t P_{\mathcal{U}} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(t_m) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{d}_1) \\ \mathbf{d}_3 &= \delta t P_{\mathcal{U}} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(t_m) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{d}_2) \\ \mathbf{d}_4 &= \delta t P_{\mathcal{U}} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{u}(t_m) + \mathbf{d}_3) \\ \mathbf{u}(t_{m+1}) &= \mathbf{u}(t_m) + \frac{1}{6} \mathbf{d}_1 + \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{d}_2 + \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{d}_3 + \frac{1}{6} \mathbf{d}_4 \end{aligned}$$

(see [15]). Here $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{v}, \theta, \mathbf{b})$ and $f(\mathbf{u}) = (f_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{u}), f_{\theta}(\mathbf{u}), f_{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{u}))$ denotes the r.h.s. of the equations. The projections $P_{\mathcal{U}}$ are computed as for the Euler scheme discussed in the previous section.

Results of computations for the parameters

$$P = 1, R = 50000, \tau = 500, e_r = (0, 1, 1), P_m = 2,$$

the resolution of 32^2 Fourier harmonics and 16 Chebyshev polynomials, and the time step $\delta = 10^{-4}$ are shown in Fig. 1. The initial condition is an amagnetic convective steady state of the form of wavy rolls (the convective attractors in the absence of magnetic field that are studied in [14]), and a small magnetic field. Since $P_m = 2$ is not far from the critical value of P_m for the magnetic field generation, in the nonlinear regime the kinetic energy does not



differ much from its value at t = 0 and magnetic energy is small relative to the kinetic one.

Figure 1. Kinetic (left panel) and magnetic (right panel) energies (the vertical axis) as functions of time (the horizontal axis).

The aim of this study is investigation of magnetic field generation by convective attractors in linear and nonlinear regimes, including for Pm substantially larger than the critical values for the onset of the generation. In order to resolve the emerging small scales in magnetic fields, a higher space resolution must be employed, which usually results in a reduction of the time step. Hence, efficient computing requires the use of implicit-explicit numerical scheme, which we discuss in the next section.

4.4 An implicit-explicit scheme

In this section we describe an implicit-explicit numerical scheme for solving the equations of magnetohydrodynamics. Time integration is performed using the Euler scheme. Generalisations for more complex methods can be done following the approach of [5, 10].

Denote by f_{θ} the r.h.s. of the heat transfer equation without the Laplacian

$$f_{\theta}(\mathbf{u}) = -(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\theta + v_z. \tag{50}$$

For the temperature an implicit-explicit Euler scheme is

$$\theta(\mathbf{x}, t_{m+1}) - \Delta \theta(\mathbf{x}, t_{m+1}) = \theta(\mathbf{x}, t_m) + \delta t f_{\theta}(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t_m)).$$

For θ expanded in a finite sum of products of Fourier exponentials and polynomials, the Galerkin method yields the equation

$$P_{\mathcal{U}}(\theta(\mathbf{x}, t_{m+1}) - \Delta\theta(\mathbf{x}, t_{m+1})) = P_{\mathcal{U}}(\theta(\mathbf{x}, t_m) + \delta t f_{\theta}(\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t_m))), \ \theta(\mathbf{x}, t_{m+1}) \in \mathcal{U},$$
(51)

where \mathcal{U} is the space of functions satisfying the boundary condition.

Let $\theta(\mathbf{x}, t)$ be expanded in series (21), where we denote the coefficients $\Theta_{n_1,n_2}(x_3, t)$, and $f_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ in series (27) with the coefficients $F_{n_1,n_2}(x_3, t)$. Equation (51) splits into $(2N_1 + 1) \times (2N_2 + 1)$ equations for the pairs (n_1, n_2) ,

$$P_{\mathcal{V}}((1 - \delta t(\alpha_1^2 n_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 n_2^2))v - \delta tv'' - f) = 0, \ v \in \mathcal{V},$$

where $v = \Theta_{n_1,n_2}(x_3, t_{m+1}), f = \Theta_{n_1,n_2}(x_3, t_m) + \delta t F_{n_1,n_2}(x_3, t_m)$ and \mathcal{V} is the same as in Examples 1 and 2.

For the magnetic field, we denote by \mathbf{f}_b the r.h.s. of equation (13) without the Laplacian,

$$\mathbf{f}_b = \nabla \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{b})$$

and expand it in the sum of the toroidal, poloidal and mean-field components (30),(31). The toroidal and poloidal components, T^b and P^b , and \mathbf{f}_b are expanded as series in exp(i ($\alpha_1 n_1 x_1 +$ $(\alpha_2 n_2 x_2))$ with coefficients $B_{n_1,n_2}^T(x_3,t)$, $B_{n_1,n_2}^P(x_3,t)$ and $\mathbf{F}_{n_1,n_2}^T(x_3,t)$, respectively. By the arguments applied for deriving the implicit-explicit scheme for the temperature,

the numerical scheme for the magnetic field coefficients takes the form

$$P_{\mathcal{V}}((1 - \delta t\eta(\alpha_1^2 n_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 n_2^2))v - \delta t\eta v'' - f) = 0, \ v \in \mathcal{V},$$
(52)

where

$$v = B_{n_1,n_2}^T(x_3, t_{m+1}), \ f = B_{n_1,n_2}^T(x_3, t_m) + \delta t G_{n_1,n_2}^T(x_3, t_m)$$

for the toroidal coefficients,

$$v = B_{n_1,n_2}^P(x_3, t_{m+1}), \ f = B_{n_1,n_2}^P(x_3, t_m) + \delta t G_{n_1,n_2}^P(x_3, t_m)$$

for the poloidal coefficients, and

$$v = M_{n_1,n_2}^j(x_3, t_{m+1}), \ f = M_{n_1,n_2}^j(x_3, t_m) + \delta t F_{0,0}^j(x_3, t_m), \ j = 1, 2$$

for the mean field. The coefficients G_{n_1,n_2}^T and G_{n_1,n_2}^P are determined by (36). The subspaces \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{V}^{\perp} are described in section 4.2.2. Equation (52) is solved employing the same main step as in Example 2, and two other steps as described in the end of section 2.

For the flow velocity, we denote by \mathbf{f} the r.h.s. of the equation (12) with the pressure and the Laplacian omitted,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{f}} = \mathbf{v} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{v}) + P\tau \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{r}} + PR\theta \mathbf{e}_{z} - \mathbf{b} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{b}),$$

and expand it in series (46). We decompose the velocity and expand it in series as discussed in section 4.2.3. The numerical scheme for the toroidal coefficients and the two components of the mean field is

$$P_{\mathcal{V}}((1 - \delta t P(\alpha_1^2 n_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 n_2^2))v - \delta t Pv'' - f) = 0,$$

where for the toroidal coefficients

$$v = V_{n_1,n_2}^T(x_3, t_{m+1}), \ f = V_{n_1,n_2}^T(x_3, t_m) + \delta t G_{n_1,n_2}^T(x_3, t_m)$$

and for the mean field

$$v = M_{n_1,n_2}^j(x_3, t_{m+1}), \ f = M_{n_1,n_2}^j(x_3, t_m) + \delta t F_{0,0}^j(x_3, t_m), \ j = 1, 2.$$

The coefficients G_{n_1,n_2}^T are determined by (36). The subspaces \mathcal{V} are the same as in Examples 1 and 2.

For the poloidal component, proceeding as in section 4.2.3, we find that $v = V_{n_1,n_2}^P(x_3, t_{m+1})$ can be determined from the equation

 $P_{\mathcal{V}}((\alpha_1^2 n_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 n_2^2)(1 - \delta t P(\alpha_1^2 n_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 n_2^2))v + (-2\delta t P(\alpha_1^2 n_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 n_2^2) - 1)v'' + \delta t Pv'''' - f) = 0, \ v \in \mathcal{V}$

where $f = V_{n_1,n_2}^P(x_3, t_m) + \delta t G_{n_1,n_2}^P(x_3, t_m)$ and G_{n_1,n_2}^P can be found using (36). The choose the same subspace \mathcal{V} as in Examples 1 and 2. The problem (the main step) can be solved by an algorithm similar to that employed to solve the problem in Example 2.

5 Conclusion

We propose a new algorithm for numerical solution of evolutinary equations by the Galerkin method. After discretisation in space and time is implemented, we need to solve equations of the form $P_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}v - f) = 0$, where $v \in \mathcal{V}$, at each time step. The equations are solved in three steps: a preliminary step, which is performed only once; the main step, solving $P_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{A}w - f) = 0$, where $w \in \mathcal{W}$; and computing the correction v - w. With minor modifications the algorithm is also applicable for solving evolutionary equations by the Petrov–Galerkin method, see remark 1.

The algorithm has been employed for a numerical study of magnetic field generation by convective flows in a plane layer. The system under investigation is comprised of the Navier–Stokes equation, the heat transfer equation and the magnetic induction equation. Representing the solution as a sum of products of Fourier exponentials in x_1 and x_2 and Chebyshev polynomials in x_3 , we derive a numerical scheme which involves a set of onedimensional equations to be solved at each time step. The method was also used to study convection in a plane layer rotating about an inclined axis [14].

We have presented a detailed derivation of the numerical scheme for the explicit Euler time stepping. Since explicit multistep schemes also involve computation of the projections $P_{\mathcal{V}}f(\mathbf{x},t)$ (see, e.g., a discussion of the Runge–Kutta scheme in section 4.3), the algorithm can be applied in the context of other such schemes.

In section 4.4 we show that the algorithm can be used for time stepping by implicit-explicit methods; we discuss the Euler method as an example. Although implicit and implicit-explicit methods are more difficult to implement, they can be more efficient due to the use of larger time steps. We do not discuss here how the algorithm can be applied to implicit-explicit multistep methods, this can be done following [1, 3, 5, 10].

Acknowledgments The project was financed by the grant № 22-17-00114 of the Russian Science Foundation, https://rscf.ru/project/22-17-00114/.

References

- U.M. Ascher, S.J. Ruuth, R.J. Spiteri, Implicit-explicit Runge–Kutta methods for timedependent partial differential equations, *Applied Numerical Mathematics* 25, 1997, 151-167.
- [2] J.P. Boyd, Chebyshev and Fourier Spectral Methods, Dover Publications, 2001.
- [3] M.P. Calvo, J. de Frutos, J. Novo, Linearly implicit Runge-Kutta methods for advection-reaction-diffusion equations, *Applied Numerical Mathematics* 37, 2001, 535-549.
- [4] C. Canuto, M.Y. Hussaini, A. Quarteroni, T.A. Zang, Spectral Methods in Fluid Dynamics, Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [5] D. Cavaglieri, T. Bewley, Low-storage implicit/explicit Runge-Kutta schemes for the simulation of stiff high-dimensional ODE systems, J. Comp. Phys. 286, 2015, 172-193.
- [6] R.M. Hafez, M.A. Zaky, M.A. Abdelkawy, Jacobi Spectral Galerkin Method for Distributed-Order Fractional Rayleigh–Stokes Problem for a Generalized Second Grade Fluid, *Front. Phys.* 7, 2020, 240.
- [7] D. Gottlieb, S.A. Orszag, Numerical Analysis of Spectral Methods: Theory and Applications, SIAM-CBMS, Philadelphia, 1977.
- [8] B. Guo, J. Shen, Laguerre–Galerkin Method for Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations on a Semi-Infinite Interval, Numer. Math. 86, 2000, 635-654.
- [9] B. Guo, J. Shen, On spectral approximations using modified Legendre rational functions: application to the Korteweg-de Vries equation on the half line, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 50, 2001, 181-204.
- [10] C.A. Kennedy, M.H. Carpenter, Additive Runge–Kutta schemes for convectiondiffusion-reaction equations, Appl. Numer. Math. 44 (2003) 139-181.
- [11] L. Kleiser, U. Schumann, Treatment of incompressibility and boundary conditions in 3D numerical spectral simulations of plane channel flows, in *Proceedings of the Third GAMM Conference on Numerical Methods in Fluid Mechanics*, E. H. Hirschel, Ed., Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1980, 165-173.
- [12] A. Leonard, A. Wray, A New Numerical Method for the Simulation of Three-Dimensional Flow in a Pipe. In: Krause, E., Ed., Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Numerical Methods in Fluid Dynamics on Numerical Methods in Fluid Dynamics, Springer, 1982, 335-342.
- [13] R.D. Moser, P. Moin, A. Leonard, A spectral numerical method for the Navier–Stokes equations with applications to Taylor–Couette flow, J. Comp. Phys. 52, 1983, 524-544.

- [14] O. Podvigina, Stability of convective rolls in a horizontal layer rotating about an inclined axis, submitted to *Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dyn.*.
- [15] W.H. Press, B.P. Flannery, S.A. Teukolsky, W.T. Vetterling, Numerical recipes in fortran: the art of scientific computing, vol. 1 (CUP, Cambridge, 1992).
- [16] J. Shen, Efficient Spectral-Galerkin Method I. Direct Solvers for the Second and Fourth Order Equations Using Legendre Polynomials, SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 15, 1994, 1489-1505.
- [17] J. Shen, L.-L. Wang, Laguerre and composite Legendre–Laguerre dual-Petrov–Galerkin method for third-order equations, *DCDS B6*, 2006, 1381-1402.
- [18] J. Shen, T. Tang, L.-L. Wang, Spectral Methods: Algorithms, Analysis and Applications, Springer, 2011.
- [19] J. Shen, R. Temam Nonlinear Galerkin Method Using Chebyshev and Legendre Polynomials I. The One-Dimensional Case, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 32, 1995, 215-234.
- [20] P.R. Spalart, Numerical Simulation of Boundary Layers: Part 11. Weak Formulation and Numerical Method, NASA-TM 88222.
- [21] P.R. Spalart, R.D. Moser, M.M. Rogers, Spectral methods for the Navier–Stokes equations with one infinite and two periodic directions, J. Comp. Phys. 96, 1991, 297-324.
- [22] D. Tolmachev, R. Chertovskih, V. Zheligovsky, Algorithmic Aspects of Simulation of Magnetic Field Generation by Thermal Convection in a Plane Layer of Fluid, *Mathematics* 11, 2023, 808.
- [23] J. Werne, Incompressibility and No-Slip Boundaries in the Chebyshev-Tau Approximation: Correction to Kleiser and Schumann's Influence-Matrix Solution, J. Comp. Phys. 120, 1995, 260-265.