# A SURVEY ON TOPOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF P(K) SPACES

GRZEGORZ PLEBANEK

ABSTRACT. Given a compact space K, we denote by P(K) the space of all Radon probability measures on K, equipped with the weak<sup>\*</sup> topology inherited from  $C(K)^*$ . For nonmetrizable compacta K even basic properties of P(K) spaces depend of additional axioms of set theory. We discuss here older and quite recent results on the subject.

> To the memory of Jaś, Kamil Duszenko (1986-2014) Ten years after

# 1. INTRODUCTION

In the sequel, K always stands for a compact Hausdorff space. By P(K) we denote the space of all probability Radon measures on K. Thus every  $\mu \in P(K)$  is a probability measure defined on the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra Bor(K) which is inner-regular, i.e.

 $\mu(B) = \sup\{\mu(F) : F \subseteq B, F \text{ compact}\},\$ 

for every  $B \in Bor(K)$ .

Every  $\mu \in P(K)$  acts by integration on C(K), the Banach space of continuous functions, as a norm-one functional. Since  $P(K) \subseteq C(K)^*$ , the space P(K) is naturally equipped with the *weak*<sup>\*</sup> topology, the weakest one making all the mappings

$$P(K) \ni \mu \mapsto \int_{K} f \, \mathrm{d}\mu, \quad f \in C(K),$$

continuous. Then P(K) is a compact space and its topological properties are the main subject of this survey.

There are many measure-theoretic issues that arise in the context of Banach spaces; Rodríguez [90] offers a survey on such problems. However, here we wish to confine our considerations to results directly related to topological structure of compacta of the form P(K) and the main objective is to discuss the interplay between properties of K and related properties of P(K). In turn, features of P(K) often quite directly influence some properties of the Banach space C(K) but those are mentioned *en passant*. Let us also mention here that, from a more abstract perspective, P is a covariant functor in the category of compact

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46E27, 28C15, 03E35; Secondary 46E15, 06E15.

Partially supported by the NCN (National Science Centre, Poland), under the Weave-UNISONO call in the Weave programme 2021/03/Y/ST1/00.

spaces, and some properties of P(K) spaces, such as cellularity (not discussed here), can be computed by categorical approach, see Fedorchuk and Todorčević [34].

If K is a metrizable compact space then the topological structure of P(K) is readily understood: for infinite K the space P(K) is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube  $[0, 1]^{\omega}$ . For nonmetrizable compacta K, however, even questions about basic topological properties of P(K) become subtle and many natural problems turn out to be independent of the usual axioms of set theory. Years ago Fedorchuk [33] discussed a variety of such results in his extensive survey. However, substantial progress has occurred since then, and we aim to present these advancements here. In particular, there are interesting, very recent results that we discuss in section 7.

Typically, we offer no proofs, sometimes sketching basic ideas, but there are a few exceptions.

- (A) In section 5 we reprove some nearly classical results on measures on Corson compacta, trying to convince the reader that designing the family of closed-and-open sets of the target compactum may be far quicker than building it by means of inverse systems.
- (B) In section 6 we present a measure-theoretic lemma devised by Richard Haydon that auxiliary result serving as a shortcut to theorems regarding continuous surjections from K or P(K) onto Tikhonov cubes. The lemma was already described and published, or better say concealed, in [83], the paper bearing a suspicious title.
- (C) In section 8 we outline Fremlin's result on uniformly distributed sequences on the Cantor cube 2<sup>c</sup>. Although this theorem has been around for some time, it appears to have been solely published in Fremlin's treatise and remains relatively obscure, even among specialists, see e.g. Mercourakis and Vassiliadis [76].
- (D) In section 9 we present a result stating that for two non-scattered compact spaces K and L, the fact that  $C(K \times L)$  is not a Grothendieck space can be attested by a sequence of nonatomic measures from  $P(K \times L)$ .

Let us mention here that our approach to (D) has been recently developed in [86] where we prove, in particular, that C[0, 1] is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of the Banach space  $C(\beta\omega \times \beta\omega)$ .

We use very little from Banach Space Theory; let us mention Diestel's book [21] and Albiac and Kalton [1] as entirely sufficient sources. We use several, standard by now, additional axioms of set theory and we refer to Jech [53] in such cases. Let us remark, however, that many problems hinge on one's response to the following question:

Given  $\mu \in P(K)$  and an uncountable family of Borel sets with positive measure, is there a point  $x \in K$  belonging to uncountably many of those sets?

— see subsection 3.2 for details.

We mention below a number of classical results from general topology and those can be found in Engelking's monograph [31]. Concerning topological measure theory, we refer to Fremlin's treatise [42, 43, 44] (where there is nearly everything) or to the more concise book by Bogatchev [12].

In fact, we take the opportunity and offer a mini-course on finite Radon measures on compact spaces in the next section. Our aim is to emphasize that fundamental properties of such measures and the spaces P(K) can be straightforwardly derived from a relatively simple result concerning regular extensions of finitely additive set functions, all without delving into functional analysis. In particular, the Riesz representation theorem is not needed to show the compactness of P(K); instead may be treated as its consequence. One has to admit that the proofs we give here are, at times, sketchy but the general idea should be clear.

# 2. Basic things

The results recorded here originated in Marczewski's concept of a 'compact measure' from [73] that was developed by Pfanzagl and Pierlo [78]; see also Bogachev [12, 1.12]. The crucial Theorem 2.2 is taken from Bachman and Sultan [9].

2.1. **Regular extensions.** We consider here an abstract space X, algebras of its subsets and finitely additive (nonnegative and finite) measures. For any family  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of X, we write  $\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle$  for the algebra it generates. Given an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  and a set function  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ we write, for any  $Z \subseteq X$ ,

 $\mu^*(Z) = \inf\{\mu(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}, A \supseteq Z\} \text{ and } \mu_*(Z) = \sup\{\mu(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}, A \subseteq Z\}.$ 

**Lemma 2.1.** If  $\mu$  is finitely additive on an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  then, for a given set  $Z \subseteq X$ , the formula

$$\overline{\mu}((A \cap Z) \cup (B \cap Z^c)) = \mu^*(A \cap Z) + \mu_*(B \cap Z^c)$$

defines an extension of  $\mu$  to a finitely additive measure on  $\mathcal{A}(Z) = \langle \mathcal{A} \cup \{Z\} \rangle$  such that  $\overline{\mu}(Z) = \mu^*(Z)$ .

*Proof.* First notice that, indeed, every element of  $\mathcal{A}(Z)$  can be written as  $(A \cap Z) \cup (B \cap Z^c)$ where  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ . It is routine to check that  $\mathcal{A} \ni A \mapsto \mu^*(A \cap Z)$  defines an additive set function on the trace of  $\mathcal{A}$  on Z; the same holds for  $\mathcal{A} \ni A \mapsto \mu_*(A \cap Z)$ . Thus  $\overline{\mu}$  is finitely additive on  $\mathcal{A}(Z)$ .

If  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  then  $A = (A \cap Z) \cup (A \cap Z^c)$  so  $\overline{\mu}(A) = \mu^*(A \cap Z) + \mu_*(A \cap Z^c)$  which is equal to  $\mu(A)$ , again by routine calculations.

Finally,  $Z = X \cap Z$  gives  $\overline{\mu}(Z) = \mu^*(Z)$ .

Saying that  $\mathcal{L}$  is a lattice, we mean that  $\mathcal{L}$  is a family of subsets of X containing  $\emptyset$ , and closed under finite unions and intersections. If  $\mathcal{L}$  is a lattice contained in an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  then a finitely additive measure  $\mu$  defined on  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be  $\mathcal{L}$ -regular if

$$\mu(A) = \sup\{\mu(L) : L \in \mathcal{L}, L \subseteq A\} \text{ for every } A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

**Theorem 2.2.** ([9, Theorem 2.1]) Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra of subsets of X and let  $\mathcal{L}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  be a lattice. Further assume that  $\mu$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_0$ -regular, finitely additive measure on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Given any lattice  $\mathcal{L} \supseteq \mathcal{L}_0$ ,  $\mu$  can be extended to an  $\mathcal{L}$ -regular finitely additive measure on  $\langle \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{L} \rangle$ .

*Proof.* Consider first the case when  $\mathcal{L}$  is a lattice generated by  $\mathcal{L}_0$  and one additional set Z. Note that  $\mathcal{L}$  is then the family of sets of the form  $(L \cap Z) \cup L'$  where  $L, L' \in \mathcal{L}_0$ .

We consider  $\overline{\mu}$  as in Lemma 2.1; it is enough to check that  $\overline{\mu}$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -regular. Indeed, for any  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  we have

$$\overline{\mu}(A \cap Z) = \mu^*(A \cap Z) = \sup\{\mu(L \cap Z) : L \in \mathcal{L}_0, L \subseteq A\},\$$

$$\overline{\mu}(A \cap Z^c) = \mu_*(A \cap Z^c) = \sup\{\mu(L) : L \in \mathcal{L}_0, L \subseteq A \cap K^c\}$$

and those formulas yield the required regularity.

Now we only need to realize that general case follows by transfinite induction: we can add new elements of  $\mathcal{L}$  one by one.

Given a lattice  $\mathcal{L}$ , we say that  $\mathcal{L}$  is countably compact if every countable subfamily of  $\mathcal{L}$  with the finite intersection property has nonempty intersection. The following seems to be pretty standard — note that such an extension theorem enables us to avoid the classical Caratheodory method of constructing measures.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra of subsets of X,  $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  be a lattice and suppose that  $\mu$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -regular, finitely additive measure on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

If  $\mathcal{L}$  is countably compact and closed under countable intersections then  $\mu$  can be extended to a countably additive  $\mathcal{L}$ -regular measure on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$  generated by  $\mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* We first note the following.

CLAIM 1. If  $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$  form a decreasing sequence then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  the is a decreasing sequence  $L_n \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $L_n \subseteq A_n$  and  $\mu(A_n \setminus L_n) < \varepsilon$  for every n.

Indeed, for every *n* choose  $L'_n \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $L'_n \subseteq A_n$  and  $\mu(A_n \setminus L'_n) < \varepsilon/2^n$ , and define  $L_n = \bigcap_{k \leq n} L'_k$ . Then

$$\mu(A_n \setminus L_n) \le \sum_{k \le n} \mu(A_n \setminus L'_k) \le \sum_{k \le n} \mu(A_k \setminus L'_k) \le \sum_{k \le n} \varepsilon/2^k < \varepsilon,$$

as required.

Claim 1 implies that, by countable compactness of  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -smooth on  $\mathcal{A}$ , that is  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(A_n) = 0$  whenever  $A_n \in \mathcal{A}$  form a decreasing sequence with empty intersection.

CLAIM 2. We have  $\mu(\bigcap_n L_n) = \lim_n \mu(L_n)$  whenever  $L_n \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $L_1 \supseteq L_2 \supseteq L_2 \supseteq \ldots$ 

Let  $L = \bigcap_n L_n$ ; given  $\varepsilon > 0$  find  $Z \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $Z \subseteq X \setminus L$  and  $\mu(Z) > \mu(X \setminus L) - \varepsilon$ . Then  $\bigcap_n (L_n \cap Z) = \emptyset$  so, by countable compactness, there is k such that  $L_k \cap Z = \emptyset$ . Then

$$\mu(L_k) \le \mu(X \setminus Z) < \mu(L) + \varepsilon,$$

which verifies the claim.

Consider now the family  $\Sigma$  of sets  $B \subseteq X$  for which, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there are  $L, L' \in \mathcal{L}$  such that

$$L \subseteq B, L' \subseteq X \setminus B$$
 and  $\mu(L) + \mu(L') > \mu(X) - \varepsilon$ .

We put  $\overline{\mu}(B) = \sup\{\mu(L) : \mathcal{L} \ni L \subseteq B\}$  for  $B \in \Sigma$ . By the very definition,  $\Sigma$  is closed under complements; it is easy to see that  $\Sigma$  is closed under finite unions; consequently,  $\Sigma$  is an algebra of sets. Moreover,  $\overline{\mu}$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -regular, additive set function on  $\Sigma$  which is  $\sigma$ -smooth.

Since  $\Sigma \supseteq \mathcal{A}$ , it remains to check that  $\Sigma$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra which amounts to verifying that  $\Sigma$  is also closed under decreasing intersections.

Take a decreasing sequence  $B_n \in \Sigma$  and let  $B = \bigcap_n B_n$ . Writing  $c = \lim_n \overline{\mu}(B_n)$ , for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$  pick k with  $\overline{\mu}(B_k) < c + \varepsilon$ . It is clear that we can apply Claim 1 also to the sets  $B_n$  to get a decreasing sequence of  $L_n \in \mathcal{L}$  such that

$$L_n \subseteq B_n$$
 and  $\overline{\mu}(B_n \setminus L_n) < \varepsilon/2$ .

Write  $L = \bigcap_n L_n$  and find  $L' \in \mathcal{L}$  satisfying

$$L' \subseteq X \setminus B_k$$
 and  $\mu(L') > \overline{\mu}(X \setminus B_k) - \varepsilon/2$ .

Using Claim 2 we conclude that

$$\mu(L) + \mu(L') \ge \mu(L_k) + \mu(L') - \varepsilon;$$

since  $L \subseteq B$  and  $L' \cap B = \emptyset$ , we have checked that  $B \in \Sigma$  and this finishes the proof.  $\Box$ 

2.2. Baire and Borel measures. As we have already declared, K always stands for a compact Hausdorff space. By C(K) we denote the Banach space of real-valued continuous functions on K. We, of course, define the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra Bor(K) as the one generated by all open subsets of K. Let us first note the following easy consequences of inner-regularity of Radon measures.

# **Lemma 2.4.** For any K and $\mu \in P(K)$

- (i)  $\mu(B) = \inf\{\mu(U) : U \supseteq B, U \text{ open}\}\$  for every  $B \in Bor(K)$ ;
- (ii) if V is the union of a family  $\mathcal{U}$  of open sets which is closed under finite unions then  $\mu(V) = \sup\{\mu(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}.$

*Proof.* For (i) apply inner regularity to  $K \setminus B$ . Part (ii) follows by inner-regularity and compactness.

Typically, in a nonmetrizable space K there is another  $\sigma$ -algebra, often much smaller than Bor(K), with respect to which all the functions from C(K) are measurable. A set  $Z \subseteq K$  is called a zero set if  $Z = g^{-1}[0]$  for some  $g \in C(K)$ . We shall write  $\mathcal{Z}_K$  for the family of all zero sets in K. The Baire  $\sigma$ -algebra Ba(K) is defined as the smallest one

containing  $\mathcal{Z}_K$ . Properties of zero sets are discussed in Engelking [31]; for properties of Baire sets (also in the general setting) see Wheeler [100].

We list below a few basic properties of zero sets; actually, they hold in every normal topological space.

**Lemma 2.5.** (1) For every  $g \in C(K)$  and a closed set  $H \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g^{-1}[H]$  is a zero set.

- (2)  $\mathcal{Z}_K$  is a lattice closed under countable intersection.
- (3) For every  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_K$  there are zero sets  $Z_n$  such that  $X \setminus Z = \bigcup_n Z_n$ .
- (4) For every closed  $F \subseteq K$  and open  $U \supseteq F$  there is  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_K$  such that  $F \subseteq Z \subseteq U$ .

We can now discuss properties of countably additive Baire measures.

**Lemma 2.6.** Every finite measure on Ba(K) is  $\mathcal{Z}_K$ -regular.

*Proof.* This can be checked as in the final step of the proof of 2.3. Namely, consider the family  $\Sigma$  of those sets  $B \subseteq K$  for which, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there are  $Z, Z' \in \mathcal{Z}_K$  such that

 $Z \subseteq B, Z' \subseteq K \setminus B$  and  $\mu(Z) + \mu(Z') > \mu(K) - \varepsilon$ .

In a similar manner, we check that  $\Sigma$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra so it remains to note that  $\mathcal{Z}_K \subseteq \Sigma$  which is a consequence of Lemma 2.5(3).

**Theorem 2.7.** Every finite measure  $\mu$  on Ba(K) can be uniquely extended to a Radon measure.

*Proof.* The existence of an extension of  $\mu$  to a Radon measure  $\overline{\mu}$  on K follows from Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 (where we let  $\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{Z}_K$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  be the lattice of all closed sets).

The uniqueness of such  $\overline{\mu}$  follows from the observation that  $\overline{\mu}$  must satisfy the formula

$$\overline{\mu}(F) = \inf\{\mu(Z) : Z \in \mathcal{Z}_K, Z \supseteq F\}$$

for every closed set F — this is a consequence of Lemma 2.5(4).

A compact space K is zero-dimensional if clop(K), the algebra of closed-and-open (briefly, clopen) sets in K separates points of K.

**Corollary 2.8.** If K is zero-dimensional then every finitely additive measure on clop(K) extends uniquely to a Radon measure.

*Proof.* We again refer to Theorem 2.2 (with  $\mathcal{L}_0 = \operatorname{clop}(K)$ ) and apply Theorem 2.3. The uniqueness follows from the fact that every closed subset of K is an intersection of clopen sets.

**Proposition 2.9.** Given  $\mu \in P(K)$ , for every  $B \in Bor(K)$  there is  $A \in Ba(K)$  such that  $\mu(A \bigtriangleup B) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Using regularity of  $\mu$  we can assume that B is  $F_{\sigma}$ ; in turn, it is enough to approximate a given closed set F. By the formula used in the proof of 2.7, there is  $Z \in \mathcal{Z}_K$  such that  $Z \supseteq F$  and  $\mu(Z) = \mu(F)$ .

2.3. **Product measures.** For any cardinal number  $\kappa$  we can consider the Cantor cube  $2^{\kappa} (= \{0, 1\}^{\kappa})$  equipped with the 'standard' product measure  $\lambda_{\kappa}$  which is uniquely determined by the requirement that

$$\lambda_{\kappa}(\{x \in 2^{\kappa} : x(\alpha) = 1\}) = 1/2 \text{ for every } \alpha < \kappa.$$

What is the domain of  $\lambda_{\kappa}$ ?

For any set of coordinates  $I \subseteq \kappa$  we denote the projection  $2^{\kappa} \to 2^{I}$  by  $\pi_{I}$ . Formally speaking,  $\lambda_{\kappa}$  is defined on the product  $\sigma$ -algebra consisting of all the sets of the form  $A = \pi_{I}^{-1}[B]$  where  $I \subseteq \kappa$  is countable and  $B \in Bor(2^{I})$ . For such a set we say that A is determined by coordinates in I.

The product  $\sigma$ -algebra mentioned above is actually the Baire  $\sigma$ -algebra  $Ba(2^{\kappa})$ . To see why note first that, by very definition, every basic open set in  $2^{\kappa}$  depends on a finite number of coordinates; so does every clopen set. Now, for a continuous function  $g: 2^{\kappa} \to \mathbb{R}$  and closed  $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ,  $A = g^{-1}[F]$  is a  $G_{\delta}$  subset of  $2^{\kappa}$  so it can be written as  $A = \bigcap_n C_n$  for some  $C_n \in \text{clop}(2^{\kappa})$  and therefore A depends on countably many coordinates.

It is now clear that, for  $\kappa > \omega$ ,  $Ba(2^{\kappa})$  is much smaller than  $Bor(2^{\kappa})$  — no singleton in  $2^{\kappa}$  is a Baire set. This might indicate that to speak of the 'usual product measure' as a Borel measure we should refer to its unique Borel extension. However, here the situation is far simpler:  $\lambda_{\kappa}$  is completion regular in the sense of the following theorem which is a particular instance of Kakutani's theorem, see Choksi and Fremlin [17] for more information.

**Theorem 2.10.** For every  $\kappa$  and for every  $B \in Bor(2^{\kappa})$  there are  $B_1, B_2 \in Ba(2^{\kappa})$  such that  $B_1 \subseteq B \subseteq B_2$  and  $\lambda_{\kappa}(B_2 \setminus B_1) = 0$ .

Proof. Fix  $\kappa$  and write  $\lambda = \lambda_{\kappa}$  for simplicity. Sets B satisfying the assertion clearly form a  $\sigma$ -algebra so it suffices to check that every open set  $U \subseteq 2^{\kappa}$  can be approximated from below and from above by Baire sets. Finding  $B_1$  is easy (actually in any compact space): take closed sets  $F_n \subseteq U$  such that  $\lambda(U \setminus F_n) < 1/n$ ; for every n, by Lemma 2.5, there is a zero set  $Z_n$  such that  $F_n \subseteq Z_n \subseteq U$ . Then  $B_1 = \bigcup_n Z_n$  is the required Baire set.

Now  $B_1 = \pi_J^{-1}[A]$  for some countable  $J \subseteq \kappa$  where A is an  $F_{\sigma}$  subset of  $2^J$ . Consider

$$V = \pi_J^{-1}[U']$$
 where  $U' = \pi_J[U];$ 

here U' is open in  $2^J$  so V is an open Baire set containing U and it remains to check that it may play a role of  $B_2$ , that is  $\lambda(V) = \lambda(U)$ .

Write  $\lambda' = \pi_J[\lambda]$  and  $\lambda'' = \pi_{\kappa \setminus J}[\lambda]$  for the image measures — see 2.4 for the notation. Then  $\lambda = \lambda' \otimes \lambda''$ , i.e. we may think that  $\lambda$  is a product measure on  $2^{\kappa} = 2^J \times 2^{\kappa \setminus J}$ . Suppose that  $\lambda(V \setminus U) > 0$ . Then  $\lambda'(U' \setminus A) > 0$  so there is  $x' \in U' \setminus A$  with the property that  $\lambda'(W' \cap (U' \setminus A)) > 0$  for every open  $W' \subseteq 2^J$  neighbourhood of x'. As  $x' \in U' = \pi_J[U]$ , there is  $x'' \in 2^{\kappa \setminus J}$  such that  $x = (x', x'') \in U$ . But U is open so  $x \in W' \times W'' \subseteq U$  for some basic open sets W', W'' We arrive at contradiction examining the set  $Z = (W' \setminus A) \times W''$ : On one hand,

$$\lambda(Z) = \lambda'(W' \setminus A)\lambda''(W'') > 0.$$

On the other hand,  $\lambda(Z) = 0$  because  $Z \subseteq U \setminus B_1$ .

Let us recall that the product  $\sigma$ -algebra coincides with the Baire  $\sigma$ -algebra in an arbitrary product of separable metrizable spaces, see e.g. [18]. The argument of Theorem 2.10 can be easily adapted to the product spaces  $[0, 1]^{\kappa}$  with the product of the Lebesgue measure on [0, 1].

2.4. Spaces of measures. First of all we note that if K is zero-dimensional then, by Corollary 2.8, P(K) may be identified with  $P(\operatorname{clop}(K))$ , the space of all finitely additive probability measures on the algebra of sets  $\operatorname{clop}(K)$ . This identification observes the topological structure on P(K) when we simply equip  $P(\operatorname{clop}(K))$  with the topology inherited from  $[0, 1]^{\operatorname{clop}(K)}$ , that is the topology of convergence on clopen sets. Indeed, convergence on  $\operatorname{clop}(K)$  yields convergence on simple continuous functions and these form a normdense subspace of C(K). As  $P(\operatorname{clop}(K))$  is a closed subset of  $[0, 1]^{\operatorname{clop}(K)}$ , we conclude the following.

**Lemma 2.11.** The space P(K) is compact for every zero-dimensional K.

If  $f: K \to L$  is a continuous surjection between compact spaces then for every  $\mu \in P(K)$ we write  $f[\mu]$  for the image measures defined on L, that is

$$f[\mu](B) = \mu(f^{-1}[B])$$
 for every  $B \in Bor(L)$ .

Note that  $\nu = f[\mu] \in P(L)$ , i.e.  $\nu$  is regular: for any  $B \in Bor(L)$  we have  $B' = f^{-1}[B] \in Bor(K)$  so, for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a closed set  $F \subseteq B'$  such that  $\mu(B' \setminus F) < \varepsilon$ . Then H = f[F] is a closed subset of B and  $F \subseteq f^{-1}[H] \subseteq B'$  so  $\nu(B \setminus H) < \varepsilon$ .

**Theorem 2.12.** If  $f: K \to L$  is a continuous surjection then

$$P(K) \ni \mu \mapsto f[\mu] \in P(L)$$

is a continuous surjection between the corresponding spaces of measures.

*Proof.* To check surjectivity fix  $\nu \in P(L)$ ; to find  $\mu \in P(K)$  such that  $f[\mu] = \nu$  consider

$$\mathcal{A} = \{ f^{-1}[B] : B \in Bor(L) \}, \quad \mathcal{L}_0 = \{ f^{-1}(H) : H = \overline{H} \subseteq L \}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of sets,  $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  is a lattice and putting  $\mu_0(f^{-1}[B]) = \nu(B)$  for  $B \in Bor(L)$  we have an  $\mathcal{L}_0$ -regular measure  $\mu_0$  defined on  $\mathcal{A}$ . By Theorems 2.2 and 2.3,  $\mu_0$  extends to the required  $\mu \in P(K)$ .

The continuity of the mapping  $\mu \mapsto f[\mu]$  follows by the standard formula

$$\int_{L} g \, \mathrm{d}f[\mu] = \int_{K} g \circ f \, \mathrm{d}\mu$$
  
satisfied by any  $g \in C(L)$ .

Let us mention here that Theorem 2.12 implies that every compact space K that can be continuously mapped onto [0, 1] (i.e. every K which is not scattered) carries a nonatomic probability measure (here: the one vanishing on points).

**Theorem 2.13.** The space P(K) is compact for every K.

*Proof.* Recall that every compact space is a continuous image of a compact zero-dimensional space; then apply Lemma 2.11 and Theorem 2.12.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 2.14.** In the setting of Theorem 2.12, for a given  $\nu \in P(K)$  we can find  $\mu \in P(K)$  such that  $f[\mu] = \nu$  and, moreover, the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma = \{f^{-1}[B] : B \in Bor(L)\}$  is  $\triangle$ -dense in Bor(K), that is for every  $A \in Bor(K)$  there is  $E \in \Sigma$  such that  $\mu(A \triangle B) = 0$ .

To see this, one has to examine briefly the proof of Theorem 2.2. Alternatively, we can recall a general result due to Douglas [23]. Namely, the set  $M = \{\mu \in P(K) : f[\mu] = \nu\}$ is a compact convex subset of P(K) and any extreme point of M is a measure having the required property.

Most often, Theorem 2.13 is derived from the Banach-Alaoglu theorem on  $weak^*$  compactness in dual Banach spaces; this however, requires the Riesz representation theorem. Note that we could actually do the opposite, derive the Riesz theorem from 2.13 using the observations given below.

For every K the space P(K) contains the subspace of Dirac measures  $\Delta_K = \{\delta_x : x \in K\}$ . Its convex hull conv $(\Delta_K)$  is the subset of P(K) consisting of all finitely supported probabilities.

# **Lemma 2.15.** The set $conv(\Delta_K)$ is dense in P(K) for every K.

*Proof.* Rather than proving this directly, note first that the result is almost immediate for zero-dimensional K: for any  $\mu \in P(K)$  and a finite partition  $K = \bigcup_i A_i$  into nonempty clopen set, choose any  $x_i \in A_i$  and observe that the measure  $\nu = \sum_i \mu(A_i) \delta_{x_i}$  agrees with  $\mu$  on  $A_i$ 's.

For the general case use the fact that P(K) is a continuous image of the space of probabilities on a zero-dimensional compactum.

If  $\mu \in P(K)$  then the support of  $\mu$  is the smallest closed set  $S \subseteq K$  with the property  $\mu(S) = 1$ . The existence of such S follows from the observation that  $K \setminus S$  is the union of all open sets of measure zero and  $\mu(K \setminus S) = 0$  by Lemma 2.4(ii). When S = K then we say that  $\mu$  is strictly positive which amounts to stating that  $\mu(U) > 0$  for every nonempty open set  $U \subseteq K$ . Note also that for a closed set  $S \subseteq K$ , the space P(S) may be treated as a subspace of P(K) consisting of measures concentrated on S.

2.5. Kelley's intersection number. Kelley introduced in [58] that concept to characterize Boolean algebras carrying strictly positive measures. For a family  $\mathcal{F}$  of sets, the intersection number of  $\mathcal{F}$ , denoted here by  $i(\mathcal{F})$ , can be defined by saying that  $i(\mathcal{F}) \geq c$  if for every n and any  $F_1, \ldots, F_n \in \mathcal{F}$  we have

$$\frac{\|\sum_{k\leq n}\chi_{F_k}\|}{n}\geq c.$$

Here  $\|\cdot\|$  stands for the supremum norm so the fraction above indicates the proportion of sets in a given sequence having nonempty intersection.

Intersection numbers enables one to express the property that a given compact space K carries a strictly positive measure  $\mu$  as a kind of chain condition, see Comfort and Negrepontis [18, Chapter 6] and Todorčević [98].

We shall need the following result which is a particular case of [18, Lemma 6.3]; see also Mägerl and Namioka [69, Theorem 1] or Kindler [59]. We sketch another proof which indicates that the essence of Kelley's theorem can be reduced, in the language of linear programming, to duality.

**Theorem 2.16.** If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a family of closed subsets of K and  $i(\mathcal{F}) \ge c$  then there is  $\mu \in P(K)$  such that  $\mu(F) \ge c$  for every  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ .

*Proof.* Note first that for any family  $\mathcal{H}$  of closed subsets of K, the set

$$P(\mathcal{H}, c) = \{ \mu \in P(K) : \mu(H) \ge c \text{ for every } H \in \mathcal{H} \}$$

is closed in P(K). Moreover,  $P(\mathcal{F}, c)$  is the intersection of  $P(\mathcal{H}, c)$  over all finite  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ . Hence, by compactness of P(K), is suffices to prove the assertion for a finite family  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Consider such a finite family  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, \ldots, F_k\}$ . Then the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by  $\mathcal{F}$  is also finite; let  $T_1, \ldots, T_n$  be the list of all atoms of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Pick  $p_i \in T_i$  for every  $i \leq n$ . We shall prove that there is  $\mu \in P(K)$  of the form  $\mu = \sum_{i \leq n} x_i \delta_{p_i}$  such that  $\mu(F_j) \geq c$  for  $j \leq k$ .

Writing  $A_j = \{i \leq n : p_i \in F_j\}$  we see that the problem reduces to finding nonnegative reals  $x_i$  such that

$$\sum_{i} x_i = 1 \text{ and } \sum_{i \in A_j} x_i \ge c \text{ for every } j \le k.$$

This may be seen as an optimization problem: find  $\min \sum_i x_i$  subject to the above constraints.

Recall here that a typical problem in linear programming is to find

$$\min \sum_{i \le n} a_i x_i \quad \text{subject to} \quad Mx \ge b, x \ge 0,$$

where  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , M is an  $k \times n$  matrix while  $b \in \mathbb{R}^k$ . Then the dual problem is:

$$\max \sum_{j \le k} b_j y_j \quad \text{subject to} \quad A^T y \le a, y \in \mathbb{R}^k, y \ge 0.$$

The main use of the duality is that if x and y are *feasible* for the the original problem and the dual one, respectively, then  $\sum_{j \leq k} b_j y_j \leq \sum_{i \leq n} a_i x_i$ ; moreover, the equality holds here if x and y are optimal; see Bertsimas and Tsitsiklis [11, Theorem 4.4].

Imagine now a matrix M coding our requirements  $\sum_{i \in A_j} x_i \ge c$  and observe that the constraints  $M^T y \le 1$  in the dual problem mean that  $\|\sum_{j\le k} y_j \chi_{A_j}\| \le 1$ . Then note that

 $i(\{A_1,\ldots,A_k\}) \ge c$  implies that

$$\|\sum_{j\leq k} y_j \chi_{A_j}\| \geq c \sum_{j\leq k} y_j$$

for any nonnegative  $y_j$ 's. We conclude that the values of the objective function in the dual problem is bounded from above by 1 hence the original problem allows a solution such that  $\sum_i x_i \leq 1$ , and we are done.

# 3. BOOLEAN ALGEBRAS AND SOME SET THEORY

Given a Boolean algebra  $\mathfrak{B}$ ,  $ult(\mathfrak{B})$  denotes its Stone space, i.e. the set of all ultrafilters on  $\mathfrak{B}$  endowed with the topology having as a base the collection of sets of the form  $\widehat{B} =$  $\{p \in ult(\mathfrak{B}): B \in p\}$ , for  $B \in \mathfrak{B}$ . Then  $ult(\mathfrak{B})$  is a compact Hausdorff space and the assignment  $B \to \widehat{B}$  defines a Boolean isomorphism between  $\mathfrak{B}$  and the algebra of clopen subsets of  $ult(\mathfrak{B})$ . Conversely, every compact Hausdorff space possessing a base of clopen sets (i.e. a 0-dimensional space) can be seen as the Stone space of its algebra of clopen sets; Koppelberg [60] is our basic reference here.

Most often, we consider algebras of sets rather than abstract Boolean algebras. Following the notation of subsection 2.4, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra of sets then  $P(\mathcal{A})$  stands for the space of all *finitely* additive probability measures on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Recall that  $P(\mathcal{A})$  is always equipped with the topology inherited from  $[0, 1]^{\mathcal{A}}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** For every algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  the space  $P(\mathcal{A})$  is homeomorphic to P(K) where  $K = ult(\mathcal{A})$ .

*Proof.* If  $\mu \in P(\mathcal{A})$  then putting  $\widehat{\mu}(\widehat{A}) = \mu(A)$  for  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  we define a finitely additive measure  $\widehat{\mu} \in P(\operatorname{clop}(K))$  which, by Corollary 2.8, can be uniquely extended to an element of P(K).

3.1. Measure algebras and Maharam types. For every finite measure space  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ , writing  $\mathcal{N} = \{A \in \Sigma : \mu(A) = 0\}$ , one can form its measure algebra  $\mathfrak{A} = \Sigma/\mathcal{N}$  of all equivalence classes  $A^{\bullet} = \{B \in \Sigma : \mu(B \bigtriangleup A) = 0\}$ ,  $A \in \Sigma$ . Moreover, we can treat  $\mu$  as being defined on  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Measure algebras are discussed in Fremlin [39] and [43].

For every  $\kappa$ , write  $\mathfrak{B}_{\kappa}$  for the measure algebra of the measure  $\lambda_{\kappa}$  on  $2^{\kappa}$ . By completion regularity of product measures (see subsection 2.3), there is no difference if we form  $\mathfrak{B}_{\kappa}$ for the measure  $\lambda_{\kappa}$  defined on  $Ba(2^{\kappa})$  or with respect to its unique regular extension to  $Bor(2^{\kappa})$ . This explains why  $\mathfrak{B}_{\kappa}$  is of size  $\kappa^{\omega}$  (for  $\kappa > 1$ ).

**Definition 3.2.** Given  $\mu \in P(K)$ , the Maharam type  $\operatorname{mt}(\mu)$  of  $\mu$  is the minimal infinite cardinal number  $\kappa$  such that there is  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq Bor(K)$  with  $|\mathcal{D}| = \kappa$  and having the property that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any  $B \in Bor(K)$  there is  $D \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $\mu(D \bigtriangleup B) < \varepsilon$ .

In other words,  $\operatorname{mt}(\mu)$  is the density of the corresponding measure algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  with respect to the metric  $\mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{A} \ni (a, b) \to \mu(a \bigtriangleup b)$ . It is also not difficult to check that  $\operatorname{mt}(\mu)$  is equal to the density of the Banach space  $L_1(\mu)$ .

Recall that, by the Maharam structure theorem, if  $\mu$  is of type  $\leq \kappa$  then its measure algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  can be embedded into  $\mathfrak{B}_{\kappa}$  by 'the measure preserving Boolean homomorphism'. Moreover, if  $\mu$  is homogeneous of type  $\kappa$  (i.e. its type is  $\kappa$  when we restrict  $\mu$  to any set of positive measure) then  $\mathfrak{A}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{B}_{\kappa}$ , see e.g. Fremlin [39].

**Remark 3.3.** It follows immediately from 'Remark 2.14 that if  $f : K \to L$  is a continuous surjection, then for a given  $\nu \in P(L)$ , there is  $\mu \in P(K)$  such that  $f[\mu] = \nu$  and  $\mu$  has the same Maharam type as  $\nu$ .

It will be convenient to use the following notion.

**Definition 3.4.** We say that a compact space K is in the class MS if K carries only measures of type  $\omega$ .

This terminology is borrowed from Džamonja and Kunen [27]; MS stands for *Measure* Separable — measures of countable type are also simply called separable. The class MS contains all metric compacta and is closed under closed subspaces, continuous images and countable products, see [27] for more information.

3.2. Calibers of measures. We shall use the following concept.

**Definition 3.5.** A cardinal number  $\kappa$  is a *caliber* of a measure  $\mu \in P(K)$  if, for every family  $\{A_{\alpha} : \alpha < \kappa\}$  in Bor(K) of  $\mu$ -positive sets, there is  $x \in K$  such that  $\{\alpha < \kappa : x \in A_{\alpha}\}$  has cardinality  $\kappa$ .

Basic properties of calibers of measures are discussed in [28] and Fremlin [44, 525]. In particular, [28, Lemma 2.5] states that a given cardinal number  $\kappa$  is a caliber of all Radon measures if  $\kappa$  is a precaliber of all measure algebras, that is if  $\{a_{\xi} : \xi < \kappa\}$  is a family of positive elements of such an algebra then there is as set  $I \subseteq \kappa$  of size  $\kappa$  such that  $\{a_{\xi} : \xi \in I\}$  is centered. By the Maharam theorem, this yields the conclusion that  $\kappa$  is a caliber of Radon measures if and only if  $\kappa$  is a caliber of the measure  $\lambda_{\kappa}$  on  $2^{\kappa}$ .

For the following basic fact see Todorčević [96, Lemma 6] (or [44, 525G], [28, Section 3]).

**Lemma 3.6.** The cardinal number  $\omega_1$  is a caliber of Radon measures if and only if the Cantor cube  $2^{\omega_1}$  cannot be covered by  $\omega_1$  many sets that are negligible with respect to  $\lambda_{\omega_1}$ .

In the language of cardinal coefficient of ideals, see [44, Chapter 54], the condition mentioned above can be written as  $cov(2^{\omega_1}) > \omega_1$ . Recall that  $cov(2^{\omega_1}) \le cov(2^{\omega})$  and the sharp inequality is relatively consistent, see Kraszewski [63, Remark after Theorem 5.5].

Concerning Martin's axiom, the reader is referred to Jech [53, Chapter 16] and Fremlin [38]. Here we write  $MA(\kappa)$  for Martin's axiom stated for  $\kappa$  many dense sets in a *ccc* poset and MA means that  $MA(\kappa)$  holds for every  $\kappa < \mathfrak{c}$ .

Note that, by Lemma 3.6,  $\omega_1$  is not a caliber of Radon measures if the continuum hypothesis CH holds. On the other hand, MA( $\omega_1$ ) implies that  $\omega_1$  is a caliber of measures.

### A SURVEY ON P(K) SPACES

# 4. Cardinality of P(K) and separability

For every K the space P(K) contains  $\Delta_K = \{\delta_x : x \in K\}$  which is clearly homeomorphic to K. It follows that if P(K) has some topological property which is hereditary with respect to closed subspaces then the space K enjoys the same property. It is easy to check that P(K) is metrizable if and only if K is metrizable (recall that metrizability of K is equivalent to separability of the Banach space C(K)). As we shall see below, there is no such straightforward relation between K and P(K) when we inspect other topological properties.

# 4.1. Cardinality. For every K we have

$$|K|^{\omega} \le |P(K)| \le 2^{w(K)};$$

here  $w(\cdot)$  denotes the topological weight. Indeed,  $|K|^{\omega}$  is the number of fully atomic measures on K. For the upper bound above note that if we take a base  $\mathcal{U}$  for the topology of K with  $|\mathcal{U}| = w(K)$  then we can assume that  $\mathcal{U}$  is closed under finite unions. Now for any  $\mu \in P(K)$  and any open set V we have

$$\mu(V) = \sup\{\mu(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}, U \subseteq V\},\$$

by Lemma 2.4(ii). In turn, by Lemma 2.4(i),  $\mu$  is determined by its values on  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Lipecki [67] asked whether actually  $|P(K)| = |K|^{\omega}$  for every compact space K.

**Theorem 4.1** (Fremlin and Plebanek [45]). Under MA there is a compact space K of cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$  that carries  $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$  many pairwise orthogonal Radon measures (in particular,  $|P(K)| = 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ ).

However, the following problem seems to be open.

**Problem 4.2.** Is there a ZFC example of a compact space of cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$  for which  $|P(K)| > \mathfrak{c}$ ?

Dales and Plebanek [20] examine questions related to cardinality of P(K) in connection with the structure of dual Banach spaces of the form  $C(K)^*$ .

4.2. Separability. Arguing as for the proof of Lemma 2.15 we can check that if D is a dense subset of K then P(K) is the closed convex hull of the set  $\{\delta_x : x \in D\}$ . It follows that P(K) is separable for every separable compactum K. As we shall see, this cannot be reversed and the question when P(K) is separable? is delicate.

**Lemma 4.3.** The space P(K) is separable if and only if there is a sequence of  $\mu_n \in P(K)$  such that for every nonempty open set  $U \subseteq K$  there is n such that  $\mu_n(U) > 1/2$ .

The above observation was used by Talagrand [93] and Mägerl and Namioka [69] who showed that the separability of P(K) is equivalent to some chain-type condition for the topology of K (here 1/2 can be replaced by any constant  $c \in (0, 1)$ ).

**Corollary 4.4** ([93]). If a compact space K carries a strictly positive measure  $\lambda$  of countable type then P(K) is separable.

Proof. Take a countable family  $\mathcal{A}$  in Bor(K) such that  $\inf\{\lambda(B \triangle A) : A \in \mathcal{A}\} = 0$  for every Borel set B. Then let  $\mu_A$  be the normalized restriction of  $\lambda$  to A (whenever  $\lambda(A) > 0$ ). Then apply Lemma 4.3 to the family of  $\mu_A$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Now it is clear that if we consider the Stone space K of the Lebesgue measure algebra then P(K) is separable while K is not. Lemma 4.4 gives a handy sufficient condition for separability of P(K) which is, however, not necessary. The following was proved by Talagrand [93] under CH, and by Džamonja and Plebanek [30] in ZFC.

**Theorem 4.5.** There is a compact space K such that P(K) is separable but K carries no strictly positive measure of countable type.

It is worth noting here that the separability of P(K) is equivalent to  $weak^*$  separability of the unit ball  $M_1(K)$  in  $C(K)^*$ . In turn, the latter property implies that  $M(K) = C(K)^*$ is  $weak^*$  separable but not vice versa as it was proved, again, by Talagrand [93] under CH and later by Avilés, Plebanek and Rodríguez [6] in ZFC.

# 5. FIRST COUNTABILITY AND CORSON COMPACTA

A compact space K is Corson compact if K can be embedded into a  $\Sigma$ -product  $\Sigma([0,1]^{\Gamma})$  for some index set  $\Gamma$ ; recall that

$$\Sigma([0,1]^{\Gamma}) = \{ x \in [0,1]^{\Gamma} : |\{\gamma : x(\gamma) \neq 0\}| \le \omega \}.$$

Corson compacta form an important class of spaces related to functional analysis, see Argyros, Mercourakis and Negrepontis [3] and Kalenda [54]. Corson compacta appear here in the company of first-countable spaces since the measures on spaces from both the classes behave, to some extend, in a similar manner.

**Definition 5.1.** A measure  $\mu \in P(K)$  is said to be strongly countably determined if there exists a continuous map  $f: K \to [0,1]^{\omega}$  such that for any compact set  $F \subseteq K$  we have  $\mu(F) = \mu(f^{-1}[f[F]]).$ 

Strongly countably determined measures were introduced by Babiker [1] who called them *uniformly regular measures*.

**Lemma 5.2** ([8]). A measure  $\mu \in P(K)$  is strongly countably determined if and only if there is a countable family  $\mathcal{Z}$  of zero subsets of K such that

 $\mu(U) = \sup\{\mu(Z) : Z \in \mathcal{Z}, Z \subseteq U\},\$ 

for every open set  $U \subseteq K$ .

The latter condition seems to justify the name strongly countably determined; note that if we relax the property to saying that  $\mathcal{Z}$  is a countable family of closed sets then we get a weaker property and the measure  $\mu$  in question is called then *countably determined*. The following implications are obvious

strongly countably determined  $\Rightarrow$  countably determined  $\Rightarrow$  of countable type.

The next result is due to Pol [89, Proposition 2].

**Proposition 5.3.** For any compact space K and a measure  $\mu \in P(K)$ , the space P(K) is first-countable at  $\mu$  if and only if  $\mu$  is strongly countably determined.

The proposition above can be generalized to its higher cardinal analogue, see Krupski and Plebanek [64] also for the proof of the next result.

**Theorem 5.4.** Given a compact space K in the class MS, the space P(K) has a dense subset of  $G_{\delta}$ -points.

The next theorem summarizes the results due to Kunen and van Mill [66] Argyros, Mercourakis and Negrepontis [3] and Plebanek [79].

**Theorem 5.5.** The following are equivalent

- (i)  $\omega_1$  is a caliber of Radon measures;
- (ii) whenever K is Corson compact and  $\mu \in P(K)$  then  $\mu(K_0) = 1$  for some metrizable closed set  $K_0 \subseteq K$ ;
- (iii) every Corson compact is in MS;
- (iv) P(K) is Corson compact for every Corson compact K;
- (v) every first-countable compactum K is in the class MS.

*Proof.*  $(i) \to (ii)$ . Suppose that  $K \subseteq \Sigma([0,1]^{\Gamma})$ , take  $\mu \in P(K)$  and write

$$\Gamma_0 = \{ \gamma \in \Gamma : \mu(V_\gamma) > 0 \}, \text{ where } V_\gamma = \{ x \in K : x(\gamma) > 0 \}.$$

If we suppose that  $\mu$  does not satisfy (*ii*) then we conclude that the set  $\Gamma_0$  is uncountable. But no family of uncountably many  $V_{\gamma}$  has nonempty intersection by the very definition of  $\Sigma$ -product, and it follows that  $\omega_1$  is not a caliber of the measure  $\mu$ .

 $(ii) \rightarrow (iii)$  follows from the fact that every measure concentrated on a metrizable compactum has type  $\omega$ .

To prove  $(iii) \rightarrow (i)$  suppose that  $\omega_1$  is not a caliber of Radon measures. By Lemma 3.6,  $\omega_1$  is not a caliber of the measure  $\lambda_{\omega_1}$  on the Cantor cube  $2^{\omega_1}$ . Say that this is witnessed by a family  $\{B_{\xi} : \xi < \omega_1\}$  of sets of positive measure.

We inductively choose closed sets  $F_{\xi} \subseteq 2^{\omega_1}$  of positive measure so that for every  $\xi < \omega_1$ 

- (i)  $F_{\xi} \subseteq B_{\xi}$ ;
- (ii)  $c_{\xi} = \inf \{ \lambda(F_{\xi} \bigtriangleup A) : A \in \mathcal{A}_{\xi} \} > 0$ , where  $\mathcal{A}_{\xi}$  is the algebra of sets generated by  $\{F_{\beta} : \beta < \xi\}.$

The construction is straightforward as  $\lambda_{\omega_1}$  is regular and has type  $\omega_1$  on every set of positive measure.

Then we consider the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of  $2^{\omega_1}$  generated by the whole family  $\{F_{\beta} : \beta < \omega_1\}$  and check that  $K = ult(\mathcal{A})$  is a Corson compact space carrying a measure of type  $\omega_1$ . Write  $\mu$  for  $\lambda_{\omega_1}$  restricted to  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mu \in P(\mathcal{A})$  so, by Lemma 3.1,  $\mu$  can be seen as a Radon measure on K. Condition (*ii*) above assures that  $\mu$  is of uncountable type: indeed, there is c > 0 such that  $c_{\xi} \ge c$  for uncountable many  $\xi$ . We get an uncountable set  $I \subseteq \omega_1$  such that  $\mu(F_{\xi} \bigtriangleup F_{\eta}) \ge c$  whenever  $\xi \ne \eta$  are in I which means that  $\mu$  is of type  $\omega_1$ .

To see that K is Corson compact consider the diagonal mapping

$$g = \bigwedge_{\xi < \omega_1} \chi_{\widehat{F_{\xi}}} : K \to 2^{\omega_1};$$

g us one-to-one (if two ultrafilters in  $ult(\mathcal{A})$  agree on all the generators  $F_{\xi}$  then they are identical). It follows that g is an embedding and in takes values in  $\Sigma(2^{\omega_1})$  by (i).

 $(iii) \leftrightarrow (iv)$  can be found in [3, Section 3]; recall that a Corson compact space is metrizable if and only if it is separable.

Finally,  $(i) \rightarrow (v)$  is the main result of [79] while  $(v) \rightarrow (i)$  was first proved in [66]; another construction, in the spirit of that above, can be found in [80, Theorem 5.1].

Let us mention here that Theorem 5.5 has higher-cardinals analogues, see [72, section 12] where the so called  $\kappa$ -Corson compact spaces are discussed.

Core ideas of some CH constructions related to Theorem 5.5 were invented by Haydon [50], Talagrand [93] and Kunen [65]. Their objective were different but their constructions had common ingredients which were later developed in various directions, see e.g. Džamonja and Kunen [26], Plebanek [85], Banakh and Gabryieylan [10], Koszmider and Silber [62].

When we consider first-countable compact spaces or Corson compacta K and examine the corresponding P(K) space then CH and  $MA(\omega_1)$  yield completely different pictures. We shall illustrate this phenomenon proving the following result due to Talagrand [94].

**Theorem 5.6.** Under CH there is a first-countable Corson compact space K such that P(K) contains a topological copy of  $\beta\omega$ .

Proof. Using CH we fix an enumeration  $\{t_{\xi} : \xi < \omega_1\}$  of the Cantor set  $2^{\omega}$  and list all the subset of  $\omega$  as  $\{N_{\xi} : \xi < \omega_1\}$ . For every  $\xi$  we choose a closed set  $F_{\xi} \subseteq 2^{\omega} \setminus \{t_{\beta} : \beta < \xi\}$  such that  $\lambda(F_{\xi}) > 1/2$  (here  $\lambda = \lambda_{\omega}$  is the usual product measure on the Cantor set). Consider two families of subsets of  $2^{\omega} \times \omega$ :

 $\mathcal{F} = \{ F_{\xi} \times N_{\xi} : \xi < \omega_1 \} \text{ and } \mathcal{C} = \{ C \times \omega : C \in \operatorname{clop}(2^{\omega}) \};$ 

we check below that our target space K will be  $K = ult(\mathcal{A})$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  is the algebra of subsets of  $2^{\omega} \times \omega$  generated by  $\mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{C}$ .

Take any ultrafilter  $p \in \text{ult}(\mathcal{A})$ ; then there is a unique  $t \in 2^{\omega}$  such that for any clopen  $C \subseteq 2^{\omega}$  we have

 $C \times \omega \in p$  if and only if  $t \in C$ .

Then  $t = t_{\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha < \omega_1$  and it is enough to check that the countable algebra

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = \langle \{F_\beta \times \omega : \beta \le \alpha\} \cup \mathcal{C}$$

contains a base of the ultrafilter p, that is, for every  $A \in p$  there is  $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0$  such that  $A_0 \in p$  and  $A_0 \subseteq A$  (which immediately translates to the fact that  $ult(\mathcal{A})$  is first-countable at p). This follows from the following observation.

For any  $\xi > \alpha$  we have  $t \notin F_{\xi}$  so there is a clopen set  $C \subseteq 2^{\omega}$  such that  $t \in C$  and  $C \cap F_{\xi} = \emptyset$ . Then  $C \times \omega \in p$  and  $C \times \omega \subseteq 2^{\omega} \times \omega \setminus (F_{\xi} \times B_{\xi})$ .

The fact that  $K = ult(\mathcal{A})$  is Corson compact can be checked as in the proof of Theorem 5.5; simply note that every  $p \in ult(\mathcal{A})$  can contain only a countable number of generators.

For a given  $n \in \omega$ , we can define a finitely additive measure  $\mu_n \in P(\mathcal{A})$  by the formula  $\mu_n(A) = \lambda(A^n)$  for  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ; here  $A^n = \{t \in 2^\omega : (t, n) \in A\}$  denotes the horizontal section of A. Using Lemma 3.1 we may think that  $\mu_n \in P(K)$  and it suffices to check that the closure of the set  $\{\mu_n : n \in \omega\}$  inside P(K) is homeomorphic to  $\beta\omega$ . This amounts to showing that

(\*) 
$$\overline{\{\mu_n : n \in N\}} \cap \overline{\{\mu_n : n \in \omega \setminus N\}} = \emptyset$$

for every  $N \subseteq \omega$ .

Given  $N \subseteq \omega$ , we have  $N = N_{\xi}$  for some  $\xi < \omega_1$ . Then

$$\mu_n(F_{\xi} \times N_{\xi}) = \lambda(F_{\xi}) > 1/2 \text{ whenever } n \in N,$$

while the value above is 0 for  $n \in \omega \setminus N$ . This mean that the clopen set  $F_{\xi} \times N_{\xi}$  indicates that (\*) holds.

Let us also mention the following construction from [82].

**Theorem 5.7.** Under CH there is a nonmetrizable convex compact subset K of  $\Sigma([0, 1]^{\omega_1})$  carrying a strictly positive measure.

Such a space K is defined as an affine continuous image of some P(L) (where L is not Corson compact). Here one uses the following observation: a compact space L carries a strictly positive measure if and only if the space P(L) carries such a measure, cf. Marciszewski and Plebanek [70].

Coming back to first-countable spaces, the following was proved in [81].

**Theorem 5.8.** It is relatively consistent that P(K) is first-countable if (and only if) K is first-countable for every compact space K.

The assertion in 5.8 holds in the real random model and is a consequence of the fact that in this model  $\omega_1$  is a caliber of Radon measures but, on the other hand,  $2^{\omega_1}$  contains a subset of cardinality  $\omega_1$  which has full outer measure. However, the following problem posed by David H. Fremlin seems to be still open.

**Problem 5.9.** Does the assertion of 5.8 follows from  $MA(\omega_1)$ ?

A compact space K is  $\aleph_0$ -monolithic if every separable subspace of K is metrizable. Note that every Corson compact is  $\aleph_0$ -monolithic: if  $K \subseteq \Sigma([0,1]^{\Gamma})$  and we take any sequence of  $x_n \in K$  which is dense in  $K_0 \subseteq K$  then there is a countable set  $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma$  such that  $x_n(\gamma) = 0$  for every n and every  $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_0$ . Consequently,  $K_0$  embeds into  $[0,1]^{\Gamma_0}$ .

In [84] we investigated compact spaces K for which P(K) is  $\aleph_0$ -monolithic.

**Lemma 5.10.** For any K in MS, the space P(K) is  $\aleph_0$ -monolithic if and only if the support of every  $\mu \in P(K)$  is metrizable.

*Proof.* Note first that the condition is sufficient: for any sequence of measures  $\mu_n \in P(K)$  we let S be the support of  $\mu = \sum_n 2^{-n} \mu_n$ . Then  $\mu_n \in P(S) \subseteq P(K)$  and P(S) is metrizable since S is such a space.

Consider now some  $\mu \in P(K)$  and its support S. Since  $\mu$  has countable type, P(S) is separable by Corollary 4.4. Hence P(S) is a separable subspace of a monolithic space so P(S) is metrizable and thus S is metrizable.

**Corollary 5.11.** Assuming  $MA(\omega_1)$ , the space P(K) is  $\aleph_0$ -monolithic if and only if the support of every  $\mu \in P(K)$  is metrizable.

*Proof.* In view of Lemma 5.10 it is enough to observe that if K is not in MS then P(K) cannot be  $\aleph_0$ -monolithic. This follows from Theorem 6.1 given in the next section.

**Theorem 5.12.** Under  $MA(\omega_1)$ , the following are equivalent for a compact space K

(i) P(K) is ℵ<sub>0</sub>-monolithic;
(ii) K is ℵ<sub>0</sub>-monolithic;

Here  $(i) \rightarrow (ii)$  is obvious since monolithicity is a hereditary property. The reverse implication follows from a more general result due to Arkhangel'skiĭ and Shapirovskiĭ [2], stating that any  $\aleph_0$ -monolithic compact space satisfying the countable chain condition is, under MA( $\omega_1$ ), metrizable.

The implication  $(ii) \rightarrow (i)$  of Theorem 5.12 is not provable in ZFC and is dramatically violated by CH, see Theorem 5.6. Whether Corollary 5.11 can be proved in ZFC was a question asked by Wiesław Kubiś in connection with [56] and [35]. More recently, the same problem was posed by Claudia Correa, motivated by [19]. The negative answer came in [84] and reads as follows.

**Theorem 5.13.** Under  $\diamondsuit$ , there is a nonmetrizable Corson compact space K such that P(K) is  $\aleph_0$ -monolithic but K supports a measure of type  $\omega_1$ .

# 6. Mappings onto Tikhonov cubes

If  $f: K \to [0,1]^{\kappa}$  is a continuous surjection then K carries a measure of type  $\geq \kappa$ . Indeed, by Theorem 2.12 there is  $\mu \in P(K)$  such that  $f[\mu] = \lambda_{\kappa}$ ; note that then  $\operatorname{mt}(\mu) \geq \kappa$ . Richard Haydon was first to address the question whether this can be reversed, if the existence of  $\mu \in P(K)$  of type  $\kappa$  yields a continuous surjection onto  $[0,1]^{\kappa}$ . He proved in [49] that this is the case for every  $\kappa$  with the property that  $\tau < \kappa$  implies  $\tau^{\omega} < \kappa$ ;  $\kappa = \mathfrak{c}^+$  is the first uncountable cardinal with such a property. Assuming the continuum hypothesis, Haydon [50] proved (in particular) that there is K such that  $|K| = |P(K)| = \mathfrak{c}$  and K carries a measure of uncountable type. Of course, such a space K cannot be mapped onto  $[0, 1]^{\mathfrak{c}}$ . The same features has Kunen's space from [65] which is perfectly normal; see also Džamonja and Kunen [26] for related constructions. On the other hand, the following holds.

**Theorem 6.1** (Fremlin [40]). Under  $\mathsf{MA}(\kappa)$ , if K carries a measure  $\mu$  of type  $\geq \kappa$  then K can be continuously mapped into  $[0,1]^{\kappa}$ .

The author proved in [80] Theorem 6.5 stated below (assuming  $\kappa \geq cf(\kappa) \geq \omega_2$ ). This result was extended by Richard Haydon to any  $\kappa \geq \omega_2$ . We discuss here only cardinal numbers having uncountable cofinality, cf. [83] for the remaining case. In fact Haydon singled out an interesting lemma, described by Fremlin [41], which is stated as Lemma 6.3 in a more general form, enabling one to prove also a related result due to Talagrand (Theorem 6.6). Note that, unlike the previously mentioned results, 6.6 requires no additional settheoretic assumptions.

A family of disjoint pairs  $((A^0_{\alpha}, A^1_{\alpha}))_{\alpha < \kappa}$  of sets is said to be independent if

$$A(\phi) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} A_{\alpha}^{\phi(\alpha)} \neq \emptyset$$

for every finite  $I \subseteq \kappa$  and  $\phi : I \to \{0,1\}$ . In the case when all the sets  $A^i_{\alpha}$  are measurable with respect to some measure  $\mu$ , we say that a family of pairs  $((A^0_{\alpha}, A^1_{\alpha}))_{\alpha < \kappa}$  is  $\mu$ -independent if we always have  $\mu(A(\phi)) > 0$  ( $\mu$ -independence should not be confused with stochastic independence).

Independent families are the basic tool for defining continuous surjections onto Tikhonov cubes, as is explained in the following lemma (see [49], Lemma 1.1).

**Lemma 6.2.** If K is a compact space and  $\kappa$  is a cardinal number then the following are equivalent

- (i) there is a continuous surjection from K onto  $[0,1]^{\kappa}$ ;
- (ii) there is an independent sequence  $((F^0_{\alpha}, F^1_{\alpha}))_{\alpha < \kappa}$  of disjoint pairs, where  $F^0_{\alpha}$  and  $F^1_{\alpha}$  are closed subsets of K for every  $\alpha < \kappa$ .

In the Cantor cube  $2^{\kappa}$  we denote by  $C^{i}_{\alpha}$ , for  $\alpha < \kappa$  and i = 0, 1, the one dimensional cylinders, i.e.

$$C^i_{\alpha} = \{ x \in 2^{\kappa} : x(\alpha) = i \}.$$

**Lemma 6.3.** (Haydon-Fremlin) Let  $\kappa$  be any cardinal number such that  $\kappa \geq \omega_2$ . Suppose that  $((A^0_{\alpha}, A^1_{\alpha}))_{\alpha < \kappa}$  is a sequence of pairs of measurable subsets of  $2^{\kappa}$  with the following properties:

(i)  $A^i_{\alpha} \subseteq C^i_{\alpha}$  for every  $\alpha < \kappa$  and i = 0, 1;(ii)  $\lambda_{\kappa}(A^0_{\alpha}) + \lambda_{\kappa}(A^1_{\alpha}) > \frac{1}{2} + r$  for every  $\alpha < \kappa;$ 

where r is a constant such that  $0 \le r < \frac{1}{2}$ . Then there are a sequence of measurable sets  $(Z_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \kappa}$  and  $X \in [\kappa]^{\kappa}$  such that

- (a)  $Z_{\alpha} \subseteq A^{0}_{\alpha} \cup A^{1}_{\alpha}$  and  $\lambda_{\kappa}(Z_{\alpha}) > 2r$  for every  $\alpha < \kappa$
- (b) for every  $I \in [X]^{<\omega}$ , if  $\lambda_{\kappa}(\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} Z_{\alpha}) > 0$  then  $((A^0_{\alpha}, A^1_{\alpha}))_{\alpha \in I}$  is a  $\lambda_{\kappa}$ -independent finite sequence.

*Proof.* Recall that  $(2^{\kappa}, \oplus)$  is a compact topological group if we denote by  $\oplus$  the coordinatewise addition mod 2, and  $\lambda_{\kappa}$  is the Haar measure of that group. Let  $s_{\alpha}: 2^{\kappa} \to 2^{\kappa}$  be a mapping defined by  $s_{\alpha}(x) = x \oplus e_{\alpha}$ , where  $e_{\alpha}(\beta) = 1$  iff  $\alpha = \beta$ . Then  $s_{\alpha}$  is a measure preserving homeomorphism of  $2^{\kappa}$ .

For every  $\alpha < \kappa$  we can find zero sets  $Z^i_{\alpha} \subseteq A^i_{\alpha}$ , i = 0, 1, such that  $\lambda_{\kappa}(Z^0_{\alpha}) + \lambda_{\kappa}(Z^1_{\alpha}) > \frac{1}{2} + r$ . Put  $H_{\alpha} = Z_{\alpha}^0 \cup Z_{\alpha}^1$  and  $Z_{\alpha} = H_{\alpha} \cap s_{\alpha}[H_{\alpha}]$ . Then

$$\lambda_{\kappa}(Z_{\alpha}) = \lambda_{\kappa}(H_{\alpha} \cap s_{\alpha}[H_{\alpha}]) = 2\lambda_{\kappa}(H_{\alpha}) - \lambda_{\kappa}(H_{\alpha} \cup s_{\alpha}[H_{\alpha}]) > 2r.$$

Now the key point is that, by the definition of  $s_{\alpha}$ , the set  $Z_{\alpha}$  is determined by coordinates in some countable set  $J_{\alpha} \subseteq \kappa \setminus \{\alpha\}$ . Since  $\kappa \geq \omega_2$ , by Hajnal's Free Set Theorem (see [32, 44.3]), we can find a free set  $X \subseteq \kappa$  of size  $\kappa$ , that is  $\alpha \notin J_{\beta}$  whenever  $\alpha, \beta \in X$ .

For any finite  $I \subseteq X$  and any function  $\phi: I \to \{0, 1\}$  we have

$$\lambda_{\kappa}(\bigcap_{\alpha\in I} A_{\alpha}^{\phi(\alpha)}) \ge \lambda_{\kappa}(\bigcap_{\alpha\in I} Z_{\alpha} \cap C_{\alpha}^{\phi(\alpha)}) = \frac{1}{2^{|I|}}\lambda_{\kappa}(\bigcap_{\alpha\in I} Z_{\alpha}),$$

where the last equality comes from the fact that the sets  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} Z_{\alpha}$  and  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} C_{\alpha}^{\phi(\alpha)}$ are determined by disjoint sets of coordinates (and  $\lambda_{\kappa}$  is a product measure). 

**Corollary 6.4.** Let  $\mu$  be a homogenous Radon measure of type  $\kappa \geq \omega_2$  on a compact space K. Then for every 0 < c < 1 there are a sequence  $((F^0_{\alpha}, F^1_{\alpha}))_{\alpha < \kappa}$  of pairs of disjoint closed subsets of K and a sequence  $(G_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \kappa}$  of Borel subsets of K such that

- (i)  $G_{\alpha} \subseteq F_{\alpha}^{0} \cup F_{\alpha}^{1}$  and  $\lambda_{\kappa}(G_{\alpha}) > c$  for every  $\alpha < \kappa$ ; (ii) for every  $I \in [\kappa]^{<\omega}$ , if  $\mu(\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} G_{\alpha}) > 0$  then  $((F_{\alpha}^{0}, F_{\alpha}^{1}))_{\alpha \in I}$  is  $\mu$ -independent.

*Proof.* We only sketch the basic idea: Since  $\mu$  is a homogenous measure of type  $\kappa$ , then there is an isomorphism  $\theta:\mathfrak{B}_{\kappa}\to\mathfrak{A}$  between the measure algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  of  $\mu$  and the measure algebra  $\mathfrak{B}_{\kappa}$  of  $\lambda_{\kappa}$ . For every  $\alpha < \kappa$  we may find a Borel set  $B_{\alpha} \subseteq K$  such that  $B_{\alpha} := \theta(C_{\alpha}^{0})$ . Next, we find closed sets  $F^0_{\alpha}$ ,  $F^1_{\alpha}$  such that  $F^0_{\alpha} \subseteq B_{\alpha}$ ,  $F^1_{\alpha} \subseteq K \setminus B_{\alpha}$ , and  $\mu(F^0_{\alpha}) + \mu(F^1_{\alpha}) > \frac{1}{2} + c/2$ , for every  $\alpha < \kappa$ . Then we move to  $\mathfrak{B}_{\kappa}$ , apply Lemma 6.3 with r = c/2 and come back to  $\mathfrak{A}$ , choosing the required sets in K by regularity. 

We are now ready to show how this machinery works.

**Theorem 6.5.** If  $\kappa \geq \omega_2$  is a caliber of Radon measures then every compact space K carrying a measure of type  $\kappa$  can be continuously mapped onto  $[0,1]^{\kappa}$ .

Proof. Let  $\mu \in P(K)$  be a homogenous measure of type  $\kappa \geq \omega_2$ . In the notation of Corollary 6.4, where c > 0, we have  $\mu(G_{\alpha}) \geq c$  so we can find  $X \in [\kappa]^{\kappa}$ , such that the family  $(G_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in X}$  is centered.

Then the family  $((F^0_{\alpha}, F^1_{\alpha}))_{\alpha \in X}$  is independent by Corollary 4.2 and we conclude applying Lemma 6.2.

**Theorem 6.6** (Talagrand). For every  $\kappa \geq \omega_2$ , if a compact space K carries a measure  $\mu$  of type  $\kappa$  then P(K) can be continuously mapped onto  $[0, 1]^{\kappa}$ .

*Proof.* We fix c with 1 > c > 1/2 and, keeping the notation form Corollary 6.4, for every  $\alpha < \kappa$  and i = 0, 1 we put

$$M^i_{\alpha} = \{ \nu \in P(K) : \ \nu(F^i_{\alpha}) \ge c \}.$$

In this way for every  $\alpha$  we have defined a disjoint pair  $(M^0_{\alpha}, M^1_{\alpha})$  of closed subsets of P(K), so the proof will be complete if we check that  $((M^0_{\alpha}, M^1_{\alpha}))_{\alpha < \kappa}$  is an independent family.

Take any finite  $I \subseteq \kappa$  and a function  $\phi : I \to \{0, 1\}$ ; denote  $H_{\alpha} = F_{\alpha}^{\phi(\alpha)}$  for simplicity. We want to check that  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} M_{\alpha}^{\phi(\alpha)} \neq \emptyset$ ; in view of Theorem 2.16 it will suffice to check that the intersection number of the family  $\{H_{\alpha} : \alpha \in I\}$  is  $\geq c$ .

For a function  $f = \sum_{\alpha \in I} n_{\alpha} \chi_{H_{\alpha}}$  and its essential supremum esup we have

$$\operatorname{esup}(f) \ge \int_{K} f d\mu \ge c \sum_{\alpha \in I} n_{\alpha}.$$

Writing  $H = \{t \in K : f(t) = \operatorname{esup}(f)\}$  we have  $\mu(H) > 0$  (since f is integer-valued). It follows that for  $J = \{\alpha \in I : \mu(H \setminus G_{\alpha}) = 0\}$ , we have  $\mu(\bigcap_{\alpha \in J} G_{\alpha}) > 0$ .

Now Corollary 6.4 comes into play: it follows that  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in J} H_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset$  and, taking any  $t \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in J} H_{\alpha}$ , we conclude that

$$\left\|\sum_{\alpha\in I}n_{\alpha}\chi_{H_{\alpha}}\right\| \geq \sum_{\alpha\in I}n_{\alpha}\chi_{H_{\alpha}}(t) \geq \sum_{\alpha\in J}n_{\alpha} = \operatorname{esup}(f) \geq c\sum_{\alpha\in I}n_{\alpha},$$

and the proof is complete.

# 7. Tightness

The tightness of a topological space X, denoted here by  $\tau(X)$ , is the least cardinal number such that for every  $A \subseteq X$  and  $x \in \overline{A}$  there is a set  $A_0 \subseteq A$  with  $|A_0| \leq \tau(X)$ and such that  $x \in \overline{A_0}$ . There is a convex analogue of tightness which can be discussed for instance in dual Banach spaces. Given a Banach space X, consider the dual unit ball  $B_{X^*}$ equipped with the weak<sup>\*</sup> topology. Then we write  $\tau_{\text{conv}}(B_{X^*}) = \omega$  and say that the ball has convex countable tightness if for every  $A \subseteq B_{X^*}$  and  $x^* \in \overline{A}$  there is a countable set  $A_0 \subseteq A$  such that  $x^*$  is in the closure of the convex hull of  $A_0$ .

Recall that a Banach space X has property (C) of Corson if for every family  $\mathcal{C}$  of convex closed subsets of X we have  $\bigcap \mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$  provided that every countable subfamily of  $\mathcal{C}$  has nonempty intersection. Pol [88, 89] proved that a Banach space X has property (C) if

and only if  $B_{X^*}$  has convex countable tightness. He also raised a question if this is further equivalent to saying that  $B_{X^*}$  has countable tightness. Martínez-Cervantes and Poveda [74] have recently proved that

**Theorem 7.1.** Assuming Proper Forcing Axiom, for every Banach space X the space  $(B_{X^*}, weak^*)$  has countable tightness if and only if it has convex countable tightness.

Coming back to P(K) spaces, we shall discuss the present status of the following two questions.

# **Problem 7.2.** (A) Suppose that P(K) has countable tightness. Does this imply that $K \in MS$ ?

(B) Does convex countable tightness of P(K) always implies its countable tightness?

There are several reasons why such questions are interesting and delicate. First note that by Theorem 6.6, if K carries a measure of type  $\geq \omega_2$  then P(K) maps onto  $[0, 1]^{\omega_2}$  so

 $\tau(P(K)) \ge \tau([0,1]^{\omega_2}) = \omega_2,$ 

because tightness is not increased by continuous surjection of compact spaces. In other words, higher analogues of 7.2(A) have a positive answer.

Secondly, under  $\mathsf{MA}(\omega_1)$ , by Theorem 6.1, if a compact space K admits a measure of uncountable type then K can be continuously mapped onto  $[0,1]^{\omega_1}$ , so in particular  $\tau(P(K)) \geq \tau(K) \geq \omega_1$ . It follows that Problem 7.2(A) has a positive solution under  $\mathsf{MA}(\omega_1)$ . The same effect is on Problem 7.2(B): if  $K \in \mathsf{MS}$  then the results holds by Theorem 7.3 below; if  $K \notin \mathsf{MS}$  then the existence of a continuous surjection  $g: K \to$  $[0,1]^{\omega_1}$  gives an isometric embedding  $f \mapsto f \circ g$  of  $C([0,1]^{\omega_1})$  into C(K). It follows that C(K) does not have property (C) so  $\tau_{\mathrm{conv}}(P(K)) > \omega$ .

There is a close connection between Problem 7.2 (A) and (B), given by the following result from [37, Theorem 3.2].

**Theorem 7.3.** For every K in MS the following are equivalent

(i) P(K) has convex countable tightness;

(ii) P(K) has countable tightness.

Consequently, if a stronger version of Problem 7.2(A), does  $\tau_{\text{conv}}(P(K)) = \omega$  imply  $K \in$  MS, has a positive solution then countable tightness of P(K) is indeed equivalent to C(K) having property (C).

The following was proved by Plebanek and Sobota [87, Theorem 5.6].

**Theorem 7.4.** If  $P(K \times K)$  has convex countable tightness then  $K \in MS$ .

Combining Theorem 7.4 with Theorem 7.3 we conclude that

**Corollary 7.5.** For every K,  $\tau(P(K \times K)) = \omega$  if and only if  $\tau_{conv}(P(K \times K)) = \omega$ .

The next conclusion follows from Theorem 7.4 and Theorem 5.4.

**Corollary 7.6.** For every compact space K, either  $P(K \times K)$  contains a  $G_{\delta}$  point or  $P(K \times K)$  has uncountable tightness.

Let us remark that  $K \in MS$  is equivalent to  $K \times K \in MS$ . Theorem 7.4 solved partially Problem 7.2(B) but the main argument relied heavily on the structure of product spaces. Avilés, Martínez-Cervantes, Rodríguez and Rueda Zoca [7, Corollary 7.8] proved that Theorem 7.4 is in fact a particular case of their result on injective tensor product of Banach spaces having property (C).

Note that  $\tau(P(K)) \leq \tau(P(K \times K))$  so Theorem 7.4 raises the question if the sharp inequality here is possible. This was settled by a delicate construction due to Koszmider and Silber [62] who showed that it is relatively consistent that Problem 7.2(A) has a negative solution.

**Theorem 7.7.** Under  $\diamondsuit$ , there is a compact space K carrying a measure of uncountable type and such that  $\tau(P(K)) = \omega$ .

Here are several other questions regarding sequential properties that, to our knowledge, are open:

**Problem 7.8.** Is it relatively consistent that

- (1) there is  $K \notin MS$  such that P(K) is hereditary separable?
- (2) there is  $K \notin MS$  such that P(K) is sequential?
- (3)  $\tau(P(K)) = \omega$  whenever  $\tau(K) = \omega$ ?
- (4) P(K) is sequentially compact for every sequentially compact K?

The first two question above are repeated from [62] while the last one arose in a corresponcence with Niels Laustsen and Antonio Acuaviva Huertos. In connection with 7.8(1), note that Todorčević [99] proved, under  $\diamond$ , that there are nonmetrizable compacta K such that P(K) is hereditary separable in all finite powers.

A compact space K is said to be Rosenthal compact if K embeds into  $B_1(X)$ , the space of Baire-one functions on a Polish space X equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence. The class of Rosenthal compacta is stable under taking countable product and, by a result of Godefroy [47], if K is Rosenthal compact, then so is P(K). Moreover, Rosenthal compacta are Fréchet-Urysohn spaces (see Bourgain, Fremlin and Talagrand [15]), hence they have countable tightness. This, together with Theorem 7.4, implies the following

**Corollary 7.9.** If K is Rosenthal compact, then every  $\mu \in P(K)$  has countable type.

This fact, announced by J. Bourgain in his PhD thesis, was proved by Todorčević [97] basing on properties of Rosenthal compacta in forcing extensions; see also Marciszewski and Plebanek [71]. However, the following seems to be open; see [71] for a partial positive solution.

**Problem 7.10.** Let K be Rosenthal compact; is every  $\mu \in P(K)$  countably determined?

# 8. Converging sequences of measures

Given K and  $\mu \in P(K)$ , a sequence  $(x_n)_n$  in K is  $\mu$ -uniformly distributed if

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\delta_{x_{k}} \to \mu \text{ that is } \lim_{n}\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}f(x_{k}) = \int_{K}f \,\mathrm{d}\mu \text{ for every } f \in C(K).$$

By Lemma 2.15, the set  $\operatorname{conv}\Delta_K$  of probability measures supported by finite sets is dense in P(K). Niederreiter [77] proved that for a given  $\mu \in P(K)$ , the existence of  $\mu$ -uniformly distributed sequence is equivalent to the fact that  $\mu$  is a limit of a converging sequence in  $\operatorname{conv}\Delta_K$  (see also [43, 491D]). It follows that if, for instance,  $\mu \in P(K)$  has a countable local base, then  $\mu$  admits  $\mu$ -uniformly distributed sequence. In fact, Mercourakis [75] proved that such a property has every measure which is countably determined. Uniformly distributed sequences may be found in various settings, see for instance Fremlin [43, 491F] for a general result on product measures and [43, 491H] stating that the Haar measure of every compact separable group has a uniformly distributed sequence. In particular, the measure  $\lambda_c$  on 2<sup>c</sup> admits such a sequence (see [43, 491G]). The following theorem due to Fremlin [43, 491Q] is a far reaching generalization of that classical result.

# **Theorem 8.1.** Every $\mu \in P(2^{\mathfrak{c}})$ has a uniformly distributed sequence.

The first step towards 8.1 was done by Losert [68] who proved the results with  $\omega_1$  replacing c. Then 8.1 was proved in [36] but assuming MA. Finally, Fremlin found a completely different argument in ZFC and we outline it here.

Recall that the asymptotic density d(A) of a set  $A \subseteq \omega$  is defined as

$$d(A) = \lim_{n \ge 1} |A \cap n| / n$$

whenever the limit exists (here  $n = \{0, 1, ..., n - 1\}$ ). The upper asymptotic density  $\overline{d}$  is defined accordingly, for every  $A \subseteq \omega$ , by replacing lim with lim sup in the formula above.

Write  $\mathcal{D}$  for the family of those A for which d(A) is defined and  $\mathcal{Z} = \{A \subseteq \omega : d(A) = 0\}$ for the density zero ideal. We consider the quotient structure  $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{Z}$  denoting by  $A^{\cdot}$  the equivalence class of the set A. Note that we may as well treat d as a function on  $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{Z}$ .

Although  $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{Z}$  is not a Boolean algebra, Fremlin [43, 491P] proved an interesting theorem on embedding of measure algebras into it (the formulation given here is slightly more general).

**Theorem 8.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be any Boolean algebra of cardinality  $\leq \mathfrak{c}$  and let  $\mu \in P(\mathfrak{A})$ . Then there is a Boolean homomorphism  $\varphi : \mathfrak{A} \to \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{Z}$  such that  $\mu(a) = d(\varphi(a))$  for every  $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

*Proof.* Observe first that we only have to prove the assertion for  $\lambda_{\mathfrak{c}} \in P(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{c}})$ . Indeed, for any  $\mu \in P(\mathfrak{A})$  we can suitably embed  $\mathfrak{A}$  into the measure algebra of the corresponding Radon measure defined on  $Bor(\operatorname{ult}(\mathfrak{A}))$ ; in turn that Radon measure is of type  $\leq \mathfrak{c}$  so, by the Maharam theorem, its measure algebra embeds into  $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{c}}$  by the measure preserving homomorphism. We have already mentioned that the product measure  $\lambda = \lambda_{\mathfrak{c}}$  admits a uniformly distributed sequence  $(x_n)_n$ . This immediately gives a Boolean homomorphism  $\psi$  from  $\operatorname{clop}(2^{\mathfrak{c}})$ into the power set of  $\omega$  defined by

$$\psi(V) = \{ n \in \omega : x_n \in V \} \text{ for } V \in \operatorname{clop}(2^{\mathfrak{c}}).$$

Note that, by uniform distribution, we actually have  $\psi(V) \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $d(\psi(V)) = \lambda(V)$  for every clopen set V.

Writing V for the element of  $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{c}}$  corresponding to  $V \in \operatorname{clop}(2^{\mathfrak{c}})$  and  $\mathfrak{C} = \{V^{\mathfrak{c}} : V \in \operatorname{clop}(2^{\mathfrak{c}})\}$  we have a Boolean homomorphism

$$\varphi_0: \mathfrak{C} \to \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{Z}$$
 defined by  $\varphi_0(V^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}) = (\psi(V))^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}$ .

We now equip  $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{c}}$  with the metric  $\rho(\cdot, \cdot) = \lambda(\cdot \Delta \cdot)$  and  $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{Z}$  with the analogous metric  $\sigma(\cdot, \cdot) = \overline{d}(\cdot \Delta \cdot)$ . Then we can treat  $\varphi_0$  as an isometric embedding of the dense subspace  $\mathfrak{C}$  of  $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{c}}$  into  $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{Z}$ . The main technical point is that  $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{Z}$  is a complete metric space so  $\varphi_0$  extends uniquely to an isometry  $\varphi : \mathfrak{B}_{\mathfrak{c}} \to \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{Z}$ ; see [43, 491I(b)] for details. Then it is not difficult to check such an isometry is the desired homomorphism — note the Boolean operations are continuous.

It is worth recalling here that the problem if the measure algebra of the Lebesgue measure can be embedded into the power set of  $\omega$  mod the ideal of finite sets is undecidable within the usual set theory, see Dow and Hart [24].

*Proof.* (of Theorem 8.1) Take  $\mu \in P(2^{\mathfrak{c}})$  and a homomorphism  $\varphi$  from its measure algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{Z}$  as in Theorem 8.2. For  $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$  and  $i \in \{0,1\}$  write  $C_{\alpha} = \{x \in 2^{\mathfrak{c}} : x(\alpha) = 1\}$  and choose  $N_{\alpha}$  such that  $\varphi(C_{\alpha}) = N_{\alpha}$ .

Now we define  $x_n \in 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$  setting

 $x_n(\alpha) = 1$  if and only if  $n \in N_\alpha$ .

Then the points  $x_n \in 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$  form a  $\mu$ -uniformly distributed sequence. To see this consider, for instance, the set  $V = C_{\alpha} \setminus C_{\beta}$ ; then

$$\frac{|\{n < k : x_n \in V\}|}{k} = \frac{|k \cap (N_\alpha \setminus N_\beta)|}{k} \to d(N_\alpha \cdot \setminus N_\beta \cdot) =$$
$$= d(\varphi(C_\alpha \cdot \setminus \varphi(C_\beta \cdot)) = d(\varphi(C_\alpha \cdot \setminus C_\beta \cdot)) = d(\varphi(V \cdot)) = \mu(V).$$

By an analogous argument we can check that the same holds for any basic clopen set V and so for any  $V \in \text{clop}(2^{\mathfrak{c}})$ .

Recall that a space K is dyadic if it is a continuous image of  $2^{\kappa}$  for some  $\kappa$ .

**Corollary 8.3.** For every separable dyadic space K, every  $\mu \in P(K)$  has a uniformly distributed sequence.

*Proof.* It is known that if a dyadic space K is separable then there is a continuous surjection  $f: 2^{\mathfrak{c}} \to K$ . Given  $\mu \in P(K)$ , there is  $\nu \in P(2^{\mathfrak{c}})$  such that  $f[\nu] = \mu$  (see Theorem 2.12). Now it is routine to check that if  $(x_n)_n$  is a sequence in  $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$  which is  $\nu$ -uniformly distributed then the sequence of  $f(x_n) \in K$  is  $\mu$ -uniformly distributed.  $\Box$ 

Writing  $\operatorname{Seq}^0(K) = \operatorname{conv}\Delta_K$  and  $\operatorname{Seq}^\alpha$  for all the measures in P(K) that are limits of converging sequences from  $\bigcup_{\beta<\alpha}\operatorname{Seq}^\beta(K)$ , the space

$$\operatorname{Seq}(K) = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} \operatorname{Seq}^{\alpha}(K)$$

is the sequential closure of all finitely supported probabilities. We have briefly discussed spaces for which  $P(K) = \text{Seq}^1(K)$ ; however the structure of such a sequential closure may be more complicated. The following result comes from [5].

**Theorem 8.4.** Under CH there is a compact space K such that  $\text{Seq}^1(K) \neq \text{Seq}(K) = P(K)$ .

Borodulin-Nadzieja and Selim [14] obtained the following partial generalization of that result; however in their construction  $Seq(K) \neq P(K)$ .

**Theorem 8.5.** For every  $\alpha < \omega_1$  there is a compact space K such that

$$\operatorname{Seq}^{\alpha+1}(K) = \operatorname{Seq}^{\alpha}(K) \neq \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \operatorname{Seq}^{\beta}(K)$$

It seems that no more is known on the hierarchy of Seq(K); in particular, the following problems are open.

**Problem 8.6.** (A) Is it relatively consistent that  $Seq(K) = Seq^1(K)$  whenever K is such a compact space that  $Seq(K) = Seq^n(K)$  for some natural number n?

(B) Is there a space K satisfying the assertion of 8.5 for which Seq(K) = P(K)?

9. GROTHENDIECK SPACES AND THE EFIMOV PROBLEM

A Banach space X is a Grothendieck space if every  $weak^*$  converging sequence in  $X^*$  converges weakly. Gonzalez and Kania [48] offer an extensive survey on various aspects of the class of Grothendieck Banach spaces.

Recall that weak convergence of sequence of signed measures  $\mu_n \in C(K)^*$  is characterized by the condition that the limit  $\lim_n \mu_n(B)$  exists for every  $B \in Bor(K)$  (see e.g. Diestel [21, Theorem 11]). Therefore, a Banach space of the form C(K) is Grothendieck if the convergence of a sequence of signed measures on continuous functions implies its convergence on all Borel sets. This implies that C(K) is not Grothendieck whenever Kcontains a sequence of distinct  $x_n \in K$  converging to some  $x \in K$ : in such a case  $\delta_{x_n} \to \delta_x$ but  $\delta_{x_n}(\{x\}) = 0$  infinitely often.

The Banach space  $C(\beta\omega) \equiv \ell_{\infty}$  is a classical example of a Grothendieck space. This fact was generalized in various direction, in particular for the Stone spaces of Boolean

algebras with some weak versions of sequential completeness, see Haydon [51], Koszmider and Shelah [61] for concrete results and further references. Moreover, the authors of [61] named the positive version of the Grothendieck property which amounts to saying that every convergent sequence of measures in P(K) is convergent on every Borel set in K.

Cembranos [16] and Freniche [46] proved (abstract) results implying that no space of the form  $C(K \times L)$  is Grothendieck whenever K and L are infinite compact spaces. This result has been recently reproved in [57] by constructing in a given product space  $K \times L$  a nontrivial sequence of purely atomic signed measures converging to 0 in the *weak*<sup>\*</sup> topology but not converging weakly. We present below a variation on the subject stating that  $P(K \times L)$  is never positively Grothendieck for such product spaces.

**Theorem 9.1.** If K and L are infinite compact spaces then there is a sequence of  $\mu_n \in P(K \times L)$  converging to some  $\mu \in P(K \times L)$  having the support S such that  $\mu_n(S) = 0$  for every n.

*Proof.* It is well-known that if K is scattered and infinite then K contains a nontrivial converging sequence; this quickly yields the assertion. Therefore we can assume that both K and L are not scattered and hence we can fix continuous surjections  $f: K \to [0, 1]$  and  $f': L \to [0, 1]$ .

We first consider a certain sequence of measures on  $[0,1]^2$ . Write  $\lambda$  for the Lebesgue measure on [0,1] and  $\lambda_2$  for the product measure. For any  $n \ge 0$  and  $1 \le k \le 2^n$  denote  $I(n,k) = [(k-1)/2^n, k/2^n]$  and

$$D_n = \bigcup_{k \le 2^n} I(n,k) \times I(n,k).$$

Then  $\lambda_2(D_n) = 1/2^n$ ; we let  $\nu_n$  be the normalized restriction of  $\lambda_2$  to the set  $D_n$ . Note that every  $\nu_n$  has  $\lambda$  as the marginal distributions, that is

$$\nu_n(B \times [0,1]) = \nu_n([0,1] \times B) = \lambda(B)$$

for every  $B \in Bor[0,1]$ .

Observe that the measures  $\nu_n$  can be uniformly approximated on rectangles: If  $B, B' \subseteq [0,1]$  are Borel sets and for  $\varepsilon > 0$  we find  $\varepsilon$ -approximations F and F', that is  $\lambda(B \bigtriangleup F), \lambda(B' \bigtriangleup F') < \varepsilon$  then

$$\nu_n(B \times B') \le \nu_n(F \times F') + \nu_n((B \bigtriangleup F) \times [0,1]) + \nu_n([0,1] \times (B' \bigtriangleup F')) \le$$
$$\le \nu_n(F \times F') + \lambda(B \bigtriangleup F) + \lambda(B' \bigtriangleup F') \le \nu_n(F \times F') + 2\varepsilon.$$

It is clear that every  $\nu_n$  vanishes on the diagonal and it is easy to see that  $\nu_n$  converge to the Lebesgue measure put on the diagonal. Moreover, by the uniform approximation mentioned above we have

CLAIM 1.  $\lim_n \nu_n(B \times B') = \lambda(B \cap B')$  for every  $B, B' \in Bor[0, 1]$ .

Now we consider the surjection

 $g: K \times L \to [0,1]^2$ , g(x,y) = (f(x), f'(y)) for  $(x,y) \in K \times L$ ,

and take measures  $\mu_K \in P(K)$ ,  $\mu_L \in P(L)$  such that  $f[\mu_K] = \lambda = f'[\mu_L]$ . By Remark 3.3 we can assume that the  $\sigma$ -algebras

$$\Sigma = \{ f^{-1}[B] : B \in Bor[0,1] \} \text{ and } \Sigma' = \{ f'^{-1}[B] : B \in Bor[0,1] \}$$

are  $\triangle$ -dense in Bor(K) and Bor(L), respectively. Then we have for every *n* the measure  $\mu_n \in P(K \times L)$  defined analogously to the shape of  $\nu_n$  i.e.  $\mu_n$  is the normalized restriction of  $\mu_K \otimes \mu_L$  to the set  $g^{-1}[D_n]$ . We conclude directly from Claim 1 that

CLAIM 2. The limit  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_n(A \times A')$  exists for every  $A \in \Sigma$  and  $A' \in \Sigma'$ .

But then, using the density of  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma$  and the argument on uniform approximation, we extend Claim 2 to saying that  $\lim_n \mu_n(A \times A')$  exists for any Borel rectangle  $A \times A'$ . Note at this point that every continuous function h on  $K \times L$  can be uniformly approximated by a linear combination of characteristic functions of Borel rectangles; hence the integrals  $\int_{K \times L} h \, d\mu_n$  converge for any  $h \in C(K \times L)$ . By compactness  $\mu_n \to \mu \in P(K \times K)$ ; then  $\mu$  is concentrated on the closed set  $G = \bigcap_n g^{-1}[D_n]$  while  $\mu_n(G) = 0$  because every  $\nu_n$  vanishes on the diagonal in  $[0, 1]^2$ .

The Efimov problem is a question whether every infinite compact space either contains a nontrivial converging sequence or a copy of  $\beta\omega$ , see Hart [52] for a survey on the subject. Recall that a number of counterexamples have been found in various models of set theory — those are usually called *Efimov spaces* — but it is a big open problem if there are such examples in ZFC. Recall also that a compact space contains  $\beta\omega$  if and only if it can be continuously mapped onto  $[0, 1]^{c}$ .

**Theorem 9.2** (Talagrand [93]). Under CH there is a Efimov space K such that C(K) is Grothendieck.

Talagrand's space K is strongly Efimov: not only K contains no converging sequences but P(K) contains no 'nontrivial' converging sequence. Note that one can weaken the original Efimov problem and ask if every infinite compact space K either contains a nontrivial converging sequence or it can be continuously mapped onto  $[0, 1]^{\omega_1}$ . In view of Theorem 6.1, this could be further relaxed to

**Problem 9.3.** Does every infinite compact space K either contains a nontrivial converging sequence or carries a measure of uncountable type (i.e.  $K \notin MS$ )?

This, however, was again refuted under CH, see Džamonja and Plebanek [29]. Later Dow and Pichardo-Mendoza [25] proved the following finer result.

**Theorem 9.4.** Under CH there is a zero-dimensional Efimov space K without isolated points which is a limit of inverse system of length  $\omega_1$  consisting of simple extensions of metric compacta.

The inverse system of spaces  $K_{\alpha}$  is built on simple extensions if for every  $\alpha$  the bonding map  $K_{\alpha+1} \to K_{\alpha}$  splits one point of  $K_{\alpha}$  into two and is injective otherwise. If the compacta in question are zero-dimensional then, in the Boolean language, the resulting space K is the Stone space of a minimally generated Boolean algebra, see Borodulin-Nadzieja [13] for details, where the following was proved.

**Theorem 9.5.** If K is a limit of inverse system of length  $\omega_1$  consisting of simple extensions of metric compact then every nonatomic measure  $\mu \in P(K)$  is strongly countably determined.

We can now contemplate the unusual properties of K from Theorem 9.4:

- (1) No  $x \in K$  is  $G_{\delta}$  and therefore no  $\delta_x \in P(K)$  is  $G_{\delta}$ ; however, every nonatomic  $\mu \in P(K)$  is  $G_{\delta}$  (by Proposition 5.3).
- (2) There are no nontrivial converging sequences in K but there are plenty 'nontrivial' converging sequences in P(K) since every nonatomic  $\mu \in P(K)$  admits a uniformly distributed sequence (see section 8).
- (3) Every  $\mu \in P(K)$  is countably determined but K is not sequentially compact.

Those remarks were already noted in [29] but in connection with the usual Fedorchuk-like construction which requires  $\diamond$ . Banakh and Gabriyelyan [10] considerably refined that  $\diamond$ -construction to obtain several delicate properties of the resulting space P(K); in particular, for their space K, the subspace of P(K) of all nonatomic measures is sequentially compact. Other refinements can be found in Sobota and Zdomskyy [91] and [92]. Further discussion on connection of the Efimov problem and properties of Grothendieck spaces can be found in [61].

# 10. Some remarks

One can wonder if, for a given space K, the space P(K) is homeomorphic to some familiar object. Recall that if K is an infinite metrizable compactum then P(K) is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube  $[0, 1]^{\omega}$ ; see Fedorchuk [33, section 3] and references therein. Ditor and Haydon [22] proved that  $P(2^{\kappa})$  is homeomorphic to  $[0, 1]^{\kappa}$  if and only if  $\kappa \leq \omega_1$ ; in fact for  $\kappa > \omega_1$  the space  $P(2^{\omega_1})$  is not an absolute retract.

Not much is known about pairs of compact spaces K and L, for which the spaces P(K)and P(L) are homeomorphic. Note, however, that Avilés and Kalenda [4] developed an interesting technique enabling one to determine possible homeomorphisms between P(K)and P(L) for K and L from some classes of scattered compact spaces.

# References

- [1] F. Albiac, N.J. Kalton, Topics in Banach space theory, Springer, 2006.
- [2] A.V. Arkhangel'skiĭ, B.E. Shapirovskiĭ, The structure of monolithic spaces, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh. 103 (1987), 72–74.
- [3] S. Argyros, S. Mersourakis and S. Negrepontis, Functional-analytic properties of Corson-compact spaces, Studia Math. 89 (1988), 197–229.

- [4] A. Avilés, O. Kalenda, Fiber orders and compact spaces of uncountable weight, Fund. Math. 204 (2009), 7–38.
- [5] A. Avilés, G. Plebanek, J. Rodríguez, On Baire measurability in spaces of continuous functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 398 (2013), 230–238.
- [6] A. Avilés, G. Plebanek, J. Rodríguez, A weak\* separable C(K)\* space whose unit ball is not weak\* separable, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 366 (2014), 4733–4753.
- [7] A. Avilés, G. Martínez-Cervantes, J. Rodríguez, A. Rueda Zoca, Topological properties in tensor products of Banach spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 283 (2022), Paper No. 109688, 35 pp.
- [8] A.G. Babiker, On uniformly regular topological measure spaces, Duke Math. J. 43 (1976), 775–789.
- [9] G. Bachman, A. Sultan, On regular extensions of measures, Pacific J. Math. 86 (1980), 389–394.
- [10] T. Banakh, S. Gabriyelyan, A simple Efimov space with sequentially-nice space of probability measures, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM 118 (2024), Paper No. 32.
- [11] D. Bertsimas, J. Tsitsiklis, Introduction to Linear Optimization, Athena Scientific (1997).
- [12] V.I. Bogachev, Measure theory. Vol. II, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007.
- P. Borodulin-Nadzieja, Measures on minimally generated Boolean algebras, Topology Appl. 154 (2007), 3107-3124.
- [14] P. Borodulin-Nadzieja, O. Selim, Sequential closure in the space of measures, Topology Appl. 159 (2012), 3624–3637.
- [15] J. Bourgain, D.H. Fremlin, M. Talagrand, *Pointwise compact sets of Baire-measurable functions*, Amer. J. Math. 100 (1978), 845–886.
- [16] P. Cembranos, C(K, E) contains a complemented copy of  $c_0$ , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 91 (1984), 556–558.
- [17] J.R. Choksi, D.H. Fremlin, Completion regular measures on product spaces, Math. Ann. 241 (1979), 113–128.
- [18] W.W. Comfort, S. Negrepontis, *Chain conditions in topology*, Cambridge Univ. Press 1982.
- [19] C. Correa, On the  $c_0$ -extension property, Studia Math. 256 (2021), 345–359.
- [20] H. Garth Dales, G. Plebanek, Hyper-Stonean envelopes of compact spaces, Studia Math. 246 (2019), 31–46.
- [21] D. Diestel, Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 92, Springer, New York (1984).
- [22] S. Ditor, R. Haydon, On absolute retracts, P(S), and complemented subspaces of  $C(\mathbf{D}^{\omega_1})$ , Studia Math. 56 (1976), 243–251.
- [23] R.G. Douglas, On extremal measures and subspace density, Michigan Math. J. 11 (1964), 243–246.
- [24] A. Dow, K.P. Hart, The measure algebra does not always embed, Fund. Math. 163 (2000), 163–176.
- [25] A. Dow, R. Pichardo-Mendoza, Efimov spaces, CH, and simple extensions, Topology Proc. 33 (2009), 277–283.
- [26] M. Džamonja, K. Kunen, Measures on compact HS spaces, Fund. Math. 143 (1993), 41–54.
- [27] M. Džamonja, K. Kunen, Properties of the class of measure separable compact spaces, Fund. Math. 147 (1995), 261–277.
- [28] M. Džamonja, G. Plebanek, Precalibre pairs of measure algebras, Topology Appl. 144 (2004), 67–94.
- [29] M. Džamonja, G. Plebanek, On Efimov spaces and Radon measures, Topology Appl. 154 (2007), 2063–2072.
- [30] M. Džamonja, G. Plebanek, Strictly positive measures on Boolean algebras, J. Symbolic Logic 73 (2008), 1416–1432.
- [31] R. Engelking, *General topology*, Translated from the Polish by the author; Second edition, Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics 6, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989. viii+529 pp.

- [32] P. Erdös, A. Hajnal, A. Máté, R. Rado, Combinatorial Set Theory: Partition Relations for Cardinals, Akadémiai Kiadó, 1984.
- [33] V.V. Fedorchuk, Probability measures in topology (Russian), Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 46 (1991), no. 1(277), 41—80; translation in Russian Math. Surveys 46 (1991), no. 1, 45–93.
- [34] V.V. Fedorchuk, S. Todorčević, Cellularity of covariant functors, Proceedings of the Fourth Russian-Japanese Colloquium on General Topology (Moscow, 1995). Topology Appl. 76 (1997), 125–150.
- [35] J. Ferrer, P. Koszmider, W. Kubiś, Almost disjoint families of countable sets and separable complementation properties, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 401 (2013), 939–949.
- [36] R. Frankiewicz, G. Plebanek, On asymptotic density and uniformly distributed sequences, Studia Math. 119 (1996), 17–26.
- [37] R. Frankiewicz, G. Plebanek, C. Ryll-Nardzewski, Between Lindelöf property and countable tightness, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 129 (2001), 97–103.
- [38] D.H. Fremlin, Consequences of Martin's axiom, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 84. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984. xii+325 pp.
- [39] D.H. Fremlin, *Measure algebras*, in: Handbook of Boolean algebras, J.D. Monk (ed.), North–Holand 1989, Vol. III, Chap. 22.
- [40] D.H. Fremlin, On compact spaces carrying Radon measures of uncountable Maharam type, Fund. Math. 154 (1997), 295–304.
- [41] D.H. Fremlin, On Haydon's property, note of 21.9.00.
- [42] D.H. Fremlin, Measure theory. Vol. 3. Measure algebras, corrected second printing of the 2002 original, Torres Fremlin, Colchester, 2004.
- [43] D.H. Fremlin, Measure theory. Vol. 4. Topological measure spaces, corrected second printing of the 2002 original, Torres Fremlin, Colchester, 2006.
- [44] D.H. Fremlin, Measure theory. Vol. 5. Set-theoretic measure theory, corrected reprint of the 2008 original, Torres Fremlin, Colchester, 2015.
- [45] D.H. Fremlin, G. Plebanek, Large families of mutually singular Radon measures, Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. 51 (2003), 169–174.
- [46] F.J. Freniche, Barrelledness of the space of vector valued and simple functions, Math. Ann. 267 (1984), 479–486.
- [47] G. Godefroy, Compacts de Rosenthal, Pacific J. Math. 91 (1980), 293–306.
- [48] M. González, T. Kania, Grothendieck spaces: the landscape and perspectives, Jpn. J. Math. 16 (2021), 247–313.
- [49] R. Haydon, On Banach spaces which contain l<sup>1</sup>(τ) and types of measures on compact spaces, Israel J. Math. 28 (1977) 313–324.
- [50] R. Haydon, On dual L<sup>1</sup>-spaces and injective bidual Banach spaces, Israel J. Math. 31 (1978) 142–152.
- [51] R. Haydon, A non-reflexive Grothendieck space that does not contain  $l_{\infty}$ , Israel J. Math. 40 (1981), 65–73
- [52] K.P. Hart, *Efimov's problem*, in: Pearl, E. (ed.) Open Problems in Topology, vol. 2, 171–177, Elsevier, Amsterdam (2007).
- [53] T. Jech, Set theory. The third millennium edition, revised and expanded. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. xiv+769 pp.
- [54] O. Kalenda, Valdivia compact spaces in topology and Banach space theory, Extracta Math. 15 (2000), 1–85.
- [55] O. Kalenda, Natural examples of Valdivia compact spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 350 (2009), 464–484.
- [56] O. Kalenda, W. Kubiś, Complementation in spaces of continuous functions on compact lines, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 386 (2012), 241–257.

- [57] J. Kąkol, D. Sobota, L. Zdomskyy, On complementability of  $c_0$  in spaces  $C(K \times L)$ , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [58] J.L. Kelley, Measures on Boolean algebras, Pacific J. Math. 9 (1959), 1165–1177.
- [59] J. Kindler, Sandwich theorems for set functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 133 (1988), 529–542.
- [60] S. Koppelberg, Handbook of Boolean algebras, vol. I, North Holland, 1989.
- [61] P. Koszmider, S. Shelah, Independent families in Boolean algebras with some separation properties, Algebra Universalis 69 (2013), 305–312.
- [62] P. Koszmider, Z. Silber, *Countably tight dual ball with a nonseparable measure*, arXiv preprint 2312.02750.
- [63] J. Kraszewski, Properties of ideals in the generalized Cantor spaces, J. Symbolic Logic 66 (2001), 1303–1320.
- [64] M. Krupski, G. Plebanek, A dichotomy for the convex spaces of probability measures, Topology Appl. 158 (2011), 2184–2190.
- [65] K. Kunen, A compact L-space under CH, Topology Appl. 12 (1981), 283–287.
- [66] K. Kunen, J. van Mill, Measures on Corson compact spaces, Fund. Math. 147 (1995), 61–72.
- [67] Z. Lipecki, Cardinality of the set of extreme extensions of quasi-measures, Manuscripta Math. 104 (2001), 333–341.
- [68] V. Losert, On the existence of uniformly distributed sequences in compact topological spaces I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 246 (1978), 463–471.
- [69] G. Mägerl, I. Namioka, Intersection numbers and weak\* separability of spaces of measures, Math. Ann. 249 (1980), 273–279.
- [70] W. Marciszewski, G. Plebanek, On Corson compacta and embeddings of C(K) spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 138 (2010), 4281–4289.
- [71] W. Marciszewski, G. Plebanek, On measures on Rosenthal compacta, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 385 (2012), 185–193.
- [72] W. Marciszewski, G. Plebanek, K. Zakrzewski, *Digging into the classes of*  $\kappa$ -Corson compact spaces, Israel J. Math., to appear.
- [73] E. Marczewski, On compact measures, Fund. Math. 40 (1953), 113–124.
- [74] G. Martínez-Cervantes, A. Poveda, On the property (C) of Corson and other sequential properties of Banach spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 527 (2023), Paper No. 127441, 9 pp.
- [75] S. Mercourakis, Some remarks on countably determined measures and uniform distribution of sequences, Monatsh. Math. 121 (1996), 79–111.
- [76] S.K. Mercourakis, G. Vassiliadis, Uniform distribution of sequences and its interplay with functional analysis, Anal. Math. 49 (2023), 585–615.
- [77] H. Niederreiter, On the existence of uniformly distributed sequences in compact spaces, Compositio Math. 25 (1972), 93–99.
- [78] J. Pfanzagl, W. Pierlo, Compact systems of sets, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1966. ii+48 pp.
- [79] G. Plebanek, On Radon measures on first-countable spaces, Fund. Math. 148 (1995), 159–164.
- [80] G. Plebanek, Nonseparable Radon measures and small compact spaces, Fund. Math. 153 (1997), 25–40.
- [81] G. Plebanek, Approximating Radon measures on first-countable compact spaces, Colloq. Math. 86 (2000), 15–23.
- [82] G. Plebanek, Convex Corson compacta and Radon measures, Fund. Math. 175 (2002), 143–154.
- [83] G. Plebanek, On compact spaces carrying random measures of large Maharam type [On compact spaces carrying Radon measures of large Maharam type], Acta Univ. Carolin. Math. Phys. 43 (2002), 87–99.
- [84] G. Plebanek, Monolithic spaces of measures, Fund. Math. 254 (2021), 335–348.
- [85] G. Plebanek, Musing on Kunen's compact L-space, Topology Appl. 323 (2023), Paper No. 108294, 10 pp.

#### A SURVEY ON P(K) SPACES

- [86] G. Plebanek, J. Rondoš, D. Sobota, Complemented subspaces of  $C(K \times L)$ , arXiv preprint 2405.19120.
- [87] G. Plebanek, D. Sobota, Countable tightness in the spaces of regular probability measures, Fund. Math. 229 (2015), 159–170.
- [88] R. Pol, On a question of H.H. Corson and some related problems, Fund. Math. 109 (1980), 143–154.
- [89] R. Pol, Note on the spaces P(S) of regular probability measures whose topology is determined by countable subsets, Pacific J. Math. 100 (1982), 185–201.
- [90] J. Rodríguez, Open problems in Banach spaces and measure theory, Quaest. Math. 40 (2017), 811–832.
- [91] D. Sobota, L. Zdomskyy, Convergence of measures in forcing extensions, Israel J. Math. 232 (2019), 501–529.
- [92] D. Sobota, L. Zdomskyy, Minimally generated Boolean algebras and the Nikodym property, Topology Appl. 323 (2023), Paper No. 108298, 23 pp.
- [93] M. Talagrand, Séparabilité vague dans l'espace des mesures sur un compact, Israel J. of Math. 37 (1980), 171–180.
- [94] M. Talagrand, Sur les mesures vectorielles définies par une application Pettis-intégrable, Bull. Soc. Math. France 108 (1980),, 475–483.
- [95] M. Talagrand, Sur les espace de Banach contenant  $l^{\tau}$ , Israel J. Math. 40 (1981), 324–330.
- [96] S. Todorčević, Random set-mappings and separability of compacta, Proceedings of the International Conference on Set-theoretic Topology and its Applications (Matsuyama, 1994), Topology Appl. 74 (1996), 265–274.
- [97] S. Todorčević, Compact subsets of the first Baire class, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1999), 1179–1212.
- [98] S. Todorčević, Chain-condition methods in topology, Topology Appl. 101 (2000), 45–82.
- [99] S. Todorčević, A construction scheme for non-separable structures, Adv. Math. 313 (2017), 564–589.
- [100] R. Wheeler, A survey of Baire measures and strict topologies, Exposition. Math. 1 (1983), 97–190.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY, UNIWERSYTET WROCŁAWSKI, PL. GRUNWALDZKI 2/4, 50-384 WROC-LAW, POLAND

Email address: grzegorz.plebanek@math.uni.wroc.pl