

THE FROBENIUS–STABLE VERSION OF THE GRAUERT–RIEMENSCHNEIDER VANISHING THEOREM FAILS

JEFFERSON BAUDIN, FABIO BERNASCONI AND TATSURO KAWAKAMI

ABSTRACT. We show that the Frobenius–stable version of the Grauert–Riemenschneider vanishing theorem fails for terminal 3-folds in characteristic $p \in \{2, 3, 5\}$. To prove this, we introduce the notion of \mathbb{F}_p -rationality for singularities in positive characteristic and we show that klt singularities in dimension at most 4 are \mathbb{F}_p -rational.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	4
3. Frobenius–stable versions of CM, rationality and GR vanishing	9
4. \mathbb{F}_p -rationality of Fano morphisms and of klt singularities	14
5. Counterexample to Frobenius–stable GR vanishing theorem	17
6. \mathbb{F}_p -rationality of 3-fold Fano type morphisms	19
References	22

1. INTRODUCTION

The Grauert–Riemenschneider (GR) vanishing theorem states that, for a projective birational morphism $f: Y \rightarrow X$ of varieties defined over a field of characteristic 0 where Y is regular, the higher direct images $R^i f_* \omega_Y$ of the dualizing sheaf vanish ([GR70], [KM98, Corollary 2.68]). It is one of the most celebrated consequences of the Kodaira vanishing theorem and it turned out to be a useful tool to study singularities and deformations thereof in characteristic 0 (to name a few, [Elk78, EV85, Kov00]).

Let $p > 0$ be a prime number. Over a field k of characteristic p , GR vanishing always holds if $\dim(X) \leq 2$ by [Kol13, Theorem 10.4] but it is well-known to fail starting from dimension at least 3. A typical counterexample is the cone over a smooth projective variety for which Kodaira vanishing fails (see [HK15, Example 3.11]). Nevertheless, it is expected that some weakening of the GR vanishing theorem might still hold in characteristic p . For example, a Witt vector version of GR vanishing stating that $R^i f_* W\omega_{Y, \mathbb{Q}}$ vanishes has been conjectured in [CR12, Tan22].

In this article, we concentrate on the *Frobenius–stable version of GR vanishing* and we show that such a statement can fail even for 3-fold singularities which naturally appear in the Minimal Model Program (MMP for short).

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 5.2). *For any $p \in \{2, 3, 5\}$, there exists a \mathbb{Q} -factorial affine 3-fold X over \mathbb{F}_p with terminal singularities, such that*

$$R^1 f_* \omega_Y \not\cong_{\mathbb{C}} 0$$

for every resolution $f: Y \rightarrow X$.

Remark 1.2. (a) The bound $p \leq 5$ is optimal, because klt 3-fold singularities always satisfy the usual Grauert–Riemenschneider vanishing when $p > 5$ [BK23].

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 14F17, 14E30, 14G17; Secondary: 14B05, 14F30, 14J45.

Key words and phrases. Grauert–Riemenschneider vanishing theorem, Frobenius morphism, crystals, Fano varieties, positive characteristic.

- (b) When $p = 2$, there even exists such an X which is F -pure, and such that K_X is Cartier (Remark 5.3). We do not know whether such examples exist for $p \in \{3, 5\}$ (see also [Tot24, Remarks 6.2 and 8.2]).

Let us explain the shorthand $R^i f_* \omega_Y \sim_C 0$. The sheaf ω_Y of top differential forms on a smooth algebraic variety in positive characteristic carries an additional operation first introduced by Cartier [Car57]: the Frobenius trace map $C: F_* \omega_Y \rightarrow \omega_Y$. We write $R^i f_* \omega_Y \sim_C 0$, and say that X satisfies the Frobenius-stable GR vanishing, if for some $n > 0$, the operator $(R^i f_* C)^n$ vanishes for $i > 0$.

Note that the cone counterexamples to the classical GR vanishing actually satisfy Frobenius-stable GR vanishing (see [BBL⁺23, Proposition 5.18] or Example 3.9). For this reason, we turn to another source of pathologies in characteristic p to construct a counterexample: wild quotient singularities.

Indeed, Totaro's example of terminal 3-fold singularities ($x \in X$) in low characteristics are obtained as (non-linear) quotients of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ on a smooth 3-fold [Tot19, Tot24] give the desired counterexamples. To verify that $R^1 f_* \omega_Y \not\sim_C 0$, instead of directly computing the operator $R^i f_* C$ from a resolution Y , we reduce the non-vanishing in terms of the Frobenius action on local cohomology. We first prove that the Frobenius action on $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_Y$ is nilpotent on 3-fold klt singularities (Theorem 1.6), which is the main technical result of this article (see Section 1.1 for the details on these results). Having shown this, we can then apply the duality theory for Cartier crystals [Bau23] to show the equivalence between the vanishing $R^1 f_* \omega_Y \sim_C 0$ and the nilpotency of the Frobenius action on $H_x^2(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$. Finally, some computations in group cohomology as in [Fog81] permit to conclude the proof.

Let us compare our results with Bhatt vanishing for big and semi-ample line bundles in characteristic $p > 0$ (see [Bha12, Proposition 6.3]). Bhatt's theorem can be regarded as a Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing up to finite covers, meaning that non-zero cohomology classes are killed after some finite pull-back. In general, we prove that the Frobenius morphism and its iterates are not sufficient to kill cohomology classes in the projective case (e.g. Corollary 5.5) and the failure of Frobenius-stable GR vanishing is a local analogue of this phenomenon.

1.1. \mathbb{F}_p -rationality

We found the counterexample to Frobenius-stable GR vanishing while looking for a weakening of the notion of rational singularities in positive characteristic that could help to understand klt singularities in positive characteristic. Let us explain our starting motivation.

The study of the singularities of the MMP played a crucial role in the development of higher dimensional algebraic geometry, both in characteristic 0 (see [Kol13] and references therein) and $p > 0$ (see [Tan18, HX15, HW22a, HW22b, HW19]). This topic is closely intertwined with properties of Fano varieties given that klt singularities can be viewed as a local counterpart of Fano varieties [LX20, Section 2.4]. In characteristic 0, a Fano variety satisfies the Kodaira vanishing theorem (which in particular implies that $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for $i > 0$) and a fundamental result of Elkik [Elk81] shows that klt singularities are Cohen–Macaulay and rational. The situation is more complicated in characteristic $p > 0$: Kodaira vanishing does not hold in general for Fano varieties [Kov18, Tot19] and, furthermore, klt singularities may not be rational starting from dimension 3 [CT19, GNT19, Tot19, Yas19, Ber21, ABL22].

From this perspective, we decided to explore whether a Frobenius-stable version of the Kodaira vanishing theorem holds for Fano varieties and investigate its consequence for cohomological properties of klt singularities. Our work was also driven by earlier findings in the literature concerning the $W\mathcal{O}$ -rationality and \mathbb{Q}_p -rationality of singular Fano varieties and klt singularities. In [GNT19, HW22b], it has been demonstrated that 3-fold klt singularities over perfect fields with characteristic $p > 0$ are $W\mathcal{O}$ -rational, a weakening of rational singularities. Moreover, in [NT20] it is shown that the higher direct images $R^i f_* W\mathcal{O}_{X,\mathbb{Q}}$ vanish for a Fano type morphism $f: X \rightarrow Z$ from a 3-fold X . Their result is then used in [HW23] to conclude that 4-fold klt singularities over perfect fields are $W\mathcal{O}$ -rational. These results immediately imply the analogue vanishing for the higher direct images $R^i f_* \mathbb{Q}_p$.

We show that one can promote the vanishing in \mathbb{Q}_p -cohomology for klt singularities and Fano type varieties of [NT20] to a vanishing of cohomology with \mathbb{F}_p -coefficients. This can be interpreted via the Riemann–Hilbert (RH) correspondence for \mathbb{F}_p -constructible sheaves [BP09, BL19]: asking the topological vanishing $R^i f_* \mathbb{F}_p = 0$ for $i > 0$ is equivalent to requesting the natural action of the Frobenius on $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X$ to be nilpotent for $i > 0$ (denoted by $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X \sim_F 0$). Taking this perspective, our result on the cohomology of Fano varieties is the following:

Theorem 1.3 (cf. Proposition 4.6 and Theorem 6.9). *Let $f: X \rightarrow Z$ be a morphism of Fano type over an F -finite field k .*

- (a) *If $\dim(X) = 2$, then $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X \sim_F 0$ for $i > 0$;*
- (b) *If $\dim(X) = 3$, k is perfect and $p > 3$, then $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X \sim_F 0$ for $i > 0$.*

Remark 1.4. (a) In Theorem 1.3.(a), we cannot expect $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X = 0$ in general at least in characteristic $p = 2$ or 3 over imperfect fields ([Mad16, Sch07] and [Tan20, Theorem 1.4]). Nevertheless, we know that if $\dim f(X) \geq 1$ (resp. $Z = \text{Spec}(k)$ and $p > 5$) then $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X = 0$ by [Tan18, Theorem 3.3] (resp. [BT22, Theorem 1.7]). We do not know if there exists a surface of del Pezzo type over an imperfect field of characteristic 5 with non-zero irregularity.

- (b) In Theorem 1.3.(b), the assumption that $p > 3$ is used only for the (absolute) MMP for 3-folds. In particular, for a \mathbb{Q} -factorial klt Fano 3-fold X over a perfect field of characteristic p with Picard number 1, we can show that $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \sim_F 0$ for all $i > 0$ and all $p > 0$ (see Proposition 6.5.(a)). It is important to note that if $p = 2$, then $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ does not hold in general [CT19, Theorem 1.4], and it is open whether $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ holds for large $p \gg 0$.

In Theorem 1.3.(b), we know that if $p > 5$ and $\dim f(X) \geq 1$ then $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X = 0$ by [BK23, Theorem 5.1]. Moreover, if X is a smooth Fano 3-fold we know $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for $i > 0$ holds by [SB97] (cf. [Kaw21, Corollary 3.7] or [Tan23, Theorem 2.3]).

Remark 1.5. A similar principle, where taking powers of the Frobenius fixes the possible pathologies for contractions of Fano type, appears in the p -power version of the base point free theorem proven by Tanaka [Tan21b].

Following the global-to-local principle, we are able to deduce, using the birational MMP up to dimension 4 and Theorem 1.3, the \mathbb{F}_p -rationality of klt singularities up to dimension 4.

Theorem 1.6 (cf. Theorem 4.7 and Corollary 6.10). *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local k -algebra essentially of finite type such that $X = \text{Spec}(R)$ is of klt type. Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a projective resolution of singularities.*

- (a) *If $\dim(X) = 3$, then $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_Y \sim_F 0$ for $i > 0$.*
- (b) *Assume that k is perfect and the existence of log resolution of singularities for 4-folds over k . If $\dim(X) = 4$ and $p > 5$, then $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_Y \sim_F 0$ for $i > 0$.*

Remark 1.7. (a) Theorem 1.6.(a) is really a novelty in the case of perfect field of characteristic $p \leq 5$ and imperfect fields, as klt 3-fold singularities are rational over perfect fields of characteristic $p > 5$ [HW19, ABL22, BK23]. It is worth mentioning that, when $p \leq 5$, we cannot expect $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_Y = 0$ for $i > 0$ in general even if k is algebraically closed [CT19, Ber21, ABL22].

- (b) In Theorem 1.6.(b), the assumption that $p > 5$ is used only for the MMP in dimension at most 4 developed in [HW23]. As far as authors know, it is open whether there exists a bound $p_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ for klt 4-folds so that $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_Y = 0$ in characteristic $p > p_0$.

1.2. Organisation of the article

We briefly explain the organisation of the article. In Section 2, we recall the notions of F -crystals and Cartier crystals, which give the correct framework to study properties that are stable under the action of the Frobenius. We also include the tools from the MMP that are relevant for our purposes. In Section 3, we introduce the Frobenius-stable version of the

notion of singularities we are interested in: Cohen–Macaulay up to Frobenius nilpotence, \mathbb{F}_p -rationality and the Frobenius–stable version of GR vanishing theorem. We relate the Frobenius action on local cohomology of an \mathbb{F}_p -rational singularity to the Cartier structure on the higher direct images of the dualizing sheaf (Proposition 3.8) and we compare \mathbb{F}_p -rationality with the notions of \mathbb{Q}_p and $W\mathcal{O}$ -rationality (Section 3.2). In Section 4, we implement the global–to–local principle: the MMP provides a tool to show the \mathbb{F}_p -rationality of klt singularities from the vanishing up to Frobenius nilpotence of the cohomology of Fano varieties (Theorem 4.2). We then show Theorem 1.3.(a) and we deduce \mathbb{F}_p -rationality of klt singularities in dimension 3. From this, we are able to obtain Theorem 1.1. Finally in Section 6 we show the nilpotence of Frobenius on 3-folds of Fano type over perfect fields (Theorem 6.4) building on their rational chain-connectedness and some computations with p -adic cohomology theories. Using the MMP developed in [GNT19] and [HW22b], we show Theorem 1.3.(b) and Theorem 1.6.(b).

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Zs. Patakfalvi, C. D. Hacon, S. Filipazzi, T. Takamatsu, B. Totaro, and the referee for useful discussions and comments on the content of this article.

JB was partly supported by the grant #200020B/192035 from the Swiss National Science Foundation, FB was partly supported by the grants #200020B/192035 and PZ00P2-216108 from the Swiss National Science Foundation and TK was partly supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant number JP22KJ1771 and JP24K16897. Part of this work was done while the second author was visiting Kyoto University, and he would like to express his gratitude to TK for the warm hospitality.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notations

- (a) Throughout the article, we fix a prime number $p > 0$.
- (b) For an \mathbb{F}_p -scheme X , we denote by $F: X \rightarrow X$ its (absolute) Frobenius morphism, and we use the same notation for \mathbb{F}_p -algebras. We say that an \mathbb{F}_p -scheme X (resp. algebras) is F -finite if the Frobenius is a finite morphism. Whenever we say F -finite scheme (or algebra), we intend an F -finite \mathbb{F}_p -scheme.
- (c) On an \mathbb{F}_p -scheme X , we define the perfection of \mathcal{O}_X as

$$\mathcal{O}_X^{1/p^\infty} := \varinjlim (\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{F} F_*\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{F} F_*^2\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{F} \dots)$$

(see [BL19]). We use the same notation for \mathbb{F}_p -algebras.

- (d) Given a scheme X , we denote by X_{red} its reduced subscheme. If X is integral, we denote by $\nu: X^\nu \rightarrow X$ its normalisation and by $k(X)$ its function field.
- (e) We say X is a variety over k (or simply a variety) if it is an integral separated scheme of finite type over k .
- (f) We say X is a curve (resp. surface, 3-fold) over k if X is a variety over k of dimension one (resp. two, three).
- (g) Given an abelian category \mathcal{A} , we denote by $D(\mathcal{A})$ (resp. $D^b(\mathcal{A})$) its (bounded) derived category. Given a complex K^\bullet in $D(\mathcal{A})$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $\mathcal{H}^i(K^\bullet)$ its i -th cohomology.
- (h) Given a variety X over k with structural morphism $\pi: X \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$, we define the dualising complex $\omega_X^\bullet := \pi^!\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } k}$ (see [Sta, Tags 0A9Y and 0ATZ]). The dualizing sheaf is defined as the lowest non-zero cohomology sheaf of the dualizing complex: $\omega_X := \mathcal{H}^{-\dim X}(\omega_X^\bullet)$. For example, if X is proper and smooth over k , then ω_X agrees with the usual sheaf of top differentials ([Sta, Tag 0AU3]). If X is normal, then ω_X is a reflexive sheaf of rank 1 ([Sta, Tag 0AWE]), and we denote by K_X a Weil divisor such that $\omega_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)$.
- (i) We say (X, Δ) is a pair if X is a normal variety, Δ is an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor and $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier. We say Δ is a boundary if its coefficients lie in the interval $[0, 1]$.

- (j) Given a variety X , we say that $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is a log resolution if f is a proper birational morphism such that Y is regular and the exceptional locus $\text{Ex}(f)$ is purely divisorial and snc.
- (k) Given a variety X , we say that $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is a *quasi-resolution* if f is a projective and generically finite morphism, Y is a topological finite quotient [CR12, Definition 4.2.5], and the extension of the function fields $k(Y) \subset k(X)$ is purely inseparable.

2.2. Frobenius and Cartier modules

In this section, we recall the definitions and the basic results on the theory of F -modules and Cartier modules we need, which are contained in [BP09, BB11, BS13, BL19, Bau23].

2.2.1. *F-modules.* We start with defining F -modules and recalling the Riemann–Hilbert correspondence in positive characteristic [BP09, BL19]. Since all the schemes we will be working with are varieties, we will mostly restrict to the Noetherian case. Most of what we say can be generalised to the non-Noetherian setup by [BL19].

Definition 2.1. Let X be an \mathbb{F}_p -scheme and let \mathcal{M} be an \mathcal{O}_X -module. An *F-module structure* on \mathcal{M} is an \mathcal{O}_X -module homomorphism $\tau: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow F_*\mathcal{M}$. We say (\mathcal{M}, τ) is an *F-module*. If \mathcal{M} is quasi-coherent (resp. coherent), we say (\mathcal{M}, τ) is a quasi-coherent (resp. coherent) *F-module*. We say that $f: (\mathcal{M}_1, \tau_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}_2, \tau_2)$ is a *homomorphism of F-modules* if $F_*f \circ \tau_1 = \tau_2 \circ f$.

We denote by QCoh_X^F (resp. Coh_X^F if X is Noetherian) the category of quasi-coherent (resp. coherent) F -modules. The category QCoh_X^F is a Grothendieck category by [Bau23, Corollary 2.2.4]. We illustrate the key examples of F -modules that appear in this article.

Example 2.2. For any \mathbb{F}_p -scheme X , the structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_X comes with the absolute Frobenius $F: \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F_*\mathcal{O}_X$, which induces a natural F -module structure on \mathcal{O}_X . Similarly, any ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ canonically admits an F -module structure. From this, we can construct new F -module structures.

- (a) Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of \mathbb{F}_p -schemes. Then the action of the Frobenius $F: \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F_*\mathcal{O}_X$ induces a natural F -module structure on the higher direct images:

$$R^i f_* F: R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow R^i f_* F_* \mathcal{O}_X \cong F_* R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X.$$

- (b) Let $(X, x) = (\text{Spec}(R), \mathfrak{m})$, where R is a local \mathbb{F}_p -algebra. Then the local cohomology groups $H_x^i(\mathcal{O}_X) := H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R)$ admit a natural structure of a quasi-coherent F -modules.

Given a F -module (\mathcal{M}, τ) we define the composite

$$\tau^n: \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\tau} F_*^{n-1} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{F_* \tau} \dots \xrightarrow{F_*^{n-1} \tau} F_*^n \mathcal{M}.$$

Definition 2.3. We say that an F -module (\mathcal{M}, τ) is *nilpotent* if there exists $n > 0$ such that $\tau^n = 0$, and that it is *locally nilpotent* if it is a union of nilpotent F -submodules.

Locally nilpotent F -modules form a Serre subcategory of F -modules by [BP09, Proposition 3.3.5]. The category of F -quasi-crystals (resp. F -crystals) QCrys_X^F (resp. Crys_X^F) is the localised category of QCoh_X^F (resp. Coh_X^F) by the subcategory of locally nilpotent submodules (see [Sta, Tag 02MS]). QCrys_X^F is a Grothendieck category by [Bau23, Lemma 2.2.11]. With an abuse of notation, we will often simply write \mathcal{M} instead of the couple (\mathcal{M}, τ) .

Definition 2.4. Any two quasi-coherent F -modules \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 are *isomorphic up to nilpotence* if the associated F -quasi-crystals are isomorphic. In this case, we write $\mathcal{M}_1 \sim_F \mathcal{M}_2$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a universal homeomorphism of Noetherian \mathbb{F}_p -schemes. Then the natural morphism $\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}f_* \mathcal{O}_X$ is an isomorphism in $D(\text{Crys}_X^F)$.*

Proof. Since f is affine by [Sta, Tag 04DE], it suffices to show that $\mathcal{O}_Y \sim_F f_* \mathcal{O}_X$. We show that the natural morphism $\mathcal{O}_Y^{1/p^\infty} \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_X^{1/p^\infty}$ is an isomorphism. Since f is affine, we may assume that both X and Y are affine. The statement then follows from [Sta, Tag 0CNF]. \square

A fundamental theorem in the theory of F -crystals in the *Riemann-Hilbert correspondence* (in short, RH correspondence), which relates F -crystals to constructible \mathbb{F}_p -sheaves.

Definition 2.6 ([BP09, 10.1.3] and [BL19, Construction 10.2.2]). Given an F -module (\mathcal{M}, τ) on an \mathbb{F}_p -scheme X , we will define an étale sheaf of \mathbb{F}_p -vector spaces on X . Let $U \rightarrow X$ be an étale morphism. Pulling back (\mathcal{M}, τ) gives an F -module (\mathcal{M}_U, τ_U) on U . We then set

$$\mathrm{Sol}(\mathcal{M})(U) := \ker(\tau_U - 1: \mathcal{M}_U \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_U).$$

This defines a functor $\mathrm{Sol}: \mathrm{QCoh}_X^F \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}(X_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}, \mathbb{F}_p)$, where $\mathrm{Sh}(X_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}, \mathbb{F}_p)$ denotes the abelian category of étale sheaves of \mathbb{F}_p -vector spaces on X .

Note that a nilpotent F -module cannot have any fixed points, so when X is Noetherian, Sol factors through $\mathrm{Crys}_X^F \rightarrow \mathrm{Sh}(X_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}, \mathbb{F}_p)$.

Theorem 2.7 ([BP09, Theorems 10.3.6 and 10.4.2]). *Let X be a Noetherian \mathbb{F}_p -scheme. Then Sol induces an equivalence of categories*

$$\mathrm{Crys}_X^F \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Sh}_c(X_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}, \mathbb{F}_p),$$

where $\mathrm{Sh}_c(X_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}, \mathbb{F}_p)$ denotes the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Sh}(X_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}, \mathbb{F}_p)$ of constructible étale sheaves of \mathbb{F}_p -vector spaces. Furthermore, this functor commutes with pullbacks and derived proper pushforwards.

Example 2.8 (see also [BL19, Theorem 10.5.5]). Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper morphism of Noetherian \mathbb{F}_p -schemes. In this case, the F -crystals $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_Y$ correspond to $R^i f_* \mathbb{F}_{p,Y}$ for every $i \geq 0$ via the RH correspondence as it commutes with derived proper pushforwards.

Lemma 2.9. *Let X be a Noetherian \mathbb{F}_p -scheme, and let $\mathcal{M} \in \mathrm{Crys}_X^F$. Then*

$$\mathcal{M} \sim_F 0 \iff \mathcal{M} \otimes k(x) \sim_F 0 \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Proof. The “left-to-right” direction is immediate. To see the other direction, note that by **Theorem 2.7**, it is sufficient to prove that $\mathrm{Sol}(\mathcal{M}) = 0$, which is equivalent to $\mathrm{Supp}(\mathrm{Sol}(\mathcal{M})) = \emptyset$. Hence, we conclude by [Bau23, Lemma 2.2.17]. \square

We recall the proper base change theorem for F -crystals.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper morphism of Noetherian \mathbb{F}_p -schemes, and let \mathcal{M} be an F -crystal on Y . Then for any point $x \in X$, we have*

$$R^i f_* \mathcal{M} \otimes k(x) \sim_F H^i(Y_x, \mathcal{M}_{Y_x}),$$

where Y_x is the scheme-theoretic fibre over x .

Proof. This is [BP09, Theorem 6.7.6] applied to the inclusion $\mathrm{Spec} k(x) \rightarrow X$. \square

2.2.2. Cartier modules.

Definition 2.11. Let X be a Noetherian \mathbb{F}_p -scheme, and let \mathcal{N} be an \mathcal{O}_X -module. A *Cartier module structure* on \mathcal{N} is an \mathcal{O}_X -module homomorphism $\kappa: F_* \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$. We say that (\mathcal{N}, κ) is a *Cartier module*. If \mathcal{N} is quasi-coherent (resp. coherent), we say that (\mathcal{N}, κ) is a quasi-coherent (resp. coherent) Cartier module.

Quasi-coherent (resp. coherent) Cartier modules form a Grothendieck category (resp. an abelian category) by [Bau23, Corollary 3.1.3], denoted by QCoh_X^C (resp. Coh_X^C). The main sources of examples of Cartier modules come from duality theory.

Example 2.12. Let X be a normal variety over an F -finite field k . Let $\mathcal{O}_{X^{\mathrm{reg}}} \rightarrow F_* \mathcal{O}_{X^{\mathrm{reg}}}$ be the Frobenius morphism and we apply the functor $\mathcal{H}om(-, \omega_{X^{\mathrm{reg}}})$. Applying Grothendieck duality, we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}om(F_* \mathcal{O}_{X^{\mathrm{reg}}}, \omega_{X^{\mathrm{reg}}}) \cong F_* \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{O}_{X^{\mathrm{reg}}}, \omega_{X^{\mathrm{reg}}}) \cong F_* \omega_{X^{\mathrm{reg}}}.$$

As X is normal and the sheaves are all reflexive, we conclude $\mathcal{H}om(F_*\mathcal{O}_X, \omega_X) \cong F_*\omega_X$ and thus we have the Frobenius trace map:

$$C: F_*\omega_X \rightarrow \omega_X,$$

which endows ω_X with a natural structure of Cartier module.

Given a Cartier module (\mathcal{N}, κ) we define the composite

$$\kappa^n: F_*^n \mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{F_*^{n-1} \kappa} F_*^{n-1} \mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{F_*^{n-2} \kappa} \dots \xrightarrow{\kappa} \mathcal{N}.$$

Definition 2.13. We say that a Cartier module (\mathcal{N}, κ) is *nilpotent* if there exists $n > 0$ such that $\kappa^n = 0$, and that it is *locally nilpotent* if it is a union of nilpotent Cartier submodules.

Similarly to F -modules, locally nilpotent Cartier modules form a Serre subcategory ([Bau23, Lemma 3.3.3]). The category Crys_X^C of Cartier crystals is the localised category of Coh_X^C by Nil_X^C .

In [Bau23], the first author develops a duality theory between F -crystals and Cartier crystals, which we now recall. We refer to [Sta, Tag 0A9Y] for the definition of upper-shriek functors.

Definition 2.14. A *unit dualizing complex* on a Noetherian F -finite scheme X is the datum of a dualizing complex ω_X^\bullet , together with an isomorphism $\omega_X^\bullet \rightarrow F^!\omega_X^\bullet$. This agrees with [Bau23, Definition 4.2.1] by [Bau23, Propositions 5.1.1 and 5.1.3].

By [Bau23, Corollary 5.1.15], unit dualizing complexes always exist for schemes of finite type over a Noetherian F -finite affine scheme.

Theorem 2.15 ([Bau23, Theorem 4.3.5 and Corollary 5.1.7]). *Let X be a Noetherian F -finite scheme with a unit dualizing complex ω_X^\bullet . Then the usual duality functor $D_X(-) := \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om(-, \omega_X^\bullet)$ (see [Sta, Tag 0A89]) can be enriched to an equivalence of categories*

$$D_X: D^b(\text{Crys}_X^C)^{op} \xrightarrow{\cong} D^b(\text{Crys}_X^F).$$

Moreover, for any proper morphism $f: Y \rightarrow X$ from a Noetherian F -finite scheme Y , if we set $\omega_Y^\bullet = f^!\omega_X^\bullet$, then we have a natural isomorphism

$$D_X \circ Rf_* \cong Rf_* \circ D_Y.$$

2.3. Notions from the Minimal Model Program

Let X be a normal scheme, and let Δ be an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor with coefficients ≤ 1 such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier. We recall the definition of singularities for the pair (X, Δ) according to the MMP. Given a proper birational morphism $f: Y \rightarrow X$ with Y normal, we choose a canonical divisor K_Y such that $f_*K_Y = K_X$, and we write

$$K_Y + f_*^{-1}\Delta = f^*(K_X + \Delta) + \sum_i a(E_i, X, \Delta)E_i,$$

where E_i run through the prime exceptional divisors and $f_*^{-1}\Delta$ is the strict transform of Δ . The coefficient $a(E, X, \Delta)$ is called the *discrepancy* of E (with respect to (X, Δ)), and it depends exclusively on the divisorial valuation associated to E .

Definition 2.16. We say that (X, Δ) is *klt* (resp. *log canonical*) if $[\Delta] = 0$ and $a(E, X, \Delta) > -1$ (resp. $a(E, X, \Delta) \geq -1$).

We say that X is *of klt type* if there exists $\Delta \geq 0$ such that (X, Δ) is klt.

For inductive reasons in our proofs, the class of dlt singularities appear naturally when running an MMP for snc pairs with a reduced boundary. Given a log canonical pair (X, Δ) , we say that a divisor E on a birational model of X is a *log canonical place* if it is a divisorial valuation of discrepancy -1 .

Definition 2.17. We say that (X, Δ) is *dlt* if (X, Δ) is log canonical, and for every log canonical place E , we have that the generic point of $\text{center}_X(E)$ is contained in the snc locus of (X, Δ) .

For a discussion of klt and log canonical singularities including the case of positive characteristic, we refer to [Kol13] and [BMP⁺23]. We now recall the notion of Fano type morphism, which generalises the notion of Fano variety to pairs in the relative setting.

Definition 2.18. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a projective morphism of varieties. We say f is a *morphism of Fano type* if there exists $\Delta \geq 0$ such that (X, Δ) is klt and $-(K_X + \Delta)$ is f -big and f -nef.

2.4. Non- \mathbb{Q} -factorial 4-fold MMP

In this section we explain how to adapt the arguments in [HW22b] to prove the existence of a birational MMP over non- \mathbb{Q} -factorial bases as in [Kol21] for 4-folds, assuming the existence of log resolutions.

Hypothesis 2.19. Following [HW22b], we assume that, given an integral 4-fold X , log resolutions of all log pairs with the underlying variety being birational to X exist and are given by a sequence of blowups along the non-snc locus.

The following is a generalisation of [HW22b, Theorem 1.1] to non- \mathbb{Q} -factorial bases.

Theorem 2.20. *Let X be a 4-fold satisfying Hypothesis 2.19 defined over a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 5$. Let $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ be a projective birational morphism with exceptional divisor $E = \sum E_i$. Suppose there exist \mathbb{R} -divisors Δ and H on Y such that*

- (i) (Y, Δ) is *dlt*, $\lfloor \Delta \rfloor = \text{Supp}(E)$ and each E_i is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier;
- (ii) $K_Y + \Delta \equiv_{\pi} \sum e_i E_i$;
- (iii) $H = \sum h_i H_i$, where $-H$ is effective and $\text{Supp } H = E$.
- (iv) $K_Y + \Delta + r_X H$ is π -ample for some $r_X > 0$.
- (v) The h_i are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}(e_1, \dots, e_n)$.

Then we can run the $(K_Y + \Delta)$ -MMP with scaling of H over X as in [Kol21, Definition 1] which terminates with $\pi_{\min}: Y_{\min} \rightarrow X$. Assume that we reached the j -th step of this MMP:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_j & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & Y_{j+1} \\ & \searrow \varphi_j & \swarrow \psi_j \\ & & Z_j. \end{array}$$

Let E^j be the divisorial part of the exceptional locus of the birational contraction $\pi_j: Y_j \rightarrow X$ and Δ_{Y_j} (resp. H^j) be the strict transform of Δ (resp. H) on Y_j . Let

$$r_j := \inf \{ t \geq 0 \mid K_{Y_j} + \Delta_{Y_j} + tH^j \text{ is nef} \}$$

be the threshold of the MMP with scaling of H at the j -th step. We have the following properties:

- (a) given two irreducible components $E_{l_1}^j$ and $E_{l_2}^j$ of E^j , there exists $\lambda = \lambda(l_1, l_2)$ such that $E_{l_1}^j \equiv \lambda E_{l_2}^j$ in $N^1(Y_j/Z_j)$ (in particular, they are all multiple of $K_Y + \Delta$).
- (b) $\text{Ex}(\varphi_j) \subset \text{Supp}(E^j)$ and either
 - (i) $\text{Ex}(\varphi_j)$ is an irreducible divisor and $Y_{j+1} = Z_j$, or
 - (ii) φ_j is small, and there are irreducible components $E_{j_1}^j$ and $E_{j_2}^j$ of E^j such that $E_{j_1}^j$ and $-E_{j_2}^j$ are both φ_j -ample.
- (c) The irreducible components of E^{j+1} are all \mathbb{Q} -Cartier;
- (d) $\sum e_i E_i^{j+1} + (r_j - \varepsilon)H^{j+1}$ is a π_{j+1} -ample \mathbb{R} -divisor supported on $\text{Ex}(\pi_{j+1})$ for $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$.

Furthermore, if $\sum e_i E_i$ is effective and $\text{Supp}(\sum e_i E_i) = E$, then $Y_{\min} = X$.

Proof. The proof of [Kol21, Theorem 2] for (b) (see also [BMP⁺23, Theorem 9.15]) works for 4-folds, as soon as we prove the existence of the desired contractions and flips. The explanation for (a) can be found at lines 5-8 of [BMP⁺23] on page 195.

In our setting, the cone theorem is valid by the same proof of [HW22a, Theorem 4.6]. Pick an extremal face F as in the proof of [Kol21, Theorem 1.1]. The same proof as in [HW22a, Proposition 4.5] shows that there exists a birational contraction which contracts exactly the face F . Thus we are left to construct flips. We suppose first that Δ has standard coefficients. In this case, using the \mathbb{Q} -factoriality of the irreducible prime divisor in $\text{Ex}(\pi_j)$, we can reduce to the case where $\Delta = E_{j_1} + E_{j_2} + \Gamma$ such that $[\Gamma] = 0$ and Γ has standard coefficients. Then the required flip exists by the same argument as in [HW22b, Theorem 4.3]. If Δ does not have standard coefficients, we use [Hypothesis 2.19](#) to reduce to this case as in [HW22b, Theorem 4.1].

As for the termination of the sequence of flips, we have that the exceptional locus of each step of the MMP is contained in E^j and thus the MMP must terminate by special termination [XX21, Theorem 3.4] (in their proof one can replace the \mathbb{Q} -factoriality of Y with [Hypothesis \(i\)](#)). \square

Corollary 2.21. *Let (X, Δ) be a 4-fold pair satisfying [Hypothesis 2.19](#) over a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 5$. Let $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ be a log resolution, whose exceptional locus E supports a divisor that is ample over X . If (X, Δ) is klt, then we can run a $(K_Y + \pi_*^{-1}\Delta + E)$ -MMP in the sense of [Theorem 2.20](#) which terminates with X .*

Proof. We have that $(K_Y + \pi_*^{-1}\Delta + E) \equiv_{\pi} \sum_i (1 + a(E_i, X, \Delta))E_i$, which is effective by the klt hypothesis. As E supports an ample divisor, we can find an \mathbb{R} -divisor H satisfying hypotheses (iii), (iv) and (v) of [Theorem 2.20](#), so we conclude. \square

3. FROBENIUS-STABLE VERSIONS OF CM, RATIONALITY AND GR VANISHING

In this section, we define and discuss basic properties of Frobenius-stable versions of Cohen-Macaulay modules, rational singularities and GR vanishing.

3.1. Definitions

We introduce the analogue of Serre's conditions for F -crystals and Cartier crystals. Similar notions of depth for local cohomology up to Frobenius action have been investigated in previous works (see for example [HS77, Lyu06]).

Definition 3.1. Let X be a Noetherian F -finite scheme, and let $A \in \{F, C\}$.

- Given $\mathcal{M}^\bullet \in D^b(\text{Crys}_X^A)$, define

$$\text{Supp}_{\text{crys}}(\mathcal{M}^\bullet) := \{x \in X \mid \mathcal{M}_x^\bullet \not\sim_A 0\}.$$

This subset is closed by [Bau23, Lemma 2.2.17]. We also define

$$\dim_{\text{crys}}(\mathcal{M}^\bullet) := \dim(\text{Supp}_{\text{crys}}(\mathcal{M}^\bullet)).$$

- Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian F -finite local ring, and let $0 \not\sim_A M \in \text{Crys}_X^A$. We set

$$\text{depth}_{\text{crys}}(M) := \min\{i \geq 0 \mid H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M) \not\sim_A 0\}.$$

We say that M has *Serre's condition (S_n) up to nilpotence* if

$$\text{depth}_{\text{crys}}(M) \geq \min\{n, \dim_{\text{crys}}(M)\}.$$

If M has (S_n) up to nilpotence for all $n \geq 0$, then M is said to be *Cohen-Macaulay up to nilpotence* (in short, CM up to nilpotence). We set the zero crystal to be CM up to nilpotence by definition.

- Let $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Crys}_X^A$. We say that \mathcal{M} has property (S_n) up to nilpotence (resp. \mathcal{M} is CM up to nilpotence) if, for all $x \in X$, the localised sheaf \mathcal{M}_x has this property.

Thanks to the following lemma, this notion of depth up to nilpotence behaves very similarly to the usual notion of depth.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(A, B) \in \{(F, C), (C, F)\}$. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian F -finite local ring, fix a normalised unit dualizing complex ω_R^\bullet , and let $0 \not\sim_A M \in \text{Crys}_R^A$. Then $\text{depth}_{\text{crys}}(M) \leq \dim_{\text{crys}}(M)$, and*

$$\text{Ext}^{-i}(M, \omega_R^\bullet) \not\sim_B 0 \implies i \in \{\text{depth}_{\text{crys}}(M), \dots, \dim_{\text{crys}}(M)\},$$

and $\text{Ext}^{-\delta}(M, \omega_R^\bullet) \not\sim_B 0$ for $\delta \in \{\text{depth}_{\text{crys}}(M), \dim_{\text{crys}}(M)\}$.

In particular, M is CM up to nilpotence if and only if $\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}(M, \omega_R^\bullet)$ is supported in a single degree as a B -crystal.

Proof. By [Bau23, Proposition 4.4.5], we have a local duality theorem for crystals: for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\text{Ext}^{-i}(M, \omega_R^\bullet) \sim_B 0 \iff H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M) \sim_A 0.$$

Throughout the proof, we will refer to this result as local duality.

Let $Z := \text{Supp}_{\text{crys}}(M)$, let $i: Z \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$ denote the corresponding closed immersion, and write $Z = \text{Spec } S$. By [Bau23, Lemma 2.2.17 and Corollary 5.3.5], there exists $N \in \text{Coh}_Z^A$ such that $i_*N \sim_A M$. In particular, for all $i < -\dim(Z)$,

$$\text{Ext}^i(M, \omega_R^\bullet) \sim_B \text{Ext}^i(i_*N, \omega_R^\bullet) \sim_B 0$$

by [Sta, Tag 0A7U]. We then obtain from local duality that if $\text{Ext}^{-i}(M, \omega_R^\bullet) \not\sim_B 0$, then $i \leq \dim_{\text{crys}}(M)$. The other bound is immediate from the definition (and again local duality). Let us now show that $\text{Ext}^{-j}(M, \omega_R^\bullet) \not\sim_B 0$ for $j = \dim(Z)$ (in particular, this implies that $\text{depth}_{\text{crys}}(M) \leq \dim_{\text{crys}}(M)$ by local duality). Let η be a generic point of some irreducible component of Z (in particular, $N_\eta \not\sim_A 0$). Note that

$$\text{Ext}_R^{-j}(M, \omega_R^\bullet)_\eta \sim_B \mathcal{H}^{-j}(\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_R(i_*N, \omega_R^\bullet))_\eta \sim_B \mathcal{H}^{-j}(\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_S(N, \omega_S^\bullet))_\eta \sim_B \text{Ext}_{k(\eta)}^{-j}(N_\eta, (\omega_S^\bullet)_\eta).$$

↑

Theorem 2.15

Since $(\omega_S^\bullet)_\eta = \omega_{k(\eta)}^\bullet[-j]$, we conclude that

$$\text{Ext}_R^{-j}(M, \omega_R^\bullet)_\eta \sim_B \text{Hom}(N_\eta, \omega_{k(\eta)}^\bullet).$$

Since $N_\eta \not\sim_A 0$, also $\text{Hom}(N_\eta, \omega_{k(\eta)}^\bullet) \not\sim_B 0$ ($k(\eta)$ is a field) so the proof that $\text{Ext}^{-j}(M, \omega_X^\bullet) \not\sim_A 0$ is complete.

Finally, the non-vanishing for $j = \text{depth}_{\text{crys}}(M)$ is immediate from the definition and local duality. \square

Definition 3.3. Let X be a Noetherian F -finite \mathbb{F}_p -scheme. We say that X has *property (S_n) up to nilpotence* (resp. X is *Cohen–Macaulay (CM) up to nilpotence*) if the F -crystal \mathcal{O}_X has property (S_n) up to nilpotence (resp. is CM up to nilpotence).

We define rational and strongly rational singularities up to Frobenius nilpotence by enlarging the definition of rational singularities to the category of crystals.

Definition 3.4. Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a projective morphism of Noetherian F -finite schemes. We say the morphism f is \mathbb{F}_p -rational if $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}f_*\mathcal{O}_Y$ is an isomorphism in $D^b(\text{Crys}_X^F)$.

We say that an integral \mathbb{F}_p -scheme X has \mathbb{F}_p -rational singularities if there exists an \mathbb{F}_p -rational projective resolution $f: Y \rightarrow X$ of singularities. We say that X has *strongly \mathbb{F}_p -rational singularities* if X has \mathbb{F}_p -rational singularities, and is CM up to nilpotence.

Remark 3.5. We have the following properties.

(a) By Example 2.8, we have the following equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} f_*\mathcal{O}_Y \sim_F \mathcal{O}_X &\iff f_*\mathbb{F}_{p,Y} \cong \mathbb{F}_{p,X}, \text{ and} \\ R^i f_*\mathcal{O}_Y \sim_F 0 &\iff R^i f_*\mathbb{F}_{p,Y} = 0 \text{ for } i > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{F}_{p,Y}$ is seen as an étale sheaf on Y . This explains the name of \mathbb{F}_p -rationality.

- (b) If $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is a resolution, then X has \mathbb{F}_p -rational singularities if and only if $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_E \sim_F 0$ for $i > 0$, where E denotes the exceptional locus of f . This is an application of the proper base change theorem: For any point $x \in f(E)$, we consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} f^{-1}(x) & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & & \searrow & \downarrow \\ x & \longrightarrow & & & X, \end{array}$$

and, applying [Theorem 2.10](#) twice, we deduce that

$$R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_Y \otimes k(x) \sim_F H^i(f^{-1}(x), \mathcal{O}_{f^{-1}(x)}) \sim_F R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_E \otimes k(x).$$

We then conclude since, by [Lemma 2.9](#), $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_E \sim_F 0$ if and only if for all $x \in X$, $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_E \otimes k(x) \sim_F 0$. Recall that also for Witt cohomology, showing the vanishing of $R^i f_* W\mathcal{O}_{Y, \mathbb{Q}}$ is equivalent to check $R^i f_* W\mathcal{O}_{E, \mathbb{Q}}$ vanishes (see [[BBE07](#), Theorem 2.4]).

- (c) Similarly, we say that a projective morphism $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is $(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$ -rational if $(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})_X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}f_*(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})_Y$ is an isomorphism. Similarly, an integral \mathbb{F}_p -scheme X has $(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$ -rational singularities if it admits a $(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$ -rational resolution. This is equivalent to the facts that the natural Frobenius action on $f_* W_n \mathcal{O}_Y / W_n \mathcal{O}_X$ and on $R^i f_* W_n \mathcal{O}_Y$ is nilpotent for all $i > 0$ (this follows from the case $n = 1$, and the same induction argument as in the proof of [[BL19](#), Proposition 9.5.6]).
- (d) We refer to [[PZ21](#), Section 3.3] and references therein for the definitions of \mathbb{Z}_p , \mathbb{Q}_p -sheaves and the definition of their higher direct images. One can then define \mathbb{Q}_p -rational morphisms and singularities as in (c). Note that in this case, we only need the existence of a \mathbb{Q}_p -quasi-resolution (this follows the case of $W\mathcal{O}$ -rational singularities (see [[CR12](#), Corollary 4.4.7]) and [[PZ21](#), Lemma 3.19]). In particular, note that if $R^i f_* \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} = 0$ for all $n > 0$, then $R^i f_* \mathbb{Q}_p = 0$.
- (e) As in the classical case, one can define the following notion. Let k be an F -finite field, and let X be a variety over k . We say that X has \mathbb{F}_p -pseudo-rational singularities if for every normal variety Y with a proper birational morphism $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$, the natural map $\pi_* \omega_Y \rightarrow \omega_X$ is an isomorphism of Cartier crystals.

As usual, if X has \mathbb{F}_p -rational singularities and resolutions of singularities exist, then it also has \mathbb{F}_p -pseudo-rational singularities as follows: Firstly, since we assumed the existence of resolution of singularities, every resolution of X is \mathbb{F}_p -rational. Here, we used the fact that every smooth variety is rational [[CR11](#)]. Next, replacing Y with its resolution, we may assume that Y is smooth because our aim is the surjectivity of the natural injection $\pi_* \omega_Y \hookrightarrow \omega_X$. Since π is \mathbb{F}_p -rational, we have $R\pi_* \omega_Y[d] \cong R\pi_* \omega_Y^\bullet \sim_C \omega_X^\bullet$ by [Theorem 2.15](#), where $d := \dim(Y) = \dim(X)$. Taking cohomology sheaves in degree $-d$ concludes the proof.

We define a Frobenius-stable version of the Grauert-Riemenschneider vanishing theorem for proper birational morphisms.

Definition 3.6. Let X be a Noetherian F -finite normal scheme. We say that X satisfies the *Frobenius-stable GR vanishing* if, for any locally projective birational morphism $f: Y \rightarrow X$ where Y is normal and CM up to nilpotence, we have $R^i f_* \omega_Y \sim_C 0$ for all $i > 0$.

Remark 3.7. Assuming the existence of resolution of singularities in positive characteristic, it would be sufficient to check \mathbb{F}_p -rationality and the Frobenius-stable version of GR vanishing on X starting from a resolution by [[CR12](#)].

We develop a theory of \mathbb{F}_p -rationality for singularities satisfying the Frobenius-stable GR vanishing theorem, analogous to the one in characteristic 0.

Proposition 3.8. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a d -dimensional Noetherian F -finite normal domain such that $X := \text{Spec } R$ has \mathbb{F}_p -rational singularities. Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper birational morphism, where Y is regular. Then for all $i > 0$, we have that $R^{d-i} f_* \omega_Y \sim_C 0$ if and only if $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(\mathcal{O}_X) \sim_F 0$.*

In particular, X satisfies the Frobenius stable GR vanishing theorem if and only if X is CM up to nilpotence.

Proof. By local duality [Bau23, Proposition 4.4.5], we have

$$H_m^i(\mathcal{O}_X) \sim_F 0 \iff \mathbf{R}^{-i} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X, \omega_X^\bullet) \sim_C 0.$$

Since $\mathbf{R}f_* \mathcal{O}_Y \sim_F \mathcal{O}_X$ by the \mathbb{F}_p -rationality assumption, we obtain that

$$\mathbf{R}^{-i} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X, \omega_X^\bullet) \sim_C \mathbf{R}^{-i} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{R}f_* \mathcal{O}_Y, \omega_X^\bullet) \sim_C R^{-i} f_* \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_Y, \omega_Y[d]) = \mathbf{R}^{d-i} f_* \omega_Y.$$

Thus, we conclude the proof of the statement before ‘‘In particular’’. The last assertion follows from Lemma 3.2. \square

A typical counter-example to the usual GR vanishing theorem is given by taking cones over smooth varieties for which the Kodaira vanishing theorem fails, see for example [HK15, Example 3.11]. It turns out that these do not give rise to counter-examples to Frobenius-stable GR vanishing. Although this has already been proven in [BBL⁺23, Proposition 5.18] (and discussion afterwards), we give a direct proof without mentioning perverse sheaves.

Example 3.9. Let k be an F -finite field. We follow the notations from [Kol13, Section 3.1]. Given a normal projective variety Z over k and an ample line bundle L , we define $X = C_a(Z, L) := \mathrm{Spec}_k \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(Z, L^{\otimes n})$ to be the affine cone over Z (with respect to L) and we denote by v its vertex.

Claim 3.10. *If Z is regular, then $f: Y := BC_a(Z, L) \rightarrow X$ is a log resolution and $R^i f_* \omega_Y \sim_C 0$ for $i > 0$.*

Proof of the Claim. Let E be the exceptional divisor of f , which is isomorphic to Z . We have $\mathcal{O}_Y/\mathcal{O}_Y(-mE) \cong \mathcal{O}_{mE}$ for every $m \geq 1$. By the theorem on formal functions ([Sta, Tag 02OC]) we have the following commutative diagram, where the vertical arrows are isomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (F_*^e R^i f_* \omega_Y)_v^\wedge & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}^e} & (R^i f_* \omega_Y)_v^\wedge \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \varprojlim_m H^i(mE, F_*^e \omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_{mE}) & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim_m H^i(mE, \omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_{mE}) \end{array}$$

The restriction of $\pi: Y \rightarrow Z$ to $mE \rightarrow Z$ gives a finite morphism m to 1 and thus we can compute $H^i(mE, \omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_{mE}) \cong H^i(Z, \pi_*(\omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_{mE}))$. By [Kol13, Proposition 3.14.3], we have $\omega_Y \cong \pi^* \omega_Z(-E)$ and therefore by projection formula we deduce

$$\pi_*(\omega_Y(-mE)) \cong \omega_Z \otimes \pi_* \mathcal{O}_Y(-(m+1)E) \cong \bigoplus_{n \geq m+1} \omega_Z \otimes L^{\otimes n}.$$

As π is an affine morphism, the higher direct images $R^i \pi_*$ vanish for $i > 0$ and therefore, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_*(\omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_{mE}) &\cong \mathrm{coker} (\pi_*(\omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-mE)) \hookrightarrow \pi_* \omega_Y) \\ &\cong \mathrm{coker} \left(\bigoplus_{n \geq m+1} (\omega_Z \otimes L^{\otimes n}) \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} (\omega_Z \otimes L^{\otimes n}) \right) \cong \bigoplus_{1 \leq n \leq m} (\omega_Z \otimes L^{\otimes n}), \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_*(F_*^e \omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_{mE}) &\cong \mathrm{coker} (\pi_*(F_*^e \omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-mE)) \rightarrow \pi_* F_*^e \omega_Y) \\ &\cong \mathrm{coker} (\pi_* F_*^e (\omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-p^e mE)) \rightarrow \pi_* F_*^e \omega_Y) \\ &\cong \mathrm{coker} (F_*^e (\omega_Z \otimes \pi_* \mathcal{O}_Y(-(p^e m + 1)E))) \rightarrow F_*^e (\omega_Z \otimes \pi_* \mathcal{O}_Y(-E))) \\ &\cong \mathrm{coker} \left(\bigoplus_{r \geq p^e m+1} F_*^e (\omega_Z \otimes L^{\otimes r}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{r \geq 1} F_*^e (\omega_Z \otimes L^{\otimes r}) \right) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{1 \leq r \leq mp^e} F_*^e (\omega_Z \otimes L^{\otimes r}). \end{aligned}$$

We then obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^i(Z, \pi_*(\omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_{mE})) & \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}^e} & H^i(Z, \pi_*(\omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_{mE})) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \bigoplus_{1 \leq r \leq p^e m} H^i(Z, F_*^e(\omega_Z \otimes L^{\otimes r})) & \xrightarrow{\oplus \psi_r} & \bigoplus_{1 \leq n \leq m} H^i(Z, \omega_Z \otimes L^{\otimes n}), \end{array}$$

where the bottom arrow is the direct sums indexed by r of the following morphisms:

$$\begin{cases} \text{if } r = p^e k \text{ and } p \text{ does not divide } k, & \psi_r = \text{Tr}_Z^e \otimes L^{\otimes k}: F_*^e(\omega_Z \otimes L^{\otimes p^e k}) \rightarrow \omega_Z \otimes L^{\otimes k} \\ \text{if } r \text{ is coprime to } p, & \psi_r = 0 \end{cases}$$

Thus, we deduce by Serre vanishing that the iterated trace map of the Frobenius Tr^e is 0 for a sufficiently large $e \gg 0$ if $i > 0$. \square

3.2. \mathbb{F}_p -rationality versus $W\mathcal{O}$ -rationality

In this subsection, we compare the notion of \mathbb{F}_p -rationality with \mathbb{Q}_p -rationality and $W\mathcal{O}$ -rationality. For the theory of $W\mathcal{O}$ -singularities, we refer to [BE08, CR12].

Lemma 3.11. *Let X be a variety over an F -finite field k , and let $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ be a resolution. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) X has \mathbb{F}_p -rational singularities;
- (b) X has $(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$ -rational singularities for some integer $n > 0$;

Furthermore, these conditions imply that X has \mathbb{Q}_p -rational singularities.

Proof. We show that (a) implies (b) by induction on n . If $n = 1$, there is nothing to show, so assume that $n > 1$. The result then follows from the long exact sequence for higher pushforwards induced from

$$(3.11.a) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p,Y} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})_Y \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-1}\mathbb{Z})_Y \rightarrow 0,$$

the induction hypothesis, and the fact that $f_*(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})_Y \rightarrow f_*(\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-1}\mathbb{Z})_Y$ is surjective (it is surjective on any étale cover).

Let us show that (b) implies (a). Let $d \geq 1$ be the smallest integer such that $R^j \pi_* \mathbb{F}_{p,Y} = 0$ for all $j \geq d$. We want to show that $d = 1$, so assume by contradiction that $d > 1$. Note that as in the beginning of the proof, we deduce from $R^j \pi_* \mathbb{F}_{p,Y} = 0$ that $R^j \pi_*(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})_Y = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $j \geq d$. In particular, the morphism $\theta_n: R^{d-1} \pi_*(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})_Y \rightarrow R^{d-1} \pi_* \mathbb{F}_{p,Y}$ coming from the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-1}\mathbb{Z})_Y \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})_Y \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p,Y} \rightarrow 0$$

is surjective. By hypothesis, $R^{d-1} f_*(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})_Y = 0$ and thus $R^{d-1} \pi_* \mathbb{F}_{p,Y} = 0$, reaching the desired contradiction.

We are left to show that $\mathbb{F}_{p,X} \rightarrow f_* \mathbb{F}_{p,Y}$ is an isomorphism. From the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & f_*(\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-1}\mathbb{Z})_Y & \longrightarrow & f_*(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})_Y & \longrightarrow & f_* \mathbb{F}_{p,Y} \longrightarrow R^1 f_*(\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-1}\mathbb{Z})_Y = 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \cong \uparrow & & \psi \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-1}\mathbb{Z})_X & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})_X & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_{p,X} \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

we deduce that ψ is surjective. As ψ is clearly injective, we conclude $\mathbb{F}_{p,X} \rightarrow f_* \mathbb{F}_{p,Y}$ is an isomorphism.

Finally, the fact that \mathbb{F}_p -rationality implies \mathbb{Q}_p -rationality is immediate by definition (see Remark 3.5 (d)). \square

We recall the notion of $W\mathcal{O}$ -rationality.

Definition 3.12. A variety X over a perfect field k is *W \mathcal{O} -rational* if for every (equiv. some) quasi-resolution $f: Y \rightarrow X$, the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (a) f induces an isomorphism $W\mathcal{O}_{X,\mathbb{Q}} \cong f_*W\mathcal{O}_{Y,\mathbb{Q}}$;
- (b) $R^i f_*W\mathcal{O}_{Y,\mathbb{Q}} = 0$ for $i > 0$.

Lemma 3.13 ([PZ21, Lemma 3.19]). *If a variety X over a perfect field k is $W\mathcal{O}$ -rational, then it is \mathbb{Q}_p -rational.*

The following diagram summarises the implications:

$$\boxed{\mathbb{F}_p\text{-rational}} \Leftrightarrow \boxed{(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})\text{-rational}} \Rightarrow \boxed{\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-rational}} \Leftarrow \boxed{W\mathcal{O}\text{-rational}}$$

The following examples show that $W\mathcal{O}$ -rationality and \mathbb{F}_p -rationality are distinct notions, even for log canonical singularities.

Example 3.14. Let E be a supersingular elliptic curve over a perfect field k and let X be the affine cone over E . Then X is log canonical and it has strongly \mathbb{F}_p -rational singularities, as E is supersingular (see Remark 3.5). On the other hand, X is not $W\mathcal{O}$ -rational by [CR12, Theorem 4.7.4].

Example 3.15. Let S be a globally F -split smooth Enriques surface over a perfect field of characteristic $p = 2$ (called singular in [BM76] or ordinary in [LT22]). Let X be the affine cone over S , which is a log canonical 3-fold singularity by [Kol13, Lemma 3.1]. Since S is globally F -split, the Frobenius map acts on $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = k$ bijectively, and thus X is not \mathbb{F}_p -rational. Nevertheless, we have $H^2(S, W\mathcal{O}_{S,\mathbb{Q}}) = 0$ by [Ill79, Proposition 7.3.2] and $H^1(S, W\mathcal{O}_S) = 0$ by [Ill79, Proposition 7.3.6], and thus X has $W\mathcal{O}$ -rational singularities by [CR12, Theorem 4.7.4].

4. \mathbb{F}_p -RATIONALITY OF FANO MORPHISMS AND OF KLT SINGULARITIES

In this section, we discuss a general strategy to prove that klt singularities in positive characteristic of dimension n are \mathbb{F}_p -rational, assuming the MMP and the vanishing of the Frobenius on the cohomology of the higher direct images of Fano type morphism. As a corollary, we prove that F -finite 3-folds klt-type singularities are \mathbb{F}_p -rational.

4.1. Reduction from global-to-local

We implement a global-to-local principle: we prove that the vanishing up to Frobenius nilpotence of higher direct images of the structure sheaf of Fano type morphisms of dimension at most $n - 1$ together with MMP imply \mathbb{F}_p -rationality of n -dimensional klt type singularities. We propose the following global and local conjectures.

Conjecture 4.1. *Let k be an F -finite field of characteristic $p > 0$.*

- (a) *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a Fano type morphism over k . Then the following vanishing of F -modules holds: $R^i f_*\mathcal{O}_X \sim_F 0$ for $i > 0$.*
- (b) *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local k -algebra essentially of finite type. If $X = \text{Spec}(R)$ is of klt type, then it has \mathbb{F}_p -rational singularities.*

Theorem 4.2. *Let k be an F -finite field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local k -algebra essentially of finite type of dimension n such that $X = \text{Spec}(R)$ is of klt type. Suppose that all the following statements hold:*

- *existence of a log resolution for every birational model of X ;*
- *existence of the birational MMP as in Theorem 2.20 in dimension n ;*
- *Conjecture 4.1 (a) and (b) are valid in dimension $\leq n - 1$.*

Then X has \mathbb{F}_p -rational singularities.

Remark 4.3. If furthermore we assume the MMP (not only the birational one) in dimension $n - 1$, then [GNT19, Proposition 2.15] shows that it is sufficient to prove the vanishing up to nilpotence for varieties of Fano type in dimension at most $n - 1$ (and not for any Fano type morphism). For a precise argument, see the proof of Lemma 6.7.

The idea of the proof of [Theorem 4.2](#) is natural: we start with a log resolution, we run a relative MMP and we control that \mathbb{F}_p -rationality is preserved by each of the steps of the MMP. We begin with the case of (pl-)birational contractions.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $(X, S + \Delta)$ be a dlt pair over an F -finite field k , where S is a prime divisor. Let $g: X \rightarrow Z$ be a birational contraction such that*

- (a) $-(K_X + S + \Delta)$ is g -ample and
- (b) $-S$ is a g -ample \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor.

Suppose that [Conjecture 4.1\(a\)](#) holds in dimension $\leq n - 1$. Then $R^i g_ \mathcal{O}_X \sim_F 0$ for $i > 0$. In particular, if X has \mathbb{F}_p -rational singularities, then so does Z .*

Proof. For any $m \geq 0$, we see mS as both a Weil divisor and a closed subscheme on X . In particular, $(mS)_{\text{red}} = S$.

We take a sufficiently large $m \gg 0$, and consider the following short exact sequence of F -crystals:

$$(4.4.a) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-mS) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{mS} \rightarrow 0.$$

By adjunction, $g^\nu: S^\nu \rightarrow g(S)$ is a Fano type contraction. Therefore we have that $R^i g_*^\nu \mathcal{O}_{S^\nu} \sim_F 0$ for $i > 0$ by hypothesis. As $S^\nu \rightarrow S$ is a universal homeomorphism by [[HW23](#), Lemma 2.1] and $S \rightarrow mS$ is a universal homeomorphism by [[Sta](#), Tag 0CNF], we deduce from [Lemma 2.5](#) that

$$R^i g_* \mathcal{O}_{mS} \sim_F 0 \text{ for all } i > 0.$$

On the other hand, since $-S$ is g -ample and $m \gg 0$, we have $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X(-mS) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ by Serre vanishing. We then conclude from the long exact sequence of (4.4.a) that $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X \sim_F 0$ for all $i > 0$. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Let $(X, S + T + \Delta)$ be a dlt pair over an F -finite field k , where S and T are prime divisors. Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & W & \\ \psi \swarrow & & \searrow \psi^+ \\ X & \overset{\phi}{\dashrightarrow} & X^+ \\ f \searrow & & \swarrow f^+ \\ & Z & \end{array}$$

be a commutative diagram of birational maps where

- (a) ψ is a log resolution and the morphisms f, f^+ are small;
- (b) $-(K_X + S + T + \Delta)$ is f -ample and ϕ is the $(K_X + S + T + \Delta)$ -flip;
- (c) every irreducible component of $[S + T + \Delta]$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier;
- (d) $-S$ is f -ample and $-T^+$ is f^+ -ample, where T^+ is the strict transform of T on X^+ .
- (e) $\dim_{\text{crys}}(R^i \psi_*^+ \mathcal{O}_W) = 0$ for all $i > 0$;

Assume that [Conjecture 4.1\(a\)](#) holds in dimension $\leq n - 1$. If X has \mathbb{F}_p -rational singularities, then so does X^+ .

Proof. We claim that it is sufficient to show that $R^i f_*^+ \mathcal{O}_{X^+} \sim_F 0$ for all $i > 0$. Let us show this. By [Lemma 4.4](#), we have that $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X \sim_F 0$ for $i > 0$ and, as X has \mathbb{F}_p -rational singularities by hypothesis, we also have $R^i \psi_* \mathcal{O}_W \sim_F 0$ for $i > 0$. By the Leray spectral sequence, we deduce $R^i (f \circ \psi)_* \mathcal{O}_W \sim_F 0$. Since $\dim_{\text{crys}}(R^i \psi_*^+ \mathcal{O}_W) = 0$, we know by [[Bau23](#), Lemma 2.2.17] that there exists a coherent F -module \mathcal{N}_i on X^+ , supported at finitely many closed points, such that $\mathcal{N}_i \sim_F R^i \psi_*^+ \mathcal{O}_W$. In particular, $R^j f_*^+ R^i \psi_*^+ \mathcal{O}_W \sim_F R^j f_*^+ \mathcal{N}_i \sim_F 0$ for all $i, j > 0$. We obtain from the Leray spectral sequence that

$$0 \sim_F R^i (f^+ \circ \psi^+)_* \mathcal{O}_W \sim_F f_*^+ R^i \psi_*^+ \mathcal{O}_W,$$

so $R^i \psi_*^+ \mathcal{O}_W \sim_F 0$. Hence, X^+ has \mathbb{F}_p -rational singularities, and the claim is proven.

If we consider $D := (1 - \varepsilon)(S + \Delta)$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, the pair $(X, T + D)$ is plt and $-(K_X + T + D)$ is ample over Z . As ψ is a log resolution, we can apply the same proof of [[GNT19](#), Lemma 2.8] to find an ample effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor $H \geq 0$ on X

- $K_X + T + D + H \sim_{\mathbb{Q}, Z} 0$;
- $(X, T + D + H)$ is plt.

Then $K_{X^+} + T^+ + D^+ + H^+ \sim_{\mathbb{Q}, Z} 0$ and, as f and f^+ are small, the pair $(X^+, T^+ + D^+ + H^+)$ is still plt.

Let $Y := (T^+)^{\nu} \rightarrow T^+$ be the normalisation. Since T^+ is regular in codimension 1 by [Kol13, Theorem 2.31], we have that $Y \rightarrow T^+$ is an isomorphism in codimension 1. By adjunction, the pair $(Y, D_Y^+ + H_Y^+)$ is then klt and $K_Y + D_Y^+ + H_Y^+ \sim_{\mathbb{Q}, Z} 0$. Since $Y \rightarrow f^+(Y)$ is birational, H_Y^+ is automatically f^+ -big. Hence we can write $H_Y^+ \sim_{\mathbb{Q}, Z} A + E$, where A is f^+ -ample and E is an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor. For $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, $(Y, D_Y^+ + (1 - \epsilon)H^+ + \epsilon E)$ is still a Fano type contraction over Z . Hence, $R^i f_*^+ \mathcal{O}_Y \sim_F 0$ for all $i > 0$ by hypothesis.

Now, let $m \gg 0$ be such that $-mT^+$ is Cartier and $R^i g_*^+ \mathcal{O}_{X^+}(-mT^+) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ (recall that $-T^+$ is f -ample). We have a short exact sequence of F -modules:

$$(4.5.b) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X^+}(-mT^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X^+} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{mT^+} \rightarrow 0.$$

As in the proof of Lemma 4.4, the morphism $Y \rightarrow mT^+$ is a universal homeomorphism, and hence $R^i f_*^+ \mathcal{O}_{mT^+} \sim_F 0$ for all $i > 0$. Thus, by taking the long exact sequence associated to (4.5.b), we deduce that $R^i f_*^+ \mathcal{O}_{X^+} \sim_F 0$ for all $i > 0$ and the proof is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.2. We work by induction on the dimension and we suppose that klt type singularities of dimension at most $(n - 1)$ are \mathbb{F}_p -rational. Let $\Delta \geq 0$ be a boundary such that (X, Δ) is klt and let $\pi: Y \rightarrow (X, \Delta)$ be a log resolution whose exceptional divisor supports a π -ample divisor, whose existence is guaranteed by [KW21, Theorem 1]. By assumption, we can run a $(K_Y + \pi_*^{-1}\Delta + \text{Ex}(\pi))$ -MMP as in Theorem 2.20 which terminates with X (as explained in Corollary 2.21). We have to control at each step of the MMP that \mathbb{F}_p -rationality is preserved. In the case of a divisorial contraction, we apply Lemma 4.4. In the case of a flip we apply Lemma 4.5, where

- (a) the assumption (e) is satisfied by induction on the dimension,
- (b) the assumption (d) is satisfied: We consider $E_{j_1}^j$ and $E_{j_2}^j$ in Theorem 2.20 as T and S in Lemma 4.5, respectively. Then the strict transform $-E_{j_1}^{j+1}$ of $-E_{j_1}^j$ is ample over the base of the flip because a $(K_Y + \pi_*^{-1}\Delta + \text{Ex}(\pi))$ -flip is a $(-E_{j_1}^j)$ -flip by Theorem 2.20.(a). \square

4.2. 3-fold klt singularities are \mathbb{F}_p -rational

We now show the \mathbb{F}_p -rationality of 3-folds of klt type over an F -finite field. Our discussion includes the case of low characteristics $p = 2, 3$ and 5 (for which rationality is known to fail in general [CT19, Ber21, ABL22]) and the case of imperfect fields. We first verify Conjecture 4.1 (a) in dimension 2.

Proposition 4.6. *Let S be a surface over an F -finite field k , and let $f: S \rightarrow T$ be a morphism of Fano type. Then $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_S \sim_F 0$ for $i > 0$.*

Proof. If $\dim(T) \geq 1$, we conclude by the relative Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing for excellent surfaces [Tan18, Theorem 3.3].

If $\dim(T) = 0$, we have to show that $H^i(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \sim_F 0$ for any $i > 0$. For $i \geq 2$, we clearly have $H^i(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0$, so we only have to consider the case $i = 1$. We only have to show that $H^1(S_{\bar{k}}, \mathcal{O}_{S_{\bar{k}}}) \sim_F 0$, and since $(S_{\bar{k}})_{\text{red}}^{\nu} \rightarrow S_{\bar{k}}$ is a universal homeomorphism (see [Tan21a, Theorem 5.9] and [Sta, Tag 0CNF]), we deduce from Lemma 2.5 that it is enough to show that

$$H^1\left((S_{\bar{k}})_{\text{red}}^{\nu}, \mathcal{O}_{(S_{\bar{k}})_{\text{red}}^{\nu}}\right) = 0.$$

Let $\pi: T \rightarrow (S_{\bar{k}})_{\text{red}}$ be a resolution of singularities. Since $\pi^*: H^1\left((S_{\bar{k}})_{\text{red}}^{\nu}, \mathcal{O}_{(S_{\bar{k}})_{\text{red}}^{\nu}}\right) \rightarrow H^1(T, \mathcal{O}_T)$ is an injection, it is enough to prove that $H^1(T, \mathcal{O}_T) = 0$. This follows immediately from the fact that T is smooth and rational by [NT20, Proposition 2.26]. \square

Theorem 4.7. *Let X be a 3-fold of klt type over an F -finite field. Then X has \mathbb{F}_p -rational singularities.*

Proof. We only have to verify the hypotheses of [Theorem 4.2](#): the existence of resolution of singularities is established in [\[CP19\]](#), the birational MMP for 3-folds in [\[Kol21, Theorem 9\]](#), and the required vanishing for surfaces in [Proposition 4.6](#). \square

5. COUNTEREXAMPLE TO FROBENIUS-STABLE GR VANISHING THEOREM

In this section, we show that the wild quotient singularities in [\[Tot19, Tot24\]](#) provide counterexamples to the fact that klt 3-folds are CM up to nilpotence in characteristic 2, 3, 5. This shows that the Frobenius stable GR vanishing fails in general for a klt 3-fold.

We first generalise [\[Fog81, Proposition 4\]](#) to our setting.

Lemma 5.1. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian, F -finite local ring, such that $\dim(R) \geq 2$ and $\text{depth}_{\text{crys}}(R) \geq 2$. Let G be a cyclic group of order divisible by p acting on R such that*

- *this action is free on $\text{Spec } R \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$;*
- *G acts trivially on the residue field R/\mathfrak{m} ;*
- *R^G is Noetherian, and $R^G \subseteq R$ is finite.*

Then $\text{depth}_{\text{crys}}(R^G) \leq 2$.

Proof. Let $X := \text{Spec } R$, let $\dot{X} := X \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, let $Y := X/G$, $\dot{Y} := \dot{X}/G$, and let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ denote the quotient map. The induced map $\pi: \dot{X} \rightarrow \dot{Y}$ is finite and étale (see [\[Mum70, Proposition 2, page 70\]](#)).

Note that the following compositions of functors

$$\text{QCoh}_G(\mathcal{O}_{\dot{X}}) \xrightarrow{(\cdot)^G} \text{QCoh}(\mathcal{O}_{\dot{Y}}) \xrightarrow{\Gamma(\dot{Y}, -)} \text{Mod}(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

and

$$\text{QCoh}_G(\mathcal{O}_{\dot{X}}) \xrightarrow{\Gamma(\dot{X}, -)} \text{Mod}_G(\mathbb{F}_p) \xrightarrow{(\cdot)^G} \text{Mod}(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

are equal. Furthermore, note that both $(\cdot)^G$ and $\Gamma(\dot{X}, -)$ preserve injective objects. Indeed, $(\cdot)^G$ is an equivalence of categories by [\[Mum70\]](#) (they only treat the coherent case, but the quasi-coherent case is immediately deduced by taking unions), and $\Gamma(\dot{X}, -)$ has an exact left adjoint (the usual pullback). Therefore, we have a spectral sequence

$$H^i\left(G, H^j\left(\dot{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\dot{X}}^{1/p^\infty}\right)\right) \implies H^{i+j}\left(\dot{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\dot{Y}}^{1/p^\infty}\right).$$

Since \dot{X} has property (S_2) up to nilpotence, we have $H^0(\dot{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\dot{X}}^{1/p^\infty}) = R^{1/p^\infty}$. Thus, the exact sequence of terms in low degrees induces an injection

$$H^1(G, R^{1/p^\infty}) \hookrightarrow H^1\left(\dot{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\dot{Y}}^{1/p^\infty}\right).$$

We now finish the proof by assuming that $H^1(G, R^{1/p^\infty}) \neq 0$. We note that $H^1(\dot{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\dot{Y}}) \sim_F 0$ if and only if $\varinjlim_j H^1(\dot{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\dot{Y}}^{1/p^j}) = H^1(\dot{Y}, \varinjlim_j \mathcal{O}_{\dot{Y}}^{1/p^j}) = H^1(\dot{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\dot{Y}}^{1/p^\infty}) = 0$. Thus, we now have $H^1(\dot{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\dot{Y}}) \not\sim_F 0$. Since Y is affine, we have $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^2(\mathcal{O}_Y) = H^1(\dot{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\dot{Y}}) \not\sim_F 0$, and we conclude.

We now show that $H^1(G, R^{1/p^\infty}) \neq 0$. Let σ be a generator of G , and let n be the order of G . We define a map $\text{Tr}: R^{1/p^\infty} \rightarrow R^{1/p^\infty}$ by $\text{Tr}(r) := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sigma^i(r)$ for $r \in R^{1/p^\infty}$. Using the 2-periodic resolution for cyclic groups of the trivial $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module [\[Bro94, I.\(6.3\)\]](#) we know that

$$H^1\left(G, R^{1/p^\infty}\right) = \left\{r \in R^{1/p^\infty} \mid \text{Tr}(r) = 0\right\} / \langle \sigma(r) - r \mid r \in R^{1/p^\infty} \rangle.$$

We show that $1 \in H^1(G, R^{1/p^\infty})$ is a non-zero element. Firstly, $1 \in \{r \in R^{1/p^\infty} \mid \text{Tr}(r) = 0\}$ since $\text{Tr}(1) = n = 0$ by the assumption that n is divisible by p . Suppose by contradiction that

$1 = 0$ in $H^1(G, R^{1/p^\infty})$, i.e., there exist $r_1, \dots, r_n \in R^{1/p^\infty}$ such that

$$1 = \sum_i (\sigma(r_i) - r_i).$$

We take $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $r_i^{p^N} \in R$ for all i . Then taking p^N -th power, we obtain

$$1 = \sum_i (\sigma(r_i^{p^N}) - r_i^{p^N}).$$

Since G acts trivially on R/\mathfrak{m} , it follows that $\sigma(r_i^{p^N}) - r_i^{p^N} \in \mathfrak{m}$ for all i . Therefore, we obtain $1 = \sum_i (\sigma(r_i^{p^N}) - r_i^{p^N}) \in \mathfrak{m}$, a contradiction. \square

We can now prove our main theorem ([Theorem 1.1](#)).

Theorem 5.2. *For any $p \in \{2, 3, 5\}$, there exists a \mathbb{Q} -factorial 3-fold X over \mathbb{F}_p with terminal singularities, such that*

$$R^1 f_* \omega_Y \not\sim_{\mathcal{C}} 0$$

for every resolution $f: Y \rightarrow X$. In addition, X is not Cohen–Macaulay up to nilpotence.

Proof. Let X be the terminal 3-fold constructed in [[Tot19](#), Theorem 5.1] (for $p = 2$), or in [[Tot24](#), Theorems 6.3 and 8.1] (respectively for $p = 3$ and 5). In each of these cases, X is a quotient of a smooth 3-fold by a $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -action, which is free in codimension 2. It is then automatically \mathbb{Q} -factorial by [[KM98](#), Lemma 5.16], and not CM up to nilpotence by [Lemma 5.1](#). Furthermore, it has terminal singularities, and therefore has \mathbb{F}_p -rational singularities by [Theorem 4.7](#). We then conclude that GR vanishing up to nilpotence must fail by [Proposition 3.8](#). \square

Remark 5.3. When $p = 2$, there is even a \mathbb{Q} -factorial 3-fold X over \mathbb{F}_2 such that

- (a) K_X is Cartier,
- (b) X is F -pure and has terminal singularities,
- (c) $R^1 f_* \omega_Y \not\sim_{\mathcal{C}} 0$ for every resolution $f: Y \rightarrow X$.

Let us verify it. The wild quotient singularity of [[Tot19](#)] is the quotient of \mathbb{G}_m^3 by the action $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ given by $(x, y, z) \rightarrow (x^{-1}, y^{-1}, z^{-1})$. Moreover, as mentioned in the proof of [[Tot19](#), Theorem 5.1], K_X is Cartier since the top-form $\omega = \frac{dx}{x} \wedge \frac{dy}{y} \wedge \frac{dz}{z}$ is invariant under the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -action.

Since K_X is Cartier, the F -purity of X is equivalent to the surjectivity of the Frobenius trace map $C_X: F_* \omega_X \rightarrow \omega_X$ (cf. [[BK05](#), Theorem 1.3.8]). As $C_{\mathbb{G}_m^3}(\omega) = \omega$ and ω descends on X , we deduce that C_X is surjective as well.

Note that in this case, we can show that X is not CM up to nilpotence without relying on our enhanced version of Fogarty’s result. Indeed, since X is F -pure, the Frobenius action on $H_{\mathfrak{m}_x}^i(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$ is injective for all $i \geq 0$. Thus, Cohen–Macaulayness up to nilpotence is equivalent to usual Cohen–Macaulayness on X . However, we know that X cannot be Cohen–Macaulay by [[Fog81](#), Proposition 4].

We have therefore obtained a counterexample to a question of Hacon–Patakfalvi [[HP22](#), Question 1.6].

Corollary 5.4. *Over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \in \{2, 3, 5\}$, there exists a generically finite morphism $\pi: Y \rightarrow A$, where Y and A are smooth projective 3-folds, A is an abelian variety, and $R^1 \pi_* \omega_Y \not\sim_{\mathcal{C}} 0$.*

Proof. The 3-fold singularity of [Theorem 5.2](#) can be used to obtain the desired counterexample following the construction in the proof of [[HK15](#), Proposition 3.13]. \square

Bhatt showed that it possible to kill any cohomology class of anti-big and anti-semi-ample line bundle by passing to a finite cover, whose degree must then necessarily be divisible by p [[Bha12](#), Proposition 6.3]. We now show that it is not possible to kill the cohomology classes just by taking purely inseparable covers, even in the smooth case.

Corollary 5.5. *Over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \in \{2, 3, 5\}$, there exists a smooth projective 3-fold Y , a big and semiample line bundle L on Y , such that for any purely inseparable finite cover $f: Z \rightarrow Y$, the induced morphism*

$$H^2(Y, L^{-1}) \rightarrow H^2(Z, f^* L^{-1})$$

is non-zero.

Proof. Let \overline{X} be a projective 3-fold with only an isolated singularity $x \in \overline{X}$ as in [Theorem 5.2](#), and let $\pi: Y \rightarrow \overline{X}$ be a resolution of singularities. Furthermore, let D be an effective ample divisor on \overline{X} with $x \notin D$, and let $L := \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(D)$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(-D)$ is an ideal sheaf, it acquires the structure of an F -module by [Example 2.2](#), so also its pullback L^{-1} has a natural F -module structure.

We claim that it is enough to show that $H^2(Y, L^{-1}) \not\sim_F 0$. Indeed, assume by contradiction that there exists a purely inseparable cover $f: Z \rightarrow Y$ such that the induced map on cohomology is zero. We may very well assume that $f = F^s$. By definition, the F -module structure on L^{-1} factors as

$$L^{-1} \rightarrow F_*^s(F^{s,*} L^{-1}) \rightarrow F_*^s L^{-1},$$

where the second map comes from the multiplication by the section defining $(p-1)D$. The claim then follows.

We now show that $H^2(Y, L^{-1}) \not\sim_F 0$. For any $n \geq 0$, we have by [Theorem 2.15](#) and the projection formula that

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma(Y, L^{-1})^\vee \sim_C \mathbf{R}\Gamma(Y, L^{-p^N})^\vee \cong \mathbf{R}\Gamma(Y, \omega_Y \otimes L^{p^N}) \cong \mathbf{R}\Gamma(\overline{X}, \mathbf{R}\pi_* \omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(p^N D)).$$

Since D is ample, we know by Serre vanishing that

$$\mathcal{H}^1 \mathbf{R}\Gamma(\overline{X}, \mathbf{R}\pi_* \omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(p^N D)) \cong H^0(\overline{X}, R^1 \pi_* \omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(p^N D)).$$

Given that $x \notin D$ and $R^1 \pi_* \omega_Y$ is supported at x , we obtain that

$$R^1 \pi_* \omega_Y \cong R^1 \pi_* \omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(p^N D)$$

as Cartier modules. Since $R^1 \pi_* \omega_Y \not\sim_C 0$ and it is supported at a closed point, we deduce that

$$H^2(Y, L^{-1})^\vee \sim_C H^0(\overline{X}, R^1 \pi_* \omega_Y) \not\sim_C 0,$$

so $H^2(Y, L^{-1}) \not\sim_F 0$. □

6. \mathbb{F}_p -RATIONALITY OF 3-FOLD FANO TYPE MORPHISMS

In this section, we show that the action of the Frobenius morphism is nilpotent on the higher direct images of the structure sheaf on 3-dimensional morphisms of Fano type over a perfect field.

6.1. The absolute case

We start by proving the nilpotency of the Frobenius action on the cohomology of the structure sheaf of 3-folds of Fano type. For this, we prove some general results on the cohomology of rationally chain connected varieties. Fix a field k of characteristic $p > 0$.

Proposition 6.1. *Let X be a geometrically connected smooth proper rationally chain connected variety over k . Then $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \sim_F 0$.*

Proof. We may assume that k is algebraically closed. Since X is smooth and rationally chain connected, an étale covering of X must be of degree prime to p by [[CL04](#), Proposition 8.4]. Thus, we have $H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \mathbb{F}_p) = 0$. We then conclude by [Theorem 2.7](#) (or in this special case, usual Artin-Schreier theory [[Fu11](#), Theorem 7.2.11]). □

Proposition 6.2. *Let X be a geometrically connected smooth proper rationally chain connected variety over k . Suppose that $H^3(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \sim_F 0$. Then $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \sim_F 0$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$.*

Proof. We may assume that k is algebraically closed. By [Proposition 6.1](#), we have $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \sim_F 0$, or equivalently, $H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \mathbb{F}_p) = 0$. We show $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{F}_p) = 0$. For all $i > 0$, we have $H^i(X, W\mathcal{O}_{X, \mathbb{Q}}) = 0$ by [[Esn03](#), Theorem 1.1], and thus $H_{\text{ét}}^i(X, \mathbb{Q}_p) = 0$ by [[PZ21](#), Corollary 3.18]. Therefore, there exists $N > 0$ such that $H_{\text{ét}}^i(X, \mathbb{Z}_p) = \varprojlim H_{\text{ét}}^i(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^j\mathbb{Z})$ is p^N -torsion for all $i > 0$. Here, we recall that $H_{\text{ét}}^i(X, \mathbb{Z}_p) := R^i(\varprojlim \circ \Gamma_{\text{ét}})(\mathbb{Z}/p^j\mathbb{Z}) = \varprojlim H_{\text{ét}}^i(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^j\mathbb{Z})$ holds because $H_{\text{ét}}^{i-1}(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^j\mathbb{Z})$ is finite, and thus the inverse system $\{H_{\text{ét}}^{i-1}(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^j\mathbb{Z})\}_j$ satisfies the Mittag-Leffler condition for all $i \geq 0$ (see [[Mil80](#), Corollary VI.2.8] and [[Jan88](#), (3.1)]). We also have $H_{\text{ét}}^l(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^j\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $l \in \{1, 3\}$ and all $j > 0$, since $H_{\text{ét}}^l(X, \mathbb{F}_p) = 0$ for $l \in \{1, 3\}$.

For all $j > 0$, we have the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^j\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{p^N} \mathbb{Z}/p^{j+N}\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

of locally constant sheaves in the étale topology. Taking the long exact sequence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{ét}}^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}) = 0 \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^j\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{p^N} H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^{j+N}\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}) \\ \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^3(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^j\mathbb{Z}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^j\mathbb{Z})$ is Artinian for all $j > 0$ [[Mil80](#), Corollary VI.2.8], the inverse system $\{H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^j\mathbb{Z})\}_j$ satisfies the Mittag-Leffler condition. Thus, taking inverse limits, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_p) \xrightarrow{p^N} H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since p^N acts injectivity on $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and annihilates it, we have $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$. Thus, we obtain $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ by the above exact sequence again. Since $H_{\text{ét}}^3(X, \mathbb{Z}/p^j\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for all $j > 0$ as proven above, the short exact sequence of étale sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^{N-1}\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow 0$$

shows that $H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{F}_p) = 0$. By [Theorem 2.7](#), we conclude that $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \sim_F 0$. \square

Lemma 6.3. *Assume that k is a perfect field, and let X be a rationally chain connected proper 3-fold over k of klt type. Then every log resolution of X is rationally chain connected.*

Proof. This is proven by the same argument of [[GNT19](#), Theorem 4.8] using the birational MMP for 3-folds in every characteristic (cf. [[HW22b](#), Theorem 1.1] and [[Kol21](#), Theorem 9]). \square

Theorem 6.4. *Assume that k is a perfect field, and let X be a rationally chain connected proper 3-fold over k of klt type with $H^3(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \sim_F 0$. Then $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \sim_F 0$ for all $i > 0$.*

Proof. Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a log resolution of X . Then Y is rationally chain connected by [Lemma 6.3](#). Since $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_Y \sim_F 0$ for $i > 0$ by [Theorem 4.7](#), we deduce from the Leray spectral sequence that $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \sim_F H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ for all $i > 0$. In particular, we have $H^3(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \sim_F 0$ by assumption. Now, [Proposition 6.2](#) shows that $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \sim_F H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \sim_F 0$ for all $i > 0$. \square

Proposition 6.5. *Assume that k is perfect, and let X be a proper 3-fold of klt type. Suppose that one of the following holds.*

- (a) $\rho(X) = 1$, X is \mathbb{Q} -factorial, and $-K_X$ is ample.
- (b) $p > 3$ and X is of Fano type.

Then $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \sim_F 0$ for all $i > 0$.

Proof. Since $-K_X$ is big, we have $H^3(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)) = 0$ in both cases. Thus, it suffices to verify that X is rationally chain connected by [Theorem 6.4](#). In the case of (a), this follows from [[GLP⁺15](#), Proposition 3.4 (2)]. In the case of (b), since $p > 3$, we can argue as in the proof of [[GNT19](#), Proposition 4.11] to conclude that X is rationally chain connected by the MMP in characteristic 5 [[HW22a](#)]. \square

We conclude with an observation on the Frobenius action on the cohomology of a rationally chain connected surface.

Proposition 6.6. *Assume that k is perfect, and let X be a normal projective rationally chain connected surface with $W\mathcal{O}$ -rational singularities. Then $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \sim_F 0$ for all $i > 0$.*

Proof. Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a log resolution of singularities. Since X has only $W\mathcal{O}$ -rational singularities, the exceptional locus E is a tree of smooth rational curves by [NT20, Proposition 2.23] (this is not true for \mathbb{F}_p -rational singularities, because the affine cone of a supersingular elliptic curve is \mathbb{F}_p -rational). This implies that Y is rationally chain connected and that $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_Y \sim_F 0$ for $i > 0$. By Proposition 6.2, we have $H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \sim_F 0$ for all $i > 0$. Therefore, we conclude from the Leray spectral sequence that $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \sim_F 0$ for all $i > 0$. \square

6.2. The relative case

In this section, we prove the vanishing for 3-dimensional morphisms of Fano type onto a positive-dimensional basis. To show this, we use the extraction of Kollár components as in [GNT19, Proposition 2.15] and the proper base change theorem for F -crystals.

Lemma 6.7. *Let (X, Δ) be a klt 3-fold pair over a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 3$. Let $f: X \rightarrow Z$ be a Fano type contraction such that $\dim(Z) \geq 1$. Then $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X \sim_F 0$ for all $i > 0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.9, it is enough to show that $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X \otimes k(z) \sim_F 0$ for all closed points $z \in Z$ and $i > 0$.

Let $z \in Z$ be a closed point. By [GNT19, Proposition 2.15] (although it only deals with the case $p > 5$, the case $p = 5$ can be proven with the MMP from [HW22a]), we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & Y \\ \psi \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Z \end{array}$$

and an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor Δ_Y on Y such that

- W is smooth;
- (Y, Δ_Y) is plt and \mathbb{Q} -factorial;
- $-(K_Y + \Delta_Y)$ is g -ample;
- $(Y_z)_{\text{red}} = \lfloor \Delta_Y \rfloor$.

By Theorem 4.7, we have

$$R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X \sim_F R^i (f \circ \psi)_* \mathcal{O}_W \cong R^i (g \circ \varphi)_* \mathcal{O}_W \sim_F R^i g_* \mathcal{O}_Y,$$

so that by the proper base change theorem (Theorem 2.10) we conclude

$$R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X \otimes k(z) \sim_F H^i(Y_z, \mathcal{O}_{Y_z}).$$

Consider the integral surface S corresponding to the prime divisor $\lfloor \Delta_Y \rfloor$. By [HW22b, Theorem 1.2], S is normal up to a universal homeomorphism, so in particular the composition $S^\nu \rightarrow S = (Y_z)_{\text{red}} \rightarrow Y_z$ is a universal homeomorphism. Thus, $H^i(Y_z, \mathcal{O}_{Y_z}) \sim_F H^i(S^\nu, \mathcal{O}_{S^\nu})$ by Lemma 2.5. By adjunction, S^ν is a surface of del Pezzo type, so we conclude from Proposition 4.6. \square

Remark 6.8. The same proof also works over F -finite fields of characteristic $p > 5$, using the results in [DW22, Wal23].

Theorem 6.9. *Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 3$, and let $f: X \rightarrow Z$ be a Fano type morphism over k such that $\dim(X) = 3$. Then $R^i f_* \mathcal{O}_X \sim_F 0$ for all $i > 0$.*

Proof. If $\dim(Z) = 0$ (resp. > 0), this is proven in Proposition 6.5 (resp. Lemma 6.7). \square

We can now combine all previous results to show \mathbb{F}_p -rationality of klt 4-folds admitting a log resolution.

Corollary 6.10. *Let X be a 4-fold of klt type over a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 5$. Assume that log resolution exists for every birational model of X . Then X has \mathbb{F}_p -rational singularities.*

Proof. As the birational MMP is established in [Theorem 2.20](#), and the vanishing up to Frobenius nilpotence for 3-folds of Fano type in [Theorem 6.9](#), we can conclude by [Theorem 4.2](#). \square

REFERENCES

- [ABL22] E. Arvidsson, F. Bernasconi, and J. Lacini, *On the Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem for log del Pezzo surfaces in positive characteristic*, *Compos. Math.* **158** (2022), no. 4, 750–763.
- [Bau23] J. Baudin, *Duality between Cartier crystals and perverse \mathbb{F}_p -sheaves, and application to generic vanishing*, arXiv e-prints (2023). Available at [arXiv:2306.05378](#).
- [BB11] M. Blickle and G. Böckle, *Cartier modules: finiteness results*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **661** (2011), 85–123.
- [BBE07] P. Berthelot, S. Bloch, and H. Esnault, *On Witt vector cohomology for singular varieties*, *Compos. Math.* **143** (2007), no. 2, 363–392.
- [BBL⁺23] B. Bhatt, M. Blickle, G. Lyubeznik, A. K. Singh, and W. Zhang, *Applications of perverse sheaves in commutative algebra*, arXiv e-prints (2023). Available at [arXiv:2308.03155](#).
- [BE08] M. Blickle and H. Esnault, *Rational singularities and rational points*, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* **4** (2008), no. 3, Special Issue: In honor of Fedor Bogomolov. Part 2, 729–741.
- [Ber21] F. Bernasconi, *Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing fails for log del Pezzo surfaces in characteristic 3*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **225** (2021), no. 11, 106727, 16.
- [Bha12] B. Bhatt, *Derived splinters in positive characteristic*, *Compos. Math.* **148** (2012), no. 6, 1757–1786.
- [BK05] M. Brion and S. Kumar, *Frobenius splitting methods in geometry and representation theory*, *Progress in Mathematics*, vol. 231, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2005. MR2107324
- [BK23] F. Bernasconi and J. Kollár, *Vanishing Theorems for Three-folds in Characteristic $p > 5$* , *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **4** (2023), 2846–2866.
- [BL19] B. Bhatt and J. Lurie, *A Riemann–Hilbert correspondence in positive characteristic*, *Camb. J. Math.* **7** (2019), no. 1-2, 71–217.
- [BM76] E. Bombieri and D. Mumford, *Enriques’ classification of surfaces in char. p . III*, *Invent. Math.* **35** (1976), 197–232.
- [BMP⁺23] B. Bhatt, L. Ma, Zs. Patakfalvi, K. Schwede, K. Tucker, J. Waldron, and J. Witaszek, *Globally $+$ -regular varieties and the minimal model program for threefolds in mixed characteristic*, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **138** (2023), 69–227.
- [BP09] G. Böckle and R. Pink, *Cohomological theory of crystals over function fields*, *EMS Tracts in Mathematics*, vol. 9, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2009.
- [Bro94] K. S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, vol. 87, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994. Corrected reprint of the 1982 original.
- [BS13] M. Blickle and K. Schwede, *p^{-1} -linear maps in algebra and geometry*, *Commutative algebra*, 2013, pp. 123–205.
- [BT22] F. Bernasconi and H. Tanaka, *On del Pezzo fibrations in positive characteristic*, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **21** (2022), no. 1, 197–239.
- [Car57] P. Cartier, *Une nouvelle opération sur les formes différentielles*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **244** (1957), 426–428.
- [CL04] A. Chambert-Loir, *Points rationnels et groupes fondamentaux: applications de la cohomologie p -adique (d’après P. Berthelot, T. Ekedahl, H. Esnault, etc.)*, *Astérisque* **294** (2004), viii, 125–146.
- [CP19] V. Cossart and O. Piltant, *Resolution of singularities of arithmetical threefolds*, *J. Algebra* **529** (2019), 268–535.
- [CR11] A. Chatzistamatiou and K. Rülling, *Higher direct images of the structure sheaf in positive characteristic*, *Algebra Number Theory* **5** (2011), no. 6, 693–775.
- [CR12] ———, *Hodge–Witt cohomology and Witt-rational singularities*, *Doc. Math.* **17** (2012), 663–781.
- [CT19] P. Cascini and H. Tanaka, *Purely log terminal threefolds with non-normal centres in characteristic two*, *Amer. J. Math.* **141** (2019), no. 4, 941–979.
- [DW22] O. Das and J. Waldron, *On the log minimal model program for threefolds over imperfect fields of characteristic $p > 5$* , *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* **106** (2022), no. 4, 3895–3937.
- [Elk78] R. Elkik, *Singularités rationnelles et déformations*, *Invent. Math.* **47** (1978), no. 2, 139–147.
- [Elk81] ———, *Rationalité des singularités canoniques*, *Invent. Math.* **64** (1981), no. 1, 1–6.
- [Esn03] H. Esnault, *Varieties over a finite field with trivial Chow group of 0-cycles have a rational point*, *Invent. Math.* **151** (2003), no. 1, 187–191.
- [EV85] H. Esnault and E. Viehweg, *Two-dimensional quotient singularities deform to quotient singularities*, *Math. Ann.* **271** (1985), no. 3, 439–449.
- [Fog81] J. Fogarty, *On the depth of local rings of invariants of cyclic groups*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **83** (1981), no. 3, 448–452.
- [Fu11] L. Fu, *Etale cohomology theory*, *Nankai Tracts in Mathematics*, vol. 13, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2011.

- [GLP⁺15] Y. Gongyo, Z. Li, Zs. Patakfalvi, K. Schwede, H. Tanaka, and R. Zong, *On rational connectedness of globally F -regular threefolds*, Adv. Math. **280** (2015), 47–78.
- [GNT19] Y. Gongyo, Y. Nakamura, and H. Tanaka, *Rational points on log Fano threefolds over a finite field*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **21** (2019), no. 12, 3759–3795.
- [GR70] H. Grauert and O. Riemenschneider, *Verschwindungssätze für analytische Kohomologiegruppen auf komplexen Räumen*, Invent. Math. **11** (1970), 263–292.
- [HK15] C. D. Hacon and S. J. Kovács, *Generic vanishing fails for singular varieties and in characteristic $p > 0$* , Recent advances in algebraic geometry, 2015, pp. 240–253.
- [HP22] C. D. Hacon and Zs. Patakfalvi, *Generic vanishing in characteristic $p > 0$ and the geometry of theta divisors*, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. **15** (2022), no. 1-2, 215–244.
- [HS77] R. Hartshorne and R. Speiser, *Local cohomological dimension in characteristic p* , Ann. of Math. (2) **105** (1977), no. 1, 45–79.
- [HW19] C. D. Hacon and J. Witaszek, *On the rationality of Kawamata log terminal singularities in positive characteristic*, Algebr. Geom. **6** (2019), no. 5, 516–529.
- [HW22a] ———, *The minimal model program for threefolds in characteristic 5*, Duke Math. J. **171** (2022), no. 11, 2193–2231.
- [HW22b] ———, *On the relative minimal model program for threefolds in low characteristics*, Peking Math. J. **5** (2022), no. 2, 365–382.
- [HW23] ———, *On the relative Minimal Model Program for fourfolds in positive and mixed characteristic*, Forum of Mathematics, Pi **11** (2023), no. e10, 1–35.
- [HX15] C. D. Hacon and C. Xu, *On the three dimensional minimal model program in positive characteristic*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **28** (2015), no. 3, 711–744.
- [Ill79] L. Illusie, *Complexe de de Rham-Witt et cohomologie cristalline*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **12** (1979), no. 4, 501–661.
- [Jan88] U. Jannsen, *Continuous étale cohomology*, Math. Ann. **280** (1988), no. 2, 207–245.
- [Kaw21] T. Kawakami, *On Kawamata-Viehweg type vanishing for three dimensional Mori fiber spaces in positive characteristic*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **374** (2021), no. 8, 5697–5717.
- [KM98] J. Kollár and S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 134, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti, Translated from the 1998 Japanese original.
- [Kol13] J. Kollár, *Singularities of the minimal model program*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 200, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013. With a collaboration of Sándor Kovács.
- [Kol21] ———, *Relative MMP without \mathbb{Q} -factoriality*, Electron. Res. Arch. **29** (2021), no. 5, 3193–3203.
- [Kov00] S. J. Kovács, *A characterization of rational singularities*, Duke Math. J. **102** (2000), no. 2, 187–191.
- [Kov18] ———, *Non-Cohen-Macaulay canonical singularities*, Local and global methods in algebraic geometry, 2018, pp. 251–259.
- [KW21] J. Kollár and J. Witaszek, *Resolution and alteration with ample exceptional divisor* (2021). Available at [arXiv:2102.03162](https://arxiv.org/abs/2102.03162).
- [LT22] R. Laface and S. Tirabassi, *On ordinary Enriques surfaces in positive characteristic*, Nagoya Math. J. **245** (2022), 192–205.
- [LX20] C. Li and C. Xu, *Stability of valuations and Kollár components*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **22** (2020), no. 8, 2573–2627.
- [Lyu06] G. Lyubeznik, *On the vanishing of local cohomology in characteristic $p > 0$* , Compos. Math. **142** (2006), no. 1, 207–221.
- [Mad16] Z. Maddock, *Regular del Pezzo surfaces with irregularity*, J. Algebraic Geom. **25** (2016), no. 3, 401–429.
- [Mil80] J. S. Milne, *Étale cohomology*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. No. 33, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1980.
- [Mum70] D. Mumford, *Abelian varieties*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics, vol. 5, Published for the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay by Oxford University Press, London, 1970.
- [NT20] Y. Nakamura and H. Tanaka, *A Witt Nadel vanishing theorem for threefolds*, Compos. Math. **156** (2020), no. 3, 435–475.
- [PZ21] Zs. Patakfalvi and M. Zdanowicz, *Ordinary varieties with trivial canonical bundle are not uniruled*, Math. Ann. **380** (2021), no. 3-4, 1767–1799.
- [SB97] N. I. Shepherd-Barron, *Fano threefolds in positive characteristic*, Compositio Math. **105** (1997), no. 3, 237–265.
- [Sch07] S. Schröer, *Weak del Pezzo surfaces with irregularity*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **59** (2007), no. 2, 293–322.
- [Sta] T. Stacks Project Authors, *Stacks Project*.
- [Tan18] H. Tanaka, *Minimal model program for excellent surfaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **68** (2018), no. 1, 345–376.
- [Tan20] ———, *Pathologies on Mori fibre spaces in positive characteristic*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **20** (2020), no. 3, 1113–1134.

- [Tan21a] ———, *Invariants of algebraic varieties over imperfect fields*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **73** (2021), no. 4, 471–538.
- [Tan21b] ———, *On p -power freeness in positive characteristic*, Math. Nachr. **294** (2021), no. 10, 1968–1976.
- [Tan22] H. Tanaka, *Vanishing theorems of Kodaira type for Witt canonical sheaves*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **28** (2022), no. 1, Paper No. 12, 50.
- [Tan23] H. Tanaka, *Fano threefolds in positive characteristic I* (2023). Available at [arXiv:2308.08121](https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.08121).
- [Tot19] B. Totaro, *The failure of Kodaira vanishing for Fano varieties, and terminal singularities that are not Cohen-Macaulay*, J. Algebraic Geom. **28** (2019), no. 4, 751–771.
- [Tot24] ———, *Terminal 3-folds that are not cohen-macaulay*, arXiv e-prints (2024). Available at [arXiv:2407.02608](https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.02608).
- [Wal23] J. Waldron, *Mori fibre spaces for 3-folds over imperfect fields*, arXiv e-prints (2023). Available at [arXiv:2303.00615](https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.00615).
- [XX21] L. Xie and Q. Xue, *On the termination of the MMP for semi-stable fourfolds in mixed characteristic*, arXiv e-prints (2021). Available at [arXiv:2110.03115](https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.03115), to appear in Michigan Math. J.
- [Yas19] T. Yasuda, *Discrepancies of p -cyclic quotient varieties*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **26** (2019), no. 1, 1–14.

ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE, SB MATH CAG, MA C3 615 (BÂTIMENT MA), STATION 8, CH-1015 LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND.

Email address: jefferson.baudin@epfl.ch

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE NEUCHÂTEL, 11 RUE EMILE ARGAND, NEUCHÂTEL, SWITZERLAND

Email address: fabio.bernasconi@unine.ch

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN.

Email address: tatsurokawakami0@gmail.com