

ORBIFOLD HIRZEBRUCH-RIEMANN-ROCH

YUHANG CHEN

ABSTRACT. We study the orbifold Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch (HRR) theorem for quotient Deligne-Mumford stacks, explore its relation with the representation theory of finite groups, and derive a new orbifold HRR formula via an orbifold Mukai pairing.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. K -theory of Quotient Stacks	3
3. Inertia Stacks of Quotient Stacks	11
4. Orbifold Chern Character and Orbifold Todd Class	14
5. Orbifold Mukai Pairing and Orbifold HRR Formula	27
References	33

1. INTRODUCTION

The Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch (HRR) theorem is a fundamental result in algebraic geometry. Let X be a proper smooth scheme over \mathbb{C} . Let $K(X)$ denote the Grothendieck ring of coherent sheaves on X , and let $A(X)$ denote the Chow ring of X . Then we have a Chern character map $\text{ch} : K(X) \rightarrow A(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, which is a ring map, and a Todd class td_X , which is a unit in $A(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. The HRR theorem says

$$(1) \quad \chi(X, x) = \int_X \text{ch}(x) \text{td}_X$$

for any element x in $K(X)$.

The HRR theorem has been generalized to orbifolds by Kawasaki in [Kaw79] using analytic tools and later to Deligne-Mumford (DM) stacks by Toën in [Toë99] using algebraic methods. In both cases, we will call such a theorem an orbifold HRR theorem. The key difference between the orbifold HRR theorem and the ordinary one is that on the right side of formula (1), the integration is carried out over the inertia stack $I\mathcal{X}$ of \mathcal{X} . Therefore, a good understanding of the inertia stack $I\mathcal{X}$ is crucial for one to apply the orbifold HRR theorem in practice. However, the treatment of $I\mathcal{X}$ in Toën's paper is abstract, which makes applications of the orbifold HRR theorem difficult. In Toën's work, it's assumed that a DM stack \mathcal{X} has the resolution property, which implies that \mathcal{X} is a quotient stack. For such a stack \mathcal{X} , Edidin [Edi13] gave an explicit description of the inertia stack $I\mathcal{X}$, which is helpful for people working in the field to carry out explicit computations. Let \mathcal{X} be a

connected proper smooth quotient DM stack over \mathbb{C} . Let $K(\mathcal{X})$ be the Grothendieck ring of coherent sheaves on \mathcal{X} , and let $A(I\mathcal{X})$ be the Chow ring of $I\mathcal{X}$. Denote $A(I\mathcal{X}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ by $A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then we have an orbifold Chern character map $\tilde{\text{ch}} : K(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$, which is a ring map, and an orbifold Todd class $\tilde{\text{td}}_{\mathcal{X}}$, which is a unit in $A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$. The orbifold HRR theorem for \mathcal{X} says

$$(2) \quad \chi(\mathcal{X}, x) = \int_{I\mathcal{X}} \tilde{\text{ch}}(x) \tilde{\text{td}}_{\mathcal{X}}$$

for any element x in $K(\mathcal{X})$.

In [Toë99], following the philosophy of Grothendieck, Toën also proved a Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem, which generalizes formula (2) to a morphism of DM stacks. Instead of considering a morphism of DM stacks, we will generalize formula (2) to a pair of coherent sheaves on \mathcal{X} . We will introduce two new notions: an orbifold Mukai vector $\tilde{v} : K(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$, which is a linear map, and an orbifold Mukai pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{I\mathcal{X}} : A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}} \times A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

which is sesquilinear, i.e., conjugate linear in the first component and linear in the second. Let $\chi(\cdot, \cdot)$ denote the orbifold Euler pairing on $K(\mathcal{X})$. We will prove that

$$\chi(x, y) = \langle \tilde{v}(x), \tilde{v}(y) \rangle_{I\mathcal{X}}$$

for any two elements x and y in $K(\mathcal{X})$.

1.1. Outline. The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we review some basic facts about the algebraic K -theory of quotient stacks. We will define the K -theoretic Euler class of a vector bundle on a quotient stack \mathcal{X} via the λ -ring structure on the Grothendieck ring $K^0(\mathcal{X})$ of vector bundles on \mathcal{X} . We will use the K -theoretic Euler class to compute the Grothendieck ring of a weighted projective stack.

In Section 3, we will describe a decomposition of the inertia stack $I\mathcal{X}$ of a connected separated quotient DM stack $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ indexed by a finite set of elements in G . Each connected component in $I\mathcal{X}$ is a closed substack of \mathcal{X} of the form $\{g_i\} \times [X_i/G_i]$, where X_i is a closed subscheme of X invariant under the action of a subgroup G_i of the centralizer Z_{g_i} and fixed by an element $g_i \in G_i$. We emphasize that the index g_i is important, as we will use it to define the orbifold Chern character and the orbifold Todd class map on $K(\mathcal{X})$.

In Section 4, for a connected separated smooth quotient DM stack \mathcal{X} , we will give detailed constructions for the orbifold Chern character map $\tilde{\text{ch}} : K(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$, which is a \mathbb{C} -algebra isomorphism after tensored with \mathbb{C} , and the orbifold Todd class map $\tilde{\text{td}} : K(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}$, which is a multiplicative map into the units in $A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$. We will show that the orbifold Chern character map reduces to the inverse discrete Fourier transform when \mathcal{X} is the classifying stack $B\mu_n$ of the cyclic group μ_n .

In Section 5, for a connected separated (resp. proper) smooth quotient DM stack \mathcal{X} , we will define the orbifold Mukai vector map (resp. the orbifold Mukai pairing). We will derive a new orbifold HRR formula for a pair of coherent sheaves on \mathcal{X} . We will show that

the orbifold HRR formula reduces to Parseval's theorem for the discrete Fourier transform when $\mathcal{X} = B\mu_n$.

1.2. Conventions. We fix the base field to be \mathbb{C} throughout this paper. Let $\text{pt} = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$.

For a locally noetherian scheme X , a coherent sheaf on X is a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules of finite presentation, and a vector bundle on X is a locally free sheaf of finite constant rank on X .

Let $(\text{Sch}/\mathbb{C})_{\text{fppf}}$ denote the big fppf site of $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$. An algebraic space is a sheaf X of sets on $(\text{Sch}/\mathbb{C})_{\text{fppf}}$ such that the diagonal morphism $X \rightarrow X \times X$ is representable by schemes, and there is a scheme U and a surjective étale morphism $U \rightarrow X$. A stack is a stack of groupoids over $(\text{Sch}/\mathbb{C})_{\text{fppf}}$. An algebraic (resp. DM) stack is a stack \mathcal{X} such that the diagonal morphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ is representable by algebraic spaces, and there is a scheme U and a surjective smooth (resp. étale) morphism $U \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$.

A quotient stack is a stack of the form $[X/G]$, where X is a separated scheme of finite type, and G is a affine smooth group scheme of finite type (i.e., a linear algebraic group) acting on X from the left.

For a group G , let 1 denote its identity. A representation of G is a linear representation of G . Let μ_n denote the group scheme $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - 1)$. For a ring R , let $R_{\mathbb{C}} = R \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

1.3. Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Promit Kundu and Xiping Zhang for many fruitful discussions. I want to thank Yonghong Huang for discussions on stacks and Zongzhu Lin for discussions on group schemes. I also want to thank Dan Edidin and Johan de Jong for useful email correspondence.

2. K -THEORY OF QUOTIENT STACKS

In this section we review some basic facts about the algebraic K -theory of quotient stacks.

Let $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ be a quotient stack. Then \mathcal{X} is an algebraic stack with a smooth cover $p : X \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, and \mathcal{X} is a DM stack if the stabilizer of every geometric point of X is finite (and reduced, which is automatic for any group scheme over \mathbb{C}). Let $\mathcal{X}_{\text{fppf}}$ denote the big fppf site of \mathcal{X} . Then we have a ringed site $(\text{Ab}(\mathcal{X}_{\text{fppf}}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$, where $\text{Ab}(\mathcal{X}_{\text{fppf}})$ is the category of sheaves of abelian groups on $\mathcal{X}_{\text{fppf}}$, and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is the structure sheaf of \mathcal{X} which is a ring object in $\text{Ab}(\mathcal{X}_{\text{fppf}})$. Now we can define coherent sheaves, locally free sheaves, and vector bundles on \mathcal{X} .

Definition 2.1. A sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -modules is a module object over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ in $\text{Ab}(\mathcal{X}_{\text{fppf}})$. A coherent sheaf (resp. locally free, vector bundle) on \mathcal{X} is a sheaf \mathcal{E} of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -modules on \mathcal{X} such that $p^*\mathcal{E}$ is a coherent sheaf (resp. locally free, vector bundle) on X .

Let $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{X})$ denote the category of coherent sheaves on \mathcal{X} , and let $\text{Coh}^G(X)$ denote the category of G -equivariant coherent sheaves on X . Note that for a sheaf \mathcal{E} on \mathcal{X} , the pullback along $p : X \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ not only gives a sheaf $E = p^*\mathcal{E}$ on X , but also equips E with a G -equivariant structure. Indeed, the functor $p^* : \text{Coh}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \text{Coh}^G(X)$ induces an equivalence

of categories between $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\text{Coh}^G(X)$. For the convenience of the reader, we now give the definition of a G -equivariant sheaf on X .

Definition 2.2. Let $p_2 : G \times X \rightarrow X$ be the projection to X , and let $\sigma : G \times X \rightarrow X$ be the action of G on X . A G -equivariant structure of a sheaf E on X is an isomorphism

$$\phi : p_2^* E \xrightarrow{\sim} \sigma^* E$$

of sheaves on $G \times X$ which satisfies the **cocycle condition**:

$$(\mu \times \text{id}_X)^* \phi = (\text{id}_G \times \sigma)^* \phi \circ p_{23}^* \phi,$$

which is an identity of isomorphisms of sheaves on $G \times G \times X$ in the diagram

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} p_3^* E & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{(\mu \times \text{id}_X)^* \phi} & s^* E \\ p_3^* E & \searrow \wr & \nearrow \wr \\ & p_{23}^* \phi & (\text{id}_G \times \sigma)^* \phi \\ & & r^* E \end{array},$$

where p_3, r, s are three morphisms from $G \times G \times X$ to X defined by

$$\begin{aligned} p_3 : (g, h, x) &\longmapsto x, \\ r : (g, h, x) &\longmapsto hx, \\ s : (g, h, x) &\longmapsto ghx, \end{aligned}$$

and $\mu \times \text{id}_X, p_{23}, \text{id}_G \times \sigma$ are three morphisms from $G \times G \times X$ to $G \times X$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \times \text{id}_X : (g, h, x) &\longmapsto (gh, x), \\ p_{23} : (g, h, x) &\longmapsto (h, x), \\ \text{id}_G \times \sigma : (g, h, x) &\longmapsto (g, hx). \end{aligned}$$

A sheaf E on X with a G -equivariant structure ϕ is called a G -equivariant sheaf on X and is denoted by (E, ϕ) .

A G -equivariant morphism of G -equivariant sheaves is a morphism of sheaves which is compatible with G -equivariant structures.

Definition 2.3. A G -equivariant morphism $f : (E, \phi) \rightarrow (F, \psi)$ is a morphism $f : E \rightarrow F$ which is G -equivariant, i.e., $\psi \circ p_2^* f = \sigma^* f \circ \phi$ in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_2^* E & \xrightarrow{p_2^* f} & p_2^* F \\ \phi \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \psi \\ \sigma^* E & \xrightarrow{\sigma^* f} & \sigma^* F \end{array}$$

Remark 2.4 (Interpretation of G -equivariant structures on vector bundles). Consider a G -equivariant vector bundle (V, ϕ) on X . Let the same letter V denote its total space $\text{Spec Sym } V^\vee$. Then the G -equivariant structure ϕ is the same as an action

$$\phi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V)$$

such that the projection $\pi : V \rightarrow X$ is G -equivariant, i.e., $\pi \circ \phi(g) = g \circ \pi$. A G -equivariant morphism $f : (V, \phi) \rightarrow (W, \psi)$ between two G -equivariant vector bundles is the same as a G -equivariant morphism $f : V \rightarrow W$ of total spaces of vector bundles, i.e., a commutative diagram

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{f} & W \\ \phi(g) \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \psi(g) \\ V & \xrightarrow{f} & W \end{array}$$

for all g in G . Note that we don't require the morphism f to preserve fibers in diagram (4).

From now on, we will not distinguish a sheaf \mathcal{E} on \mathcal{X} and its corresponding G -equivariant sheaf (E, ϕ) on X .

Notation 2.5. Let $K(\mathcal{X})$ denote the **Grothendieck group** of coherent sheaves on \mathcal{X} . Denote the class of a coherent sheaf \mathcal{E} in $K(\mathcal{X})$ by $[\mathcal{E}]$. Let $K^0(\mathcal{X})$ denote the Grothendieck group of vector bundles on \mathcal{X} . Denote the class of a vector bundle \mathcal{V} in $K^0(\mathcal{X})$ by $[\mathcal{V}]^0$.

Remark 2.6. There is a natural map

$$K^0(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{X}), \quad [\mathcal{V}]^0 \mapsto [\mathcal{V}]$$

which may not be an isomorphism unless \mathcal{X} has the resolution property.

Definition 2.7. We say \mathcal{X} has the **resolution property** if every coherent sheaf on \mathcal{X} is a quotient of a vector bundle on \mathcal{X} .

It's known that all factorial separated noetherian schemes have the resolution property. In particular, all separated smooth schemes of finite type have the resolution property. Since we assume X is separated of finite type in a quotient stack $[X/G]$, the following holds.

Proposition 2.8. *All smooth quotient stacks have the resolution property.*

Proof. Let $[X/G]$ be a smooth quotient stack. Then X is a separated smooth scheme of finite type, which ensures that $[X/G]$ has the resolution property by [Tot04, Theorem 2.1]. \square

Remark 2.9. The group $K^0(\mathcal{X})$ always has a ring structure with the multiplication given by the tensor product of vector bundles and the unity $1 = [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}]^0$. We call $K^0(\mathcal{X})$ the **Grothendieck ring** of \mathcal{X} .

Now assume \mathcal{X} is smooth. Then every coherent sheaf \mathcal{E} on \mathcal{X} has a finite resolution

$$(5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$$

which is an exact sequence in $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{X})$, where each \mathcal{E}_i is a vector bundle. Since \mathcal{X} is smooth, the natural map $K^0(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{X})$ becomes an isomorphism of abelian groups with the inverse given by

$$\beta : K(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow K^0(\mathcal{X}), \quad [\mathcal{E}] \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i [\mathcal{E}_i]^0$$

for a finite resolution (5) of a coherent sheaf \mathcal{E} . Therefore, we can equip the group $K(\mathcal{X})$ with a ring structure. We also call $K(\mathcal{X})$ the Grothendieck ring of \mathcal{X} . Alternatively, we can define a multiplication directly on $K(\mathcal{X})$ as follows.

Definition 2.10. Let \mathcal{X} be a smooth quotient stack. Define a multiplication on $K(\mathcal{X})$ by

$$(6) \quad [\mathcal{E}][\mathcal{F}] = \sum_i (-1)^i [\text{Tor}_i^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})]$$

for coherent sheaves \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} on \mathcal{X} and extend linearly.

Remark 2.11. Note that the product of $[\mathcal{E}]$ and $[\mathcal{F}]$ above corresponds to the class of the derived tensor product $\mathcal{E} \otimes^L \mathcal{F}$ in the Grothendieck group of the derived category of coherent sheaves on \mathcal{X} .

The following proposition is immediate.

Proposition 2.12. *Let \mathcal{X} be a smooth quotient stack. Let \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} be coherent sheaves on \mathcal{X} . Then their product in $K(\mathcal{X})$ is given by*

$$[\mathcal{E}][\mathcal{F}] = \sum_i (-1)^i [\mathcal{E}_i \otimes \mathcal{F}]$$

for any finite resolution $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$. If either \mathcal{E} or \mathcal{F} is locally free, then $[\mathcal{E}][\mathcal{F}] = [\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}]$.

The multiplication on $K(\mathcal{X})$ defined by (6) is compatible with the multiplication on $K^0(\mathcal{X})$ defined by the tensor product of vector bundles, and hence is commutative and associative.

From now on, we will omit the superscript “0” in the notation $[\mathcal{E}]^0$ for the class of a vector bundle \mathcal{E} on \mathcal{X} . Now we drop the smoothness assumption of \mathcal{X} .

The Grothendieck ring $K^0(\mathcal{X})$ has an additional algebraic structure called a λ -ring. We follow [FL85, Section I.1] for the definition of a λ -ring, which is called a pre- λ -ring in some literatures, for example in [Yau10].

Definition 2.13. A λ -ring is a ring R with a group homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_t : (R, +) &\rightarrow (R[[t]]^\times, \times) \\ x &\mapsto \sum_{i \geq 0} \lambda^i(x) t^i \end{aligned}$$

such that $\lambda^0(x) = 1$ and $\lambda^1(x) = x$ for all $x \in K$. A **positive structure** on a λ -ring (R, λ_t) is a pair (ε, R_+) , where $\varepsilon : R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a surjective ring homomorphism, and R_+ is a subset of R such that the following conditions hold:

- (1) R_+ is closed under addition and multiplication.
- (2) R_+ contains the set \mathbb{Z}_+ of positive integers.
- (3) $R = R_+ - R_+$, i.e., every element of R is a difference of two elements of R_+ .
- (4) If $x \in R_+$, then $\varepsilon(x) = r > 0$, $\lambda^i(x) = 0$ for $i > r$, and $\lambda^r(x)$ is a unit in R .

Elements of R_+ are said to be **positive**. An element of R is **non-negative** if it is either positive or zero. The set of non-negative elements is denoted by $R_{\geq 0}$.

Remark 2.14. Consider a λ -ring (R, λ_t) . For all $x, y \in R$, we have

$$\lambda_t(x + y) = \lambda_t(x)\lambda_t(y),$$

which is equivalent to

$$\lambda^n(x + y) = \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda^i(x)\lambda^{n-i}(y)$$

for all integer $n \geq 0$. Note that we always have $\lambda_t(0) = 1$. Suppose (R, λ_t) has a positive structure (ε, R_+) . Then we have $\varepsilon(1) = 1$ and $\lambda_t(1) = 1 + t$. On the other hand, we have $\varepsilon(-1) = -1$ and

$$\lambda_t(-1) = \lambda_t(1)^{-1} = 1 - t + t^2 - t^3 + \dots .$$

From now on, we always assume there is a positive structure associated with a λ -ring.

Example 2.15. A simple example of a λ -ring is \mathbb{Z} with $\lambda_t(m) = (1 + t)^m$ and the obvious positive structure $(\varepsilon = \text{id} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_+)$. In this case, we have

$$\lambda^i(m) = \binom{m}{i}$$

for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i \geq 0$.

Example 2.16 ([FL85, Section V.1]). Let X be a scheme. The Grothendieck ring $K^0(X)$ of vector bundles on X is a λ -ring: positive elements $K^0(X)_+$ are classes of vector bundles V , taking exterior powers induces a map $\lambda_t : K^0(X)_{\geq 0} \rightarrow K^0(X)[[t]]^\times$ given by

$$\lambda_t[V] = \sum_{i \geq 0} \lambda^i[V]t^i = \sum_{i \geq 0} [\wedge^i V]t^i,$$

which is a semigroup homomorphism: for two vector bundles V and W ,

$$\lambda_t([V] + [W]) = \lambda_t[V]\lambda_t[W]$$

because

$$\wedge^n(V \oplus W) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^n (\wedge^i V \otimes \wedge^{n-i} W) \text{ for all integers } n \geq 0.$$

Since each element in $K^0(X)$ can be written as a difference $[V] - [W]$ of two classes of vector bundles, we can extend the domain of λ_t to all of $K^0(X)$, i.e.,

$$\lambda_t([V] - [W]) = \lambda_t[V]/\lambda_t[W].$$

The surjective ring homomorphism $\varepsilon = \text{rk} : K^0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is the rank map: for an element $x = [V] - [W]$,

$$\text{rk}(x) = \text{rk}(V) - \text{rk}(W).$$

When $X = \text{pt}$, we get back the example of \mathbb{Z} .

Proposition 2.17. *Let $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ be a quotient stack. The Grothendieck ring $K^0(\mathcal{X})$ of vector bundles on \mathcal{X} is a λ -ring.*

Proof. Consider a vector bundle $\mathcal{V} = (V, \phi)$ on \mathcal{X} . Exterior powers $\wedge^i V$ of V carry canonical G -equivariant structures $\wedge^i \phi$, so $\wedge^i \mathcal{V}$ is well-defined. Every element in $K^0(\mathcal{X})$ is a difference $[\mathcal{V}] - [\mathcal{W}]$ of two classes of vector bundles on \mathcal{X} , so we can define the same λ_t and $\varepsilon = \text{rk}$ as those in the case of schemes. \square

Definition 2.18. Let \mathcal{X} be a quotient stack. Taking dual vector bundles respects exact sequences and hence defines an involution

$$(\cdot)^\vee : K^0(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow K^0(\mathcal{X}).$$

Remark 2.19. The involution $(\cdot)^\vee : K^0(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow K^0(\mathcal{X})$ is a ring automorphism, i.e., for all x and y in $K^0(\mathcal{X})$, we have

$$1^\vee = 1, \quad (x + y)^\vee = x^\vee + y^\vee, \quad (xy)^\vee = x^\vee y^\vee, \quad \text{and} \quad x^{\vee\vee} = x.$$

Definition 2.20. Let \mathcal{X} be a quotient stack. The **K -theoretic Euler class** of a non-negative element $x = [\mathcal{V}]$ in $K^0(\mathcal{X})$ of rank r is defined by

$$e^K(x) = \lambda_t(x^\vee)|_{t=-1} = \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \lambda^i(x^\vee) = \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i [\wedge^i \mathcal{V}].$$

Remark 2.21 (Properties of the K -theoretic Euler class). Let \mathcal{X} be a quotient stack. The K -theoretic Euler class gives a semigroup homomorphism

$$e^K : (K^0(\mathcal{X})_{\geq 0}, +) \rightarrow (K^0(\mathcal{X}), \times),$$

i.e., for all non-negative elements x and y in $K^0(\mathcal{X})$, we have

$$e^K(x + y) = e^K(x)e^K(y).$$

In particular, $e^K(0) = 1$. By definition, we also have $e^K(1) = 1 - 1 = 0$. Note that e^K cannot be defined on all of $K^0(\mathcal{X})$: for example, $e^K(-1)$ is undefined since $\lambda_t(-1)$ is an infinite series. If x and y are positive elements of $K^0(\mathcal{X})$ such that $e^K(y)$ is a unit, then we define

$$e^K(x - y) = e^K(x)/e^K(y).$$

Remark 2.22 (The geometric meaning of the K -theoretic Euler class). Consider a vector bundle $\mathcal{V} = (V, \phi)$ of rank r on a quotient stack $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$. Suppose the vector bundle \mathcal{V} has a section $s : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ (i.e., a G -equivariant section $s : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow V$) such that it cuts out a substack $\mathcal{Y} = [Y/G]$, where Y is a G -invariant subscheme of X of codimension r . Let $i : Y \rightarrow X$ denote the inclusion, and let $s^\vee : V^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ denote the dual of s . The Koszul complex

$$0 \rightarrow \wedge^r V^\vee \rightarrow \wedge^{r-1} V^\vee \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow V^\vee \xrightarrow{s^\vee} \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow i_* \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow 0$$

on X is a G -equivariant locally free resolution of the pushforward $i_* \mathcal{O}_Y$, so we obtain a Koszul complex

$$0 \rightarrow \wedge^r \mathcal{V}^\vee \rightarrow \wedge^{r-1} \mathcal{V}^\vee \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{V}^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow i_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow 0$$

on \mathcal{X} . Therefore,

$$[i_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}] = e^K(\mathcal{V}),$$

which explains the name “ K -theoretic Euler class” since the usual Euler class $e(\mathcal{V})$ is the class

$$[\mathcal{Y}] = c_r(\mathcal{V})$$

in the r -th Chow group $A^r(\mathcal{X})$ of \mathcal{X} . Suppose \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are smooth. Taking the equivariant Chern class as in Example 15.3.1 in [Ful98], we obtain a relation between the K -theoretic Euler class and the usual Euler class:

$$c_r(e^K(\mathcal{V})) = (-1)^{r-1} (r-1)! e(\mathcal{V}).$$

We can use the K -theoretic Euler class to compute the Grothendieck ring of a weighted projective stack $\mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_n)$.

Example 2.23 (The Grothendieck ring of a weighted projective stack). Let $X = \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ with a closed subscheme $p = \{0\}$, and let $\mathcal{X} = [X/\mathbb{C}^*]$, where the \mathbb{C}^* -action on X is given by

$$t(x_0, \dots, x_n) = (t^{a_0} x_0, \dots, t^{a_n} x_n)$$

for some positive integers a_0, \dots, a_n . Let $U = X - p$. We denote the weighted projective stack $\mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_n) = [U/\mathbb{C}^*]$ by \mathbb{P} . Let $i : p \hookrightarrow X$ and $j : U \hookrightarrow X$ be the inclusions of p and U in X , which are G -equivariant morphisms of schemes. Hence they induce inclusions

$$i : B\mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \quad \text{and} \quad j : \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$$

of substacks in \mathcal{X} . Therefore, we have a right exact sequence

$$(7) \quad K(B\mathbb{C}^*) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{P}) \rightarrow 0$$

of abelian groups, where the first map is extension by zero and the second one is restriction. Since \mathcal{X} and \mathbb{P} are smooth quotient stacks with the resolution property, we can identify the two maps in (7) as the K -theoretic pushforward and pullback

$$i_K : K(B\mathbb{C}^*) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{X}) \quad \text{and} \quad j^K : K(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow K(\mathbb{P}),$$

where $K(\mathcal{X})$ is identified with $K^0(\mathcal{X})$. We know that

$$K(B\mathbb{C}^*) \cong \mathbb{Z}[u, u^{-1}] \quad \text{and} \quad K(\mathcal{X}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}],$$

where u is the class of the identity character

$$\rho = \text{id} : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*,$$

and x is the class of the \mathbb{C}^* -equivariant line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \rho$. The tangent bundle $T\mathcal{X} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes (\rho^{a_0} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho^{a_n})$ on \mathcal{X} has a canonical \mathbb{C}^* -equivariant section

$$s : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow TX, \quad 1 \mapsto (x_0, \dots, x_n),$$

which cuts out the origin p of X . Since

$$[TX] = x^{a_0} + \cdots + x^{a_n},$$

the image of $1 = [\mathcal{O}_{B\mathbb{C}^*}]$ under the map i_K is

$$i_K(1) = e^K(TX) = e^K\left(\sum_{i=0}^n x^{a_i}\right) = \prod_{i=0}^n e^K(x^{a_i}) = \prod_{i=0}^n \lambda_{-1}(x^{-a_i}) = \prod_{i=0}^n (1 - x^{-a_i}).$$

Therefore,

$$K(\mathbb{P}) \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}]}{\langle (1 - x^{-a_0}) \cdots (1 - x^{-a_n}) \rangle} \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}[x]}{\langle (x^{a_0} - 1) \cdots (x^{a_n} - 1) \rangle}.$$

Here x is interpreted as the class of the twisting sheaf

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) = j^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \rho)$$

on \mathbb{P} , and is invertible in $K(\mathbb{P})$. Here each $1 - x^{-a_i}$ is the class of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_i}$ in the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-a_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_i} \rightarrow 0$$

of coherent sheaves on \mathbb{P} , where $\mathbb{P}_i = \mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_n)$ is the substack in \mathbb{P} cut out by the coordinate x_i . Therefore, the relation

$$(1 - x^{-a_0}) \cdots (1 - x^{-a_n}) = 0$$

in $K^0(\mathbb{P})$ says

$$[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_0}] \cdots [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_n}] = 0$$

in $K^0(\mathbb{P})$, which reflects the fact that the intersection of $\mathbb{P}_0, \dots, \mathbb{P}_n$ is empty.

3. INERTIA STACKS OF QUOTIENT STACKS

In this section we study the inertia stack associated to a quotient stack, which is the key ingredient for the orbifold HRR theorem.

Let $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ be a quotient stack. There is a diagonal action of G on $X \times X$ given by $g(x, y) = (gx, gy)$ for $g \in G$ and $x, y \in X$. Since G acts on itself by conjugation, there is also a diagonal action of G on $G \times X$ given by $h(g, x) = (hgh^{-1}, hx)$ for $g, h \in G$ and $x \in X$. There is also an **action morphism**, i.e.,

$$\alpha : G \times X \rightarrow X \times X, \quad (g, x) \mapsto (x, gx),$$

which is G -equivariant with respect to the diagonal G -actions on $G \times X$ and $X \times X$.

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ be a quotient stack. The **inertia scheme** of X under the action of G is defined by the following pullback diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I_G X & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta \\ G \times X & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & X \times X. \end{array}$$

Since Δ and α are G -equivariant, they descend to morphisms $\Delta : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ and $\alpha : [G/G] \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$. The **inertia stack** of \mathcal{X} is defined by the following 2-pullback diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I\mathcal{X} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta \\ [G/G] \times \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}. \end{array}$$

Remark 3.2. The inertia scheme $I_G X$ can be identified as a subscheme of $G \times X$ whose \mathbb{C} -points are

$$I_G X(\mathbb{C}) = \{(g, x) \in G \times X \mid gx = x\}.$$

The inertia stack $I\mathcal{X}$ can then be identified as a quotient stack $[I_G X/G]$, where G acts on $I_G X$ by

$$g(h, x) = (hgh^{-1}, gx)$$

for $g, h \in G$ and $x \in X$.

For the rest of this section we will restrict to connected separated quotient DM stacks.

Definition 3.3. An algebraic stack is connected if its underlying topological space is connected.

Definition 3.4. An algebraic stack \mathcal{X} is separated if the diagonal morphism $\Delta : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ is proper.

Since properness of morphisms of algebraic spaces is fppf local on the target and preserved under arbitrary base change, we can check the properness of a morphism of algebraic stacks via a fppf representation. For a quotient stack $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$, we have the following 2-pullback diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta \\ X \times X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}, \end{array}$$

where the morphism $X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ is smooth, and in particular fppf. Therefore, we have an equivalent definition of separatedness of quotient stacks via group actions.

Proposition 3.5. *A quotient stack $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ is separated if and only if the action morphism $\alpha : G \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ is proper.*

Remark 3.6. For any separated quotient stack, its stabilizers are finite since they are affine and proper. Therefore, all separated quotient stacks are DM stacks.

Let $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ be a separated quotient DM stack. Note that vector bundles (or coherent sheaves) on BG are representations of G , and hence $K^0(BG) = K(BG) = R(G)$, the representation ring of G . Pulling back vector bundles on BG along the canonical morphism

$$q : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow BG$$

and taking their tensor products with coherent sheaves on \mathcal{X} makes $K(\mathcal{X})$ a right $R(G)$ -module:

$$[\mathcal{E}] \cdot [\rho] = [\mathcal{E} \otimes q^* \rho]$$

for a coherent sheaf \mathcal{E} on \mathcal{X} and a representation ρ of G . Tensoring by \mathbb{C} , we then have a module $K(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$ over $R(G)_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Let $\text{Supp} K(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the support of the $R(G)_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module $K(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$. We will follow the treatment in [Edi13, Section 4.3] to decompose the inertia stack $I\mathcal{X}$ via $\text{Supp} K(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$. We first state a basic fact which combines two results in [EG05, Proposition 2.5] and [EG00, Remark 5.1].

Lemma 3.7. *Let G be a linear algebraic group. There is a bijection between the set of conjugacy classes of diagonalizable elements in G and the closed points in $\text{Spec} R(G)_{\mathbb{C}}$. If G acts on a separated scheme X of finite type with finite stabilizers, then the $R(G)_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module $K([X/G])_{\mathbb{C}}$ is supported at a finite number of closed points in $\text{Spec} R(G)_{\mathbb{C}}$.*

By the lemma above, $K(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$ is supported at a finite number of closed points p_0, \dots, p_l in $\text{Spec} R(G)_{\mathbb{C}}$ which correspond to a finite number of conjugacy classes $[g_0] = [1], \dots, [g_l]$ of diagonalizable elements in G . If $[g]$ is one of these classes, then the fixed point locus X^g is non-empty, and hence it must be of finite order. On the contrary, if X^g is non-empty for an element g , then the corresponding closed point \mathfrak{m}_g in $\text{Spec} R(G)_{\mathbb{C}}$ is in the support of $K(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$. Therefore, we have the following result.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ be a separated quotient DM stack. Then the support of the $R(G)_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module $K(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$ can be identified with a finite set of conjugacy classes of elements of finite order in G . Furthermore, X^g is non-empty if and only if $[g]$ is one of those conjugacy classes.*

Now we assume \mathcal{X} is connected. Then we have a decomposition

$$I\mathcal{X} \simeq \prod_{i=0}^l \{g_i\} \times [X^{g_i}/Z_{g_i}],$$

where \simeq means an equivalence of stacks as categories, $g_0 = 1$ is the identity in G , X^{g_i} is the fixed point locus in X under the action of g_i , and Z_{g_i} is the centralizer of g_i in G . Note that each quotient stack $\{g_i\} \times [X^{g_i}/Z_{g_i}]$ is not connected in general, but can be further decomposed. We can decompose $I\mathcal{X}$ into connected components as follows:

$$I\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}_0 \coprod I_t\mathcal{X}, \quad I_t\mathcal{X} = \prod_{i=1}^l \prod_{j=1}^{m_i} \{g_i\} \times [X_{ij}/G_{ij}],$$

where $\mathcal{X}_0 = \{1\} \times \mathcal{X}$, $X_{ij} \subseteq X^{g_i}$ (i.e., g_i acts on X_{ij} trivially), and $G_{ij} \subseteq Z_{g_i}$. Note that the index g_i is important, as we will use it to define the orbifold Chern character and the orbifold Todd class later. Re-ordering these components by a single index set I of size

$$|I| = 1 + m_1 + \cdots + m_l,$$

we obtain the following.

Proposition 3.9. *Let $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ be a connected separated quotient DM stack. Then its inertia stack has a decomposition*

$$I\mathcal{X} \simeq \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{X}_i$$

of connected components, where i runs over a finite index set I containing 0, and each \mathcal{X}_i is a closed substack of \mathcal{X} of the form

$$\mathcal{X}_i = \{g_i\} \times [X_i/G_i],$$

where X_i is a closed subscheme of X invariant under the action of a subgroup $G_i \subset Z_{g_i}$ and fixed by an element $g_i \in G_i$ with $X_0 = X$, $G_0 = G$, and $g_0 = 1$.

Remark 3.10. The finite index set I in Proposition 3.9 is called the **inertia index set** of \mathcal{X} . The component $\mathcal{X}_0 \simeq \mathcal{X}$ and is called the **distinguished component**, and the other components \mathcal{X}_i are called **twisted sectors**.

Now we compute two examples of inertia stacks: for the first one, we use the definition of the inertia stack to obtain its decomposition, and for the second one, we use the support of the $R(G)_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module $K(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$ to obtain the decomposition of $I\mathcal{X}$.

Example 3.11. Let $\mathcal{X} = BG$ be the classifying stack of a finite group G . Then $I_G \mathcal{X} = G$, and the inertia stack of the classifying stack is $I\mathcal{X} = [G/G]$, where G acts on itself by conjugation. Let $I = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ index the conjugacy classes $[g_0], [g_1], \dots, [g_n]$ of G , where $g_0 = 1$ is the identity in G . Then we have a decomposition

$$IBG \simeq \coprod_{i \in I} \{g_i\} \times BZ_{g_i}.$$

Here $\{g_0\} \times BZ_{g_0} = \{1\} \times BG$ is the distinguished component. If G is abelian, then every element g forms a conjugacy class and each $Z_g = G$, so we have

$$IBG = G \times BG.$$

Example 3.12. Let $X = \mathbb{C}^2 - \{(0, 0)\}$, and let $G = \mathbb{C}^*$ act on X by $\lambda \cdot (x_0, x_1) = (\lambda^2 x_0, \lambda^3 x_1)$. The quotient stack $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ is the weighted projective line $\mathbb{P}(2, 3)$. We have $K(BC^*)_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}]$, and

$$K(\mathbb{P}(2, 3))_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}]}{(1 - x^{-2})(1 - x^{-3})}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\text{Supp } K(\mathbb{P}(2, 3))_{\mathbb{C}} = \{g_0, g_1, g_2, g_3\},$$

where $g_0 = 1, g_1 = -1, g_2 = (-1 + \sqrt{3}i)/2$, and $g_3 = (-1 - \sqrt{3}i)/2$. Now we compute the fixed point loci: $X^{g_0} = X$, $X^{g_1} = \mathbb{C}^* \times \{0\}$, and $X^{g_2} = X^{g_3} = \{0\} \times \mathbb{C}^*$. The centralizer $Z_{g_i} = \mathbb{C}^*$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Therefore, we have a decomposition

$$I\mathbb{P}(2, 3) = \coprod_{i=0}^3 \{g_i\} \times [X^{g_i}/Z_{g_i}] \cong \{1\} \times \mathbb{P}(2, 3) \coprod \{-1\} \times B\mu_2 \coprod \left\{ \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{3}i}{2} \right\} \times B\mu_3.$$

4. ORBIFOLD CHERN CHARACTER AND ORBIFOLD TODD CLASS

In this section we define orbifold Chern characters and orbifold Todd classes of coherent sheaves on a connected separated smooth quotient DM stack.

We first define the Chow ring of a smooth quotient stack following [EG98].

Definition/Proposition 4.1. Let $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ be a quotient stack. For each integer $i \geq 0$, the i -th **Chow group** of \mathcal{X} is defined by

$$A^i(\mathcal{X}) = A^i((X \times U)/G),$$

where U is an open subscheme of codimension $> i$ of an affine space \mathbb{C}^n with a linear G -action such that it restricts to a free G -action on U . The definition of $A^i(\mathcal{X})$ is independent of the choice of n , the G -action on \mathbb{C}^n , and the open subscheme U . If \mathcal{X} is a smooth quotient stack, then there is an intersection product

$$A^i(\mathcal{X}) \times A^j(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow A^{i+j}(\mathcal{X})$$

for all non-negative integers i and j such that $A^*(\mathcal{X})$ becomes a ring graded in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We denote by $A^*(\mathcal{X})$ or simply $A(\mathcal{X})$ the **Chow ring** of \mathcal{X} . If \mathcal{X} is a separated smooth quotient DM stack, then the proper pushforward

$$A(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow A(X/G)_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

where X/G is the coarse moduli space of \mathcal{X} , is an isomorphism of abelian groups in each degree. This implies that an element of each $A^i(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a linear combination of classes of irreducible closed substacks of \mathcal{X} of codimension i , and $A^i(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ vanishes for $i > \dim \mathcal{X}$.

Example 4.2. The Chow ring of the weighted projective stack $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ is

$$A(\mathbb{P}) \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}[h]}{(a_0 \cdots a_n h^{n+1})},$$

where $h = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1))$ in $A^1(\mathbb{P})$.

Remark 4.3. If \mathcal{X} is a connected separated smooth quotient DM stack, then its inertia $I\mathcal{X}$ is a separated smooth quotient DM stack since the canonical morphism $I\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is finite, and its connected components are smooth because a fixed point locus of a smooth scheme over a field of characteristic zero is smooth.

Let \mathcal{X} be a connected separated smooth quotient DM stack. There is an orbifold Chern character map

$$\tilde{\text{ch}} : K^0(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}},$$

which is defined in [Toë99]. There is also an orbifold Todd class map

$$\tilde{\text{td}} : K^0(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times},$$

which is given in [Tse10, Appendix A] and [Iri09, Section 2.4]. Since $K(\mathcal{X}) \cong K^0(\mathcal{X})$, we have two maps:

$$\tilde{\text{ch}} : K(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\text{td}} : K(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}.$$

Now we give detailed constructions for these two maps.

Notation 4.4. Let $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ be a connected separated smooth quotient DM stack. Recall the decomposition

$$I\mathcal{X} \simeq \coprod_{i \in I} \mathcal{X}_i = \coprod_{i \in I} \{g_i\} \times [X_i/G_i]$$

in Proposition 3.9. We have two rings:

$$K^0(I\mathcal{X}) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} K^0(\mathcal{X}_i) \quad \text{and} \quad A(I\mathcal{X}) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A(\mathcal{X}_i).$$

Let $\pi : I\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ denote the natural morphism. For each $i \in I$, let $p_i : X_i \rightarrow X$ and $q_i : \mathcal{X}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ denote the inclusions of the closed subscheme X_i in X and the closed substack \mathcal{X}_i in \mathcal{X} respectively, and let $r_i : \mathcal{X}_i \rightarrow I\mathcal{X}$ denote the inclusion of \mathcal{X}_i in $I\mathcal{X}$. Note that $\pi \circ r_i = q_i$ for each $i \in I$.

We first prove a basic fact that is crucial for the constructions of both the orbifold Chern character and the orbifold Todd class.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $[X/G]$ be a quotient stack. Let g be an element in the center of G such that it has a finite order n and fixes X . Then every G -equivariant vector bundle $\mathcal{V} = (V, \phi)$ on X admits a G -equivariant decomposition*

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{V} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{eig}(\phi(g))} \mathcal{V}_\lambda,$$

where $\text{eig}(\phi(g))$ consists of eigenvalues of the linear automorphism $\phi(g)$ on V which are n th roots of unity, and each $\mathcal{V}_\lambda = (V_\lambda, \phi_\lambda)$ is a G -equivariant vector bundle on X .

Proof. Consider a G -equivariant vector bundle (V, ϕ) on X . This corresponds to an action

$$\phi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V)$$

of G on V . By assumption, $g^n = 1$, so the linear automorphism $\phi(g)$ on V satisfies $(\phi(g))^n = \text{id}_V$, and hence each eigenvalue of $\phi(g)$ is an n th root. Since g acts on X trivially, there is an eigenbundle decomposition

$$V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{eig}(\phi(g))} V_\lambda$$

such that for each $v \in V_\lambda$,

$$\phi(g)(v) = \lambda v.$$

Take any $h \in G$. Since g is in the center of G , we have $gh = hg$. For any $v \in V_\lambda$, we have

$$\phi(g)(\phi(h)v) = \phi(h)(\phi(g)v) = \phi(h)(\lambda v) = \lambda(\phi(h)v),$$

which implies each V_λ is an invariant subbundle of V under the action of G , i.e., ϕ restricts to an action

$$\phi_\lambda : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V_\lambda)$$

of G on each V_λ . Therefore, we have the G -equivariant decomposition of (V, ϕ) claimed in the lemma. \square

Definition 4.6. Let $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ be a quotient stack. Let g be an element in the center of G such that it has a finite order and fixes X . The **g -twisting morphism**

$$\rho_g : K^0(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow K^0(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$$

is defined by

$$\rho_g([\mathcal{V}]) = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{eig}(\phi(g))} \lambda [\mathcal{V}_\lambda]$$

for the decomposition (8) of a vector bundle \mathcal{V} on \mathcal{X} and extended linearly.

The following lemma is immediate.

Lemma 4.7. *The g -twisting morphism $\rho_g : K^0(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow K^0(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a ring homomorphism.*

Definition 4.8. Let $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ be a separated smooth quotient stack. Let g be an element in the center of G such that it has a finite order and fixes X . We define the **g -twisted Chern character** map by

$$\mathrm{ch}^{\rho_g} : K^0(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{\rho_g} K^0(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ch}} A(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}},$$

where $\mathrm{ch} : K^0(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow A(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the Chern character map on the stack \mathcal{X} tensored by \mathbb{C} .

Lemma 4.7 implies the following.

Proposition 4.9. *The g -twisted Chern character map $\mathrm{ch}^{\rho_g} : K^0(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow A(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a ring homomorphism.*

Example 4.10. Consider the classifying stack BG for a finite group G . Then $K^0(BG) = K(BG)$ is the representation ring $R(G)$. Take an element g in the center of G . Let's compute the g -twisted Chern character

$$\mathrm{ch}^{\rho_g} : R(G) \rightarrow A(BG)_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

A representation $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ of G on a vector space V decomposes as

$$\phi = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathrm{eig}(\phi(g))} \phi_{\lambda}$$

with subrepresentations $\phi_{\lambda} : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_{\lambda})$, so we have a g -twisting morphism

$$\rho_g : R(G) \rightarrow R(G)_{\mathbb{C}}, \quad \phi \mapsto \sum_{\lambda \in \mathrm{eig}(\phi(g))} \lambda \phi_{\lambda}.$$

The Chern character map $\mathrm{ch} : R(G)_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a linear extension of the rank map, i.e., for a virtual representation $\sum_i a_i \varphi_i$ of G , where $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and representation $\varphi_i : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_i)$, we have

$$\mathrm{ch} \left(\sum_i a_i \varphi_i \right) = \sum_i a_i \dim V_i.$$

Therefore, the g -twisted Chern character map is given by

$$\mathrm{ch}^{\rho_g}(\phi) = \mathrm{ch}(\rho_g(\phi)) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathrm{eig}(\phi(g))} \lambda \dim V_{\lambda} = \mathrm{tr}(\phi(g)) = \chi_{\phi}(g),$$

where χ_{ϕ} denotes the character of the representation ϕ of G . The fact that the twisted Chern character map is a ring map implies that for any pair of representations ϕ and ψ of G , we have

$$\chi_{\phi \oplus \psi}(g) = \chi_{\phi}(g) + \chi_{\psi}(g) \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_{\phi \otimes \psi}(g) = \chi_{\phi}(g) \chi_{\psi}(g),$$

which is a basic fact in character theory.

Definition/Proposition 4.11. Let $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ be a connected separated smooth quotient DM stack. We have a finite inertia index set I and a decomposition

$$I\mathcal{X} \simeq \coprod_{i \in I} \mathcal{X}_i = \coprod_{i \in I} \{g_i\} \times [X_i/G_i].$$

Each g_i is in the center of G_i (because G_i is contained in the centralizer Z_{g_i} of g_i), it fixes X_i and has a finite order, so it induces a g_i -twisting morphism

$$\rho_{g_i} : K^0(\mathcal{X}_i) \rightarrow K^0(\mathcal{X}_i)_{\mathbb{C}},$$

and hence a g_i -twisted Chern character map

$$\text{ch}^{\rho_{g_i}} : K^0(\mathcal{X}_i) \rightarrow A(\mathcal{X}_i)_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Let $\rho : K^0(I\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow K^0(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the **twisting morphism** on $K^0(I\mathcal{X})$, i.e.,

$$\rho = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \rho_{g_i}.$$

Now we have all the ingredients to define the orbifold Chern character map.

Definition 4.12. Let $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ be a connected separated smooth quotient DM stack. The **inertia Chern character** map is defined by the composition

$$\text{ch}^{\rho} : K^0(I\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{\rho} K^0(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\text{ch}} A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

The **orbifold Chern character** map on $K(\mathcal{X})$ is defined by the composition

$$\tilde{\text{ch}} : K(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{\beta} K^0(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} K^0(I\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{\text{ch}^{\rho}} A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Proposition 4.13. *The orbifold Chern character map $\tilde{\text{ch}} : K(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a ring homomorphism, i.e.,*

$$\tilde{\text{ch}}(x + y) = \tilde{\text{ch}}(x) + \tilde{\text{ch}}(y) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\text{ch}}(xy) = \tilde{\text{ch}}(x) \tilde{\text{ch}}(y)$$

for all x and y in $K(\mathcal{X})$.

Proof. Lemma 4.9 implies the inertia Chern character map $\text{ch}^{\rho} : K^0(I\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a ring homomorphism, where both $K^0(I\mathcal{X})$ and $A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$ carry ring structures from the direct sum of the rings $K^0(\mathcal{X}_i)$ and $A(\mathcal{X}_i)_{\mathbb{C}}$ over I . The orbifold Chern character $\tilde{\text{ch}} : K(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a ring homomorphism since it's a composition of three ring homomorphisms β, π^* and ch^{ρ} . \square

Remark 4.14 (Explicit formulas for the orbifold Chern character). The orbifold Chern character of a vector bundle \mathcal{V} on \mathcal{X} is given by

$$\tilde{\text{ch}}(\mathcal{V}) = \left(\text{ch}(\mathcal{V}), \bigoplus_{0 \neq i \in I} \text{ch}^{\rho_{g_i}}(\mathcal{V}_i) \right) = \left(\text{ch}(\mathcal{V}), \bigoplus_{0 \neq i \in I} \sum_{\lambda \in \text{eig}(\phi_i(g_i))} \lambda \text{ch}(\mathcal{V}_{i,\lambda}) \right),$$

where each \mathcal{V}_i is the restriction of \mathcal{V} from \mathcal{X} to the substack \mathcal{X}_i , with an eigenbundle decomposition $\mathcal{V}_i = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{eig}(\phi_i(g_i))} \mathcal{V}_{i,\lambda}$ for $0 \neq i \in I$.

Remark 4.15 (Care is required when working with sheaves.). Consider two coherent sheaves \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} on \mathcal{X} . Recall from Proposition 2.12 that their product is given by

$$[\mathcal{E}][\mathcal{F}] = \sum_i (-1)^i [\mathcal{E}_i \otimes \mathcal{F}]$$

for any finite resolution $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$. The identity

$$\tilde{\text{ch}}([\mathcal{E}][\mathcal{F}]) = \tilde{\text{ch}}([\mathcal{E}]) \tilde{\text{ch}}([\mathcal{F}])$$

implies

$$\tilde{\text{ch}}(\mathcal{E}) \tilde{\text{ch}}(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_i (-1)^i \tilde{\text{ch}}(\mathcal{E}_i \otimes \mathcal{F}),$$

but

$$\tilde{\text{ch}}(\mathcal{E}) \tilde{\text{ch}}(\mathcal{F}) \neq \tilde{\text{ch}}(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F})$$

in general, since $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$ may fail to be exact. However, if either \mathcal{E} or \mathcal{F} is locally free, then we have

$$\tilde{\text{ch}}(\mathcal{E}) \tilde{\text{ch}}(\mathcal{F}) = \tilde{\text{ch}}(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}).$$

Recall that for a separated smooth scheme X of finite type, the Chern character map $\text{ch} : K(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\sim} A(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra isomorphism after tensored with \mathbb{Q} . A similar result holds for quotient DM stacks.

Proposition 4.16. *Let \mathcal{X} be a connected separated smooth quotient DM stack. The orbifold Chern character map*

$$\tilde{\text{ch}} : K(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\sim} A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$$

is a \mathbb{C} -algebra isomorphism after tensored with \mathbb{C} .

Proof. Note that \mathcal{X} is a separated DM stack of finite type. By the discussion on page 9 in [Toë00], after tensored with \mathbb{Q} , the orbifold Chern character $\tilde{\text{ch}} : K(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow A_{\chi}(\mathcal{X})$ is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra isomorphism onto $A_{\chi}(\mathcal{X})$, the rational Chow ring with coefficients in the characters of \mathcal{X} . Since $A_{\chi}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}} \cong A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$, the map $\tilde{\text{ch}}$ becomes a \mathbb{C} -algebra isomorphism after tensored with \mathbb{C} . \square

Remark 4.17. The ordinary Chern character $\text{ch} : K^0(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow A(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a surjective \mathbb{C} -algebra homomorphism, but not an isomorphism in general, as the following example shows.

Example 4.18 (The orbifold Chern character for BG is the character of representations of G .) Let $\mathcal{X} = BG$ for a finite group G with n conjugacy classes

$$[g_0], [g_1], \dots, [g_{n-1}].$$

Recall that

$$IBG = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \{g_i\} \times BZ_{g_i}.$$

Take a vector bundle $\mathcal{V} = (V, \phi)$ on BG , i.e., a representation $\phi : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ of G . On each component $\{g_i\} \times BZ_{g_i}$, the representation ϕ of G restricts to a representation

$$\phi_i : Z_{g_i} \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$$

of Z_{g_i} . Since each g_i is in the center of Z_{g_i} , by the computations in Example 4.10, we know that each g_i -twisted Chern character map is

$$\text{ch}^{\rho_{g_i}} : R(Z_{g_i}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \varphi \mapsto \chi_{\varphi}(g_i).$$

Therefore, the orbifold Chern character becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\text{ch}} : R(G) &\longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} R(Z_{g_i}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \\ \phi &\longmapsto (\phi_0, \dots, \phi_{n-1}) \longmapsto (\chi_\phi(g_0), \dots, \chi_\phi(g_{n-1})), \end{aligned}$$

where each $\chi_\phi(g_i) = \chi_{\phi_i}(g_i)$ since ϕ_i is a restriction of ϕ . Observe that the zeroth component

$$\chi_\phi(g_0) = \chi_\phi(1) = \deg \phi = \dim V$$

is the ordinary Chern character of ϕ . The space \mathbb{C}^n can be identified with the space $C(G)$ of class functions on G . Therefore, the orbifold Chern character coincides with the character map

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\text{ch}} : R(G) &\rightarrow C(G) \cong \mathbb{C}^n \\ \phi &\mapsto \chi_\phi. \end{aligned}$$

This is an injective ring map, where irreducible representations map to irreducible characters which form a basis of $C(G)$. Tensoring $R(G)$ with \mathbb{C} , we obtain a \mathbb{C} -algebra isomorphism

$$\tilde{\text{ch}} : R(G)_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\sim} C(G).$$

Compare with the ordinary Chern character map (i.e., the rank map)

$$\text{ch} : R(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad (V, \phi) \mapsto \dim V,$$

which is surjective but not injective in general.

Example 4.19 (The orbifold Chern character map on $B\mu_n$ is the inverse discrete Fourier transform). Take $G = \mu_n$ in Example 4.18. Then its representation ring $R(\mu_n) \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^n - 1)$, where x is the character

$$\mu_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*, \quad \omega = e^{2\pi i/n} \mapsto \omega$$

of μ_n . The orbifold Chern character for μ_n is the ring map

$$\tilde{\text{ch}} : \frac{\mathbb{Z}[x]}{(x^n - 1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n, \quad x \mapsto (1, \omega, \dots, \omega^{n-1}).$$

Tensoring $R(\mu)$ with \mathbb{C} , we obtain a \mathbb{C} -algebra isomorphism

$$\tilde{\text{ch}} : \frac{\mathbb{C}[x]}{(x^n - 1)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Here the inverse map $\tilde{\text{ch}}^{-1} : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - 1)$ can be identified with the discrete Fourier transform as follows. For each $k = 0, \dots, n-1$, put

$$e_k = \tilde{\text{ch}}(x^k) = (1, \omega^k, \dots, \omega^{(n-1)k}).$$

Consider the weighted inner product on \mathbb{C}^n defined by

$$\langle a, b \rangle_W = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \bar{a}_i b_i$$

for all $a = (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$ and $b = (b_0, \dots, b_{n-1})$ in \mathbb{C}^n . Then the elements e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} form an orthonormal basis, i.e., for all $i, j = 0, \dots, n-1$, we have

$$\langle e_i, e_j \rangle_W = \delta_{ij},$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta. Take any function $f : \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Identifying f with an element $(f(0), \dots, f(n-1))$ in \mathbb{C}^n , we then have the orthogonal decomposition

$$f = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \langle e_k, f \rangle_W e_k,$$

where

$$\langle e_k, f \rangle_W = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \bar{\omega}^{jk} f(j) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e^{-2\pi i j k / n} f(j)$$

for $k = 0, \dots, n-1$. This defines a map

$$\psi : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{C}[x]}{(x^n - 1)}, \quad f \mapsto \hat{f} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \langle e_k, f \rangle_W x^k,$$

which can be identified with the **discrete Fourier transform** (up to a scaling depending on the convention). The map ψ is indeed the inverse of $\check{\text{ch}}$ since $\psi(e_k) = x^k$ for all $k = 0, \dots, n-1$. Therefore, the orbifold Chern character for μ_n is nothing but the inverse discrete Fourier transform, which maps an element

$$f = f(0) + f(1)x + f(2)x^2 + \dots + f(n-1)x^{n-1}$$

in $\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - 1)$ to an element $\check{f} = (\check{f}(0), \dots, \check{f}(n-1))$ in \mathbb{C}^n , where

$$\check{f}(k) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \omega^{jk} f(j) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e^{2\pi i j k / n} f(j)$$

for $k = 0, \dots, n-1$.

Next we will define the orbifold Todd class map.

Definition 4.20. Let $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ be a quotient stack. Let g be an element in the center of G such that it has a finite order and fixes X . For the decomposition (8) of a vector bundle $\mathcal{V} = (V, \phi)$ on \mathcal{X} , we write

$$\mathcal{V}^{\text{fix}} = \mathcal{V}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}^{\text{mov}} = \bigoplus_{1 \neq \lambda \in \text{eig}(\phi(g))} \mathcal{V}_\lambda.$$

We define two projections

$$P_f : K^0(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow K^0(\mathcal{X}) \quad \text{and} \quad P_m : K^0(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow K^0(\mathcal{X})$$

by setting

$$P_f(x) = \mathcal{V}^{\text{fix}} - \mathcal{W}^{\text{fix}} \quad \text{and} \quad P_m(x) = \mathcal{V}^{\text{mov}} - \mathcal{W}^{\text{mov}}$$

for any element $x = [\mathcal{V}] - [\mathcal{W}]$ in $K^0(\mathcal{X})$, where \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} are vector bundles on \mathcal{X} .

Remark 4.21. It's easy to check both P_f and P_m are indeed projections, i.e., they are linear and idempotent.

Definition 4.22. Let $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ be a connected separated smooth quotient DM stack. Let g be an element in the center of G such that it has a finite order and fixes X . The **g -twisted Euler class** map is defined by

$$e^{\rho_g} : P_m(K^0(\mathcal{X})) \xrightarrow{e^K} K^0(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{\text{ch}^{\rho_g}} A(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}},$$

where $P_m(K^0(\mathcal{X}))$ is the image of the projection P_m .

Proposition 4.23. *The g -twisted Euler class e^{ρ_g} is multiplicative and maps into the units of $A(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$, i.e., we have a group homomorphism*

$$e^{\rho_g} : (P_m(K^0(\mathcal{X})), +) \rightarrow (A(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}, \times).$$

Proof. It suffices to consider an element $x = P_m(\mathcal{V})$ in $K^0(\mathcal{X})$ for a vector bundle $\mathcal{V} = (V, \phi)$ on \mathcal{X} . By the splitting principle, we can assume that each eigenbundle $\mathcal{V}_{\lambda} = (V_{\lambda}, \phi_{\lambda})$ decomposes into line bundles

$$\mathcal{V}_{\lambda} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\text{rk}(\mathcal{V}_{\lambda})} \mathcal{L}_{\lambda,j}.$$

Let $x_{\lambda,j} = [\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,j}]$ in $K^0(\mathcal{X})$. Then we have

$$x = \sum_{1 \neq \lambda \in \text{eig}(\phi(g))} \sum_{j=1}^{\text{rk}(\mathcal{V}_{\lambda})} x_{\lambda,j}$$

in $K^0(\mathcal{X})$. Since e^K is multiplicative, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\rho_g}(x) &= \text{ch}^{\rho_g} \left(\prod_{\lambda \neq 1, j} e^K(x_{\lambda,j}) \right) = (\text{ch} \circ \rho_g) \prod_{\lambda \neq 1, j} (1 - x_{\lambda,j}^{\vee}) \\ &= \text{ch} \left(\prod_{\lambda \neq 1, j} (1 - \lambda^{-1} x_{\lambda,j}^{\vee}) \right) = \prod_{\lambda \neq 1, j} (1 - \lambda^{-1} e^{-h_{\lambda,j}}) \end{aligned}$$

in $A(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$, where $h_{\lambda,j} = c_1(x_{\lambda,j})$. Since the degree zero component in $e^{\rho_g}(x)$ is nonzero, it is invertible in $A(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$. \square

Definition 4.24. Let $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ be a connected separated smooth quotient DM stack. Let g be an element in the center of G such that it has a finite order and fixes X . We define the **g -twisted Todd class** map

$$\text{td}^{\rho_g} : K^0(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow A(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}$$

by setting

$$\text{td}^{\rho_g}(x) = \frac{\text{td}(P_f(x))}{e^{\rho_g}(P_m(x))}$$

for any x in $K^0(\mathcal{X})$.

Remark 4.25. Applying Definition 4.24 to each substack $\mathcal{X}_i = \{g_i\} \times [X_i/G_i]$ of \mathcal{X} , we then have a g_i -twisted Todd class map

$$\mathrm{td}^{\rho_{g_i}} : K^0(\mathcal{X}_i) \rightarrow A(\mathcal{X}_i)_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}.$$

Now we can define the orbifold Todd class map.

Definition 4.26. Let $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ be a connected separated smooth quotient stack in Notation 4.4. Define projections IP_f and IP_m on $K^0(I\mathcal{X})$ by the direct sums

$$IP_f = \bigoplus_{i \in I} P_f \quad \text{and} \quad IP_m = \bigoplus_{i \in I} P_m.$$

Define the **inertia Euler class** map by the composition

$$e^{\rho} : IP_m(K^0(I\mathcal{X})) \xrightarrow{e^K} K^0(I\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ch}^{\rho}} A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}.$$

The **inertia Todd class** map

$$\mathrm{td}^{\rho} : K^0(I\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}$$

is defined by

$$\mathrm{td}^{\rho}(x) = \frac{\mathrm{td}(IP_f(x))}{e^{\rho}(IP_m(x))}$$

for any x in $K^0(I\mathcal{X})$. The **orbifold Todd class** map on $K(\mathcal{X})$ is defined by the composition

$$\tilde{\mathrm{td}} : K(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{\beta} K^0(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} K^0(I\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{td}^{\rho}} A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}.$$

The following result is immediate.

Proposition 4.27. *The orbifold Todd class map $\tilde{\mathrm{td}} : K(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}$ is multiplicative, i.e.,*

$$\tilde{\mathrm{td}}(x + y) = \tilde{\mathrm{td}}(x) \tilde{\mathrm{td}}(y)$$

for all x and y in $K(\mathcal{X})$.

Remark 4.28 (Explicit formulas for the orbifold Todd class). The orbifold Todd class of a vector bundle $\mathcal{V} = (V, \phi)$ on \mathcal{X} is given by

$$\tilde{\mathrm{td}}(\mathcal{V}) = \left(\mathrm{td}(\mathcal{V}), \bigoplus_{0 \neq i \in I} \frac{\mathrm{td}(\mathcal{V}_i^{\mathrm{fix}})}{e^{\rho_{g_i}}(\mathcal{V}_i^{\mathrm{mov}})} \right) = \left(\mathrm{td}(\mathcal{V}), \bigoplus_{0 \neq i \in I} \frac{\mathrm{td}(\mathcal{V}_i^{\mathrm{fix}})}{\prod_{\lambda \neq 1, j} (1 - \lambda^{-1} e^{-h_{i, \lambda, j}})} \right),$$

where $\lambda \in \mathrm{eig}(\phi_i(g_i))$, and $h_{i, \lambda, j}$'s are the Chern roots of each eigenbundle $\mathcal{V}_{i, \lambda}$ on \mathcal{X}_i for $0 \neq i \in I$ and $1 \leq j \leq \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{V}_{i, \lambda})$.

We are interested in the orbifold Todd class of the K -theory class corresponding to the tangent complex of \mathcal{X} .

Definition 4.29 (The tangent complex of a quotient stack). Let $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ be a smooth quotient stack. The tangent bundle TX has a canonical G -equivariant structure τ defined as follows. Take any $g \in G$. The map $x \mapsto gx$ gives a smooth automorphism on X

$$g : X \rightarrow X$$

which induces a linear isomorphism on the tangent spaces

$$dg_x : T_x X \rightarrow T_{gx} X$$

at all points $x \in X$. Therefore, we have a G -action

$$\tau : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(TX), \quad g \mapsto (\tau(g) : (x, v) \mapsto (gx, dg_x(v))).$$

The G -equivariant vector bundle (TX, τ) corresponds to a vector bundle on \mathcal{X} , which we may simply denote by $T\mathcal{X}$. Take any $x \in X$. The G -action on X also gives a smooth morphism

$$\sigma_x : G \rightarrow X, \quad g \mapsto gx$$

which induces a linear map

$$(9) \quad L_x : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow T_x X, \quad A \mapsto (d\sigma_x)_1(A),$$

where \mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra of G . There is a **fundamental vector field** s_A associated to every $A \in \mathfrak{g}$, i.e., a section $s_A : X \rightarrow TX$ with values

$$s_A(x) = L_x(A)$$

in $T_x X$ for every $x \in X$. The **tangent complex** of \mathcal{X} is defined by a 2-term complex

$$T\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \phi_{\text{Ad}}) \xrightarrow{L} (TX, \tau)$$

concentrated in degree -1 and 0 , where Ad is the adjoint representation

$$\text{Ad} : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathfrak{g}), \quad g \mapsto (\text{Ad}(g) : A \mapsto gAg^{-1})$$

of G and the morphism L is induced by the linear maps L_x in (9), i.e., L corresponds to the morphism

$$X \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Tot}(TX), \quad (x, A) \mapsto (x, s_A(x))$$

between the total spaces of $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ and TX which is G -equivariant, as can be easily checked. To simplify notations, we may denote $T\mathcal{X}$ by

$$T\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{L} TX.$$

The tangent space of \mathcal{X} at a point $x \in \mathcal{X}$ is given by

$$T_x \mathcal{X} = T_x X / L_x(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Note that the image $L_x(\mathfrak{g}) \subset T_x X$ can be identified with the tangent space of the orbit Gx at x . When G is a finite group, then $T\mathcal{X} = TX$ is simply the G -equivariant tangent bundle on X , and the tangent space $T_x \mathcal{X} = T_x X$ at all $x \in X$.

Now let's compute the orbifold Todd class of the K -theory class

$$[T\mathcal{X}] = [TX] - [\mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathfrak{g}] = [TX, \tau] - [\mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \phi_{\text{Ad}}]$$

of the tangent complex $T\mathcal{X}$ in $K(\mathcal{X})$. By the definition of $\tilde{\text{td}}$, we have

$$\tilde{\text{td}}(T\mathcal{X}) = \frac{\text{td}(IP_f[\pi^*T\mathcal{X}])}{e^\rho(IP_m[\pi^*T\mathcal{X}])},$$

where $IP_f[\pi^*T\mathcal{X}]$ and $IP_m[\pi^*T\mathcal{X}]$ are computed in the following lemma.

Lemma 4.30. *For a connected separated smooth quotient DM stack \mathcal{X} , there is a splitting short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow TI\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \pi^*T\mathcal{X} \rightarrow NI\mathcal{X} \rightarrow 0$$

of 2-term complexes on the inertia stack $I\mathcal{X}$ such that

$$[TI\mathcal{X}] = IP_f[\pi^*T\mathcal{X}] \quad \text{and} \quad [NI\mathcal{X}] = IP_m[\pi^*T\mathcal{X}]$$

in $K^0(I\mathcal{X})$.

Proof. Let I be the inertia index set of \mathcal{X} in Notation 4.4. Take $i \in I$. Pulling back $T\mathcal{X}$ along $q_i : \mathcal{X}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ gives a complex

$$(T\mathcal{X})_i = q_i^*T\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{O}_{X_i} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, (\phi_{\text{Ad}})_i) \rightarrow ((TX)_i, \tau_i),$$

where $(\phi_{\text{Ad}})_i$ and τ_i are G_i -equivariant structures on $\mathcal{O}_{X_i} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ and $(TX)_i = p_i^*TX$ induced from G -equivariant structures ϕ_{Ad} and τ respectively. We want to find the fixed and moved sub-complexes

$$(T\mathcal{X})_i^{\text{fix}} \quad \text{and} \quad (T\mathcal{X})_i^{\text{mov}}$$

of the tangent complex $T\mathcal{X}$ under the action of g_i (via linear operators $\phi_{\text{Ad}}(g_i)$ and $\tau(g_i)$ on the two terms of $T\mathcal{X}$). To simplify notations, we write

$$(T\mathcal{X})_i = \mathcal{O}_{X_i} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow (TX)_i,$$

where G_i -equivariant structures are understood. The inclusion $G_i \hookrightarrow G$ induces a splitting short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_i \rightarrow 0$$

of representations of G_i , where \mathfrak{g}_i carries the adjoint representation

$$\text{Ad}_i : G_i \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathfrak{g}_i)$$

of G_i , and \mathfrak{g} carries the restriction $\text{Ad}|_{G_i}$ of the adjoint representation of G . Since G_i is a subgroup of the centralizer Z_{g_i} , we have

$$\text{Ad}_i(g_i) = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}_i}.$$

Therefore, we have a splitting short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_i} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_i} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_i} \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_i \rightarrow 0$$

of G_i -equivariant vector bundles on X_i , where

$$\mathcal{O}_{X_i} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_i = (\mathcal{O}_{X_i} \otimes \mathfrak{g})^{\text{fix}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{O}_{X_i} \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_i = (\mathcal{O}_{X_i} \otimes \mathfrak{g})^{\text{mov}}.$$

The inclusion $p_i : X_i \hookrightarrow X$ gives a splitting short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow TX_i \rightarrow p_i^*TX \rightarrow NX_i \rightarrow 0$$

of G_i -equivariant vector bundles on X_i , where TX_i and NX_i are the tangent bundle and normal bundle of X_i with their canonical G_i -equivariant structures. Since g_i acts trivially on X_i , we can identify

$$TX_i = (TX)_i^{\text{fix}} \quad \text{and} \quad NX_i = (TX)_i^{\text{mov}}.$$

Therefore, we obtain a splitting short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T\mathcal{X}_i \rightarrow (T\mathcal{X})_i \rightarrow N\mathcal{X}_i \rightarrow 0$$

of 2-term complexes on \mathcal{X}_i , where

$$T\mathcal{X}_i = \mathcal{O}_{X_i} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_i \rightarrow TX_i \quad \text{and} \quad N\mathcal{X}_i = \mathcal{O}_{X_i} \otimes \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_i \rightarrow NX_i$$

are identified with $(T\mathcal{X})_i^{\text{fix}}$ and $(T\mathcal{X})_i^{\text{mov}}$ respectively. Define two complexes

$$T\mathcal{I}\mathcal{X} = \coprod_{i \in I} T\mathcal{X}_i \quad \text{and} \quad N\mathcal{I}\mathcal{X} = \coprod_{i \in I} N\mathcal{X}_i$$

on $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{X}$. Since $\pi^*T\mathcal{X} = \coprod_{i \in I} (T\mathcal{X})_i$, we have

$$[T\mathcal{I}\mathcal{X}] = IP_f[\pi^*T\mathcal{X}] \quad \text{and} \quad [N\mathcal{I}\mathcal{X}] = IP_m[\pi^*T\mathcal{X}]$$

in $K^0(\mathcal{I}\mathcal{X})$ as claimed in the lemma. \square

Notation 4.31 (The orbifold Todd class of $T\mathcal{X}$). Let $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ be a connected separated smooth quotient DM stack. We have

$$\tilde{\text{td}}(T\mathcal{X}) = \frac{\text{td}(T\mathcal{I}\mathcal{X})}{e^\rho(N\mathcal{I}\mathcal{X})} = \left(\text{td}(T\mathcal{X}), \bigoplus_{0 \neq i \in I} \frac{\text{td}(T\mathcal{X}_i)}{e^{\rho_{g_i}}(N\mathcal{X}_i)} \right)$$

in $A(\mathcal{I}\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}$, where

$$\text{td}(T\mathcal{X}) = \frac{\text{td}(TX)}{\text{td}(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathfrak{g})}$$

is the Todd class of the tangent complex of \mathcal{X} in $A(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}$. To simplify notations, we write

$$\tilde{\text{td}}_{\mathcal{X}} = \frac{\text{td}_{\mathcal{I}\mathcal{X}}}{e_{\mathcal{I}\mathcal{X}}^\rho} = \left(\text{td}_{\mathcal{X}}, \bigoplus_{0 \neq i \in I} \frac{\text{td}_{\mathcal{X}_i}}{e_{\mathcal{X}_i}^\rho} \right),$$

where $\text{td}_{\mathcal{I}\mathcal{X}} = \text{td}(T\mathcal{I}\mathcal{X})$, $e_{\mathcal{I}\mathcal{X}}^\rho = e^\rho(N\mathcal{I}\mathcal{X})$, $\text{td}_{\mathcal{X}_i} = \text{td}(T\mathcal{X}_i)$, and $e_{\mathcal{X}_i}^\rho = e^{\rho_{g_i}}(N\mathcal{X}_i)$ for each $0 \neq i \in I$. If G is a finite group, then \mathfrak{g} vanishes and hence we have

$$\tilde{\text{td}}_{\mathcal{X}} = \left(\text{td}_{\mathcal{X}}, \bigoplus_{0 \neq i \in I} \frac{\text{td}_{\mathcal{X}_i}}{e^{\rho_{g_i}}(N\mathcal{X}_i)} \right) = \left(\text{td}_{\mathcal{X}}, \bigoplus_{0 \neq i \in I} \frac{\text{td}_{\mathcal{X}_i}}{\prod_{\lambda, j} (1 - \lambda^{-1} e^{-h_{i, \lambda, j}})} \right),$$

where the second identity is from the G_i -equivariant decomposition

$$NX_i = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \text{eig}(\tau_i(g_i))} N_{i, \lambda}$$

of the normal bundle NX_i for each $0 \neq i \in I$, where τ_i is the G_i -equivariant structure on NX_i induced from the G -equivariant tangent bundle (TX, τ) on X , $\text{eig}(\tau_i(g_i))$ contains nontrivial $\text{ord}(g_i)$ -th roots of unity, and $h_{i,\lambda,1}, \dots, h_{i,\lambda, \text{rk}(N_{i,\lambda})}$ are the Chern roots of each eigenbundle $N_{i,\lambda}$ for each $0 \neq i \in I$.

5. ORBIFOLD MUKAI PAIRING AND ORBIFOLD HRR FORMULA

In this section we will define an orbifold Mukai pairing and derive an HRR formula for connected proper smooth quotient DM stacks.

We begin with the definition of a proper algebraic stack.

Definition 5.1. An algebraic stack \mathcal{X} is proper if \mathcal{X} is separated and of finite type, and if there exists a proper scheme Y with a surjective morphism $Y \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$.

Definition 5.2. Let \mathcal{X} be a proper quotient DM stack. The **orbifold Euler characteristic** $\chi(\mathcal{X}, \cdot) : K(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is defined by

$$\chi(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E}) = \sum_i (-1)^i \dim H^i(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E})$$

for a coherent sheaf \mathcal{E} on \mathcal{X} and extended linearly.

Notation 5.3. Let \mathcal{X} be a connected proper quotient DM stack of dimension n with its structure morphism $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{pt}$. We denote the pushforward

$$f_* : A(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow A(\text{pt})_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C}$$

by the integral symbol $\int_{\mathcal{X}}$, i.e., for an element $cv \in A(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$ with $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $v \in A(\mathcal{X})$, we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}} cv = c \deg(v_n),$$

where v_n is the degree n component of v . We also have a linear map

$$\int_{I\mathcal{X}} : A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

defined by $\int_{I\mathcal{X}} = \sum_{i \in I} \int_{\mathcal{X}_i}$.

Now we can state the orbifold Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch (HRR) theorem.

Theorem 5.4 (Orbifold HRR). *Let \mathcal{X} be a connected proper smooth quotient DM stack. Then for all $x \in K(\mathcal{X})$, we have*

$$(10) \quad \chi(\mathcal{X}, x) = \int_{I\mathcal{X}} \tilde{\text{ch}}(x) \tilde{\text{td}}_{\mathcal{X}} = \int_{I\mathcal{X}} \tilde{\text{ch}}(x) \frac{\text{td}_{I\mathcal{X}}}{e_{I\mathcal{X}}^{\rho}}.$$

Proof. Take an element x in $K(\mathcal{X})$. By the natural isomorphism $K(\mathcal{X}) \cong K^0(\mathcal{X})$, we can write $x = [\mathcal{V}] - [\mathcal{W}]$ for two vector bundles \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} on \mathcal{X} . Formula (10) follows from Theorem 4.19 in [Edi13] for vector bundles on \mathcal{X} and the linearities of the orbifold Euler characteristic and the orbifold Chern character. \square

Remark 5.5 (The explicit orbifold HRR theorem). Let I be the finite inertia index set of a connected proper smooth quotient DM stack \mathcal{X} in Notations 4.4 and 4.31. For a vector bundle \mathcal{V} on \mathcal{X} , the orbifold HRR theorem becomes

$$\chi(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{V}) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \text{ch}(\mathcal{V}) \text{td}_{\mathcal{X}} + \sum_{0 \neq i \in I} \int_{\mathcal{X}_i} \text{ch}(\mathcal{V}_i) \frac{\text{td}_{\mathcal{X}_i}}{e_{\mathcal{X}_i}^{\rho}},$$

where \mathcal{V}_i is the restriction of the vector bundle \mathcal{V} on the substack \mathcal{X}_i of \mathcal{X} for each $0 \neq i \in I$.

Definition 5.6. Let $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ be a proper quotient stack. The **orbifold Euler pairing**

$$\chi : K(\mathcal{X}) \times K(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

is defined by

$$\chi(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}) = \sum_i (-1)^i \dim \text{Ext}^i(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$$

for two coherent sheaves \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} on \mathcal{X} and extended bilinearly.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $\mathcal{X} = [X/G]$ be a proper smooth quotient DM stack. Then for all x and y in $K(\mathcal{X})$, we have*

$$\chi(x, y) = \chi(\mathcal{X}, x^{\vee}y).$$

In particular, $\chi(1, x) = \chi(\mathcal{X}, x)$ for all x in $K(\mathcal{X})$, where $1 = [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}]$.

Proof. By the bilinearity of χ , it suffices to consider $x = [\mathcal{V}]$ and $y = [\mathcal{W}]$ for vector bundles $\mathcal{V} = (V, \phi)$ and $\mathcal{W} = (W, \psi)$ on \mathcal{X} . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(x, y) &= \chi(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}) = \sum_i (-1)^i \dim \text{Ext}^i(V, W)^G \\ &= \sum_i (-1)^i \dim H^i(X, V^{\vee} \otimes W)^G && \text{because } V \text{ is locally free} \\ &= \chi(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{V}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{W}) \\ &= \chi(\mathcal{X}, x^{\vee}y). \end{aligned}$$

□

Next we will define the orbifold Mukai vector and the orbifold Mukai pairing for quotient stacks.

Let \mathcal{X} be a connected separated smooth quotient DM stack. We first define the square root of the Todd class of the inertia stack $I\mathcal{X}$.

Definition 5.8. Let \mathcal{X} be a connected separated smooth quotient DM stack with an inertia index set I in Notations 4.4 and 4.31. Take an index $i \in I$. We have $\text{td}_{\mathcal{X}_i} = 1 + v_i \in A(\mathcal{X}_i)_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}$ for some $v_i \in A^{\geq 1}(\mathcal{X}_i)$. If $d_i = \dim \mathcal{X}_i$, then the square root of $\text{td}_{\mathcal{X}_i}$

$$\sqrt{\text{td}_{\mathcal{X}_i}} = \sum_{k=0}^{d_i} \binom{1/2}{k} v_i^k = 1 + \frac{v_i}{2} - \frac{v_i^2}{8} + \cdots + \binom{1/2}{d_i} v_i^{d_i}$$

is well defined in $A(\mathcal{X}_i)_{\mathbb{C}}^{\times}$. We define the square root of $\mathrm{td}_{I\mathcal{X}}$ by

$$\sqrt{\mathrm{td}_{I\mathcal{X}}} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \sqrt{\mathrm{td}_{\mathcal{X}_i}}.$$

Definition 5.9. Let \mathcal{X} be a connected separated smooth quotient DM stack. The **orbifold Mukai vector** map $\tilde{v} : K(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$ is defined by

$$\tilde{v}(x) = \tilde{\mathrm{ch}}(x) \sqrt{\mathrm{td}_{I\mathcal{X}}}$$

for all x in $K(\mathcal{X})$.

Remark 5.10. For an element x in $K(\mathcal{X})$, if $\tilde{v}(x) = (v, (v_i)) \in A(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{Q}} \oplus A(I_t\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$, then v is called the **Mukai vector** of x and each v_i for $0 \neq i \in I$ is called a **twisted Mukai vector** of x .

Remark 5.11. Our definition of the orbifold Mukai vector map is different from the one in [Pop17], where

$$\tilde{v}(x) = \tilde{\mathrm{ch}}(x) \sqrt{\tilde{\mathrm{td}}_{\mathcal{X}}} = \tilde{\mathrm{ch}}(x) \sqrt{\mathrm{td}_{I\mathcal{X}} / e_{I\mathcal{X}}^{\rho}}$$

in the cohomology $H^*(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$. We made a different (and arguably better) choice because taking the square root of $e_{I\mathcal{X}}^{\rho}$ is an unnecessary computation and it would also make the orbifold Mukai pairing more complicated. We also use the complex Chow ring $A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$ rather than $H^*(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$ for the target of the orbifold Mukai vector map.

The next result follows immediately from Definition 5.9.

Proposition 5.12. *Let \mathcal{X} be a connected separated smooth quotient DM stack. The orbifold Mukai vector map $\tilde{v} : K(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfies*

$$\tilde{v}(x + y) = \tilde{v}(x) + \tilde{v}(y) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{v}(xy) = \tilde{v}(x) \tilde{\mathrm{ch}}(y)$$

for all x and y in $K(\mathcal{X})$.

There is an involution on the complex Chow ring $A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Definition 5.13. Let \mathcal{X} be a connected separated smooth quotient DM stack. We define an involution

$$(\cdot)^{\vee} : A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$$

by defining one on each component of $A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$. Take $i \in I$. An element $u \in A(\mathcal{X}_i)_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a finite sum:

$$u = \sum_{j,k} a_{jk} u_{jk},$$

where $a_{jk} \in \mathbb{C}$, and $u_{jk} \in A^j(\mathcal{X}_i)$ is the class of an irreducible closed substack of \mathcal{X}_i of codimension j . The involution on $A(\mathcal{X}_i)_{\mathbb{C}}$ is defined by

$$u^{\vee} = \sum_{j,k} (-1)^j \bar{a}_{jk} u_{jk},$$

where \bar{a}_{jk} denotes the complex conjugate of a_{jk} . For every element $\tilde{v} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} v_i$ in $A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$, define

$$\tilde{v}^{\vee} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} v_i^{\vee}.$$

Remark 5.14. It is straightforward to check that $(\cdot)^{\vee} : A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a ring automorphism which also commutes with $\sqrt{(\cdot)}$ when this is defined.

Proposition 5.15. *Let \mathcal{X} be a connected separated smooth quotient DM stack. Then for all x and y in $K(\mathcal{X})$, we have*

$$\tilde{\text{ch}}(x^{\vee}) = \tilde{\text{ch}}(x)^{\vee} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{v}(x^{\vee}) = \tilde{v}(x)^{\vee} \sqrt{\text{td}_{I\mathcal{X}} / \text{td}_{I\mathcal{X}}^{\vee}}$$

in $A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Proof. We first prove the first identity. By the linearity of $\tilde{\text{ch}}$ and the involutions on $K(\mathcal{X})$ and $A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$, it suffices to check the case $x = [\mathcal{V}]$ is the class of a vector bundle \mathcal{V} on \mathcal{X} . We can write

$$\tilde{\text{ch}}(\mathcal{V}^{\vee}) = \left(\text{ch}(\mathcal{V}^{\vee}), \bigoplus_{0 \neq i \in I} \text{ch}^{\rho_{g_i}}(\mathcal{V}_i^{\vee}) \right).$$

We have $\text{ch}(\mathcal{V}^{\vee}) = \text{ch}(\mathcal{V})^{\vee}$ by the property of the ordinary Chern character. For each $0 \neq i \in I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}^{\rho_{g_i}}(\mathcal{V}_i^{\vee}) &= \text{ch} \left(\sum_{\lambda \in \text{eig}(\phi_i(g_i))} \lambda^{-1} [\mathcal{V}_{i,\lambda}]^{\vee} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \text{eig}(\phi_i(g_i))} \sum_{j=0}^{\dim \mathcal{X}_i} (-1)^j \lambda^{-1} \text{ch}_j(\mathcal{V}_{i,\lambda}) = (\text{ch}^{\rho_{g_i}}(\mathcal{V}_i))^{\vee}. \end{aligned}$$

Now the second identity follows from two identities:

$$\tilde{v}(x^{\vee}) = \tilde{\text{ch}}(x^{\vee}) \sqrt{\text{td}_{I\mathcal{X}}} = \tilde{\text{ch}}(x)^{\vee} \sqrt{\text{td}_{I\mathcal{X}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{v}(x)^{\vee} = \tilde{\text{ch}}(x)^{\vee} \sqrt{\text{td}_{I\mathcal{X}}^{\vee}}$$

□

Assume \mathcal{X} is proper. Now we define an orbifold Mukai pairing on $A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Definition 5.16. Let \mathcal{X} be a connected proper smooth quotient DM stack. The **orbifold Mukai pairing**

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{I\mathcal{X}} : A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}} \times A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

is defined by

$$(11) \quad \langle \tilde{v}, \tilde{w} \rangle_{I\mathcal{X}} = \int_{I\mathcal{X}} \frac{\tilde{v}^{\vee} \tilde{w}}{e_{I\mathcal{X}}^{\rho}} \sqrt{\frac{\text{td}_{I\mathcal{X}}}{\text{td}_{I\mathcal{X}}^{\vee}}}$$

for all \tilde{v} and \tilde{w} in $A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Remark 5.17. For a pair of vectors $\tilde{v} = (v, (v_i))$ and $\tilde{w} = (w, (w_i))$ in $A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$, the orbifold Mukai pairing can be written as

$$\langle \tilde{v}, \tilde{w} \rangle_{I\mathcal{X}} = \langle v, w \rangle_{\mathcal{X}} + \sum_{0 \neq i \in I} \langle v_i, w_i \rangle_{\mathcal{X}_i},$$

where the **Mukai pairing**

$$\langle v, w \rangle_{\mathcal{X}} = \int_{\mathcal{X}} v^{\vee} w \sqrt{\mathrm{td}_{\mathcal{X}} / \mathrm{td}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\vee}},$$

and the **i -th twisted Mukai pairing**

$$\langle v_i, w_i \rangle_{\mathcal{X}_i} = \int_{\mathcal{X}_i} \frac{v_i^{\vee} w_i}{e_{\mathcal{X}_i}^{\rho}} \sqrt{\mathrm{td}_{\mathcal{X}_i} / \mathrm{td}_{\mathcal{X}_i}^{\vee}}$$

for each $0 \neq i \in I$.

The following is immediate from the definition of the orbifold Mukai pairing.

Proposition 5.18. *Let \mathcal{X} be a connected proper smooth quotient DM stack. The orbifold Mukai pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{I\mathcal{X}} : A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}} \times A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is sesquilinear, i.e.,*

$$\langle \tilde{v} + \tilde{s}, \tilde{w} + \tilde{t} \rangle_{I\mathcal{X}} = \langle \tilde{v}, \tilde{w} \rangle_{I\mathcal{X}} + \langle \tilde{v}, \tilde{t} \rangle_{I\mathcal{X}} + \langle \tilde{s}, \tilde{w} \rangle_{I\mathcal{X}} + \langle \tilde{s}, \tilde{t} \rangle_{I\mathcal{X}}$$

and

$$\langle a\tilde{v}, b\tilde{w} \rangle_{I\mathcal{X}} = \bar{a}b \langle \tilde{v}, \tilde{w} \rangle_{I\mathcal{X}}$$

for all $\tilde{v}, \tilde{s}, \tilde{w}, \tilde{t}$ in $A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$ and all a, b in \mathbb{C} .

Now we can state the orbifold HRR formula in terms of the orbifold Euler pairing and the orbifold Mukai pairing.

Theorem 5.19 (Orbifold HRR formula). *Let \mathcal{X} be a connected proper smooth quotient DM stack. Then for all x and y in $K(\mathcal{X})$, we have*

$$(12) \quad \chi(x, y) = \langle \tilde{v}(x), \tilde{v}(y) \rangle_{I\mathcal{X}}.$$

Proof. Take any x and y in $K(\mathcal{X})$. Formula (12) is a result of the orbifold HRR theorem and the definition of the orbifold Mukai pairing:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(x, y) &= \chi(\mathcal{X}, x^{\vee} y) && \text{by Lemma 5.7} \\ &= \int_{I\mathcal{X}} \tilde{\mathrm{ch}}(x^{\vee} y) \tilde{\mathrm{td}}_{\mathcal{X}} && \text{by the orbifold HRR theorem 5.4} \\ &= \int_{I\mathcal{X}} \tilde{\mathrm{ch}}(x^{\vee}) \tilde{\mathrm{ch}}(y) \tilde{\mathrm{td}}_{\mathcal{X}} && \text{since } \tilde{\mathrm{ch}} \text{ is a ring map by Proposition 4.13} \\ &= \int_{I\mathcal{X}} \frac{\tilde{v}(x^{\vee}) \tilde{v}(y)}{e_{I\mathcal{X}}^{\rho}} && \text{by Definition 5.9} \\ &= \int_{I\mathcal{X}} \frac{\tilde{v}(x)^{\vee} \tilde{v}(y)}{e_{I\mathcal{X}}^{\rho}} \sqrt{\mathrm{td}_{I\mathcal{X}} / \mathrm{td}_{I\mathcal{X}}^{\vee}} && \text{by Lemma 5.15} \\ &= \langle \tilde{v}(x), \tilde{v}(y) \rangle_{I\mathcal{X}} && \text{by Definition 5.16.} \end{aligned}$$

□

The orbifold Euler pairing on $K(\mathcal{X})$ can be extended to a sesquilinear form on $K(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$. Since $\sqrt{\mathrm{td}_{I\mathcal{X}}}$ is a unit in $A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$, Proposition 4.16 implies the following.

Proposition 5.20. *Let \mathcal{X} be a connected proper smooth quotient DM stack. The orbifold Mukai vector map $\tilde{v} : K(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a linear isometry*

$$\tilde{v} : (K(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}, \chi) \xrightarrow{\cong} (A(I\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{C}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{I\mathcal{X}}).$$

Note that formula (12) reduces to (10) when $x = [\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}] = 1$. Let's apply the orbifold HRR formula for $\mathcal{X} = BG$ for a finite group G .

Example 5.21. Consider $\mathcal{X} = BG$ for a finite group G with conjugacy classes $[g_0], [g_1], \dots, [g_{n-1}]$. Hence

$$K(BG) = K^0(BG) = R(G).$$

The orbifold Euler characteristic of a sheaf $\mathcal{V} = (V, \phi)$ on BG is

$$\chi(BG, \phi) = \dim V^G,$$

and the orbifold Euler pairing for sheaves $\mathcal{V} = (V, \phi)$ and $\mathcal{W} = (W, \psi)$ on BG is

$$\chi(\phi, \psi) = \dim \mathrm{Hom}(V, W)^G.$$

The inertia stack of BG is

$$IBG \simeq \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \{g_i\} \times Z_{g_i}, \quad \text{and} \quad A(IBG)_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathbb{C}^n.$$

The orbifold Mukai vector and orbifold Chern character coincide to be

$$\tilde{v} = \tilde{\mathrm{ch}} : R(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n, \quad [V, \phi] \mapsto \chi_{\phi},$$

i.e., the character map for representations of G . The involution on $R(G)$ is taking dual representations of G , and the involution on $A(IBG)_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathbb{C}^n$ is complex conjugation. The orbifold Mukai pairing is an inner product on \mathbb{C}^n weighted by the centralizers $Z_{g_0}, \dots, Z_{g_{n-1}}$, since formula (11) reduces to

$$\langle a, b \rangle_{IBG} = \int_{IBG} \bar{a}b = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{BZ_{g_i}} \bar{a}_i b_i = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{|Z_{g_i}|} \bar{a}_i b_i$$

for all $a = (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$ and $b = (b_0, \dots, b_{n-1})$ in \mathbb{C}^n . For a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{V} = (V, \phi)$ on BG , the orbifold HRR theorem reads

$$\chi(BG, \phi) = \dim V^G = \int_{IBG} \tilde{\mathrm{ch}}(\mathcal{V}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{BZ_{g_i}} \chi_{\phi}(g_i) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{|Z_{g_i}|} \chi_{\phi}(g_i) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_{\phi}(g),$$

where the last equality holds because

$$|[g]| \times |Z_g| = |G|$$

for all g in G . For a pair of coherent sheaves $\mathcal{V} = (V, \phi)$ and $\mathcal{W} = (W, \psi)$ on BG , the orbifold HRR formula reads

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\phi, \psi) &= \dim \operatorname{Hom}(V, W)^G = \langle \tilde{v}(\mathcal{V}), \tilde{v}(\mathcal{W}) \rangle_{IBG} = \langle \chi_\phi, \chi_\psi \rangle_W \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{|Z_{g_i}|} \overline{\chi_\phi(g_i)} \chi_\psi(g_i) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_\phi(g^{-1}) \chi_\psi(g), \end{aligned}$$

which is a standard result in representation theory. When ϕ is an irreducible representation of G , then $\chi(\phi, \psi)$ counts the copies of ϕ in ψ .

Example 5.22 (The orbifold HRR formula for $B\mu_n$ is Parseval's theorem.). Consider $\mathcal{X} = B\mu_n$. The orbifold Euler pairing on $K(B\mu_n) \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^n - 1)$ is given by

$$\langle x^i, x^j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$$

for $i, j = 0, \dots, n-1$ and extended linearly. The orbifold Mukai pairing on

$$A(IB\mu_n) \cong \mathbb{C}^n$$

is the weighted inner product

$$\langle a, b \rangle_W = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \bar{a}_i b_i$$

in \mathbb{C} for all $a = (a_0, \dots, a_{n-1})$ and $b = (b_0, \dots, b_{n-1})$ in \mathbb{C}^n . Recall that the orbifold Mukai vector is the inverse discrete Fourier transform

$$\tilde{v} : \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - 1) \cong \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n, \quad f \mapsto \check{f},$$

and its inverse is the discrete Fourier transform $f \mapsto \hat{f}$. The orbifold HRR formula (12) now reads

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \langle \check{f}, \check{g} \rangle_W$$

for all f, g in $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^n - 1)$. Extending the orbifold Euler pairing to $K(B\mu_n)_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C}[x]/(x^n - 1)$ sesquilinearly, we have

$$\langle a, b \rangle_W = \langle \hat{a}, \hat{b} \rangle$$

in \mathbb{C} for all a, b in \mathbb{C}^n , which is Parseval's theorem for the discrete Fourier transform.

REFERENCES

- [Edi13] Dan Edidin. Riemann-Roch for Deligne-Mumford stacks. In *A celebration of algebraic geometry*, volume 18 of *Clay Math. Proc.*, pages 241–266. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2013.
- [EG98] Dan Edidin and William Graham. Equivariant intersection theory (with an Appendix by Angelo Vistoli: the Chow ring of M_2). *Invent. Math.*, 131(3):595–634, 1998.
- [EG00] Dan Edidin and William Graham. Riemann-Roch for equivariant Chow groups. *Duke Math. J.*, 102(3):567–594, 2000.
- [EG05] Dan Edidin and William Graham. Nonabelian localization in equivariant K -theory and Riemann-Roch for quotients. *Adv. Math.*, 198(2):547–582, 2005.
- [FL85] William Fulton and Serge Lang. *Riemann-Roch Algebra*, volume 277 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer New York, NY, 1985.

- [Ful98] William Fulton. *Intersection Theory*, volume 2 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics*. Springer New York, NY, second edition, 1998.
- [Iri09] Hiroshi Iritani. An integral structure in quantum cohomology and mirror symmetry for toric orbifolds. *Adv. Math.*, 222(3):1016–1079, 2009.
- [Kaw79] Tetsuro Kawasaki. The Riemann-Roch theorem for complex V -manifolds. *Osaka Math. J.*, 16(1):151–159, 1979.
- [Pop17] Mihnea Popa. Derived equivalences of smooth stacks and orbifold Hodge numbers. In *Higher Dimensional Algebraic Geometry: in honour of Professor Yujiro Kawamata's sixtieth birthday*, volume 74 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 357–380. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2017.
- [Toë99] Bertrand Toën. Théorèmes de Riemann-Roch pour les champs de Deligne-Mumford. *K-Theory*, 18(1):33–76, 1999.
- [Toë00] Bertrand Toën. On motives for Deligne-Mumford stacks. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, 2000(17):909–928, 2000.
- [Tot04] Burt Totaro. The resolution property for schemes and stacks. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 577:1–22, 2004.
- [Tse10] Hsian-Hua Tseng. Orbifold quantum Riemann-Roch, Lefschetz and Serre. *Geom. Topol.*, 14(1):1–81, 2010.
- [Yau10] Donald Yau. *Lambda-rings*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2010.