GRADED MULTIPLICITIES IN THE KOSTANT-RALLIS SETTING

ANDREW FROHMADER

ABSTRACT. Consider the symmetric pair $(\mathbf{GL}_n, \mathbf{O}_n)$ in the setting of the Kostant-Rallis Theorem. We provide a combinatorial formula for the graded multiplicity of an irreducible \mathbf{O}_n -representation in the harmonic polynomials on \mathfrak{p} . The results here are expected to extend to the other classical symmetric pairs.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a symmetric subgroup of a reductive algebraic group Gover \mathbb{C} with Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} and \mathfrak{p} the Cartan complement of \mathfrak{k} in \mathfrak{g} , so $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$. Then \mathfrak{p} is a K-module under the restriction of the adjoint representation to K. Let \mathcal{H} be the subspace of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}]$ consisting of Kharmonic polynomials. A classical theorem of Kostant and Rallis [13] gives the separation of variables $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}] = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}]^K \otimes \mathcal{H}$. Central to the present work is that, in general, no combinatorial formulas exist for the graded multiplicity of an irreducible K representation in \mathcal{H} . There are of course partial results, see Section 2.2. The purpose of this paper is to illustrate an approach, based on work of Lecouvey and Lenart [16] and Jang and Kwon [10], that provides such formulas. We choose to work with the symmetric pair of type AI, that is $(\mathbf{GL}_n, \mathbf{O}_n)$. Let $m_{\nu}(q, n) = \sum_d m_d q^d$ where m_d is the multiplicity of the \mathbf{O}_n irreducible representation ν in the degree d graded component of \mathcal{H} . We have the following,

Theorem 1.1.

$$m_{\nu}(q,n) = q^{|\nu|/2} \sum_{T \in D(\nu^{\pi})} q^{|\tilde{\varepsilon}(T)|/2}.$$

Here $D(\nu^{\pi})$ is a finite subset of semistandard tableau and $\tilde{\epsilon}(T)$ is partition easily computed from the standard \mathfrak{gl}_n -crystal operators ε_i . See below for definitions.

While we choose to illustrate this result with $(\mathbf{GL}_n, \mathbf{O}_n)$, it is expected to extend to the other classical symmetric pairs. The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides background on

ANDREW FROHMADER

the Kostant-Rallis theorem, Kwon's spinor model, and \mathfrak{gl}_n -crystals. In Section 3, we prove the main theorem. Finally, in Section 4 we provide explicit examples of graded multiplicity computations using the main theorem.

Acknowledgement The author would like to thank Jeb Willenbring for helpful discussions.

2. Background

2.1. Parameterization of Representations. Let G be $\mathbf{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ or $\mathbf{O}(n, \mathbb{C})$. We work exclusively over \mathbb{C} so use the notation \mathbf{GL}_n and \mathbf{O}_n . As usual, we write dominant integral weights of \mathbf{GL}_n as $\lambda_1 \epsilon_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n \epsilon_n$ with $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$ and define the fundamental weights by $\omega_i = \epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_i$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots n\}$. We label the the irreducible polynomial representations of G using integer partitions, which we often represent as Young diagrams.

Let \mathcal{P} denote the set of Young diagrams and \mathcal{P}_n denote diagrams $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$ of length $l(\lambda) \leq n$. Define a partial order on \mathcal{P} by $\mu \leq \lambda$ if $\lambda - \mu \in \mathcal{P}$, i.e. $\lambda - \mu$ is dominant. Let $P^{(2)}$ denote diagrams with even rows and set $\mathcal{P}_n^{(2)} = \mathcal{P}_n \cap \mathcal{P}^{(2)}$. Let λ' denote the conjugate diagram.

 \mathbf{GL}_n is a connected reductive algebraic group, so we can simply parameterize the irreducible representations $V_{\mathbf{GL}_n}^{\lambda}$ by highest weight $\lambda = \lambda_1 \epsilon_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n \epsilon_n$. The only constraint here is that $l(\lambda) \leq n$.

 \mathbf{O}_n has two connected components, so we cannot rely on highest weights directly. However, \mathbf{SO}_n is a connected reductive normal subgroup of \mathbf{O}_n of index 2. Thus, we can parameterize \mathbf{O}_n irreducible representations by their restrictions to \mathbf{SO}_n . Alternatively, there is an analogue of Schur-Weyl duality for \mathbf{O}_n . Both approaches come to the same thing: irreducible polynomial representations of \mathbf{O}_n are parameterized by non-negative integer partitions ν such that $(\nu')_1 + (\nu')_n \leq n$. Denote this subset of partitions $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{O}_n)$. See [4, Sections 5.5.5, 10.2].

2.2. Generalized Exponents and Kostant-Rallis. Let G be a connected, reductive, linear algebraic group of rank n over \mathbb{C} , \mathfrak{g} the Lie algebra of G, and $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]$ the ring of polynomial function on \mathfrak{g} . Then by a classical theorem of Kostant [14], we have a separation of variables $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}] = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^G \otimes \mathcal{H}$ under the adjoint action of G. Here \mathcal{H} is the set of G-harmonic polynomials on \mathfrak{g} and $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^G$ is the freely generated invariant ring. This leads to the following formula for the graded character of \mathcal{H}

 $\mathbf{2}$

$$\operatorname{char}_{q}(\mathcal{H}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - q^{m_{i}+1}) \operatorname{char}_{q}(\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]),$$

where m_1, \ldots, m_n are the exponents of G and polynomials are naturally graded by degree. Let C be a set parameterizing the irreducible representations of G and for $\lambda \in C$ let s_{λ}^G denote the character of the irreducible G-module V_G^{λ} . Expanding $\operatorname{char}_q(\mathcal{H})$ in terms of the s_{λ}^G we have

$$\operatorname{char}_{q}(\mathcal{H}) = \sum_{\lambda \in C} K^{G}_{\lambda,0}(q) s^{G}_{\lambda}.$$

The polynomials $K_{\lambda,0}^G(q)$ are the generalized exponents of G and by a theorem of Hesselink [6] coincide with the Lusztig q-analogues associated to the zero weight subspaces in the representations V_G^{λ} . Thus,

$$K^G_{\lambda,0}(q) = \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{l(w)} P_q(w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho),$$

where ρ is the half sum of positive roots, W is the Weyl group of G, P_q is the q-Kostant partition function, and l(w) is the length of $w \in W$. A large body of combinatorial work has developed connected to these ideas, see for example [16], [10], [19] and references therein.

The above separation of variables was generalized to the linear isotropy representation for a symmetric space by Kostant and Rallis [13]. Let K be a symmetric subgroup of a reductive algebraic group G with Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} and \mathfrak{p} the Cartan complement of \mathfrak{k} in \mathfrak{g} . Then \mathfrak{p} is naturally identified with the tangent space of G/K at the coset K. \mathfrak{p} is a Kmodule under the restriction of the adjoint representation to K. The action of K on \mathfrak{p} is the isotropy representation at a K-fixed point of G/K. Let \mathcal{H} be the subspace of $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}]$ consisting of K-harmonic polynomials. Then $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}] = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}]^K \otimes \mathcal{H}$. Again we seek to understand the graded character of \mathcal{H} . Expanding char_q(\mathcal{H}) in terms of s_{λ}^K we obtain,

$$\operatorname{char}_{q}(\mathcal{H}) = \sum_{\lambda \in C} m_{\lambda}^{(G,K)}(q) s_{\lambda}^{K}.$$

Now the polynomials $m_{\lambda}^{(G,K)}(q)$ are much less understood. Of course, the results in the Kostant setting are a special case: take $G_1 = G \times G$ and define the symmetric subgroup K as the fixed points of the involution $\theta(g,h) = (h,g)$, see the proof of [4, Theorem 12.4.2]. Kostant and Rallis describe the graded multiplicities of an irreducible representation λ in \mathcal{H} in terms of the eigenvalues of a certain element of

ANDREW FROHMADER

 \mathfrak{k} , see [13, Theorem 21]. In [20], Wallach and Willenbring obtain formulas similar to Hesselink for some examples including: $(\mathbf{GL}_{2n}, \mathbf{Sp}_{2n})$, $(\mathbf{SO}_{2n+2}, \mathbf{SO}_{2n+1})$, and (E_6, F_4) . Wallach and Willenbring also worked out the example of $(\mathbf{SL}_4, \mathbf{SO}_4)$ explicitly and other results in special cases have appeared, [11], [5]. But in general, explicit formulas only exist in a stable range corresponding to the classical restriction rules of Littlewood [18], [17], [22], [8], [9].

In this paper we restrict attention to the symmetric pair of Type AI, that is $(\mathbf{GL}_n, \mathbf{O}_n)$. We approach the problem of understanding $m_{\lambda}^{(G,K)}(q)$ from a combinatorial perspective, relying on recent work of Kwon [15], Jang and Kwon [10], and Lecouvey and Lenart [16] to derive a general combinatorial formula for $m_{\lambda}^{(\mathbf{GL}_n,\mathbf{O}_n)}(q)$. The approach taken here is expected to generalize to all classical symmetric pairs.

Since we only consider the pair $(\mathbf{GL}_n, \mathbf{O}_n)$ we simplify our notation, setting $m_{\lambda}(q, n) = m_{\lambda}^{(\mathbf{GL}_n, \mathbf{O}_n)}(q)$. By the Cartan-Helgason Theorem [4, Corollary 12.3.15][9], the space of regular functions on $\mathbf{GL}_n/\mathbf{O}_n$ has a multiplicity free decomposition as a \mathbf{GL}_n -module. In particular $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{GL}_n/\mathbf{O}_n] \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n^{(2)}} V_{\mathbf{GL}_n}^{\lambda}$ as a \mathbf{GL}_n -module, where $\mathcal{P}_n^{(2)}$ parameterizes the \mathbf{O}_n -spherical representations of \mathbf{GL}_n . Now $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{GL}_n/\mathbf{O}_n] \cong \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}]$ as a \mathbf{GL}_n -module so we have

$$\operatorname{char}_{q}(\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}]) = \operatorname{char}_{q}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{GL}_{n}/\mathbf{O}_{n}]) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n}^{(2)}} q^{\frac{|\lambda|}{2}} s_{\lambda}^{\mathbf{GL}_{n}}$$

Restricting from \mathbf{GL}_n to \mathbf{O}_n and letting $c_{\nu}^{\lambda}(\mathbf{O}_n) = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{O}_n}(V_{\mathbf{O}_n}^{\nu}, V_{\mathbf{GL}_n}^{\lambda})$ be the multiplicity of $V_{\mathbf{O}_n}^{\nu}$ in $V_{\mathbf{GL}_n}^{\lambda}$ as an \mathbf{O}_n -module we arrive at the q-character

$$\operatorname{char}_{q}(\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}]) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n}^{(2)}} q^{\frac{|\lambda|}{2}} \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_{n}} c_{\nu}^{\lambda}(\mathbf{O}_{n}) s_{\nu}^{\mathbf{O}_{n}}.$$

By the Chevalley restriction theorem and the classification of invariants of finite reflection groups, $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}]^{\mathbf{O}_n}$ is a freely generated polynomial ring and

$$\operatorname{char}_{q}(\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}]^{\mathbf{O}_{n}}) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1-q^{i})},$$

see [4, Chapter 12]. So we have,

$$\operatorname{char}_{q}(\mathcal{H}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1-q^{i}) \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n}^{(2)}} q^{\frac{|\lambda|}{2}} \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_{n}} c_{\nu}^{\lambda}(\mathbf{O}_{n}) s_{\nu}^{\mathbf{O}_{n}}.$$

Holding ν fixed,

$$m_{\nu}(q,n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1-q^{i}) \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n}^{(2)}} q^{\frac{|\lambda|}{2}} c_{\nu}^{\lambda}(\mathbf{O}_{n}).$$

Thus, the problem reduces to understanding the branching coefficients $c_{\nu}^{\lambda}(\mathbf{O}_n)$.

2.3. Littlewood-Richardson tableaux. In this section we review some combinatorics of Littlewood-Richardson tableaux (LR tableaux), see [3].

For a skew Young diagram λ/μ let $SST(\lambda/\mu)$ be the set of semistandard tableau on λ/μ with entries in $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $SST_n(\lambda/\mu)$ the set of semistandard tableau with entries in $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. For $T \in SST(\lambda)$, we define w(T) the column word of T, that is we read top to bottom, right to left. Let H_{λ} be the tableau in $SST(\lambda)$ with *i*th row filled by entry *i* for $1 \leq i \leq l(\lambda)$.

We use column insertions to define a product on tableaux. For $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}$, $T \in SST(\lambda)$, and $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, let $a \to T$ be the tableau obtained by column insertion of a into T. For a semistandard tableau S, define $S \to T$ by $w(S) \to T$.

For $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}$, let $\operatorname{LR}_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda}$ be the set of Littlewood-Richardson tableau of shape λ/μ and content ν . Let λ^{π} denote the skew tableau obtained from λ by 180° rotation. Following Jang and Kwon [10], we use the following anti-version of LR tableaux which leads to a simpler description of the key branching rule. Let $\operatorname{LR}_{\mu\nu\pi}^{\lambda}$ be the set of $T \in SST(\lambda/\mu)$ with content ν^{π} such that $w(T) = w_1 \dots w_r$ is an anti-lattice word, that is, the number of i in $w_k \dots w_r$ is greater than or equal to the number of i-1 for each $k \geq 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq l(\nu)$. Let $\operatorname{CLR}_{\mu\nu\pi}^{\lambda}$ denote the set of $S \in SST(\nu^{\pi})$ such that $S \to H_{\mu} = H_{\lambda}$.

There is a natural bijection from $T \in LR^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu\pi}$ to $S \in CLR^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu\pi}$ where each *i* in the *j*th row of *T* corresponds to *j* in the *i*th row of *S*. *S* is called the companion tableau of *T*. We also recall there are combinatorial bijections between $LR^{\lambda'}_{\mu'\nu'}$ and $LR^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}$ ([16], Theorem 6.2) and between $LR^{\lambda'}_{\mu'\nu'}$ and $LR^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu\pi}$ ([10], (2.2)). So we have $|CLR^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu\pi}| = |LR^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu\pi}| =$ $|LR^{\lambda'}_{\mu'\nu'}| = |LR^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}| = c^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}$ the Littlewood-Richardson number.

2.4. \mathfrak{gl}_n -Crystals. For a dominant integral weight $\lambda = \lambda_1 \epsilon_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n \epsilon_n$ of \mathfrak{gl}_n , the set of semistandard tableau of shape λ , $SST_n(\lambda)$, provides a model for the crystal graph of the finite dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ -module with highest weight λ . We will denote $SST_n(\lambda)$ with this crystal structure $\mathcal{B}_n(\lambda)$, see [7]. Denote the Kashiwara operators \tilde{e}_i and \tilde{f}_i for $i = 1, \ldots, n - 1$. For $T \in \mathcal{B}_n(\lambda)$, the weight of T is given by wt(T) = $k_1\epsilon_1 + \cdots + k_n\epsilon_n$ where k_i denotes the number of *i*'s appearing in T. The element H_{λ} represents the highest weight element. Define $\varepsilon_i(T) = \max\{k \ge 0 \mid \tilde{e}_i^k T \in \mathcal{B}_n(\lambda)\}, \phi_i(T) = \max\{k \ge 0 \mid \tilde{f}_i^k T \in \mathcal{B}_n(\lambda)\},$ and

$$\phi(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \phi_i(T)\omega_i, \qquad \qquad \varepsilon(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_i(T)\omega_i$$

Lemma 2.1. Let $\mathcal{B}_n(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{B}_n(\mu)$ be finite dimensional irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ -crystals. Then $S \otimes T \in \mathcal{B}_n(\lambda) \otimes \mathcal{B}_n(\mu)$ is a highest weight vector if and only if $S = H_\lambda$ and $\lambda \geq \varepsilon(T)$.

Proof. This is essentially a specialization of [7, Corollary 4.4.4] which follows from the tensor product rule. \Box

 $SST_n(\lambda^{\pi})$ caries a \mathfrak{gl}_n -crystal structure, $\mathcal{B}_n(\lambda^{\pi})$, isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}_n(\lambda)$. The above discussion also caries over to define a type $A_+\infty$ crystal structure on $SST(\lambda)$ which we denote $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$. Lemma 2.1 gives another characterisation of the set $\operatorname{CLR}_{\mu\nu^{\pi}}^{\lambda}$,

$$\operatorname{CLR}_{\mu\nu^{\pi}}^{\lambda} = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(\nu^{\pi}) \mid \mu \geq \varepsilon(T) \text{ and } \mu + \operatorname{wt}(T) = \lambda \}.$$

2.5. Spinor models. We need combinatorial formulas for the branching coefficients $c_{\nu}^{\lambda}(\mathbf{O}_n)$ in full generality. The Littlewood Restriction Rule will not suffice. There have been numerous works extending Littlewood, see for example [2]. But most formulas are obtained in an algebraic way - i.e. not subtraction free. To our knowledge, the first combinatorial formula fully extending the Littlewood Restriction Rule for $c_{\nu}^{\lambda}(\mathbf{O}_n)$ is provided by Jang and Kwon [10].

We briefly recall their approach. Let \mathfrak{d}_{∞} be the Kac-Moody Lie algebra of type D_{∞} and \mathfrak{l}_{∞} be its maximal Levi subalgebra of type $A_{+\infty}$ [12]. Observe we have the seesaw pair

$$\mathfrak{d}_{\infty} \longrightarrow \mathbf{O}_n$$

 $\cup \qquad \cap$
 $\mathfrak{l}_{\infty} \longrightarrow \mathbf{GL}_n$

coming from dualities on the fermionic Fock space $\mathcal{F}^{\frac{n}{2}}$ [21]. Branching to a Levi subalegra is straightforward via crystals, simply count the \mathfrak{l}_{∞} highest weight elements [1]. Kwon developed the *spinor model* for \mathfrak{d}_{∞} crystals, see [15] and reference therein, to exploit the above dualities, computing $c_{\nu}^{\lambda}(\mathbf{O}_{n})$ by counting \mathfrak{l}_{∞} -highest weight vectors of weight λ' in the spinor model $\mathbf{T}(\nu, n)$. Denote this set of highest weight vectors $LR^{\nu}_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{d}_{\infty})$.

Motivated by [16], Jang and Kwon introduce a combinatorial algorithm in [10] which gives an injective map from $LR^{\nu}_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{d}_{\infty})$ into $\sqcup_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}^{(2)}} CLR^{\lambda'}_{\mu'\nu'}$. This allows them to provide flag conditions on the tableau in $CLR^{\lambda'}_{\mu'\nu'}$ indicating whether they should be included in the count of $c^{\lambda}_{\nu}(\mathbf{O}_n)$. As the conditions are quite technical, they provide an alternative description of $c^{\lambda}_{\nu}(\mathbf{O}_n)$ in terms of a subset of $CLR^{\lambda}_{\mu,\nu^{\pi}}$. We will use this simpler characterization.

Definition 2.1. [10, Definition 4.6] Let $T \in SST(\nu^{\pi})$ and if $n - 2\nu'_1 < 0$, set $r = n - \nu'_1$. Otherwise, $r = \nu'_1$. For $1 \le i \le \nu'_1$ and $1 \le j \le \nu'_2$, let

- $\sigma_i = the ith entry in the rightmost column of T from the bottom,$
- τ_j = the *j*th entry in the second rightmost column of T from the bottom,
- •

$$m_i = \begin{cases} \min\{n+1-\sigma_i, 2i-1\} & \text{if } 1 \le i \le r, \\ \min\{n+1-\sigma_i, n+i-\nu_1'\} & \text{if } r < i \le \nu_1' \end{cases}$$

• $n_j = the \ jth \ smallest \ number \ in \ \{j+1, \ldots, n\}/\{m_{j+1}, \ldots, m_{\nu'_1}\}.$ Say T is O_n -allowable if

$$\tau_j \le n+1-n_j \quad for \ 1 \le j \le \nu'_2.$$

Define $\underline{CLR}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu^{\pi}}(\boldsymbol{O}_n)$ to be the subset of \boldsymbol{O}_n -allowable tableau in $CLR^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu^{\pi}}$.

Kwon calls this set $\underline{\text{LR}}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}$. We adopted the above notation to emphasize these sets consist of companion tableau on ν^{π} . Finally, we arrive at the desired combinatorial branching formula.

Theorem 2.1. [10, Theorem 4.17] For $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O}_n)$, we have

$$c_{
u}^{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{O}_n) = \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_n^{(2)}} |\underline{CLR}_{\mu
u^{\pi}}^{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{O}_n)|.$$

3. Graded Multiplicities

Now we proceed to develop a combinatorial formula for $m_{\nu}(q, n)$. Applying Theorem 2.1, we have

$$m_{\nu}(q,n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1-q^{i}) \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{n}^{(2)}} q^{\frac{|\lambda|}{2}} c_{\nu}^{\lambda}(\mathbf{O}_{n})$$
$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1-q^{i}) \sum_{\lambda,\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{n}^{(2)}} q^{\frac{|\lambda|}{2}} |\underline{\mathrm{CLR}}_{\mu\nu\pi}^{\lambda}(\mathbf{O}_{n})|.$$

Notice the right hand side involves computing cardinalities of various subsets of $\mathcal{B}_n(\nu^{\pi})$. The following definition isolates the subset of $\mathcal{B}_n(\nu^{\pi})$ which contributes to $m_{\nu}(q, n)$.

Definition 3.1. A tableau $T \in \mathcal{B}_n(\nu^{\pi})$ is called distinguished if $T \in \underline{CLR}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu^{\pi}}(\mathbf{O}_n)$ for some $\mu, \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n^{(2)}$.

Definition 3.2. Let $D(\nu^{\pi})$ be the set of all distinguished tableau in $\mathcal{B}_n(\nu^{\pi})$.

We provide a more useful characterization of distinguished tableau.

Proposition 3.1. $T \in \mathcal{B}_n(\nu^{\pi})$ is distinguished if and only if T is O_n -allowable and wt(T) has even rows.

Proof. If T is distinguished, wt(T) + $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_n^{(2)}$ for some $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_n^{(2)}$ so wt(T) must have even rows and T is \mathbf{O}_n -allowable by definition. Conversely, if wt(T) has even rows, select a $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_n^{(2)}$ such that $\varepsilon(T) \leq \mu$ so $H_\mu \otimes T$ is a highest weight vector of weight wt(T) + $\mu = \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n^{(2)}$. T is an \mathbf{O}_n -allowable tableau in $\operatorname{CLR}_{\mu\nu\pi}^{\lambda}$ by assumption, so T is distinguished.

Notice any distinguished tableau T is present in an infinite number of $\underline{\text{CLR}}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu\pi}(\mathbf{O}_n)$.

Lemma 3.1. If $T \in \underline{CLR}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu^{\pi}}(\mathbf{O}_n)$ then $T \in \underline{CLR}^{\lambda+\kappa}_{\mu+\kappa,\nu^{\pi}}(\mathbf{O}_n)$ for any $\kappa \in \mathcal{P}_n^{(2)}$.

Proof. Since $H_{\mu} \otimes T$ is a highest weight vector, $\mu \geq \varepsilon(T)$ and hence $\mu + \kappa \geq \varepsilon(T)$ for all $\kappa \in \mathcal{P}_n^{(2)}$. Hence $H_{\mu+\kappa} \otimes T$ is a highest vector. Notice the \mathbf{O}_n -allowable condition on T is independent of μ and λ . \Box

Next we define a set indexing the infinite $\underline{\text{CLR}}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu\pi}(\mathbf{O}_n)$ containing T and show that this set has a simple structure.

Definition 3.3. For $T \in D(\nu^{\pi})$, let S_T be the set of all $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_n^{(2)}$ such that $T \in \underline{CLR}^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu^{\pi}}(\mathbf{O}_n)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n^{(2)}$.

Lemma 3.2. The set S_T has the form

$$S_T = \widetilde{\varepsilon}(T) + \mathcal{P}_n^{(2)},$$

where $\widetilde{\varepsilon}(T)$ is obtained from $\varepsilon(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_i(T) \omega_i$ by adding one to any odd $\varepsilon_i(T)$.

Proof. Define an order $\leq_{(2)}$ on $\mathcal{P}_n^{(2)}$ by $\delta \leq_{(2)} \mu$ if $\mu - \delta \in \mathcal{P}_n^{(2)}$. By Lemma 3.1, if $\mu \in S_T$, so is $\mu + \kappa$ for any $\kappa \in \mathcal{P}_n^{(2)}$. Hence it suffices to show that $\tilde{\varepsilon}(T)$ is the unique minimal element in S_T with respect to $\leq_{(2)}$. By Lemma 2.1, $\varepsilon(T) \leq \delta$ for any $\delta \in S_T$ and $\tilde{\varepsilon}(T)$ is the unique minimal element greater than $\varepsilon(T)$ in S_T by construction. \Box

The following lemma should be seen as a combinatorial version of the separation of variables $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}] = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{p}]^K \otimes \mathcal{H}$ given by Kostant and Rallis. The $\kappa \in \mathcal{P}_n^{(2)}$ represent invariants and $T \in D(\nu^{\pi})$ represent harmonics.

Lemma 3.3.

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n^{(2)}} q^{\frac{|\lambda|}{2}} c_{\nu}^{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{O}_n) = \sum_{\kappa \in \mathcal{P}_n^{(2)}} q^{|\kappa|/2} \sum_{T \in D(\nu^{\pi})} q^{|wt(T) + \widetilde{\varepsilon}(T)|/2}$$

Proof. We have,

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_n^{(2)}} q^{\frac{|\lambda|}{2}} c_{\nu}^{\lambda}(\mathbf{O}_n) = \sum_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}_n^{(2)}} q^{\frac{|\lambda|}{2}} |\underline{\mathrm{CLR}}_{\mu\nu^{\pi}}^{\lambda}(\mathbf{O}_n)|.$$

Now applying Lemma 3.2,

$$\sum_{\lambda,\mu\in\mathcal{P}_n^{(2)}} q^{\frac{|\lambda|}{2}} |\underline{\mathrm{CLR}}_{\mu\nu^{\pi}}^{\lambda}(\mathbf{O}_n)| = \sum_{T\in D(\nu^{\pi})} \sum_{\kappa\in\mathcal{P}_n^{(2)}} q^{|\mathrm{wt}(T)+\widetilde{\varepsilon}(T)+\kappa|/2}$$
$$= \sum_{\kappa\in\mathcal{P}_n^{(2)}} q^{|\kappa|/2} \sum_{T\in D(\nu^{\pi})} q^{|\mathrm{wt}(T)+\widetilde{\varepsilon}(T)|/2}.$$

Theorem 3.1.

$$m_{\nu}(q,n) = q^{|\nu|/2} \sum_{T \in D(\nu^{\pi})} q^{|\widetilde{\epsilon}(T)|/2}$$

Proof. The proof is immediate from Lemma 3.3. Simply observe

$$\sum_{\kappa \in \mathcal{P}_n^{(2)}} q^{|\kappa|/2} = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1-q^i)}$$



FIGURE 1. $\mathcal{B}(2\omega_1)$ crystal graph. The green tableau are distinguished and the red tableau is excluded by O_5 -allowability condition.

4. Examples

We illustrate how to compute a few examples for $(\mathbf{GL}_5, \mathbf{O}_5)$.

4.1. \mathbf{O}_5 Irreducible Representation $2\omega_1$. To compute $m_{2\omega_1}(q, 5)$, start by drawing the crystal graph $\mathcal{B}_5(2\omega_1)$, as usual we rotate by 180° but here that has no affect. By Proposition 3.1, $D(2\omega_1) =$ $\{T \in \mathcal{B}_5(2\omega_1) | \operatorname{wt}(T)$ has even rows and T is \mathbf{O}_5 -allowable}. The \mathbf{O}_5 allowability computations are simple in this case. We have $\boxed{\tau_1 \ \sigma_1}$. Since all columns are length 1, we only have m_1 and n_1 to compute. $m_1 = \min\{6 - \sigma_1, 1\}$ so $m_1 = 1$ and $n_1 =$ the smallest number in $\{2, 3, 4, 5\}/\{\}$ so $n_1 = 2$. Thus, we simply require $\tau_1 \leq 6 - 2 = 4$. The green tableaux in Figure 1 are the distinguished tableau. The red tableau is the only tableau with even weight exclude by the \mathbf{O}_5 allowable condition. All that remains is to compute $\tilde{\varepsilon}(T)$ for the four distinguished tableaux. From Figure 1, it is easy to see

•
$$\varepsilon(\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 1 \end{array}) = 0,$$

• $\varepsilon(\begin{array}{c|c} 2 & 2 \end{array}) = 2\omega_1,$
• $\varepsilon(\begin{array}{c|c} 3 & 3 \end{array}) = 2\omega_2,$
• $\varepsilon(\begin{array}{c|c} 4 & 4 \end{array}) = 2\omega_3.$

As all $\varepsilon(T)$ have even ω_i , $\tilde{\varepsilon}(T) = \varepsilon(T)$ for all $T \in D(2\omega_1)$. Finally, we compute



FIGURE 2. All tableau in $\mathcal{B}_5(2\omega_2)$ such that wt(T) has even parts. Distinguished tableau are highlighted.

$$m_{2\omega_1}(q,5) = q^{2/2}(q^{0/2} + q^{2/2} + q^{4/2} + q^{6/2})$$
$$= q + q^2 + q^3 + q^4.$$

4.2. O₅ Irreducible Representation $2\omega_2$. Again we identify the tableau in $\mathcal{B}_5(2\omega_2)$ with even weight and determine which satisfy the O₅-allowability conditions. As before, $m_1 = 1$, but now $m_2 = \min\{6 - \sigma_2, 3\}$ so $m_2 = 2$ if $\sigma_2 = 4$ and $m_2 = 3$ otherwise. n_1 is the smallest number in $\{2, 3, 4, 5\}/\{m_2\}$ so $n_1 = 3$ if $\sigma_2 = 4$ and $n_1 = 2$ otherwise. n_2 is the second smallest in $\{3, 4, 5\}/\{\}$ so $n_2 = 4$. Hence we have two cases:

$ au_2$	σ_2	≤ 2	4	≤ 2	≤ 3
$ au_1$	σ_1	≤ 3	5	≤ 4	σ_1

The distinguished tableaux are highlighted in Figure 2. It remains to compute $\tilde{\epsilon}(T)$ for $T \in D(2\omega_2)$. Again, this is straightforward using the signature rule (see [1, Chapter 2.4] or [7, Chapter 4.4]) and we arrive at $m_{2\omega_2}(q, 5) = q^2 + q^3 + q^4 + q^5 + q^6$.

4.3. O₅ Irreducible Representation $2\omega_1 + \omega_2$. We finally consider a shape that does not have 180° rotational symmetry. The constraints on τ_1 are the same as in the previous example. See Figure 3 for the distinguished tableau, the $\tilde{\epsilon}(T)$ are displayed above the tableau. We have $m_{2\omega_2}(q,5) = q^3 + q^4 + 2q^5 + q^6 + q^7$.



		4
4	5	5

FIGURE 3. All tableau in $\mathcal{B}_5((2\omega_1 + \omega_2)^{\pi})$ such that $\operatorname{wt}(T)$ has even parts. Distinguished tableau are highlighted. The orange boxes are the $\varepsilon(T)$, the blue boxes are what was added to arrive at $\widetilde{\varepsilon}(T)$.

References

- [1] Daniel Bump and Anne Schilling. *Crystal bases*. Representations and combinatorics. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2017, pp. xii+279.
- [2] Thomas J. Enright and Jeb F. Willenbring. "Hilbert series, Howe duality and branching for classical groups". In: Ann. of Math. (2) 159.1 (2004), pp. 337–375.
- [3] William Fulton. Young Tableaux: With Applications to Representation Theory and Geometry. London Mathematical Society Student Texts. Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- [4] Roe Goodman and Nolan Wallach. Symmetry, Representations, and Invariants. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, 2009.
- [5] Anthony van Groningen and Jeb F. Willenbring. "The cubic, the quartic, and the exceptional group G₂". In: *Developments and*

REFERENCES

retrospectives in Lie theory. Vol. 38. Dev. Math. Springer, Cham, 2014, pp. 385–397.

- [6] Wim H. Hesselink. "Characters of the nullcone". In: Mathematische Annalen 252 (1980), pp. 179–182.
- [7] Jin Hong and Seok-Jin Kang. Introduction to quantum groups and crystal bases. Vol. 42. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002, pp. xviii+307.
- [8] Roger Howe, Eng-Chye Tan, and J. Willenbring. "Stable branching rules for classical symmetric pairs". In: *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* (2005).
- [9] Roger Howe, Eng-Chye Tan, and J. Willenbring. "The Stability of Graded Multiplicity in the Setting of the Kostant-Rallis Theorem". In: *Transformation Groups* 13.3 (2008).
- [10] Il-Seung Jang and Jae-Hoon Kwon. "Flagged Littlewood-Richardson tableaux and branching rule for classical groups". In: *Journal of combinatorial theory.* 181 (2021).
- Kenneth D. Johnson and Nolan R. Wallach. "Composition series and intertwining operators for the spherical principal series. I". In: Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 229 (1977), pp. 137–173.
- [12] Victor G. Kac. Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebras. 3rd ed. Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [13] B. Kostant and S. Rallis. "Orbits and Representations Associated with Symmetric Spaces". In: American Journal of Mathematics 93.3 (1971), pp. 753–809.
- Bertram Kostant. "Lie Group Representations on Polynomial Rings".
 In: American Journal of Mathematics 85.3 (1963), pp. 327–404.
- [15] Jae-Hoon Kwon. "Combinatorial extension of stable branching rules for classical groups". In: *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 370.1000 (2018), pp. 6125–6152.
- [16] Cédric Lecouvey and Cristian Lenart. "Combinatorics of Generalized Exponents". In: *International Mathematics Research Notices* 2020.16 (July 2018), pp. 4942–4992.
- [17] D. E. Littlewood. "On Invariant Theory under Restricted Groups". In: Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences 239.809 (1944), pp. 387–417.
- [18] D. E. Littlewood. Theory of Group Characters. Clarendon Press, 1945.
- [19] Kendra Nelsen and Arun Ram. "Kostka-Foulkes polynomials and Macdonald spherical functions". In: Surveys in combinatorics, 2003 (Bangor). Vol. 307. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2003, pp. 325–370.

REFERENCES

- [20] N. R. Wallach and J. Willenbring. "On Some q-Analogs of a Theorem of Kostant-Rallis". In: *Canadian Journal of Mathematics* 52.2 (2000), pp. 438–448.
- [21] Weiqiang Wang. "Duality in infinite-dimensional Fock representations". In: Commun. Contemp. Math. 1.2 (1999), pp. 155–199.
- [22] J. Willenbring. "An application of the Littlewood restriction formula to the Kostant-Rallis Theorem". In: *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* (2002).

14