

THE GENERATING FUNCTIONS ARISE FROM THE QUOTIENTS OF NUMERICAL SEMIGROUPS

FEIHU LIU¹

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we propose a class generating functions $\text{RGF}(x)$ related to Sylvester denominator which arise from the quotients of numerical semigroups. Using MacMahon's partition analysis, we can obtain $\text{RGF}(x)$ by extracting the constant term of an rational function. We give a system of generators of the quotient of the numerical semigroup $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3 \rangle$ by p for some small p by computing the $\text{RGF}(x)$. Furthermore, we give a characterization of a system of generators of $\frac{\langle A \rangle}{p}$ for general A and p .

Mathematic subject classification: Primary 05A15; Secondary 20M05, 20M14.

Keywords: Quotient of a numerical semigroup; Generator; Sylvester denominator; MacMahon's partition analysis.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, $\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{P}$ denote the set of all integers, non-negative integers and positive integers, respectively.

A subset S of $\mathbb{N}$ is *numerical semigroup* if $0 \in S$, $\mathbb{N} \setminus S$ is finite and S is closed under the addition in $\mathbb{N}$. Give a positive integer sequence $A = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{P}$. If $\gcd(A) = 1$, then

$$\langle A \rangle = \{x_1 a_1 + x_2 a_2 + \dots + x_k a_k \mid k \geq 2, x_i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq k\}$$

is a numerical semigroup (see [13]). Furthermore, we say that A is a *system of generators* of $\langle A \rangle$. If no proper subsequence (or subset) of A generates $\langle A \rangle$, then we say that A is a *minimal system of generators* of $\langle A \rangle$. For the above numerical semigroup $\langle A \rangle$, Sylvester [15] defined the function $d(a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$, called the denominator, as :

$$d(a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) = \#\{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \mid x_1 a_1 + x_2 a_2 + \dots + x_k a_k = a_0, x_i \geq 0\}.$$

that is, the number of non-negative integer representations of a_0 by $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k$.

For a numerical semigroup, many scholars have studied a invariant: The *Frobenius number* $F(A)$ is the greatest integer not belonging to $\langle A \rangle$, i.e.,

$$F(A) = \max\{a_0 \in \mathbb{P} \mid d(a_0; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) = 0\}.$$

For more descriptions and results about numerical semigroups, see [4, 10, 13].

Suppose $\langle A \rangle$ is a numerical semigroup and $p \in \mathbb{P}$. In [12], a numerical semigroup

$$\frac{\langle A \rangle}{p} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid pn \in \langle A \rangle\} = \{n \mid pn = x_1 a_1 + x_2 a_2 + \dots + x_k a_k, x_i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq k\}$$

Date: December 18, 2023.

is called the *quotient of $\langle A \rangle$ by p* . It is easy to verify that $\frac{\langle A \rangle}{p}$ are numerical semigroups (also see [13]), $\langle A \rangle \subseteq \frac{\langle A \rangle}{p}$ and $\frac{\langle A \rangle}{p} = \mathbb{N}$ if and only if $p \in \langle A \rangle$. For example, let $\langle A \rangle = \langle 5, 6 \rangle = \{0, 5, 6, 10, 11, 12, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, \dots\}$ and $p = 3$. Then $\frac{\langle A \rangle}{3} = \{0, 2, 4, \dots\} = \langle 2, 5 \rangle$.

In [11], J.C.Rosales obtained a system of generators of $\frac{\langle a_1, a_2 \rangle}{2}$. Let a_1, a_2, p be positive integers which are pairwise relatively prime, the paper [14] given a characterization of a system of generators of $\frac{\langle a_1, a_2 \rangle}{p}$. E.Cabanillas discussed the minimal generators of $\frac{\langle a_1, a_2 \rangle}{p}$ in [6]. A. Moscariello also given a depiction of a generating system of $\frac{\langle A \rangle}{p}$ in [9]. There are many open problems relate the invariants of $\frac{\langle A \rangle}{p}$ (see [7]).

The motivation of this paper as follow: Let $n \in \frac{\langle A \rangle}{p}$, we consider the number of representations of pn in $\langle A \rangle$, i.e.,

$$d(pn; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) = \#\{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \mid x_1 a_1 + x_2 a_2 + \dots + x_k a_k = pn, x_i \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i \leq k\}.$$

Equivalent, we want to know the generating function

$$\text{RGF}(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} d(pn; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) x^n.$$

We call this the *representation generating function* of $\frac{\langle A \rangle}{p}$.

In this paper, we use the idea of *MacMahon's Partition Analysis* [8] and introduce a variable λ to represent $\text{RGF}(x)$ as constant term of a rational function. For some small $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and $A = (a_1, a_2)$ or $A = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$, we can calculate $\text{RGF}(x)$ and further obtain a system of generators of the quotient of the numerical semigroup $\langle A \rangle$ by p . See Table 1. We have found the following simple characterization of a system of generators of $\frac{\langle A \rangle}{p}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose $A = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = (pk_1 + t_1, pk_2 + t_2, \dots, pk_n + t_n)$ with $p \in \mathbb{P}$, $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq t_i \leq p - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $n \geq 2$. Let $\gcd(A) = 1$. Let*

$$\mathcal{T}_p = \left\{ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mid 0 \leq x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \leq p - 1, \quad p \mid \sum_{i=1}^n x_i t_i, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n x_i t_i \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Then a system of generators of the quotient of the numerical semigroup $\langle A \rangle$ by p is

$$\frac{\langle A \rangle}{p} = \left\langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i a_i}{p} \mid (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{T}_p \right\rangle.$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some preliminary knowledge related to MacMahon's Partition Analysis and the constant term method [16, 17]. We calculate $\text{RGF}(x)$ and obtain a system of generators for $\frac{\langle a, a+1 \rangle}{a-1}$ to illustrate how to use the method. In Section 3, we show the Table 1 and give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. PRELIMINARY: MACMAHON'S PARTITION ANALYSIS

In algebraic combinatorics, MacMahon's Partition Analysis [8] is suited for solving problems of counting solutions to linear Diophantine equations and inequalities. Using MacMahon's approach, these problems become evaluations of the constant term of an rational function.

MacMahon's technique has been restudied by Andrews et. al. using computer algebra in [1–3]. Algorithms have been found and computer programs such as the Omega package [2] have been developed. In [16, 17], Two efficient algorithm for MacMahon's partition analysis is developed and is implemented in a Maple package Ell2 and CTEuclid.

2.1. Extract Constant Term. We need to introduce some basic definitions and results in [16, 17].

Definition 2.1 ([16]). *For a formal Laurent series in λ : $\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} a_i \lambda^i$, where a_i are elements in a field K , the constant term operator CT_λ acts on the formal series by*

$$\text{CT}_\lambda \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} a_i \lambda^i = a_0.$$

In fact, the definition of the constant term operator CT is effective for the list variables $[\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m]$ in [16]. In this paper, we only need $m = 1$.

A simple Elliott rational function $E = E(\lambda)$ usually was written as the following form

$$E = \frac{L(\lambda)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - u_i \lambda^{a_i})} \quad (\text{not in proper form}) \quad (1)$$

where $L(\lambda)$ is a Laurent polynomial, u_i are free of λ and a_i are positive integers for all i . When expanding E as a formal Laurent series in K , we can use the constant term operator CT for E . For the CT operator, we have the properties $\text{CT}_\lambda \sum_i E_i = \sum_i \text{CT}_\lambda E_i$ and the linearity: If $E = E_1 + E_2$, then

$$\text{CT}_\lambda E = \text{CT}_\lambda E_1 + \text{CT}_\lambda E_2.$$

We need the following rule about expanding E as a series (see [17]): for every monomial $M \neq 1$ is comparable with 1 in K : If $\deg_\lambda M > 0$ then we say M is small, denoted $M < 1$, otherwise we say M is large, denoted $M > 1$. Thus we can determine which of the following two series expansions hold in K .

$$\frac{1}{1 - M} = \begin{cases} \sum_{k \geq 0} M^k & \text{if } M < 1; \\ \frac{1}{-M(1 - 1/M)} = \sum_{k \geq 0} -\frac{1}{M^{k+1}} & \text{if } M > 1. \end{cases}$$

We consider the RGF(x) of a numerical semigroup $\frac{\langle A \rangle}{p}$, where $\langle A \rangle = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \rangle$, $\gcd(A) = 1$ and $p \in \mathbb{P}$. We introduce new variable λ to replace linear constraint $pn = x_1 a_1 + x_2 a_2 + \dots + x_k a_k$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RGF}(x) &= \sum_{n \geq 0} d(pn; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) x^n \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0, x_i \geq 0} \text{CT}_\lambda \lambda^{x_1 a_1 + x_2 a_2 + \dots + x_k a_k - pn} x^n \\ &= \text{CT}_\lambda \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{x}{\lambda^p}\right) (1 - \lambda^{a_1}) (1 - \lambda^{a_2}) \dots (1 - \lambda^{a_k})}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

For the third “=”, we used the sum of a geometric series. The above expression is a power series in x but not in λ . Therefore, there exist a polynomial time algorithm for calculating the representation generating function RGF(x) for a fixed k (see [17]).

Remark 2.2. The Frobenius number of $\frac{\langle A \rangle}{p}$ is the greatest integer m with $RGF^{(m)}(0) = 0$, i.e.,

$$F\left(\frac{\langle A \rangle}{p}\right) = \max\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid d(pn; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) = 0\} = \max\left\{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid RGF^{(m)}(0) = 0\right\}.$$

We need some results below.

Proposition 2.3 ([17]). Suppose the partial fraction decomposition of E is given by

$$E = P(\lambda) + \frac{p(\lambda)}{\lambda^k} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{A_i(\lambda)}{1 - u_i \lambda^{a_i}}. \quad (3)$$

where the u_i 's are free of λ , $P(\lambda), p(\lambda)$, and the $A_i(\lambda)$'s are all polynomials, $\deg_p(\lambda) < k$, and $\deg A_i(\lambda) < a_i$ for all i . Then we have

$$\text{CT}_\lambda E = P(0) + \sum_{u_i \lambda^{a_i} < 1} A_i(0).$$

The proposition holds since, if written in proper form, we shall have

$$\frac{A_i(\lambda)}{1 - u_i \lambda^{a_i}} = \begin{cases} \frac{A_i(\lambda)}{1 - u_i \lambda^{a_i}} \xrightarrow{\text{CT}_\lambda} A_i(0) & \text{if } u_i \lambda^{a_i} < 1; \\ \frac{A_i(\lambda)}{-u_i \lambda^{a_i} (1 - \frac{1}{u_i \lambda^{a_i}})} = \frac{\lambda^{-a_i} A_i(\lambda)}{-u_i (1 - \frac{1}{u_i \lambda^{a_i}})} \xrightarrow{\text{CT}_\lambda} 0 & \text{if } u_i \lambda^{a_i} > 1. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.4 ([17]). Let E be as in Equation (3). Then $A_s(\lambda)$ is uniquely characterized by

$$\begin{cases} A_s(\lambda) \equiv E \cdot (1 - u_s \lambda^{a_s}) \pmod{\langle 1 - u_s \lambda^{a_s} \rangle} \\ \deg_\lambda A_s < a_s, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where $\langle 1 - u_s \lambda^{a_s} \rangle$ denotes the ideal generated by $1 - u_s \lambda^{a_s}$.

In order to compute $\text{CT}_\lambda E$ for E as in Equation (1) in K , we need to compute

$$\mathcal{A}_{1-u_s \lambda^{a_s}} E := A_s(0),$$

where $A_s(\lambda)$ is characterized by Equation (4). Thus in the new notation, Proposition 2.3 reads

$$\text{CT}_\lambda E = P(0) + \sum_i \chi(u_i \lambda^{a_i} < 1) \mathcal{A}_{1-u_i \lambda^{a_i}} E. \quad (5)$$

The following result can be used to avoid the computation of $P(0)$.

Theorem 2.5 ([17]). Let E be as in (1). If E is proper in λ , i.e., the degree in the numerator is less than the degree in the denominator, then

$$\text{CT}_\lambda E = \sum_{i=1}^n \chi(u_i \lambda^{a_i} < 1) \mathcal{A}_{1-u_i \lambda^{a_i}} E. \quad (6)$$

If $E|_{\lambda=0} = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} E$ exists, then

$$\text{CT}_\lambda E = E|_{\lambda=0} - \sum_{i=1}^n \chi(u_i \lambda^{a_i} > 1) \mathcal{A}_{1-u_i \lambda^{a_i}} E. \quad (7)$$

The Equation (7) is a kind of dual of Equation (6). Because of these two formulas, it is convenient to call the denominator factor $1 - u_i \lambda^{a_i}$ contributing if $u_i \lambda^{a_i}$ is small and dually contributing if $u_i \lambda^{a_i}$ is large. Now we will also denote

$$\text{CT}_{\lambda} \frac{1}{1 - u_s \lambda^{a_s}} E(1 - u_s \lambda^{a_s}) = \mathcal{A}_{1 - u_s \lambda^{a_s}} E = A_s(0),$$

For this notation, one can think that when taking the constant term in λ , only the single underlined factor of the denominator contributes.

2.2. An Example. Let $A = (a, a + 1)$, $a \geq 3$ and $p = a - 1$. We consider the quotient of the numerical semigroup $\langle A \rangle$ by p . By the Equation (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RGF}(x) &= \text{CT}_{\lambda} \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{x}{\lambda^p})(1 - \lambda^a)(1 - \lambda^{a+1})} \\ &= \text{CT}_{\lambda} \frac{-1}{(1 - \frac{x}{\lambda^{a-1}})(1 - \lambda^a)(1 - \lambda^{a+1})} \quad (\text{by Theorem 2.5}) \\ &= \text{CT}_{\lambda} \frac{-1}{(1 - \frac{x}{\lambda^{a-1}})(1 - x\lambda)(1 - x\lambda^2)} \quad (\text{by Theorem 2.4}) \\ &= \text{CT}_{\lambda} \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{x}{\lambda^{a-1}})(1 - x\lambda)(1 - x\lambda^2)} \quad (\text{by Theorem 2.5}) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - x^a)(1 - x^{-1})} + \text{CT}_{\lambda} \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{x}{\lambda^{a-1}})(1 - x\lambda)(1 - x\lambda^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

For the second term mentioned above, if $a - 1$ is even, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CT}_{\lambda} \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{x}{\lambda^{a-1}})(1 - x\lambda)(1 - x\lambda^2)} &= \text{CT}_{\lambda} \frac{1}{(1 - x^{\frac{a+1}{2}})(1 - x\lambda)(1 - x\lambda^2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - x^{\frac{a+1}{2}})} \left(1 - \text{CT}_{\lambda} \frac{1}{(1 - x\lambda)(1 - x\lambda^2)} \right) = \frac{1}{(1 - x^{\frac{a+1}{2}})} \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 - x^{-1}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - x)(1 - x^{\frac{a+1}{2}})}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we have

$$\text{RGF}(x) = \frac{1 - x - x^a + x^{\frac{a+3}{2}}}{(1 - x)(1 - x^a)(1 - x^{\frac{a+1}{2}})} = \frac{1 + x^{\frac{a+3}{2}} + x^{\frac{a+5}{2}} + \dots + x^{a-1}}{(1 - x^a)(1 - x^{\frac{a+1}{2}})}.$$

If $a - 1$ is odd, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CT}_{\lambda} \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{x}{\lambda^{a-1}})(1 - x\lambda)(1 - x\lambda^2)} &= \text{CT}_{\lambda} \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{x^{\frac{a}{2}}}{\lambda})(1 - x\lambda)(1 - x\lambda^2)} \\ &= \text{CT}_{\lambda} \frac{-\frac{\lambda}{x^{a/2}}}{(1 - \frac{\lambda}{x^{a/2}})(1 - x\lambda)(1 - x\lambda^2)} = \text{CT}_{\lambda} \frac{\frac{\lambda}{x^{a/2}}}{(1 - \frac{\lambda}{x^{a/2}})(1 - x\lambda)(1 - x\lambda^2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - x^{\frac{a+2}{2}})(1 - x^{a+1})} + \frac{x}{(1 - x^{\frac{a+2}{2}})(1 - x)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{RGF}(x) &= \frac{-x}{(1-x)(1-x^a)} + \frac{1}{(1-x^{\frac{a+2}{2}})(1-x^{a+1})} + \frac{x}{(1-x^{\frac{a+2}{2}})(1-x)} \\
&= \frac{1-x+(x^{\frac{a+2}{2}}+x^{a+2})(1-x^{\frac{a}{2}-1})}{(1-x)(1-x^a)(1-x^{a+1})} \\
&= \frac{1+(x^{\frac{a+2}{2}}+x^{a+2})(1+x+x^2+\cdots+x^{\frac{a}{2}-2})}{(1-x^a)(1-x^{a+1})}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the $\text{RGF}(x)$, we can obtain the following results.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $a \geq 3$. A system of generators of $\frac{\langle a, a+1 \rangle}{a-1}$ is as follows. If a is odd, then*

$$\frac{\langle a, a+1 \rangle}{a-1} = \left\langle \frac{a+1}{2}, \frac{a+3}{2}, \dots, a-1, a \right\rangle.$$

If a is even, then

$$\frac{\langle a, a+1 \rangle}{a-1} = \left\langle \frac{a}{2} + 1, \frac{a}{2} + 2, \dots, a, a+1 \right\rangle.$$

This is a half-line numerical semigroups (see [5]). So the Frobenius number and genus of the numerical semigroup $\frac{\langle a, a+1 \rangle}{a-1}$ are

$$F\left(\frac{\langle a, a+1 \rangle}{a-1}\right) = \frac{a-1}{2} \quad (\text{if } a \text{ is odd}); \quad F\left(\frac{\langle a, a+1 \rangle}{a-1}\right) = \frac{a}{2} \quad (\text{if } a \text{ is even}).$$

3. A SYSTEM OF GENERATORS OF $\frac{\langle A \rangle}{p}$

For some small $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and k , we can calculate $\text{RGF}(x)$ and further obtain a system of generators of the quotient of the numerical semigroup $\langle A \rangle$ by p . For instance, let $A = (a_1, a_2) = (pk_1 + t_1, pk_2 + t_2)$, $0 \leq t_i \leq p-1$, $p, k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{P}$ and $\gcd(A) = 1$. We computed the $\text{RGF}(x)$ with the small $p = 2, 3, 4, 5$. Furthermore, we can obtain a system of generators of $\frac{\langle a_1, a_2 \rangle}{p}$. This is agree with the results by [14, Proposition 17].

Now let $a_i = pk_i + t_i$, $0 \leq t_i \leq p-1$, $p, k_i \in \mathbb{P}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$ and $\gcd(A) = 1$. we can calculate $\text{RGF}(x)$ for these A 's and $p = 2, 3$ by extracting constant term, and obtain the following results in Table 1.

Note that the calculation of $\text{RGF}(x)$ for these A and p is similar to the calculation in subsection 2.2. The calculation is not difficult but will not be reproduced here. By observing $\text{RGF}(x)$, we can obtain a system of generators of $\frac{\langle A \rangle}{p}$. So we can further obtain general results.

By observing Table 1, we found the following results.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose $A = (a_1, a_2, a_3) = (pk_1 + t_1, pk_2 + t_2, pk_3 + t_3)$ with $p \in \mathbb{P}$, $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq t_i \leq p-1$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Let $\gcd(A) = 1$. Let*

$$\mathcal{T}_p = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mid 0 \leq x_1, x_2, x_3 \leq p-1, \quad p \mid (t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3), \quad t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3 \neq 0\}.$$

TABLE 1. A system of generators of $\frac{\langle A \rangle}{p}$ with $p = 2, 3$.

p	t_1	t_2	t_3	A system of generators of $\frac{\langle A \rangle}{p}$
2	0	0	1	$\langle \frac{a_1}{2}, \frac{a_2}{2}, a_3 \rangle$
2	0	1	1	$\langle \frac{a_1}{2}, a_2, a_3, \frac{a_2+a_3}{2} \rangle$
2	1	1	1	$\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, \frac{a_1+a_2}{2}, \frac{a_1+a_3}{2}, \frac{a_2+a_3}{2} \rangle$
3	0	0	1	$\langle \frac{a_1}{3}, \frac{a_2}{3}, a_3 \rangle$
3	0	0	2	$\langle \frac{a_1}{3}, \frac{a_2}{3}, a_3 \rangle$
3	0	1	1	$\langle \frac{a_1}{3}, a_2, a_3, \frac{2a_3+a_2}{3}, \frac{2a_2+a_3}{3} \rangle$
3	0	1	2	$\langle \frac{a_1}{3}, a_2, a_3, \frac{a_2+a_3}{3} \rangle$
3	0	2	2	$\langle \frac{a_1}{3}, a_2, a_3, \frac{2a_2+a_3}{3}, \frac{a_2+2a_3}{3} \rangle$
3	1	1	1	$\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, \frac{2a_1+a_2}{3}, \frac{2a_1+a_3}{3}, \frac{2a_2+a_1}{3}, \frac{2a_2+a_3}{3}, \frac{2a_3+a_1}{3}, \frac{2a_3+a_2}{3}, \frac{a_1+a_2+a_3}{3} \rangle$
3	1	1	2	$\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, \frac{a_1+a_3}{3}, \frac{a_2+a_3}{3}, \frac{2a_1+a_2}{3}, \frac{2a_2+a_1}{3} \rangle$
3	1	2	2	$\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, \frac{a_1+a_2}{3}, \frac{a_1+a_3}{3}, \frac{2a_2+a_3}{3}, \frac{2a_3+a_2}{3} \rangle$
3	2	2	2	$\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, \frac{2a_1+a_2}{3}, \frac{2a_1+a_3}{3}, \frac{2a_2+a_1}{3}, \frac{2a_2+a_3}{3}, \frac{2a_3+a_1}{3}, \frac{2a_3+a_2}{3}, \frac{a_1+a_2+a_3}{3} \rangle$
...	...	...	...	...

Then a system of generators of the quotient of the numerical semigroup $\langle A \rangle$ by p is

$$\frac{\langle A \rangle}{p} = \left\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, \frac{x_1 a_1 + x_2 a_2 + x_3 a_3}{p} \mid (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathcal{T}_p \right\rangle.$$

Proof. By the definition of $\mathcal{T}_p$, the $\frac{x_1 a_1 + x_2 a_2 + x_3 a_3}{p}$ is obviously a positive integer. Suppose $\bar{A} := \frac{\langle A \rangle}{p}$ and $\bar{B} := \left\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, \frac{x_1 a_1 + x_2 a_2 + x_3 a_3}{p} \mid (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathcal{T}_p \right\rangle$. Obviously, we have $\bar{A} \supseteq \bar{B}$. Now we just need to prove that $\bar{A} \subseteq \bar{B}$.

If $x \in \bar{A} = \frac{\langle A \rangle}{p}$, then $xp = y_1 a_1 + y_2 a_2 + y_3 a_3$ for some $y_1, y_2, y_3 \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $y_i = m_i p + r_i$, $m_i \geq 0$ and $0 \leq r_i \leq p-1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. So we have $x = m_1 a_1 + m_2 a_2 + m_3 a_3 + \frac{r_1 a_1 + r_2 a_2 + r_3 a_3}{p}$ and $p \mid (r_1 a_1 + r_2 a_2 + r_3 a_3)$. If $r_1 a_1 + r_2 a_2 + r_3 a_3 = 0$, then we have $x \in \bar{B}$. If $r_1 a_1 + r_2 a_2 + r_3 a_3 \neq 0$, then $\frac{r_1 a_1 + r_2 a_2 + r_3 a_3}{p}$ is a element in $\left\{ \frac{x_1 a_1 + x_2 a_2 + x_3 a_3}{p} \mid (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathcal{T}_p \right\}$ by the definition $\mathcal{T}_p$. Furthermore $x \in \bar{B}$. Therefore $\bar{A} \subseteq \bar{B}$. This completes the proof. $\square$

The above results clearly hold for general case as Theorem 1.1. The proof of this theorem is not difficult but will not be reproduced here.

Now we discuss the case for some $t_i = 0$. Their can be transformed into the characterizations for all $t_i \neq 0$. Suppose $A = (a_1, \dots, a_e, a_{e+1}, \dots, a_n)$, $p \mid a_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq e$ and $p \nmid a_j$ for $e+1 \leq j \leq n$. Then we have

$$\frac{\langle A \rangle}{p} = \left\langle \frac{a_1}{p}, \frac{a_2}{p}, \dots, \frac{a_e}{p}, \mathcal{L}_p \right\rangle,$$

where $\mathcal{L}_p$ is a system of generators of $\frac{\langle a_{e+1}, \dots, a_n \rangle}{p}$. The above result are easily proven by the inclusion relationship of the set.

Remark 3.2. For the Theorem 1.1, we only give a characterization of a system of generators of $\frac{\langle A \rangle}{p}$, rather than a system of minimal generators. E.Cabanillas discussed the minimal generators

of $\frac{\langle a_1, a_2 \rangle}{p}$ in [6]. In [14], J. C. Rosales and J. M. Urbano-Blanco given a characterization of a system of generators of $\frac{\langle a_1, a_2 \rangle}{p}$. In [9], A. Moscariello also given a depiction of a generating system of $\frac{\langle A \rangle}{p}$. But our characterization may be relatively simple.

Now we prove some corollaries, and these results also appear in [14]. We prove that the first two from [14], and the [Corollary 20, [14]] is similar.

Corollary 3.3 (Corollary 18, [14]). *Let $a_1, a_2, k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{P}$ and $\gcd(a_1, a_2) = 1$. If $a_1 = 2k_1$, $a_2 = 2k_2 + 1$, then*

$$\frac{\langle a_1, a_2 \rangle}{2} = \left\langle \frac{a_1}{2}, a_2 \right\rangle.$$

If $a_1 = 2k_1 + 1$, $a_2 = 2k_2 + 1$, then

$$\frac{\langle a_1, a_2 \rangle}{2} = \left\langle a_1, a_2, \frac{a_1 + a_2}{2} \right\rangle.$$

The above result is trivial, we omit its proof.

Corollary 3.4 (Corollary 19, [14]). *Let $a_1, a_2, k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{P}$ and $\gcd(a_1, a_2) = 1$. If $a_1 = 2k_1 + 1$, $a_2 = 2k_2 + 1$, (or $a_1 = 2k_1 + 2$, $a_2 = 2k_2 + 2$), then*

$$\frac{\langle a_1, a_2 \rangle}{3} = \left\langle a_1, a_2, \frac{2a_1 + a_2}{3}, \frac{2a_2 + a_1}{3} \right\rangle.$$

If $a_1 = 2k_1 + 1$, $a_2 = 2k_2 + 2$, then

$$\frac{\langle a_1, a_2 \rangle}{3} = \left\langle a_1, a_2, \frac{a_1 + a_2}{3} \right\rangle.$$

Proof. By Theorem 1.1, if $(t_1, t_2) = (1, 1)$ or $(t_1, t_2) = (2, 2)$, then we have $\mathcal{T}_p = \{(1, 2), (2, 1)\}$. If $(t_1, t_2) = (1, 2)$, then we have $\mathcal{T}_p = \{(1, 1), (2, 2)\}$. This completes the proof. $\square$

4. CONCLUDING REMARK

We provide a very simple characterization of the generators of the quotients of numerical semigroups. We can directly prove Theorem 1.1. This proof process is not directly related to MacMahon's Partition Analysis and extract constant term. However, this technique may well have further application for the study of quotients of numerical semigroups. For instance, we can see the proof of Proposition 2.6.

Acknowledgements: The authors thank Prof. Guoce. Xin for valuable comments. This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China [12071311].

REFERENCES

- [1] G. E. Andrews, *MacMahon's partition analysis. II: Fundamental theorems*, Ann. Comb. 4 (2000), 327–338, Conference on Combinatorics and Physics (Los Alamos, NM, 1998).
- [2] G. E. Andrews, *MacMahon's partition analysis: the Omega package*, European J. Combin. 22 (2001), 887–904.
- [3] G. E. Andrews, P. Paule, and A. Riese, *MacMahon's partition analysis. IX: k-gon partitions*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 64 (2001), 321–329.

- [4] A. Assi, M. D'Anna, and P. A. García-Sánchez, *Numerical Semigroups and Applications*, Second Edition. Vol.3, RSMS Springer, Cham (2020).
- [5] M. Bras-Amorós, *Acute semigroups, the order bound on the minimum distance, and the Feng-Rao improvements*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory. 20 (2004), no.6, 1282-1289.
- [6] E. Cabanillas, *Quotients of numerical semigroups generated by two numbers*, arXiv:1904.08240v2. (2019).
- [7] M. Delgado, P. A. García-Sánchez, and J. C. Rosales, *Numerical semigroups problem list*, CIM Bulletin. 33 (2013), 15–26.
- [8] P. A. MacMahon, *Combinatory Analysis*, vol. 2, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1915-1916), Reprinted: Chelsea, New York, (1960).
- [9] A. Moscariello, *Generators of a fraction of a numerical semigroup*, J. Commut. Algebra. 11 (2019), 389–400.
- [10] J. L. Ramírez Alfonsín, *The Diophantine Frobenius Problem*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 30, Oxford University Press. (2005).
- [11] J. C. Rosales, *Fundamental gaps of numerical semigroups generated by two elements*, Linear Algebra Appl. 405 (2005), 200–208.
- [12] J. C. Rosales, P. A. García-Sánchez, J. I. García-García, and J. M. Urbano-Blanco, *Proportionally modular Diophantine inequalities*, J. Number Theory. 103 (2003), 281–294.
- [13] J. C. Rosales, and P. A. García-Sánchez, *Numerical Semigroups*, Developments in Mathematics. Vol.20, Springer, New York (2009).
- [14] J. C. Rosales, and J. M. Urbano-Blanco, *Proportionally modular Diophantine inequalities and full semigroups*, Semigroup Forum. 72 (2006), 362–374.
- [15] J. J. Sylvester, *On the partition of numbers*, Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math. 1 (1857), 141–152.
- [16] G. Xin, *A fast algorithm for MacMahon's partition analysis*, Electron. J. Combin. 11 (2004), R58 (electronic).
- [17] G. Xin, *A Euclid style algorithm for MacMahon's partition analysis*, J. Combin Theory, Series A. 131 (2015), 32–60.

¹SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CAPITAL NORMAL UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100048, PR CHINA

Email address: ¹liufei@163.com