

On Beloch's curve that appears when solving real cubics with origami

MANAMI NIJIMA

ABSTRACT. The Justin–Huzita–Hatori Axiom 6 of origami related to so-called neusis constructions assures the solution of real cubic equations Beloch showed 1936. We investigate a certain real cubic curve $F(x, y) = 0$, say, *Beloch's curve* that appears in the algorithm and prove that its shape is determined by the sign of the Hessian $\mathcal{H}_F = -4(4p + q^2)$ at its uniquely existing singular point $P(p, q)$. This viewpoint would shed new light on the relationship between Axioms 5 and 6.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. A proof of Theorem 1.2	4
3. The orbit of P' and Beloch's curve \mathcal{F}	5
4. Properties of Beloch's curve \mathcal{F}	6
4.1. Relationships between P and \mathcal{F}	6
4.2. The intersection of AP and $A'P'$	8
4.3. The rotation number of \mathcal{F}_c around A	9
4.4. The intersection of $\mathcal{G} : 4x + y^2 = 0$ and \mathcal{F}	10
4.5. The shape of \mathcal{F} at P	11
4.6. The surface $z = F(x, y)$	13
5. Real cubic curve $a_0y^2 - a_1xy^2 - a_2xy - a_3x^2 - a_4x^3 = 0$	13
References	14

1. INTRODUCTION

Origami construction is generally defined by 7 Axioms (re-)founded by Justin–Huzita–Hatori in 1980's (cf. [Jus86, p.40–45], [Lan10]). Among other things, Axiom 6 allows so-called neusis constructions, which is impossible in straightedge and compass constructions.

Axiom 1.1 (Justin–Huzita–Hatori Axioms 6). *Given two points A and B and two lines l_1 and l_2 on a plane, there exists a fold that places A onto l_1 and B onto l_2 simultaneously. In other words, it is possible to construct a certain fold line l such that the reflections of points A and B in l are placed on the straight lines l_1 and l_2 , respectively.*

This Axiom 6 enables us to construct all solutions of any given real cubic equation by using a perfect piece of origami. The following method was initially shown by Beloch in 1936 [Bel36], based on Lill's enjoyable idea [Lil67] (see also [Kat99], [Hul11], [NO15]).

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 51M15, 14P25; Secondary 14Q30 .

Key words and phrases. origami, real cubic equation, geometric construction, real algebraic curve, Axioms 5 and 6 .

Theorem 1.2. *Given segments with length $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, every solution of the cubic formula*

$$x^3 - ax^2 - bx + c = 0 \cdots (*)$$

may be constructed. More precisely,

(1) *If we make a fold that places (I) $A(-1, 0)$ onto $x = 1$ and (II) $P(b, a+c)$ onto $y = a-c$, then the y -intercept r of the fold line l is a solution of $(*)$.*

(2) *For any solution $x = r$ of $(*)$, a fold that places $A(-1, 0)$ onto $A'(1, 2r)$ satisfies the conditions (I) and (II) in (1).*




FIGURE 1. Solving cubics by origami

In other words, there is a bijective correspondence between all fold lines satisfying the conditions (I) and (II) and all real solutions of $(*)$. In addition, by considering all fold lines satisfying (I) and parametrizing them by r , we may find all solutions.

In this paper, we consider all fold lines satisfying the condition (I), and investigate the orbit of the points $P'(r)$ ($r \in \mathbb{R}$), each which is the reflection of $P(b, a+c)$ in a fold line. We set $(p, q) = (b, a+c)$. Our main results may be summarized into the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *The union \mathcal{F} of the orbit of the points P' and the point $P(p, q)$ is a real cubic curve*

$$F(x, y) := 2(q - y)^2 - (q + y)(q - y)(p - x) - (p - x)^2(p + x) = 0,$$

which we call Beloch's curve.

The point P is its uniquely existing singular point, and the Hessian at P is given by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}} = -4(4p + q^2)$. We have the following equivalence on the shape of \mathcal{F} and the parabola $\mathcal{G} : 4x + y^2 = 0$.

- P is on the left side of $\mathcal{G} \iff$ The orbit of P' 's does not pass through P
 $\iff P$ is an isolated point of \mathcal{F} .
- P is on $\mathcal{G} \iff$ The orbit of P' 's passes through P just once
 $\iff P$ is a cusp of \mathcal{F} .
- P is on the right side of $\mathcal{G} \iff$ The orbit of P' 's passes through P twice
 $\iff P$ is a self-intersection point of \mathcal{F} .

In addition, we show that if \mathcal{F} has an self-intersection at P , then the rotation number of the closed curve \mathcal{F}_c contained in \mathcal{F} around the point A is determined by the relationship between P and $x = 1$ (Theorem 4.10). As a corollary of Theorem 1.3, we also prove that the




FIGURE 2. The relationship between P and \mathcal{G} determines the shape of \mathcal{F}

shapes of real cubic curves $a_0y^2 - a_1xy^2 - a_2xy - a_3x^2 - a_4x^3 = 0$ may be classified similarly (Theorem 5.1).

Theorem 1.3 asserts a relationship between Axioms 5 and 6. In general, given a point A , Q , and a line m , Axiom 5 allows the fold along a line l such that l goes through Q and maps A onto m . In the situation of Theorem 1.3, each fold line l is a tangent line of the parabola \mathcal{G} at $Q(-2r, r^2)$ (Lemma 4.11), so it is equivalent to consider all fold lines l such that l is a tangent line of \mathcal{G} and the folding along l maps A onto the line $x = 1$. Lang [Lan10, p.47] considers all lines l satisfying the condition (II) in Theorem 1.2 and briefly studies the cubic curve that appears as the orbit of points that is the reflections of A in l 's. On the other hand, we cannot find studies that appear if we consider all lines satisfying the condition (I). Our study would shed new light on the relationship between Axioms 5 and 6, from the viewpoint of Beloch's curve (cf. Remark 4.14).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove Theorem 1.2.

In Section 3, we prove Theorem 3.1 asserting that the point P is on the left side of (resp. on, or on the right side of) the parabola $\mathcal{G} : 4x + y^2 = 0$ if and only if \mathcal{F} is the disjoint union of the orbit of P' and $\{P\}$ (resp. the orbit of P').

In Section 4, we further study the curve \mathcal{F} and the orbit of P' and prove Theorem 1.3. In Section 4.1, we prove that P is a uniquely existing singular point of \mathcal{F} (Proposition 4.1). In addition, we prove that P is on the left side of (resp. on, on the right side of) the parabola $\mathcal{G} : 4x + y^2 = 0$ if and only if the orbit of P' does not pass through P (resp. passes through P once, twice), by using the circle \mathcal{C} with its center at P and its radius as AP .

In Section 4.2, we obtain several conditions equivalent to that the segments AP and $A'P'$ intersect (Proposition 4.5, Proposition 4.6), which play important roles in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

In Section 4.3, we obtain the following result (Theorem 4.10) that is independent of Theorem 1.3: we have $p < 1$ (resp. $p = 1$, $p > 1$) if and only if the rotation number of the orbit of P' around A is 0 (resp. undefined, 1).

In Section 4.4, we study the relation between the parabola \mathcal{G} and the orbit of P' . We prove that the fold line is a tangent line of \mathcal{G} (Lemma 4.11), which is related to Axiom 5, and the conditions in Theorem 4.4 are also equivalent to that the intersection $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G}$ consists of 0 (resp. 1,2) points Theorem 4.12.

In Section 4.5, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.3. We may translate the relationship between P and \mathcal{G} into the condition of the sign of the Hessian $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}} = -4(4p + q^2)$ at P . As a consequence of Propositions 4.5 and Proposition 4.6, we obtain that the orbit of P' does not pass through P (resp. passes through P just once, more than once) if and only if P is an isolated point (resp. a cusp, a self-intersection point) of \mathcal{F} (Theorem 4.13). In Section 4.6, we visualize our situation by considering the surface $z = F(x, y)$ in \mathbb{R}^3 .

In Section 5, we study a slightly general situation. We replace $A(-1, 0)$ and P with $A(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, 0)$ and the origin O respectively. By using Theorem 4.13, we prove that the shapes of cubic curves $a_0y^2 - a_1xy^2 - a_2xy - a_3x^2 - a_4x^3 = 0$ are classified into three classes according to the relationship between the origin and a certain parabola (Theorem 5.1).

Acknowledgments. The author would like to express her sincere gratitude to Kazuhiko Maruki and Isao Nakai for introducing such an excellent topic, to Kiyoshi Ohba for letting her know the importance of thinking in her own words in mathematics, and Jun Ueki for his thorough guidance in making her ideas into an article. The author is also grateful to her parents, friends, and all others for their continuous support and help throughout this valuable experience.

2. A PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We prove Theorem 1.2 for the convenience of readers.

Proof. First, we prove the assertion (1). Suppose that a fold l satisfies the conditions (I) and (II) and let $(0, r)$ be the intersection of l and the y -axis. Then l is given by

$$l : x + ry - r^2 = 0.$$

Let $P'(s, a - c)$ denote the reflection of P in l . Since the midpoint $(\frac{b+s}{2}, a)$ of the segment PP' is on the line l , we have

$$\frac{b+s}{2} + ra - r^2 = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Since l and PP' are orthogonal, the vector $\overrightarrow{PP'} = \begin{pmatrix} s-b \\ (a-c) - (a+c) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} s-b \\ -2c \end{pmatrix}$ and the normal vector $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ r \end{pmatrix}$ of l are parallel, and hence

$$\begin{vmatrix} s-b & 1 \\ -2c & r \end{vmatrix} = r(s-b) + 2c = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

By eliminating s in the simultaneous equations Eq.(2.1) and Eq.(2.2), we obtain

$$r^3 - ar^2 - br + c = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Thus, r is a solution of $x^3 - ax^2 - bx + c = 0$. This completes the proof of (1).

Next, we prove the assertion (2). Suppose that $r \in \mathbb{R}$ is any solution of $r^3 - ar^2 - br + c = 0$ and consider the fold line l which $A(-1, 0)$ maps onto $A'(1, 2r)$. Then, this l satisfies the condition (I), and the y -intercept of l turns out to be r . It suffices to show that the reflection $P'(s, t)$ of $P(b, a + c)$ in l satisfies the condition (II), that is, $t = a - c$ holds.

Since l passes through $(0, r)$ and its normal vector is $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ r \end{pmatrix}$, we have

$$l : x + r(y - r) = 0.$$

Since l is parallel to $\begin{pmatrix} r \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ and since PP' and l are orthogonal, we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} s-b \\ t-(a+c) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} r \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = r(s-b) - (t-(a+c)) = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

In addition, since the midpoint $(\frac{b+s}{2}, \frac{a+c+t}{2})$ the segment PP' is on l , we have

$$\frac{b+s}{2} + \frac{a+c+t}{2}r - r^2 = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

By eliminating s in the simultaneous equations Eq.(2.4) and Eq.(2.5), we obtain

$$-2r^3 + (a+c+t)r^2 + 2br + (t-(a+c)) = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

By Eq.(2.6)+Eq.(2.3) $\times 2$, we obtain

$$(-a+c+t)r^2 + (t-a+c) = (t-(a-c))(r^2+1) = 0,$$

hence $t = a - c$. Thus, if the parameter r continuously moves all \mathbb{R} , then Axiom 6 yields all solutions of (*). This completes the assertion (2). \square

3. THE ORBIT OF P' AND BELOCH'S CURVE \mathcal{F}

In order to precisely study the construction of Theorem 1.2, we consider all folds satisfying the condition (I) and study the orbit of P' 's that are the reflections of $P(b, a+c)$ in the folds. For simplicity, we set $(b, a+c) = (p, q)$. Then, we have the following.

Theorem 3.1. *Consider the curve $\mathcal{F} : F(x, y) = 2(q-y)^2 - (q+y)(q-y)(p-x) - (p-x)^2(p+x) = 0$ and the parabola $\mathcal{G} : 4x + y^2 = 0$. The relationship between the orbit of P' and \mathcal{F} is as follows.*

- If P is on the left side of \mathcal{G} , then \mathcal{F} is the disjoint union of the orbit of P' and $\{P\}$.
- If P is on \mathcal{G} or on the right side of \mathcal{G} , then \mathcal{F} coincides with the orbit of P' .

Proof. Proof of (the orbit of P') $\subset \mathcal{F}$: Let $P'(s, t)$ be a point satisfying the condition. Since the corresponding fold l is given by $x + ry - r^2 = 0$ and PP' is perpendicular to l , we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} s-p \\ t-q \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} r \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = r(s-p) - (t-q) = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

In addition, since the midpoint $(\frac{p+s}{2}, \frac{q+t}{2})$ of the segment PP' is on l , we have

$$\frac{p+s}{2} + \frac{q+t}{2}r - r^2 = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Now Eq.(3.1) yields $r(s-p) = t-q$, and Eq.(3.2) $\times 2(s-p)^2$ yields

$$F(s, t) = 2(q-t)^2 - (q+t)(q-t)(p-s) - (p-s)^2(p+s) = 0.$$

The condition for (the orbit of P') $\supset \mathcal{F}$: If $(s, t) \in \mathcal{F}$, then we have

$$F(s, t) = 2(q-t)^2 - ((q+t)(q-t) - (p-s)(p+s))(p-s) = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

The perpendicular bisector m of P and (s, t) is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} q-t \\ -p+s \end{pmatrix} k + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{p+s}{2} \\ \frac{q+t}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$2(p-s)x + 2(q-t)y - (q+t)(q-t) + (p+s)(p-s) = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Note that $(s, t) \in$ (the orbit of P') is equivalent to that the reflection $A'(u, 2r)$ of $A(-1, 0)$ in m is on $x = 1$. Since m passes through the midpoint $(\frac{u-1}{2}, r)$ of AA' , Eq.(3.4) yields that

$$(p-s)(u-1) + 2r(q-t) - (q+t)(q-t) + (p+s)(p-s) = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

By Eq.(3.5) $\times (p-s)$ - Eq.(3.3), we obtain

$$(p-s)^2(u-1) + 2(p-s)(q-t)r - 2(q-t)^2 = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Since m and AA' are orthogonal, we obtain

$$(u+1)(q-t) = 2r(p-s). \quad (3.7)$$

By Eq.(3.6) $\cdot (u+1)^2$ and Eq.(3.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (p-s)^2(u+1)^2(u-1) + 4r^2(p-s)^2(u+1) - 8r^2(p-s)^2 \\ &= (p-s)^2((u+1)^2(u-1) + 4r^2(u+1) - 8r^2) \\ &= (p-s)^2((u+1)^2(u-1) + 4r^2(u-1)) \\ &= (p-s)^2(u-1)((u+1)^2 + 4r^2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $u = 1$ or $s = p$ holds. If $u = 1$, then A' is on $x = 1$. If $s = p$, then by $(s, t) \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $t = q$, $P = P'$, and hence $AP = A'P$. Let us consider when there exists A' such that $AP = A'P$.

Note that the parabola \mathcal{G} is defined by the focus $A(-1, 0)$ and the directrix $x = 1$. Here, Fig.2 in Section 1 helps. If P is on the left side of \mathcal{G} , that is, if $4p + q^2 < 0$, then we always have $AP < A'P$, so no A' satisfies the condition. If otherwise, such A' exists.

Thus, we have verified that $\mathcal{F} \setminus$ (the orbit of P') has at most one element P , and we have $P \notin$ (the orbit of P') if and only if P is on the left side of \mathcal{G} . This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.2. *The orbit of $P'(s, t) \subset \mathcal{F}$ is parametrized by $r \in \mathbb{R}$ as follows:*

$$\begin{pmatrix} s \\ t \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{r^2 + 1} \begin{pmatrix} (2+p)r^2 - 2qr - p \\ 2r^3 - qr^2 - 2pr + q \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. In the former half of the proof of Theorem 3.1, if we take Eq.(3.1) - Eq.(3.2) $\times 2r$ and Eq.(3.1) $\times r$ + Eq.(3.2) $\times 2$, then we obtain the assertion. \square

4. PROPERTIES OF BELOCH'S CURVE \mathcal{F}

In this section, we study properties of the real cubic curve $\mathcal{F} : F(x, y) = 0$ that is the union of the orbit of P' and $\{P\}$, and the orbit of P' that is a curve parametrized by $r \in \mathbb{R}$ in $A'(1, 2r)$.

4.1. Relationships between P and \mathcal{F} .

Proposition 4.1. *The point $P(p, q)$ is a uniquely existing singular point of $F(x, y) = 0$.*

Proof. The partial derivatives of $F(x, y)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_x &= (q+y)(q-y) + (p-x)(p+3x), \\ F_y &= -4(q-y) + 2y(p-x). \end{aligned}$$

By solving $F = F_x = F_y = 0$, we obtain $(x, y) = (p, q)$. We used Mathematica [Inc23] to calculate these values. \square

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose that P is fixed. Then for a fold l satisfying the condition (I) in Theorem 1.2 and points A' and P' determined by l , the following are equivalent.*

- (i) *Points P and P' coincide.*
- (ii) *The fold l passes through P .*
- (iii) *$AP = A'P$ holds.*
- (iv) *The point A' is on the circle \mathcal{C} with its center at P and its radius as AP .*




FIGURE 3. A case satisfying the conditions (i) – (iv) of Proposition 4.2

Proof. (i) \iff (ii): Since P' is the reflection of P in l , P is on l if and only if $P = P'$.
(ii) \iff (iii): Since l is the perpendicular bisector of AA' , P is on l if and only if $AP = A'P$.
(iii) \iff (iv): This is obvious by the definition of the circle \mathcal{C} . \square

Among these, (i) \iff (iv) enables us to study properties of P' in the language of A' .

Proposition 4.3. *The number of intersection points of $x = 1$ and the circle \mathcal{C} is determined by the relationship between $P(p, q)$ and the parabola $\mathcal{G} : 4x + y^2 = 0$ as follows.*

- *$x = 1$ and \mathcal{C} have no intersection point $\iff 4p + q^2 < 0$,*
- *$x = 1$ and \mathcal{C} have exactly one intersection point $\iff 4p + q^2 = 0$,*
- *$x = 1$ and \mathcal{C} have two intersection points $\iff 4p + q^2 > 0$.*

Note that \mathcal{G} is determined by the focus $A(-1, 0)$ and the directrix $x = 1$.

Proof. If $x = 1$ and \mathcal{C} has two intersection points, then the distance between the line $x = 1$ and the center $P(p, q)$ is less than the radius AP , so we have $|AP|^2 > (p - 1)^2$ and hence $4p + q^2 > 0$. This implies that P is on the right of \mathcal{G} . Other cases may be treated similarly. \square

The curve that is a connected component of \mathcal{F} is the orbit of P' under the condition (I) of Theorem 1.2 and is parametrized $r \in \mathbb{R}$ in $A'(1, 2r)$.

Theorem 4.4. *The orbit of P' parametrized by $r \in \mathbb{R}$ admits the following equivalence.*

- *The orbit of P' does not pass through P $\iff 4p + q^2 < 0$,*
- *The orbit of P' passes through P exactly once $\iff 4p + q^2 = 0$,*
- *The orbit of P' passes through P twice $\iff 4p + q^2 = 0$.*

Proof. This is clear by Propositions 4.2 and 4.3. \square

4.2. **The intersection of AP and $A'P'$.** Here, we prove Proposition 4.5 and Proposition 4.6 that will play important roles in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proposition 4.5. *The relationship between A and \mathcal{C} determines how AP and $A'P'$ intersect.*

- $P = P' \iff A'$ is on \mathcal{C} .
- $AP \cap A'P' \neq \emptyset$ and $P \neq P' \iff A'$ is inside \mathcal{C} .
- $AP \cap A'P' = \emptyset \iff A'$ is outside \mathcal{C} .

Proof. The case with $P = P'$ is already proved by Proposition 4.2. Suppose $P \neq P'$. Since l is the common perpendicular bisector of AA' and PP' , Each of A and A' , P and P' are on the different side of l . We obtain the assertion by the following equivalences.

$$\begin{aligned}
 AP \cap A'P' \neq \emptyset &\iff A \text{ and } P \text{ are on the different side of } l \\
 &\iff \text{The values of } x + ry - r^2 \text{ at } A \text{ and } P \text{ have different signs} \\
 &\iff (-1 - r^2)(p + qr - r^2) < 0 \\
 &\iff p + qr - r^2 > 0 \\
 &\iff q - \sqrt{q^2 + 4p} < r < q + \sqrt{q^2 + 4p} \\
 &\iff A' \text{ is inside of } \mathcal{C}. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.6. *On the x -coordinates of $P(p, q)$ and $P'(s, t)$, we have*

- $P = P' \iff p = s$,
- $AP \cap A'P' \neq \emptyset$ and $P \neq P' \iff p > s$,
- $AP \cap A'P' = \emptyset \iff p < s$.

Proof. The first case is obvious. Suppose $P \neq P'$. Since l is the common perpendicular bisector of AA' and PP' , AA' and PP' are parallel, and we have the following equivalence:

$$\begin{aligned}
 AP \cap A'P' \neq \emptyset &\iff \overrightarrow{AA'} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2r \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \overrightarrow{PP'} = \begin{pmatrix} s - p \\ t - q \end{pmatrix} \text{ are in the same direction} \\
 &\iff s > p.
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □




FIGURE 4. The intersection of AP and $A'P'$

4.3. **The rotation number of \mathcal{F}_c around A .** Assume that $4p + q^2 > 0$, so that by Theorem 4.4, the curve \mathcal{F} have a self-intersection at $P(p, q)$. Let \mathcal{F}_c denote the closed curve between the self-intersections. In this subsection, we study the rotation number of \mathcal{F}_c around $A(-1, 0)$. Our result here is independent of Theorem 1.3.

Definition 4.7. Let Γ be a piecewise smooth closed curve in \mathbb{R}^2 parametrized by $[0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$ and let A be a point that is not on Γ . If P' goes along Γ according to the parameter, then the rotation number of Γ around A stands for that of the image of $\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow S^1; P' \mapsto \overrightarrow{AP'} / |\overrightarrow{AP'}|$.

Lemma 4.8. *Let Γ be a piecewise smooth closed curve Γ in \mathbb{R}^2 and let A be a point that is not on Γ . If each of the half straight lines with their endpoint at A and are parallel to x - or y -axis intersects with Γ exactly once, then the rotation number of Γ around A is 1.*

Proof. Let P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4 be the intersections of Γ and the half lines so that the x -coordinate of P_1 is greater than that of A and P_i 's are numbered anti-clockwise. Assume that the suffixes are in $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$. Take a small $\varepsilon > 0$ and consider the circle C_i with its center at P_i and radius ε for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Suppose that P' goes along Γ , let P_i^- denote the last intersection of P' and C_i before reaching P_i , and let P_i^+ denote the first intersection of P' and C_i after starting P_i . By taking a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, we may assume that each part Γ'_i of Γ connecting P_i^- and P_i^+ does not have a self-intersection point. By combining Γ'_i 's and the segments L_i^\pm 's such that each of them has an endpoint at P_i^\pm and parallel to the x - or y -axes, we may obtain a cross-shaped simple close curve \mathcal{X} . In addition, for each i , let Γ_i denote the closed part obtained by combining L_{i+1}^-, L_i^+ , and the part of Γ connecting P_i^+ and P_{i+1}^- . Then, endowed with natural orientations, the curves satisfy $\Gamma = \mathcal{X} + \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3 + \Gamma_4$ as 1-cycles. The rotation numbers of Γ_i 's around A are zero and that of \mathcal{X} is 1, so that of Γ is 1. \square




FIGURE 5. The cross-shaped closed curve in Lemma 4.8

Lemma 4.9. *Suppose $4p + q^2 > 0$ and $p > 1$. Then the defining polynomial $F(x, y)$ of the curve \mathcal{F} satisfies the following.*

- (1) *The equation $F(-1, t) = 0$ in t has two distinct solutions α, β whose signs differ.*
- (2) *The equation $F(s, 0) = 0$ has three distinct solutions; One is on $s < -1$, and two are on $s > -1$. If we restrict the parameter s to the part \mathcal{F}_c of \mathcal{F} between the self-intersection points, then $F(s, 0)$ has two distinct solutions, one of which is in $s < -1$ and the other in $s > -1$.*

Proof. (1) It suffices to show that $F(-1, t) = 1 + p - p^2 - p^3 + q^2 - pq^2 - 4qt + 3t^2 + pt^2$ has $D > 0$ and $\alpha\beta < 0$. We have

$$\alpha\beta = (\text{constant term})/(\text{leading term}) = (1 + p - p^2 - p^3 + q^2 - pq^2)/(3 + p)$$

and its sign coincides with that of $1 + p - p^2 - p^3 + q^2 - pq^2 = (1 - p)(1 + 2p + p^2 + q^2)$. By $1 - p < 0$ and $1 + 2p + p^2 + q^2 > 1 - 2p + p^2 = (1 - p)^2 > 0$, we obtain $\alpha\beta < 0$. By

$$D = (4q)^2 - 4(1 + p - p^2 - p^3 + q^2 - pq^2)(3 + p) = (4q)^2 - 4\alpha\beta(3 + p)^2 > 0,$$

we obtain the assertion.

(2) $F(s, 0) = -p^3 + 2q^2 - pq^2 + (p^2 + q^2)s + ps^2 - s^3$ is a cubic function in s with its leading term being negative. By $-1 < p$, we have

$$F(-1, 0) = (1 - p)(1 + 2p + p^2 + q^2) < 0, \quad F(p, 0) = 2q^2 > 0.$$

Hence, there are one real solution in $s < -1$ and two real solutions in $s > -1$.

Since $P(p, q)$ is the self-intersection point of \mathcal{F} , by $F(p, 0) = 2q^2 > 0$, if we restrict s to the interval between the self-intersection points, then there exists exactly one real solution in each of the two regions. \square

Theorem 4.10. *Suppose $4p + q^2 > 0$. Then, the relationship between the closed curve \mathcal{F}_c and A is determined by the place of P as follows.*

- $p > 1 \iff$ The rotation number of \mathcal{F}_c around A is 1,
- $p < 1 \iff$ The rotation number of \mathcal{F}_c around A is 0, or $A = P$,
- $p = 1 \iff$ A is on \mathcal{F}_c and $A \neq P$.

Proof. If $p > 1$, then by the two lemmas above, the rotation number is 1.

Suppose that $p < 1$. If the rotation number is $\neq 0$, then the continuous map $\varphi : \mathcal{F}_c \rightarrow S^1; ; P' \mapsto \frac{\overrightarrow{AP'}}{|\overrightarrow{AP'}|}$ is surjective, so there exists some P' on \mathcal{F}_c such that $\varphi(P') = \frac{\overrightarrow{PA}}{|\overrightarrow{PA}|}$, and the corresponding A' is on the segment AP , contradicting to $p < 1$. Thus, the rotation number is 0.

The curve \mathcal{F} passes through $A(-1, 0)$ if and only if

$$F(-1, 0) = -(p - 1)((p + 1)^2 + q^2) = 0,$$

that is, $p = 1$ or $P(p, q) = A(-1, 0)$ holds. If $p = 1$, then $P \neq A$. This completes the proof. \square




FIGURE 6. The rotation number of \mathcal{F}_c around A and the place of P

4.4. The intersection of $\mathcal{G} : 4x + y^2 = 0$ and \mathcal{F} .

Lemma 4.11. *For any $r \in \mathbb{R}$, the perpendicular bisector of $A(-1, 0)$ and $A'(1, 2r)$ coincides with the tangent line of $\mathcal{G} : 4x + y^2 = 0$ at $(-r^2, 2r)$.*

Proof. The line l is given by $\overrightarrow{AA'} \cdot \left(\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{2}(\overrightarrow{OA} + \overrightarrow{OA'}) \right) = 0$, that is, $x + ry - r^2 = 0$. By the total differential $d(4x + y^2) = 4dx + 2ydy$, the tangent line of \mathcal{G} at $(-r^2, 2r)$ is given by $4(x - (-r^2)) + 2(2r)(y - 2r) = 0$, that is, $x + ry - r^2 = 0$. Thus, these two coincide. \square




FIGURE 7. The tangent line of \mathcal{G} and A'

Theorem 4.12. *The relationship between P and \mathcal{G} determines the number of intersection points of \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} as follows.*

- $4p + q^2 < 0 \iff \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G} = \emptyset$.
- $4p + q^2 = 0 \iff \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G}$ consists of one element.
- $4p + q^2 > 0 \iff \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G}$ consist of more than one element.

Proof. If $4p + q^2 > 0$, then $P(p, q)$ is on the right side of \mathcal{G} , while $A(-1, 0)$ is on the left side of \mathcal{G} , so the segment AP and \mathcal{G} intersect at some point $C(-c^2, 2c)$. If A' is at $(1, 2c)$, then the points P', C, A are on a common line, and $A'P'$ is parallel to the x -axis. Note that P is on the right side of \mathcal{G} and the fold l is the tangent line of \mathcal{G} at C . Since P', C, A' are placed on a line in this order, we see that P' is on the left side of \mathcal{G} . Since the curve \mathcal{F} restricted to $q - \sqrt{q^2 + 4p} \leq r \leq q + \sqrt{q^2 + 4p}$ is a closed curve, the intersection $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G}$ must have more than one element.

If $4p + q^2 = 0$, then the intersection of the segment AP and \mathcal{G} is $C = P$. Hence if A' is at $(1, 2q)$, then $P = P'$ and P' is on \mathcal{G} . If $A' \neq (1, 2q)$, then P' is on the right side of \mathcal{G} , since the fold line is the tangent line of \mathcal{G} . Thus, $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G}$ consists of just one element.

If $4p + q^2 < 0$, then both A and P are on the left of \mathcal{G} , so the segment AP and \mathcal{G} does not intersect. In addition, since P is on the left side of \mathcal{G} and the fold line is the tangent line of \mathcal{G} , P' must be on the right side of \mathcal{G} , yielding that $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{G} = \emptyset$. \square

4.5. The shape of \mathcal{F} at P .

Theorem 4.13. *The shape of \mathcal{F} at P is determined by the place of P as follows.*

- $4p + q^2 < 0 \iff P$ is an isolated point of \mathcal{F} .
- $4p + q^2 = 0 \iff P$ is a cusp of \mathcal{F} .
- $4p + q^2 > 0 \iff P$ is a self-intersection point of \mathcal{F} .




FIGURE 8. The intersection of \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G}

Here, a cusp stands for a point such that $F_x = F_y = 0$ holds there and it is neither an isolated point nor a self-intersection point.

Proof. By Proposition 4.1, P is a singular point of $F(x, y) = 0$. The Hessian of $F(x, y)$ at P is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_F = \begin{vmatrix} F_{xx} & F_{xy} \\ F_{yx} & F_{yy} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 2p - 6x & -2y \\ -2y & 4 + 2p - 2x \end{vmatrix} = (2p - 6x)(4 + 2p - 2x) - 4y^2,$$

$$\mathcal{H}_F(p, q) = -4(4p + q^2).$$

By elementary calculus for two variable functions, $z = F(x, y)$ takes local maximum/minimum value at $P(p, q)$ if $\mathcal{H}_F(p, q) > 0$, while it takes saddle point at $P(p, q)$ if $\mathcal{H}_F(p, q) < 0$. Since \mathcal{F} is the intersection of $z = F(x, y)$ and $z = 0$, the condition $4p + q^2 < 0$ implies that P is an isolated point, while $4p + q^2 > 0$ implies that P is a self-intersection point. Suppose $4p + q^2 = 0$ and note that \mathcal{C} and $x = 0$ have only one intersecting point. Then, Proposition 4.5 yields that AP and $A'P'$ must intersect at P , and Proposition 4.6 yields that $P'(s, t)$ satisfies $p \leq s$. By that facts that the orbit of P' is connected, $p \leq s$, and P is a singular point of \mathcal{F} (Proposition 4.1), we see that P is a cusp of \mathcal{F} . \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. All above complete the proof of Theorem 1.3. \square

Remark 4.14. As we mentioned in the Introduction, Axiom 5 asserts that if points A, Q and a line m are given, then we may find a fold l that passes through Q and that maps A onto m , and it is equivalent to that we may construct a tangent line of a given parabola satisfying

a certain condition. By Lemma 4.11, we can regard Theorem 1.3 as that we consider all tangent lines of the parabola \mathcal{G} that passes through Q and that maps A onto $x = 1$.

On the other hand, Axiom 6 is equivalent to that we may construct common tangent lines of given two parabolas. Note that $\mathcal{G} : 4x + y^2 = 0$ is determined by its focus $A(-1, 0)$ and the directrix $x = 1$. Let \mathcal{G}' denote the parabola determined by its focus $P(p, q)$ and the directrix $y = a - c$. Then the fold line l is a common tangent line of \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{G}' .

Our study in this paper raises a viewpoint to compare these two Axioms. If we take \mathcal{G}' into account as well, then we may find a further perspective.

Remark 4.15. We considered all folds satisfying the condition (I) in Theorem 1.2 and determined Beloch's curve $\mathcal{F} : F(x, y) = 0$ by the orbit of P' that is the reflection of P . If we instead consider all folds satisfying (II), then the orbit of A' which is the reflection of A also defines a real cubic curve and admits a similar study (cf. [Lan10, p.47]).

4.6. **The surface $z = F(x, y)$.** If we regard \mathcal{F} as the intersection of $z = F(x, y) = 2(q - y)^2 - (q + y)(q - y)(p - x) - (p - x)^2(p + x)$ and $z = 0$, then we may capture the situation in a 3-dimensional view (Fig.9).




FIGURE 9. The surface $z = F(x, y)$ and the curve \mathcal{F}

If $\mathcal{H}_F(p, q) = -4(4p + q^2) > 0$, then by $F_{xx}(p, q) = -4p > 0$, we see that $z = F(x, y)$ takes a local maximum value at P . We may expect the following.

Conjecture 4.16. The shape of $z = F(x, y)$ is determined by the place of $P(p, q)$ as follows.

- $4p + q^2 < 0 \iff z = F(x, y)$ has a unique local maximum point at $(p, q, 0)$ and a unique saddle point.
- $4p + q^2 = 0 \iff z = F(x, y)$ has no local maximum/minimum point and a unique saddle point at $(p, q, 0)$.
- $4p + q^2 > 0 \iff z = F(x, y)$ has a unique local maximum point and a unique saddle point $(p, q, 0)$.

5. REAL CUBIC CURVE $a_0y^2 - a_1xy^2 - a_2xy - a_3x^2 - a_4x^3 = 0$

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $P(p, q)$ be any point. If we consider all folds such that $A_P(-\frac{\alpha}{2}, 0)$ map onto the line $x = \frac{\alpha}{2}$, then the union of the orbit of the reflections P' of P about the folds and the set $\{P\}$ of a possibly isolated point is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_P : \alpha(q - y)^2 - (q - y)(q + y)(p - x) - (p - x)^2(p + x) = 0.$$

We have considered the case with $\alpha = 2$ in the preceding section. Since the situations are in a scaling relation, the previous argument shows that the shape of this curve is determined by the relationship between the parabola $\mathcal{G}_P : 4x + y^2 = 0$ and P , and that P is the uniquely existing singular point of this curve \mathcal{F}_P .

By the parallel movement by \overrightarrow{OP} , we see that if we consider all folds that map $A_O(-\frac{\alpha}{2} - p, -q)$ onto the line $x = \frac{\alpha}{2} - p$, then the union of the orbit of the reflections of O about the folds and the set $\{O\}$ of a possibly isolated point is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_O : \alpha y^2 - xy^2 - 2qxy - 2px^2 - x^3 = 0.$$

The shape of this curve \mathcal{F}_O is determined by the relationship between the parallel $\mathcal{G}_O : 4(x + p) + (y + q)^2 = 0$ and O , and O is a uniquely existing singular point of \mathcal{F}_O . Based on these observations, we may obtain the following.

Theorem 5.1. *The real cubic curve*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}} : a_0 y^2 - a_1 x y^2 - a_2 x y - a_3 x^2 - a_4 x^3 = 0 \quad (a_i \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}).$$

has a unique singular point at O and its shape is determined by the relationship between O and the value of $G_O(0, 0) = \frac{2a_3}{\sqrt{a_1 a_4}} + (\frac{a_2}{2a_1})^2$ as follows.

- $G_O(0, 0) < 0 \iff O$ is an isolated point of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$.
- $G_O(0, 0) = 0 \iff O$ is a cusp of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$.
- $G_O(0, 0) > 0 \iff O$ is a self-intersection point of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$.

Proof. If we multiply the formula of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ by $\beta = \sqrt{\frac{a_4}{a_1^3}}$, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 \beta y^2 - a_1 \beta x y^2 - a_2 \beta x y - a_3 \beta x^2 - a_4 \beta x^3 &= 0, \\ a_0 \beta y^2 - (a_1 \beta x) y^2 - \frac{a_2}{a_1} (a_1 \beta x) y - \frac{a_3}{a_1^2 \beta} (a_1 \beta x)^2 - (a_1 \beta x)^3 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing this with $\mathcal{F}_O : \alpha y^2 - xy^2 - 2qxy - 2px^2 - x^3 = 0$, we find

$$\alpha = a_0 \beta = a_0 \sqrt{\frac{a_4}{a_1^3}}, \quad p = \frac{a_3}{2a_1^2 \beta}, \quad q = \frac{a_2}{2a_1}.$$

Hence the preceding argument completes the proof. \square

Examples 5.2. (1) If we put $(a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = (-b, -1, -a, 0, -1)$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ becomes the standard form $x(x^2 + y^2) + (ax - by)y = 0$ of so-called *the ophiuride*.

(2) If we put $(a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5) = (2a, -1, 0, 0, -1)$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ becomes the standard form $x(x^2 + y^2) - 2ay^2 = 0$ of so-called *the cissoid of Diocles*.

REFERENCES

- [Bel36] Margherita Pianolla Beloch. Sul metodo del ripiegamento della carta per la risoluzione dei problemi geometrici. *Periodico di Mat.* IV, XVI, (2):104–108, 1936.
- [Hul11] Thomas C. Hull. Solving cubics with creases: the work of Beloch and Lill. *Am. Math. Mon.*, 118(4):307–315, 2011.
- [Inc23] Wolfram Research, Inc. Mathematica, Version 13.3, 2023. Champaign, IL, 2023.
- [Jus86] Jacques Justin. Résolution par le pliage de l'équation du troisième degré et applications géométriques. *L'Ouvert - Journal de l'APMEP d'Alsace et de l'IREM de Strasbourg*, 42:9–19, 1986. https://mathinfo.unistra.fr/websites/math-info/irem/Publications/L_Ouvert/n042/o_42_9-19.pdf.

- [Kat99] Koichi Kato. Origami and formulas. http://izumi-math.jp/K_Katou/ori_h/ori_h.htm, 1999.
- [Lan10] Robert J. Lang. Origami and geometric constructions. https://langorigami.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/09/origami_constructions.pdf, 2010.
- [Lil67] E. Lill. Résolution graphique des équations numériques de tous les degrés à une seule inconnue, et description d'un instrument inventé dans ce but. *Nouvelles annales de mathématiques : journal des candidats aux écoles polytechnique et normale*, 6:359–362, 1867.
- [NO15] Isao Nakai and Eri Ono. Finding roots by a simple origami. *Nat. Sci. Rep. Ochanomizu Univ.*, 66(1):1–17, 2015.

Email address: `niijima.manami23@gmail.com`

JVCKENWOOD CORPORATION