

# ENUMERATION OF SETS OF EQUIANGULAR LINES WITH COMMON ANGLE $\arccos(1/3)$

KIYOTO YOSHINO\*

**ABSTRACT.** In 2018, Szöllősi and Östergård enumerated some sets of equiangular lines with common angle  $\arccos(1/3)$  with a computer. Furthermore, they verified that the numbers  $\omega(n)$  of sets of  $n$  equiangular lines with common angle  $\arccos(1/3)$  in dimension 7 are almost symmetric around  $n = 14$ . In this paper, we construct the other sets of equiangular lines with common angle  $\arccos(1/3)$  from root lattices of type  $A$  or  $D$  with the aid of switching roots. In addition, we prove that the numbers  $\omega(n)$  are almost symmetric without a computer.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A set of lines through the origin in a Euclidean space is *equiangular* if any pair from these lines forms the same angle. Let  $N(d)$  be the maximum cardinality of a set of equiangular lines in dimension  $d$ . The problem of determining the values of  $N(d)$  has been under consideration since 1948 [8]. The values or bounds of  $N(d)$  are known for  $d \leq 43$  [1, 5, 7, 6, 8, 10, 14] as in Table 1. A general upper bound  $N(d) \leq d(d + 1)/2$  was proved by Lemmens and

TABLE 1. The values or bounds of  $N(d)$  for  $d \leq 43$ .

$d$	2	3	4	5	6	7–14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23–41	42	43
$N(d)$	3	6	6	10	16	28	36	40	48	57–59	72–74	90–94	126	176	276	276–288	344

Seidel [10, Theorem 3.5]. Also, a general lower bound  $(32d^2 + 328d + 29)/1089$  was proved by Greaves et al. [4, Corollary 2.8].

Let  $N_\alpha(d)$  be the maximum cardinality of a set of equiangular lines with angle  $\arccos(\alpha)$  in dimension  $d$ . The problem of determining  $N_\alpha(d)$  is important to determine  $N(d)$ . In 1966, van Lint and Seidel proved the so-called relative bound  $N_\alpha(d) \leq d(1 - \alpha^2)/(1 - d\alpha^2)$  for  $d < 1/\alpha^2$  [14, p. 342]. Since  $1/\alpha$  is an odd integer if  $N_\alpha(d) > 2d$  [10, Theorem 3.4], the values of  $N_\alpha(d)$  where  $1/\alpha$  is an odd integer have been studied. In 1973, Lemmens and Seidel determined the values of  $N_{1/3}(d)$  for all  $d$  [10, Theorem 3.6]. In addition, they posed the so-called Lemmens-Seidel conjecture, which claims  $N_{1/5}(d) = \max\{276, \lfloor (3d - 3)/2 \rfloor\}$  for  $d \geq 23$ . This was shown by the works of Lemmens and Seidel [10], Cao et al. [2] and Yoshino [15]. In 2021, Jiang et al. proved for every integer  $k \geq 2$ ,  $N_{1/(2k-1)}(d) = \lfloor k(d - 1)/(k - 1) \rfloor$  for all sufficiently large  $d$  [9, Corollary 1.3].

In this paper, we investigate the enumeration and structure of sets of equiangular lines with common angle  $\arccos(1/3)$ . In 2018, Szöllősi and Östergård [13] with a computer enumerated the sets of  $n$  equiangular lines with common angle  $\arccos(1/3)$  for  $n \leq 13$ . As a result, they determined  $s(n)$  and  $s_e(n)$  for  $n \leq 13$  as in Table 2. Here,  $s(n)$  is the number of sets of  $n$  equiangular lines with common angle  $\arccos(1/3)$ , and  $s_e(n)$  is the number of those in dimension less than  $n$ . Additionally, they enumerated the sets of  $n$  equiangular lines with common angle  $\arccos(1/3)$  in dimension 7 for

TABLE 2. The numbers  $s(n)$  and  $s_e(n)$

$n$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
$s(n)$	1	1	1	2	3	5	9	16	25	40	58	75	96	108
$s_e(n)$	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	9	23	38	56	73	94	106

every  $n \leq N_{1/3}(7) = 28$  with a computer, and determined the number  $\omega(n)$  of them as in Table 3. Then, they noticed that the numbers  $\omega(n)$  are symmetric around  $n = 14$  except for  $n = 6, 22$ . Note that we treat the empty set as the set of equiangular lines of cardinality 0. In 2020, Lin and Yu [11] employed a computational approach to determine the

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C50.

*Key words and phrases.* Equiangular lines, Seidel Matrices, Root lattices.

\*K. Yoshino is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP21J14427.

TABLE 3. The numbers  $\omega(n)$  of sets of  $n$  equiangular lines with common angle  $\arccos(1/3)$  in dimension 7

$n$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
$\omega(n)$	1	1	1	2	3	5	9	16	23	37	54	70	90	101	103
$n$	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29
$\omega(n)$	101	90	70	54	37	23	16	10	5	3	2	1	1	1	0

maximal sets of equiangular lines with common angle  $\arccos(1/3)$  exactly in dimension 8. After that, Cao et al. [2] introduced the concept of switching roots, and classified the maximal sets of equiangular lines with common angle  $\arccos(1/3)$  due to the containment relation among root lattices.

In this study, we use switching roots as in [2], and apply more precise results on root lattices. In the first half, we consider the orbits of some sets of roots of a root lattice under the left action of the Weyl group. As a result, we obtain the first main result Theorem 6.1, which enumerates the sets of equiangular lines with common angle  $\arccos(1/3)$  other than those in dimension 7, and have the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.1.** *For an integer  $n$ ,  $s(n) = s_e(n) + 2$  if  $n \geq 8$ , and*

$$s(n) = \begin{cases} \omega(n) & \text{if } 0 \leq n \leq 7, \\ \omega(n) + n - 6 & \text{if } 8 \leq n \leq 12, \\ \omega(n) + \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1 & \text{if } 13 \leq n. \end{cases}$$

In the second half, we prove the second main result Theorem 8.4, which shows that the orbits of some sets of roots of  $E_8$  correspond almost one-to-one with the sets of equiangular lines with common angle  $\arccos(1/3)$  in dimension 7. Here  $E_8$  is the root lattice of rank 8 and type  $E$ . Furthermore, we see that  $\omega(n)$  is almost symmetric around  $n = 14$  as in Corollary 1.2 without a computer.

**Corollary 1.2.**  $\omega(n) = \omega(28 - n)$  for  $n \in \{0, \dots, 28\} \setminus \{6, 22\}$ , and  $\omega(6) + 1 = \omega(22)$ .

Note that throughout this paper, we will consider Seidel matrices instead of sets of equiangular lines. See Section 2 for the relation between them and the definition of Seidel matrices.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some notations for graphs and Seidel matrices. In Section 3, we introduce basic definitions for root lattices. In Section 4, we introduce some results on switching roots. In Section 5, we prepare lemmas to prove the main results. In Section 6, we prove the first main result Theorem 6.1, and have Corollary 1.1. In Section 7, we consider the isometries from a root lattice to  $E_8$  in order to prove the second main result. In Section 8, we prove the second main result Theorem 8.4, and show Corollary 1.2.

## 2. NOTATIONS

Throughout this paper, we will consider undirected graphs without loops and multiedges. Let  $G$  be a graph. Denote by  $V(G)$  the set of vertices, and by  $E(G)$  the set of edges. For two vertices  $x$  and  $y$  of  $G$ , we write  $x \sim y$  if  $\{x, y\} \in E(G)$ . Denote by  $A(G)$  the adjacency matrix of  $G$ .

Denote by  $\mathbf{j}$  the all-one vector, and by  $\mathbf{e}_i$  the vector of which the  $i$ -th entry is 1 and the others are 0. Denote by  $I$  and  $J$  the identity matrix and the all-one matrix, respectively.

**Definition 2.1.** A *Seidel matrix* is a symmetric matrix with zero diagonal and all off-diagonal entries  $\pm 1$ . For two Seidel matrices  $S$  and  $S'$ , write  $S \sim_{\text{sw}} S'$  and say  $S$  and  $S'$  are *switching equivalent* if there exists a signed permutation matrix  $P$  such that  $P^\top S P = S'$  holds. In addition, write  $[S]$  for the set of Seidel matrices switching equivalent to a Seidel matrix  $S$ , and call it the *switching class* of  $S$ . For a graph  $G$ , define  $S(G) := J - I - 2A(G)$ . Two graphs  $G$  and  $H$  are said to be *switching equivalent* if  $S(G)$  and  $S(H)$  are switching equivalent.

Note that for any Seidel matrix  $S$ , there exists a graph  $G$  such that  $S = S(G)$ . Also, note that if  $G$  and  $H$  are switching equivalent, then  $H$  is isomorphic to  $G^U$  for some  $U \subset V(G)$ . Here the graph  $G^U$  is defined as  $V = V(G^U) := V(G)$  and

$$x \sim y \text{ in } G^U : \iff \begin{cases} x \sim y \text{ in } G & \text{if } \{x, y\} \subset U \text{ or } \{x, y\} \subset V \setminus U, \\ x \not\sim y \text{ in } G & \text{if } \{x, y\} \not\subset U \text{ and } \{x, y\} \not\subset V \setminus U \end{cases}$$

for  $x \neq y \in V$ .

We explain the relation between sets of equiangular lines and Seidel matrices. Fix a set  $U$  of  $n$  equiangular lines with common angle  $\arccos(\alpha)$  in dimension  $d$ . Then we may take unit vectors  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $U = \{\mathbb{R}\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbb{R}\mathbf{u}_n\}$ . There exists a Seidel matrix  $S$  such that  $I - \alpha S$  equals the Gram matrix of  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ . Hence the set  $U$  of equiangular lines induces the Seidel matrix  $S$  with largest eigenvalue at most  $1/\alpha$  and  $\text{rank}(I - \alpha S) \leq d$  up to switching. In addition, it induces the graph  $G$  with  $S = S(G)$  up to switching. Conversely, we can recover  $U$  from the Seidel matrix  $S$  or the graph  $G$ .

By this relation, we see that  $s(n)$  is the number of Seidel matrices of order  $n$  with largest eigenvalue at most 3 up to switching,  $s_e(n)$  is the number of those with largest eigenvalue equal to 3 up to switching, and  $\omega(n)$  is the number of those with largest eigenvalue at most 3 and  $\text{rank}(3I - S) \leq 7$  up to switching.

### 3. ROOT LATTICES

In this section, we introduce basic definitions for lattices. For a reference, see [3]. In this paper, we will mainly treat root lattices.

**Definition 3.1.** A *lattice* is the set  $L$  of integral linear combinations of some  $\mathbb{R}$ -linearly independent vectors  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $m \leq n$ ), that is,

$$L = \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{u}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{u}_m.$$

This lattice is written as  $\langle \mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_m \rangle$ . In this case,  $m$  is called the *rank* of the lattice  $L$ . Also, denote by  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$  the inner product of two vectors  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$ . A lattice  $L$  is said to be *integral* if  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for any  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in L$ . For each vector  $\mathbf{u}$ , we call  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u})$  the *norm* of  $\mathbf{u}$ .

**Definition 3.2.** A  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear injection  $f : L \rightarrow M$  between two lattices  $L$  and  $M$  is called an *isometry* if

$$(f(\mathbf{u}), f(\mathbf{v})) = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$$

for any  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in L$ . Two lattices  $L$  and  $M$  are said to be *isometric* if there is a bijective isometry between them. An isometry from a lattice  $L$  to itself is called an *automorphism* of  $L$ . The *automorphism group*, denoted by  $\text{Aut}(L)$ , of a lattice  $L$  is defined to be the set of automorphisms of  $L$ .

A lattice  $L$  is said to be *reducible* if it is the orthogonal direct sum of two nonzero lattices. Otherwise it is said to be *irreducible*.

**Definition 3.3.** A vector of norm 2 in a lattice is called a *root*, and an integral lattice generated by roots is called a *root lattice*.

It is known that the irreducible root lattices are enumerated up to bijective isometry as follows (cf. [3, Theorem 1.2]).

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &:= \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \mid (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{j}) = 0\} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}), \\ D_n &:= \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{j}) \in 2\mathbb{Z}\} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 4}), \\ E_8 &:= D_8 \sqcup (\mathbf{j}/2 + D_8), \\ E_7 &:= \{\mathbf{v} \in E_8 \mid (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_2) = 0\}, \\ E_6 &:= \{\mathbf{v} \in E_8 \mid (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_2) = (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{e}_2 - \mathbf{e}_3) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 3.4.** Let

$$\mathcal{E} := \{A_n : n = 1, \dots, 8\} \cup \{D_n : n = 4, \dots, 8\} \cup \{E_6, E_7, E_8\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L} := \{A_n : n \geq 1\} \cup \{D_n : n \geq 4\} \cup \{E_6, E_7, E_8\}.$$

The *Weyl group*  $W(L)$  of a root lattice  $L$  is the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(L)$  generated by all the reflections  $s_{\mathbf{r}}$  corresponding to roots  $\mathbf{r}$ , where  $s_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} - 2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{r})/(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r})\mathbf{r}$ .

**Lemma 3.5** ([3, Lemma 1.10]). *The Weyl group of an irreducible root lattice acts transitively on the set of its roots.*

## 4. SWITCHING ROOTS

Cao et al. [2] introduced the concept of switching roots and described it. It provided a novel method to construct lattices from Seidel matrices. In this section, we describe fundamental results related to it.

**Definition 4.1.** The *cone*  $\tilde{G}$  over a graph  $G$  is defined to be the graph obtained by adding a new vertex to  $G$  and connecting it to all the vertices of  $G$ .

**Theorem 4.2** ([2, Corollary 2.4]). *For every graph  $G$ , the following are equivalent.*

- (i) *The Seidel matrix  $S(G)$  has largest eigenvalue at most 3.*
- (ii) *The adjacency matrix  $A(\tilde{G})$  of the cone  $\tilde{G}$  over  $G$  has smallest eigenvalue at least  $-2$ .*

Furthermore, if (i) or (ii) holds, then  $\text{rank}(3I - S(G)) + 1 = \text{rank}(A(\tilde{G}) + 2I)$ .

In this theorem, if (ii) holds, then there exist roots  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{r}$  of which the Gram matrix is  $A(\tilde{G}) + 2I$ , where  $n$  is the order of  $G$ . Here,  $\mathbf{r}$  corresponds to the new vertex of  $\tilde{G}$  added to  $G$ . This root  $\mathbf{r}$  is called a *switching root*.

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $L$  be an irreducible root lattice, and  $\mathbf{r}$  a root in  $L$ . Let  $N_{\mathbf{r}}(L)$  be the set of roots  $\mathbf{u} \in L$  with  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{r}) = 1$ . Define the equivalence relation  $\sim_{\mathbf{r}}$  on the set  $R$  of roots in  $L$  such that for  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in R$ ,  $\mathbf{u} \sim_{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{v}$  if and only if  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v}$  or  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}$ . Define  $[\mathbf{u}]_{\mathbf{r}} := \{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{u}\}$ .

In this definition, note that the set  $[\mathbf{u}]_{\mathbf{r}}$  is the equivalence class of  $\mathbf{u}$  under  $\sim_{\mathbf{r}}$ . For a set  $A$  and a non-negative integer  $n$ , denote by  $\binom{A}{n}$  the set of  $n$ -subsets of  $A$ .

**Remark 4.4.** Let  $L$  be an irreducible root lattice, and  $\mathbf{r}$  a root. We remark that for any roots  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in N_{\mathbf{r}}(L)$ ,

$$(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v}, \\ -1 & \text{if } \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}, \\ 0, 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, if

$$\{[\mathbf{u}_1]_{\mathbf{r}}, \dots, [\mathbf{u}_n]_{\mathbf{r}}\} \in \binom{N_{\mathbf{r}}(L)/\sim_{\mathbf{r}}}{n},$$

then the Gram matrix of  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  equals  $A(G) + 2I$  for some graph  $G$ . Furthermore, the Gram matrix of  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{r}$  equals  $A(\tilde{G}) + 2I$ .

The following is necessary to associate a switching class with a lattice. The Lemma 4.5 (i) has been essentially claimed after [2, Definition 2.1]. Also, Lemma 4.5 (ii) is clearly true.

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $G$  be a graph such that there are vectors  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{r}$  of which the Gram matrix is  $A(\tilde{G}) + 2I$ . Here the vector  $\mathbf{r}$  corresponds to the added vertex to  $G$ .*

- (i) *We have the surjection*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [\mathbf{u}_1]_{\mathbf{r}} \times \dots \times [\mathbf{u}_n]_{\mathbf{r}} & \rightarrow & [G] \\ (\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n) & \mapsto & H \end{array}$$

where  $A(H) + 2I$  is the Gram matrix of  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ .

- (ii) *For any  $(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n) \in [\mathbf{u}_1]_{\mathbf{r}} \times \dots \times [\mathbf{u}_n]_{\mathbf{r}}$ , we have  $\langle \mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{r} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{r} \rangle$ .*

## 5. SEIDEL MATRICES AND ROOT LATTICES

In order to consider the numbers  $s(n)$ ,  $s_e(n)$  and  $\omega(n)$ , we prepare some sets  $\mathcal{S}^{(n)}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_e^{(n)}$  and  $\Omega^{(n)}$ . In this section, we discuss various relations between these sets and root lattices.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $n$  be a non-negative integer. Let  $\mathcal{S}^{(n)}$  be the set of Seidel matrices of order  $n$  with largest eigenvalue at most 3. Let  $\mathcal{S}_e^{(n)}$  be the set of Seidel matrices of order  $n$  with largest eigenvalue equal to 3. Let  $\Omega^{(n)}$  be the subset of Seidel matrices  $S \in \mathcal{S}^{(n)}$  with  $\text{rank}(3I - S) \leq 7$ , and set  $\Omega_e^{(n)} := \Omega^{(n)} \cap \mathcal{S}_e^{(n)}$ .

We have  $s(n) := |\mathcal{S}^{(n)} / \sim_{\text{sw}}|$ ,  $s_e(n) := |\mathcal{S}_e^{(n)} / \sim_{\text{sw}}|$  and  $\omega(n) := |\Omega^{(n)} / \sim_{\text{sw}}|$  as noted in Section 2.

5.1. **Decomposition of the sets  $\mathcal{S}^{(n)}$  into sets  $\mathcal{S}^{(n)}(\mathbb{L})$ .** We prepare more sets  $\mathcal{S}^{(n)}(\mathbb{L})$  coming from root lattices, and decompose the sets  $\mathcal{S}^{(n)}$  into sets  $\mathcal{S}^{(n)}(\mathbb{L})$ .

**Definition 5.2.** Let  $\mathbb{L}$  be an irreducible root lattice, and  $n$  a non-negative integer. Let  $\mathcal{S}^{(n)}(\mathbb{L})$  denote the set of Seidel matrices  $S(G)$  where the graph  $G$  satisfies that the Gram matrix of some generator of  $\mathbb{L}$  is  $A(\tilde{G}) + 2I$ .

**Example 5.3.** For example,  $S(K_3) \in \mathcal{S}^{(3)}(\mathbb{A}_4)$  holds, where  $K_3$  is the complete graph of order 3. Indeed, the Gram matrix of a generator  $\mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_4$  and  $\mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_5$  of  $\mathbb{A}_4$  is  $A(\tilde{K}_3) + 2I = A(K_4) + 2I$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** *If a root lattice  $\mathbb{L}$  has a generator of which the Gram matrix is  $A(\tilde{G}) + 2I$  for some graph  $G$ , then  $\mathbb{L}$  is irreducible.*

*Proof.* Fix a generator  $X$  of  $\mathbb{L}$  of which the Gram matrix is  $A(\tilde{G}) + 2I$  for some graph  $G$ . Assume that there are two lattices  $\mathbb{L}_1$  and  $\mathbb{L}_2$  such that  $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{L}_1 \perp \mathbb{L}_2$ . Then,  $X = (X \cap \mathbb{L}_1) \sqcup (X \cap \mathbb{L}_2)$ . Since the cone  $\tilde{G}$  is connected, we see that either  $X = X \cap \mathbb{L}_1$  or  $X = X \cap \mathbb{L}_2$  holds. Hence either  $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{L}_1$  or  $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{L}_2$  holds. This means that  $\mathbb{L}$  is irreducible.  $\square$

By Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 5.4, we obtain the following.

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let  $n$  and  $r$  be non-negative integers. Let  $S$  be a Seidel matrix. The following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $S \in \mathcal{S}^{(n)}$  and  $\text{rank}(3I - S) = r$  hold.
- (ii)  $S \in \mathcal{S}^{(n)}(\mathbb{L})$  holds for some  $\mathbb{L} \in \mathcal{L}$  with  $\text{rank}(\mathbb{L}) = r + 1$ .

*In particular, the following are equivalent.*

- (iii)  $S \in \mathcal{S}_e^{(n)}$ .
- (iv)  $S \in \mathcal{S}^{(n)}(\mathbb{L})$  holds for some  $\mathbb{L} \in \mathcal{L}$  with  $\text{rank}(\mathbb{L}) \leq n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a graph with  $S = S(G)$ . First, we show that (i) and (ii) are equivalent. By Theorem 4.2, (i) is equivalent to saying that  $A(\tilde{G})$  has smallest eigenvalue at least  $-2$  and satisfies  $\text{rank}(A(\tilde{G}) + 2I) = r + 1$ . It is equivalent to saying that there are roots  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{v}_0$  of which the Gram matrix is  $A(\tilde{G}) + 2I$  with  $\text{rank}\langle \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{v}_0 \rangle = r + 1$ . We see by Lemma 5.4 that  $\mathbb{L} := \langle \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{v}_0 \rangle$  is an irreducible root lattice of rank  $r + 1$ . Here, we may assume  $\mathbb{L} \in \mathcal{L}$  (cf. [3, Theorem 1.2]). Hence, we conclude that (i) is equivalent to (ii). Next, we show that (iii) and (iv) are equivalent. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} S \in \mathcal{S}_e^{(n)} &\iff S \in \mathcal{S}^{(n)} \text{ and } \text{rank}(3I - S) \leq n - 1 \\ &\iff S \in \mathcal{S}^{(n)}(\mathbb{L}) \text{ for some } \mathbb{L} \in \mathcal{L} \text{ with } \text{rank}(\mathbb{L}) \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

This is the desired conclusion.  $\square$

This lemma immediately implies the following.

**Lemma 5.6.** *Let  $n$  be a non-negative integer. Then*

$$\Omega^{(n)} := \bigsqcup_{\mathbb{L} \in \mathcal{E}} \mathcal{S}^{(n)}(\mathbb{L}) \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_e^{(n)} := \bigsqcup_{\mathbb{L} \in \mathcal{E} \text{ with } \text{rank}(\mathbb{L}) \leq n} \mathcal{S}^{(n)}(\mathbb{L}).$$

Also,

$$\mathcal{S}^{(n)} = \bigsqcup_{\mathbb{L} \in \mathcal{L}} \mathcal{S}^{(n)}(\mathbb{L}) = \Omega^{(n)} \sqcup \left( \bigsqcup_{\mathbb{L} \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{E}} \mathcal{S}^{(n)}(\mathbb{L}) \right)$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_e^{(n)} = \bigsqcup_{\mathbb{L} \in \mathcal{L} \text{ with } \text{rank}(\mathbb{L}) \leq n} \mathcal{S}^{(n)}(\mathbb{L}) = \Omega_e^{(n)} \sqcup \left( \bigsqcup_{\mathbb{L} \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{E} \text{ with } \text{rank}(\mathbb{L}) \leq n} \mathcal{S}^{(n)}(\mathbb{L}) \right).$$

**Lemma 5.7.** *For a non-negative integer  $n$ ,*

$$\mathcal{S}^{(n)} / \sim_{\text{sw}} = \bigsqcup_{\mathbb{L} \in \mathcal{L}} \mathcal{S}^{(n)}(\mathbb{L}) / \sim_{\text{sw}} = \Omega^{(n)} / \sim_{\text{sw}} \sqcup \left( \bigsqcup_{\mathbb{L} \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{E}} \mathcal{S}^{(n)}(\mathbb{L}) / \sim_{\text{sw}} \right) \quad (5.1)$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_e^{(n)}/\sim_{sw} = \bigsqcup_{L \in \mathcal{L} \text{ with } \text{rank}(L) \leq n} \mathcal{S}^{(n)}(L)/\sim_{sw} = \Omega_e^{(n)}/\sim_{sw} \sqcup \left( \bigsqcup_{L \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{E} \text{ with } \text{rank}(L) \leq n} \mathcal{S}^{(n)}(L)/\sim_{sw} \right). \quad (5.2)$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.6, it suffices to show that  $S' \in \mathcal{S}^{(n)}(L)$  holds for any  $S \in \mathcal{S}^{(n)}(L)$  and  $S' \in [S]$ . There exist two graphs  $G$  and  $H$  such that  $S = S(G)$  and  $S' = S(H)$ . By definition, there exist vectors  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{r}$  of which the Gram matrix is  $A(\tilde{G}) + 2I$  such that  $L = \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{r} \rangle$ . By Lemma 4.5 (i), there exist  $\mathbf{v}_i \in [\mathbf{u}_i]_{\mathbf{r}}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) of which the Gram matrix is  $A(H) + 2I$ . By Lemma 4.5 (ii), we have  $\langle \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{r} \rangle$  is equal to  $L$ . Hence,  $S' = S(H) \in \mathcal{S}^{(n)}(L)$  holds.  $\square$

**5.2. Construction of the sets  $\mathcal{S}^{(n)}(L)$ .** Let  $L$  be an irreducible root lattice, and  $\mathbf{r}$  a root of  $L$ . The stabilizer subgroup  $W(L)_{\mathbf{r}}$  of the root  $\mathbf{r}$  in the Weyl group  $W(L)$  acts on  $N_{\mathbf{r}}(L)/\sim_{\mathbf{r}}$  in such a way that  $w([\mathbf{u}]_{\mathbf{r}}) := [w(\mathbf{u})]_{\mathbf{r}}$  for  $w \in W(L)_{\mathbf{r}}$  and  $[\mathbf{u}]_{\mathbf{r}} = \{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{u}\} \in N_{\mathbf{r}}(L)/\sim_{\mathbf{r}}$ , where  $\mathbf{u} \in N_{\mathbf{r}}(L)$ . Furthermore, the stabilizer subgroup  $W(L)_{\mathbf{r}}$  naturally acts on  $\binom{N_{\mathbf{r}}(L)/\sim_{\mathbf{r}}}{n}$ .

We show that for each irreducible root lattice  $L$ , the mapping  $\phi_{L, \mathbf{r}}^{(n)}$  in the following definition can construct all the switching classes in  $\mathcal{S}^{(n)}(L)/\sim_{sw}$ .

**Definition 5.8.** Let  $n$  be a non-negative integer. Let  $L$  be an irreducible root lattice, and  $\mathbf{r}$  a root in  $L$ . Define

$$N_{\mathbf{r}}^{(n)}(L) := \left\{ \{[\mathbf{u}_1]_{\mathbf{r}}, \dots, [\mathbf{u}_n]_{\mathbf{r}}\} \in \binom{N_{\mathbf{r}}(L)/\sim_{\mathbf{r}}}{n} : L = \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{r} \rangle \right\}.$$

The stabilizer subgroup  $W(L)_{\mathbf{r}}$  of the root  $\mathbf{r}$  in the Weyl group  $W(L)$  naturally acts on  $N_{\mathbf{r}}^{(n)}(L)$ . Define

$$\phi_{L, \mathbf{r}}^{(n)} : \begin{array}{ccc} W(L)_{\mathbf{r}} \setminus N_{\mathbf{r}}^{(n)}(L) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{S}^{(n)}(L)/\sim_{sw} \\ W(L)_{\mathbf{r}} \{[\mathbf{u}_1]_{\mathbf{r}}, \dots, [\mathbf{u}_n]_{\mathbf{r}}\} & \mapsto & [S(G)] \end{array}$$

where  $A(G) + 2I$  is the Gram matrix of  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ .

**Remark 5.9.** We verify that the mapping  $\phi_{L, \mathbf{r}}^{(n)}$  is well-defined. First, we see by definition that

$$[S(G)] \in \mathcal{S}^{(n)}(L)/\sim_{sw} \quad \text{for every } W(L)_{\mathbf{r}} \{[\mathbf{u}_1]_{\mathbf{r}}, \dots, [\mathbf{u}_n]_{\mathbf{r}}\} \in W(L)_{\mathbf{r}} \setminus N_{\mathbf{r}}^{(n)}(L)$$

where  $A(G) + 2I$  is the Gram matrices of  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ .

Next, we verify that if

$$W(L)_{\mathbf{r}} \{[\mathbf{u}_1]_{\mathbf{r}}, \dots, [\mathbf{u}_n]_{\mathbf{r}}\} = W(L)_{\mathbf{r}} \{[\mathbf{v}_1]_{\mathbf{r}}, \dots, [\mathbf{v}_n]_{\mathbf{r}}\} \in W(L)_{\mathbf{r}} \setminus N_{\mathbf{r}}^{(n)}(L), \quad (5.3)$$

then  $[S(G)] = [S(H)]$ , where  $A(G) + 2I$  and  $A(H) + 2I$  are the Gram matrices of  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  and  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ , respectively. Indeed, we assume (5.3). Then there exists  $w \in W(L)_{\mathbf{r}}$  such that  $\{[\mathbf{v}_1]_{\mathbf{r}}, \dots, [\mathbf{v}_n]_{\mathbf{r}}\} = w(\{[\mathbf{u}_1]_{\mathbf{r}}, \dots, [\mathbf{u}_n]_{\mathbf{r}}\})$ . This means

$$\{[\mathbf{v}_1]_{\mathbf{r}}, \dots, [\mathbf{v}_n]_{\mathbf{r}}\} = \{[w(\mathbf{u}_1)]_{\mathbf{r}}, \dots, [w(\mathbf{u}_n)]_{\mathbf{r}}\}.$$

By Lemma 4.5 (i), the graph  $H$  is switching equivalent to  $G$ . Hence, we have  $[S(H)] = [S(G)]$  as desired.

**Lemma 5.10.** Let  $n$  be a non-negative integer. Let  $L$  be an irreducible root lattice, and  $\mathbf{r}$  a root in  $L$ . Then  $\phi_{L, \mathbf{r}}^{(n)}$  is surjective.

*Proof.* Fix  $[S] \in \mathcal{S}^{(n)}(L)/\sim_{sw}$ . By the definition of  $\mathcal{S}^{(n)}(L)$ , there exist a graph  $G$  with  $S = S(G)$  and a generator  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{u}_0$  of  $L$  such that the Gram matrix of them is  $A(\tilde{G}) + 2I$ . Here,  $\mathbf{u}_0$  corresponds to the vertex of  $\tilde{G}$  added to  $G$ . By Lemma 3.5, without loss of generality we may assume  $\mathbf{u}_0 = \mathbf{r}$ . Then we see that  $\{[\mathbf{u}_1]_{\mathbf{r}}, \dots, [\mathbf{u}_n]_{\mathbf{r}}\} \in N_{\mathbf{r}}^{(n)}(L)$ . Hence,  $W(L)_{\mathbf{r}} \{[\mathbf{u}_1]_{\mathbf{r}}, \dots, [\mathbf{u}_n]_{\mathbf{r}}\} \in W(L)_{\mathbf{r}} \setminus N_{\mathbf{r}}^{(n)}(L)$ . This means that  $\phi_{L, \mathbf{r}}^{(n)}$  is surjective.  $\square$

**5.3. Construction of the sets  $\mathcal{S}^{(n)}(A_m)$ .**

**Lemma 5.11.** Let  $n \geq 0$  and  $m \geq 1$  be integers. Let  $\mathbf{r} := \mathbf{e}_m - \mathbf{e}_{m+1} \in A_m$ . Then the set  $W(A_m)_{\mathbf{r}} \setminus N_{\mathbf{r}}^{(n)}(A_m)$  has only one element  $W(A_m)_{\mathbf{r}} \{[\mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_{m+1}]_{\mathbf{r}} : i = 1, \dots, m-1\}$  if  $m = n+1$ , and it is the empty set otherwise.

*Proof.* Assume we can take an element

$$W(A_m)_{\mathbf{r}} \{[\mathbf{u}_1]_{\mathbf{r}}, \dots, [\mathbf{u}_n]_{\mathbf{r}}\} \in W(A_m)_{\mathbf{r}} \setminus N_{\mathbf{r}}^{(n)}(A_m).$$

Then,  $A_m = \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{r} \rangle$  holds, and  $n \geq m - 1$  follows. Also, since

$$N_{\mathbf{r}}(A_m) / \sim_{\mathbf{r}} = \{[\mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_{m+1}]_{\mathbf{r}} : i \in \{1, \dots, m - 1\}\}$$

is of cardinality  $m - 1$ , we have  $n = m - 1$  and

$$\{[\mathbf{u}_1]_{\mathbf{r}}, \dots, [\mathbf{u}_n]_{\mathbf{r}}\} = N_{\mathbf{r}}(A_m) / \sim_{\mathbf{r}} = \{[\mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_{m+1}]_{\mathbf{r}} : i \in \{1, \dots, m - 1\}\}.$$

This is the desired result.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.12.** *Let  $n \geq 0$  and  $m \geq 1$  be integers. The set  $S^{(n)}(A_m) / \sim_{\text{sw}}$  is  $\{[S(K_n)]\}$  if  $m = n + 1$ , and the empty set otherwise.*

*Proof.* This follows from Lemmas 5.10 and 5.11.  $\square$

**5.4. Construction of the sets  $S^{(n)}(D_m)$ .** By the definition of  $D_m$ , we can verify the following easy fact.

**Lemma 5.13.** *Let  $m \geq 4$  be an integer. The Weyl group  $W(D_m)$  contains the following.*

- (i) *An automorphism that multiplies two distinct coordinates by  $-1$ .*
- (ii) *A permutation of the coordinates.*

**Lemma 5.14.** *Let  $n \geq 0$  and  $m \geq 4$  be integers. Let  $\mathbf{r} := \mathbf{e}_{m-1} + \mathbf{e}_m \in D_m$ . The set  $W(D_m)_{\mathbf{r}} \setminus N_{\mathbf{r}}^{(n)}(D_m)$  has only one element*

$$W(D_m)_{\mathbf{r}} (\{[\mathbf{e}_m + \mathbf{e}_i]_{\mathbf{r}} : i = 1, \dots, m - 2\} \sqcup \{[\mathbf{e}_m - \mathbf{e}_i]_{\mathbf{r}} : i = 1, \dots, n - m + 2\}) \quad (5.4)$$

*if  $2(m - 2) \geq n \geq m - 1$ , and it is the empty set otherwise.*

*Proof.* Assume we can take an element

$$W(D_m)_{\mathbf{r}} \{[\mathbf{u}_1]_{\mathbf{r}}, \dots, [\mathbf{u}_n]_{\mathbf{r}}\} \in W(D_m)_{\mathbf{r}} \setminus N_{\mathbf{r}}^{(n)}(D_m).$$

Then, since  $D_m = \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{r} \rangle$  by definition, we have  $n \geq m - 1$  and

$$[\mathbf{e}_m + \mathbf{e}_i]_{\mathbf{r}} \text{ or } [\mathbf{e}_m - \mathbf{e}_i]_{\mathbf{r}} \in \{[\mathbf{u}_1]_{\mathbf{r}}, \dots, [\mathbf{u}_n]_{\mathbf{r}}\} \quad (i \in \{1, \dots, m - 2\}). \quad (5.5)$$

Together with  $n \geq m - 1$ ,

$$N_{\mathbf{r}}(D_m) / \sim_{\mathbf{r}} = \{[\mathbf{e}_m + \mathbf{e}_i]_{\mathbf{r}}, [\mathbf{e}_m - \mathbf{e}_i]_{\mathbf{r}} : i = 1, \dots, m - 2\}$$

implies that

$$[\mathbf{e}_m + \mathbf{e}_k]_{\mathbf{r}}, [\mathbf{e}_m - \mathbf{e}_k]_{\mathbf{r}} \in \{[\mathbf{u}_1]_{\mathbf{r}}, \dots, [\mathbf{u}_n]_{\mathbf{r}}\}$$

for some  $k \in \{1, \dots, m - 2\}$ . By multiplying two distinct coordinates  $i$  and  $k$  by  $-1$ , we can change  $[\mathbf{e}_m - \mathbf{e}_i]_{\mathbf{r}}$  to  $[\mathbf{e}_m + \mathbf{e}_i]_{\mathbf{r}}$ . Hence, by (5.5) together with Lemma 5.13 (i), we may assume

$$[\mathbf{u}_1]_{\mathbf{r}} = [\mathbf{e}_m + \mathbf{e}_1]_{\mathbf{r}}, \dots, [\mathbf{u}_{m-2}]_{\mathbf{r}} = [\mathbf{e}_m + \mathbf{e}_{m-1}]_{\mathbf{r}}.$$

By Lemma 5.13 (ii), we may in addition assume

$$[\mathbf{u}_{m-1}]_{\mathbf{r}} = [\mathbf{e}_m - \mathbf{e}_1]_{\mathbf{r}}, \dots, [\mathbf{u}_n]_{\mathbf{r}} = [\mathbf{e}_m - \mathbf{e}_{n-m+2}]_{\mathbf{r}}.$$

Hence the element  $W(D_m)_{\mathbf{r}} \{[\mathbf{u}_1]_{\mathbf{r}}, \dots, [\mathbf{u}_n]_{\mathbf{r}}\}$  coincides with the element (5.4). In particular,  $n - m + 2 \leq m - 2$  holds.  $\square$

**Definition 5.15.** For non-negative integers  $s \geq t$ , we write  $D_{s,t}$  for the graph obtained from the complete graph  $K_{s+t}$  of order  $s + t$  by removing a matching of size  $t$ .

**Lemma 5.16.** *Let  $n \geq 0$  and  $m \geq 4$  be integers. The set  $S^{(n)}(D_m)$  is  $\{[S(D_{m-2, n-m+2})]\}$  if  $2(m - 2) \geq n \geq m - 1$ , and the empty set otherwise.*

*Proof.* This follows from Lemmas 5.10 and 5.11.  $\square$

## 6. PROOF OF THE FIRST MAIN RESULT

We prove Theorem 6.1, which is the first main result in this paper. Also, since  $s(n) = |\mathcal{S}^{(n)}/\sim_{\text{sw}}|$ ,  $s_e(n) = |\mathcal{S}_e^{(n)}/\sim_{\text{sw}}|$  and  $\omega(n) = |\Omega^{(n)}/\sim_{\text{sw}}|$ , Theorem 6.1 implies Corollary 1.1.

**Theorem 6.1.** *If  $n \leq 7$ , then  $\mathcal{S}^{(n)}/\sim_{\text{sw}} = \Omega^{(n)}/\sim_{\text{sw}}$ , and otherwise*

$$\mathcal{S}^{(n)}/\sim_{\text{sw}} = \Omega^{(n)}/\sim_{\text{sw}} \sqcup \{[S(K_n)]\} \sqcup \{[S(D_{m-2, n-m+2})] : m \in \{\max\{9, \lceil n/2 \rceil + 2\}, \dots, n+1\}\}. \quad (6.1)$$

*If  $n \leq 8$ , then  $\mathcal{S}_e^{(n)}/\sim_{\text{sw}} = \Omega_e^{(n)}/\sim_{\text{sw}}$ , and otherwise*

$$\mathcal{S}_e^{(n)}/\sim_{\text{sw}} = \Omega_e^{(n)}/\sim_{\text{sw}} \sqcup \{[S(D_{m-2, n-m+2})] : m \in \{\max\{9, \lceil n/2 \rceil + 2\}, \dots, n\}\}. \quad (6.2)$$

*Proof.* First we prove  $\mathcal{S}^{(n)}/\sim_{\text{sw}} = \Omega^{(n)}/\sim_{\text{sw}}$  if  $n \leq 7$ . It suffices to prove  $\mathcal{S}^{(n)} \subset \Omega^{(n)}$ . Indeed, we fix  $S \in \mathcal{S}^{(n)}$ . Then by  $n \leq 7$ , we have  $\text{rank}(3I - S) \leq 7$ . Hence we have  $S \in \Omega^{(n)}$ .

Next we assume  $n \geq 8$ , and show (6.1). By Lemmas 5.12 and 5.16, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bigsqcup_{L \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{E}} \mathcal{S}^{(n)}(L)/\sim_{\text{sw}} &= \mathcal{S}^{(n)}(A_{n+1})/\sim_{\text{sw}} \sqcup \left( \bigsqcup_{m \in \{\max\{9, \lceil n/2 \rceil + 2\}, \dots, n+1\}} \mathcal{S}^{(n)}(D_m)/\sim_{\text{sw}} \right) \\ &= \{[S(K_n)]\} \sqcup \{[S(D_{m-2, n-m+2})] : m \in \{\max\{9, \lceil n/2 \rceil + 2\}, \dots, n+1\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{S}^{(n)}/\sim_{\text{sw}}$  is decomposed as (5.1) by Lemma 5.7, we obtain (6.1).

By (5.2) in Lemma 5.7, we have  $\mathcal{S}_e^{(n)}/\sim_{\text{sw}} = \Omega_e^{(n)}/\sim_{\text{sw}}$  if  $n \leq 8$ . Next, we assume  $n \geq 9$  and show (6.2). Similarly, we have by Lemmas 5.12 and 5.16

$$\begin{aligned} \bigsqcup_{L \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{E} \text{ with } \text{rank}(L) \leq n} \mathcal{S}^{(n)}(L)/\sim_{\text{sw}} &= \bigsqcup_{m \in \{\max\{9, \lceil n/2 \rceil + 2\}, \dots, n\}} \mathcal{S}^{(n)}(D_m)/\sim_{\text{sw}} \\ &= \{[S(D_{m-2, n-m+2})] : m \in \{\max\{9, \lceil n/2 \rceil + 2\}, \dots, n\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Omega_e^{(n)} = \Omega^{(n)}$  holds and the set  $\mathcal{S}_e^{(n)}/\sim_{\text{sw}}$  is decomposed as in (5.2) by Lemma 5.7, we have (6.2).  $\square$

## 7. ISOMETRIES

**Definition 7.1.** Let  $L$  and  $M$  be root lattices. Denote by  $\text{Hom}(L, M)$  the set of isometries from  $L$  to  $M$ . For  $\mathbf{v} \in L$  and  $\mathbf{u} \in M$ , define  $\text{Hom}((L, \mathbf{v}), (M, \mathbf{u})) := \{f \in \text{Hom}(L, M) \mid f(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{u}\}$ .

Note that the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}(M)$  naturally acts on  $\text{Hom}(L, M)$  in such a way that  $g_*(f) := g \circ f$  for  $g \in \text{Aut}(M)$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}(L, M)$ . By Lemma 3.5, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 7.2.** *Let  $L$  and  $M$  be irreducible root lattices. For two roots  $\mathbf{v} \in L$  and  $\mathbf{u} \in M$ , the mapping*

$$\begin{aligned} W(M)_{\mathbf{u}} \setminus \text{Hom}((L, \mathbf{v}), (M, \mathbf{u})) &\rightarrow W(M) \setminus \text{Hom}(L, M) \\ W(M)_{\mathbf{u}} f &\mapsto W(M) f \end{aligned}$$

*is bijective.*

We have the following theorem by [12, Theorem 3.5].

**Theorem 7.3.** *Let  $L$  be an irreducible root lattice of rank at most 8. The cardinality of  $W(E_8) \setminus \text{Hom}(L, E_8)$  equals 2 if  $L \in \{A_7, D_8\}$ , and 1 otherwise.*

7.1. Isometries from  $A_7$  to  $E_8$ .

**Definition 7.4.** Let  $A_7' := \{-e_1 - e_2\} \cup \{e_i - e_{i+1} : i = 2, \dots, 7\}$ .

Since the lattice  $A_7$  has a basis  $\{e_i - e_{i+1} : i = 1, \dots, 7\}$ , we see that  $A_7'$  and  $A_7$  are isometric.

**Lemma 7.5.** *We have  $W(E_8)A_7 \neq W(E_8)A_7'$ .*

*Proof.* We see that  $A_7^\perp \cap E_8 = \langle \mathbf{j}/2 \rangle$  and  $A_7'^\perp \cap E_8 = \langle \mathbf{j} - 2e_1 \rangle$  hold. Since the norms of  $\mathbf{j}/2$  and  $\mathbf{j} - 2e_1$  are 2 and 8, respectively, we obtain  $W(E_8)A_7 \neq W(E_8)A_7'$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 7.6.** *Let  $\mathbf{r} = e_7 - e_8$ . Then*

$$\{W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}} f(A_7) \mid f \in \text{Hom}((A_7, \mathbf{r}), (E_8, \mathbf{r}))\} = \{W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}} A_7, W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}} A_7'\}.$$



*Proof.* In this proof, we write  $\iota$  for the inclusion from  $A_7$  to  $E_8$ . Also, we define  $\rho : A_7 \rightarrow E_8$  as

$$\rho(\mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_2) = -\mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(\mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_{i+1}) = \mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_{i+1} \quad (i = 2, \dots, 7).$$

Then  $\iota$  and  $\rho$  are in  $\text{Hom}((A_7, \mathbf{r}), (E_8, \mathbf{r}))$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \{W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}}f(A_7) \mid f \in \text{Hom}((A_7, \mathbf{r}), (E_8, \mathbf{r}))\} &\supset \{W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}}\iota(A_7), W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}}\rho(A_7)\} \\ &\supset \{W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}}A_7, W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}}A_7'\}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, by Lemma 7.5, we have

$$|\{W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}}A_7, W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}}A_7'\}| = 2.$$

Hence, by Lemma 7.2 and Theorem 7.3, we have

$$|\{W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}}f(A_7) \mid f \in \text{Hom}((A_7, \mathbf{r}), (E_8, \mathbf{r}))\}| = 2.$$

Therefore, the desired result follows.  $\square$

## 7.2. Isometries from $D_8$ to $E_8$ .

**Definition 7.7.** Let  $\iota$  be the inclusion from  $D_8$  to  $E_8$ . For each  $k \in \{1, \dots, 8\}$ , we define  $\rho_k : D_8 \rightarrow D_8$  as

$$\rho_k \left( \sum_{i=1}^8 a_i \mathbf{e}_i \right) := -a_k \mathbf{e}_k + \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^8 a_i \mathbf{e}_i.$$

**Lemma 7.8.** For each  $k \in \{1, \dots, 8\}$ ,  $W(E_8) \setminus \text{Hom}(L, E_8) = \{W(E_8)\iota, W(E_8)(\iota \circ \rho_k)\}$  holds.

*Proof.* Since Theorem 7.3 asserts  $|W(E_8) \setminus \text{Hom}(D_8, E_8)| = 2$ , it suffices to verify

$$W(E_8)\iota \neq W(E_8)(\iota \circ \rho_k). \quad (7.1)$$

By way of contradiction, we assume that there exists  $w \in W(E_8)$  such that  $w \circ \iota = \iota \circ \rho_k$ . Then, we have

$$w \left( \sum_{i=1}^8 a_i \mathbf{e}_i \right) = -a_k \mathbf{e}_k + \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^8 a_i \mathbf{e}_i.$$

Although  $\mathbf{j}/2 \in E_8$  holds, we obtain  $w(\mathbf{j}/2) = \mathbf{j}/2 - \mathbf{e}_k \notin E_8$ . This is a contradiction, and hence (7.1) follows.  $\square$

From Lemmas 7.2 and 7.8, we obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 7.9.** Let  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{e}_7 + \mathbf{e}_8$ . Then,  $W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}} \setminus \text{Hom}((D_8, \mathbf{r}), (E_8, \mathbf{r})) = \{W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}}\iota, W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}}(\iota \circ \rho_k)\}$  for  $k = 1, \dots, 6$ .

## 8. PROOF OF THE SECOND MAIN RESULT

In this section, we prove the second main result Theorem 8.4 and Corollary 1.2 by using the mapping  $\phi_{\mathbf{r}}^{(n)}$  in the following definition.

**Definition 8.1.** Let  $n$  be a non-negative integer, and  $\mathbf{r}$  be a root of  $E_8$ . We define

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\mathbf{r}}^{(n)} : \quad &W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}} \setminus ({}^{N_{\mathbf{r}}}(E_8)_n / \sim_{\mathbf{r}}) &\rightarrow &\Omega^{(n)} / \sim_{\text{sw}} \\ &W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}}\{[\mathbf{u}_1]_{\mathbf{r}}, \dots, [\mathbf{u}_n]_{\mathbf{r}}\} &\mapsto &[S(G)] \end{aligned}$$

where  $A(G) + 2I$  is the Gram matrix of  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ . For short, we set  $\phi^{(n)} := \phi_{\mathbf{r}}^{(n)}$ .

By Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 4.5, we see that the mapping  $\phi^{(n)}$  is well-defined.

**Lemma 8.2.** Let  $\mathbf{r}$  be a root of  $E_8$ . Let  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{v}_0$  be roots of which the Gram matrix is  $A(\tilde{G}) + 2I$  for some graph  $G$ . Here the vector  $\mathbf{v}_0$  corresponds to the vertex of  $\tilde{G}$  added to  $G$ . Assume that

$$L := \langle \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{v}_0 \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$$

is isometric to  $A_7$ . Then  $G$  is switching equivalent to  $K_6$ , and

$$|\{W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}}f(\{[\mathbf{v}_1]_{\mathbf{v}_0}, \dots, [\mathbf{v}_n]_{\mathbf{v}_0}\}) \mid f \in \text{Hom}((L, \mathbf{v}_0), (E_8, \mathbf{r}))\}| = 2. \quad (8.1)$$

In this lemma, note that  $f(\{[\mathbf{v}_1]_{\mathbf{v}_0}, \dots, [\mathbf{v}_n]_{\mathbf{v}_0}\})$  is naturally defined by  $\{[f(\mathbf{v}_1)]_{f(\mathbf{v}_0)}, \dots, [f(\mathbf{v}_n)]_{f(\mathbf{v}_0)}\}$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we may assume that  $L = A_7 \subset E_8$  and  $\mathbf{v}_0 = \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{e}_7 - \mathbf{e}_8$  by Lemma 3.5. Set

$$\mathcal{V} := \{[\mathbf{v}_1]_{\mathbf{v}_0}, \dots, [\mathbf{v}_n]_{\mathbf{v}_0}\}$$

for short. Since  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{v}_0$  generates  $A_7$ , we see that  $\mathcal{V} \in N_{\mathbf{r}}^{(n)}(A_7)$  holds. By Lemma 5.11, we have  $n = 6$  and can take  $g \in W(A_7)_{\mathbf{r}}$  such that

$$g(\mathcal{V}) = \{[\mathbf{e}_1 - \mathbf{e}_8]_{\mathbf{r}}, \dots, [\mathbf{e}_6 - \mathbf{e}_8]_{\mathbf{r}}\}.$$

Hence  $G$  is switching equivalent to  $K_6$ .

Next we prove (8.1). Theorem 7.3 together with Lemma 7.2 implies

$$\begin{aligned} |\{W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}}f(\mathcal{V}) \mid f \in \text{Hom}((L, \mathbf{v}_0), (E_8, \mathbf{r}))\}| &\leq |W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}} \setminus \text{Hom}((L, \mathbf{v}_0), (E_8, \mathbf{r}))| \\ &= |W(E_8) \setminus \text{Hom}(L, E_8)| = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Also, by Lemma 7.6, we have

$$|\{W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}}f(\mathcal{V}) \mid f \in \text{Hom}((L, \mathbf{v}_0), (E_8, \mathbf{r}))\}| \geq |\{W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}}f(L) \mid f \in \text{Hom}((L, \mathbf{v}_0), (E_8, \mathbf{r}))\}| = 2.$$

These inequalities imply the desired result (8.1).  $\square$

**Lemma 8.3.** *Let  $\mathbf{r}$  be a root of  $E_8$ . Let  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{v}_0$  be roots of which the Gram matrix is  $A(\tilde{G}) + 2I$  for some graph  $G$ . Here the vector  $\mathbf{v}_0$  corresponds to the vertex of  $\tilde{G}$  added to  $G$ . Assume that  $L := \langle \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{v}_0 \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is isometric to  $D_8$ . Then*

$$|\{W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}}f(\{[\mathbf{v}_1]_{\mathbf{v}_0}, \dots, [\mathbf{v}_n]_{\mathbf{v}_0}\}) \mid f \in \text{Hom}((L, \mathbf{v}_0), (E_8, \mathbf{r}))\}| = 1.$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we may assume that  $L = D_8$  and  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{v}_0 := \mathbf{e}_7 + \mathbf{e}_8$  by Lemma 3.5. Setting  $\mathcal{V} := \{[\mathbf{v}_1]_{\mathbf{v}_0}, \dots, [\mathbf{v}_n]_{\mathbf{v}_0}\}$ , we consider

$$\{W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}}f(\mathcal{V}) \mid f \in \text{Hom}((L, \mathbf{v}_0), (E_8, \mathbf{r}))\}. \quad (8.2)$$

Since  $\mathcal{V} \in N_{\mathbf{r}}^{(n)}(D_8)$ , we have  $7 \leq n \leq 12$  by Lemma 5.14. Set  $\mathcal{V}' := \{[\mathbf{e}_8 + \mathbf{e}_i]_{\mathbf{r}} : i \in \{1, \dots, 6\}\} \sqcup \{[\mathbf{e}_8 - \mathbf{e}_i]_{\mathbf{r}} : i \in \{1, \dots, n-6\}\}$ . Since  $\mathcal{V}' \in N_{\mathbf{r}}^{(n)}(D_8)$ , we see by Lemma 5.14 that  $W(D_8)_{\mathbf{r}}\mathcal{V} = W(D_8)_{\mathbf{r}}\mathcal{V}'$  holds. Hence (8.2) equals

$$\{W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}}f(\mathcal{V}') \mid f \in \text{Hom}((L, \mathbf{v}_0), (E_8, \mathbf{r}))\}. \quad (8.3)$$

By Lemma 7.9 with  $k = 1$ , (8.3) equals

$$\{W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}}\mathcal{V}', W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}}\rho_1(\mathcal{V}')\}. \quad (8.4)$$

Since  $\rho_1([\mathbf{e}_8 + \mathbf{e}_1]_{\mathbf{r}}) = [\mathbf{e}_8 - \mathbf{e}_1]_{\mathbf{r}}$  and  $\rho_1([\mathbf{e}_8 - \mathbf{e}_1]_{\mathbf{r}}) = [\mathbf{e}_8 + \mathbf{e}_1]_{\mathbf{r}}$ , we have  $W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}}\mathcal{V}' = W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}}\rho_1(\mathcal{V}')$ . Hence the set (8.4) has exactly one element. This is the desired conclusion.  $\square$

The following is the second main result.

**Theorem 8.4.** *The following hold.*

- (i) *For a non-negative integer  $n \neq 6$ , the mapping  $\phi^{(n)}$  is bijective.*
- (ii) *The fibre of  $[S(K_6)]$  under  $\phi^{(6)}$  has exactly two elements, and the other fibres have only one element.*

*Proof.* Fix  $[S] \in \Omega^{(n)}/\sim_{sw}$ . We consider the fibre of  $[S]$  under  $\phi^{(n)}$ . By Lemma 5.5 together with  $\text{rank}(3I - S) \leq 7$ , we have  $S \in \mathcal{S}^{(n)}(L)$  for some  $L \in \mathcal{L}$  with  $\text{rank}(L) \leq 8$ . Hence we can take a graph  $G$  with  $S = S(G)$  and a generator  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{v}_0$  of  $L$  such that the Gram matrix of  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{v}_0$  is  $A(\tilde{G}) + 2I$ . Here,  $\mathbf{v}_0$  corresponds to the vertex of  $\tilde{G}$  added to  $G$ . The fibre  $(\phi^{(n)})^{-1}([S(G)])$  is

$$\left\{ W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}}\{[\mathbf{u}_1]_{\mathbf{r}}, \dots, [\mathbf{u}_n]_{\mathbf{r}}\} \in W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}} \setminus \binom{N_{\mathbf{r}}(E_8)/\sim_{\mathbf{r}}}{n} \mid \phi^{(n)}(W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}}\{[\mathbf{u}_1]_{\mathbf{r}}, \dots, [\mathbf{u}_n]_{\mathbf{r}}\}) = [S] \right\}.$$

This can be written as

$$\{W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}}f(\{[\mathbf{v}_1]_{\mathbf{v}_0}, \dots, [\mathbf{v}_n]_{\mathbf{v}_0}\}) \mid f \in \text{Hom}((L, \mathbf{v}_0), (E_8, \mathbf{r}))\}.$$

Hence if  $L \neq A_7, D_8$ , then this fibre has only one element since

$$|W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}} \setminus \text{Hom}((L, \mathbf{v}_0), (E_8, \mathbf{r}))| = 1$$

by Lemma 7.2 and Theorem 7.3. Next if  $L$  is isometric to  $D_8$ , then the fibre has only one element by Lemma 8.3. If  $L$  is isometric to  $A_7$ , then  $G$  is switching equivalent to  $K_6$  and the fibre has two elements by Lemma 8.2.  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 1.2.* Recall that  $\omega(n) = |\Omega^{(n)}/\sim_{\text{sw}}|$ . Let  $\mathbf{r}$  be a root of  $E_8$ . For non-negative integers  $n$  up to 28, the mapping

$$c^{(n)} : \begin{array}{ccc} \binom{N_{\mathbf{r}}(E_8)/\sim_{\mathbf{r}}}{n} & \rightarrow & \binom{N_{\mathbf{r}}(E_8)/\sim_{\mathbf{r}}}{28-n} \\ X & \mapsto & (N_{\mathbf{r}}(E_8)/\sim_{\mathbf{r}}) \setminus X \end{array}$$

is bijective. The mapping  $c^{(n)}$  induces the bijective mapping

$$\bar{c}^{(n)} : \begin{array}{ccc} W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}} \setminus \binom{N_{\mathbf{r}}(E_8)/\sim_{\mathbf{r}}}{n} & \rightarrow & W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}} \setminus \binom{N_{\mathbf{r}}(E_8)/\sim_{\mathbf{r}}}{28-n} \\ W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}} X & \mapsto & W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}} ((N_{\mathbf{r}}(E_8)/\sim_{\mathbf{r}}) \setminus X) \end{array}.$$

Fix  $n \in \{0, \dots, 14\}$ . Since  $\phi^{(28-n)}$  is bijective by Theorem 8.4, we obtain the composite mapping

$$\Omega^{(28-n)}/\sim_{\text{sw}} \xrightarrow{(\phi^{(28-n)})^{-1}} W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}} \setminus \binom{N_{\mathbf{r}}(E_8)/\sim_{\mathbf{r}}}{28-n} \xrightarrow{\bar{c}^{(28-n)}} W(E_8)_{\mathbf{r}} \setminus \binom{N_{\mathbf{r}}(E_8)/\sim_{\mathbf{r}}}{n} \xrightarrow{\phi^{(n)}} \Omega^{(n)}/\sim_{\text{sw}}.$$

If  $n \neq 6$ , then this mapping is bijective by Theorem 8.4, and hence  $|\Omega^{(28-n)}/\sim_{\text{sw}}| = |\Omega^{(n)}/\sim_{\text{sw}}|$  holds. Also, in the case of  $n = 6$ , we see by Theorem 8.4 that the fibre of  $[S(K_6)]$  under the mapping  $\phi^{(n)} \circ \bar{c}^{(28-n)} \circ (\phi^{(28-n)})^{-1}$  has exactly two elements, and the other fibres have only one element. Hence  $|\Omega^{(22)}/\sim_{\text{sw}}| = |\Omega^{(6)}/\sim_{\text{sw}}| + 1$  follows as desired.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to Masaaki Harada, Akihiro Munemasa, Hiroki Shimakura and Hajime Tanaka for their helpful comments.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Barg and W.-H. Yu. New bounds for equiangular lines. In *Discrete geometry and algebraic combinatorics*, volume 625 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 111–121. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2014.
- [2] M.-Y. Cao, J.H. Koolen, A. Munemasa, and K. Yoshino. Maximality of Seidel matrices and switching roots of graphs. *Graphs Combin.*, 37(5):1491–1507, 2021.
- [3] W. Ebeling. *Lattices and codes*. Advanced Lectures in Mathematics. Springer Spektrum, Wiesbaden, third edition, 2013.
- [4] G.R.W. Greaves, J.H. Koolen, A. Munemasa, and F. Szöllősi. Equiangular lines in Euclidean spaces. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 138:208–235, 2016.
- [5] G.R.W. Greaves and J. Syatriadi. Real equiangular lines in dimension 18 and the jacobi identity for complementary subgraphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 201:105812, 2024.
- [6] G.R.W. Greaves, J. Syatriadi, and P. Yatsyna. Equiangular lines in low dimensional Euclidean spaces. *Combinatorica*, 41(6):839–872, 2021.
- [7] G.R.W. Greaves, J. Syatriadi, and P. Yatsyna. Equiangular lines in Euclidean spaces: dimensions 17 and 18. *Math. Comp.*, 92(342):1867–1903, 2023.
- [8] J. Haantjes. Equilateral point-sets in elliptic two- and three-dimensional spaces. *Nieuw Arch. Wiskunde (2)*, 22:355–362, 1948.
- [9] Z. Jiang, J. Tidor, Y. Yao, S. Zhang, and Y. Zhao. Equiangular lines with a fixed angle. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 194(3):729–743, 2021.
- [10] P. W. H. Lemmens and J. J. Seidel. Equiangular lines. *J. Algebra*, 24:494–512, 1973.
- [11] Y.-C.R. Lin and W.-H. Yu. Equiangular lines and the Lemmens-Seidel conjecture. *Discrete Math.*, 343(2):111667, 18, 2020.
- [12] T. Oshima. A classification of subsystems of a root system. *arXiv:0611904v4*, 2007.
- [13] F. Szöllősi and P. Östergård. Enumeration of Seidel matrices. *European J. Combin.*, 69:169–184, 2018.
- [14] J.H. van Lint and J.J. Seidel. Equilateral point sets in elliptic geometry. *Indag. Math.*, 28:335–348, 1966.
- [15] K. Yoshino. The Lemmens-Seidel conjecture for base size 5. *arXiv:2209.08308*, 2022.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, FACULTY OF APPLIED INFORMATION SCIENCE, HIROSHIMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, SAEKI WARD, HIROSHIMA, 731-5143, JAPAN

*Email address:* k.yoshino.n9@cc.it-hiroshima.ac.jp