

# A FINITE-BOUND PARTITION EQUINUMEROSITY RESULT GENERALIZING A SOLUTION OF A PROBLEM POSED BY ANDREWS AND DEUTSCH

MICHAEL J. SCHLOSSER AND NICOLAS ALLEN SMOOT

ABSTRACT. We introduce a finite-bound extension of a partition equinumerosity result which was originally proposed as a problem by Andrews and Deutsch in 2016, and given a generalized form in 2018 by Smoot and Yang. We also give a simple bijective proof, itself an extension of the proof given of the 2018 generalization.

## 1. BACKGROUND

In 2016 Andrews and Deutsch proposed the following problem:

[American Mathematical Monthly Problem 11908] *Let  $n, k$  be nonnegative integers. Show that the number of partitions of  $n$  having  $k$  even parts is the same as the number of partitions of  $n$  in which the largest repeated part is  $k$  (defined to be 0 if the parts are all distinct).*

As an example, they list the three partitions of 7 with two even parts:

$$4 + 2 + 1, \quad 3 + 2 + 2, \quad 2 + 2 + 1 + 1 + 1,$$

and the three partitions of 7 in which the largest repeated part is 2:

$$3 + 2 + 2, \quad 2 + 2 + 2 + 1, \quad 2 + 2 + 1 + 1 + 1.$$

The counting functions for each class of partitions has a natural generating function, and Madhyastha gave a proof of the problem through manipulation of their generating functions [6, Solution I], showing that

$$\frac{q^{2k}}{(q^2; q^2)_k} \frac{(q^2; q^2)_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty} = \frac{q^{2k}}{(q; q)_k} \frac{(q^{2(k+1)}; q^2)_\infty}{(q^{k+1}; q)_\infty}. \quad (1.1)$$

Here, and in the first proof of Theorem 2.3,  $q$  is a formal variable; for any indeterminate  $a$  and non-negative integer  $k$  (we also allow  $k = \infty$ ) the  $q$ -shifted factorial is defined as  $(a; q)_k = \prod_{0 \leq j < k} (1 - aq^j)$  (empty products being 1).

However, two additional discoveries have been made regarding this problem. First, it may be generalized, as was discovered by Nicolas Allen Smoot and Mingjia Yang [6, Solution II, Editorial comment], [4, Theorem 23]:

**Theorem 1.1** (N. Smoot, M. Yang). *Let  $n, k, d$  be nonnegative integers. The number of partitions of  $n$  with  $k$  parts divisible by  $d$  is the same as the number of partitions of  $n$  in which  $k$  is the largest part to occur at least  $d$  times.*

Moreover, a delightful proof by direct construction may be given for this generalization [6, Solution II].

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda$  be a partition of  $n$  with  $k$  parts divisible by  $d$ . Write

$$\lambda = \mu + o,$$

in which  $\mu$  is the subpartition containing the  $k$  parts divisible by  $d$ , and  $o$  contains the remaining parts.

We map  $\mu$  to its conjugate, which we denote  $\mu^*$ . Notice that  $\mu^*$  contains  $k$  as its largest part, and that indeed  $k$  must repeat  $dq_k$  times for some integer  $q_k > 0$ .

Next we map  $o$ , which contains no parts divisible by  $d$ , to its corresponding partition  $\delta$  in which every part may only repeat up to  $d - 1$  times—say, using Glaisher’s map [3]. Both of these actions constitute bijections. Our new partition, then, is

$$\kappa = \mu^* + \delta.$$

Notice that any part  $i$  of  $\kappa$  which is less than or equal to  $k$  will occur  $dq_i + r_i$  times, in which  $dq_i$  comes from  $\mu^*$  and  $q_i$  is a nonnegative integer (which is possibly 0 except when  $i = k$ ), and  $r_i$ , with  $0 \leq r_i \leq d - 1$  comes from  $\delta$ .

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05A19, Secondary 11P81.

*Key words and phrases.* integer partitions; partition bijection.

The first author was partially supported by Austrian Science Fund FWF 10.55776/P32305.

The second author was fully supported by Austrian Science Fund FWF 10.55776/PAT6428623.

Thus parts less than  $k$  may repeat any number of times. On the other hand, any part greater than  $k$  must only have a contribution from  $\delta$ , and must therefore occur strictly less than  $d$  times.

This mapping is well-defined, as well as easily reversible: for any partition  $\kappa$  in which  $k$  is the largest part to occur at least  $d$  times, take all parts  $i$  which occur  $dq_i + r_i$  times with  $q_i \geq 0$  (which is positive for  $i = k$ ), and define the subpartition  $\mu^*$  by collecting  $i$  to occur  $dq_i$  times. The largest part will be  $k$ . What is left will be parts  $i$  which repeat  $r_i$  times for  $0 \leq r_i < d$ . Collect these terms into  $\delta$ .

We map  $\mu^*$  to its conjugate  $\mu$ , which will contain  $k$  parts, all divisible by  $d$ . Also we map  $\delta$  to  $o$ , which contains no multiples of  $d$ . Our new partition is  $\lambda = \mu + o$ .  $\square$

## 2. A FINITE-BOUND GENERALIZATION OF THEOREM 1.1

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is especially satisfying, but it is not obvious that anything more can be done with it. However, we have found that Theorem 1.1 may be extended to a finite-bound result.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $A(n, k, d, m)$  be the set of integer partitions of  $n$  in which that exactly  $k$  parts are divisible by  $d$ , and all other parts are strictly less than  $md$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $B(n, k, d, m)$  be the set of integer partitions of  $n$  such that:

- For  $m < k$ , the largest part is  $kd$ , and all parts greater than  $md$  are divisible by  $d$ ;
- For  $m \geq k$ , the part  $k$  occurs at least  $d$  times, none of the parts exceed  $md$ , and any part  $i$  such that  $k < i \leq m$  occurs less than  $d$  times.

With these definitions, we have the following:

**Theorem 2.3.** For  $n, k, d, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ ,  $|A(n, k, d, m)| = |B(n, k, d, m)|$ .

Of course, for  $m \rightarrow \infty$  (indeed, for  $m > n$ ), this result reduces to Theorem 1.1.

We give two different proofs of Theorem 2.3. First, we demonstrate the following identity, a  $q$ -series extension of (1.1) used in Madhyastha's proof:

$$\frac{q^{dk}}{(q^d; q^d)_k} \frac{(q^d; q^d)_m}{(q; q)_{dm}} = \begin{cases} \frac{q^{kd}}{(q^{d(m+1)}; q^d)_{k-m}} \frac{1}{(q; q)_{md}}, & \text{if } m < k, \\ \frac{q^{kd}}{(q; q)_k} \frac{(q^{d(k+1)}; q^d)_{m-k}}{(q^{k+1}; q)_{m-k}} \frac{1}{(q^{m+1}; q)_{md-m}}, & \text{if } m \geq k. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

We first interpret (2.1). Examining the left-hand side,

$$\frac{q^{dk}}{(q^d; q^d)_k} \frac{(q^d; q^d)_m}{(q; q)_{dm}}, \quad (2.2)$$

we notice that the first factor of (2.2) enumerates partitions into exactly  $k$  parts divisible by  $d$ . The second factor of (2.2) enumerates partitions in which *no* parts are divisible by  $d$ , but moreover one in which all parts are bounded above by  $md$ ; indeed, because the part  $md$  is specifically excluded, the parts must be *strictly less* than  $md$ . Thus the left-hand side of (2.1) enumerates partitions of  $A(n, k, d, m)$ .

Examining the right-hand side of (2.1) in the case that  $m < k$ , we note that the very last factor,  $1/(q; q)_{md}$ , enumerates partitions into parts of size up to  $md$ , while  $1/(q^{d(m+1)}; q^d)_{k-m}$  enumerates partitions of size larger than  $md$  but smaller than  $kd$ , in which the parts are divisible by  $d$ . Finally, the factor of  $q^{kd}$  confirms that  $kd$  does in fact occur. Thus, we have partitions of type  $B(n, k, d, m)$  for  $m < k$  enumerated by the right-hand side of (2.1).

For  $m \geq k$ ,  $1/(q; q)_k$  enumerates partitions into parts of size up to and including  $k$  with no additional restrictions. To insure that  $k$  occurs at least  $d$  times, we have the factor  $q^{kd} = q^{k+k+\dots+k}$ . On the other hand, the factor  $1/(q^{m+1}; q)_{md-m}$  enumerates partitions into parts of size strictly greater than  $k$  and less than or equal to  $md$ , but with no other restrictions. Finally, the factor

$$\frac{(q^{d(k+1)}; q^d)_{m-k}}{(q^{k+1}; q)_{m-k}}$$

consists of factors of the form

$$\frac{1 - q^{dj}}{1 - q^j} = 1 + q^j + q^{2j} + \dots + q^{(d-1)j},$$

for  $k < j \leq m$ . Thus, the parts strictly larger than  $k$  and less than or equal to  $m$  must occur fewer than  $d$  times. Thus the right-hand side of (2.1) enumerates  $B(n, k, d, m)$  in both cases.

*Proof.* We have two cases to prove; but in both cases, we begin with (2.2). First we suppose that  $m < k$ . Then we have

$$(q^d; q^d)_k = (q^d; q^d)_m (q^{d(m+1)}; q^d)_{k-m}.$$

Thus substituting this for  $(q^d; q^d)_k$  in (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q^{dk}}{(q^d; q^d)_k} \frac{(q^d; q^d)_m}{(q; q)_{dm}} &= \frac{q^{dk}}{(q^d; q^d)_m (q^{d(m+1)}; q^d)_{k-m}} \frac{(q^d; q^d)_m}{(q; q)_{dm}} \\ &= \frac{q^{dk}}{(q^{d(m+1)}; q^d)_{k-m}} \frac{1}{(q; q)_{dm}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for  $m \geq k$ ,

$$(q^d; q^d)_m = (q^d; q^d)_k (q^{d(k+1)}; q^d)_{m-k}.$$

Substituting into (2.2),

$$\frac{q^{dk}}{(q^d; q^d)_k} \frac{(q^d; q^d)_m}{(q; q)_{dm}} = \frac{q^{dk}}{(q^d; q^d)_k} \frac{(q^d; q^d)_k (q^{d(k+1)}; q^d)_{m-k}}{(q; q)_{dm}} \quad (2.3)$$

$$= q^{dk} \frac{(q^{d(k+1)}; q^d)_{m-k}}{(q; q)_{dm}}. \quad (2.4)$$

Next, we note that

$$(q; q)_{dm} = (q; q)_k (q^{(k+1)}; q)_{m-k} (q^{(m+1)}; q)_{md-m}.$$

With this substitution into (2.3)–(2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} q^{dk} \frac{(q^{d(k+1)}; q^d)_{m-k}}{(q; q)_{dm}} &= q^{dk} \frac{(q^{d(k+1)}; q^d)_{m-k}}{(q; q)_k (q^{(k+1)}; q)_{m-k} (q^{(m+1)}; q)_{md-m}} \\ &= \frac{q^{dk}}{(q; q)_k} \frac{(q^{d(k+1)}; q^d)_{m-k}}{(q^{(k+1)}; q)_{m-k}} \frac{1}{(q^{(m+1)}; q)_{md-m}}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

However, we have also discovered a corresponding extension of the direct constructive proof that we already gave. We note that our new proof depends on the proof of a finite version of Glaisher's theorem which can be found in [5]; the latter is itself an extension of the finite version of Euler's partition identity proved by Andrews in [1]. Both of these finite bound theorems play a substantial role in achieving finite-bound equinumerosity results, e.g., [2].

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda \in A(n, k, d, m)$ . We write

$$\lambda = \mu + o,$$

in which  $\mu$  contains the  $k$  parts divisible by  $d$ , and  $o$  contains the remaining parts.

We begin by considering the subpartition  $\mu$ . Here we map  $\mu$  to its conjugate,  $\mu^*$ , in which  $k$  occurs at least  $d$  times (indeed, the number of occurrences of  $k$  is a positive multiple of  $d$ ). We can therefore write  $\mu^*$  as

$$\mu^* : \sum_{i=1}^k dq_i \cdot (i),$$

in which we interpret the parts as  $i$ , and the number of occurrences as  $dq_i$  for some  $q_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  (with  $q_k > 0$ ).

We will in turn break  $\mu^* = \mu_0^* + \epsilon$  into two smaller subpartitions:

$$\mu_0^* : \sum_{i=1}^{\min(m,k)} dq_i \cdot (i), \quad \epsilon : \sum_{m < i \leq k} q_i \cdot (di).$$

For  $\epsilon$  we reinterpret the terms: the parts are now  $di$  as  $i$  ranges from 1 to  $m$ . Notice that for  $m < k$ , the largest part from either of these subpartitions will be  $dk$ , and it will come from  $\epsilon$ . Note also that  $\epsilon$  is empty for  $m \geq k$ .

Next, we consider the subpartition  $o$ . Notice that these parts are bounded strictly above by  $md$ , and that none of them are divisible by  $d$ . Write

$$o : \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j < md, \\ d \nmid j}} N_j \cdot (j),$$

in which  $j$  is a given part, and  $N_j \geq 0$  the number of occurrences of that part.

For each  $j \leq md$  there exists a unique integer  $L_j \geq 0$  such that

$$m < jd^{L_j} \leq md.$$

We write  $N_j$  in base  $d$ , but we collect together all terms corresponding to powers of  $d$  which match or exceed  $L_j$ :

$$N_j = \sum_{l \geq 0} a_{j,l} \cdot d^l = \sum_{0 \leq l \leq L_j - 1} a_{j,l} \cdot d^l + M_j \cdot d^{L_j}. \quad (2.5)$$

Here for  $l \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq l \leq L_j - 1$  we have  $0 \leq a_{j,l} \leq d - 1$ . Now we re-examine our original subpartition  $o$ :

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq j < md, \\ d \nmid j}} N_j \cdot (j) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j < md, \\ d \nmid j}} \left( \sum_{0 \leq l \leq L_j - 1} a_{j,l} \cdot d^l + M_j \cdot d^{L_j} \right) \cdot (j).$$

We thus have our new subpartition

$$\delta : \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j < md, \\ d \nmid j, \\ 0 \leq l \leq L_j - 1}} a_{j,l} \cdot (j \cdot d^l) + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j < md, \\ d \nmid j}} M_j \cdot (j \cdot d^{L_j}). \quad (2.6)$$

The first sum contributes parts of the form  $i = j \cdot d^l$  for  $0 \leq l \leq L_j - 1$ , and therefore  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ; indeed, by unique factorization, any possible part between 1 and  $m$  must have this form. Moreover, each  $i$  occurs less than  $d$  times.

The parts which are permitted to repeat arbitrarily many times are  $i = j \cdot d^{L_j}$ , with  $m < i \leq md$ . Again, by the possible range of  $j$  and through unique factorization, every possible part between  $m$  and  $md$  must have this form. These parts repeat  $M_j$  times, for any integer  $M_j \geq 0$ .

We will send  $\lambda$  to the following partition:

$$\kappa = \mu_0^* + \epsilon + \delta.$$

We need to show that  $\kappa \in B(n, k, d, m)$ .

**Case:**  $m < k$

In this case,  $\epsilon$  is not empty, and has largest part  $dk$ . The parts of  $\mu_0^*$  are all  $\leq m < k$ , and the parts of  $\delta$  are all  $\leq md < kd$ . Thus our largest part will be  $kd$ .

A part  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq m$  will have the form  $i = jd^l$  for some  $j$  between 1 and  $m$ , and some nonnegative power  $l$  of  $d$ . It occurs  $dq_i + a_{j,l}$  times; here  $dq_i$  comes from  $\mu_0^*$ , and  $a_{j,l}$  comes from  $\delta$ . Per the conditions of the division algorithm, this is an unrestricted nonnegative integer.

Any part  $i$  with  $m < i \leq md$ , has the form  $jd^{L_j}$  and also occurs an unrestricted number  $M_j$  of times, coming from  $\delta$ . Neither  $\mu_0^*$  nor  $\epsilon$  contribute to these parts.

Finally, for parts greater than  $md$ , we have only terms  $dj$  from  $\epsilon$ . The only restriction is by size (the parts must be greater than  $md$ ), and divisibility by  $d$ .

We thus have a well-defined partition  $\kappa \in B(n, k, d, m)$  with no set restrictions beyond those of  $B(n, k, d, m)$ .

**Case:**  $m \geq k$

In this case,  $\epsilon$  is empty, and the largest part from  $\mu_0^*$  is  $k$ . Indeed, any part  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq k$  occurs  $dq_i$  times from  $\mu_0^*$ . It may also occur in  $\delta$ ; however, because  $i \leq k \leq m$ , it has the form  $i = jd^l$  with  $d \nmid j$  and  $0 \leq l \leq L_j - 1$ , and can only occur  $a_{j,l}$  times, i.e., no more than  $d - 1$  times. Thus the number of occurrences of  $i$  in  $\kappa$  has the form  $dq_i + a_{j,l}$ ; it is therefore arbitrary, per the conditions of the division algorithm. Specifically for  $i = k$ , we have the additional condition that  $q_k > 0$ , so that  $k$  must occur at least  $d$  times.

Similar, all parts  $i > m$  can only come from  $\delta$ , and be  $\leq md$ . Moreover, we have already shown that such an  $i$  may repeat  $M_j$  times, with  $i = jd^{L_j}$ ,  $d \nmid j$ .

Only the parts  $i$  with  $k < i \leq m$  have a restriction on their occurrences, appearing less than  $d$  times.

Finally, the largest parts in  $\mu^*$  are  $k \leq m \leq md$ , and the largest possible parts of  $\delta$  are  $md$ . Thus  $\kappa \in B(n, k, d, m)$ .  $\square$

For the sake of illustration, we give two examples. First, we take  $d = 3$ ,  $k = 7$ ,  $m = 4$ ,  $n = 123$ . Notice that  $m < k$ . Moreover, we have  $md = 12$  and  $kd = 21$ . We consider the partition

$$\lambda := (2)15 + 12 + 11 + 9 + 8 + (4)7 + (2)6 + 5 + 3 + (2)2 + 1.$$

The parts divisible by 3 are 15 (occurring twice), 12, 9, 6 (occurring twice), and 3. That is, there are  $k = 7$  parts divisible by  $d = 3$ . The largest part not divisible by 3 is  $11 < 12 = md$ . Thus,  $\lambda \in A(123, 7, 3, 4)$ .

We build our bijection first by separating  $\lambda$  into its key subpartitions:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &: (2)15 + 12 + 9 + (2)6 + 3, \\ o &: 11 + 8 + (4)7 + 5 + (2)2 + 1. \end{aligned}$$

First, we map  $\mu$  to its conjugate  $\mu^*$ :

$$(2)15 + 12 + 9 + (2)6 + 3 \longleftrightarrow (3)7 + (3)6 + (3)4 + (3)3 + (3)2.$$

Notice that each part  $i$  of  $\mu^*$  lies between 1 and  $k = 7$ , and occurs a multiple of 3 times (possibly 0).

In turn we split  $\mu^*$  into  $\mu_0^*$  and  $\epsilon$ . Because  $\min(m, k) = m$ ,  $\epsilon$  is not empty. We construct  $\epsilon$  by examining the parts of  $\mu^*$  which are greater than  $m = 4$ . The factor of 3 in the number of occurrences is now multiplied by our part to produce a new part. What is left of the number of occurrences after dividing out 3 is our new occurrence:

$$(3)7 + (3)6 = (3 \cdot 1)7 + (3 \cdot 1)6 \longleftrightarrow (1)21 + (1)18 = \epsilon.$$

The remaining parts of  $\mu^*$  give us  $\mu_0^*$ :

$$\mu_0^* = (3)4 + (3)3 + (3)2.$$

We now turn our attention to

$$o : 11 + 8 + (4)7 + 5 + (2)2 + 1.$$

For  $1 \leq j < 12$ ,  $3 \nmid j$ , there exists a unique  $L_j$  such that  $4 < jd^{L_j} \leq 12$ . We may compute  $L_j$  for each part  $j$  of  $o$ :

$$\text{For } j = 11, 8, 7, 5, L_j = 0.$$

$$\text{For } j = 2, L_j = 1.$$

$$\text{For } j = 1, L_j = 2.$$

Thus for  $j = 1, 2$ , we can expand the number of occurrences by base 3 up to the power of 2 for  $j = 1$ , and 1 for  $j = 2$ . But the occurrences for these parts in  $o$  are 1 and 2, respectively, with resulting maximum power being 0 in the base 3 expansions. Therefore we can simply apply Glaisher's bijection. In this case, it is a trivial mapping:

$$(2)2 + (1)1 \longrightarrow (2)2 + (1)1.$$

Since  $L_j = 0$  for the remaining parts of  $o$ , we will not apply Glaisher's bijection at all; we should multiply each  $j$  by  $3^{L_j}$ . However, this factor is simply 1, and we may therefore simply reproduce the partition  $o$ :

$$\delta : 11 + 8 + (4)7 + 5 + (2)2 + 1.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa &= (1)21 + (1)18 + (3)4 + (3)3 + (3)2 + 11 + 8 + (4)7 + 5 + (2)2 + 1 \\ &= 21 + 18 + 11 + 8 + (4)7 + 5 + (3)4 + (3)3 + (5)2 + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Our largest part is  $kd = (7)(3) = 21$ , and the parts greater than  $md = 12$  are 21, 18, both divisible by  $d = 3$ . Thus  $\kappa \in B(123, 7, 3, 4)$ .

For our second example, we consider the  $d = 3$ ,  $k = 4$ ,  $m = 7$ ,  $n = 189$ . Notice that  $m \geq k$ . Moreover, we have  $md = 21$  and  $kd = 12$ . Let us take, say,

$$\lambda := 24 + 21 + 20 + 17 + 15 + (4)14 + 9 + (2)7 + (5)2 + (3)1.$$

Here, the parts divisible by 3 are 24, 21, 15, 9. Thus there are  $k = 4$  parts divisible by  $d = 3$ . The largest part not divisible by 3 is 20, which is less than  $md = 21$ . Thus,  $\lambda \in A(189, 4, 3, 7)$ . Again, we split  $\lambda$  into

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &: 24 + 21 + 15 + 9, \\ o &: 20 + 17 + (4)14 + (2)7 + (5)2 + (3)1. \end{aligned}$$

We map  $\mu$  to its conjugate  $\mu^*$ :

$$24 + 21 + 15 + 9 \longleftrightarrow (9)4 + (6)3 + (6)2 + (3)1.$$

Because  $m > k$ , the subpartition  $\epsilon$  is empty, and  $\mu_0^* = \mu^*$ .

Next we take

$$o : 20 + 17 + (4)14 + (2)7 + (5)2 + (3)1.$$

For  $1 \leq j < md, d \nmid j$ , we compute  $L_j$  as before, and we find that

$$\text{For } j = 20, 17, 14, L_j = 0.$$

$$\text{For } j = 7, L_j = 1.$$

$$\text{For } j = 2, 1, L_j = 2.$$

Now the mapping is nontrivial, and using (2.5)–(2.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & [(1)20 + (1)17 + (4)14] + [(2)7 + (2 \cdot 3^0 + 1 \cdot 3^1)2 + (1 \cdot 3^1)1] \\ & = [(1)20 + (1)17 + (4)14] + [(2)7 + (2)2 + (1)6 + (1)3]. \end{aligned}$$

Putting our new subpartitions back together, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & [(9)4 + (6)3 + (6)2 + (3)1] + [(2)7 + (2)2 + (1)6 + (1)3] \\ & + [(1)20 + (1)17 + (4)14], \\ & \kappa = 20 + 17 + (4)14 + (2)7 + 6 + (9)4 + (7)3 + (8)2 + (3)1. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $k = 4$  occurs at least  $d = 3$  times (indeed, it occurs 9 times), and all parts are bounded above by  $md = 21$ . Of particular interest are the parts larger than  $k = 4$  and less than or equal to  $m = 7$ :  $(2)7 + 6$ . These parts occur less than 3 times. Thus,  $\kappa \in B(189, 4, 3, 7)$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G.E. Andrews, “Euler’s Partition Identity—Finite Version,” *The Mathematics Student* (85), pp. 3-4 (2016).
- [2] S. Fu, T. Hua, “On the Slack Euler Pair for Vector Partition,” *Integers* (18), pp. 1-7 (2018).
- [3] J.W.L. Glaisher, “A Theorem in Partitions,” *Messenger of Math* (12), pp. 158-170 (1883).
- [4] W.P. Johnson, *An Introduction to q-Analysis*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. (2020).
- [5] D. Nyirenda, “A Note on a Finite Version of Euler’s Partition Identity,” *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics* (71)(2), pp. 241-245 (2018).
- [6] “A Generalized Bijection for Partitions,” Solutions to Problem 11908. G.A. Edgar, D.H. Ullman, D.B. West, *Problems and Solutions, American Mathematical Monthly* 125 (3) (2018).

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT WIEN, OSKAR-MORGENSTERN-PLATZ 1, A-1090, VIENNA, AUSTRIA  
*Email address:* michael.schlosser@univie.ac.at

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT WIEN, OSKAR-MORGENSTERN-PLATZ 1, A-1090, VIENNA, AUSTRIA  
*Email address:* nicolas.allen.smoot@univie.ac.at