

# NEF VECTOR BUNDLES ON A HYPERQUADRIC WITH FIRST CHERN CLASS TWO

MASAHIRO OHNO

ABSTRACT. We classify nef vector bundles on a smooth hyperquadric of dimension  $\geq 4$  with first Chern class two over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Peternell-Szurek-Wiśniewski classified nef vector bundles on a smooth hyperquadric  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  of dimension  $n \geq 3$  with first Chern class  $\leq 1$  over an algebraically closed field  $K$  of characteristic zero in [PSW92, § 2 Theorem 2], and we provided a different proof of this classification in [Ohn22, Theorem 9.3], proof which was based on an analysis with a full strong exceptional collection of vector bundles on  $\mathbb{Q}^n$ .

This paper is a continuation of the previous paper [Ohn23, Theorem 1.1], in which we have classified nef vector bundles on a smooth quadric threefold  $\mathbb{Q}^3$  with first Chern class two. In this paper, we classify those on a smooth hyperquadric  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  of dimension  $n \geq 4$ . The precise statement is as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a nef vector bundle of rank  $r$  on a smooth hyperquadric  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  of dimension  $n \geq 4$  over an algebraically closed field  $K$  of characteristic zero, let  $\mathcal{S}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}^+$ , and  $\mathcal{S}^-$  be spinor bundles, and  $\mathcal{S}^\pm$  denotes  $\mathcal{S}^+$  or  $\mathcal{S}^-$ . Suppose that  $\det \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(2)$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}$  is isomorphic to one of the following vector bundles or fits in one of the following exact sequences:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{O}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-1}$ ;
- (2)  $\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-2}$ ;
- (3)  $n = 4$ ,  $\mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{S}^\pm \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-3}$ ;
- (4)  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$ ;
- (5)  $n = 4$ ,  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^\pm \oplus \mathcal{S}^\pm \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-3} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$ ;
- (6)  $n = 5$ ,  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-3} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$ ;
- (7)  $n = 6$ ,  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^\pm \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-3} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$ ;
- (8)  $n = 4$ ,  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^\pm(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r+3} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$ ;
- (9)  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r+2} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$ ;
- (10)  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$ .

Note that this list is effective: in each case exists an example. Theorem 1.1 answers the question posed in [OT14, Question 1]. Note that Case (8) in Theorem 1.1 was missing in [OT14, Example 1]. Note also that the projectivization  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$  of the bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  in Theorem 1.1 is a Fano manifold of dimension  $n + r - 1$ , i.e., the bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  in Theorem 1.1 is a Fano bundle on  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  of rank  $r$ .

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14J60; Secondary 14J45, 14F08,

*Key words and phrases.* nef vector bundles, Fano bundles, full strong exceptional collections.

This work was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI (C) Grant Number 21K03158.

Our basic strategy and framework for describing  $\mathcal{E}$  in Theorem 1.1 is to provide a minimal locally free resolution of  $\mathcal{E}$  in terms of some twists of the full strong exceptional collection

$$(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{O}(1), \mathcal{O}(2), \dots, \mathcal{O}(n-1))$$

of vector bundles, if  $n$  is odd; collection

$$(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{S}^+, \mathcal{S}^-, \mathcal{O}(1), \mathcal{O}(2), \dots, \mathcal{O}(n-1))$$

of vector bundles, if  $n$  is even (see [Ohn22] for more details).

The content of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we briefly recall Bondal's theorem [Bon89, Theorem 6.2] and its related notions and results used in the proof of Theorem 1.1. In particular, we recall some finite-dimensional algebra  $A$ , and fix symbols, e.g.,  $G$  and  $S_i$ , related to  $A$  and finitely generated right  $A$ -modules. We also recall the classification [Ohn23, Theorem 1.1] of nef vector bundles on a smooth quadric threefold  $\mathbb{Q}^3$  with Chern class two in Theorem 2.3. In Section 3, we state Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch formulas for vector bundles  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $\mathbb{Q}^4$  with  $c_1 = 2$ . In Section 4, we provide the set-up for the proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is carried out in Sections 5 to 13 according to which case of Theorem 2.3  $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}$  belongs to.

**1.1. Notation and conventions.** Throughout this paper, we work over an algebraically closed field  $K$  of characteristic zero. Basically we follow the standard notation and terminology in algebraic geometry. We denote by  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  a smooth hyperquadric of dimension  $n$  over  $K$ , and by

- $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}^+, \mathcal{S}^-$  spinor bundles on  $\mathbb{Q}^n$ .

Note that we follow Kapranov's convention [Kap88, p. 499]; our spinor bundles  $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}^+, \mathcal{S}^-$  are globally generated, and they are the duals of those of Ottaviani's [Ott88]. For a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ , we denote by  $c_i(\mathcal{F})$  the  $i$ -th Chern class of  $\mathcal{F}$  and by  $\mathcal{F}^\vee$  the dual of  $\mathcal{F}$ . In particular,

- $c_i$  stands for  $c_i(\mathcal{E})$  of the nef vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  we are dealing with.

For a vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$  denotes  $\text{Proj } S(\mathcal{E})$ , where  $S(\mathcal{E})$  denotes the symmetric algebra of  $\mathcal{E}$ . The tautological line bundle

- $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$  is also denoted by  $H(\mathcal{E})$ .

Let  $A^*\mathbb{Q}^4$  be the Chow ring of  $\mathbb{Q}^4$ . We denote

- by  $h$  the hyperplane class in  $A^1\mathbb{Q}^4$ :  $A^1\mathbb{Q}^4 = \mathbb{Z}h$ .

Finally we refer to [Laz04] for the definition and basic properties of nef vector bundles.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper,  $G_0, G_1, G_2, G_3, \dots, G_m$  denote respectively

$$\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{O}(1), \mathcal{O}(2), \dots, \mathcal{O}(n-1)$$

on  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  if  $n$  is odd, where  $m = n$ , and

$$\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{S}^+, \mathcal{S}^-, \mathcal{O}(1), \dots, \mathcal{O}(n-1)$$

on  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  if  $n$  is even, where  $m = n + 1$ . An important and well known fact [Kap88, Theorem 4.10] of the collection  $(G_0, \dots, G_m)$  is that it is a full strong exceptional collection in  $D^b(\mathbb{Q}^n)$ , where  $D^b(\mathbb{Q}^n)$  denotes the bounded derived category of (the abelian category of)

coherent sheaves on  $\mathbb{Q}^n$ . An exceptional collection is also called an exceptional sequence. We refer to [Huy06] for the definition of a full strong exceptional sequence.

Denote by  $G$  the direct sum  $\bigoplus_{i=0}^m G_i$  of  $G_0, \dots, G_m$ , and by  $A$  the endomorphism ring  $\text{End}(G)$  of  $G$ . Then  $A$  is a finite-dimensional  $K$ -algebra, and  $G$  is a left  $A$ -module. Denote by  $\text{mod } A$  the category of finitely generated right  $A$ -modules, and by  $D^b(\text{mod } A)$  the bounded derived category of  $\text{mod } A$ . Let  $p_i : G \rightarrow G_i$  be the projection, and  $\iota_i : G_i \hookrightarrow G$  the inclusion. Set  $e_i = \iota_i \circ p_i$ . Then  $e_i \in A$ . Set  $P_i = e_i A$ . Then  $A \cong \bigoplus_i P_i$  as right  $A$ -modules, and hence  $P_i$  is projective. We have  $P_i \otimes_A G \cong G_i$ . Any finitely generated right  $A$ -module  $V$  has an ascending filtration

$$0 = V^{\leq -1} \subset V^{\leq 0} \subset V^{\leq 1} \subset \dots \subset V^{\leq m} = V$$

by right  $A$ -submodules, where  $V^{\leq i} = \bigoplus_{j \leq i} V e_j$ . Set  $\text{Gr}^i V = V^{\leq i} / V^{\leq i-1}$  and  $S_i = \text{Gr}^i P_i$ . Then  $S_i$  is a simple right  $A$ -module. If we set  $m_i = \dim_K \text{Gr}^i V$ , then  $\text{Gr}^i V \cong S_i^{\oplus m_i}$ . For a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathbb{Q}^n$ ,  $\text{Ext}^q(G, \mathcal{F})$  is a finitely generated right  $A$ -module.

It follows from Bondal's theorem [Bon89, Theorem 6.2] that

$$\text{RHom}(G, \bullet) : D^b(\mathbb{Q}^n) \rightarrow D^b(\text{mod } A)$$

is an exact equivalence, and its quasi-inverse is

$$\bullet \otimes_A^L G : D^b(\text{mod } A) \rightarrow D^b(\mathbb{Q}^n).$$

For a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathbb{Q}^n$ , this fact can be rephrased in terms of a spectral sequence [OT14, Theorem 1]:

$$(2.1) \quad E_2^{p,q} = \mathcal{T}or_{-p}^A(\text{Ext}^q(G, \mathcal{F}), G) \Rightarrow E^{p+q} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{F} & \text{if } p+q=0 \\ 0 & \text{if } p+q \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

which we call the Bondal spectral sequence. Note that  $E_2^{p,q}$  is the  $p$ -th cohomology sheaf  $\mathcal{H}^p(\text{Ext}^q(G, \mathcal{F}) \otimes_A^L G)$  of the complex  $\text{Ext}^q(G, \mathcal{F}) \otimes_A^L G$ . When we compute the spectral sequence, we consider the descending filtration on the right  $A$ -module  $\text{Ext}^q(G, \mathcal{F})$ , and consider distinguished triangles consisting of the pull-backs  $\text{Gr}^i \text{Ext}^q(G, \mathcal{F}) \otimes_A^L G$  of the graded pieces  $\text{Gr}^i \text{Ext}^q(G, \mathcal{F})$ . Thus it is important to know what the pull-back  $S_i \otimes_A^L G$  of the component  $S_i$  of the graded piece  $\text{Gr}^i \text{Ext}^q(G, \mathcal{F})$  is.

Here we recall the bundles  $\Psi_i$  on  $\mathbb{Q}^n$ , which is defined by Kapranov in [Kap88, §4], and which is characterized by Ancona and Ottaviani in [AO91, §5] by the non-split exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}^i(i)|_{\mathbb{Q}^n} \rightarrow \Psi_i \rightarrow \Psi_{i-2} \rightarrow 0$$

for  $i \geq 2$  with  $\Psi_0 = \mathcal{O}$  and  $\Psi_1 = \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}^1(1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^n}$ . Set

$$\tilde{\Psi}_i = \Psi_{n+1-i}^\vee \otimes \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}^{n+1}(n+1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^n}.$$

Then  $\tilde{\Psi}_{n+1} = \mathcal{O}(-1)$ ,  $\tilde{\Psi}_n = T_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(-2)|_{\mathbb{Q}^n} \cong \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}^n(n)|_{\mathbb{Q}^n}$ , and, for  $2 \leq i \leq n+1$ ,  $\tilde{\Psi}_i$  fits in the following non-split exact sequence:

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \tilde{\Psi}_i \rightarrow \tilde{\Psi}_{i-2} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}^{i-2}(i-2)|_{\mathbb{Q}^n} \rightarrow 0.$$

First we note the following

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}^{(\pm)}$  denotes  $\mathcal{S}^+$  or  $\mathcal{S}^-$  if  $n$  is even and  $\mathcal{S}$  if  $n$  is odd. Then*

- (1)  $\text{RHom}(\mathcal{O}(k), \mathcal{S}^{(\pm)}(-1)) = 0$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ .
- (2)  $\text{RHom}(\mathcal{S}^{(\pm)}, \tilde{\Psi}_p) = 0$  for  $3 \leq p \leq n+1$ .

*Proof.* (1) This follows from [Kap88, Proposition 4.11].

(2) Recall that  $\mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \cong \mathcal{S}^{(\pm)}(-1)$  (see, e.g., [Ohn22, Theorem 8.1 (9), (10), (11)]). Hence we have  $\mathrm{RHom}(\mathcal{S}^{(\pm)}, \mathcal{O}(-k)) = 0$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$  by (1). In particular,  $\mathrm{RHom}(\mathcal{S}^{(\pm)}, \tilde{\Psi}_{n+1}) = 0$ . Set  $V = H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(1))$ . Now  $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}^p(p)|_{\mathbb{Q}^n}$  is isomorphic to  $\wedge^{n+1-p}(T_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^n})(-1)$ , and we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \otimes V^\vee \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^n} \rightarrow 0.$$

This exact sequence induces the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \wedge^{k-1}(T_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^n})(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \otimes \wedge^k V^\vee \rightarrow \wedge^k(T_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^n}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence  $\wedge^k(T_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^n})(-1)$  has the following resolution:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-k-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-k) \otimes V^\vee \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \otimes \wedge^k V^\vee \rightarrow \wedge^k(T_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^n})(-1) \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore  $\mathrm{RHom}(\mathcal{S}^{(\pm)}, \wedge^k(T_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^n})(-1)) = 0$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n-2$ . Hence we see that  $\mathrm{RHom}(\mathcal{S}^{(\pm)}, \tilde{\Psi}_n) = 0$  and that  $\mathrm{RHom}(\mathcal{S}^{(\pm)}, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}^p(p)|_{\mathbb{Q}^n}) = 0$  for  $3 \leq p \leq n+1$ . Now the exact sequence (2.2) inductively shows that  $\mathrm{RHom}(\mathcal{S}^{(\pm)}, \tilde{\Psi}_p) = 0$  for  $3 \leq p \leq n+1$ .  $\square$

Now we can answer the question that what  $S_i \otimes_A^L G$  is.

**Lemma 2.2.** (1) *If  $n$  is odd, we have*

$$(2.3) \quad S_{p-1} \otimes_A^L G \cong \tilde{\Psi}_p[p-1] \text{ for } n+1 \geq p \geq 3;$$

$$(2.4) \quad S_1 \otimes_A^L G \cong \mathcal{S}(-1)[1];$$

$$(2.5) \quad S_0 \otimes_A^L G \cong \mathcal{O}.$$

(2) *If  $n$  is even, we have*

$$(2.6) \quad S_p \otimes_A^L G \cong \tilde{\Psi}_p[p-1] \text{ for } n+1 \geq p \geq 3;$$

$$(2.7) \quad S_2 \otimes_A^L G \cong \mathcal{S}^+(-1)[1];$$

$$(2.8) \quad S_1 \otimes_A^L G \cong \mathcal{S}^-(-1)[1];$$

$$(2.9) \quad S_0 \otimes_A^L G \cong \mathcal{O}.$$

*Proof.* It follows from [Kap88, Proposition 4.11] that for  $0 \leq j, k \leq n-1$  and  $0 \leq q \leq n$  we have  $h^j(\Psi_j(-j)) = 1$  and  $h^q(\Psi_j(-k)) = 0$  unless  $(q, k) = (j, j)$ . Since  $h^q(\tilde{\Psi}_p(-k)) = h^q(\Psi_{n+1-p}^\vee(-1-k)) = h^{n-q}(\Psi_{n+1-p}(1+k-n))$ , this implies that for  $2 \leq p \leq n+1$  and  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$  we have

$$(2.10) \quad h^{p-1}(\tilde{\Psi}_p(-p+2)) = 1 \text{ and } h^q(\tilde{\Psi}_p(-k)) = 0 \text{ unless } (q, k) = (p-1, p-2).$$

Suppose that  $n$  is odd. Then (2.10) and Lemma 2.1 (2) show that  $\mathrm{RHom}(G, \tilde{\Psi}_p) = S_{p-1}[1-p]$  for  $3 \leq p \leq n+1$ . Therefore we obtain (2.3). Suppose that  $n$  is even. Then (2.10) and Lemma 2.1 (2) show that  $\mathrm{RHom}(G, \tilde{\Psi}_p) = S_p[1-p]$  for  $3 \leq p \leq n+1$ . Therefore we obtain (2.6).

Suppose that  $n$  is odd. Then it follows from [Ohn22, Lemma 8.2 (1)] and Lemma 2.1 (1) that  $\mathrm{RHom}(G, \mathcal{S}(-1)) = S_1[-1]$ . Hence we obtain (2.4). Suppose that  $n$  is even. Then it follows from [Ohn22, Lemma 8.2 (1)] and Lemma 2.1 (1) that  $\mathrm{RHom}(G, \mathcal{S}^-(-1)) = S_1[-1]$  and  $\mathrm{RHom}(G, \mathcal{S}^+(-1)) = S_2[-1]$ . Hence we obtain (2.8) and (2.7).

Finally (2.5) and (2.9) hold since  $S_0$  is nothing but a projective module  $P_0 = e_0 A$ .  $\square$

Theorem 1.1 will be proved, based on the following theorem [Ohn23, Theorem 1.1]:

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a nef vector bundle of rank  $r$  on a smooth hyperquadric  $\mathbb{Q}^3$  of dimension 3 over an algebraically closed field  $K$  of characteristic zero, and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the spinor bundle on  $\mathbb{Q}^3$ . Suppose that  $\det \mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(2)$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}$  is isomorphic to one of the following vector bundles or fits in one of the following exact sequences:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{O}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-1}$ ;
- (2)  $\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-2}$ ;
- (3)  $\mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-3}$ ;
- (4)  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$ ;
- (5)  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus a} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-4+a} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$ , where  $a = 0$  or  $1$ , and the composite of the injection  $\mathcal{O}^{\oplus a} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-4+a}$  and the projection  $\mathcal{S}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-4+a} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-4+a}$  is zero;
- (6)  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r+3} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$ ;
- (7)  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r+2} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$ ;
- (8)  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$ ;
- (9)  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus 4} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r+3} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$ .

### 3. HIRZEBRUCH-RIEMANN-ROCH FORMULAS

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a vector bundle of rank  $r$  on  $\mathbb{Q}^4$ . Since the tangent bundle  $T$  of  $\mathbb{Q}^4$  fits in an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^5}|_{\mathbb{Q}^4} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}^4}(2) \rightarrow 0,$$

the Chern polynomial  $c_t(T)$  of  $T$  is

$$\frac{(1+ht)^6}{1+2ht} = 1 + 4ht + 7h^2t^2 + 6h^3t^3 + 3h^4t^4,$$

where  $h$  denotes  $c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}^4}(1))$ . Then the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch formula implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\mathcal{E}) = & r + \frac{7}{6}c_1h^3 + \frac{23}{24}(c_1^2 - 2c_2)h^2 + \frac{1}{3}(c_1^3 - 3c_1c_2 + 3c_3)h \\ & + \frac{1}{24}(c_1^4 - 4c_1^2c_2 + 4c_1c_3 + 2c_2^2 - 4c_4), \end{aligned}$$

where we set  $c_i = c_i(\mathcal{E})$ . To compute  $\chi(\mathcal{E}(t))$ , note that

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(\mathcal{E}(t)) &= c_1 + rht; \\ c_2(\mathcal{E}(t)) &= c_2 + (r-1)c_1ht + \binom{r}{2}h^2t^2; \\ c_3(\mathcal{E}(t)) &= c_3 + (r-2)c_2ht + \binom{r-1}{2}c_1h^2t^2 + \binom{r}{3}h^3t^3; \\ c_4(\mathcal{E}(t)) &= c_4 + (r-3)c_3ht + \binom{r-2}{2}c_2h^2t^2 + \binom{r-1}{3}h^3t^3 + \binom{r}{4}h^4t^4. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $h^4 = 2$ , we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.1) \quad \chi(\mathcal{E}(t)) &= \frac{r}{12}(t+1)(t+2)^2(t+3) + \frac{1}{6}c_1h^3t^3 + \frac{1}{4}\{4c_1h + (c_1^2 - 2c_2)\}h^2t^2 \\
&+ \frac{1}{12}\{23c_1h^2 + 12(c_1^2 - 2c_2)h + 2(c_1^3 - 3c_1c_2 + 3c_3)\}ht \\
&+ \frac{7}{6}c_1h^3 + \frac{23}{24}(c_1^2 - 2c_2)h^2 + \frac{1}{3}(c_1^3 - 3c_1c_2 + 3c_3)h \\
&+ \frac{1}{24}(c_1^4 - 4c_1^2c_2 + 4c_1c_3 + 2c_2^2 - 4c_4).
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = dh$  for some integer  $d$ , the formula above can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.2) \quad \chi(\mathcal{E}(t)) &= \frac{r}{12}(t+1)(t+2)^2(t+3) + \frac{d}{3}t^3 + \frac{1}{2}\{4d + (d^2 - c_2h^2)\}t^2 \\
&+ \frac{1}{6}\{23d + 12(d^2 - c_2h^2) + (2d^3 - 3dc_2h^2 + 3c_3h)\}t \\
&+ \frac{7}{3}d + \frac{23}{12}(d^2 - c_2h^2) + \frac{1}{3}(2d^3 - 3dc_2h^2 + 3c_3h) \\
&+ \frac{1}{12}(d^4 - 2d^2c_2h^2 + 2dc_3h + c_2^2 - 2c_4).
\end{aligned}$$

In this paper, we are dealing with the case  $d = 2$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.3) \quad \chi(\mathcal{E}(t)) &= \frac{r}{12}(t+1)(t+2)^2(t+3) + \frac{1}{3}(2t^3 + 18t^2 + 55t + 57) - \frac{1}{2}c_2h^2t^2 \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}(c_3h - 6c_2h^2)t + \frac{1}{12}(16c_3h - 55c_2h^2) + \frac{1}{12}(c_2^2 - 2c_4).
\end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$(3.4) \quad \chi(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 6 - \frac{25}{12}c_2h^2 + \frac{5}{6}c_3h + \frac{1}{12}(c_2^2 - 2c_4);$$

$$(3.5) \quad \chi(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = 1 - \frac{7}{12}c_2h^2 + \frac{1}{3}c_3h + \frac{1}{12}(c_2^2 - 2c_4).$$

Next we will compute  $\chi(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\nu} \otimes \mathcal{E}(t))$ . Recall that  $c_1(\mathcal{S}^\pm) = h$ . Note also that

$$\text{rank } \mathcal{S}^{\pm\nu} \otimes \mathcal{E} = 2r;$$

$$c_1(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\nu} \otimes \mathcal{E}) = 2c_1 - rh;$$

$$c_2(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\nu} \otimes \mathcal{E}) = 2c_2 - (2r - 1)c_1h + c_1^2 + \binom{r}{2}h^2 + rc_2(\mathcal{S}^\pm);$$

$$\begin{aligned}
c_3(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\nu} \otimes \mathcal{E}) &= 2c_3 - 2(r - 1)c_2h + (r - 1)^2c_1h^2 + 2(r - 1)c_1c_2(\mathcal{S}^\pm) \\
&+ 2c_1c_2 - (r - 1)c_1^2h - \binom{r}{3}h^3 - r(r - 1)c_2(\mathcal{S}^\pm)h;
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
c_4(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\nu} \otimes \mathcal{E}) &= 2c_4 + 2c_1c_3 + c_2^2 - (2r - 3)c_3h + (r^2 - 3r + 3)c_2h^2 + 2(r - 3)c_2c_2(\mathcal{S}^\pm) \\
&- \frac{1}{6}(r - 1)(r - 2)(2r - 3)c_1h^3 - (r - 1)(2r - 3)c_1c_2(\mathcal{S}^\pm)h \\
&- (2r - 3)c_1c_2h + \binom{r - 1}{2}c_1^2h^2 + (r - 1)c_1^2c_2(\mathcal{S}^\pm) + \frac{1}{12}r^2(r^2 - 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $c_2(\mathcal{S}^\pm)^2 = 1$  and  $c_2(\mathcal{S}^\pm)h^2 = 1$ , the formula (3.1) together with the formulas above implies the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\nu} \otimes \mathcal{E}(t)) &= \frac{r}{6}t(t+1)(t+2)(t+3) + \frac{1}{3}c_1h^3t^3 + \frac{1}{2}(3c_1h^3 + c_1^2h^2 - 2c_2h^2)t^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6}(14c_1h^3 + 9c_1^2h^2 - 18c_2h^2 + 2c_1^3h - 6c_1c_2(\mathcal{S}^\pm)h - 6c_1c_2h + 6c_3h)t \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{12}(15c_1h^3 + 14c_1^2h^2 - 28c_2h^2 + 6c_1^3h - 18c_1c_2(\mathcal{S}^\pm)h - 18c_1c_2h + 18c_3h) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{12}(c_1^4 - 4c_1^2c_2 + 4c_1c_3 + 2c_2^2 - 4c_4) - \frac{1}{2}c_1^2c_2(\mathcal{S}^\pm) + c_2c_2(\mathcal{S}^\pm).\end{aligned}$$

Since  $c_1(\mathcal{E}) = dh$ , the formula above becomes the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned}(3.6) \quad \chi(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\nu} \otimes \mathcal{E}(t)) &= \frac{r}{6}t(t+1)(t+2)(t+3) + \frac{2}{3}dt^3 + (d^2 + 3d - c_2h^2)t^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3}\{2d^3 + 9d^2 + 11d - 3(d+3)c_2h^2 + 3c_3h\}t \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6}d(d+1)(d+2)(d+3) - \frac{1}{6}(2d^2 + 9d + 14)c_2h^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6}(2d+9)c_3h + \frac{1}{6}(c_2^2 - 2c_4) + c_2c_2(\mathcal{S}^\pm).\end{aligned}$$

In this paper, we are dealing with the case  $d = 2$ :

$$\begin{aligned}(3.7) \quad \chi(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\nu} \otimes \mathcal{E}(t)) &= \frac{r}{6}t(t+1)(t+2)(t+3) + \frac{2}{3}(2t+5)(t+2)(t+3) \\ &\quad - \left(t^2 + 5t + \frac{20}{3}\right)c_2h^2 + \left(t + \frac{13}{6}\right)c_3h \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6}(c_2^2 - 2c_4) + c_2c_2(\mathcal{S}^\pm).\end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have the following:

$$(3.8) \quad \chi(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\nu} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = 4 - \frac{8}{3}c_2h^2 + \frac{7}{6}c_3h + \frac{1}{6}(c_2^2 - 2c_4) + c_2c_2(\mathcal{S}^\pm).$$

#### 4. SET-UP FOR THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a nef vector bundle of rank  $r$  on  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  of dimension  $n \geq 4$  with  $c_1 = 2h$ . If  $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-2)) \neq 0$ , then  $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-1}$  by [Ohn22, Proposition 5.1 and Remark 5.3]. This is Case (1) of Theorem 1.1. Thus we will always assume that

$$(4.1) \quad h^0(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$$

in the following. It follows from [Ohn22, Lemma 4.1 (1)] that

$$(4.2) \quad h^q(\mathcal{E}(t)) = 0 \text{ for } q > 0 \text{ and } t \geq -1.$$

Furthermore, from (4.1) and [Ohn22, Lemma 4.1 (1)], it follows that

$$(4.3) \quad h^q(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0 \text{ for any } q, \text{ if } n \geq 5.$$

Denote by  $(\mathcal{S}^{(\pm)}, \mathcal{S}^{(\mp)})$  a pair  $(\mathcal{S}^+, \mathcal{S}^-)$  or  $(\mathcal{S}^-, \mathcal{S}^+)$  of spinor bundles if  $n$  is even, and a pair  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S})$  of the spinor bundle if  $n$  is odd. Ottaviani shows in [Ott88, Theorem 2.8] that

we have an exact sequence

$$(4.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus\alpha} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{(\mp)\vee}(1) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\alpha = 2^{\lceil n/2 \rceil}$ . Hence we have the following exact sequences:

$$(4.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(-2)^{\oplus\alpha} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{(\mp)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1) \rightarrow 0;$$

$$(4.6) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(-1)^{\oplus\alpha} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{(\mp)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0.$$

The exact sequence (4.5) together with (4.3) implies that

$$(4.7) \quad h^q(\mathcal{S}^{(\mp)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) \text{ for any } q, \text{ if } n \geq 5.$$

The exact sequence (4.6) together with (4.2) implies that

$$(4.8) \quad h^q(\mathcal{S}^{(\mp)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) \text{ for any } q, \text{ if } h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0.$$

In order to compute  $\text{Ext}^q(\mathcal{S}^{(\mp)}, \mathcal{E}(-1))$ , we will use the following exact sequence together with (4.5) or (4.7):

$$(4.9) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Similarly, in order to compute  $\text{Ext}^q(\mathcal{S}^{(\mp)}, \mathcal{E})$ , we will use the following exact sequence together with (4.6) or (4.8):

$$(4.10) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E} \rightarrow (\mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E})|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Finally, recall that  $\mathcal{S}^{\pm}|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}} \cong \mathcal{S}$  if  $n$  is even and that  $\mathcal{S}|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}} \cong \mathcal{S}^+ \oplus \mathcal{S}^-$  if  $n$  is odd (see, e.g., [Ohn22, Theorem 8.1 (1) and (3)]).

**4.1. The case  $n = 4$ .** It follows from [Ohn22, Lemma 4.1 (2)] that, if

$$H(\mathcal{E})^{r+3} = c_2^2 - c_4 + 2c_1c_3 - 3c_1^2c_2 + c_1^4 = c_2^2 - c_4 + 4c_3h - 12c_2h^2 + 32 > 0,$$

then

$$h^q(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0 \text{ for } q > 0.$$

Let  $\Delta_\lambda(\mathcal{E})$  be the Schur polynomial of  $\mathcal{E}$  (see, e.g., [Ful98, Example 12.1.7]). Note here that

$$c_4 = \Delta_{(4,0,0,0)}(\mathcal{E}) \geq 0; \quad c_1c_3 - c_4 = \Delta_{(3,1,0,0)}(\mathcal{E}) \geq 0; \quad c_2^2 - c_1c_3 = \Delta_{(2,2,0,0)}(\mathcal{E}) \geq 0,$$

since  $\mathcal{E}$  is nef (see, e.g., [Laz04, Chapter 8]). Hence we have

$$(4.11) \quad c_2^2 \geq c_1c_3 \geq c_4 \geq 0.$$

Therefore

$$H(\mathcal{E})^{r+3} = c_2^2 - c_4 + 4c_3h - 12c_2h^2 + 32 \geq 4c_3h - 12c_2h^2 + 32.$$

Thus we see that

$$(4.12) \quad h^q(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0 \text{ for } q > 0 \text{ if } c_3h - 3c_2h^2 + 8 > 0.$$

Hence it follows from (4.5), (4.1), and (4.12) that

$$(4.13) \quad h^q(\mathcal{S}^{(\mp)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) \text{ for any } q, \text{ if } c_3h - 3c_2h^2 + 8 > 0.$$



## 5. THE CASE WHERE $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}$ BELONGS TO CASE (1) OF THEOREM 2.3

This case does not arise under the assumption (4.1). Indeed, if  $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^3} \cong \mathcal{O}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-1}$  and  $n = 4$ , we have  $c_2 h^2 = 0$  and  $c_3 h = 0$ . Hence  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$  for any  $q$  by (4.12) and (4.1), and we obtain  $\chi(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$ . From (3.5), it follows that

$$(5.1) \quad \frac{1}{12}(c_2^2 - 2c_4) = -1.$$

We have  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^{\mp\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2))$  for any  $q$  by (4.13). In particular,  $h^0(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3} \cong \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{S}(-2)^{\oplus r-1}$ , we have  $h^0(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 4$  and  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 0$  for  $q > 0$ . The exact sequence (4.9) then implies that  $h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$  for  $q \geq 1$ . Hence we have  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^{\mp\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$  for  $q \geq 1$ . Consequently we see that  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^{\mp\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$  for  $q \geq 1$ . Set  $a = h^0(\mathcal{S}^+ \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$ . Then  $4 - a = h^1(\mathcal{S}^{+\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) = h^0(\mathcal{S}^{-\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$ . Therefore it follows from (3.8) and (5.1) that

$$a = \chi(\mathcal{S}^+ \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = 2 + c_2 c_2(\mathcal{S}^+)$$

and that

$$4 - a = \chi(\mathcal{S}^- \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = 2 + c_2 c_2(\mathcal{S}^-).$$

Since  $c_2(\mathcal{S}^\pm) \cap [\mathbb{Q}^4]$  is represented by a 2-plane  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , the nefness of  $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{P}^2}$  implies that  $c_2 c_2(\mathcal{S}^\pm) \geq 0$  by [Laz04, Theorem 8.2.1]. Hence we conclude that  $a = 2$  and  $c_2 c_2(\mathcal{S}^\pm) = 0$ . Set  $\sigma = c_2(\mathcal{S}^+) \cap [\mathbb{Q}^4]$  and  $\tau = c_2(\mathcal{S}^-) \cap [\mathbb{Q}^4]$ . Then  $A^2 \mathbb{Q}^4$  is generated by  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ . Since  $c_2 \sigma = 0$  and  $c_2 \tau = 0$ , it follows from  $c_2 \in A^2 \mathbb{Q}^4$  that  $c_2^2 = 0$ . Hence we have  $c_4 = 0$  by (4.11). This however contradicts (5.1). Therefore this case does not arise under the assumption (4.1).

## 6. THE CASE WHERE $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}$ BELONGS TO CASE (2) OF THEOREM 2.3

**6.1. The case  $n = 4$ .** Suppose that  $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^3} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-2}$ . Then  $c_2 h^2 = 2$  and  $c_3 h = 0$ . Hence  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$  for any  $q$  by (4.12) and (4.1). Since  $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 2$  and  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 0$  for  $q > 0$ , this implies that  $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 2$  and that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$  for  $q > 0$ . Since  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-2)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 0$  for any  $q$ , we infer that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-3)) = 0$  for any  $q$ . Since  $h^3(\mathcal{E}(-3)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = r - 2$  and  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-3)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 0$  unless  $q = 3$ , we infer that  $h^4(\mathcal{E}(-4)) = r - 2$  and that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-4)) = 0$  unless  $q = 4$ .

We have  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^{\mp\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2))$  for any  $q$  by (4.13). Since  $(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))|_{\mathbb{Q}^3} \cong \mathcal{S}(-1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{S}(-2)^{\oplus r-2}$ , we see that  $h^q((\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 0$  for any  $q$ . The exact sequence (4.9) then implies that  $h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$  for any  $q$ . Hence  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^{\mp\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$  for any  $q$ . Thus  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^{\mp\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$  for any  $q$ .

We apply to  $\mathcal{E}(-1)$  the Bondal spectral sequence (2.1). We see that  $\text{Hom}(G, \mathcal{E}(-1)) = S_0^{\oplus 2}$ , that  $\text{Ext}^q(G, \mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$  for  $1 \leq q \leq 3$ , and that  $\text{Ext}^4(G, \mathcal{E}(-1)) = S_5^{\oplus r-2}$ . Hence  $E_2^{p,q} = 0$  unless  $q = 0$  or  $q = 4$ ,  $E_2^{p,0} = 0$  unless  $p = 0$ ,  $E_2^{0,0} = \mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2}$ ,  $E_2^{p,4} = 0$  unless  $p = -4$ , and  $E_2^{-4,4} = \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus r-2}$  by Lemma 2.2 (2). Therefore  $\mathcal{E}(-1)$  fits in an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus r-2} \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence  $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-2}$ . This is Case (2) of Theorem 1.1.

6.2. **The case  $n \geq 5$ .** Suppose that  $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-2}$ . We will show that  $\mathcal{E}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-2}$  by applying to  $\mathcal{E}(-1)$  the Bondal spectral sequence (2.1).

First,  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$  for any  $q$  by (4.3). Hence we see that  $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 2$ . We have  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$  for  $q > 0$  by (4.2). Note that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-t)|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}}) = 0$  for any  $q$  and any  $t$  ( $2 \leq t \leq n-2$ ). Since  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$  for any  $q$ , this implies that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-t)) = 0$  for any  $q$  and any  $t$  ( $2 \leq t \leq n-1$ ). Since  $h^{n-1}(\mathcal{E}(-n+1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}}) = r-2$  and  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-n+1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}}) = 0$  unless  $q = n-1$ , we infer that  $h^n(\mathcal{E}(-n)) = r-2$  and that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-n)) = 0$  unless  $q = n$ .

Note that  $h^q((\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}}) = 0$  for any  $q$ . Therefore the exact sequence (4.9) implies that  $h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$  for any  $q$ . This implies that  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^{\mp\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$  for any  $q$  by (4.7). Hence  $\text{Ext}^q(\mathcal{S}^{\mp\vee}, \mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$  for any  $q$ .

Now Lemma 2.2 shows that  $E_2^{p,q} = 0$  unless  $(p, q) = (0, 0)$  or  $(-n, n)$ , that  $E_2^{0,0} = \mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2}$ , and that  $E_2^{-n,n} = \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus r-2}$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{E}(-1)$  fits in an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus r-2} \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence  $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-2}$ . This is Case (2) of Theorem 1.1.

## 7. THE CASE WHERE $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^2}$ BELONGS TO CASE (3) OF THEOREM 2.3

7.1. **The case  $n = 4$ .** Suppose that  $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^3} \cong \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-3}$ . Then  $c_2 h^2 = 3$  and  $c_3 h = 1$ . We will show that  $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\pm} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-3}$  by applying to  $\mathcal{E}(-1)$  the Bondal spectral sequence (2.1).

We have  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$  for  $q > 0$  by (4.2). Note that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 0$  for  $q > 0$  and that  $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 1$ . Hence  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$  for  $q \geq 2$ . The assumption (4.1) together with (3.5) and (4.11) shows that

$$-h^1(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = \chi(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = -\frac{5}{12} + \frac{1}{12}(c_2^2 - 2c_4) \geq -\frac{5+c_4}{12}.$$

Note here that  $c_1 c_3 = 2$  since  $c_3 h = 1$ . Hence  $c_4 \leq 2$  by (4.11). Therefore  $h^1(\mathcal{E}(-2)) \leq 7/12$ , and thus  $h^1(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$ . Since  $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 1$ , this implies that  $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 1$ . Now that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$  for any  $q$ , we have  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-3)) = h^{q-1}(\mathcal{E}(-2)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 0$  for any  $q$ . Hence  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-4)) = h^{q-1}(\mathcal{E}(-3)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3})$  for any  $q$ . Note that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-3)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 0$  for  $q < 3$  and that  $h^3(\mathcal{E}(-3)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = r-3$ . Thus  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-4)) = 0$  for  $q < 4$  and  $h^4(\mathcal{E}(-4)) = r-3$ .

Since  $\mathcal{S}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3} \cong \mathcal{S}^{\vee} \oplus (\mathcal{S}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{S}(-1)) \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\vee}(-1)^{\oplus r-3}$ , it follows from [Ohn22, Lemma 8.2 (1)] that  $h^1(\mathcal{S}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 1$  and that  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 0$  unless  $q = 1$ . Since  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$  for any  $q$ , the exact sequence (4.5) implies that  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^{\mp\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2))$  for any  $q$ . In particular, we have  $h^4(\mathcal{S}^{\mp\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$  and  $h^0(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$ . Since we have the exact sequence (4.9) and  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 0$  unless  $q = 1$ , we infer that  $h^0(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) = h^0(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$  and that  $h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$  for  $q \geq 2$ . Hence we have  $h^0(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$  and  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^{\mp\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$  for  $q \geq 2$ . Consequently we see that  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^{\mp\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$  for  $q \geq 2$ . Note also that  $h^1(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$  and that  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$  for  $q \geq 3$ . Set  $a = h^1(\mathcal{S}^{\pm} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$ . Since  $h^1(\mathcal{S}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 1$ , we see that  $a = 0$  or  $1$  and that  $1-a = h^2(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) = h^1(\mathcal{S}^{\mp\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$ . Therefore  $(h^1(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)), h^1(\mathcal{S}^{\mp\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)))$  is either  $(0, 1)$  or  $(1, 0)$ .

We see that  $\text{Ext}^4(G, \mathcal{E}(-1)) = S_5^{\oplus r-3}$ , that  $\text{Ext}^q(G, \mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$  for  $q = 3, 2$ , and that  $\text{Hom}(G, \mathcal{E}(-1)) = S_0$ . Lemma 2.2 then shows that  $E_2^{p,4} = 0$  unless  $p = -4$ , that

$E_2^{-4,4} = \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus r-3}$ , that  $E_2^{p,q} = 0$  for any  $p$  if  $q = 3, 2$ , that  $E_2^{p,0} = 0$  unless  $p = 0$ , and that  $E_2^{0,0} = \mathcal{O}$ .

If  $(h^1(\mathcal{S}^{+\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)), h^1(\mathcal{S}^{-\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))) = (0, 1)$ . then  $\text{Ext}^1(G, \mathcal{E}(-1)) = S_2$ , and Lemma 2.2 shows that that  $E_2^{p,1} = 0$  unless  $p = -1$ , and that  $E_2^{-1,1} = \mathcal{S}^+(-1)$ .

If  $(h^1(\mathcal{S}^{+\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)), h^1(\mathcal{S}^{-\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))) = (1, 0)$ . then  $\text{Ext}^1(G, \mathcal{E}(-1)) = S_1$ , and Lemma 2.2 shows that that  $E_2^{p,1} = 0$  unless  $p = -1$ , and that  $E_2^{-1,1} = \mathcal{S}^-(-1)$ .

Hence  $\mathcal{E}(-1)$  has a filtration  $\mathcal{O} \subset F^1(\mathcal{E}(-1)) \subset \mathcal{E}(-1)$  such that  $F^1(\mathcal{E}(-1))$  fits in the following exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow F^1(\mathcal{E}(-1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^\pm(-1) \rightarrow 0; \\ 0 &\rightarrow F^1(\mathcal{E}(-1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus r-3} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $F^1(\mathcal{E}(-1)) \cong \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{S}^\pm(-1)$ , and thus  $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{S}^\pm \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-3}$ . This is Case (3) of Theorem 1.1.

**7.2. The case  $n \geq 5$ .** We will show that this case does not arise. Suppose, to the contrary, that there exists a nef vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $\mathbb{Q}^5$  such that  $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^4} \cong \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{S}^\pm \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-3}$ . We have  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2))$  for any  $q$  by (4.7). In particular, we have  $h^0(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$ . It follows from [Ohn22, Lemma 8.2 (2)] that  $h^q((\mathcal{S}^{+\vee} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{-\vee}) \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^4}) = 0$  unless  $q = 1$  and that  $h^1((\mathcal{S}^{+\vee} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{-\vee}) \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^4}) = 1$ . Hence the exact sequence (4.9) implies that  $h^0(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) = h^0(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$  and that  $h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$  for  $q \geq 2$ . Hence we have  $h^0(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$  and  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$  for  $q \geq 2$ . Note also that  $h^1(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$ . Hence we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) \rightarrow H^1((\mathcal{S}^{+\vee} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{-\vee}) \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^4}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) \rightarrow 0.$$

This is, however, a contradiction, because  $h^1(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = h^2(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2))$  and  $h^1((\mathcal{S}^{+\vee} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{-\vee}) \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^4}) = 1$ . Therefore the case  $n \geq 5$  does not arise.

## 8. THE CASE WHERE $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}$ BELONGS TO CASE (4) OF THEOREM 2.3

**8.1. The case  $n \geq 4$ .** Suppose that  $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}}$  fits in an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Then  $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}}) = 1$  and  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}}) = 0$  for  $q > 0$ .

We claim here that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$  for any  $q$ . If  $n \geq 5$ , this follows from (4.3). Suppose that  $n = 4$ . Then  $c_2 h^2 = 4$  and  $c_3 h = 4$ . Note that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$  for  $q > 0$  by (4.2). Hence  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$  for  $q \geq 2$ . The assumption (4.1) together with (3.5) and (4.11) shows that

$$-h^1(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = \chi(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = \frac{1}{12}(c_2^2 - 2c_4) \geq -\frac{c_4}{12}.$$

Since  $c_3 h = 4$ , we have  $c_1 c_3 = 8$ . Hence  $c_4 \leq 8$  by (4.11). Therefore  $h^1(\mathcal{E}(-2)) \leq 2/3$ , and thus  $h^1(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$ . Hence the claim holds.

Since  $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}}) = 1$ , the claim above implies that  $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 1$ . Hence we have an injection  $\mathcal{O}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be its cokernel. Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is torsion-free by (4.1), and we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}}$  is injective,  $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}}$  fits in the following exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}} \rightarrow 0; \\ 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

We will apply to  $\mathcal{F}$  the Bondal spectral sequence (2.1). We have  $h^q(\mathcal{F}) = 0$  for  $q > 0$ , since  $h^q(\mathcal{E}) = 0$  for  $q > 0$  by (4.2). Moreover  $h^q(\mathcal{F}(-1)) = 0$  for any  $q$ , since  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$  for  $q > 0$  by (4.2). Thus  $h^0(\mathcal{F}) = h^0(\mathcal{F}|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}}) = r$ . Note that  $h^q(\mathcal{F}(-k)|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}}) = 0$  for any  $q$  and any  $k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n-3$ ), that  $h^{n-2}(\mathcal{F}(-n+2)|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}}) = 1$ , and that  $h^q(\mathcal{F}(-n+2)) = 0$  unless  $q = n-2$ . Hence we see that  $h^q(\mathcal{F}(-k)) = 0$  for any  $q$  and any  $k$  ( $2 \leq k \leq n-2$ ), that  $h^{n-1}(\mathcal{F}(-n+1)) = 1$ , and that  $h^q(\mathcal{F}(-n+1)) = 0$  unless  $q = n-1$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is torsion-free, it follows from (4.4) that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{F}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(-1)^{\oplus \alpha} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{(\mp)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\alpha = 2^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ . Hence  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^{(\mp)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{F}) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{F}(-1))$  for any  $q$ . Note that  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{F}|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}}) = 0$  for any  $q$ . Since we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{F}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{F} \rightarrow (\mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{F})|_{\mathbb{Q}^3} \rightarrow 0,$$

we infer that  $h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{F}(-1)) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{F})$  for any  $q$ . Hence we see that  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{F}) = 0$  for any  $q$ . Therefore  $\text{Ext}^q(G, \mathcal{F}) = 0$  unless  $q = n-1$  or  $0$ ,  $\text{Ext}^{n-1}(G, \mathcal{F}) = S_n$  if  $n$  is odd,  $\text{Ext}^{n-1}(G, \mathcal{F}) = S_{n+1}$  if  $n$  is even, and  $\text{Hom}(G, \mathcal{F}) = S_0^{\oplus r}$ . Hence  $E_2^{p,q} = 0$  unless  $(p,q) = (-n, n-1)$  or  $(0,0)$ ,  $E_2^{-n, n-1} = \mathcal{O}(-1)$ , and  $E_2^{0,0} = \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r}$  by Lemma 2.2. Thus we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore  $\mathcal{E}$  belongs to Case (4) of Theorem 1.1.

## 9. THE CASE WHERE $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}$ BELONGS TO CASE (5) OF THEOREM 2.3

9.1. **The case  $n = 4$ .** Suppose that  $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}$  fits in the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus a} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-4+a} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^3} \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $a = 0$  or  $1$ , and the composite of the injection  $\mathcal{O}^{\oplus a} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-4+a}$  and the projection  $\mathcal{S}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-4+a} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-4+a}$  is zero. We will apply to  $\mathcal{E}(-1)$  the Bondal spectral sequence (2.1). First note that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 0$  for any  $q$  and that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$  for  $q > 0$  by (4.2). Hence we see that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$  for any  $q$  by (4.1). Thus we infer that  $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$ . Since  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-2)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 0$  for any  $q$ , we infer that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-3)) = 0$  for any  $q$ . Note here that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-3)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 0$  unless  $q = 2$  or  $3$ , and that  $h^2(\mathcal{E}(-3)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) \leq a \leq 1$ . Set  $b = h^2(\mathcal{E}(-3)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3})$ . Then we see that  $h^3(\mathcal{E}(-3)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = r - 4 + b$ . Moreover  $h^3(\mathcal{E}(-3)) = b$  and  $h^4(\mathcal{E}(-4)) = r - 4 + b$ .

The exact sequence above induces the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^\vee(-1)^{\oplus a} \rightarrow (\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{S}(-1))^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{S}^\vee(-1)^{\oplus r-4+a} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3} \rightarrow 0.$$

By [Ohn22, Lemma 8.2 (1)], we see that  $h^1(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 2$  and  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 0$  unless  $q = 1$ . Since  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$  for any  $q$ , the exact sequence (4.5) implies that  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^{\mp\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2))$  for any  $q$ . Since  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 0$  unless  $q = 1$ , the exact sequence (4.9) implies that  $h^0(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) = h^0(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$  and that  $h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$  for  $q \geq 2$ . Hence we have  $h^0(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$  and  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^{\mp\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$  for  $q \geq 2$ . Note also that  $h^1(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$ . Set  $c = h^1(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$ . Since  $h^1(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 2$ , we see that  $0 \leq c \leq 2$  and that  $2 - c = h^2(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes$

$\mathcal{E}(-2)) = h^1(\mathcal{S}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$ . Therefore  $(h^1(\mathcal{S}^{+\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)), h^1(\mathcal{S}^{-\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))) = (0, 2)$ ,  $(1, 1)$ , or  $(2, 0)$ . We may assume that  $(h^1(\mathcal{S}^{+\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)), h^1(\mathcal{S}^{-\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))) = (c, 2 - c)$ .

Now we have the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}^4(G, \mathcal{E}(-1)) &= S_5^{\oplus r-4+b}; & \text{Ext}^3(G, \mathcal{E}(-1)) &= S_5^{\oplus b}; \\ \text{Ext}^1(G, \mathcal{E}(-1)) &= S_1^{\oplus c} \oplus S_2^{\oplus 2-c}; & \text{Ext}^q(G, \mathcal{E}(-1)) &= 0 \text{ for } q = 2, 0. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2 then shows that  $E_2^{-4,4} = \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus r-4+b}$ , that  $E_2^{-4,3} = \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus b}$ , that  $E_2^{-1,1} = \mathcal{S}^+(-1)^{\oplus 2-c} \oplus \mathcal{S}^-(-1)^{\oplus c}$ , and that  $E_2^{p,q} = 0$  unless  $(p, q) = (-4, 4)$ ,  $(-4, 3)$ , or  $(-1, 1)$ . Hence we infer that  $\mathcal{E}(-1)$  fits in the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus b} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^+(-1)^{\oplus 2-c} \oplus \mathcal{S}^-(-1)^{\oplus c} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus r-4+b} \rightarrow 0.$$

This yields Case (5) of Theorem 1.1. More precisely, if  $b = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{E}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{S}^{+\oplus 2-c} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{-\oplus c} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-4}$ . If  $b = 1$ , note that, for non-zero elements  $s_1, s_2$  of  $H^0(\mathcal{S}^{\pm})$ , the intersection  $(s_1)_0 \cap (s_2)_0$  can be empty if and only if  $(s_1, s_2)$  or  $(s_2, s_1) \in H^0(\mathcal{S}^+) \times H^0(\mathcal{S}^-)$ . Since  $\mathcal{E}$  is a vector bundle, this implies that if  $b = 1$  then  $c$  must be equal to 1.

**9.2. The case  $n = 5$ .** Suppose that  $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^4}$  fits in the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\pm} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{\pm} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-3} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^4} \rightarrow 0.$$

We will apply to  $\mathcal{E}(-1)$  the Bondal spectral sequence (2.1). First note that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^4}) = 0$  for any  $q$  and that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$  for any  $q$  by (4.3). Hence we see that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$  for any  $q$ . Since  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-2)|_{\mathbb{Q}^4}) = 0$  for any  $q$ , we infer that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-3)) = 0$  for any  $q$ . Furthermore  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-4)) = 0$  for any  $q$ , since  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-3)|_{\mathbb{Q}^4}) = 0$  for any  $q$ . Note here that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-4)|_{\mathbb{Q}^4}) = 0$  unless  $q = 3$  or  $4$ , and that  $h^3(\mathcal{E}(-4)|_{\mathbb{Q}^4}) \leq 1$ . Set  $a = h^3(\mathcal{E}(-4)|_{\mathbb{Q}^4})$ . Then we see that  $h^4(\mathcal{E}(-4)|_{\mathbb{Q}^4}) = r - 4 + a$ . Moreover  $h^4(\mathcal{E}(-5)) = a$  and  $h^5(\mathcal{E}(-5)) = r - 4 + a$ .

The exact sequence above induces the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\vee}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^4} \rightarrow (\mathcal{S}^{+\vee} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{-\vee}) \otimes (\mathcal{S}^{\pm} \otimes \mathcal{S}^{\pm} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-3})(-1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{S}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))|_{\mathbb{Q}^4} \rightarrow 0.$$

By [Ohn22, Lemma 8.2 (2)], we see that  $h^1((\mathcal{S}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))|_{\mathbb{Q}^4}) = 2$  and that  $h^q((\mathcal{S}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))|_{\mathbb{Q}^4}) = 0$  unless  $q = 1$ . By (4.7), we have  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2))$  for any  $q$ . Since  $h^q((\mathcal{S}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))|_{\mathbb{Q}^4}) = 0$  unless  $q = 1$ , the exact sequence (4.9) implies that  $h^0(\mathcal{S}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) = h^0(\mathcal{S}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$  and that  $h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$  for  $q \geq 2$ . Hence we have  $h^0(\mathcal{S}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$  and  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$  for  $q \geq 2$ . Note also that  $h^1(\mathcal{S}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$ . Since  $h^1((\mathcal{S}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))|_{\mathbb{Q}^4}) = 2$  and  $h^1(\mathcal{S}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = h^2(\mathcal{S}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2))$ , we see that  $h^1(\mathcal{S}^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = 1$ .

Now we have the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}^5(G, \mathcal{E}(-1)) &= S_5^{\oplus r-4+a}; & \text{Ext}^4(G, \mathcal{E}(-1)) &= S_5^{\oplus a}; \\ \text{Ext}^1(G, \mathcal{E}(-1)) &= S_1; & \text{Ext}^q(G, \mathcal{E}(-1)) &= 0 \text{ for } q = 3, 2, 0. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2 then shows that  $E_2^{-5,5} = \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus r-4+a}$ , that  $E_2^{-5,4} = \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus a}$ , that  $E_2^{-1,1} = \mathcal{S}(-1)$ , and that  $E_2^{p,q} = 0$  unless  $(p, q) = (-5, 5)$ ,  $(-5, 4)$ , or  $(-1, 1)$ . Hence we infer that  $\mathcal{E}(-1)$  fits in the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus a} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus r-4+a} \rightarrow 0.$$

This yields Case (6) of Theorem 1.1. Note that  $c_4(\mathcal{S}) = 0$  since  $c_4(\mathcal{S})h = 0$ .

9.3. **The case  $n = 6$ .** Suppose that  $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^5}$  fits in the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-3} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^5} \rightarrow 0.$$

We will apply to  $\mathcal{E}(-1)$  the Bondal spectral sequence (2.1). First note that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-k)|_{\mathbb{Q}^5}) = 0$  for any  $q$  if  $1 \leq k \leq 4$  and that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-k)) = 0$  for any  $q$  if  $1 \leq k \leq 2$  by (4.1), (4.2), and (4.3). Hence we also see that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-k)) = 0$  for any  $q$  if  $3 \leq k \leq 5$ . Note here that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-5)|_{\mathbb{Q}^5}) = 0$  unless  $q = 4$  or  $5$ , and that  $h^4(\mathcal{E}(-5)|_{\mathbb{Q}^5}) \leq 1$ . Set  $a = h^4(\mathcal{E}(-5)|_{\mathbb{Q}^5})$ . Then we see that  $h^5(\mathcal{E}(-5)|_{\mathbb{Q}^5}) = r - 4 + a$ . Moreover  $h^5(\mathcal{E}(-6)) = a$  and  $h^6(\mathcal{E}(-6)) = r - 4 + a$ .

The exact sequence above induces the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^\vee(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{S}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{S}^\vee(-1)^{\oplus r-3} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^5} \rightarrow 0.$$

By [Ohn22, Lemma 8.2 (1)], we see that  $h^1((\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))|_{\mathbb{Q}^5}) = 1$  and that  $h^q((\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))|_{\mathbb{Q}^4}) = 0$  unless  $q = 1$ . By (4.7), we have  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^{\mp\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2))$  for all  $q$ . Since  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^5}) = 0$  unless  $q = 1$ , the exact sequence (4.9) implies that  $h^0(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) = h^0(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$  and that  $h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$  for  $q \geq 2$ . Hence we have  $h^0(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$  and  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^{\mp\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$  for  $q \geq 2$ . Note also that  $h^1(\mathcal{S}^{\mp\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$ . Set  $b = h^1(\mathcal{S}^{+\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$ . Since  $h^1(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^5}) = 1$ , we see that  $b = 0$  or  $1$  and that  $1 - b = h^2(\mathcal{S}^{+\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) = h^1(\mathcal{S}^{-\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$ . Hence we see that  $(h^1(\mathcal{S}^{+\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)), h^1(\mathcal{S}^{-\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))) = (0, 1)$ , or  $(1, 0)$ .

Now we have the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}^6(G, \mathcal{E}(-1)) &= S_7^{\oplus r-4+a}; & \text{Ext}^5(G, \mathcal{E}(-1)) &= S_7^{\oplus a}; \\ \text{Ext}^1(G, \mathcal{E}(-1)) &= S_2 \text{ if } b = 0; & \text{Ext}^1(G, \mathcal{E}(-1)) &= S_1 \text{ if } b = 1; \\ \text{Ext}^q(G, \mathcal{E}(-1)) &= 0 \text{ unless } q = 6, 5, 1. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2 then shows that  $E_2^{-6,6} = \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus r-4+a}$ , that  $E_2^{-6,5} = \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus a}$ , that  $E_2^{-1,1} = \mathcal{S}^\pm(-1)$ , and that  $E_2^{p,q} = 0$  unless  $(p, q) = (-6, 6)$ ,  $(-6, 5)$ , or  $(-1, 1)$ . Hence we infer that  $\mathcal{E}(-1)$  fits in the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus a} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^\pm(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus r-4+a} \rightarrow 0.$$

This yields Case (7) of Theorem 1.1. Note that  $c_4(\mathcal{S}^\pm) = 0$  since  $c_4(\mathcal{S}^\pm)h^2 = 0$ .

9.4. **The case  $n \geq 7$ .** We will show that this case does not arise. Suppose, to the contrary, that there exists a nef vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $\mathbb{Q}^7$  such that  $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^6}$  fits in the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^\pm \oplus \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r-3} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^6} \rightarrow 0.$$

We have  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2))$  for any  $q$  by (4.7). By [Ohn22, Lemma 8.2 (2)], we see that  $h^1((\mathcal{S}^{+\vee} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{-\vee}) \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^4}) = 1$  and that  $h^q((\mathcal{S}^{+\vee} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{-\vee}) \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 0$  unless  $q = 1$ . Hence the exact sequence (4.9) implies that  $h^0(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) = h^0(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$  and that  $h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$  for  $q \geq 2$ . Hence we have  $h^0(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$  and  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$  for  $q \geq 2$ . Note also that  $h^1(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$ . Hence we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) \rightarrow H^1((\mathcal{S}^{+\vee} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{-\vee}) \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^6}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2)) \rightarrow 0.$$

This is, however, a contradiction, because  $h^1(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = h^2(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-2))$  and  $h^1((\mathcal{S}^{+\vee} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{-\vee}) \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^4}) = 1$ . Therefore the case  $n \geq 7$  does not arise.

## 10. THE CASE WHERE $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}$ BELONGS TO CASE (6) OF THEOREM 2.3

10.1. **The case  $n = 4$ .** Suppose that  $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}$  fits in the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r+3} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^3} \rightarrow 0.$$

We will apply to  $\mathcal{E}$  the Bondal spectral sequence (2.1). First note that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 0$  for all  $q$ . Hence we have  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = h^q(\mathcal{E}(-1))$  for any  $q$ . Since we have (4.1) and (4.2), this implies that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-k)) = 0$  for  $k = 1, 2$  and any  $q$ . Thus we see that  $h^0(\mathcal{E}) = h^0(\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = r + 3$ . Note here that  $h^2(\mathcal{E}(-2)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 1$  and that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-2)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 0$  unless  $q = 2$ . Hence we see that  $h^3(\mathcal{E}(-3)) = 1$  and that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-3)) = 0$  unless  $q = 3$ .

The exact sequence above induces the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{S}(-1)) \oplus \mathcal{S}^\vee(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\vee \oplus r+3} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^3} \rightarrow 0.$$

By [Ohn22, Lemma 8.2 (1)], we see that  $h^0(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 1$  and  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 0$  unless  $q = 1$ . We have  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^{\mp \vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{\pm \vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$  for any  $q$  by (4.8). In particular, we have  $h^0(\mathcal{S}^{\pm \vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$ . Since  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 0$  unless  $q = 0$ , the exact sequence (4.10) implies that  $h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{\pm \vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{\pm \vee} \otimes \mathcal{E})$  for  $q \geq 1$ . Hence we have  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^{\mp \vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}) = 0$  for  $q \geq 1$ . Set  $a = h^0(\mathcal{S}^{\pm \vee} \otimes \mathcal{E})$ . Since  $h^0(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 1$ , we see that  $a = 0$  or  $1$  and that  $1 - a = h^1(\mathcal{S}^{\pm \vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = h^0(\mathcal{S}^{-\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E})$ . Therefore  $(h^0(\mathcal{S}^{\pm \vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}), h^0(\mathcal{S}^{-\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E})) = (0, 1)$ , or  $(1, 0)$ .

Now we see that  $\text{Ext}^3(G, \mathcal{E}) = S_5$ , that  $\text{Ext}^q(G, \mathcal{E}) = 0$  for  $q = 2, 1$ , and that  $\text{Hom}(G, \mathcal{E})$  fits in the following exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow S_0^{\oplus r+3} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow S_2 \rightarrow 0 & \quad \text{if } a = 0; \\ 0 \rightarrow S_0^{\oplus r+3} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow S_1 \rightarrow 0 & \quad \text{if } a = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2 then shows that  $E_2^{-4,3} = \mathcal{O}(-1)$ , that  $E_2^{p,q} = 0$  unless  $(p, q) = (-4, 3)$ , or  $(0, 0)$ , and that  $E_2^{0,0}$  fits in the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^\pm(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r+3} \rightarrow E_2^{0,0} \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence we infer that  $\mathcal{E}$  fits in the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^\pm(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r+3} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0.$$

This is Case (8) of Theorem 1.1.

10.2. **The case  $n \geq 5$ .** We will show that this case does not arise. Suppose, to the contrary, that there exists a nef vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $\mathbb{Q}^5$  such that  $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^4}$  fits in the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^\pm(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r+3} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^4} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since we have  $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^4}) = 0$ , it follows from (4.1) that  $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$ . Hence we have  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$  for any  $q$  by (4.8). In particular,  $h^0(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$ . Note that  $h^q((\mathcal{S}^{\pm \vee} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{-\vee}) \otimes \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^4}) = 0$  unless  $q = 0$ , and that  $h^0((\mathcal{S}^{\pm \vee} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{-\vee}) \otimes \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^4}) = 1$  by [Ohn22, Lemma 8.2 (2)]. Hence the exact sequence (4.10) implies that  $h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E})$  for  $q \geq 1$ . Hence we have  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}) = 0$  for  $q \geq 1$ . Now we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow H^0((\mathcal{S}^{\pm \vee} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{-\vee}) \otimes \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^4}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) \rightarrow 0.$$

This is, however, a contradiction, because  $h^0(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}) = h^1(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$  and  $h^0((\mathcal{S}^{\pm \vee} \oplus \mathcal{S}^{-\vee}) \otimes \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^4}) = 1$ . Therefore the case  $n \geq 5$  does not arise.

## 11. THE CASE WHERE $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}$ BELONGS TO CASE (7) OF THEOREM 2.3

11.1. **The case  $n \geq 4$ .** Suppose that  $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}}$  fits in an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r+2} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Then we see that  $h^0(\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}}) = r+2$ , that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}}) = 0$  for  $q > 0$ , that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-k)|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}}) = 0$  for any  $q$  if  $1 \leq k \leq n-3$ , that  $h^{n-2}(\mathcal{E}(-n+2)|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}}) = 2$ , and that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-n+2)|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}}) = 0$  unless  $q = n-2$ .

We will apply to  $\mathcal{E}$  the Bondal spectral sequence (2.1). Since we have (4.1), we see that  $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$ . Hence  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$  for any  $q$  by (4.2). Then we see that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-k)) = 0$  for any  $q$  if  $1 \leq k \leq n-2$ , that  $h^0(\mathcal{E}) = r+2$ , that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}) = 0$  for  $q > 0$ , that  $h^{n-1}(\mathcal{E}(-n+1)) = 2$ , and that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-n+1)) = 0$  unless  $q = n-1$ .

We have  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^{(\mp)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$  for any  $q$  by (4.8). Note that  $h^q((\mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E})|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}}) = 0$  for any  $q$ . The exact sequence (4.10) then implies that  $h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E})$  for any  $q$ . Hence we see that  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}) = 0$  for any  $q$ .

Thus we see that  $\text{Ext}^q(G, \mathcal{E}) = 0$  unless  $q = n-1$  or  $0$ , that  $\text{Ext}^{n-1}(G, \mathcal{E}) = S_n^{\oplus 2}$  if  $n$  is odd, that  $\text{Ext}^{n-1}(G, \mathcal{E}) = S_{n+1}^{\oplus 2}$  if  $n$  is even, and that  $\text{Hom}(G, \mathcal{E}) = S_0^{\oplus r+2}$ . Hence  $E_2^{p,q} = 0$  unless  $(p,q) = (-n, n-1)$  or  $(0,0)$ ,  $E_2^{-n, n-1} = \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus 2}$ , and  $E_2^{0,0} = \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r}$  by Lemma 2.2. Therefore we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r+2} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0.$$

This is Case (9) of Theorem 1.1.

## 12. THE CASE WHERE $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}$ BELONGS TO CASE (8) OF THEOREM 2.3

12.1. **The case  $n \geq 4$ .** Suppose that  $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}}$  fits in an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Then we see that  $h^0(\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}}) = r+1$ , that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}}) = 0$  for  $q > 0$ , that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-k)|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}}) = 0$  for any  $q$  if  $1 \leq k \leq n-4$ , that  $h^{n-2}(\mathcal{E}(-n+3)|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}}) = 1$ , that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-n+3)|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}}) = 0$  unless  $q = n-2$ , that  $h^{n-2}(\mathcal{E}(-n+2)|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}}) = n+1$ , and that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-n+2)|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}}) = 0$  unless  $q = n-2$ .

We will apply to  $\mathcal{E}$  the Bondal spectral sequence (2.1). We have  $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$  by (4.1). Then it follows from (4.2) that  $h^0(\mathcal{E}) = r+1$ , that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}) = 0$  for  $q > 0$ , that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-k)) = 0$  for any  $q$  if  $1 \leq k \leq n-3$ , that  $h^{n-1}(\mathcal{E}(-n+2)) = 1$ , that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-n+2)) = 0$  unless  $q = n-1$ , that  $h^{n-1}(\mathcal{E}(-n+1)) = n+2$ , and that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-n+1)) = 0$  unless  $q = n-1$ .

We have  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^{(\mp)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$  for any  $q$  by (4.8). Note that  $h^q((\mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E})|_{\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}}) = 0$  for any  $q$ , since  $n-1 \geq 3$ . The exact sequence (4.10) then implies that  $h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E})$  for any  $q$ . Hence we see that  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^{(\pm)\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}) = 0$  for any  $q$ .

Thus  $\text{Ext}^q(G, \mathcal{E}) = 0$  unless  $q = n-1$  or  $0$ ,  $\text{Hom}(G, \mathcal{E}) = S_0^{\oplus r+1}$ , and  $\text{Ext}^{n-1}(G, \mathcal{E})$  fits in the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow S_{n-1} \rightarrow \text{Ext}^{n-1}(G, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow S_n^{\oplus n+2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{if } n \text{ is odd};$$

$$0 \rightarrow S_n \rightarrow \text{Ext}^{n-1}(G, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow S_{n+1}^{\oplus n+2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{if } n \text{ is even}.$$

Therefore Lemma 2.2 implies that  $E_2^{p,q} = 0$  unless  $(p,q) = (-n, n-1)$  ( $-n+1, n-1$ ) or  $(0,0)$ , that  $E_2^{0,0} \cong \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r+1}$ , and that  $E_2^{-n, n-1}$  and  $E_2^{-n+1, n-1}$  fit in the following exact



sequence:

$$(12.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow E_2^{-n,n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus n+2} \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^{n+1}}(-2)|_{\mathbb{Q}^n} \rightarrow E_2^{-n+1,n-1} \rightarrow 0.$$

The Bondal spectral sequence induces the following isomorphisms and exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{-n,n-1} &\cong E_n^{-n,n-1}; \\ E_2^{0,0} &\cong E_n^{0,0}; \\ 0 &\rightarrow E_n^{-n,n-1} \rightarrow E_n^{0,0} \rightarrow E_{n+1}^{0,0} \rightarrow 0; \\ 0 &\rightarrow E_{n+1}^{0,0} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow E_2^{-n+1,n-1} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note here that  $E_2^{-n+1,n-1}|_L$  cannot admit a negative degree quotient for any line  $L$  in  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  since  $\mathcal{E}$  is nef. We will show that  $E_2^{-n+1,n-1} = 0$ . First note that the exact sequence (12.1) induces the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow E_2^{-n,n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus n+2} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2) \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus n+2} \rightarrow E_2^{-n+1,n-1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Consider the composite of the inclusion  $\mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus n+2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus n+2} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2)$  and the morphism  $p$  above, and let  $\mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus a}$  be the cokernel of this composite. Then we have the following exact sequence:

$$\mathcal{O}(-2) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus a} \rightarrow E_2^{-n+1,n-1} \rightarrow 0.$$

We claim here that  $a = 0$ . Suppose, to the contrary, that  $a > 0$ . Since  $E_2^{-n+1,n-1}$  cannot be isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus a}$ , the morphism  $\pi$  above is not zero. Therefore the composite of  $\pi$  and some projection  $\mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus a} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)$  is not zero, whose quotient is of the form  $\mathcal{O}_H(-1)$  for some hyperplane  $H$  in  $\mathbb{Q}^n$ . Hence  $E_2^{-n+1,n-1}$  admits  $\mathcal{O}_H(-1)$  as a quotient. This is a contradiction. Thus  $a = 0$  and  $E_2^{-n+1,n-1} = 0$ . Moreover we see that  $E_2^{-n,n-1} \cong \mathcal{O}(-2)$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{E}$  fits in the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0.$$

This is Case (10) of Theorem 1.1.

### 13. THE CASE WHERE $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}$ BELONGS TO CASE (9) OF THEOREM 2.3

**13.1. The case  $n \geq 4$ .** We will show that this case does not arise. Suppose, to the contrary, that there exists a nef vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  on  $\mathbb{Q}^4$  such that  $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}$  fits in the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-2) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus 4} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r+3} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^3} \rightarrow 0.$$

We see that  $h^0(\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = r + 3$ , that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 0$  for  $q > 0$ , that  $h^1(\mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 1$ , and that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-1)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 0$  for  $q \neq 1$ . Note that  $\alpha^\vee(-1)$  is surjective and thus the image of  $H^0(\alpha^\vee(-1))$  has dimension at least four. Hence  $H^0(\alpha^\vee(-1))$  is injective, and its dual  $H^3(\alpha(-2)) : H^3(\mathcal{O}(-4)) \rightarrow H^3(\mathcal{O}(-3)^{\oplus 4})$  is surjective. Therefore we infer that  $h^1(\mathcal{E}(-2)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 1$  and that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-2)|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 0$  for  $q \neq 1$ .

We will apply to  $\mathcal{E}$  the Bondal spectral sequence (2.1). Since we have (4.1) and  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$  for  $q > 0$  by (4.2), we see that  $h^0(\mathcal{E}(-1)) = 0$ , that  $h^2(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = 1$ , and that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-2)) = 0$  unless  $q = 2$ . Hence  $h^0(\mathcal{E}) = r + 3$  and  $h^q(\mathcal{E}) = 0$  for  $q > 0$  by (4.2). Moreover we see that  $h^2(\mathcal{E}(-3)) = 2$  and that  $h^q(\mathcal{E}(-3)) = 0$  unless  $q = 2$ .

We have  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^{\mp\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1))$  for any  $q$  by (4.8). Note that  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{Q}^3}) = 0$  for any  $q$ . Hence  $h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}(-1)) = h^{q+1}(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E})$  for any  $q$  by (4.10), and thus  $h^q(\mathcal{S}^{\pm\vee} \otimes \mathcal{E}) = 0$  for any  $q$ .

Now we see that  $\text{Hom}(G, \mathcal{E}) \cong S_0^{\oplus r+3}$ , that  $\text{Ext}^q(G, \mathcal{E}) = 0$  unless  $q = 0$  or  $2$ , and that  $\text{Ext}^2(G, \mathcal{E})$  fits in the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow S_4 \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(G, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow S_5^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore Lemma 2.2 shows that  $E_2^{p,q} = 0$  unless  $(p, q) = (-3, 2)$  or  $(0, 0)$ , that  $E_2^{0,0} \cong \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r+3}$ , and that  $E_2^{-3,2}$  fits in the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^5}(-2)|_{\mathbb{Q}^4} \rightarrow E_2^{-3,2} \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence  $\mathcal{E}$  fits in the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^5}(-2)|_{\mathbb{Q}^4} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r+3} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $T_{\mathbb{P}^5}(-2)|_{\mathbb{Q}^4}$  fits in an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus 6} \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^5}(-2)|_{\mathbb{Q}^4} \rightarrow 0,$$

we infer that  $\mathcal{E}$  fits in the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus 6} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r+3} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0,$$

Hence  $\mathcal{E}$  fits in the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus 4} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus r+3} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0.$$

This contradicts that  $\mathcal{E}$  is a vector bundle on  $\mathbb{Q}^4$ . Hence this case does not arise.

## REFERENCES

- [AO91] Vincenzo Ancona and Giorgio Ottaviani. Some applications of beilinson’s theorem to projective spaces and quadrics. *Forum Math.*, 3(2):157–176, 1991.
- [Bon89] Alexey I. Bondal. Representations of associative algebras and coherent sheaves. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 53(1):25–44, 1989.
- [Ful98] William Fulton. *Intersection theory*, volume 2 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3)*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1998.
- [Huy06] Daniel Huybrechts. *Fourier-Mukai transforms in algebraic geometry*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006.
- [Kap88] M. M. Kapranov. On the derived categories of coherent sheaves on some homogeneous spaces. *Invent. Math.*, 92(3):479–508, 1988.
- [Laz04] Robert Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in algebraic geometry. II. Positivity for vector bundles, and multiplier ideals.*, volume 49 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [Ohn22] Masahiro Ohno. Nef vector bundles on a projective space or a hyperquadric with the first Chern class small. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo Series 2*, 71(2):755–781, 2022.
- [Ohn23] Masahiro Ohno. Nef vector bundles on a quadric threefold with first Chern class two. *arXiv:2311.02836*, 2023.
- [OT14] Masahiro Ohno and Hiroyuki Terakawa. A spectral sequence and nef vector bundles of the first Chern class two on hyperquadrics. *Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII Sci. Mat.*, 60(2):397–406, 2014.
- [Ott88] Giorgio Ottaviani. Spinor bundles on quadrics. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 307(1):301–316, 1988.
- [PSW92] Thomas Peternell, Michał Szurek, and Jarosław A. Wiśniewski. Numerically effective vector bundles with small Chern classes. In K. Hulek, T. Peternell, M. Schneider, and F.-O. Schreyer, editors, *Complex Algebraic varieties, Proceedings, Bayreuth, 1990*, number 1507 in Lecture Notes in Math., pages 145–156, Berlin, 1992. Springer.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF INFORMATICS AND ENGINEERING, THE UNIVERSITY OF ELECTRO-COMMUNICATIONS,  
CHOFU-SHI, TOKYO, 182-8585 JAPAN

*Email address:* masahiro-ohno@uec.ac.jp