

# No space-time singularity in black-hole physics

José Bernabéu

Department of Theoretical Physics, University of Valencia,  
and IFIC (CSIC-UV), 46100 Burjassot, Valencia, Spain

Massive stellar gravitational collapse is not an endless process. The Standard Model of particle physics predicts the existence of a repulsive interaction, two-neutrino mediated, with a coherent weak charge of macroscopic matter proportional to the number of constituents. After gravity, this is the second longest range -microns- force and, below nanometers, its magnitude overcomes the attractive gravitation. The layer distribution of pressure inside a compact neutronized uniform sphere, independent of its size, is compared for the two interactions with opposite pressure gradient. Taking as reference the mean square radius, the volume evolution of the pressure, for fixed mass and weak charge, leads to an equilibrium scenario with a characteristic black-hole radius  $R_B = 1.58$  nm. This size scale should be universal, independent of the mass, as long as the mass is nearly proportional to the weak charge.

The existence of static solutions of General Relativity with a space-time singularity was first predicted [1] by Schwarzschild in 1916 for a spherical massive object. In addition, a second singularity at a radius proportional to the mass

$$r_s = 2G \frac{M}{c^2} \quad (1)$$

was called the Schwarzschild radius, where  $G$  is the gravitational constant,  $M$  is the object mass and  $c$  is the speed of light. It is interpreted as an event-horizon. The concept of black-hole was born as an object with radius smaller than its Schwarzschild radius. In fact, the Schwarzschild radius can be obtained in the Newtonian limit as the distance in which the escape velocity equals the speed of light. The event-horizon emits Hawking radiation [2].

The existence of black-holes in nature came as a consequence of the understanding of the physics of stellar evolution for star masses above 3 solar masses. The normal life of stars is kept by the equilibrium condition between the attractive gravitational pressure and the repulsive pressure of thermonuclear fusion reactions until the formation of the most stable Fe, Co, Ni nuclides. For stars around 2 solar masses the subsequent collapse and the bounce of the supernova explosion leaves a remnant of a compact neutron star in equilibrium with the degeneracy pressure for neutrons. Typical nuclear densities and sizes of tens of Km. are then the appropriate scales.

However, for more massive stars, the neutron degeneracy pressure cannot compensate the gravitational pressure and the star continues its collapse into a black hole. At present massive star black holes have been observed by a variety of probes as well as black holes from other origins, like the supermassive black holes at the center of galaxies. Our Sagittarius A\* in the Milky Way has about one million of solar masses. The natural language of star collapse will be used here.

The question discussed in this letter is whether the collapse would continue without end, leading to the existence of the space-time singularity at the center of the black hole. It is currently taken for granted that, for these high masses, there is nothing in nature able to compensate the gravitational pressure gradient. On the contrary, it is shown below that, in agreement with the Standard Model of particle physics, the gravitational collapse in the black hole will stop under a new equilibrium condition, quite independent of how much massive the black hole is.

The gravitational coupling is coherent with the total mass and, for extended objects, the gravitational interaction becomes proportional to the mass density. For neutral (of electric charge) aggregate matter there exists a repulsive weak force with the essential property of a coherent weak charge proportional to the number of constituents. The Standard Model of particle physics predicts such interaction associated with the two neutrino mediation. For extended objects, this weak interaction becomes proportional to the weak charge

density. It was first studied in 1968 for charged current weak interactions between electrons by Feinberg and Sucher [3] and it was later extended to include neutral current interactions for any system using both the dispersion theory approach and time-ordered perturbation theory [4, 5, 6]. Recently [7] the long range weak effective potential between neutral aggregate matter was obtained including all ingredients on neutrino properties known from neutrino oscillations [8, 9, 10, 11], like masses and mixings. The aim is to find, in this  $\Delta L = 0$  effect, a regime of virtual non-relativistic neutrinos providing a sensitivity of the interaction to the absolute neutrino mass, distinguishing its either Dirac or Majorana nature. A conceptual design for its observation with atomic clock interferometry has been presented [12]. The potential is obtained from the integral transform of the t-channel absorptive part of the amplitude as depicted in Fig. 1




Fig. 1. The longest range weak interaction amplitude mediated by two neutrinos for aggregate matter.

The expected range of the interaction is in the scale of microns and there its magnitude is weaker than the gravitational interaction by about 12 orders of magnitude. However, at distances below the micron scale the neutrino mass is inoperative and so it is the flavor mixing too. In this regime the weak interaction behaves with distance as an inverse power law with a product of flavor charges for each neutrino species. After gravity, this is the second longest range interaction existing in nature for compact neutral matter. It is independent of the detailed matter structure and proportional to the weak charge density. Taking the case of a dominant neutronized matter, one has a flavor universality in the weak neutral current charge with a potential energy between two objects of neutron numbers  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  given by

$$V_w(r) = G_F^2 \frac{3 N_1 N_2}{16 \pi^3} \frac{1}{r^5}; \quad \forall(m_i r) \ll 1 \quad (2)$$

in natural units  $\hbar = c = 1$ , with  $G_F$  the Fermi coupling of weak interactions and  $m_i$  the neutrino masses. As a consequence, at distances of nanometers (nm) one expects that this repulsive weak interaction will compete with the attractive gravitational interaction and its effects have to be considered. It is worth to emphasize again that we are not talking about speculations on a possible exotic force but on an actual force present in the Standard model of particle physics. Gravitation and this weak force are the only long-range density-dependent interactions relevant to volume effects for the massive compact objects.

Our initial system is a neutronized object of definite mass  $M$  and weak charge  $N$  with the approximate relation  $M = m N$ ,  $m$  being the neutron mass, in gravitational collapse until it reaches the influence of the weak force. Independent of its actual internal structure below microns -the range of the weak force - its future is governed by the competition of the two interactions depending on the  $M$ -density and the  $N$ -density. The volume evolution is studied by considering their pressure balance on this object. A sphere of uniform density with radius  $R$  is taken. The pressure depends on the inner layer at distance  $r < R$ , so we have to compare the two force-fields, per mass unit in gravitation and per weak charge unit in the two-neutrino interaction. Its calculation in the last case presents some subtleties. The potential (2) is very singular at short distances and not valid below hundreds of fermis, where shorter range interactions mediated by other exchanged massive particles enter. This regulator acts as a physical cut-off  $r_c$  at short distances, with the validity of Eq. (2) extended to distances between microns and  $r_c$  of order of hundreds of fermis, much below nanometers.

#### - The force-field

The starting point for a source of extended matter of weak charge  $N$  is the potential field per weak charge unit  $U_w(r)$  obtained from

$$U_w(r) = G_F^2 \frac{3N}{16 \pi^3} \frac{1}{2R^3 r} \cdot \int_0^R dr' r' \left\{ \frac{1}{|r-r'|^3} - \frac{1}{(r+r')^3} \right\} \quad (3)$$

after the integration on the polar angle between the variable  $\vec{r}'$  of the source and the  $\vec{r}$  direction of the probe. For  $r \gg R$ , Eq. (3) reproduces Eq. (2) for  $N_1 = N$  and  $N_2 = 1$ . As expected, in the internal region  $r < R$  the first term of Eq. (3) depends on the physical short distance cut-off  $r_c$  discussed above. However, this dependence is a constant independent of  $r$ , not affecting the force field per particle  $w(r)$  given by

$$w(r < R) = G_F^2 \frac{3N}{16\pi^3} \frac{r}{R^3} \frac{5R^2 - r^2}{(R^2 - r^2)^3} \quad (4)$$

This result for the weak force field per weak charge unit should be compared in its r-dependence and sign with the well known gravitational force field per mass unit  $g(r)$  given by

$$g(r < R) = -G \frac{M}{R^3} r \quad (5)$$

with opposite sign to that of the weak force-field  $w(r < R)$ .

#### - The layer distribution of pressure

We start reminding the results for the gravitational pressure and then obtain the corresponding distribution for the weak pressure.

For an extended system of given density, the force per volume unit gives the pressure gradient  $dP/dr$ , where  $P$  is the pressure at each layer identified by  $x = r/R$ ,  $\forall x \in [0,1]$ , independent of the size of the object.

From Eq. (5) the gravitational pressure gradient is obtained by the product of the force-field with the mass density. With the boundary condition  $P_g(R, x=1) = 0$ , the gravitational layer distribution is

$$P_g(R, x) = G \frac{3}{5} \frac{M^2}{4\pi R^4} f_g(x); \quad (6)$$

$$f_g(x) = \frac{5}{2} (1 - x^2)$$

where the volume dependence of  $P_g$  at fixed  $M$  and its  $x$ -distribution have been factorized by the introduction of the  $f_g(x)$  function. The factorization has been made by taking the mean square radius as the reference layer  $\bar{x}^2 = \frac{3}{5}$ . The function  $f_g(x)$  shows the negative pressure gradient of gravitation.

From Eq. (4), the weak pressure gradient is obtained by the product of the weak force-field with the weak charge density. With the boundary condition

$P_w(R, x=0) = 0$ , the weak layer distribution is

$$P_w(R, x) = \frac{G_F^2}{16\pi^3} \frac{27 N^2}{2\pi R^8} f_w(x); \quad (7)$$

$$f_w(x) = \frac{x^2(5-3x^2)}{12(1-x^2)^2}$$

where the volume dependence of  $P_w$  at fixed  $N$  and its  $x$ -distribution have been factorized by using again the same layer at the mean square radius as reference. The function  $f_w(x)$  shows the positive pressure

gradient of the weak force. It is compared with  $f_g(x)$  in Fig. 2




Fig. 2. The layer distributions of the gravitational and weak pressures  $f_g(x)$  –blue- and  $f_w(x)$  –red-. The arrows indicate the sense of the pressure gradient.

#### - The volume evolution for fixed $M$ and $N$

As already mentioned, the volume evolution of the pressures for the two interactions  $P_g$ , with fixed  $M$ , and  $P_w$ , with fixed  $N$ , is compared for the reference layer in which  $f_g = f_w = 1$ . The comparison of Eqs. (6) and (7) shows a different behavior in the size, as  $V^{-4/3}$  and  $V^{-8/3}$  respectively, with  $V$  the volume. This fact and the opposite gradient lead to an equilibrium scenario with a characteristic size of the black-hole defined by the radius

$$R_B = \left[ \frac{G_F^2}{G} \frac{5}{2\pi^3} \left( \frac{3N}{2M} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \quad (8)$$

The near proportionality between  $M$  and  $N$  gives a result independent of the mass  $M$  of the object with the value  $R_B = 1.58$  nm. Consistently, this is well within the region of distances in which the weak potential, Eq. (2), is valid.

Needless to say, the internal structure of this matter under these conditions should be described in the realm of high density QCD. Nevertheless, as already indicated, only the global properties of the system, with the coherent weak charge and mass, are relevant to establish the equilibrium condition between the two density-dependent interactions. These properties were established when the system was neutronized.

To conclude, the reasoning developed in this letter shows that the existence of the repulsive long-range force mediated by two neutrinos, with a

coherent weak charge for neutral aggregate matter, gives a novel insight into the problem of massive gravitational collapse. Black-holes reach an equilibrium size with a characteristic radius  $R_B = 1.58$  nm from the pressure balance between the weak and gravitational interactions, quite independent of the black-hole mass.

### Acknowledgements

The author acknowledges comments and suggestions from G. Barenboim, F. Botella, M. Nebot and A. Santamaria. This research has been supported by the Spanish AEI-MICINN, PID2020-113334GB-I00/AEI/ 10.13039/501100011033 and by the Generalitat Valenciana grant CIPROM/2021/054.

### References

- [1] K. Schwarzschild, "Über das Gravitationsfeld eines Massenpunktes nach der Einsteinschen Theorie", *Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften* 7, 189 (1916).
- [2] S.W. Hawking, "Black hole explosions?", *Nature*. 248, 30 (1974).
- [3] G. Feinberg and J. Sucher, "Long-range forces from neutrino-pair exchange", *Phys. Rev.* 166, 1638 (1968).
- [4] G. Feinberg, J. Sucher, and C.K. Au, "The dispersion theory of dispersion forces", *Phys. Rep.* 180, 83 (1989).
- [5] J. Bernabeu, "Neutrino properties", *Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl.* 28, 500 (1992).
- [6] S. D. H. Hsu and P. Sikivie, "Long range forces from two neutrino exchange revisited", *Phys. Rev. D* 49, 4951 (1994).
- [7] A. Segarra and J. Bernabeu, "Absolute neutrino mass and the Dirac/Majorana distinction from the weak interaction of aggregate matter", *Phys.Rev.D* 101 (2020) 9, 093004, e-Print: 2001.05900 [hep-ph].
- [8] Y. Fukuda et al. (Super-Kamiokande Collaboration), "Evidence for Oscillation of Atmospheric Neutrinos", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 81, 1562 (1998).
- [9] Q. R. Ahmad et al. (SNO Collaboration), "Direct Evidence for Neutrino Flavor Transformation from Neutral Current Interactions in the Sudbury Neutrino Observatory", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 89, 011301 (2002).
- [10] T. Araki et al. (KamLAND Collaboration), "Measurement of Neutrino Oscillation with KamLAND: Evidence of Spectral Distortion", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 94, 081801 (2005).
- [11] M. H. Ahn et al. (K2K Collaboration), "Measurement of neutrino oscillation by the K2K experiment", *Phys. Rev. D* 74, 072003 (2006).
- [12] J. Bernabeu, D. O. Sabulsky, F. Sánchez and A. Segarra, "Neutrino mass and nature through its mediation in atomic clock interference", e-Print: 2306.00767 [physics.atom-ph].