

GEOMETRIC INVARIANTS OF $K3$ SURFACES WITH PURELY NON-SYMPLECTIC AUTOMORPHISMS

DOMINIK BUREK

ABSTRACT. We give new relations between geometric invariants of $K3$ surfaces with purely non-symplectic automorphisms of order 4 and 6. Our approach is based on a comparison of two methods of computation of formulas for the Euler characteristic of higher dimensional generalized Borcea-Voisin Calabi-Yau manifolds constructed in [Bur21].

1. INTRODUCTION

Automorphisms of a $K3$ surfaces over \mathbb{C} were intensively studied in the recent years. Especially important are automorphisms of order d which acts on the period as a multiplication by a primitive root of unity of degree d i.e. (*purely*) *non-symplectic automorphisms of order d* .

Non-symplectic automorphisms have been investigated in several papers. A non-symplectic automorphisms of prime order have been classified by M. Artebani, A. Sarti, S. Taki in [AST11]. Some authors have started to investigate non-symplectic automorphisms of composite order: D. Al Tabbaa and A. Sarti in [TS17] (order 8), J. Dillies in [Dil12a] (order 6), A. Sarti and A. Garbagnati in [GS13] (order $2p$, where p is a prime) and J. Keum in [Keu15] (order 66). Also, S. Brandhorst in [Bra19] pursued the question when an automorphism determines a $K3$ surface up to an isomorphism.

The study of purely non-symplectic automorphism on $K3$ surfaces involves, among other things, the description of the fixed points locus of this automorphism, this set is a disjoint union of finitely many isolated points and curves. This leads to several very important numerical invariants. Some of them are related i.e. topological or holomorphic Lefschetz's formulas give precise relation.

$K3$ surfaces with purely non-symplectic automorphism have been used in several constructions of Calabi-Yau 3-folds. One of them was the construction given independently by C. Borcea ([Bor97]) and C. Voisin ([Voi93]). They constructed families of Calabi-Yau 3-folds using a non-symplectic involutions of $K3$ surfaces and elliptic curves. A. Cattaneo and A. Garbagnati in [CG16] observed that the Borcea-Voisin construction can be generalized by allowing non-symplectic automorphism of orders 3, 4 or 6. On the other hand S. Cynk and K. Hulek in [CH07] studied where one can extend the classical construction of Borcea-Voisin as far as taking the product of elliptic curves and resolving the quotient in a crepant way.

In [Bur21] we investigated higher dimensional construction of Calabi-Yau manifolds which generalizes the classical Borcea-Voisin construction by merging ideas of Cynk-Hulek and Cattaneo-Garbagnati. More precisely: Let E_d be an elliptic curve with a not period preserving automorphism of order $d \in \{2, 3, 4, 6\}$ and S_d be a $K3$ surface with purely non-symplectic automorphism of order d . Consider the following group

$$G_{d,n} := \{(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_d^n : m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_n = 0\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_d^{n-1}$$

acting naturally on $S_d \times E_d^{n-1}$. Then the quotient variety $(S_d \times E_d^{n-1})/G_{d,n}$ admits a crepant resolution of singularities $Y_{d,n}$. Consequently $Y_{d,n}$ is an $(n+1)$ -dimensional Calabi-Yau variety.

In this note we shall derive new relations among invariants of $K3$ surfaces with purely non-symplectic automorphisms of order 4 and 6. Our approach is based on varieties $Y_{d,n}$ constructed in [Bur21]. We shall compute Euler characteristic of $Y_{d,n}$ in two different ways: by using Hodge numbers of $Y_{d,n}$ computed in [Bur21] and by using orbifold Euler Characteristic. Since our approach is indirect, the relations have no clear geometrical origin.

The paper is organised as follows. In the sections 2 we review "stringy" Euler characteristic formula and both topological and holomorphic Lefschetz's numbers. In the section 3 we recall classical Borcea-Voisin construction and its generalizations. The section 4 is devoted to collect invariant of $K3$ surfaces with purely non-symplectic automorphisms. In the section 5 we shall compare two methods of computation of formulas for the Euler characteristic of $Y_{d,n}$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 14J32; Secondary 14J40, 14E15.

Key words and phrases. Calabi-Yau manifolds, Borcea-Voisin construction, Chen-Ruan cohomology.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank Sławomir Cynk for helpful suggestions and comments. The author is supported by the National Science Center of Poland grant no. 2019/33/N/ST1/01502 and National Science Center of Poland grant no. 2020/36/T/ST1/00265.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Stringy Euler Characteristic. One of the most important cohomological invariant of the finite quotient of compact manifolds conjectured by “physicists” is *physicists (stringy) Euler characteristic*.

Let G be a finite group acting on a compact, smooth differentiable manifold X . For any $g \in G$ let

$$X^g := \{x \in X : g(x) = x\}.$$

In [Dix+85; Dix+86] L. Dixon, J. Harvey, C. Vafa and E. Witten proposed the following *orbifold Euler number*:

$$(2.1) \quad e_{\text{orb}}(X/G) := \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{\substack{(g,h) \in G \times G \\ gh=hg}} e(X^g \cap X^h).$$

According to [HH90] the formula 2.1 can be rewritten as

$$(2.2) \quad e_{\text{orb}}(X/G) := \sum_{[g] \in \text{Conj}(G)} e(X^g/C(g)),$$

where $\text{Conj}(G)$ is the set of conjugacy classes of G (we choose a representative g of each conjugacy class) and $C(g)$ is the centralizer of g .

It is expected that orbifold Euler characteristic $e_{\text{orb}}(X/G)$ coincides with the topological Euler characteristic $e(\widetilde{X/G})$ of any crepant resolution of X/G . In fact:

2.1. Theorem ([Roa89] (abelian case), [Bat99]). *Let $G \subset \text{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a finite group acting on smooth algebraic variety X . If $\widetilde{X/G}$ is a crepant resolution of variety X/G , then the following equality holds*

$$e(\widetilde{X/G}) = e_{\text{orb}}(X/G).$$

Some explicit examples of a group G and possible calculations were studied by T. Höfer and F. Hirzebruch in [HH90].

2.2. Topological and holomorphic Lefschetz’s numbers. In the following section we recall basic information about topological and holomorphic Lefschetz numbers. We refer to [GH94] and [Pet86].

Let X be a compact oriented manifold and $f: X \rightarrow X$ any continuous map. The intersection number of the graph α_f of f and diagonal Δ_X in $X \times X$ is equal to the so called *topological Lefschetz number* given by the following formula:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{top}}(f) := \sum_{q \geq 0} (-1)^q \text{tr}(f^*: H^q(X) \rightarrow H^q(X)).$$

2.2. Theorem ([Uen76]). *Let X be a compact complex manifold and $f: X \rightarrow X$ a holomorphic map with non-degenerate fixed locus then*

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\text{top}}(f) = \sum_{C \in \text{Fix}(f)} e(C),$$

where the sum is taken over connected components of $\text{Fix}(f)$.

Formula 2.3 holds in particular when $f^n = \text{id}$ for some $n \geq 1$ (see [Car57]).

The map f acts not only on the de Rham cohomology of X but on the Dolbeault cohomology too. Therefore there is a hope that the action of f on $H^{*,*}(X)$ will be reflected in local properties of f around the fixed point locus.

2.3. Definition. Let X be a compact complex manifold and $f: X \rightarrow X$ a holomorphic map. The number

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{hol}}(f) := \sum_{q \geq 0} (-1)^q \text{tr}(f^*|H^{0,q}(X))$$

is called *holomorphic Lefschetz number*.

According to [AS68b] and [AS68a] the holomorphic Lefschetz number can be computed in different way. For our purpose assume that X has dimension 2 and let G be a finite group of automorphisms of X .

2.4. Theorem ([AS68b], [AS68a]). *For any $g \in G$ the following formula holds*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{hol}}(g) = \sum_{j \in J} a(P_j) + \sum_{k \in K} b(C_k),$$

where sets $\{P_j\}_{j \in J}$ and $\{C_k\}_{k \in K}$ denote fixed points and fixed curves in $\text{Fix}(g)$ and

$$a(P) := \frac{1}{\det(1 - g|_{\mathcal{T}_P})}, \quad \text{where } \mathcal{T}_P \text{ is a tangent space at } P,$$

$$b(C) := \frac{1 - g(C)}{1 - \zeta} - \frac{\zeta C^2}{(1 - \zeta)^2}, \quad \text{where } \zeta \text{ is an eigenvalue of } g \text{ on the normal bundle of } \text{Fix}(g).$$

3. BORCEA-VOISIN CONSTRUCTION

3.1. Classical Borcea-Voisin construction. One of the many reasons behind the interest in non-symplectic automorphisms of $K3$ surfaces is the mirror symmetry construction of C. Borcea ([Bor97]) and C. Voisin ([Voi93]). They independently constructed a family of Calabi-Yau threefolds using a non-symplectic involutions of $K3$ surfaces and elliptic curves. Moreover C. Voisin gave a construction of explicit mirror maps.

3.1. Theorem ([Bor97; Voi93]). *Let E be an elliptic curve with an involution α_E which does not preserve ω_E . Let S be a $K3$ surface with a non-symplectic involution α_{S_6} . Then any crepant resolution of the variety $E \times S / \alpha_E \times \alpha_{S_6}$ is a Calabi-Yau manifold.*

3.2. Generalized Borcea-Voisin construction. In [CG16] A. Cattaneo and A. Garbagnati generalized the Borcea-Voisin construction allowing a non-symplectic automorphisms of a $K3$ surfaces of higher degrees i.e. 3, 4 and 6.

3.2. Theorem ([CG16]). *Let S_d be a $K3$ surface admitting a purely non-symplectic automorphism α_{S_d} of order $d = 3, 4, 6$. Let E_d be an elliptic curve admitting an automorphism α_{E_d} of order d . Then $S_d \times E_d / \alpha_{S_d} \times \alpha_{E_d}^{n-1}$, is a singular variety which admits a crepant resolution of singularities*

$\widetilde{S_d \times E_d / \alpha_{S_d} \times \alpha_{E_d}^{d-1}}$. In particular $\widetilde{S_d \times E_d / \alpha_{S_d} \times \alpha_{E_d}^{d-1}}$ is a Calabi-Yau threefold.

3.3. Definition. A crepant resolution of $S_d \times E_d / \alpha_{S_d} \times \alpha_{E_d}^{d-1} \simeq S_d \times E_d / \mathbb{Z}_d$ is called a *Calabi-Yau 3-fold of Borcea-Voisin type*.

In [Bur20] we investigated higher dimensional construction of Calabi-Yau manifolds which generalizes the classical Borcea-Voisin construction by merging ideas of Cynk-Hulek ([CH07]) and Cattaneo-Garbagnati. Precisely, we proved the following:

3.4. Theorem ([Bur20]). *Let E_d be an elliptic curve with an automorphism of order d and S_d be a $K3$ surface with purely non-symplectic automorphism of order $d \in \{2, 3, 4, 6\}$. Consider the following group*

$$G_{d,n} := \{(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_d^n : m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_n = 0\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_d^{n-1}$$

acting naturally on $S_d \times E_d^{n-1}$. Then the quotient variety $S_d \times E_d^{n-1} / G_{d,n}$ admits a crepant resolution of singularities $Y_{d,n}$. Consequently $Y_{d,n}$ is an $(n+1)$ -dimensional Calabi-Yau variety.

3.5. Definition. We call the resulting variety $Y_{d,n}$ an $(n+1)$ -dimensional *Calabi-Yau manifold of Borcea-Voisin type*.

4. INVARIANTS OF $K3$ SURFACES WITH NON-SYMPLECTIC AUTOMORPHISM OF ORDER 4 AND 6

4.1. $K3$ surfaces with non-symplectic automorphism of order 6. We shall keep the following notation from [CG16]:

$$\begin{aligned}
r &:= \dim H^2(S, \mathbb{C})^{\alpha_{S_6}}, & F_1, F_2 &:= \text{the curves with the highest genus in} \\
m &:= \dim H^2(S, \mathbb{C})_{\zeta_6^i} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 5, & & \text{the fixed locus of } \alpha_{S_6}^3. \\
l &:= \text{number of curves fixed by } \alpha_{S_6}, & a &:= \text{number of triples } (A, A', A'') \text{ of} \\
k &:= \text{number of curves fixed by } \alpha_{S_6}^2, & & \text{curves fixed by } \alpha_{S_6}^3 \text{ such that} \\
N &:= \text{number of curves fixed by } \alpha_{S_6}^3, & & \alpha_{S_6}(A) = A' \text{ and } \alpha_{S_6}(A') = A'', \\
p_{(2,5)} + p_{(3,4)} &:= \text{number of isolated points fixed by } \alpha_{S_6} \text{ of} & b &:= \text{number of pairs } (B, B') \text{ of curves} \\
& \text{type } (2, 5) \text{ and } (3, 4) \text{ i.e. the action of } \alpha_{S_6} & & \text{fixed by } \alpha_{S_6}^2 \text{ such that } \alpha_{S_6}(B) = B', \\
& \text{near these point linearises to respectively} & D &:= \text{the curve with the highest genus in} \\
& \text{diag}(\zeta_6^2, \zeta_6^5) \text{ and } \text{diag}(\zeta_6^3, \zeta_6^4), & & \text{the fixed locus of } \alpha_{S_6}, \\
n &:= \text{number of isolated points fixed by } \alpha_{S_6}^2, & G &:= \text{the curve with the highest genus in} \\
2n' &:= \text{number of isolated points fixed by } \alpha_{S_6}^2 \text{ and} & & \text{the fixed locus of } \alpha_{S_6}^2. \\
& \text{switched by } \alpha_{S_6}, & &
\end{aligned}$$

4.1. Theorem ([Bur20]). *The Hodge number $h^{p,q}$ of the manifold $Y_{6,n}$ is equal to the coefficient in $X^p Y^q$ of the following polynomial*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left((XY)^2 + r \cdot XY + 1 + \sqrt[6]{XY} \cdot (\ell + p_{(2,5)} \cdot XY + p_{(3,4)} \cdot XY + g(D) \cdot (X + Y) + \ell \cdot XY) + \right. \\
& + \sqrt[6]{(XY)^2} \cdot (k - b + n' \cdot XY + p_{(2,5)} \cdot XY + g(G/\alpha_{S_6})(X + Y) + (k - b) \cdot XY) + \\
& + \sqrt[6]{(XY)^3} \cdot (N - 2a + (g(F_1/\alpha_{S_6}) + g(F_2/\alpha_{S_6}))) \cdot (X + Y) + (N - 2a) \cdot XY) + \\
& + \sqrt[6]{(XY)^4} \cdot (k - b + n' + p_{(2,5)} + g(G/\alpha_{S_6})(X + Y) + (k - b) \cdot XY) + \\
& \left. + \sqrt[6]{(XY)^5} \cdot (\ell + p_{(2,5)} + p_{(3,4)} + g(D) \cdot (X + Y) + \ell \cdot XY) \right) \cdot \\
& \cdot \left(1 + XY + \sqrt[6]{XY} + 2\sqrt[6]{(XY)^2} + 2\sqrt[6]{(XY)^3} + 2\sqrt[6]{(XY)^4} + \sqrt[6]{(XY)^5} \right)^{n-1} + \\
& + \left(X^2 + (m-1) \cdot XY \right) \cdot X^{n-1} + \left(\alpha \cdot XY + \sqrt[6]{(XY)^3} \cdot \left(a + \frac{1}{2} (g(F_1) + g(F_2)) - \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - g(F_1/\alpha_{S_6}) - g(F_2/\alpha_{S_6}) \right) \cdot (X + Y) + a \cdot XY \right) \cdot \left(\sqrt[6]{(XY)^3} \right)^{n-1} + \\
& + \left(\beta \cdot XY + \sqrt[6]{(XY)^2} \cdot (b + n' \cdot XY + (g(G) - g(G/\alpha_{S_6}))) \cdot (X + Y) + b \cdot XY \right) + \\
& + \sqrt[6]{(XY)^4} \cdot (b + n' + (g(G) - g(G/\alpha_{S_6}))) \cdot (X + Y) + b \cdot XY \Big) \cdot \left(\sqrt[6]{(XY)^2} + \sqrt[6]{(XY)^4} \right)^{n-1} + \\
& + \left(\alpha \cdot XY + \sqrt[6]{(XY)^3} \cdot \left(a + \frac{1}{2} (g(F_1) + g(F_2)) - g(F_1/\alpha_{S_6}) - g(F_2/\alpha_{S_6}) \right) \cdot (X + Y) + \right. \\
& \left. + a \cdot XY \right) \cdot \left(\sqrt[6]{(XY)^3} \right)^{n-1} + \left(Y^2 + (m-1) \cdot XY \right) \cdot Y^{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

From this formula we can compute the Euler characteristic by evaluating the above formula at 6-th roots of unity. Therefore we can compute this as a sum of six geometric sequences, hence we expect that there exists recurrence of degree at most 6. In fact two of them coincides and finally we obtain recurrence of order 4.

4.2. Corollary. *The Euler characteristic of $Y_{6,n}$ is equal to a_{n-1} , where*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} a_n = 12a_{n-1} - 19a_{n-2} - 12a_{n-3} + 20a_{n-4}, \\ a_0 = e(Y_{6,1}) = 24, \\ a_1 = e(Y_{6,2}) = 4 + 2r - 2m + 4l + 6p_{(2,5)} + 2p_{(3,4)} - 4g(D) + 8k - 4b + 6w - \\ \quad - 4g\left(\frac{G}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) - 4g(G) + 4N - 4a - 2g\left(\frac{F_1}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) - 2g\left(\frac{F_2}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) - 2g(F_1) - 2g(F_2), \\ a_2 = e(Y_{6,3}) = 80 + 16r - 2m - 2\alpha + 64l + 66p_{(2,5)} + 32p_{(3,4)} - 64g(D) + 68k - 64b + 36w - \\ \quad - 64g\left(\frac{G}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) - 4g(G) + 32N - 64a - 32g\left(\frac{F_1}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) - 32g\left(\frac{F_2}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right), \\ a_3 = e(Y_{6,4}) = 380 + 166r - 6m - 4\alpha + 660l + 666p_{(2,5)} + 330p_{(3,4)} - 660g(D) + 672k - 660b + \\ \quad + 342w - 660g\left(\frac{G}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) - 12g(G) + 332N - 660a - 330g\left(\frac{F_1}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) - 330g\left(\frac{F_2}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) - \\ \quad - 2g(F_1) - 2g(F_2). \end{array} \right.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} e(Y_{6,n}) &= \frac{1}{3} \left(46 - r + 2m - \alpha + 2l + p_{(3,4)} - 2g(D) - 2k - 2b - 3w - 2g\left(\frac{G}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) + 4g(G) - 2N - 2a - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - g\left(\frac{F_1}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) - g\left(\frac{F_2}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) + 3g(F_1) + 3g(F_2) \right) \cdot (-1)^{n-1} - \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{3} \left(-23 + \frac{r}{2} + 2m + 2\alpha + 2l + p_{(3,4)} - 2g(D) - 2k - 2b - 3w - 2g\left(\frac{G}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) + 4g(G) + N - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2a - g\left(\frac{F_1}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) - g\left(\frac{F_2}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) \right) \cdot 2^{n-1} + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3} \left(2 + r + 3\alpha - 2l - 2p_{(2,5)} - p_{(3,4)} + 2g(D) - 2k + 2b - w + 2g\left(\frac{G}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) + 2N + 2a + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + g\left(\frac{F_1}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) + g\left(\frac{F_2}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) - 3g(F_1) - 3g(F_2) \right) - \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{3} \left(-\frac{r}{2} - 2l - 2p_{(2,5)} - p_{(3,4)} + 2g(D) - 2k + 2b - w + 2g\left(\frac{G}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) - N + 2a + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + g\left(\frac{F_1}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) + g\left(\frac{F_2}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) - 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

4.2. K3 surfaces with non-symplectic automorphism of order 4. We shall keep the following notation from [CG16]:

$$\begin{array}{ll} r := \dim H^2(S_4, \mathbb{C})^{\alpha_{S_4}}, & a := \text{number of pairs } (A, A') \text{ of curves} \\ m := \dim H^2(S_4, \mathbb{C})_{\zeta_4^i}^2 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 3, & \text{which are fixed by } \alpha_{S_4}^2 \text{ and } \alpha_{S_4}(A) = \\ N := \text{number of curves which are fixed by } \alpha_{S_4}^2, & A' \text{ (curves of the third type),} \\ k := \text{number of curves which are fixed by } \alpha_{S_4} & D := \text{the curve of the highest genus in} \\ \text{(curves of the first type),} & S^{\alpha_{S_4}^2}, \\ b := \text{number of curves which are fixed by } \alpha_{S_4}^2 & n_1 := \text{number of points which are fixed by} \\ \text{and are invariant by } \alpha_{S_4} \text{ (curves of the sec-} & \alpha_{S_4} \text{ not laying on the curve } D, \\ \text{ond type),} & n_2 := \text{number of points which are fixed by} \\ & \alpha_{S_4} \text{ laying on the curve } D. \end{array}$$

4.3. Theorem ([Bur20]). *The Hodge number $h^{p,q}$ of the manifold $Y_{4,n}$ is equal to the coefficient in $X^p Y^q$ of the following polynomial*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left((XY)^2 + r \cdot XY + 1 + \left(k + (n_1 + n_2) \cdot XY + g(G) \cdot (X + Y) + k \cdot XY \right) \cdot \sqrt[4]{XY} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(N - a + g\left(\frac{D}{\alpha_{S_4}}\right) \cdot (X + Y) + (N - a) \cdot XY \right) \cdot \sqrt[4]{(XY)^2} + \left(k + n_1 + n_2 + \right. \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + g(G) \cdot (X + Y) + k \cdot XY \Big) \cdot \sqrt[4]{(XY)^3} \Big) \cdot \left(1 + XY + 2\sqrt[4]{XY} + 3\sqrt[4]{(XY)^3} + 2\sqrt[4]{(XY)^3}\right)^{n-1} + \\
& + \left(X^2 + (m-1) \cdot XY\right) \cdot X^{n-1} + \left((22 - r - 2m) \cdot XY + \left(a + \left(g(D) - g\left(\frac{D}{\alpha_{S_4}}\right)\right)\right) \cdot (X + Y) + \right. \\
& \left. + a \cdot XY\right) \cdot \sqrt[4]{(XY)^2} \Big) \cdot \left(\sqrt[4]{(XY)^2}\right)^{n-1} + \left(Y^2 + (m-1) \cdot XY\right) \cdot Y^{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly as before we can obtain the following corollary

4.4. Corollary. *The Euler characteristic of $Y_{4,n}$ is equal to a_{n-1} , where*

$$\begin{cases} a_n = 9a_{n-1} + a_{n-2} - 9a_{n-3}, \\ a_0 = e(Y_{4,1}) = 24, \\ a_1 = e(Y_{4,2}) = 2r + 8k + 4n_1 + 4n_2 + 6N - 4a + 4 - 14g(D) - 2m, \\ a_2 = e(Y_{4,3}) = 64 + 20r + 80k + 40n_1 + 40n_2 - 120g(D) + 40N - 40a. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
e(Y_{4,n}) & = \left(-N + g(D) + m + 12\right) \cdot (-1)^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \left(-N + a + 3g(D) - 2k - n_1 - n_2 - \frac{r}{2} - 1\right) \cdot 9^{n-1} + \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \left(N + a + g(D) - 2k - 2m - n_1 - n_2 - \frac{r}{2} + 23\right).
\end{aligned}$$

4.3. K3 surfaces with non-symplectic automorphism of order 3. We shall keep the following notation from [CG16]:

$$\begin{aligned}
r & := \dim H^2(S_3, \mathbb{C})^{\alpha_{S_3}}, \\
m & := \dim H^2(S_3, \mathbb{C})_{\zeta_3^i} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \\
h & := \text{number of points which are fixed by } \alpha_{S_3}, \\
k & := \text{number of curves which are fixed by } \alpha_{S_3}.
\end{aligned}$$

4.5. Theorem ([Bur20]). *The Hodge number $h^{p,q}$ of the manifold $Y_{3,n}$ is equal to the coefficient in $X^p Y^q$ of the following polynomial*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left((XY)^2 + r \cdot XY + 1 + \left(k + h \cdot XY + g(C) \cdot (X + Y) + k \cdot XY \right) \cdot \sqrt[3]{XY} + \left(k + h + \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + g(C) \cdot (X + Y) + k \cdot XY \right) \cdot \sqrt[3]{(XY)^2} \right) \cdot \left(1 + XY + 3\sqrt[3]{XY} + 3\sqrt[3]{(XY)^2} \right)^{n-1} + \\
& + \left(X^2 + (m-1) \cdot XY \right) \cdot X^{n-1} + \left(Y^2 + (m-1) \cdot XY \right) \cdot Y^{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

4.6. Corollary. *The Euler characteristic of $Y_{3,n}$ is equal to a_{n-1} , where*

$$\begin{cases} a_n = 7a_{n-1} + 8a_{n-2}, \\ a_0 = e(Y_{3,1}) = 24, \\ a_1 = e(Y_{3,2}) = 2r + 6h + 12k + 4 - 12g(C) - 2m. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$e(Y_{3,n}) = \frac{1}{9} \left(188 - 2r - 6h - 12k + 12g(C) + 2m \right) \cdot (-1)^{n-1} + \frac{1}{9} \left(28 + 2r + 6h + 12k - 12g(C) - 2m \right) \cdot 8^{n-1}.$$

4.4. K3 surfaces with non-symplectic automorphism of order 2.

$$\begin{aligned}
r & := \dim H^2(S_2, \mathbb{C})^{\alpha_{S_2}}, \\
m & := \dim H^2(S_2, \mathbb{C})_{\zeta_2^i} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \\
N & := \text{number of curves which are fixed by } \alpha_{S_2} \text{ with sum of genera equals } N'.
\end{aligned}$$

4.7. Theorem ([Bur20]). *The Hodge number $h^{p,q}$ of the manifold $Y_{2,n}$ is equal to the coefficient in $X^p Y^q$ of the following polynomial*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left((XY)^2 + r \cdot XY + 1 + \left(N + N' \cdot (X + Y) + N \cdot (XY)^2 \right) \cdot \sqrt{XY} \right) \cdot \left(1 + XY + 4\sqrt{XY} \right)^{n-1} + \\
& + \left(X^2 + Y^2 + (m-2) \cdot XY \right) \cdot (X + Y)^{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

4.8. **Corollary.** *The Euler characteristic of $Y_{2,n}$ is equal to a_{n-1} , where*

$$\begin{cases} a_n = 4a_{n-1} + 12a_{n-2}, \\ a_0 = e(Y_{3,1}) = 24, \\ a_1 = e(Y_{3,2}) = 12N - 12N'. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$e(Y_{2,n}) = \frac{1}{2}(12 + 3N - 3N') \cdot 6^{n-1} + \frac{1}{2}(36 - 3N + 3N') \cdot (-2)^{n-1}.$$

5. RELATIONS

5.1. **K3 surfaces with non-symplectic automorphism of order 6.** There are many relations among numerical invariants attached to S_6 . Some of them were pointed out in [CG16] and [Bur18]. Most of them follow from Riemann-Hurwitz formula (see [CG16]). In [Bur18] we got new relation by comparing Stringy Euler Characteristic of $Y_{6,2}$ with the Euler characteristic computed from orbifold Hodge numbers.

In the present section we shall examine another formulas i.e. Hurwitz formula and holomorphic and topological Lefschetz numbers.

5.2. **Riemann-Hurwitz formula.** Some isolated fixed points of α_{S_6} lie on curves in $\text{Fix}(\alpha_{S_6}^2)$ and all of them are of type (3,4). The canonical map $\pi_G: G \rightarrow G/\alpha_{S_6}$ can be considered as a covering of G of degree two ramified at

$$p_{(3,4)} - 2 \cdot \underbrace{(k - 2b - \ell - 1)}_{\substack{\text{number of rational curves} \\ \text{invariant by } \alpha_{S_6}}}$$

points lying on G , which have ramification index 2, therefore by the Reimann-Hurwitz formula we get:

$$2 - 2g(G) = 2 \left(2 - 2g \left(G/\alpha_{S_6} \right) \right) - (p_{(3,4)} - 2(k - 2b - \ell - 1)),$$

thus

$$(5.1) \quad g \left(G/\alpha_{S_6} \right) = \frac{1}{4} (2g(G) - p_{(3,4)} + 2k - 4b - 2\ell).$$

Assuming $g(D) = 0$. Let $F := F_1 \cup F_2$. The canonical map $\pi_F: F \rightarrow F/\alpha_{S_6}$ is triple covering of F , ramified at

$$p_{(3,4)} + p_{(2,5)} - 2 \cdot \underbrace{(N - 3a - 1 - \ell)}_{\substack{\text{number of rational curves} \\ \text{invariant by } \alpha_{S_6}}}$$

points, with ramification index equal to 3, so

$$\begin{aligned} 2 - 2g(F_1) + 2 - 2g(F_2) &= 3 \left(2 - 2g \left(F_1/\alpha_{S_6} \right) + 2 - 2g \left(F_2/\alpha_{S_6} \right) \right) - \\ &- 2(p_{(3,4)} + p_{(2,5)} - 2(N - 3a - 1 - \ell)). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$(5.2) \quad g \left(F_1/\alpha_{S_6} \right) + g \left(F_2/\alpha_{S_6} \right) = \frac{1}{6} (2g(F_1) + 2g(F_2) - 2p_{(2,5)} - 2p_{(3,4)} + 4N - 12a - 4\ell).$$

5.3. **Topological Lefschetz number.** Using 2.2 we deduce:

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{top}}(\alpha_{S_6}) = 1 + 1 + r + \zeta_6 \cdot (1 + m - 1) + \zeta_6^2 \cdot \alpha + \zeta_6^3 \cdot \beta + \zeta_6^4 \cdot \alpha + \zeta_6^5 \cdot (m - 1 + 1) = 2 + r + m - \alpha - \beta$,
therefore

$$2 + r + m - \alpha - \beta = e(\text{Fix}(\alpha_{S_6})) = 2\ell - 2g(D) + p_{(3,4)} + p_{(2,5)},$$

which gives relation

$$(5.3) \quad 2 + r + m - \alpha - \beta - 2\ell + 2g(D) - p_{(3,4)} - p_{(2,5)} = 0.$$

And similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{top}}(\alpha_{S_6}^2) &= (1 + r + \beta + 1) + \zeta_3(1 + m - 1 + \alpha) + \zeta_3^2(\alpha + m - 1 + 1) = \\ &= (1 + r + \beta + 1) - 1 - m - \alpha + 1 = -\alpha + \beta + r + 2 - m, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$-\alpha + \beta + r + 2 - m = e(\text{Fix}(\alpha_{S_6}^2)) = 2k - 2g(G),$$

giving relation

$$(5.4) \quad -\alpha + \beta + r + 2 - m - 2k + 2g(G) = 0.$$

In the same way:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{top}}(\alpha_{S_6}^3) = (1 + r + 2\alpha + 1) - (1 + \beta + 2m - 2 + 1) = 2 + r + 2\alpha - \beta - 2m,$$

so

$$2 + r + 2\alpha - \beta - 2m = e(\text{Fix}(\alpha_{S_6}^3)) = 2N - 2g(F_1) - 2g(F_2),$$

giving relation

$$(5.5) \quad 2 + r + 2\alpha - \beta - 2m - 2N + 2g(F_1) + 2g(F_2) = 0.$$

5.4. Holomorphic Lefschetz number. We shall deduce another relations using holomorphic Lefschetz formulas.

On the one hand

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{hol}}(\alpha_{S_6}) = \sum_{i=0}^2 (-1)^i \text{tr}((\alpha_{S_6})^* | H^i(S, \mathcal{O}_S)) = 1 + \zeta_6^5.$$

Let us compute numbers $a(P)$ and $b(C)$ from theorem 2.4:

$$a(P_j) = \frac{1}{\det\left(1 - \alpha_{S_6}^* | \tau_{P_j}\right)} = \frac{1}{\det\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_6^3 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_6^4 \end{pmatrix}\right)} = \frac{1}{(1 - \zeta_6^3)(1 - \zeta_6^4)},$$

$$a(Q_j) = \frac{1}{\det\left(1 - \alpha_{S_6}^* | \tau_{Q_j}\right)} = \frac{1}{\det\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_6^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_6^5 \end{pmatrix}\right)} = \frac{1}{(1 - \zeta_6^2)(1 - \zeta_6^5)}$$

and similarly

$$b(K_i) = \frac{1 - g(K_i)}{1 - \zeta_6} - \frac{\zeta_6 \cdot K_i^2}{(1 - \zeta_6)^2} = \frac{1}{1 - \zeta_6} - \frac{\zeta_6 \cdot (2g(K_i) - 2)}{(1 - \zeta_6)^2} = \frac{1}{1 - \zeta_6} - \frac{-2 \cdot \zeta_6}{(1 - \zeta_6)^2} = \frac{1 + \zeta_6}{(1 - \zeta_6)^2},$$

$$b(D) = \frac{1 - g(D)}{1 - \zeta_6} - \frac{\zeta_6 \cdot D^2}{(1 - \zeta_6)^2} = \frac{1 - g(D)}{1 - \zeta_6} - \frac{\zeta_6 \cdot (2 - 2g(D))}{(1 - \zeta_6)^2} = \frac{(1 + \zeta_6) \cdot (1 - g(D))}{(1 - \zeta_6)^2}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{hol}}(\alpha_{S_6}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} b(K_i) + b(D) + \sum_{j=1}^{P(2,5)} a(P_j) + \sum_{j=1}^{P(3,4)} a(Q_j) = \\ &= (\ell - 1) \cdot \frac{1 + \zeta_6}{(1 - \zeta_6)^2} + \frac{(1 + \zeta_6) \cdot (1 - g(D))}{(1 - \zeta_6)^2} + \frac{P(3,4)}{(1 - \zeta_6^3)(1 - \zeta_6^4)} + \frac{P(2,5)}{(1 - \zeta_6^2)(1 - \zeta_6^5)} \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$1 + \zeta_6^5 = (\ell - 1) \cdot \frac{1 + \zeta_6}{(1 - \zeta_6)^2} + \frac{(1 + \zeta_6) \cdot (1 - g(D))}{(1 - \zeta_6)^2} + \frac{P(3,4)}{(1 - \zeta_6^3)(1 - \zeta_6^4)} + \frac{P(2,5)}{(1 - \zeta_6^2)(1 - \zeta_6^5)}.$$

Multiplying this equality by denominators and after some manipulations we get the following relation:

$$(5.6) \quad 3 + 3\ell - 3g(D) - \frac{P(3,4)}{2} - P(2,5) = 0,$$

which agrees with [Dil12b].

5.5. **Stringy Euler Characteristic.** Using stringy Euler characteristic for $n = 1, \dots, 6$ we have

$$e_s(Y_{6,n}) = \begin{cases} 24 & \text{for } n = 1, \\ 8l - 8g(D) + 8p_{(2,5)} + 4p_{(3,4)} + k - 8g(G) + 8n' + 4N - 4g(F_1) - 4g(F_2) & \text{for } n = 2, \\ 96 + 128l - 128g(D) + 88p_{(2,5)} + 64p_{(3,4)} + 48k - 48g(G) + & \text{for } n = 3, \\ + 48n' + 16N - 16g(F_1) - 16g(F_2) & \\ 672 + 1320l - 1320g(D) + 888p_{(2,5)} + 660p_{(3,4)} + 456k - 456g(G) + 456n' + & \text{for } n = 4, \\ + 168N - 168g(F_1) - 168g(F_2) & \\ 6720 + 13312l - 13312g(D) + 8888p_{(2,5)} + 6656p_{(3,4)} + 4464k + & \text{for } n = 5, \\ + 4464n' - 4464g(G) + 1664N - 1664g(F_1) - 1664g(F_2) & \\ 66720 + 133288l - 133288g(D) + 88888p_{(2,5)} + 66644p_{(3,4)} + 44488k + & \text{for } n = 6, \\ + 44488n' - 44488g(G) + 16664N - 16664g(F_1) - 16664g(F_2) & \end{cases}$$

Comparing $e_s(Y_{6,n})$ and 4.2 for $n = 1 \dots 6$ we obtain three new relations:

$$(5.7) \quad -2\alpha + 10 + N - r - g(F_1) - g(F_2) = 0$$

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} -m + 2 + r - 2l - p_{(2,5)} - p_{(3,4)} + 2g(D) - 2b - w - 2g\left(\frac{G}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) + 2g(G) \\ - 2a - g\left(\frac{F_1}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) - g\left(\frac{F_2}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) + g(F_1) + g(F_2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} -n' - 3 + \frac{3}{2} \cdot r - 6l - 2p_{(2,5)} - 3p_{(3,4)} + 6g(D) + 2k - 6b - 6g\left(\frac{G}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) + \\ + 4g(G) + \frac{3}{2} \cdot N - 6a - 3g\left(\frac{F_1}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) - 3g\left(\frac{F_2}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) + \frac{3}{2} \cdot g(F_1) + \frac{3}{2} \cdot g(F_2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

To summarize we obtained the following relations:

5.1. **Theorem.** *The following relation holds among parameters attached to S_6 :*

- 1) $0 = 2m + r + \alpha + \beta - 20$,
- 2) $0 = n - p_{(2,5)} - 2n'$,
- 3) $0 = 2 + r + m - \alpha - \beta - 2l + 2g(D) - p_{(2,5)} - p_{(3,4)}$,
- 4) $0 = -\alpha + \beta + r + 2 - m - 2k + 2g(G)$,
- 5) $0 = 2 + r + 2\alpha - \beta - 2m - 2N + 2g(F_1) + 2g(F_2)$,
- 6) $0 = -2\alpha + 10 + N - r - g(F_1) - g(F_2)$,
- 7) $0 = 3 + 3l - 3g(D) - \frac{p_{(3,4)}}{2} - p_{(2,5)}$,
- 8) $0 = -g\left(\frac{G}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) + \frac{1}{4}(2g(G) - p_{(3,4)} + 2k - 4b - 2l)$,
- 9) $0 = -g\left(\frac{F_1}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) - g\left(\frac{F_2}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) + \frac{1}{6}(2g(F_1) + 2g(F_2) - 2p_{(2,5)} - 2p_{(3,4)} + 4N - 12a - 4l)$ (assuming $g(D) = 0$),
- 10) $0 = -m + 2 + r - 2l - p_{(2,5)} - p_{(3,4)} + 2g(D) - 2b - w - 2g\left(\frac{G}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) + 2g(G) - 2a - g\left(\frac{F_1}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) - g\left(\frac{F_2}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) + g(F_1) + g(F_2)$,
- 11) $0 = -n' - 3 + \frac{3}{2} \cdot r - 6l - 2p_{(2,5)} - 3p_{(3,4)} + 6g(D) + 2k - 6b - 6g\left(\frac{G}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) + 4g(G) + \frac{3}{2} \cdot N - 6a - 3g\left(\frac{F_1}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) - 3g\left(\frac{F_2}{\alpha_{S_6}}\right) + \frac{3}{2} \cdot g(F_1) + \frac{3}{2} \cdot g(F_2)$.

5.6. **K3 surfaces with a non-symplectic automorphism of order 4.** Some of geometric parameters attached to S_4 are related in the obvious way i.e.

$$(5.10) \quad -N + k + b + 2a = 0.$$

5.7. Topological Lefschetz number. Using 2.2 we deduce:

$\mathcal{L}_{\text{top}}(\alpha_{S_4}) = 1 + 1 + r + \zeta_4 \cdot (1 + m - 1) + \zeta_4^2 \cdot (22 - r - 2m) + \zeta_4^3 \cdot (m - 1 + 1) = -20 + 2r + 2m$,
therefore

$$-20 + 2r + 2m = e(\text{Fix}(\alpha_{S_4})) = n_1 + n_2 + 2k - 2g(D),$$

which gives relation

$$(5.11) \quad -20 + 2r + 2m - n_1 - n_2 - 2k + 2g(D) = 0.$$

And similarly

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{top}}(\alpha_{S_4}^2) = (1 + 22 - 2m + 1) + \zeta_4^2 \cdot (1 + 1 + 2m - 2) = 24 - 4m,$$

so

$$2N - 2g(D) = e(\text{Fix}(\alpha_{S_4}^2)) = 24 - 4m,$$

giving relation

$$(5.12) \quad N - g(D) - 12 + 2m = 0.$$

5.8. Holomorphic Lefschetz number. Computation of holomorphic Lefschetz number in two ways, leads to the equation:

$$1 + \zeta_4^3 = \frac{(k-1) \cdot (1 + \zeta_4)}{(1 - \zeta_4)^2} + \frac{(1 - g(D)) \cdot (1 + \zeta_4)}{(1 - \zeta_4)^2} + \frac{n_1 + n_2}{(1 - \zeta_4^2)(1 - \zeta_4^3)}.$$

After some simplifications we get

$$(5.13) \quad 4 + 2k - 2g(D) - n_1 - n_2 = 0,$$

which agrees with [AS15].

5.9. Stringy Euler Characteristic. Using stringy Euler characteristic one can see that

$$e_s(Y_{4,n}) = \begin{cases} 24 & \text{for } n = 1, \\ 18k - 18g(D) + 6n_1 + 6n_2 + 6b + 12a & \text{for } n = 2, \\ 144 + 150k - 150g(D) + 60n_1 + 60n_2 + 30b + 60a & \text{for } n = 3, \\ 1080 + 1368k - 1368g(D) + 546n_1 + 546n_2 + 276b + 552a & \text{for } n = 4, \\ 9864 + 12300k - 12300g(D) + 4920n_1 + 4920n_2 + 2460b + 4920a & \text{for } n = 5, \\ 88560 + 110718k - 110718g(D) + 44286n_1 + 44286n_2 + 22146b + 44292a & \text{for } n = 6. \end{cases}$$

Comparing $e_s(Y_{4,n})$ and 4.4 for $n = 1 \dots 6$ we obtain two new relations:

$$(5.14) \quad -b + 8 + 3k - 3g(D) + 2n_1 + 2n_2 + 2a - 2r = 0$$

$$(5.15) \quad -m - 2k + 2g(D) - n_1 - n_2 - 2a + r + 2 = 0.$$

To summarize we get the following relations:

5.2. Theorem. *The following relation holds among parameters attached to S_4 :*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -N + k + b + 2a, \\ 0 &= -20 + 2r + 2m - n_1 - n_2 - 2k + 2g(D), \\ 0 &= N - g(D) - 12 + 2m, \\ 0 &= 4 + 2k - 2g(D) - n_1 - n_2, \\ 0 &= -b + 8 + 3k - 3g(D) + 2n_1 + 2n_2 + 2a - 2r, \\ 0 &= -m - 2k + 2g(D) - n_1 - n_2 - 2a + r + 2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for computing all parameters it is sufficient to know only the following:

$$k, \quad g(D), \quad r \text{ or } m, \quad n_1 \text{ or } n_2, \quad a \text{ or } b.$$

5.3. Remark. Last two relations in Thm. 5.2 and last four relations in Thm. 5.1 seems to be new. In the case of purely non-symplectic automorphism of order 2 and 3 we did not get any new relations.

We have found relations by a careful analysis of two ways of computing Euler characteristics. In this approach they follow from an enumeration of orbits of the group action of components of the fixed locus, in particular they have no clear geometric explanation. This leads to the following question:

5.4. Question. *Is there any geometrical argument proving last two relations from Thm. 5.2 and last four relations from Thm. 5.1?*

REFERENCES

- [AS15] Michela Artebani and Alessandra Sarti. “Symmetries of order four on K3 surfaces”. In: *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 67.2 (2015), pp. 503–533. ISSN: 0025-5645. DOI: 10.2969/jmsj/06720503. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2969/jmsj/06720503>.
- [AS68a] M. F. Atiyah and G. B. Segal. “The index of elliptic operators. II”. In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 87 (1968), pp. 531–545. ISSN: 0003-486X. DOI: 10.2307/1970716. URL: <https://doi.org/10.2307/1970716>.
- [AS68b] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer. “The index of elliptic operators. III”. In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 87 (1968), pp. 546–604. ISSN: 0003-486X. DOI: 10.2307/1970717. URL: <https://doi.org/10.2307/1970717>.
- [AST11] Michela Artebani, Alessandra Sarti, and Shingo Taki. “K3 surfaces with non-symplectic automorphisms of prime order”. In: *Math. Z.* 268.1-2 (2011). With an appendix by Shigeyuki Kondō, pp. 507–533. ISSN: 0025-5874. DOI: 10.1007/s00209-010-0681-x. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00209-010-0681-x>.
- [Bat99] Victor V. Batyrev. “Non-Archimedean integrals and stringy Euler numbers of log-terminal pairs”. In: *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* 1.1 (1999), pp. 5–33. ISSN: 1435-9855. DOI: 10.1007/PL00011158. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00011158>.
- [Bor97] Ciprian Borcea. “K3 surfaces with involution and mirror pairs of Calabi-Yau manifolds”. In: *Mirror symmetry, II*. Vol. 1. AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997, pp. 717–743.
- [Bra19] Simon Brandhorst. “The classification of purely non-symplectic automorphisms of high order on K3 surfaces”. In: *J. Algebra* 533 (2019), pp. 229–265. ISSN: 0021-8693. DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2019.05.016. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2019.05.016>.
- [Bur18] Dominik Burek. “Hodge numbers of generalised Borcea-Voisin threefolds”. In: *Phenomenological approach to algebraic geometry*. Vol. 116. Banach Center Publ. Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2018, pp. 47–62.
- [Bur20] Dominik Burek. “Higher dimensional Calabi-Yau manifolds of Kummer type”. In: *Math. Nachr.* 293.4 (2020), pp. 638–650. ISSN: 0025-584X. DOI: 10.1002/mana.201800487. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1002/mana.201800487>.
- [Bur21] Dominik Burek. *L-functions of higher dimensional Calabi-Yau manifolds of Borcea-Voisin type*. 2021. eprint: [arXiv:2107.04104](https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.04104).
- [Car57] Henri Cartan. “Quotient d’un espace analytique par un groupe d’automorphismes”. In: *Algebraic geometry and topology*. A symposium in honor of S. Lefschetz, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1957, pp. 90–102.
- [CG16] Andrea Cattaneo and Alice Garbagnati. “Calabi-Yau 3-folds of Borcea-Voisin type and elliptic fibrations”. In: *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* 68.4 (2016), pp. 515–558. ISSN: 0040-8735. DOI: 10.2748/tmj/1486177214. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2748/tmj/1486177214>.
- [CH07] Sławomir Cynk and Klaus Hulek. “Higher-dimensional modular Calabi-Yau manifolds”. In: *Canad. Math. Bull.* 50.4 (2007), pp. 486–503. ISSN: 0008-4395. DOI: 10.4153/CMB-2007-049-9. URL: <https://doi.org/10.4153/CMB-2007-049-9>.
- [Dil12a] Jimmy Dillies. “On some order 6 non-symplectic automorphisms of elliptic K3 surfaces”. In: *Albanian J. Math.* 6.2 (2012), pp. 103–114. ISSN: 1930-1235.
- [Dil12b] Jimmy Dillies. “On some order 6 non-symplectic automorphisms of elliptic K3 surfaces”. In: *Albanian J. Math.* 6.2 (2012), pp. 103–114. ISSN: 1930-1235.
- [Dix+85] L. Dixon et al. “Strings on orbifolds”. In: *Nuclear Phys. B* 261.4 (1985), pp. 678–686. ISSN: 0550-3213. DOI: 10.1016/0550-3213(85)90593-0. URL: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213\(85\)90593-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(85)90593-0).
- [Dix+86] L. Dixon et al. “Strings on orbifolds. II”. In: *Nuclear Phys. B* 274.2 (1986), pp. 285–314. ISSN: 0550-3213. DOI: 10.1016/0550-3213(86)90287-7. URL: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213\(86\)90287-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(86)90287-7).
- [GH94] Phillip Griffiths and Joseph Harris. *Principles of algebraic geometry*. Wiley Classics Library. Reprint of the 1978 original. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1994, pp. xiv+813. ISBN: 0-471-05059-8. DOI: 10.1002/9781118032527. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118032527>.
- [GS13] Alice Garbagnati and Alessandra Sarti. “On symplectic and non-symplectic automorphisms of K3 surfaces”. In: *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* 29.1 (2013), pp. 135–162. ISSN: 0213-2230. DOI: 10.4171/RMI/716. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4171/RMI/716>.
- [HH90] Friedrich Hirzebruch and Thomas Höfer. “On the Euler number of an orbifold”. In: *Math. Ann.* 286.1-3 (1990), pp. 255–260. ISSN: 0025-5831. DOI: 10.1007/BF01453575. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01453575>.
- [Keu15] JongHae Keum. “K3 surfaces with an automorphism of order 66, the maximum possible”. In: *J. Algebra* 426 (2015), pp. 273–287. ISSN: 0021-8693. DOI: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2014.12.018. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2014.12.018>.
- [Pet86] C. A. M. Peters. “Some applications of the Lefschetz fixed point theorems in (complex) algebraic geometry”. In: *The Lefschetz centennial conference, Part I (Mexico City, 1984)*. Vol. 58.

- Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986, pp. 213–221. DOI: 10.1090/conm/058.1/860416.
URL: <https://doi.org/10.1090/conm/058.1/860416>.
- [Roa89] Shi-Shyr Roan. “On the generalization of Kummer surfaces”. In: *J. Differential Geom.* 30.2 (1989), pp. 523–537. ISSN: 0022-040X. URL: <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.jdg/1214443600>.
- [TS17] Dima Al Tabbaa and Alessandra Sarti. “Order eight non-symplectic automorphisms on elliptic $K3$ surfaces”. In: *Nieznane czasopismo* (2017). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.01184>.
- [Uen76] Kenji Ueno. “A remark on automorphisms of Enriques surfaces”. In: *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I A Math.* 23.1 (1976), pp. 149–165.
- [Voi93] Claire Voisin. “Miroirs et involutions sur les surfaces $K3$ ”. In: 218. Journées de Géométrie Algébrique d’Orsay (Orsay, 1992). 1993, pp. 273–323.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI, WYDZIAŁ MATEMATYKI UL. ŁOJASIEWICZA 6, 30-348 KRAKÓW, POLAND
Email address: dominik.burek@uj.edu.pl