

RANK-TWO REFLEXIVE SHEAVES ON THE PROJECTIVE SPACE WITH SECOND CHERN CLASS EQUAL TO FOUR

MARCOS JARDIM AND ALAN MUNIZ

ABSTRACT. We study rank-two reflexive sheaves on \mathbb{P}^3 with $c_2 = 4$, expanding on previous results for $c_2 \leq 3$. We show that every spectrum not previously ruled out is realized. Moreover, moduli spaces are studied and described in detail for $c_1 = -1$ or 0 and $c_3 \geq 8$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Spectrum and cohomology of rank-2 reflexive sheaves	3
3. Serre's construction	6
4. Sheaves corresponding to extremal curves	11
5. A class of unobstructed reflexive sheaves	16
6. Examples of stable reflexive sheaves with $c_1 = 0$ and $c_3 \leq 6$	18
7. Stable reflexive sheaves with $c_1 = 0$ and $c_3 = 8$	22
8. Stable reflexive sheaves with $c_1 = 0$ and $c_3 = 10$	27
9. Stable reflexive sheaves with $c_1 = 0$ and $c_3 = 12$	29
10. Examples of stable reflexive sheaves with $c_1 = -1$ and $c_3 \leq 6$	31
11. Stable reflexive sheaves with $c_1 = -1$ and $c_3 = 8$	35
12. Stable reflexive sheaves with $c_1 = -1$ and $c_3 = 10$	40
13. Stable reflexive sheaves with $c_1 = -1$ and $c_3 = 12$	42
References	43

1. INTRODUCTION

Stable rank-2 reflexive sheaves have been the subject of an influential article by Hartshorne [15] more than 40 years ago, and numerous authors have studied them since then. Most relevant for the present paper, Chang provided in [7] a complete description of the moduli spaces when the second Chern class $c_2 \leq 3$. While Miró-Roig [26] and Chang [6] established existence results for sheaves with $c_2 \geq 4$ and a given third Chern class. This work aims to study moduli spaces of stable rank-2 reflexive sheaves with $c_2 = 4$.

(MJ, AM) UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DE CAMPINAS (UNICAMP), INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA, ESTATÍSTICA E COMPUTAÇÃO CIENTÍFICA (IMECC), DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, RUA SÉRGIO BUARQUE DE HOLANDA, 651, 13083-970 CAMPINAS-SP, BRAZIL

E-mail addresses: jardim@unicamp.br, amuniz@ime.unicamp.com, alanmuniz@gmail.com.

Date: December 2023.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14F06, 14D20.

Key words and phrases. Reflexive sheaf, moduli space, Serre correspondence.

Beyond Chern classes, rank-2 reflexive sheaves admit finer numerical invariants, also introduced by Hartshorne in [15], called the *spectrum*. This is a multi-set of integers satisfying some strict conditions, cf. Theorem 4 below. Our first step is to list all possible spectra for $c_2 = 4$, cf. Tables 1 and 2 below. Two of these spectra (marked with asterisks) were known to be unrealizable. In particular, there are no rank-2 reflexive sheaves F with $(c_1, c_2, c_3) = (-1, 4, 14)$, cf. [26, Theorem A] or [6, Proposition 2]. As part of our work, we exhibit sheaves evincing each other spectra.

Let $\mathcal{R}(c_1, c_2, c_3)$ denote the moduli space of stable rank-2 reflexive sheaves F with Chern classes (c_1, c_2, c_3) . For $c_2 = 4$, essentially two situations have been studied in the literature: the case of locally free sheaves (i.e., $c_3 = 0$) and the case of maximal c_3 (that is, $c_3 = 14$ when $c_1 = 0$ and $c_3 = 16$ when $c_1 = -1$). Let us revise the available results. The case of vector bundles was described by Barth [1] and Chang [5] (for $c_1 = 0$) and by Bănică and Manolache [3] (for $c_1 = -1$). These authors have shown that each of the moduli spaces $\mathcal{R}(c_1, 4, 0)$ consists of exactly two irreducible components; both are of expected dimension 29 in the case $c_1 = 0$, while one of the components is oversized in the case $c_1 = -1$. For maximal c_3 , the moduli spaces were described by Hartshorne in [15] and Chang [6]. More precisely, both $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 14)$ and $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 16)$ are irreducible, nonsingular, and rational of dimension 29, cf. [6, Theorem 5] and [15, Theorem 9.2], respectively. Note that the former has the expected dimension, while the latter is oversized. This paper examines the remaining cases for $\mathcal{R}(c_1, 4, c_3)$. To be precise, we prove the following results.

Theorem 1. *Set $c_1 = 0$.*

- (i) *For $1 \leq c_3 \leq 6$, the moduli scheme $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, c_3)$ has a generically reduced and irreducible component of expected dimension 29.*
- (ii) *The moduli scheme $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)$ is generically smooth, unirational of dimension 29. Moreover, the reduction $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)_{\text{red}}$ is irreducible.*
- (iii) *The moduli scheme $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 10)$ is smooth, irreducible, unirational of dimension 29.*
- (iv) *The moduli scheme $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 12)$ possesses two irreducible components*
 - *$\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 12)_0$ is smooth, unirational of dimension 29;*
 - *$\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 12)_1$ is non-reduced of dimension 29, and $(\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 12)_1)_{\text{red}}$ is smooth and rational.*

Further details on the structure of $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)$, $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 10)$, and $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 12)$ are provided in Theorem 25, Theorem 26, and Theorem 28, respectively. Remarkably, $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 12)_1$ is non-reduced at a general point; the reduction $(\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 12)_1)_{\text{red}}$ has already been described by Chang in [6, Theorem 10]. To the extent of our knowledge, the moduli spaces $\mathcal{R}(c_1, c_2, c_3)$ previously known to have generically non-reduced irreducible components are: $\mathcal{R}(0, 13, 66)$, $\mathcal{R}(0, 13, 74)$, and $\mathcal{R}(-1, 14, 88)$, by Kleppe in [21, p.1138]; and $\mathcal{R}(0, 14, 0)$ by Lavrov in [23]. Both Kleppe's and Lavrov's examples are based on the notorious non-reduced component of the Hilbert Scheme $\text{Hilb}^{14,24}(\mathbb{P}^3)$, of curves of degree 14 and genus 24, described by Mumford in [27]. Note that the value of c_2 in our example is much lower; furthermore, our example is independent of Mumford's result. Finally, it is worth pointing out that we do not know whether $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)$ is reduced.

Theorem 2. *Set $c_1 = -1$.*

- (i) *For $1 \leq c_3 \leq 6$, the moduli scheme $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, c_3)$ has a generically reduced and irreducible component of the expected dimension 27.*

- (ii) The moduli scheme $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8)$ is generically smooth of dimension 27, singular along a subvariety of codimension 1. Furthermore, the reduction $(\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8))_{\text{red}}$ is irreducible.
- (iii) The moduli scheme $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 10)$ is integral, unirational of dimension 27, smooth away from codimension 2.
- (iv) The moduli scheme $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 12)$ is integral, smooth, unirational of dimension 27.

Further details on the structure of $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8)$, $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 10)$ and $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 12)$ are provided in Theorem 35, Theorem 38 and Theorem 40, respectively. The remarkable feature of Theorem 2 is that the moduli schemes $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, c_3)$ for $c_3 = 8, 10, 12$ are much simpler than their counterparts with $c_1 = 0$.

This paper is organized as follows. The initial sections 2 and 3 contain a revision of mostly known facts about the spectra for stable rank-2 reflexive sheaves and the Serre correspondence between such sheaves and space curves, with a focus on sheaves on $c_2 = 4$. The main new contribution here is classifying all spectra for stable rank-2 reflexive sheaves with $c_2 = 4$, cf. Tables 1 and 2. Sections 4 and 5 establish some essential technical results. Sections 6 and 10 present examples of sheaves with $c_3 \leq 6$, while the remaining sections provide a complete description of the moduli spaces $\mathcal{R}(c_1, 4, c_3)$ for $c_3 \geq 8$.

Some of our results were driven by empiric evidence gained through the Macaulay2 computer algebra system [11]. We included ancillary files `refshsetup.m2` and `examples.m2` with all the functions and examples produced in this work. These files are also available upon request to the authors.

Acknowledgments. MJ is supported by the CNPQ grant number 305601/2022-9 and the FAPESP Thematic Project number 2018/21391-1. AM is supported by INCTmat/MCT/Brazil, CNPq grant number 160934/2022-2.

2. SPECTRUM AND COHOMOLOGY OF RANK-2 REFLEXIVE SHEAVES

We start by collecting basic facts about the rank-2 reflexive sheaves on \mathbb{P}^3 , with Chern classes denoted by c_1, c_2 and c_3 . We will assume that the sheaves are normalized, i.e., $c_1 \in \{-1, 0\}$, and that $c_2 = 4$. In this case, the Hirzebruch–Riemann–Roch Theorem reads as follows.

Lemma 3 (HRR). *Let F be a normalized rank-2 reflexive sheaf with second Chern class $c_2 = 4$. Then,*

$$\chi(F(l)) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3}l^3 + 2l^2 - \frac{1}{3}l + \frac{c_3}{2} - 6, & \text{if } c_1 = 0; \\ \frac{1}{3}l^3 + \frac{3}{2}l^2 - \frac{11}{6}l + \frac{c_3}{2} - 5, & \text{if } c_1 = -1. \end{cases}$$

In particular, c_3 is even.

Given a normalized rank-2 reflexive sheaf F on \mathbb{P}^3 , such that $h^0(F(-1)) = 0$, there exists a unique list of integers $\{k_1, \dots, k_{c_2(F)}\}$, encoding partial information on its cohomology, called the *spectrum* of F . It was first defined by Barth and Elençwajg [2] for locally free sheaves and later extended to reflexive sheaves by Hartshorne [15, Section 7]. The following properties characterize the spectrum of F :

$$\text{S1) } h^1(F(p)) = \sum_i h^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(k_i + p + 1)), \text{ for each } p \leq -1;$$

$$\text{S2) } h^2(F(p)) = \sum_i h^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(k_i + p + 1)), \text{ for each } p \geq -3 \text{ if } c_1 = 0 \text{ and } p \geq -2 \text{ if } c_1 = -1.$$

Moreover, $c_3 = -2 \sum k_i + c_1 c_2$.

In the other direction, for given c_1 , c_2 , and c_3 , one may determine all the possible spectra using the following criteria, cf. [15, Theorem 7.5].

Theorem 4 (Hartshorne). *Let F be a normalized rank-2 reflexive sheaf such that $h^0(F(-1)) = 0$ and let $\{k_i\}$ be its spectrum, then:*

- (i) *If there exists $k > 0$ in the spectrum, then $1, 2, \dots, k$ also occur in the spectrum;*
- (ii) *If there exists $k < -1$ in the spectrum, then $-1, -2, \dots, k$ also occur if $c_1 = 0$, and $-2, \dots, k$ also occur if $c_1 = -1$.*

In addition, if F is stable, then:

- (i) *If there exists $k > 0$ in the spectrum, then 0 also occurs;*
- (ii) *If there exists $k < -1$ in the spectrum, then -1 also occurs and, if $c_1 = 0$ then, either 0 also occurs or -1 occurs at least twice.*

Fixing $c_2 = 4$ and $c_1 \in \{-1, 0\}$, we get the following lists of spectra. Recall that $c_3 \leq c_2^2 + (1 + c_1)(2 - c_2)$ for a stable F , cf. [15, Theorem 8.2].

c_3	spectra
0	$\{0, 0, 0, 0\}$
	$\{-1, 0, 0, 1\}$
2	$\{-1, 0, 0, 0\}$
	$\{-1, -1, 0, 1\}$
4	$\{-1, -1, 0, 0\}$
	$\{-2, -1, 0, 1\}$
6	$\{-1, -1, -1, 0\}$
	$\{-2, -1, 0, 0\}$

c_3	spectra
8	$\{-1, -1, -1, -1\}$
	$\{-2, -1, -1, 0\}$
10	$\{-2, -1, -1, -1\}$
	$*\{-2, -2, -1, 0\}$
12	$\{-3, -2, -1, 0\}$
	$\{-2, -2, -1, -1\}$
14	$\{-3, -2, -1, -1\}$

TABLE 1. Possible spectra for stable rank-2 reflexive sheaves with $c_1 = 0$ and $c_2 = 4$. The spectrum marked with an asterisk is not realized by a stable rank-2 reflexive sheaf, cf. Remark 5.

c_3	spectra
0	$\{-1, -1, 0, 0\}$
	$\{-2, -1, 0, 1\}$
2	$\{-1, -1, -1, 0\}$
	$\{-2, -1, 0, 0\}$
4	$\{-1, -1, -1, -1\}$
	$\{-2, -1, -1, 0\}$
6	$\{-2, -1, -1, -1\}$
	$\{-2, -2, -1, 0\}$

c_3	spectra
8	$\{-2, -2, -1, -1\}$
	$\{-3, -2, -1, 0\}$
10	$\{-2, -2, -2, -1\}$
	$\{-3, -2, -1, -1\}$
12	$\{-3, -2, -2, -1\}$
14	$*\{-3, -3, -2, -1\}$
16	$\{-4, -3, -2, -1\}$

TABLE 2. Possible spectra for stable rank-2 reflexive sheaves with $c_1 = -1$ and $c_2 = 4$. The spectrum marked with an asterisk is not realized by a stable rank-2 reflexive sheaf, cf. Remark 5.

Remark 5. There is no stable rank-two reflexive sheaf whose spectrum is either $\{-2, -2, -1, 0\}$, when $c_1 = 0$, or $\{-3, -3, -2, -1\}$, when $c_1 = -1$. The first claim follows from [16, Example 5.1.3]. For the second, it follows from [26, Theorem A] that $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 14)$ is empty, cf. also [6, Proposition 2] and [16, Example 5.1.4]. Both cases can be proved independently by studying Serre's correspondence and the constraints in cohomology discussed below.

From Tables 1 and 2 and the properties of the spectrum and the Hilbert Polynomials discussed above, we derive the following immediate results.

Lemma 6. *If F is a stable rank-2 reflexive sheaf with $c_2 = 4$, then $h^2(F(2)) = 0$ and $h^2(F(1)) \leq 1$ with equality only if $c_1 = -1$ and $c_3 = 16$.*

Proof. This follows from property 2 and checking in Tables 1 and 2 that -5 does not appear in any spectrum, and -4 only occurs if $c_1 = -1$ and $c_3 = 16$. \square

Lemma 7. *If F is a stable rank-2 reflexive sheaf with $c_2 = 4$, then $h^0(F(2)) > 0$. Moreover, if either when $c_1 = 0$ and $c_3 \geq 10$ or $c_1 = -1$ and $c_3 \geq 12$, then $h^0(F(1)) > 0$.*

Proof. Stability implies that $h^3(F(k)) = 0$ for $k \geq -4$; then, from the formulas in Lemma 3 and Lemma 6, we get

$$(1) \quad \chi(F(2)) = h^0(F(2)) - h^1(F(2)) = \begin{cases} \frac{c_3}{2} + 4, & \text{if } c_1 = 0; \\ \frac{c_3}{2}, & \text{if } c_1 = -1. \end{cases}$$

If either $c_1 = 0$, or $c_1 = -1$ and $c_3 > 0$, it immediately follows that $h^0(F(2)) > 0$. If $c_1 = -1$ and $c_3 = 0$, this was proved in [3, Lemma 1]. Their argument is as follows. Assuming by contradiction that $h^0(F(2)) = 0$, one would get F is 3-regular and a general global section of $F(3)$ vanishes along a smooth curve of degree 10 and genus 6. Moreover, C is canonical, i.e., $\omega_C = \mathcal{O}_C(1)$. However, every

such curve is contained in a quartic surface (cf. [12, p.58]), which is absurd since $h^0(F(2)) = h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(4))$.

The formulas in Lemma 3 also yield

$$(2) \quad \chi(F(1)) = h^0(F(1)) - h^1(F(1)) + h^2(F(1)) = \begin{cases} \frac{c_3}{2} - 4, & \text{if } c_1 = 0; \\ \frac{c_3}{2} - 5, & \text{if } c_1 = -1. \end{cases}$$

When either $c_1 = 0$ and $c_3 \geq 10$, or $c_1 = -1$ and $c_3 \geq 12$, we get $h^0(F(1)) > 0$ as desired. \square

3. SERRE'S CONSTRUCTION

Let F be a rank-2 reflexive sheaf and let $\sigma \in H^0(F(k))$, for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, without zeros in codimension one. Then σ induces an exact sequence

$$(3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \longrightarrow F(k) \xrightarrow{\sigma^\vee} \mathcal{I}_C(2k + c_1) \longrightarrow 0$$

where C is the vanishing locus of σ ; this is a curve, i.e., a locally Cohen–Macaulay scheme of pure dimension one. Note that the sequence above corresponds to a nontrivial element of $\xi \in \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{I}_C(2k + c_1), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \simeq H^0(\omega_C(4 - 2k - c_1))$ and that the sheaf F is reflexive if and only if ξ , as a section of $\omega_C(4 - 2k - c_1)$, vanishes in dimension 0 at most.

On the other hand, given a pair (C, ξ) consisting of a curve and a section in $H^0(\omega_C(4 - 2k - c_1))$ vanishing only in dimension 0, one produces a pair (F, σ) consisting of a rank-2 reflexive sheaf and a global section $\sigma \in H^0(F(k))$. This is the content of the Serre correspondence; for details, we refer to [15, §4]. The sheaf F is stable if and only if $H^0(\mathcal{I}_C(k + c_1)) = 0$.

When $c_2(F) = 4$, Lemma 7 implies that it is enough to consider $k = 1, 2$. It may be the case that $h^0(F(1)) > 0$, and $F(1)$ corresponds to a curve C satisfying:

$$(4) \quad \deg(C) = c_1 + 5 \quad \text{and} \quad p_a(C) = \frac{c_3}{2} + \frac{1}{2}(c_1 + 5)(c_1 - 2) + 1.$$

Due to stability, $h^0(F) = h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2 + c_1)) = 0$, i.e., C is not contained in a surface of degree $c_1 + 1$ or less.

When $h^0(F(1)) = 0$, the sheaf $F(2)$ corresponds to a curve C satisfying:

$$(5) \quad \deg(C) = 2c_1 + 8 \quad \text{and} \quad p_a(C) = \frac{c_3}{2} + (c_1 + 4)c_1 + 1.$$

Moreover, C is not contained in a surface of degree $c_1 + 2$ or less.

Also important is to consider a relative version of the Serre correspondence, i.e., the correspondence between families of pairs. This is well-known to specialists, though rarely spelled out in articles. Let us explain this in some detail.

Given a flat family of curves \mathcal{C} regarded as a subvariety of the appropriate Hilbert Scheme $\text{Hilb}^{d,g}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ of curves of degree d and arithmetic genus g , let $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{P}^3$ be the universal curve and $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{C}}$ be the universal ideal sheaf on $\mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{P}^3$. Let π_1 and π_2 be the projections of $\mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{P}^3$ onto its first and second factors, respectively. If we assume that \mathcal{C} is integral and that the function $C \mapsto h^0(\omega_C(4 - 2k - c_1))$ is constant on \mathcal{C} , then the sheaf of relative Ext groups

$$\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{E}xt_{\pi_1}^1(\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{C}}(k + c_1), \pi_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-k))$$

is a vector bundle over \mathcal{C} parameterizing pairs (C, ξ) . Indeed, the complex \mathcal{L}^\bullet in [22, Corollary 1.2] has all the properties needed to prove a version of Grauert's

Theorem [14, III Corollary 12.9] for the variation of Ext. In the analytic category, this was done by Bănică, Putinar, and Schumacher in [4, Satz 3, (ii)].

Moreover, for $k > 0$ and $c_1 \in \{0, 1\}$, we have $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}_C(k + c_1), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-k)) = 0$ for every C . By [22, Corollary 4.5], there exists a universal extension on $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \times \mathbb{P}^3$:

$$0 \longrightarrow p_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-k) \otimes p_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1) \longrightarrow \mathbf{F} \longrightarrow (\rho \times 1)^* \mathcal{I}_C \otimes p_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(k + c_1) \longrightarrow 0$$

where p_i are the canonical projections of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \times \mathbb{P}^3$ and $\rho: \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is the structural morphism. Then \mathbf{F} is a flat family of torsion-free sheaves. We are interested in the following open subset of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$:

$$\mathcal{U} := \{(C, \xi) \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \mid \text{either } \dim(\xi)_0 = 0 \text{ or } (\xi)_0 = \emptyset\},$$

where $(\xi)_0$ denotes the zero locus of ξ as a section of $\omega_C(4 - 2k - c_1)$. Hence, $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is a flat family of reflexive sheaves. If, moreover, $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(k + c_1)) = 0$ for every $C \in \mathcal{C}$, then $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is a family of stable reflexive sheaves. We obtain a morphism

$$\Psi: \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(c_1, c_2, c_3),$$

where c_2 and c_3 depend on d, g and k , as in [15, Theorem 4.1]. The fiber over F can be identified with an open subset of $\mathbb{P}(H^0(F(k)))$. The closure of the image $\mathcal{F} := \overline{\Psi(\mathcal{U})}$ is an integral scheme parameterizing sheaves that correspond to curves in \mathcal{C} . To summarize this discussion, we state the following proposition.

Proposition 8. *Fix $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $c_1 \in \{-1, 0\}$. Let $\mathcal{C} \in \text{Hilb}^{d, g}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ be an integral family of curves of degree d and arithmetic genus g such that $h^0(\omega_C(4 - 2k - c_1))$ and $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2k + c_1))$ are constant on \mathcal{C} , and $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(k + c_1)) = 0$ for every $C \in \mathcal{C}$. Then Serre correspondence gives an irreducible family of sheaves $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{R}(c_1, c_2, c_3)$. Moreover, the dimensions are related by the following formula:*

$$(6) \quad \dim \mathcal{F} + h^0(F(k)) = \dim \mathcal{C} + h^0(\omega_C(4 - 2k - c_1)).$$

Remark 9. The formula in display (6) relates the dimensions of a family of curves to the corresponding family of sheaves. We refer to [21] for a deformation theoretic approach to Serre correspondence. In particular, for a formula similar to (6) regarding Zariski tangent spaces to the respective moduli spaces, cf. [21, Theorem 2.1].

Finally, we also implemented the Serre correspondence in the computer algebra system Macaulay2 [11]. In the ancillary file `refshsetup.m2`, we have the function `Serre(C, k)`, which computes a random extension of \mathcal{I}_C by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-k)$, if it exists. This computational approach will be used to provide explicit examples throughout the text.

3.1. Lemmas about curves. We will narrow down the types of curves relevant to our work. As usual, by a curve, we mean a Cohen-Macaulay subscheme of \mathbb{P}^3 of pure dimension one. One tool we will need to describe these curves is Liaison (or Linkage) Theory; our primary reference is [24, III].

Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a curve and let X be the complete intersection of two surfaces of degrees s and t containing C . Applying $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}}(-, \mathcal{O}_X)$ to the canonical map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$, we get that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}}(\mathcal{O}_C, \mathcal{O}_X) \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ is the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_{\Gamma/X}$ of a curve $\Gamma \subset X$. Conversely $\mathcal{I}_{C/X} = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}}(\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}, \mathcal{O}_X)$. We say that C and Γ are *linked* by X . These curves must satisfy the following properties:

- P.1 $\deg(C) + \deg(\Gamma) = st$ and $p_a(C) - p_a(\Gamma) = (\deg(C) - \deg(\Gamma)) \frac{1}{2}(s + t - 4)$;
- P.2 For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(n)) = h^1(\mathcal{I}_{\Gamma}(s + t - n - 4))$;

- P.3 For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(n)) = h^0(\mathcal{I}_X(n)) + h^1(\mathcal{O}_\Gamma(s+t-n-4))$;
 P.4 $M_C \simeq M_\Gamma^*(4-s-t) := \text{Ext}_R^4(M_\Gamma, R(-s-t))$, where $R := H_*^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3})$. Here, the actual module structure is being considered.

For proof, cf. [24, III, Proposition 1.2].

A relative version of liaison is also available. Let $\mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\rho} \subset \text{Hilb}^{\text{d.g}}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ denote the Hilbert Scheme of curves with fixed postulation character γ and Rao function ρ . If $C \in \mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\rho}$ is linked to a curve $C' \in \mathcal{H}_{\gamma',\rho'}$ by surfaces of degree s and t then

$$(7) \dim_C \mathcal{H}_{\gamma,\rho} + h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(s)) + h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(t)) = \dim_{C'} \mathcal{H}_{\gamma',\rho'} + h^0(\mathcal{I}_{C'}(s)) + h^0(\mathcal{I}_{C'}(t))$$

cf. [24, VII, Corollaire 3.8] and also [20, Theorem 7.1]. An analogous dimension formula holds for linked families of curves.

From (4) and (5), we only need to deal with curves of degrees 4, 5, 6, and 8. Curves of degree 4 were described by Nollet and Schlesinger in [29]. However, not much is known in the literature about curves of higher degrees. We start by classifying some quintic curves appearing in our setting.

Lemma 10. *Let C be a non-planar curve of degree 5 on \mathbb{P}^3 . If $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) > 0$, then one of the following holds:*

- (i) $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = 2$ and C is extremal, there exists $C' \subset C$ a plane quartic;
- (ii) $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = 1$ and C is either
 - (a) a divisor of type $(0, 5)$ on a smooth quadric. In particular,

$$h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(t)) = \begin{cases} 4, & t \in \{0, 3\} \\ 6, & t \in \{1, 2\} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} .$$

- (b) a divisor of type $(1, 4)$ on a smooth quadric. In particular,

$$h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(t)) = \begin{cases} 2, & t \in \{1, 2\} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} .$$

- (c) a subextremal curve. In particular,

$$h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(t)) = \begin{cases} 2 - p_a(C), & t \in \{1, 2\} \\ \max\{1 - p_a(C) + t, 0\}, & t \leq 0 \\ \max\{4 - p_a(C) - t, 0\}, & t \geq 3 \end{cases} .$$

- (d) a negative genus curve on a double plane that is not subextremal.

Before we proceed to the proof, recall that a curve C is called extremal if it is not planar and $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) \geq 2$. In this case, C is either a twisted cubic, an elliptic quartic, or it contains a planar subcurve of degree one less than its degree. The terminology comes from the Rao function: extremal curves attain the maximum possible values for the Rao function. Analogously, subextremal curves have the maximal Rao functions among non-extremal curves. We refer to [18, §9] for properties of extremal and subextremal curves.

Proof. Assume that $r = h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) \geq 2$, i.e., C is extremal. By the previous paragraph, C must contain a planar quartic subcurve since $\deg(C) = 5$. Now assume that $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = 1$ and let Q be the quadric containing C .

If Q is smooth, then C is a divisor of bidegree $(a, 5-a)$ on $Q \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and $0 \leq a \leq 2$. It follows that either:

- $a = 0$ and C is linearly equivalent on Q to a disjoint union of 5 lines. In particular, one may compute: $h^1(\mathcal{I}_C) = 4$, $h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(1)) = 6$, $h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = 6$, $h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(3)) = 4$, and $h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(l)) = 0$ for $l < 0$ or $l > 3$.
- $a = 1$ and C can be linked to a disjoint union of 3 lines by the complete intersection of Q and a quartic surface containing C . Hence, one may compute $h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(1)) = h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = 2$ and $h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(l)) = 0$ for $l \notin \{1, 2\}$.
- $a = 2$ and C is linked to a line by the intersection of Q with a cubic surface. Hence, C is ACM of genus 2, which is subextremal.

If Q is a quadratic cone, then $Q \simeq \mathbb{P}_{(1,1,2)}$ embedded by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{(1,1,2)}}(2)$. The weighted degree map yields $\text{Pic}(Q) \simeq 2\mathbb{Z} \subset \text{Cl}(Q) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, cf. [9, §4]. Then, any Weil divisor on Q of even degree is Cartier. Moreover, any Cartier divisor of Q comes from the embedding into \mathbb{P}^3 . This is to say that any curve in Q of even degree is a complete intersection. Furthermore, any odd-degree curve in Q is linked to a general line through the cone's vertex, so it is ACM. In our case, C has degree 5, so it is an ACM curve of genus 2.

If $Q = H_1 \cup H_2$ is the union of two distinct planes, then taking $C \cap H_1$ yields

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y(-1) \xrightarrow{h_1} \mathcal{I}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z/H_1}(-P) \longrightarrow 0$$

where P is the one-dimensional component of $C \cap H_1$, and Z is a zero-dimensional scheme whose support is contained in $L := H_1 \cap H_2$. Also, the residual curve Y is contained in H_2 . Note that $\deg(C) = \deg(Y) + \deg(P)$, and, up to exchanging H_1 and H_2 , we may assume that $\deg(P) > \deg(Y)$. Since C is neither planar nor extremal, $\deg(P) = 3$ and C is a subextremal curve, cf. [18, Proposition 9.9]. Moreover, $p_a(C) = 2 - h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$.

If $Q = V(h^2)$ is a double plane, then let $H = V(h)$, let P be the maximal one-dimensional subscheme of $C \cap H$, and let Y be the residual scheme to $C \cap H$ in C . Thus,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y(-1) \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{I}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(-p) \longrightarrow 0$$

where Z is a 0-dimensional subscheme of H and $p = \deg P$. Due to [18, Proposition 2.1], $Z \subset Y \subset P \subset H$ and $\deg Y = 5 - p$ and $p_a(C) = 2 - h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$. Since C is not extremal, $p \leq 3$. On the other hand, $5 - p \leq p$ because $Y \subset P$, hence $p = 3$. If Z is contained in a line, then C is subextremal; this is always the case when $p_a(C) \geq 0$. \square

For curves of degree 6, we have the following result.

Lemma 11. *Let C be a degree-6 curve, and let g denote its arithmetic genus. If $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = 0$ and $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(3)) \geq 2$ then:*

- (i) C is obtained by direct link from a curve of degree 3 and genus $g - 3$.
- (ii) C is given by

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y(-1) \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{I}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(-d) \longrightarrow 0$$

where H is a hyperplane, $Z \subset H$ has dimension 0, and either

- (a) $d = 2$, Y is a complete intersection. In addition, $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(3)) = 2$ and $p_a(C) = 4 - h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) \leq 1$.
- (b) $d = 3$, Y is a (possibly degenerate) twisted cubic. Then, $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(3)) = 3$ and $p_a(C) = 3 - h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) \leq 2$.

(c) $d = 4$, Y is of degree 2 and genus $k < 0$. Moreover, $p_a(C) = 4 + k - h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$, and $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(3)) = 4$ if $k = -1$, and $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(3)) = 3$ if $k \leq -2$.

(iii) C has a subcurve of degree 5 lying on a quadric. Moreover, $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(3)) = 2$.

Proof. Let $f_1, f_2 \in H^0(\mathcal{I}_C(3))$ be general elements. If $\gcd(f_1, f_2) = 1$ then $V(f_1, f_2)$ link C to a curve Y of degree 3 and $g - 3$.

Now assume $\gcd(f_1, f_2) = f$ has degree 1, i.e., f divides every element of $H^0(\mathcal{I}_C(3))$. Taking the intersection $C \cap H$, we get a curve of degree $d \leq 5$ and a zero dimensional scheme Z , satisfying

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y(-1) \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{I}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(-d) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where Y is the residual curve. Note that $H^0(\mathcal{I}_Y(2)) \cdot h = H^0(\mathcal{I}_C(3))$ thus $h^0(\mathcal{I}_Y(2)) \geq 2$ and Y must be an extremal curve of degree $6 - d$. Note that $f_1 = hq_1$ and $f_2 = hq_2$ where $q_1, q_2 \in H^0(\mathcal{I}_Y(2))$ are coprime. Then $6 - d \leq 4$, i.e., $d \geq 2$. On the other hand, $d \leq 4$ since $d = 5$ would imply $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) > 0$.

For $d = 2$ we have that Y is a complete intersection; in particular $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(3)) = 2$. From the sequence above, we get that $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(1)) \leq h^1(\mathcal{I}_Y(2)) = 0$, hence $h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) \geq 3$. Taking Euler characteristics of the same sequence, we obtain

$$p_a(C) = \chi(\mathcal{I}_C) = \chi(\mathcal{I}_Y(-1)) + \chi(\mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(-2)) = 4 - h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) \leq 1$$

For $d = 3$ then $V(q_1, q_2)$ link Y to a line, hence Y is a (possibly degenerate) twisted cubic; hence $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(3)) = 3$. From the exact sequence above, $h^0(\mathcal{I}_{Z/H}) = 0$, then $h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) \geq 1$, and computing the genus we get $p_a(C) = 3 - h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) \leq 2$

If $d = 4$, then Y has degree 2, but $h^0(\mathcal{I}_Y(1)) = h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = 0$. Hence, Y has genus $k < 0$, and it is given, up to a linear change of coordinates, by an ideal of the form $I_Y = (x^2, xy, y^2, xf - yg)$, where $\deg f = \deg g = -k$, cf. [28]. Then $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(3)) = h^0(\mathcal{I}_Y(2)) = 3$ for $k \leq -2$ and $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(3)) = 4$ for $k = -1$. Moreover, the genus of C is $p_a(C) = 4 + k - h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) \leq 4 + k$.

Now assume $\gcd(f_1, f_2) = q$ of degree 2, i.e., q divides every element of $H^0(\mathcal{I}_C(3))$. Then, taking the intersection $C \cap Q$, we may argue as in the previous case. We have an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y(-2) \xrightarrow{q} \mathcal{I}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z/Q}(-C') \longrightarrow 0,$$

where C' is the one-dimensional part of $C \cap Q$ and Y is the residual curve. Note that $h^0(\mathcal{I}_Y(1)) = h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(3)) \geq 2$. Since $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = 0$, we have that Y is a line and C' is a degree 5 curve on a quadric surface. Moreover, $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(3)) = 2$. \square

Next, we use our knowledge of curves to provide upper bounds for the global sections of the corresponding sheaves.

Lemma 12. *Let F be a stable rank-2 reflexive sheaf with $c_2(F) = 4$ such that $h^0(F(1)) > 0$.*

- (i) *If $c_1(F) = 0$, then $h^0(F(1)) \leq 3$ and equality holds only when -3 figures in the spectrum; hence $c_3(F) \geq 12$.*
- (ii) *If $c_1(F) = -1$, then $h^0(F(1)) \leq 2$, and equality only occurs when $c_3(F) = 16$.*

Proof. If $c_1(F) = 0$, then the exact sequence in display (3) for $k = 1$ yields $h^0(F(1)) = 1 + h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2))$, so if $h^0(F(1)) > 1$, then C is contained in a quadric,

while stability implies that C is not planar; in addition, $\deg(C) = 5$ and $p_a(C) = c_3/2 - 4$ by (4).

Suppose that $h^0(F(1)) \geq 3$. Then C is an extremal curve of degree 5. By Lemma 10, $h^0(F(1)) = 3$. Moreover, we have an epimorphism $\mathcal{I}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(-4)$, where H is a plane and Z is a 0-dimensional subscheme of H . Composing this epimorphism with $F(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_C$ we see that H is an unstable plane of order 3 for F . Therefore $h^2(F) > 0$ and -3 occurs in the spectrum, and this only occurs when $c_3(F) \geq 12$ (see Table 1).

When $c_1(F) = -1$, then the exact sequence in display (3) for $k = 1$ yields $h^0(F(1)) = 1 + h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(1))$, so $h^0(F(1)) > 1$ if and only if C is a planar curve; note that $\deg(C) = 4$. Since $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(1)) > 1$ if and only if C is a line, we conclude that $h^0(F(1)) \leq 2$. Moreover, the equality can only occur when $p_a(C) = (\deg(C) - 2)(\deg(C) - 1)/2 = 3$; from the rightmost formula in display (4) we have $3 = c_3/2 - 5$, thus $c_3 = 16$. \square

4. SHEAVES CORRESPONDING TO EXTREMAL CURVES

Let C be a degree- d extremal curve, i.e., C is non-planar and $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) \geq 2$. This section describes the reflexive sheaves that can be built from C via Serre correspondence. First, we need to describe C better. If C is not ACM, then it has a planar subcurve $Y \subset C$ of degree $d - 1$ and a residual line L fitting in the following exact sequence

$$(8) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_L(-1) \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{I}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(1-d) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $H = V(h)$ is a hyperplane, and $Z \subset H \cap L$ is a zero-dimensional subscheme. Note that $h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) \geq 1$, since C is not ACM. It also follows from this sequence that $p_a(C) = \frac{1}{2}(d-2)(d-3) - h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$. The main result of this section is the following:

Proposition 13. *Let F be a normalized reflexive sheaf, $c_1 = c_1(F) \in \{0, -1\}$, such that a global section of $F(k)$ vanishes precisely at a non-ACM extremal curve C for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\xi \in H^0(\omega_C(4 - 2k - c_1))$ be the extension class associated with F . If $h^0(F) = 0$ then $k = 1$ and*

$$\text{ext}^2(F, F) \geq h^1(F(d - 6 - c_1))$$

with equality if $d \leq 5 + c_1$ and $\xi|_Z \neq 0$. In particular, if $\xi|_Z \neq 0$ then

$$(9) \quad \text{ext}^2(F, F) = \begin{cases} 1, & c_1 = 0, \text{ and } d = 5 \\ h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) - 1, & c_1 = -1, \text{ and } d = 4 \end{cases}.$$

If $\xi|_Z = 0$ then $c_1 = -1$, $h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) = 1$ and for $d = 4$ we have

$$(10) \quad \text{ext}^2(F, F) \leq 1.$$

We start by bounding k . Let F be a normalized reflexive sheaf and k be an integer such that C is the vanishing locus of a global section of $F(k)$. Then $F(k)$ corresponds to $\xi \in H^0(\omega_C(4 - 2k - c_1))$ with isolated zeros. Dualizing and twisting the sequence (8), we get

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(d - 2k - c_1) \rightarrow \omega_C(4 - 2k - c_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L(3 - 2k - c_1 - h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since ξ has isolated zeros, $\xi|_L \neq 0$ which implies $3 - 2k - c_1 - h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) \geq 0$. On the other hand, if we suppose that F is stable, then

$$2 \leq 2k \leq 3 - c_1 - h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z).$$

This leads to the following immediate lemma.

Lemma 14. *Let C be a non-ACM extremal curve, such that there exists $\xi \in H^0(\omega_C(4 - 2k - c_1))$ with isolated zeros. If $k \geq 1$ then $k = 1$ and either: $c_1 = 0$ and $h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) = 1$; or $c_1 = -1$ and $h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) \in \{1, 2\}$.*

A reflexive sheaf F as above fits in an exact sequence

$$(11) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_C(1 + c_1) \longrightarrow 0,$$

from which we know that $H_*^1(F) \cong M_C(1 + c_1)$ as $\kappa[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3]$ -modules. On the other hand, it follows from (8) that the Rao module of C is

$$M_C = \kappa[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3]/(l_1, l_2, p, q)$$

where $L = V(l_1, l_2)$, $Z = V(l_1, l_2, p)$, and q is a degree $d - 1$ polynomial not vanishing on Z . In particular, we can compute the dimensions

$$(12) \quad h^1(F(t)) = h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(t + 1 + c_1)) = \begin{cases} h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z), & -1 - c_1 \leq t \leq d - 3 - c_1 \\ h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) - 1, & t = -2 - c_1, d - 2 - c_1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

cf. [18, p.16]. Note that, $h^1(F(t)) = 0$ for $t \leq -2$.

Remark 15. It follows from the description above that h annihilates $H_*^1(F)$. Indeed, since $Z \subset H$, we have that $h = al_1 + bl_2 + cp$, where $c = 0$ if $h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) > 1$.

Proof of Proposition 13. We have already settled that $k = 1$ if $h^0(F) = 0$. Next, we compute $\text{ext}^2(F, F)$. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$(13) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(2 + c_1 - d) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_L(c_1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_C(1 + c_1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(2 + c_1 - d) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

where E is another reflexive sheaf. Applying $\text{Hom}(F, -)$ to the middle row we get

$$\rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(F, E) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^2(F, F) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^2(F, \mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(2 + c_1 - d)) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^3(F, E) \rightarrow .$$

On the other hand, from the leftmost column, we have that E has the resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(c_1 - 2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(c_1 - 1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0.$$

Applying $\text{Hom}(F, -)$ and Serre duality, we get $\text{Ext}^2(F, E) = \text{Ext}^3(F, E) = 0$ from $h^0(F) = 0$ and $h^1(F(t)) = 0$ for $t \leq -2$. Therefore,

$$\text{Ext}^2(F, F) \cong \text{Ext}^2(F, \mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(2 + c_1 - d)).$$

To compute $\text{Ext}^2(F, \mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(2 + c_1 - d))$, we apply $\text{Hom}(F, -)$ to the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(2 + c_1 - d) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(2 + c_1 - d) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \longrightarrow 0$$

to get

$$\rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(F, \mathcal{O}_Z) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(F, \mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(2 + c_1 - d)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(F, \mathcal{O}_H(2 + c_1 - d)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that $\text{Ext}^2(F, \mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$, since F is reflexive and $\dim Z = 0$.

We claim that $\text{Ext}^1(F, \mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$, unless $\xi|_Z = 0$; the proof will be given in Lemma 16 below. Therefore, if $\xi|_Z \neq 0$ we have:

$$\text{Ext}^2(F, F) \cong \text{Ext}^2(F, \mathcal{O}_H(2 + c_1 - d)) \cong \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_H(6 + c_1 - d), F)^*,$$

the rightmost isomorphism is Serre duality. To compute the latter we apply $\text{Hom}(-, F)$ to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(5 + c_1 - d) \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(6 + c_1 - d) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(6 + c_1 - d) \rightarrow 0$$

Then, we have:

$$H^0(F(d-5-c_1)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_H(6+c_1-d), F) \rightarrow H^1(F(d-6-c_1)) \xrightarrow{h} H^1(F(d-5-c_1)).$$

On the other hand, h annihilates the module $H_*^1(F)$, cf. Remark 15. Thus,

$$H^0(F(d-5-c_1)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_H(6+c_1-d), F) \rightarrow H^1(F(d-6-c_1)) \rightarrow 0,$$

and we have that $\text{ext}(F, F) \geq h^1(F(d-6-c_1))$ with equality when $d \leq 5 + c_1$, since $h^0(F) = 0$. Finally, to get (9) we only need to use (12).

If $\xi|_Z = 0$ we have, owing to Lemma 16, that $h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) = -c_1 = 1$ and $\text{Ext}^1(F, \mathcal{O}_Z) = 1$. Nonetheless, it follows from the computations in the preceding paragraph that

$$\text{ext}^2(F, F) \geq \text{ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_H(6+c_1-d), F) \geq h^1(F(d-6-c_1)).$$

Restricting to the case $d = 4$ we have that $\text{Ext}^2(F, \mathcal{O}_H(-3)) = 0$. Hence

$$\text{ext}^2(F, F) = \text{ext}^2(F, \mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(-3)) \leq \text{ext}^1(F, \mathcal{O}_Z) = 1.$$

□

Lemma 16. *Let F be a normalized reflexive such that $F(1)$ corresponds to an extremal curve C as in (8), with extension class $\xi \in H^0(\omega_C(2 - c_1))$. If $\xi|_Z = 0$ then $h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) = -c_1 = 1$ and $\text{ext}^1(F, \mathcal{O}_Z) = 1$, otherwise $\text{ext}^1(F, \mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$.*

Proof. The strategy is to compute the Ext groups explicitly from a free resolution for F , which is tied to the description of C . Up to a linear change of coordinates, we may assume $L = V(x_0, x_1)$, and we have four cases:

- (i) If $h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) = 1$ and $L \not\subset H$, then we can choose $h = x_2$ and $Z = V(x_0, x_1, x_2)$;
- (ii) If $h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) = 1$ and $L \subset H$, then we can choose $h = x_0$ and $Z = V(x_0, x_1, x_2)$;
- (iii) If $h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) = 2$ and Z is reduced, then we can choose $h = x_0$ and $Z = V(x_0, x_1, x_2x_3)$;
- (iv) If $h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) = 2$ and Z is not reduced, then we can choose $h = x_0$ and $Z = V(x_0, x_1, x_2^2)$.

In the first case, $\mathcal{I}_{Z/H}$ has the following resolution:

$$(14) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3) \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2)^{\oplus 3} \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} x_2 & 0 & -x_0 \\ 0 & x_2 & -x_1 \end{bmatrix}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z/H} \rightarrow 0.$$

This is the mapping cone associated with $\cdot x_2: \mathcal{I}_Z(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z$ and the Koszul resolution of \mathcal{I}_Z . With (14) and the Koszul resolution of \mathcal{I}_L in hand, we apply the Horseshoe Lemma to (8) to obtain, up to vector bundle automorphisms, the following resolution of \mathcal{I}_C :

$$(15) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2-d) \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3^{d-1} \end{bmatrix}} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1-d)^{\oplus 3} \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3) \end{array} \xrightarrow{N} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-d)^{\oplus 2} \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2)^{\oplus 2} \end{array} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_C \rightarrow 0$$

where, for some $f, g \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(d-2))$,

$$N = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 & 0 & -x_0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 & -x_1 & 0 \\ f x_1 - x_3^{d-1} & -f x_0 & 0 & x_0 \\ g x_1 & -g x_0 - x_3^{d-1} & 0 & x_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that (f, g) determines the extension class defining (8). Applying the Horseshoe Lemma to (11) yields

$$(16) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(c_1-1-d) \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3^{d-1} \end{bmatrix}} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(c_1-d)^{\oplus 3} \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(c_1-2) \end{array} \xrightarrow{A} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(c_1+1-d)^{\oplus 2} \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(c_1-1)^{\oplus 2} \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \end{array} \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$$

where the matrix A is the concatenation of N with another row:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 & 0 & -x_0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2 & -x_1 & 0 \\ f x_1 - x_3^{d-1} & -f x_0 & 0 & x_0 \\ g x_1 & -g x_0 - x_3^{d-1} & 0 & x_1 \\ f' x_1 & -f' x_0 & g' x_3^{d-1} & -g' x_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

for some $f' \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(d-2-c_1))$ and $g' \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-c_1))$. We remark that the extension class $\xi \in \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{I}_C(1+c_1), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1))$ defining F is precisely the class of the bottom row of A , modulo the module generated by the rows of N . This can be seen directly by applying $\mathcal{H}om(-, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1))$ to (15). Also note that $g'|_L \not\equiv 0$, otherwise L would be in the support of $\mathcal{E}xt^1(F, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3})$, hence F would not be reflexive.

Next, we compute the sheaves $\mathcal{E}xt^i(F, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ by applying the functor $\mathcal{H}om(-, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ to (16):

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z^{\oplus 5} \xrightarrow{A^T|_Z} \mathcal{O}_Z^{\oplus 4} \xrightarrow{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}} \mathcal{O}_Z \longrightarrow 0$$

We note that

$$A^T|_Z = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & g'(0, 0, 0, 1) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

If $c_1 = 0$, then g' is a constant. We have already pointed out that F is reflexive only if $g' \neq 0$, in which case the complex above is exact, except on the right. Then

we have $\mathcal{H}om(F, \mathcal{O}_Z) \cong \mathcal{O}_Z^{\oplus 2}$ and $\mathcal{E}xt^j(F, \mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$ for $j \geq 1$. It follows from the local-to-global spectral sequence that

$$\text{Ext}^1(F, \mathcal{O}_Z) = 0.$$

For $c_1 = -1$ we have that g' is a degree-1 polynomial. Thus, for g' generic, we get the vanishing of Ext groups as before. But for $g' = a x_2$ we get $\mathcal{E}xt^1(F, \mathcal{O}_Z) \cong \mathcal{O}_Z$. Hence

$$\text{ext}^1(F, \mathcal{O}_Z) = h^0(\mathcal{E}xt^1(F, \mathcal{O}_Z)) = 1.$$

In the remaining cases, we repeat this argument *mutatis mutandis*. For the second, F has a resolution of the form (16) but the matrix A is now

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 & 0 & -x_0 & 0 \\ x_1 & -x_0 & 0 & 0 \\ -x_3^{d-1} & f x_2 & -f x_1 & x_0 \\ 0 & g x_2 - x_3^{d-1} & -g x_1 & x_1 \\ 0 & f' x_2 & g' x_3^{d-1} - f' x_1 & -g' x_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence, the proof is precisely as in the first case.

For the third and fourth cases, $h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) = 2$ and $c_1 = -1$, and we have a resolution for F of the form

$$(17) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3-d) \xrightarrow{B} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2-d)^{\oplus 2} \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1-d) \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3) \end{array} \xrightarrow{A} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1-d) \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-d) \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2)^{\oplus 2} \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \end{array} \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$$

In the third case, we have

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 x_3 \\ x_2^d + x_3^d \end{bmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & -x_0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_2 x_3 & 0 & -x_0 & 0 \\ x_2^d + x_3^d & f x_2 x_3 & -f x_1 & -x_0 \\ 0 & x_2^d + x_3^d + g x_2 x_3 & -g x_1 & -x_1 \\ 0 & f' x_2 x_3 & x_2^d + x_3^d - f' x_1 & -x_2 x_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

for some $f, g \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(d-2))$, and $f' \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(d-1))$. We have that Z is composed of two points $\{(0:0:0:1), (0:0:1:0)\}$. By symmetry, we will only analyze one of them, say $Z_1 = (0:0:0:1)$. It follows that

$$B|_{Z_1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad A|_{Z_1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus applying $\mathcal{H}om(-, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ to (17) and computing cohomology, we get $\mathcal{H}om(F, \mathcal{O}_Z) \cong \mathcal{O}_Z^{\oplus 2}$ and $\mathcal{E}xt^j(F, \mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$ for $j \geq 1$. Therefore, $\text{Ext}^1(F, \mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$.

Finally, we address the fourth case. We have a resolution (17) with maps given by $B = [x_0 \ x_1 \ x_2^2 \ x_2 x_3^{d-1} + x_3^d]^T$, and

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & -x_0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_2^2 & 0 & -x_0 & 0 \\ x_2 x_3^{d-1} + x_3^d & f x_2^2 & -f x_1 & -x_0 \\ 0 & x_2 x_3^{d-1} + x_3^d + g x_2^2 & -g x_1 & -x_1 \\ 0 & f' x_2^2 & x_2 x_3^{d-1} + x_3^d - f' x_1 & -x_2^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

for some $f, g \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(d-2))$, and $f' \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(d-1))$. Then, the restriction to Z gives

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \overline{x_2} + 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \overline{x_2} + 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{x_2} + 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \overline{x_2} + 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\overline{x_2}$ is the class of x_2 modulo x_2^2 . In particular, $\overline{x_2} + 1$ is a unity in \mathcal{O}_Z . Therefore, as in the previous case, we have $\text{Ext}^1(F, \mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$. \square

5. A CLASS OF UNOBSTRUCTED REFLEXIVE SHEAVES

Given a reflexive sheaf F on \mathbb{P}^3 (of arbitrary rank), one can find a locally free sheaf $L = \bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(a_i)$ and an epimorphism $L \rightarrow F$; the kernel of this epimorphism, call it E , is necessarily locally free by the Auslander–Buchsbaum formula, or one can use the exact sequence

$$(18) \quad 0 \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\varphi} L \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$$

to show that $\mathcal{E}xt^p(E, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) = 0$ for $p > 0$.

In this section, we will present some sufficient conditions that allow us to compute $\text{ext}^1(F, F)$ and guarantee that F is unobstructed as a point in the corresponding moduli space of reflexive sheaves (that is, $\text{ext}^2(F, F) = 0$).

Given two locally free sheaves E and L such that $\text{rk}(E) < \text{rk}(L)$, set

$$(19) \quad \mathbb{M}_0 := \{ \varphi \in \text{Hom}(E, L) \mid \text{either } D(\varphi) = \emptyset \text{ or } \dim D(\varphi) = 0 \}$$

where $D(\varphi) := \{ x \in \mathbb{P}^3 \mid \varphi(x) \text{ not injective} \}$ is the degeneration locus of the morphism φ . It follows that $F_\varphi := \text{coker}(\varphi)$ is reflexive for every $\varphi \in \mathbb{M}_0$.

Consider also the group

$$(20) \quad \mathbb{G} := (\text{Aut}(E) \times \text{Aut}(L)) / \kappa^* \cdot \text{id}.$$

Note that \mathbb{G} acts on \mathbb{M}_0 as follows:

$$(g, h) \cdot \varphi := h \circ \varphi \circ g^{-1}.$$

It is not difficult to see that if $\varphi' = (g, h) \cdot \varphi$, then $F_{\varphi'} \simeq F_\varphi$. If F_φ is μ -stable for every $\varphi \in \mathbb{M}_0$, we obtain a modular morphism

(21)

$$\Psi: \mathbb{M}_0 / \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{P}) \quad \text{given by} \quad \Psi(\mathbb{G} \cdot \varphi) = [F_\varphi],$$

where $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{P})$ denote the moduli space of μ -stable reflexive sheaves with Hilbert polynomial $\mathbf{P}(t) := P_L(t) - P_E(t)$, and F denote the isomorphism class of a sheaf F as a point in $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{P})$. The injectivity of Ψ is not automatic, though.

Lemma 17. *If $\text{Hom}(L, E) = \text{Ext}^1(E, L) = 0$, then an isomorphism $f: F_\varphi \xrightarrow{\sim} F_{\varphi'}$ lifts to unique isomorphisms $g \in \text{Aut}(E)$ and $h \in \text{Aut}(L)$ such that $\varphi' = h \circ \varphi \circ g^{-1}$, and the modular morphism Ψ is injective. If, in addition,*

$$\text{ext}^1(F_\varphi, F_\varphi) = \dim \mathbb{M}_0/\mathbb{G} = \text{hom}(E, L) - \text{hom}(E, E) - \text{hom}(L, L) + 1$$

for every $\varphi \in \mathbb{M}_0$, then the closure of the image of the modular morphism Ψ is an irreducible component of $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{P})$.

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & L & \longrightarrow & F_\varphi & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \searrow \tilde{f} & \downarrow f & \\ & & E & \xrightarrow{\varphi'} & L & \longrightarrow & F_{\varphi'} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where \tilde{f} is the obvious composition; the hypothesis implies that $\text{Hom}(L, F_{\varphi'}) \simeq \text{Hom}(L, L)$, thus \tilde{f} lift to a unique $h \in \text{Hom}(L, L)$, and then one can find a unique $g \in \text{Hom}(E, E)$ to complete the diagram. The hypothesis $\text{Hom}(L, E) = 0$ implies that g and h must be isomorphisms.

Regarding the second claim, note that

$$\dim \mathbb{M}_0/\mathbb{G} = \dim \mathbb{M}_0 - \dim \mathbb{G} = \text{hom}(E, L) - \text{hom}(E, E) - \text{hom}(L, L) + 1,$$

then, if this quantity, which is the dimension of the family of sheaves parameterized by \mathbb{M}_0/\mathbb{G} , is equal to $\text{ext}^1(F, F)$, the conclusion follows. \square

Next, we give a vanishing criterion for the obstruction space $\text{Ext}^2(F, F)$ that will be useful later.

Lemma 18. *If F is a k -regular reflexive sheaf satisfying $h^0(F(k-3)) = h^1(F(k-4)) = 0$, then $\text{Ext}^2(F, F) = 0$.*

Proof. Since F is k -regular, there is an epimorphism $\varepsilon: H^0(F(k)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-k) \rightarrow F$; setting $E := \ker(\varepsilon)$, one can check that E is $(k+1)$ -regular. Applying the functor $\text{Hom}(F, -)$ to the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow H^0(F(k)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-k) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0$$

we obtain, setting $r := h^0(F(k))$

$$\text{Ext}^2(F, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-k))^{\oplus r} \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^2(F, F) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^3(F, E) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^3(F, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-k))^{\oplus r}.$$

Serre duality yields $\text{Ext}^p(F, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-k)) \simeq H^{3-p}(F(k-4))^*$, and our hypothesis says that these vanish for $p = 2, 3$. It thus follows that $\text{Ext}^2(F, F) \simeq \text{Ext}^3(F, E)$.

To conclude the proof, we apply the functor $\text{Hom}(F, -)$ to the evaluation epimorphism

$$H^0(E(k+1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-k-1) \rightarrow E$$

to see that

$$(H^0(F(k-3))^{\oplus s})^* \simeq \text{Ext}^3(F, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-k-1))^{\oplus s} \rightarrow \text{Ext}^3(F, E)$$

where $s := h^0(E(k+1))$; since $h^0(F(k-3)) = 0$ by hypothesis, we conclude that $\text{Ext}^2(F, F) = 0$, as desired. \square

6. EXAMPLES OF STABLE REFLEXIVE SHEAVES WITH $c_1 = 0$ AND $c_3 \leq 6$

This section provides examples of rank-2 stable reflexive sheaves with $c_1 = 0$ and $2 \leq c_3 \leq 6$ for each possible spectrum in Table 1. First, we present examples of sheaves with $h^0(F(1)) = 0$.

Example 19 ($c_3 = 2z \leq 8$, spectrum $\{-1^z, 0^{4-z}\}$). Let C_1 and C_2 be two smooth elliptic quartic curves intersecting on a reduced zero-dimensional scheme Z ; let $C = C_1 \cup C_2$, and set $z := h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$. We further assume that $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(3)) = 0$; in particular, $z \leq 4$. Then we have

$$(22) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{C_1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C_2}(-Z) \longrightarrow 0$$

which we dualize to get

$$0 \longrightarrow \omega_{C_1} = \mathcal{O}_{C_1} \longrightarrow \omega_C \longrightarrow \omega_{C_2}(Z) = \mathcal{O}_{C_2}(Z) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Taking global sections, we obtain

$$H^0(\omega_C) = H^0(\mathcal{O}_{C_1}) \oplus H^0(\mathcal{O}_{C_2}(Z)),$$

thus $h^0(\omega_C) = z + 1$. Moreover, we note that there exists $\xi \in H^0(\omega_C)$ vanishing precisely on Z , so that the corresponding extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \longrightarrow F_z(2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_C(4) \longrightarrow 0$$

defines a stable reflexive sheaf F_z with $c_1 = 0$, $c_2 = 4$, and $c_3 = 2z$. Note that $h^0(F_z(1)) = h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(3)) = 0$. From (22) we also get

$$(23) \quad h^1(F_z(k-2)) = h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(k)) = \begin{cases} 0, & k \leq 0 \\ 4-z, & k = 1 \\ 6-z, & k = 2, \\ 4-z, & k = 3 \\ 0, & k \geq 4 \end{cases}$$

and $h^2(\mathcal{I}_C(1)) = 0$. Then $h^1(F_z(-2)) = h^2(F(-1)) = 0$ and neither 1 nor -2 can be in the spectrum of F_z . Thus, for $z = 1, 2, 3$, the spectrum of F_z is, respectively, $\{-1, 0, 0, 0\}$, $\{-1, -1, 0, 0\}$, and $\{-1, -1, -1, 0\}$.

Finally, using (22) and the vanishing $h^1(F_z(2)) = 0$, we obtain $h^0(F_z(2)) = 4 + z$.

	-2	-1	0	1	2
$h^0(F(p))$	0	0	0	0	$4 + z$
$h^1(F(p))$	0	$4 - z$	$6 - z$	$4 - z$	0
$h^2(F(p))$	z	0	0	0	0
$h^3(F(p))$	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 3. Cohomology table for F_z with spectrum $\{-1^z, 0^{4-z}\}$.

From the construction above, we can produce explicit examples of curves, hence of sheaves in these families using Macaulay2 [11]. One may verify with the ancillary Macaulay2 file `refshsetup.m2` and the code below that such sheaves are generically unobstructed.

```

load "refshsetup.m2";
Z = pts 4; -- 4 random points
F = flatten entries (
  (gens Z)*(matrix basis(2,Z))*random(R^(10 -degree Z),R^4)
);
C = intersect(ideal(F_0,F_1),ideal(F_2,F_3));
F = Serre(C,4); chern F
Ext^2(F,F) -- unobstructed

```

Proof that these sheaves are unobstructed eludes us at the moment. Nonetheless, by semi-continuity, these examples are enough to conclude that they are generically unobstructed. Hence, these families of sheaves lie on reduced irreducible components of the expected dimension.

Proposition 20. *For $1 \leq z \leq 3$, the moduli space $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 2z)$ has a reduced irreducible component of the expected dimension 29, whose general member is a sheaf of spectrum $\{-1^z, 0^{4-z}\}$.*

We remark that these families of sheaves do not fill their irreducible components. Note that for each z , the family \mathcal{C}_z of curves constructed above is parameterized by a $G(2, 8-z)$ -bundle over z copies of \mathbb{P}^3 . Thus, $\dim \mathcal{C}_z = 32 - z$. The corresponding family of sheaves has dimension $29 - z$, by (6).

Next, we provide examples of sheaves with $h^0(F(1)) > 0$ and the other spectra, starting with $c_3 = 2$.

Example 21 ($c_3 = 2$, spectrum $\{-1, -1, 0, 1\}$). Let Y be a smooth plane conic and D be the double structure on Y given by

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_D \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(1) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then D is a curve of degree 4 and $p_a(D) = -3$. Dualizing the sequence above and twisting it by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)$, we get:

$$0 \longrightarrow \omega_Y(2) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \longrightarrow \omega_D(2) \longrightarrow \omega_Y(1) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Hence, $\omega_D(2)$ has global sections vanishing only in dimension 0. In addition, we have that $h^0(\omega_D(2)) = 4$ and $h^1(\omega_D(2)) = 0$. Then let $C = D \cup L$, where L is a line meeting D at one point, hence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_D \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_L(-1) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We have that C is a curve of degree 5 and genus -3 . From this sequence, we also get

$$0 \longrightarrow \omega_D(2) \longrightarrow \omega_C(2) \longrightarrow \omega_L(3) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Taking global sections, we obtain, since $h^1(\omega_D(2)) = 0$:

$$H^0(\omega_C(2)) = H^0(\omega_D(2)) \oplus H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)).$$

It follows that $h^0(\omega_C(2)) = 6$ and there exists $\xi \in H^0(\omega_C(2))$ vanishing only in dimension 0. Therefore, (C, ξ) corresponds to a reflexive sheaf $F(1)$ such that $c_1(F) = 0$, $c_2(F) = 4$, and $c_3(F) = 2$. Note that

$$h^1(F(-1)) = h^1(\mathcal{I}_C) = h^1(\mathcal{I}_D) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_Y(1)) = 3.$$

Then, the spectrum of F is $\{-1, -1, 0, 1\}$.

	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3
$h^0(F(p))$	0	0	0	0	1	7	21
$h^1(F(p))$	0	1	3	5	4	2	0
$h^2(F(p))$	5	2	0	0	0	0	0
$h^3(F(p))$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 4. Cohomology table for F with spectrum $\{-1, -1, 0, 1\}$ as described above.

With the ancillary Macaulay2 file `refshsetup.m2` and the code below, one can check that the construction below gives an unobstructed reflexive sheaf F .

```
load "refshsetup.m2"
q = x_0*x_3 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_2*x_3;
D = topComponents((ideal(x_0,q))^2 + ideal(x_0*x_3^3-q*x_2^2));
C = intersect(D, ideal(x_1,x_2));
degree C, genus C
F = Serre(C,2); chern F
Ext^2(F,F) --unobstructed
```

Example 22 ($c_3 = 4$, spectrum $\{-2, -1, 0, 1\}$). For $c_3 = 4$, we construct the curve C in the following way. Let D be a double conic of genus -3 as before. Then define $C = D \cup L$, where L is a general line in the same plane as D_{red} . We get the following exact sequence.

$$(24) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_D \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_L(-2) \longrightarrow 0$$

In particular, $p_a(C) = \chi(\mathcal{I}_C) = \chi(\mathcal{I}_D) - \chi(\mathcal{O}_L(-2)) = -2$. Dualizing this sequence, we get

$$0 \longrightarrow \omega_D \longrightarrow \omega_C \longrightarrow \omega_L(2) \simeq \mathcal{O}_L \longrightarrow 0,$$

hence $H^0(\omega_C(2))$ has sections vanishing only in dimension 0; and $h^0(\omega_C(2)) = h^0(\omega_D(2)) + h^0(\mathcal{O}_L) = 7$. Therefore, a general $\xi \in H^0(\omega_C(2))$, (C, ξ) corresponds to a reflexive sheaf $F(1)$, such that $c_1(F) = 0$, $c_2(F) = c_3(F) = 4$. Moreover, taking the intersection of C with its supporting plane H yields

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(-3) \longrightarrow 0$$

where $Y = D_{\text{red}}$ and Z is 0-dimensional of length 4, cf. [18, Proposition 2.1]. From this sequence, one can show that $h^1(F(-1)) = h^1(\mathcal{I}_C) \geq 3$. Hence, the spectrum is $\{-2, -1, 0, 1\}$.

	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4
$h^0(F(p))$	0	0	0	0	2	9	23	48
$h^1(F(p))$	0	1	3	4	4	3	1	0
$h^2(F(p))$	6	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
$h^3(F(p))$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 5. Cohomology table for F with spectrum $\{-2, -1, 0, 1\}$ as described above.

For every example we computed in Macaulay2, we got $\text{ext}^2(F, F) = 1$. We wonder whether the component containing these sheaves is singular, oversized, or generically non-reduced. One can compute some examples with the code below:

```
load "refshsetup.m2";
q = x_0*x_3 + x_1^2+ x_2^2 + x_2*x_3;
Y = ideal(x_0,q);
D = (Y^2 + ideal(x_0*random(3, R)-q*random(2, R)));
L = ideal(x_0,x_1);
C = intersect(D, L); degree C, genus C
F = Serre(C, 2); chern F
Ext^2(F, F)
```

Example 23 ($c_3 = 6$, spectrum $\{-2, -1, 0, 0\}$). For $c_3 = 6$, we start with a double structure of genus -2 on a conic Y given by

$$(25) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_D \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(P) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $P \in Y$ is a point. Then, let $C = D \cup L$, where L is a general line in the same plane as Y . As in the previous case, we have

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_D \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_L(-2) \longrightarrow 0.$$

In particular $p_a(C) = p_a(D) + 1 = -1$. Dualizing this sequence, we get

$$0 \longrightarrow \omega_D \longrightarrow \omega_C \longrightarrow \omega_L(2) \simeq \mathcal{O}_L \longrightarrow 0.$$

Dualizing the sequence (25) we also get

$$0 \longrightarrow \omega_Y(2) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \longrightarrow \omega_D(2) \longrightarrow \omega_Y(1 - P) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We then conclude that there exist $\xi \in H^0(\omega_C(2))$ vanishing only in dimension 0. Moreover, $h^0(\omega_C(2)) = h^0(\omega_D(2)) + 1 = 6$. Therefore, (C, ξ) corresponds to a reflexive sheaf $F(1)$ such that $c_1(F) = 0$, $c_2(F) = 4$, and $c_3(F) = 6$. As in the previous case, we intersect C with its supporting plane H to get

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(-3) \longrightarrow 0$$

where Z is 0-dimensional of length 3. Therefore, $h^1(F(-1)) = h^1(\mathcal{I}_C) \geq 2$ and F is of spectrum $\{-2, -1, 0, 0\}$.

	-2	-1	0	1	2	3
$h^0(F(p))$	0	0	0	2	9	23
$h^1(F(p))$	0	2	3	3	2	0
$h^2(F(p))$	3	1	0	0	0	0
$h^3(F(p))$	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 6. Cohomology table for F with spectrum $\{-2, -1, 0, 0\}$ as described above.

The code below can produce examples of unobstructed sheaves.

```
load "refshsetup.m2"
q = x_0*x_3 + x_1^2+ x_2^2 + x_2*x_3;
p1 = x_1*random(1,R)+x_2*random(1,R)+x_3*random(1,R);
p2 = x_1*random(2,R)+x_2*random(2,R)+x_3*random(2,R);
D = topComponents ideal(x_1^2, x_1*q, q^2, x_1*p2-q*p1);
degree D, genus D
C = intersect(D, ideal(x_0,x_1)); degree C, genus C
F = Serre(C,2);
chern F
Ext^2(F,F) --unobstructed
```

Remark 24. Every example computed in this section and throughout this work is included in the ancillary file `examples.m2`. Despite our efforts, we were unable to construct sheaves with spectra $\{-1, -1, 0, 1\}$, $\{-2, -1, 0, 1\}$, or $\{-2, -1, 0, 0\}$, such that $h^0(F(1)) = 0$. Neither could we prove they do not exist.

7. STABLE REFLEXIVE SHEAVES WITH $c_1 = 0$ AND $c_3 = 8$

Let F be a stable rank-2 reflexive sheaf with $c_1 = 0$, $c_2 = 4$, and $c_3 = 8$. By Riemann-Roch and the possible spectra in Table 1, we have that $h^0(F(1)) = h^1(F(1))$, $h^2(F) = 0$ and $h^1(F(-1)) \leq 1$. By Lemma 12, $h^0(F(1)) \leq 2$. With this fact in mind, we set up the notation

$$\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)_{l,m} := \{F \in \mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8) \mid h^0(F(1)) = l, h^1(F(-1)) = m\},$$

where $0 \leq l \leq 2$ and $0 \leq m \leq 1$; so that

$$\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8) = \bigsqcup_{l,m} \mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)_{l,m}.$$

Note that the spectrum is constant in each piece: $\{-1, -1, -1\}$ for $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)_{l,0}$, and $\{-2, -1, 0\}$ for $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)_{l,1}$.

Theorem 25. *The space $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)$ is generically smooth of expected dimension 29. Moreover, $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)_{\text{red}} = \overline{\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)_{0,0}}$, $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)_{0,1} = \emptyset$, and the other $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)_{l,m}$ are hypersurfaces.*

	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3
$h^0(F(p))$	0	0	0	0	l	$8+k$	24
$h^1(F(p))$	0	0	m	2	l	k	0
$h^2(F(p))$	8	4	m	0	0	0	0
$h^3(F(p))$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 7. Cohomology table for $F \in \mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)_{l,m}$, where $k = m(l-1)$.

7.1. Description of $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)_{0,m}$. If $h^0(F(1)) = 0$, then $h^1(F(1)) = 0$ and F is 2-regular due to Castelnuovo-Mumford's criterion. Hence, we get

$$(26) \quad 0 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2)^{\oplus 8} \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0$$

where E is a rank 6 locally free sheaf. It follows that E is 3-regular, hence

$$0 \longrightarrow E' \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3)^{\oplus 8} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0,$$

where E' is a direct sum of line bundles. From a Chern class computation, we get $E' = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-4)^{\oplus 2}$. We conclude, cf. [10, proof of Lemma 34], that $E = \mathrm{TP}^3(-4)^{\oplus 2}$ and, in particular, we have $h^2(F(-1)) = h^3(E(-1)) = 0$, hence the spectrum of F is $\{-1, -1, -1, -1\}$. This implies that $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)_{0,1} = \emptyset$.

On the other hand, applying Lemmas 18 and 17 to the family described by (26) we get that $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)_{0,0}$ is smooth and unirational of dimension 29. Hence, the closure $\overline{\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)_{0,0}}$ is an irreducible component of $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)$.

7.2. Description of $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)_{1,m}$. Let $F \in \mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)_{1,m}$ and consider a curve C defined by a section in $H^0(F(1))$. Note that C has degree 5 and genus 0, and satisfies: $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = 0$, $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(3)) \geq 4$, and $h^1(\mathcal{I}_C) = m$. We have two cases: either there exist coprime elements $f, g \in H^0(\mathcal{I}_C(3))$, or there exists a linear form h dividing every element of $H^0(\mathcal{I}_C(3))$. The other possibility would be having a degree two polynomial q dividing $H^0(\mathcal{I}_C(3))$, but this would imply $q \in H^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2))$ since $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(3)) \geq 4$.

7.2.1. Case 1. Assume that there exist $f, g \in H^0(\mathcal{I}_C(3))$ relatively prime. Then $V(f, g)$ links C to a curve Y of degree 4 and genus -1 , which are described in [29, Proposition 6.1]. The Hilbert Scheme $\mathrm{Hilb}^{4,-1}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ has three irreducible components: \mathcal{H}_1 composed of extremal curves; \mathcal{H}_2 composed of subextremal curves, the general member is the disjoint union of two conics; \mathcal{H}_3 whose general member is the disjoint union of a twisted cubic and a line. In our case, $h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = h^1(F(1)) = 1$, then, by P.2, we have $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Y) = 1 < 2$ hence Y cannot be extremal. Therefore, we are left with two cases to deal with.

7.2.1.1. \mathcal{H}_3 Twisted cubic plus line. Let Y be a (possibly degenerate) disjoint union of a twisted cubic and a line, then $M_Y \simeq R/(x_0, x_1, x_2^2, x_2x_3, x_3^2)$, cf. the proof of [29, Proposition 4.2]. By P.4, we have that $M_C = \mathrm{Ext}_R^4(M_Y, R(-6))$,

hence we can compute the resolution

$$0 \rightarrow R(-6) \xrightarrow{B} \begin{array}{c} R(-5)^{\oplus 2} \\ \oplus \\ R(-4)^{\oplus 3} \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} R(-4) \\ \oplus \\ R(-3)^{\oplus 8} \end{array} \rightarrow R(-2)^{\oplus 7} \rightarrow R(-1)^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow M_C \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular, $m = h^1(\mathcal{I}_C) = 0$. Following [24, II §5.1] we can build a resolution for \mathcal{I}_C ; this construction relies on knowing the postulation character $\gamma_C = \{-1, -1, -1, 3, 1, -1\}$, which can be computed using P.3. We have then

$$(27) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-6) \xrightarrow{B \oplus 0} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-5)^{\oplus 2} \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-4)^{\oplus 3+c} \end{array} \xrightarrow{A} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3)^4 \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-4)^{\oplus c+1} \end{array} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_C \longrightarrow 0$$

for some $c \geq 0$. We may write the matrix A as a block matrix,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}^{4 \times 2} & A_{12}^{4 \times c+3} \\ A_{21}^{c+1 \times 2} & 0^{c+1 \times c+3} \end{bmatrix},$$

where the formats of the blocks are indicated in superscript. Note that A must have generic rank $4 + c$. But, on the other hand, A_{21} has generic rank at most 1, hence $c \leq 1$. If $c = 1$ then A has rank at most 4 along the quartic surface $V(\det A_{12})$, hence $c = 0$.

The scheme \mathcal{H}_3 parameterizing disjoint unions of a twisted cubic and a line is irreducible of dimension $12 + 4 = 16$. For any $Y \in \mathcal{H}_3$, we have that $h^0(\mathcal{I}_Y(3)) = 6$. Thus, the family \mathcal{G}_1 of curves C described by (27) is irreducible of dimension

$$\dim \mathcal{G}_1 = 16 + 2h^0(\mathcal{I}_Y(3)) - 2h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(3)) = 20,$$

cf. (7). We remark that a general member of \mathcal{G}_1 is a smooth rational quintic curve not contained in a quadric. Indeed, the moduli space of smooth quintics also has dimension 20, and a general X satisfies $h^0(\mathcal{I}_X(2)) = 0$. By the Riemann-Roch Theorem, we have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_X(3)) \geq 4$, and there are two cubics without a common factor containing X . Choosing two of these cubic surfaces, we link X to a curve Y of degree 4 and genus -1 satisfying $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Y(2)) = h^1(\mathcal{I}_X) = 0$ hence $Y \in \mathcal{H}_3$. Therefore, for $C \in \mathcal{G}_1$ we get

$$h^0(\omega_C(2)) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_C(-2)) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-10)) = 9.$$

7.2.1.2. \mathcal{H}_2 Two conics. Let Y be a (possibly degenerate) disjoint union of two plane conics, then Y is subextremal with Rao Module $M_Y \simeq R/(x_0, x_1, p, q)$, where p and q are quadratic polynomials in the variables x_2, x_3 . It follows that $M_C = \text{Ext}_R^4(M_Y, R(-6)) \cong M_Y$ and its minimal resolution is given by the Koszul complex:

$$0 \rightarrow R(-6) \xrightarrow{B} \begin{array}{c} R(-5)^{\oplus 2} \\ \oplus \\ R(-4)^{\oplus 2} \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} R(-4) \\ \oplus \\ R(-3)^{\oplus 4} \\ \oplus \\ R(-2) \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} R(-2)^{\oplus 2} \\ \oplus \\ R(-1)^{\oplus 2} \end{array} \rightarrow R \rightarrow M_C \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular, $m = h^1(\mathcal{I}_C) = 1$.

As before, $\gamma_C = \{-1, -1, -1, 3, 1, -1\}$ and we use [24, II §5.1] to construct a resolution for \mathcal{I}_C :

$$(28) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-6) \xrightarrow{B \oplus 0} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-5)^{\oplus 2} \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-4)^{\oplus 2+c} \end{array} \xrightarrow{A} \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3)^4 \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-4)^{\oplus c} \end{array} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_C \longrightarrow 0$$

where we may argue as before, analyzing A , to conclude that $c \leq 1$. Any curve with a resolution as above with $c = 1$ is a specialization of one with $c = 0$, cf. [19, Theorem 4.1]. Let us denote by \mathcal{G}_2 the family of curves described by (28).

For $c = 0$, a general curve C in \mathcal{G}_2 is the disjoint union of an elliptic quartic and a line. Indeed, let $h_1, h_2 \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1))$ and $q_1, q_2 \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2))$ such that $Y = V(h_1, q_1) \cup V(h_2, q_2)$. Then, C is residual to Y inside $V(h_1 q_2, h_2 q_1)$. It follows that $C = V(h_1, h_2) \cup V(q_1, q_2)$. In particular,

$$\dim \mathcal{G}_2 = 4 + 16 = 20,$$

and for a general curve $C = L \cup C'$, C' elliptic quartic, we have

$$h^0(\omega_C(2)) = h^0(\omega_L(2)) + h^0(\omega_{C'}(2)) = 9.$$

For $c = 1$ we may write $B \oplus 0 = [x_0 \ x_1 \ q_1 \ q_2 \ 0]^T$, where $\deg q_1 = \deg q_2 = 2$. Since $A \cdot (B \oplus 0) = 0$, we can write A as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} q_2 & 0 & 0 & -x_0 & l_1 \\ q_1 & 0 & -x_0 & 0 & l_2 \\ 0 & q_2 & 0 & -x_1 & l_3 \\ 0 & q_1 & -x_1 & 0 & l_4 \\ x_1 & -x_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where l_j are linear forms. Then the 4×4 minors of A span the ideal I whose saturation is

$$I^{\text{sat}} = (q_{24}x_0, q_{24}x_1, q_{13}x_0, q_{13}x_1, q_{13}q_1 - q_{24}q_2)$$

where $q_{13} = l_3x_0 - l_1x_1$ and $q_{24} = l_4x_0 - l_2x_1$. When the l_j are general enough, I defines the union of the twisted cubic $C' = V(q_{13}, q_{24}, l_1l_4 - l_2l_3)$ and the double line $C'' = V(x_0^2, x_0x_1, x_1^2, q_{13}q_1 - q_{24}q_2)$ of genus -3 . Note that $C' \cap C''$ must have length 4 so that $p_a(C) = 0$. Then C' is tangent to C'' , although transverse to C''_{red} .

7.2.2. Case 2. Now assume that $H^0(\mathcal{I}_C(3))$ is spanned by hq_1, \dots, hq_r with $r \geq 4$ and $q_j \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2))$ relatively prime. Let $H = V(h)$ and Y be the residual scheme to $C \cap H$ in C . Then we have

$$(29) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(d-5) \longrightarrow 0$$

where $d = \deg Y$ and $Z \subset Y \cap H$. Note that $Y \subset V(q_1, \dots, q_r)$ and is not a plane curve, since $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = 0$. Since q_1, \dots, q_r are relatively prime and $r \geq 4$, we have $d \leq 2$. Hence $d = 2$ and Y has genus -1 ; indeed, $h^0(\mathcal{I}_Y(1)) = 0$ implies $p_a(Y) < 0$, but for $p_a(Y) \leq -2$ it would have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_Y(2)) = 3$, cf. [28, §1]. It follows from the sequence above that $0 = p_a(C) = 1 - h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$, hence, Z is a (reduced) point. Moreover, from $h^1(\mathcal{I}_Y(-1)) = h^2(\mathcal{I}_Y(-1)) = 0$ we get $m = h^1(\mathcal{I}_C) = h^1(\mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(-3)) = 1$.

A general member of the family of curves described by (29) is a disjoint union of a line and degenerate elliptic quartic, which is a union of a plane cubic and a line meeting at one point. These are specializations of curves in \mathcal{G}_2 .

7.2.3. Summary of the families. A sheaf $F \in \mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)_{1,0}$ must correspond to a curve $C \in \mathcal{G}_1$, described in 7.2.1.1. We have that \mathcal{G}_1 is irreducible of dimension 20, and a general member satisfies $h^0(\omega_C(2)) = 9$. Then $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)_{1,0}$ is irreducible of dimension

$$\dim \mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)_{1,0} = \dim \mathcal{G}_1 + h^0(\omega_C(2)) - h^0(F(1)) = 28,$$

due to (6). Since a general curve in \mathcal{G}_1 is a smooth rational quintic, a general element of $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)_{1,0}$ is unobstructed due to [13, Proposition 1.4]. Then we conclude that $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)_{1,0}$ is reduced.

The sheaves $F \in \mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)_{1,1}$ correspond to curves in the family \mathcal{G}_2 , described in 7.2.1.2, or in its boundary, described in 7.2.2. A general member of \mathcal{G}_2 is a disjoint union of a line L and an elliptic quartic D . These curves are parameterized by an open subset of $G(2, H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1))) \times G(2, H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)))$, which has dimension 20. Moreover,

$$h^0(\omega_C(2)) = h^0(\omega_D(2)) + h^0(\omega_L(2)) = 9,$$

Therefore, we conclude that $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)_{1,1}$ is irreducible of dimension 28.

7.3. Description of $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)_{2,0}$. Consider a curve C defined by a section in $H^0(F(1))$; it has degree 5 and genus 0, and $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = 1$. We also have that $h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = h^1(F(1)) = 2$ and $h^1(\mathcal{I}_C) = h^1(F(-1)) = 0$. From Lemma 10, we see that C is a divisor of bidegree $(1, 4)$ on a smooth quadric. Then,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2) \xrightarrow{\cdot Q} \mathcal{I}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Q(-1, -4) \longrightarrow 0,$$

and we may form the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) & = & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_Q(0, -3) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_C(1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_Q(0, -3) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

It follows that $K = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)^{\oplus 2}$ and F is given by an extension of $\mathcal{O}_Q(0, -3)$ by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)^{\oplus 2}$. A general map $\mathcal{O}_Q(0, -3) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Q$ defines a curve Y that is the disjoint union of 3 lines. Then, applying $\text{Hom}(-, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)^{\oplus 2})$ to the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Q(0, -3) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Q \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow 0$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_Q, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)^{\oplus 2}) &\rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_Q(0, -3), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)^{\oplus 2}) \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)^{\oplus 2}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(\mathcal{O}_Q, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)^{\oplus 2}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_Q, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)^{\oplus 2}) \simeq H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1))^{\oplus 2}$ and $\text{Ext}^2(\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)^{\oplus 2}) \simeq H^0(\omega_Y(3))^{\oplus 2}$, hence $\text{ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_Q(0, -3), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)^{\oplus 2}) = 20$. Since the quadric Q is parameterized by an open subset of \mathbb{P}^9 , we have that

$$\dim \mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)_{2,0} = 9 + 19 = 28.$$

7.4. **Description of $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)_{2,1}$.** As in the previous case, $F(1)$ corresponds to a curve of genus 0 and degree 5 contained in a quadric. But now, $h^1(\mathcal{I}_C) = h^1(F(-1)) = 1$, and Lemma 10 implies that C is subextremal. We have that C is contained in the (possibly degenerate) union of two planes $H_1 \cup H_2$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z/H_2}(-3) \longrightarrow 0$$

where $Y \subset H_1$ is a plane conic, and Z has length 2. As in the previous case, we build the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) & = & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_{Z/H_2}(-2) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_Y & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_C(1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_{Z/H_2}(-2) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

It follows that $K \in \mathcal{R}(-1, 2, 4)$ and is given by a resolution of the form

$$(30) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3) \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)^{\oplus 2} \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2) \end{array} \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow 0$$

Applying $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_Z, -)$ we get the injectivity of

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^3(\mathcal{O}_Z, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3)) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^3(\mathcal{O}_Z, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2))$$

Therefore, noting that $\text{Ext}^2(\mathcal{I}_{Z/H_2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(l)) \cong \text{Ext}^3(\mathcal{O}_Z, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(l))$ for $l \geq -3$, we apply $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}_{Z/H_2}(-2), -)$ to (30) to get

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{H_2}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{H_2}(2))^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{H_2}(1) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{I}_{Z/H_2}(-2), K) \rightarrow 0$$

hence $\text{ext}^1(\mathcal{I}_{Z/H_2}(-2), K) = 14$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{R}(-1, 2, 4)$ has dimension 11, cf. [15, Theorem 9.2]. Given K , we have a 1-dimensional family for Y . Moreover, choosing H_2 determines $Z = H_2 \cap Y$. This amounts to a total of 15 parameters. We conclude that

$$\dim \mathcal{R}(0, 4, 8)_{2,1} = 13 + 15 = 28.$$

8. STABLE REFLEXIVE SHEAVES WITH $c_1 = 0$ AND $c_3 = 10$

Hartshorne observed in [16, Example 5.1.3] that the spectrum $\{-2, -2, -1, 0\}$ does not occur. Therefore, the only realizable spectrum is $\{-2, -1, -1, -1\}$. Below is the cohomology table for a stable rank-2 reflexive sheaf $F \in \mathcal{R}(0, 4, 10)$.

	-2	-1	0	1	2
$h^0(F(p))$	0	0	0	$l+1$	9
$h^1(F(p))$	0	0	1	l	0
$h^2(F(p))$	5	1	0	0	0
$h^3(F(p))$	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 8. Cohomology table for stable rank-2 reflexive sheaf $F \in \mathcal{R}(0, 4, 10)$ and spectrum $\{-2, -1, -1, -1\}$; $l := h^1(F(1)) \leq 1$.

Due to Lemma 12, we have that $h^0(F(1)) = h^1(F(1)) + 1 \leq 2$, thus $h^1(F(1)) \leq 1$. With this fact in mind, we set up the notation

$$\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 10)_l := \{F \in \mathcal{R}(0, 4, 10) \mid h^1(F(1)) = l\},$$

so that $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 10) = \mathcal{R}(0, 4, 10)_0 \sqcup \mathcal{R}(0, 4, 10)_1$. In this section, we prove the following statement by analyzing $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 10)_0$ and $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 10)_1$ individually.

Theorem 26. *$\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 10)$ is a smooth, irreducible, unirational quasi-projective variety of dimension 29. In addition, $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 10)_0$ is an open subset, while $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 10)_1$ has codimension 2.*

Proof. Notice from Table 8 that every $F \in \mathcal{R}(0, 4, 10)$ is 3-regular and satisfies $h^0(F) = h^1(F(-1)) = 0$. Therefore, we can invoke Lemma 18 with $k = 3$ to conclude that $\text{Ext}^2(F, F) = 0$ for every $F \in \mathcal{R}(0, 4, 10)$, thus showing that $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 10)$ is a smooth quasi-projective variety of dimension 29.

We will argue below that $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 10)_0$ is a 29-dimensional quasi-projective variety that admits a surjective morphism from an affine space, while $\dim \mathcal{R}(0, 4, 10)_1 = 27$. Thus, $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 10)$ must be irreducible, and unirationality follows from the existence of a dominant morphism $\mathbb{A}^{80} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(0, 4, 10)$. \square

8.1. Description of $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 10)_0$. Let σ be a generator of $V_1 := H^0(F(1))$. Then the 9-dimensional space $H^0(F(2))$ has a basis of the form

$$\{x\sigma, y\sigma, z\sigma, w\sigma, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_5\}.$$

Setting $V_5 := \langle \theta_1, \dots, \theta_5 \rangle$, we get an epimorphism $V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \oplus V_5 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2) \rightarrow F$ given by $[\sigma \ \theta_1 \ \dots \ \theta_5]$. Let E be the kernel of this morphism, giving the short exact sequence

$$(31) \quad 0 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \oplus V_5 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0.$$

Note that E is locally free, since $\mathcal{E}xt^p(E, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) = 0$ for $p \geq 1$, with $\text{rk}(E) = 4$ and $c_1(E) = -11$. In addition, it follows from the short exact sequence above that $h^1(E(2)) = 0$. Hence, E is 3-regular. Since $h^1(E(3)) = 0$, we also obtain that $h^0(E(3)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(2)) + 5 \cdot h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1)) - h^0(F(3)) = 5$. Therefore, we obtain the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-4) \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3)^{\oplus 5} \xrightarrow{\eta} E \longrightarrow 0;$$

since $\ker \eta$ must be a line bundle with $c_1(\ker \eta) = -15 - c_1(E) = -4$. Since E is locally free, the entries of μ must generate the irrelevant ideal. Therefore,

$E = \mathbb{T}\mathbb{P}^3(-4) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3)$ and every $F \in \mathcal{R}(0, 4, 10)_0$ admits a locally free resolution of the following form

$$(32) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{T}\mathbb{P}^3(-4) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2)^{\oplus 5} \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0.$$

Setting $E = \mathbb{T}\mathbb{P}^3(-4) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3)$ and $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2)^{\oplus 5}$ in the notation of displays (19) and (20), it is easy to check that the conditions of Lemma 17 are satisfied, thus the image of the induced modular morphism $\Psi: \mathbb{M}_0/\mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(0, 4, 10)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 10)_0$. Moreover, notice that:

$$\dim(\mathbb{M}_0/\mathbb{G}) = \dim \mathbb{M}_0 - \dim \mathbb{G} = 80 - 51 = 29 = \text{ext}^1(F_\varphi, F_\varphi), \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathbb{M}_0.$$

Remark 27. Note that the unique (up to scalar multiplication) nontrivial section in $H^0(F(1))$ vanishes along a connected curve of degree 5 and genus 1 not contained in a quadric. Generically, this is a smooth elliptic quintic.

8.2. Description of $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 10)_1$. Let C be a curve defined by a nontrivial global section of $F(1)$. Then C has degree 5 and genus 1 and is contained in a quadric. Moreover, C is not extremal ($h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = 1$) and $h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(1)) = h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = 1$. Hence, C is subextremal and, in particular, $h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(l)) = 0$ for $l \notin \{1, 2\}$. Due to [18, Proposition 9.9], there exists a plane H and an exact sequence

$$(33) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y(-1) \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{I}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(-3) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where Y is a plane conic and $Z \subset H$ is a zero-dimensional subscheme. Moreover, $h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) = p_a(C) = 1$ hence Z is a point. Note that C is contained in a pair of surfaces of degrees 2 and 4 without common factors. Hence, C is linked to a curve Γ of degree 3 and genus -1 . The Hilbert Scheme $\text{Hilb}^{3,-1}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ is smooth and irreducible of dimension 12, cf. [25, Théorème 4.1]. Then, the family of curves C satisfying (33) fill the Hilbert Scheme of constant cohomology $H_{\gamma,\rho}$, where the postulation γ and the Rao function ρ are determined by (33). Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim H_{\gamma,\rho} &= \dim \text{Hilb}^{3,-1}(\mathbb{P}^3) + h^0(\mathcal{I}_\Gamma(2)) + h^0(\mathcal{I}_\Gamma(4)) - h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) - h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(4)) \\ &= 12 + 2 + 21 - 1 - 15 = 19. \end{aligned}$$

From (33) we can also compute $h^0(\omega_C(2))$. Note that $\mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(-3), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}) = \mathcal{O}_H(4)$, and $\mathcal{E}xt^2(\mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(-3), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}) = \mathcal{O}_Z$. Dualizing and twisting the sequence (33), we get

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_W(2) \longrightarrow \omega_C(2) \longrightarrow \omega_Y(3) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \longrightarrow 0,$$

where W is a plane cubic. Hence,

$$h^0(\omega_C(2)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_W(2)) + h^0(\mathcal{O}_Y(2H' - Z)) = 6 + 4 = 10,$$

which yields

$$\dim \mathcal{R}(0, 4, 10)_1 = 19 + 10 - h^0(F(1)) = 27.$$

9. STABLE REFLEXIVE SHEAVES WITH $c_1 = 0$ AND $c_3 = 12$

The possible spectra in this case imply that $h^2(F(l)) = 0$ for $l \geq 1$, so the expression in display (2) becomes $\chi(F(1)) = h^0(F(1)) - h^1(F(1)) = 2$; thus, $h^0(F(1)) \geq 2$. On the other hand, Lemma 12 implies that $h^0(F(1)) = 2$ when the spectrum of F is $\{-2, -2, -1, -1\}$, and $h^0(F(1)) = 3$ when the spectrum of F is $\{-3, -2, -1, 0\}$. Defining the sets

$$\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 12)_l := \{F \in \mathcal{R}(0, 4, 12) \mid h^1(F(1)) = l\},$$

we have that every sheaf in $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 12)_0$ has spectrum equal to $\{-2, -2, -1, -1\}$, while every sheaf in $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 12)_1$ has spectrum equal to $\{-3, -2, -1, 0\}$. In addition, the formula in display (2) becomes

$$\chi(F(2)) = h^0(F(2)) - h^1(F(2)) = 10,$$

thus $h^0(F(2)) \geq 10$. One can check that every $F \in \mathcal{R}(0, 4, 12)_0$ is 2-regular, thus, $h^1(F(2)) = 0$. When $F \in \mathcal{R}(0, 4, 12)_1$, we will see that $h^1(F(2)) = 1$ and $h^1(F(p)) = 0$ for $p \geq 3$. We end up with the following cohomology tables.

	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3
$h^0(F(p))$	0	0	0	0	$2+l$	$10+l$	26
$h^1(F(p))$	0	0	l	l	l	l	0
$h^2(F(p))$	10	6	$2+l$	l	0	0	0
$h^3(F(p))$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 9. Cohomology table for stable rank-2 reflexive sheaves F in $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 12)_l$, with $l \in \{0, 1\}$.

This section establishes the following description of $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 12)$.

Theorem 28. *The moduli scheme $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 12)$ has two connected components:*

- $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 12)_0$ is an irreducible, smooth, unirational quasi-projective variety of dimension 29; it consists of all sheaves with spectrum $\{-2, -2, -1, -1\}$;
- $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 12)_1$ is a non-reduced scheme of dimension 29 whose Zariski tangent spaces have dimension equal to 30; $(\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 12)_1)_{\text{red}}$ is irreducible, smooth, and rational; it consists of all sheaves with spectrum $\{-3, -2, -1, 0\}$.

9.1. Description of $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 12)_0$. Let $F \in \mathcal{R}(0, 4, 12)_0$ and let σ_1, σ_2 be a basis for $H^0(F(1))$. Note that $\{x_j \sigma_i\}_{0 \leq j \leq 3; i=1,2}$ form a linear independent subset of $H^0(F(2))$. Indeed, suppose that there exists a nontrivial relation $\sum a_{ij} x_j \sigma_i = 0$; it can be rewritten in the form $l_1 \sigma_1 + l_2 \sigma_2 = 0$ for some $l_1, l_2 \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1))$. Let L be the line given by $V(l_1, l_2)$ and consider the exact sequence

$$\mathcal{T}or_1^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}}(F, \mathcal{I}_L(2)) = 0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow F(1)^{\oplus 2} \longrightarrow F(2) \otimes \mathcal{I}_L \longrightarrow 0.$$

For the vanishing of $\mathcal{T}or_1^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}}(F, \mathcal{I}_L(2))$ we refer to [8, Lemma A.1 (ii)]. Taking global sections, we see $l_1 \sigma_1 + l_2 \sigma_2 = 0$ if and only if there exists $\delta \in H^0(F)$ such that $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = (l_2 \delta, -l_1 \delta)$; but this is impossible since $H^0(F) = 0$. Therefore, using that F is 2-regular, we have a surjective morphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow F$; its kernel must be $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3)^{\oplus 2}$ due to Chern classes. Hence,

$$(34) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3)^{\oplus 2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)^{\oplus 2} \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0.$$

Lemma 18 implies that $\text{ext}^2(F, F) = 0$, thus $\text{ext}^1(F, F) = 29$ and $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 12)_0$ is smooth. In addition, a straightforward computation tells us that the family of stable reflexive sheaves with a resolution as in display (34) has dimension 29. Applying Lemma 17, we can conclude that the closure of $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 12)_0$ within $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 12)$ is an irreducible component of the latter. It is unirational because $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 12)_0$ admits a dominant morphism from an open subset of the affine space $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3)^{\oplus 2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)^{\oplus 2}) \simeq \mathbb{A}^{54}$.

9.2. **Description of $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 12)_1$.** If $h^0(F(1)) = 3$ then $F(1)$ must correspond to an extremal curve C . Since $\deg(C) = 5$ we have that C must contain a planar quartic subcurve and a residual line L :

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_L(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(-4) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $p_a(C) = 2$, we get that Z has length one. This leads us to the following commutative diagram:

$$(35) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) & = & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(-3) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_L & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_C(1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(-3) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

where E is the kernel of the composed morphism $F \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_C(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(-3)$; the leftmost column tells us that E is a stable reflexive sheaf with Chern classes $(c_1(E), c_2(E), c_3(E)) = (-1, 1, 1)$. It follows that every F in $\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 12)_1$ fits into a short exact sequence of the form

$$(36) \quad 0 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(-3) \longrightarrow 0$$

given by the middle row in diagram (35).

The description of $F \in \mathcal{R}(0, 4, 12)_1$ in display (36) matches the exact sequence in [6, ((3)), p.103] when $c_2(F) = 4$. The main result regarding this family of sheaves is [6, Theorem 10] that, in our notation, states that the reduced scheme $(\mathcal{R}(0, 4, 12)_1)_{\text{red}}$ is irreducible, nonsingular, and rational of dimension 29. Due to Proposition 13, $\text{ext}^2(F, F) = 1$, hence $\text{ext}^1(F, F) = 30$, for every $F \in \mathcal{R}(0, 4, 12)_1$.

10. EXAMPLES OF STABLE REFLEXIVE SHEAVES WITH $c_1 = -1$ AND $c_3 \leq 6$

This section provides examples of rank-2 stable reflexive sheaves with $c_1 = -1$ and $2 \leq c_2 \leq 6$ for each possible spectrum in Table 2.

Example 29 ($c_3 = 2$, spectrum $\{-1, -1, -1, 0\}$). Let C be the disjoint union of two twisted cubics. Then C has degree 6 and genus -1 , and a general element of $H^0(\omega_C(1))$ vanishes at one point in each component. The corresponding extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \longrightarrow F(2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_C(3) \longrightarrow 0$$

defines a reflexive sheaf $F \in \mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 2)$ such that $h^1(F(l)) = h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(l+1)) = 1$ for $l = -1, 0, 1$, and $h^1(F(l)) = 0$ for $|l| \geq 2$. Therefore, the spectrum of F is $\{-1, -1, -1, 0\}$. Note that a disjoint union of a rational quartic curve and a plane conic also defines a sheaf with this same spectrum. We have $h^0(F(1)) = 0$ in both cases. The difference in cohomology is that $h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(3)) = 0$ in the case of twisted cubics, but $h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(3)) = 1$ in the second case. We can check with the code below that these examples belong to generically smooth irreducible components.

```

load "refshsetup.m2";
C1 = minors(2, random(R^3, R^{-1, -1}));
C2 = minors(2, random(R^3, R^{-1, -1}));
C = intersect(C1, C2); -- disjoint union of twisted cubics
degree C, genus C
F = Serre(C, 3); chern F
Ext^2(F, F) -- unobstructed
---
C1' = kernel map(kk[s, t], R, {s^4, s^3*t, s*t^3, t^4});
C2' = ideal random(R^1, R^{-1, -2});
C' = intersect(C1', C2'); -- plane conic + rat'l quartic
degree C', genus C'
F' = Serre(C', 3);
Ext^2(F', F') -- unobstructed

```

	-2	-1	0	1	2	3
$h^0(F(p))$	0	0	0	0	$l+1$	13
$h^1(F(p))$	0	1	4	4	l	0
$h^2(F(p))$	3	0	0	0	0	0
$h^3(F(p))$	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 10. Cohomology table for $F \in \mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 2)$ with spectrum $\{-1, -1, -1, 0\}$ as in the example. $l \leq 1$.

Example 30 ($c_3 = 2$, spectrum $\{-2, -1, 0, 0\}$). Consider Y a plane conic, and let $p, q, r \in Y$ be 3 general points. Then let C be the double conic given by

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(p+q+r) \longrightarrow 0$$

so that $p_a(C) = p_a(Y) - \chi(\mathcal{O}_Y(p+q+r)) = -4$. Dualizing this sequence, we get

$$0 \longrightarrow \omega_Y \longrightarrow \omega_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^2(\mathcal{O}_Y(p+q+r), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-4)) \simeq \omega_Y(-p-q-r) \longrightarrow 0$$

Then $h^0(\omega_C(3)) = 7$ and, for a general $\xi \in H^0(\omega_C(3))$, the restriction $\xi|_Y$ vanishes at only one point. Therefore, (C, ξ) corresponds to a reflexive sheaf $F(1)$ such that $(c_1(F), c_2(F), c_3(F)) = (-1, 4, 2)$. Note that $h^1(F(-1)) = h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(-1)) = 2$, thus the spectrum of F must be $\{-2, -1, 0, 0\}$. With the code below, one can produce an unobstructed example. Hence, these sheaves lie on a generically smooth irreducible component of $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 2)$ of expected dimension 27.

```

load "refshsetup.m2";
q = x_0^2-x_1*x_2;
a = random(3, R)*x_0+random(3, R)*x_1+random(3, R)*x_3;
b = random(2, R)*x_0+random(2, R)*x_1+random(2, R)*x_3;
C = topComponents ideal( x_3^2, x_3*q, q^2, x_3*a-q*b);
degree C, genus C

```


F = Serre(C, 1); chern F
 Ext²(F, F) -- unobstructed

	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4
$h^0(F(p))$	0	0	0	0	1	5	15	34
$h^1(F(p))$	0	0	2	4	5	4	2	0
$h^2(F(p))$	6	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
$h^3(F(p))$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 11. Cohomology table for $F \in \mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 2)$ with spectrum $\{-2, -1, 0, 0\}$ as in the example.

Example 31 ($c_3 = 4$, spectrum $\{-1, -1, -1, -1\}$). Let C be a smooth rational sextic curve; this case was suggested in [15, Example 4.2.4]. Since $\omega_C(1) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(4)$, C corresponds to a sheaf $F(2)$ such that $(c_1(F), c_2(F), c_3(F)) = (-1, 4, 4)$. Moreover, $h^1(F(-1)) = h^1(\mathcal{I}_C) = 0$ since C is integral. Then F has spectrum $\{-1, -1, -1, -1\}$. Also note that for a general curve C , $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = 0$, hence $h^0(F(1)) = 0$. With the code below, we can show that, generically, $\text{Ext}^2(F, F) = 0$, and these sheaves belong to a generically smooth irreducible component of $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 4)$.

```
load "refshsetup.m2";
S = kk[y_0..y_6];
Y = kernel map(kk[s, t], S, {t^6, t^5*s, t^4*s^2, t^3*s^3,
  t^2*s^4, t*s^5, s^6});
phi = map(S, R, random(S^{1}, S^4));
C = preimage(phi, Y); degree C, genus C
F = Serre(C, 3); chern F
Ext^2(F, F) --unobstructed
```

We can also describe the cohomology table of such sheaves:

	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3
$h^0(F(p))$	0	0	0	0	0	2	14
$h^1(F(p))$	0	0	0	3	3	0	0
$h^2(F(p))$	7	4	0	0	0	0	0
$h^3(F(p))$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 12. Cohomology table for $F \in \mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 4)$ with spectrum $\{-1, -1, -1, -1\}$ as in the example.

Example 32 ($c_3 = 4$, spectrum $\{-2, -1, -1, 0\}$). Consider C a disjoint union of a plane cubic and a twisted cubic, thus a curve of degree 6 and genus 0. Then, a

general global section of $\omega_C(1)$ vanishes along 3 points on each irreducible component. Thus C corresponds to $F(2)$ such that $(c_1(F), c_2(F), c_3(F)) = (-1, 4, 4)$ and $h^1(F(-1)) = h^1(\mathcal{I}_C) = 1$, since C has 2 smooth connected components. Note also that $h^0(F(1)) = 0$ in this case. With the code below, we show that $\text{Ext}^2(F, F) = 0$ generically, and these sheaves belong to another generically smooth irreducible component of $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 4)$.

```
load "refshsetup.m2"
C1 = minors(2, matrix{{x_0, x_1, x_2}, {x_1, x_2, x_3}});
C2 = ideal(x_0, random(3, R));
C = intersect(C1, C2); degree C, genus C
F = Serre(C, 3); chern F
Ext^2(F, F) -- unobstructed
```

The cohomology table for these sheaves is as follows:

	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3
$h^0(F(p))$	0	0	0	0	0	4	14
$h^1(F(p))$	0	0	1	3	3	2	0
$h^2(F(p))$	7	4	1	0	0	0	0
$h^3(F(p))$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 13. Cohomology table for $F \in \mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 4)$ with spectrum $\{-2, -1, -1, 0\}$ as in the example.

Example 33 ($c_3 = 6$, spectrum $\{-2, -1, -1, -1\}$). Let Y be a disjoint union of 3 lines, and let C be the residual curve to the intersection of two general elements of $H^0(\mathcal{I}_Y(3))$. Then C is a smooth curve of degree 6 and genus 1, due to P.1, and

$$h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(l)) = h^1(\mathcal{I}_Y(2-l)) = \begin{cases} 2, & l = 1, 2 \\ 0, & l \neq 1, 2 \end{cases}.$$

Then, by P.3,

$$h^0(\omega_C(1)) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_C(-1)) = h^0(\mathcal{I}_Y(3)) - h^0(\mathcal{I}_X(3)) = 6,$$

where X is the complete intersection linking C and Y . Since C is smooth, it corresponds to a sheaf $F(2)$ such that $(c_1(F), c_2(F), c_3(F)) = (-1, 4, 6)$ and $h^0(F(1)) = h^1(F(-1)) = 0$. Hence, F has spectrum $\{-2, -1, -1, -1\}$. These sheaves belong to a generically smooth irreducible component of $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 6)$, as one can check with the code below.

```
load "refshsetup.m2";
Y = dsLns 3;
C = quotient(rand(Y, 3, 3), Y); degree C, genus C
isSmooth C -- check that C is smooth
F = Serre(C, 3); chern F
Ext^2(F, F) -- unobstructed
```

Also, the cohomology table is the following.

	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3
$h^0(F(p))$	0	0	0	0	0	3	15
$h^1(F(p))$	0	0	0	2	2	0	0
$h^2(F(p))$	7	5	1	0	0	0	0
$h^3(F(p))$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 14. Cohomology table for $F \in \mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 6)$ with spectrum $\{-2, -1, -1, -1\}$ as in the example.

Example 34 ($c_3 = 6$, spectrum $\{-2, -2, -1, 0\}$). Let C be the disjoint union of two plane cubics, thus a curve of degree 6 and genus 1. Then a general global section of $\omega_C(1)$ has isolated zeros and C corresponds to a reflexive sheaf $F(2)$ with Chern classes $(c_1(F), c_2(F), c_3(F)) = (-1, 4, 6)$. In this case, $h^1(F(-1)) = h^1(\mathcal{I}_C) = 1$. Also note that $h^0(F(1)) = 1$, but a section of $F(1)$ defines a curve of degree 4 and genus -2 that would be harder to describe. With the code below, we check that these sheaves are generically unobstructed in $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 6)$

```
load "refshsetup.m2";
C = intersect(ideal(x_0, random(3, R)), ideal(x_1, random(3, R)));
degree C, genus C
F = Serre(C, 3); chern F
Ext^2(F, F) -- unobstructed
```

The cohomology table for these examples is as follows:

	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4
$h^0(F(p))$	0	0	0	0	1	5	16	36
$h^1(F(p))$	0	0	1	2	3	2	1	0
$h^2(F(p))$	7	5	2	0	0	0	0	0
$h^3(F(p))$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 15. Cohomology table for $F \in \mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 6)$ with spectrum $\{-2, -2, -1, 0\}$ as in the example.

11. STABLE REFLEXIVE SHEAVES WITH $c_1 = -1$ AND $c_3 = 8$

To study $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8)$, we first observe that $h^0(F(1)) \leq 1$, due to Lemma 12, and that $h^1(F(-1)) \leq 1$, due to the possible spectra in Table 2. We then define the subsets

$$\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8)_{l,m} := \{F \in \mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8) \mid h^0(F(1)) = l, h^1(F(-1)) = m\},$$

where $l, m \in \{0, 1\}$. Note that for $m = 0$ the spectrum is $\{-2, -2, -1, -1\}$ and for $m = 1$ it is $\{-3, -2, -1, 0\}$.

Theorem 35. *The moduli scheme $(\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8))_{\text{red}}$ is the closure of $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8)_{0,0}$, hence, it is reduced and irreducible of expected dimension 27. Moreover,*

- $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8)_{0,0}$ is smooth, $\text{ext}^2(F, F) = 0$ for every $F \in \mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8)_{0,0}$;
- $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8)_{0,1} = \emptyset$;
- $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8)_{1,1}$ is irreducible of dimension 26, and $\text{ext}^2(F, F) = 1$ for any $F \in \mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8)_{1,1}$;
- $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8)_{1,0} = \mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{G}_2$, both components have dimension 25; and also $\text{ext}^2(F, F) = 0$ for every $F \in \mathcal{G}_2$, or a generic $F \in \mathcal{G}_1$.

	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4
$h^0(F(p))$	0	0	0	0	l	$lk + m + 4$	16	37
$h^1(F(p))$	0	0	m	$m + 1$	$l + 1$	$lk + m$	m	0
$h^2(F(p))$	9	6	$m + 2$	m	0	0	0	0
$h^3(F(p))$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 16. Cohomology table for $F \in \mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8)$, where $l, m, k \leq 1$

11.1. **Description of $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8)_{0,0}$.** We start by considering the case $h^0(F(1)) = 0$ and $h^1(F(-1)) = 0$. In particular, the spectrum of F is $\{-2, -2, -1, -1\}$. Then $F(2)$ corresponds to a curve C of degree 6 and genus 2 such that:

$$\begin{aligned} h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) &= h^1(\mathcal{I}_C) = h^2(\mathcal{I}_C(1)) = 0, \\ h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(1)) &= h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = 1, \text{ and} \\ h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(3)) &= h^0(F(2)) - 1 = 3 + h^1(F(2)) \geq 3. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we can prove the following lemma.

Lemma 36. *For a curve C as above, we have a resolution of the form*

$$(37) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-6) \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-5)^{\oplus 3} \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-4)^{c+1} \end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3)^{\oplus 3} \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-4)^{c+1} \end{array} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_C \longrightarrow 0$$

where $c \in \{0, 1\}$ and the first map on the left is given, up to a choice of coordinates, by $[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3^2, 0^c]^T$. Moreover,

- (i) a general curve with $c = 0$ is smooth and irreducible; and
- (ii) if $c = 1$ then C is the limit of curves with resolution (37) with $c = 0$.

Proof. Applying Lemma 11 we have that either

- (i) C directly linked to a curve of degree 3 and genus -1 ;
- (ii) C has a planar subcurve with an extremal residual curve of degree 2 or 3.

In the first case, we note that every curve Y of degree 3 and genus -1 is extremal, cf. [25, Theorem 4.1]. The Rao module of Y is then $M_Y \cong R/(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3^2)$, for this we only need to consider Y a disjoint union of a conic $V(x_2, x_3^2 - q(x_0, x_1))$ and

a line $V(x_0, x_1)$. Then the Rao module of C is $M_C = \text{Ext}_R^4(M_Y, R(-6)) = M_Y(-1)$ which has the Koszul resolution

$$0 \rightarrow R(-6) \rightarrow \begin{array}{ccc} R(-4) & R(-3)^{\oplus 3} & R(-2)^{\oplus 3} \\ \oplus & \rightarrow \oplus & \rightarrow \oplus \\ R(-5)^{\oplus 3} & R(-4)^{\oplus 3} & R(-3) \end{array} \rightarrow R(-1) \rightarrow M_C \rightarrow 0$$

From [24, II §5] we can show that \mathcal{I}_C has a resolution of the form

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-6) \xrightarrow{B} \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-5)^{\oplus 3} & & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3)^{\oplus 3} \\ \oplus & & \oplus \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-4) & \xrightarrow{A} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-4) \\ \oplus & & \oplus \\ L & & L \end{array} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_C \rightarrow 0$$

where L is free, and the map from $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-6)$ to L is zero. Indeed, this computation relies on knowing the postulation character γ_C , which can be computed from Y and the property P.3; it is $\gamma_C = \{-1, -1, -1, 2, 2, -1\}$. On the other hand, imposing minimality and removing trivial factors, we conclude that $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-4)^{\oplus c}$, for $c \geq 0$. Choosing coordinates, we may assume that $B = [x_0 \ x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3^2 \ 0^c]^T$. Since $AB = 0$, the rows of A are syzygies of B , hence we may write

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}^{3 \times 3} & A_{12}^{3 \times c+1} \\ A_{21}^{c+1 \times 3} & 0^{c+1 \times c+1} \end{bmatrix},$$

where the formats of the blocks are indicated in superscript. Moreover, the degrees of the entries are as follows: $\deg A_{11} = 2$, and $\deg A_{12} = \deg A_{21} = 1$. We have that A_{21} has generic rank ≤ 2 since $A_{21} [x_0 \ x_1 \ x_2]^T = 0$. Note that A must have generic rank $3+c$. On the other hand, at a general point p such that $\det A_{11}(p) \neq 0$, we have $\text{rk } A(p) = 3 + \text{rk}(A_{21}(p)A_{11}(p)^{-1}A_{12}(p)) \leq 5$, hence $c \leq 2$. We observe further that A drops rank along the variety defined by the maximal minors of A_{12} . This eliminates the case $c = 2$, because A would have rank ≤ 4 along the cubic surface $V(\det A_{12})$. Moreover, for $c = 1$, the curve C does not link directly to a curve Y as above. Indeed, the minors of A_{12} define a (possibly degenerate) twisted cubic, the residual curve in C is a plane cubic, and the linear form defining this plane divides every element in $H^0(\mathcal{I}_C(3))$.

In the second case, we have that C must fit in the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(-d) \rightarrow 0,$$

with $d \in \{3, 4\}$, and Y an extremal curve of degree $6 - d$. Then, we only have to show that $d = 3$. Indeed, if $d = 3$ then $p_a(Y) = 0$ and $h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) = 1$, cf. Lemma 11. From the resolutions of \mathcal{I}_Y and $\mathcal{I}_{Z/H}$ one shows that \mathcal{I}_C has a resolution of the form (37) with $c = 1$. Since $h^2(\mathcal{I}_C(1)) = 0$ and $h^3(\mathcal{I}_Y) = h^3(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}) = 0$, we have that

$$h^2(\mathcal{O}_H(1-d)) = h^2(\mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(1-d)) = 0.$$

Therefore, $d < 4$, and the only possibility is $d = 3$.

To conclude, we observe that if Y is a disjoint union of a conic and a line, then $\mathcal{I}_Y(3)$ is globally generated. By [25, Théorème 5.1], a general curve linked to Y is smooth; it is also irreducible since $h^1(\mathcal{I}_C) = 0$. Furthermore, the curves of Lemma 36 for which $c = 1$ are flat limits with constant Rao module of those satisfying $c = 0$, cf. [19, Theorem 4.1]. \square

A general curve C from Lemma 36 is linked to a curve Y of degree 3 and genus -1 . We have that $\text{Hilb}^{3,-1}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ is irreducible of dimension 12. Then, we have that the curves from Lemma 36 form an irreducible family \mathcal{G} of dimension

$$\dim \mathcal{G} = 12 + 2h^0(\mathcal{I}_Y(3)) - 2h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(3)) = 12 + 18 - 6 = 24.$$

Since a general curve is smooth and irreducible, $h^0(\omega_C(1)) = 7$, by Riemann-Roch, and there exists $\xi \in H^0(\omega_C(1))$ with isolated zeros. Thus $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8)_{0,0}$ is irreducible of dimension

$$\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8)_{0,0} = 24 + 7 - h^0(F(2)) = 27.$$

Moreover, it also follows from Lemma 36 that $F \in \mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8)_{0,0}$ is 3-regular with $h^0(F) = h^1(F(-1)) = 0$. Then $\text{ext}^2(F, F) = 0$, by Lemma 18, hence $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8)_{0,0}$ is smooth of expected dimension; its closure is an irreducible component of $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8)$.

11.2. Description of $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8)_{0,1}$. Now consider the case $h^0(F(1)) = 0$ and $h^1(F(-1)) = 1$, hence, F has spectrum $\{-3, -2, -1, 0\}$. Then $F(2)$ must correspond to a curve C of degree 6 and genus 2 such that

$$h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = 0, h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(1)) = 2, \text{ and } h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(3)) = h^0(F(2)) - 1 \geq 3.$$

By Lemma 11, in view of our description of $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8)_{0,0}$, we see that \mathcal{I}_C fits in an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(-4) \longrightarrow 0$$

with $\deg(Y) = 2$, $p_a(Y) = -1$, and $h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) = 1$. Dualizing and twisting the sequence above, we have

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_D(2) \longrightarrow \omega_C(1) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{O}_Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \longrightarrow 0$$

It follows that any global section of $\omega_C(1)$ vanishes along a line $L \subset Y$. If $Y = L \cup L'$, with $Z \in L$, then the image of π is $\mathcal{O}_{L'} \oplus \mathcal{O}_L(-Z)$. If Y is a double line, then $\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z$ factors through $\mathcal{O}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z$. Hence, the image of π is an extension of $\mathcal{O}_L(-Z)$ by \mathcal{O}_L . Therefore, any sheaf F as above is torsion-free but not reflexive and

$$\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8)_{0,1} = \emptyset.$$

11.3. Description of $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8)_{1,m}$. Now we turn our attention to the cases where $h^0(F(1)) = 1$ and $h^1(F(-1)) = m \leq 1$. Then $F(1)$ corresponds to a curve of degree 4 and genus -1 such that

$$h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(-1)) = m, h^1(\mathcal{I}_C) = m + 1, h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(1)) = 2, \text{ and } h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2)).$$

Curves of degree 4 and genus -1 were classified in [29, Proposition 6.1]. We have recalled their classification in §7.2 but state it again for convenience. The corresponding Hilbert Scheme has 3 irreducible components corresponding whose general members are extremal curves ($h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = 2$), disjoint union of two conics ($h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = 1$), and disjoint union of twisted cubic and a line ($h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = 0$). In particular, $m = 1$ if and only if C is extremal.

If C is extremal, then C is a curve in a double plane given by

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_L(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(-3) \longrightarrow 0$$

where L is a line and $h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) = 2$. Dualizing and twisting this sequence, we obtain

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_D(3) \longrightarrow \omega_C(3) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_L \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $D \subset C \cap H$ is a plane cubic. Thus, $h^0(\omega_C(1)) = 10$ and global sections with isolated zeros. Therefore, $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8)_{1,1}$ is irreducible of dimension

$$\dim \mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8)_{1,1} = 17 + 10 - 1 = 26.$$

In particular, it cannot be an irreducible component of $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8)$. Due to Proposition 13, $\text{ext}^2(F, F) = 1$ for any $F \in \mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8)_{1,1}$.

If C is subextremal, then \mathcal{I}_C fits in an exact sequence (cf. [18, Proposition 9.9])

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(-2) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where Y is a plane conic and $h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) = 2$. Dualizing and twisting this sequence, we have:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(2) \longrightarrow \omega_C(3) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(2) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $Y' \subset C \cup H$ is a conic. Thus, $h^0(\omega_C(3)) = 10$ and a general global section has isolated zeros. Then the sheaves corresponding to these curves form an irreducible variety $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset \mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8)_{1,0}$ of dimension

$$\dim \mathcal{G}_1 = 16 + 10 - 1 = 25.$$

Reasoning as we did for extremal curves in Section 4, we find

$$\text{Ext}^2(F, F) \cong \text{Ext}^2(F, \mathcal{I}_{Z/H}(-2)).$$

Moreover, $\text{Ext}^2(F, \mathcal{O}_H(-2)) = 0$. Then $\text{ext}^2(F, F) \leq \text{ext}^2(F, \mathcal{O}_Z)$, but $\text{ext}^2(F, \mathcal{O}_Z)$ depends on how Z intersect the points where F is not free, i.e., the vanishing locus of the extension class $\xi \in H^0(\omega_C(3))$ defining F . When C is a disjoint union of two conics, a generic choice of ξ does not vanish on Z . The general case follows from a direct but lengthy computation similar to the proof of Lemma 16. With the code below, one can produce unobstructed examples.

```
load "refshsetup.m2";
C =intersect(ideal(x_0, random(2,R)), ideal(x_1, random(2,R)));
F = Serre(C,1); chern F
Ext^2(F,F) --unobstructed
```

Remark 37. Some examples with $\text{ext}^1(F, \mathcal{O}_Z) > 0$ turned out to be unobstructed. However, we do not know whether this is true for any sheaf in $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8)_{1,0}$ corresponding to a subextremal curve.

If C is neither extremal nor subextremal, then the Rao module of C is isomorphic to

$$\frac{\kappa[x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3]}{(x_0, x_1, x_2^2, x_2x_3, x_3^2)},$$

cf. [29, Proposition 4.2]. In particular, we have that $h^1(\mathcal{I}_C) = 1$, $h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(1)) = 2$, and $h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(t)) = 0$, for $t \notin \{0, 1\}$. A reflexive sheaf $F(1)$ corresponding to C must be 2-regular, i.e., F is 3-regular. Also, we have that $h^1(F(-1)) = h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(-1)) = 0$ and $h^0(F) = 0$. Then $\text{Ext}^2(F, F) = 0$ by Lemma 18.

These curves form an irreducible variety of dimension 16. Generically, C is a disjoint union of a twisted cubic Y and a line L . Hence, $\omega_C(3) = \omega_Y(3) \oplus \omega_L(3)$ has global sections vanishing only in dimension zero. Then the sheaves corresponding to these curves form an irreducible variety $\mathcal{G}_2 \subset \mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8)_{1,0}$ of dimension

$$\dim \mathcal{G}_2 = 16 + h^0(\omega_C(3)) - h^0(F(1)) = 16 + 10 - 1 = 25.$$

We conclude that

$$\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 8)_{1,0} = \mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{G}_2$$

has dimension 25.

12. STABLE REFLEXIVE SHEAVES WITH $c_1 = -1$ AND $c_3 = 10$

Now we turn to reflexive sheaves with Chern classes $(c_1, c_2, c_3) = (-1, 4, 10)$. Due to Lemma 12, such a sheaf F must satisfy $h^0(F(1)) \leq 1$, while the possible spectra imply that $h^1(F) \leq 1$. Therefore, we introduce the following sets

$$\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 10)_{l,m} := \{ F \in \mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 10) \mid h^0(F(1)) = l, h^1(F) = m \},$$

so that

$$\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 10) = \bigsqcup_{l,m=0,1} \mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 10)_{l,m};$$

note that the spectrum only depends on m , it is $\{-2, -2, -2, -1\}$ for $m = 0$, and $\{-3, -2, -1, -1\}$ for $m = 1$. We then prove:

Theorem 38. *The moduli scheme $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 10)$ is an integral, unirational quasi-projective variety of dimension 27, with a general element lying in $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 10)_{0,0}$; it contains $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 10)_{1,0}$, $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 10)_{0,1}$, and $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 10)_{1,1}$ as divisors. Moreover, $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 10)$ is smooth away from a set of codimension at least two.*

Moreover, the cohomology table for these sheaves is as follows.

	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3
$h^0(F(p))$	0	0	0	0	l	$5+k$	17
$h^1(F(p))$	0	0	0	m	l	k	0
$h^2(F(p))$	10	7	3	m	0	0	0
$h^3(F(p))$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 17. Cohomology table for $F \in \mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 10)_{lm}$; $k = l \cdot m$.

12.1. Description of $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 10)_{0,m}$. Suppose that $h^0(F(1)) = 0$ and consider a curve C given by the vanishing locus of a section of $F(2)$. Then

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \longrightarrow F(2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_C(3) \longrightarrow 0$$

and C is a curve of degree 6 and genus 3. We have that $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = h^0(F(1)) = 0$ and $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(3)) = h^0(F(2)) - 1 \geq 4$. Then Lemma 11 tells us that either C is linked to a curve Y of degree 3 and genus 0, i.e., a (possibly degenerate) twisted cubic, or there exists a hyperplane H such that C fits into

$$(38) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y(-1) \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{I}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(-4) \longrightarrow 0$$

where Y is a curve of degree 2 and genus -1 . We have $h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(3)) = 0$ in both cases. Therefore, $h^1(F(2)) = 0$ and F is 3-regular. Noting that $h^0(F) = 0$ (by stability) and $h^1(F(-1)) = 0$ (due to the spectra), we apply Lemma 18 to conclude that every $F \in \mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 10)_{0m}$ satisfies $\text{ext}^2(F, F) = 0$. Moreover, $h^1(F) = 1$ if and only if it corresponds to a curve given by (38).

For $F \in \mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 10)_{00}$, the corresponding curve is ACM with resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-4)^{\oplus 3} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3)^{\oplus 4} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_C \longrightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, F has a resolution of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3)^{\oplus 3} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2)^{\oplus 5} \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0$$

We then apply Lemma 17 to conclude that $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 12)_{00}$ is smooth and unirational of dimension 27, and its closure is an irreducible component of $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 10)$.

For $F \in \mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 10)_{01}$, we use the sequence (38) to conclude that C lies on a 23-dimensional family, a divisor on the Hilbert Scheme $\text{Hilb}^{6,3}(\mathbb{P}^3)$, and also that $h^0(\omega_C(1)) = 8$. Then

$$\dim \mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 10)_{01} = 23 + 8 - h^0(F(2)) = 26.$$

12.2. Description of $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 10)_{1,m}$. Now we suppose that $h^0(F(1)) = 1$ and let C be a curve given by

$$(39) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_C \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then C has degree 4 and genus 0. The Hilbert Scheme $\text{Hilb}^{4,0}(\mathbb{P}^3)$ has two irreducible components, denote them by \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{E} . The general members are, respectively:

- (1) C is a rational quartic;
- (2) C is a disjoint union of a plane cubic and a line;

cf. [25, §4]. The distinction is that \mathcal{E} is composed by extremal curves, i.e., $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) \geq 2$, while for a general rational quartic, we have $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = 1$. However, these two components intersect, cf. [28, Example 3.10]. Note that $C \in \mathcal{E}$ if and only if $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) \geq 2$, if and only if $h^1(\mathcal{I}_C) = 1$.

If $F \in \mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 10)_{10}$, then $h^1(\mathcal{I}_C) = 0$, so $C \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \mathcal{E}$. Then, $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = 1$ which implies $h^1(F(2)) = 0$. Due to the spectrum we have $h^2(F(1)) = h^1(F(-1)) = 0$, and it follows that F is 3-regular. Then Lemma 18 implies that $\text{ext}^2(F, F) = 0$.

For a general element C in \mathcal{L} , one can check that $h^0(\omega_C(3)) = 11$; using the formula in display (6), we conclude that

$$\dim \mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 10)_{10} = 16 + 11 - 1 = 26;$$

therefore, $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 10)_{10}$ is not an irreducible component of $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 10)$.

Similarly, if $F \in \mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 10)_{11}$, then $h^1(\mathcal{I}_C) = 1$, so $C \in \mathcal{E}$. We have that

$$(40) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_L(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{P/H}(-3) \longrightarrow 0$$

where $P \in H$ is a point and L is a line. Dualizing this sequence, we get

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(3) \longrightarrow \omega_C(3) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_L(1) \longrightarrow 0$$

where $Y \subset C \cup H$ is a plane cubic; it follows that $h^0(\omega_C(3)) = 11$. Using the formula in display (6), we conclude that

$$\dim \mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 10)_{11} = 16 + 11 - 1 = 26;$$

therefore, $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 10)_{11}$ is not an irreducible component of $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 10)$ either.

We know from Proposition 13 that $\text{ext}^2(F, F) = 0$, unless the extension class ξ defining F vanishes at P . In this case, $\text{ext}^2(F, F) \leq 1$. Since P imposes only one condition on the extension ξ , this potentially obstructed locus has codimension 2 in $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 10)$. We give below a script to produce one obstructed example.

```

load "refshsetup.m2";
l1 = random(1,R);
l2 = random(1,R);
f = random(3,R);
A = map(R^{2: -4,2: -2,-1}, R^{3: -5,-3},
matrix{{-x_2,0,x_0,0},
        {-x_1,x_0,0,0},
        {0,-x_3^3,0,x_1},
        {-x_3^3, -x_1^2*x_2,x_1^3,x_0},
        {0, f*x_2, -f*x_1 -x_2*x_3^3, x_2^2}})
F = sheaf coker A;
chern(F) -- check the Chern classes
codim ann sheafExt^1(F,0) >= 3 -- check it is reflexive
codim ann sheafExt^2(F,0) >= 4
codim ann sheafExt^3(F,0) >= 4
Ext^2(F,F)

```

13. STABLE REFLEXIVE SHEAVES WITH $c_1 = -1$ AND $c_3 = 12$

To describe $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 12)$, we start by noting that, in this case, every sheaf fits into a single family.

Proposition 39. *Let $F \in \mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 12)$ then F has a resolution of the form*

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-4) \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3) \end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2)^{\oplus 2} \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3) \end{array} \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0,$$

which is not necessarily minimal.

Proof. We have that $h^0(F(1)) \geq 1$. Consider C a curve given by

$$(41) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3} \longrightarrow F(1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_C(1) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then C is a curve of degree 4 and genus 1. Such curves are ACM due to [17, Proposition 3.5], hence $H_*^1(F) = 0$. It follows that $h^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = 2$. Let $Q_1, Q_2 \in H^0(\mathcal{I}_C(2))$ linearly independent. If $\gcd(q_1, q_2) = 1$ then C is a complete intersection. If q_1 and q_2 share a common factor, then, up to a linear change of coordinates, we may assume that $q_2 = x_0x_1$ and either $q_1 = x_0x_2$ or $q_1 = x_0^2$. If $q_1 = x_0x_2$ then C is the union of cubic Y in the plane $H = V(x_0)$ and the line $L = V(x_1, x_2)$ meeting at one point. Thus,

$$I_C = (x_1, x_0) \cap (x_0, x_1p_1 + x_2p_2) = (x_0x_1, x_0x_2, x_1p_1 + x_2p_2),$$

where $\deg p_1 = \deg p_2 = 2$. If $q_1 = x_0^2$, C is a curve in the double plane, then

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_Y(-1) \xrightarrow{\cdot x_0} \mathcal{I}_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_H(-3) \longrightarrow 0$$

where $Y = V(x, y)$. In any case, we get a resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-4) \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3) \end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2)^{\oplus 2} \\ \oplus \\ \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3) \end{array} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_C \longrightarrow 0,$$

which is minimal unless C is a complete intersection. Combining this resolution with (41), we conclude the proof. \square

We then obtain the following cohomology table for $F \in \mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 12)$.

	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3
$h^0(F(p))$	0	0	0	0	1	6	18
$h^1(F(p))$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$h^2(F(p))$	11	8	4	1	0	0	0
$h^3(F(p))$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

TABLE 18. Cohomology table for $F \in \mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 12)$ with spectrum $\{-3, -2, -2, -1\}$.

Note that every F in $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 12)$ is 3-regular and satisfies $h^0(F) = h^1(F(-1)) = 0$, therefore $\text{ext}^2(F, F) = 0$ by Lemma 18, hence $\text{ext}^1(F, F) = 27$ and $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 12)$ is smooth. On the other hand, Proposition 39 implies that we have a surjective rational map $\mathbb{P}(\text{Hom}(E, L)) \dashrightarrow \mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 12)$, where

$$E := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-4) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3) \text{ and } L := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-3) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-2)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1).$$

Hence, $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 12)$ must be irreducible and unirational. We have therefore proved:

Theorem 40. $\mathcal{R}(-1, 4, 12)$ is a smooth, irreducible, unirational quasi-projective variety of dimension 27.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Barth. Irreducibility of the space of mathematical instanton bundles with rank 2 and $c_2 = 4$. *Mathematische Annalen*, 258:81–106, 1981. URL: <http://eudml.org/doc/163580>.
- [2] W. Barth and G. Elencwajg. Concernant la cohomologie des fibres algébriques stables sur $\mathbf{P}_n(\mathbf{C})$. In *Variétés analytiques compactes (Colloq., Nice, 1977)*, volume 683 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 1–24. Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [3] C. Bănică and N. Manolache. Rank 2 stable vector bundles on $\mathbf{P}^3(\mathbf{C})$ with Chern classes $c_1 = -1$, $c_2 = 4$. *Math. Z.*, 190(3):315–339, 1985. doi:10.1007/BF01215133.
- [4] C. Bănică, M. Putinar, and G. Schumacher. Variation der globalen Ext in Deformationen kompakter komplexer Räume. *Math. Ann.*, 250(2):135–155, 1980. doi:10.1007/BF01364455.
- [5] M.-C. Chang. Stable rank 2 bundles on \mathbf{P}^3 with $c_1 = 0$, $c_2 = 4$, and $\alpha = 1$. *Math. Z.*, 184(3):407–415, 1983. doi:10.1007/BF01163513.
- [6] M. C. Chang. Stable rank 2 reflexive sheaves on \mathbf{P}^3 with large c_3 . *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 343:99–107, 1983. doi:10.1515/crll.1983.343.99.
- [7] M.-C. Chang. Stable rank 2 reflexive sheaves on \mathbf{P}^3 with small c_2 and applications. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 284(1):57–89, 1984. doi:10.2307/1999274.
- [8] M. Corrêa, M. Jardim, and A. Muniz. Moduli of distributions via singular schemes. *Math. Z.*, 301(3):2709–2731, 2022. doi:10.1007/s00209-022-03001-y.
- [9] D. A. Cox, J. B. Little, and H. K. Schenck. *Toric varieties*, volume 124 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011. doi:10.1090/gsm/124.
- [10] H. Galeano, M. Jardim, and A. Muniz. Codimension one distributions of degree 2 on the three-dimensional projective space. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 226(2):Paper No. 106840, 32, 2022. doi:10.1016/j.jpaa.2021.106840.
- [11] D. R. Grayson and M. E. Stillman. Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry. Available at <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/>.

- [12] L. Gruson and C. Peskine. Genus of curves of projective space. *Algebr. Geom., Proc., Tromsø Symp. 1977*, Lect. Notes Math. 687, 31-59 (1978)., 1978. doi:10.1007/BFb0062927.
- [13] P. Gurrola and R. M. Miró-Roig. On the existence of generically smooth components for moduli spaces of rank 2 stable reflexive sheaves on \mathbf{P}^3 . *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 102(3):313–345, 1995. doi:10.1016/0022-4049(94)00089-2.
- [14] R. Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.
- [15] R. Hartshorne. Stable reflexive sheaves. *Math. Ann.*, 254:121–176, 1980. doi:10.1007/BF01467074.
- [16] R. Hartshorne. Stable reflexive sheaves II. *Invent. Math.*, 66:165–190, 1982. doi:10.1007/BF01404762.
- [17] R. Hartshorne. The genus of space curves. *Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII (N.S.)*, 40:207–223 (1996), 1994.
- [18] R. Hartshorne and E. Schlesinger. Curves in the double plane. In *Special issue in honor of Robin Hartshorne*, volume 28, pages 5655–5676. Taylor & Francis Inc., Philadelphia, PA, 2000. *Comm. Algebra* 28, no. 12. doi:10.1080/00927870008827180.
- [19] J. O. Kleppe. The Hilbert scheme of space curves of small diameter. *Annales de l'Institut Fourier*, 56(5):1297–1335, 2006. URL: <http://www.numdam.org/articles/10.5802/aif.2214/>, doi:10.5802/aif.2214.
- [20] J. O. Kleppe. Liaison invariants and the Hilbert scheme of codimension 2 subschemes in \mathbb{P}^{n+2} . In *Liaison, Schottky problem and invariant theory*, volume 280 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 63–101. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2010. doi:10.1007/978-3-0346-0201-3_6.
- [21] J. O. Kleppe. Moduli spaces of reflexive sheaves of rank 2. *Canad. J. Math.*, 62(5):1131–1154, 2010. doi:10.4153/CJM-2010-057-6.
- [22] H. Lange. Universal families of extensions. *J. Algebra*, 83(1):101–112, 1983. doi:10.1016/0021-8693(83)90139-4.
- [23] A. N. Lavrov. A new nonreduced moduli component of rank-2 semistable sheaves on \mathbb{P}^3 . *Sib. Math. J.*, 63(3):509–519, 2022. Translation of *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.* 63 (2022), no. 3, 613–625. doi:10.1134/s0037446622030107.
- [24] M. Martin-Deschamps and D. Perrin. *Sur la classification des courbes gauches*, volume 184-185 of *Astérisque*. Paris: Société Mathématique de France, 1990. URL: smf.emath.fr/system/files/filepdf/AST_1990_184-185_1_0.pdf, doi:10.24033/ast.26.
- [25] M. Martin-Deschamps and D. Perrin. Le schéma de Hilbert des courbes gauches localement Cohen-Macaulay n'est (presque) jamais réduit. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 29(6):757–785, 1996. URL: http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASENS_1996_4_29_6_757_0.
- [26] R. M. Miró-Roig. Gaps in Chern classes of rank 2 stable reflexive sheaves. *Math. Ann.*, 270(3):317–323, 1985. doi:10.1007/BF01473429.
- [27] D. Mumford. Further pathologies in algebraic geometry. *Amer. J. Math.*, 84:642–648, 1962. doi:10.2307/2372870.
- [28] S. Nollet. The Hilbert schemes of degree three curves. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 30(3):367–384, 1997. doi:10.1016/S0012-9593(97)89925-9.
- [29] S. Nollet and E. Schlesinger. Hilbert schemes of degree four curves. *Compositio Math.*, 139(2):169–196, 2003. doi:10.1023/B:COMP.0000005083.20724.cb.