

The growth of the Universe complexity as a possible solution to the Hubble tension.

Carlos Silva

*^aInstituto Federal de Educação Ciência e Tecnologia do Ceará (IFCE), Campus
Tanguá, Av Tabelaão Luiz Nogueira, s/n - Santo
Antônio, Tanguá, 62324-075, Ceará, Brazil*

Abstract

In this paper, we show that a possible relationship between the Hubble-Lemaître constant and the universe holographic complexity can be established in the context of a new proposal for the emergence of spacetime, according to which spacetime must emerge from quantum information encoded in quantum correlations without correlate. Such a bridge between the Hubble-Lemaître constant and the universe holographic complexity can shed some light on the issue of the Hubble tension.

1. Introduction

In recent years, a contention has arisen in the context of cosmology, the so-called Hubble tension [1, 2, 3]. Such a problem has its origin in a controversy between the early-universe measurements of the Hubble-Lemaître constant H_0 provided by the Planck collaboration [4], and late universe measurements provided by SHOES collaboration [5]. Such a discrepancy between early and late universe measurements of H_0 has been confirmed by several other late universe observations [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12], which have shown a clear distinction from the early-universe value provided by the Planck collaboration.

The early-universe result given by the Planck satellite is based on the measurement of the Cosmic Microwave Background radiation (CMB) which was formed when the universe was in its childhood. On the other hand, the late universe measurements are based on several observations related to

Email address: carlosalex.phys@gmail.com (Carlos Silva)

objects formed in an epoch when the universe was more old, and complex, such as cepheids, quasars, red giants stars, etc. In this way, the early universe measurement of the Hubble-Lemaître constant has provided a value of $H_0 = 67.4 \pm 0.5 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$. On the other hand, the late universe measurements have provided values for the Hubble-Lemaître constant $H_0 \geq 70$ [5, 6, 7, 12]. For example, the latest SHOES measurement gives us $H_0 = 73.2 \pm 1.3 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ [12].

The difference between the early and late universe measurements amounts to 4σ to 6σ depending on the datasets considered [2]. Such a discrepancy has cast doubt on the very constancy of H_0 , and it has been pointed out as sufficient to establish a crisis in cosmology, asking for new physics. In such a context, several proposals have been suggested to mend the Λ CDM model to explain the discrepancies in the value of H_0 . A really extensive list of such proposals can be found in [2].

However, the motto of such proposals consists of mending the Λ CDM model by introducing new elements for the description of the universe, in a similar way more and more epicycles were added to the Ptolemaic model to explain the motion of the planets, i.e., such proposals consist of ad hoc ideas.

In this way, a deeper breakdown of our understanding of the cosmos becomes necessary to solve the crisis. In this sense, a possible paradigm shift promoted by a forthcoming theory of quantum gravity could shed some light on the Hubble tension. However, so far no satisfactory solution to such a problem has been proposed by any of the candidates for a quantum theory of gravitational phenomena, like string theory or loop quantum gravity (LQG).

In such a context, the so-called holographic principle has been considered as a guide to obtain a new view of the world according to quantum gravity. In this sense, several studies related to the holographic principle have pointed out a very intriguing possibility: that a sui-generis aspect of quantum gravity is that spacetime must be not fundamental, as occurs in general relativity, but ought to emerge from some kind of pre-geometric structure. It can bring us a crucial modification in our view of the world, leading to deep modifications in the interpretation of some quantities related to spacetime like the Hubble-Lemaître constant H_0 .

In such a context, a new proposal for the emergence of spacetime has been done [13]. According to such a proposal, a type IIB string theory living in a classical AdS spacetime must emerge from a pre-geometric structure written in terms of abstract spin networks, describing quantum correlations without correlate. Such a proposal has established an unexpected bridge between

string theory and LQG, the main approaches to quantum gravity until now.

The theory introduced in [13] has brought us an interesting additional understanding of the holographic principle. In this sense, we note that in its usual form, the holographic principle says that gravitational physics in D spacetime dimensions can be described by a fundamental quantum theory in $D - 1$ dimensions. Thus spacetime geometry is not fundamental but rather emerges holographically from such a quantum theory living in a lower dimension.

However, in such a form of the holographic principle, we still need the existence of a locus (the bulk boundary) from which the bulk itself will emerge. Such a difficulty is rooted in some ontological questions that still haunt the issue of spacetime emergence: how could physics exist beyond spacetime? How could things exist, and become entangled, without some loci where and when they happen and change?

On the other hand, according to the proposal introduced in [13], the *AdS* bulk, including its boundary, must emerge from quantum correlations only. Not correlations among things, but only correlations. In this way, the most intriguing feature of such a perspective about the quantum nature of gravity is that the fundamental objects describing the pre-geometric regime, from which spacetime must emerge, consist of quantum correlations. Not correlations among things, but only quantum correlations.

In this case, if no correlations among things but only quantum correlations must weave spacetime, then one has a way to overcome the obstacles to the emergence of spacetime based on the existence of things to be connected through quantum entanglement, mainly the necessity of some loci where such things would exist. It is interesting to note that, it matches some ideas proposed by Mermin about an interpretation of quantum mechanics where only quantum correlations exist as the fundamental elements of physical reality [14, 15].

Related to the issue of the emergence of spacetime from quantum information, it has been pointed out that quantum complexity must have a crucial role in the weaving process of spacetime by quantum entanglement [16]. In such a context the second law of quantum complexity has been proposed, which is similar to the second law of thermodynamics. According to such law: "If not already saturated, the quantum complexity of a system will increase with an overwhelming probability towards its maximal value" [17, 18].

In the present work, we propose that a possible solution to the Hubble

tension must rely on the very nature of the Hubble-Lemaître constant. In this way, we shall demonstrate that H_0 can be related to the universe’s holographic quantum complexity, and we will show how the discrepancies among the values obtained to H_0 when one considers the early and late universe measurements can rely on a natural aspect of the universe: the evolution of its complexity throughout time according to the second law of holographic complexity.

The paper is organized as follows: in sections (2), we shall review the results related to the new paradigm for the holographic principle introduced in [13]. In section (3), we shall address some basic concepts related to holographic quantum complexity. In section (4), we shall demonstrate how the Hubble constant can be related to holographic complexity and how it can alleviate the Hubble tension. The section (5) is devoted to conclusions and discussions.

2. A relational approach to quantum gravity: branes as quantum reference frames and abstract spin networks.

The AdS/CFT correspondence establishes a duality between a type IIB string theory living in a $AdS_5 \times S^5$ bulk and the quantum physics of strongly correlated many-body systems living on the bulk boundary. The AdS/CFT correspondence consists of a non-perturbative treatment to superstring theory, establishing the most successful form of the holographic principle.

An interesting version of such correspondence was proposed by Emparan, Kaloper, and Fabri [19], and independently by Tanaka [20]. According to such a proposal named by Gregory as the Black Hole Holographic Conjecture (BHHC) [21, 22], a classical gravitational theory living in a $AdS_5 \times S^5$ bulk described by a type IIB string theory must be holographically related to a semiclassical gravitational theory living on the bulk boundary.

On the other hand, an extension of such a conjecture has been introduced recently [23]. According to this new proposal, string theory living in the AdS bulk must be holographically related not to a semiclassical theory but to a quantum gravity theory. In such a context, the fundamental elements belonging to such a quantum gravity theory consist of $U(1)$ holonomy structures similar to the Loop Quantum Cosmology spin networks [23].

Such results allow us to address interesting problems such as those related to the big bang singularity in AdS/CFT [24, 25], and the Immirzi ambiguity

in LQG [26]. Moreover, it has been possible to obtain a positive cosmological constant induced on the *AdS* boundary in this context.

On the other hand, the results found out in [23] open the doors for an even more interesting perspective. It is because it gives us not only a way to quantize spacetime but also they can modify the underlying space-time structure itself. It could impose an intrinsic inconsistency in the combination proposed in [23] because, in such a reference, standard AdS/CFT results have been used in the bulk while the boundary space-time structure may have been dismantled by quantum effects.

However, what could be a stumbling block to such results can open the doors to what may be the main lesson of the holographic principle: that spacetime must be not fundamental, as occurs in general relativity, but emergent. Such an idea has been suggested as a *sui generis* feature of a future theory of quantum gravity, having already been addressed in both LQG [27] and string theoretical contexts [28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33].

In this way, it has been taken into account the idea that spacetime must be an emergent entity in [13]. To carry out such an idea, two fundamental elements must be considered: quantum reference frames and the quantum correlations among them. In this case, it has been argued that in the context of the theory introduced in [23], the role of quantum reference frames can be assumed by branes, due to their $U(1)$ holonomy structure [13]. Specifically, branes can turn to be conceived as quantum clocks, i.e., temporal quantum reference frames [27, 34, 35].

It is interesting to highlight that, in the context of string theory, a D-brane can be conceived as an actual physical boundary to spacetime, with the “other side” of the brane being empty (null and void) of spacetime [36, 37]. In this sense, in the string theoretical context, D-branes can be seen as the edge of spacetime physics. Such a conception is already in itself at odds with general relativity. However, the results found in [23] allow us to go beyond the edge of spacetime and look at the branes revealing the fundamental elements from which spacetime itself must emerge.

In this sense, a peculiar detail about the scenario introduced in [13] is that the holonomy structure of branes can be used not only to establish such objects as quantum reference frames but also to weave the entanglement network among them. It is rooted in the fact that holonomies consist of unitary transformations connecting two quantum geometrical states, belonging (in general) to two different Hilbert spaces, through entanglement [38, 39, 40]:

$$\hat{h}_{ij} : \mathcal{H}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_j , \quad (1)$$

where

$$\hat{h}_{ij}\hat{h}_{ij}^\dagger = \mathbb{I} . \quad (2)$$

In this way, if we label the \mathcal{H}_i s as the Hilbert spaces of the branes in the equations above, the indices i, j will range as $i, j = 1, \dots, N$, and the holonomies \hat{h}_{ij} must be promoted to $U(N)$ unitary matrices, which will carry all the quantum information needed to the emergence of spacetime, summing up in itself a dual role of describing both the quantum reference frames and the quantum correlations between them.

In fact, in such a construction, one can take, for example, the holonomies h'_{ii} s to simply correspond to that frozen to define the brane quantum reference frames, while taking the h'_{ij} s ($i \neq j$) to correspond the connections between them. However, there is no fundamental distinction between such holonomies.

By following this way, it is possible to draw, from the theory introduced in [13], an interesting operational construction. To do this, one must realize that a vector in the representation space of the unitary group corresponds to an intertwiner with N legs dressed by $SU(2)$ representations [27]. In this way, the $U(N)$ group elements \hat{h}_{ij} , describing the system of N branes, can be written in terms of basis vectors in the representation space as

$$\hat{h}_{ij} = e^{M_{ij}} = \mathbb{I} + M_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}M_{ij}^2 + \dots , \quad (3)$$

where M_{ij} corresponds to a N -leg intertwiner belonging to the representation space of $U(N)$.

Consequently, the $U(N)$ quantum gravity theory from which spacetime must emerge can be described by a superposition of intertwiner states, where the number of intertwiners external legs equals the number of branes sourcing the AdS bulk geometry, giving in this way the combinatorial rules to the emergence of the AdS spacetime.

In this point, an important detail is that the intertwiners introduced in [13] correspond to abstract spin networks. Such objects are graphs labeled by $SU(2)$ representations, in the same way, we have in full LQG. However, they carry only combinatorial information, without any reference to a background geometry or topology.

In this case, in contrast with the theory first introduced in [23], the degrees of freedom related to quantum gravity, on a more fundamental level, must not consist in quantum area states, nor closed string states, but in purely combinatorial degrees of freedom. In this way, both closed string states and quantum area states must emerge from the $SU(2)$ combinatorial rules governing the angular momentum eigenvalues attached to the intertwiner legs.

For example, by embedding the intertwiners into a manifold, one can trace a way quanta of area will be written in terms of angular momentum eigenvalues, by the choice of some area spectrum. Such a procedure can be used to understand how the geometrical features of such a manifold can be related to the combinatorial rules governing the intertwiners, in a similar way we have in usual LQG [41]. Moreover, the way branes will source the AdS spacetime must be affected by the $SU(2)$ combinatorial rules, which must have consequences to the spectrum of closed strings in the AdS bulk.

All these results are rooted in a fact of paramount importance related to the scenario proposed in [13, 23]: that there is not a fundamental distinction between the holonomies describing the branes and the holonomies describing the quantum correlations among them. Consequently, even what has been called quantum reference frames can be understood, fundamentally, as quantum correlations, and only quantum correlations become fundamental in such a context [13]. Such a point of view where only quantum correlations are viewed as fundamental agrees with an interpretation of quantum phenomena introduced by Mermin [15].

In this way, we have a scenario where the commitment of physics with a locus where and when things would exist and change can be broken. In this case, the proposal to spacetime emergence introduced in [13] appears as distinct from that given by the holographic principle in its usual form, by introducing a new view about the fundamental degrees of freedom of quantum gravity, which must correspond to quantum correlations only. Not correlations among things, but only quantum correlations.

The results found out in [13] and [23] can be summarized in a new version of the AdS/CFT correspondence which can be written in the following lines:

A type IIB string theory living in a classical AdS bulk must emerge from quantum correlations, without correlate, described by abstract spin networks, in the limit where the number of correlations becomes large. The free parameters of the theories are related as

$$96\pi^4\alpha'^2g_s = \frac{12\pi}{\sigma} = \Delta, \quad (4)$$

and

$$\frac{L}{l_{10}} = (4\pi N)^{1/4}. \quad (5)$$

In the equation above,

$$l_{(10)} = g_s^{1/4}(\alpha')^{1/2} = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{\Delta}{96}\right)^{1/4}. \quad (6)$$

The Eq.(5) gives us the *AdS* radius, which defines the bulk geometry [42], in units of the ten-dimensional Planck length l_{10} . Such an equation has been borrowed from the usual form of the *AdS/CFT* correspondence [43, 44]. However, it assumes a new interpretation here since N turns out to be given by the number of external legs in the intertwiners states which is determined by the number of quantum correlations from which string theory will emerge.

3. Holographic quantum complexity

A fundamental question that can be raised in the context of the theory introduced in [23] is: How difficult is it to implement the emergence of spacetime from quantum correlations?

In the context of quantum information, such a question is related to the issue of quantum complexity, which has been acquiring more and more importance in several branches of knowledge, including quantum circuits, emergence physics, chaos theory, networks, cosmology, etc.

Briefly, complexity measures the hardness of a task. For example, in the context of quantum mechanics, any state has an associated complexity, once the process of preparing some state, using unitary operations, consists of a task in itself. By extending such a discussion to quantum circuits, complexity measures the number of quantum gates necessary to put the circuit to work.

It has been pointed out that quantum complexity must have a fundamental role in holography, particularly in the issue of the emergence of spacetime [45]. In this way, in the context of the holographic principle, it has been coined the term "holographic quantum complexity".

In particular, in the context of the AdS/CFT correspondence, there are two ways to calculate quantum complexity: the so-called Complexity = Volume (CV) [46], and the Complexity = Action (CA) [47] conjectures.

Concerning the first, it has been proposed that the holographic complexity C_h related to some region on the AdS boundary is given by [45]

$$C_h = \frac{Vol(\gamma)}{8\pi R_{AdS} G} = \frac{R_{AdS}^{d-1}}{8(d-1)\pi} \frac{Vol(R)}{\epsilon^{d-1}}, \quad (7)$$

where R_{AdS} is the AdS radius, and γ is the Ryu-Takayanagi (RT) surface [29].

In this case, $Vol(\gamma)$ denotes the volume under the RT surface. Moreover, ϵ denotes a necessary UV cutoff scale in the boundary theory, and $Vol(R)$ denotes the volume of the boundary spacelike subregion R which goes as R^{d-1} . Here d gives us the number of bulk spatial dimensions.

Interestingly, it has been proposed that complexity must obey a second law analogous to the second law of thermodynamics for entropy. The second law of complexity says that: "If the computational complexity is less than the maximum, then with the overwhelming likelihood it will increase, both into the future and into the past" [17]. In this way, holographic complexity must increase till it saturates at a maximal value [48].

The second law of quantum complexity must have a crucial role in the investigation of the evolution of the universe due to its relationship with the second law of thermodynamics. In the next section, we shall demonstrate that the evolution of the universe's holographic complexity, in accordance to its second law can shed some light on the Hubble tension.

4. Holographic complexity and the Hubble-Lemaître constant

In the context of the *AdS/CFT* correspondence we can associate the necessary quantum complexity for the emergence of spacetime with the number of branes we need to combine to source the *AdS* bulk.

In such a context, we have an interesting outcome from the *AdS/CFT* correspondence: causal diamonds defined on the *AdS* boundary carry the necessary holographic information for the emergence of the bulk [49, 50, 51].

Causal diamonds have occupied an important place in the investigations on the holographic principle, being considered as the natural unit of holography in a region of spacetime [52].

In this way, by considering the codifying of the quantum information necessary to the emergence of spacetime from abstract spin networks to the universe causal structure, one can consider the embedding of a spin network into the universe causal diamond by taking each spin network external leg patching a quantum of area on the universe causal diamond horizon, in a similar way we have in usual LQG.

In this case, the number of spin network external legs piercing the universe's causal diamond horizon will be given as

$$N \sim \frac{A_\diamond}{\Delta}, \quad (8)$$

which will correspond to the number of branes necessary to source the bulk geometry, and in this way to the amount of quantum complexity necessary to the emergence of the *AdS* spacetime.

In Eq. (8), $A_\diamond = 4\pi R_\diamond^2$ is the area of the universe's causal diamond horizon, and Δ will correspond to the quantum of area defined on it. In the present context, such a quantum of area will be given by the Eq. (4).

Moreover, the radius of the universe causal diamond is given by

$$R_\diamond = \frac{1}{H_0} \int_{-1}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{\sqrt{\Omega_{m0}(1+y)^3 + \Omega_{\Lambda0}}} \sim \frac{1}{H_0}, \quad (9)$$

where Ω_{m0} and $\Omega_{\Lambda0}$ correspond to the matter and cosmological constant density parameters, respectively.

Upon such considerations, we have the following results from the theory introduced in [13] and [23]: by combining the Eqs. (8) and (9), we can find

$$NH_0^2\Delta = \text{constant}. \quad (10)$$

Moreover, from the Eqs. (5) and (6), one can write

$$N \sim \frac{N^{3/4}L}{\Delta^{1/4}}, \quad (11)$$

Consequently, the Eq. (10) can be rewritten as:

$$\frac{1}{(N\Delta)^{3/4}H_0^2L} = \text{constant} . \quad (12)$$

On the other hand, from the same equations (5) and (6), together with the Eq. (9), it is possible to write:

$$\frac{(N\Delta)^{1/4}}{LR_\diamond H_0} = \text{constant}. \quad (13)$$

By combining the two results above, we obtain

$$\frac{N^{\frac{3}{2}}}{H_0^3} \sim \left(\frac{N^2\Delta^2}{LR_\diamond^2} \right) C_h , \quad (14)$$

where we have taken

$$C_h = \frac{L^3}{18} \frac{R_\diamond^3}{(\Delta^{1/2})^3} \quad (15)$$

as the universe holographic complexity Eq. (7), for $d = 4$. Moreover, we have taken $\epsilon = \Delta^{1/2}$ as the UV cutoff scale in the boundary theory.

However, we note from Eqs. (8) and (9), that

$$N\Delta \sim R_\diamond^2 \sim H_0^{-2}. \quad (16)$$

From Eqs (5) and (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} L &\sim (N\Delta)^{1/4} \\ &\sim R_\diamond^{1/2} \sim H_0^{-1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

In this way, it is possible to write:

$$\frac{N^{\frac{3}{2}}}{H_0^3} \sim \frac{1}{H_0^{3/2}} C_h , \quad (18)$$

which gives us

$$\frac{1}{H_0^{3/2}} \sim \frac{C_h}{N^{3/2}}. \quad (19)$$

Finally, by remembering that the number of branes sourcing the *AdS* geometry gives the amount of quantum complexity necessary to the emergence of spacetime, in a way that each brane can be seen as a quantum gate for the emergence of the bulk, we can take $C_h \sim N$, and obtain

$$H_0 \sim C_h^{\frac{1}{3}}. \quad (20)$$

In this way, we have found out that the value of H_0 is related to the holographic quantum complexity necessary for the emergence of spacetime.

5. - Conclusions and discussions

The so-called Hubble tension consists of the discrepancy between the early and late time measurements to the Hubble-Lamaître constant H_0 . Such a problem has driven cosmology to a crisis nowadays.

In such a context, new physics has been required to provide a consistent explanation of the evolution of the cosmos. Despite the several ideas to solve the Hubble tension, they consist of ad hoc proposals, not providing the necessary pathway to explain the discrepancy in the value of the Hubble-Lamaître constant.

In the present paper, we have used the relational approach to quantum gravity introduced in [13, 23] to find out a relationship between H_0 and the holographic complexity associated with the universe's causal structure. According to such a proposal, in a different way from general relativity, spacetime must be not fundamental but ought to emerge from quantum information encoded in quantum correlations only. Not correlations among things, but only quantum correlations. It introduces a pure relational approach for the emergence of spacetime where we do not need a previous locus to things do exist and might become entangled, as occurs in the usual form of the holographic principle.

By tracing a new perspective about the nature of spacetime, it is possible also to trace a change in the understanding of the very nature of the parameter H_0 , by proposing that it must be viewed as a measure of the universe's

holographic complexity. As an important consequence of this new view about H_0 , we have that it makes possible to link the Hubble-Lamaître constant to the second law of quantum complexity.

Since, in the context of the CV conjecture, it has been argued that holographic complexity must increase linearly with the number of quantum gates till it saturates at its maximal value [17, 53, 54], the result above must shed light on the issue of the very constancy of H_0 since one must have the value of H_0 changing with the holographic complexity during the evolution of the universe. In this case, H_0 must increase with C_h till both saturate to a maximal value. In this point, H_0 must reach not only a maximal value but a constant behavior too.

In this way, the difference between the values of H_0 given by early and late universe measurements must consist of a simple testimony that our universe has evolved from a relatively simple state at their early times to a very complex place containing galaxies, stars, quasars, black holes, and life.

References

- [1] L. Verde, T. Treu and A. G. Riess, “Tensions between the Early and the Late Universe,” *Nature Astron.* **3**, 891 doi:10.1038/s41550-019-0902-0 [arXiv:1907.10625 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [2] E. Di Valentino, O. Mena, S. Pan, L. Visinelli, W. Yang, A. Melchiorri, D. F. Mota, A. G. Riess and J. Silk, “In the realm of the Hubble tension—a review of solutions,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **38**, no.15, 153001 (2021) doi:10.1088/1361-6382/ac086d [arXiv:2103.01183 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [3] W. L. Freedman, “Measurements of the Hubble Constant: Tensions in Perspective,” *Astrophys. J.* **919**, no.1, 16 (2021) doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ac0e95 [arXiv:2106.15656 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [4] N. Aghanim *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], “Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters,” arXiv:1807.06209 [astro-ph.CO].
- [5] A. G. Riess, L. M. Macri, S. L. Hoffmann, D. Scolnic, S. Casertano, A. V. Filippenko, B. E. Tucker, M. J. Reid, D. O. Jones and J. M. Silverman, *et al.* “A 2.4% Determination of the Local Value of the Hubble Constant,” *Astrophys. J.* **826**, no.1, 56 (2016) doi:10.3847/0004-637X/826/1/56 [arXiv:1604.01424 [astro-ph.CO]].

- [6] K. C. Wong, S. H. Suyu, G. C. F. Chen, C. E. Rusu, M. Milon, D. Sluse, V. Bonvin, C. D. Fassnacht, S. Taubenberger and M. W. Auger, *et al.* “H0LiCOW – XIII. A 2.4 per cent measurement of H0 from lensed quasars: 5.3σ tension between early- and late universe probes,” *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **498**, no.1, 1420-1439 (2020) doi:10.1093/mnras/stz3094 [arXiv:1907.04869 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [7] W. L. Freedman, B. F. Madore, D. Hatt, T. J. Hoyt, I. S. Jang, R. L. Beaton, C. R. Burns, M. G. Lee, A. J. Monson and J. R. Neeley, *et al.* “The Carnegie-Chicago Hubble Program. VIII. An Independent Determination of the Hubble Constant Based on the Tip of the Red Giant Branch,” doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab2f73 [arXiv:1907.05922 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [8] W. Yuan, A. G. Riess, L. M. Macri, S. Casertano and D. Scolnic, “Consistent Calibration of the Tip of the Red Giant Branch in the Large Magellanic Cloud on the Hubble Space Telescope Photometric System and a Re-determination of the Hubble Constant,” *Astrophys. J.* **886**, 61 (2019) doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab4bc9 [arXiv:1908.00993 [astro-ph.GA]].
- [9] B. P. Abbott *et al.* [LIGO Scientific, Virgo and VIRGO], “A Gravitational-wave Measurement of the Hubble Constant Following the Second Observing Run of Advanced LIGO and Virgo,” *Astrophys. J.* **909**, no.2, 218 (2021) doi:10.3847/1538-4357/abdc7 [arXiv:1908.06060 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [10] D. W. Pesce, J. A. Braatz, M. J. Reid, A. G. Riess, D. Scolnic, J. J. Condon, F. Gao, C. Henkel, C. M. V. Impellizzeri and C. Y. Kuo, *et al.* “The Megamaser Cosmology Project. XIII. Combined Hubble constant constraints,” *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **891**, no.1, L1 (2020) doi:10.3847/2041-8213/ab75f0 [arXiv:2001.09213 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [11] J. Soltis, S. Casertano and A. G. Riess, “The Parallax of ω Centauri Measured from Gaia EDR3 and a Direct, Geometric Calibration of the Tip of the Red Giant Branch and the Hubble Constant,” *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **908**, no.1, L5 (2021) doi:10.3847/2041-8213/abdbad [arXiv:2012.09196 [astro-ph.GA]].
- [12] A. G. Riess, S. Casertano, W. Yuan, J. B. Bowers, L. Macri, J. C. Zinn and D. Scolnic, “Cosmic Distances Calibrated to 1% Precision with Gaia

- EDR3 Parallaxes and Hubble Space Telescope Photometry of 75 Milky Way Cepheids Confirm Tension with Λ CDM,” *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **908**, no.1, L6 (2021) doi:10.3847/2041-8213/abdbaf [arXiv:2012.08534 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [13] C. Silva, “A note on the AdS/CFT correspondence and the nature of spacetime in quantum gravity,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **998**, 116402 (2024) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2023.116402.
- [14] N. D. Mermin, “The Ithaca interpretation of quantum mechanics,” *Pramana* **51** (1998), 549-565 doi:10.1007/BF02827447 [arXiv:quant-ph/9609013 [quant-ph]].
- [15] N. D. Mermin, “What is quantum mechanics trying to tell us?,” *Am. J. Phys.* **66**, 753 (1998) doi:10.1119/1.18955 [arXiv:quant-ph/9801057 [quant-ph]].
- [16] L. Susskind, “Entanglement is not enough,” *Fortsch. Phys.* **64**, 49-71 (2016) doi:10.1002/prop.201500095 [arXiv:1411.0690 [hep-th]].
- [17] A. R. Brown and L. Susskind, “Second law of quantum complexity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, no.8, 086015 (2018) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.97.086015 [arXiv:1701.01107 [hep-th]].
- [18] A. Russo, “The Second Law of Quantum Complexity and the Entanglement Wormhole,” [arXiv:2104.05027 [quant-ph]].
- [19] R. Emparan, A. Fabbri and N. Kaloper, “Quantum black holes as holograms in AdS brane worlds,” *JHEP* **0208** 043 (2002), doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2002/08/043 hep-th/0206155.
- [20] T. Tanaka, “Classical black hole evaporation in Randall-Sundrum infinite brane world,” *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **148**, 307 (2002), doi:10.1143/PTPS.148.307 gr-qc/0203082.
- [21] R. Gregory, R. Whisker, K. Beckwith and C. Done, “Observing braneworld black holes,” *JCAP* **0410** 013 (2004), doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2004/10/013 hep-th/0406252.
- [22]

- [22] R. Gregory, “Braneworld black holes,” *Lect. Notes Phys.* **769** (2009), 259-298 doi:10.1007/978-3-540-88460-6_7 [arXiv:0804.2595 [hep-th]].
- [23] C. Silva, “Spin networks and the big bang singularity avoidance in the AdS/CFT correspondence,” *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, no.4, 046001 (2020) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.102.046001 [arXiv:2008.07279 [gr-qc]].
- [24] D. Bak, “Dual of big-bang and big-crunch,” *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 026003 (2007).
- [25] N. Engelhardt and G. T. Horowitz, “Holographic Consequences of a No Transmission Principle,” *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 026005 (2016), hep-th/1509.07509.
- [26] C. Rovelli and T. Thiemann, “The Immirzi parameter in quantum general relativity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **57**, 1009 (1998), doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.57.1009 gr-qc/9705059
- [27] F. Girelli and E. R. Livine, “Reconstructing quantum geometry from quantum information: Spin networks as harmonic oscillators,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **22**, 3295-3314 (2005) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/22/16/011 [arXiv:gr-qc/0501075 [gr-qc]].
- [28] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, “Holographic derivation of entanglement entropy from AdS/CFT,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 181602 (2006), doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.181602 hep-th/0603001.
- [29] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, “Aspects of Holographic Entanglement Entropy,” *JHEP* **08**, 045 (2006), doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2006/08/045 hep-th/0605073.
- [30] T. Nishioka, S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, “Holographic Entanglement Entropy: An Overview,” *J. Phys. A* **42**, 504008 (2009), doi:10.1088/1751-8113/42/50/504008 hep-th/0905.0932.
- [31] M. Van Raamsdonk, “Comments on quantum gravity and entanglement,” hep-th/0907.2939.
- [32] M. Van Raamsdonk, “Building up spacetime with quantum entanglement,” *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **42**, 2323-2329 (2010), doi:10.1142/S0218271810018529 hep-th/1005.3035.

- [33] T. Takayanagi, “Entanglement Entropy from a Holographic Viewpoint,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **29**, 153001 (2012), doi:10.1088/0264-9381/29/15/153001 [arXiv:1204.2450 [gr-qc]].
- [34] E. Castro-Ruiz, F. Giacomini, A. Belenchia and Č. Brukner, “Quantum clocks and the temporal localisability of events in the presence of gravitating quantum systems,” *Nature Commun.* **11**, no.1, 2672 (2020) doi:10.1038/s41467-020-16013-1 [arXiv:1908.10165 [quant-ph]].
- [35] A. R. H. Smith and M. Ahmadi, “Quantum clocks observe classical and quantum time dilation,” *Nature Commun.* **11**, no.1, 5360 (2020) doi:10.1038/s41467-020-18264-4
- [36] C. Barcelo and M. Visser, “Brane surgery: Energy conditions, traversable wormholes, and voids,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **584** (2000), 415-435 doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(00)00379-5 [arXiv:hep-th/0004022 [hep-th]].
- [37] C. Barcelo and M. Visser, “Living on the edge: Cosmology on the boundary of Anti-de Sitter space,” *Phys. Lett. B* **482**, 183-194 (2000), doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(00)00520-7 hep-th/0004056.
- [38] B. Baytaş, E. Bianchi and N. Yokomizo, “Gluing polyhedra with entanglement in loop quantum gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, no.2, 026001 (2018) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.98.026001 [arXiv:1805.05856 [gr-qc]].
- [39] E. Bianchi, P. Donà and I. Vilenky, “Entanglement entropy of Bell-network states in loop quantum gravity: Analytical and numerical results,” *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, no.8, 086013 (2019) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.99.086013 [arXiv:1812.10996 [gr-qc]].
- [40] J. Mielczarek, “Spin Foam Vertex Amplitudes on Quantum Computer - Preliminary Results,” *Universe* **5**, no.8, 179 (2019) doi:10.3390/universe5080179 [arXiv:1810.07100 [gr-qc]].
- [41] T. Liko and L. H. Kauffman, “Knot theory and a physical state of quantum gravity,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **23**, R63-R90 (2006) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/23/4/R01 [arXiv:hep-th/0505069 [hep-th]].
- [42] K. Becker, M. Becker and J. H. Schwarz, New York, Cambridge University Press (2007).

- [43] J. M. Maldacena, “The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity,” *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **38**, 1113-1133 (1999) doi:10.1023/A:1026654312961 [arXiv:hep-th/9711200 [hep-th]].
- [44] M. Ammon and J. Erdmenger, “Gauge/gravity duality: Foundations and applications,”
- [45] M. Alishahiha, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, no.12, 126009 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.92.126009 [arXiv:1509.06614 [hep-th]].
- [46] D. Stanford and L. Susskind, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, no.12, 126007 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.90.126007 [arXiv:1406.2678 [hep-th]].
- [47] A. R. Brown, D. A. Roberts, L. Susskind, B. Swingle and Y. Zhao, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, no.19, 191301 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.191301 [arXiv:1509.07876 [hep-th]].
- [48] S. Chapman and G. Policastro, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82**, no.2, 128 (2022) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-022-10037-1 [arXiv:2110.14672 [hep-th]].
- [49] B. Freivogel and B. Mosk, “Properties of Causal Holographic Information,” *JHEP* **09**, 100 (2013) doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2013)100 [arXiv:1304.7229 [hep-th]].
- [50] M. Headrick, V. E. Hubeny, A. Lawrence and M. Rangamani, “Causality & holographic entanglement entropy,” *JHEP* **12**, 162 (2014) doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2014)162 [arXiv:1408.6300 [hep-th]].
- [51] V. E. Hubeny and M. Rangamani, “Causal Holographic Information,” *JHEP* **06**, 114 (2012) doi:10.1007/JHEP06(2012)114 [arXiv:1204.1698 [hep-th]]
- [52] C. Krishnan, “Bulk Locality and Asymptotic Causal Diamonds,” *SciPost Phys.* **7**, no.4, 057 (2019) doi:10.21468/SciPostPhys.7.4.057 [arXiv:1902.06709 [hep-th]].
- [53] L. Susskind, [arXiv:1802.02175 [hep-th]].
- [54] J. Haferkamp, P. Faist, N. B. T. Kothakonda, J. Eisert and N. Y. Halpern, *Nature Phys.* **18**, no.5, 528-532 (2022) doi:10.1038/s41567-022-01539-6 [arXiv:2106.05305 [quant-ph]].