# More on Equivalent Formulation of Implicit Complementarity Problem

Bharat kumar<sup>a,1</sup>, Deepmala<sup>a,2</sup> and A.K. Das <sup>b,3</sup>

PDPM-Indian Institute of Information Technology, Design and Manufacturing, Jabalpur - 482005 (MP), India

<sup>b</sup>Indian Statistical Institute, 203 B.T. Road, Kolkata - 700108, India

 $^{1}Email:bharatnishad.kanpu@gmail.com$ ,  $^{2}Email:$  dmrai23@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup>Email: akdas@isical.ac.in

#### Abstract

This article presents an equivalent formulation of the implicit complementarity problem. We demonstrate that solution of the equivalent formulation is equivalent to the solution of the implicit complementarity problem. Moreover, we provide another equivalent formulation of the implicit complementarity problem using a strictly increasing function.

**Keywords.** Implicit complementarity problem, equivalent form, strictly increasing function.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 90C33, 90C30.

#### 1 Introduction

Bensoussan et al. [3] presented the implicit complementarity problem (ICP). ICPs are a class of mathematical optimization problems that solve a system of nonlinear equations that includes both complementary conditions and equality or inequality constraints. ICPs can be formulated as follows:

Consider the matrix  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and the vector  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the implicit complementarity problem denoted as  $ICP(\mathcal{A}, b, f)$  is to find the solution  $r \in \mathbb{R}^n$  to the following system:

$$\mathcal{H}(r) = r - f(r) \ge 0, \quad \mathcal{F}(r) = \mathcal{A}r + b \ge 0, \quad \mathcal{H}(r)^T \mathcal{F}(r) = 0, \tag{1}$$

where f(r) is a mapping from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . ICPs arise in many different areas of mathematics and science, including economics, engineering, physics and computer science. For details see, [11], [5] and [14].

One of the most popular techniques for developing fast and affordable iterative algorithms is the equivalent formulation of the  $LCP(\mathcal{A}, b)$  as an equation that's solution must be the same. Mangasarian offered an equivalent forms of  $LCP(\mathcal{A}, b)$  in [12] and described as

$$r = (r - \omega \Omega (\mathcal{A}r + b))_+,$$

where  $r_+ \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $(r_+)_i = max\{0, r_i\}$  and  $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is a positive diagonal matrix. The LCP  $(\mathcal{A}, b)$  is described in an analogous form and several iteration techniques are given by Bai in [2]. For more details on equivalent form of LCPs and related iteration methods see, [1], [6], [8], [10], [15], [9], [7] and [4]. The concept of equivalent formulation has also been used effectively for other complementarity problems, like implicit complementarity problem [16] and [6] and horizontal linear complementarity problem [13]. Motivated by the works of Mangasarian [12], we present an equivalent form of ICP. The article is presented as follows: we present an equivalent form of ICP and provide some conditions for the solution of ICP in Section 2. The conclusion is given in Section 3.

#### 2 Main results

In the following, we provide an equivalent expression of the implicit complementarity problem.

**Theorem 2.1.** Suppose  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $r^* \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be the solution of  $ICP(\mathcal{A}, b, f) \iff \mathcal{R}(r^*) = 0$ , where  $\mathcal{R} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{R}(r) = \mathcal{H}(r) - (\mathcal{H}(r) - (\mathcal{A}r + b))_+.$$
(2)

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathcal{R}(r^*) = 0$ , it follows that  $(\mathcal{H}(r^*) - (\mathcal{H}(r^*) - (\mathcal{A}r^* + b))_+) = 0$ . This implies that

$$\mathcal{H}(r^*) = (\mathcal{H}(r^*) - (\mathcal{A}r^* + b))_+.$$
(3)

Component-wise, we consider two cases:

**Case 1.** when  $\mathcal{H}_i(r^*) \ge (\mathcal{A}r^* + b)_i$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_i(r^*)$  denotes the  $i^{th}$  component of the  $\mathcal{H}(r^*)$ . Then Eq. (3) can be written as

$$\mathcal{H}_i(r^*) = \mathcal{H}_i(r^*) - (\mathcal{A}r^* + b)_i.$$

It follows that,

$$\mathcal{F}_i(r^*) = (\mathcal{A}r^* + b)_i = 0.$$

**Case 2.** when  $\mathcal{H}_i(r^*) < (\mathcal{A}r^* + b)_i \implies ((\mathcal{A}r^* + b)_i - \mathcal{H}_i(r^*)) < 0$ . Then, we get

$$\mathcal{H}_i(r^*) = 0.$$

From case (1) and case (2),  $\mathcal{H}_i(r^*)\mathcal{F}_i(r^*) = 0 \ \forall \ i \implies \mathcal{H}(r^*)^T \mathcal{F}(r^*) = 0$ Conversely, let  $r^*$  be the solution of system (1). By complementary condition of ICP, either  $\mathcal{H}_i(r^*) = 0$  or  $\mathcal{F}_i(r^*) = 0 \ \forall \ i$ .

Component-wise, we consider two cases:

**Case 1.** If  $\mathcal{H}_i(r^*) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{F}_i(r^*) > 0$ , Eq. (2) becomes  $\mathcal{R}_i(r^*) = (-(-(\mathcal{A}r^* + b))_+) \implies \mathcal{R}_i(r^*) = 0.$ 

**Case 2.** If  $\mathcal{H}_i(r^*) > 0$  and  $\mathcal{F}_i(r^*) = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{R}_i(r^*) = (\mathcal{H}_i(r^*) - (\mathcal{H}_i(r^*))_+) \implies$ 

 $\mathcal{R}_i(r^*) = 0.$ 

From case (1) and case (2), we get 
$$\mathcal{R}_i(r^*) = 0 \forall i$$
. Then  $\mathcal{R}(r^*) = 0$ 

Remark 2.1. Let  $S(r) = \mathcal{H}(r) - \mathcal{R}(r)$ , then  $r^*$  is the solution of  $ICP(\mathcal{A}, b, f)$  $\iff S(r^*) = \mathcal{H}(r^*).$ 

**Lemma 2.1.** Suppose  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be two positive diagonal matrices and define the mapping  $\overline{\mathcal{R}}(r) = (\Omega_1 \mathcal{H}(r) - (\Omega_1 \mathcal{H}(r) - \Omega_2 (\mathcal{A}r + b))_+)$ . Then  $r^*$  is the solution of  $ICP(\mathcal{A}, b, f) \iff \overline{\mathcal{R}}(r^*) = 0$ 

*Proof.* Suppose  $\overline{\mathcal{R}}(r^*) = 0$ , it follows that

$$(\Omega_1 \mathcal{H}(r^*) - (\Omega_1 \mathcal{H}(r^*) - \Omega_2 (\mathcal{A}r^* + b))_+) = 0.$$

Then we write

$$\Omega_1 \mathcal{H}(r^*) = (\Omega_1 \mathcal{H}(r^*) - \Omega_2 (\mathcal{A}r^* + b))_+.$$
(4)

Component-wise, we consider two cases:

**Case 1.** when  $(\Omega_1 \mathcal{H}(r^*))_i \ge (\Omega_2(\mathcal{A}r^* + b))_i$ , then Eq. (3) becomes

$$(\Omega_1 \mathcal{H}(r^*))_i = (\Omega_1 \mathcal{H}(r^*))_i - (\Omega_2 (\mathcal{A}r^* + b))_i.$$

Then,  $(\Omega_2(\mathcal{A}r^*+b))_i = 0 \implies \mathcal{F}_i(r^*) = (\mathcal{A}r^*+b)_i = 0.$ 

**Case 2.** when  $(\Omega_1 \mathcal{H}(r^*))_i < (\Omega_2(\mathcal{A}r^* + b))_i$ , this implies that  $((\Omega_2(\mathcal{A}r^* + b))_i - (\Omega_1 \mathcal{H}(r^*))_i) < 0$ . Then, we get

$$(\Omega_1 \mathcal{H}(r^*))_i = 0 \implies \mathcal{H}_i(r^*) = 0,$$

from case (1) and case (2), we obtain  $\mathcal{H}_i(r^*)\mathcal{F}_i(r^*) = 0 \ \forall i$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{H}(r^*)^T \mathcal{F}(r^*) = 0$ .

Conversely, let  $r^*$  be the solution of Eq. (1). Then

component-wise, we consider two cases:

**Case 1.** when  $\mathcal{H}_i(r^*) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{F}_i(r^*) > 0$ , then  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_i(r^*) = -(-(\Omega_2(\mathcal{A}r^*+b))_i)_+)$  $\implies \bar{\mathcal{R}}_i(r^*) = 0.$ 

**Case 2.** when  $\mathcal{H}_i(r^*) > 0$  and  $\mathcal{F}_i(r^*) = 0$ , then  $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_i(r^*) = ((\Omega_1 \mathcal{H}(r^*))_i - ((\Omega_1 \mathcal{H}(r^*))_i)_i)_i)$ .

Thus,  $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_i(r^*) = 0 \forall i$ . From case (1) and case (2), we obtain  $\overline{\mathcal{R}}(r^*) = 0$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** Suppose  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\delta : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be any strictly increasing function such that  $\delta(0) = 0$ . Then  $r^*$  is the solution of  $ICP(\mathcal{A}, b, f)$  $\iff \mathcal{G}(r^*) = 0, \mathcal{G}$  is the function from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , given as

$$\mathcal{G}_i(r) = \delta(|(\mathcal{A}r+b)_i - \mathcal{H}_i(x)|) - \delta((\mathcal{A}r+b)_i) - \delta(\mathcal{H}_i(x)), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$
(5)

*Proof.* For some i, let  $\mathcal{H}_i(r^*) < 0$ . Then it follows that

$$0 > \delta(\mathcal{H}_i(r^*)) = \delta(\mathcal{F}_i(r^*) - \mathcal{H}_i(r^*)) - \delta(\mathcal{F}_i(r^*)) \ge -\delta(\mathcal{F}_i(r^*)).$$
(6)

Thus,  $\mathcal{F}_i(r^*) > 0$  and  $\mathcal{F}_i(r^*) - \mathcal{H}_i(r^*) > \mathcal{F}_i(r^*) > 0$ . This implies that

$$\delta(|\mathcal{F}_i(r^*) - \mathcal{H}_i(r)|) = \delta(\mathcal{F}_i(r^*) - \mathcal{H}_i(r^*)) > \delta(\mathcal{F}_i(r)).$$
(7)

From inequalities (6) and (7), we get  $\mathcal{G}(r^*) > 0$ , this is the contradiction. If  $\mathcal{H}_i(r^*) > 0$  and  $\mathcal{F}_i(r^*) > 0$  for some *i*. Then, we consider two possibilities: 1. when  $\mathcal{H}_i(r^*) > \mathcal{F}_i(r^*)$ , then

$$\delta(|\mathcal{F}_i(r^*) - \mathcal{H}_i(r^*)|) = \delta(\mathcal{H}_i(r^*) - \mathcal{F}_i(r^*)) < \delta(\mathcal{H}_i(r^*))$$

Then  $\delta(|\mathcal{F}_i(r^*) - \mathcal{H}_i(r^*)|) - \delta(\mathcal{H}_i(r^*)) < 0$ . This implies that

$$\mathcal{G}_i(r^*) < 0, \tag{8}$$

2. when  $\mathcal{F}_i(r^*) > \mathcal{H}_i(r^*)$ , then

$$\delta(|\mathcal{F}_i(r^*) - \mathcal{H}_i(r^*)|) = \delta(\mathcal{F}_i(r^*) - \mathcal{H}_i(r^*)) < \delta(\mathcal{F}_i(r^*)).$$

Then  $\delta(|\mathcal{F}_i(r^*) - \mathcal{H}_i(r^*)|) - \delta(\mathcal{F}_i(r^*)) < 0$ . This implies that

$$\mathcal{G}_i(r^*) < 0. \tag{9}$$

From inequalities (8) and (9), we must have  $\mathcal{G}_i(r^*) < 0$ , again a contradiction. Therefore,  $r^*$  solve the ICP $(\mathcal{A}, b, f)$ .

Conversely, let  $r^*$  be the solution of  $ICP(\mathcal{A}, b, f)$ , then either  $\mathcal{H}_i(r^*) = 0$  or  $\mathcal{F}_i(r^*) = 0 \forall i$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{H}_i(r^*) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{F}_i(r^*) > 0$ , Then

$$\mathcal{G}_i(r^*) = \delta(|\mathcal{F}_i(r^*)|) - \delta(\mathcal{F}_i(r^*)).$$

This implies that  $\mathcal{G}_i(r^*) = 0$ .

When  $\mathcal{F}_i(r^*) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{H}_i(r^*) > 0$ , Then

$$\mathcal{G}_i(r^*) = \delta(|-\mathcal{H}_i(r^*)|) - \delta(\mathcal{H}_i(r^*)).$$

This implies that  $\mathcal{G}_i(r^*) = 0 \,\forall i$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{G}(r^*) = 0$ 

## 3 Conclusion

This article presents an equivalent formulation of the implicit complementarity problem. We introduce a equivalent formulation of the implicit complementarity problem and demonstrate that solution of the equivalent formulation is equal to the solution of the implicit complementarity problem. Moreover, By using strictly increasing function  $\delta$ , an equivalent form of the implicit complementarity problem is provided.

#### Acknowledgments

The author, Bharat Kumar is thankful to the University Grants Commission (UGC), Government of India, under the SRF fellowship, Ref. No.: 1068/(CSIR-UGC NET DEC. 2017).

## References

- Byong Hun Ahn. Solution of nonsymmetric linear complementarity problems by iterative methods. *Journal of optimization Theory and Applications*, 33:175–185, 1981.
- [2] Zhong-Zhi Bai. Modulus-based matrix splitting iteration methods for linear complementarity problems. Numerical Linear Algebra with Applications, 17(6):917–933, 2010.

- [3] Alain Bensoussan and Jacques-Louis Lions. Nouvelles méthodes en contrôle impulsionnel. Applied Mathematics and Optimization, 1:289–312, 1975.
- [4] Richard W Cottle, Jong-Shi Pang, and Richard E Stone. The linear complementarity problem. academic pr ess. Inc., Boston, MA, 5, 1992.
- [5] Michael C Ferris, Olvi L Mangasarian, and Jong-Shi Pang. Complementarity: Applications, algorithms and extensions, volume 50. Springer Science & Business Media, 2001.
- [6] Jun-Tao Hong and Chen-Liang Li. Modulus-based matrix splitting iteration methods for a class of implicit complementarity problems. *Numerical Linear Algebra with Applications*, 23(4):629–641, 2016.
- [7] Bharat Kumar, Arup K Das, et al. A note on fixed point method and linear complementarity problem. Journal of Numerical Analysis and Approximation Theory, 2023.
- [8] Bharat Kumar, Arup Kumar Das, et al. On general fixed point method based on matrix splitting for solving linear complementarity problem. *Journal of Numerical Analysis and Approximation Theory*, 51(2):189–200, 2022.
- [9] Bharat Kumar, Deepmala, and AK Das. Projected fixed point iterative method for large and sparse horizontal linear complementarity problem. *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, pages 1–10, 2023.
- [10] Bharat Kumar, Deepmala, A Dutta, and AK Das. More on matrix splitting modulus-based iterative methods for solving linear complementarity problem. OPSEARCH, pages 1–18, 2023.
- [11] Carlton E Lemke and Joseph T Howson, Jr. Equilibrium points of bimatrix games. Journal of the Society for industrial and Applied Mathematics, 12(2):413–423, 1964.

- [12] OL Mangasarian. Solution of symmetric linear complementarity problems by iterative methods. Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, 22(4):465–485, 1977.
- [13] Francesco Mezzadri and Emanuele Galligani. Modulus-based matrix splitting methods for horizontal linear complementarity problems. *Numerical Algorithms*, 83(1):201–219, 2020.
- [14] Katta G Murty and Feng-Tien Yu. Linear complementarity, linear and nonlinear programming, volume 3. Citeseer, 1988.
- [15] Muhammad Aslam Noor. Fixed point approach for complementarity problems. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 133(2):437–448, 1988.
- [16] Shui-Lian Xie, Hong-Ru Xu, and Jin-Ping Zeng. Two-step modulus-based matrix splitting iteration method for a class of nonlinear complementarity problems. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 494:1–10, 2016.