

# LOCAL EULER CHARACTERISTICS OF $A_n$ -SINGULARITIES AND THEIR APPLICATION TO HYPERBOLICITY

NILS BRUIN, NATHAN ILTEN, AND ZHE XU

**ABSTRACT.** Wahl's local Euler characteristic measures the local contributions of a singularity to the usual Euler characteristic of a sheaf. Using tools from toric geometry, we study the local Euler characteristic of sheaves of symmetric differentials for isolated surface singularities of type  $A_n$ . We prove an explicit formula for the local Euler characteristic of the  $m$ th symmetric power of the cotangent bundle; this is a quasi-polynomial in  $m$  of period  $n + 1$ . We also express the components of the local Euler characteristic as a count of lattice points in a non-convex polyhedron, again showing it is a quasi-polynomial. We apply our computations to obtain new examples of algebraic quasi-hyperbolic surfaces in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  of low degree. We show that an explicit family of surfaces with many singularities constructed by Labs has no genus 0 curves for the members of degree at least 8 and no curves of genus 0 or 1 for degree at least 10.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Motivation and local Euler characteristic.** We present a full analysis of the local Euler characteristic of the cotangent sheaf at a surface singularity of type  $A_n$ . Our main motivation is its application to a method for proving that certain surfaces are algebraically quasi-hyperbolic by showing that sufficiently high symmetric powers of the cotangent sheaf have global sections.

Let  $Y$  be a nonsingular projective surface over a field  $\mathbf{k}$  of characteristic 0. For simplicity we assume that  $\mathbf{k}$  is algebraically closed. We say that  $Y$  is *algebraically quasi-hyperbolic* if it contains only finitely many curves of genus 0 and 1. Coskun and Riedl [CR23] proved that very general surfaces in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  of degree  $d \geq 5$  are algebraically hyperbolic, which is a property that implies they are algebraically quasi-hyperbolic as well. However, no surface defined over a number field is very general, so for many specific surfaces the question about their quasi-hyperbolicity remains open.

For surfaces of general type, Bogomolov [Bog77] shows that if the cotangent bundle on  $Y$  is big, then  $Y$  is algebraically quasi-hyperbolic.

The cotangent bundle of a nonsingular surface  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  is never big. However, Bogomolov and de Oliveira [BDO06] observed that the resolution  $\phi: Y \rightarrow X$  of a normal surface  $X$  may have a big cotangent bundle if  $X$  has sufficiently many singularities for its degree. One way to see this is by considering the  $m$ th symmetric power  $\mathcal{F} = S^m\Omega_Y$  of the cotangent sheaf on  $Y$ . This is a vector bundle, and in particular reflexive. We take the direct image of  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  and take its reflexive hull  $\mathcal{F}'$ . We have  $\mathcal{F}' = \hat{S}^m\Omega_X$ , where  $\hat{S}^m\Omega_X$  denotes the reflexive hull of  $S^m\Omega_X$ .

---

*Date:* December 2, 2023.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14J17, 14M25; Secondary 14F10, 52B20, 11G35.

*Key words and phrases.* Local Euler characteristic; singularities; toric geometry; toric vector bundles; algebraic quasi-hyperbolicity.

The first and second named authors acknowledge the support of the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), funding reference numbers RGPIN-2018-04191 and RGPIN-2021-02956, respectively.

As Blache [Bla96, §3.9] shows, if the singular locus  $S$  of  $X$  consists of ADE-singularities, then *local Euler characteristics* as defined by Wahl [Wah76] can be used to express the difference in Euler characteristics as a sum of local contributions at the singularities  $s \in S$  as

$$(1.1) \quad \chi(X, \mathcal{F}') = \chi(Y, \mathcal{F}) + \sum_{s \in S} \chi_{\text{loc}}(s, \mathcal{F}),$$

where  $\chi_{\text{loc}}(s, \mathcal{F})$  is defined as follows. For a sufficiently small open affine neighbourhood  $X^\circ$  of  $s$ , together with  $Y^\circ = \phi^{-1}X^\circ$  and  $E_s = \phi^{-1}(s)$  we set

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\text{loc}}(s, \mathcal{F}) &= \chi^0(s, \mathcal{F}) + \chi^1(s, \mathcal{F}), \text{ where} \\ \chi^0(s, \mathcal{F}) &= \dim H^0(Y^\circ - E_s, \mathcal{F}) / H^0(Y^\circ, \mathcal{F}) \text{ and} \\ \chi^1(s, \mathcal{F}) &= \dim H^1(Y^\circ, \mathcal{F}). \end{aligned}$$

When applied to global sections we immediately see

$$h^0(Y, S^m \Omega_Y) \geq h^0(X, \hat{S}^m \Omega_X) - \sum_s \chi^0(s, S^m \Omega_Y).$$

By [BDO06, Proposition 2.3] or [Des79, Lemme 3.3.2] we have  $h^0(X, \hat{S}^m \Omega_X) \geq \chi(X, \hat{S}^m \Omega_X)$  for  $m \geq 3$ , so we get the inequality

$$(1.2) \quad h^0(Y, S^m \Omega_Y) \geq \chi(Y, S^m \Omega_Y) + \sum_s \chi^1(s, S^m \Omega_Y) \text{ for } m \geq 3.$$

**1.2. Local Euler characteristics at  $A_n$ -singularities.** We compute the local Euler characteristic and its components for an  $A_n$ -singularity  $s_n$ . Specifically, we prove the following in Section 3.5.

**Theorem 1.3.** *For an  $A_n$ -singularity  $s_n$  on a surface  $X$  with minimal resolution  $Y \rightarrow X$  we have*

$$\chi_{\text{loc}}(s_n, S^m \Omega_Y^1) = \frac{(n+1)^2 - 1}{(n+1)} \left( \frac{1}{6} m^3 + \frac{1}{2} m^2 + \frac{1}{4} m \right) + \frac{b_n(m)}{4(n+1)} \cdot m + \frac{c_n(m)}{12(n+1)}$$

where

$$b_n(m) = \begin{cases} 0 & n \text{ even} \\ 1 & n \text{ odd } q \text{ even} \\ -1 & n \text{ odd } q \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

$$c_n(m) = \begin{cases} 2q^3 - 3(n-1)q^2 + (n^2 - 4n - 2)q & n \text{ even } q \text{ even} \\ 2q^3 - 3(n-1)q^2 + (n^2 - 4n - 2)q - 3(n+1) & n \text{ even } q \text{ odd} \\ 2q^3 - 3(n-1)q^2 + (n^2 - 4n - 5)q & n \text{ odd } q \text{ even} \\ 2q^3 - 3(n-1)q^2 + (n^2 - 4n + 1)q - 3(n+1) & n \text{ odd } q \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

and  $q$  is the remainder of  $m$  divided by  $n+1$ .

We also compute  $\chi^0(s_n, S^m \Omega_Y^1)$  as a sum of lattice point counts in rational polytopes. In order to formulate the result, we need to define some vertices. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} P_i &= \left( -\frac{1}{i+1}, 0, 0 \right) \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots \\ Q_i &= \left( -\frac{2}{(i+1)(i+2)}, -\frac{i}{i+2}, \frac{i}{i+2} \right) \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots \\ Z &= (0, -1, 0) \\ P'_n &= \left( \frac{1}{n+1}, -1, 0 \right) \\ Q'_n &= \left( \frac{2}{(n+1)(n+2)}, -1, \frac{n}{n+2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Writing  $\text{Conv } V$  for the convex hull of a set  $V$ , we consider the half-open convex polytopes

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_i &= \text{Conv}\{P_{i-1}, Q_{i-1}, P_i, Q_i, Z\} \setminus \text{Conv}\{P_i, Q_i, Z\} \setminus \text{Conv}\{P_{i-1}, P_i, Z\}; \\ \mathcal{C}_n &= \text{Conv}\{P_n, P'_n, Q_n, Q'_n, Z\} \setminus \text{Conv}\{P_n, P'_n, Z\}. \end{aligned}$$

For a polytope  $\mathcal{P}$  we consider the Ehrhart function counting integral point in dilations of the polytope  $\mathcal{P}$ ,

$$L(\mathcal{P}, t) = \#(t\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^3) \text{ for } t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

For a convex polytope spanned by vertices with rational coordinates, this function is a *quasi-polynomial*. The same holds for a non-convex polytope. In Section 4.3 we prove the following.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $Y \rightarrow X$  be the minimal resolution of a surface singularity  $s_n \in X$  of type  $A_n$ . Then*

$$\chi^0(s_n, S^m \Omega_Y^1) = L(\mathcal{C}_n, m+1) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n L(\mathcal{P}_i, m+1),$$

which is the lattice point count in of the dilations of a half-open non-convex polytope with volume

$$\text{vol } \mathcal{C}_n + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \text{vol } \mathcal{P}_i.$$

See Appendix A for the generating functions of  $L(\mathcal{P}_n, m+1)$  and  $L(\mathcal{C}_n, m+1)$  for small values of  $n$ .

By considering the non-convex polytope as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain some extra information; see Section 4.4 for the proof.

**Proposition 1.6.**

- (1)  $\chi^0(s_n, S^m \Omega_Y^1)$  is a non-decreasing function in both  $n$  and in  $m$ ,
- (2)  $\chi^0(s_n, S^m \Omega_Y^1)$  is constant in  $n$  for  $n > m$ ,
- (3) for any fixed  $n$ , we have  $\chi^0(s_n, S^m \Omega_Y^1) \leq (\frac{2}{9}\pi^2 - 2)(m+1)^3 + O(m^2)$ , where  $\pi$  denotes Archimedes' constant, so  $\frac{2}{9}\pi^2 - 2 \approx 0.1932$ .

For the proofs of the above results we employ tools from toric geometry. Consider the affine variety  $X: x_1 x_2 = x_3^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{A}^3$  with its  $A_n$ -singularity  $s = (0, 0, 0)$ , as well as its minimal resolution  $Y \rightarrow X$ . Both  $X$  and  $Y$  are toric varieties, see Examples 2.1 and 2.3.

The reflexive hull of the symmetric powers of the cotangent sheaf on  $X$ , and the symmetric powers of the cotangent bundle on  $Y$ , are torus-equivariant reflexive sheaves. In general, for

any equivariant reflexive sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on a toric variety  $Z$ , the equivariant structure provides a grading of the cohomology parametrized by the character lattice  $M$  of the maximal torus:

$$H^i(Z, \mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{u \in M} H^i(Z, \mathcal{F})_u.$$

Klyachko [Kly89] gives a very detailed description of these graded pieces in terms of combinatorial data associated to  $Z$  and  $\mathcal{F}$ ; this applies in particular to the sheaves  $\hat{S}^m \Omega_X$  and  $S^m \Omega_Y$ . We can express the quantities in Theorems 1.3 and 1.5 as sums of graded parts as well. Using Klyachko’s machinery, we find that only finitely many of these graded parts are non-trivial and that we can express them as lattice point counts in a non-convex polytope dilated by a factor  $(m+1)$ . For Theorem 1.3 this expression significantly simplifies through the use of lattice-preserving scissor operations and manipulations of generating functions.

**1.3. Applications to algebraic quasi-hyperbolicity.** Comparing the results from Theorem 1.3 and Proposition 1.6, we see that the coefficient of  $m^3$  in  $\chi^0(s_n, S^m \Omega_Y^1)$  is bounded in  $n$ , whereas in  $\chi_{\text{loc}}(s_n, S^m \Omega_Y^1)$  it grows linearly with  $n$ . As a result, we see that the inequality (1.2) improves as  $n$  grows.

For a hypersurface  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  of degree  $d$  with  $r$  singularities of type  $A_n$ , one can compute that a minimal resolution  $Y \rightarrow X$  has  $\chi(Y, S^m \Omega_Y^1) = -\frac{1}{3}(2d^2 - 5d)m^3 + O(m^2)$ . We can use the bound (1.2) to compute a bound  $r(d, n)$  such that for  $r \geq r(d, n)$ , the cotangent bundle of  $Y$  is guaranteed to be big. We tabulate some small values below.

	$n = 1$	$n = 2$	$n = 3$	$n = 4$	$n = 5$	$n = 6$
$d = 5$	57	27	18	13	11	–
$d = 6$	95	46	30	22	18	15
$d = 7$	142	68	45	33	27	22
$d = 8$	199	95	62	46	37	31
$d = 9$	264	126	83	61	49	41
$d = 10$	338	162	106	78	62	52

Miyaoka [Miy84] shows that a degree  $d$  surface has at most  $\frac{2}{3}(d-1)^2 d(n+1)/(2n+1)$  singularities of type  $A_n$ . Hence, we see that for  $n = 1$ , the smallest realizable degree would be  $d = 10$ , and indeed Barth’s decic surface has  $r = 345$  singularities of type  $A_1$  and therefore has big cotangent bundle. For  $n \geq 2$  we see that Miyaoka’s bound does not exclude any  $d$ .

Labs [Lab06] describes surfaces of degree  $d = 2k$  given by an equation

$$X_k : \xi_0^{2k} + \xi_1^{2k} + \xi_2^{2k} + \xi_3^{2k} - \xi_0^k \xi_1^k - \xi_0^k \xi_2^k - \xi_0^k \xi_3^k - \xi_1^k \xi_2^k - \xi_1^k \xi_3^k - \xi_2^k \xi_3^k = 0$$

with  $4k^2$  singularities of type  $A_{k-1}$ . For  $k \geq 4$  these surfaces have enough singularities to force the cotangent bundle on their minimal resolutions to be big and hence these surfaces are algebraically quasi-hyperbolic.

In particular, we find an explicit degree 8 surface in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  that is algebraically quasi-hyperbolic. While very general surfaces of degree at least 5 are algebraically hyperbolic by [CR23], no surface defined over a number field is very general. To our knowledge, our example is the lowest degree example of an explicitly given surface in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  that is algebraically quasi-hyperbolic.

We can in fact prove a little more by computing a regular symmetric differential on  $X_k$  for  $k \geq 4$ ; see Section 5.3 for the proof.

**Theorem 1.7.** *For  $k \geq 4$  the surface  $X_k$  contains no genus 0 curves. For  $k \geq 5$  the surface  $X_k$  contains no curves of genus 0 or 1.*

1.4. **Literature.** Bogomolov and de Oliveira [BDO06] first considered algebraic quasi-hyperbolicity of hypersurfaces with  $A_1$ -singularities. Due to an error in their computations they are led to consider an alternative inequality to (1.2) that is established through Serre duality,

$$(1.8) \quad h^0(Y, S^m \Omega_Y^1) \geq \chi(Y, S^m \Omega_Y^1) + \sum_s \chi^0(s, S^m \Omega_Y^1).$$

Bruin–Thomas–Várilly-Alvarado [BTVA22] correct the error and compute  $\chi^0(s_1, S^m \Omega_Y^1)$  and  $\chi^1(s_1, S^m \Omega_Y^1)$  exactly. They also generalize the results to complete intersection surfaces and give several examples of algebraically hyperbolic ones.

Using an orbifold approach, Rouleau–Rousseau [RR14] approximate the local Euler characteristic of an  $A_n$ -singularity  $s_n$  by  $\chi_{\text{loc}}(s_n, S^m \Omega_Y^1) = \frac{n(n+2)}{6(n+1)}m^3 + O(m^2)$ , consistent with Theorem 1.3. They combine equations (1.2) and (1.8) to a weaker inequality

$$h^0(Y, S^m \Omega_Y^1) \geq \chi(Y, S^m \Omega_Y^1) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_s \chi_{\text{loc}}(s, S^m \Omega_Y^1),$$

which allows them to identify examples of degree  $d \geq 13$  with sufficient  $A_1$ -singularities for their bound to imply algebraic quasi-hyperbolicity. From Proposition 1.6 it follows that (1.2) gives the stronger result for  $n \geq 2$ .

De Oliveira–Weiss [DOW19] consider  $A_2$ -singularities and reference an approximation to  $\chi^0(s_2, S^m \Omega_Y^1)$  that is consistent with Theorem 1.5. They also reference [Lab06] for an example of a degree 9 surface with many  $A_2$ -singularities. However, they cite a formula from the preprint version that differs from the formula in [Lab06]. The published version predicts a smaller number of  $A_2$ -singularities for a degree 9 surface, insufficient to conclude algebraic quasi-hyperbolicity.

Explicit computations with symmetric differentials as in Section 5.3 go back to Vojta [Voj00]. See also [BTVA22] for more elaborate examples.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. **Toric varieties.** We recall here the necessary basics of toric geometry. See [CLS11] for more details. Let  $N$  be a finitely generated free abelian group with dual  $M = \text{Hom}(N, \mathbb{Z})$ . Given a pointed polyhedral cone  $\sigma \subseteq N \otimes \mathbb{R}$ , its dual is

$$\sigma^\vee = \{u \in M \otimes \mathbb{R} \mid \langle v, u \rangle \geq 0 \ \forall v \in \sigma\}.$$

Here  $\langle v, u \rangle$  is the natural pairing induced by the duality of  $N$  and  $M$ . The semigroup  $\sigma^\vee \cap M$  is finitely generated and


$$X_\sigma = \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}[\sigma^\vee \cap M]$$

is the *affine toric variety* associated to the cone  $\sigma$ . The dimension of  $X_\sigma$  is simply the rank of  $N$ . The  $M$ -grading of  $\mathbf{k}[\sigma^\vee \cap M]$  induces an inclusion of the torus  $T = \text{Spec } \mathbf{k}[M] = N \otimes \mathbf{k}$  in  $X_\sigma$ , with the action of  $T$  on itself extending to  $X_\sigma$ .

**Example 2.1** (An  $A_n$ -singularity). We take  $M = N = \mathbb{Z}^2$ , with  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  the standard inner product. Let  $\sigma_{A_n}$  be the cone generated by  $(0, 1)$  and  $(n+1, 1)$ . Its dual  $\sigma_{A_n}^\vee$  is generated by  $(1, 0)$  and  $(-1, n+1)$ . The semigroup  $\sigma_{A_n}^\vee \cap M$  is generated by  $(1, 0)$ ,  $(-1, n+1)$ , and  $(0, 1)$ . See Figure 2.1. These generators satisfy the relation

$$(1, 0) + (-1, n+1) = (n+1) \cdot (0, 1),$$

so the toric variety  $X_{\sigma_{A_n}}$  is isomorphic to the vanishing locus of  $x_1 x_2 - x_3^{n+1}$  in  $\mathbb{A}^3$ . This is an isolated surface singularity of type  $A_n$ .


 FIGURE 2.1. The cone and dual cone for an  $A_n$ -singularity

The above construction globalizes. Let  $\Sigma$  be a *fan* in  $N \otimes \mathbb{R}$ , that is, a collection of pointed polyhedral cones that is closed under taking faces, and such that any two elements intersect in a common face. Any face relation  $\tau \prec \sigma$  for  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  induces an open inclusion  $X_\tau \hookrightarrow X_\sigma$ . The toric variety  $X_\Sigma$  is constructed by gluing together the affine toric varieties

$$\{X_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$$

along the open immersions induced by face relations, see [CLS11, §3.1] for precise details. Moreover, any normal variety  $X$  equipped with an effective action of the torus  $T$  can be constructed in this fashion [CLS11, Corollary 3.1.8].

Many aspects of the geometry of  $X_\Sigma$  can be read directly from  $\Sigma$ . For instance,  $X_\Sigma$  is non-singular if and only if the fan  $\Sigma$  is *smooth*, that is, the primitive lattice generators for each cone in  $\Sigma$  can be completed to a basis of  $N$  [CLS11, Theorem 3.1.19]. For any natural number  $i$ , let  $\Sigma^{(i)}$  be the set of  $i$ -dimensional cones in  $\Sigma$ . Torus-invariant prime divisors on  $X_\Sigma$  are in bijection with elements of  $\Sigma^{(1)}$  [CLS11, §4.1]. Given a ray  $\rho \in \Sigma^{(1)}$ , we denote the corresponding prime divisor by  $D_\rho$ . We will denote the primitive lattice generator of the ray  $\rho$  by  $\nu_\rho$ . The valuation determined by a divisor  $D_\rho$  is easily described: for any ray  $\rho \in \Sigma^{(1)}$  and  $u \in M$ , we have


$$(2.2) \quad \text{ord}_{D_\rho}(x^u) = \langle \nu_\rho, u \rangle$$

where  $x^u$  is the rational function on the torus corresponding to  $u$  and  $\text{ord}_{D_\rho}(x^u)$  denotes its order of vanishing along  $D_\rho$ .

**Example 2.3** (The minimal resolution of an  $A_n$ -singularity). Continuing with  $M = N = \mathbb{Z}^2$ , for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n+1$  we let  $\rho_i$  be the ray in  $N \otimes \mathbb{R}$  generated by  $(i, 1)$ . Consider the fan  $\Sigma$  whose  $(n+1)$  top-dimensional cones are generated by  $\rho_i, \rho_{i+1}$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ . See Figure 2.2.

The fan  $\Sigma$  is smooth, so the resulting surface  $X_\Sigma$  is non-singular. In fact, the toric variety  $X_\Sigma$  is the minimal resolution of the  $A_n$  surface singularity from Example 2.1. Indeed, the inclusion of each cone of  $\Sigma$  in the cone  $\sigma_{A_n}$  generated by  $\rho_0, \rho_{n+1}$  induces a birational morphism  $\phi : X_\Sigma \rightarrow X_{\sigma_{A_n}}$ . The morphism  $\phi$  is proper since the union of the cones in  $\Sigma$  is just  $\sigma_{A_n}$ , see [CLS11, Theorem 3.4.11].

Since the subfan of  $\Sigma$  consisting of  $\rho_0, \rho_{n+1}$ , and the origin is the non-singular locus of  $X_{\sigma_{A_n}}$ , the exceptional locus  $E$  of  $\phi$  is the union of the prime divisors  $E_1 = D_{\rho_1}, \dots, E_n = D_{\rho_n}$ . Using e.g. [CLS11, Theorem 10.4.4] one computes that each  $E_i$  is a  $(-2)$ -curve, so the resolution  $\phi$  is indeed minimal.


 FIGURE 2.2. The minimal resolution of an  $A_n$ -singularity

**2.2. Torus-equivariant reflexive sheaves.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $T$ -equivariant reflexive sheaf on the toric variety  $X_\Sigma$ . In [Kly89, Kly91], Klyachko associates a collection of filtrations to  $\mathcal{F}$  as follows. We first set

$$V_{\mathcal{F}} = H^0(T, \mathcal{F}|_T)^T,$$

that is,  $V_{\mathcal{F}}$  is the  $\mathbf{k}$ -vector space obtained as the  $T$ -invariant sections of the restriction of  $\mathcal{F}$  to the torus  $T$ . The restriction of  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $T$  is a vector bundle, and  $V_{\mathcal{F}}$  may be identified with the fiber of this bundle over the identity element of  $T$ . In particular, it is a vector space of dimension equal to the rank of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

For each ray  $\rho \in \Sigma^{(1)}$ , we may consider the decreasing  $\mathbb{Z}$ -filtration  $V_{\mathcal{F}}^\rho$  defined as

$$V_{\mathcal{F}}^\rho(i) = \{z \in V_{\mathcal{F}} \mid \text{ord}_{D_\rho}(z) \geq i\}.$$

As before,  $\text{ord}_{D_\rho}(z)$  denotes the order of vanishing of a section  $z$  along the prime divisor  $D_\rho$ . When the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  is clear from the context, we will omit the subscript and use the notation  $V$  and  $V^\rho(i)$ .

**Example 2.4** (The reflexive hull of the cotangent sheaf). Let  $X_\Sigma$  be a toric variety with cotangent sheaf  $\Omega = \Omega_{X_\Sigma}$ . This bundle has a natural  $T$ -equivariant structure. The corresponding filtrations for its reflexive hull  $\hat{\Omega}$  are as follows:

$$V = M \otimes \mathbf{k}$$

$$V^\rho(i) = \begin{cases} V & i < 0 \\ \ker(\nu_\rho) \subset V & i = 0 \\ 0 & i > 0 \end{cases}$$

If  $X_\Sigma$  is smooth then  $\hat{\Omega} = \Omega$  and this is just [Kly89, §2.3 Example 5]. For the singular case, we note that  $\hat{\Omega}$  agrees with  $\Omega$  on the non-singular locus of  $X_\Sigma$ . Since any toric variety is smooth in codimension one, the filtrations for  $\hat{\Omega}$  agree with the filtrations for the restriction of  $\Omega$  to the non-singular locus of  $X_\Sigma$ , which are exactly the filtrations above.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an equivariant reflexive sheaf on  $X_\Sigma$ . It is straightforward to describe the filtration data of the reflexive hull of its symmetric powers  $\hat{S}^m \mathcal{F}$  in terms of the filtration data of  $\mathcal{F}$ :

$$V_{\hat{S}^m \mathcal{F}} = S^m V_{\mathcal{F}}$$

$$V_{\hat{S}^m \mathcal{F}}^\rho(i) = \sum_{j_1 + j_2 + \dots + j_m = i} V_{\mathcal{F}}^\rho(j_1) \cdot \dots \cdot V_{\mathcal{F}}^\rho(j_m) \subseteq S^m V_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

See [Gon11, Corollary 3.5] for the locally free case; the reflexive case follows immediately.

**Example 2.5** (Symmetric powers of the cotangent sheaf). Combining the above with Example 2.4, we obtain that for the reflexive sheaf  $\hat{S}^m\Omega$  we have

$$V_{\hat{S}^m\Omega} = S^m(M \otimes \mathbf{k})$$

$$V_{\hat{S}^m\Omega}^\rho(i) = \begin{cases} S^m(M \otimes \mathbf{k}) & i \leq -m \\ S^{i+m}(\rho^\perp) \cdot S^{-i}(M \otimes \mathbf{k}) & -m \leq i \leq 0 \\ 0 & i \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

For any  $T$ -equivariant reflexive sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $T$  acts on the cohomology groups  $H^p(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{F})$ , and so these decompose as a direct sum of eigenspaces

$$H^p(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{u \in M} H^p(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{F})_u.$$

Global sections are especially easy to describe. For any ray  $\rho \in \Sigma$  and  $u \in M$ , let  $\rho(u) = \langle \nu_\rho, u \rangle$ . We have that  $H^0(T, \mathcal{F}|_T)_u \simeq V_{\mathcal{F}}$  via  $z \mapsto x^u z$ . From  $\text{ord}_{D_\rho}(x^u z) = \text{ord}_{D_\rho}(z) + \rho(u)$  we obtain

$$(2.6) \quad \{z \in H^0(T, \mathcal{F}|_T)_u \mid \text{ord}_{D_\rho}(z) \geq i\} = x^{-u} V_{\mathcal{F}}^\rho(i + \rho(u)).$$

In particular,

$$(2.7) \quad H^0(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{F})_u \cong \bigcap_{\rho \in \Sigma^{(1)}} V_{\mathcal{F}}^\rho(\rho(u)).$$

Higher cohomology groups of  $\mathcal{F}$  may also be recovered from the filtration data. For  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  and  $u \in M$ , set

$$W_{\mathcal{F}}^\sigma(u) = V_{\mathcal{F}} / \sum_{\rho \in \Sigma^{(1)} \cap \sigma} V_{\mathcal{F}}^\rho(\rho(u)).$$

Klyachko uses these vector spaces to construct a complex

$$(2.8) \quad 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma^{(0)}} W_{\mathcal{F}}^\sigma(u) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma^{(1)}} W_{\mathcal{F}}^\sigma(u) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma^{(2)}} W_{\mathcal{F}}^\sigma(u) \cdots$$

whose  $p^{\text{th}}$  cohomology may be identified with  $H^p(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{F})_u$ , see [Kly89, Theorem 4.1.1]. In particular we have the following:

**Proposition 2.9.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $T$ -equivariant reflexive sheaf on the toric variety  $X_\Sigma$ . For any  $u \in M$ , the quantity*

$$\chi_u(\mathcal{F}) := \sum_{p \geq 0} (-1)^p \dim H^p(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{F})_u$$

may be computed as

$$\chi_u(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_{p \geq 0} (-1)^p \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma^{(p)}} \dim W_{\mathcal{F}}^\sigma(u).$$

*Proof.* Since the cohomology of the complex (2.8) computes  $H^p(X_\Sigma, \mathcal{F})_u$ , the alternating sum of the dimensions of the terms of the complex computes  $\chi_u(\mathcal{F})$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.10.** Klyachko initially constructs the complex (2.8) when  $\mathcal{F}$  is locally free. However, it is straightforward to check that the result [Kly89, Theorem 4.1.1] is also true in the reflexive case; the proof in loc. cit. goes through verbatim.



**2.3. Ehrhart theory.** We briefly recall some basics of Ehrhart theory. See e.g. [BR15] for details. For the purposes of this article, a *convex polytope* is the convex hull of a finite set in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . A *non-convex polytope* is a connected finite union of convex polytopes. A half-open polytope is a polytope with some of its faces removed.

For a rational polytope  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  we may consider its Ehrhart function

$$L(\mathcal{P}, t) = \#(t\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d) \text{ for } t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

This function is a quasi-polynomial in  $t$  whose period divides the smallest integer  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda \cdot \mathcal{P}$  is integral. The degree of  $L(\mathcal{P}, t)$  is the dimension of  $\mathcal{P}$ . Assuming that  $\mathcal{P}$  has dimension  $d$ , the leading coefficient of  $L(\mathcal{P}, t)$  is simply the volume of  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Given a subset  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , we define its *lattice point transform* to be

$$\mathfrak{S}_A = \sum_{u \in A \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} z^u.$$

This is a formal power series in  $z_1, \dots, z_d$  and is a useful tool for computing the generating series of  $L(\mathcal{P}, t)$ . We will make use of the following:

**Proposition 2.11** ([BR15, Theorem 3.5]). *Let  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a simplicial cone whose rays are generated by primitive vectors  $w_1, \dots, w_k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ . Set*

$$\Pi(C) = \left\{ \sum \alpha_i w_i \mid 0 \leq \alpha_i < 1 \right\}.$$

Then

$$\mathfrak{S}_C(z) = \frac{\mathfrak{S}_{\Pi(C)}}{(1 - z^{w_1}) \cdots (1 - z^{w_k})}.$$

### 3. COMPUTATION OF $\chi_{\text{loc}}$

**3.1. A recursive formula.** Let  $Y \rightarrow X$  be a minimal resolution of a surface  $X$  with an  $A_n$ -singularity  $s_n$ . We are interested in computing

$$\chi(n, m) := \chi_{\text{loc}}(s_n, S^m \Omega_Y^1).$$

Our approach is to use the machinery described in §2.2. It will be advantageous to first develop a recursive formula for  $\chi(n, m)$ . For  $n = 0$ , we set  $\chi(n, m) = \chi(0, m) = 0$ .

Fix  $N = \mathbb{Z}^2$ . As in Example 2.3 we let  $\rho_i \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be the ray generated by  $(i, 1)$ . We additionally consider the rays  $\rho_+, \rho_-, \rho_\infty$  generated by  $(1, 0)$ ,  $(-1, 0)$ , and  $(0, -1)$ , respectively. Fixing  $n \geq 1$ , we let  $\tilde{\Sigma}$ ,  $\overline{\Sigma}$ , and  $\Sigma$  be the unique complete fans in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  whose rays are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Sigma}^{(1)} &= \{\rho_0, \dots, \rho_{n+1}, \rho_+, \rho_\infty, \rho_-\} \\ \overline{\Sigma}^{(1)} &= \{\rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_{n+1}, \rho_+, \rho_\infty, \rho_-\} \\ \Sigma^{(1)} &= \{\rho_0, \rho_{n+1}, \rho_+, \rho_\infty, \rho_-\}. \end{aligned}$$

See Figure 3.1.


For any  $m \geq 0$  and  $u \in M = \mathbb{Z}^2$ , we define

$$\delta_n(m, u) := \chi_u(\hat{S}^m \Omega_{X_{\tilde{\Sigma}}}) - \chi_u(\hat{S}^m \Omega_{X_{\overline{\Sigma}}}).$$

We will see in §3.2 how to calculate  $\delta_n(m, u)$  explicitly. We set

$$\delta_n(m) = \sum_{u \in M} \delta_n(m, u).$$

Since both  $X_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$  and  $X_{\overline{\Sigma}}$  are complete,  $\delta_n(m, u) = 0$  for all but finitely many  $u \in M$  and the above sum is finite.


 FIGURE 3.1. The fans  $\tilde{\Sigma}$ ,  $\hat{\Sigma}$ , and  $\Sigma$ 

**Lemma 3.1.** For any  $m \geq 0$ ,

$$\chi(n, m) - \chi(n - 1, m) = \delta_n(m).$$

*Proof.* The toric varieties  $X_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ ,  $X_{\hat{\Sigma}}$ , and  $X_{\Sigma}$  are all complete surfaces. Similar to in Example 2.3, there is a sequence of toric morphisms

$$X_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \rightarrow X_{\hat{\Sigma}} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma}.$$

The surface  $X_{\Sigma}$  has a single  $A_n$ -singularity (see Example 2.1). The surface  $X_{\hat{\Sigma}}$  has a single  $A_{n-1}$ -singularity: this may be seen by applying the lattice isomorphism

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Here, an  $A_0$ -singularity is just a smooth point. As in Example 2.3,  $X_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$  is the minimal resolution of both  $X_{\Sigma}$  and  $X_{\hat{\Sigma}}$ .

By applying (1.1) for both the  $A_n$  and the  $A_{n-1}$ -singularity, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(n, m) - \chi(n - 1, m) &= \left( \chi(\hat{S}^m \Omega_{X_{\Sigma}}) - \chi(S^m \Omega_{X_{\hat{\Sigma}}}) \right) - \left( \chi(\hat{S}^m \Omega_{X_{\hat{\Sigma}}}) - \chi(S^m \Omega_{X_{\hat{\Sigma}}}) \right) \\ &= \chi(\hat{S}^m \Omega_{X_{\Sigma}}) - \chi(\hat{S}^m \Omega_{X_{\hat{\Sigma}}}) \end{aligned}$$

and the claim follows.  $\square$

**3.2. Computing  $\delta_n(m)$ .** Define

$$\lambda_m(i) = \begin{cases} 0 & i \leq -m \\ i + m & -m \leq i \leq 1 \\ m + 1 & i \geq 1 \end{cases}.$$

**Lemma 3.2.** For any  $u \in M = \mathbb{Z}^2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_n(m, u) &= (m + 1) - \lambda_m(\rho_1(u)) - \max\{m + 1 - \lambda_m(\rho_0(u)) - \lambda_m(\rho_1(u)), 0\} \\ &\quad - \max\{m + 1 - \lambda_m(\rho_1(u)) - \lambda_m(\rho_{n+1}(u)), 0\} \\ &\quad + \max\{m + 1 - \lambda_m(\rho_0(u)) - \lambda_m(\rho_{n+1}(u)), 0\}. \end{aligned}$$


*Proof.* We let  $V$  and  $\{V^\rho(i)\}$  be the vector space and filtrations associated to the reflexive hull of the  $m$ th symmetric power of the cotangent sheaf on any toric surface. Then by Example 2.5 we have

$$\dim V = m + 1.$$

$$\dim V^\rho(i) = m + 1 - \lambda_m(i)$$

$$\dim V^\rho(i) \cap V^{\rho'}(j) = \max\{m + 1 - \lambda_m(i) - \lambda_m(j), 0\} \quad \text{if } \rho \neq \rho'.$$

For  $0 \leq i, j \leq n + 1$ , let  $\sigma_{ij}$  denote the cone in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  spanned by  $\rho_i$  and  $\rho_j$ . We have that  $\Sigma^{(0)} = \tilde{\Sigma}^{(0)}$ , and the rays of  $\Sigma$  and  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  differ only by  $\rho_1$  (which belongs to  $\tilde{\Sigma}$ ). The sets  $\Sigma^{(2)}$  and  $\tilde{\Sigma}^{(2)}$  differ only by  $\sigma_{01}, \sigma_{1(n+1)}$ , which belong to  $\tilde{\Sigma}^{(2)}$  and  $\sigma_{0(n+1)}$ , which belongs to


 FIGURE 3.2. Regions of linearity of  $\delta_n(m, u)$ 

$\Sigma^{(2)}$ . Applying Proposition 2.9 to both  $\chi_u(S^m \hat{\Omega}_{X_{\Sigma}})$  and  $\chi_u(S^m \hat{\Omega}_{X_{\Sigma}})$  and cancelling terms we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta_n(m, u) &= \dim W^{\rho_1}(u) - \dim W^{\sigma_0}(u) - \dim W^{\sigma_1(n+1)}(u) + \dim W^{\sigma_0(n+1)}(u) \\
 &= -\dim V^{\rho_1}(\rho_1(u)) + \dim(V^{\rho_0}(\rho_0(u)) + V^{\rho_1}(\rho_1(u))) \\
 &\quad + \dim(V^{\rho_1}(\rho_1(u)) + V^{\rho_{n+1}}(\rho_{n+1}(u))) \\
 &\quad - \dim(V^{\rho_0}(\rho_0(u)) + V^{\rho_{n+1}}(\rho_{n+1}(u))) \\
 &= (m+1) - \lambda_m(\rho_1(u)) - \max\{m+1 - \lambda_m(\rho_0(u)) - \lambda_m(\rho_1(u)), 0\} \\
 &\quad - \max\{m+1 - \lambda_m(\rho_1(u)) - \lambda_m(\rho_{n+1}(u)), 0\} \\
 &\quad + \max\{m+1 - \lambda_m(\rho_0(u)) - \lambda_m(\rho_{n+1}(u)), 0\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The second equality follows by writing  $W^\sigma$  in terms of  $V^\rho$ . The third follows by using

$$\dim(V^\rho(i) + V^{\rho'}(j)) = \dim V^\rho(i) + \dim V^{\rho'}(j) - \dim(V^\rho(i) \cap V^{\rho'}(j)),$$

and the above computation of  $\dim(V^\rho(i) \cap V^{\rho'}(j))$ .  $\square$

Using the formula for  $\delta_n(m, u)$  in Lemma 3.2, we may extend  $\delta_n(m, u)$  to a function in  $u$  on all of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ; this function is piecewise linear.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Outside of the six polytopes  $\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_6$  pictured in Figure 3.2, the function  $\delta_n(m, u)$  vanishes. The regions of linearity of  $\delta_n(m, u)$  are exactly the six polytopes*

$\nabla_1, \dots, \nabla_6$ . On each of these six simplices,  $\delta_n(m, u)$  takes value  $(m+1)/2$  at the vertex  $(0, -(m+1)/2 + 1)$  and 0 at the other two vertices.

*Proof.* From the description of  $\delta_n(m, u)$  in Lemma 3.2 and the definition of  $\lambda_m(i)$ , it follows that the non-linear locus of  $\delta_n(m, u)$  is contained in the lines  $\rho_i(u) = 1$ ,  $\rho_j(u) = -m$  for  $i, j = 0, 1, n+1$  along with the lines  $\rho_0(u) + \rho_1(u) = 1 - m$ ,  $\rho_1(u) + \rho_{n+1}(u) = 1 - m$ , and  $\rho_0(u) + \rho_{n+1}(u) = 1 - m$ .

Since  $\delta_n(m, u) = 0$  for all but finitely many  $u \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ , we know that  $\delta_n(m, u) = 0$  on any unbounded region in the above subdivision of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . For each of the remaining bounded regions, we may calculate the linear function representing representing  $\delta_n(m, u)$  on that region. In doing so, and combining regions with the same linear function, one obtains the result of the lemma.  $\square$

**3.3. Counting lattice points.** For this subsection, we introduce some notation for subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $\gamma = (0, 1/2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . For  $a \leq b \in \mathbb{Q}$ , set

$$[a : b] = \text{Conv}\{(a, 0), (b, 0), (0, 1/2)\} \setminus \gamma.$$

We further define

$$[a] = [a : a] \quad (a : b) = [a : b] \setminus [a] \quad [a : b) = [a : b] \setminus [b] \quad (a : b) = [a : b] \setminus ([a] \cup [b]).$$

For sets  $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  we will use the notation  $A + B$  to denote a disjoint union of  $A$  and  $B$  as abstract sets. Likewise, for  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$  we use  $\ell * A$  to denote the disjoint union of  $A$  with itself  $\ell$  times (again as an abstract set). In particular,  $\#((\ell * A) \cap \mathbb{Z}^2) = \ell \cdot (\#(A \cap \mathbb{Z}^2))$ .

We set

$$\begin{aligned} \square_n := & 2 * \left(-\frac{1}{n} : -\frac{1}{n+1}\right) + 2 * \left(-1 : \frac{1}{n}\right) + 2 * \left(\frac{1}{n+1} : 1\right) \\ & + 2 * \left[\frac{1}{n+1}\right] + 2 * \left[\frac{1}{n}\right] + 2 * [1] + \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

By  $(m+1) \cdot \square_n$  we denote the  $(m+1)$ st dilate of  $\square_n$ , where the dilate of a disjoint union is the disjoint union of the dilates.

**Lemma 3.4.** *For any  $m \geq 1$ ,*


$$\delta_n(m) = \sum_{(x,y) \in ((m+1) \cdot \square_n) \cap \mathbb{Z}^2} y.$$

*Proof.* To each polytope  $\nabla_i$  from Figure 3.2, we will apply an invertible integral affine linear transformation  $\phi_i$ :

Polytope	Transformation $\phi_i$	Image
$\nabla_1$	$(x, y) \mapsto (x, y + m)$	$(m+1) \cdot \left[\frac{1}{n+1} : 1\right]$
$\nabla_2$	$(x, y) \mapsto (-x, -x - y + 1)$	$(m+1) \cdot \left[-1 : \frac{1}{n}\right]$
$\nabla_3$	$(x, y) \mapsto (x, (n+1)x + y + m)$	$(m+1) \cdot \left[\frac{-1}{n} : \frac{-1}{n+1}\right]$
$\nabla_4$	$(x, y) \mapsto (-x, -y + 1)$	$(m+1) \cdot \left[\frac{1}{n+1} : 1\right]$
$\nabla_5$	$(x, y) \mapsto (x, x + y + m)$	$(m+1) \cdot \left[-1 : \frac{1}{n}\right]$
$\nabla_6$	$(x, y) \mapsto (-x, -(n+1)x - y + 1)$	$(m+1) \cdot \left[\frac{-1}{n} : \frac{-1}{n+1}\right]$

Note that the transformations  $\phi_i$  and  $\phi_{i+1}$  agree along  $\nabla_i \cap \nabla_{i+1}$ , with indices taken modulo six. It follows from Lemma 3.3 that for each  $i$  and each  $(x, y) \in \phi_i(\nabla_i)$ , we have

$$\delta_n(m, \phi_i^{-1}((x, y))) = y.$$


 FIGURE 3.3. Lattice points of the region  $\Pi(C_1)$ 

Again using Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\delta_n(m) = \sum_{u \in (\bigcup \nabla_i) \cap M} \delta_n(m, u).$$

Applying  $\phi_i$  to each  $\nabla_i$  and using inclusion-exclusion, we obtain the claim of the lemma.  $\square$

We are now able to use induction to obtain a formula for  $\chi(n, m)$  as a weighted lattice point count. Using notation introduced at the start of this subsection, define

$$\Delta_n = 2 * \left( \frac{1}{n+1} : 2(n+1) - \frac{1}{n+1} \right] + n * \gamma.$$

**Theorem 3.5.** *For  $n, m \geq 1$  we have*

$$\chi(n, m) = \sum_{(x,y) \in ((m+1) \cdot \Delta_n) \cap \mathbb{Z}^2} y.$$

*Proof.* Up to integral translation in the  $x$  direction, we have

$$\square_n \equiv 2 * \left( \left( -\frac{1}{n} : -\frac{1}{n+1} \right] + \left( \frac{1}{n+1} : 2\frac{1}{n} \right] \right) + \gamma.$$

It is straightforward to see that

$$\square_1 + \dots + \square_n \equiv 2 * \left( \left( -1 : -\frac{1}{n+1} \right] + \left( \frac{1}{n+1}, 2n+1 \right] \right) + n * \gamma \equiv \Delta_n.$$

Since  $\chi(n, m) = \delta_1(m) + \dots + \delta_n(m)$  by Lemma 3.1, the claim of the theorem follows from Lemma 3.4.  $\square$

### 3.4. Generating functions.

**Lemma 3.6.** *The regular generating function for  $\chi(n, m)$  as a function of  $m$  is*

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} \chi(n, m) z^m = \frac{z \cdot \left( (n+1)(1+z+\dots+z^n)^2 - (1+z^2+\dots+z^{2n}) \right)}{(1-z)^2(1-z^{n+1})^2}.$$

*Proof.* Consider the cones

$$C_1 = \text{Pos}\{(1, 0, n+1), (2(n+1)^2 - 1, 0, n+1), (0, 1, 2)\}$$

$$C_2 = \text{Pos}\{(1, 0, n+1), (0, 1, 2)\}$$

$$C_3 = \text{Pos}\{(0, 1, 2)\}$$

where Pos denotes positive hull. These are the cones in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  over  $\left[ \frac{1}{n+1} : 2(n+1) - \frac{1}{n+1} \right]$ ,  $\left[ \frac{1}{n+1} \right]$ , and  $\gamma$ .

Using variables  $x, y, z$  and following notation from Proposition 2.11 we have

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\Pi}(C_1) = 1 + \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{2(n+1)k-1} (x^j z^k + x^{2(n+1)^2-j} z^{2(n+1)-k}) \right) + \sum_{j=2}^{2(n+1)^2-2} x^j z^{n+1}.$$

See Figure 3.3. Further, we note  $\mathfrak{S}_{\Pi}(C_2) = \mathfrak{S}_{\Pi}(C_3) = 1$ . By Proposition 2.11, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_{C_1} &= \frac{\mathfrak{S}_{\Pi}(C_1)}{(1-xz^{n+1})(1-x^{2(n+1)^2-1}z^{n+1})} \cdot \frac{1}{(1-yz^2)} \\ \mathfrak{S}_{C_2} &= \frac{1}{(1-xz^{n+1})} \cdot \frac{1}{(1-yz^2)} \\ \mathfrak{S}_{C_3} &= \frac{1}{(1-yz^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

By definition of  $\Delta_n$ ,  $\#((m+1) \cdot \Delta_n) \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$  is the coefficient of  $z^{m+1}$  in

$$2 \cdot \mathfrak{S}_{C_1}(1, 1, z) - 2 \cdot \mathfrak{S}_{C_2}(1, 1, z) + n \cdot \mathfrak{S}_{C_3}(1, 1, z).$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_{(x,y) \in ((m+1) \cdot \Delta_n) \cap \mathbb{Z}^2} y$$

is the coefficient of  $z^{m+1}$  in

$$2 \cdot \frac{\partial \mathfrak{S}_{C_1}}{\partial y}(1, 1, z) - 2 \cdot \frac{\partial \mathfrak{S}_{C_2}}{\partial y}(1, 1, z) + n \cdot \frac{\partial \mathfrak{S}_{C_3}}{\partial y}(1, 1, z).$$

Applying Theorem 3.5 and using the above expressions for the lattice point transforms, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \geq 0} \chi(n, m) z^m &= \frac{1}{z} \left( 2 \cdot \frac{\partial \mathfrak{S}_{C_1}}{\partial y}(1, 1, z) - 2 \cdot \frac{\partial \mathfrak{S}_{C_2}}{\partial y}(1, 1, z) + n \cdot \frac{\partial \mathfrak{S}_{C_3}}{\partial y}(1, 1, z) \right) \\ &= \frac{z}{(1-z^2)^2} \cdot \frac{2\mathfrak{S}_{\Pi(C_1)}(1, 1, z) - 2(1-z^{n+1}) + n(1-z^{n+1})^2}{(1-z^{n+1})^2}. \end{aligned}$$

A tedious but straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} &2\mathfrak{S}_{\Pi(C_1)}(1, 1, z) - 2(1-z^{n+1}) + n(1-z^{n+1})^2 \\ &= (1+z)^2 \cdot ((n+1)(1+z+\dots+z^n)^2 - (1+z^2+\dots+z^{2n})) \end{aligned}$$

and the claim of the lemma follows.  $\square$

To determine a formula for  $\chi(n, m)$ , we will extract coefficients from its generating function. We note that

$$\frac{z \cdot \left( (n+1)(1+z+\dots+z^n)^2 - (1+z^2+\dots+z^{2n}) \right)}{(1-z)^2(1-z^{n+1})^2} = f(z) + g(z)$$

for

$$f(z) = \frac{(n+1)z}{(1-z)^4} \quad g(z) = \frac{z \cdot (1+z^2+\dots+z^{2n})}{(1-z)^2(1-z^{n+1})^2}.$$

**Lemma 3.7.** *Consider the expansion of  $g(z)$  as*

$$g(z) = \frac{a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_{4n+3} z^{4n+3}}{(1-z^{n+1})^4}.$$

For  $q = 0, \dots, n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_q + a_{(n+1)+q} + a_{2(n+1)+q} + a_{3(n+1)+q} &= (n+1)^2 \\ 2a_q + a_{(n+1)+q} - a_{3(n+1)+q} &= (n+1)(q+1) \\ 11a_q + 2a_{(n+1)+q} - 1a_{2(n+1)+q} + 2a_{3(n+1)+q} &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(n+1)^2 + 3q(q+2) & n \text{ odd } q \text{ even} \\ \frac{1}{2}(n+1)^2 + 3q(q+2) + 3 & n \text{ odd } q \text{ odd} \\ \frac{1}{2}(n+1)^2 + 3q(q+2) + \frac{3}{2} & n \text{ even} \end{cases} \\ a_q &= \begin{cases} \frac{q(q+2)}{4} & q \text{ even} \\ \frac{(q+1)^2}{4} & q \text{ odd} \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Multiplying numerator and denominator of  $g(z)$  by  $(1+z+z^2+\dots+z^n)^2$  we obtain

$$(3.8) \quad (z+z^3+\dots+z^{2n+1})(1+z+z^2+\dots+z^n)^2 = a_1z + \dots + a_{4n+1}z^{4n+1}$$

along with  $a_0 = a_{4n+3} = a_{4n+2} = 0$ .

To compute the coefficients in the expansion of the left hand side of (3.8), we consider an  $n \times (4n+1)$ -array. The columns are labeled by  $1, 2, \dots, 4n+1$ . The first row consists of the entries  $1, 2, 3, \dots, n+1, n, \dots, 2, 1$ , followed by zeroes. More generally the  $i$ th row has non-zero entries obtained by shifting the non-zero entries of the first row  $2i-2$  positions to the right. See Figure 3.4 for the examples  $n=5$  and  $n=6$ . Since the coefficients of  $(1+z+z^2+\dots+z^n)^2$  are exactly the non-zero entries of the first row of the array, the coefficient  $a_i$  is the sum of the entries of the  $i$ th column of the array.

When  $n$  is even, we see by inspection that for  $q = 0, \dots, n$ ,

$$a_q + a_{(n+1)+q} + a_{2(n+1)+q} + a_{3(n+1)+q} = 1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + (n+1) + n + \dots + 1.$$

Similarly, when  $n$  is odd, for  $q \leq n$  with  $q$  even

$$a_q + a_{(n+1)+q} + a_{2(n+1)+q} + a_{3(n+1)+q} = 2 \cdot (2 + 4 + \dots + (n+1) + (n-1) \dots + 2)$$

and for  $q$  odd we instead have

$$a_q + a_{(n+1)+q} + a_{2(n+1)+q} + a_{3(n+1)+q} = 2 \cdot (1 + 3 + \dots + n + n + (n-1) \dots + 1).$$

All three of these quantities evaluate to  $(n+1)^2$ . This shows the first desired identity.

For  $i = 0, \dots, n+1$  we have by inspection

$$a_i = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^{i/2} 2j = \frac{i(i+2)}{4} & i \text{ even} \\ \sum_{j=1}^{(i+1)/2} (2j-1) = \frac{(i+1)^2}{4} & i \text{ odd} \end{cases}.$$

In particular, this implies the fourth identity.

We next consider the quantity  $a_{(n+1)+q} - a_{3(n+1)+q}$  for  $0 \leq q \leq n$ . This is the sum of the first  $q+1$  entries in column  $(n+1)+q$  and has the form

$$a_{(n+1)+q} - a_{3(n+1)+q} = \begin{cases} (n+1) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{q/2} (n+1-2j) & q \text{ even} \\ 2 \sum_{j=1}^{(q+1)/2} (n+2-2j) & q \text{ odd} \end{cases}.$$

Considering instead  $2a_q + a_{(n+1)+q} - a_{3(n+1)+q}$  we obtain

$$(n+1) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{q/2} ((n+1-2j) + 2j) = (n+1)(q+1)$$

for  $q$  even and

$$2 \sum_{j=1}^{(q+1)/2} ((n+2-2j) + 2j-1) = (n+1)(q+1)$$

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1	2	3	4	5	6	5	4	3	2	1										
		1	2	3	4	5	6	5	4	3	2	1								
			1		2	3	4	5	6	5	4	3	2	1						
				1		1	2	3	4	5	6	5	4	3	2	1				
								1	2	3	4	5	6	5	4	3	2	1		
										1	2	3	4	5	6	5	4	3	2	1

$$n = 5$$

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	6	5	4	3	2	1												
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	6	5	4	3	2	1										
			1	2		3	4	5	6	7	6	5	4	3	2	1								
				1		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	6	5	4	3	2	1						
								1	2	3	4	5	6	7	6	5	4	3	2	1				
										1	2	3	4	5	6	7	6	5	4	3	2	1		
											1		2	3	4	5	6	7	6	5	4	3	2	1

$$n = 6$$

FIGURE 3.4. Example arrays from proof of Lemma 3.7

for  $q$  odd, proving the second identity.

For the coefficients  $a_{2(n+1)+i}$  for  $i \geq 0$  we have

$$a_{2(n+1)+i} = a_{2n-i}.$$

We thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 11a_q + 2a_{(n+1)+q} - 1a_{2(n+1)+q} + 2a_{3(n+1)+q} \\
 &= 6a_q + 6a_{3(n+1)+q} - (a_q + a_{(n+1)+q} + a_{2(n+1)+q} + a_{3(n+1)+q}) \\
 & \quad + 3(2a_q + a_{(n+1)+q} - a_{3(n+1)+q}) \\
 &= 6(a_q + a_{n-q-1}) - (n+1)^2 + 3(n+1)(q+1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the above formula for  $a_i$  ( $i \leq n+1$ ) and substituting, one obtains the third identity.  $\square$

**3.5. Proof of Theorem 1.3.** We extract the coefficients in front of  $z^m$  in the power series  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$ . For

$$f(z) = (n+1)z \cdot \left( \sum_{i \geq 0} z^i \right)^4$$

this coefficient extraction  $[z^m]f(z)$  is straightforward and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 [z^m]f(z) &= (n+1) \cdot \binom{m+2}{3} = \frac{(n+1)(m+2)(m+1)m}{6} \\
 &= \frac{(n+1)}{6}m^3 + \frac{(n+1)}{2}m^2 + \frac{(n+1)}{3}m.
 \end{aligned}$$

For  $[z^m]g(z)$  we use the form of  $g(z)$  from Lemma 3.7 and obtain that  $g(z)$  is equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{k \geq 0 \\ q=0, \dots, n}} \left( a_q \binom{k+3}{3} + a_{(n+1)+q} \binom{k+2}{3} + a_{2(n+1)+q} \binom{k+1}{3} + a_{3(n+1)+q} \binom{k}{3} \right) \cdot z^{k(n+1)+q}.$$



For  $m = k(n+1) + q$  with  $q = 0, \dots, n$ , and setting  $p = n+1$  to simplify notation, it follows that

$$[z^m]g(z) = a_q \binom{\frac{m-q}{p} + 3}{3} + a_{p+q} \binom{\frac{m-q}{p} + 2}{3} + a_{2p+q} \binom{\frac{m-q}{p} + 1}{3} + a_{3p+q} \binom{\frac{m-q}{p}}{3}.$$

We now expand as a polynomial in  $m$  to obtain that  $[z^m]g(z)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{6p^3} (a_q + a_{p+q} + a_{2p+q} + a_{3p+q}) m^3 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2p^3} (p(2a_q + a_{p+q} - a_{3p+q}) - q(a_q + a_{p+q} + a_{2p+q} + a_{3p+q})) m^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{6p^3} (p^2(11a_q + 2a_{p+q} - 1a_{2p+q} + 2a_{3p+q}) - 6qp(2a_q + a_{p+q} - a_{3p+q}) \\ &\quad + 3q^2(a_q + a_{p+q} + a_{2p+q} + a_{3p+q})) m \\ &+ \frac{1}{6p^3} (6p^3 a_q - qp^2(11a_q + 2a_{p+q} - 1a_{2p+q} + 2a_{3p+q}) + 3p^3(2a_q + a_{p+q} - a_{3p+q}) \\ &\quad - q^3(a_q + a_{p+q} + a_{2p+q} + a_{3p+q})). \end{aligned}$$

Setting

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= a_q + a_{(n+1)+q} + a_{2(n+1)+q} + a_{3(n+1)+q} \\ \alpha_2 &= 2a_q + a_{(n+1)+q} - a_{3(n+1)+q} \\ \alpha_3 &= 11a_q + 2a_{(n+1)+q} - 1a_{2(n+1)+q} + 2a_{3(n+1)+q} \end{aligned}$$

we thus have

$$\begin{aligned} [z^m]g(z) &= \frac{1}{6p^3} \alpha_1 m^3 + \frac{1}{2p^3} (p\alpha_2 - q\alpha_1) m^2 + \frac{1}{6p^3} (p^2\alpha_3 - 6qp\alpha_2 + 3q^2\alpha_1) m \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6p^3} (6p^3 a_q - qp^2\alpha_3 + 3p^3\alpha_2 - q^3\alpha_1). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.7 to substitute in for  $a_q, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  and simplifying, we obtain that  $[z^m]f(z) + [z^m]g(z)$  is exactly the quasi-polynomial appearing in the statement of Theorem 1.3. The claim of the theorem thus follows from Lemma 3.6.  $\square$

#### 4. COMPUTATION OF $\chi^0$

**4.1. A combinatorial formula.** Let  $X = X_{\sigma_{A_n}}$  be the toric variety as described in Example 2.1, and let  $Y = X_\Sigma$  with  $\phi : Y \rightarrow X$  be the minimal resolution where  $\Sigma$  is the fan defined in Example 2.3. The exceptional locus  $E$  consists exactly of torus-invariant divisors  $E_1 = D_{\rho_1}, \dots, E_n = D_{\rho_n}$ . We shorten notation

$$V_m^i(u) = V_{S^m \Omega_Y^1}^{\rho_i}(\rho_i(u)).$$

We use that

$$\chi^0(s_n, S^m \Omega_Y^1) = \dim \frac{H^0(Y \setminus E, S^m \Omega_Y^1)}{H^0(Y, S^m \Omega_Y^1)} = \sum_{u \in M} \dim \frac{H^0(Y \setminus E, S^m \Omega_Y^1)_u}{H^0(Y, S^m \Omega_Y^1)_u}.$$

By (2.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(Y \setminus E, S^m \Omega_Y^1)_u &= V_m^0(u) \cap V_m^{n+1}(u), \\ H^0(Y, S^m \Omega_Y^1)_u &= \bigcap_{i=0}^{n+1} V_m^i(u). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that for  $u = (u_1, u_2)$  we have  $\rho_i(u) = \rho_i(u_1, u_2) = iu_1 + u_2$ . We adapt some notation from Section 3.2.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let*

$$\lambda_m(i) = \begin{cases} 0 & i \leq -m \\ i + m & -m \leq i \leq 1 \\ m + 1 & i \geq 1 \end{cases}.$$

Then  $\dim V_m^i(u) = m + 1 - \lambda_m(iu_1 + u_2)$ . Furthermore, these spaces are maximally independent, so for  $I \subset \{0, \dots, n + 1\}$  we have

$$\dim \bigcap_{i \in I} V_m^i(u) = \max\{0, m + 1 - \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_m(iu_1 + u_2)\}$$

*Proof.* The dimension result follows from Example 2.5. Furthermore,  $\bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} V_{S^m \Omega_Y^1}$  is isomorphic to a bivariate polynomial ring in two variables and the  $\rho_i^\perp$  consist of linear forms that are pairwise coprime for different  $i$ . Hence, if the intersection of several of these spaces is not zero, then the codimension of the intersection is the sum of the codimensions of the spaces.  $\square$

We use the lemma above to write

$$\chi^0(s_n, S^m \Omega_Y^1) = \sum_{u \in M} z_m(u)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} z_m(u) &= \dim \frac{H^0(Y \setminus E, S^m \Omega_Y^1)_u}{H^0(Y, S^m \Omega_Y^1)_u} \\ &= \min\{\max\{0, (m + 1 - \lambda_m(u_2) - \lambda_m((n + 1)u_1 + u_2))\}, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_m(iu_1 + u_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 4.2.** *With the definitions above, the set*

$$\mathcal{G}_{m,n} = \{(u_1, u_2, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 0 < z \leq z_m(u_1, u_2)\}$$

*is a bounded half-open non-convex polytope and*

$$\chi^0(s_n, S^m \Omega_Y^1) = \#(\mathcal{G}_{m,n} \cap \mathbb{Z}^3).$$


*Furthermore  $\mathcal{G}_m$  is stable under the transformation  $(u_1, u_2) \mapsto (-u_1, (n + 1)u_1 + u_2)$ .*

*Proof.* It is straightforward to check that  $z_m(u_1, u_2)$  is only nonzero on a bounded region, so  $\mathcal{G}_m$  is bounded. It is a (non-convex) polytope because  $z_m(u_1, u_2)$  is piecewise linear. Since  $z_m(u_1, u_2)$  takes integer values at  $(u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have that the sum  $\sum_{(u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} z_m(u_1, u_2)$  is equal to the lattice point count given.

The symmetry is easily verified through the identity

$$z_m(u_1, u_2) = z_m(-u_1, (n + 1)u_1 + u_2) \quad \square$$

In the section below we give an explicit description of the non-convex polytope  $\mathcal{G}_{m,n}$  as a dilation of a fixed non-convex polytope  $\mathcal{G}_{0,n}$  by a factor  $m + 1$ .



Note: The  $a$ -axis is stretched to ease viewing

FIGURE 4.1. Top view of  $\mathcal{G}_{0,3}$

**4.2. Explicit description of the non-convex polytope  $\mathcal{G}_{m,n}$ .** As it turns out, we get a nicer description of  $\mathcal{G}_{m,n}$  by shifting our coordinates: we set  $(u_1, u_2) = (a, b + 1)$ . We absorb the shift in a new piecewise linear function  $\lambda'_{m+1}$  defined by

$$\lambda'_{m+1}(i) = \lambda_m(i + 1) = \begin{cases} 0 & i \leq -(m + 1), \\ i + m + 1 & -(m + 1) \leq i \leq 0, \\ m + 1 & i \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

We obtain descriptions

$$z_m(a, b) = \min\{\max\{0, (m + 1 - \lambda'_{m+1}(b) - \lambda'_{m+1}((n + 1)a + b))\}, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda'_{m+1}(ia + b)\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}_{m,n} = \{(a, b, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 0 < z \leq z_m(a, b)\}.$$

The symmetry of the non-convex polytope  $\mathcal{G}_{m,n}$  in these coordinates is under the same transformation  $\tau_n = (a, b) \mapsto (-a, (n + 1)a + b)$ .

Recall that in Section 1.1 we defined the points


$$\begin{aligned} P_i &= \left(-\frac{1}{i+1}, 0, 0\right) \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots, n, \\ Q_i &= \left(-\frac{2}{(i+1)(i+2)}, -\frac{i}{i+2}, \frac{i}{i+2}\right) \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots, n, \\ Z &= (0, -1, 0), \end{aligned}$$

along with the half-open convex polytopes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_i &= \text{Conv}\{P_{i-1}, Q_{i-1}, P_i, Q_i, Z\} \setminus \text{Conv}\{P_i, Q_i, Z\} \setminus \text{Conv}\{P_{i-1}, P_i, Z\} \\ \mathcal{C}_n &= \text{Conv}\{P_n, \tau_n(P_n), Q_n, \tau_n(Q_n), Z\} \setminus \text{Conv}\{P_n, \tau_n(P_n), Z\} \end{aligned}$$

from (1.4). For reference we record

$$\begin{aligned} P'_n &= \tau_n(P_n) = \left(\frac{1}{n+1}, -1, 0\right), \\ Q'_n &= \tau_n(Q_n) = \left(\frac{2}{(n+1)(n+2)}, -1, \frac{n}{n+2}\right). \end{aligned}$$


 FIGURE 4.2. Intersection of  $(m+1)\mathcal{C}_n$  with  $a=0$ 

**Lemma 4.3.** *The non-convex polytope  $\mathcal{G}_{m,n}$  is the dilation by  $m+1$  of  $\mathcal{G}_{0,n}$ . Furthermore, we have*

$$\mathcal{G}_{0,n} = \mathcal{C}_n \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{P}_i \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n \tau_n(\mathcal{P}_i).$$

*Proof.* The first claim follows by inspecting the definition of  $z_m(a,b)$  and the fact that

$$\lambda'_{m+1}((m+1)i) = (m+1)\lambda'_1(i).$$

It remains to describe  $\mathcal{G}_{0,n}$ . The faces spanned by  $\{P_{i-1}, P_i, Q_{i-1}, Q_i\}$  and  $\{Q_{i-1}, Q_i, Z\}$  for  $i=1, \dots, n$  can be checked to be linear parts of the graph of  $z_m(a,b)$ . See Figure 4.1 for an illustration of the configuration for  $n=3$ . We define the points  $P'_i = \tau_n(P_i)$  and  $Q'_i = \tau_n(Q_i)$ .

By symmetry we get that  $\{P'_{i-1}, P'_i, Q'_{i-1}, Q'_i\}$  and  $\{Q'_{i-1}, Q'_i, Z\}$  are also faces of the graph. We get two remaining faces  $\{P_n, P'_n, Q_n, Q'_n\}$  and  $\{Q_n, Q'_n, Z\}$  and outside these we have that  $z_m(a,b)$  is identically zero. The description of  $\mathcal{G}_{0,n}$  follows.  $\square$

**4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.5.** Lemma 4.2 expresses  $\chi^0(s_n, S^m \Omega_Y^1)$  as a lattice point count in the dilation by  $m+1$  of  $\mathcal{G}_{0,n}$ . Lemma 4.3 expresses  $\mathcal{G}_{0,n}$  as a disjoint union of convex polytopes. The theorem follows directly from the volume and lattice point counts of those polytopes.  $\square$

**4.4. Proof of Proposition 1.6.** We consider the half space  $H = \{(a,b,z) : a \leq 0\}$ . It is straightforward to verify that  $\mathcal{C}_{n-1} \cap H \subset (\mathcal{P}_n \cup \mathcal{C}_n) \cap H$ , so it follows that  $\mathcal{G}_{0,n-1} \cap H \subset \mathcal{G}_{0,n} \cap H$ .

- (1) First we note that lattice point counts are nondecreasing with increasing dilation, so  $\chi^0(s_n, S^m \Omega_Y^1)$  is nondecreasing in  $m$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}_{n,m} = (\mathcal{G}_{n,m} \cap H) \cup \tau_n(\mathcal{G}_{n,m} \cap H)$  and  $\tau_n(\mathbb{Z}^3) = \mathbb{Z}^3$  we see from the observation above that the lattice point count is also nondecreasing in  $n$ .
- (2) To establish that  $\chi^0(s_n, S^m \Omega_Y^1)$  is constant in  $n$  for  $n > m$  we observe that  $(m+1)\mathcal{P}_n$  does not contain lattice points since any point  $(a,b,z) \in (m+1)\mathcal{P}_n$  satisfies  $\frac{m+1}{n+1} < a < 0$ . Similarly, any lattice points in  $(m+1)\mathcal{C}_n$  must have  $a=0$  and lie in the triangle with vertices

$$(0, -(m+1), 0), \quad (0, -\frac{1}{2}(m+1), 0), \quad (0, -(m+1)\frac{n+1}{n+2}, (m+1)\frac{n}{n+2}).$$

See Figure 4.2. Only the third point depends on  $n$ . It lies on the line  $z = -2b - (m+1)$  and tends to  $(0, -(m+1), (m+1))$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus we see that the smallest  $b$ -coordinate of a lattice point has  $b \geq -m$  and hence  $z \leq m-1$ . Such

points are already contained in  $\mathcal{C}_n$  for  $n = m - 1$ , so as  $n$  grows beyond  $m$  we see that  $\mathcal{G}_{n,m} \cap H$  does not gain more lattice points and therefore the lattice point count in  $\mathcal{G}_{n,m}$  stabilizes for  $n \geq m$  as well.

(3) A straightforward computation yields

$$\text{vol } \mathcal{P}_n = \frac{n^2 + 3n - 2}{6n(n+1)^2(n+2)}.$$

Since

$$\text{vol } \mathcal{C}_n = \frac{n(n+4)}{6(n+1)(n+2)^2}$$

tends to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we see that the volume of  $\mathcal{G}_{0,n}$  tends to

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{G}_{0,n} = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{vol } \mathcal{P}_n = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n^2 + 3n - 2}{6n(n+1)^2(n+2)} = \frac{2}{9}\pi^2 - 2.$$

By Ehrhart theory, we have that  $\#(m+1)\mathcal{G}_{0,n} \cap \mathbb{Z}^3$  is a quasi-polynomial in  $m$  of degree equal to  $\dim \mathcal{G}_{0,n} = 3$ , with leading coefficient equal to  $\text{vol } \mathcal{G}_{0,n}$ . The argument above establishes that  $\text{vol } \mathcal{G}_{0,n}$  increases with  $n$  and tends to  $\frac{2}{9}\pi^2 - 2$ . The statement follows.  $\square$

## 5. EXPLICIT COMPUTATION OF REGULAR DIFFERENTIALS

**5.1. Setup.** Let  $Y$  be a normal surface over  $\mathbf{k}$  with function field  $\mathbf{k}(Y)$ . We write  $\Omega_{\mathbf{k}(Y)/\mathbf{k}}$  for the  $\mathbf{k}(Y)$ -module of Kähler differentials. For any open  $U \subset Y$  we have an injection  $H^0(U, S^m \Omega_Y^1) \rightarrow S^m \Omega_{\mathbf{k}(Y)/\mathbf{k}}$ . We represent a section by its corresponding Kähler differential.

The local rings  $\mathcal{O}_{Y,D}$  of prime divisors  $D$  on  $Y$  give rise to discrete valuations  $\text{ord}_D$  on  $\mathbf{k}(Y)$ . In this section we use the following notation. Given a prime divisor  $D$  we choose non-constant functions  $\pi, u \in \mathcal{O}_{Y,D}$  such that  $\text{ord}_D(\pi) = 1$  and  $\text{ord}_D(u) = 0$ . The differentials  $d\pi, du$  form an  $\mathcal{O}_{Y,D}$ -basis for  $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,D}/\mathbf{k}}$  and therefore also a  $\mathbf{k}(Y)$ -basis for  $\Omega_{\mathbf{k}(Y)/\mathbf{k}}$ .

The natural homomorphism  $S^m \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,D}/\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow S^m \Omega_{\mathbf{k}(Y)/\mathbf{k}}$  is an injection and its image is formed by the differentials that are regular at the generic point of  $D$ . We define  $\text{ord}_D(\omega)$  to be the largest integer  $n$  such that  $\pi^{-n}\omega \in \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,D}/\mathbf{k}}$ .

For  $\omega \in S^m \Omega_{\mathbf{k}(Y)/\mathbf{k}}$  we have  $\omega = f_0(du)^m + f_1(du)^{m-1}d\pi + \dots + f_m(d\pi)^m$  and

$$\text{ord}_D \omega = \min\{\text{ord}_D(f_i) : i = 0, \dots, m\}.$$

We furthermore have a reduction homomorphism  $\rho_D: S^m \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,D}/\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow S^m \Omega_{\mathbf{k}(D)/\mathbf{k}}$  by reducing modulo  $\pi$  and sending  $d\pi$  to 0.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $Y$  be as above and let  $\omega \in S^m \Omega_{\mathbf{k}(Y)/\mathbf{k}}$  be non-zero. We say that a prime divisor  $D \subset Y$  is a *solution curve* to  $\omega$  if  $\rho(\pi^{-\text{ord}_D(\omega)}\omega) = 0$ .

In terms of the coordinates described above,  $D$  is a solution curve to  $\omega$  if and only if  $\text{ord}_D(f_0) > \text{ord}_D(\omega)$ .

**Proposition 5.2.** Let  $\psi: Z \rightarrow Y$  be a finite morphism of normal surfaces. Let  $D \subset Y$  be a prime divisor and let  $D' \subset Z$  be a prime divisor above  $D$  of ramification degree  $e$ . Suppose that  $D$  is a solution curve to  $\omega \in S^m \Omega_{\mathbf{k}(Y)/\mathbf{k}}$ . Then

$$\text{ord}_{D'} \psi^* \omega \geq e \text{ord}_D \omega + (e - 1).$$

*Proof.* The inequality is preserved under scaling  $\omega$  by a nonzero element of  $\mathbf{k}(Y)$ , so it suffices to deal with the case  $\text{ord}_D(\omega) = 0$ . Let us take a uniformizer  $\pi$  at  $D$ . Identifying  $\mathbf{k}(Y) \subset \mathbf{k}(Z)$  via pullback  $\psi^*$  we have that a uniformizer  $\pi'$  at  $D'$  is of the form  $\pi = v(\pi')^e$

for some  $v \in \mathbf{k}(Z)$  with  $\text{ord}_{D'}(v) = 0$ . We also choose a non-constant  $u \in \mathbf{k}(Y)$  so that we have

$$\omega = f_0(du)^m + f_1(du)^{m-1}d\pi + \cdots + f_m(d\pi)^m$$

with  $\min \text{ord}_D(f_i) = 0$ . The fact that  $D$  is a solution curve means that  $\text{ord}_D(f_0) \geq 1$  and therefore  $\text{ord}_{D'}(f_0) \geq e$ .

Note that  $d\pi = d(v(\pi')^e) = (\pi')^e dv + ev(\pi')^{e-1}d\pi'$ , so we have

$$\text{ord}_{D'}(f_i(du)^{m-i}(d\pi)^i) \geq e \text{ord}_D(f_i) + e - 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m.$$

This implies that  $\text{ord}_{D'}(\psi^*\omega) \geq e - 1$ , as required.  $\square$

Let us now consider a normal surface  $X$ , with singular locus  $S$  and minimal resolution  $Y$ . Then  $\mathbf{k}(X)$  and  $\mathbf{k}(Y)$  are canonically isomorphic. Let  $E \subset Y$  be the locus of  $Y$  mapping to  $S$  on  $X$ . Then  $X \setminus S$  is isomorphic to  $Y \setminus E$ .

Because  $S$  is of codimension 2 in  $X$ , we can extend the sheaf  $S^m \Omega_{X \setminus S}^1$  uniquely to a reflexive sheaf  $\hat{S}^m \Omega_X^1$  on  $X$  and its sections are completely determined by their behaviour on  $X \setminus S$ . Here too, we represent sections by the corresponding Kähler differentials: a section is regular on  $X$  if it is regular at all divisors on  $Y$  that are not contained in  $E$ .

Suppose now that we have a differential  $\omega$  that is regular on  $X \setminus S$ . If  $s \in S$  is an  $A_n$ -singularity then we can bound  $\text{ord}_{E_i} \omega$  at components above  $s$  as well.

**Proposition 5.3.** *Let  $X$  be a surface with an  $A_n$ -singularity  $s$ , let  $Y$  be a minimal resolution of  $X$  and let  $E_i \subset Y$  be a prime divisor of  $Y$  above  $s$ . Suppose that  $\omega \in H^0(X, \hat{S}^m \Omega_X^1)$ . Then*

$$\text{ord}_{E_i} \omega \geq \left\lfloor \frac{-mn}{n+1} \right\rfloor.$$

*Proof.* We use the notation from Example 2.3. Then  $E_i = D_{\rho_i}$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . It suffices to show the claim for torus semi-invariant symmetric differentials, so let  $\omega \in H^0(X, \hat{S}^m \Omega_X^1)_u$  for some weight  $u \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ . In the notation of §4.1, we have  $V_m^0(u) \cap V_m^{n+1}(u) \neq 0$ . By Lemma 4.1 we have

$$\rho_0(0) \leq 0 \quad \rho_{n+1}(u) \leq 0 \quad \rho_0(u) + \rho_{n+1}(u) \leq -m.$$

For the ray  $\rho_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we have

$$\rho_i(u) = \frac{1}{n+1}((n+1-i)\rho_0(u) + i\rho_{n+1}(u)).$$

Since both  $(n+1-i)$  and  $i$  are at least 1, it follows that  $\rho_i(u) \leq -m/(n+1)$ , or equivalently,

$$j = \rho_i(u) + \left\lfloor \frac{m \cdot (-n)}{n+1} \right\rfloor \leq -m.$$

From Example 2.5 it follows that  $\omega \in x^u V^{\rho_i}(j)$  and hence by (2.6) that

$$\text{ord}_{D_{\rho_i}}(\omega) \geq j - \rho_i(u) = \left\lfloor \frac{m \cdot (-n)}{n+1} \right\rfloor. \quad \square$$

**5.2. Labs surfaces.** We consider in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  with coordinates  $(\xi_0 : \xi_1 : \xi_2 : \xi_3)$  the surfaces from Labs [Lab06] of degree  $k = 2d$ , given by

$$X_k : \xi_0^{2k} + \xi_1^{2k} + \xi_2^{2k} + \xi_3^{2k} - \xi_0^k \xi_1^k - \xi_0^k \xi_2^k - \xi_0^k \xi_3^k - \xi_1^k \xi_2^k - \xi_1^k \xi_3^k - \xi_2^k \xi_3^k = 0.$$

We write  $S$  for the singular locus of  $X_k$ . It consists of  $4k^2$  isolated singularities of type  $A_{k-1}$ . The singularities lie in the four planes  $\xi_0 = 0, \dots, \xi_3 = 0$ . Writing  $\zeta_k$  for a primitive  $k^{\text{th}}$  root of unity, the singularities with  $\xi_3 = 0$  have coordinates

$$(1 : \zeta_k^i : \zeta_k^j : 0) \text{ for } i, j = 0, \dots, k-1.$$

We observe that  $X_1$  is a nonsingular quadric and that we have a finite morphism  $\phi_k: X_k \rightarrow X_1$  defined by  $(\xi_0 : \xi_1 : \xi_2 : \xi_3) \mapsto (\xi_0^k : \xi_1^k : \xi_2^k : \xi_3^k)$  of degree  $k^3$  and branch locus  $\xi_0\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3 = 0$ , of ramification degree  $k$  over each of those plane sections.

Writing  $\zeta_3$  for a primitive third root of unity, we have that  $X_1$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  over a field containing  $\zeta_3$ . In terms of affine coordinates  $(s_0 : s_1) \times (t_0 : t_1)$  we can express the isomorphism as

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_0 &= 3s_0t_0 \\ \xi_1 &= s_1t_1 + (\zeta_3 + 2)s_1t_0 - (\zeta_3 - 1)s_0t_1 + 3s_0t_0 \\ \xi_2 &= s_1t_1 + (2\zeta_3 + 1)s_1t_0 - (2\zeta_3 + 1)s_0t_1 + 3s_0t_0 \\ \xi_3 &= s_1t_1\end{aligned}$$

We note that the plane  $\xi_3 = 0$  is tangent to  $X_1$  and hence that it intersects  $X_1$  in two lines  $L_{3,1}, L_{3,2}$ . By symmetry, the same holds for the other coordinate planes  $\xi_0 = 0, \xi_1 = 0, \xi_2 = 0$ , for which we adopt the same notation.

We pass to affine coordinates  $(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (\xi_1/\xi_0, \xi_2/\xi_0, \xi_3/\xi_0)$  on  $\mathbb{P}^3$  and  $(s, t) = (s_1/s_0, t_1/t_0)$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . We consider the degree 2 differential  $dsdt$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , which under the isomorphism above yields

$$\omega_1 = \frac{3}{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 1 - 2x_1x_2 - 2x_1 - 2x_2} (x_2(dx_1)^2 + (1 - x_1 - x_2)dx_1dx_2 + x_1(dx_2)^2).$$

We record a few facts about  $\omega_1$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** *The differential  $\omega_1$  has  $\text{ord}_{L_{0,1}} \omega_1 = \text{ord}_{L_{0,2}} \omega_1 = -2$  and is regular elsewhere. Furthermore, the solution curves to  $\omega_1$  are exactly the lines constituting the two rulings on  $X_1$ .*

*Proof.* On  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  we easily see that  $dsdt$  has double poles at  $s = \infty$  and  $t = \infty$  and nowhere else. Furthermore, it is straightforward to check that the two rulings on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  form exactly the solution curves of  $dsdt = 0$ . The statement on  $X_1$  follows simply by applying the isomorphism  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow X_1$ .  $\square$

We next consider  $\phi_k: X_k \rightarrow X_1$ . The inverse images of the lines  $L_{0,i}, L_{1,i}, L_{2,i}, L_{3,i}$  are prime divisors  $D_{0,i}, D_{1,i}, D_{2,i}, D_{3,i}$ . These are degree  $k$  Fermat curves, as one can see from the factorization

$$\xi_0^{2k} + \xi_1^{2k} + \xi_2^{2k} - \xi_0^k \xi_1^k - \xi_0^k \xi_2^k - \xi_1^k \xi_2^k = (\xi_0^k + \zeta_3 \xi_1^k + \zeta_3^2 \xi_2^k)(\xi_0^k + \zeta_3^2 \xi_1^k + \zeta_3 \xi_2^k).$$

We consider the pullback  $\omega_k = \phi_k^* \omega_1$  to  $X_k$ .

**Lemma 5.5.** *For  $D = D_{0,i}$  we have  $\text{ord}_D \omega_k \geq -k - 1$  and  $\omega_k$ , as a section of  $\hat{S}^2 \Omega_{X_k}^1$ , is regular elsewhere. Furthermore, for  $D = D_{1,i}, D_{2,i}, D_{3,i}$  we have  $\text{ord}_D \omega_k \geq k - 1$ .*

*As a result, for  $k \geq 2$  we have that  $(x_1x_2x_3)^{1-k} \omega_k$  is a global section of  $\hat{S}^2 \Omega_{X_k}^1$  and for  $k \geq 4$  we have that  $\tilde{\omega}_k = (x_1x_2x_3)^{2-k} \omega_k$  is a global section that vanishes identically on  $\xi_0\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3 = 0$ .*

*Proof.* The curves mentioned in the lemma lie over solution curves for  $\omega_1$  with ramification degree  $k$ . The first claims are a direct application of Proposition 5.2.

The second part is just the observation that  $\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3$  vanish to the first order on their respective curves.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.6.** *The solution curves of  $\tilde{\omega}_k$  contained in  $\xi_0\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3 = 0$  are degree  $k$  nonsingular plane curves, and hence of genus  $(k-1)(k-2)/2$ . The other solution curves are nonsingular complete intersections of two degree  $k$  surfaces and hence curves of genus  $k^3 - 2k^2 + 1$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.4 we see that the solution curves arise as fibres of the composition  $X^k \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  induced by the projections from  $X_1 \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  onto either of the factors.

Let us first consider the projection onto the first factor. The fibre over the point  $(1 : s)$  can be expressed as an intersection of planes on  $X_1$ . Computation shows it is the kernel of the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -(\frac{1}{3}(\zeta_3 + 2)s^2 + s) & s & 0 & -(s - \zeta_3 + 1) \\ -(\frac{1}{3}(2\zeta_3 + 1)s^2 + s) & 0 & s & -(s - 2\zeta_3 - 1) \end{pmatrix},$$

so the corresponding solution curve on  $X_k$  is described by

$$\begin{cases} A_{1,0}\xi_0^k + A_{1,1}\xi_1^k + A_{1,2}\xi_2^k + A_{1,3}\xi_3^k = 0, \\ A_{2,0}\xi_0^k + A_{2,1}\xi_1^k + A_{2,2}\xi_2^k + A_{2,3}\xi_3^k = 0. \end{cases}$$

Using the Jacobian criterion, any singular point must have an appropriate mixture of vanishing of homogeneous coordinates and minors of  $A$ . However, those minors only consist of factors  $s, (s - \zeta_3 + 1), (s - 2\zeta_3 - 1)$ , which lead to the curves  $D_{1,i}, D_{2,i}, D_{3,i}$  contained in  $\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3 = 0$ . For  $s = \infty$  we obtain the curves  $D_{0,i}$  contained in  $\xi_0 = 0$ .

The other ruling consists of fibres over points  $(1 : t)$  on the second factor and behaves symmetrically to this one.  $\square$

**5.3. Proof of Theorem 1.7.** Let  $Y_k \rightarrow X_k$  be a minimal desingularization of  $X_k$ . By Lemma 5.5 we have that  $\tilde{\omega}_k$  is a regular section of  $\hat{S}^2\Omega_X^1$ . We consider the pull-back of  $\tilde{\omega}_k$  to  $Y_k$  and we also denote it by  $\tilde{\omega}_k$ . The singularities on  $X_k$  are of type  $A_{k-1}$ . For  $k \geq 4$ , Proposition 5.3 shows that at any prime divisor  $D$  on  $Y_k$  above a singularity of  $X_k$  we have  $\text{ord}_D \tilde{\omega}_k \geq \lceil \frac{2(1-k)}{k} \rceil = -1$ . Since on  $X_k$  the differential  $\tilde{\omega}_k$  is not only regular but also vanishes at each singularity, we conclude that  $\text{ord}_D \tilde{\omega}_k \geq 0$ , and hence that  $\tilde{\omega}_k$  is regular everywhere on  $Y_k$ .

It follows that any genus 0 curve on  $Y_k$  must be a solution curve to  $\tilde{\omega}_k$ . Apart from the exceptional components above singularities, any such curve is a pull-back of a solution curve to  $\tilde{\omega}_k$  on  $X_k$ . By Lemma 5.6 none of these are of genus 0.

For  $k > 5$  we have more freedom: from valuations we can conclude that

$$\eta = (a_0 + a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + a_3x_3)\tilde{\omega}_k$$

represents a regular differential on  $Y_k$  that vanishes on  $a_0\xi_0 + a_1\xi_1 + a_2\xi_2 + a_3\xi_3 = 0$ .

For a putative genus 1 curve  $C$  on  $X_k$ , one can then choose a plane  $a_0\xi_0 + a_1\xi_1 + a_2\xi_2 + a_3\xi_3 = 0$  that intersects  $C$  transversally. Reducing to  $C$  would yield a regular degree 2 differential on  $C$  that additionally has zeros on  $C$ . But then  $\eta$  must reduce to 0 on  $C$ , i.e.,  $C$  is a solution curve to  $\eta$ . Since  $\eta$  is a scaling of  $\tilde{\omega}_k$  by an element in  $\mathbf{k}(Y)$ , the two differentials have the same solution curves. No curves on  $Y_k$  above singularities of  $X_k$  can be of genus 1, so  $C$  would need to be a pull-back of a solution curve to  $\tilde{\omega}_k$  on  $X_k$ . Again, by Lemma 5.6 such curves do not have genus 1.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [BR15] Matthias Beck and Sinai Robins, *Computing the continuous discretely*, 2nd ed., Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2015. Integer-point enumeration in polyhedra; With illustrations by David Austin. [↑2.3, 2.11](#)
- [Bla96] R. Blache, *Chern classes and Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem for coherent sheaves on complex-projective orbifolds with isolated singularities*, Math. Z. **222** (1996), no. 1, 7–57. [↑1.1](#)
- [Bog77] F. Bogomolov, *Families of curves on a surface of general type*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **236** (1977), no. 5, 1041–1044 (Russian). [↑1.1](#)
- [BDO06] F. Bogomolov and B. De Oliveira, *Hyperbolicity of nodal hypersurfaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **596** (2006), 89–101. [↑1.1, 1.1, 1.4](#)



- [BTVA22] Nils Bruin, Jordan Thomas, and Anthony Várilly-Alvarado, *Explicit computation of symmetric differentials and its application to quasihyperbolicity*, Algebra Number Theory **16** (2022), no. 6, 1377–1405. [↑1.4](#)
- [CR23] Izzet Coskun and Eric Riedl, *Algebraic hyperbolicity of very general surfaces*, Israel J. Math. **253** (2023), no. 2, 787–811. [↑1.1](#), [1.3](#)
- [CLS11] David A. Cox, John B. Little, and Henry K. Schenck, *Toric varieties*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 124, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011. [↑2.1](#), [2.1](#), [2.3](#)
- [DOW19] Bruno De Oliveira and Michael Weiss, *Resolutions of surfaces with big cotangent bundle and  $A_2$  singularities*, Bol. Soc. Port. Mat. **77** (2019), 39–50 (English, with English and Portuguese summaries). [↑1.4](#)
- [Des79] M. Deschamps, *Courbes de genre géométrique borné sur une surface de type général [d’après F. A. Bogomolov]*, Séminaire Bourbaki, 30e année (1977/78), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 710, Springer, Berlin, 1979, pp. Exp. No. 519, pp. 233–247 (French). [↑1.1](#)
- [Gon11] José Luis González, *Okounkov bodies on projectivizations of rank two toric vector bundles*, J. Algebra **330** (2011), 322–345. [↑2.2](#)
- [Kly89] A. A. Klyachko, *Equivariant bundles over toric varieties*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **53** (1989), no. 5, 1001–1039, 1135 (Russian); English transl., Math. USSR-Izv. **35** (1990), no. 2, 337–375. [↑1.2](#), [2.2](#), [2.4](#), [2.2](#), [2.10](#)
- [Kly91] \_\_\_\_\_, *Vector bundles and torsion free sheaves on the projective plane*, Preprint Max Planck Institut Mathematik (1991). [↑2.2](#)
- [Lab06] Oliver Labs, *Dessins d’enfants and hypersurfaces with many  $A_j$ -singularities*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **74** (2006), no. 3, 607–622. [↑1.3](#), [1.4](#), [5.2](#)
- [Miy84] Yoichi Miyaoka, *The maximal number of quotient singularities on surfaces with given numerical invariants*, Math. Ann. **268** (1984), no. 2, 159–171. [↑1.3](#)
- [RR14] X. Roulleau and E. Rousseau, *Canonical surfaces with big cotangent bundle*, Duke Math. J. **163** (2014), no. 7, 1337–1351. [↑1.4](#)
- [Voj00] P. Vojta, *Diagonal quadratic forms and Hilbert’s tenth problem*, Hilbert’s tenth problem: relations with arithmetic and algebraic geometry (Ghent, 1999), Contemp. Math., vol. 270, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000, pp. 261–274. [↑1.4](#)
- [Wah76] J. M. Wahl, *Equisingular deformations of normal surface singularities. I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **104** (1976), no. 2, 325–356. [↑1.1](#)

APPENDIX A. EHRHARDT GENERATING FUNCTIONS

We give the generating functions of the lattice point counts in dilations  $(m + 1)\mathcal{P}_n$  for  $n = 1, \dots, 5$ .

$n$	Generating function $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} L(\mathcal{P}_n, m + 1)t^m$
1	$\frac{t^3}{(t^2+t+1)(t+1)(t-1)^4}$
2	$\frac{(t^4+t^2-t+1)t^2}{(t^2+t+1)^2(t^2-t+1)(t+1)(t-1)^4}$
3	$\frac{(t^{11}+t^9+t^8+t^7+t^6+t^4+t^2+1)t^3}{(t^4+t^3+t^2+t+1)(t^4-t^3+t^2-t+1)(t^2+t+1)(t^2-t+1)(t^2+1)(t+1)^2(t-1)^4}$
4	$\frac{(t^{18}+t^{16}+t^{14}+t^{13}+t^{12}+t^{11}+t^{10}+t^9+t^7+t^5+t^4+t^2+1)t^4}{(t^8-t^7+t^5-t^4+t^3-t+1)(t^4+t^3+t^2+t+1)^2(t^4-t^3+t^2-t+1)(t^2+t+1)(t^2+1)(t+1)(t-1)^4}$
5	$\frac{(t^{28}+t^{25}+t^{23}+t^{22}+t^{20}+t^{19}+t^{18}+t^{17}+t^{16}+t^{15}+t^{14}+t^{12}+t^{11}+t^9+t^8+t^6+t^5+t^3+1)t^5}{(t^{12}-t^{11}+t^9-t^8+t^6-t^4+t^3-t+1)(t^8-t^7+t^5-t^4+t^3-t+1)(t^6+t^5+t^4+t^3+t^2+t+1)(t^4+t^3+t^2+t+1)(t^2+t+1)^2(t^2-t+1)(t+1)(t-1)^4}$

We also give the generating function of the lattice point counts in dilations  $(m + 1)\mathcal{C}_n$ :

$n$	Generating function $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} L(\mathcal{C}_n, m + 1)t^m$
1	$\frac{(t^4+t^3+2t^2+3t+3)t^2}{(t^2+t+1)^2(t+1)^2(t-1)^4}$
2	$\frac{(t^4-t^2+2t+1)t^2}{(t^2+t+1)(t^2-t+1)(t+1)^2(t-1)^4}$
3	$\frac{(t^{12}+t^{10}+2t^8+2t^6+2t^5+2t^4+3t^2+1)(t^2+t+1)t^2}{(t^4+t^3+t^2+t+1)^2(t^4-t^3+t^2-t+1)(t^2+1)(t+1)^2(t-1)^4}$
4	$\frac{(t^9+t^7-t^6+t^3+t^2+1)(t^4-t^3+t^2-t+1)(t+1)t^2}{(t^8-t^7+t^5-t^4+t^3-t+1)(t^4+t^3+t^2+t+1)(t^2+t+1)^2(t-1)^4}$
5	$\frac{(t^{24}+t^{21}+2t^{18}+2t^{15}+2t^{13}+2t^{12}-2t^{11}+2t^{10}+2t^9+2t^7+2t^4+t^3+1)(t^4+t^3+t^2+t+1)t^2}{(t^{12}-t^{11}+t^9-t^8+t^6-t^4+t^3-t+1)(t^6+t^5+t^4+t^3+t^2+t+1)^2(t^2+t+1)(t^2-t+1)(t+1)^2(t-1)^4}$

As an example, for  $n = 2$  we get the generating function

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \chi^0(s_2, S^m \Omega_Y) t^m &= \\ &2 \left( \frac{t^3}{(t^2+t+1)(t+1)(t-1)^4} + \frac{(t^4+t^2-t+1)t^2}{(t^2+t+1)^2(t^2-t+1)(t+1)(t-1)^4} \right) \\ &+ \frac{(t^4-t^2+2t+1)t^2}{(t^2+t+1)(t^2-t+1)(t+1)^2(t-1)^4} \\ &= 3t^2 + 8t^3 + 15t^4 + 28t^5 + O(t^6). \end{aligned}$$

See the ancillary files provided with this article for machine-readable representations of the corresponding quasi-polynomials, as well as sample Sage code for generating this data.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY, BURNABY, BC, CANADA V5A 1S6  
 Email address: [nbruin@sfu.ca](mailto:nbruin@sfu.ca)  
 URL: <http://www.cecm.sfu.ca/~nbruin>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY, BURNABY, BC, CANADA V5A 1S6  
 Email address: [nilten@sfu.ca](mailto:nilten@sfu.ca)  
 URL: <https://www.sfu.ca/~nilten/>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE, OR 97403, USA  
 Email address: [zhxu@uoregon.edu](mailto:zhxu@uoregon.edu)