

# Double copy, Kerr-Schild gauges and the Effective-One-Body formalism

Anna Ceresole<sup>1</sup>, Thibault Damour<sup>2</sup>, Alessandro Nagar<sup>1,2</sup>, and Piero Retteno<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*INFN Sezione di Torino, Via P. Giuria 1, 10125 Torino, Italy and*

<sup>2</sup>*Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques, 91440 Bures-sur-Yvette, France*

We look for a classical double copy structure between gravity and electrodynamics by connecting the descriptions of the scattering of two point masses, and of two point charges, in terms of perturbative (post-Minkowskian or post-Lorentzian) expansions. We do so by recasting available analytical information within the effective-one-body formalism using Kerr-Schild gauges in both cases. Working at the third perturbative level, we find that the usual linear relation (holding in the probe limit) between the adimensionalized electric potential,  $\tilde{\phi} = \frac{GM}{e_1 e_2} \phi^{\text{el}}$ , and the Schwarzschild-like gravitational one,  $\Phi^{\text{grav}}$ , is deformed, in the comparable-mass, comparable-charge, case, into a *nonlinear relation* which becomes *universal in the high energy limit*:  $\Phi^{\text{grav}} = 2\tilde{\phi} - 5\tilde{\phi}^2 + 18\tilde{\phi}^3$ .

## I. INTRODUCTION

The double copy is a relation between gauge theory and gravity that is often summarized in the motto that “gravity is the square of gauge theory”. Such a quadratic relation appeared in the early development of the operator formalism in string theory [1] through the factorized structure of the graviton vertex operator,  $V^{\mu\nu} \propto \partial_z X^\mu \partial_{\bar{z}} X^\nu e^{ik \cdot X}$ . At the tree level, a precise expression of gravity amplitudes as sums of products of gauge-theory amplitudes was obtained by Kawai, Lewellen and Tye [2]. Independently of the possible existence of a string theory underlying these amplitudes, Bern et al. [3–6] have shown that a quadratic relation between gauge and gravity amplitudes still holds at the loop level via a duality between color and kinematics.

The *quantum origin* of a squaring relation between gravity and gauge theory raises the question of the possible meaning of such a relation at the *classical* level. Some attempts have been made to find gauges that would exhibit such a squaring relation at the level of the classical General Relativity (GR) Lagrangian [7–13], though this search has not led to a definitive classical formulation of the double copy idea. Another line of work has been to exhibit relations between special families of exact solutions in gravity and in gauge theories. In particular, the role of stationary Kerr-Schild metrics was emphasized in Ref. [14]. It had been known for a long time [15] that the Einstein equations for metrics satisfying the Kerr-Schild ansatz [16], namely,

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \Phi(x)k_\mu(x)k_\nu(x), \quad (1)$$

where  $k_\mu(x)$  is a null vector field (with respect to both the Minkowski background  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$  and  $g_{\mu\nu}$ ) are linear in  $g^{\mu\nu}(x) = \eta^{\mu\nu} - \Phi(x)k^\mu(x)k^\nu(x)$ . Ref. [14] noted that, in the case of stationary Kerr-Schild metrics (with  $k^0 = 1$ ) the corresponding “single-copy” gauge potential  $A_\mu^a \equiv c^a \Phi(x)k_\mu(x)$  (where  $c^a$  is some fixed Lie-algebra valued element) automatically satisfies Yang-Mills’ equations. For other works on the classical double copy see Refs. [17–23] (see also Refs. [24, 25] for reviews of the double copy).

In a different line of research, Refs. [26–28] introduced a new approach to the two-body problem in General Relativity based on a direct translation of classical or quantum gravitational two-body scattering results into an effective-one-body (EOB) [29–31] mass-shell condition, which can be put in the form

$$g_{\text{eff}}^{\mu\nu}(\gamma)P_\mu P_\nu + \mu^2 = 0, \quad (2)$$

where  $\mu = \frac{m_1 m_2}{m_1 + m_2}$  is the usual effective mass and  $g_{\mu\nu}^{\text{eff}}(\gamma)$  is an *energy-dependent* effective metric encapsulating the gauge-invariant scattering relation  $\chi = \chi(E, J)$  between the scattering angle  $\chi$ , the two-body (incoming) angular momentum  $J$  and the two-body (incoming) energy  $E$ . Here, the quantity  $\gamma$  is linked to the effective energy  $E_{\text{eff}} = -P_0$  and the total energy  $E$  as

$$\gamma = \frac{E^2 - m_1^2 - m_2^2}{2m_1 m_2} = \frac{E_{\text{eff}}}{\mu}. \quad (3)$$

The energy dependence of the (inverse) effective metric  $g_{\text{eff}}^{\mu\nu}(\gamma)$  in Eq. (2) replaces the usual EOB higher-than-quadratic-in-momenta contribution  $Q(P, X)$ . See also Ref. [32] for an alternative way of mapping two-body scattering results into an effective Hamiltonian.

The scattering approach [27, 32] to the classical two-body GR problem prompted the application of modern quantum field theory techniques (and notably of the double copy results [3, 4]) to the computation of high-order perturbative contributions to the scattering angle, namely at the 3PM order [33–37] and at the 4PM order [38–41]. This new analytical knowledge was recently successfully compared to numerical relativity simulations of the scattering of binary black holes [42, 43].

In parallel, the old result, in classical electrodynamics (ED), of Westpfahl [44] on the scattering angle of two electromagnetically interacting charges at the second post-Lorentzian (2PL) order (second order in  $e^2 \sim e_1 e_2$ ), was recently extended to the 3PL order (third order in  $e^2$ ) [45, 46]. The potential-photon contributions to the scattering have also been computed at the 4PL and 5PL orders [47]. Moreover, the scattering angle of two extremal (half-BPS) black holes in  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  supergravity has been computed at the 2PM order in Ref. [48] and (for a special case) at the 3PM order in Refs. [36, 49, 50].

The Kerr-Schild ansatz, Eq. (1), is only valid for special classes of GR solutions, such as isolated black holes, and cannot be applied to the physically important case of gravitationally interacting binary black holes. This limits the physical applicability of the simple linear relation between  $g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \Phi(x)k_\mu(x)k_\nu(x)$  and, say in ED,  $A_\mu = c\Phi(x)k_\mu(x)$  to the dynamics of probe objects in stationary external fields. For instance, the classical double-copy result of [14] naturally associates, via such a linear relation, the external Schwarzschild potential  $\Phi = \frac{2GM_0}{R}$  felt by a test mass  $\mu_0$  to the Coulomb potential  $A^0 = \frac{e_0}{R}$  felt by a test-charge  $e_{\text{test}}$ .

The aim of this paper is to explore whether a gener-

alization of this double-copy result exists when considering, within the EOB formalism, the relation between the effective metric  $g_{\text{eff}}^{\mu\nu}(\gamma)$ , Eq. (2), describing the scattering of two black holes, and its ED analog, describing the scattering of two charges. To do so we will, for the first time, formulate the EOB mass-shell conditions in Kerr-Schild gauges, both in GR and in ED. Contrary to the usual Kerr-Schild *ansatz*, Eq. (1), which is a strong restriction on the considered GR metrics, we shall show here that it is *always possible* to cast the effective EOB metric  $g_{\text{eff}}^{\mu\nu}(\gamma)$  describing the scattering of two black holes (and its ED analog, introduced below) in a Kerr-Schild-type *gauge*. The use of these gauges will allow us to associate a gravity potential with an electromagnetic one in a physical setting going beyond the interaction of probes with stationary fields, and involving the non stationary interactions of comparable masses and of comparable charges.

We restrict ourselves here to the 3PM order (including radiation-reaction effects) [33–37] because the current treatment of radiative effects at the 4PM order [38–40, 51–55] leads to a yet-to-be understood singular behavior of the gravitational scattering angle in the high-energy limit. We correspondingly work at the 3PL order in ED (including radiation-reaction effects) [45, 46].

## II. WEAK-FIELD EXPANSIONS AND SCATTERING ANGLES

Let us first review known 3PM-accurate results (including radiative effects) for the scattering angle in both GR and ED. In GR, the PM approximation consists of a formal series in the gravitational constant  $G$ . The scattering angle  $\chi$  between two point masses  $(m_1, m_2)$  is then expressed as a series in the inverse of the angular momentum  $J$

$$\frac{\chi^{\text{GR}}(E, J)}{2} = \frac{Gm_1m_2}{J} \chi_1^{\text{GR}}(\gamma) + \left(\frac{Gm_1m_2}{J}\right)^2 \chi_2^{\text{GR}}(\gamma) + \left(\frac{Gm_1m_2}{J}\right)^3 \chi_3^{\text{GR}}(\gamma) + O\left(\frac{G^4}{J^4}\right), \quad (4)$$

where the  $\chi_i^{\text{GR}}$  coefficients depend on the incoming energy of the system (expressed in terms of  $\gamma$ , Eq. (3)) and on the masses  $m_1, m_2$ . In ED, the expansion parameter is the numerator  $k e_1 e_2$  of the Coulomb interaction potential (which is the electromagnetic analog of  $Gm_1m_2$ ). The PL-expanded angle reads

$$\frac{\chi^{\text{ED}}(E, J)}{2} = \frac{k e_1 e_2}{J} \chi_1^{\text{ED}}(\gamma) + \left(\frac{k e_1 e_2}{J}\right)^2 \chi_2^{\text{ED}}(\gamma) + \left(\frac{k e_1 e_2}{J}\right)^3 \chi_3^{\text{ED}}(\gamma) + O\left(\frac{k^4}{J^4}\right), \quad (5)$$

where  $e_{1,2}$  are the charges of the two bodies. We use units where  $c = 1$ , so that  $\frac{Gm_1m_2}{J}$  and  $\frac{k e_1 e_2}{J}$  are dimensionless. In the following, we generally use Gauss units where  $k = 1$ .

The (dimensionless) coefficients in Eqs. (4) and (5) for

GR [38–40, 54] and ED [45–47] read

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1^{\text{GR}} &= \frac{2\gamma^2 - 1}{\sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1}}, \\ \chi_2^{\text{GR}} &= \frac{3\pi}{8} \frac{(5\gamma^2 - 1)}{h}, \\ \chi_3^{\text{GR}} &= \frac{64\gamma^6 - 120\gamma^4 + 60\gamma^2 - 5}{3p_\infty^3} \\ &\quad - \frac{\nu}{h^2 p_\infty^3} \left\{ \frac{4}{3} \gamma p_\infty^4 (25 + 14\gamma^2) \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{2p_\infty}{3} (2\gamma^2 - 1)^2 (5\gamma^2 - 8) \\ &\quad - 4 \left[ \gamma (2\gamma^2 - 3) (2\gamma^2 - 1)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + 2p_\infty^3 (3 + 12\gamma^2 - 4\gamma^4) \right] \text{arcsinh} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma - 1}{2}} \right\}, \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1^{\text{ED}} &= -\frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1}}, \\ \chi_2^{\text{ED}} &= \frac{\pi}{4h}, \\ \chi_3^{\text{ED}} &= \frac{1}{3h^2 p_\infty^3} \left\{ 3\gamma - 2\gamma^3 (1 + 3\nu p_\infty) \right. \\ &\quad + (h^2 - 1) (\gamma^3 + 3\gamma^2 - 3) \\ &\quad + 12\nu\gamma^2 \text{arcsinh} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma - 1}{2}} \\ &\quad \left. + 2\nu\gamma^2 p_\infty^3 \left( \frac{e_1}{m_1} \frac{m_2}{e_2} + \frac{e_2}{m_2} \frac{m_1}{e_1} \right) \right\}, \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\nu \equiv \mu/M$  is the symmetric mass ratio of the system,  $M = m_1 + m_2$ ,  $p_\infty \equiv \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1}$  and  $h$  the mass-rescaled total energy of the system,  $h \equiv E/M = \sqrt{1 + 2\nu(\gamma - 1)}$ .

## III. EFFECTIVE ONE BODY FORMALISM AND KERR-SCHILD GAUGES

The original formulation of the EOB formalism [29–31] was aimed at describing the dynamics of gravitational bound states. The Hamiltonian of the binary system was then defined by solving (for the effective energy  $E_{\text{eff}} = -P_0$ , linked to the real energy via Eq. (3)) a mass-shell condition of the general form  $g_{\text{eff}}^{\mu\nu} P_\mu P_\nu + \mu^2 + Q(X, P) = 0$ , with  $Q(X^\mu, P_\mu)$  accounting for higher than quadratic-in-momenta contributions. When generalizing the EOB formalism to the case of scattering states [26–28, 56] it was found convenient to use (as is always possible) an *energy gauge* where  $Q(X^\mu, P_\mu)$  is a function only of  $\gamma$  and  $R = |X^i|$  (and where  $g_{\text{eff}}^{\mu\nu}$  is a Schwarzschild metric of mass  $M = m_1 + m_2$ ). When using such an EOB energy gauge the PM expansion of  $Q(X, P) = G^2 Q_2(\gamma)/R^2 + G^3 Q_3(\gamma)/R^3 + \dots$  can be absorbed in a redefinition of the time-time component of  $g_{\text{eff}}^{\mu\nu}$ . This redefinition leads to a simple-looking mass-shell condition of the type of Eq. (2) involving a  $\gamma$ -dependent effective metric  $g_{\text{eff}}^{\mu\nu}(\gamma, \nu)$  that is a deformation (ruled by the parameter  $\nu$ ) of the Schwarzschild metric. The test-mass

limit  $\nu \rightarrow 0$  of  $g_{\mu\nu}^{\text{eff}}(\gamma, \nu)$  thus reduces to the Schwarzschild metric  $ds^2 = -(1 - 2GM/R)dt^2 + dR^2/(1 - 2GM/R) + R^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2)$ .

It is well known that the Schwarzschild metric can be put, by changing the time coordinate  $t$  into  $T = t - 2GM \log(\frac{R}{2GM} - 1)$  into the Kerr-Schild form  $ds^2 = -dT^2 + dR^2 + R^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2) + \frac{2GM}{R}(dT - dR)^2$ , whose Cartesian-coordinates version reads (with  $k_\mu = (-1, \frac{X^i}{R})$ )

$$g_{\mu\nu}^{\text{Schw}} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{2GM}{R}k_\mu k_\nu. \quad (8)$$

When considering the comparable-mass case  $\nu \neq 0$ , it is not enough to use a coordinate transformation to put the post-Schwarzschild EOB effective metric  $g_{\mu\nu}^{\text{eff}}(\gamma, \nu) = g_{\mu\nu}^{\text{Schw}} + O(\nu)$  into a Kerr-Schild form. However, one of the defining features of the EOB approach is to make use of the much larger class of (time-independent) canonical transformations, which notably leave invariant the gauge-invariant scattering function  $\chi = \chi(E, J)$ . It can be shown that, by using a suitable canonical transformation, the phase-space mass-shell condition Eq. (2) can be put into a ‘‘canonical Kerr-Schild gauge’’ which has the same formal expression, namely

$$g_{\text{eff}}^{\mu\nu}(\gamma, \nu)P_\mu P_\nu + \mu^2 = 0, \quad (9)$$

with an effective metric of the Kerr-Schild form, i.e., in Cartesian coordinates,  $g_{\mu\nu}^{\text{eff}} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \Phi(R, \gamma, \nu)k_\mu k_\nu$ , with inverse

$$g_{\text{eff}}^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} - \Phi(R, \gamma, \nu)k^\mu k^\nu. \quad (10)$$

Here  $k_\mu = (-1, \frac{X^i}{R})$  and  $k^\mu = (1, \frac{X^i}{R})$  describe an outgoing<sup>1</sup> null congruence centered on the origin.

The simplest way to determine the PM expansion of the so-defined Kerr-Schild gravity potential<sup>2</sup>  $\Phi(R, \gamma, \nu)$  is to identify the PM expansion of the scattering angle defined by the mass-shell condition Eq. (9) to the known PM expansion of the function  $\chi = \chi(E, J)$ . [The considered canonical transformation leaves invariant both  $E_{\text{eff}} = -P_0$

and  $J = P_\phi$ .] Considering motions in the equatorial plane  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ , the scattering angle is given by

$$\chi + \pi = - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\partial P_R(R, J, \gamma, \nu)}{\partial J} dR, \quad (11)$$

where the function  $P_R(R, J, \gamma, \nu)$  is obtained by solving the mass-shell condition Eq. (9), and where the limits of integration on the radial variable  $R = \mp\infty$  denote the incoming and final states (at  $t = \mp\infty$ ).

#### IV. APPLICATION TO GENERAL RELATIVITY

Let us focus first on the GR case, i.e. the scattering of two (non charged) black holes. It is convenient to use suitably mass-rescaled variables,  $x^i \equiv rn^i = \frac{X^i}{GM}$ ,  $p_i \equiv \frac{P_i}{\mu}$ ,  $-p_0 = \frac{E_{\text{eff}}}{\mu} = \gamma$ ,  $j = \frac{J}{GM\mu} = \frac{J}{Gm_1 m_2}$  and the dimensionless Newtonianlike potential  $u \equiv \frac{GM}{R} = \frac{1}{r}$ . The rescaled Kerr-Schild-gauge mass-shell condition rewrites as

$$(\eta^{\mu\nu} - \Phi k^\mu k^\nu) p_\mu p_\nu + 1 = 0, \quad (12)$$

and yields  $p_r = (-\gamma \Phi \pm \sqrt{\gamma^2 - (1 - \Phi)(1 + j^2 u^2)})/(1 - \Phi)$ , where the sign  $\pm$  changes between the incoming and outgoing parts of the hyperboliclike motion. The scattering angle is then obtained from the equation

$$\pi + \chi(\gamma, j) = 2j \int_0^{u_{\text{max}}(\gamma, j)} \frac{du}{\sqrt{\gamma^2 - (1 - \Phi)(1 + j^2 u^2)}}, \quad (13)$$

where  $u_{\text{max}}(\gamma, j) = (GM)/r_{\text{min}}(\gamma, j)$ , with  $r_{\text{min}}(\gamma, j)$  denoting the turning point of the scattering orbit. The coefficients of the PM-expanded potential  $\Phi(u, \gamma, \nu) = \Phi_1(\gamma)u + \Phi_2(\gamma)u^2 + \Phi_3(\gamma)u^3 + O(u^4)$ , are determined by PM-expanding the integrand of Eq. (13) (taking the *partie finie* of the resulting divergent integrals [57]), and comparing the result to Eq. (6). The  $\Phi_i(\gamma)$ 's up to 3PM read

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1 &= 2, \\ \Phi_2 &= - \left(1 - \frac{1}{h}\right) \frac{3(5\gamma^2 - 1)}{3\gamma^2 - 1}, \\ \Phi_3 &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{h}\right) \frac{9(5\gamma^2 - 1)(8\gamma^4 - 8\gamma^2 + 1)}{(4\gamma^2 - 1)(3\gamma^2 - 1)p_\infty^2} - \frac{2\nu}{(4\gamma^2 - 1)h^2} \left[2\gamma(25 + 14\gamma^2) + \frac{(5\gamma^2 - 8)(2\gamma^2 - 1)^2}{p_\infty^3}\right] \\ &\quad + \frac{12\nu}{(4\gamma^2 - 1)p_\infty^4 h^2} \left[(6 + 24\gamma^2 - 8\gamma^4)p_\infty^3 + \gamma(2\gamma^2 - 3)(2\gamma^2 - 1)^2\right] \text{arcsinh} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma - 1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

<sup>1</sup> By time reversal one could also work with an ingoing  $k^\mu$ .

<sup>2</sup> Starting at the third perturbative order this potential includes radiative effects from the corresponding radiation-reacted scattering angle [42].

Noting the presence of explicit factors  $\nu$ , and since  $h \rightarrow 1$  as  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ , we see that the probe limit of  $\Phi(u, \gamma, \nu)$  is the Schwarzschild Kerr-Schild value  $\Phi(u, \gamma, \nu = 0) = 2u = \frac{2GM}{R}$ , consistently with Eq. (8). An analogous procedure can be applied to  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  supergravity, see Appendix A.

## V. APPLICATION TO ELECTRODYNAMICS

The reduction of the two-body problem in ED (at the  $\frac{1}{c^4}$  level) to an EOB description was first studied in Ref. [58], within the bound-state framework used at the time in the corresponding GR case [29, 30]. One of the possible EOB mappings considered in [58] used an energy-dependent scalar potential  $A^0(R, \gamma, \nu)$ , in a static gauge  $A^i(R, \gamma, \nu) = 0$ .

Here we focus instead only on scattering motions at the third order in  $e^2 \sim e_1 e_2$ , and at all orders in  $\frac{\nu}{c}$ , and, by analogy with the GR case we introduce a single-copy version of the Kerr-Schild-like gauge for the electromagnetic 4-potential entering the ED analog of the EOB GR mass-shell condition Eq. (9),

$$\eta^{\mu\nu}(P_\mu - \mathcal{A}_\mu^{\text{eff}})(P_\nu - \mathcal{A}_\nu^{\text{eff}}) + \mu^2 = 0, \quad (15)$$

i.e. an electromagnetic 4-potential of the form  $\mathcal{A}_\mu^{\text{eff}} \equiv \phi^{\text{el}} k_\mu$ , with the same  $k_\mu = (-1, \frac{X^i}{R})$  as in the GR case<sup>3</sup>. Here  $\mathcal{A}_\mu^{\text{eff}} = e_{\text{eff}} A_\mu^{\text{eff}}$  incorporates the charge  $e_{\text{eff}}$  of the considered effective charged particle moving in the effective external 4-potential  $A_\mu^{\text{eff}}$ .

We recall that the single-copy version of a Schwarzschild black hole with Kerr-Schild potential  $\Phi = \frac{2GM_0}{R}$  is  $A^\mu = \frac{e_0}{R} k_\mu = c\Phi k_\mu$ , with  $c = \frac{e_0}{2GM_0}$ . This result naturally associates the GR dynamics of a test-mass  $\mu_0 \ll M_0$  around a Schwarzschild black hole with the dynamics of a test charge  $e_{\text{test}} \ll e_0$  in the external 4-potential  $A_\mu = \frac{e_0}{R} k_\mu$ . Our aim here is to see whether this linear probe-limit association still exists when considering comparable masses on one side, and comparable charges, on the other side.

As in the GR case, we work with the rescaled variables  $p_i = \frac{P_i}{\mu}$ ,  $x^i = \frac{X^i}{GM}$ ,  $\gamma = -\frac{P_0}{\mu}$ ,  $j = \frac{J}{Gm_1 m_2}$ , and  $u = \frac{GM}{R} = \frac{1}{r}$ . In addition, we rescale the effective electromagnetic interaction potential  $\phi^{\text{el}} = \mathcal{A}_{\text{eff}}^0 = e_{\text{eff}} A_{\text{eff}}^0$  (with dimensions of electron-volt) into the dimensionless potential  $\phi \equiv \phi^{\text{el}}/\mu$ . Neither  $\phi^{\text{el}}$  nor  $\phi$  involve Newton's constant  $G$ . Beware, however, that our other rescalings introduce  $G$ , in some of the variables describing a purely ED system. The rescaled ED mass-shell condition reads

$$\eta^{\mu\nu}(p_\mu - \phi k_\mu)(p_\nu - \phi k_\nu) + 1 = 0, \quad (16)$$

yielding  $p_r = \phi \pm \sqrt{\phi^2 + 2\phi\gamma + \gamma^2 - j^2/r^2 - 1}$ , and the EOB scattering angle:

$$\pi + \chi(\gamma, j) = 2j \int_0^{u_{\text{max}}(\gamma, j)} \frac{du}{\sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1 - j^2 u^2 + \phi(2\gamma + \phi)}}. \quad (17)$$

The (dimensionless) electric potential  $\phi \equiv \phi^{\text{el}}/\mu$  is PL-expanded as  $\phi = \phi_1(\gamma)u + \phi_2(\gamma)u^2 + \phi_3(\gamma)u^3 + O(u^4)$ . The (dimensionless)  $\phi_n$  coefficients are determined by comparing the PL-expansion of Eq. (17) to Eq. (7). We express the  $\phi_n$ 's in terms of the (Papapetrou-Majumdar [59, 60] inspired) dimensionless rescaled charges ( $i = 1, 2$ )  $\hat{e}_i \equiv$




FIG. 1. GR EOB Kerr-Schild-gauge potential  $\Phi$  as a function of the rescaled ED EOB Kerr-Schild-like potential  $\phi$  at the third (post-Minkowskian or post-Lorentzian) perturbative level. The dashed black line represents the probe limit, where there is a simple, linear relation between the Kerr-Schild Schwarzschild potential and the Coulomb one. The red line marks the high-energy limit, where the GR EOB Kerr-Schild potential exhibits a universal non-linear double-copy-type dependence on the corresponding ED EOB Kerr-Schild one. The other lines correspond to different energies,  $\gamma = \{1, 3, 9, 27\}$ . For these, we set  $\nu = 1/4$  and  $\hat{e}_1 = \hat{e}_2 = 1$ .

$$e_i/(\sqrt{G} m_i),$$

$$\phi_1 = \hat{e}_1 \hat{e}_2, \quad (18)$$

$$\phi_2 = (\hat{e}_1 \hat{e}_2)^2 \frac{1}{2\gamma} \left(1 - \frac{1}{h}\right), \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_3 = (\hat{e}_1 \hat{e}_2)^3 & \left\{ \frac{2\gamma^2 - 1}{2\gamma^2 p_\infty^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{h}\right) + \frac{\nu}{\gamma h^2} \left(\frac{\gamma^3}{p_\infty^3} - 1\right) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{\gamma\nu}{3p_\infty h^2} \left[ 2 + \frac{(\hat{e}_1 - \hat{e}_2)^2}{\hat{e}_1 \hat{e}_2} \right] - \frac{2\gamma\nu}{p_\infty^4 h^2} \operatorname{arcsinh} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma - 1}{2}} \right\}, \quad (20) \end{aligned}$$

where each PL order corresponds to a power of  $\hat{e}_1 \hat{e}_2$ .

## VI. SEARCH FOR DOUBLE COPY IN THE KERR-SCHILD-GAUGE EOB POTENTIALS

In the probe limit  $\frac{m_1}{m_2} \rightarrow 0$ , and  $\frac{e_1}{e_2} \rightarrow 0$ , with, say,  $\frac{e_1}{m_1}$  fixed, the Kerr-Schild-gauge EOB gravitational and electromagnetic potentials have the following limits:  $\Phi \rightarrow 2u = \frac{2GM}{R}$  and  $\phi \rightarrow \hat{e}_1 \hat{e}_2 u = \frac{e_1 e_2}{\mu R}$ . As already said, and emphasized in Ref. [14], the probe limit leads to a simple linear relation between the two types of Kerr-Schild-gauge potentials, namely

$$\Phi \stackrel{\text{probe limit}}{=} \frac{2Gm_1 m_2}{e_1 e_2} \phi = \frac{2GM}{e_1 e_2} \phi^{\text{el}}. \quad (21)$$

For the comparable-mass and comparable-charge case, our results above show that the linear double-copy relation Eq. (21) becomes deformed into a *nonlinear double-*

<sup>3</sup> Similarly to its GR counterpart,  $\phi^{\text{el}}$  incorporates radiative effects starting at the 3PL level.

copy relation between  $\phi$  and  $\Phi$ . Its expression is simplified by introducing a rescaled version of  $\phi$ , namely  $\tilde{\phi} \equiv \frac{\phi}{\hat{e}_1 \hat{e}_2} = \frac{Gm_1 m_2}{e_1 e_2} \phi = \frac{GM}{e_1 e_2} \phi^{\text{el}}$ . Eliminating  $u$  between

the PM expansion of  $\Phi$  and the PL expansion of  $\tilde{\phi}$ , we get the following explicit nonlinear relation that we henceforth truncate at the third perturbative order

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\tilde{\phi}; \gamma, \nu, \hat{e}_1, \hat{e}_2) = & 2\tilde{\phi} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{h}\right) \left[ \frac{\hat{e}_1 \hat{e}_2}{\gamma} + \frac{3(5\gamma^2 - 1)}{(3\gamma^2 - 1)} \right] \tilde{\phi}^2 + \left\{ 9 \left(1 - \frac{1}{h}\right) \frac{(5\gamma^2 - 1)(8\gamma^4 - 8\gamma^2 + 1)}{(3\gamma^2 - 1)(4\gamma^2 - 1)p_\infty^2} \right. \\ & - 2\nu \frac{(5\gamma^2 - 8)(2\gamma^2 - 1)^2 + 2\gamma p_\infty^3(25 + 14\gamma^2)}{h^2 p_\infty^3(4\gamma^2 - 1)} + \left[ \frac{3(1 - h^2)(5\gamma^2 - 1)}{h^2 \gamma(3\gamma^2 - 1)} + \frac{2\gamma\nu}{3h^2 p_\infty} (\hat{e}_1 - \hat{e}_2)^2 \right] \hat{e}_1 \hat{e}_2 \\ & + \left[ \frac{-3p_\infty(1 - h) + 2\nu\gamma^3(\gamma - 2)(2\gamma + 1) + 6\nu\gamma p_\infty(\gamma - 1)}{3h^2 \gamma^2 p_\infty^3} \right] \hat{e}_1^2 \hat{e}_2^2 \\ & \left. + \frac{4\nu}{h^2 p_\infty^4} \left[ 3 \frac{\gamma(2\gamma^2 - 3)(2\gamma^2 - 1)^2 - 2p_\infty^3(4\gamma^4 - 12\gamma^2 - 3)}{4\gamma^2 - 1} + \gamma \hat{e}_1^2 \hat{e}_2^2 \right] \operatorname{arcsinh} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma - 1}{2}} \right\} \tilde{\phi}^3. \quad (22) \end{aligned}$$

We propose to view this functional link (truncated at the third order) as a nonlinear double-copy relation between gravity and gauge theory, in the context of the scattering of comparable masses, and comparable charges. It is a nonlinear deformation (ruled by  $\nu$ ) of the simple probe-limit double-copy relation Eq. (21), to which Eq. (22) reduces when  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ . The nonlinear double-copy relation Eq. (22) is illustrated in Fig. 1 for various values of  $\gamma$ . For simplicity, we only display the probe limit  $\nu = 0$ , and the equal-mass case,  $\nu = \frac{1}{4}$ . Concerning the dependence on  $\hat{e}_1$  and  $\hat{e}_2$ , we also consider for simplicity only the case  $\hat{e}_1 = \hat{e}_2 = 1$ . For most energies, the dependence of the nonlinear link Eq. (22) on  $\hat{e}_1$  and  $\hat{e}_2$ , is rather mild. There is, however, an exception when considering the low-velocity limit  $\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^2}} \rightarrow 1$ . In this limit, the presence of radiative contributions to the ED scattering angle (linked to Larmor dipolar radiation) entails a  $v^{-1}$  behavior in the gauge potential  $\phi$ , which then causes a  $v^{-1}$  behavior in the  $\Phi(\phi)$  relation. See Appendix A for a discussion.

It is remarkable that all the parameter dependence entering the relation Eq. (22) disappears when considering the high-energy limit,  $\gamma \rightarrow +\infty$ . When  $\gamma \rightarrow +\infty$  the nonlinear relation Eq. (22) simplifies into the following *universal*, but *nonlinear*, link

$$\Phi(\tilde{\phi}) \stackrel{\gamma \rightarrow +\infty}{=} 2\tilde{\phi} - 5\tilde{\phi}^2 + 18\tilde{\phi}^3. \quad (23)$$

The universal curve Eq. (23) is highlighted as a thick red line in Figure 1. Other curves, for increasing  $\gamma$ 's, illustrate the emergence of the universal high-energy limit Eq. (23).

In view of its simplicity and universality, the high-energy result of Eq. (23) is the most important one in our search for a double-copy relation, beyond the probe limit, in the two-body problem. It is crucial for obtaining this link to use the EOB Kerr-Schild gauges introduced above. The factorization of two  $k_\mu$ 's in the effective metric, and of one  $k_\mu$  in the effective gauge potential, corrects for the well-known fact that, in the high-energy limit, gravity couples to the square of energy while gauge theory couples to the first power of energy. This allows the corresponding Kerr-Schild potentials to have finite limits as  $\gamma \rightarrow +\infty$ . Actually, the existence of the limiting result Eq. (23) is

the consequence of another remarkable property of our Kerr-Schild potentials in the high-energy limit. Indeed, when  $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\Phi(R; \gamma)$  and  $\phi(R; \gamma)$  have the following finite limits (when truncating at third order):

$$\Phi(R; \gamma) \stackrel{\gamma \rightarrow +\infty}{=} 2u - 5u^2 + 18u^3, \quad (24)$$

and

$$\phi(R; \gamma) \stackrel{\gamma \rightarrow +\infty}{=} \hat{e}_1 \hat{e}_2 u. \quad (25)$$

Note also that (see Appendix A) the high-energy limit of the  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  supergravity Kerr-Schild potential coincides with the GR one (as expected in view of the high-energy universality of the gravitational scattering angle [61, 62]).

The existence of these finite high-energy limits delicately depends on the definition of our Kerr-Schild potentials. In particular, the 3PL,  $O(e^6)$ , contribution to the ED scattering angle, Eq. (7), does not lead to a finite limit when  $\gamma \rightarrow +\infty$  at fixed angular momentum (indeed  $\chi_3^{\text{ED}} \rightarrow +\infty$ ), while the corresponding 3PL potential contribution vanishes:  $\phi_3 \rightarrow 0$ . For a previous discussion of the high-energy limit of the EOB dynamics see [27].

## VII. CONCLUSIONS

We looked for hints of a *classical* double-copy structure between gravity and electrodynamics beyond the existing results, which are essentially limited to the dynamics of probes in stationary external fields. We compared the classical scattering of two gravitationally interacting comparable masses to that of two electromagnetically interacting comparable charges. We introduced a new way of translating, within an effective-one-body approach, the gauge-invariant information contained in the scattering function,  $\chi(E, J)$ . This new way consists in using, on the gravity side, a Kerr-Schild gauge [parametrized by a single effective radial gravity potential  $\Phi(R)$ ] for the effective metric encoding the gravity scattering function, and, on the electrodynamics side, a single-copy version of the Kerr-Schild gauge [parametrized by a single electric potential  $\phi(R)$ ] for the effective 4-potential encoding

the gauge scattering function. Working at the third perturbative order, we showed that there exists a nonlinear functional link  $\mathcal{F}$  between these two potentials:  $\Phi = \mathcal{F}(\phi)$ , Eq. (22). In the probe limit  $\mathcal{F}$  reduces to the usual linear double-copy relation between gravity and gauge theory, Eq. (21), appearing when considering single sources (massive or charged) in Kerr-Schild gauges [14]. We propose to view  $\mathcal{F}$  as a *nonlinear double-copy* relation between gravity and gauge theory, in the context of the scattering of comparable masses, and comparable charges. This nonlinear double-copy relation generally depends on several parameters (energy, mass ratio, charge-to-mass ratios), but becomes *universal* in the high-energy limit, Eq. (23). Our results open new avenues for exploring classical versions of the double-copy. They also suggest new ways of applying the effective-one-body approach to the description of the gravitational interaction of two black holes.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

P. R. and A. C. thank the hospitality and the stimulating environment of the Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques. We thank Stefano De Angelis and Rafael Porto for useful comments. P. R. is supported by the Italian Minister of University and Research (MUR) via the PRIN 2020KB33TP, *Multimessenger astronomy in the Einstein Telescope Era (METE)*. The present research was also partly supported by the “2021 Balzan Prize for Gravitation: Physical and Astrophysical Aspects”, awarded to Thibault Damour.

### Appendix A: $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity

As a complement, we can apply our GR treatment to the scattering of two (half-BPS) extremal black holes in  $\mathcal{N} = 8$  supergravity. These systems are generally parametrized by three angles [48]. In one particular case (a single angle, taken to be  $\phi = \frac{\pi}{2}$ ), the scattering angle has been computed to  $O(G^3)$  [49, 50], and reads

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1^{\text{sugra}} &= \frac{2\gamma^2}{\sqrt{\gamma^2 - 1}}, \\ \chi_2^{\text{sugra}} &= 0, \\ \chi_3^{\text{sugra}} &= -\frac{8\gamma^6}{3p_\infty^3} + \frac{16\nu\gamma^4}{h^2 p_\infty^3} \left[ p_\infty \gamma^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2(p_\infty^3 + 2\gamma - \gamma^3) \operatorname{arcsinh} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma - 1}{2}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A1})$$

The scattering of these systems involves, besides the exchange of the graviton,  $h_{\mu\nu}$ , the exchange of two graviphotons, say  $A_\mu$ , and  $B_\mu$ , and four scalar fields (including the universal dilaton), say  $\Phi, A, B, C$  [63] (though the fourth scalar field,  $C$ , does not couple when  $\phi = \frac{\pi}{2}$ ). This situation suggests that a natural EOB description of the scattering might involve a mass-shell condition of a more general type than the usual GR one, say, at least

$$G_{\text{eff}}^{\mu\nu}(P_\mu - \mathcal{A}_\mu^{\text{eff}})(P_\nu - \mathcal{A}_\nu^{\text{eff}}) + \mu^2 = 0, \quad (\text{A2})$$

with an effective metric  $G_{\text{eff}}^{\mu\nu}$  incorporating the scalar exchanges. For simplicity, we, however, use a standard GR-type mass-shell condition (without  $\mathcal{A}_\mu^{\text{eff}}$  coupling). Using a Kerr-Schild gauge for the effective metric, i.e.,  $G_{\text{eff}}^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} - \Phi^{\text{sugra}} k^\mu k^\nu$ , and PM-expanding the supergravity potential,  $\Phi^{\text{sugra}} = \Phi_1^{\text{sugra}} u + \Phi_2^{\text{sugra}} u^2 + \Phi_3^{\text{sugra}} u^3 + O(u^4)$ , leads to the values

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1^{\text{sugra}} &= \frac{4\gamma^2}{2\gamma^2 - 1}, \\ \Phi_2^{\text{sugra}} &= -\frac{12\gamma^4}{(2\gamma^2 - 1)^2} \frac{5\gamma^2 - 1}{3\gamma^2 - 1}, \\ \Phi_3^{\text{sugra}} &= \frac{24\gamma^6}{4\gamma^2 - 1} \left\{ \frac{1 - 12\gamma^2 + 48\gamma^4}{(2\gamma^2 - 1)^3 (3\gamma^2 - 1)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2\nu}{h^2 p_\infty^3} \left[ 1 + 2 \frac{\gamma(\gamma^2 - 2) - p_\infty^3}{\gamma^2 p_\infty} \operatorname{arcsinh} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma - 1}{2}} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A3})$$

Consistently with the known universality of high-energy gravitational scattering [61, 62], the ultrarelativistic limit,  $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$ , of  $\Phi^{\text{sugra}}$  coincides with the GR one Eq. (24). By contrast, the low-velocity limit of  $\Phi^{\text{sugra}}$  differs from that of GR notably because of the low-velocity behavior of radiative effects entering at the 3PM level.

The low-velocity expansion,  $v \rightarrow 0$  (with  $\gamma \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^2}}$ ), of the 3PM coefficient of the Kerr-Schild GR potential reads  $\Phi_3 \stackrel{v \rightarrow 0}{\simeq} -5\nu + \frac{8\nu}{5} v + O(v^2)$ . By contrast, the low velocity expansion of  $\Phi^{\text{sugra}}$  entails a  $v^{-1}$  behavior in the  $O(G^3)$  coefficient  $\Phi_3^{\text{sugra}}$ , namely  $\Phi_3^{\text{sugra}} \stackrel{v \rightarrow 0}{\simeq} +\frac{80\nu}{3} v^{-1} + O(v^0)$ . There is an analogous low-velocity behavior in the 3PL coefficient of the Kerr-Schild ED potential when  $\hat{e}_1 \neq \hat{e}_2$ ,  $\phi_3 \stackrel{v \rightarrow 0}{\simeq} -\frac{\nu}{3} \hat{e}_1^2 \hat{e}_2^2 (\hat{e}_1 - \hat{e}_2)^2 v^{-1} + O(v^0)$ . The presence of odd powers of  $v$  in the post-Newtonian expansions of the potentials  $\Phi$ ,  $\Phi^{\text{sugra}}$ , and  $\phi$  arises because these effective potentials were deduced from the radiation-reacted values of the corresponding scattering angles. The  $O(v^1)$  term in  $\Phi_3$  corresponds to a *fractional*  $\frac{G^2}{c^5}$  contribution to scattering which is determined (via the linear-response formula of Ref. [64]) by the leading-order *quadrupolar* fractional angular momentum radiated away during scattering, equal to  $\frac{J^{\text{rad LO}}}{J} = +\frac{16}{5} \frac{G^2 m_1 m_2}{b^2 c^5} v$  [65] (see [35] for the exact  $O(G^2)$  loss). The  $O(v^{-1})$  terms in  $\Phi_3^{\text{sugra}}$  and  $\phi_3$  similarly correspond to leading-order *dipolar* fractional angular momentum scattering losses, which are  $O\left(\frac{G^2}{c^3}\right)$  and  $O\left(\frac{(e_1 e_2)^2}{c^3}\right)$ , instead of  $O\left(\frac{G^2}{c^5}\right)$ . Using the couplings of extremal black holes to the gauge,  $A_\mu$ ,  $B_\mu$ , and scalar,  $\Phi, A, B$  fields [63], we indeed found a leading-order dipolar fractional angular momentum loss during supergravity scattering equal to:  $\left(\frac{J^{\text{rad}}}{J}\right)_{\text{sugra}}^{\text{LO}} = +\frac{80}{3} \frac{G^2 m_1 m_2}{b^2 c^3} v^{-1}$ . The corresponding (Larmor-type) ED angular momentum loss during scattering is equal to  $\left(\frac{J^{\text{rad}}}{J}\right)_{\text{ED}}^{\text{LO}} = -\frac{4}{3} \frac{e_1 e_2}{b^2 c^3} \left(\frac{e_1}{m_1} - \frac{e_2}{m_2}\right)^2 v^{-1}$ . Note the curious fact that the latter angular momentum loss is positive in the attractive ED case ( $e_1 e_2 < 0$ ), but *negative* in the repulsive ED case ( $e_1 e_2 > 0$ ). (see [45] for the exact  $O((e_1 e_2)^2)$  loss).

- 
- [1] S. Fubini and G. Veneziano, Duality in operator formalism, *Nuovo Cim. A* **67**, 29 (1970).
- [2] H. Kawai, D. C. Lewellen, and S. H. H. Tye, A Relation Between Tree Amplitudes of Closed and Open Strings, *Nucl. Phys. B* **269**, 1 (1986).
- [3] Z. Bern, J. J. M. Carrasco, and H. Johansson, New Relations for Gauge-Theory Amplitudes, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 085011 (2008), [arXiv:0805.3993 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [4] Z. Bern, T. Dennen, Y.-t. Huang, and M. Kiermaier, Gravity as the Square of Gauge Theory, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 065003 (2010), [arXiv:1004.0693 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [5] Z. Bern, S. Davies, T. Dennen, Y.-t. Huang, and J. Nohle, Color-Kinematics Duality for Pure Yang-Mills and Gravity at One and Two Loops, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 045041 (2015), [arXiv:1303.6605 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [6] Z. Bern, J. J. Carrasco, M. Chiodaroli, H. Johansson, and R. Roiban, The SAGEX review on scattering amplitudes Chapter 2: An invitation to color-kinematics duality and the double copy, *J. Phys. A* **55**, 443003 (2022), [arXiv:2203.13013 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [7] Z. Bern and A. K. Grant, Perturbative gravity from QCD amplitudes, *Phys. Lett. B* **457**, 23 (1999), [arXiv:hep-th/9904026](#).
- [8] S. Ananth and S. Theisen, KLT relations from the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian, *Phys. Lett. B* **652**, 128 (2007), [arXiv:0706.1778 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [9] O. Hohm, On factorizations in perturbative quantum gravity, *JHEP* **04**, 103, [arXiv:1103.0032 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [10] C. Cheung and G. N. Remmen, Twofold Symmetries of the Pure Gravity Action, *JHEP* **01**, 104, [arXiv:1612.03927 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [11] P. Ferrero and D. Francia, On the Lagrangian formulation of the double copy to cubic order, *JHEP* **02**, 213, [arXiv:2012.00713 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [12] M. Beneke, P. Hager, and A. F. Sanfilippo, Double copy for Lagrangians at trilinear order, *JHEP* **02**, 083, [arXiv:2106.09054 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [13] C. Cheung, J. Mangan, J. Parra-Martinez, and N. Shah, Non-perturbative Double Copy in Flatland, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129**, 221602 (2022), [arXiv:2204.07130 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [14] R. Monteiro, D. O’Connell, and C. D. White, Black holes and the double copy, *JHEP* **12**, 056, [arXiv:1410.0239 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [15] M. Gurses and G. Feza, Lorentz Covariant Treatment of the Kerr-Schild Metric, *J. Math. Phys.* **16**, 2385 (1975).
- [16] R. P. Kerr and A. Schild, Republication of: A new class of vacuum solutions of the Einstein field equations, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **41**, 2485 (2009).
- [17] A. Luna, R. Monteiro, D. O’Connell, and C. D. White, The classical double copy for Taub-NUT spacetime, *Phys. Lett. B* **750**, 272 (2015), [arXiv:1507.01869 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [18] K. Kim, K. Lee, R. Monteiro, I. Nicholson, and D. Peinador Veiga, The Classical Double Copy of a Point Charge, *JHEP* **02**, 046, [arXiv:1912.02177 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [19] W. D. Goldberger and A. K. Ridgway, Radiation and the classical double copy for color charges, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 125010 (2017), [arXiv:1611.03493 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [20] M. Carrillo-González, R. Penco, and M. Trodden, The classical double copy in maximally symmetric spacetimes, *JHEP* **04**, 028, [arXiv:1711.01296 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [21] W. D. Goldberger and A. K. Ridgway, Bound states and the classical double copy, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 085019 (2018), [arXiv:1711.09493 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [22] W. D. Goldberger, J. Li, and S. G. Prabhu, Spinning particles, axion radiation, and the classical double copy, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 105018 (2018), [arXiv:1712.09250 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [23] J. Li and S. G. Prabhu, Gravitational radiation from the classical spinning double copy, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 105019 (2018), [arXiv:1803.02405 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [24] T. Adamo, J. J. M. Carrasco, M. Carrillo-González, M. Chiodaroli, H. Elvang, H. Johansson, D. O’Connell, R. Roiban, and O. Schlotterer, Snowmass White Paper: the Double Copy and its Applications, in *Snowmass 2021* (2022) [arXiv:2204.06547 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [25] R. Alawadhi, *Aspects of the Classical Double Copy*, Ph.D. thesis, Queen Mary, U. of London (2023), [arXiv:2305.18973 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [26] T. Damour, Gravitational scattering, post-Minkowskian approximation and Effective One-Body theory, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 104015 (2016), [arXiv:1609.00354 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [27] T. Damour, High-energy gravitational scattering and the general relativistic two-body problem, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 044038 (2018), [arXiv:1710.10599 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [28] T. Damour, Classical and quantum scattering in post-Minkowskian gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 024060 (2020), [arXiv:1912.02139 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [29] A. Buonanno and T. Damour, Effective one-body approach to general relativistic two-body dynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* **59**, 084006 (1999), [arXiv:gr-qc/9811091](#).
- [30] A. Buonanno and T. Damour, Transition from inspiral to plunge in binary black hole coalescences, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 064015 (2000), [arXiv:gr-qc/0001013](#).
- [31] T. Damour, P. Jaranowski, and G. Schafer, On the determination of the last stable orbit for circular general relativistic binaries at the third postNewtonian approximation, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 084011 (2000), [arXiv:gr-qc/0005034 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [32] C. Cheung, I. Z. Rothstein, and M. P. Solon, From Scattering Amplitudes to Classical Potentials in the Post-Minkowskian Expansion, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 251101 (2018), [arXiv:1808.02489 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [33] Z. Bern, C. Cheung, R. Roiban, C.-H. Shen, M. P. Solon, and M. Zeng, Scattering Amplitudes and the Conservative Hamiltonian for Binary Systems at Third Post-Minkowskian Order, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 201603 (2019), [arXiv:1901.04424 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [34] G. Kälin, Z. Liu, and R. A. Porto, Conservative Dynamics of Binary Systems to Third Post-Minkowskian Order from the Effective Field Theory Approach, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 261103 (2020), [arXiv:2007.04977 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [35] T. Damour, Radiative contribution to classical gravitational scattering at the third order in  $G$ , *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 124008 (2020), [arXiv:2010.01641 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [36] P. Di Vecchia, C. Heissenberg, R. Russo, and G. Veneziano, The eikonal approach to gravitational scattering and radiation at  $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$ , *JHEP* **07**, 169, [arXiv:2104.03256 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [37] N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P. H. Damgaard, L. Planté, and P. Vanhove, The amplitude for classical gravitational scattering at third Post-Minkowskian order, *JHEP* **08**, 172, [arXiv:2105.05218 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [38] Z. Bern, J. Parra-Martinez, R. Roiban, M. S. Ruf, C.-H. Shen, M. P. Solon, and M. Zeng, Scattering Amplitudes and Conservative Binary Dynamics at  $\mathcal{O}(G^4)$ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 171601 (2021), [arXiv:2101.07254 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [39] C. Dlapa, G. Kälin, Z. Liu, and R. A. Porto, Conservative Dynamics of Binary Systems at Fourth Post-Minkowskian Order in the Large-Eccentricity Expansion, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 161104 (2022), [arXiv:2112.11296 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [40] C. Dlapa, G. Kälin, Z. Liu, J. Neef, and R. A. Porto, Radiation Reaction and Gravitational Waves at Fourth Post-Minkowskian Order, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130**, 101401

- (2023), [arXiv:2210.05541 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [41] P. H. Damgaard, E. R. Hansen, L. Planté, and P. Vanhove, Classical observables from the exponential representation of the gravitational S-matrix, *JHEP* **09**, 183, [arXiv:2307.04746 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [42] T. Damour and P. Retegno, Strong-field scattering of two black holes: Numerical relativity meets post-Minkowskian gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 064051 (2023), [arXiv:2211.01399 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [43] P. Retegno, G. Pratten, L. Thomas, P. Schmidt, and T. Damour, Strong-field scattering of two spinning black holes: Numerical Relativity versus post-Minkowskian gravity, (2023), [arXiv:2307.06999 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [44] K. Westpfahl, High-Speed Scattering of Charged and Uncharged Particles in General Relativity, *Fortsch. Phys.* **33**, 417 (1985).
- [45] M. V. S. Saketh, J. Vines, J. Steinhoff, and A. Buonanno, Conservative and radiative dynamics in classical relativistic scattering and bound systems, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **4**, 013127 (2022), [arXiv:2109.05994 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [46] Z. Bern, J. P. Gatica, E. Herrmann, A. Luna, and M. Zeng, Scalar QED as a toy model for higher-order effects in classical gravitational scattering, *JHEP* **08**, 131, [arXiv:2112.12243 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [47] Z. Bern, E. Herrmann, R. Roiban, M. S. Ruf, A. V. Smirnov, V. A. Smirnov, and M. Zeng, Conservative binary dynamics at order  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^5)$  in electrodynamics, (2023), [arXiv:2305.08981 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [48] S. Caron-Huot and Z. Zahraee, Integrability of Black Hole Orbits in Maximal Supergravity, *JHEP* **07**, 179, [arXiv:1810.04694 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [49] J. Parra-Martinez, M. S. Ruf, and M. Zeng, Extremal black hole scattering at  $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$ : graviton dominance, eikonal exponentiation, and differential equations, *JHEP* **11**, 023, [arXiv:2005.04236 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [50] E. Herrmann, J. Parra-Martinez, M. S. Ruf, and M. Zeng, Radiative classical gravitational observables at  $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$  from scattering amplitudes, *JHEP* **10**, 148, [arXiv:2104.03957 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [51] Z. Bern, J. Parra-Martinez, R. Roiban, M. S. Ruf, C.-H. Shen, M. P. Solon, and M. Zeng, Scattering Amplitudes, the Tail Effect, and Conservative Binary Dynamics at  $\mathcal{O}(G^4)$ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 161103 (2022), [arXiv:2112.10750 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [52] C. Dlapa, G. Kälin, Z. Liu, and R. A. Porto, Dynamics of binary systems to fourth Post-Minkowskian order from the effective field theory approach, *Phys. Lett. B* **831**, 137203 (2022), [arXiv:2106.08276 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [53] D. Bini, T. Damour, and A. Geralico, Radiated momentum and radiation reaction in gravitational two-body scattering including time-asymmetric effects, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 024012 (2023), [arXiv:2210.07165 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [54] A. V. Manohar, A. K. Ridgway, and C.-H. Shen, Radiated Angular Momentum and Dissipative Effects in Classical Scattering, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129**, 121601 (2022), [arXiv:2203.04283 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [55] C. Dlapa, G. Kälin, Z. Liu, and R. A. Porto, Bootstrapping the relativistic two-body problem, *JHEP* **08**, 109, [arXiv:2304.01275 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [56] D. Bini, T. Damour, and A. Geralico, Sixth post-Newtonian local-in-time dynamics of binary systems, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 024061 (2020), [arXiv:2004.05407 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [57] T. Damour and G. Schäfer, HIGHER ORDER RELATIVISTIC PERIASTRON ADVANCES AND BINARY PULSARS, *Nuovo Cim.* **B101**, 127 (1988).
- [58] A. Buonanno, Reduction of the two-body dynamics to a one-body description in classical electrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 104022 (2000), [arXiv:hep-th/0004042](#).
- [59] A. Papaetrou, A Static solution of the equations of the gravitational field for an arbitrary charge distribution, *Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. A* **51**, 191 (1947).
- [60] S. D. Majumdar, A class of exact solutions of Einstein's field equations, *Phys. Rev.* **72**, 390 (1947).
- [61] P. Di Vecchia, C. Heissenberg, R. Russo, and G. Veneziano, Universality of ultra-relativistic gravitational scattering, *Phys. Lett. B* **811**, 135924 (2020), [arXiv:2008.12743 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [62] Z. Bern, H. Ita, J. Parra-Martinez, and M. S. Ruf, Universality in the classical limit of massless gravitational scattering, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 031601 (2020), [arXiv:2002.02459 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [63] P. Di Vecchia, C. Heissenberg, R. Russo, and G. Veneziano, Radiation Reaction from Soft Theorems, *Phys. Lett. B* **818**, 136379 (2021), [arXiv:2101.05772 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [64] D. Bini and T. Damour, Gravitational radiation reaction along general orbits in the effective one-body formalism, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 124012 (2012), [arXiv:1210.2834 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [65] T. Damour and N. Deruelle, Radiation Reaction and Angular Momentum Loss in Small Angle Gravitational Scattering, *Phys. Lett. A* **87**, 81 (1981).