The minimum number of detours in graphs^{*}

Xingzhi Zhan

Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200241, China

Abstract

A longest path in a graph is called a detour. It is easy to see that a connected graph of minimum degree at least 2 and order at least 4 has at least 4 detours. We prove that if the number of detours in such a graph of order at least 9 is odd, then it is at least 9, and this lower bound can be attained for every order. Thus the possibilities 3, 5 and 7 are excluded. Two open problems are posed.

Key words. Detour; longest path; minimum degree

Mathematics Subject Classification. 05C30, 05C35, 05C38

We consider finite simple graphs and use terminology and notations in [4]. Following Kapoor, Kronk, and Lick [3], we call a longest path in a graph G a *detour* of G. This concise term has now been widely used (e.g. [1] and [2]). The *order* of a graph is its number of vertices. We denote by V(G) and E(G) the vertex set and edge set of a graph G, respectively. For vertices x and y, an (x, y)-path is a path with endpoints x and y. We denote by $\delta(G)$ the minimum degree of a graph G, and by N(x) the neighborhood of a vertex x. If u, v are two vertices on a path P, then P[u, v] denotes the subpath of P with endpoints u and v. A basic fact about detours is that a detour of a connected graph G of order n has order at least min $\{2\delta(G) + 1, n\}$.

It is easy to see that a connected graph of minimum degree at least 2 and order at least 4 has at least 4 detours. We will show that if the number of detours in such a graph of order at least 9 is odd, then it is at least 9, and this lower bound can be attained for

^{*}E-mail address: zhan@math.ecnu.edu.cn

every order. Thus the possibilities 3, 5 and 7 are excluded. At the end we pose two open problems.

Notation. f(G) denotes the number of detours in a graph G.

Theorem 1. The minimum number of detours in a connected graph of minimum degree at least 2 and order at least 4 is 4.

Proof. Let G be a connected graph of order at least 4 with $\delta(G) \geq 2$ and let $P : x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k$ be a detour of G. Then $N(x_1) \subseteq V(P)$ and $N(x_k) \subseteq V(P)$. Since $\delta(G) \geq 2, x_1$ has a neighbor x_i with $i \geq 3$ and x_k has a neighbor x_j with $j \leq k - 2$. If i = k or j = 1, then G contains a k-cycle and we clearly have $f(G) \geq 4$. Next suppose $3 \leq i \leq k - 1$ and $2 \leq j \leq k - 2$.

Case 1. $i \leq j$.

G has at least the following four detours:

$$P, \quad P[x_1, x_j] \cup x_j x_k \cup P[x_k, x_{j+1}],$$

 $P[x_{i-1}, x_1] \cup x_1 x_i \cup P[x_i, x_k], \ P[x_{i-1}, x_1] \cup x_1 x_i \cup P[x_i, x_j] \cup x_j x_k \cup P[x_k, x_{j+1}].$

See Figure 1.



Case 2. i > j.

G has at least the following six detours:

$$\begin{split} P, \quad P[x_1, x_j] \cup x_j x_k \cup P[x_k, x_{j+1}], \quad P[x_{i-1}, x_1] \cup x_1 x_i \cup P[x_i, x_k], \\ P[x_{j-1}, x_1] \cup x_1 x_i \cup P[x_i, x_k] \cup x_k x_j \cup P[x_j, x_{i-1}], \quad P[x_{i+1}, x_k] \cup x_k x_j \cup P[x_j, x_1] \cup x_1 x_i \cup P[x_i, x_{j+1}], \\ P[x_{j-1}, x_1] \cup x_1 x_i \cup P[x_i, x_j] \cup x_j x_k \cup P[x_k, x_{i+1}]. \end{split}$$

See Figure 2.



Fig. 2. The case i > j

This shows $f(G) \ge 4$. Conversely, for every order $n \ge 4$ we construct a graph G_n of order n with $\delta(G) \ge 2$ satisfying $f(G_n) = 4$. $G_4 = C_4$, the 4-cycle. G_5 is the bowtie, the graph consisting of two triangles sharing one vertex. G_6 consists of a triangle and a 4-cycle sharing one vertex. G_7 , G_8 and G_9 are depicted in (a), (b) and (c) of Figure 3, respectively.



Fig. 3. The graphs G7, G8 and G9

For $n \ge 10$, G_n is obtained from G_9 in (c) of Figure 3 by replacing the path x, z, y by an (x, y)-path of order n - 6. \Box

Remark 1. Note that for $n \ge 7$, the graphs G_n in the above proof of Theorem 1 are 2-connected. Thus, if we replace "minimum degree at least 2" by "2-connected" in Theorem 1, we obtain the same conclusion for graphs of order at least 7.

Remark 2. In the above proof of Theorem 1, an edge e of P appears on at least four detours unless (1) $i \leq j$ and $e = x_{i-1}x_i$ or $e = x_jx_{j+1}$ or (2) i = j+1 and $e = x_ix_j$.

Theorem 2. Let G be a connected graph of minimum degree at least 2 and order at least 9. If f(G) is an odd number, then $f(G) \ge 9$. Furthermore, the lower bound 9 can be attained for every order by both graphs of connectivity 1 and graphs of connectivity 2.

Proof. We first prove that if f(G) is an odd number, then $f(G) \ge 9$. It suffices to show that either $f(G) \ge 8$ or f(G) = 4 or f(G) = 6.

We make the following conventions: (1) For a positive integer r, "r detours" means "r pair-wise distinct detours"; (2) for an edge e of G and a detour D, we say that e appears on D if $e \in E(D)$.

Let $P: x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k$ be a detour of G. If there is another detour Q with $V(Q) \neq V(P)$, by the proof of Theorem 1, there are at least 4 detours with the same vertex set V(P)and there are at least 4 detours with the same vertex set V(Q). These detours are clearly distinct. Hence we have $f(G) \geq 8$. Next suppose that all detours of G have V(P) as their vertex set. Recall that an edge e of G is called a *chord* of a path R if the two endpoints of e lie in R but $e \notin E(R)$. A chord e of R is called an *inner chord* if both endpoints of e are internal vertices of R. Otherwise e is called a *boundary chord*. A detour D is called a *basic detour* if no inner chord of P is an edge of D; otherwise D is called a *non-basic detour*.

Let the order of G be n. If G is hamiltonian, then $f(G) \ge n \ge 9$. Next assume that G is non-hamiltonian.

Since P is a detour, $N(x_1) \subseteq V(P)$ and $N(x_k) \subseteq V(P)$. The condition $\delta(G) \ge 2$ implies that x_1 has a neighbor x_i with $i \ge 3$ and x_k has a neighbor x_j with $j \le k - 2$. If i = k or j = 1, then G has a k-cycle C which contains P. Since P is a detour, C must be a Hamilton cycle, contradicting our assumption that G is non-hamiltonian. Hence $3 \le i \le k - 1$ and $2 \le j \le k - 2$. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1. Every detour of G is a basic detour.

We need consider only the boundary chords of P.

Subcase 1.1. P contains exactly two boundary chords.

As analyzed in the proof of Theorem 1, in this case f(G) = 4 or f(G) = 6.

Subcase 1.2. P contains exactly three boundary chords.

Without loss of generality, let x_1x_q be the third chord of P with $q \neq i$. Note that the two boundary chords x_1x_i and x_1x_q are in symmetric positions. If q > i we may interchange the roles of x_1x_i and x_1x_q . Thus we may and do assume that q < i.

Suppose $i \leq j$. We have four basic detours not containing the edge x_1x_q . If $3 \leq q \leq i-2$, we have exactly the following two detours containing the edge x_1x_q :

$$P[x_{q-1}, x_1] \cup x_1 x_q \cup P[x_q, x_k], \ P[x_{q-1}, x_1] \cup x_1 x_q \cup P[x_q, x_j] \cup x_j x_k \cup P[x_k, x_{j+1}].$$

Hence f(G) = 6. If q = i - 1, we have exactly the following four detours containing the edge x_1x_q :

$$P[x_2, x_q] \cup x_q x_1 \cup x_1 x_i \cup P[x_i, x_k], \quad P[x_2, x_q] \cup x_q x_1 \cup x_1 x_i \cup P[x_i, x_j] \cup x_j x_k \cup P[x_k, x_{j+1}],$$
$$P[x_{q-1}, x_1] \cup x_1 x_q \cup P[x_q, x_k], \quad P[x_{q-1}, x_1] \cup x_1 x_q \cup P[x_q, x_j] \cup x_j x_k \cup P[x_k, x_{j+1}].$$
Hence $f(G) = 8.$

Suppose i > j. We have six basic detours not containing the edge x_1x_q . In this case it is easy to check that there are at least two detours containing the edge x_1x_q by considering the subgraph $P \cup x_1x_q \cup x_kx_j$. Thus $f(G) \ge 8$.

Subcase 1.3. *P* contains at least four boundary chords.

Based on Subcase 1.2, we deduce that $f(G) \ge 8$ in this case.

Case 2. G contains a non-basic detour.

Claim 1. Every edge in a detour appears on at least two detours.

This claim can be verified by checking the proof of Theorem 1, replacing P there by the detour in question. In fact, except for possible one or two edges, every edge in a detour appears on at least four distinct detours. See Remark 2 above.

Since G contains a non-basic detour, some inner chord e of P is an edge of a detour. By Claim 1, there are at least two detours containing e as an edge. Thus G has at least two non-basic detours. If i > j, we have six basic detours, and consequently $f(G) \ge 8$. By Subcases 1.2 and 1.3, if P contains at least three boundary chords, then G contains at least six basic detours. Again we have $f(G) \ge 8$. If an inner chord of P appears on at least four detours, then we have at least four non-basic detours. It follows that $f(G) \ge 8$.

It remains to treat the case when (1) $i \leq j$, (2) P contains exactly two boundary chords and (3) every inner chord of P appears on at most three detours. Next we make these three assumptions.

Let $D : y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_k$ be a detour of G. Suppose y_c is a neighbor of y_1 and y_d is a neighbor of y_k . As in the proof of Theorem 1, there are four detours (if $c \leq d$) or six detours (if c > d) whose edges belong to $E(D) \cup \{y_1y_c, y_ky_d\}$. We denote by $\Psi(D)$ the set of these four or six detours according as $c \leq d$ or c > d. When we write $\Psi(D)$ we assume that the two boundary chords y_1y_c and y_ky_d have been prescribed.

Claim 2. If an inner chord h of P appears on a detour D such that $\Psi(D) \cap \Psi(P) \neq \phi$, then one of the two endpoints of h belongs to the set $\{x_{i-1}, x_{j+1}\}$.

Let $D = y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_k$ with $h \in E(D)$. Suppose y_c is a neighbor of y_1 and y_d is a neighbor of y_k . Since h appears on at most three detours, by Remark 2 after the proof of Theorem 1, if c > d we must have c = d + 1 and then D is contained in a cycle which must be a Hamilton cycle since D is a detour, contradicting our assumption that G is non-hamiltonian. Thus $c \leq d$ and then either $h = y_{c-1}y_c$ or $h = y_dy_{d+1}$. Note that since h is an inner chord of P, the two endpoints of h cannot be x_1 or x_k . Let $R \in \Psi(D) \cap \Psi(P)$. Then R does not contain h. Each of the two detours in $\Psi(D)$ not containing h has one endpoint which is an endpoint of h. Thus one endpoint v of R is an endpoint of h. Since the endpoints of the four detours in $\Psi(P)$ are $x_1, x_k, x_{i-1}, x_{j+1}$, we deduce that $v \in \{x_1, x_k, x_{i-1}, x_{j+1}\}$ but $v \notin \{x_1, x_k\}$. Hence $v \in \{x_{i-1}, x_{j+1}\}$.

Subcase 2.1. G has a detour which contains at least two inner chords of P.

Let h and e be two inner chords of P that appear on one common detour. Consider the subgraph $G' = P \cup x_1 x_i \cup x_k x_j \cup h \cup e$. The path $T = P[x_{i-1}, x_1] \cup x_1 x_i \cup P[x_i, x_j] \cup x_j x_k \cup P[x_k, x_{j+1}]$ is a detour of G' with endpoints x_{i-1} and x_{j+1} , which is also a detour of G. Since we have assumed that G is non-hamiltonian, x_{i-1} and x_{j+1} are non-adjacent. By Claim 2, each of h and e has exactly one endpoint in the set $\{x_{i-1}, x_{j+1}\}$. Now in G', the detour T has four boundary chords $x_{i-1}x_i, x_{j+1}x_j, h$ and e. By Subcase 1.3 above (replacing P there by T), we obtain $f(G) \ge f(G') \ge 8$.

Subcase 2.2. Every non-basic detour contains exactly one inner chord of P.

Denote by Ω the set of the inner chords of P that appear on at least one detour. By the above Claim 1, if one inner chord of P appears on a detour, then there are at least two detours containing that chord. Thus, if $|\Omega| \ge 2$ then we have at least four non-basic detours, and consequently we have $f(G) \ge 8$. Next suppose $|\Omega| = 1$ and let $\Omega = \{x_s x_t\}$ with $2 \le s \le t - 2$. Recall that we have assumed $i \le j$. Using Claim 2, we deduce that f(G) = 6 if (1) t = i - 1; (2) s = j + 1; (3) s = i - 1 and $i + 2 \le t \le j$; (4) $i \le s \le j - 2$ and t = j + 1. In all other cases $f(G) \ge 8$. This completes the proof that if f(G) is an odd number, then $f(G) \ge 9$.

Next for every integer $n \ge 9$ we construct a graph H_n of order n and connectivity 1 which contains exactly 9 detours. Every H_n is traceable. H_9, H_{10} and H_{11} are depicted in Figure 4.



Fig. 4. The graphs H_9 , H_{10} and H_{11}

For $n \ge 11$, H_n is obtained from H_{10} by subdividing the edge (4, 5) n - 10 times, i.e., replacing the edge (4, 5) by a (4, 5)-path of order n - 8. The 9 detours in H_9 are

 Finally for every integer $n \ge 9$ we construct a graph M_n of order n and connectivity 2 which contains exactly 9 detours. Every M_n is traceable. M_9 and M_{10} are depicted in Figure 5.



For $n \ge 10$, M_n is obtained from M_9 by subdividing the edge (7, 8) n-9 times. Observe that M_9 is obtained from H_9 in Figure 4 (a) by adding the edge (2, 6), and any detour of M_9 cannot contain the edge (2, 6). Hence M_9 and H_9 have the same set of detours, in particular, the same number of detours, i.e., 9. Note that each detour of M_9 contains the edge (7, 8). Thus for every $n \ge 10$, M_n has the same number of detours as M_9 . \Box

Finally we pose two problems. Recall that f(G) denotes the number of detours in a graph G.

Problem 1. Let k and n be integers with $3 \le k \le n-2$. Denote by $\Gamma(k, n)$ the set of connected graphs with minimum degree k and order n. Define

$$a(k,n) = \min\{f(G) | G \in \Gamma(k,n)\}.$$

Determine a(k, n).

Problem 2. Let k, n and $\Gamma(k, n)$ be as in Problem 1. Define

$$b(k,n) = \min\{f(G) | G \in \Gamma(k,n) \text{ and } f(G) \text{ is odd}\}.$$

Determine b(k, n).

Perhaps for sufficiently large orders n, a(k, n) and b(k, n) are independent of n.

Acknowledgement. This research was supported by the NSFC grant 12271170 and Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality grant 22DZ2229014.

References

- L.W. Beineke, J.E. Dunbar and M. Frick, Detour-saturated graphs, J. Graph Theory 49(2005), 116–134.
- [2] G. Chartrand, G.L. Johns and S.L. Tian, Detour distance in graphs, Quo vadis, graph theory?, 127–136, Ann. Discrete Math., 55, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1993.
- [3] S.F. Kapoor, H.V. Kronk and D.R. Lick, On detours in graphs, Canad. Math. Bull. 11(1968), 195–201.
- [4] D.B. West, Introduction to Graph Theory, Prentice Hall, Inc., 1996.