Symmetric functions in noncommuting variables in superspace

DIEGO ARCIS CAMILO GONZÁLEZ SEBASTIÁN MÁROUEZ

In 2004, Rosas and Sagan developed the theory of symmetric functions in noncommuting variables, achieving results analogous to classical symmetric functions. On the other hand, in 2004, Desrosiers, Lapointe and Mathieu introduced the theory of symmetric functions in superspace, which involve both commuting and anticommuting variables, extending the classic theory. Here, we introduce symmetric functions in noncommuting variables in superspace. We define the classical symmetric functions in noncommuting variables to superspace: monomials, power sums, elementaries and complete homogeneous, which generalize both the ones studied by Rosas and Sagan and the ones studied by Desrosiers, Lapointe and Mathieu. We also define Schur–type functions in noncommuting variables in superspace.

[05E05](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet/search/mscdoc.html?code=05E05)

1 Introduction

Symmetric functions play a significant role in mathematics, in areas such as algebraic combinatorics, representation theory, Hopf algebras, among others [\[23,](#page-28-0) [31\]](#page-28-1). They have been widely studied and are important not only in mathematics, but also in connection with integrable models in physics [\[24\]](#page-28-2), particularly, symmetric functions turn out to be eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian of the Calogero–Moser–Sutherland model [\[29,](#page-28-3) [15\]](#page-28-4). Roughly speaking, symmetric functions are formal power series in infinitely many commutative variables, which remain invariant under any permutation of their variables. Symmetric functions form an algebra whose bases are indexed by partitions of integers. Some of these bases are: the monomial symmetric functions, the power sum symmetric functions, the elementary symmetric functions and the complete homogeneous symmetric functions.

An important family of symmetric functions that form a basis are the so–called Schur functions, which appear as limits of characters of the general linear group and are associated to the irreducible characters of the symmetric group via the Schur–Weyl duality. Schur functions can be defined through various methods: by using semistandard Young tableaux, via the Jacobi–Trudy identity, or directly through a quotient involving Vandermonde–type determinants [\[31,](#page-28-1) Chapter 7].

Several generalizations of the algebra of symmetric functions Sym have been studied, such as the algebra of quasisymmetric functions QSym [\[19\]](#page-28-5) and its dual Hopf algebra of noncommutative symmetric functions NSym [\[18\]](#page-28-6), among others [\[25,](#page-28-7) [16,](#page-28-8) [20\]](#page-28-9). Quasisymmetric functions can be regarded as a refinement of symmetric functions in the sense that remain invariant under a weaker form of symmetry.

Another generalization of symmetric functions are the ones involving noncommuting variables, which were introduced by Wolf in 1936 [\[32\]](#page-28-10). The algebra of symmetric functions in noncommuting variables NCSym was studied by Rosas and Sagan [\[27\]](#page-28-11), establishing results similar to the commutative case. In particular, they defined bases analogous to the monomial, power, elementary and complete homogeneous functions, which in this case are indexed by set partitions. They showed relations between these bases and study their projection to the algebra of symmetric functions in commutative variables. Also, by using combinatorics of tableaux, they introduced a family of Schur–type functions in noncommuting variables, satisfying some properties similar to the classical Schur functions, however this family does not constitute a basis of NCSym. By using a noncommutative version of the Jacobi–Trudi determinant, it was introduced in [\[2\]](#page-27-0) a new family of Schur–type functions, which refines the one in [\[27\]](#page-28-11) and constitutes a basis of NCSym. Several results related to this algebra are known, such as the isomorphism with the algebra of rook placements [\[9\]](#page-27-1) and the connection with supercharacter theory [\[1,](#page-27-2) [32\]](#page-28-10). Some results related to the Hopf structure of NCSym were obtained in [\[5,](#page-27-3) [4,](#page-27-4) [6\]](#page-27-5).

Given the connection of symmetric functions with integrable models, and motivated by a supersymmetric generalization of the Calogero–Moser–Sutherland model [\[10,](#page-27-6) [13\]](#page-28-12), it was developed in [\[11,](#page-27-7) [12\]](#page-28-13) a new family of symmetric functions called *symmetric functions in superspace* involving commutative variables $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots)$ together with anticommuting variables $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots)$, in which the symmetric group acts simultaneously. These functions have been widely studied in the last years, extending results of classic symmetric functions. Classic bases as monomial, power sum, elementary and complete homogeneous symmetric functions were extended to superspace in [\[14\]](#page-28-14), which can be are indexed by *superpartitions*. Several definitions of Schur–type functions in superspace were given in [\[22\]](#page-28-15). On the other hand, it was proved in [\[17\]](#page-28-16) that QSym and NSym can be generalized to superspace as the Hopf algebras of quasisymmetric functions in superspace **sOSym** and of noncommutative symmetric functions in superspace **sNSym**, respectively. Some results related to the Hopf structure of sNSym were obtained in [\[3\]](#page-27-8).

A natural problem is to consider symmetric functions involving noncommuting and anticommuting variables, which generalize both symmetric functions in noncommuting variables and symmetric functions in superspace. Here, we lead with this problem. Specifically, we extend the classical bases and the Schur–type functions in noncommuting variables to superspace, which project naturally on their commutative counterpart in superspace. The paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we introduce two new classes of combinatorial objects called *partial set supercompositions* and *set superpartitions*, which generalize the classic set partitions and are closely related to superpartitions. These objects will be used to study the bases of symmetric functions in noncommuting variables in superspace. We define *strongly coarsening* and *coarsening* relations on these combinatorial objects, which give them structures of partially ordered sets. Also, we describe actions of the symmetric group on these objects.

In Section 3, we introduce the algebra of symmetric functions in noncommuting variables in superspace sNCSym. We define monomial symmetric functions in superspace and show that these ones form a basis of sNCSym. Also, we give combinatorial formulas for the product of these functions. Other bases of sNCSym are studied in Section 4. In particular, we define power sum, elementary and complete homogeneous symmetric functions in superspace. We also show the relations between these bases.

Section 5 is devoted to study how symmetric functions in noncommuting variables in superspace project to the algebra functions in commuting variables in superspace sSym. First, we recall the classic symmetric functions in commuting variables in superspace. Then, we show formulas that project our bases in their commuting counterpart, and we prove that there is an isometry from sNCSym to sSym.

In Section 6, we define Schur–type functions in noncommuting variables in superspace in the sense of [\[27\]](#page-28-11), by using super semistandard Young tableaux, which were introduced in [\[22\]](#page-28-15). We express these functions in terms of the monomial basis and show their projection on Schur–type functions in commutative variables in superspace, which were given in [\[22\]](#page-28-15).

Here, N denotes the set of positive integers, and $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$

Given integers *m*, *n*, denote by $[m, n]$ the interval $\{m, \ldots, n\}$, and $[m, n]_0 := [m, n] \cup \{0\}$. In particular, if $m = 1$ we will denote them, respectively, by [*n*] and [*n*]₀ instead. Note that [0] is empty and [0]₀ = {0}.

For every integer $n \ge 1$, we denote permutations $\sigma : [n] \to [n]$ as words $\sigma(1) \cdots \sigma(n)$ in [*n*], and we denote by inv(σ) the *number of inversions* of σ , that is, the minimal number of commutations needed to get $12 \cdots n$ from $\sigma(1) \cdots \sigma(n)$. The *symmetric group* of permutations of [n], will be denoted by \mathfrak{S}_n , and we set $\mathfrak{S} = \left| \right| \mathfrak{S}_n$. *n*≥1

2 Set superpartitions

Here we introduce a new class of combinatorial objects, which will index the bases of the algebra of symmetric functions in superspace. These ones can be regarded as a extension of the classic set partitions. It is worth to mention that set superpartitions introduced here are different of the ones defined in [\[26\]](#page-28-17).

2.1 Preliminaries

Recall that a *set partition* of a set *X* is a collection *I* of nonempty subsets I_1, \ldots, I_k of *X*, called *blocks*, such that $I_1 \cup \cdots \cup I_k = X$ and $I_i \cap I_j = \emptyset$ for all $i < j$. If X is a set of integers, it is usual to denote $I = \{I_1, \ldots, I_k\}$ by $I = (I_{a_1}, \ldots, I_{a_k})$ instead, where $\min(I_{a_i}) < \min(I_{a_j})$ whenever $i < j$. The collection of set partitions of *X* is denoted by $\mathcal{P}(X)$. For $I, J \in \mathcal{P}(X)$, write $I \preceq J$ if each block of *J* is a union of blocks of *I*. It is well known that \preceq is a partial order that gives to $\mathcal{P}(X)$ a structure of lattice with *infimum operation* \wedge and *supremum operation* \vee . For instance, for *X* = [4] the lattice structure of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is given as in Figure [1.](#page-2-0)

Figure 1: Images of partial set supercompositions of bidegree (2, 2) in the lattice of set partitions of [4].

For every nonnegative integer *n*, the set $P([n])$ is commonly denoted by P_n instead. It is well known that the number of set partitions of [*n*] into $1 \leq k \leq n$ blocks is the *Stirling number* (of the second kind) $S(n, k)$ [\[21,](#page-28-18) A008277], and that the number of all set partitions of [*n*] is the *n*th Bell number *bⁿ* [\[21,](#page-28-18) A000110].

2.2 Partial set supercompositions

In what follows, for every subset *A* of \mathbb{N}_0 , we denote $A \setminus \{0\}$ by A^+ instead. Also, for subsets *A*, *B* of \mathbb{N}_0 , we set $A \oplus B$ as empty if $0 \in A \cap B$ and $A \oplus B = A \cup B$ otherwise. This operation is not associative in general, indeed $({1} \oplus {0, 1}) \oplus {0, 1} = {}$ and ${1} \oplus ({0, 1} \oplus {0, 1}) = {1}$. However, if either none or at most one of the sets *A*, *B*, *C* contains zero, then $(A \oplus B) \oplus C = A \oplus (B \oplus C)$.

A *partial set supercomposition* K, of *degree* $n =: deg(K)$ and *fermionic degree* $m =: df(K) \leq n + 1$, is a tuple (K_1, \ldots, K_a) of nonempty subsets of $[n]_0$, called *blocks*, satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $(K_1 \cup \cdots \cup K_q)^+ = [n]$ and $K_i \cap K_j \subseteq \{0\}$ for all $i \neq j$.
- (2) $0 \in K_i$ for all $i \in [m]$ and $\min(K_i) < \min(K_i)$ for all $m < i < j \le q$.

Each block of *K* containing 0 is called a *fermionic block*. We say that (*n*, *m*) is the *bidegree* of *K*. The number of blocks of *K* is called the *length* of it and is denoted by $\ell(K)$. The collection K^+ formed by the nonempty sets K_i^+ with $i \in [q]$ is a set partition of [*n*] which we call the *underlying set partition* of K. For instance, below a partial set supercomposition of bidegree (7, 3):

$$
K = (\{0, 4\}, \{0, 2, 7\}, \{0\}, \{1, 5, 6\}, \{3\}).
$$
\n
$$
(2-1)
$$

Observe that, by definition, partial set supercompositions may contain many blocks $\{0\}$. For instance, the following is a partial set supercomposition of bidegree (*n*, *m*):

$$
\hat{0}_{n,m} = (\underbrace{\{0\},\ldots,\{0\}}_{m-\text{times}},\{1\},\ldots,\{n\}).
$$

The collection of partial set supercompositions of degree *n* will be denoted by sC_n , and the subcollection of it formed by the ones of fermionic degree *m* will be denoted by $sC_{n,m}$.

For every $K = (K_1, \ldots, K_q) \in s\mathcal{C}_{n,m}$ and every pair of permutations $(\sigma, \delta) \in \mathfrak{S}_m \times \mathfrak{S}_n$, we define

$$
\sigma \triangleright K = (K_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, K_{\sigma(m)}, K_{m+1}, \ldots, K_q) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta \circ K = (K_1, \ldots, K_m, \delta(K_{m+1}), \ldots, \delta(K_q)).
$$

Observe that the operators \triangleright and \circ define group actions of \mathfrak{S}_n and \mathfrak{S}_m , respectively, on the collection of partial set supercompositions of bidegree (n, m) . Thus $\sigma \triangleright (\sigma' \triangleright K) = (\sigma \sigma') \triangleright K$ and $\delta \circ (\delta' \circ K) = (\delta \delta') \circ K$.

2.3 Coarsering and strongly coarsering

Here we stablish two partial orders on partial super set compositions.

Given $K, L \in \mathcal{SC}_{n,m}$, we say that *L* is *strongly coarser* than *K*, or that *K* is *strongly finer* than *L*, denoted by $K \sqsubseteq L$, if the following conditions hold:

(1) The *i*th fermionic block of *L* is the *i*th fermionic block of *K* joined with some possible nonfermionic blocks of *K*.

(2) Each nonfermionic block of *L* is a union of nonfermionic blocks of *K*.

In this case *K* is called a *strongly refinement* of *L*. The *strongly coarsering* relation ⊑ is a partial order on *s*C_{*n*,*m*} that gives to it a structure of complete meet–semilattice with operation \Box and infimum $\hat{0}_{n,m}$. See Figure [2.](#page-4-0) Observe that partial set supercomposition whose blocks are all fermionic are maximal with respect to ⊑.

Figure 2: Set superpartitions in the meet–semilattice of partial set supercompositions of bidegree (2, 2).

For $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, the *r-shift* of $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$ is the set $A[r]$ obtained by adding *r* to each nonzero element of A. The *r*-shift of a partial set supercomposition $K = (K_1, \ldots, K_q)$ is the collection $K[r] = (K_1[r], \ldots, K_q[r])$. For instance, if $K = (\{0\}, \{0, 3, 5\}, \{1, 2, 4\})$ then $K[2] = (\{0\}, \{0, 5, 7\}, \{3, 4, 6\})$.

The *standardization* of $K \in s\mathcal{C}_{n,m}$ is the set partition std(K) $\in \mathcal{P}_{n+m}$ obtained from $K[m]$ by renumbering the zeros from 1 to *m* with respect to the sorting of the blocks of *K*. This operator defines an injective order preserving map of $(sC_{n,m}, \subseteq)$ into $(\mathcal{P}_{n+m}, \preceq)$. Observe that $I \in \mathcal{P}_{n+m}$ belongs to std $(sC_{n,m})$ if and only if the elements of $[m]$ belong to different blocks of *I*. For instance $std({0, 1}, {0}, {2}) = ({1, 3}, {2}, {4}).$

Proposition 2.1 The image of $sC_{n,m}$ under the standardization map is a convex subposet of \mathcal{P}_{n+m} . In consequence, due to [\[28,](#page-28-19) Lemma, p. 359], for every partial set supercomposition $K = (K_1, \ldots, K_q)$, we have

$$
[\hat{0}_{n,m},K]\simeq \prod_{i=1}^q \mathcal{P}_{|K_i|}.
$$

Proof Let $K, L \in \mathcal{SC}_{n,m}$, and let $I \in \mathcal{P}_{n+m}$ such that std $(K) \preceq I \preceq \text{std}(L)$. Since *L* belongs to $\mathcal{SC}_{n,m}$ and $I \preceq$ std(*L*), then every pair of elements of [*m*] belong to different blocks of *I*. This implies that $I = \text{std}(N)$ for some $N \in s\mathcal{C}_{n,m}$. Therefore std($s\mathcal{C}_{n,m}$) is a convex subposet of \mathcal{P}_{n+m} . See Figure [1.](#page-2-0) \Box

For $K, L \in \mathcal{SC}_n$, we say that *L* is *coarser* than *K*, or that *K* is *finer* than *L*, denoted by $K \preceq L$, if each block of *L* is obtained by ⊕–operating some blocks of *K*. In this case *K* is called a *refinement* of *L*. Observe that as *L* contains no empty blocks, then it cannot be obtained by \oplus –operating more than one fermionic block of *K*. With this *coarsering relation*, the collection sC_n becomes a partially ordered set. Note that every partial set supercomposition whose blocks are all fermionic is maximal with respect to \preceq .

2.4 Set superpartitions

We say that $I = (I_1, \ldots, I_k) \in sC_n$ is a set superpartition if $I_i \neq I_j$ and $\min(I_i \setminus I_j) < \min(I_i \setminus I_j)$ for all $i, j \in [k]$ with $i < j$, where min(\emptyset) := 0. The collection of set superpartitions of degree *n* will be denoted by sP_n , and the subcollection of it formed by the ones of fermionic degree *m* will be denoted by $sP_{n,m}$.

Observe that every set superpartition that contains no the block $\{0\}$ is obtained by inserting, possibly, a zero in some blocks of a usual set partition. This implies that, in $s\mathcal{P}_n$, there are sb_n^* [\[21,](#page-28-18) A001861] of these set superpartitions. Note that sb_n^* is obtained by multiplying every addend of b_n by 2^k . Thus $|sP_n| = 2sb_n^* = : sb_n$.

We say that $I \in s\mathcal{P}_n$ is *convex* if each of its blocks is an interval, that is, for each $B \in I$ there are $a, b \in [n]$ such that, either $B = [a, b]$ or $B = [a, b]_0$. For instance, the following is convex of bidegree (7, 3):

$$
I = (\{0\}, \{0, 1, 2\}, \{0, 3\}, \{4, 5, 7\}, \{6\}).
$$

Observe that every $I \in s\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$ can be written by means \circ –action of a convex set superpartition *J*, that is, *I* = $\delta \circ J$. For instance, if *I* = ({0}, {0, 4}, {0, 6}, {1, 3, 5}, {2}), then

$$
I = \sigma \circ (\{0\}, \{0, 1\}, \{0, 2\}, \{3, 4, 5\}, \{6\}),
$$
 where $\sigma = 461352$.

For every partial set supercomposition K , we will denote by \bar{K} the unique set superpartition obtained from K by removing its possible repeated blocks and so sorting the fermionic blocks. For instance:

 $K = ({0, 3}, {0}, {0, 2}, {0}, {1, 5}, {4}) \rightarrow \overline{K} = ({0}, {0, 2}, {0, 3}, {1, 5}, {4}).$

We say that $K \in s\mathcal{C}_{n,m}$ is *nontrivial* if it has at most one block $\{0\}$, that is, there are $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ and $I \in s\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$ such that $K = \sigma \triangleright I$. See Figure [3.](#page-5-0)

Figure 3: Nontrivial elements in the meet–semilattice of partial set supercompositions of bidegree $(2, 2)$.

Observe that as nontrivial partial set supercompositions are obtained by resorting the fermionic blocks of set superpartitions, then $K \subseteq L$ implies that $\bar{K} \preceq \bar{L}$.

In what follows, for every $A \subseteq [n]_0$ and every collection $K = (K_1, \ldots, K_q)$ of subsets of $[n]_0$, we set

$$
K \backslash A = (K_1 \backslash A, \ldots, K_q \backslash A) \backslash \emptyset.
$$

Proposition 2.2 Given $K = (K_1, \ldots, K_h)$ and $L = (L_1, \ldots, L_k)$ in $s\mathcal{C}_{n,m}$, we have $K \sqcap L = (N_1, \ldots, N_q)$, where $N_i = K_i \cap L_i$ for all $i \in [m]$, and $(N_{m+1}, \ldots, N_q) = (K^+\setminus A) \wedge (L^+\setminus A)$ with $A = N_1 \cup \cdots \cup N_m$.

Proof By definition, we have $N \subseteq K$ and $N \subseteq L$, where $N = (N_1, \ldots, N_a)$ as on the statement. Thus $N \subseteq K \sqcap L$. Assume that $N \sqsubset K \sqcap L$, then $K \sqcap L$ contains a block $B = N_i \cup N_j$ for some $i, j \in [q]$ with $i < j$. Now, if N_i is fermionic, then $B \subset K_i$ and $B \subset L_i$, which is a contradiction because $N_i = K_i \cap L_i$. On the other hand, if N_i is not fermionic, then $B \cap A = \emptyset$ and $B \subseteq K_a \cap H_b$ for some $a, b \ge m$. This implies that B is contained in some block of $(K^+\setminus A) \wedge (L^+\setminus A)$, which also is a contradiction. Therefore $N = K \sqcap L$. \Box

3 Functions in superspace

In this section, we define the algebra of symmetric functions in noncommuting variables in superspace. We introduce monomial symmetric functions in superspace and show that these ones form a basis of it. Also, we give combinatorial formulas for the product of these functions.

Let $\mathbb{Q}^{\theta} \langle x \rangle$ be the \mathbb{Q} –algebra of formal power series of bounded degree presented by infinite generators x_1, x_2, \ldots and $\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots$, called *variables*, subject to the relations $x_i \theta_i = \theta_i x_i$ and $\theta_i \theta_i = -\theta_i \theta_i$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Every element of Q^θ ⟨⟨*x*⟩⟩ will be called a *function (in noncommuting variables) in superspace*. Generators in $x := (x_1, x_2, \ldots)$ will be called *x–variables* and generators in $\theta := (\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots)$ will be called *fermionic variables* or simply θ –*variables*. Observe that $\theta_i^2 = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Due to the relations that define $\mathbb{Q}^{\theta}\langle x \rangle$, every monomial occurring in a function in superspace can be uniquely written as a product $q\theta_{a_1}\cdots\theta_{a_r}x_{b_1}\cdots x_{b_s}$ up the relations that define $\mathbb{Q}^{\theta}\langle x \rangle$, where $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $a_i, b_j \in \mathbb{N}$. For every nonnegative integer *n* we will denote by $\mathbb{Q}_n^{\theta} \langle x \rangle$ the subspace formed by the functions in superspace whose monomials have exactly *n* occurrences of *x*–variables. Similarly, for every nonnegative integer *m* we denote by $\mathbb{Q}_{n,m}^{\theta}$ $\langle x \rangle$ the subspace of functions in \mathbb{Q}_n^{θ} $\langle x \rangle$ whose monomials have exactly *m* occurrences of θ -variables. Hence, we obtain the following decompositions:

$$
\mathbb{Q}^{\theta}\langle\!\langle x \rangle\!\rangle = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Q}_n^{\theta}\langle\!\langle x \rangle\!\rangle = \bigoplus_{n,m \geq 0} \mathbb{Q}_{n,m}^{\theta}\langle\!\langle x \rangle\!\rangle.
$$

We call *n* the *degree* and *m* the *fermionic degree* of the elements of $\mathbb{Q}_{n,m}^{\theta} \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle$.

3.1 Symmetric functions in superspace

For every $n \ge 1$ the group \mathfrak{S}_n acts linearly on $\mathbb{Q}^{\theta}\langle x \rangle$ via permutation of variables, that is, $s(x_i) = x_{s(i)}$ and $s(\theta_i) = \theta_{s(i)}$ for all $(s, i) \in \mathfrak{S}_n \times \mathbb{N}$, where $s(i) := i$ whenever $i > n$. An element of $\mathbb{Q}^{\theta} \langle x \rangle$ is called *symmetric* if it is invariant under the action of \mathfrak{S}_n for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We will denote by **sNCSym** the subalgebra of $\mathbb{Q}^{\theta}\langle x \rangle$ formed by the symmetric functions in superspace. Thus, we have

$$
sNCSym = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} sNCSym_n = \bigoplus_{n,m \ge 0} sNCSym_{n,m},\tag{3-1}
$$

where $\text{sNCSym}_n = \text{sNCSym} \cap \mathbb{Q}_n^{\theta} \langle x \rangle$ and $\text{sNCSym}_{n,m} = \text{sNCSym} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{n,m}^{\theta} \langle x \rangle$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Clearly, the action of the symmetric group on sNCSym keep the number of occurrences of variables in monomials, that is, $\sigma(sNCSym_{n,m}) \subseteq sNCSym_{n,m}$ for all permutation σ and all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

A monomial $q\theta_{a_1}\cdots\theta_{a_m}x_{b_1}\cdots x_{b_n}$ is said to be *null–symmetric* if $\{a_1,\ldots,a_m\}\setminus\{b_1,\ldots,b_n\}$ has more than one element. For instance, the monomial $\theta_1 \theta_2 x_2$ is non null–symmetric, however $\theta_1 \theta_3 x_2$ is null–symmetric.

Proposition 3.1 There are no symmetric functions in superspace involving null–symmetric monomials. In consequence, $\mathrm{sNCSym}_{n,m} = \{0\}$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $m > n + 1$. Thus,

$$
\mathrm{sNCSym}_n = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{n+1} \mathrm{sNCSym}_{n,m}.
$$

Proof Let *f* be a symmetric function in superspace, and let $u = q\theta_{a_1}\cdots\theta_{a_m}x_{b_1}\cdots x_{b_n}$ be a monomial occurring in it. If *u* is null–symmetric, there are $i, j \in [m]$ such that $a_i, a_j \notin \{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$. Consider σ be the transposition exchanging a_i with a_j . As *f* is symmetric, $\sigma(u) = -u$ also occurs in *f*. This holds for all null–symmetric monomial occurring in *f*. The equality $\text{sNCSym}_{n,m} = \{0\}$ when $m > n+1$ is a consequence of the fact that every monomial with *n x*–variables and $m > n + 1$ θ –variables is null–symmetric. \Box

In order to study the bases of **sNCSym**, we will consider other actions of the symmetric group on $\mathbb{Q}^{\theta}\langle x \rangle$ in which \mathfrak{S}_n acts independently on the *x*–variables and on the θ –variables. More specifically, given a monomial $u = q\theta_{a_1} \cdots \theta_{a_m} x_{b_1} \cdots x_{b_n}$ and permutations $(\sigma, \delta) \in \mathfrak{S}_m \times \mathfrak{S}_n$, we define

$$
\sigma \triangleright u = q\theta_{a_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots \theta_{a_{\sigma(m)}} x_{b_1} \cdots x_{b_n} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta \circ u = q\theta_{a_1} \cdots \theta_{a_m} x_{b_{\delta^{-1}(1)}} \cdots x_{b_{\delta^{-1}(n)}}. \tag{3-2}
$$

Observe that, as θ -variables anticommute, we have $\sigma \triangleright u = (-1)^{inv(\sigma)}u$.

3.2 Monomial functions in superspace

Here we introduce the basis of monomial functions in superspace.

Definition 3.2 The *monomial function* of a partial set supercomposition $K = (K_1, \ldots, K_q)$ of bidegree (n, m) is the function in superspace, defined as follows:

$$
m_K = \sum_{(a_1,\ldots,a_m,b_1,\ldots,b_n)} \theta_{a_1} \cdots \theta_{a_m} x_{b_1} \cdots x_{b_n},
$$

where

- (1) For each $i \in [m]$, $a_i = b_j$ if and only if $j \in K_i^+$.
- (2) For each $i, j \in [n]$, $b_i = b_j$ if and only if i, j belong to the same block of *K*.

We will denote by $M(K)$ the set of all monomials satisfying conditions [\(1\)](#page-7-0) and [\(2\)](#page-7-1) above.

For instance, if $K = (\{0, 2\}, \{0, 1, 3\}, \{4\})$ in *sC*_{4,2}, we have

$$
m_K = \theta_2 \theta_1 x_1 x_2 x_1 x_3 + \theta_3 \theta_1 x_1 x_3 x_1 x_2 + \theta_1 \theta_2 x_2 x_1 x_2 x_3 + \theta_3 \theta_2 x_2 x_3 x_2 x_1 + \theta_1 \theta_3 x_3 x_1 x_3 x_2 + \theta_2 \theta_3 x_3 x_2 x_3 x_1 + \cdots
$$

Proposition 3.3 For every partial set supercomposition K , the function m_K is symmetric. Moreover, for every monomial $u \in M(K)$, we have

$$
m_K = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}} \sigma(u).
$$

Proof It is a direct consequence of the fact that $\sigma(M(K)) = M(K)$ for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}$.

 \Box

Observe that if $K \in \mathcal{SC}_{n,m}$ contains at least two blocks $\{0\}$, then m_K involves only null–symmetric monomials, thus, by Proposition [3.1,](#page-7-2) $m_K = 0$. Now, recall that if *K* is nontrivial, then $K = \sigma \triangleright I$ for some $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ and $I \in s\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$. Thus, the monomial function m_K can be characterized by applying the \triangleright –action of σ , that is

$$
\sigma \triangleright m_I = \sum_{(a_1,\ldots,a_m,b_1,\ldots,b_n)} \theta_{a_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots \theta_{a_{\sigma(m)}} x_{b_1} \cdots x_{b_n} = m_{\sigma \triangleright I} = m_K.
$$

Proposition 3.4 We have

- (1) Every non null–symmetric monomial belongs to a unique $M(\sigma > I)$.
- (2) The set $\{m_{\sigma \triangleright I} \mid \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m, I \in s\mathcal{P}_{n,m}\}$ spans $\text{sNCSym}_{n,m}$.
- (3) For every $I \in s\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$ and $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m$, $m_{\sigma \triangleright I} = (-1)^{inv(\sigma)} m_I$.
- (4) The set $\{m_I \mid I \in s\mathcal{P}_{n,m}\}$ is a basis of $\mathrm{sNCSym}_{n,m}$.

Proof Let $u = \theta_{a_1} \cdots \theta_{a_m} x_{b_1} \cdots x_{b_n}$ be a non null–symmetric monomial, let I^+ be the unique set partition of [*n*] in which *i*, *j* belong to the same block if and only if $b_i = b_j$, and let *I* to be the unique set superpartition of [*n*] obtained by adding 0 to each block of *I* containing *i* such that $b_i \in \{a_1, \ldots, a_m\}$. As θ -variables are not necessarily sorted as the blocks of *I*, then there is a unique permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ such that $u \in M(\sigma \triangleright I)$.

For every $f \in sNCSym_{n,m}$, Proposition [3.1](#page-7-2) and Proposition [3.3](#page-7-3) imply that if *qu* occurs in *f* for some $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $u \in M(\sigma \triangleright I)$ with $(\sigma, I) \in \mathfrak{S}_m \times s\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$ as in [\(1\)](#page-8-0), then *qm_J* occurs in *f* as well. As it holds for every monomial occurring in *f* and the set $\mathfrak{S}_m \times \mathfrak{S}_{n,m}$ is finite, then *f* is a linear combination of monomial functions in $\{m_{\sigma \triangleright I} \mid \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m, I \in s\mathcal{P}_{n,m}\}$. Thus [\(2\)](#page-8-1) holds.

To show [\(3\)](#page-8-2), it is enough to note that, by Definition [3.2,](#page-7-4) m_I is obtained by permuting by σ^{-1} the θ -variables of every monomial of $M(\sigma \triangleright I)$, that is, $m_I = (-1)^{inv(\sigma)} m_{\sigma \triangleright I}$.

Finally, since $M(I) \cap M(J)$ is empty for all $I, J \in s\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$ with $I \neq J$, then $\{m_I \mid I \in s\mathcal{P}_{n,m}\}$ is linearly independent. So, as a consequence of [\(2\)](#page-8-1) and [\(3\)](#page-8-2), we get that it is a basis of $\mathrm{sNCSym}_{n,m}$. \Box

3.3 Product

Let $K = (K_1, \ldots, K_h)$ and $L = (L_1, \ldots, L_k)$ be partial set supercompositions. The *over product* of *K* with *L* is the partial set supercomposition $K/L = K \cup L[n]$, where . Observe that,

$$
\deg(K/L) = \deg(K) + \deg(L), \qquad \text{df}(K/L) = \text{df}(K) + \text{df}(L), \qquad \ell(K/L) = \ell(K) + \ell(L).
$$

For instance, below the over product of two set superpartitions:

 $({0}, {0, 4}, {1, 2, 3})/({0}, {0, 3}, {1, 2}) = ({0}, {0, 4}, {0}, {1, 2}, {1, 2, 3}, {5, 6}).$

We will denote by $I \sqcup J$ the collection of nontrivial partial set supercompositions obtained from I/J , possibly by ⊕–operating some pairs of blocks $I_i \oplus J_i[n]$ maintaining the order, of the fermionic blocks, inherited from *I*/*J*. Note that $K \supseteq I/J$ for all $K \in I \sqcup J$, and $I/J \in I \sqcup J$ if and only if $\{0\} \notin I \cap J$. For instance, if $I = (\{0\}, \{0, 3\}, \{1, 2\})$ and $J = (\{0, 2\}, \{1\})$, the collection $I \sqcup J$ is formed by the following elements.

> $({0}, {0}, 3), {0}, 5, {1}, 2, {4})$ $({0, 4}, {0, 3}, {0, 5}, {1, 2})$ $({0, 4}, {0, 3}, {0, 1, 2, 5})$ $({0}, {0}, 3, 4), {0}, 5, {1}, 2)$ $({0}, {0, 3}, {0, 1, 2, 5}, {4})$
({0}, {0, 3}, {0, 5}, {1, 2, 4}) $({0}, {0}, 3, {0}, 5, {1}, 2, 4)$ $({0, 1, 2, 5})$ $({0, 4}, {0, 3}, {0, 1, 2, 5})$ $({0}, {0}, 3, 4), {0}, 1, 2, 5)$

As it was shown in [\[5,](#page-27-3) Proposition 3.3] for NCSym. In superspace, the collection of monomial functions, indexed by set superpartitions, can be described as follows.

Proposition 3.5 For every pair of set superpartitions *I*, *J* , we have

$$
m_I m_J = \sum_{K \in I \sqcup J} m_K.
$$

Proof Let (n, m) and (p, q) be the bidegrees of *I* and *J* respectively. As *x*–variables commute with θ – variables, we have

$$
m_1m_J = \left(\sum_{u \in M(I)} \underbrace{\theta_{a_1} \cdots \theta_{a_m} x_{b_1} \cdots x_{b_n}}_{u}\right) \left(\sum_{v \in M(I)} \underbrace{\theta_{c_1} \cdots \theta_{c_q} x_{d_1} \cdots x_{d_p}}_{v}\right),
$$

$$
= \sum_{uv \in M(I)M(J)} \underbrace{\theta_{a_1} \cdots \theta_{a_m} \theta_{c_1} \cdots \theta_{c_q} x_{b_1} \cdots x_{b_n} x_{d_1} \cdots x_{d_p}}_{uv}.
$$

Observe that, in the sum above, the coefficient of $uv \in M(\mathcal{I})M(\mathcal{J})$ is zero, if and only if, either $a_i = c_j$ for some *i*, *j*, or there are *i*, *j* such that $a_i \neq b_k$ for all $k \in [n]$ and $c_i \neq d_k$ for all $k \in [p]$.

Assume that the coefficient of *uv* is nonzero, and let *K* be the partial set supercomposition such that $uv \in M(K)$. If $\{a_1,\ldots,a_m,b_1,\ldots,b_n\} \cap \{c_1,\ldots,c_q,d_1,\ldots,d_p\}$ is empty, then $K = I/J$. Otherwise, we have $a_i = d_i$ or $b_i = c_i$ or $b_i = d_i$ for some *i*, *j*. Then, the block of K containing *i* and $j + n$ is given by $A \cup B[n]$, where A is the block of *I* containing *i*, and *B* is the block of *J* containing *j*. As the coefficient of *uv* is nonzero, then *A*, *B* are not fermionic at once. Therefore $K \in I \sqcup J$.

Reciprocally, by definition each $K \in I \sqcup J$ maintains the order of the fermionic blocks of I/J . This implies that every $w \in M(K)$ can be written as a product $w = uv$, where $u \in M(I)$ and $v \in M(J)$. \Box

4 Other bases

In this section, we introduce power sum, elementary and complete homogeneous symmetric functions in superspace, which constitute bases of NCSym. Also, we give explicit relations between these bases.

4.1 Power sum functions in superspace

Here we introduce the basis of power sum functions in superspace.

Definition 4.1 The *power sum function* of a partial set supercomposition $K = (K_1, \ldots, K_q)$ of bidegree (n, m) is the function in superspace, defined as follows:

$$
p_K = \sum_{(a_1,\ldots,a_m,b_1,\ldots,b_n)} \theta_{a_1} \cdots \theta_{a_m} x_{b_1} \cdots x_{b_n},
$$

where

- (1) For each $i \in [m]$, $a_i = b_j$ if $j \in K_i^+$.
- (2) For each $i, j \in [n]$, $b_i = b_j$ if i, j belong to the same block of *K*.

We will denote by $P(K)$ the set of all monomials satisfying conditions [\(1\)](#page-10-0) and [\(2\)](#page-10-1) above.

For instance, for the set superpartition $K = (\{0, 2\}, \{0, 1, 3\}, \{4\})$ in $sC_{4,2}$, we have

$$
p_1 = \theta_2 \theta_1 x_1 x_2 x_1^2 + \theta_1 \theta_2 x_2 x_1 x_2 x_1 + \theta_2 \theta_1 x_1 x_2 x_1 x_2 + \theta_1 \theta_2 x_2 x_1 x_2^2 + \theta_3 \theta_2 x_2 x_3 x_2^2 + \theta_2 \theta_3 x_3 x_2 x_3 x_2 + \cdots
$$

Proposition 4.2 For every nontrivial partial set supercomposition *K* of bidegree (*n*, *m*), we have

$$
p_K = \sum_{L \supseteq K} m_L.
$$

Proof Let $K \in s\mathcal{C}_{n,m}$. We must show that $P(K)$ is the union of $M(L)$ such that $L \sqsupseteq K$.

Let $u = \theta_{a_1} \cdots \theta_{a_m} x_{b_1} \cdots x_{b_n} \in P(K)$. Since *K* has at most one trivial fermionic block, then *u* is non null– symmetric. So, by Proposition [3.4](#page-8-3)[\(1\)](#page-8-0), there is a unique nontrivial $L \in sC_{n,m}$ such that $u \in M(L)$. By Definition [4.1\(](#page-10-2)[1\)](#page-7-0) and Definition [3.2\(](#page-7-4)1), for each $i \in [m]$, we have $a_i = b_j$ for all $j \in K_i^+$. Thus $K_i \subseteq L_i$. On the other hand, Definition [4.1\(](#page-10-2)[2\)](#page-8-1) and Definition [3.2\(](#page-7-4)[2\)](#page-8-1) imply that each nonfermionic block of *K* is contained in one of *L*. Therefore $L ⊒ K$.

Conversely, let $L \in sC_{n,m}$ such that $L \sqsupseteq K$, and let $u = \theta_{a_1} \cdots \theta_{a_m} x_{b_1} \cdots x_{b_n} \in M(L)$. By definition, for each $i \in [m]$, we have $K_i \subseteq L_i$ and $a_i = b_j$ for all $j \in L_i^+$. Thus $a_i = b_j$ for all $j \in K_i^+$. Further, if b_i, b_j belong to the same block of *K* for some $i, j \in [n]$, then b_i, b_j belong to the same block of *L* because $L \sqsupseteq K$. These facts imply that *u* satisfies conditions [\(1\)](#page-10-0) and [\(2\)](#page-10-1) of Definition [4.1.](#page-10-2) Therefore $u \in P(K)$. \Box

Remark 4.3 As $I \leq J$ for some $I, J \in s\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$ implies that each fermionic block of *J* contains a unique fermionic block of *I*, we may consider $\sigma_{(I,J)}$ to be the permutation given by these contentions, that is, $I_i \subseteq J_{\sigma_{(I,J)}(i)}$ for all $i \in [m]$. The number of inversions of $\sigma_{(I,J)}$ will be denoted by $inv(I,J)$. For instance,

$$
I = (\{0\}, \{0,3\}, \{0,5\}, \{1\}, \{2,4\}), \quad J = (\{0,1,5\}, \{0,2,4\}, \{0,3\}), \quad \sigma_{(I,J)} = 231, \quad \text{inv}(I,J) = 2.
$$

Observe that $I \preceq J$ for some $I, J \in s\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$ do not imply that $I \sqsubseteq J$, however $\sigma \triangleright I \sqsubseteq J$ and $I \sqsubseteq \sigma^{-1} \triangleright J$, where $\sigma = \sigma_{(I,J)}$. Moreover if $I \sqsubseteq K \sqsubseteq \sigma^{-1} \triangleright J$ for some $K \in s\mathcal{C}_{n,m}$, then $\sigma \triangleright I \sqsubseteq \sigma \triangleright K \sqsubseteq J$. Reciprocally if $\sigma \triangleright I \sqsubseteq K \subseteq J$, then $I \sqsubseteq \sigma^{-1} \triangleright K \sqsubseteq \sigma^{-1} \triangleright J$. For instance, $I = (\{0\}, \{0, 1\}, \{2\})$ is not strongly finer than $J = (\{0, 1\}, \{0, 2\})$, see Figure [3,](#page-5-0) however, $21 \triangleright I \sqsubseteq J$ and $I \sqsubseteq 21 \triangleright J$.

Due to Proposition [4.2](#page-10-3) and Remark [4.3](#page-10-4) we obtain the following consequence.

Corollary 4.4 For every set superpartition *I* of bidegree (*n*, *m*), we have

$$
p_I = \sum_{J \succeq I} (-1)^{\text{inv}(I, J)} m_J.
$$

4.2 Elementary functions in superspace

Here we introduce the basis of elementary functions in superspace.

Definition 4.5 The *elementary function* of a partial set supercomposition $K = (K_1, \ldots, K_q)$ of bidegree (n, m) is the function in superspace, defined as follows:

$$
e_K = \sum_{(a_1,\ldots,a_m,b_1,\ldots,b_n)} \theta_{a_1} \cdots \theta_{a_m} x_{b_1} \cdots x_{b_n},
$$

where

(1) For each $i \in [m]$, $a_i \neq b_j$ if $j \in K_i^+$.

(2) For each $i, j \in [n]$, $b_i \neq b_j$ if b_i, b_j belong to the same block of *K*.

We will denote by $E(K)$ the set of all monomials satisfying conditions [\(1\)](#page-11-0) and [\(2\)](#page-11-1) above.

Proposition 4.6 For every $K = (K_1, \ldots, K_q) \in s\mathcal{C}_{n,m}$, we have

$$
e_K = \sum_{K \cap L = \hat{0}_{n,m}} m_L.
$$

Proof Let $L = (L_1, \ldots, L_r) \in s\mathcal{C}_{n,m}$ satisfying $K \cap L = \hat{0}_{n,m}$. Proposition [2.2](#page-5-1) implies that $K_i \cap L_i = \{0\}$ if $i \in [m]$ and $K^+ \wedge L^+ = \hat{0}$. Consider $u = \theta_{a_1} \cdots \theta_{a_m} x_{b_1} \cdots x_{b_n}$ be a monomial in $M(L)$. If $a_i = b_j$ for some *i* ∈ [*m*] and *j* ∈ K_i^+ , Definition [3.2](#page-7-4)[\(1\)](#page-7-0) implies that *j* ∈ *L_i*, which is impossible because $K_i \cap L_i = \{0\}$. Thus $a_i \neq b_j$. Now, if $b_i = b_j$ for some *i*, *j* belonging to a block of *K*, Definition [3.2](#page-7-4)[\(2\)](#page-7-1) implies that *i*, *j* belong to the same block of *L*, which contradicts the fact that $K^+ \wedge L^+ = \hat{0}$. Thus $b_i \neq b_j$. Therefore $u \in E(K)$.

Conversely, consider $u = \theta_{a_1} \cdots \theta_{a_m} x_{b_1} \cdots x_{b_n}$ be a monomial in $E(K)$. Since *K* has at most one trivial fermionic block, then *u* is non null–symmetric. So, by Proposition [3.4\(](#page-8-3)[1\)](#page-8-0), there is a unique $L \in s\mathcal{C}_{n,m}$ such that *u* ∈ *M*(*L*). Let *j* ∈ *K_i* ∩ *L_i* for some *i* ∈ [*m*]. If *j* > 0, Definition [3.2\(](#page-7-4)[1\)](#page-7-0) implies that $a_i = b_i$ which contradicts Definition [4.5\(](#page-11-2)[1\)](#page-11-0). Thus $j = 0$. Now, if *i*, *j* belong to the same block of $K^+ \wedge L^+$, Definition [3.2](#page-7-4)[\(2\)](#page-7-1) implies that $b_i = b_j$ which contradicts Definition [4.5](#page-11-2)[\(2\)](#page-11-1). Therefore $K^+ \wedge L^+ = \hat{0}$. \Box

As a consequence, by using Proposition [3.4\(](#page-8-3)[3\)](#page-8-2), we have the following characterization in terms of set superpartitions.

Corollary 4.7 For every set superpartition $I = (I_1, \ldots, I_k)$ with $df(I) = m$, we have $e_I = \sum$ (σ, J) $(-1)^{\text{inv}(\sigma)} m_J$

where $J = (J_1, \ldots, J_q)$ with $df(J) = m$ satisfying $I^+ \wedge J^+ = \hat{0}$ and $I_i \cap J_{\sigma(i)} = \{0\}$ for all $i \in [m]$.

For instance, for the set superpartition $I = (\{0\}, \{0, 2\}, \{1, 3\})$ in $s\mathcal{P}_{3,2}$, we have

$$
e_{I} = m_{(\{0,1,2\},\{0,3\})} - m_{(\{0\},\{0,1,2\},\{3\})} - m_{(\{0,1\},\{0,2,3\})} - m_{(\{0\},\{0,2,3\},\{1\})} - m_{(\{0\},\{0,2\},\{1\},\{3\})} - m_{(\{0,1\},\{0,2\},\{3\})} + m_{(\{0,2\},\{0,3\},\{1\})}.
$$

4.3 Complete homogeneous functions in superspace

Here we introduce the basis of complete homogeneous functions in superspace.

Definition 4.8 The *complete homogeneous function* of a partial set supercomposition $K = (K_1, \ldots, K_q)$ of bidegree (n, m) is the function in superspace, defined as follows:

$$
h_K = \sum_u c_u u
$$

where every *u* is a non null-symmetric monomial with $m \theta$ -variables and $n x$ -variables, and c_u is the number of ways to sort the elements of the blocks of *K* ⊓ *L* simultaneously, with *L* the unique partial set supercomposition of bidegree (n, m) satisfying $u \in M(L)$.

We will denote by $H(I)$ the set of all monomials satisfying the conditions of Definition [4.8.](#page-12-0)

For every $A = (A_1, \ldots, A_k)$, with A_1, \ldots, A_k subsets of $[n]_0$, we define $A! = |A_1|! \cdots |A_k|!$.

Proposition 4.9 For every partial set supercomposition *K*, we have

$$
h_K = \sum_L (K \sqcap L)! m_L,
$$

where *L* is a partial set supercomposition with the same bidegree of *K*.

Proof It is a direct consequence of fact that $c_u = (K \sqcap L)!$ and $c_u = c_v$ for all $u, v \in M(L)$. \Box

For $I, J \in s\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$ and $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m$, we set $I \wedge_{\sigma} J = I \cap (\sigma \triangleright J)$. By Proposition [2.2,](#page-5-1) we can describe $I \wedge_{\sigma} J$ as the tuple (N_1, \ldots, N_q) , where $N_i = I_i \cap J_{\sigma(i)}$ for all $i \in [m]$ and $(N_{m+1}, \ldots, N_q) = (I \setminus A) \wedge (J \setminus A)$ with *A* = *N*₁ ∪ · · · ∪ *N_m*. For instance, if *I* = ({0, 1, 3}, {0, 2}, {4, 5}) and *J* = ({0, 2, 4}, {0, 3}, {1}, {5}), then: $A = \{0, 2, 3\}, I\ A = (\{1\}, \{4, 5\}), J\ A = (\{1\}, \{4\}, \{5\}), I\ A_2 = (\{0, 3\}, \{0, 2\}, \{1\}, \{4\}, \{5\}).$

Thus, we obtain the following consequence.

Corollary 4.10 For every set superpartition $I \in s\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$, we have

$$
h_I = \sum_{(\sigma, J) \in \mathfrak{S}_m \times s\mathcal{P}_{n,m}} (I \wedge_{\sigma} J)! (-1)^{\mathrm{inv}(\sigma)} m_J.
$$

For instance, for the set superpartition $I = (\{0\}, \{0, 2\}, \{1, 3\})$ in $s\mathcal{P}_{3,2}$, we have

$$
h_I = 2m_{(\{0\},\{0,1,2,3\})} - m_{(\{0,1,2\},\{0,3\})} + 2m_{(\{0,1,3\},\{0,2\})} + m_{(\{0,1\},\{0,2,3\})} + m_{(\{0\},\{0,1,2\},\{3\})} - m_{(\{0\},\{0,2\},\{1\})} + 2m_{(\{0\},\{0,2\},\{1,3\})} + m_{(\{0\},\{0,2\},\{1\},\{3\})} + m_{(\{0,1\},\{0,2\},\{3\})} - m_{(\{0,2\},\{0,3\},\{1\})}.
$$

4.4 Relationship between bases

Here we show that the sets of power sum functions, elementary functions and homogeneous functions are indeed bases of the algebra sNCSym.

As it occurs with monomial functions, for each $(\sigma, I) \in \mathfrak{S}_m \times \mathfrak{sp}_{n,m}$, we have

$$
p_{\sigma \triangleright I} = (-1)^{\operatorname{inv}(\sigma)} p_I, \qquad e_{\sigma \triangleright I} = (-1)^{\operatorname{inv}(\sigma)} e_I, \qquad h_{\sigma \triangleright I} = (-1)^{\operatorname{inv}(\sigma)} h_I. \tag{4-1}
$$

We begin by writing monomial functions in terms of sums of power sum functions, elementary functions and homogeneous functions. For this, we will use the lattice structure of partial set supercompositions.

The *Möbius function* [\[30,](#page-28-20) Section 3.7] of $(sC_{n,m}, \subseteq)$ is the map $\mu : sC_{n,m} \times sC_{n,m} \to \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\mu(K, K) = 1$, and

$$
\mu(K, L) = -\sum_{K \subseteq N \subset L} \mu(K, N) \quad \text{if} \quad K \subset L.
$$

Observe that

$$
\sum_{K \subseteq N \subseteq L} \mu(K, N) = \delta_{K, L} \tag{4-2}
$$

A well known formula in terms of *chains* can be given for the Möbius function μ . Indeed, given two partial set supercompositions *K*, *L*, a *chain* joining *K* with *L* is a finite sequence of partial set supercompositions $F_1 \subset \cdots \subset F_q$ such that $F_1 = K$ and $F_q = L$. A chain as above will be denoted by $[F_1, \ldots, F_q]$. Thus,

$$
\mu(K, L) = \sum_{[F_1, \dots, F_q]} (-1)^{q-1},\tag{4-3}
$$

where the sum is taken over all chains joining *K* with *L*. For instance, if $K = \hat{0}_{2,2}$ and $L = (\{0\}, \{0, 1, 2\})$ as in Figure [3,](#page-5-0) there are three chains joining *K* with *L*, that is,

 $[K, L], \qquad [K, (\{0\}, \{0, 2\}, \{1\}), L], \qquad [K, (\{0\}, \{0\}, \{1, 2\}), L], \qquad [K, (\{0\}, \{0, 1\}, \{2\}), L].$

Thus $\mu(K, L) = (-1) + 3(-1)^2 = 2$.

Proposition [2.1](#page-4-1) and [\[28,](#page-28-19) Section 7, Proposition 3] imply that, for every $K = (K_1, \ldots, K_l) \in s\mathcal{C}_{n,m}$, we have the following formulas:

$$
\mu(\hat{0}_{n,m}, K) = \prod_{i=1}^{l} (-1)^{|K_i|-1} (|K_i| - 1)! \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{K \subseteq L} |\mu(\hat{0}_{n,m}, K)| = L! \tag{4-4}
$$

The *sign* $(-1)^K$ of *K* is defined as $\prod_{i=1}^{l}(-1)^{|K_i|-1}$. Observe that $(-1)^{K/L} = (-1)^K(-1)^L$, and

$$
\mu(\hat{0}_{n,m}, K) = (-1)^K |\mu(\hat{0}_{n,m}, K)|. \tag{4-5}
$$

The following proposition is a consequence of Equation $(4-4)$ and the inversion Möbius formula [[28,](#page-28-19) Section 3, Proposition 2, Proposition 3]. The proof is analogous to the one of [\[27,](#page-28-11) Theorem 3.3].

Proposition 4.11 For every set superpartition *I* of bidegree (*n*, *m*), we have

$$
m_I = \sum_{K \supseteq I} \mu(I, K)p_K, \qquad m_I = \sum_{L \supseteq I} \frac{\mu(I, L)}{\mu(\hat{0}_{n,m}, L)} \sum_{K \supseteq L} \mu(K, L)e_K, \qquad m_I = \sum_{L \supseteq I} \frac{\mu(I, L)}{|\mu(\hat{0}_{n,m}, L)|} \sum_{K \supseteq L} \mu(K, L)h_K,
$$

where *K*, *L* are partial set supercompositions of bidegree (*n*, *m*).

Theorem 4.12 The sets of power sum functions, elementary functions and complete homogeneous functions indexed by set superpartitions are bases of the algebra sNCSym.

Proof It is a consequence of Proposition [4.11,](#page-13-1) Equation [4–1](#page-13-2) and the fact the functions are null whenever they are indexed by trivial partial set supercompositions. \Box

Observe that, specifically, the formula for power sum functions in Proposition [4.11](#page-13-1) can be written as follows

$$
m_I = \sum_{I \leq J} \mu(I, J) (-1)^{\text{inv}(I, J)} p_J, \qquad I, J \in s\mathcal{P}_{n,m}.
$$

Example 4.13 By Figure [3,](#page-5-0) Equation [4–1,](#page-13-2) Equation [4–3](#page-13-3) and Equation [4–4,](#page-13-0) if $I = (\{0\}, \{0, 1\}, \{2\})$, Proposition [4.11](#page-13-1) implies the following

$$
m_I = p_{(\{0\},\{0,1\},\{2\})} - p_{(\{0\},\{0,1,2\})} + p_{(\{0,1\},\{0,2\})},
$$

\n
$$
m_I = \frac{1}{2}e_{(\{0\},\{0,1\},\{2\})} - \frac{1}{2}e_{(\{0\},\{0,2\},\{1\})} - \frac{1}{2}e_{(\{0\},\{0,1,2\})} + e_{(\{0,1\},\{0,2\})},
$$

\n
$$
m_I = \frac{5}{2}h_{(\{0\},\{0,1\},\{2\})} - \frac{1}{2}h_{(\{0\},\{0,2\},\{1\})} - \frac{1}{2}h_{(\{0\},\{0,1,2\})} + h_{(\{0,1\},\{0,2\})}.
$$

The following result is a consequence of Proposition [4.11](#page-13-1) and the inversion Möbius formula.

Proposition 4.14 For every set superpartition *I* of bidegree (*n*, *m*), we have:

$$
e_{I} = \sum_{K \subseteq I} \mu(0_{n,m}, K)p_{K},
$$
\n
$$
p_{I} = \frac{1}{\mu(0_{n,m}, I)} \sum_{K \subseteq I} \mu(K, I)e_{K},
$$
\n
$$
h_{I} = \sum_{K \subseteq I} |\mu(0_{n,m}, K)|p_{K},
$$
\n
$$
p_{I} = \frac{1}{|\mu(0_{n,m}, I)} \sum_{K \subseteq I} \mu(K, I)h_{K},
$$
\n
$$
e_{I} = \sum_{K \subseteq I} (-1)^{K} \sum_{L \subseteq K} \mu(L, K)h_{L},
$$
\n
$$
h_{I} = \sum_{K \subseteq I} (-1)^{K} \sum_{L \subseteq K} \mu(L, K)e_{L}.
$$

where *K* is a partial supercomposition.

As in the classic case, by using Proposition [4.14,](#page-14-0) we may define a linear map ω : sNCSym \rightarrow sNCSym satisfying $\omega(e_I) = h_I$ for all $I \in s\mathcal{P}_n$. Due to [\(4–1\)](#page-13-2), for every $K = \sigma \triangleright I$ with $(\sigma, I) \in \mathfrak{S}_m \times s\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$, we have

$$
\omega(e_K) = \omega(e_{\sigma \triangleright I}) = \omega((-1)^{\text{inv}(\sigma)} e_I) = (-1)^{\text{inv}(\sigma)} \omega(e_I) = (-1)^{\text{inv}(\sigma)} h_I = h_{\sigma \triangleright I} = h_K. \tag{4-6}
$$

By using Proposition [4.14](#page-14-0) and [\(4–6\)](#page-14-1), we have $\omega^2 = 1$ and $\omega(p_I) = (-1)^I p_I$.

As it was shown in [\[4,](#page-27-4) Lemma 4.1] and [\[7,](#page-27-9) Proposition 2.39 and Corollary 2.41] for NCSym. In superspace, the bases of power sum, elementary and complete homogeneous functions are multiplicative.

Proposition 4.15 For set superpartitions $I \in s\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$ and $J \in s\mathcal{P}_{p,q}$, we have

$$
p_1 p_1 = p_{1/J},
$$
 $e_1 e_1 = e_{1/J},$ $h_1 h_1 = h_{1/J}.$

Proof First, we show the multiplicativity of power sum functions. Let $A = \{H \mid H \in K \sqcup L, K \succeq I, L \succeq J\}$. By definition, $H \succeq I/J$ for all $H \in A$. Conversely, let $H = (H_1, \ldots, H_k) \succeq I/J$. Note that two different fermionic blocks of I/J cannot be contained in the same fermionic block of *H*. Then, for each $i \in [k]$, we define the following sets:

$$
K_i = \begin{cases} H_i \cap [n]_0 & \text{if } H_i \text{ contains a fermionic block of } I, \\ H_i \cap [n] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

$$
L_i = \begin{cases} H_i \cap [n+1, n+p]_0 & \text{if } H_i \text{ contains a fermionic block of } J[n], \\ H_i \cap [n+1, n+p] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Observe that $H_i = K_i \sqcup L_i$ for all $i \in [k]$. This implies that $H \in K \sqcup L$, where K and L are the set superpartitions obtained by removing the empty components from (K_1, \ldots, K_k) and (L_1, \ldots, L_k) respectively. Further $K \succeq I$ and $L \succeq J$. Thus, by applying Proposition [3.5,](#page-9-0) we obtain the following

$$
p_I p_J = \sum_{K \geq I} \sum_{L \geq J} m_K m_L = \sum_{K \geq I} \sum_{L \geq J} \left(\sum_{H \in K \sqcup L} m_H \right) = \sum_{H \in A} m_H = \sum_{H \geq I/J} m_H = p_{I/J}.
$$

To show the multiplicativity of elementary functions, we first observe that if $K \subseteq I$ and $L \subseteq J$, then *K*/*L* ⊆ *I*/*J*. Conversely, if *H* ⊆ *I*/*J*, we consider *K* ∈ *s*C_{*n*}</sub> obtained by removing the blocks of *H* contained in blocks of *J*[*n*], and $L \in sC_p$ obtained by $(-n)$ –shifting the collection produced by removing the blocks of *H* contained in blocks of *I*. Then $H = K/L$. Thus, by applying Proposition [4.14,](#page-14-0) and since the Möbius function is multiplicative, we obtain the following

$$
e_I e_J = \sum_{K \subseteq I} \sum_{L \subseteq J} \mu(0_{n,m}, K) \mu(0_{p,q}, L) p_{K/L} = \sum_{H \subseteq I/J} \mu(0_{n,m}/0_{p,q}, K/L) p_H = \sum_{H \subseteq I/J} \mu(0_{n+p,m+q}, H) p_H = e_{I/J}.
$$

Finally, to show the multiplicativity of complete homogeneous functions, we first note that ω is an algebra morphism. By regarding power sun functions, we have

$$
\omega(p_K p_L) = \omega(p_{K/L}) = (-1)^{K/L} p_{K/L} = (-1)^K p_K (-1)^L p_L = \omega(p_K) \omega(p_L).
$$

Therefore $h_I h_J = \omega(e_I) \omega(e_J) = \omega(e_I e_J) = \omega(e_{I/J}) = h_{I/J}$.

5 Commutative variables and inner product

In this section, we show formulas that project our bases in their commuting counterpart, and we prove that there is an isometry from sNCSym to sSym.

Let $\mathbb{Q}^{\theta}[x] = \mathbb{Q}^{\theta}\langle\!\langle x \rangle\!\rangle/I$, where *I* is the ideal of $\mathbb{Q}^{\theta}\langle\!\langle x \rangle\!\rangle$ generated by the elements $x_i x_j - x_j x_i$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote by ρ the associated natural projection $\mathbb{Q}^{\theta}\langle x \rangle \to \mathbb{Q}^{\theta}[x]$. The image of **sNCSym** under this projection is the algebra sSym of symmetric functions in commutative variables in superspace, introduced in [\[10\]](#page-27-6). The bases of this algebra are indexed by the so–called superpartitions.

 \Box

5.1 Symmetric functions in commutative variables in superspace

Recall that bases of the classic symmetric functions in commutative variables are indexed by partitions of numbers [\[23,](#page-28-0) [31\]](#page-28-1). A *partition* λ of *degree* $n =: deg(\lambda)$ is a decreasing sequence $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ of nonnegative integers, called *components*, such that $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_k = n$. In general, every finite sequence of nonnegative integers u can be converted into a partition sort (u) by sorting its components in decreasing order. The partition λ can also be denoted by $(1^{r_1}, \ldots, n^{r_n})$, where r_i is the *multiplicity* of *i*, that is, the number of times that *i* occurs in λ . We set $\lambda! = \lambda_1! \cdots \lambda_k!$ and $\lambda^! = r_1! \cdots r_n!$. For instance, if $\lambda = (5, 5, 5, 3, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1)$, then

$$
\lambda = (1^4, 3^3, 5^2), \qquad \lambda! = 5! \, 5! \, 5! \, 3! \, 3!, \qquad \lambda^! = 4! \, 3! \, 2!.
$$

As we mentioned above, the bases of sSym are indexed by superpartitions [\[14\]](#page-28-14). A *superpartition* Λ of degree *n* [\[10,](#page-27-6) Subsection 4.2.2] is an array $(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_m; \Lambda_{m+1}, \ldots, \Lambda_k)$ of nonnegative integers, called *components*, satisfying $\Lambda_1 + \cdots + \Lambda_k = n$, where $\Lambda^a := (\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_m)$ is a partition of different components and $\Lambda^s := (\Lambda_{m+1}, \ldots, \Lambda_k)$ is a usual partition. Components of Λ^a are called *fermionic components* of Λ , and the numbers m, k are called the *fermionic degree* and the *length* of Λ , respectively. Set $\Lambda! = \Lambda^a \Lambda^s!$. The degree, fermionic degree and length of Λ will be denoted as with set superpartitions, that is, deg(Λ), $df(\Lambda)$ and $\ell(\Lambda)$ respectively. The collection of superpartitions of degree *n* will be denoted by sPar_n, and the subcollection of elements with fermionic degree m will be denoted by $sPar_{n,m}$. As with usual partitions, every array of nonnegative integers $u = (u_1, \dots, u_m; u_{m+1}, \dots, u_k)$, with u_1, \dots, u_m all different, can be converted into a superpartition sort(*u*), where sort(*u*)^{*a*} = sort(*u*₁, . . . , *u*_{*m*}) and sort(*u*)^{*s*} = sort(*u*_{*m*+1}, . . . , *u*_{*k*}).

Some of the classical symmetric functions in superspace in commutating variables were defined in [\[14\]](#page-28-14). Indeed, given a superpartition $\Lambda = (\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_m; \Lambda_{m+1}, \ldots, \Lambda_n)$, we have the following

• *Monomial symmetric functions in superspace*:

$$
m_{\Lambda}(x,\theta)=\sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n}\theta_{\sigma(1)}\cdots\theta_{\sigma(m)}x_{\theta(1)}^{\Lambda_1}\cdots x_{\sigma(n)}^{\Lambda_n},
$$

where the sum consider no repeated terms.

• *Power–sum symmetric functions in superspace:* $p_\Lambda = \tilde{p}_{\Lambda_1} \cdots \tilde{p}_{\Lambda_m} p_{\Lambda_{m+1}} \cdots p_{\Lambda_n}$, where

$$
\tilde{p}_k = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i x_i^k \quad \text{and} \quad p_r = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^r, \quad \text{for} \quad k \ge 0, r \ge 1.
$$

• *Elementary symmetric functions in superspace:* $e_\Lambda = \tilde{e}_{\Lambda_1} \cdots \tilde{e}_{\Lambda_m} e_{\Lambda_{m+1}} \cdots e_{\Lambda_n}$, where

$$
\tilde{e}_k = m_{(0,1^k)}
$$
 and $e_r = m_{(\emptyset,1^r)}$, for $k \ge 0, r \ge 1$.

• *Complete homogeneous symmetric functions in superspace:* $h_\Lambda = \tilde{h}_{\Lambda_1} \dots \tilde{h}_{\Lambda_m} h_{\Lambda_{m+1}} \dots h_{\Lambda_n}$, where

$$
\tilde{h}_k = \sum_{|\Lambda|=k, \, df(\Lambda)=1} (\Lambda_1 + 1)m_{\Lambda} \quad \text{and} \quad h_r = \sum_{|\Lambda|=r, \, df(\Lambda)=0} m_{\Lambda}, \quad \text{for} \quad k \ge 0, r \ge 1.
$$

Observe that the bases of **Sym** are recovered whenever $\Lambda^a = \emptyset$.

For every set superpartition $I = (I_1, \ldots, I_k)$ of bidegree (n, m) , which has no fermionic blocks of the same size, we define the super partition:

$$
\Lambda(I) = \text{sort}(|I_1| - 1, \ldots, |I_m| - 1; |I_{m+1}|, \ldots, |I_k|).
$$

Given a superpartition Λ , we say that *I* is *of type* Λ if $\Lambda(I) = \Lambda$. We denote by $\varepsilon(I)$ the minimal number of transpositions needed to obtain $\Lambda(I)^a$ from $(|I_1| - 1, \ldots, |I_m| - 1)$. For instance, the set superpartitions $({0, 1, 7}, {0, 5}, {2}, {3, 4}, {6})$ and $({0, 2}, {0, 3, 5}, {1}, {4}, {6, 7})$ are of type $(2, 1, 2, 1, 1)$.

To determine the number of set superpartitions of type $\Lambda = (\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_m; \Lambda_{m+1}, \ldots, \Lambda_k)$, we set Λ^+ sort($\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_k$). Note that $\Lambda! = \Lambda^+!$.

Lemma 5.1 Let $\Lambda = (\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_m; \Lambda_{m+1}, \ldots, \Lambda_k)$ be a superpartition of degree *n*, and for each $i \in [k]$ denote by k_i the multiplicity of Λ_i in Λ^+ . Then $(\Lambda^+)^! = k_1 \cdots k_m (\Lambda^s)^!$.

Proof The result is a direct consequence of the fact that, for $i > m$, the multiplicity of Λ_i in Λ^s is either $k_i - 1$ whenever $\Lambda_i \in \Lambda^a$, or it is k_i otherwise. \Box

Recall that, for a partition λ of degree *n*, the number of set partitions of type λ is $\binom{n}{\lambda}$ $\binom{n}{\lambda} = \frac{n!}{\lambda! \lambda!}$. Below we generalize this result for superpartitions.

Lemma 5.2 Let $\Lambda = (\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_m; \Lambda_{m+1}, \ldots, \Lambda_k)$ be a superpartition of degree *n*, and for each $i \in [m]$ denote by k_i the multiplicity of Λ_i in Λ^+ . Then, the number of set superpartitions of type Λ is

$$
\binom{n}{\Lambda} := k_1 \cdots k_m \binom{n}{\Lambda^+} = \frac{n!}{\Lambda!(\Lambda^s)!}.
$$

Proof Observe that every set superpartition of type Λ can be obtained from a usual set partition of type Λ^+ by appending zeros to some of its blocks. Indeed, if *I* is a set superpartition of type Λ , then $J = I^+$ is a set partition of type Λ^+ . Reciprocally, if *J* is a set partition of type Λ^+ , then there are B_1, \ldots, B_m in $J \cup \{\emptyset\}$ such that $|B_i| = \Lambda_i$ for all $i \in [m]$. We get a set superpartition $I = K \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ of type Λ , where *K* is obtained from $J \cup \{\emptyset\}$ by replacing B_i by $B_i \cup \{0\}$. The proof follows from the fact that for each Λ_i with $i \in [m]$, there are k_i blocks $B_i \in J \cup \{\emptyset\}$ such that $|B_i| = \Lambda_i$ together with Lemma [5.1.](#page-17-0) \Box

Now, we will study the image of the bases of sNCSym under the projection ρ onto the algebra of commutative variables **sSym**. First, we prove that set superpartitions needed to describe ρ on the basis of monomial functions are the ones whose fermionic blocks have all different sizes.

Proposition 5.3 Let *I* be a set superpartition having at least two fermionic blocks B, B' such that $|B| = |B'|$. Then $\rho(m_I) = 0$.

Proof Let $k = \ell(I)$, and let $i, j \in [k]$ such that B, B' are the *i*th and *j*th blocks of *I* respectively. Consider $g \in \{m_I, p_I\}$, and let $r = \theta_{a_1}^{\epsilon_1} \cdots \theta_{a_k}^{\epsilon_k} x_{b_1} \cdots x_{b_n}$ be a monomial occurring in g. Then $r =$ $\epsilon \theta_{a_i} \theta_{a_j} \theta_{d_1} \cdots \theta_{d_r} x_{b_1} \cdots x_{b_n}$ for some $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$, where $r = df(I) - 2$. Since *g* is symmetric, the monomial $\sigma(r) = -\epsilon \theta_{a_i} \theta_{a_j} \theta_{d_1} \cdots \theta_{d_r} x_{\sigma(b_1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(b_n)}$ also occurs in g, where σ is the transposition exchanging a_i with a_j . Since $|B| = |B'|$, then $x_{\sigma(b_1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(b_n)} = x_{b_1} \cdots x_{b_n}$ in $\mathbb{Q}^{\theta}[x]$. Thus $\rho(\sigma(r)) = -\rho(r)$. As it holds for every monomial occurring in *g*, then $\rho(g) = 0$. \Box

Lemma 5.4 For every $K \in s\mathcal{C}_{n,m}$ and $\delta \in \mathfrak{S}_m$, we have $\rho(b_{\delta K}) = \rho(b_K)$, where *b* denotes any of the basis elements studied above.

Proof Since $\delta \circ b_K = b_{\delta \circ K}$, then, every monomial occurring in $b_{\delta \circ K}$ can be written as

$$
\delta \circ (\theta_{a_1} \cdots \theta_{a_m} x_{b_1} \cdots x_{b_n}) = \theta_{a_1} \cdots \theta_{a_m} x_{b_{\delta^{-1}(1)}} \cdots x_{b_{\delta^{-1}(n)}},
$$

where $\theta_{a_1} \cdots \theta_{a_m} x_{b_1} \cdots x_{b_n}$ is a monomial occurring in b_K . Now, since *x*–variables become commutative under the action of ρ , then $\rho(\theta_{a_1}\cdots\theta_{a_m}x_{b_{\delta^{-1}(1)}}\cdots x_{b_{\delta^{-1}(n)}})=\rho(\theta_{a_1}\cdots\theta_{a_m}x_{b_1}\cdots x_{b_n})$. Thus, $\rho(b_{\delta\circ K})=\rho(b_K)$. \Box

Proposition 5.5 For every set superpartition *I* with fermionic blocks of different sizes, we have

- (1) $\rho(m_I) = (-1)^{\varepsilon(I)} (\Lambda(I)^s)^! m_{\Lambda(I)}$.
- (2) $\rho(p_I) = (-1)^{\varepsilon(I)} p_{\Lambda(I)}.$
- (3) $\rho(e_I) = (-1)^{\varepsilon(I)} \Lambda(I)! e_{\Lambda(I)}.$
- (4) $\rho(h_I) = (-1)^{\varepsilon(I)} \Lambda(I)! h_{\Lambda(I)}$.

Furthermore, if *J* is a set superpartition with blocks of the same size, we have $\rho(b_J) = 0$, where *b* is any of the basis elements above.

Proof Due to Lemma [5.4,](#page-17-1) it is enough to take $I = (I_1, \ldots, I_k) \in s\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$ as a convex set superpartition.

To prove (1), consider $\Lambda(I)^s = (1^{k_1}, \ldots, n^{k_n})$. Since *I* is convex, every monomial $u \in M(I)$ can be written as $u = \theta_{a_1} \cdots \theta_{a_m} x_{a_1}^{|I_1| - 1} \cdots x_{a_m}^{|I_m| - 1} x_{b_{m+1}}^{|I_{m+1}|} \cdots x_{b_k}^{|I_k|}.$

Observe that for every permutation σ satisfying $\sigma(\{b_{m+1},\ldots,b_k\})=\{b_{m+1},\ldots,b_k\}$ with $|I_i|=|I_j|$ whenever $\sigma(b_i) = b_j$, and $\sigma(b) = b$ for all $b \notin \{b_{m+1}, \ldots, b_k\}$, we have

$$
\rho(\theta_{a_1}\cdots\theta_{a_m}x_{a_1}^{|I_1|-1}\cdots x_{a_m}^{|I_m|-1}x_{\sigma(b_{m+1})}^{|I_{m+1}|}\cdots x_{\sigma(b_k)}^{|I_k|})=\rho(u).
$$

Since, for each $i \in [n]$, there are k_i blocks of size i in (I_{m+1}, \ldots, I_k) , so there are $k_1! \cdots k_n! = (\Lambda(I)^s)^!$ such permutations. Finally, by sorting the θ -variables, we obtain $\rho(m_I) = (-1)^{\epsilon(I)} (\Lambda(I)^s)^l m_{\Lambda(I)}$.

To prove (2) to (4) we will use the fact that the bases are multiplicative (Proposition [4.15\)](#page-14-2).

Observe that $\rho(p_{[n]}) = p_n$ and $\rho(p_{[n]_0}) = \tilde{p}_n$, where $p_{[n]} = p_{\{[n]\}}$ and $p_{[n]_0} = p_{\{[n]_0\}}$. For $i \in [k]$, let $n_i = |I_i| - 1$ if $i \leq m$, and $n_i = |I_i|$ otherwise. Since *I* is convex and the basis is multiplicative, we have

$$
\rho(p_I) = \rho(p_{[n_1]_0} \cdots p_{[n_m]_0} p_{[n_{m+1}]} \cdots p_{[n_k]}) = \tilde{p}_{n_1} \cdots \tilde{p}_{n_m} p_{n_{m+1}} \cdots p_{n_k} = (-1)^{\varepsilon(I)} p_{\Lambda(I)}.
$$

The argument is similar for the remaining bases by observing that

$$
\rho(e_{[n]}) = n!e_n, \qquad \rho(e_{[n]_0}) = n! \tilde{e}_n, \qquad \rho(h_{[n]}) = n!h_n, \qquad \rho(h_{[n]_0}) = n! \tilde{h}_n.
$$

We may define a *lifting map* $\tilde{\rho}$: $\mathbf{sSym} \to \mathbf{sNCSym}$, which result to be a right inverse of ρ , as the linear map satisfying

$$
\tilde{\rho}(m_{\Lambda}) = \frac{\Lambda!}{n!} \sum_{\Lambda(I)=\Lambda} (-1)^{\varepsilon(I)} m_I.
$$
\n(5-1)

Proposition 5.6 The composition $\rho \circ \tilde{\rho}$ is the identity map on sSym.

Proof By [\(1\)](#page-18-0) of Proposition [5.5](#page-18-1) together with Lemma [5.1](#page-17-0) and Lemma [5.2,](#page-17-2) we have

$$
\rho(\tilde{\rho}(m_{\Lambda})) = \frac{\Lambda!}{n!} \sum_{\Lambda(I)=\Lambda} (-1)^{\varepsilon(I)} \rho(m_I) = \frac{\Lambda!}{n!} \sum_{\Lambda(I)=\Lambda} (\Lambda(I)^s)^! m_{\Lambda(I)} = \frac{\Lambda!}{n!} {n \choose \Lambda} (\Lambda(I)^s)^! m_{\Lambda} = m_{\Lambda}.
$$

Therefore $\rho \circ \tilde{\rho}$ is the identity map on **sSym**.

5.2 Inner product

It was shown in [\[14\]](#page-28-14) that **sSym** can be given with an inner product \langle , \rangle satisfying $\langle m_\Lambda, h_\Omega \rangle = (-1)^{\binom{m}{2}} \delta_{\Lambda, \Omega}$, where Λ , Ω are superpartitions, $m = df(\Lambda)$ and δ is the Dirac delta function.

Here we extend the inner product of NCSym [\[27\]](#page-28-11) to superspace and show that, with respect to this inner product, $\tilde{\rho}$ is an isometry from sNCSym to sSym.

Let \langle , \rangle be the bilinear form on sNCSym satisfying $\langle m_I, h_J \rangle = (-1)^{\binom{m}{2}} n! \delta_{I,J}$, where *I*, *J* are set superpartitions and (*n*, *m*) is the bidegree of *I*.

Lemma 5.7 For every $I, J \in s\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$ and $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m$, we have $(I \wedge_{\sigma} J)! = (J \wedge_{\sigma^{-1}} I)!$.

Proof Let $(K_1, \ldots, K_p) = I \wedge_{\sigma} J$, and let $(K'_1, \ldots, K'_q) = J \wedge_{\sigma^{-1}} I$. By definition, we have $K'_{\sigma(i)} =$ $J_{\sigma(i)} \cap I_{\sigma^{-1}(\sigma(i))} = J_{\sigma(i)} \cap I_i = I_i \cap J_{\sigma(i)} = K_i$. This implies that $K_1 \cup \cdots \cup K_m = K'_1 \cup \cdots \cup K'_m =: A$. Hence, $(K_{m+1},..., K_p) = (K'_{m+1},..., K'_q) = (I \setminus A) \wedge (J \setminus A)$ with $p = q$. Therefore $(I \wedge_{\sigma} J)! = (J \wedge_{\sigma^{-1}} I)!$. \Box

Proposition 5.8 Let *I*, *J* be two set superpartitions of bidegree (*n*, *m*). Then

$$
\langle h_I, h_J \rangle = n! \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} (I \wedge_{\sigma} J)! (-1)^{\mathrm{inv}(\sigma)}
$$

Furthermore, $\langle h_I, h_J \rangle = \langle h_J, h_I \rangle$.

Proof Due to Corollary [4.10,](#page-12-1) we have

$$
\langle h_I, h_J \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{(\sigma, K)} (I \wedge_{\sigma} K)! (-1)^{\operatorname{inv}(\sigma)} m_K, h_J \right\rangle = \sum_{(\sigma, K)} (I \wedge_{\sigma} K)! (-1)^{\operatorname{inv}(\sigma)} \langle m_K, h_J \rangle,
$$

where $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ and $J \in s\mathcal{P}_n$. Then, because of $\langle m_K, h_J \rangle = (-1)^{\binom{m}{2}} n! \delta_{K,J}$, we obtain the result

$$
\langle h_I, h_J \rangle = \sum_{(\sigma, K)} (I \wedge_{\sigma} K)! (-1)^{\text{inv}(\sigma)} (-1)^{\binom{m}{2}} n! \delta_{K, J} = (-1)^{\binom{m}{2}} n! \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} (I \wedge_{\sigma} K)! (-1)^{\text{inv}(\sigma)}.
$$

Lemma [5.7](#page-19-0) and the fact that $inv(\sigma)$ and $inv(\sigma^{-1})$ have the same parity imply that $\langle h_I, h_J \rangle = \langle h_J, h_I \rangle$. \Box

Proposition 5.9 Let *I*, *J* be two set superpartitions of bidegree (*n*, *m*). Then

$$
\langle p_I, p_J \rangle = \frac{(-1)^{\binom{m}{2}} n!}{|\mu(\hat{0}_{n,m}, I)|} \delta_{I,J}.
$$

Proof Due to Corollary [4.4](#page-11-3) and Proposition [4.14,](#page-14-0) we have

$$
p_I = \sum_{K \geq I} m_K
$$
, $p_J = \frac{1}{|\mu(\hat{0}_{n,m}, J)|} \sum_{L \leq J} \mu(L, J) h_L$,

where K, L are nontrivial partial set supercompositions of bidegree (n, m) . Then,

$$
\langle p_I, p_J \rangle = \frac{1}{|\mu(\hat{0}_{n,m}, J)|} \sum_{K \geq I} \sum_{L \preceq J} \mu(L, J) \langle m_K, h_L \rangle.
$$

Symmetric functions in noncommuting variables in superspace 21

Since *K*, *L* are nontrivial, then $K = (\sigma, \bar{K})$ and $L = (\tau, \bar{L})$ for some $\sigma, \tau \in \mathfrak{S}_m$. Thus

$$
\langle m_K, h_L \rangle = (-1)^{\text{inv}(\sigma) + \text{inv}(\tau)} \langle m_{\bar{K}}, h_{\bar{L}} \rangle.
$$

We will distinguish four cases. If $I \succ J$ as set superpartitions, then $\overline{L} \preceq J \prec I \preceq \overline{K}$. Thus $\langle m_K, h_L \rangle = 0$. Therefore $\langle p_I, p_J \rangle = 0$.

If *I*, *J* are not comparable as set superpartitions, either \bar{K} is not comparable with *J*, or $\bar{K} \succ J$, otherwise $I \le \overline{K} \le J$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $\mu(K, J)h_K$ is not an addend of p_J , which implies $\langle p_I, p_J \rangle = 0$.

Now, if $I \prec J$ as set superpartitions, Remark [4.3](#page-10-4) implies that $I \prec (\alpha^{-1}, J)$, as partial set supercomposition, for some $\alpha \in \mathfrak{S}_m$.

$$
\langle p_I, p_J \rangle = \frac{1}{|\mu(\hat{0}_{n,m}, J)|} \sum_{(\alpha, I) \preceq L \preceq J} \mu(L, J) \langle m_{(\alpha^{-1}, L)}, h_L \rangle,
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{|\mu(\hat{0}_{n,m}, J)|} \sum_{(\alpha, I) \preceq L \preceq J} (-1)^{\text{inv}(\alpha)} \mu(L, J) \langle m_L, h_L \rangle,
$$

$$
= \frac{(-1)^{\text{inv}(\alpha)}(-1)^{m} n!}{|\mu(\hat{0}_{n,m}, J)|} \sum_{(\alpha, I) \preceq L \preceq J} \mu(L, J) = 0.
$$

Therefore $\langle p_I, p_J \rangle =$ $(-1)^{\binom{m}{2}}n!$ $|\mu(\hat{0}_{n,m}, I)|$ $\delta_{I,J}$.

Lemma 5.10 For every $K, L \in s\mathcal{C}_{n,m}$ and $(\sigma, \tau) \in \mathfrak{S}_n \times \mathfrak{S}_m$, we have $(K \sqcap L)! = (\tau \triangleright \sigma(K) \sqcap \tau \triangleright \sigma(L))!$.

Proof Write $K = (K_1, \ldots, K_h)$ and $L = (L_1, \ldots, L_k)$. Let $A = (K_1 \cap L_1) \cup \cdots \cup (K_m \cap L_m)$, and let $(K^+\setminus A) \wedge (L^+\setminus A) = (N_{m+1}, \ldots, N_q)$, then $(\sigma(K)^+\setminus \sigma(A)) \wedge (\sigma(L)^+\setminus \sigma(A))) = (\sigma(N_{m+1}), \ldots, \sigma(N_q))$. Since σ, τ are bijections, then $|K_i \cap L_i| = |\sigma(K_{\tau(i)}) \cap \sigma(L_{\tau(i)})|$ for all $i \in [m]$, and $|\sigma(N_i)| = |N_i|$ for all $i \in [m+1, q]$. So, Proposition [2.2](#page-5-1) implies that $(K \sqcap L)! = (\tau \triangleright \sigma(K) \sqcap \tau \triangleright \sigma(L))!$. \Box

Proposition 5.11 The bilinear form \langle , \rangle is an inner product on sNCSym. Furthermore, with this inner product, the lifting map $\tilde{\rho}$ is an isometry from sNCSym to sSym.

Proof Proposition [5.8](#page-19-1) and Proposition [5.9](#page-19-2) imply that $\langle \cdot \rangle$ is an inner product. To show that $\tilde{\rho}$ is an isometry, for every $\Lambda \in \mathrm{sPar}_{n,m}$, we define

$$
H_{\Lambda} = \sum_{\Lambda(I)=\Lambda} (-1)^{\varepsilon(I)} h_I, \quad \text{where} \quad I \in s\mathcal{P}_{n,m}.
$$

By Proposition [5.5\(](#page-18-1)4) and Lemma [5.2,](#page-17-2) we have

$$
\rho(H_{\Lambda}) = \sum_{\Lambda(I)=\Lambda} (-1)^{\varepsilon(I)} \rho(h_I) = \sum_{\Lambda(I)=\Lambda} \Lambda(I)! h_{\Lambda(I)} = \sum_{\Lambda(I)=\Lambda} \Lambda! h_{\Lambda} = \binom{n}{\Lambda} \Lambda! h_{\Lambda} = \frac{n!}{(\Lambda^s)!} h_{\Lambda}.
$$
 (5-2)

On the other hand, Proposition [4.9](#page-12-2) implies that

$$
H_{\Lambda} = \sum_{\Lambda(I)=\Lambda} (-1)^{\varepsilon(I)} \sum_{K \in s\mathcal{C}_{n,m}} (I \sqcap K)! m_K = \sum_{K \in s\mathcal{C}_{n,m}} \underbrace{\sum_{\Lambda(I)=\Lambda} (-1)^{\varepsilon(I)} (I \sqcap K)!}_{\mathcal{C}_K} m_K = \sum_{K \in s\mathcal{C}_{n,m}} \mathcal{C}_K m_K.
$$

 \Box

By Lemma [5.10,](#page-20-0) we have $C_K = C_L$ for all $K, L \in sC_{n,m}$ with \overline{K} and \overline{L} of the same type. Hence,

$$
H_{\Lambda} = \sum_{\Omega \in \mathrm{SPar}_{n,m}} C_{\Omega} \tilde{\rho}(m_{\Omega}) = \tilde{\rho} \left(\sum_{\Omega \in \mathrm{SPar}_{n,m}} C_{\Omega} m_{\Omega} \right) = \tilde{\rho}(F), \text{ where } C_{\Omega} = \sum_{\Lambda(\overline{K}) = \Omega} C_{K}.
$$

By using [\(5–2\)](#page-20-1) together with Proposition [5.6,](#page-18-2) we get $F = \rho(\tilde{\rho}(F)) = \rho(H_\Lambda) = \frac{n!}{\Lambda s}$ $\frac{n!}{(\Lambda^s)!}h_\Lambda$. Thus

$$
\langle \tilde{\rho}(m_{\Omega}), \tilde{\rho}(h_{\Lambda}) \rangle = \left\langle \frac{\Omega!}{n!} \sum_{\Lambda(I)=\Omega} (-1)^{\varepsilon(I)} m_I, \frac{(\Lambda^s)^!}{n!} H_{\Lambda} \right\rangle,
$$

$$
= \left\langle \frac{\Omega!}{n!} \sum_{\Lambda(I)=\Omega} (-1)^{\varepsilon(I)} m_I, \frac{(\Lambda^s)^!}{n!} \sum_{\Lambda(J)=\Lambda} (-1)^{\varepsilon(J)} h_J \right\rangle,
$$

$$
= \frac{\Lambda! (\Lambda^s)^!}{(n!)^2} {n \choose \Lambda} (-1)^{m \choose 2} n! \delta_{\Omega,\Lambda},
$$

$$
= \left\langle m_{\Omega}, h_{\Lambda} \right\rangle.
$$

Therefore $\tilde{\rho}$ is an isometry.

6 Schur symmetric functions in superspace

In this section, we define Schur–type functions in noncommuting variables in superspace by means super semistandard Young tableaux. Also, we express these functions in terms of the monomial basis and show their projection on Schur–type functions in commutative variables in superspace.

Recall that partitions of integers can be represented by *Young tableaux*. Indeed, the *Young diagram* or simply the *diagram* of a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ is the array with *k* rows of left–justified boxes in which the *i*th row contains exactly λ_i boxes. See Figure [4.](#page-21-0)

Figure 4: Diagram of the partition $\lambda = (7, 4, 4, 2, 1)$.

A partition μ is said to be *contained* in a partition λ , denoted by $\mu \subseteq \lambda$, if $\ell(\mu) \leq \ell(\lambda)$ and $\mu_i \leq \lambda_i$ for all $i \in [\ell(\mu)]$. If $\mu \subseteq \lambda$, the *skew diagram* λ/μ is the diagram obtained by removing the boxes from the diagram of λ that belong to the diagram of μ . The skew diagram λ/μ is called an *horizontal r–strip* (resp. *vertical* $r\rightarrow$ *r-strip*) if deg(λ) – deg(μ) = *r* and each column (resp. row) has at most one box. See Figure [5.](#page-22-0)

 \Box

Figure 5: A horizontal 7–strip λ/μ , where $\lambda = (8, 7, 5, 4, 2, 1)$ and $\mu = (7, 5, 5, 3, 1)$.

In this section, we identify $\Lambda = (\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_m; \Lambda_{m+1}, \ldots, \Lambda_k) \in \text{span}_{n,m}$ with the pair $(\Lambda^{\oplus}, \Lambda^+)$, where $\Lambda^{\oplus} = \text{sort}(\Lambda_1 + 1, \ldots, \Lambda_m + 1, \Lambda_{m+1}, \ldots, \Lambda_k).$

Observe that the skew diagram $\Lambda^{\oplus}/\Lambda^+$ is simultaneously an horizontal *m*-strip and an vertical *m*-strip. The superpartition Λ can be represented either by the pair of diagrams of $(\Lambda^{\oplus}, \Lambda^+)$, or by the diagram of Λ^{\oplus} in which the boxes of $\Lambda^{\oplus}/\Lambda^+$ has been replaced by circles. These diagrams, accepting at most one round box at the end of each row, will be called *super Young diagram*. See Figure [6.](#page-22-1)

Figure 6: Diagrams representing $\Lambda = (3, 1, 2, 1)$ with $\Lambda^+ = (3, 2, 1, 1)$ and $\Lambda^{\oplus} = (4, 2, 2, 1)$.

Consider $\bar{N}_0 = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \ldots\}$. For every $\alpha \in N_0 \cup \bar{N}_0$, we set $|\alpha| = a \in N_0$, if either $\alpha = a$ or $\alpha = \bar{a}$.

Let Λ be a superpartition, and let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \bar{\mathbb{N}}_0$. A *super semistandard Young tableau* of *shape* Λ and *weight* $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r)$, is a sequence of superpartitions $(\Lambda_{(0)}, \ldots, \Lambda_{(r)})$ with $\Lambda_{(0)} = \emptyset$ and $\Lambda_{(r)} = \Lambda$, satisfying:

- (1) For each $i \in [n]$, $\Lambda_{(i)}^+ / \Lambda_{(i-1)}^+$ is a horizontal $|\alpha_i|$ -strip.
- (2) If $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\Lambda_{(i-1)}$ contains the *j*th circle in the *r*th row, then
	- (a) $df(\Lambda_{(i)}) = df(\Lambda_{(i-1)})$.
	- (b) $\Lambda_{(i)}$ contains the *j*th circle in the *r*th row whenever the *r*th row of $\Lambda_{(i)}^+ / \Lambda_{(i-1)}^+$ is empty.
	- (c) $\Lambda_{(i)}$ contains the *j*th circle in the $(r + 1)$ th row whenever the *r*th row of $\Lambda_{(i)}^+ / \Lambda_{(i-1)}^+$ is nonempty.
- (3) If $\alpha_i \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}_0$, then
	- (a) $df(\Lambda_{(i)}) = df(\Lambda_{(i-1)}) + 1$.
	- (b) $\Lambda_{(i)}$ contains a unique circle, say in the *c*th column, such that the *c*th column of $\Lambda_{(i)}^+ / \Lambda_{(i-1)}^+$ is empty and every other column to its left is nonemtpy.
	- (c) The diagram obtained from $\Lambda_{(i)}$ by removing the new circle above satisfies conditions in [\(2\)](#page-22-2).

See Figure [7](#page-22-3) for an instance.

Figure 7: A super semistandard Young tableau of shape $(1, \overline{3}, 1, \overline{3}, 1, 3)$.

Every super semistandard Young tableau $(\Lambda_{(0)}, \ldots, \Lambda_{(r)})$ of weight $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r)$ and shape Λ can be represented by filling with numbers the boxes and circles of Λ , such that for each $i \in [r]$, the boxes of $\Lambda^+_{(i)}/\Lambda^+_{(i-1)}$ in Λ are filled with the integer *i*, and if $\alpha_i \in \bar{N}_0$, the new circle of $\Lambda_{(i)}$ in Λ is filled with *i* as well. See Figure [8.](#page-23-0) Observe that if Λ is a usual partition, this representation coincides with the classic definition of semistandard Young tableaux [\[31\]](#page-28-1).

Figure 8: Super semistandard Young tableau in Figure [7](#page-22-3) represented by filling its boxes with numbers.

If *T* is a super Young diagram, we will denote its boxes by T_1^s, \ldots, T_n^s , where $i < j$ if and only if T_j^s is located either to the right or below T_i^s . The circles of *T* are denoted by T_1^a, \ldots, T_m^a , indexed from top to bottom. Given *t* either a box or a circle of *T*, we denote by $c(t)$ its label.

Definition 6.1 The *Schur function* of a superpartition $\Lambda \in \text{SPar}_{n,m}$ is the function in superspace, defined as follows:

$$
S_{\Lambda} = \sum_{T \in sSSYT(\Lambda)} \underbrace{\theta_{c(T_1^a)} \cdots \theta_{c(T_m^a)} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x_{c(T_{\sigma(1)}^s)} \cdots x_{c(T_{\sigma(n)}^s)}},
$$

$$
\underbrace{\theta_{x} \cdot \theta_{x} \cdot \theta_{x} \cdot \theta_{x} \cdot \theta_{x} \cdot \theta_{x} \cdot \theta_{x} \cdot \theta_{x}}_{[\theta_{x} \cdot \theta_{x} \cdot \theta_{x}]}.
$$

where $sSSYT(\Lambda)$ is the collection of super semistandard Young tableux of shape Λ .

For instance, for $\Lambda = (2, 1;)$ and $T = \frac{\sqrt{12}}{20}$ in *sSSYT(A)* with weight $(\overline{1}, \overline{2})$, we have

$$
[\theta x]^T = \theta_2 \theta_1 (x_1 x_2 x_2 + x_2 x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_2 x_1 + x_1 x_2 x_2 + x_2 x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_2 x_1).
$$

Observe that if $\Lambda \in \text{spr}_{n,m}$ and $T \in \text{ssSYT}(\Lambda)$ with weight $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r)$, then there is $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ such that

$$
x_{\alpha(T^s_{\sigma(1)})}\cdots x_{\alpha(T^s_{\sigma(n)})}=x_1^{|\alpha_1|}\cdots x_r^{|\alpha_r|}=x^r
$$

Thus,

$$
[\theta x]^T = \theta^T \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \sigma^{-1} \circ x^T, \quad \text{where} \quad \theta^T = \theta_{\alpha(T_1^a)} \cdots \theta_{\alpha(T_m^a)}.
$$
 (6-1)

.

Lemma 6.2 For every superpartition Λ, there is a bijection between the subcollection of *sSSYT*(Λ) with weight $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r)$ and the subcollection of *sSSYT*(Λ) with weight $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_{i+1}, \alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}, \ldots, \alpha_r)$.

Proof Let *g* be the classic bijection between semistandard Young tableaux of shape λ and weight (a_1, \ldots, a_r) and semistandard Young tableaux of shape λ and weight $(a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_r)$ [\[31,](#page-28-1) Theorem 7.10.2].

Now, let *G* be the map sending a super semistandard Young tableau $(\Lambda_{(0)}, \ldots, \Lambda_{(r)})$ of shape Λ and weight $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r)$ to the super semistandard Young tableau $(\Lambda_{(0)}, \ldots, \Lambda_{(i-1)}, \Omega, \Lambda_{(i+1)}, \ldots, \Lambda_{(r)})$ of shape

 $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_i, \alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}, \ldots, \alpha_r)$, where $g(\Lambda_{(0)}^+, \ldots, \Lambda_{(r)}^+) = (\Lambda_{(0)}^+, \ldots, \Lambda_{(i-1)}^+, \Omega^+, \Lambda_{(i+1)}^+, \ldots, \Lambda_{(r)}^+)$, such that the number of new circles from $\Lambda_{(i-1)}$ to Ω is the same as the one from $\Lambda_{(i)}$ to $\Lambda_{(i+1)}$, and similarly the number of new circles from Ω to $\Lambda_{(i+1)}$ is the same as the one from $\Lambda_{(i-1)}$ to $\Lambda_{(i)}$. As *g* is a bijection and new circles are uniquely determined, then *G* is a bijection as well. \Box

Lemma 6.3 For every monomial *u* in *x*-variables, we have $s_i(\sigma^{-1} \circ u) = \sigma^{-1} \circ (s_i(u))$, where $s_i = (i \ i+1)$, $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and \circ is the action defined in [\(3–2\)](#page-7-5).

Proof Let $u = x_{b_1} \cdots x_{b_n}$. It is enough to take $\sigma = (j \, j + 1)$. Then:

$$
s_i(\sigma^{-1} \circ u) = s_i(x_{b_1} \cdots x_{b_{j-1}} x_{b_{j+1}} x_{b_j} x_{b_{j+2}} \cdots x_{b_n}),
$$

\n
$$
= x_{s_i(b_1)} \cdots x_{s_i(b_{j-1})} x_{s_i(b_{j+1})} x_{s_i(b_j)} x_{s_i(b_{j+2})} \cdots x_{s_i(b_n)},
$$

\n
$$
= \sigma^{-1} \circ (x_{s_i(b_1)} \cdots x_{s_i(b_n)}),
$$

\n
$$
= \sigma^{-1} \circ s_i(u).
$$

 \Box

Theorem 6.4 For every $\Lambda \in \text{SPar}_{n,m}$, the Schur function S_{Λ} is symmetric.

Proof We need to show that for every $T \in sSSYT(\Lambda)$ there is $T' \in sSSYT(\Lambda)$ such that $s_i([\theta x]^T) = [\theta x]^T$ with $s_i = (i \ i + 1)$ for all *i*. Lemma [6.2](#page-23-1) implies that there are $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $T' \in sSSYT(\Lambda)$, such that $s_i(\theta^T) = \theta^{T'}$ and $s_i(x^T) = \tau^{-1} \circ x^{T'}$. Thus, by applying Lemma [6.3,](#page-24-0) we have

$$
s_i([\theta x]^T) = s_i(\theta^T) \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} s_i(\sigma^{-1} \circ x^T) = \theta^{T'} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \sigma^{-1} \circ s_i(x^T) = \theta^{T'} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \sigma^{-1} \circ (\tau^{-1} \circ x^{T'}) = [\theta x]^{T'}.
$$

Given a super semistandard Young *T* with *m* circles, we denote by inv(*T*), the number of inversions of the word $c(T_1^a) \cdots c(T_m^a)$. As defined in [\[22\]](#page-28-15), for $\Lambda, \Omega \in \text{span}_{n,m}$ with $\Omega = (\Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_m; \Omega_{m+1}, \ldots, \Omega_k)$, we set

$$
K_{\Lambda,\Omega} = \sum_{T} (-1)^{\text{inv}(T)},\tag{6-2}
$$

where *T* is a super semistandard Young tableau of shape Λ and weight $(\bar{\Omega}_1,\ldots,\bar{\Omega}_m,\Omega_{m+1},\ldots,\Omega_k)$.

Proposition 6.5 For every superpartition $\Lambda \in \text{SPar}_{n,m}$, we have

$$
S_{\Lambda} = \sum_{\Omega} K_{\Lambda, \Omega} \Omega! \sum_{\Lambda(I) = \Omega} (-1)^{\varepsilon(I)} m_I.
$$

Proof By Proposition [3.4\(](#page-8-3)[4\)](#page-8-4) and Theorem [6.4,](#page-24-1) there are scalars *c^I* such that

$$
S_{\Lambda}=\sum_{I\in s\mathcal{P}_{n,m}}c_{I}m_{I}.
$$

Let $u \in M(I)$ for some $I \in s\mathcal{P}_{n,m}$. Since *u* occurs in $[\theta x]^T$ for some $T \in sSST(\Lambda)$, then

$$
u=\theta_{c(T_1^a)}\cdots\theta_{c(T_m^a)}x_{c(T_{\sigma(1)}^s)}\cdots x_{c(T_{\sigma(n)}^s)},\qquad \sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n.
$$

 \Box

Observe that c_I is the coefficient of *u* in S_Λ , that is, $c_I = d_I \Lambda(I)!$, where d_I is the number of super semistandard Young tableaux *T* such that, for each *i*, the *i*th part of $\Lambda(I)$ is the number of *i*'s in the boxes of *T*, and $\Lambda(I)$! is the number of permutations fixing the set $\{j \mid c(T_j^s) = i\}$. Thus,

$$
S_{\Lambda} = \sum_{I} \Lambda(I)! K_{\Lambda, \Lambda(I)}(-1)^{\varepsilon(I)} m_I = \sum_{\Omega} K_{\Lambda, \Omega} \Omega! \sum_{\Lambda(I) = \Omega} (-1)^{\varepsilon(I)} m_I.
$$

For instance, $\frac{1}{2}$ is the unique super semistandard Young tableau of shape (2, 1;) and type ($\overline{2}$, $\overline{1}$), thus

$$
S_{(2,1;}=2\left(m_{\{0,1,2\},\{0,3\}}+m_{\{0,1,3\},\{0,2\}}-m_{\{0,1\},\{0,2,3\}}\right).
$$

A remarkable generalization of classic Schur functions are the so–called *Macdonald polynomials* [\[23,](#page-28-0) Chapter VI], which are symmetric functions with coefficients given by rational functions in parameters *q* and *t*. As shown in [\[23,](#page-28-0) Chapter VI], Schur functions can be obtained as a special case of Macdonald polynomials when setting $q = t$. In superspace, Macdonald polynomials involving parameters q and t were defined in [\[8\]](#page-27-10), and so *Schur–type functions in commutative variables in superspace* can be gotten as special limits on these parameters. More precisely, we are interested in two Schur–type functions *s*_Λ and *s*_Λ obtained by setting $q = t = 0$ and $q = t = \infty$, respectively. Combinatorial formulas for s_Λ and \bar{s}_Λ were given in [\[22\]](#page-28-15). Indeed, for a superpartition Λ , we have:

$$
s_{\Lambda} = \sum_{\Omega} K_{\Lambda, \Omega} m_{\Omega}, \qquad \bar{s}_{\Lambda} = \sum_{\Omega} \bar{K}_{\Lambda, \Omega} m_{\Omega},
$$

where $K_{\Lambda,\Omega}$ is defined in [\(6–2\)](#page-24-2), and $\bar{K}_{\Lambda,\Omega}$ is an integer number related to another kind of super semistandard Young tableaux which will be defined below.

Let Λ be a superpartition, and let $\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r\in\mathbb{N}_0\cup\bar{\mathbb{N}}_0$. A *super semistandard Young tableau of the second kind* of *shape* Λ and *weight* $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r)$, is a sequence of superpartitions $(\Lambda_{(0)}, \ldots, \Lambda_{(r)})$ with $\Lambda_{(0)} = \emptyset$ and $\Lambda(r) = \Lambda$, satisfying:

- (1) If $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\Lambda_{(i-1)}$ contains the *j*th circle in the *r*th row, then
	- (a) $df(\Lambda_{(i)}) = df(\Lambda_{(i-1)}).$
	- (b) $\Lambda_{(i)}^+ / \Lambda_{(i-1)}^+$ is a horizontal α_i -strip.
	- (c) $\Lambda_{(i)}$ contains the *j*th circle in the *r*th row whenever the *r*th row of $\Lambda_{(i)}^+ / \Lambda_{(i-1)}^+$ is empty.
	- (d) $\Lambda_{(i)}$ contains the *j*th circle either in the *r*th row or in the $(r + 1)$ *th* row whenever the *r*th row of $\Lambda_{(i)}^{+}/\Lambda_{(i-1)}^{+}$ is nonempty.

(2) If
$$
\alpha_i \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}_0
$$
, then

- (a) $df(\Lambda_{(i)}) = df(\Lambda_{(i-1)}) + 1$.
- (b) $\Lambda_{(i)}^{\oplus}/\Lambda_{(i-1)}^{\oplus}$ is a horizontal $(|\alpha_i| + 1)$ -strip.
- (c) The rightmost box of $\Lambda^{\oplus}_{(i)}/\Lambda^{\oplus}_{(i-1)}$, say the new circle, also belongs to $\Lambda^{\oplus}_{(i)}/\Lambda^{\oplus}_{(i)}$.
- (d) The diagram obtained from $\Lambda_{(i)}$ by removing the new circle above satisfies conditions in [\(1\)](#page-25-0).

Symmetric functions in noncommuting variables in superspace 27

See Figure [9](#page-26-0) for an instance.

Figure 9: A super semistandard Young tableau of the second kind of shape $(2, 0; 3)$ and type $(\overline{2}, \overline{0}, 2, 1)$.

As with super semistandard Young tableaux, every super semistandard Young tableau of the second kind can be represented, in the same manner, by filling with numbers the boxes and circles of its Young diagram.

Definition 6.6 The *Schur function of the second kind* of a superpartition $\Lambda \in \text{SPar}_{n,m}$ is the function in superspace, defined as follows:

$$
\bar{S}_{\Lambda} = \sum_{T \in \bar{s}SSYT(\Lambda)} \theta_{c(T_1^a)} \cdots \theta_{c(T_m^a)} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x_{c(T_{\sigma(1)}^s)} \cdots x_{c(T_{\sigma(n)}^s)},
$$

where $\overline{s}SSYT(\Lambda)$ is the collection of super semistandard Young tableux of the second kind of shape Λ .

The following proposition is analogous to Theorem [6.4](#page-24-1) and Proposition [6.5.](#page-24-3)

Proposition 6.7 For every $\Lambda \in \text{SPar}_{n,m}$, the Schur function of the second kind \bar{S}_{Λ} is symmetric, and

$$
\bar{S}_{\Lambda} = \sum_{\Omega} \bar{K}_{\Lambda,\Omega} \Omega! \sum_{\Lambda(I) = \Omega} (-1)^{\varepsilon(I)} m_I.
$$

Proposition 6.8 For every superpartitions $\Lambda, \Omega \in \text{SPar}_{n,m}$, we have

$$
\rho(S_{\Lambda}) = n!s_{\Lambda}, \qquad \tilde{\rho}(n!s_{\Lambda}) = S_{\Lambda}, \qquad \langle S_{\Lambda}, S_{\Omega} \rangle = (-1)^{{m \choose 2}} n!^{2} \delta_{\Lambda, \Omega}, \n\rho(\bar{S}_{\Lambda}) = n! \bar{s}_{\Lambda}, \qquad \tilde{\rho}(n! \bar{s}_{\Lambda}) = \bar{S}_{\Lambda}, \qquad \langle \bar{S}_{\Lambda}, \bar{S}_{\Omega} \rangle = (-1)^{{m \choose 2}} n!^{2} \delta_{\Lambda, \Omega}.
$$

Proof By Proposition [6.5](#page-24-3) and the definition of $\tilde{\rho}$ in [\(5–1\)](#page-18-3), we have

$$
S_{\Lambda} = \sum_{\Omega \preceq \Lambda} K_{\Lambda,\Omega} \Omega! \sum_{\Lambda(I)=\Omega} (-1)^{\sigma(I)} m_I = \sum_{\Omega \preceq \Lambda} K_{\Lambda,\Omega} \Omega! \tilde{\rho}(m_{\Omega}) \frac{n!}{\Omega!} = n! \sum_{\Omega \preceq \Lambda} K_{\Lambda,\Omega} \tilde{\rho}(m_{\Omega}).
$$

Now, by applying Proposition [5.6,](#page-18-2) we obtain

$$
\rho(S_{\Lambda}) = n! \sum_{\Omega \preceq \Lambda} K_{\Lambda,\Omega} m_{\Omega} = n! s_{\Lambda}, \qquad \tilde{\rho}(n! s_{\Lambda}) = \sum_{\Omega \preceq \Lambda} n! K_{\Lambda,\Omega} \, \tilde{\rho}(m_{\Omega}) = \sum_{\Omega \preceq \Lambda} K_{\Lambda,\Omega} \Omega! \sum_{\Lambda(I) = \Omega} (-1)^{\varepsilon(I)} m_I = S_{\Lambda}.
$$

On the other hand, by applying Proposition [5.11,](#page-20-2) we have

$$
\langle S_{\Lambda}, S_{\Omega} \rangle = \langle \tilde{\rho}(n!s_{\Lambda}), \tilde{\rho}(n!s_{\Omega}) \rangle = n!^2 \langle s_{\Lambda}, s_{\Omega} \rangle = n!^2(-1)^{\binom{m}{2}} \delta_{\Lambda, \Omega}.
$$

The rest of the equalities are proved in the same manner.

Observe that ${S_A}_\Lambda$ and ${\bar{S_A}}_\Lambda$ are not bases for **sNCSym**. Indeed, bases of **sNCSym** are indexed by set superpartitions, however there is no a bijection between superpartitions and set superpartitions. In the nonsuperspace case, a first definition of Schur functions was given in [\[27\]](#page-28-11) by using classic semistandard Young tableaux, nevertheless these ones do not constitute a basis of NCSym either. A basis of Schur–type functions for NCSym was given in [\[2\]](#page-27-0) by using a noncommuting version of the Jacobi–Trudi determinant. They also proved that Schur functions in [\[27\]](#page-28-11) are sums of them. In superspace, even in the commutative case, there is no a version of the Jacobi–Trudi determinant. Thus, the Jacobi–Trudi identity for Schur functions is still an open problem, as does the case for our Schur–type functions.

Acknowledgements

The first author was supported by the grant DIDULS PR232146.

References

- [1] M. Aguiar, C. Andre, C. Benedetti, N. Bergeron, Z. Chen, P. Diaconis, A. Hendrickson, S. Hsiao, M. Isaacs, ´ A. Jedwab, K. Johnson, G. Karaali, A. Lauve, T. Le, S. Lewis, H. Li, K. Magaard, E. Marberg, J.-C. Novelli, A. Pang, F. Saliola, L. Tevlin, J.-Y. Thibon, N. Thiem, V. Venkateswaran, R. Vinroot, N. Yan, and M. Zabrocki. Supercharacters, symmetric functions in noncommuting variables, and related Hopf algebras. *Adv Math*, 229(4):2310–2337, 3 2012.
- [2] F. Aliniaeifard, S. Xiao, and S. van Willigenburg. Schur functions in noncommuting variables. *Adv Math*, 406:108536, 9 2022.
- [3] D. Arcis, C. González, and S. Márquez. On the Hopf algebra of noncommutative symmetric functions in superspace. Preprint, 2022.
- [4] N. Bergeron, C. Hohlweg, M. Rosas, and M. Zabrocki. Grothendieck bialgebras, partition lattices, and symmetric functions in noncommutative variables. *Electron J Comb*, 13:R75, 8 2006.
- [5] N. Bergeron, C. Reutenauer, M. Rosas, and M. Zabrocki. Invariants and coinvariants of the symmetric group in noncommuting variables. *Canadian J Math*, 60(2):266–296, 4 2008.
- [6] N. Bergeron and M. Zabrocki. The Hopf algebras of symmetric functions and quasi-symmetric functions in non-commutative variables are free and co-free. *J Algebra Appl*, 8(4):581–600, 8 2009.
- [7] A Bergeron-Brlek. *Words And Noncommutative Invariants of Finite Groups*. PhD thesis, York University, Toronto, 6 2010.
- [8] O. Blondeau-Fournier, P. Desrosiers, L. Lapointe, and P. Mathieu. Macdonald polynomials in superspace as eigenfunctions of commuting operators. *J Comb*, 3(3):495–561, 2 2012.
- [9] M. Can and B. Sagan. Partitions, rooks, and symmetric functions in noncommuting variables. *Electron J Comb*, 18(2):P3, 1 2011. The Zeilberger Festschrift volume.
- [10] P. Desrosiers, L. Lapointe, and P. Mathieu. Supersymmetric Calogero–Moser–Sutherland models and Jack superpolynomials. *Nucl Phys B*, 606(3):547–582, 7 2001.
- [11] P. Desrosiers, L. Lapointe, and P. Mathieu. Jack polynomials in superspace. *Commun Math Phys*, 242(1-2):331– 360, 9 2003.
- [12] P. Desrosiers, L. Lapointe, and P. Mathieu. Jack superpolynomials: physical and combinatorial definitions. *Czech J Phys*, 54(11):1223–1228, 11 2004.
- [13] P. Desrosiers, L. Lapointe, and P. Mathieu. Supersymmetric Calogero-Moser-Sutherland models: superintegrability structure and eigenfunctions. In P. Tempesta, P. Winternitz, J. Harnad, W. Miller, G. Pogosyan, and M. Rodr´ıguez, editors, *Superintegrability in Classical and Quantum Systems*, volume 37 of *CRM Proceedings & Lecture Notes*, 11 2004. Proceedings of the Workshop on Superintegrability in Classical and Quantum Systems, September 16–22, Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, Université de Montreal, Canada.
- [14] P. Desrosiers, L. Lapointe, and P. Mathieu. Classical symmetric functions in superspace. *J Algebr Comb*, 24:209–238, 9 2006.
- [15] J. Diejen and L. Vinet. *Calogero–Moser–Sutherland models*. CRM Series in Mathematical Physics. Springer, New York, 1 edition, 3 2000.
- [16] G. Duchamp, F. Hivert, and J. Thibon. Noncommutative symmetric functions VI: free quasi-symmetric functions and related algebras. *Int J Algebr Comput*, 12(5):671–717, 10 2002.
- [17] S. Fishel, L. Lapointe, and M. Pinto. Hopf algebra structure of symmetric and quasisymmetric functions in superspace. *J Comb Theory A*, 166:144–170, 8 2019.
- [18] I. Gelfand, D. Krob, A. Lascoux, B. Leclerc, V. Retakh, and J.-Y. Thibon. Noncommutative symmetric functions. *Adv Math*, 112(2):218–348, 5 1995.
- [19] I. Gessel. Multipartite *P*-partitions and inner products of skew Schur functions. *Contemp Math*, 34:289–317, 1984.
- [20] D. Grinberg and V. Reiner. Hopf algebras in combinatorics, 9 2014. URL: [https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.](https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.8356) [8356](https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.8356).
- [21] OEIS Foundation Inc. The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, 2023. Founded in 1964 by N. Sloane. URL: <https://oeis.org/>.
- [22] M. Jones and L. Lapointe. Pieri rules for Schur functions in superspace. *J Comb Theory A*, 148(3):57–115, 5 2017.
- [23] I.G. Macdonald. *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2 edition, 7 1999.
- [24] T. Miwa, M. Jimbo, and E. Date. *Solitions: Differential Equations, Symmetries and Infinite Dimensional Algebras*, volume 135 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [25] S. Poirier and C. Reutenauer. Algèbres de Hopf de tableaux. *Ann Sci Math Québec*, 95(1):79–90, 1995.
- [26] B. Rhoades and A. Wilson. Set superpartitions and superspace duality modules. *Forum Math*, 10:e105:1–54, 2022.
- [27] M. Rosas and B. Sagan. Symmetric functions in noncommuting variables. *T Am Math Soc*, 350:215–232, 2006.
- [28] G. Rota. On the foundations of combinatorial theory I. Theory of Möbius functions. *Z Wahrscheinlichkeit*, 2:340–368, 1 1964.
- [29] S. Ruijsenaars. Systems of Calogero–Moser type. In G. Semenoff and L. Vinet, editors, *Particles and Fields*, CRM Series in Mathematical Physics, chapter 7, pages 251–352. Springer, New York, 1 edition, 7 1993.
- [30] R. Stanley. *Enumerative Combinatorics*, volume 1 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 49*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [31] R. Stanley. *Enumerative Combinatorics*, volume 2 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 62*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [32] M. Wolf. Symmetric functions of non-commutative elements. *Duke Math J*, 4(2):626–637, 12 1936.

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de La Serena, Cisternas 1200 – 1700000 La Serena, Chile. Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Concepción, Casilla 160-C - 4030000 Concepción, Chile. Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Autónoma de Chile, Sede Talca, 4 Norte 95 – 3460000 Talca, Chile. [diego.arcis@userena.cl,](mailto:diego.arcis@userena.cl) [camgonzalezp@udec.cl,](mailto:camgonzalezp@udec.cl) sebastian.marquez@uautonoma.cl