

LAMBEK INVARIANTS OF COMMUTATIVE SQUARES IN A HOMOLOGICAL CATEGORY

YAROSLAV KOPYLOV AND VADIM LESHKOV

ABSTRACT. We consider the Lambek invariants (introduced by Joachim Lambek in 1964) in the context of semiexact and homological categories in the sense of Grandis. We generalize the Lambek isomorphism theorem to semiexact and homological categories. Throughout the text, for completeness we give proofs of some lemmas which are known in the additive case for the case of semiexact and homological categories.

Key words and phrases: commutative square, semiexact category, homological category, Lambek invariants

Mathematics Subject Classification 2020: 18G50

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1964, in [7], Lambek proved the following assertion:

Given a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C \\ a \downarrow & S & \downarrow b & T & \downarrow c \\ A' & \xrightarrow{f'} & B' & \xrightarrow{g'} & C' \end{array}$$

of groups and group homomorphisms with exact rows, there is a natural isomorphism

$$(\operatorname{Im} b \cap \operatorname{Im} f') / \operatorname{Im} (bf) \cong \operatorname{Ker}(cg) / (\operatorname{Ker} b \cdot \operatorname{Ker} g).$$

For a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ a \downarrow & S & \downarrow b \\ C & \xrightarrow{g} & D \end{array}$$

in the category of groups we put:

$$\operatorname{Im} S := (\operatorname{Im} b \cap \operatorname{Im} g) / \operatorname{Im} (bf), \quad \operatorname{Ker} S := \operatorname{Ker} (bf) / (\operatorname{Ker} a \cdot \operatorname{Ker} f).$$

Since $\operatorname{Ker} a$ and $\operatorname{Ker} f$ are normal subgroups in $\operatorname{Ker}(bf)$, their product $\operatorname{Ker} a \cdot \operatorname{Ker} f$ is the normal subgroup generated by them, and hence the quotient group $\operatorname{Ker} S$ is always defined, whereas $\operatorname{Im}(bf)$ is not always a normal subgroup in $\operatorname{Im} b \cap \operatorname{Im} g$. However, in Lambek's theorem $\operatorname{Im}(bf) = b(\operatorname{Ker} g) \trianglelefteq (\operatorname{Im} b \cap \operatorname{Im} f')$.

Later Leicht [8] extended the Lambek's theorem to a wider class of categories that includes the category of groups $\mathcal{G}rp$, thus giving a homological proof. In [9], Nomura in particular proved Lambek's isomorphism in a Puppe exact category.

In [5], these invariants were considered in a quasi-abelian category, and Lambek's isomorphism was established provided that the morphism b is exact.

In the present article, we prove Lambek's isomorphism in the framework of Grandis homological categories (see [3, 4]). These categories were introduced as a tool for developing homological algebra "in a strongly non-abelian setting." In recent years, Grandis homological categories have found applications in homological algebra and the theory of approximate representations of groups [1, 2].

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we recall the definitions of various classes of categories with null morphisms and prove some auxiliary assertions. In Section 3, we introduce the canonical Lambek morphism in a semiexact category and prove that this is an isomorphism in a Grandis homological category.

The work of the first author was carried out in the framework of the State Task to the Sobolev Institute of Mathematics (Project FWNF-2022-0006).
September 24, 2024.

2. CATEGORIES WITH NULL MORPHISMS


2.1. Semiexact categories. First, recall the notion of an ideal of a category and the notions of kernel and cokernel with respect to an ideal introduced by Grandis in [4, 1.3.1].

Let \mathcal{C} be a category. Consider a class of morphisms $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \text{Mor}(\mathcal{C})$ in \mathcal{C} . The class \mathcal{N} is called an *ideal* in \mathcal{C} if for every morphism $f \in \mathcal{N}$ any legitimate composite hfg belongs to \mathcal{N} . The notion of an ideal of a category coincides with the usual notion of an ideal of a semigroup if we consider the class $\text{Mor}(\mathcal{C})$ as a semigroup with respect to a partially defined associative operation – composition. A pair $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{N})$ of a category \mathcal{C} equipped with an ideal \mathcal{N} is called a *category with null morphisms* and morphisms from \mathcal{N} are called *null morphisms*. We denote the fact that a morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ is null by the following label over the arrow

$$f: A \xrightarrow{\text{null}} B \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} f \in \mathcal{N}.$$

An object $A \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ is called a *null object* if $\text{id}_A \in \mathcal{N}$. An ideal \mathcal{N} is *closed* if there exists a class $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ such that every morphism from \mathcal{N} factors through some object in \mathcal{O} .

In a category with null morphisms $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{N})$, a morphism $k: K \rightarrow A$ is called a *kernel* of a morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ if $fk \in \mathcal{N}$ and for any morphism x with $fx \in \mathcal{N}$ there exists a unique morphism x' such that $x = kx'$. Dually, a morphism $l: B \rightarrow L$ is called a *cokernel* of a morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ if $lf \in \mathcal{N}$ and for any morphism y with $yf \in \mathcal{N}$ there exists a unique morphism y' such that $y = y'l$. If $k: K \rightarrow A$ is a kernel of $f: A \rightarrow B$ then we write $K = \text{Ker } f$, $k = \text{ker } f$; if $l: B \rightarrow L$ is a cokernel of f then we write $L = \text{Coker } f$ and $l = \text{coker } f$. All we have said is schematically depicted in the diagram



The *image* and *coimage* of a morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ are defined as

$$\text{im } f := \text{ker}(\text{coker } f): \text{Im } f \longrightarrow B$$

and

$$\text{coim } f := \text{coker}(\text{ker } f): A \longrightarrow \text{Coim } f,$$

respectively. We say that a morphism f is an \mathcal{N} -*monomorphism* (respectively, \mathcal{N} -*epimorphism*) if it satisfies the left-hand condition (respectively, the right-hand condition):

$$\text{if } fh \text{ is null then } h \text{ is null; \quad if } kf \text{ is null then } k \text{ is null.}$$

It is clear that $\text{ker } f$ is an \mathcal{N} -monomorphism and $\text{coker } f$ is an \mathcal{N} -epimorphism. The arrows \rightrightarrows and \rightarrow are reserved for kernels and cokernels respectively. Note that if $(f: X \rightarrow Y) \in \mathcal{N}$ then $\text{ker } f = \text{id}_X$ and $\text{coker } f = \text{id}_Y$. We make use of the following important lemma (see [4, 1.3.1]):

Lemma 1. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) f is an \mathcal{N} -monomorphism;
- (ii) $\text{ker } f \in \mathcal{N}$;
- (iii) $\text{ker}(fh) = \text{ker } h$ for any h .

Dually, the following are equivalent:

- (i) f is an \mathcal{N} -epimorphism;
- (ii) $\text{coker } f \in \mathcal{N}$;
- (iii) $\text{coker}(kf) = \text{coker } k$ for any k .

Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{N})$ be a category with null morphisms. Consider the following two axioms [4].

Axiom 1. *The ideal \mathcal{N} is closed.*

Axiom 2. *Every morphism has a kernel and cokernel with respect to \mathcal{N} .*

A category with null morphisms is called *semiexact* if it satisfies Axioms 1 and 2. Semiexact categories are also called *ex1-categories*.

Consider a morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ in a semiexact category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{N})$. Note that $(\text{coker } f)f$ is null. Hence, there exists a unique morphism $f': A \rightarrow \text{Im } f$ such that $f = (\text{im } f)f'$. Note that $f'(\text{ker } f)$ is null. Hence, f'

factors through the coimage of f , i.e. there exists a morphism $\overline{f}: \text{Coim } f \rightarrow \text{Im } f$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Ker } f & \xrightarrow{\text{ker } f} & A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{\text{coker } f} & \text{Coker } f \\ & & \downarrow \text{coim } f & \searrow f' & \uparrow \text{im } f & & \\ & & \text{Coim } f & \xrightarrow{\overline{f}} & \text{Im } f & & \end{array}$$

Therefore, any morphism f in a semiexact category can be factored as

$$f = (\text{im } f) \overline{f} (\text{coim } f). \quad (1)$$

We refer to (1) as a normal (or canonical) decomposition of f . Two normal decompositions of f are canonically isomorphic. The morphism \overline{f} in (1) is defined uniquely once $\text{im } f$ and $\text{coim } f$ are chosen. If \overline{f} is an isomorphism, then the morphism f is called *exact*. Usually, when f is exact, we omit the middle isomorphism and write $f = (\text{im } f) (\text{coim } f)$. Omitting the middle isomorphism is appropriate because it is always possible to choose the correct isomorphic representatives of kernels and cokernels to make \overline{f} equal to the identity morphism.

We prove several lemmas which are useful for the results of the present work.

The following lemma is an analog of [6, Lemma 2.2].

Lemma 2. *Given morphisms $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ in a semiexact category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{N})$, the following identities hold:*

$$\text{coker}(g(\text{im } f)) = \text{coker}(gf), \quad \text{ker}((\text{coim } g) f) = \text{ker}(gf).$$

Proof. Observe that $\text{coker}(g(\text{im } f))gf \in \mathcal{N}$. Given a morphism $x: Z \rightarrow W$ with $xgf \in \mathcal{N}$, there exists a unique morphism $y: \text{Coker } f \rightarrow W$ such that $xg = y(\text{coker } f)$. Hence, $xg(\text{im } f) = y(\text{coker } f)(\text{im } f) \in \mathcal{N}$ and there exists a unique $z: \text{Coker}(g(\text{im } f)) \rightarrow W$ such that $x = z(\text{coker}(g(\text{im } f)))$. Therefore, $\text{coker}(g(\text{im } f))$ is a cokernel of gf . The second identity is obtained by duality. \square

Corollary 1. *In a semiexact category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{N})$, given morphisms f and g for which gf is defined, we have:*

- (i) *if kernels are closed under composition and g is a kernel then $\text{im}(gf) = g(\text{im } f)$;*
- (ii) *dually, if cokernels are closed under composition and f is a cokernel then $\text{coim}(gf) = (\text{coim } g)f$.*

Proof. (i) Observe that by Lemma 2 we have

$$\text{im}(gf) = \text{ker}(\text{coker}(gf)) = \text{ker } \text{coker}(g(\text{ker}(\text{coker } f))).$$

Note that $g(\text{ker}(\text{coker } f))$ is a kernel. Hence, it is equal to its image. Assertion (ii) holds by duality. \square

Lemma 3 (Properties of exact morphisms). *The following assertions hold in a semiexact category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{N})$:*

- (i) *$\text{ker } \alpha$ is an exact \mathcal{N} -monomorphism and $\text{coker } \alpha$ is an exact \mathcal{N} -epimorphism for any morphism α ;*
- (ii) *$\alpha = \text{im } \alpha$ if and only if α is an exact \mathcal{N} -monomorphism and $\alpha = \text{coim } \alpha$ if and only if α is an exact \mathcal{N} -epimorphism;*
- (iii) *if $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a morphism such that $f = hg$, where h is a kernel and g is a cokernel, then f is exact, i.e., $f = (\text{im } f) (\text{coim } f)$.*

Proof. (i) It is obvious that kernels are \mathcal{N} -monomorphisms and cokernels are \mathcal{N} -epimorphisms. We show that (co)kernels are exact. Suppose that β is a kernel: $\beta = \text{ker } \alpha$ for some morphism α . Consider its normal decomposition. Note that

$$\beta = (\text{im } \beta) \overline{\beta} (\text{coim } \beta) = (\text{im } \beta) \overline{\beta} \underbrace{(\text{coker}(\text{ker } \beta))}_{\in \mathcal{N}},$$

because β is a kernel. Assume without loss of generality that $\beta = \text{ker } \alpha = (\text{im } \beta) \overline{\beta}$. Hence, $\text{im } \beta = \text{ker}(\text{coker } \beta) = \text{ker}(\text{coim } \alpha)$. By Corollary 1, $\text{ker}(\text{coim } \alpha) = \text{ker } \alpha$. Therefore, $\text{im } \beta = \text{ker } \alpha$. Hence, $\overline{\beta}$ is an isomorphism and β is exact. Consequently, kernels are exact and, by duality, cokernels are also exact.

- (ii) If $\alpha = \text{im } \alpha$ then α is an exact \mathcal{N} -monomorphism by the definition of an exact morphism and the definition of a kernel. Suppose that α is an exact \mathcal{N} -monomorphism. Hence, $\alpha = (\text{im } \alpha)(\text{coim } \alpha)$ and α has a null kernel: $\text{ker } \alpha \in \mathcal{N}$. Hence, $\text{coim } \alpha = \text{coker}(\text{ker } \alpha)$ is an isomorphism and α is its own image. Dually, $\alpha = \text{coim } \alpha$ if and only if α is an exact \mathcal{N} -epimorphism.
- (iii) Note that $f(\text{ker } g) = hg(\text{ker } g) \in \mathcal{N}$. Hence, there exists a unique morphism $\alpha: \text{Ker } g \rightarrow \text{Ker } f$ such that $\text{ker } g = (\text{ker } f) \alpha$. Also, note that $hg(\text{ker } f) = f(\text{ker } f) \in \mathcal{N}$ and h is an \mathcal{N} -monomorphism. Hence, $g(\text{ker } f) \in \mathcal{N}$ and $\text{ker } f$ factors through $\text{ker } g$ uniquely, i.e. there exists a unique morphism $\beta: \text{Ker } f \rightarrow \text{Ker } g$ such that $\text{ker } f = (\text{ker } g) \beta$. By the universal property of the kernel, we get

$\alpha\beta = \text{id}_{\text{Ker } f}$ and $\beta\alpha = \text{id}_{\text{Ker } g}$. Hence, the kernels of f and g are isomorphic and, dually, the cokernels of f and h are isomorphic.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \text{Ker } f & \xrightarrow{\text{ker } f} & A & \xrightarrow{g} & \bullet & \xrightarrow{h} & B & \xrightarrow{\text{coker } f} & \text{Coker } f \\
 \alpha \uparrow \cong \downarrow \beta & & \nearrow \text{ker } g & & \searrow f & & \nwarrow \text{coker } h & & \cong \downarrow \\
 \text{Ker } g & & & & & & & & \text{Coker } h
 \end{array}$$

From the isomorphism $\text{ker } g = \text{ker } f$ we get $\text{coim } g = \text{coim } f$. Hence, by Lemma 3, $g = \text{coim } g = \text{coim } f$. Dually, $h = \text{im } h = \text{im } f$. \square

A sequence of morphisms

$$\dots \xrightarrow{f_{-2}} X_{-1} \xrightarrow{f_{-1}} X_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \dots \quad (2)$$

in a semiexact category is called a *null-sequence* (or a sequence of order two) if any composition of two consecutive morphisms $f_{i+1}f_i$ is null. As in [4, 1.5.2], we call sequence (2) *exact at X_i* if $\text{im } f_{i-1} = \text{ker } f_i$, or, equivalently, (by Lemma 2) $\text{coker } f_{i-1} = \text{coim } f_i$ due to the equalities

$$\text{coker } f_{i-1} = \text{coker}(\text{im } f_{i-1}) = \text{coker}(\text{ker } f_i) = \text{coim } f_i.$$

The following two lemmas are formulated in [4] and are not hard to prove.

Lemma 4. *In a semiexact category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{N})$, if $\alpha: A \twoheadrightarrow B$ is a cokernel, i.e. $\alpha = \text{coker } \alpha'$ for a morphism $\alpha': \bullet \rightarrow A$, then for every $g: A \rightarrow A'$ there exists a morphism $g': B \rightarrow \text{Coker}(g\alpha')$ such that the left-hand square is a pushout:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \bullet & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & A & \xrightarrow{\alpha = \text{coker } \alpha'} & B \\
 & & g \downarrow & \text{PO} & \downarrow g' \\
 & & A' & \xrightarrow{\text{coker}(g\alpha')} & \text{Coker}(g\alpha')
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Ker}(\beta' f) & \xrightarrow{\text{ker}(\beta' f)} & D' \\
 f' \downarrow & \text{PB} & \downarrow f \\
 C & \xrightarrow{\beta = \text{ker } \beta'} & D & \xrightarrow{\beta'} & \bullet
 \end{array}$$

Dually, if $\beta: C \rightarrow D$ is a kernel, i.e. $\beta = \text{ker } \beta'$ with $\beta': D \rightarrow \bullet$, then for every $f: D' \rightarrow D$ there exists a morphism $f': \text{Ker}(\beta' f) \rightarrow C$ such that the right-hand square is a pullback.

The following property of the middle morphism $\bar{\alpha}$ in a normal decomposition of a morphism α was proved for the case of semiexact categories in [4] with an additional requirement on compositions of kernels and cokernels. It can be deduced using Lemma 2.

Lemma 5. *In a semiexact category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{N})$, if kernels (cokernels) are closed under composition then $\bar{\alpha}$ is an \mathcal{N} -epimorphism (\mathcal{N} -monomorphism) for any morphism α .*

2.2. Homological categories. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{N})$ be a semiexact category. Consider the following axiom:

Axiom 3. *Kernels and cokernels are closed under composition.*

A semiexact category is called an *ex2-category* [4, 2.1.3] if it satisfies Axiom 3.

Axiom 4. *Consider a kernel $i: A \rightarrow B$ and a cokernel $q: B \twoheadrightarrow C$ such that $(\text{coker } i)(\text{ker } q) \in \mathcal{N}$. Then there exist a cokernel $\pi: A \twoheadrightarrow H$ and a kernel $\iota: H \rightarrow C$ which make the following square commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{i} & B \\
 \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\
 H & \xrightarrow{\iota} & C
 \end{array}$$

The object H in Axiom 4 is called a *homology object*.

An ex2-category is called *homological* (or *ex3-category*) if it satisfies Axiom 4. It is proved in [4, 2.2.2] that for an ex2-category, Axiom 4 is equivalent to the following axiom:

Axiom 4*. *The pullback of a pair $\bullet \twoheadrightarrow \bullet \leftarrow \bullet$ is of the form $\bullet \leftarrow \bullet \twoheadrightarrow \bullet$. Dually, the pushout of a pair $\bullet \leftarrow \bullet \twoheadrightarrow \bullet$ is of the form $\bullet \twoheadrightarrow \bullet \leftarrow \bullet$.*

It is important to note that in the category of groups $\mathcal{G}rp$ is semiexact and it is not homological and not even an ex2-category. In $\mathcal{G}rp$ the pullback of a pair $\bullet \twoheadrightarrow \bullet \leftarrow \bullet$ exists and has the form $\bullet \leftarrow \bullet \twoheadrightarrow \bullet$. However, the composition of two kernels need not be a kernel in $\mathcal{G}rp$.

Lemma 6. *Suppose that in a homological category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{N})$, the commutative square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & B \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ C & \xrightarrow{\beta} & D \end{array} \quad (3)$$

is a pullback, f is a kernel, and β is exact, then α is exact. Dually, if (3) is a pushout, g is a cokernel, and α is exact, then β is exact.

Proof. Suppose that (3) is a pullback, β is exact, and f is a kernel. We may assume that $\beta = (\text{im } \beta)(\text{coim } \beta)$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \alpha & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ A & \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} & \bullet & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & B \\ g \downarrow & & h \downarrow & \text{PB} & \downarrow f \\ C & \xrightarrow{\text{coim } \beta} & \bullet & \xrightarrow{\text{im } \beta} & D \end{array} \quad (4)$$

where the right-hand square is a pullback and α_0 is the unique morphism with $\alpha = \alpha_1 \alpha_0$ and $(\text{coim } \beta)g = h\alpha_0$. We show that the left-hand square in (4) is also a pullback. Consider two coinitial morphisms x and y such that $hy = (\text{coim } \beta)x$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \bullet & & y & & \\ & \searrow z & \curvearrowright & & \\ & & A & \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} & \bullet & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & B \\ & & g \downarrow & \text{PB} & h \downarrow & \text{PB} & \downarrow f \\ & & C & \xrightarrow{\text{coim } \beta} & \bullet & \xrightarrow{\text{im } \beta} & D \\ & & x & & \beta & & \end{array}$$

Therefore, $\beta x = (\text{im } \beta)(\text{coim } \beta)x = (\text{im } \beta)hy = f\alpha_1 y$. Since (3) is a pullback, there exists a unique z such that $\alpha_1 y = \alpha z$ and $x = gz$. From the identities $\alpha_1 y = \alpha z = \alpha_1 \alpha_0 z$ we have $y = \alpha_0 z$. Since the left-hand square in (4) is also a pullback, by Axiom 4*, α_0 is a cokernel. Therefore, $\alpha = \alpha_1 \alpha_0$, where α_0 is a cokernel and α_1 is a kernel. Thus, by Lemma 3(iii), $\alpha_1 = \text{im } \alpha$, $\alpha_0 = \text{coim } \alpha$ and α_1 is exact.

The second assertion of the lemma is obtained by duality. \square

The following property is equivalent to right (left) semiabelianity for preabelian categories (see [6]). It also holds in an ex2-category.

Lemma 7. *Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{N})$ be a semiexact category. If kernels in \mathcal{C} are stable under composition and $\alpha\beta$ is a kernel then β is a kernel. Dually, If cokernels in \mathcal{C} are stable under composition and $\alpha\beta$ is a cokernel then α is a cokernel.*

Proof. Let $\alpha\beta$ be a kernel. Present β as the composition $\beta = ip$, where $i = \text{im } \beta$ is a kernel and $p = \overline{\beta}(\text{coim } \beta)$ is an \mathcal{N} -epimorphism. By Lemma 5, $\overline{\beta}$ is an \mathcal{N} -epimorphism. Therefore, $\alpha\beta = \text{im}(\alpha\beta) = \text{im}(\alpha i)$. Note that $\alpha\beta = \alpha ip$ and $\alpha i = \alpha\beta q$, where $q = \overline{\alpha i} \text{coim}(\alpha i)$. Thus, $\alpha i = \alpha ipq$ and $pq = \text{id}$ since αi is an \mathcal{N} -monomorphism. Note that $\alpha ip = \alpha\beta$ is an \mathcal{N} -monomorphism, and hence p is an \mathcal{N} -monomorphism. Therefore, $pqp = p$ and $qp = \text{id}$, because p is an \mathcal{N} -monomorphism. We conclude that p is an isomorphism, which means that β is a kernel. The second assertion is obtained by duality. \square

Consider a commutative square (3) in a semiexact category. Note that $\beta g(\ker \alpha) = f\alpha(\ker \alpha) \in \mathcal{N}$, and so there exists a unique morphism $\widehat{g}: \text{Ker } \alpha \rightarrow \text{Ker } \beta$ such that $(\ker \beta)\widehat{g} = g\ker \alpha$, which we call *the morphism of kernels induced by g* . Dually, there exists a unique morphism of cokernels $\widehat{f}: \text{Coker } \alpha \rightarrow \text{Coker } \beta$ such that $\widehat{f}\text{coker } \alpha = (\text{coker } \beta)f$, which we call *the morphism of cokernels induced by f* .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Ker } \alpha & \xrightarrow{\ker \alpha} & A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & B & \xrightarrow{\text{coker } \alpha} & \text{Coker } \alpha \\ \widehat{g} \downarrow & & g \downarrow & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow \widehat{f} \\ \text{Ker } \beta & \xrightarrow{\ker \beta} & C & \xrightarrow{\beta} & D & \xrightarrow{\text{coker } \beta} & \text{Coker } \beta \end{array}$$

Lemma 8. *If (3) is a pullback in a homological category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{N})$, β is exact, and f is a kernel then the morphism of cokernels \widehat{f} induced by f is an \mathcal{N} -monomorphism. Dually, if (3) is a pushout, the morphism α is exact, and g is cokernel then the morphism of kernels \widehat{g} induced by g is an \mathcal{N} -epimorphism.*

Proof. Suppose that the square is a pullback and β is exact, i.e. $\beta = (\text{im } \beta)(\text{coim } \beta)$. Hence, by Lemma 6 the morphism α is exact with $\alpha = (\text{im } \alpha)(\text{coim } \alpha)$. Let $x: X \rightarrow \text{Coker } \alpha$ be a morphism such that $\widehat{f}x \in \mathcal{N}$, hence, $\widehat{f}(\text{im } x) \in \mathcal{N}$. Consider the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \text{Im } x \\ y \downarrow & \text{PB} & \downarrow \text{im } x \\ B & \xrightarrow[\text{coker } \alpha]{} & \text{Coker } \alpha \end{array}$$

(which exists due to Lemma 4). Note that $(\text{coker } \beta)fy = \widehat{f}(\text{im } x)\gamma \in \mathcal{N}$. Hence, there exists a unique morphism $z: P \rightarrow \text{Im } \beta$ such that $(\text{im } \beta)z = fy$. Consider the pullback of the pair $(f, \text{im } \beta)$. Note that the pullback of $\text{im } \beta$ along f is $\text{im } \alpha$ (see the proof of Lemma 6). We denote the pullback of f along $\text{im } \beta$ by h . By the universal property of a pullback there exists a unique morphism $t: P \rightarrow \text{Im } \alpha$ such that $z = ht$ and $y = (\text{im } \alpha)t$. Note that $(\text{im } x)\gamma = (\text{coker } \alpha)(\text{im } \alpha)t \in \mathcal{N}$ and γ is an \mathcal{N} -epimorphism by Axiom 4*. Hence, $\text{im } x \in \mathcal{N}$ and $x \in \mathcal{N}$. Therefore, \widehat{f} is an \mathcal{N} -monomorphism. The diagram for the proof looks as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & X & & \\ & & \downarrow \overline{x}(\text{coim } x) & & \\ & & P & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \text{Im } X \\ & & \downarrow y & \text{PB} & \downarrow \text{im } x \\ & & \text{Im } \alpha & \xrightarrow{\text{im } \alpha} & \bullet & \xrightarrow[\text{coker } \alpha]{} & \text{Coker } \alpha \\ & & \downarrow h & \text{PB} & \downarrow f & & \downarrow \widehat{f} \\ & & \text{Im } \beta & \xrightarrow{\text{im } \beta} & \bullet & \xrightarrow[\text{coker } \beta]{} & \text{Coker } \beta \end{array}$$

(Note: Dashed arrows in the original diagram represent z from P to $\text{Im } \beta$, t from P to $\text{Im } \alpha$, and x from X to $\text{Coker } \alpha$.)

The second assertion can be obtained by duality. □

Lemma 9 (The Composition Lemma). *In a homological category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{N})$, suppose that the composition gf of two morphisms f and g is defined. Then there exists a null-sequence*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \bullet & \xrightarrow{\text{null}} & \text{Ker } f & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \text{Ker}(gf) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \text{Ker } g \\ & & & & & \searrow \chi & \\ & & & & & & \text{Coker } f \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \text{Coker}(gf) \xrightarrow{\omega} \text{Coker } g \xrightarrow{\text{null}} \bullet \end{array} \quad (5)$$

which is exact at $\text{Ker } f$, $\text{Ker}(gf)$, $\text{Coker}(gf)$, $\text{Coker } g$. Moreover,

- (1) φ and ω are exact morphisms;
- (2) if f is exact morphism then (5) is exact at $\text{Ker } g$ and ψ is exact morphism. Dually, if g is an exact morphism then (5) is exact at $\text{Coker } f$ and ε is exact morphism.

Proof. We define φ , ψ , ε and ω by the following equalities that follow from the universal property of a (co)kernel: $\ker f = (\ker(gf))\varphi$, $f(\ker(gf)) = (\ker g)\psi$, $(\text{coker}(gf))g = \varepsilon(\text{coker } f)$, $\text{coker } g = \omega(\text{coker}(gf))$. We define the middle morphism χ in the diagram as $\chi := (\text{coker } f)(\ker g)$. By Lemma 4, the second equality $f(\ker(gf)) = (\ker g)\psi$ forms a pullback. Dually, the third equality $(\text{coker}(gf))g = \varepsilon(\text{coker } f)$ forms a pushout.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \text{Coker } f & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & \text{Coker}(gf) & & \\ & & \uparrow \text{coker } f & & \uparrow \text{coker}(gf) & & \\ \text{Ker } f & \xrightarrow{\ker f} & \bullet & \xrightarrow{f} & \bullet & \xrightarrow{g} & \bullet & \xrightarrow[\text{coker } g]{} & \text{Coker } g \\ & & \uparrow \ker(gf) & \text{PB} & \uparrow \ker g & & & & \\ & & \text{Ker}(gf) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \text{Ker } g & & & & \end{array}$$

(Note: Dashed arrows in the original diagram represent φ from $\text{Ker } f$ to $\text{Ker}(gf)$, ψ from $\text{Ker}(gf)$ to $\text{Ker } g$, and ω from $\text{Coker}(gf)$ to $\text{Coker } g$.)

Since kernels (cokernels) are \mathcal{N} -monomorphisms (\mathcal{N} -epimorphisms), $\psi\varphi, \omega\varepsilon \in \mathcal{N}$. Note that

$$\chi\psi = (\text{coker } f)(\ker g)\psi = (\text{coker } f)f(\ker(gf)) \in \mathcal{N}.$$

Dually, $\varepsilon\chi \in \mathcal{N}$. Hence, the morphisms $\varphi, \psi, \chi, \varepsilon, \omega$ form a null-sequence.

It is obvious that the sequence is exact at $\text{Ker } f$ and $\text{Coker } g$. Observe that $\ker f = (\ker(gf))\varphi$. Hence, by Lemma 7, φ is a kernel. Suppose that $x: X \rightarrow \text{Ker}(gf)$ is a morphism such that $\psi x \in \mathcal{N}$. Hence, $(\ker g)\psi x = f(\ker(gf))x \in \mathcal{N}$ and there exists a unique $t: X \rightarrow \text{Ker } f$ such that $(\ker(gf))x = (\ker f)t$. Therefore, $(\ker(gf))x = (\ker(gf))\varphi t$, $x = \varphi t$ and $\varphi = \ker \psi$. Now observe that $\text{im } \varphi = \varphi = \ker \psi$, because φ is a kernel. Hence, the sequence is exact at $\text{Ker}(gf)$. Dually, it is exact at $\text{Coker}(gf)$.

Suppose that f is exact. Hence, its pullback ψ is exact and $\psi = (\text{im } \psi)(\text{coim } \psi)$. Consider a morphism $l: \text{Coker } \psi \rightarrow \text{Coker } f$ of cokernels in the following square induced by $\ker g$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bullet & \xrightarrow{f} & \bullet \xrightarrow{\text{coker } f} \text{Coker } f \\ \ker(gf) \uparrow & & \uparrow \ker g \quad \chi \nearrow \\ \bullet & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \bullet \xrightarrow{\text{coker } \psi} \text{Coker } \psi \\ & & \uparrow l \end{array}$$


Note that, by Lemma 8, the morphism l is an \mathcal{N} -monomorphism. By commutativity we have $\chi = l(\text{coker } \psi)$, hence, $\ker \chi = \ker(l(\text{coker } \psi)) = \text{im } \psi$. Therefore, (5) is exact at $\text{Ker } g$. Dually, if g is exact then ε is exact and (5) is exact at $\text{Coker } f$. \square

3. LAMBEK'S THEOREM IN CATEGORIES WITH NULL MORPHISMS

In a semiexact category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{N})$, consider the square denoted by S :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ a \downarrow & S & \downarrow b \\ C & \xrightarrow{g} & D \end{array} \tag{6}$$

In 1964 J. Lambek [7] defined the invariants $\text{Im } S$ and $\text{Ker } S$ of the commutative square S in the category of groups. We generalize these invariants for the case of categories with null morphisms as $\text{Im } S := \text{Coker } \lambda_S$, $\text{Ker } S := \text{Ker } \rho_S$, where λ_S and ρ_S are the morphisms defined by the universal property of a pullback and a pushout:



Consider the diagram of two consecutive squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C \\ a \downarrow & S & \downarrow b & T & \downarrow c \\ A' & \xrightarrow{f'} & B' & \xrightarrow{g'} & C' \end{array} \tag{7}$$

The following theorem holds:

Theorem 1. *Suppose that in diagram (7) in a semiexact category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{N})$, the morphism b is exact and the compositions gf and $g'f'$ are null. Then there exists a unique morphism $\Lambda: \text{Im } S \rightarrow \text{Ker } T$ such that $r_T l_S = (\ker \rho_T) \Lambda (\text{coker } \lambda_S)$.*

Proof. For brevity, we put $\lambda := \lambda_S$, $l := l_S$, $r := r_T$, and $\rho := \rho_T$. Since b is exact, it can be presented as $b = (\text{im } b)(\text{coim } b)$. The existence of pullbacks for pairs of kernels and of pushouts for pairs of cokernels yields the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{\text{coim } g} & \text{Coim } g & \xrightarrow{(\text{im } g)\bar{g}} & C \\ \lambda \swarrow & & \downarrow \text{coim } b & \text{PO} & \downarrow t & & \downarrow c \\ a \downarrow & & M & \xrightarrow{l} & \bullet & \xrightarrow{r} & N \\ & & \downarrow s & \text{PB} & \downarrow \text{im } b & & \downarrow \rho \\ A' & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}'(\text{coim } f')} & \text{Im } f' & \xrightarrow{\text{im } f'} & B' & \xrightarrow{g'} & C' \end{array} \tag{8}$$

Note that here

$$(rl)\lambda = t \underbrace{(\text{coim } g)f}_{\text{null}}, \quad \rho(rl) = \underbrace{g'(\text{im } f')}_{\text{null}} s.$$

Hence, there exist $\alpha: \text{Coker } \lambda \rightarrow N$ and $\beta: M \rightarrow \text{Ker } \rho$ such that

$$\alpha(\text{coker } \lambda) = rl = (\ker \rho)\beta.$$

Observe that

$$\rho(rl) = \rho\alpha(\operatorname{coker} \lambda), \quad (\ker \rho)\beta\lambda = (rl)\lambda.$$

Hence, $\rho\alpha$ and $\beta\lambda$ are null because $rl\lambda$ and ρrl are null, every kernel is an \mathcal{N} -monomorphism, and every cokernel is an \mathcal{N} -epimorphism. Since $\rho\alpha, \beta\lambda \in \mathcal{N}$, the morphisms α and β factor through $\ker \rho$ and $\operatorname{coker} \lambda$ respectively:

$$\alpha = (\ker \rho)\alpha', \quad \beta = \beta'(\operatorname{coker} \lambda).$$

Since $\alpha(\operatorname{coker} \lambda) = rl = (\ker \rho)\beta$, we have

$$(\ker \rho)\alpha'(\operatorname{coker} \lambda) = rl = (\ker \rho)\beta'(\operatorname{coker} \lambda).$$

Since $\ker \rho$ is a monomorphism and $\operatorname{coker} \lambda$ is an epimorphism, we have $\alpha' = \beta'$. We put $\Lambda := \alpha' = \beta' : \operatorname{Im} S \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} T$. The final diagram looks like this:

(9)

□

We refer to the morphism $\Lambda : \operatorname{Im} S \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} T$ constructed in Theorem 1 as the *Lambek morphism* of the squares S and T .

Theorem 2 (The Lambek isomorphism). *Suppose that in diagram (7) in a homological category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{N})$, b is an exact morphism and the rows are exact. Then the Lambek morphism $\Lambda : \operatorname{Im} S \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} T$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Consider diagram (9) from the proof of Theorem 1. By Lemma 4, l is a kernel, moreover, $l = \ker((\operatorname{coker} f')(\operatorname{im} b))$. Note that

$$(\operatorname{coker} f')(\operatorname{im} b)(\operatorname{coim} b)(\operatorname{im} f) \in \mathcal{N}.$$

Hence, there exists a unique morphism $m : \operatorname{Im} f \rightarrow M$ such that $lm = (\operatorname{coim} b)(\operatorname{im} f)$. Dually, the morphism $r = \operatorname{coker}((\operatorname{coim} b)(\ker g))$ in (9) is a cokernel and there exists a unique morphism $n : N \rightarrow \operatorname{Coim} g'$ such that $nr = (\operatorname{coim} g')(\operatorname{im} b)$.

(10)

Note that $l = \ker((\operatorname{coker} f')(\operatorname{im} b)) = \ker((\operatorname{coim} g')(\operatorname{im} b))$ because the rows are exact. Hence, by commutativity, $l = \ker(nr)$. Dually, $r = \operatorname{coker}(lm)$. Note that l is exact since it is a pullback of a kernel $\operatorname{im} f'$. Dually, n is exact. Since (10) commutes, $\operatorname{coker} \lambda = \operatorname{coker}(m\bar{f}(\operatorname{coim} f))$. Therefore, $\operatorname{coker} \lambda = \operatorname{coker} m$. By duality, $\ker \rho = \ker n$. Consider the composition lm . By Lemma 9, there exists an exact morphism $\varepsilon : \operatorname{Coker} m \rightarrow \operatorname{Coker}(lm)$ such that $(\operatorname{coker}(lm))l = \varepsilon(\operatorname{coker} m)$. Finally, we get the equalities

$$rl = (\operatorname{coker}(lm))l = \varepsilon(\operatorname{coker} m) = \varepsilon(\operatorname{coker} \lambda) = \alpha(\operatorname{coker} \lambda)$$

where $\alpha: \text{Coker } \lambda \rightarrow N$ is the same as in (9). Dually, consider the composition nr . By Lemma 9, there exists an exact morphism $\psi: \text{Ker}(nr) \rightarrow \text{Ker } n$ such that $r(\text{ker}(nr)) = (\text{ker } n)\psi$ and the following equalities hold:

$$rl = r(\text{ker}(nr)) = (\text{ker } n)\psi = (\text{ker } \rho)\psi = (\text{ker } \rho)\beta$$

where $\beta: M \rightarrow \text{Ker } \rho$ is as in (9). Thus, $\varepsilon = \alpha$, $\psi = \beta$, and α and β are exact morphisms. By the definition of Λ , we have

$$(\text{ker } \rho)\Lambda = \alpha = \varepsilon, \quad \Lambda(\text{coker } \lambda) = \beta = \psi.$$

Since $(\text{coker } m)(\text{ker } l) \in \mathcal{N}$, by Lemma 9(2), ε is a kernel, and hence, by Lemma 7, Λ is a kernel. Dually, ψ is a cokernel, and thus Λ is a cokernel. Therefore, Λ is an isomorphism. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Connes and C. Consani, “Homological algebra in characteristic one,” *High. Struct.* **3**(1) (2019), 155–247.
- [2] T. Fritz, “Non-abelian and ε -curved homological algebra with arrow categories,” *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **227**(6) (2023), Article ID 107314, 25 p.
- [3] M. Grandis, “On the categorical foundations of homological and homotopical algebra,” *Cah. Topologie Géom. Différ. Cat’eg.* **33**(2) (1992), 135–175.
- [4] M. Grandis, *Homological Algebra in Strongly Non-Abelian Settings*, World Scientific Publishing, 2013.
- [5] Ya. A. Kopylov, *On the Lambek invariants Ker and Im of commutative squares in a quasi-abelian category*, *Sci. Ser. A Math. Sci. (N.S.)* **11** (2005), 57–67.
- [6] Ya. A. Kopylov, S.-A. Wegner, *On the notion of a semi-abelian category in the sense of Palamodov*, *Appl. Categor. Struct.* **20** (2012), 531–541.
- [7] J. Lambek, *Goursat’s theorem and homological algebra*, *Can. Math. Bull.* **7** (1964), 597–608.
- [8] J. B. Leicht, *Axiomatic proof of J. Lambek’s homological theorem*, *Can. Math. Bull.* **7** (1964), 609–613.
- [9] Y. Nomura, *An exact sequence generalizing a theorem of Lambek*, *Arch. Math.* **22** (1971), 467–478.

YAROSLAV KOPYLOV
 SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
 4 KOPTYUG AVE.
 630090, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA
Email address: yakop@math.nsc.ru

VADIM LESHKOV
 NOVOSIBIRSK STATE UNIVERSITY
 1 PIROGOVA ST.
 630090, NOVOSIBIRSK, RUSSIA
Email address: v.leshkov@g.nsu.ru