

Formal conjugacy growth in graph products II

L. Ciobanu, S. Hermiller, V. Mercier

December 1, 2023

Abstract

In this paper we give an algorithm for computing the conjugacy growth series for a right-angled Artin group, based on a natural language of minimal length conjugacy representatives. In addition, we provide a further language of unique conjugacy geodesic representatives of the conjugacy classes for a graph product of groups. The conjugacy representatives and growth series here provide an alternate viewpoint, and are more amenable to computational experiments compared to those in our previous paper [6].

Examples of applications of this algorithm for right-angled Artin groups are provided, as well as computations of conjugacy geodesic growth series with respect to the standard generating sets.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20F69, 20F65, 68Q45.

Key words: Conjugacy growth, right-angled Artin groups, graph products.

1 Introduction

The *spherical standard growth function* of a group G with respect to a finite inverse-closed generating set X records the size of the sphere of size n in the Cayley graph of G with respect to X , for any $n \geq 0$, and the *spherical conjugacy growth function* counts the number of conjugacy classes intersecting the sphere of radius n but not the ball of radius $n - 1$. Furthermore, the *spherical standard growth series* and *spherical conjugacy growth series* are those generating functions whose coefficients are the spherical growth function and spherical conjugacy growth function values, respectively. For a group that has a regular language of shortlex normal forms, such as word hyperbolic groups and all shortlex automatic groups [9], the spherical standard growth series is a rational function that can be computed using functions in the KBMAG package in GAP [16, 13].

However, even for nonabelian free groups with respect to the standard generating set, the spherical conjugacy growth series is not rational; this was shown by Rivin [20, 21] in his work introducing the first conjugacy

growth series computations, in which he showed the stronger result that the series for free groups are transcendental. Conjugacy growth series have also been studied in [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 19], where virtually abelian groups, Baumslag-Solitar groups, acylindrically hyperbolic groups, free and wreath products, and more, were explored. Except for virtually abelian groups, all of the spherical conjugacy growth series studied so far have been shown to be transcendental, and it has been conjectured that virtually abelian groups are the only ones with rational conjugacy growth series [3, Conjecture 7.2].

We continue work begun in [6], analyzing spherical conjugacy growth series and related languages for graph products of groups. In our previous paper [6] we computed the conjugacy growth series of a graph product starting with the splitting of a graph product as an amalgamated product, finding minimal length conjugacy representatives among particular normal forms for amalgamated products, and computing the growth series of appropriate admissible transversals. However, that method does not explicitly produce a language of conjugacy representatives, and is somewhat technical. In this paper we study graph products of groups from an alternate viewpoint, to obtain a language of unique conjugacy geodesic representatives of conjugacy classes in a graph product of groups that is built from shortlex conjugacy languages for subgraph products. This reduces the computation of the spherical conjugacy growth series of the entire graph product to computation of the spherical conjugacy growth series for subgraph products over indecomposable subsets of vertices, in Theorem 3.7.

Sections 2, 3.1, and 4.1 contain complete definitions of the terminology and notation used below and throughout this paper. Given a finite simple graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ with a group G_v generated by X_v attached to each vertex $v \in V$, the associated *graph product* G_V is the group generated by the vertex groups with the added relations that elements of groups attached to adjacent vertices commute. We will often use the *complement* of the graph Γ , which is the graph $\bar{\Gamma} = (V, \bar{E})$ with the same vertex set as Γ and edge set that is the complement of E .

In Section 3, we give a decomposition of the spherical conjugacy growth series of a graph product group G_V in terms of subgraph products G_U induced by subsets $U \subseteq V$. The subgraph $\bar{\Gamma}_U$ of $\bar{\Gamma}$ induced by U consists of connected components induced by subsets U_1, \dots, U_n of U ; we write this decomposition as $\delta_{\ll}(U) = (U_1, \dots, U_m)$ (see Definition 3.4 for more details), and note that $G_U \cong G_{U_1} \times \dots \times G_{U_n}$. Given a total order $<_V$ on the generating set $X_V := \cup_{v \in V} X_v$ of G_V and the corresponding shortlex order $<_{sl}$ on the set X_V^* of words over X_V , the *shortlex conjugacy language* $\text{ConjSL} = \text{ConjSL}(G_V, X_V)$ is the set consisting of the shortlex least word representing an element in each conjugacy class. For any $U \subseteq V$, let ConjSL^U denote the set of words with *support* equal to U ; that is, words $w \in \text{ConjSL}$ such that w contains only letters in $X_U := \cup_{u \in U} X_u$ but w is not contained in $X_{U'}^*$ for any $U' \subsetneq U$. In our earlier paper [6, Proposition 3.7] we showed

that $\text{ConjSL}(G_V, X_V)^U = \text{ConjSL}(G_U, X_U)^U$ can be computed in the sub-graph product G_U generated by X_U . The growth series F_{ConjSL} of ConjSL is equal to $\tilde{\sigma}_{(G_V, X_V)}$, but the growth of this language is not readily computed; in the following we provide another formula for $\tilde{\sigma}_{(G_V, X_V)}$ in terms of the growth functions F_{ConjSL^U} .

Theorem 3.7. *Let G_V be a graph product group over a graph with vertex set V . Let X_V be a union of inverse-closed generating sets for the vertex groups, let $<_V$ be a total order of X_V that is compatible with a total order \ll of V , and let $<_{sl}$ be the corresponding shortlex order on X_V^* . Then the language*

$$\{\lambda\} \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{U \subseteq V, \delta_{\ll}(U)=(U_1, \dots, U_m)} \text{ConjSL}^{U_1} \dots \text{ConjSL}^{U_m} \right)$$

is a set of unique conjugacy geodesic representatives for the conjugacy classes of G_V over X_V , and the spherical conjugacy growth series satisfies

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{(G_V, X_V)} = 1 + \sum_{\emptyset \neq U \subseteq V, \delta_{\ll}(U)=(U_1, \dots, U_m)} F_{\text{ConjSL}^{U_1}} \dots F_{\text{ConjSL}^{U_m}}.$$

For the remainder of the paper, we focus on the special case of *right-angled Artin groups*, or *RAAGs*, in order to obtain a more computable formula for the spherical growth series and calculate examples. RAAGs are the graph products of infinite cyclic groups, and the family of RAAGs has been widely studied and applied in many contexts. Building on Theorem 3.7 and work of Crisp, Godelle, and Wiest [7], we give another set of conjugacy geodesic normal forms for the conjugacy classes of a RAAG with respect to the *Artin generating set* X_V , consisting of a cyclic generator and its inverse for each vertex group, in Corollary 4.5. The elements of this new set of conjugacy class representatives are *cyclic shortlex*; that is, they lie in the set $\text{CycSL} = \text{CycSL}(G_V, X_V)$ of words w satisfying the property that for every cyclic permutation w' of w , the word w' is shortlex least among the words representing the same element of G_V as w' .

Unlike the languages ConjSL and ConjSL^U , the languages CycSL and CycSL^U (where CycSL^U is the set of words in CycSL with support equal to U) are readily computed for RAAGs. The next main result of our paper gives a formula for the spherical growth series of a RAAG in terms of the strict growth series of the latter languages.

Theorem 4.9. *Let G_V be a right-angled Artin group on a graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ and let X_V be the Artin generating set. The spherical conjugacy growth series of G_V with respect to X_V satisfies the formula*

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{(G_V, X_V)} = 1 + \sum_{\emptyset \neq U \subseteq V, \delta_{\ll}(U)=(U_1, \dots, U_m)} \rho(F_{\text{CycSL}^{U_1}}) \dots \rho(F_{\text{CycSL}^{U_m}}) \quad (1)$$

where for any formal complex power series f with integer coefficients and constant term equal to 0,

$$\rho(f)(z) := \int_0^z \frac{\sum_{k \geq 1} \phi(k) f(t^k)}{t} dt. \quad (2)$$

The proof of Theorem 4.9 combines the conjugacy class representatives in Corollary 4.5 with a method from analytic combinatorics for counting words up to cyclic permutation; since this tool is not standard in group theory, we give a full account of the background for the operator ρ in Section 2.2. In particular, when F_L is the growth series of a set L of nonempty words over X that is closed under cyclic permutation and taking powers, $\rho(F_L)$ is the growth function of a subset of L containing exactly one representative of each cyclic permutation class from L (see Corollary 2.5).

In Part I of this series of papers [6], we provided a different formula for the spherical conjugacy growth series of a RAAG, and more generally for a graph product G_V , based on the spherical growth series σ_U and spherical conjugacy growth series $\tilde{\sigma}_U$ of the subgraph products $G_U = \langle X_U \rangle$ induced by subsets $U \subset V$. In [6, Theorem A] the formula is obtained from splitting the graph product as an amalgamated product; given any vertex $v \in V$, the spherical growth series of the graph product G_V is given by

$$\tilde{\sigma}_V = \tilde{\sigma}_{V \setminus \{v\}} + \tilde{\sigma}_{\text{Lk}(v)} (\tilde{\sigma}_{\{v\}} - 1) + \sum_{S \subseteq \text{Lk}(v)} \tilde{\sigma}_S^{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{N} \left(\left(\frac{\sigma_{\text{Lk}(S) \setminus \{v\}}}{\sigma_{\text{Lk}(v) \cap \text{Lk}(S)}} - 1 \right) (\sigma_{\{v\}} - 1) \right), \quad (3)$$

where $\text{Lk}(v)$ is the set of vertices adjacent to v in the defining graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ of the graph product, $\tilde{\sigma}_S^{\mathcal{M}} := \sum_{S' \subseteq S} (-1)^{|S| - |S'|} \tilde{\sigma}_{S'}$, and for any formal complex power series $f(z)$,

$$\mathbf{N}(f)(z) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(k)}{kl} (f(z^k))^l \quad (4)$$

in which ϕ is the Euler totient function. In contrast to Theorem 4.9, the formula in Equation (3) is recursive, requiring iteration to compute the spherical conjugacy growth series of subsequent subgraph products. For the operator \mathbf{N} , when F_L is the growth series of a set L of words over X , $\mathbf{N}(F_L)$ is the growth function of $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (L^n / C_n)$, where L^n denotes a Cartesian product of n copies of L and the cyclic group of order n , C_n , acts by permutation. In words, with the operator \mathbf{N} in Equation (3) we take Cartesian products of a language and then quotient by appropriate cyclic group actions, whereas with the operator ρ in Equation (1) we take the quotient on the language itself.

As a first illustration of the potential for applications of Theorem 4.9, our next corollary provides a formula for computing the spherical growth series of a free product of a RAAG with a free group.

Corollary 4.11. *Let G_W be a right-angled Artin group on a simple graph $\Gamma_W = (W, E)$, and let $G_W * F_m$ be the free product of G_W with a free group of rank $m \geq 1$ with free basis W' . Viewing $G_W * F_m$ as a RAAG with defining graph $\Gamma_V := (V, E)$ where $V := W \sqcup W'$, let X_W and X_V be the Artin generating sets of G_W and $G_W * F_m$, respectively. Then*

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{(G_W * F_m, X_V)} = \tilde{\sigma}_{(G_W, X_W)} + \rho \left(F_{\text{CycSL}(G_W * F_m, X_V)} - F_{\text{CycSL}(G_W, X_W)} \right).$$

In Section 5 we discuss methods for computing the languages CycSL^U and their strict growth series F_{CycSL^U} for right-angled Artin groups using the KBMAG [16] package in the computational algebra software GAP [13]. Because the computations are quite similar, we also consider computations of the *conjugacy geodesic growth series* $\tilde{\gamma}_{(G_V, X_V)}$ for these groups, which are the formal complex power series whose n -th coefficient counts the number of conjugacy geodesic words of length n . We accompany this discussion with specific examples of GAP code provided in the Appendix (Section 6).

For the remainder of Section 5, we apply these computational tools along with Theorem 4.9 to compute spherical conjugacy and conjugacy geodesic growth series for some families of RAAGs. In Example 5.2 we compute the conjugacy geodesic growth series for free abelian groups of small rank, and extrapolate that information to obtain an inductive proof of the following for all finitely generated free abelian groups:

Theorem 5.3. *The geodesic and conjugacy geodesic growth series for \mathbb{Z}^n with respect to the standard generating set X satisfy*

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{(\mathbb{Z}^n, X)} = \gamma_{(\mathbb{Z}^n, X)} = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{n-j} (2^j) \binom{n}{j} \frac{jz}{1-jz}$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

Theorem 5.3 can be obtained directly by geometric arguments using the inclusion-exclusion principle on the numbers of geodesic paths of a given length in the 2^n orthants of \mathbb{Z}^n , but instead of such arguments, the emphasis in Section 5 is on how to use our experiments to find the above formula.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Notation and terminology

We use standard notation from formal language theory: For any finite set X , we denote by X^* the set of all words over X , and call a subset of X^* a *language* over X . We write λ for the empty word, and denote by X^+ the set of all non-empty words over X (so $X^* = X^+ \cup \{\lambda\}$). For each word $w \in X^*$, let $l(w) = l_X(w) = |w|$ denote its length over X .

All groups in this paper are finitely generated, and all generating sets finite and inverse-closed. For a group G with inverse-closed generating set X , let $\pi : X^* \rightarrow G$ be the natural projection onto G , and let $=$ denote equality between words and $=_G$ equality between group elements (so $w =_G v$ means $\pi(w) = \pi(v)$). For $g \in G$, the *length* of g , denoted $\|g\|$ ($= \|g\|_X$), is the length of a shortest representative word for g over X .

Let \sim , or \sim_G , denote the equivalence relation on G given by conjugacy, and G/\sim its set of equivalence classes. Let $[g]_\sim$ denote the conjugacy class of $g \in G$ and $\|g\|_\sim$ denote its *length up to conjugacy*, that is,

$$\|g\|_\sim := \min\{\|h\| \mid h \in [g]_\sim\}.$$

A word $w \in X^*$ is a *geodesic* if $l(w) = \|\pi(w)\|$, and w is a *conjugacy geodesic* if $l(w) = \|\pi(w)\|_\sim$. The *geodesic language* and *conjugacy geodesic language* for G over X are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Geo} = \text{Geo}(G, X) &:= \{w \in X^* \mid l(w) = \|\pi(w)\|\}, \\ \text{ConjGeo} = \text{ConjGeo}(G, X) &:= \{w \in X^* \mid l(w) = \|\pi(w)\|_\sim\}. \end{aligned}$$

Fix a total order of X , and let \leq_{sl} be the induced shortlex order of X^* (for which $u <_{sl} w$ if either $l(u) < l(w)$, or $l(u) = l(w)$ but u precedes w lexicographically). For each $g \in G$, the *shortlex normal form* of g is the unique word $y_g \in X^*$ with $\pi(y_g) = g$ such that $y_g \leq_{sl} w$ for all $w \in X^*$ with $\pi(w) = g$. For each conjugacy class $c \in G/\sim$, the *shortlex conjugacy normal form* of c is the shortlex least word z_c over X representing an element of c ; that is, $\pi(z_c) \in c$, and $z_c \leq_{sl} w$ for all $w \in X^*$ with $\pi(w) \in c$. The *shortlex language* and *shortlex conjugacy language* for G over X are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SL} = \text{SL}(G, X) &:= \{y_g \mid g \in G\}, \\ \text{ConjSL} = \text{ConjSL}(G, X) &:= \{z_c \mid c \in G/\sim\}. \end{aligned}$$

A *cyclic permutation* of a word $w \in X^*$ is a word of the form vu such that $u, v \in X^*$ and $w = uv$. A word w is *cyclically geodesic* over X if every cyclic permutation of w lies in $\text{Geo}(G, X)$. Similarly, given a total order on X , a word w over X is *cyclically shortlex* if every cyclic permutation of w lies in $\text{SL}(G, X)$. The *cyclically geodesic language* and *cyclically shortlex language* for G over X are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CycGeo} = \text{CycGeo}(G, X) &:= \{\text{cyclically geodesic words for } G \text{ over } X\}, \\ \text{CycSL} = \text{CycSL}(G, X) &:= \{\text{cyclically shortlex words for } G \text{ over } X\}. \end{aligned}$$

Any language L over X gives rise to a *strict growth function* $\theta_L : \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, defined by $\theta_L(n) := |\{w \in L \mid l(w) = n\}|$; an associated generating function, called the *strict growth series*, is given by $F_L(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \theta_L(n)z^n$.

For the languages SL and ConjSL above, the coefficient $\theta_{\text{SL}}(n)$ is the number of elements of G of length n , and $\theta_{\text{ConjSL}}(n)$ is the number of conjugacy classes of G whose shortest elements have length n . As in [6], we refer to the strict growth series of SL below as the *standard* or *spherical growth series*

$$\sigma(z) = \sigma_{(G,X)}(z) := F_{\text{SL}(G,X)}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \theta_{\text{SL}(G,X)}(n)z^n$$

and the strict growth series

$$\tilde{\sigma}(z) = \tilde{\sigma}_{(G,X)}(z) := F_{\text{ConjSL}(G,X)}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \theta_{\text{ConjSL}(G,X)}(n)z^n,$$

of ConjSL as the *spherical conjugacy growth series*.

Note that the growth series in the paper will be often denoted as σ and $\tilde{\sigma}$ instead of $\sigma(z)$ or $\tilde{\sigma}(z)$

Similarly, we refer to the strict growth series of Geo below as the *geodesic growth series*

$$\gamma(z) = \gamma_{(G,X)}(z) := F_{\text{Geo}(G,X)}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \theta_{\text{Geo}(G,X)}(n)z^n$$

and the strict growth series

$$\tilde{\gamma}(z) = \tilde{\gamma}_{(G,X)}(z) := F_{\text{ConjGeo}(G,X)}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \theta_{\text{ConjGeo}(G,X)}(n)z^n,$$

of ConjGeo as the *geodesic conjugacy growth series*.

2.2 Cyclic representatives of languages

In this section we provide a tool for computing the conjugacy growth series of a right-angled Artin group that will be applied in Section 4. This language theoretic analysis appears in several references ([11, 12]) in analytic combinatorics, but since it is not standard in group theory, we provide here the computations for the formulas.

Let X be a finite set. If L is a language over X , then define

$$\text{CycPerm}(L) := \{vu : uv \in L\},$$

the set of all cyclic permutations of words in L . As an example, we note that for any group G with finite inverse-closed generating set X , a cyclic permutation of a word w over X represents a conjugate of w in G , and so the language $\text{ConjGeo}(G, X)$ of conjugacy geodesics is closed under cyclic permutation; hence $\text{CycPerm}(\text{ConjGeo}(G, X)) = \text{ConjGeo}(G, X)$. For any language $L \subseteq X^*$ satisfying $\text{CycPerm}(L) = L$, we further define an equivalence relation on L by $uv \sim vu$ for all $uv \in L$; equivalence classes are called *cycles*, or *cyclic permutation classes*, of L .

Definition 2.1. Let X be a finite set with a total order and let L be a language over X satisfying $\text{CycPerm}(L) = L$. For any word $w \in L$ let w_p be the word that is lexicographically least among all cyclic permutations of w . The language of *cyclic representatives* of L is

$$\text{CycRep}(L) := \{w_p \mid w \in L\}.$$

Since all of the words in a cycle have the same length, the length function on words in L can be extended to the set of cycles of L . Note that there is a length-preserving bijection between $\text{CycRep}(L)$ and the set of cycles of L .

The goal of this section is to give a formula for the growth series of $\text{CycRep}(L)$ in terms of the growth series for L . We first need further notation and lemma.

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $L^{\wedge n} = \{u^n \mid u \in L\}$ denote the set of all n th powers of words in L . The language of *primitive words* in L is defined to be

$$\text{Prim}(L) := \{w \in L \mid \nexists k > 1, v \in L \text{ such that } v^k = w\}.$$

(For example, if L is the set $\text{Prim}(A^*)$ of all primitive words over A , then $\text{CycRep}(L)$ is the set of Lyndon words over A .)

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be a finite set, let L be a language over X , and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following hold.*

1. $F_{L^{\wedge n}}(z) = F_L(z^n)$.
2. If L does not contain the empty word, then $F_L(z) = \sum_{k \geq 1} F_{\text{Prim}(L)}(z^k)$.

Proof. For completeness we include details of the proof of part 2. Since $\lambda \notin L$, then every word in L can be written uniquely as a power of a primitive word; that is,

$$L = \bigsqcup_{k \geq 1} (\text{Prim}(L))^{\wedge k},$$

and so $F_L(z) = \sum_{k \geq 1} F_{\text{Prim}(L)^{\wedge k}}(z) = \sum_{k \geq 1} F_{\text{Prim}(L)}(z^k)$, using part 1 of Lemma 2.2. \square

Proposition 2.3. *Let $L \subseteq X^*$ be a language satisfying $L^{\wedge k} \subseteq L$ for all $k \geq 1$, $\lambda \notin L$, and $\text{CycPerm}(L) = L$. Then the generating function of $\text{CycRep}(L)$ is*

$$F_{\text{CycRep}(L)}(z) = \int_0^z \frac{\sum_{k \geq 1} \phi(k) F_L(t^k)}{t} dt,$$

where ϕ is the Euler totient function.

Proof. Notice that for any word $w \in (\text{Prim}(L))^{\wedge k}$, the equivalence class (cycle) of w is also contained in $(\text{Prim}(L))^{\wedge k}$, and so $\text{CycRep}((\text{Prim}(L))^{\wedge k}) = \text{CycRep}(\text{Prim}(L))^{\wedge k}$. Moreover, $\text{CycRep}(L) = \bigsqcup_{k \geq 1} \text{CycRep}((\text{Prim}(L))^{\wedge k})$; then Lemma 2.2 part 1 yields

$$F_{\text{CycRep}(L)}(z) = \sum_{k \geq 1} F_{\text{CycRep}(\text{Prim}(L))}(z^k). \quad (5)$$

The number of cycles of length $n > 0$ with representatives in $\text{Prim}(L)$ is $\frac{1}{n}\theta_{\text{Prim}(L)}(n)$, and similarly, the number of distinct cycles of length nk with representatives in $(\text{Prim}(L))^{\wedge k}$ is also $\frac{1}{n}\theta_{(\text{Prim}(L))^{\wedge k}}(nk) = \frac{1}{n}\theta_{\text{Prim}(L)}(n)$. Thus for a fixed k a formal power series manipulation gives

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\text{CycRep}(\text{Prim}(L))}(z^k) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_{\text{CycRep}(\text{Prim}(L))}(n)(z^k)^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{k}{kn} \theta_{\text{Prim}(L)}(n) z^{kn} \\ &= \int_0^z k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_{\text{Prim}(L)}(n) t^{kn-1} dt = \int_0^z \frac{k F_{\text{Prim}(L)}(t^k)}{t} dt, \end{aligned}$$

and using Equation (5)

$$F_{\text{CycRep}(L)}(z) = \int_0^z \frac{\sum_{k \geq 1} k F_{\text{Prim}(L)}(t^k)}{t} dt.$$

It remains to show that

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} k F_{\text{Prim}(L)}(t^k) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \phi(k) F_L(t^k).$$

We use the number theoretic identity $k = \sum_{d/k} \phi(d)$ and write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \geq 1} k F_{\text{Prim}(L)}(t^k) &= \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{d/k} \phi(d) F_{\text{Prim}(L)}(t^k) \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{d/k, m=\frac{k}{d}} \phi(d) F_{\text{Prim}(L)}(t^{md}) = \sum_{d \geq 1} \phi(d) \sum_{m \geq 1} F_{\text{Prim}(L)}(t^{md}) \\ &= \sum_{d \geq 1} \phi(d) F_L(t^d), \end{aligned}$$

using in the last equality the fact that $F_L(z) = \sum_{k \geq 1} F_{\text{Prim}(L)}(z^k)$ from Lemma 2.2 part 2. \square

Proposition 2.3 leads us to define the following operator on formal complex power series.

Definition 2.4. For any formal complex power series f with integer coefficients and constant term equal to 0, let

$$\rho(f)(z) := \int_0^z \frac{\sum_{k \geq 1} \phi(k) f(t^k)}{t} dt. \quad (6)$$

The following is an immediate corollary of Proposition 2.3.

Corollary 2.5. *If X is a finite set and $L \subseteq X^*$ is a language satisfying $L^{\wedge k} \subseteq L$ for all $k \geq 1$, $\lambda \notin L$, and $\text{CycPerm}(L) = L$, then*

$$F_{\text{CycRep}(L)} = \rho(F_L).$$

Remark 2.6. For our computations in Sections 4 and 5, it will be useful to note that this operator ρ on power series satisfies the property that $\rho(f+g) = \rho(f) + \rho(g)$ for any formal complex power series f and g .

Example 2.7. The growth series $F_L = \frac{2z}{1-z}$ of the language $L = \{a^i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\}$ of nonempty reduced words over $X = \{a, a^{-1}\}$ in the infinite cyclic group G on a satisfies $\rho\left(\frac{2z}{1-z}\right) = \frac{2z}{1-z}$, since L satisfies the hypotheses of Corollary 2.5 and $\text{CycRep}(L) = L$.

We give applications of Corollary 2.5 in Section 4 and show how it can be used to compute the conjugacy growth series in right-angled Artin groups. In particular, by letting L be the language of nonempty cyclically reduced words in a free group and F_L its corresponding generating function, we can use Corollary 2.5 and Equation (6) to obtain Rivin's [21] formula (Equation (7)) for computing the conjugacy growth series of free groups.

Theorem 2.8. [21, Theorem 14.6, Corollaries 14.1, 14.5] *The spherical conjugacy growth series for the free group on k generators F_k , with respect to the inverse closure X of a free basis, satisfies*

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{(F_k, X)}(z) = 1 + \rho(F_L) = 1 + \int_0^z \frac{\sum_{k \geq 1} \phi(k) F_L(t^k)}{t} dt \quad (7)$$

where $F_L(z)$ is the strict growth series for the language L of nonempty cyclically reduced words on the standard generators in the free group F_k . Moreover,

$$F_L(z) = \frac{1}{1 - (2k-1)z} + \frac{1}{1-z} + \frac{2(k-1)}{1-z^2} - 2k.$$

Remark 2.9. The formula in Theorem 2.8 is a consequence of Rivin's proofs of Theorem 14.6 and Corollaries 14.1 and 14.5 in [21], and is a slight correction of the formula stated in Theorem 14.6 of that paper. In particular, in the statements of Corollary 14.5 and Theorem 14.6 of [21], the constant term 1 (for the empty word) was incorrectly added in the formula for the generating function of the sequence $r_{\Theta_{\text{ConjSL}}\{-\lambda\}}(r)$.

2.3 Regular languages

We refer to [17] for details on regular languages and finite state automata in this section.

For subsets A, B of X^* , the language AB is the set of concatenations wu with $w \in A$ and $u \in B$. Similarly for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ the set A^n is defined by $A^0 := \{\lambda\}$, $A^1 := A$, and for each $n \geq 2$, $A^n := A^{n-1}A$ is the set of concatenations of n words from A . Let $A^* := \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} A^n$ and $A^+ = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A^n$.

A language over X is *regular* if it can be built out of finite subsets of X using the operations of union, intersection, complementation, concatenation, and $*$; such an expression for a language is called a *regular expression*.

Regular languages are exactly the languages accepted by finite state automata. A *finite state automaton*, or *FSA*, is a 5-tuple $M = (X, Q, q_0, P, \delta)$ where X is a finite set called the *alphabet*, Q is a finite set known as the *set of states*, $q_0 \in Q$ is the *initial state*, $P \subseteq Q$ is the *set of accept states*, and $\delta : Q \times X \rightarrow Q$ is known as the *transition function*. The transition function can be extended to $\hat{\delta} : Q \times X^* \rightarrow Q$ recursively, by defining $\hat{\delta}(q, wx) := \delta(\hat{\delta}(q, w), x)$ for all $q \in Q$, $w \in X^*$, and $x \in X$. The *language accepted by the FSA M* is the set of all words $w \in X^*$ such that $\hat{\delta}(q_0, w) \in P$.

For any regular language L , the strict growth series $F_L(z)$ is a rational function. This can be effectively computed, and in particular the `GrowthFSA` command of the KBMAG package [16] in the GAP computational algebra software [13] implements the computation of this rational function for an FSA input.

3 Graph products and a decomposition of $\tilde{\sigma}$

In this section we obtain a language of unique conjugacy geodesic representatives of conjugacy classes in a graph product of groups that is built from shortlex conjugacy languages for subgraph products. This reduces the computation of the spherical conjugacy growth series of the entire graph product to computation of the spherical conjugacy growth series for subgraph products over indecomposable subsets of vertices, in Theorem 3.7. In Section 4 we use these results in the case of graph products of infinite cyclic groups (that is, RAAGs) to produce yet another language of conjugacy geodesic representatives that is more amenable to computation.

We begin in Section 3.1 with terminology, notation, and known results on graph products of groups.

3.1 Background on graph products and languages

Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a finite simple graph; that is, an undirected graph without loops or multiple edges with vertex set V and edge set E consisting of pairs of elements of V . Also throughout Section 3, let \ll be a fixed total order of V .

For each vertex v of Γ , let G_v be a nontrivial group with an inverse-closed generating set X_v . The *graph product of the groups G_v with respect to Γ* , denoted G_Γ or G_V , is the quotient of the free product of the groups G_v by

the normal closure of the set of relators $[g_v, g_w]$ for all $g_v \in G_v$ and $g_w \in G_w$ such that $\{v, w\} \in E$. The group G_V is generated by the (inverse-closed) set $X_V = \cup_{v \in V} X_v$.

For any subset $U \subseteq V$, the graph *induced* by U is the graph $\Gamma_U = (U, E_U)$ with edges $E_U = \{\{a, b\} \in E \mid a, b \in U\}$. The *subgraph product* of G_V associated to U is the subgroup $G_U := \langle \{G_v \mid v \in U\} \rangle$ of G_V . By [14, Proposition 3.31], G_U is isomorphic to the graph product of the groups G_v ($v \in U$) on the induced subgraph Γ_U . Note that G_\emptyset is the trivial group.

The *support* of a word $w \in X_V^*$ is the set

$$\text{Supp}(w) = \{v \in V \mid \text{a letter in } X_v \text{ appears in } w\}.$$

We will often work with the complement graph rather than with Γ itself. The *complement* of the graph Γ is the graph $\bar{\Gamma} = (V, \bar{E})$ with the same vertex set as Γ and edge set that is the complement of E ; that is,

$$\bar{E} := \{\{u, v\} \mid u, v \in V \text{ and } \{u, v\} \notin E\}.$$

Note that if U and U' are subsets of V that induce distinct connected components of $\bar{\Gamma}$, then for any $g \in G_U$ and $g' \in G_{U'}$, the elements g and g' commute in the graph product G_V . This yields the following.

Remark 3.1. If $V_1, \dots, V_m \subset V$ induce the connected components of $\bar{\Gamma}_V$, then the graph product $G_V \cong G_{V_1} \times \dots \times G_{V_m}$ is the direct product of the subgraph products G_{V_i} .

A total order $<_V$ of the generating set $X_V = \cup_{v \in V} X_v$ of a graph product group G_V on a graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ is called *compatible* with a total order \ll of the vertex set V of Γ if for each vertex $v \in V$ there is a total order $<_v$ of the set X_v such that for all $a, b \in X_V$ we have $a <_V b$ if and only if either $\text{Supp}(a) = \{u\}$ and $\text{Supp}(b) = \{u'\}$ with $u \ll u'$, or $\text{Supp}(a) = \text{Supp}(b) = \{u\}$ and $a <_u b$.

Proposition 3.2. [6, Cor. 3.3 and Prop.3.7] *Let G_V be a graph product group with generating set X_V , and let U be any subset of V . Let $<_{sl}$ be a shortlex order on X_V^* induced by an order on X_V compatible with a total order \ll on V , and let the shortlex order on X_U^* be the restriction of the order $<_{sl}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SL}(G_V, X_V) \cap X_U^* &= \text{SL}(G_U, X_U), \\ \text{CycSL}(G_V, X_V) \cap X_U^* &= \text{CycSL}(G_U, X_U) \\ \text{ConjSL}(G_V, X_V) \cap X_U^* &= \text{ConjSL}(G_U, X_U), \\ \text{Geo}(G_V, X_V) \cap X_U^* &= \text{Geo}(G_U, X_U), \\ \text{CycGeo}(G_V, X_V) \cap X_U^* &= \text{CycGeo}(G_U, X_U), \text{ and} \\ \text{ConjGeo}(G_V, X_V) \cap X_U^* &= \text{ConjGeo}(G_U, X_U). \end{aligned}$$

The *shuffle* operation on a word $w \in X_V^*$ is an application of a commuting relation from the graph product construction; that is, if we can write $w = yabz$ with $y, z \in X_V^*$, $a \in X_v^+$, and $b \in X_{v'}^+$ satisfying $\{v, v'\}$ is an edge of Γ , then there is a shuffle operation from w to the word $ybaz$. We write $w \xrightarrow{sh} w'$ if there is a finite sequence of shuffle operations from w to w' .

3.2 A decomposition of $\tilde{\sigma}(G_V, X_V)$ for graph products

Let $<_V$ be a total order of the generating set $X_V = \cup_{v \in V} X_v$ of a graph product group G_V on a graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ that is compatible with a total order \ll of the vertex set V of Γ .

Given any language $L \subset X_V^*$ and subset $U \subseteq V$, let

$$L^U := \{w \in L \mid \text{Supp}(w) = U\}.$$

Remark 3.3. Note that for any language $L \subseteq X_V^*$ and subset $U \subseteq V$,

$$L^U = L \cap (\cap_{u \in U} X_V^* X_u X_V^*). \quad (8)$$

Hence if L is a regular language, then so is the language L^U .

For any subset $U \subseteq V$, Proposition 3.2 shows that

$$\text{ConjSL}(G_V, X_V)^U = \text{ConjSL}(G_U, X_U)^U;$$

we also denote this set by ConjSL^U . Thus this set can be viewed as the set of shortlex conjugacy normal forms for the pair (G_U, X_U) that have “full support”, in the sense that they include at least one letter from X_v for every $v \in U$. Then $\text{ConjSL}(G_V, X_V)$ is a disjoint union

$$\text{ConjSL}(G_V, X_V) = \bigsqcup_{U \subseteq V} \text{ConjSL}^U.$$

Consequently the spherical conjugacy growth series satisfies

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{(G_V, X_V)} = F_{\text{ConjSL}(G_V, X_V)} = \sum_{U \subseteq V} F_{\text{ConjSL}^U}; \quad (9)$$

that is, $\tilde{\sigma}_{(G_V, X_V)}$ is the sum of the generating functions for the languages ConjSL^U .

Definition 3.4. Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ and let \ll be a total order of V . The \ll -*decomposition* of a nonempty subset $U \subseteq V$ is the ordered tuple

$$\delta_{\ll}(U) = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_m)$$


such that

- (a) the connected components of the complement graph $\overline{\Gamma_U}$ are the subgraphs induced by U_1, \dots, U_m , and
- (b) for each $1 \leq i < m$, there is a vertex $u \in U_i$ satisfying $u \ll v$ for all $v \in \cup_{j=i+1}^m U_j$.

A subset $U \subseteq V$ is called *indecomposable*, or *non-split*, if U is nonempty and the induced complement subgraph $\overline{\Gamma_U}$ has exactly one connected component.

This terminology comes from Remark 3.1; if $\delta_{\ll}(U) = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_m)$, then $G_U \cong G_{U_1} \times \dots \times G_{U_m}$ decomposes, or splits, as a direct product of subgraph products. Note that in the case that $U = \emptyset$, the graph $\overline{\Gamma_U}$ has no components; hence U is not called indecomposable.

Example 3.5. Let Γ be the following graph, with $1 \ll 2 \ll 3 \ll 4 \ll 5$.



Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{\ll}(\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}) &= (\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}), \\
\delta_{\ll}(\{1, 2, 3, 4\}) &= (\{1, 3\}, \{2, 4\}), \\
\delta_{\ll}(\{1, 3, 4, 5\}) &= (\{1, 3, 5\}, \{4\}), \\
\delta_{\ll}(\{1, 2, 4, 5\}) &= (\{1\}, \{2, 4, 5\}), \\
\delta_{\ll}(\{1, 4, 5\}) &= (\{1\}, \{4\}, \{5\}).
\end{aligned}$$

The computation of $\delta_{\ll}(U)$ with $U \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ different from the ones above will be done in Example 4.12 (since they are isomorphic to a subgraph of a line consisting of three segments).

Theorem 3.6. Let G_V be a graph product group with generating set X_V , let $<_V$ be a total order of X_V that is compatible with a total order \ll of V , and let $<_{sl}$ be the corresponding shortlex order on X_V^* . Let U be a nonempty subset of V and let $\delta_{\ll}(U) = (U_1, \dots, U_m)$. Then

- (1) there is a well-defined bijection $\Psi : \text{ConjSL}^U \rightarrow \text{ConjSL}^{U_1} \dots \text{ConjSL}^{U_m}$ that preserves conjugacy class and word length, and

$$(2) F_{\text{ConjSL}^U} = F_{\text{ConjSL}^{U_1}} \cdots F_{\text{ConjSL}^{U_m}}.$$

Proof. Let w be any word in ConjSL^U . The definition of the \ll -decomposition $\delta_{\ll}(U)$ of U shows that whenever $v \in U_i$ and $v' \in U_j$ with $i \neq j$, there is an edge $\{v, v'\}$ in the edge set E of Γ , and from Remark 3.1 we have $G_U = G_{U_1} \times \cdots \times G_{U_m}$. Thus there is a sequence of shuffles from w to a word of the form $w_1 \cdots w_m$ such that $w_i \in X_{U_i}^*$ and $\text{Supp}(w_i) = U_i$ for each i , and such that each shuffle commutes subwords in vertex generating sets corresponding to vertices in distinct elements of the tuple $\delta_{\ll}(U)$. Although at each step there may be more than one possible choice of shuffle operation to be applied next, since no operation shuffles two subwords within the same set $X_{U_i}^+$, the word $w_1 \cdots w_m$ is unique. Let $\Psi(w) := w_1 \cdots w_m$.

Since $\Psi(w)$ is obtained from w using shuffle operations, $l(\Psi(w)) = l(w)$ and $\Psi(w) =_{G_V} w$.

Let $1 \leq i \leq m$ and let $g_i \in G_{U_i}$. Then $w \sim_{G_V} g_i w g_i^{-1} =_{G_V} g_i \Psi(w) g_i^{-1} =_{G_V} w_1 \cdots w_{i-1} (g_i w_i g_i^{-1}) w_{i+1} \cdots w_m$ since g_i commutes with w_j for all $i \neq j$, and so $w \sim_{G_V} w_1 \cdots w_{i-1} x_i w_{i+1} \cdots w_m$ where x_i is the shortlex normal form for $g_i w_i g_i^{-1}$. Since $w \in \text{ConjSL}^U \subseteq \text{ConjSL}(G_V, X_V)$, the word w is a conjugacy geodesic, and so we have $l(w) \leq l(w_1 \cdots w_{i-1} x_i w_{i+1} \cdots w_m)$ and hence $l(w_i) \leq l(x_i)$. If $l(x_i) = l(w_i)$, we can write $w_i = t_1 \cdots t_k$ and $x_i = t'_1 \cdots t'_k$ with each $t_j, t'_j \in X_{U_i}$, and $w = b_0 t_1 b_1 \cdots t_k b_k$ with each $b_j \in (X_V \setminus X_{U_i})^*$. In that case, again using the fact that every t_j and t'_j commutes with all of the letters in $b_0 b_1 \cdots b_k$ yields $w \sim_{G_V} g_i w g_i^{-1} =_{G_V} b_0 t'_1 b_1 \cdots t'_k b_k$. Since w is a shortlex conjugacy normal form, we have $w \leq_{sl} b_0 t'_1 b_1 \cdots t'_k b_k$, and hence $w_i \leq_{sl} x_i$. Then $w_i \in \text{ConjSL}(G_{U_i}, X_{U_i})$ and so Proposition 3.2 says that $w_i \in \text{ConjSL}(G_V, X_V)$ as well. Thus $w_i \in \text{ConjSL}^{U_i}$. Therefore the image of the map Ψ lies in $\text{ConjSL}^{U_1} \cdots \text{ConjSL}^{U_m}$.

Suppose that w' is another word in ConjSL^U and $\Psi(w') = \Psi(w)$. Then $w' =_{G_V} w$, and w, w' are shortlex normal forms for the same element of G_V . Hence $w' = w$ and Ψ is injective.

Next suppose that $y_i \in \text{ConjSL}^{U_i}$ for each i , and let $z \in \text{ConjSL}(G_V, X_V)$ be the shortlex conjugacy normal form for the conjugacy class containing the element represented by $y_1 \cdots y_m$. Proposition 3.5 of [4] shows that the word $y_1 \cdots y_m$ over X_V is a conjugacy geodesic. Since z and $y_1 \cdots y_m$ are conjugacy geodesics representing the same conjugacy class, Corollary 3.6 of [6] says that $\text{Supp}(z) = \text{Supp}(y_1 \cdots y_m) = U$ and $z \sim_{G_U} y_1 \cdots y_m$. Then $z \in \text{ConjSL}^U$ and we can write $\Psi(z) = z_1 \cdots z_m$ with each $z_i \in \text{ConjSL}^{U_i}$. Moreover, there is an element $g \in G_U$ such that $z =_{G_V} g y_1 \cdots y_m g^{-1}$. Using the direct product structure of G_U , then $g = g_1 \cdots g_m$ for some elements $g_j \in G_{U_j}$ and $z_1 \cdots z_m =_{G_V} z =_{G_V} g_1 y_1 g_1^{-1} \cdots g_m y_m g_m^{-1}$; hence $z_i =_{G_V} g_i y_i g_i^{-1}$ for each i . Since z_i and y_i are both shortlex conjugacy normal forms, then $z_i = y_i$ for all i . Therefore $\Psi(z) = y_1 \cdots y_m$ and Ψ is surjective, completing the proof of part (1).

Part (2) follows from part (1) and the fact that whenever a language L

over an alphabet X is a concatenation $L = L_1 \cdots L_m$ of languages L_1, \dots, L_m over X , then $f_L = f_{L_1} \cdots f_{L_m}$. \square

Note that when $U = \emptyset$, $\text{ConjSL}^U = \{\lambda\}$ and hence $F_{\text{ConjSL}^U} = 1$. The following is now an immediate consequence of Equation (9) and Theorem 3.6.

Theorem 3.7. *Let G_V be a graph product group over a graph with vertex set V . Let X_V be a union of inverse-closed generating sets for the vertex groups, let $<_V$ be a total order of X_V that is compatible with a total order \ll of V , and let $<_{sl}$ be the corresponding shortlex order on X_V^* . Then the language*

$$\{\lambda\} \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{U \subseteq V, \delta_{\ll}(U) = (U_1, \dots, U_m)} \text{ConjSL}^{U_1} \cdots \text{ConjSL}^{U_m} \right)$$

is a set of unique conjugacy geodesic representatives for the conjugacy classes of G_V over X_V , and the spherical conjugacy growth series satisfies

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{(G_V, X_V)} = 1 + \sum_{\emptyset \neq U \subseteq V, \delta_{\ll}(U) = (U_1, \dots, U_m)} F_{\text{ConjSL}^{U_1}} \cdots F_{\text{ConjSL}^{U_m}}.$$

4 RAAGs: Conjugacy representatives and a formula for $\tilde{\sigma}$

The goal of Section 4 is to build upon the conjugacy geodesic representatives of conjugacy classes from Section 3.2 in the case of right-angled Artin groups, in order to produce another language of conjugacy geodesic representatives that naturally extends the language of cyclically reduced words in free groups (but is different from ConjSL), and to give a formula for the spherical conjugacy growth series of a RAAG. This language of conjugacy geodesic representatives also satisfies the property that its growth series can be easily obtained using the formulas in Section 2.2, provided that the growth series of a regular language built out of shortlex representatives is available; applications to computing the conjugacy growth series for some families of RAAGs are discussed in Sections 4.2 and 5.

We first gather some more terminology and known results on languages associated to RAAGs in Section 4.1.

4.1 Further background on RAAGs and languages

A *right-angled Artin group*, or *RAAG*, with respect to a finite simple graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ is the graph product group G_V such that each vertex group G_v is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} . If each vertex generating set $X_v = \{x_v, x_v^{-1}\}$ consists of a generator of G_v and its inverse, we refer to the generating set $X_V = \cup_{v \in V} X_v$ as the *Artin generating set* for the RAAG G_V .

For RAAGs, two of the languages discussed in this paper coincide.

Proposition 4.1. [5, Corollary 2.5] *If G_V is a right-angled Artin group, then a word w over the Artin generating set X_V is a conjugacy geodesic if and only if every cyclic conjugate of w is geodesic; that is, $\text{ConjGeo}(G_V, X_V) = \text{CycGeo}(G_V, X_V)$.*

Recall that a shuffle operation on a word $w \in X_V^*$ is an application of a commuting relation, replacing a word $yabz$ by the word $ybaz$ whenever $y, z \in X_V^*$, $a \in X_v^+$, $b \in X_{v'}^+$, and $\{v, v'\}$ is an edge of the graph Γ . A deletion exchanges a word of the form $yay'a^{-1}y''$ by the word $yy'y''$ whenever $y, y', y'' \in X_V^*$, $a \in X_v^*$ for some $v \in V$, and for each $v' \in \text{Supp}(y')$, either $v' = v$ or v and v' are joined by an edge in Γ . We write $w \xrightarrow{sh} w'$ if there is a finite sequence of shuffle operations from w to w' , and $w \xrightarrow{shde} w'$ if there is a finite sequence of shuffle and deletion operations from w to w' .

Proposition 4.2. [22, p. 36], [4, Prop 3.3, Cor3.4] *Let G_V be a right-angled Artin group with respect to a graph Γ , and let X_V be the Artin generating set. If w is any word over X_V and z is the shortlex normal form for w , then $w \xrightarrow{shde} z$. Moreover, if $w \in \text{Geo}(G_V, X_V)$, then $w \xrightarrow{sh} z$.*

In Section 4.2 we use several results from [7]; since the terminology in [7] differs somewhat from ours, we include a comparison here.

Remark 4.3. A word that is geodesic (respectively, cyclically geodesic) is called reduced (respectively, cyclically reduced) in [7]. Write the vertex set $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$; using a total order on X_V compatible with the order $v_n < v_{n-1} < \dots < v_1$ on V , a word that is in shortlex normal form (respectively, in CycSL) is said to be in normal form (respectively, cyclic normal form) in [7]. Finally, a word $w \in X_V^*$ for which $\text{Supp}(w)$ is indecomposable, or non-split, is referred to as a non-split word in [7].

4.2 Conjugacy geodesic representatives and spherical conjugacy growth for RAAGs

We begin this section with Theorem 4.4 and Corollary 4.5 that establish the set of conjugacy geodesic representatives which we will use for computing the spherical conjugacy growth series for RAAGs. To accomplish this, we combine our setup from Sections 2 and 3 with results of Crisp, Godelle, and Wiest in [7].

Theorem 4.4. *Let G_V be a right-angled Artin group with Artin generating set X_V , let $<_V$ be a total order of X_V that is compatible with a total order \ll of V , and let $<_{sl}$ be the corresponding shortlex order on X_V^* . Let U be a subset of V . If U is indecomposable, then there is a well-defined bijection*

$$\Phi : \text{CycRep}(\text{CycSL}(G_V, X_V)^U) \rightarrow \text{ConjSL}(G_V, X_V)^U$$

that preserves conjugacy class and word length.

Proof. Suppose that U is an indecomposable subset of V . For each element w of $\text{CycRep}(\text{CycSL}^U)$, define $\Phi(w)$ to be the conjugacy shortlex normal form of the conjugacy class containing w .

Let w be any element of $\text{CycRep}(\text{CycSL}^U) \subseteq \text{CycSL}^U$. Since every shortlex normal form is geodesic, then $w \in \text{CycGeo}^U$ as well. Now $\Phi(w) \in \text{ConjSL}(G_V, X_V) \subseteq \text{ConjGeo}(G_V, X_V)$, and so Proposition 4.1 says that $\Phi(w) \in \text{CycGeo}(G_V, X_V)$ also. Now Lemma 2.9 of [7] says that the two cyclically geodesic words w and $\Phi(w)$, which represent conjugate elements of G_V , are related by a sequence of cyclic permutations and commutation relations (i.e., shuffles). Hence these two words have the same support, and so $\Phi(w) \in \text{ConjSL}(G_V, X_V)^U$, as required.

Applying Proposition 4.1 to the word w shows that w is a conjugacy geodesic, as is the word $\Phi(w)$, and hence the function Φ preserves word length.

Now suppose that $w, w' \in \text{CycRep}(\text{CycSL}^U)$ and $\Phi(w) = \Phi(w')$. Then w and w' are cyclically shortlex normal forms that represent elements in the same conjugacy class, and so [7, Proposition 2.21] shows that w' is a cyclic permutation of w . But since both words are lexicographically least among their common set of cyclic permutations, $w = w'$ and Φ is injective.

Finally, [7, Propositions 2.18 and 2.20] show that every word in CycGeo^U , and hence every word in ConjSL^U , represents an element of G_V conjugate to an element represented by a cyclically shortlex word. Therefore Φ is also surjective. \square

The following is now immediate from Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 3.7.

Corollary 4.5. *Let G_V be a right-angled Artin group with Artin generating set X_V , let $<_V$ be a total order of X_V that is compatible with a total order \ll of V , and let $<_{sl}$ be the corresponding shortlex order on X_V^* . Then the set*

$$\{\lambda\} \bigsqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{\emptyset \neq U \subseteq V, \delta_{\ll}(U) = (U_1, \dots, U_m)} \text{CycRep}(\text{CycSL}^{U_1}) \cdots \text{CycRep}(\text{CycSL}^{U_m}) \right)$$

is a language of unique conjugacy geodesic representatives for the conjugacy classes of G_V over X_V , and

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{(G_V, X_V)} = 1 + \sum_{\emptyset \neq U \subseteq V, \delta_{\ll}(U) = (U_1, \dots, U_m)} F_{\text{CycRep}(\text{CycSL}^{U_1})} \cdots F_{\text{CycRep}(\text{CycSL}^{U_m})}.$$

Remark 4.6. We note that in general the languages of conjugacy geodesic conjugacy class representatives for RAAGs in Theorem 3.7 and Corollary 4.5 are not the same. For example, suppose that the defining graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ of

the RAAG G_V satisfies $V = \{a, b, c\}$, the edge set E contains only one edge, joining a and c , and the ordering on X_V is given by $x_a < x_a^{-1} < x_b < x_b^{-1} < x_c < x_c^{-1}$. Then for the indecomposable set $U = V$, the word $w = x_a x_c x_b$ lies in $\text{CycRep}(\text{CycSL}(G_V, X_V)^U)$, but $w =_{G_V} x_c x_a x_b$, and the cyclic conjugate word $x_a x_b x_c$ is the element of $\text{ConjSL}(G_V, X_V)^U$ representing the conjugacy class of w .

In order to use Corollary 4.5 to compute the spherical conjugacy growth series of G_V over X_V , we need to be able to find the growth series for $\text{CycRep}(\text{CycSL}^U)$ when U is indecomposable. Proposition 4.7 allows us to use Corollary 2.5 in this computation.

Proposition 4.7. *Let G_V be a right-angled Artin group on a finite simple graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ with Artin generating set X_V , let $<_V$ be a total order of X_V that is compatible with a total order \ll of V , and let $<_{sl}$ be the corresponding shortlex order on X_V^* . If U is an indecomposable subset of V , then*

- (1) $(\text{CycSL}^U)^{\wedge k} \subseteq \text{CycSL}^U$ for all $k \geq 1$, and
- (2) $\text{CycPerm}(\text{CycSL}^U) = \text{CycSL}^U$.

Proof. Let $w \in \text{CycSL}^U$. For any cyclic permutation w' of w , the support sets of w' and w are the same, and the sets of cyclic permutations of w' and w are the same and hence consist of shortlex normal forms. Therefore property (2) holds.

To prove property (1), we first consider the case that $|U| = 1$, and write $X_U = \{x_v, x_v^{-1}\}$ for the unique vertex $v \in U$. Using the fact from Proposition 3.2 that $\text{CycSL}^U = \text{CycSL}(G_V, X_V)^U = \text{CycSL}(G_U, X_U)^U$ is the set of cyclically shortlex normal forms in the abelian group G_U , the language CycSL^U is the set of words of the form x_v^m or $(x_v^{-1})^m$ with $m \geq 1$, and the language $(\text{CycSL}^U)^{\wedge k}$ is the subset of CycSL^U consisting of positive powers of x_v^k and $(x_v^{-1})^k$, as needed.

For the remainder of the proof we assume that U is an indecomposable subset of V satisfying $|U| \geq 2$. Since $\text{Supp}(w) = U$, we also have $\text{Supp}(w^k) = U$. Let z be the shortlex normal form for w^k . By Proposition 4.2, there is a finite sequence of shuffles and deletions from w^k to z .

Suppose that at least one deletion occurs in this sequence. Then there is a sequence of shuffles from w^k to a word of the form $z' := y a y' a^{-1} y''$ for some $y, y', y'' \in X_U^*$ and $a \in X_v$ with $v \in U$, such that for all $v' \in \text{Supp}(y')$ either $v' = v$ or v, v' are joined by an edge in Γ . We can write $w^k = w_1 a w_2 a^{-1} w_3$ with each $w_i \in X_U^*$, and since only shuffles and no deletions are performed in transforming w^k to z' , all of the letters of w_2 must either be a letter of y' or commute via a shuffle with one (and hence both) of the letters a or a^{-1} . Now a, a^{-1} are in the same vertex generating set X_v , and for each vertex $v' \in \text{Supp}(w_2)$, either $v' = v$ or there is an edge connecting v and v' in Γ . Since U is indecomposable, the complement $\overline{\Gamma_U}$ of the induced graph Γ_U is connected, and since $|U| \geq 2$, there is an edge in $\overline{\Gamma_U}$ from v to

another vertex v'' . Now $v'' \neq v$ and v'' is not connected to v by an edge in Γ . Hence $\text{Supp}(w_2) \neq U$, and so w_2 cannot contain a cyclic permutation of w as a subword; that is, w_2 is shorter than the word w . Also, since w is a shortlex normal form, the word aw_2a^{-1} cannot be a subword of w . Then we can write $w = caf = ga^{-1}d$ for some $c, f, g, d \in X_U^*$ such that $fg = w_2$ and $\text{Supp}(c), \text{Supp}(d)$ are not contained in $\text{Supp}(w_2) \cup \{v\}$. Consequently af must be a suffix of d and we can write $w = ga^{-1}haf$ for some $h \in X_U^+$. However, then the word $afga^{-1}h$ is a cyclic permutation of the word w that is not a geodesic, contradicting the fact that w is cyclically shortlex. Hence no deletion can occur in transforming w^k to its shortlex normal form z , and so there is a sequence of shuffles from w^k to z and w^k is a geodesic.

Suppose next that w^k is not in shortlex normal form for some $k > 1$, and let $m > 1$ denote the least integer greater than 1 for which $w^m \notin \text{SL}$. Let z be the shortlex normal form for the group element represented by w^m . Let f be the longest common prefix of the words w^m and z , and write $w^m = fw'$ and $z = fz'$. Since $w^m \neq z$, then $w' \neq z'$, and since z is in shortlex normal form, the word z' is the shortlex normal form for w' and $z' <_{sl} w'$. Then by our choice of m , the word w' cannot be a subword of w^k for any $k < m$, and so the length of f is strictly less than the length of w . Write $w = fg$ with $g \in X_U^+$, and let $\tilde{w} := gf$.

An earlier part of this proof shows that w^m is geodesic; hence the subword w' is also geodesic. Since $z' <_{sl} w'$ and the words w' and z' have no common prefix, we have $z' = az''$ and $w' = bw''$ for some $a, b \in X_U$ and $z'', w'' \in X_U^*$ such that $a <_V b$. Let v be the vertex for which $a \in X_v$.

Proposition 4.2 says that there is a sequence of shuffles from w' to z' , and the same sequence of shuffles transforms the word $\tilde{w}^m = w'f = bw''f$ to $z'f = az''f$. Thus we can write $\tilde{w}^m = bw_1aw_2$ with $w_1, w_2 \in X_U^*$ such that a commutes via shuffles with all of the letters of bw_1 . That is, for all $v' \in \text{Supp}(bw_1)$, the vertices v and v' are connected by an edge in Γ . As noted above, since U is indecomposable and $|U| \geq 2$, there is a vertex $v'' \in U = \text{Supp}(\tilde{w})$ with $v'' \notin \text{Supp}(bw_1) \cup \{v\}$. Hence bw_1 is shorter than the word \tilde{w} , and so bw_1a is a prefix of the cyclic permutation \tilde{w} of w that is not in shortlex normal form. This contradicts the fact that the word w is cyclically shortlex. Therefore w^k is in shortlex normal form for all $k \geq 1$.

For every $k \geq 1$, every cyclic permutation y of w^k has the form $y = \tilde{w}^k$ for some cyclic permutation \tilde{w} of w . Since $\tilde{w} \in \text{CycSL}^U$, the argument above applied to \tilde{w} shows that $y = \tilde{w}^k$ is in SL . Therefore $w^k \in \text{ConjSL}^U$, completing the proof of (1). \square

Corollary 2.5 and Proposition 4.7 yield the following.

Corollary 4.8. *Let G_V be a right-angled Artin group on a graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ and let X_V be the Artin generating set. Then for every indecomposable subset $U \subseteq V$, $F_{\text{CycRep}(\text{CycSL}^U)} = \rho(F_{\text{CycSL}^U})$.*

We now have all of the ingredients for our closed formula for the spherical conjugacy growth series for RAAGs; Theorem 4.9 follows immediately from Corollaries 4.5 and 4.8.

Theorem 4.9. *Let G_V be a right-angled Artin group on a graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ and let X_V be the Artin generating set. The spherical conjugacy growth series satisfies the formula*

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{(G_V, X_V)} = 1 + \sum_{\emptyset \neq U \subseteq V, \delta \ll (U) = (U_1, \dots, U_m)} \rho(F_{\text{CycSL}^{U_1}}) \cdots \rho(F_{\text{CycSL}^{U_m}}).$$

where for any formal complex power series f with integer coefficients and constant term equal to 0,

$$\rho(f)(z) := \int_0^z \frac{\sum_{k \geq 1} \phi(k) f(t^k)}{t} dt.$$

Remark 4.10. The free group on n generators $F_n = G_V = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \mid \rangle$, is the RAAG with defining graph

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_V = \begin{array}{cccc} a_1 & a_2 & \dots & a_n \\ \bullet & \bullet & & \bullet \end{array}.$$

Since every nonempty subset U of V is indecomposable, the formula in Theorem 4.9 is

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{(F_n, X_V)} = 1 + \sum_{\emptyset \neq U \subseteq V} \rho(F_{\text{CycSL}^U}) = 1 + \rho \left(\sum_{\emptyset \neq U \subseteq V} F_{\text{CycSL}^U} \right)$$

where the last equality uses the fact that the operator ρ commutes with addition (Remark 2.6). The cyclically shortlex language CycSL is the disjoint union

$$\text{CycSL} = \{1\} \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{\emptyset \neq U \subseteq V} \text{CycSL}^U \right), \quad (10)$$

and so $F_{\text{CycSL}} = 1 + \sum_{\emptyset \neq U \subseteq V} F_{\text{CycSL}^U}$. This recovers the formula

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{(F_n, X_V)} = 1 + \rho(F_{\text{CycSL}} - 1)$$

from Rivin's work [21] in Equation (7) of Theorem 2.8 above; Rivin's formula for $F_{\text{CycSL}} - 1$ as a rational function is also given in Theorem 2.8.

For comparison with the formula in Equation (3) in our earlier paper [6, Theorem A] for the spherical conjugacy growth series of the free group of rank n , that result, together with induction on n , yields

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{(F_n, X_V)} = \frac{1 + (2n - 1)z}{1 - z} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mathbf{N} \left(\frac{4jz^2}{(1 - z)(1 - (2j - 1)z)} \right).$$

where the operator \mathbf{N} is defined in Equation (4).

Next we apply Theorem 4.9 to give a formula for the spherical conjugacy growth series of the free product of a RAAG G with a free group, in terms of the spherical conjugacy growth of the group G along with growth of the cyclically shortlex languages for G and the free product. In Example 5.1 in Section 5, we use the formula in Corollary 4.11 along with computations in GAP of the growth series for the cyclic shortlex conjugacy languages to analyze the spherical conjugacy growth series of the free product of \mathbb{Z} with free abelian groups \mathbb{Z}^n for small n .

Corollary 4.11. *Let G_W be a right-angled Artin group on a simple graph $\Gamma_W = (W, E)$, and let $G_W * F_m$ be the free product of G_W with a free group of rank $m \geq 1$ with free basis W' . Viewing $G_W * F_m$ as a RAAG with defining graph $\Gamma_V := (V, E)$ where $V := W \sqcup W'$, let X_W and X_V be the Artin generating sets of G_W and $G_W * F_m$, respectively. Then*

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{(G_W * F_m, X_V)} = \tilde{\sigma}_{(G_W, X_W)} + \rho \left(F_{\text{CycSL}(G_W * F_m, X_V)} - F_{\text{CycSL}(G_W, X_W)} \right).$$

Proof. In the complement graph $\overline{\Gamma_V}$, there are edges between every pair of vertices in W' , and between every pair of vertices u, v with $u \in W$ and $v \in W'$. Hence for each subset $U \subseteq V$ satisfying $U \cap W' \neq \emptyset$, the subgraph of the complement graph induced by U , $\overline{\Gamma_U}$, is connected, and so $\delta_{\ll}(U) = (U)$ in this case. The formula in Theorem 4.9 now says that the spherical conjugacy growth series for $G_W * F_m$ with respect to X_V satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}_{(G_W * F_m, X_V)} &= 1 + \sum_{\emptyset \neq U \subseteq W, \delta_{\ll}(U) = (U_1, \dots, U_m)} \left(\rho \left(F_{\text{CycSL}^{U_1}} \right) \cdots \rho \left(F_{\text{CycSL}^{U_m}} \right) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{U \subseteq V, U \cap W' \neq \emptyset} \rho \left(F_{\text{CycSL}^U} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Proposition 3.2 shows that for any subset $U \subseteq W$, $\text{CycSL}(G_W * F_m, X_V)^U = \text{CycSL}(G_W, X_W)^U$, and so applying Theorem 4.9 to the first two summands in Equation (11) yields

$$1 + \sum_{\emptyset \neq U \subseteq W, \delta_{\ll}(U) = (U_1, \dots, U_m)} \left(\rho \left(F_{\text{CycSL}^{U_1}} \right) \cdots \rho \left(F_{\text{CycSL}^{U_m}} \right) \right) = \tilde{\sigma}_{(G_W, X_W)}. \quad (12)$$

Applying the additivity of the operator ρ from Remark 2.6 along with Equation (10) to the third summand in Equation (11) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{U \subseteq V, U \cap W' \neq \emptyset} \rho \left(F_{\text{CycSL}^U} \right) &= \rho \left(\sum_{U \subseteq V, U \cap W' \neq \emptyset} F_{\text{CycSL}^U} \right) \\ &= \rho \left(F_{\text{CycSL} \cap (X_V^* X_{W'} X_V^*)} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $\text{CycSL} \cap (X_V^* X_{W'} X_V^*)$ is the set of all cyclically shortlex words over X_V that contain at least one letter in the generating set $X_{W'}$ of F_m . The

language $\text{CycSL} = \text{CycSL}(G_W * F_m, X_V)$ is the disjoint union of the set $\text{CycSL} \cap (X_V^* X_W X_V^*)$ and the set $\text{CycSL}(G_W * F_m, X_V) \cap X_W^*$. Using Proposition 3.2 again, the latter set is $\text{CycSL}(G_W, X_W)$, the set of cyclic shortlex words for the RAAG subgroup G_W of $G_W * F_m$ generated by X_W . Then

$$F_{\text{CycSL}(G_W * F_m, X_V) \cap (X_V^* X_W X_V^*)} = F_{\text{CycSL}(G_W * F_m, X_V)} - F_{\text{CycSL}(G_W, X_W)}. \quad (14)$$

Combining Equations (11), (12), (13), and (14) completes the proof. \square

Next we consider a RAAG that does not decompose as a free product of sub-RAAGs.

Example 4.12. Let $G = \langle a, b, c, d \mid [a, b] = [b, c] = [c, d] = 1 \rangle$; that is, $G = G_V$ is the RAAG with defining graph

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_V = \begin{array}{cccc} a & & b & & c & & d \\ \bullet & \text{---} & \bullet & \text{---} & \bullet & \text{---} & \bullet \end{array}$$

with vertex set $V = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and Artin (inverse-closed) generating set $X_V = \{a^{\pm 1}, b^{\pm 1}, c^{\pm 1}, d^{\pm 1}\}$. (We simplify notation here by replacing x_a by a , etc.) The complement graph is

$$\bar{\Gamma} = \bar{\Gamma}_V = \begin{array}{cccc} c & & a & & d & & b \\ \bullet & \text{---} & \bullet & \text{---} & \bullet & \text{---} & \bullet \end{array}.$$

Isomorphic induced subgraphs of $\bar{\Gamma}$ define isomorphic Artin subgroups (with the isomorphism mapping one Artin generating set to another), and hence give rise to the same contribution to the spherical conjugacy growth series. Consequently the formula in Theorem 4.9 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}_{(G_V, X_V)} &= 1 + 4\rho\left(F_{\text{CycSL}\{a\}}\right) + 3\rho\left(F_{\text{CycSL}\{a\}}\right)\rho\left(F_{\text{CycSL}\{b\}}\right) \\ &\quad + 3\rho\left(F_{\text{CycSL}\{a,c\}}\right) + 3\rho\left(F_{\text{CycSL}\{a,c\}}\right)\rho\left(F_{\text{CycSL}\{b\}}\right) \\ &\quad + 3\rho\left(F_{\text{CycSL}\{a,c,d\}}\right) + \rho\left(F_{\text{CycSL}\{a,b,c,d\}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The language $\text{CycSL}^{\{a\}} = \text{CycSL}(G_V, X_V)^{\{a\}} = \text{CycSL}(G_{\{a\}}, X_{\{a\}})^{\{a\}}$ (using Proposition 3.2) is the set of all cyclic shortlex normal forms in the Artin group \mathbb{Z} except for the empty word; that is, $\text{CycSL}^{\{a\}} = \{a^n \mid n \geq 1\} \cup \{(a^{-1})^n \mid n \geq 1\}$. Moreover, each of the words in the language $\text{CycSL}^{\{a\}}$ is also lexicographically least among all of its cyclic permutations, since cyclically permuting a word in $\text{CycSL}^{\{a\}}$ does not alter the word; hence $\text{CycRep}(\text{CycSL}^{\{a\}}) = \text{CycSL}^{\{a\}}$. Using Corollary 4.8 now shows that $\rho(\text{CycSL}^{\{a\}}) = F_{\text{CycRep}(\text{CycSL}^{\{a\}})} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2z^n = \frac{2z}{1-z}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}_{(G_V, X_V)} &= \frac{(1+z)(1+5z)}{(1-z)^2} + \left(\frac{3(1+z)}{1-z}\right)\rho\left(F_{\text{CycSL}\{a,c\}}\right) \\ &\quad + 3\rho\left(F_{\text{CycSL}\{a,c,d\}}\right) + \rho\left(F_{\text{CycSL}\{a,b,c,d\}}\right). \quad (15) \end{aligned}$$

In Section 5, we discuss a method for computing the growth series $F_{\text{CycSL}\{a,c\}}$, $F_{\text{CycSL}\{a,c,d\}}$, and $F_{\text{CycSL}\{a,b,c,d\}}$ as rational functions.

5 Some computations of spherical and geodesic conjugacy growth series for RAAGs

In this section we discuss using formal language theory methods, along with the software package KBMAG [16] in GAP [13], to compute examples of spherical and geodesic conjugacy growth series for a right-angled Artin group G_V with respect to the (inverse-closed) Artin generating set X_V . In particular, we describe a method to compute the growth series of the language CycSL^U for each indecomposable subset $U \subseteq V$.

Note that

$$\text{CycSL}(G_V, X_V) = X_V^* \setminus \text{CycPerm}(X_V^* \setminus \text{SL}(G_V, X_V))$$

and combining this with Equation (8) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CycSL}^U &= (X_V^* \setminus \text{CycPerm}(X_V^* \setminus \text{SL}(G_V, X_V))) \\ &\quad \cap (\cap_{u \in U} X_V^* X_u X_V^*) \cap (X_V^* \setminus (\cap_{v \notin U} X_V^* X_v X_V^*)). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

For every right-angled Artin group G_V the set $\text{SL}(G_V, X_V)$ is a regular language [15, 23]. As regular languages are closed under complementation and cyclic permutations (see for example Lemma 2.1 in [5]), Equation (16) shows that the language CycSL^U is also regular.

Every RAAG G_V has an automatic structure with respect to a shortlex order on X_V^* [15, 23], and a finite state automaton for the language $\text{SL}(G_V, X_V)$ can be obtained by computing this automatic structure with the KBMAG package [16] in the computational algebra system GAP [13]. Commands are also available in the GAP KBMAG package for manipulating FSAs, and in particular producing FSAs that accept the complement of a language or the intersection of the languages of two other FSAs. An automaton recognizing the regular language $X_V^* \setminus \text{SL}$ can be computed from this, and then a further FSA recognising the language $\text{CycPerm}(X_V^* \setminus \text{SL}(G_V, X_V))$ can be produced following the construction in the proof of [5, Lemma 2.1]. For each $v \in V$, the set $X_V^* X_v X_V^*$ of words containing at least one letter from the vertex generating set X_v is the language of a finite state automaton with state set $Q = \{q_1, q_2\}$ in which q_1 is the initial state, q_2 is the only accept state, and the transition function $\delta : Q \times X_V \rightarrow Q$ satisfies $\delta(q_1, x_v^{\pm 1}) = q_2$, $\delta(q_1, a) = q_1$ for all $a \in X_{V-\{v\}}$, and $\delta(q_2, b) = q_2$ for all $b \in X_V$. When these automata are input into in GAP, the intersection and complementation operations enables computation of the automaton whose language is the set $\cap_{u \in U} X_U^* X_u X_U^*$ of words with support equal to U for any $U \subseteq V$. Hence an automaton for CycSL^U can be constructed in GAP following the formula in Equation (16). Finally, the growth series of the regular language accepted by an FSA, expressed as a rational function, can be obtained in KBMAG/GAP by applying the `GrowthFSA` command. A sample of GAP code to compute the growth function for CycSL^U is given in Example 6.1.

A similar algorithm can be used to compute the conjugacy geodesic growth series for any RAAG. As noted in Proposition 4.1, the languages `CycGeo` of cyclically geodesic words and `ConjGeo` of conjugacy geodesic words coincide for RAAGs, so it suffices to compute the growth of `CycGeo`. This begins with the construction of a finite state automaton whose language is `Geo`, as follows. For each vertex $v \in V$, let L_v be the set of words over X_V for which no sequence of shuffles results in a subword of the form $x_v x_v^{-1}$ or $x_v^{-1} x_v$. Then L_v is the language of a finite state automaton with state set $Q = \{q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4, F\}$ in which q_1 is the initial state, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4 are accept states, and the transition function $\delta : Q \times X_V \rightarrow Q$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(q_1, x_v) &= q_2 & \delta(q_1, x_v^{-1}) &= q_3 & \delta(q_1, b) &= q_1 & \delta(q_1, c) &= q_4 \\ \delta(q_2, x_v) &= q_2 & \delta(q_2, x_v^{-1}) &= F & \delta(q_2, b) &= q_2 & \delta(q_2, c) &= q_4 \\ \delta(q_3, x_v) &= F & \delta(q_3, x_v^{-1}) &= q_2 & \delta(q_3, b) &= q_3 & \delta(q_3, c) &= q_4 \\ \delta(q_4, x_v) &= q_2 & \delta(q_4, x_v^{-1}) &= q_3 & \delta(q_4, b) &= q_4 & \delta(q_4, c) &= q_4 \end{aligned}$$

for all $b \in X_{V \setminus \{v\}}$ that commute with x_v and all $c \in X_{V \setminus \{v\}}$ that do not commute with x_v , and $\delta(F, d) = F$ for all $d \in X_V$. (Note: If for all $u \in V \setminus \{v\}$ the vertex u is connected to v by an edge in Γ_V , then the state q_4 is not needed for this FSA.) Loading these automata into GAP allows computation of the language `Geo`, since Proposition 4.2 shows that $\text{Geo} = \bigcap_{v \in V} L_v$. Now Proposition 4.1 and the analog of the formula in Equation (16) give

$$\text{ConjGeo}(G_V, X_V) = \text{CycGeo}(G_V, X_V) = X_V^* \setminus \text{CycPerm}(X_V^* \setminus \text{Geo}(G_V, X_V)), \quad (17)$$

and the functions in GAP for computing `CycSL` also apply to compute `ConjGeo` for RAAGs. Again using the `GrowthFSA` command in GAP results in a rational expression for the conjugacy geodesic growth series. A sample of GAP code to compute the conjugacy geodesic growth series for `ConjGeo` is given in Example 6.2.

In the following examples, we apply both algorithms to some families of RAAGs.

Example 5.1. The free product $H_n := \mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}^n$ of an infinite cyclic group with the free abelian group $J_n \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ on n generators is the RAAG

$$H_n = \langle a, b_1, \dots, b_n \mid [b_i, b_j] = 1 \text{ for all } i, j \rangle$$

with defining graph Γ whose vertex set is $V_n = W_n \sqcup W'$ where $W_n = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ and $W' = \{a\}$, with an edge between b_i and b_j for all $i \neq j$. Corollary 4.11 says that the spherical conjugacy growth series for H_n with respect to the Artin generating set $X_{V_n} = \{a^{\pm 1}, b_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, b_n^{\pm 1}\}$ is

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{(H_n, X_{V_n})} = \tilde{\sigma}_{(J_n, X_{W_n})} + \rho \left(F_{\text{CycSL}(H_n, X_{V_n})} - F_{\text{CycSL}(J_n, X_{W_n})} \right)$$

The spherical conjugacy growth series of the free abelian group J_n is the product of the spherical conjugacy growth series of the n vertex groups; that is, $\tilde{\sigma}_{(J_n, X_W)} = ((1+z)/(1-z))^n$. A word w in $X_{W_n}^*$ containing two distinct letters $b, b' \in X_{W_n}$ cannot be a cyclic shortlex normal form, since the group J_n is abelian and there is a cyclic permutation of w in which b precedes b' and another cyclic permutation of w in which b' precedes b . Hence $\text{CycSL}(J_n, X_{W_n}) = \{b_i^k \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, and so the growth series of this language is $F_{\text{CycSL}(J_n, X_{W_n})} = (1 + (2n-1)z)/(1-z)$. Plugging this into the formula for $\tilde{\sigma}$ above yields

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{(H_n, X_{V_n})} = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^n + \rho \left(F_{\text{CycSL}(H_n, X_{V_n})} - \frac{1 + (2n-1)z}{1-z} \right).$$

The GAP code described above (and in Example 6.1) shows that for $n \leq 5$, the formula for the spherical conjugacy growth series of $H_n = \mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}^n$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}_{(H_1, X_{V_1})} &= \frac{1+z}{1-z} + \rho \left(\frac{2z(1+3z)}{(1+z)(1-3z)} \right), \\ \tilde{\sigma}_{(H_2, X_{V_2})} &= \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^2 + \rho \left(\frac{2z(1+5z-z^2-z^3)}{(1+z)(1-z)(1-4z-z^2)} \right), \\ \tilde{\sigma}_{(H_3, X_{V_3})} &= \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^3 + \rho \left(\frac{2z(1+8z+6z^2+4z^3-3z^4)}{(1+z)(1-z)(1-5z-z^2-3z^3)} \right), \\ \tilde{\sigma}_{(H_4, X_{V_4})} &= \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^4 + \rho \left(\frac{2z(1+11z+18z^2+22z^3-3z^4-z^5)}{(1+z)(1-z)(1-6z-10z^3-z^4)} \right), \\ \tilde{\sigma}_{(H_5, X_{V_5})} &= \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^5 + \rho \left(\frac{2z(1+14z+35z^2+20z^3+5z^4+6z^5-3z^6)}{(1+z)(1-z)(1-7z+2z^2-22z^3-3z^4-3z^5)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Computing further, for $1 \leq n \leq 9$, the growth series

$$F_{\text{CycSL}(H_n, X_{V_n})} - \frac{1 + (2n-1)z}{1-z} = F_{\text{CycSL} \cap (X_{V_n}^* X_a X_{V_n}^*)}$$

has the form

$$\frac{2z(1 + (3n-1)z + \cdots + ((-1)^{n-1}n+1)z^n + ((-1)^n-2)z^{n+1})}{(1+z)(1-z)(1-(n+2)z + \cdots + (((-1)^{n-1}-2)n+2)z^{n-1} + ((-1)^n-2)z^n)};$$

we have not yet found a pattern for the intermediate terms.

For comparison with the formula in Equation (3) from our earlier paper [6, Theorem A] for the spherical conjugacy growth series, that result, along with the computation of the spherical (conjugacy) growth series of the free abelian group, yields

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{(H_n, X_{V_n})} = \frac{2z}{1-z} + \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^n + \mathbf{N} \left(\left(\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^n - 1 \right) \left(\frac{2z}{1-z} \right) \right),$$

where N is the operator in Equation (4).

One route to compute the conjugacy geodesic growth series of the free product group H_n as a rational function is to use the result of [4, Theorem 3.8] that

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{(H_n, X_{V_n})} = -1 + \tilde{\gamma}_{(\mathbb{Z}, X_a)} + \tilde{\gamma}_{(J, X_{W_n})} - z \frac{d}{dz} \log[1 - (\gamma_{(\mathbb{Z}, X_a)} - 1)(\gamma_{(J, X_{W_n})} - 1)].$$

For the free abelian group \mathbb{Z} on one generator, $\tilde{\gamma}_{(\mathbb{Z}, X_a)} = \gamma_{(\mathbb{Z}, X_a)} = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$; we compute the (conjugacy) geodesic growth series $\tilde{\gamma}_{(J_n, X_{W_n})} = \tilde{\gamma}_{(J_n, X_{W_n})}$ for free abelian groups \mathbb{Z}^n for all n in Example 5.2.

In the next example, we use GAP computations of conjugacy geodesic growth series for free abelian groups on small numbers of generators along with a result of Loeffler, Meier, and Worthington [18] in order to determine a closed formula for the conjugacy geodesic growth series for all free abelian groups.

Example 5.2. The free abelian group on n generators $\mathbb{Z}^n = G_V = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \mid [a_i, a_j] = 1 \text{ for all } i \neq j \rangle$ is the RAAG with defining graph Γ_V that is the complete graph on the n vertices in V .

For these abelian groups, each conjugacy class is a singleton, and so growth and conjugacy growth coincide. The spherical (conjugacy) growth series of this group with respect to the usual generating set $X_V = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}^{\pm 1}$ can be computed completely as

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{(\mathbb{Z}^n, X_V)} = \sigma_{(\mathbb{Z}^n, X_V)} = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^n ;$$

that is, $\tilde{\sigma}$ is the product of the spherical conjugacy growth series of the n vertex groups.

The geodesic and geodesic conjugacy growth series also coincide for abelian groups. In [18, Proposition 1] Loeffler, Meier, and Worthington show that whenever $\gamma_{(H, Y)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k z^k$ and $\gamma_{(J, Z)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} j_k z^k$, then the direct product of H and J has geodesic growth series $\gamma_{(H \times J, Y \cup Z)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_k z^k$ where $m_k := \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} h_i j_{k-i}$ for all k ; in [4, Theorem 3.8] the first two authors show that the same formula holds for the conjugacy geodesic growth series of a direct product in terms of the conjugacy geodesic growth series of the direct factors. However, in both cases this formula does not directly show how to compute a rational expression for $\tilde{\gamma}_{(H \times J, Y \cup Z)}$ from rational expressions for the conjugacy growth series of the factors. Using Equation (17) and GAP again, the conjugacy geodesic growth series of \mathbb{Z}^n for $2 \leq n \leq 5$ has the form

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{(\mathbb{Z}^n, X_V)} = \gamma_{(\mathbb{Z}^n, X_V)} = \frac{1 + (n(3-n)/2)z + \dots + (n!)z^n}{(1-z)(1-2z)\dots(1-nz)} \quad (18)$$

(where we do not imply a pattern for the other coefficients in the numerator here), which led us to conjecture that the (conjugacy) geodesic growth series for \mathbb{Z}^n for all n is a rational function with denominator of the form $(1-z)(1-2z)\cdots(1-nz)$, and hence the geodesic growth function for \mathbb{Z}^n satisfies

$$\Theta_{\text{Geo}(\mathbb{Z}^n, X_V)}(k) = a_{n,1}(1^k) + a_{n,2}(2^k) + \dots + a_{n,n}(n^k)$$

for all $k \geq 1$ and for some constants $a_{n,j}$. The rational functions computed in GAP for $2 \leq n \leq 5$ satisfy $a_{n,j} = (-1)^{n-j}(2^j)\binom{n}{j}$. Applying the formula above from [18, 4] for computing the (conjugacy) geodesic growth series of a direct product to $\mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{Z}$, along with induction on n , shows that this conjecture holds and that this formula holds for the constants $a_{n,j}$ for all n , yielding Theorem 5.3.

Theorem 5.3. *The geodesic and conjugacy geodesic growth series for \mathbb{Z}^n with respect to the standard generating set X satisfy*

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{(\mathbb{Z}^n, X)} = \gamma_{(\mathbb{Z}^n, X)} = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{n-j}(2^j)\binom{n}{j} \frac{jz}{1-jz}$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

The above formula can also be obtained directly by counting geodesic paths of a given length m starting at the origin in each of the 2^n orthants of \mathbb{Z}^n , (there are n^m such geodesic paths in an orthant of \mathbb{Z}^n), noting that no geodesic can cross between orthants, and controlling the overcounting and undercounting in the intersections of orthants by inclusion-exclusion.

Example 5.4. Returning to the RAAG $G = \langle a, b, c, d \mid [a, b] = [b, c] = [c, d] = 1 \rangle$ from Example 4.12, the algorithm in KBMAG described above yields expressions for $F_{\text{CycSL}\{a,c\}}$, $F_{\text{CycSL}\{a,c,d\}}$, and $F_{\text{CycSL}\{a,b,c,d\}}$ as rational functions. Plugging these into Equation (15) and using the fact that ρ commutes with addition gives the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}_{(G_V, X_V)} &= \frac{(1+z)(1+5z)}{(1-z)^2} + \left(\frac{3(1+z)}{1-z}\right) \rho \left(\frac{8z^2}{(1+z)(1-z)(1-3z)}\right) \\ &\quad + \rho \left(\frac{8z^3(9-56z+31z^2)}{(1+z)(1-z)(1-3z)(1-5z)(1-4z-z^2)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Again comparing this with the formula in Equation (3) from our earlier paper [6, Theorem A], that result yields

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}_{(G_V, X_V)} &= \frac{(1+z)(1+5z)}{(1-z)^2} + \left(\frac{1+3z}{1-z}\right) \mathbf{N} \left(\frac{4z^2}{(1-z)^2}\right) \\ &\quad + \mathbf{N} \left(\frac{8z^2}{(1-z)(1-3z)}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The conjugacy geodesic growth series $\tilde{\gamma}$ for the RAAG G is the rational function $\tilde{\gamma} = p(z)/q(z)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} p(z) &= 1 - 11z + 41z^2 - 71z^3 + 47z^4 + 575z^5 - 2557z^6 - 189z^7 + 15796z^8 \\ &\quad - 21760z^9 + 5680z^{10} - 6576z^{11} + 6720z^{12}, \text{ and} \\ q(z) &= (1+z)(1-z)(1-2z)(1-3z)(1-4z)(1-2z-z^2-2z^3) \\ &\quad \cdot (1-8z+7z^2+24z^3-20z^4). \end{aligned}$$

We note that the GAP code described earlier in Section 5 and illustrated in Example 6.2 is not able to compute the rational expression for $\tilde{\gamma}$ in Example 5.4 directly; as noted in the manual [16] for the KBMAG package, when coefficients in the rational function are greater than roughly 16,000, the software fails to complete the computation of the growth series of the finite state automaton. As a consequence, we computed the conjugacy geodesic growth series with a slightly altered method, applying the principle of inclusion-exclusion, which can be used more generally in the computation for $\tilde{\gamma}$ for any RAAG G_V over an Artin generating set X_V as follows. For each vertex $v \in V$, let L'_v be the language over X_V defined by

$$L'_v = \{w_1x_v^e w_2x_v^{-e}w_3 \mid e \in \{\pm 1\}, w_1, w_3 \in X_{\text{Lk}(v)}^*, \text{ and } w_2 \in X_V^*\}$$

(where as before, $\text{Lk}(v)$ is the set of all vertices of V that are adjacent to v in the graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ defining G_V). This language L'_v is the set of all words w over X_V satisfying the property that for some cyclic permutation w' of w , a finite sequence of shuffles can be applied to w' to obtain a word with a subword of the form $x_vx_v^{-1}$ or $x_v^{-1}x_v$. Hence Propositions 4.1 and 4.2 show that words in $\text{Geo}(G_V, X_V)$ fail to be in $\text{ConjGeo}(G_V, X_V) = \text{CycGeo}(G_V, X_V)$ if and only if they lie on one of these languages L'_v ; that is, the language $\text{ConjGeo}(G_V, X_V)$ is obtained from $\text{Geo}(G_V, X_V)$ by

$$\text{ConjGeo}(G_V, X_V) = \text{Geo}(G_V, X_V) - \bigcup_{v \in V} (L'_v \cap \text{Geo}(G_V, X_V)).$$

Now the growth series for these languages satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\gamma}_{(G_V, X_V)} &= \gamma_{(G_V, X_V)} - \sum_{v \in V} F_{\text{Geo}(G_V, X_V) \cap L'_v} + \sum_{\{v_1, v_2\} \subseteq V} F_{\text{Geo}(G_V, X_V) \cap L'_{v_1} \cap L'_{v_2}} \\ &\quad + \cdots + (-1)^i \sum_{\{v_1, \dots, v_i\} \subseteq V} F_{\text{Geo}(G_V, X_V) \cap L'_{v_1} \cap \cdots \cap L'_{v_i}} + \cdots \\ &\quad + (-1)^{|V|} F_{\text{Geo}(G_V, X_V) \cap L'_{v_1} \cap \cdots \cap L'_{v_{|V|}}} \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where the sum in the i -th term $(-1)^i \sum_{\{v_1, \dots, v_i\} \subseteq V} F_{\text{Geo}(G_V, X_V) \cap L'_{v_1} \cap \cdots \cap L'_{v_i}}$ is taken over the sets of i distinct vertices v_1, \dots, v_i of V . The languages L'_v are regular, and each L'_v is the accepted language of an FSA with 6 states which

can be input into KBMAG. The FSA for the language $\text{Geo}(G_V, X_V)$ can be computed as described earlier in Section 5, and commands in KBMAG/GAP can be used to create FSAs and compute their growth for the intersections of languages appearing in Equation (19).

6 Appendix

In this appendix we give samples of GAP code to do some of the computations discussed in Section 5.

Example 6.1. The GAP code below computes the growth series of the language $\text{CycSL}^{\{a,b\}}$ for the right-angled Artin group $G = \langle a, b \mid \rangle$.

```

LoadPackage("KBMAG");
F:=FreeGroup("a","b"); a:=F.1; b:=F.2;

#####
## Compute SL(G_V,X_V). ##

R:=KBMAGRewritingSystem(F); AA:=AutomaticStructure(R);
W:=WordAcceptor(R);
## W is the FSA for SL(G_V,X_V) for the RAAG < a,b | >

#####
## Two functions used in computing CycSL ##

Prefixes := function (fsa, i, n)
  local P,m,j,Holdp;
  Holdp:=fsa; P := fsa; m := NumberOfStatesFSA(Holdp);
  for j in [1..m] do SetAcceptingFSA(P, j, false); od;
  SetAcceptingFSA(P, i, true);
  return MinimizeFSA(P);
end;

Suffixes := function (fsa, i, n)
  local S,m,j,Holds;
  Holds:=fsa; S := fsa; m := NumberOfStatesFSA(Holds);
  for j in [1..m] do SetInitialFSA(S, j, false); od;
  SetInitialFSA(S, i, true);
  return MinimizeFSA(S);
end;

#####

```

```

## Compute CycSL(G_V,X_V)                                ##

n := NumberOfStatesFSA(NotFSA(W));

P := Prefixes(NotFSA(W), 1, n);
S := Suffixes(NotFSA(W), 1, n);
CycPermNotSL := ConcatFSA(S,P);

for i in [2..n] do
  P := Prefixes(NotFSA(W), i, n);
  S := Suffixes(NotFSA(W), i, n);
  Temp := OrFSA(CycPermNotSL, ConcatFSA(S, P));
  CycPermNotSL := Temp;
od;

CycSL := MinimizeFSA(NotFSA(CycPermNotSL));
## CycSL is the FSA for CycSL(G_V,X_V) for the RAAG < a,b | >.

#####
## Build FSA for words with support U = {a,b}          ##

FSARequirea:= rec( accepting := [ 2 ],
  alphabet := rec( format := "dense",
    names := [ _g1, _g2, _g3, _g4 ], printingFormat := "dense",
    printingStrings := [ "_g1", "_g2", "_g3", "_g4" ],
    size := 4, type := "identifiers" ),
  denseDTable := [ [ 2, 2, 1, 1 ], [ 2, 2, 2, 2 ] ],
  flags := [ "BFS", "DFA", "accessible", "minimized", "trim" ],
  initial := [ 1 ],
  isFSA := true, isInitializedFSA := true,
  states := rec( size := 2, type := "simple" ),
  table := rec( format := "dense deterministic",
    numTransitions := 8,
    printingFormat := "dense deterministic",
    transitions := [ [ 2, 2, 1, 1 ], [ 2, 2, 2, 2 ] ] ) );

FSARequireb:= rec( accepting := [ 2 ],
  alphabet := rec( format := "dense",
    names := [ _g1, _g2, _g3, _g4 ], printingFormat := "dense",
    printingStrings := [ "_g1", "_g2", "_g3", "_g4" ],
    size := 4, type := "identifiers" ),
  denseDTable := [ [ 1, 1, 2, 2 ], [ 2, 2, 2, 2 ] ],
  flags := [ "BFS", "DFA", "accessible", "minimized", "trim" ],
  initial := [ 1 ],

```

```

isFSA := true, isInitializedFSA := true,
states := rec( size := 2, type := "simple" ),
table := rec( format := "dense deterministic",
  numTransitions := 8,
  printingFormat := "dense deterministic",
  transitions := [ [ 1, 1, 2, 2 ], [ 2, 2, 2, 2 ] ] ) );

FSARequireab := AndFSA(FSARequirea,FSARequireb);
## FSARequireab accepts the words over X_V with support U = {a,b}.

#####
## Compute CycSL^U and its growth series for U = {a,b} ##

CycSLab := AndFSA(CycSL, FSARequireab);
GrowthCycSLab := GrowthFSA(CycSLab);
## CycSLab is the FSA for CycSL^{a,b,c} for the RAAG < a,b | >.
## GrowthCycSLab is the growth series for CycSL^{a,b,c}.

Example 6.2. The following GAP code computes the conjugacy geodesic
growth series for the right-angled Artin group  $G = \langle a, b, c \mid [a, b] = [b, c] = 1 \rangle$ .
This script also requires the “LoadPackage(“KBMAG”);” command and
the two functions Prefixes and Suffixes in the GAP code in Example 6.1.

#####
## Setting up the group and notation ##

F:=FreeGroup("a","b","c"); a:=F.1; b:=F.2; c:=F.3;
G:=F/[a*b*a^-1*b^-1,b*c*b^-1*c^-1];

#####
## Input the automata checking the languages ##
## L_v for all v in V = {a,b,c} ##

_g1 := a; _g2 := a^-1; _g3 := b; _g4 := b^-1; _g5 := c; _g6 := c^-1;

FSAChecka := rec( accepting := [ 1 .. 4 ],
  alphabet := rec( format := "dense",
    names := [ _g1, _g2, _g3, _g4, _g5, _g6 ],
    printingFormat := "dense",
    printingStrings := [ "_g1", "_g2", "_g3", "_g4", "_g5", "_g6" ],
    size := 6, type := "identifiers" ),

```



```

denseDTable := [ [ 2, 3, 1, 1, 4, 4 ], [ 2, 0, 2, 2, 4, 4 ],
                 [ 0, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4 ], [ 2, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4 ] ],
flags := [ "BFS","DFA","accessible","minimized","trim" ],
initial := [ 1 ],
isFSA := true, isInitializedFSA := true,
states := rec( size := 4, type := "simple" ),
table := rec( format := "dense deterministic",
              numTransitions := 22,
              printingFormat := "dense deterministic",
              transitions := [ [ 2, 3, 1, 1, 4, 4 ],
                              [ 2, 0, 2, 2, 4, 4 ],
                              [ 0, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4 ],
                              [ 2, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4 ] ] ) );

##
FSACheckb := rec( accepting := [ 1 .. 3 ],
                 alphabet := rec( format := "dense",
                                   names := [ _g1, _g2, _g3, _g4, _g5, _g6 ],
                                   printingFormat := "dense",
                                   printingStrings := [ "_g1","_g2","_g3","_g4","_g5","_g6" ],
                                   size := 6, type := "identifiers" ),
                 denseDTable := [ [ 1, 1, 2, 3, 1, 1 ], [ 2, 2, 2, 0, 2, 2 ],
                                   [ 3, 3, 0, 3, 3, 3 ] ],
                 flags := [ "BFS","DFA","accessible","minimized","trim" ],
                 initial := [ 1 ],
                 isFSA := true, isInitializedFSA := true,
                 states := rec( size := 3, type := "simple" ),
                 table := rec( format := "dense deterministic",
                               numTransitions := 16,
                               printingFormat := "dense deterministic",
                               transitions := [ [ 1, 1, 2, 3, 1, 1 ],
                                               [ 2, 2, 2, 0, 2, 2 ],
                                               [ 3, 3, 0, 3, 3, 3 ] ] ) );

##
FSACheckc := rec( accepting := [ 1 .. 4 ],
                 alphabet := rec( format := "dense",
                                   names := [ _g1, _g2, _g3, _g4, _g5, _g6 ],
                                   printingFormat := "dense",
                                   printingStrings := [ "_g1","_g2","_g3","_g4","_g5","_g6" ],
                                   size := 6, type := "identifiers" ),
                 denseDTable := [ [ 4, 4, 1, 1, 2, 3 ], [ 4, 4, 2, 2, 2, 0 ],
                                   [ 4, 4, 3, 3, 0, 3 ], [ 4, 4, 4, 4, 2, 3 ] ],
                 flags := [ "BFS","DFA","accessible","minimized","trim" ],
                 initial := [ 1 ],
                 isFSA := true, isInitializedFSA := true,

```

```

states := rec( size := 4, type := "simple" ),
table := rec( format := "dense deterministic",
  numTransitions := 22,
  printingFormat := "dense deterministic",
  transitions := [ [ 4, 4, 1, 1, 2, 3 ],
                  [ 4, 4, 2, 2, 2, 0 ],
                  [ 4, 4, 3, 3, 0, 3 ],
                  [ 4, 4, 4, 4, 2, 3 ] ] ) );

#####
## Build the FSA accepting the language Geo(G_V,X_V) ##

Geo := MinimizeFSA(AndFSA(AndFSA(FSAChecka,FSACheckb),FSACheckc));

#####
## Build the FSA accepting the language CycGeo = ConjGeo ##
## and compute the growth series of this language ##

n := NumberOfStatesFSA(NotFSA(Geo));

P := Prefixes(NotFSA(Geo), 1, n); S := Suffixes(NotFSA(Geo), 1, n);
CycPermNotGeo := ConcatFSA(S,P);

for i in [2..n] do
  P := Prefixes(NotFSA(Geo), i, n); S := Suffixes(NotFSA(Geo), i, n);
  Temp := OrFSA(CycPermNotGeo, ConcatFSA(S, P));
  CycPermNotGeo := Temp;
od;

ConjGeo := MinimizeFSA(NotFSA(CycPermNotGeo));
GrowthConjGeo := GrowthFSA(ConjGeo);
## ConjGeo is the FSA for ConjGeo(G_V,X_V) for
## the RAAG < a,b,c | [a,b] = [b,c] = 1 >.
## GrowthConjGeo is the growth series for ConjGeo.

```

Acknowledgements

The first and third author were supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation grant Professorship FN PP00P2-144681/1. The first author was partially supported by the EPSRC Standard Grant EP/R035814/1. The second author was supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (Collaboration grant number 581433). The third author was also supported by the FCT Project UID/MAT /00297/2019 (Centro de Matemática

e Aplicações) and the FCT Project PTDC/MHC-FIL/2583/2014. The authors thank Maranda Tomlinson for her input on coding in GAP.

References

- [1] Yago Antolín and Laura Ciobanu. Formal conjugacy growth in acylindrically hyperboic groups. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (1):121–157, 2017.
- [2] Roland Bacher and Pierre de la Harpe. Conjugacy growth series of some infinitely generated groups. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (5):1532–1584, 2018.
- [3] Laura Ciobanu, Alex Evetts, and Meng-Che Ho. The conjugacy growth of the soluble Baumslag-Solitar groups. *New York J. Math.*, 26:473–495, 2020.
- [4] Laura Ciobanu and Susan Hermiller. Conjugacy growth series and languages in groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 366(5):2803–2825, 2014.
- [5] Laura Ciobanu, Susan Hermiller, Derek Holt, and Sarah Rees. Conjugacy languages in groups. *Israel J. Math.*, 211(1):311–347, 2016.
- [6] Laura Ciobanu, Susan Hermiller, and Valentin Mercier. Formal conjugacy growth in graph products I. *Groups Geom. Dyn.*, 17(2):427–457, 2023.
- [7] John Crisp, Eddy Godelle, and Bert Wiest. The conjugacy problem in subgroups of right-angled Artin groups. *J. Topol.*, 2(3):442–460, 2009.
- [8] Gemma Crowe. Conjugacy languages in virtual graph products. *J. Algebra*, 634:873–910, 2023.
- [9] David B. A. Epstein, James W. Cannon, Derek F. Holt, Silvio V. F. Levy, Michael S. Paterson, and William P. Thurston. *Word processing in groups*. Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Boston, MA, 1992.
- [10] Alex Evetts. Rational growth in virtually abelian groups. *Illinois J. Math.*, 63(4):513–549, 2019.
- [11] P. Flajolet and M. Soria. The cycle construction. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 4(1):58–60, 1991.
- [12] Philippe Flajolet and Robert Sedgewick. *Analytic combinatorics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.
- [13] The GAP Group. *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.11.1*, 2021. <https://www.gap-system.org>.

- [14] Elisabeth Ruth Green. *Graph products of groups*. PhD thesis, University of Leeds, 1990.
- [15] Susan Hermiller and John Meier. Algorithms and geometry for graph products of groups. *J. Algebra*, 171(1):230–257, 1995.
- [16] D.F. Holt. kbmag, knuth-bendix on monoids and automatic groups, Version 1.5.9. <https://gap-packages.github.io/kbmag>, Jul 2019. Refereed GAP package.
- [17] John E. Hopcroft and Jeffrey D. Ullman. *Introduction to automata theory, languages, and computation*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass., 1979. Addison-Wesley Series in Computer Science.
- [18] Joseph Loeffler, John Meier, and James Worthington. Graph products and Cannon pairs. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 12(6):747–754, 2002.
- [19] Valentin Mercier. Conjugacy growth series of some wreath products. arXiv:1610.07868.
- [20] Igor Rivin. Some properties of the conjugacy class growth function. In *Group theory, statistics, and cryptography*, volume 360 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 113–117. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [21] Igor Rivin. Growth in free groups (and other stories)—twelve years later. *Illinois J. Math.*, 54(1):327–370, 2010.
- [22] Herman Servatius. Automorphisms of graph groups. *J. Algebra*, 126(1):34–60, 1989.
- [23] Leonard VanWyk. Graph groups are biautomatic. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 94(3):341–352, 1994.

LAURA CIOBANU, MATHEMATICAL AND COMPUTER SCIENCES, HERIOT-WATT UNIVERSITY, EDINBURGH EH14 4AS, UK
E-mail address: `l.ciobanu@hw.ac.uk`

SUSAN HERMILLER, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA, LINCOLN, NE 68588-0130, USA
E-mail address: `hermiller@unl.edu`

V. MERCIER, CENTRO DE MATEMÁTICA E APLICAÇÕES, FACULDADE DE CIÊNCIAS E TECNOLOGIA, UNIVERSIDADE NOVA DE LISBOA, 2829-516 CAPARICA, PORTUGAL
E-mail address: `valen.mercier@gmail.com`