## RAINBOW COMMON GRAPHS MUST BE FORESTS

YIHANG SUN

ABSTRACT. We study the rainbow version of the graph commonness property: a graph H is *r*rainbow common if the number of rainbow copies of H (where all edges have distinct colors) in an *r*-coloring of edges of  $K_n$  is maximized asymptotically by independently coloring each edge uniformly at random. H is *r*-rainbow uncommon otherwise. We show that if H has a cycle, then it is *r*-rainbow uncommon for every r at least the number of edges of H. This generalizes a result of Erdős and Hajnal, and proves a conjecture of De Silva, Si, Tait, Tunçbilek, Yang, and Young.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **History.** In extremal graph theory, a graph H is *common* if the number of copies of H in any graph n vertex graph G and its complement  $\overline{G}$  is minimized asymptotically by the Erdős-Rényi random graph  $\mathbb{G}(n, 1/2)$ . In other words, the minimum number of monochromatic copies of H in any 2-edge coloring of  $K_n$  is asymptotically achieved by coloring each edge independently uniformly at random. Commonness is extensively studied due to its connection to other homomorphism density inequalities, including the Sidorenko conjecture. In particular, Sidorenko graphs are common [7].

A similar question is asked in anti-Ramsey theory: instead of minimizing the number of monochromatic H, we maximize the number of *rainbow* copies of H where all edges have distinct colors [1–3].

**Definition 1.1.** For a graph H and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , we say that H is *r*-rainbow common if the maximum number of rainbow copies of H in an *r*-coloring of edges of  $K_n$  is achieved asymptotically by coloring each edge independently with a uniform random color. Otherwise, H is *r*-rainbow uncommon.

We say that H is rainbow common (resp. rainbow uncommon) if it is r-rainbow common (resp. r-rainbow uncommon) for all  $r \ge e(H)$ , where e(H) denotes the number of edges of H.

All asymptotics are up to a 1 + o(1) factor as  $n \to \infty$ . This definition of r-rainbow commonness is called r-anti-common in [2] and r-rainbow uncommon graphs are called not r-anti-common.

If r < e(H), the condition in Definition 1.1 is trivial as there is no rainbow H by the pigeonhole principle. Note that H can be r-rainbow common for some r and s-rainbow uncommon for  $s \neq r$ , in which case it is neither rainbow common nor uncommon. However, no such H is known.

It is an old result of Erdős and Hajnal [3] that  $K_3$  is 3-rainbow uncommon: there is a coloring that beats the 2/9 density of rainbow  $K_3$ 's obtained by the uniform random 3-coloring. Erdős and Sós posed the question to determine the maximum density [9]. With a flag algebra approach, [1] settled this question and determined that the maximum density is 2/5 asymptotically.

More recently, [2] showed that disjoint unions of stars  $K_{1,s}$  are rainbow common and introduced the iterated blowup technique to show that  $K_s$  is  $\binom{s}{2}$ -rainbow uncommon for all  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , thereby generalizing the result of Erdős and Hajnal. It is also conjectured that, for every  $s \geq 3$ , the cycle graph with s edges is s-rainbow uncommon and path graph with s edges is s-rainbow common [2].

We can study a similar question for solutions to an equation. We seek to r-color  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$  to maximize the number of rainbow solutions  $(x_1, ..., x_d)$  to some equation  $\sum_{i=1}^d a_i x_i = 0$ . The Schur equation  $x_1 + x_2 = x_3$  is studied in [11] while Sidon equation  $x_1 + x_2 = x_3 + x_4$  is studied in [4,10].

1.2. Main results. In this paper, we focus on the graph theoretic setting. We extend the result of Erdős and Hajnal [3] and settle the cycle conjecture in [2] with a much stronger statement.

**Theorem 1.2.** If graph H contains a cycle, then it is rainbow uncommon.

Since graphs without cycles must be forests, we the following result immediately follows.

**Corollary 1.3.** If graph H is r-rainbow common for any  $r \ge e(H)$ , then H must be a forest.

1.3. **Organization.** In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.2 using a graphon perturbation technique inspired by that of [5,6] to study local Sidorenko properties.

1.4. Notation. Throughout the paper, we consider simple graphs G with vertex set V(G) and edge set E(G). Let v(G) and e(G) denote the size of V(G) and E(G), respectively. Let  $[n] := \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . Let  $(n)_k := k! \binom{n}{k}$  be the falling factorial. Let binomial coefficient  $\binom{n}{k} = 0$  if k > n.

Acknowledgements. This research was partially conducted during the Polymath Jr. REU 2022. The author extends profound gratitude towards Gabriel Elvin, for his mentorship, and to professor Adam Sheffer, for directing the program. The author thanks Ashwin Sah, Mehtaab Sawhney, and Yufei Zhao for suggesting the graphon perturbation technique in Section 3. The author also thanks Milan Haiman, Andrew Huang, and Neha Pant for insights and helpful conversations.

## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. Graphons. In this section, we introduce the notions of graphons and graph homomorphism densities that we need for Theorem 1.2. We will follow [7, 12] where complete expositions are given.

**Definition 2.1.** A graphon is a measurable function  $W : [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]$  where W(x,y) = W(y,x).

- We define the associated graphon  $W_G$  of a graph G: partition [0,1] into v(G) equal-length intervals  $I_1, \ldots, I_{v(G)}$ . For  $(x, y) \in I_i \times I_j$ , define  $W_G = \mathbf{1}\{(i, j) \in E(G)\}$ .
- For a graphon W, the *W*-random graph  $\mathbb{G}(n, W)$  on [n] is defined by sampling independently  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in [0, 1]$  and putting an edge (i, j) with probability  $W(x_i, x_j)$  independently.

For a graphs G, H and graphon W, define the H-homomorphism density in G and W as

$$t(H,G) = \frac{\hom(H,G)}{v(G)^{v(H)}} \quad \text{and} \quad t(H,W) = \int_{[0,1]^{v(H)}} \prod_{(u,v)\in E(H)} W(x_u,x_v) \prod_{v\in V(H)} dx_v,$$

where hom(H, G) is the number of graph homomorphisms from H to G.

For example, the Erdős-Rényi random graph  $\mathbb{G}(n, p)$  is W-random graph  $\mathbb{G}(n, W)$  where W is the constant graphon  $W(x, y) = p \in [0, 1]$ . One can similarly view stochastic block models as graphons.

In this language, a graph H is Sidorenko if  $t(H, W) \ge t(K_2, W)^{e(H)}$  for every graphon W and H is common if  $t(H, W) + t(H, 1-W) \ge 2^{1-e(H)}$  for every W [7,12]. Note that t(H, G) is the probability that a uniform random map from  $V(H) \to V(G)$  is a graph homomorphism. The following simple observation shows that the two definitions of homomorphism densities coincide for graphs.

# **Lemma 2.2.** $t(H, W_G) = t(H, G)$ for all graphs G, H and graphon $W_G$ associated to G.

The following statement captures the viewpoint that graphons are limits of graphs in the context of homomorphism densities. This uses the notion of left convergence of graphs to graphons.

**Proposition 2.3** ([12, Theorem 4.4.2]). Let W be a graphon. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $G_n \sim \mathbb{G}(n, W)$ . Then,  $G_n$  left converges to W almost surely, i.e. for all graphs H,  $t(H, G_n) \to t(H, W)$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

An alternative view point uses the notion of convergence in *cut metric*  $\delta_{\Box}$  to view graphons as the completion of the space of graphs with respect to  $\delta_{\Box}$ . We refer to [7, 8, 12] for more details.

2.2. Colorings. We can view graphs as two colorings of complete graphs. This perspective allows us to naturally extend the notions of graphons and homomorphism densities to edge colorings by considering the graphons associated to the induced subgraph spanned by each color.

**Definition 2.4.** For  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , we say that  $W = (W_1, \ldots, W_r)$  is an *r*-coloring graphon if  $W_i$  is a graphon for every  $i \in [r]$  and, for every  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} W_i(x,y) = 1.$$
(2.1)

We define the associated r-coloring graphon of a coloring  $\phi : E(K_n) \to [r]$  as  $W_{\phi} = ((W_{\phi})_1, \ldots, (W_{\phi})_r)$ where  $(W_{\phi})_i$  is the associated graphon of graph  $G_i = (V(G), \phi^{-1}(i))$  spanned by edges of color *i*.

Similar to the Erdős-Rényi random graph  $\mathbb{G}(n, p)$ , the uniform random *r*-coloring can be represented by the *r*-coloring graphon  $\mathbf{1}/r = (1/r, \ldots, 1/r)$ . We now define an analog of homomorphism densities to count the density of rainbow copies of *H* in an *r*-coloring graphon *W* with  $r \ge e(H)$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Given a graph H and an r-coloring graphon  $W = (W_1, \ldots, W_r)$ , let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the set of injections from E(H) to [r]. We define the rainbow homomorphism density of H in W as

$$t(\mathrm{rb}\,H,W) := \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \int_{[0,1]^{v(H)}} \left( \prod_{(u,v) \in E(H)} W_{h(u,v)}(x_u,x_v) \right) \prod_{v \in V(H)} dx_v.$$
(2.2)

Note that h(u, v) is the color of edge (u, v), which occurs with probability  $W_h$ . The condition of  $\mathcal{H}$  that h is injective corresponds exactly to the copy we picked out being rainbow. The analogs of Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 2.3 hold for rainbow graph density. For brevity, we only state and prove what we need for Theorem 1.2. The following lemma is analogous to W-random graphs.

**Lemma 2.6.** For any  $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , given an r-coloring graphon  $W = (W_1, \ldots, W_r)$ , we define a random r-coloring  $\phi \sim \mathbb{G}(n, W)$  of edges of  $K_n$  with vertex set [n] as follows.

- (1) Sample independent  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \sim \text{Unif}([0, 1])$ .
- (2) For every edge  $(u, v) \in E(K_n)$ , independently sample  $\phi(u, v) \in [r]$  where  $\phi(u, v) = i$  with probability  $W_i(u, v)$ . Color edge (u, v) by color  $\phi(u, v)$ .

Then, the probability a uniform random copy of H is rainbow under coloring  $\phi$  is t(rb H, W).

*Proof.* Fix a uniform random copy H, we compute the probability that it is rainbow. The rainbow edge colorings of H are precisely  $\mathcal{H}$ . For each  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ , the probability that  $\phi$  colors edge (u, v) of H by color h(u, v) is precisely  $W_{h(u,v)}(x_u, x_v)$ . By independence of edges (u, v), we take the product and take the expectation over  $x_1, \ldots, x_d$  independently uniform on [0, 1] to prove Lemma 2.6.

**Corollary 2.7.** For graph H and  $r \ge e(H)$ , H is r-rainbow uncommon if there exists an r-coloring graphon  $W = (W_1, \ldots, W_r)$  such  $t(\operatorname{rb} H, W) > t(\operatorname{rb} H, \mathbf{1}/r) = r^{-e(H)}(r)_{e(H)}$ .

*Proof.* By (2.2), we compute that  $t(\operatorname{rb} H, \mathbf{1}/r) = r^{-e(H)}(r)_{e(H)}$ . This is equal to the probability that a uniform random r-coloring of edges of H is rainbow. The total number of copies of H in  $K_n$  is

$$\frac{(n)_{v(H)}}{|\operatorname{Aut}(H)|} = \Theta\Big(n^{v(H)}\Big),$$

where  $|\operatorname{Aut}(H)| = \operatorname{hom}(H, H)$  is the number of automorphisms of H. By linearity of expectations and Lemma 2.6, if  $t(\operatorname{rb} H, W) > r^{-e(H)}(r)_{e(H)}$ , then the expected number of rainbow H under  $\phi \sim \mathbb{G}(n, W)$  is asymptotically greater than that of 1/r. By the probabilistic method, there exists such a coloring  $\phi$  of  $E(K_n)$ , so H is r-rainbow uncommon by Definition 1.1.

We can now pass from asymptotics in n to coloring graphons W. In ??, we record this exact number of copies of rainbow H of a uniform random r-coloring of  $E(K_n)$  on expectation.

## 3. GRAPHON PERTURBATION

3.1. Some lemmas. We prove Theorem 1.2 by constructing a graphon coloring W in Corollary 2.7 as a perturbation of the uniform random coloring graphon 1/r. To do so, we need a few lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1.** Define  $f : [0,1]^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  to be 1 on  $[0,1/2]^2 \cup [1/2,1]^2$  and -1 otherwise.

- (1) For every  $x, y \in [0, 1], f(x, y) = f(y, x).$
- (2) For every  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\int_{0}^{1} f(x,t)dx = \int_{0}^{1} f(t,x)dx = 0.$$

(3) For every  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $x_{s+1} = x_1$ . Then,

$$\int_{[0,1]^s} \prod_{i=1}^s f(x_i, x_{i+1}) dx_1 \dots dx_s = 1.$$

*Proof.* (1) and (2) are clear. For (3), let  $Z_i$  be 1 if  $x_i \in [0, 1/2]$  and -1 otherwise, so  $f(x_i, x_{i+1}) = (-1)^{Z_i + Z_{i+1}}$ . Hence, with expectation taken over independent  $Z_i \sim \text{Unif}(\{-1, 1\})$ , we have that

$$\int \prod_{i=1}^{s} f(x_i, x_{i+1}) dx_1 \dots dx_s = \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{s} (-1)^{Z_i + Z_{i+1}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[(-1)^{2\sum_{i=1}^{s} Z_i}\right] = 1.$$

Let f be as in Lemma 3.1. For any graph G, define

$$\mathcal{I}_f(G) := \int_{[0,1]^{v(G)}} \left( \prod_{(x_u, x_v) \in E(G)} f(x_u, x_v) \right) \prod_{v \in V(G)} dx_v.$$
(3.1)

Then, Lemma 3.1(3) says that  $\mathcal{I}_f(G) = 1$  if G is a cycle graph.

**Lemma 3.2.** For f defined in Lemma 3.1,  $\mathcal{I}_f(G) = 0$  if G has a leaf.

*Proof.* Suppose leaf  $\ell$  is connected to vertex k, then  $\int f(x_{\ell}, x_k) dx_{\ell} = 0$  by Lemma 3.1(2). Now,

$$\mathcal{I}_f(G) = \int \left( \int f(x_\ell, x_k) dx_\ell \right) \prod_{(x_u, x_v) \in E(G) \setminus \{(x_k, x_\ell)\}} f(x_u, x_v) \prod_{v \in V(G) \setminus \{\ell\}} dx_v = 0.$$

**Lemma 3.3.** For every  $r \ge s \ge 3$ , there exists some  $k := k(r, s) \in [r-1]$  such that

$$F(r,s,k) := \sum_{i=0}^{s} (-1)^{i} \binom{k}{i} \binom{r-k}{s-i} k^{s-i} (r-k)^{i} > 0.$$
(3.2)

*Proof.* Let  $\ell = r - s \ge 0$ . For  $s \ge 4$ , we have that

$$\begin{split} F(r,s,2) &= \binom{r-2}{s} 2^s - 2\binom{r-2}{s-1} 2^{s-1} (r-2) + \binom{r-2}{s-2} 2^{s-2} (r-2)^2 \\ &= 2^s \binom{r-2}{s-2} \left( \frac{(r-s)(r-s-1)}{s(s-1)} - \frac{(r-s)(r-2)}{s-1} + \frac{1}{4} (r-2)^2 \right) \\ &= 2^s \binom{r-2}{s-2} \left( \frac{\ell(\ell-1)}{s(s-1)} - \frac{\ell(s+\ell-2)}{s-1} + \frac{1}{4} (s+\ell-2)^2 \right) \\ &= 2^s \binom{r-2}{s-2} \left( \frac{s+\ell}{4s} \right) ((s-4)\ell + (s-2)^2) \\ &> 0. \end{split}$$

For s = 3, we know  $r \ge 3$ , so

$$F(r,3,r-1) = \binom{r-1}{2}(r-1) - \binom{r-1}{3} = \frac{r(r-1)(r-2)}{3} > 0.$$

3.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Fix any graph H with girth  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  and positive integer  $r \geq e(H)$ , so  $r \ge e(H) \ge s \ge 3.$ (3.3)

Hence, we can apply Lemma 3.3 to define  $k = k(r, s) \in [r-1]$ . Define  $\sigma : [r] \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\sigma(i) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k} & \text{if } i \in [k] \\ -\frac{1}{r-k} & \text{if } i \in [r] \setminus [k] \end{cases}.$$
(3.4)

Recall f from Lemma 3.1. For  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/r]$  to be chosen later, define  $W_i : [0, 1]^2 \to [0, 1]$  by

$$W_i(x,y) = \frac{1}{r} + \varepsilon \sigma(i) f(x,y)$$
(3.5)

for each  $i \in [r]$ . Clearly,  $W_i$  is measurable for each *i*. For every  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ ,  $W_i(x, y) = W_i(y, x)$ as f(x,y) = f(y,x). Since  $\sigma_i \in [-1,1]$  and  $f(x,y) \in [-1,1]$ , choosing any  $\varepsilon \in [0,1/r]$  satisfies  $W_i(x,y) \in [0,1]$ . Hence,  $W_i$  is a graphon for each i. Now, for any  $x, y \in [0,1]$ , we compute that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} W_i(x, y) = 1 + \varepsilon f(x, y) \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma(i) = 1,$$

so  $W = (W_1, \ldots, W_r)$  is an r-coloring graphon. Recall that  $\mathcal{H}$  is the set of injections from E(H) to [r]. By Corollary 2.7, it suffices to show that  $t(\operatorname{rb} H, W) > t(\operatorname{rb} H, \mathbf{1}/r) = r^{-e(H)}|\mathcal{H}|$ . Nove that

$$t(\operatorname{rb} H, W) = \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \int \prod_{(u,v) \in E(H)} \left[ \frac{1}{r} + \varepsilon \sigma(h(x_u, x_v)) f(x_u, x_v) \right] \prod_{v \in V(H)} dx_v.$$
  
$$= \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \int \sum_{G \subset H} r^{e(G) - e(H)} \varepsilon^{e(G)} \prod_{(u,v) \in E(G)} \sigma(h(u,v)) f(x_u, x_v) \prod_{v \in V(H)} dx_v$$
  
$$= \sum_{G \subset H} r^{e(G) - e(H)} \varepsilon^{e(G)} \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \int \prod_{(u,v) \in E(G)} \sigma(h(u,v)) f(x_u, x_v) \prod_{v \in V(H)} dx_v,$$

where in the second step we expand the first product and index the terms where we pick out the second term in the square brackets by subgraph  $G \subset H$ . The summand corresponding to  $G = \emptyset$ is exactly  $r^{-e(H)}|\mathcal{H}| = r^{-e(H)}(r)_{e(H)} = t(rbH, 1/r)$ . Since f does not depend on h and  $\sigma$  does not depend on  $x_v$ , we can factor out the terms to rewrite

$$\begin{split} t(\operatorname{rb} H, W) &- t(\operatorname{rb} H, \mathbf{1}/r) \\ &= \sum_{\varnothing \neq G \subset H} r^{e(G) - e(H)} \varepsilon^{e(G)} \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \prod_{(u,v) \in E(G)} \sigma(h(u,v)) \left( \int \prod_{(u,v) \in E(G)} f(x_u, x_v) \prod_{v \in V(G)} dx_v \right) \\ &= \sum_{\varnothing \neq G \subset H} r^{e(G) - e(H)} \varepsilon^{e(G)} \left( \int \prod_{(u,v) \in E(G)} f(x_u, x_v) \prod_{v \in V(G)} dx_v \right) \left( \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \prod_{(u,v) \in E(G)} \sigma(h(u,v)) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\varnothing \neq G \subset H} r^{e(G) - e(H)} \varepsilon^{e(G)} \mathcal{I}_f(G) \left( \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \prod_{(u,v) \in E(G)} \sigma(h(u,v)) \right) \end{split}$$

by (3.1). Now, by Lemma 3.2,  $\mathcal{I}_f(G)$  is zero unless  $G \subset H$  has no leaf. Since H has girth s, the only subgraph  $G \subset H$  such that  $\mathcal{I}_f(G) \neq 0$  and  $e(G) \leq s$  are those isomorphic to the cycle graph on s vertices, written  $G \simeq C_s$ . There,  $\mathcal{I}_f(G) = 1$  by Lemma 3.1(3). We make the following claim.

Claim 3.4.  $Q(G) := \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \prod_{(u,v) \in E(G)} \sigma(h(u,v)) > 0$  for all  $G \subset H$  with  $G \simeq C_s$ .

We first show how Claim 3.4 finishes the proof. For all other  $G \not\simeq C_s$  such that  $\mathcal{I}_f(G) \neq 0$ , e(G) > s. Once we fixed r and H, everything is fixed except for  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/r]$ . Then, by taking  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small, the terms with  $G \simeq C_s$  dominates and is positive, i.e.

$$\begin{split} t(\operatorname{rb} H, W) - t(\operatorname{rb} H, \mathbf{1}/r) &= \sum_{\varnothing \neq G \subset H} r^{e(G) - e(H)} \varepsilon^{e(G)} \mathcal{I}_f(G) \left( \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \prod_{(u,v) \in E(G)} \sigma(h(u,v)) \right) \\ &= \varepsilon^s \left( \sum_{G \subset H: G \simeq C_s} r^{s - e(H)} Q(G) \right) + O_{\varepsilon \to 0}(\varepsilon^{s+1}) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^s \sum_{G \subset H: G \simeq C_s} r^{s - e(H)} Q(G) \\ &> 0, \end{split}$$

where the second to last step holds by choosing sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$  with respect to r and H, and the last step holds by Claim 3.4. By Corollary 2.7, we are done once we prove Claim 3.4.

Proof of Claim 3.4. Recall that h(u, v) specifies the color of edge (u, v). By (3.4),  $\sigma(h(u, v))$  depends only on whether  $h(u, v) \in [k]$  or  $h(u, v) \in [r] \setminus [k]$ . Suppose h assigns i edges of  $G \simeq C_s$  to have colors in [k], then it assigns colors among  $[r] \setminus [k]$  to the other s - i edges of G. For each such h

$$\prod_{(u,v)\in E(G)}\sigma(h(u,v)) = \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^i \left(-\frac{1}{r-k}\right)^{s-i}$$

We count the number of such  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ . Since h is injective, there are  $\binom{k}{i}$  ways to pick out i colors in [k] and  $\binom{r-k}{s-i}$  ways to pick out s-i colors in  $[r] \setminus [k]$ . Then, there are s! ways to assign these s total colors to edges of G. Now, it remains to assign colors to  $E(H) \setminus E(G)$ . There are  $(r-s)_{e(H)-e(G)}$  ways. Hence, in total, the number of such  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  is

$$\binom{k}{i}\binom{r-k}{s-i} \cdot s! \cdot (r-s)_{e(H)-s}.$$

Therefore, for  $G \simeq C_s$ , we have that

$$Q(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{i} \left(-\frac{1}{r-k}\right)^{s-i} \binom{r-k}{s-i} \cdot s! \cdot (r-s)_{e(H)-s}$$
  
=  $k^{s} (r-k)^{s} \cdot s! \cdot (r-s)_{e(H)-s} F(r,s,k),$ 

where we recall F from (3.2). By (3.3), the conditions of Lemma 3.3 hold, so we chose  $k \in [r-1]$  such that F(r, s, k) > 0. Clearly,  $k^s$ ,  $(r-k)^s$  and s! are all strictly positive. We show that falling factorial  $(r-s)_{e(H)-s} > 0$ . This is clear combinatorially since it is the number of ways to extend h from E(G) to E(H). More carefully, it is obvious if r > s. If r = s, then as  $r \ge e(H) \ge s$  by (3.3), so  $(r-s)_{e(H)-s} = (0)_0 = 1$ . Therefore, Q(G) > 0 for  $G \simeq C_s$ .

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

### References

- József Balogh, Ping Hu, Bernard Lidický, Florian Pfender, Jan Volec, and Michael Young, Rainbow triangles in three-colored graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 126 (2017), 83–113.
- [2] Jessica De Silva, Xiang Si, Michael Tait, Yunus Tunçbilek, Ruifan Yang, and Michael Young, Anti-Ramsey multiplicities, Australas. J. Combin. 73 (2019), 357–371. 1
- [3] P. Erdős and A. Hajnal, On Ramsey like theorems. Problems and results, Combinatorics (Proc. Conf. Combinatorial Math., Math. Inst., Oxford, 1972), Inst. Math. Appl., Southend-on-Sea, 1972, pp. 123–140. 1

- [4] Jacob Fox, Mohammad Mahdian, and Radoš Radoičić, Rainbow solutions to the Sidon equation, Discrete Math. 308 (2008), 4773–4778.
- [5] Jacob Fox and Fan Wei, On the local approach to sidorenko's conjecture, Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics
   61 (2017), 459–465, The European Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Applications. 2
- [6] László Lovász, Subgraph densities in signed graphons and the local Simonovits-Sidorenko conjecture, Electron. J. Combin. 18 (2011), Paper 127, 21. 2
- [7] László Lovász, Large networks and graph limits, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 60, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012. 1, 2
- [8] László Lovász and Balázs Szegedy, Szemerédi's lemma for the analyst, Geom. Funct. Anal. 17 (2007), 252–270.
   2
- [9] Vojtěch Rödl (ed.), Mathematics of Ramsey theory, Algorithms and Combinatorics, vol. 5, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990. 1
- [10] Vladislav Taranchuk and Craig Timmons, The anti-Ramsey problem for the Sidon equation, Discrete Math. 342 (2019), 2856–2866. 1
- [11] Thotsaporn Thanatipanonda and Elaine Wong, On the minimum number of monochromatic generalized Schur triples, Electron. J. Combin. 24 (2017), Paper No. 2.20, 20. 1
- [12] Yufei Zhao, Graph theory and additive combinatorics—exploring structure and randomness, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2023. 2

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE, MA, USA Email address: kimisun@mit.edu