

ON SUM GRAPHS OVER SOME MAGMAS

ANTÓNIO MACHIAVELO  AND ROGÉRIO REIS 

ABSTRACT. We consider the notions of *sum graph* and of *relaxed sum graph* over a magma, give several examples and results of these families of graphs over some natural magmas. We classify the cycles that are sum graphs for the magma of the subsets of a set with the operation of union, determine the abelian groups that provide a sum labelling of C_4 , and show that $C_{4\ell}$ is a sum graph over the abelian group $\mathbb{Z}_f \times \mathbb{Z}_f$, where $f = f_{2\ell}$ is the corresponding Fibonacci number. For integral sum graphs, we give a linear upper bound for the radius of matchings, improving Harary's labelling for this family of graphs, and give the exact radius for the family of totally disconnected graphs.

We found integer labellings for the 4D-cube, giving a negative answer to a question of Melnikov and Pyatikin, actually showing that the 4D-cube has infinitely many primitive labellings. We have also obtained some new results on mod sum graphs and relaxed sum graphs. Finally, we show that the direct product operation is closed for strong integral sum graphs.

Keywords. Magma, graph labelling, sum graph, integral sum graph, direct product of graphs

1. INTRODUCTION

Harary introduced the notions of a *sum graph* and a *difference graph* in [Har90]. In the first case, one has a graph that can be labelled with elements of \mathbb{N} , the set of positive integers, in such a way that two vertices are adjacent if and only if the sum of the labels of those vertices is a label of some other vertex, while in the second case the absolute value of the difference replaces the sum. In Section 4 of [Har90] (p. 105), Harary remarks that the notion of a sum graph can be naturally extended to other “number systems”, which in its wider generality are magmas (cf. Definition 1, p. 1 in [Bou98]).

In Section 2, we define the notion of a sum graph over a magma, as well as the notion of a relaxed sum graph, giving some examples.

In Section 3, we deal with sum graphs over magmas with operations on sets, showing that C_n , the cycle of length n , is a sum graph, for $n \geq 4$, exactly when n is even; characterising when the complete graph, K_m , is a sum graph for the symmetric difference; and showing that C_4 is not a sum graph for the magmas over sets with the operation given by the complement of intersection, or of the union.

In Sections 4 to 8 we investigate when are cycle graphs sum graphs over finite abelian groups. In particular, we show that C_4 is a sum graph over an abelian group if and only if the order of the group is a multiple of 5; find a necessary condition for C_n to be a sum graph over an abelian group, and

use this to give several examples. Finally, we show that $C_{4\ell}$ is always a sum group over an appropriate abelian group.

An integral sum graph, first defined in [Har94], is nothing more than a sum graph over the additive group of the integers. Melnikov and Pyatkin [MP02] introduced the notion of the radius of an integral sum graph, and in Section 9 we give a linear upper bound for the radius of matchings, improving Harary's labelling for this family of graphs. We also give the exact radius for the family of totally disconnected graphs. Using the Z3 problem solver, we found the exact number of integral sum graphs, and of relaxed integral sum graphs, for all graphs with size up to 8, as well as the number of integral for the cubic graphs with 4 to 12 vertices, and also integer labellings for the 4D-cube, Q_4 , giving a negative answer to a question of Melnikov and Pyatkin. Moreover, we give the radius of Q_4 , and show that it has an infinite number of primitive labellings.

In Section 10, we indicate that $K_{3,3}$ is not a mod sum graph, while Q_3 and the Petersen graph are mod sum graphs for appropriate moduli. We give an explicit upper bound for the minimal modulus for which a connected graph admits a sum labelling.

In Section 11, we report that $K_{3,3}$ is a relaxed mod sum graph, while the triangular prism is not, and also give the exact number of relaxed integral sum graphs for all cubic graphs with 4 to 14 vertices.

Finally, in Section 12, we show that the direct product of two strong integral sum graphs is also a strong integral sum graph.

2. SUM GRAPHS OVER MAGMAS

The relevance of the notion of a sum graph is that it constitutes a concise description for the graphs that admit a suitable labelling. For this purpose, a magma is the more general structure that accommodates this representation. Recall that a magma is just a set equipped with a binary operation.

Definition 2.1. *Given a magma (M, \oplus) and $V \subseteq M$, we will denote by $\mathcal{G}_{(M, \oplus)}(V)$, or simply by $\mathcal{G}_M(V)$ when the operation on M is clear from the context, the (simple) graph $G = (V, E)$ whose edges are given by:*


$$(v, w) \in E \iff v \oplus w \in V \vee w \oplus v \in V. \quad (2.1)$$

A graph G is a sum graph over M , or an M -graph, when $G = \mathcal{G}_M(V)$ for some $V \subseteq M$. A graph is said to be a strong M -graph when, for any $v \in V$, $v \oplus v \notin V$.


A labelling of a graph $G = (V, E)$ on a magma M is a map $\lambda : V \rightarrow W$ with $W \subseteq M$ such that the graphs G and $\mathcal{G}_M(W)$ are isomorphic. The labelling is called a strong labelling if $\mathcal{G}_M(W)$ is a strong M -graph.

Examples:

- (1) The following is an example of a $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ -graph with the vertices identified with their labels, as we will always do throughout this paper:



- (2) In [Har94], Harary points out that, letting $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be inductively defined by $a_0 = 1$, $a_1 = 2$, $a_n = a_{n-2} - a_{n-1}$, for $n \geq 2$, the path graph, P_n , for $n \geq 4$, is a $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ -graph with the labelling given by this sequence. For instance:




Harary does not provide a proof that this labelling does not generate spurious edges. A proof was provided by Sharary for a family of close related labellings (see Lemma 4 in [Sha96]). Observe that the maximum absolute of these labellings grows exponentially with the size of the graph, but, as a consequence of the proof of Theorem 1 in [MP02], it can be shown there exists a labelling that is linear (see first paragraph in Section 9.1 below).

Remarks:

- Every graph $G = (V, E)$ is an M -graph over some magma M . For example, one can define $M = \{v, \bullet\}$, for some fixed $v \in V$ and the operation \oplus on M by: $a \oplus b = v$ if $(a, b) \in E$, and $a \oplus b = \bullet$ if $(a, b) \notin E$.
- Obviously, when M is a commutative magma condition (2.1) simplifies to:

$$(a, b) \in E \iff a \oplus b \in V.$$

- A sum graph is simply a $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ -graph; an integral sum graph is a $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ -graph. We will simply call them \mathbb{N} -graphs and \mathbb{Z} -graphs, respectively.
- A difference graph [Har90] is nothing else than an (\mathbb{N}, \ominus) -graph, where $x \ominus y = |x - y|$.
- A *mod sum graph*, a concept introduced and studied in [BLTD90], is a $(\mathbb{Z}_m, +)$ -graph, for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \geq 2$ (the case $m = 1$ is rather trivial). We will just call them \mathbb{Z}_m -graphs.
- In [BHJ⁺92] it was shown that $(\mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}, \times)$ -graphs are exactly the same as $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ -sum graphs.
- In [HHJ91] it was shown that a $(\mathbb{R}^+, +)$ -graph is a $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ -graph.
- It is clear that any \mathbb{N} -graph with more than one vertex cannot be connected, since the vertex with the maximum value cannot be adjacent to any other vertex. This leads to the notion of the *sum number* of a given graph G , as the smallest number of isolated nodes which when added to G yields a sum graph. In [Har94], Harary states that the sum number of C_n is 2 for all $n \geq 3$, except for $n = 4$, in which case it is 3. A proof of this statement was given in [Sha96, Theorem 3, p. 7]. As an example, the graph $C_4 \cup 3K_1$ can be given as a \mathbb{N} -graph in the following way, for instance:



- According to Gallian [Gal22], the notion of a strong sum graph was introduced in [Cha93]. It was used in [Ell93] to prove a conjecture of Harary, made in [Har90], that the sum number of every tree with at least two vertices is 1.

The notion of a sum graph isomorphism is the natural one:

Definition 2.2. Given two sum graphs $\mathcal{G}_{(M,\oplus)}(V)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{(N,\odot)}(W)$, a bijective map $f : V \rightarrow W$ is said to be a sum graph isomorphism if

$$f(v_1 \oplus v_2) = f(v_1) \odot f(v_2), \text{ for all } v_1, v_2 \in V. \quad (2.2)$$


If an isomorphism exists between the two sum graphs, we express that by writing $\mathcal{G}_{(M,\oplus)}(V) \simeq \mathcal{G}_{(N,\odot)}(W)$, and say that the two sum graphs are isomorphic.

The notion of a sum graph can be generalised by allowing distinct vertices to have the same labelling, a concept that is obviously still quite useful to give a concise description of a graph that allows such a representation.

Definition 2.3. A relaxed sum graph over the magma M , or M - rxgraph for short, is defined as in Definition 2.1 but by allowing V to be a multiset with domain M .

Examples:

- (1) It is clear that every complete graph K_n is a \mathbb{Z} - rxgraph with the zero labelling, i.e. all vertices are labelled with 0. The fact that the complete graphs, a family easily described, are not integral sum graphs for $n \geq 4$ ([Sha96]) shows by itself the usefulness of the notion of relaxed sum graph.
- (2) Here is an example of a graph, a cubic graph, that is not a \mathbb{Z} -graph, but is a \mathbb{Z} - rxgraph :




This example was found with the help of the Z3 Theorem Prover, as explained below, in Section 9.2.

3. MAGMAS ON SETS

3.1. The Union and the Intersection. Let $U(S) = (\mathcal{P}(S), \cup)$ be the magma of the subsets of a set S with the union operation, and $I(S) = (\mathcal{P}(S), \cap)$. The map $\Psi : U(S) \rightarrow I(S)$ given by $\Psi(A) = \bar{A}$ is a magma isomorphism, and thus a graph is a sum graph over $U(S)$ if and only if it is a sum graph for $I(S)$.

For $U(S)$, the complete graphs K_n are sum graphs for all $n \leq |S| + 1$, as it is enough to consider a chain of n sets.

An example of a C_4 sum graph over $U(S)$, when S has at least 4 elements, is the following, where $A, B, C, D \subseteq S$ are four disjoint subsets of S :




Actually, one has:

Proposition 3.1. *When $n \geq 5$ is an odd integer, the graph C_n is not a sum graph over any magma of subsets of a set with the union operation. The graph C_{2k} is a sum graph over that magma for a set with $2k$ elements.*

Proof. We start by noticing that, in such a sum graph that contains no triangles, if a and b are two adjacent vertices, then $a \cup b$ cannot be distinct from both a and b . Therefore, given two adjacent vertices, one of them must contain the other.

Suppose now that C_n is a sum graph, and let v_1 be a vertex that is minimal for inclusion. Then v_2 contains v_1 , and v_3 cannot contain v_2 because that would create a triangle, so that v_3 must be contained in v_2 . The same reasoning applies to every consecutive vertex, and therefore the Hasse diagram of the vertices must have the shape:



There are two cases to consider: either v_n is in the lower or in the upper level of this diagram. In the first case, it cannot be connected to v_1 , as that would entail the edge $v_n v_2$, showing that C_{2n+1} is not a sum graph for $n \geq 2$. In the second case one can give the following construction of C_{2k} : take pairwise disjoint subsets $A_1, \dots, A_k, B_1, \dots, B_k$ (each can be a singleton, for example) and set $v_{2i-1} = A_i$ and $v_{2i} = A_i \cup A_{i+1} \cup B_i$, for $i = 1, \dots, k$, where we set $A_{k+1} = A_1$. \square

3.2. The Symmetric Difference. Let S be a finite set, and consider the group given by the symmetric difference on the subsets of S . Note that the empty set is the neutral element in this group, while every element is its own inverse. A group in which every element, other than the identity, has order 2 is called a *Boolean group*, and it is easy to see that every finite Boolean group is isomorphic to the group formed by the subsets of some finite set, with the symmetric difference.

It is clear that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the complete graph K_{2^n} is a sum graph for any of these groups. By removing the vertex corresponding to the neutral element, one sees that the graph K_{2^n-1} is also a sum graph. We now show

that there are no other complete graphs that are sum graphs for Boolean groups.

Proposition 3.2. *A graph K_m is a sum graph over some magma of subsets of a set S with the symmetric difference if and only if $m = 2^k - \varepsilon$ for $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}$.*


Proof. Suppose K_m is a sum graph over the group A of subsets of a set S with n elements. Since in these groups each element is its own inverse, and the operation restricted to the vertices of the graph is closed, the subset formed by the vertices is either a subgroup of A , if the empty set is one of the vertices, or, otherwise, becomes a subgroup when adding the empty set. Thus, by Lagrange's theorem, either m or $m + 1$ divides 2^n . \square

3.3. The Complements of the Intersection and of the Union. Consider the magmas $\overline{U}(S) = (\mathcal{P}(S), \overline{\cap})$ and $\overline{I}(S) = (\mathcal{P}(S), \overline{\cup})$ on the subsets of a set S with the operations given by $A \overline{\cap} B = \overline{A \cap B}$ and $A \overline{\cup} B = \overline{A \cup B}$, respectively, where the overline denotes the complement.

The map $\Psi : \overline{U}(S) \rightarrow \overline{I}(S)$ given by $\Psi(A) = \overline{A}$ is a magma isomorphism, and thus a graph is a sum graph over $\overline{U}(S)$ if and only if it is so for $\overline{I}(S)$.

Proposition 3.3. *The graph C_4 is not a sum graph over a magma $\overline{U}(S)$.*

Proof. Suppose there is such a magma $\overline{U}(S)$, and let A and B be two adjacent vertices. Their composition $\overline{A \cup B}$ must be a third vertex, since $\overline{A \cup B} = A$ (for example) implies $A = S$ and $B = \emptyset$, and then all edges incident to \emptyset would be present in the graph. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the labelling of C_4 is as follows:




Now, $B \overline{\cap} (\overline{A \cup B}) = \overline{B \cup A}$ is either C or A , because, as we just saw one cannot have $X \overline{\cap} Y = X$, for any vertices X and Y .

In the first case, $C = \overline{B \cup A}$, the edge BC would be present. In the other case, one would have $\overline{B} \subseteq A$, and therefore $\overline{A} \subseteq B$, which entails that the diagonal edge opposed to BC would be present. \square

4. THE C_4 PROBLEM ON ABELIAN GROUPS

As mentioned above, C_4 is not a sum graph, i.e. an \mathbb{N} -graph. It is not even a \mathbb{Z} -graph, as stated by Harary in [Har94] and proved by Sharary in [Sha96, Theorem 3]. For a short and elegant proof of this, see section 2 of [MP02].

Let us, then, consider the problem of determining the abelian groups A such that C_4 is an A -graph. Assume one has such a group A , and let $V = \{a, b, c, d\} \subseteq A$. Since 0 , the neutral element of A , cannot be in V , as it would be adjacent to every vertex, it is easy to see that there are only two cases to consider:




From these one deduces that one must have, in both cases, $5a = 0$ (with $a \neq 0$), and, respectively,

$$\begin{cases} b = 3a \\ c = 4a \\ d = 2a \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{cases} b = 2a \\ c = 4a \\ d = 3a. \end{cases}$$

Note that both give rise to the same set of vertices and, in both cases, the sums of the diagonally opposed vertices are 0, which ensures that resulting graph is indeed a C_4 . This proves the following.


Proposition 4.1. *If A is an abelian group of order n , then C_4 is a A -graph if and only if $5 \mid n$.*

Example: Choosing $a = 1$ in \mathbb{Z}_5 and $a = 3$ in \mathbb{Z}_{11}^* , one obtains the following isomorphic sum graphs over these groups:



5. THE C_n PROBLEM

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let us consider the problem of finding an abelian group A for which C_n is a A -graph. We will restrict our search to *Fibonacci labellings* of cyclic graphs, i.e. labellings in which each label is the sum of the two previous labels, when going through the vertices sequentially, clockwise or otherwise. Here is an example of such a Fibonacci labelling of C_7 over the group \mathbb{Z}_{29} , and a non-Fibonacci labelling of that same graph, but over \mathbb{Z}_7 , in which we used SageMath [Sag21] to confirm the non-existence of spurious edges:



If one denotes the vertices of the n -gon by $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n}$, and decide that $a_{i+1} = a_i + a_{i-1}$, one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 &= a_0 + a_1 \\ a_3 &= a_1 + a_2 \\ &\vdots \\ a_{n-1} &= a_{n-3} + a_{n-2} \\ a_0 &= a_{n-2} + a_{n-1} \\ a_1 &= a_{n-1} + a_0. \end{aligned}$$

From these, it is easy to see that $a_i = f_{i-1}a_0 + f_i a_1$ for $i = 2, \dots, n-1$, $a_0 = f_{n-1}a_0 + f_n a_1$, and $a_1 = f_n a_0 + f_{n+1} a_1$, where f_n is the usual n -th Fibonacci number. These last two equalities yield

$$f_n a_1 = (1 - f_{n-1})a_0 \quad \text{and} \quad (f_{n+1} - 1)a_1 = -f_n a_0, \quad (5.1)$$

which are, hence, necessary conditions for a Fibonacci labelling of C_n to exist.

Let $d = (f_n, f_{n+1} - 1)$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $d = x f_n + y(f_{n+1} - 1)$. Let $z = (1 - f_{n-1})x - f_n y$. From equations (5.1), one obtains

$$d a_1 = z a_0. \quad (5.2)$$

Note that, since d divides f_n and $f_{n+1} - 1$, it also divides their difference, $f_{n-1} - 1$, and thus d divides z .

Letting $q \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $f_n = dq$, one has

$$(zq + f_{n-1} - 1)a_0 = 1. \quad (5.3)$$

If one now lets $q_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $f_{n+1} - 1 = dq_1$, then, using the right equation in (5.1) together with (5.2), one gets

$$(zq_1 + f_n)a_0 = 1. \quad (5.4)$$

Setting $e = zq + f_{n-1} - 1$ and $z_1 = zq_1 + f_n$, the last two equations can be replaced by

$$(e, z_1)a_0 = 1. \quad (5.5)$$

In this way, equations (5.1) may be replaced by equations (5.2) and (5.5). Observe that d divides (e, z_1) . We can, then, summarize what was here shown, as follows.

Proposition 5.1. *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $d = (f_n, f_{n+1} - 1)$. Find $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $d = x f_n + y(f_{n+1} - 1)$, and set $z = (1 - f_{n-1})x - f_n y$. Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $f_n = dq$, and let $q_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $f_{n+1} - 1 = dq_1$. Set $e = zq + f_{n-1} - 1$, and $z_1 = zq_1 + f_n$.*

Then, a necessary condition for $a_0, a_1 \in A$ to generate a Fibonacci labelling of C_n , over the abelian group A , is that the order of a_0 divides (e, z_1) , and that $a_1 = \frac{z_1}{d} a_0$.

One can find $(f_n, f_{n+1} - 1)$ as follows. By induction it is easy to see that

$$(f_n, f_{n+1} - 1) = (f_{n-k} + (-1)^k f_k, f_{n-k-1} - (-1)^k f_{k+1}). \quad (5.6)$$

Let us first consider the case when n is odd, $n = 2j + 1$. Choosing $k = j$ in the previous equality, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
(f_n, f_{n+1} - 1) &= (f_{j+1} + (-1)^j f_j, f_j - (-1)^j f_{j+1}) \\
&= (f_{j+1} + f_j, f_{j+1} - f_j) \\
&= (2f_j + f_{j-1}, f_{j-1}) \\
&= (2f_j, f_{j-1}) \\
&= \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } 2 \mid f_n \\ 1, & \text{if } 2 \nmid f_n \end{cases} = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } 3 \mid n \\ 1, & \text{if } 3 \nmid n. \end{cases} \quad (5.7)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, if n is even, one needs to consider whether or not $4 \mid n$. If $n = 4j$, then choosing $k = 2j$ in equation (5.6), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
(f_n, f_{n+1} - 1) &= (f_{2j} + f_{2j}, f_{2j-1} - f_{2j+1}) \\
&= (2f_{2j}, f_{2j}) = f_{2j}. \quad (5.8)
\end{aligned}$$

If $n = 4j + 2$, then choosing $k = 2j + 1$ in equation (5.6), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
(f_n, f_{n+1} - 1) &= (f_{2j+1} - f_{2j+1}, f_{2j} + f_{2j+2}) \\
&= f_{2j} + f_{2j+2} = 2f_{2j} + f_{2j+1}. \quad (5.9)
\end{aligned}$$


Summarising:

Proposition 5.2. *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$(f_n, f_{n+1} - 1) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}, \\ 1, & \text{if } n \equiv 1, 5 \pmod{6}, \\ f_{\frac{n}{2}}, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ f_{\frac{n}{2}} + 2f_{\frac{n}{2}-1}, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Using this, and with the help of SageMath to verify that one does not get undesired edges, we got the following two examples:

- For $n = 15$, one is in the first case of equation (5.7), so that $d = 2$. Also, $z = 162$, $(e, z_1) = 682$. Taking $A = \mathbb{Z}_{682}$, $a_0 = 1$ e $a_1 = 81$, one obtains the following example of a labelling for C_{15} : $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_{682}}([1, 81, 82, 163, 245, 408, 653, 379, 350, 47, 397, 444, 159, 603, 80])$, the vertices being displayed here in cyclic order.
- For $n = 6$, one gets $d = 4$, $z = -4$, $(e, z_1) = 4$. Using the group $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ with $a_0 = (1, 2)$ and $a_1 = (0, 1)$, the conditions (5.2) and (5.5) are satisfied, and one indeed gets a Fibonacci labelling for C_6 over this group:



5.1. A Serendipitous Conjecture. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $e(n)$ and $z_1(n)$ be defined as above, and set $\delta(n) = (e(n), z_1(n))$. Numerical computations make us suspect that:


$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\delta(n_{k+1})}{\delta(n_k)} = \begin{cases} 2 + \phi, & \text{if } n_k = 4k + r, \text{ for } r \in \{0, 2\}. \\ 13 + \frac{8}{\phi}, & \text{if } n_k = 6k + r, \text{ for } r \in \{1, 3, 5\}, \end{cases} \quad (5.10)$$

where $\phi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ is the golden ratio.


6. MORE EXAMPLES

In this section we gather some extra examples obtained with the help of the results from the previous section, again using SageMath to confirm that the edges are exactly the ones pretended.


- A Fibonacci labelling for C_5 over the group \mathbb{Z}_{11} :




- A Fibonacci labelling for C_6 over the group $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$, and a non-Fibonacci labelling for the same graph over \mathbb{Z}_{13} :



- A Fibonacci labelling for C_8 over the group $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_{15}$, and one non-Fibonacci labelling over \mathbb{Z}_{29} :



- Finally, a Fibonacci labelling for C_{12} over the group $\mathbb{Z}_{40} \times \mathbb{Z}_{40}$:



7. A C_9 EXAMPLE


The attempts we made to find a number $m \in \mathbb{N}$ for which C_9 is a \mathbb{Z}_m -graph, using the method described above were unsuccessful. Then, to find such a number m , we used the following strategy.

If $V = \{x_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_9\}$ are the vertices of C_9 , then one may seek for a field in which the following linear system has a non-trivial solution:

$$x_i + x_{i+1} = x_{g(i)} \text{ where } g(i) \notin \{i, i + 1\} \text{ and } g(i) \neq g(i + 1), \quad (7.1)$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_9$.

Using SageMath to randomly search for integral matrices 9×9 corresponding to linear systems like this, computing their determinants to find a finite field where these are zero, then computing a non-trivial element of the respective kernel, and finally checking that the sums of non-adjacent vertices are not in the chosen solution, we were able to find the following example:



as well as two others over the field \mathbb{Z}_{47} :

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_{47}}([1, 12, 36, 23, 13, 30, 43, 26, 22]), \\ &\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{Z}_{47}}([1, 34, 14, 26, 35, 38, 44, 41, 40]). \end{aligned}$$

8. $C_{4\ell}$ AS A SUM GRAPH OVER AN ABELIAN GROUP

Set $n = 4\ell$, $f = f_{2\ell}$ and $A = \mathbb{Z}_f \times \mathbb{Z}_f$. Consider the graph C whose vertices are $a_i = (f_i, f_{i-1}) \in A$ with $i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$, and the edges the ones induced by the sum graph law. By (5.8), and using the same notations as above, we get $d = f_{2\ell} = f$. Since d divides z , equations (5.2) and (5.5) are trivially satisfied in A . Therefore, it only remains to show that C does not have any edge besides the $a_i a_{i+1}$.

Suppose, by contradiction, that there is, in C , an edge $a_i a_j$ with $0 < i + 1 < j < n$, i.e. that $a_i + a_j = a_k$ in A . This entails

$$\begin{cases} f_i + f_j \equiv f_k \pmod{f} \\ f_{i-1} + f_{j-1} \equiv f_{k-1} \pmod{f}. \end{cases}$$

Subtracting the second from the first, maintaining the first, and swapping them, one gets

$$\begin{cases} f_{i-1} + f_{j-1} \equiv f_{k-1} \pmod{f} \\ f_{i-2} + f_{j-2} \equiv f_{k-2} \pmod{f}. \end{cases}$$

Repeating this, one eventually obtains $a_0 + a_{j-i} = a_{k-i}$, which means that one can reduce to the case $i = 0$. Thus, one has

$$\begin{cases} f_j \equiv f_k \pmod{f} \\ 1 + f_{j-1} \equiv f_{k-1} \pmod{f}. \end{cases} \quad (8.1)$$

Taking $j = j' + 2\ell$ and $k = k' + 2\ell$, with $1 < j' < 2\ell$ and $-2\ell < k' < 2\ell$, which can be done since $f_i \equiv f_{i+4\ell} \pmod{f_{2\ell}}$ (this follows from (8.1) and $f_{4\ell+1} \equiv 1 \pmod{f_{2\ell}}$), and using the fact that ([VM02], (1.8), p. 9)

$$f_{m+n} = f_{m-1}f_n + f_m f_{n+1}, \quad (8.2)$$

which holds for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, one obtains from the first congruence in (8.1) that

$$f_{j'} f_{2\ell+1} \equiv f_{j'+2\ell} \equiv f_{k'+2\ell} \equiv f_{k'} f_{2\ell+1} \pmod{f_{2\ell}}.$$

Since two consecutive Fibonacci numbers are coprime, one deduces that

$$f_{j'} \equiv f_{k'} \pmod{f_{2\ell}}. \quad (8.3)$$

If $0 < k' < 2\ell$, this entails $f_{j'} = f_{k'}$, and hence $j = k$, which is absurd. Now, since $f_{-t} = (-1)^{t-1} f_t$, one has to deal only with the case when k' is even. In this case, one gets

$$f_{j'} + f_{k'} \equiv 0 \pmod{f_{2\ell}}, \quad (8.4)$$

with $1 < j' < 2\ell$, and $0 < k' < 2\ell - 1$. This congruence can only hold for $j' = 2\ell - 1$ and $k' = 2\ell - 2$. But, then, the second congruence in (8.1) yields

$$1 + f_{4\ell-2} \equiv f_{4\ell-3} \pmod{f_{2\ell}},$$

which is equivalent to

$$f_{4\ell-4} \equiv -1 \pmod{f_{2\ell}}.$$

Using (8.2), one gets:

$$-1 \equiv f_{4\ell-4} \equiv f_{2\ell-1} f_{2\ell-4} \equiv f_{2\ell-2} f_{-1} f_{2\ell-4} \equiv f_{2\ell-2} f_{2\ell-4} \pmod{f_{2\ell}}.$$

Applying again (8.2),

$$-1 \equiv f_{2\ell-2} f_{2\ell-4} \equiv f_{2\ell-1} f_{-2} f_{2\ell-4} \equiv -f_{2\ell-1} f_{2\ell-4} \equiv 1 \pmod{f_{2\ell}},$$

which gives the desired contradiction.

We have thus shown the following result.

Theorem 8.1. *For every $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, the graph $C_{4\ell}$ is a sum graph over the group $\mathbb{Z}_f \times \mathbb{Z}_f$, where $f = f_{2\ell}$ is the corresponding Fibonacci number.*

9. INTEGRAL SUM GRAPHS

9.1. The radius of a \mathbb{Z} -graph. For \mathbb{Z} -graphs, Melnikov and Pyatkin [MP02] defined the *radius of a labelling* as its maximum absolute value, i.e. the radius of the smallest interval centered on the origin that contains the labelling set, and defined the *radius* of a \mathbb{Z} -graph, G , as the smallest of the radius of all its labellings, denoted by $r(G)$. In their paper it is shown (see [MP02, Theorem 1]) that the radius of C_n grows at most linearly with n . It is easy to see that a labelling for P_n can be obtained from their labelling for C_{n+1} , by excluding the label of maximum value, and that one has $r(P_n) \leq \frac{17}{2}n$.

In [Har94], Harary showed that all matchings, mP_2 , are \mathbb{Z} -graphs. By relying on the ideas presented in [MP02], one can improve Harary's result by showing the following.

Proposition 9.1. *For all $m \geq 4$, $r(mP_2) \leq 3m - 4$.*

Proof. The idea is to consider two intervals of integers,

$$\begin{aligned} & a, a + 1, \dots, a + (m - 2), \\ & -b, -b - 1, \dots, -b - (m - 2), \end{aligned}$$

with $a > b \in \mathbb{N}$ appropriately chosen. To start with, choose a and b such that $2a \geq a + (m - 1)$, $2b \geq b + (m - 1)$, so that there are no edges between the vertices with labellings in the same interval. One then adds a pair of vertices with labellings $a - b$ and $b + (m - 2)$, whose sum is the maximum label. The requirement that there are no undesired edges leads to the conditions $b \geq m - 1$ and $a \geq 2m - 2$. Choosing $b = m - 1$ and $a = 2m - 2$ yields the following labelling for mP_2 :

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} (2m - 2) & (2m - 1) & \cdots & (3m - 4) & (m - 1) & \\ \quad \quad \quad \downarrow & \quad \quad \quad \downarrow & & \quad \quad \quad \downarrow & \quad \quad \quad \downarrow & \\ (-m + 1) & (-m) & \cdots & (-2m + 3) & (2m - 3), & \end{array}$$

which yields the claim. \square

Remark: Rupert Li, in [Li22, Theorem 6.2], gives, for $m \geq 3$, the labelling

$$-1; 1, 3, 5, \dots, 4m - 7, 4m - 5; 4m - 4$$

for mP_2 , which he proves to have the minimal range (the difference between the biggest and the smallest labels), called *ispum*, among all integral labellings for mP_2 . Note that our labelling has a bigger range, namely $5m - 7$, but a smaller radius, showing that the *ispum* and the radius are distinct characteristics of a (sum) graph. The radius measures the smallest absolute magnitude of the numbers needed to label the graph.

Sharary has shown that, for $n > 3$, K_n is not a \mathbb{Z} -graph [Sha96, Theorem 2]. We show here that their complements, \bar{K}_n , are \mathbb{Z} -graphs, for all n , and determine their radii.

Proposition 9.2. *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $r(\bar{K}_n) = n - 1$.*

Proof. It is easy to show that, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the numbers

$$-(n-1), -(n-2), \dots, -\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1, \dots, (n-1)$$

provide a labelling for \bar{K}_n , showing that $r(\bar{K}_n) \leq n-1$.

To show that it cannot be smaller, suppose that there is a labelling of \bar{K}_n with labels in $[-(n-2), (n-2)]$. By multiplying by -1 if necessary, one may assume that there are at least $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ labels in $[1, (n-2)]$, since 0 cannot be one of the labels for $n > 1$. We show by induction that the numbers $1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1$ cannot be labels, which yields a contradiction, since $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1 = n-1 > n-2$. The proof is split into two cases: n odd and n even.

Odd case. If 1 is a label, then at least one element in each of the following pairs is not a label: $(2, 3), (4, 5), (6, 7), \dots, (n-3, n-2)$, which would imply that there would be at most $n-2 - \frac{n-3}{2} = \frac{n-1}{2}$ labels.

Assume, now, that one had already shown that any positive value smaller than k is not a label, for any $k \leq \frac{n-3}{2}$. If k is a label, then at most one element of each of the following disjoint pairs is a label:

$$\begin{aligned} &(k+1, 2k+1), (k+2, 2k+2), \dots, (2k, 3k), \\ &(3k+1, 4k+1), (3k+2, 4k+2), \dots, (4k, 5k), \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &((2i-1)k+1, 2ik+1), \dots, ((2i-1)k+j, 2ik+j), \end{aligned}$$

with $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, where either

- (a) $j \leq k$ and $2ik+j = n-2$, or
- (b) $j = k$ and $(2i+1)k < n-2 < (2i+2)k+1$.

In case (a), the labelling would not include $(k-1)+k(i-1)+j = (n-2)-ki-1$ numbers, leaving out only $ki+1$, which is not greater than $\frac{n-1}{2}$, as $2ki+1 \leq n-2$. In case (b), one would only have at most $(n-2) - ki - (k-1) = (n-1) - k(i+1)$ possible labels, but this number is also less or equal to $\frac{n-1}{2}$, since $n-2 < (2i+2)k+1 \iff n-2 \leq 2k(i+1) \iff n-1 \leq 2k(i+1)$, since n is odd.

Even case. In this instance, if 1 is a label, then at least one element in each of the following pairs is not a label: $(2, 3), (4, 5), (6, 7), \dots, (n-4, n-3)$, and thus one has at most $\frac{n}{2} - 1$ labels up to $n-3$, consequently $n-2$ must be a label. One concludes that $n-4$ must also be a label, and then $n-6$, and so on, up to 2 . For $n \geq 8$, this implies that the existence of the edge $2-4$, and the cases $n = 2, 4, 6$ are easy to discard.

Assume, now, that one had already shown that any positive value smaller than k is not a label, for any $k \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$. Again, if k is a label, then one element of each of the following disjoint pairs is not a label:

$$\begin{aligned} &(k+1, 2k+1), (k+2, 2k+2), \dots, (2k, 3k), \\ &(3k+1, 4k+1), (3k+2, 4k+2), \dots, (4k, 5k), \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &((2i-1)k+1, 2ik+1), \dots, ((2i-1)k+j, 2ik+j), \end{aligned}$$

with $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, where either

- (a) $j \leq k$ and $2ik + j = n - 2$, or
- (b) $j = k$ and $(2i + 1)k < n - 2 < (2i + 2)k + 1$.

In case (a), the labelling does not include $(k-1)+k(i-1)+j = (n-2)-ki-1$ numbers, leaving out only $ki+1$, which is not greater than $\frac{n}{2}-1$, as $2ki+1 \leq n-2$ implies $2ki+1 \leq n-3$, as n is even.

The case (b) is a bit more delicate. Considering now the following pairs, starting at $n-2$ and going downwards:

$$\begin{aligned} & (n-2, n-2-k), \dots, (n-2-(k-1), n-2-(2k-1)), \\ & (n-2-2k, n-2-3k), \dots, (n-2-(3k-1), n-2-(4k-1)), \\ & \quad \vdots \\ & (n-2-2(i-1)k, n-2-(2i-1)k), \dots, (n-2-((2i-1)k-1), n-2-(2ik-1)), \end{aligned}$$

one sees that the number of possible labels is, at most, $\ell = (n-2) - ki - (k-1) = (n-1) - k(i+1)$. Since n is even, $n-2 < (2i+2)k+1$ implies $n-2 \leq (2i+2)k$, and thus $\ell \leq \frac{n}{2}$. It follows that one must have $\ell = \frac{n}{2}$ and $n-2 = (2i+2)k$; that every pair in the above array must contain one (and only one) label; and that all numbers from $k+1$ up to $2k = (n-2) - 2ik$ must be labels. But then, at least $k, k+1, 2k$ are labels, since $k \geq 2$. It follows that $2k+1, 3k, 3k+1$ are not labels. Repeating the argument for the pairs starting at $(k+2, 2k+2)$, in which case the last pair involved would be $((2i+1)k, (2i+2)k)$, one sees that one of the numbers in the pair $(2k+1, 3k+1)$ must be a label. This yields the desired contradiction, as long as $3k+1 \leq n-2 = 2(i+1)k$, which is equivalent to $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2k} \leq i$, or $i \geq 1$. Finally, just note that the case $i = 0$ occurs if and only if one has $\frac{n}{2}-1 = (i+1)k = k$, or $n-2 = 2k$, and then all numbers from k to $2k$ must be labels. But then none of the numbers $-1, -2, \dots, -k$ can be labels, and then there are not enough labels. This completes the proof. \square

Remark: For n even, one also has, for \bar{K}_n , the labelling with radius $n-1$:

$$-(n-1), -(n-3), \dots, -3, -1, 1, 3, \dots, (n-3), (n-1).$$

9.2. The Z3 Theorem Prover and Presburger Arithmetic. The fact that the Presburger arithmetic is decidable implies that it is decidable to find out if a given graph is or not a sum graph, either over \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{N} . A problem of this kind can be computationally solved by means of a SMT problem solver. A Satisfiability Modulo Theories (SMT) problem is a decision problem for logical formulas with respect to combinations of background theories, in this case integer arithmetic. We used Z3¹, a SMT solver that, for small instances, could directly obtain answers for problems concerning the existence of $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ or $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ -graphs.

For $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +)$ -graphs, Z3 documentation states that it does not support, at the moment, modular arithmetic. But because these problems, by definition, only involve, positive and negative, equalities between additive expressions, the transformation of these formulas into boolean formulas using integer arithmetic was straightforward, making possible to use Z3 for these problems too.


¹<https://github.com/Z3Prover/z3/wiki>

Using Z3 and the list of graphs in Brendan McKay webpage², we got the following data, where t is the total number graphs with n vertices, and isg is the respective number of integral sum graphs:

n	t	isg	$risg$	isg/t	$risg/t$
2	2	2	2	1	1
3	4	4	4	1	1
4	11	5	6	0.45	0.55
5	34	14	18	0.41	0.53
6	156	50	72	0.32	0.46
7	1044	226	361	0.22	0.35
8	12346	1460	3162	0.12	0.26

It is a direct consequence of the fact that a sum labelling constitutes a compressing code for a graph that admits such a labelling, that the percentage of graphs that are sum graphs must go to zero as the size of the graph increases, by a basic Kolmogorov complexity result [LV08].

9.3. The n -dimensional Cube. In [MP02], Melnikov and Pyatkin showed that the 3D-cube graph, Q_3 , is not an integral sum graph, and then asked (Question 3, p. 245) whether it is true that the n -dimensional cube graph, Q_n , is not an integral sum graph for all $n \geq 2$. It turns out that the answer to this question is negative. Using the Z3 Theorem Prover we have obtained the integral sum labellings of the 4D-cube that we are going to describe using the order of the vertices depicted in the following figure:



Note that here the tags are not labels in the previous sense, i.e. sum labellings, but are just meant to give a specific order to the vertices — if you convert the tags to binary, you will see the reason behind the choice we made. Using this order, the first three labellings found by Z3 were, with the respective radius:

$$[-17, 38, 6, -46, -21, -19, -25, 8, -8, -32, -38, 21, -11, -6, 19, -40]_{r=46}$$

$$[29, -10, -32, 18, -19, 5, 37, -8, 8, -22, 10, 19, -3, 32, -5, -14]_{r=37}$$

$$[8, 18, -6, -29, -26, -9, -3, 11, -11, -24, -18, 26, 2, 6, 9, -35]_{r=35}$$

²<http://users.cecs.anu.edu.au/~bdm/data/graphs.html>

These 3 solutions are all in the nullspace, K , of the matrix $M \in \mathcal{M}_{32 \times 16}(\mathbb{Z})$ of the system $x_i + x_j - x_k = 0$, where $v_i v_j$ is an edge of Q_4 , with $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, 15\}$ corresponding to their location in binary, and v_k is the vertex such that $v_i + v_j = v_k$. It turns out that $\dim K = 3$, with a \mathbb{Q} -basis given by:

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &= (0, 0, -1, 1, 0, 0, 1, -1, 1, -1, 0, 0, -1, 1, 0, 0) \\ u_2 &= (-3, 2, 0, 0, 1, -1, -1, -2, 2, -2, -2, -1, -3, 0, 1, 0) \\ u_3 &= (-1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, -1, 1, 0, 0, -1, -1, 0, 0, 1), \end{aligned}$$

and the above solutions are, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sol}_1 &= -6u_1 + 19u_2 - 40u_3 && (\text{radius} = 46), \\ \text{sol}_2 &= 32u_1 - 5u_2 - 14u_3 && (\text{radius} = 37), \\ \text{sol}_3 &= 6u_1 + 9u_2 - 35u_3 && (\text{radius} = 35). \end{aligned}$$

We then used Z3 to probe for the radius of Q_4 , which turns out to be 24, with the following labelling:

$$[-5, 17, 19, 5, 24, -12, -2, 14, 22, 2, -24, 12, -20, -4, 4, -16]_{r=24}$$

Given a \mathbb{Z} -graph G with a labelling λ , the nullspace of the matrix of the system given by the equations $x_i + x_j = x_k$ with $\{i, j\} \in E(G)$ and $k \in V(G)$ such that $\lambda(i) + \lambda(j) = \lambda(k)$, will be here called *the kernel of the labelling* λ of G , while *a kernel* of a \mathbb{Z} -graph G is the kernel of some of its labellings.

We now show that any \mathbb{Z} -graph with a kernel of dimension at least 2 has infinitely many *primitive* labellings, i.e. labellings that are not obtainable by multiplying another labelling by some integer of modulus bigger than one, using the fact that a vector space over an infinite field (in the present case, \mathbb{Q}) cannot be the union of a finite number of its lower dimensional subspaces (see Theorem 1.2 in [Rot08]).

Theorem 9.3. *If a \mathbb{Z} -graph with at least two vertices has a kernel with dimension at least 2, then it has infinitely many primitive \mathbb{Z} -labellings.*

Proof. Let G be a \mathbb{Z} -graph with a kernel K with dimension at least 2. Each non-edge of G yields an equation $x_i + x_j = x_k$ that corresponds to an hyperplane that necessarily intersects K in a lower dimensional subspace, since G has a labelling, i.e. a solution of the system S that does not belong to neither of these hyperplanes. Since there are finitely many such hyperplanes, and their union cannot contain the entire space K , it follows that K has infinitely many \mathbb{Q} -lines outside all of those hyperplanes, each of which has an integral primitive point. \square

As an immediate consequence of this result, and the labellings above mentioned for the 4D-cube, we get:

Corollary 9.4. *The hypercube graph Q_4 has infinitely many primitive labellings.*

We tried to use Z3 to determine whether Q_5 is, or not, a \mathbb{Z} -graph, for more than two months of CPU time, but without success.

9.4. Cubic Graphs. Using the Z3 Theorem Prover we obtained the following results:


- There are no cubic graphs with 4 or 6 vertices that are integral sum graphs.
- Of the 5 non-isomorphic cubic graphs with 8 vertices, only one is an integral sum graph, as stated in [MP02].
- Of the 19 non-isomorphic cubic graphs with 10 vertices, only 6 are integral sum graphs, the ones given in [MP02]. In particular, the Petersen graph is not an integral sum graph.
- Of the 85 non-isomorphic cubic graphs with 12 vertices, only 9 are not integral sum graphs.

Question: Are all cubic graphs with sufficiently many vertices integral sum graphs?

10. MOD SUM GRAPHS


A graph that is a \mathbb{Z}_n -graph for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is known as a *mod sum graph*. Using the Z3 Theorem Prover, we obtained the following results:

- Neither $K_{3,3}$, nor the triangular prism:




are mod sum graphs.

- The 3D-cube is a mod sum graph over \mathbb{Z}_{15} :



- The Petersen graph is a mod sum graph over \mathbb{Z}_{28} :



It turns out that, given a connected graph G , there is a number $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, if G is not a \mathbb{Z}_m -graph for all $m \leq N$, then G is not a mod sum graph at all. In order to show this, we start by noticing the following trivial fact.

Lemma 10.1. *Let G be a \mathbb{Z}_m -graph with n vertices and with labelling v . Set $d = \gcd(v_1, \dots, v_n)$. If $d \mid m$, then G is also a $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{m}{d}}$ -graph.*

Proof. It immediately follows from the fact that $v_i + v_j \equiv v_k \pmod{m}$ is equivalent to $\frac{v_i}{d} + \frac{v_j}{d} \equiv \frac{v_k}{d} \pmod{\frac{m}{d}}$. \square

Theorem 10.2. *Let G be a connected graph with $n \geq 3$ vertices, and set $N = 2 \cdot 3^{n-1}$. Then, if G is not a \mathbb{Z}_m -graph for all $m \leq N$, then G is not a mod sum graph at all.*

Proof. Let G be a connected graph with $n \geq 3$ vertices. Since all trees with 3 or more vertices are mod sum graphs [BLTD90], we may restrict our attention to graphs that are not trees, and hence have at least n edges [Har18, Theorem 4.1]. Assume, then, that such a graph G is a mod sum graph, and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be the smallest number such that G is a \mathbb{Z}_m -graph. Let M be the matrix of the homogeneous system $x_i + x_j - x_k = 0$ with $\{i, j, k\} \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $ij \in E_G$, where v is the labelling function, and k a vertex such that $v_i + v_j \equiv v_k \pmod{m}$. Let A be an $n \times n$ minor of M , and $\Delta \in \mathbb{Z}$ its determinant. By the usual proof of Cramer's rule, one has $\Delta v_i \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let $d = \gcd(v_1, \dots, v_n)$. If $(d, m) \neq 1$, by the previous lemma G would be a $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{m}{(d, m)}}$ -graph, contradicting the minimality of m . Therefore $(d, m) = 1$, and one concludes that $m \mid \Delta$.

Finally, from the fact that the rows of A consists of only three non-zero entries, two ones and one minus one, it is easy to conclude by induction, using the Laplace expansion to compute determinants, that $|\Delta| \leq 2 \cdot 3^{n-1}$. \square


11. RELAXED SUM GRAPHS

11.1. Relaxed Integral Sum Graphs. Again, using the Z3 Theorem Prover we have got:

- There are no cubic graphs with 4 or 6 vertices that are integral relaxed sum graphs.
- Of the 5 non-isomorphic cubic graphs with 8 vertices, only one is an integral relaxed sum graph.
- Of the 19 non-isomorphic cubic graphs with 10 vertices, only 6 are non integral relaxed sum graphs. In particular the Petersen graph is (again) not an integral relaxed sum graph.
- Of the 85 non-isomorphic cubic graphs with 12 vertices, only 2 are not integral relaxed sum graphs.
- All the 509 non-isomorphic cubic graphs with 14 vertices are integral relaxed sum graphs.

Question: Are all cubic graphs with more that 12 vertices integral relaxed sum graphs?

11.2. Relaxed Mod Sum Graphs. The graph $K_{3,3}$ is a \mathbb{Z}_9 - rx graph:



Using the Z3 Theorem Prover, we found out that the triangular prism is not a relaxed mod sum graph.

12. THE DIRECT PRODUCT OF GRAPHS

The direct product $G \times H$ of two graphs G and H , also known as the tensor or Kronecker product, is the graph whose vertex set is the cartesian product $G \times H$ and two vertices (g_1, h_1) and (g_2, h_2) are adjacent if and only if g_1 is adjacent to g_2 in G , and h_1 is adjacent to h_2 in H . We show here that if G and H are strong \mathbb{Z} -graphs, then so is $G \times H$.

We start with the following lemma, that is inspired in the proof of the main result of [BHJ⁺92].

Lemma 12.1. *If G is a \mathbb{Z}^k -graph, then it is also a \mathbb{Z} -graph. The same holds for strong sum graphs.*

Proof. Let M be bigger than twice the maximum of the absolute values of all coordinates of the labels of the vertices of G . Then just use the fact that the map $\mathbb{Z}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^k x_i M^{i-1}$ is a group homomorphism, and it is injective when restricted to the labels of G , which follows from the fact that $x \equiv y \pmod{M}$ implies that $x = y$ whenever $|x| < \frac{M}{2}$ and $|y| < \frac{M}{2}$. \square

We can now show the claimed result.

Theorem 12.2. *If $(G_i)_{i=1, \dots, k}$ is a finite family of strong \mathbb{Z} -graphs, then so is their direct product $\prod_{i=1}^k G_i$.*

Proof. It is clear from the definition of the product of graphs that $\prod_{i=1}^k G_i$ is a strong \mathbb{Z}^k -graph when every G_i is a strong \mathbb{Z} -graph. The result then follows at once from the previous lemma. \square

Observe that, if $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ are \mathbb{Z} -graphs, but G_1 is not a strong \mathbb{Z} -graph, then the argument in the previous proof fails, because if $u \in V_1$ is such that $2u \in V_1$, and $v, w \in V_2$ are distinct, then $(u, v) + (u, w) \in V_1 \times V_2$, but (u, v) is not adjacent to (u, w) in $G_1 \times G_2$. We point out that Weischel [Wei62] has shown that $G \times H$ is connected if and only if either G or H has an odd cycle, which gives a way of constructing connected \mathbb{Z} -graphs.

Acknowledgements. We thank Henning Fernau for introducing us to the subject of sum graphs with an interesting question that we could not solve but that motivated the work here presented.

REFERENCES

- [BHJ⁺92] Deborah Bergstrand, Ken Hodges, George Jennings, Lisa Kuklinski, Janet Wiener, and Frank Harary. Product graphs are sum graphs. *Mathematics Magazine*, 65(4):262–264, 1992.
- [BLTD90] J. Boland, R. Laskar, C. Turner, and G. Domke. On mod sum graphs. *Congressus Numerantium*, 70:131–135, 1990.
- [Bou98] N. Bourbaki. *Algebra I: Chapters 1-3*. Actualités scientifiques et industrielles. Springer, 1998.
- [Cha93] G. J. Chang. Strong sum graphs. *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.*, 7:47–52, 1993.
- [Ell93] M. N. Ellingham. Sum graphs from trees. *Ars Combinatoria*, 35:335–349, 1993.
- [Gal22] Joseph A. Gallian. A dynamic survey of graph labeling. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, #DS6, 25th edition, 2022.
- [Har90] Frank Harary. Sum graphs and difference graphs. *Congressus Numerantium*, 72:101–108, 1990.
- [Har94] Frank Harary. Sum graphs over all the integers. *Discret. Math.*, 124(1-3):99–105, 1994.
- [Har18] Frank Harary. *Graph Theory*. CRC Press, 2018.
- [HHJ91] F. Harary, I.R. Hentzel, and D. Jacobs. Digitizing sum graphs over the reals. *Caribbean J. Math. Comput. Sci.*, 1:1–4, 1991.
- [Li22] Rupert Li. The spum and sum-diameter of graphs: Labelings of sum graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 345(5):112806, 2022.
- [LV08] Ming Li and Paul Vitányi. *An Introduction to Kolmogorov Complexity and Its Applications*. Texts in Computer Science. Springer, 2008.
- [MP02] Leonid S. Melnikov and Artem V. Pyatkin. Regular integral sum graphs. *Discret. Math.*, 252(1-3):237–245, 2002.
- [Rot08] Steven Rotman. *Advanced Linear Algebra*. Springer, Third edition, 2008.
- [Sag21] Sage Developers. *SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 9.7)*, 2021. <https://www.sagemath.org>.
- [Sha96] Ahmad Sharary. Integral sum graphs from complete graphs, cycles and wheels. *Arab Gulf Journal of Scientific Research*, 14:1–14, 1996.
- [VM02] N.N. Vorobiev and M. Martin. *Fibonacci Numbers*. Birkhäuser Basel, 2002.
- [Wei62] Paul M. Weichsel. The Kronecker product of graphs. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 13(47–52), 1962.

Statements and Declarations. This research was partially supported by CMUP (Centro de Matemática da Universidade do Porto), the Center for Mathematics of the University of Porto, which is financed by national funds through FCT under the project with reference UID/MAT/00144/2020.

The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Email address, corresponding author: antonio.machiavelo@fc.up.pt

Email address: rogerio.reis@fc.up.pt

CMUP, FACULDADE DE CIÊNCIAS DA UNIVERSIDADE DO PORTO, 4169-007 PORTO, PORTUGAL