LOW-LYING GEODESICS ON THE MODULAR SURFACE AND NECKLACES

ARA BASMAJIAN AND MINGKUN LIU

ABSTRACT. The *m*-thick part of the modular surface X is the smallest compact subsurface of X with horocycle boundary containing all the closed geodesics which wind around the cusp at most m times. The *m*-thick parts form a compact exhaustion of X. We are interested in the geodesics that lie in the *m*-thick part (so called *m low-lying geodesics*). We produce a complete asymptotic expansion for the number of m low-lying geodesics of length equal to 2n in the modular surface. In particular, we obtain the asymptotic growth rate of the m low-lying geodesics in terms of their word length using the natural generators of the modular group. After establishing a correspondence between this counting problem and the problem of counting necklaces with n beads, we perform a careful singularity analysis on the associated generating function of the sequence.

1. INTRODUCTION



FIGURE 1. An m low-lying geodesic

Consider the $(2, 3, \infty)$ triangle group; that is, the modular surface $X := \mathbb{H}/\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. There are many interesting classes of closed geodesics on X including so-called reciprocal geodesics, ones that stay in a fixed compact subsurface of X, and ones that exclusively leave a compact subsurface, as well as of course the set of all closed geodesics on X. Our interest in this paper is on the growth rate of the closed geodesics that stay in a fixed compact subsurface of X. To be precise, let $\mathbb{C} \subset X$ be the cusp with its natural horocycle boundary of length one in X. For m a positive integer, we define the *m*-thick part of X, denoted X_m , to be the smallest compact subsurface of X with horocycle boundary which contains all the closed geodesics which wind

Date: November 28, 2023.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20F69, 32G15, 57K20; Secondary 20H10, 53C22.

Key words and phrases. asymptotic growth, binary words, closed geodesics, low-lying geodesic, modular group, reciprocal geodesic.

AB is supported by PSC CUNY Award 65245-00 53.

ML is supported by the Luxembourg National Research Fund OPEN grant O19/13865598.

around the cusp at most m-times. The m-thick parts form a compact exhaustion of X. We are interested in the geodesics that lie in the m-thick part (so called m low-lying geodesics). See Figure 1.

Using the fact that $\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_3$ is isomorphic to the modular group, we use word length with respect to the natural generators of the factors in $\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_3$ to study the growth of the *m* low-lying geodesics. In [BaVa22], it was shown that

$$|\{\gamma \text{ a closed geodesic in } X_m : |\gamma| = 2n\}| \gtrsim \frac{2^{n(1-1/m)-1}}{n}$$

as $n \to \infty$. Our main result in this paper, Theorem 1.1, is a complete asymptotic analysis of the number of m low-lying geodesics as well as the primitive ones. Let α_m be the unique positive real solution of the equation $x^m - x^{m-1} - \cdots - x - 1 = 0$. Let us mention that this equation is the characteristic equation of the generalized Fibonacci sequence, and α_m is a *Pisot number*, namely, it is a real algebraic integer strictly greater than 1, with all its Galois conjugates having modulus strictly less than 1.

Theorem 1.1. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 3}$, when $n \to \infty$, we have

(1)
$$|\{\gamma \ a \ closed \ geodesic \ in \ X_m : |\gamma| = 2n\}| = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d \mid n, d \le k} \varphi(d) \ \alpha_m^{n/d} + O\left(\frac{\alpha_m^{n/(k+1)}}{n}\right)$$

where φ is the Euler's totient function; and for primitive geodesics, we have

(2)
$$|\{\gamma \ a \ primitive \ closed \ geodesic \ in \ X_m : |\gamma| = 2n\}| = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d \mid n, d \le k} \mu(d) \ \alpha_m^{n/d} + O\left(\frac{\alpha_m^{n/(k+1)}}{n}\right)$$

where μ stands for the Möbius function.

Some corollaries follow,

Corollary 1.2. For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 3}$, we have

$$|\{\gamma \ a \ closed \ geodesic \ in \ X_m : |\gamma| = 2n\}| \sim \frac{\alpha_m^n}{n}$$

as $n \to \infty$. The same conclusion holds for primitive closed geodesics.

Corollary 1.3. The asymptotic growth rate of the primitive closed geodesics in X_m converges to the asymptotic growth rate of the primitive closed geodesics on the modular orbifold, as $m \to \infty$.

There is an extensive literature on cusp excursions by a random geodesic on a hyperbolic surface including the papers [Haas13, Haas09a, Haas09b, Haas08, Haas05, MePe93, Mor22, Poll09, RanTio21, Strat95, Sull82, Trin]. In particular, the papers [Sull82, Haas13, Haas09a, Haas09b, Haas08, Haas05] investigate the relation between depth, return time, and other invariants in various contexts including connections to number theory. Papers involving growth of particular families of geodesics include [BPPZ14, BaVa23, Erl19, EPS20, ErSo16, Mir08, Sar07]. Geometric length growth of low-lying geodesics having the arithmetic condition of being fundamental is studied in [BoKo17, BoKo19]. For hyperbolic geometry we use [Bus10] for a basic reference, and for combinatorial analysis [FlaSe09].

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Naomi Bredon, Christian El Emam, Alex Nolte, and Nathaniel Sagman for useful discussions. This project started during the first author's visit to the University of Luxembourg. It is a pleasure to thank the University of Luxembourg and Hugo Parlier for their support and hospitality during that time.

2. Necklaces and low-lying geodesics

In this section we establish a correspondence between low-lying geodesics and necklaces. A (binary) necklace is made of a circular pattern of beads, each bead being one of two colors, say red or black, with the constraint that the number of consecutive adjacent beads of the same color being at most m. Two necklaces are considered the same if they differ by a cyclic rotation. It is not difficult to see that the set of all m low-lying geodesics of length n is in one-to-one correspondence with the set of necklaces made of n beads with the longest run of the same color being at most m. We denote the number of such necklaces of length n by $A_m(n)$, and by $B_m(n)$ the number of primitive ones.

In this paper, we give a complete asymptotic analysis of the number of m low-lying geodesics. Call the generator of the \mathbb{Z}_2 factor a, and the generator of the \mathbb{Z}_3 factor b. Using the generators, $\{a, b, b^{-1}\}$ we define the length of a closed geodesic γ on X, denoted $|\gamma|$, to be the minimal word length in the conjugacy class of a lift in PSL $(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Any hyperbolic element can be conjugated into the normal form $ab^{\epsilon_1}ab^{\epsilon_2}\cdots ab^{\epsilon_n}$, and the normal forms realize the minimum word length; hence the word length of a closed geodesic is necessarily even. Noting that the normal form is a product of parabolic elements (which represent going around the cusp), we are able to conclude how deep a geodesic wanders into the cusp by looking at the exponents of these parabolics. Namely, staying in the compact subsurface X_m is equivalent to not having a run of $\epsilon'_i s$ longer than m. See for example Lemma 7.1 in [BaVa22] for a precise statement. Hence there is a one-toone correspondence between low-lying closed geodesics of length 2n and conjugacy classes of so called *low-lying words* in the modular group. Namely, a lift of a low-lying geodesic corresponds to a conjugacy class of hyperbolic elements in PSL $(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Now such a conjugacy class has a normal form representative $ab^{\epsilon_1}ab^{\epsilon_2}\cdots ab^{\epsilon_n}$, where the number of consecutive ϵ_i of the same sign is at most m. Assigning the color black to +1, and the color red to -1, we get a mapping

$$(\epsilon_1,\ldots,\epsilon_n)\longmapsto ab^{\epsilon_1}ab^{\epsilon_2}\cdots ab^{\epsilon_n}$$

which respects cyclic equivalence between the domain and range. We have shown,

Proposition 2.1. For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we have

 $A_m(n) = |\{\gamma \ a \ closed \ geodesic \ in \ X_{m \ge 3} : |\gamma| = 2n\}|,$

and

$$B_m(n) = |\{\gamma \text{ a primitive closed geodesic in } X_{m \ge 3} : |\gamma| = 2n\}|.$$

3. Generating Functions

Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. For technical reasons, instead of working with $A_m(n)$ (resp. $B_m(n)$), we consider $\hat{A}_m(n)$ (resp. $\hat{B}_m(n)$), the number of *m*-necklaces (resp. primitive *m*-necklaces) of size *n* that are *nonconstant*. We have

$$\hat{A}_m(n) = \begin{cases} A_m(n) - 2 & \text{if } 1 \le n \le m, \\ A_m(n) & \text{if } n > m, \end{cases} \text{ and } \hat{B}_m(n) = \begin{cases} B_m(n) - 2 = 0 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ B_m(n) & \text{if } n > 1. \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\hat{A}_m(n)$ (resp. $\hat{B}_m(n)$) and $A_m(n)$ (resp. $B_m(n)$) have the same asymptotic behavior.

We encode $\hat{A}_m(n)$ and $\hat{B}_m(n)$ into two generating functions $\operatorname{Nec}_m(z)$ and $\operatorname{PNec}_m(z)$, respectively, defined by

$$\operatorname{Nec}_{m}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{A}_{m}(n) \, z^{n}, \qquad \operatorname{PNec}_{m}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{B}_{m}(n) \, z^{n}.$$

The numbers $\hat{A}_m(n)$ and $\hat{B}_m(n)$ can be recovered by extracting the coefficient of z^n in the functions $\operatorname{Nec}_m(z)$ and $\operatorname{PNec}_m(z)$ respectively:

$$\hat{A}_m(n) = [z^n] \operatorname{Nec}_m(z), \qquad \hat{B}_m(n) = [z^n] \operatorname{PNec}_m(z)$$

where $[z^n]$ stands for the coefficient extractor.

Define

(3)
$$F_m(z) \coloneqq \frac{2z^2(1-z^m)(mz^{m+1}-(m+1)z^m+1)}{(z-1)(z^{m+1}-1)(z^{m+1}-2z+1)}.$$

Proposition 3.1. We have formulas

(4)
$$\operatorname{PNec}_{m}(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(i) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{F_{m}((xz)^{i})}{x} dx$$

and

(5)
$$\operatorname{Nec}_{m}(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(i) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{F_{m}((xz^{j})^{i})}{x} dx$$

where $y = (xz^j)^i$ and μ stands for the Möbius function.

We proceed following [FlaSe09, Appendix A.4]. We say a binary sequence is a non-constant m-sequence if it represents a non-constant m-necklace, and we denote by $W_m(n)$ the number of non-constant m-sequences of size n. For example, $W_2(4) = 6$, and the six non-constant 2-sequences are: (0, 0, 1, 1), (0, 1, 0, 1), (0, 1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 0, 1), (1, 0, 1, 0), and (1, 1, 0, 0). Note that (0, 0, 1, 0), (0, 1, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1, 1), and (1, 1, 0, 1) are not non-constant 2-sequences. We denote by Seq_m(z) the generating function of $W_m(n)$, namely

$$\operatorname{Seq}_m(z) \coloneqq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} W_m(n) \, z^n$$

Lemma 3.2. We have formula

(6)
$$\operatorname{Seq}_m(z) = F_m(z)$$

Proof. Every non-constant sequence can be decomposed into blocks of the same color such that adjacent blocks have different colors. For example, (0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0) has 5 blocks of sizes 1, 2, 1, 3, 2, respectively. For non-constant *m*-sequences, they have a minimum of 2 blocks, with each block size being bounded by *m*. If the first and the last block have the same color, the sum of their size is at most *m*. In binary sequences, the color of the first block determines the colors of the following ones, and the first and last block share the same color if and only if the number of blocks is odd.

Therefore, the generating function of non-constant m-sequences with even number of blocks is

$$2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (z+z^2+\cdots+z^m)^{2k} = 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(z\frac{1-z^m}{1-z}\right)^{2k} = -\frac{2z^2(1-z^m)^2}{(z^{m+1}-1)(z^{m+1}-2z+1)},$$

and the generating function of non-constant m-sequences with odd number of blocks is

$$2\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (z+z^{2}+\dots+z^{m})^{2k+1}(z^{2}+2z^{3}+\dots+(m-1)z^{m})$$

$$=\frac{2z^{2}((m-1)z^{m}-mz^{m-1}+1)}{(1-z)^{2}}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(z\frac{1-z^{m}}{1-z}\right)^{2k+1}$$

$$=-\frac{2z^{3}(z^{m}-1)((m-1)z^{m}-mz^{m-1}+1)}{(z-1)(z^{m+1}-2z+1)}.$$

Summing the two functions yields the lemma.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Let $PSeq_m(z)$ denote the generating function of primitive *m*-sequences. By construction,

$$\operatorname{Seq}_m(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{PSeq}_m(z^k).$$

Note that this does not hold if constant sequences are included. Now it follows from the Möbius inversion formula and Lemma 3.2 that

$$\operatorname{PSeq}_m(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(k) \operatorname{Seq}_m(z^k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(k) F_m(z^k)$$

where μ is the Möbius function.

Let $\operatorname{PNec}(z)$ be the generating function of primitive *m*-necklaces. We introduce an auxiliary variable *u*, and consider the bivariate generating functions $\operatorname{PSeq}(z, u) \coloneqq \operatorname{PSeq}(zu)$ and $\operatorname{PNec}(z, u) \coloneqq \operatorname{PNec}(zu)$. Observe that the primitive cycles of length *k* and primitive sequences of length *k* are in 1-to-*k* correspondence. Thus, in terms of generating functions, $\operatorname{PNec}(z, u)$ can be obtained by applying the transformation $u^k \mapsto u^k/k$ to $\operatorname{PSeq}(z, u)$, and equivalently,

$$\operatorname{PNec}_m(z,u) = \int_0^u \operatorname{PSeq}_m(z,x) \, \frac{dx}{x} = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu(i) \int_0^u F_m((xz)^i) \, \frac{dx}{x}.$$

Therefore, we obtain the formula

$$\operatorname{Nec}_{m}(z, u) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{PNec}_{m}(z^{j}, u^{j}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(i) \int_{0}^{u^{j}} F_{m}((xz^{j})^{i}) \frac{dx}{x}.$$

Now the result follows by setting u = 1.

4. Singularity analysis

In this section, we perform the singularity analysis to track down the asymptotic behavior of $A_m(n)$ and $B_m(n)$. Roughly speaking, rather than consider $\operatorname{Nec}_m(z)$ as a formal power series, we view it as a complex function. Then, the asymptotic behavior of the coefficients $\hat{A}_m(n)$ of $\operatorname{Nec}_m(z)$ can be understood by analysing the behavior of $\operatorname{Nec}_m(z)$ near its singularities. For details about this method, we recommend [FlaSe09, Chapter VI].

Remark 4.1. Our approach is slightly different from the standard one introduced in [FlaSe09, Chapter VI] as we take into account not only the principle singularities but also the minor singularities to obtain the complete asymptotic expansion (1) and (2).

To prepare for it, let us begin with the following lemma which follows directly from results by Miles [Miles60], Miller [Miller71], and [Wol98].

Lemma 4.2 ([Miles60, Miller71, Wol98]). For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, apart from the trivial solution z = 1, the polynomial equation

(7)
$$1 - 2z + z^{m+1} = 0$$

has exactly one positive real solution r_m which is simple and lies in the interval (1/2, 1). All other solutions have modulus strictly greater than 1.

Proof. Factoring (z-1) from $1-2z+z^{m+1}$ yields

$$1 - 2z + z^{m+1} = (z - 1)(z^m + z^{m-1} + \dots + z - 1).$$

After the change of variables z = 1/y, the equation $z^m + z^{m-1} + \cdots + z - 1 = 0$ becomes

(8)
$$y^m - y^{m-1} - \dots - 1 = 0.$$

Now by [Miles60], [Miller71], or [Wol98, Lemma 3.6], equation (8) has a unique positive real solution in the interval (1, 2), and all other solutions have modulus strictly greater than 1. The lemma follows.



FIGURE 2. Roots of $1 - 2z + z^{m+1}$

Remark 4.3. For m = 2, 3, we have exact formulas

$$r_2 = \frac{\sqrt{5} - 1}{2}, \qquad r_3 = \frac{\sqrt[3]{3}\sqrt{33} + 17}{3} - \frac{2}{3\sqrt[3]{3}\sqrt{33} + 17} - \frac{1}{3}$$

The exact expression of r_4 is already too lengthy to present here. Numerically,

 $r_2 \approx 0.6180, \quad r_3 \approx 0.5437, \quad r_4 \approx 0.5188, \quad r_5 \approx 0.5087, \quad r_7 \approx 0.5020, \quad r_{10} \approx 0.5002.$ Asymptotically, when $m \to \infty$, we have $r_m \to 1/2$.

Notation. In order to simplify our notation, in the remainder of this section we write r for r_m , α for α_m , and fix $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$.

The idea is the following: we write $\operatorname{Nec}_m(z)$ (and $\operatorname{PNec}_m(z)$) as the sum of two functions. The first is a standard function that accounts for the main terms in the asymptotic expansion (1). The other function corresponds to the error term in (1), and all its singularities are located farther from the origin than those of the first function.

Recall that we denote by μ the Möbius function, and by φ Euler's totient function.

Lemma 4.4. For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, we have formulas

(9)
$$\operatorname{Nec}_{m}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(k)}{k} \log \frac{1}{1 - z^{k}/r} + h(z)$$

and

(10)
$$\operatorname{PNec}_{m}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} \log \frac{1}{1 - z^{k}/r} + h_{1}(z)$$

where

(11)
$$h(z) \coloneqq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} h_j(z), \qquad h_j(z) \coloneqq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(i) \int_0^1 x^{i-1} z^{ij} f_m((xz^j)^i) \, dx,$$

and

(12)
$$f_m(z) \coloneqq \frac{2z(1-z^m)(mz^{m+1}-(m+1)z^m+1) + (z-1)^2(z^{m+1}-1)\psi_m(z)}{(z-1)(z^{m+1}-1)(z^{m+1}-2z+1)}$$

where ψ_m is defined to be the unique polynomial such that

$$t^{m+1} - 2t + 1 = (t-1)(t^m + \dots + t - 1) = (1-t) \cdot (r-t) \cdot \psi_m(t).$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.1, we have

(13)
$$\operatorname{Nec}_{m}(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(i) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{F_{m}((xz^{j})^{i})}{x} dx$$

where

$$F_m(z) \coloneqq \frac{2z^2(1-z^m)(mz^{m+1}-(m+1)z^m+1)}{(z-1)(z^{m+1}-1)(z^{m+1}-2z+1)}$$

Write $y \coloneqq (xz^j)^i$. Using the identity

$$\log \frac{1}{1 - z^{ij}/r} = \int_0^1 \frac{ix^{i-1}z^{ij}}{r - x^i z^{ij}} \, dx = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{x} \frac{y}{r - y}$$

the integral that appears in the right-hand side of (13) can be written as

$$\int_0^1 F_m(y) \, \frac{dx}{x} = \frac{1}{i} \log \frac{1}{1 - z^{ij}/r} + \int_0^1 \left(\frac{F_m(y)}{x} - \frac{1}{x} \frac{y}{r - y}\right) dx.$$

This can be further rewritten as

$$\int_0^1 F_m(y) \frac{dx}{x} = \frac{1}{i} \log \frac{1}{1 - z^{ij}/r} + \int_0^1 x^{i-1} z^{ij} \frac{2y(1 - y^m)(my^{m+1} - (m+1)y^m + 1) + (y-1)^2(y^{m+1} - 1)\psi_m(y)}{(y-1)(y^{m+1} - 1)(y^{m+1} - 2y + 1)} dx = \frac{1}{i} \log \frac{1}{1 - z^{ij}/r} + \int_0^1 x^{i-1} z^{ij} f_m((xz^j)^i) dx.$$

Thus, the generating function $\operatorname{Nec}_m(z)$ equals

(14)
$$\operatorname{Nec}_{m}(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(i)}{i} \log \frac{1}{1 - z^{ij}/r} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} h_{j}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(k)}{k} \log \frac{1}{1 - z^{k}/r} + h(z)$$

where we have used, in the second equality, the identity (see [HarWri08, Section 16.3] for a proof)

$$\sum_{d|k} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} = \frac{\varphi(k)}{k}$$

and the fact that summing over the indices i, j is the same as summing over k and its divisors.

ARA BASMAJIAN AND MINGKUN LIU

Similarly, the generating function (4) can be rewritten as

(15)
$$\operatorname{PNec}_{m}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} \log \frac{1}{1 - z^{k}/r} + h_{1}(z)$$

as claimed.

The following lemma shows that the rational function $f_m(z)$ is holomorphic in |z| < 1.

Lemma 4.5. Let $r = r_m$ be as in Lemma 4.2. We have

$$2r(1-r^m)(mr^{m+1}-(m+1)r^m+1) + (r-1)^2(r^{m+1}-1)\psi_m(r) = 0.$$

In other words, the numerator of the rational function f_m has r as a root.

Proof. Since $r^{m+1} - 2r + 1 = 0$, we have

$$r(1 - r^m) = 1 - r,$$
 $r^{m+1} - 1 = 2(r - 1).$

Thus, it suffices to show that

$$mr^{m+1} - (m+1)r^m + 1 - (r-1)^2\psi_m(r) = 0.$$

Note that for any polynomial P, if x_0 is a simple root of P and $P(x) = (x - x_0)Q(x)$, then $Q(x_0) = P'(x_0)$. By Lemma 4.2, r is a simple root of $1 - 2x + x^{m+1}$, and hence

 $(r-1)\,\psi_m(r) = (m+1)\,r^m - 2.$

On the other hand, again by the fact that $r^{m+1} - 2r + 1 = 0$, we have

$$mr^{m+1} - (m+1)r^m + 1$$

= $mr^{m+1} - (m+1)r^m + 1 + r^{m+1} - 2r + 1 = ((m+1)r^m - 2)(r-1),$

and the lemma follows.



FIGURE 3. g_3 is holomorphic in the open disk of radius $r^{1/3}$

Lemma 4.6. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the functions defined by

(16)
$$g_k(z) \coloneqq \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(i)}{i} \log \frac{1}{1 - z^i/r}, \qquad \tilde{g}_k(z) \coloneqq \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(i)}{i} \log \frac{1}{1 - z^i/r},$$

are holomorphic in the open disk $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r^{1/k}\}.$

Proof. Let $a \in (0, 1)$. For any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|z| \leq (ar)^{1/k}$, we have $|z|^i/r \leq a$ for any $i \geq k$, and hence

$$|g_k(z)| \le \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \log \frac{1}{1 - |z|^i/r} \le \left(\frac{1}{ar} \log \frac{1}{1 - a}\right) \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} |z|^i \le \left(\frac{1}{ar} \log \frac{1}{1 - a}\right) \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} (ar)^{i/k} < \infty$$

where we have used the fact that (since $-\log(1-x)/x$ is increasing on (0,1)) for any $0 < x \le x_0 < 1$,

$$\log \frac{1}{1 - x} \le \frac{x}{x_0} \log \frac{1}{1 - x_0}$$

Therefore, it follows from the Weierstrass M-test that the partial sum under consideration converges uniformly in the compact disk $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq (ar)^{1/k}\}$, where $a \in (0, 1)$ is arbitrary. This implies the assertion for g_k . The same argument applies to \tilde{g}_k as well.

Lemma 4.7. The functions $h_1(z)$ and h(z) defined in (11) are holomorphic in the open unit disk $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4.2 and 4.5 that the rational function $f_m(y)$ defined by (12) is holomorphic in the open disk |y| < 1. Thus, for any $a \in (0, 1)$, there exists M = M(a) such that $|f_m(z)| \le M$ for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z| \le a$, and therefore, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1}$ and $|z| \le a$,

(17)
$$|h_j(z)| \le M \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |z|^{ij} \int_0^1 x^{i-1} \, dx = M \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^{ij}}{i} = M \log \frac{1}{1 - |z|^j} < \infty$$

In particular, it follows that h_1 is holomorphic in |z| < 1. Now by (17), for any $|z| \le a$, we have

$$|h(z)| \le \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |h_j(z)| \le M \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \log \frac{1}{1-|z|^j} \le \frac{M}{a} \log \frac{1}{1-a} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |z|^j < \infty$$

which shows that h(z) is holomorphic in the open disk |z| < 1.

Now, we are ready to prove our main result on necklace counting.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. First observe that Proposition 2.1 allows us to translate the low-lying geodesic counting problem to counting necklaces. By Lemma 4.4, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the generating function (5) can be written as

$$Nec_m(z) = \varphi(1) \log \frac{1}{1 - z/r} + \dots + \frac{\varphi(k)}{k} \log \frac{1}{1 - z^k/r} + \frac{\varphi(k+1)}{k+1} \log \frac{1}{1 - z^{k+1}/r} + g_{k+2}(z) + h(z)$$

where g_{k+2} is defined by (16). For any integer $1 \le d \le k+1$, we have

$$[z^n] \log \frac{1}{1 - z^d/r} = \begin{cases} \frac{(1/r)^{n/d}}{n/d} = d \frac{\alpha^{n/d}}{n} & \text{if } d \mid n\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha := 1/r$. On the other hand, by Lemma 4.6 and 4.7, the function $g_{k+2} + h$ is holomorphic in $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r^{1/(k+2)}\}$ which contains the disk $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \le r^{1/(k+1)}\}$. Thus it follows from Cauchy's inequality that

$$[z^{n}](g_{k+2}(z) + h(z)) \le \rho^{-n} \sup_{|z|=\rho} |g_{k+2}(z) + h(z)| = O(\rho^{-n}) = O(\alpha^{n/(k+1)}/n)$$

for any $r^{1/(k+1)} < \rho < r^{1/(k+2)}$. This completes the proof of (1).

To prove (2), it is sufficient to write the generating function (15) as

$$\operatorname{PNec}_{m}(z) = \mu(1)\log\frac{1}{1-z/r} + \dots + \frac{\mu(k)}{k}\log\frac{1}{1-z^{k}/r} + \frac{\mu(k+1)}{k+1}\log\frac{1}{1-z^{k+1}/r} + \tilde{g}_{k+2}(z) + h_{1}(z).$$

Then the rest of the proof is similar to that of (1).

5. NUMERICAL COMPUTATIONS

Necklaces of small sizes, say $n \leq 25$, can be generated and counted using the SageMath package **sage.combinat.necklace**. For larger sizes, the CPU time required noticeably increases, as the necklace count grows exponentially. However, we can still efficiently compute $A_m(n)$ and $B_m(n)$ using Proposition 3.1. We have verified that the two methods agree for $n \leq 25$.

When *n* is prime, $A_m(n) = B_m(n)$, and both can be well approximated by α_m^n/n . For instance, $A_3(83) = 111384745483589787826$ and $\alpha_3^{83}/83 \approx 111384745483589787826.0120$.

	n = 10	n = 20	n = 40	n = 80
$n^{-1}(\alpha_m^n)$	4 4.3	98 16.5	9636 46499.3	18572291511 299245526.4
$+\alpha_m^{n/2}$	4 6.4	9838.7	963651407.5	$\boldsymbol{185722915117810} 68776.1$
$+2\alpha_m^{n/4}$	47 .3	9840.8	963651429.7	$\boldsymbol{1857229151178107} 3684.3$
$+4\alpha_m^{n/5})$	48.7	984 3.1	963651442.8	$\boldsymbol{185722915117810745} 42.1$
$A_m(n)$	47	9844	963651447	18572291511781074575
	n = 10	n = 20	n = 40	n = 80
$n^{-1}(\alpha_m^n)$	4 4.3	9 816.5	96364 6499.3	1857229151 1299245526.4
$-\alpha_m^{n/2}$	42 .2	9794 .3	9636415 91.0	$\boldsymbol{18572291510817422}{276.8}$
$-\alpha_m^{n/5}$	4 1.9	979 3.8	963641587.7	${\bf 1857229151081742206} 2.4$
$+\alpha_m^{n/10})$	42 .0	9794 .0	963641588 .0	${\bf 18572291510817422064.0}$
$B_m(n)$	42	9794	963641588	18572291510817422064

TABLE 1. m = 3 with exact digits in boldface

References

- [BaVa22] Ara BASMAJIAN and Robert Suzzi VALLI, Combinatorial growth in the modular group. Groups Geom. Dyn. 16 (2022), no. 2, 683–703.
- [BaVa23] Ara BASMAJIAN and Robert Suzzi VALLI, Counting cusp excursions of reciprocal geodesics. Submitted.
- [BPPZ14] Florin BOCA, Vicenţiu PAŞOL, Alexandru POPA, and Alexandru ZAHARESCU, Pair correlation of angles between reciprocal geodesics on the modular surface. Algebra Number Theory 8 (2014), no. 4, 999– 1035.
- [BoKo17] Jean BOURGAIN and Alex KONTOROVICH, Beyond expansion II: low-lying fundamental geodesics. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 19 (2017), no. 5, 1331–1359.

- [BoKo19] BOURGAIN and Alex KONTOROVICH, Beyond expansion III: Reciprocal geodesics. Duke Math J. 168 (2019), no. 18, 3413–3435.
- [Bus10] Peter BUSER, Geometry and spectra of compact Riemann surfaces. Birkhäuser Boston, Ltd., Boston, MA, 2010, xvi+454 pp.
- [Erl19] Viveka ERLANDSSON, A remark on the word length in surface groups. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 372 (2019), no. 1, 441–445.
- [EPS20] Viveka ERLANDSSON, Hugo PARLIER, and Juan SOUTO, Counting curves, and the stable length of currents. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 22 (2020), no. 6, 1675–1702.
- [ErSo16] Viveka ERLANDSSON and Juan SOUTO, Counting curves in hyperbolic surfaces. Geom. Funct. Anal. 26 (2016), no 3, 729–777.
- [ErSo] Viveka ERLANDSSON and Juan SOUTO, Counting and equidistribution of reciprocal geodesics and dihedral groups. eprint arXiv:2204.09956.
- [FlaSe09] Philippe FLAJOLET and Robert SEDGEWICK, Analytic combinatorics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009, xiv+810 pp.
- [Haas05] Andrew HAAS, The distribution of geodesic excursions out the end of a hyperbolic orbifold and approximation with respect to a Fuchsian group Geom. Dedicata 116 (2005), 129–155.
- [Haas08] Andrew HAAS, The distribution of geodesic excursions into the neighborhood of a cone singularity on a hyperbolic 2-orbifold. Comment. Math. Helv. 83 (2008), no. 1, 1–20.
- [Haas09a] Andrew HAAS, Geodesic cusp excursions and metric Diophantine approximation. Math. Res. Lett. 16 (2009), no. 1, 67–85.
- [Haas09b] Andrew HAAS, Geodesic excursions into an embedded disc on a hyperbolic Riemann surface. Conform. Geom. Dyn. 13 (2009), 1–5.
- [Haas13] Andrew HAAS, Excursion and return times of a geodesic to a subset of a hyperbolic Riemann surface. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 141 (2013), no. 11, 3957–3967.
- [HarWri08] G.H. HARDY and E.M. WRIGHT. An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008, xxii+621 pp.
- [Kon16] Alex KONTOROVICH. Applications of thin orbits. Dynamics and analytic number theory, 289–317, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 437, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016.
- [MePe93] María V. MELIÁN and Domingo PESTANA, Geodesic excursions into cusps in finite-volume hyperbolic manifolds. Michigan Math. J. 40 (1993), no. 1, 77–93.
- [Miles60] E.P. MILES, Jr. Generalized Fibonacci numbers and associated matrices. Am. Math. Mon. 67 (1960), 745–752.
- [Miller71] M.D. MILLER. On Generalized Fibonacci Numbers. Am. Math. Mon. 78 (1971), no.10, 1108–1109.
- [Mir08] Maryam MIRZAKHANI, Growth of the number of simple closed geodesics on hyperbolic surfaces. Ann. of Math. (2) 168 (2008), no. 1, 97–125.
- [Mor22] Ron MOR, Excursions to the cusps for geometrically finite hyperbolic orbifolds and equidistribution of closed geodesics in regular covers. Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst. 42 (2022), no. 12, 3745–3791.
- [Poll09] Mark POLLICOTT, Limiting distributions for geodesics excursions on the modular surfacer. Spectral analysis in geometry and number theory, 177–185, Contemp. Math., 484, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009
- [RanTio21] Anja RANDECKER and Giulio TIOZZO, Cusp excursion in hyperbolic manifolds and singularity of harmonic measure. J. Mod. Dyn. 17 (2021), 183–211.
- [Sar07] Peter SARNAK, Reciprocal Geodesics. Analytic number theory, 217–237, Clay Math. Proc., 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.
- [Strat95] Bernd STRATMANN, A note on counting cuspidal excursions. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. 20 (1995), no. 2, 359–372.
- [Sull82] Dennis SULLIVAN, Disjoint spheres, approximation by imaginary quadratic numbers, and the logarithm law for geodesics. Acta Math. 149 (1982), no. 3–4, 215–237.
- [Trin] Marie TRIN, Thurston's compactification via geodesic currents: The case of non-compact finite area surfaces. eprint arXiv:2208.10763.
- [Wol98] Stephen WOLFRAM. Solving generalized Fibonacci recurrences. Fibonacci Q. 36 (1998), no. 2, 129–145.

(Ara Basmajian) The Graduate Center, CUNY, 365 Fifth Ave., N.Y., N.Y., 10016, USA, and Hunter College, CUNY, 695 Park Ave., N.Y., N.Y., 10065, USA

Email address: abasmajian@gc.cuny.edu

(Mingkun Liu) DMATH, FSTM, UNIVERSITY OF LUXEMBOURG, ESCH-SUR-ALZETTE, LUXEMBOURG *Email address:* mingkun.liu@uni.lu