Strongly dominant weight polytopes are cubes

Gaston Burrull, Tao Gui, and Hongsheng Hu

ABSTRACT. For any root system of rank r, we study the "dominant weight polytope" P^{λ} associated with a strongly dominant weight λ . We prove that P^{λ} is combinatorially equivalent to the *r*-dimensional cube. As an application, we give a new proof of the known formulas for Betti numbers of Peterson varieties in classical Lie types.

1. INTRODUCTION

A weight polytope is the convex hull of the weights that occur in some highest weight representation of a Lie algebra [9, 16]. Weight polytopes are a recurrent object of study in representation theory of Lie algebras (see, for example, [4, 10, 21, 25, 31]). In type A, a weight polytope is precisely the classical permutohedron $P_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, that is, the convex hull of the n! points obtained from (x_1, \ldots, x_n) by permuting the coordinates. The face structure of weight polytopes was studied in [23] and [28], while Postnikov computed the volume and the number of lattice points of weight polytopes in the seminal paper [27].

In this paper, we study the "dominant weight polytopes" for any root system. Let Φ be a root system of rank r in the sense of [16] (that is, Φ is crystallographic, reduced, and finite) and let E be the r-dimensional Euclidean space where Φ lives. The space E is called *weight space*, and points in E are called *weights*. Let $(-|-): E \times E \to \mathbb{R}$ be the inner product on E. For any root $\alpha \in \Phi$, we denote the corresponding coroot $\frac{2\alpha}{(\alpha|\alpha)}$ by α^{\vee} . Then $\Phi^{\vee} := \{\alpha^{\vee} \in E \mid \alpha \in \Phi\}$ is the dual root system of Φ . We fix a set $\Delta = \{\alpha_i \mid i = 1, \ldots, r\}$ of simple roots of Φ . Let

$$s_i \colon E \to E, \quad x \mapsto x - (x|\alpha_i^{\vee})\alpha_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, r,$$

be the simple reflections. The Weyl group $W_f := \langle s_1, \ldots, s_r \rangle$ acts on E and preserves (-|-). The *dominant Weyl chamber* is the open cone in E given by

$$C_+ := \{ x \in E \mid (x | \alpha_i) > 0, \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, r \}.$$

A point $\lambda \in E$ is called *strongly dominant* if $\lambda \in C_+$, and *dominant* if $\lambda \in \overline{C_+}$, the closure of C_+ . Given $\lambda \in \overline{C_+}$, we define the *dominant weight polytope* P^{λ} associated with λ by

$$P^{\lambda} := \operatorname{Conv}(W_f \lambda) \cap \overline{C_+} \subset E$$

where $\operatorname{Conv}(W_f\lambda)$ is the convex hull of the finite set $W_f\lambda$ in E. That is, P^{λ} is the convex polytope obtained from intersecting the cone $\overline{C_+}$ with the convex set $\operatorname{Conv}(W_f\lambda)$. See Figure 1 for examples.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 05E10 (primary), 52B05 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. dominant weight polytope, strongly dominant weight, face structure, cube, Peterson variety.



(a) Φ of type A_3 and $\lambda = 5\alpha_1 + 7\alpha_2 + 6\alpha_3$.

(b) Φ of type B_3 and $\lambda = 5\alpha_1 + 7\alpha_2 + 8\alpha_3$.



As far as we know, the dominant weight polytope appeared implicitly for the first time in the seminal paper of Guillemin and Sternberg [12], and its applications have increased during recent years. It plays an important role in actions and representations of reductive groups [18, 19, 20], in the representation of complex simple Lie algebras [6], and in the description of cohomology rings of spherical varieties [17]. To investigate moduli stacks of pointed chains of \mathbb{P}^1 related to the Losev–Manin moduli spaces, Blume [5] studied the toric orbifold associated with (the normal fan of) a dominant weight polytope in types A, B, and C. A special case of Horiguchi– Masuda–Shareshian–Song's results [15] says that the rational cohomology ring of the toric orbifold associated with a dominant weight polytope in classical Lie types is isomorphic to the cohomology of the corresponding famous Peterson variety¹, see also [1]. Moreover, if λ is in the coroot lattice $\mathbb{Z}\Phi^{\vee}$, then P^{λ} is closely related to the "dominant lower Bruhat interval" corresponding to the translation by λ and its asymptotic behavior in the affine Weyl group $\mathbb{Z}\Phi^{\vee} \rtimes W_f$ [8].

In combinatorics of convex polytopes, it is crucial to determine the face structure (or combinatorial type) of a polytope. However, it is usually difficult to do it. For example, very little is known about the face structure of a *d*-polytope for $d \ge 3$ [11, p. 38–39]. In this paper, we prove that if λ is strongly dominant, the face structure of P^{λ} is equivalent to that of the *r*-dimensional cube (Theorem 2.9). Topologically, this is equivalent to the existence of a (piecewise linear) homeomorphism between P^{λ} and the cube, which restricts to homeomorphisms between their facets (and hence all the faces), see [32, Section 2.2]. In particular, the face structure of P^{λ} when $\lambda \in C_+$ only depends on the rank *r* of Φ . Its 2^r vertices are in canonical one-to-one correspondence with subsets of Δ . Furthermore, each vertex of P^{λ} can be computed explicitly from the Cartan matrix of Φ (see Corollary 2.11). We generalize these results to a bigger family of polytopes with almost identical proofs (Theorem 3.1).

¹Originally introduced by Peterson to describe the quantum cohomology rings of partial flag varieties [26].

After submitting the first preprint version of this work, Marc Besson communicated to us the existence of [3]. In their paper, a description of the vertices of P^{λ} , when λ is dominant and integral, was given, which is similar to Remark 2.12. Their work focuses on the extremal rays of the Kostka cone $\mathscr{K} := \{(\lambda, \mu) \mid \lambda, \mu \text{ dominant}, \mu \in P^{\lambda}\}$ and the vertices of its slices, these slices are the P^{λ} 's (they call them intersection polytopes). See also Theorem 1.2 in [6]. It is important to emphasize that the results in the present paper do not follow from the enumeration of the vertices nor the *f*-vector of P^{λ} (see Remark 2.10).

We want to conclude this introduction with a question. As we will see in Remark 2.12, if λ lies on some walls of C_+ , the structure of the polytope P^{λ} is more complicated and interesting. See Figure 2 for examples.



(a) Φ of type A_3 and $\lambda = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 +$ (b) Φ of typ α_3 . $3\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3$.

(b) Φ of type B_3 and $\lambda = 2\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3$.

FIGURE 2. In each figure, $\lambda \in \overline{C_+} \setminus C_+$, and the blue polyhedron is $\operatorname{Conv}(W_f \lambda)$. The gray polyhedron is P^{λ} . In this case, it has fewer vertices than the 3-dimensional cube.

Question 1.1. What is the face structure of P^{λ} for $\lambda \in \overline{C_+} \setminus C_+$? How much does it depend on the root system Φ and the weight λ ?

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we study the dominant weight polytope and prove our main theorem (Theorem 2.9). In Section 3, we generalize these results to a broader family of polytopes. In Section 4, we apply our results to obtain Betti numbers of Peterson varieties.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Marc Besson, Michel Brion, Shiliang Gao, Yibo Gao, Mikiya Masuda, Connor Simpson, and Haozhi Zeng for valuable discussions.

2. The dominant weight polytope

For general notions and facts about convex polytopes, we refer to the standard textbooks [11, 32].

We fix a dominant weight $\lambda \in \overline{C_+}$, and denote by [r] the finite set $\{1, \ldots, r\}$. Firstly, we have the fact that the dominant weight polytope P^{λ} is the intersection of two closed simplicial cones. **Proposition 2.1** ([8, Proposition 4.2]). We have $P^{\lambda} = \overline{C_+} \cap \overline{Q^{\lambda}}$, where $\overline{Q^{\lambda}}$ is the closure of the open simplicial cone

$$Q^{\lambda} := \left\{ \lambda - \sum_{i \in [r]} c_i \alpha_i^{\vee} \mid c_i \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \right\}$$

Proof. If $\mu \in \overline{C_+}$, then $\mu \in \operatorname{Conv}(W_f \lambda)$ if and only if $\lambda - \mu$ is a non-negative linear combination of simple coroots $\{\alpha_1^{\vee}, \ldots, \alpha_r^{\vee}\}$ (as well as simple roots), see [13, Proposition 8.44]. Therefore, $P^{\lambda} = \operatorname{Conv}(W_f \lambda) \cap \overline{C_+} = \overline{C_+} \cap \overline{Q^{\lambda}}$.

Let $\varpi_1^{\vee}, \ldots, \varpi_r^{\vee} \in E$ be the basis of fundamental coweights, which is dual to the basis of simple roots. In formulas, $(\varpi_i^{\vee} | \alpha_j) = \delta_{i,j}$ where $\delta_{i,j}$ is the Kronecker delta. For any subsets $I, J \subseteq [r]$, we define

$$C_{I} := \left\{ 0 + \sum_{i \in I} a_{i} \varpi_{i}^{\vee} \mid a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \right\},$$
$$Q_{J}^{\lambda} := \left\{ \lambda - \sum_{i \in J} c_{i} \alpha_{i}^{\vee} \mid c_{i} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \right\},$$
$$F_{I,J} := C_{I} \cap Q_{J}^{\lambda} \text{ (possibly empty)}.$$

The $F_{I,J}$'s depend on λ , but we omit it in the notation. It is easy to see that $\{\overline{C_I} \mid I \subseteq [r]\}$ is the set of faces of $\overline{C_+}$, which contains 2^r elements. Each C_I has dimension |I| and is open in its closure. In particular, $C_{\emptyset} = \{0\}, C_{[r]} = C_+$, and $\overline{C_+} = \bigsqcup_{I \subseteq [r]} C_I$ is the disjoint union of all the C_I 's. Similarly, $\{\overline{Q_J^{\lambda}} \mid J \subseteq [r]\}$ is the set of faces of $\overline{Q^{\lambda}}$. In particular, $Q_{\emptyset}^{\lambda} = \{\lambda\}$, and $Q_{[r]}^{\lambda} = Q^{\lambda}$. Therefore, we have the following decomposition.

Lemma 2.2. The polytope $P^{\lambda} = \bigsqcup_{I,J \subseteq [r]} F_{I,J}$ is the disjoint union of all the $F_{I,J}$'s. Proof. $P^{\lambda} = \overline{C_+} \cap \overline{Q^{\lambda}} = \left(\bigsqcup_{I \subseteq [r]} C_I\right) \cap \left(\bigsqcup_{J \subseteq [r]} Q_J^{\lambda}\right) = \bigsqcup_{I,J \subseteq [r]} \left(C_I \cap Q_J^{\lambda}\right) = \bigsqcup_{I,J \subseteq [r]} F_{I,J}.$

Note that $F_{I,J}$ is convex since C_I and Q_J^{λ} are convex. It follows that the closure $\overline{F_{I,J}}$ is also convex.

Lemma 2.3. Suppose $I_1, \ldots, I_n, J_1, \ldots, J_n \subseteq [r]$ are subsets of [r], and $F_{I_k, J_k} \neq \emptyset$ for all k. Let $x_k \in F_{I_k, J_k}$ for $k = 1, \ldots, n$, and let $r_1, \ldots, r_n \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be arbitrary positive numbers such that $\sum_{k=1}^n r_k = 1$. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} r_k x_k \in F_{(\bigcup_k I_k), (\bigcup_k J_k)}$$

In particular, $F_{(\bigcup_k I_k),(\bigcup_k J_k)} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. For each $1 \leq k \leq n$, we write

$$x_k = \sum_{i \in I_k} a_{k,i} \varpi_i^{\lor} = \lambda - \sum_{j \in J_k} c_{k,j} \alpha_j^{\lor}$$

where $a_{k,i}, c_{k,j} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Then we have

$$\sum_{1 \le k \le n} r_k x_k = \sum_{1 \le k \le n} \sum_{i \in I_k} r_k a_{k,i} \overline{\omega}_i^{\lor} = \lambda - \sum_{1 \le k \le n} \sum_{j \in J_k} r_k c_{k,j} \alpha_j^{\lor}$$

$$=\sum_{i\in\bigcup_{k}I_{k}}a_{i}\varpi_{i}^{\vee}\qquad =\lambda-\sum_{j\in\bigcup_{k}J_{k}}c_{j}\alpha_{j}^{\vee}$$

for some $a_i, c_j \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. This concludes the proof.

The point $\sum_{1 \le k \le n} r_k x_k$ from Lemma 2.3 lies in the convex hull of the points x_1, \ldots, x_n .

Before digging into further details about the sets $F_{I,J}$, we need to introduce some notations. Let $M = ((\alpha_i | \alpha_j^{\vee}))_{i,j \in [r]}$ be the Cartan matrix of Φ . For any subset $J \subseteq [r]$, we denote by M_J the submatrix $((\alpha_i | \alpha_j^{\vee}))_{i,j \in J}$ of M. In particular, $M_{[r]} = M$. The matrix M_J is the Cartan matrix of the root subsystem of Φ corresponding to J, which is invertible. For $\gamma \in \overline{C_+}$, we denote

$$p_J^{\gamma} := \gamma - \sum_{j \in J} c_j^{\gamma} \alpha_j^{\vee}, \text{ where } (c_j^{\gamma})_{j \in J} := M_J^{-1} \cdot \left((\alpha_i | \gamma) \right)_{i \in J}.$$

Clearly, $(\alpha_j | p_J^{\gamma}) = 0$ for every $j \in J$. The following lemma is immediate.

Lemma 2.4. Let $I \subset [r]$. Then $x \in C_I$ if and only if $(\alpha_i | x) > 0$ for all $i \in I$ and $(\alpha_j | x) = 0$ for all $j \notin I$.

Henceforth, we will focus on the case where λ is strongly dominant.

Lemma 2.5. Suppose $\lambda \in C_+$, and $I, J \subseteq [r]$, such that $[r] = I \sqcup J$ is a disjoint union. Then $F_{I,J} = \{p_J^{\lambda}\}$ is a singleton.

Proof. If $F_{I,J} \neq \emptyset$ and $x \in F_{I,J}$, then

$$x = \lambda - \sum_{j \in J} c_j \alpha_j^{\vee}$$
, where $c_j \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

By Lemma 2.4, for every $i \in J$, we have

$$\sum_{j\in J} c_j(\alpha_i | \alpha_j^{\vee}) = (\alpha_i | \lambda).$$

In other words, the vector $(c_j)_{j \in J}$ satisfies $M_J \cdot (c_j)_{j \in J} = ((\alpha_i | \lambda))_{i \in J}$. Since M_J is invertible, this implies $c_j = c_j^{\lambda}$ for all $j \in J$, that is, $x = p_J^{\lambda}$. Therefore, $F_{I,J}$ has at most one single point.

Conversely, we need to prove that $p_J^{\lambda} \in F_{I,J}$. Since λ is strongly dominant, we have $(\alpha_j|\lambda) > 0$ for all $j \in J$. The inverse of any Cartan matrix has non-negative entries² (see [16, Exercise 13.8] or [24, Reference Chapter, Section 2] for a list of all possible cases). In particular, M_J^{-1} is entry-wise non-negative. Therefore, $c_j^{\lambda} > 0$ for all $j \in J$, so $p_J^{\lambda} \in Q_J^{\lambda}$. For $i \in I$ and $j \in J$, we have $i \neq j$ so then $(\alpha_i | \alpha_j^{\vee}) \leq 0$. Therefore, for every $i \in I$, we have

$$(\alpha_i | p_J^{\lambda}) = (\alpha_i | \lambda) - \sum_{j \in J} c_j^{\lambda}(\alpha_i | \alpha_j^{\vee}) \ge (\alpha_i | \lambda) > 0.$$

Recall that $(\alpha_j | p_J^{\lambda}) = 0$ for all $j \in J$. By Lemma 2.4, we have $p_J^{\lambda} \in C_I$.

The following lemma determines when the set $F_{I,J}$ is nonempty.

Lemma 2.6. Suppose $\lambda \in C_+$. For two subsets $I, J \subseteq [r], F_{I,J} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $I \cup J = [r]$.

5

²A general statement holds: If the off-diagonal entries of a positive-definite symmetric matrix A are non-positive, then A^{-1} has non-negative entries.

Proof. Suppose $x \in F_{I,J} \neq \emptyset$. As before, we write

$$x = \lambda - \sum_{j \in J} c_j \alpha_j^{\vee}$$
, where $c_j \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

If $i \notin J$, we have

$$(x|\alpha_i) = (\lambda|\alpha_i) - \sum_{j \in J} c_j(\alpha_j^{\vee}|\alpha_k) \ge (\lambda|\alpha_i) > 0.$$

By Lemma 2.4, we have $i \in I$. Therefore $I \cup J = [r]$.

Conversely, suppose $I \cup J = [r]$. Let $I_0 = I \setminus (I \cap J)$ and $J_0 = J \setminus (I \cap J)$. Then $[r] = I_0 \sqcup (I \cap J) \sqcup J_0$ is a disjoint union. By Lemma 2.5, both of the sets $F_{I_0,J}$ and F_{I,J_0} have a single point. Then by Lemma 2.3, we have $F_{I,J} = F_{(I_0 \cup I),(J \cup J_0)} \neq \emptyset$.

The following proposition describes the closure relation of the $F_{I,J}$'s.

Proposition 2.7. Suppose $\lambda \in C_+$. For two subsets $I, J \subseteq [r]$, we have

$$\overline{F_{I,J}} = \bigsqcup_{\substack{I' \subseteq I \\ J' \subset J}} F_{I',J'}$$

In particular, if $I, I', J, J' \subseteq [r]$, then $F_{I',J'} \subseteq \overline{F_{I,J}}$ if and only if $I' \subseteq I$ and $J' \subseteq J$. Proof. Notice that for any $I, J \subseteq [r]$, we have,

$$\overline{C_I} = \left\{ \sum_{i \in I} a_i \varpi_i^{\vee} \mid a_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \right\} = \bigsqcup_{I' \subseteq I} C_{I'},$$
$$\overline{Q_J^{\lambda}} = \left\{ \lambda - \sum_{j \in J} c_j \alpha_j^{\vee} \mid c_j \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \right\} = \bigsqcup_{J' \subseteq J} Q_{J'}^{\lambda}$$

 So

$$\overline{F_{I,J}} = \overline{C_I \cap Q_J^{\lambda}} \subseteq \overline{C_I} \cap \overline{Q_J^{\lambda}} = \bigsqcup_{\substack{I' \subseteq I \\ J' \subseteq J}} F_{I',J'}.$$

This proves " \subseteq ".

Conversely, let $x \in F_{I',J'}$. By Lemma 2.6, we have $I' \cup J' = [r]$. This implies $I \cup J = [r]$. By Lemma 2.6 again, we have $F_{I,J} \neq \emptyset$. We choose an arbitrary point $y \in F_{I,J}$. By Lemma 2.3, we have $ax + (1-a)y \in F_{I,J}$ for 0 < a < 1. Therefore,

$$x = \lim_{a \to 1^{-}} \left(ax + (1-a)y \right) \in \overline{F_{I,J}}$$

This proves " \supseteq ".

For $1 \leq k \leq r$, we define the following affine hyperplanes in E:

$$H_k := \left\{ \sum_{i \in [r] \setminus \{k\}} a_i \varpi_i^{\vee} \mid a_i \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

$$H_k^{\lambda} := \left\{ \lambda - \sum_{j \in [r] \setminus \{k\}} c_j \alpha_j^{\vee} \mid c_j \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

We also define the open half-spaces (whose closures are called closed half-spaces) in E:

$$H_{k,+} := \Big\{ \sum_{1 \le i \le r} a_i \varpi_i^{\vee} \ \Big| \ a_i \in \mathbb{R} \text{ for all } i, \text{ and } a_k > 0 \Big\},\$$

STRONGLY DOMINANT WEIGHT POLYTOPES ARE CUBES

$$H_{k,+}^{\lambda} := \Big\{ \lambda - \sum_{1 \le j \le r} c_j \alpha_j^{\vee} \ \Big| \ c_j \in \mathbb{R} \text{ for all } j, \text{ and } c_k > 0 \Big\}.$$

Clearly, we have $\overline{C_+} = \bigcap_{1 \le k \le r} \overline{H_{k,+}}$ and $\overline{Q^{\lambda}} = \bigcap_{1 \le k \le r} \overline{H_{k,+}^{\lambda}}$, and thus

$$P^{\lambda} = \overline{C_{+}} \cap \overline{Q^{\lambda}} = \left(\bigcap_{1 \le k \le r} \overline{H_{k,+}}\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{1 \le k \le r} \overline{H_{k,+}^{\lambda}}\right)$$

is the intersection of the closed half-spaces.

We have the following description of the faces of P^{λ} .

Theorem 2.8. Let $\lambda \in C_+$ be strongly dominant. Then, the set $\{\overline{F_{I,J}} \mid I, J \subseteq [r], I \cup J = [r]\}$ is the set of all faces of P^{λ} . Furthermore, if $I \cup J = [r]$, then $F_{I,J}$ is a real manifold of dimension |I| + |J| - r.

Proof. For any two points $x, y \in P^{\lambda}$, we write $x \sim y$ if for every $k = 1, \ldots, r$, either $x, y \in H_k$ or $x, y \in H_{k,+}$, and either $x, y \in H_k^{\lambda}$ or $x, y \in H_{k,+}^{\lambda}$. Clearly, " \sim " is an equivalence relation on P^{λ} . A face of P^{λ} is nothing but the closure of an equivalence class.

By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.6, we have

$$P^{\lambda} = \bigsqcup_{I,J \subseteq [r]} F_{I,J} = \bigsqcup_{I,J \subseteq [r], I \cup J = [r]} F_{I,J}.$$

The first part of the theorem follows from the fact that for two points $x \in F_{I,J}$ and $y \in F_{I',J'}$, we have $x \sim y$ if and only if I = I' and J = J'.

For the second part of the theorem, consider the |I|-dimensional affine subspace

$$X := \bigcap_{k \in [r] \setminus I} H_k = \left\{ \sum_{i \in I} a_i \varpi_i^{\vee} \mid a_i \in \mathbb{R} \text{ for all } i \in I \right\},\$$

and the |J|-dimensional affine subspace

$$Y := \bigcap_{j \in [r] \setminus J} H_j^{\lambda} = \Big\{ \lambda - \sum_{j \in J} c_j \alpha_j^{\vee} \ \Big| \ c_j \in \mathbb{R} \text{ for all } j \in J \Big\}.$$

Since $I \cup J = [r]$, by Lemma 2.6, the intersection $X \cap Y$ is a nonempty affine subspace. The vectors $\{\varpi_i^{\vee} \mid i \in I\}$ are parallel to X and the vectors $\{\alpha_j^{\vee} \mid j \in J \setminus (I \cap J)\}$ are parallel to Y. Furthermore, the union $\{\varpi_i^{\vee}, \alpha_j^{\vee} \mid i \in I, j \in J \setminus (I \cap J)\}$ is a basis of E. Then, X intersects with Y transversally, and hence dim $(X \cap Y) =$ dim $X + \dim Y - r = |I| + |J| - r$. Notice that C_I is an open cone in X, and Q_J^{λ} is an open cone in Y. Therefore, the intersection $F_{I,J} = C_I \cap Q_J^{\lambda}$ (which is nonempty) is an open subset in the affine subspace $X \cap Y$, and hence a real manifold of dimension |I| + |J| - r.

For a polytope P, there is a partial order \leq on the set of faces of P defined by $F \leq F'$ if $F \subseteq F'$. A polytope P of dimension r is called *combinatorially equivalent* to the r-dimensional cube if the set of faces of P is isomorphic to the set of faces of the standard cube $[0, 1]^{\times r} \subset \mathbb{R}^r$ as partially ordered sets.

Theorem 2.9. Let $\lambda \in C_+$ be strongly dominant. The polytope P^{λ} is combinatorially equivalent to the r-dimensional cube.

Proof. A face H of the r-dimensional cube $[0,1]^{\times r}$ in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^r is uniquely determined by a partition $[r] = I_0 \sqcup I_1 \sqcup I_{01}$ in the following way

$$H = H(I_0, I_1, I_{01}) := \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r \middle| \begin{array}{l} x_i = 0 \text{ if } i \in I_0, \\ x_j = 1 \text{ if } j \in I_1, \\ x_k \in [0, 1] \text{ if } k \in I_{01} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Suppose $H' = H'(I'_0, I'_1, I'_{01})$ is another face of the cube corresponding to the partition $[r] = I'_0 \sqcup I'_1 \sqcup I'_{01}$. Then, $H \subseteq H'$ if and only if $I'_0 \subseteq I_0$ and $I'_1 \subseteq I_1$.

We define a map θ from the set of faces of the cube $[0,1]^{\times r}$ to the set of faces of the polytope P^{λ} by

$$\theta \colon H(I_0, I_1, I_{01}) \mapsto \overline{F_{(I_0 \cup I_{01}), (I_1 \cup I_{01})}}.$$

Then, by Theorem 2.8, this map is well defined and is a bijection with inverse

$$\theta^{-1} \colon \overline{F_{I,J}} \mapsto H(I \setminus (I \cap J), J \setminus (I \cap J), I \cap J).$$

Therefore, to show that P^{λ} is combinatorially equivalent to the cube, it suffices to show that for any two faces H and H' of the cube,

 $H \subseteq H'$ if and only if $\theta(H) \subseteq \theta(H')$.

By Proposition 2.7, this is equivalent to saying that for any two partitions $[r] = I_0 \sqcup I_1 \sqcup I_{01} = I'_0 \sqcup I'_1 \sqcup I'_{01}$ we have the following equivalence,

 $I'_0 \subseteq I_0$ and $I'_1 \subseteq I_1$ if and only if $I_0 \cup I_{01} \subseteq I'_0 \cup I'_{01}$ and $I_1 \cup I_{01} \subseteq I'_1 \cup I'_{01}$. But this is clear since I_0 I'_1 and I'_1 are the complements of $I_0 + I_0 + I_0$.

But this is clear since I_0, I_1, I'_0 and I'_1 are the complements of $I_1 \cup I_{01}, I_0 \cup I_{01}, I'_1 \cup I'_{01}$ and $I'_0 \cup I'_{01}$, respectively.

Remark 2.10. The intersection of two closed simplicial cones might be a bounded r-dimensional polytope with the same f-vector as the r-dimensional cube—that is, for every i, it contains precisely $\binom{r}{i}2^{r-i}$ faces of dimension i—and yet be not combinatorially equivalent to the cube. For instance, Figure 3 shows a polyhedron, which is the intersection of two simplicial cones in \mathbb{R}^3 . It consists of 8 vertices, 12 edges, and 6 faces, but it is not combinatorially equivalent to the cube since it contains one face with 3 vertices and another face with 5 vertices.

Note that for any partition $[r] = I \sqcup J$, the point in $F_{I,J}$ is a 0-dimensional face of P^{λ} , in other words, a vertex. As a consequence, we have the following minimal vertex representation of P^{λ} , and we can explicitly compute its 2^r vertices using the Cartan matrix of the root system as demonstrated in the proof of Lemma 2.5.

Corollary 2.11. Let $\lambda \in C_+$ be strongly dominant. The polytope P^{λ} is the convex hull of the 2^r vertices

$$\left\{F_{I,J} \mid [r] = I \sqcup J\right\} = \left\{p_J^\lambda \mid J \subseteq [r]\right\}.$$

Remark 2.12. The identity $P^{\lambda} = \operatorname{Conv}\{p_J^{\lambda} \mid J \subseteq [r]\}$ from Corollary 2.11 still holds for $\lambda \in \overline{C_+}$. This is because P^{λ} and the p_J^{λ} 's depend continuously on λ . However, if $\lambda \notin C_+$, we have $p_J^{\lambda} = p_{J'}^{\lambda}$ for some subsets $J, J' \subseteq [r]$. In particular, if $\lambda \notin C_+$, then P^{λ} is not combinatorially equivalent to the *r*-dimensional cube.

Recall that the *Minkowski sum* of two subsets A and B of a Euclidean space is defined by $A + B := \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$. If A and B are convex polytopes, then so is A + B. The following proposition relates different dominant weight polytopes. Although this is briefly mentioned in [3], we provide an elementary proof.



FIGURE 3. Let C_1 be the simplicial cone with vertex A and extremal rays AB, AC, AD, and let C_2 be the simplicial cone with vertex P and extremal rays PQ, PR, PS. The intersection $C_1 \cap C_2$ is a convex polytope with 8 vertices (A, B, C, D, P, Q, R, S), 12 edges and 6 faces. However, this is not combinatorially equivalent to a cube since it has some triangles and pentagons as faces.

Proposition 2.13. Let $\lambda, \mu \in \overline{C_+}$ be dominant. Then, $P^{\lambda+\mu}$ is the Minkowski sum of P^{λ} and P^{μ} .

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, it is not hard to see that the Minkowski sum of P^{λ} and P^{μ} satisfies the inequalities

$$(x|\alpha_i) \geq 0$$
, and $(x|\varpi_i) \leq (\lambda|\varpi_i) + (\mu|\varpi_i)$, for all $i = 1, \ldots, r$,

which are the same inequalities defining $P^{\lambda+\mu}$. Thus, $P^{\lambda} + P^{\mu} \subseteq P^{\lambda+\mu}$.

Conversely, by Remark 2.12, we have $P^{\gamma} = \operatorname{Conv}\{p_J^{\gamma} \mid J \subseteq [r]\}$ for $\gamma \in \{\lambda, \mu\}$. Moreover, we have $p_J^{\lambda+\mu} = p_J^{\lambda} + p_J^{\mu} \in P^{\lambda} + P^{\mu}$. Since the Minkowski sum of two convex sets is also convex, we have $P^{\lambda+\mu} = \operatorname{Conv}\{p_J^{\lambda+\mu} \mid J \subseteq [r]\} \subseteq P^{\lambda} + P^{\mu}$. \Box

3. A generalization of the dominant weight polytope

The same arguments apply to the following generalization of the results above.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\{v_1, \ldots, v_r\}$ be a basis for an r-dimensional Euclidean space E such that $(v_i|v_j) \leq 0$ for distinct i, j. Let $\lambda \in \overline{C_+}$ be a point, where

 $C_{+} := \{ x \in E \mid (x|v_{i}) > 0 \text{ for all } i \in [r] \}.$

We define $P^{\lambda} := \overline{C_+} \cap \overline{Q^{\lambda}}$, where

$$Q^{\lambda} := \Big\{ \lambda - \sum_{i \in [r]} c_i v_i \ \Big| \ c_i > 0 \ for \ all \ i \Big\}.$$

Then, we have the following statements:

- (1) The set P^{λ} is a bounded convex polytope.
- (2) If $\lambda \in C_+$, then P^{λ} is combinatorially equivalent to the r-dimensional cube, whose 2^r vertices are

$$\Big\{\lambda - \sum_{j \in J} c_j^{\lambda} v_j \ \Big| \ J \subseteq [r] \Big\},\$$

where $(c_j^{\lambda})_{j \in J} := M_J^{-1} \cdot ((v_i|\lambda))_{i \in J}$ and $M_J := ((v_i|v_j))_{i,j \in J}$ is the submatrix of the Gram matrix of the basis $\{v_1, \ldots, v_r\}$. (3) If $\lambda, \mu \in \overline{C_+}$, then $P^{\lambda+\mu}$ is the Minkowski sum of P^{λ} and P^{μ} .

Proof. Here, we only prove the boundedness of P^{λ} . The proofs of the other assertions are the same as in Section 2.

Let $\{u_1, \ldots, u_r\}$ be the basis of E dual to $\{v_1, \ldots, v_r\}$, that is, $(u_i|v_j) = \delta_{ij}$. Let $\rho := u_1 + \cdots + u_r$. Then, $\overline{Q^{\lambda}}$ is contained in the half-space

$$S := \{ x \in E \mid (\rho | x) \le (\rho | \lambda) \}.$$

Note that

$$\overline{C_+} = \Big\{ \sum_{i \in [r]} a_i u_i \ \Big| \ a_i \ge 0 \Big\},$$

and by [16, Exercise 13.7], we have $(u_i|u_j) \ge 0$ for all i, j = 1, ..., r. Therefore, for a point $x = \sum a_i u_i \in \overline{C_+}$, the inequality $(\rho|x) \le (\rho|\lambda)$ implies $a_i(u_i|u_i) \le (\rho|\lambda)$. Hence, we have

$$\overline{C_+} \cap \overline{Q^{\lambda}} \subseteq \overline{C_+} \cap S \subseteq \Big\{ \sum_{i \in [r]} a_i u_i \ \Big| \ A \ge a_i \ge 0 \Big\}$$

for some $A \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Note that the rightmost set is bounded.

Remark 3.2. The assumption $(v_i|v_j) \leq 0$ in Theorem 3.1 cannot be dropped. For instance, the P^{λ} in Figure 4 is a 2-dimensional polytope not combinatorially equivalent to the square.



FIGURE 4. In the Euclidean plane, let $v_1 = (0,1)$ and $v_2 = (\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$. Then, $(v_1|v_2) > 0$. Let $\lambda = (3,1)$. The shaded area is the polytope $P^{\lambda} := \overline{C_+} \cap \overline{Q^{\lambda}}$.

4. An application to Peterson varieties in classical types

Let G be a connected, simply connected, semisimple algebraic group over \mathbb{C} , B a Borel subgroup of G, and $T \subset B$ a maximal torus. Let $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{b}$, and \mathfrak{t} be the Lie algebras of G, B, and T, respectively. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$ be the corresponding root space decomposition, where Φ is the set of roots. Let $\Delta \subset \Phi$ be the set of simple roots. For each $\alpha \in \Delta$, we choose a non-zero element $e_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$. Let $e \in \mathfrak{g}$ be the regular nilpotent element given by

$$e := \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} e_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

The Peterson variety $Y \subseteq G/B$, defined by

$$Y := \Big\{ gB \in G/B \ \Big| \ \mathrm{Ad}_{g^{-1}} \, e \in \mathfrak{b} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} \Big\},$$

is a remarkable subvariety of the flag variety G/B introduced by Peterson [26] to study the quantum cohomology ring of the partial flag varieties G^{\vee}/P^{\vee} for all parabolic subgroups $P^{\vee} \subseteq G^{\vee}$ containing B^{\vee} ($^{\vee}$ means the Langlands dual). It is known that Y is an irreducible projective variety with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} Y = r$, where r is the rank of G [26].

Let $H^*(Y; \mathbb{Q})$ be the singular cohomology ring of the Peterson variety Y with rational coefficients. Let $b_i := \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H^i(Y; \mathbb{Q})$ be the Betti numbers and $h_Y(q) := \sum_i b_i q^{i/2}$ be the Poincaré polynomial. By virtue of the bridge provided by recent results in [15], we use Theorem 2.9 to get formulas for the Betti numbers and the Poincaré polynomial of the Peterson variety in classical types, which already appear in [7, p. 199] and [14, Equation (2.3)].

Theorem 4.1. Let G be a connected, simply-connected, classical type simple algebraic group of rank r over \mathbb{C} . Let $Y \subseteq G/B$ be the corresponding Peterson variety. Then $h_Y(q) = (1+q)^r$, that is, $b_{2i+1} = 0$ and $b_{2i} = \binom{r}{i}$ is the binomial coefficient.

Horiguchi–Masuda–Shareshian–Song proved in [15, Corollary 6.3] that as graded rings,

(4.1)
$$H^*(Y;\mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(X(P^{\lambda});\mathbb{Q}),$$

where λ is some regular integral weight, and $X(P^{\lambda})$ is the toric variety associated with the normal fan of the dominant weight polytope P^{λ} —which is their "partitioned weight polytope" $P_{\Phi}(K)$ for K = [r], where Φ is the root system of G. Although their $P_{\Phi}([r])$ is the intersection of the weight polytope with the antidominant chamber, this difference is not important since it does not affect our arguments.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Since P^{λ} is a simple rational (with respect to the integral weight lattice, see Corollary 2.11) polytope, it is well-known that the corresponding toric variety $X(P^{\lambda})$ is an orbifold with only finite quotient singularities, hence

(4.2)
$$H^{i}(X(P^{\lambda});\mathbb{Q}) \cong IH^{i}(X(P^{\lambda});\mathbb{Q}),$$

where $IH^i(X(P^{\lambda}); \mathbb{Q})$ is the rational middle-perversity cohomology group of $X(P^{\lambda})$ as in [22]. Furthermore, $IH^{2i+1}(X(P^{\lambda}); \mathbb{Q}) = 0$, and the Poincaré polynomial of $IH^i(X(P^{\lambda}); \mathbb{Q})$ is completely determined by the face numbers of P^{λ} via

(4.3)
$$\sum_{i} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} IH^{2i}(X(P^{\lambda});\mathbb{Q}) \cdot q^{i} = \sum_{i=0}^{r} f_{i}(q-1)^{i},$$

where f_i is the number of the *i*-dimensional faces of P^{λ} as in [30].

Since P^{λ} is combinatorially equivalent to the *r*-dimensional cube, we have $f_i = \binom{r}{i}2^{r-i}$. From this, together with (4.1), (4.2), (4.3)—or the fact that the toric variety corresponding to the standard *r*-dimensional cube $[0,1]^r$ is $(\mathbb{CP}^1)^r$ —we get $h_Y(q) = (1+q)^r$, as desired.

Rietsch studied the totally non-negative part $Y_{\geq 0} := Y \cap (SL_{r+1}/B)_{\geq 0}$ of Y[29], where $(SL_{r+1}/B)_{\geq 0}$ is the totally non-negative part of the flag variety in type A, as defined by Lusztig. Using "mirror constructions", she obtained an elementary proof—without relying on quantum cohomology positivity statements that $Y_{\geq 0}$ has a cell decomposition given by intersections with open Richardson varieties [29, Theorem 10.2]. She also conjectured that $Y_{\geq 0}$ is homeomorphic to the cube $[0,1]^r$ as a cell decomposed space [29, Conjecture 10.3]. In [2], Abe and Zeng constructed a combinatorial cube (which is different from the dominant weight polytope) closely related to the Peterson variety in type A in order to prove Rietsch's cell decomposition conjecture. They also constructed in [1] an explicit morphism from the Peterson variety to the toric orbifold arising from the corresponding root system in any Lie type, and this morphism induces a ring isomorphism between their rational cohomology rings. Based on their results and the results in this paper, we pose the following question.

Question 4.2. Is there a cell decomposition of the totally non-negative part $Y_{\geq 0}$ of the Peterson variety in any Lie type, such that $Y_{\geq 0}$ is homeomorphic to the cube $[0,1]^{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} Y}$ as a cell decomposed space?

If the answer to the above question is yes, our main theorem could be seen as a "combinatorial shadow" of the cell decomposition of $Y_{>0}$.

References

- Hiraku Abe and Haozhi Zeng, Peterson varieties and toric orbifolds associated to Cartan matrices, preprint, arXiv:2310.02818, 2023.
- [2] _____, Totally nonnegative part of the Peterson variety in Lie type A, preprint, arXiv:2310.02819, 2023.
- [3] Marc Besson, Sam Jeralds, and Joshua Kiers, Vertices of intersection polytopes and rays of generalized Kostka cones, J. Lie Theory 31 (2021), no. 4, 1055–1070.
- Marc Besson, Sam Jeralds, and Joshua Kiers, Weight polytopes and saturation of Demazure characters, Math. Ann. 388 (2024), no. 4, 4449–4486.
- [5] Mark Blume, Toric orbifolds associated to Cartan matrices, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 65 (2015), no. 2, 863–901.
- [6] Arzu Boysal, On Kostant's conjecture for components of $V(\rho) \otimes V(\rho)$, preprint, arXiv: 2309.06890, 2023.
- [7] Michel Brion and James B. Carrell, The equivariant cohomology ring of regular varieties, Michigan Math. J. 52 (2004), no. 1, 189–203.
- [8] Gaston Burrull, Tao Gui, and Hongsheng Hu, Asymptotic log-concavity of dominant lower Bruhat intervals via Brunn-Minkowski inequality, preprint, arXiv: 2311.17980, 2023.
- [9] William Fulton and Joe Harris, Representation theory: a first course, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 129, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [10] Jiyang Gao and Vaughan McDonald, Generating functions for f-vectors and the cd-index of weight polytopes, preprint, available at http://www-users.math.umn.edu/~reiner/REU/ GaoMcDonald2018.pdf, 2018.
- [11] Branko Grünbaum, Convex polytopes, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 221, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003, Prepared and with a preface by Volker Kaibel, Victor Klee and Günter M. Ziegler.
- [12] Victor Guillemin and Shlomo Sternberg, Convexity properties of the moment mapping, Invent. Math. 67 (1982), no. 3, 491–513.
- [13] Brian Hall, Lie groups, Lie algebras, and representations—An elementary introduction, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 222, Springer, Cham, 2015.
- [14] Megumi Harada, Tatsuya Horiguchi, and Mikiya Masuda, The equivariant cohomology rings of Peterson varieties in all Lie types, Canad. Math. Bull. 58 (2015), no. 1, 80–90.
- [15] Tatsuya Horiguchi, Mikiya Masuda, John Shareshian, and Jongbaek Song, Toric orbifolds associated with partitioned weight polytopes in classical types, preprint, arXiv:2105.05453, 2021.
- [16] James E. Humphreys, Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 9, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1972.

- [17] Kiumars Kaveh, Note on cohomology rings of spherical varieties and volume polynomial, J. Lie Theory 21 (2011), no. 2, 263–283.
- [18] _____, Crystal bases and Newton-Okounkov bodies, Duke Math. J. 164 (2015), no. 13, 2461–2506.
- [19] Kiumars Kaveh and Askold Georgievich Khovanskii, Moment polytopes, semigroup of representations and Kazarnovskii's theorem, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 7 (2010), no. 2, 401–417.
- [20] _____, Convex bodies associated to actions of reductive groups, Mosc. Math. J. 12 (2012), no. 2, 369–396, 461.
- [21] Zhuo Li, You'an Cao, and Zhenheng Li, Cross-section lattices of *J*-irreducible monoids and orbit structures of weight polytopes, preprint, arXiv:1411.6140, 2014.
- [22] Laurenţiu G. Maxim, Intersection homology & perverse sheaves—with applications to singularities, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 281, Springer, Cham, 2019.
- [23] George Maxwell, Wythoff's construction for Coxeter groups, J. Algebra 123 (1989), no. 2, 351–377.
- [24] Arkady L. Onishchik and Èrnest B. Vinberg, *Lie groups and algebraic groups*, Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990, translated from the Russian and with a preface by D. A. Leites.
- [25] Dmitri I. Panyushev, Cones of highest weight vectors, weight polytopes, and Lusztig's qanalog, Transform. Groups 2 (1997), no. 1, 91–115.
- [26] Dale Peterson, Quantum cohomology of G/P, Lecture notes, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Spring, 1997.
- [27] Alexander Postnikov, Permutohedra, associahedra, and beyond, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2009), no. 6, 1026–1106.
- [28] Lex E. Renner, Descent systems for Bruhat posets, J. Algebraic Combin. 29 (2009), no. 4, 413–435.
- [29] Konstanze Rietsch, A mirror construction for the totally nonnegative part of the Peterson variety, Nagoya Math. J. 183 (2006), 105–142.
- [30] Richard P. Stanley, The number of faces of a simplicial convex polytope, Adv. in Math. 35 (1980), no. 3, 236–238.
- [31] Mark A. Walton, Demazure formulas for weight polytopes, Quantum theory and symmetries, CRM Ser. Math. Phys., Springer, Cham, 2021, pp. 287–294.
- [32] Günter M. Ziegler, Lectures on polytopes, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 152, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

(GASTON BURRULL)

Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research, Peking University, No. 5 Yiheyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing 100871, China

Email address: gaston(at)bicmr(dot)pku(dot)edu(dot)cn

(Tao Gui)

BEIJING INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH, PEKING UNIVERSITY, NO. 5 YIHEYUAN ROAD, HAIDIAN DISTRICT, BEIJING 100871, CHINA *Email address:* guitao(at)amss(dot)ac(dot)cn

(Hongsheng Hu)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, HUNAN UNIVERSITY, CHANGSHA 410082, CHINA *Email address*: huhongsheng(at)amss(dot)ac(dot)cn