

# $k$ -dimensional transversals for balls\*

Attila Jung<sup>1,2</sup> and Dömötör Pálvölgyi<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>ELTE Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

<sup>2</sup>Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Budapest, Hungary

December 20, 2023

## Abstract

We prove fractional Helly and  $(p, k+2)$ -theorems for  $k$ -flats intersecting Euclidean balls. For example, we show that if for a collection of balls from  $\mathbb{R}^d$  any  $p$  balls have a  $k$ -flat that intersects at least  $k+2$  of them, then the whole collection can be intersected by bounded many  $k$ -flats. We prove colorful, spherical, and infinite variants as well. In fact, we prove that fractional Helly and  $(p, q)$ -theorems imply  $(\aleph_0, q)$ -theorems in an entirely abstract setting. The fractional Helly theorems generalize to other fat objects as well.

## 1 Introduction

We say that a family  $\mathcal{T}$  of geometric objects *hits* or *pierces* another family  $\mathcal{F}$  if for every  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  there is a  $T \in \mathcal{T}$  such that  $T \cap F \neq \emptyset$ . If  $\mathcal{T}$  consists of a single  $k$ -flat ( $k$ -dimensional affine subspace), then we say that  $\mathcal{F}$  has a  $k$ -transversal. In this language, Helly's theorem [19] states, that for a family  $\mathcal{F}$  of convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , if every subfamily of size  $d+1$  has a 0-transversal, i.e., a point contained in all  $d+1$  sets, then the whole family has a 0-transversal, i.e., a point contained in all the sets. Vicensini [34] asked whether one can generalize Helly's theorem to  $k$ -transversals for  $0 < k < d$ , but this was shown to be false by Danzer [11], who constructed arbitrarily large families of convex sets in the plane without a 1-transversal whose every proper subfamily has a 1-transversal. One of our main results is that the following variant of the fractional Helly theorem holds even in this setting for a family of balls. Our balls and spherical caps will be always closed, though in case of finite families this does not matter.

---

\*Both authors were supported by the ERC Advanced Grant “ERMID” and by the Thematic Excellence Program TKP2021-NKTA-62 of the National Research, Development and Innovation Office. The second author was also supported by the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences and by ÚNKP-23-5 of NRDIO.

**Theorem 1.** *For every dimension  $d$  there exists a function  $\beta : (0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1)$  with the following property. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a finite family of balls in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq d$  an integer, and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . If at least  $\alpha \binom{|\mathcal{F}|}{k+2}$  of the  $(k+2)$ -tuples of  $\mathcal{F}$  have a  $k$ -transversal, then  $\mathcal{F}$  has a subfamily of size at least  $\beta(\alpha)|\mathcal{F}|$  which has a  $k$ -transversal.*

Using known methods (to be discussed later), this implies the following.

**Theorem 2.** *For every three positive integers  $d$ ,  $k < d$  and  $p \geq k+2$  there exists a  $D = D(d, k, p)$  such that the following holds. If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a family of closed balls in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that among any  $p$  members of  $\mathcal{F}$  there are  $k+2$  that can be hit by a single  $k$ -flat, then there exist at most  $D$   $k$ -flats hitting all of  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

The proof of our main Theorem 1 can be found in Section 2, while Theorem 2 follows from results in Section 3.

Along the way we prove spherical and colorful variants of the results as well. The rest of this section is dedicated to a short survey of related results, and a comparison of our results to them.

Our other main result, that fractional Helly and  $(p, q)$ -theorems imply  $(\aleph_0, q)$ -theorems, can be found in Section 3.1.

The following construction of Danzer [11] shows why the (non-fractional) Helly-theorem does not hold for balls with respect to  $k$ -flats. Given  $n$  unit disks whose centers are the vertices of a regular  $n$ -gon of width slightly bigger than 2, any  $n-1$  disks can be stabbed by a line, but they do not have a common line transversal. However, if we restrict ourselves to disjoint unit balls, their Helly number with respect to lines is between  $2d-1$  [8] and  $4d-1$  [10]. Note that this grows with the dimension  $d$  as opposed to being constant 3 as in our Theorem 1.

Our Theorem 1 is a variant of the fractional Helly theorem about piercing convex sets with points (0-flats), which states the following.

**Theorem 3** (Katchalski and Liu [25]). *For every dimension  $d$  there exists a function  $\beta : (0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1]$  with the following property. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a finite family of convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . If at least  $\alpha \binom{|\mathcal{F}|}{d+1}$  of the  $(d+1)$ -size subfamilies of  $\mathcal{F}$  have a 0-transversal, then there exists a subfamily of  $\mathcal{F}$  of size at least  $\beta(\alpha)|\mathcal{F}|$  which has a 0-transversal.*

More generally, one can define the *fractional Helly number* of a family as the smallest  $t$  for which there is a  $\beta : (0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1]$  with the following property. If for any finite subfamily  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  at least  $\alpha \binom{|\mathcal{F}|}{t}$  of the  $t$ -tuples of  $\mathcal{F}$  intersect, then there exists a subfamily of  $\mathcal{F}$  of size at least  $\beta(\alpha)|\mathcal{F}|$  that intersects. So Theorem 3 states that the fractional Helly number of  $d$ -dimensional convex sets is at most  $d+1$ , while Theorem 1 states that the fractional Helly number of balls over the base set of  $k$ -flats is at most  $k+2$  in any Euclidean space. It is easy to see that both of these are best possible.

Theorem 3 was a main ingredient in the proof of the following  $(p, q)$ -theorem of Alon and Kleitman, conjectured by Hadwiger and Debrunner [16], which our Theorem 2 is similar to.

**Theorem 4** (Alon and Kleitman [3]). *For every  $p \geq d+1$  there exists a  $D$  such that the following holds. If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a family of compact convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that among any  $p$  members of  $\mathcal{F}$  there are  $d+1$  with a 0-transversal, then there exist at most  $D$  points hitting all of  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

Later Alon and Kalai showed that both the fractional Helly and the  $(p, q)$ -theorems can be generalized to  $(d-1)$ -transversals as follows.

**Theorem 5** (Alon and Kalai [1]). *For every dimension  $d$  there exists a function  $\beta_d : (0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1]$  with the following property. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a finite family of convex sets from  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $|\mathcal{F}| = n$ . If for some positive  $\alpha$  at least  $\alpha \binom{n}{d+1}$  of the  $(d+1)$ -size subfamilies of  $\mathcal{F}$  have a  $(d-1)$ -transversal, then there exists a subfamily of  $\mathcal{F}$  of size at least  $\beta_d(\alpha)n$  which has a  $(d-1)$ -transversal.*

**Theorem 6** (Alon and Kalai [1]). *For every  $p \geq d+1$  there exists a  $D$  such that the following holds. If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a family of compact convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that among any  $p$  members of  $\mathcal{F}$  there are  $d+1$  with a  $(d-1)$ -transversal, then there exist at most  $D$  hyperplanes hitting all of  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

Theorem 5 states that the fractional Helly number of convex sets with respect to  $(d-1)$ -flats (hyperplanes) is  $d+1$ . Alon, Kalai, Matoušek, and Meshulam [2] showed that fractional Helly theorems imply  $(p, q)$ -theorems in a very general setting. They also showed that there is no finite fractional Helly number of convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with respect to lines if  $d \geq 3$ , and it was also shown recently that there is no weak epsilon net theorem either [9]. Because of this, a line of research focuses on  $k$ -transversals for special cases [5, 8, 10, 11, 15, 16, 17, 20, 26, 29, 31, 33, 35]. For more results, see the survey of Holmsen and Wenger [21]. A very general result in this area is the following theorem of Matoušek.

**Theorem 7** (Matoušek [30]). *For every dimension  $d$  there exists a function  $\beta_d : (0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1]$  with the following property. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a finite family of  $n$  sets with dual VC-dimension at most  $d$ . If for some positive  $\alpha$  at least  $\alpha \binom{n}{d+1}$  of the  $(d+1)$ -size subfamilies of  $\mathcal{F}$  have a 0-transversal, then there exists a subfamily of  $\mathcal{F}$  of size at least  $\beta_d(\alpha)n$  which has a 0-transversal.*

**Theorem 8** (Matoušek [30]). *For every  $p \geq d+1$  there exists a  $D$  such that the following holds. If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a family of dual VC-dimension at most  $d$  such that among any  $p$  members of  $\mathcal{F}$  there are  $d+1$  with a nonempty intersection, then there exist at most  $D$  points hitting all of  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

In the special case when  $\mathcal{F}$  is a family of balls in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  that we want to pierce with  $k$ -flats, the dual VC-dimension is  $(k+1)(d-k)$ , and so Theorem 7 gives that the fractional Helly number is at most  $(k+1)(d-k)$  (see the discussion after Corollary 3 in [30]). Our Theorem 1 improves on this by showing that the fractional Helly number is  $k+2$ . Note that this is best possible, as any  $k+1$  balls in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  have a  $k$ -transversal. Our Theorem 2 similarly improves Theorem 8.

The special case  $k=0$ ,  $p=k+2=2$  of Theorem 2, that a pairwise intersecting family of balls can be stabbed by a bounded number of points, was

proved much earlier by Grünbaum [15] (more generally, for the homothets of any convex body). In the even more special  $d = 2$  case, it was shown independently by Danzer [12] and by Stachó [32], that 3 points suffice to stab all the disks of any pairwise intersecting family. For some more general results, when  $k = 0$  and  $p \geq 3$ , see Dumitrescu and Jiang [13].

Our research was motivated by the following result of Keller and Perles [28].

**Theorem 9** (Keller and Perles [27, 28]). *Let  $0 \leq k < d$  be integers and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an infinite family of closed balls in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . If among every  $\aleph_0$  member of  $\mathcal{F}$  some  $k + 2$  have a  $k$ -transversal, then the whole family can be pierced by finitely many  $k$ -flats.*

Theorem 9 was proved for  $k = 0$  and for  $k > 0$  for unit balls in [27] and later for general radius balls for all  $0 \leq k < d$  in [28]. Colorful generalizations of the results in [27] were given by Ghosh and Nandi [14].

We call results of this kind  $(\aleph_0, q)$ -theorems. We show in Subsections 3.1 and 3.2, that the existence of a fractional Helly and a  $(p, q)$ -theorem always implies a corresponding  $(\aleph_0, q)$ -theorem, thus we not only reprove the results of Keller and Perles, but establish  $(\aleph_0, q)$ -theorems for all the cases where we have a fractional Helly and a  $(p, q)$ -result.

Keller and Perles also studied  $(\aleph_0, q)$ -theorems for more general families. A family  $\mathcal{F}$  of sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is called  $(r, R)$ -fat if every member of  $\mathcal{F}$  contains a ball of radius  $r$  and is contained in a ball of radius  $R$ .<sup>1</sup> Fractional Helly-type results about  $k$ -transversals of congruent balls generalize easily to (not necessarily convex)  $(r, R)$ -fat sets as were described in [14, 27, 28]. We give a short summary of the argument here. If  $\alpha \binom{|\mathcal{F}|}{k+2}$  of the  $(k + 2)$ -tuples of an  $(r, R)$ -fat family  $\mathcal{F}$  have a  $k$ -transversal, then so do the  $(k + 2)$ -tuples of balls of radius  $R$  containing them. We can apply Theorem 1 to the family of  $R$ -balls to have a positive fraction of them with a single  $k$ -transversal. By considering parallel  $k$ -flats close to this single  $k$ -transversal, we can conclude that one of them still stabs a (smaller but still) positive fraction of the  $r$ -balls contained in the members of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Therefore, a positive fraction of the sets in  $\mathcal{F}$  have a single  $k$ -transversal.

We call a family  $\mathcal{F}$  of sets  $\rho$ -fat if every  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  contains a ball and is contained in a ball such that the ratio of the radiuses of the balls is at most  $\rho$ . Note that an  $(r, R)$ -fat family is  $\rho$ -fat with  $\rho = \frac{R}{r}$  but a  $\rho$ -fat family might not be  $(r, R)$ -fat for any fixed  $r, R$  as it can contain arbitrarily small and large sets. Because of this, the argument described in the previous paragraph, does not apply directly to  $\rho$ -fat sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and this is why Theorem 9 was harder to prove for general radius balls [28] than for unit balls [27].

However, the proof of our Theorem 1 can be modified to work for convex  $\rho$ -fat sets as well. Take two sets of our family,  $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ , containing balls

---

<sup>1</sup>Note that requiring these balls to be concentric would not significantly change the definition; if  $F$  is contained in some ball of radius  $R$ , then it is contained in a ball of radius  $2R$  centered on any point of  $F$ .

of size  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ , and contained in balls of radius  $R_1 \leq \rho r_1$  and  $R_2 \leq \rho r_2$ , respectively. Assume that  $r_1 \leq r_2$ , and denote the center of the  $R_1$ -size ball containing  $F_1$  by  $o$ . If  $F_2$  intersects  $F_1$  in some point  $x$ , then  $F_2$  will contain the convex hull of  $x$  and an  $r_2$ -size ball, whose center is at distance at most  $2R_2$  from  $x$ . This implies that  $F_2$  contains a positive fraction of the  $2R_1$ -size ball centered at  $o$ , and we can exploit this to make our argument go through. To keep our presentation simple, we do not give a detailed proof here of this more general result, we only state it.

**Theorem 10.** *The fractional Helly number of convex  $\rho$ -fat sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with respect to  $k$ -flats is  $k + 2$ , and the function  $\beta$  depends only of  $\rho$  and  $d$ .*

As any convex set in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  can be made  $d$ -fat with an affine transformation by John's theorem [24], the above also holds for the family of homothets of any fixed convex body. If we only consider translates, then we do not even need convexity, just a non-empty interior and boundedness, as that already guarantees  $(r, R)$ -fatness for some  $r, R$ . This answers a question of Holmsen and Matoušek [20], which asked whether a fractional Helly number exists for disjoint translates of a convex set in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with respect to lines. But we cannot really take credit for this, as a positive answer already follows from Theorem 7 of Matoušek combined with the trick of making the set  $(1, 3)$ -fat with an affine transformation, and then using the reduction to balls that we sketched earlier. This method would only give that the fractional Helly number is at most 4 (without using our Theorem 1); it was observed by Dobbins and Holmsen a few years ago (personal communication), independently of us, that this can be improved to the optimal 3, and they have also obtained fractional Helly theorems for  $(r, R)$ -fat sets with respect to  $k$ -flats.

We remark that fatness is indeed needed if we want to keep the fractional Helly number with respect to  $k$ -flats independent of the dimension  $d$  of the ambient space.

**Proposition 11.** *For any  $0 \leq k < d < n$  there exists a family of  $n$  ellipsoids in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that any  $d/2$  of them have a  $k$ -transversal, but no  $d + 1$  of them have.*

Proposition 11 is proved in Section 2.1.

## 2 Fractional Helly Theorems

In this section we prove Theorem 1, which directly follows from the below colorful generalizations, an analog of a colorful version of the fractional Helly theorem due to Bárány, Fodor, Montejano, Oliveros, and Pór [6].

**Theorem 12.** *For every dimension  $d$  there exists a function  $\beta : (0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1)$  with the following property. Let  $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_{k+2}$  be families of balls in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . If at least  $\alpha|\mathcal{F}_1| \cdots |\mathcal{F}_{k+2}|$  of the colorful selections have a  $k$ -transversal, then there exists an  $i$  with  $\mathcal{F}_i$  having a subfamily of size at least  $\beta(\alpha)|\mathcal{F}_i|$  which has a  $k$ -transversal.*

The proof of Theorem 12 relies heavily on a spherical analog, for which we need the following definitions. A cap of the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^d$  is the intersection of  $\mathbb{S}^d$  with a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ , a great  $k$ -sphere is the intersection of  $\mathbb{S}^d$  with a  $(k+1)$ -dimensional linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  (assuming that the origin is the center of  $\mathbb{S}^d$ ), and a spherical  $k$ -transversal for a family of caps is a great  $k$ -sphere intersecting all the caps.

**Theorem 13.** *For every dimension  $d$  there exists a function  $\beta : (0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1)$  with the following property. Let  $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_{k+2}$  be families of caps in  $\mathbb{S}^d$ . If at least  $\alpha|\mathcal{F}_1| \cdots |\mathcal{F}_{k+2}|$  of the colorful selections have a spherical  $k$ -transversal, then there exists an  $i$  with  $\mathcal{F}_i$  having a subfamily of size at least  $\beta(\alpha)|\mathcal{F}_i|$  which has a spherical  $k$ -transversal.*

Notice that in fact Theorem 13 implies Theorem 12 as any counterexample in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  would also give a counterexample on the surface of a large sphere  $\mathbb{S}^d$  with small caps on it.

We prove Theorems 12 and 13 simultaneously by induction on  $k$  and  $d$ . We recommend the reader to first picture the argument in the more natural setting of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and then think it through that it also works in  $\mathbb{S}^d$  without much change. We mark the differences with brackets [ ].

Let  $n_i = |\mathcal{F}_i|$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the oriented  $(k+2)$ -uniform hypergraph with vertex set  $\mathcal{F} = \cup \mathcal{F}_i$ , where the edges are those colorful  $(k+2)$ -tuples which have a [spherical]  $k$ -transversal. Let the tail of a hyperedge be one of the smallest balls [caps] of the intersecting tuple. The outdegree of a ball [cap]  $B$  is the number of hyperedges whose tail is  $B$ .

Since the sum of the outdegrees is at least  $\alpha n_1 \cdots n_{k+2}$ , there is a color class  $\mathcal{F}_i$  which has total outdegree at least  $\frac{\alpha}{k+2} n_1 \cdots n_{k+2}$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that this color class is  $\mathcal{F}_{k+2}$ . Pick the ball [cap]  $B_0$  from  $\mathcal{F}_{k+2}$  with the largest outdegree. By averaging, the outdegree of  $B_0$  is at least  $\frac{\alpha}{k+2} n_1 \cdots n_{k+1}$ .

If  $k = 0$ , then we have  $\frac{\alpha}{2} n_1$  balls [caps] from  $\mathcal{F}_1$  intersecting a smaller ball [cap]  $B_0$  from  $\mathcal{F}_2$ . Let  $2B_0$  be the ball [cap] with the same center as  $B_0$ , but with twice as large radius. Since all the  $\frac{\alpha}{2} n_1$  balls [caps] contain a positive fraction of the volume of the ball [cap]  $2B_0$ , a constant fraction of the  $\frac{\alpha}{2} n_1$  balls [caps] can be hit by a single point by the volumetric pigeonhole principle (where the constant depends on the dimension).

If  $k > 0$ , we can reduce finding a [spherical]  $k$ -transversal in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  [in  $\mathbb{S}^d$ ] to finding a spherical  $(k-1)$ -transversal in  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  as follows.

**Euclidean case:** If we are in the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we may assume  $B_0$  is the unit ball centered at the origin. Let  $B' = B + B_0$  if  $B \in \cup \mathcal{F}_i \setminus \{B_0\}$ , and let  $B'_0$  be the degenerate ball containing only the origin. Denote the new families by  $\mathcal{F}' = \cup \mathcal{F}'_i$ .

**Claim 14.** *If  $k+2$  balls from  $\mathcal{F}$  have a  $k$ -transversal, then the corresponding  $k+2$  balls in  $\mathcal{F}'$  have a  $k$ -transversal.*

*Proof.* Translate the hitting  $k$ -flat to the origin if one of the balls was  $B_0$ , otherwise there is nothing to prove.  $\square$

Now, project every ball in  $\mathcal{F}' \setminus \{B'_0\}$  to the surface of the unit sphere from the origin. (If a ball contains the origin, its projection is the entire sphere.) Denote the obtained family of caps by  $\mathcal{F}^*$ . The assumptions of the spherical colorful fractional Helly Theorem 13 on  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  with great  $(k-1)$ -spheres are satisfied, thus we have an  $i$  and a subfamily of caps  $\mathcal{G}^* \subset \mathcal{F}_i^*$  of size at least  $\beta^* |\mathcal{F}_i^*|$  which can be hit by a great  $(k-1)$ -sphere. This means that the corresponding family of preimage balls  $\mathcal{G}'$  can be hit by a  $k$ -flat  $F$ , where  $\beta^*$  is the value we obtain from Theorem 13 with parameters  $d-1, k-1$  and  $\frac{\alpha}{k+2}$ . If we project  $B_0$  and every ball of  $\mathcal{G}'$  to the orthogonal complement  $F^\perp$  of  $F$ , every projected ball  $\text{proj}_{F^\perp} B$  will intersect the smaller ball  $\text{proj}_{F^\perp} B_0$ . As in the  $k=0$  case, we can find a point of  $F^\perp$  in the intersection of a constant fraction of the projections  $\{\text{proj}_{F^\perp} B : B \in \mathcal{G}'\}$ . The preimage of that point is a  $k$ -flat intersecting a constant fraction of the balls in  $\mathcal{G}'$ .

**Spherical case:** For this case, we need some claims about the geometry of spherical caps and great  $k$ -spheres which are described in Section 4. For  $v \in \mathbb{S}^d$  and  $\varepsilon \in [0, \pi]$ , denote by  $C(v, \varepsilon) = \{u \in \mathbb{S}^d : \angle(u, v) = \cos^{-1}(u \cdot v) \leq \varepsilon\}$ , the spherical cap centered at  $v$  with angle  $\varepsilon$ . Let  $B_0 = B'_0 = C(v, \varepsilon)$ , and for every  $B = C(u, \delta) \in \cup \mathcal{F}_i \setminus \{B_0\}$  let  $B' = C(u, \delta + \varepsilon)$ . Denote the new families of caps by  $\mathcal{F}' = \cup \mathcal{F}'_i$ . By Claim 30, if some caps in  $\mathcal{F}$  can be hit by a great  $k$ -sphere, then the corresponding caps in  $\mathcal{F}'$  can be hit by a great  $k$ -sphere as well. Now let  $\mathcal{F}^* = \text{proj}_{v, \varepsilon} \mathcal{F}'$ , where  $\text{proj}_{v, \varepsilon}$  denotes the projection onto the boundary  $\partial C(v, \varepsilon)$ . By Claim 31,  $\mathcal{F}^*$  is a family of caps of the  $(d-1)$ -dimensional sphere  $\partial C(v, \varepsilon)$ . The assumptions of the spherical colorful fractional Helly Theorem 13 on  $\partial C(v, \varepsilon)$  with spherical  $(k-1)$ -flats are satisfied, thus we have an  $i$  and a subfamily  $\mathcal{G}^* \subset \mathcal{F}_i^*$  of size at least  $\beta^* |\mathcal{F}_i^*|$  which has a spherical  $(k-1)$ -transversal. This means that the corresponding family of preimage caps  $\mathcal{G}'$  has a spherical  $k$ -transversal. By Claim 32, a large subfamily of  $\mathcal{G}$  has a spherical  $k$ -transversal as well.

## 2.1 A lower bound for ellipsoids

*Proof of Proposition 11.* If  $k > d/2 - 1$ , then any  $n$  sufficiently small balls in general position will have the property, that every  $k+1 \geq d/2$  have a  $k$ -transversal but no  $k+2 \leq d+1$  have a  $k$ -transversal.

If  $k=0$ , then we can have  $n$  sufficiently flat ellipsoids with the same intersection structure as  $n$  hyperplanes in general position. That is, any  $d$  of them intersect, but no  $d+1$  do.

For  $0 < k < d/2$  we can give a construction recursively where any  $d-k \geq d/2$  ellipsoids have a  $k$ -transversal, but no  $d-k+1$  do. If we have a construction of  $n$  ellipsoids for  $(k-1)$ -flats in  $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ , we lift the ellipsoids to  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , keeping them thin in the new coordinate. If we lift the ellipsoids one by one, always placing the current ellipsoid high above each earlier one, then only the subfamilies which had a  $(k-1)$ -transversal before the lifting can have a  $k$ -transversal in the new construction.  $\square$

### 3 $(p, k + 2)$ -theorems

The fractional Helly-type results of Section 2 imply  $(p, q)$ -type results.

Theorem 12 implies the following generalization of Theorem 2.

**Theorem 15.** *For every three positive integers  $d$ ,  $k < d$  and  $p \geq k + 2$  there exists a  $D$  such that the following holds. If  $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_p$  are families of closed balls in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that for every  $B_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1, \dots, B_p \in \mathcal{F}_p$  there are  $k + 2$  balls that can be hit by a single  $k$ -flat, then there exist an  $i \in [p]$  and at most  $D$   $k$ -flats hitting all balls of  $\mathcal{F}_i$ .*

The following is a corollary of Theorem 13.

**Theorem 16.** *For every three positive integers  $d$ ,  $k < d$  and  $p \geq k + 2$  there exists a  $D$  such that the following holds. If  $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_p$  are finite families of closed caps in  $\mathbb{S}^d$  such that for every  $C_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1, \dots, C_p \in \mathcal{F}_p$  there are  $k + 2$  caps that can be hit by a great  $k$ -sphere, then there exist an  $i \in [p]$  and at most  $D$  great  $k$ -spheres hitting all caps of  $\mathcal{F}_i$ .*

Their proofs are simple applications of the Alon-Kleitman method established in [3], later described in a more general setting in [2], and adapted to colorful variants in [6]. The abstract (purely combinatorial) proof described in [2] has two main steps. The first one establishes a  $(p, q)$ -theorem based on LP duality, the fractional Helly theorem, and the existence of weak epsilon nets. This step goes through unchanged in all our cases. The other one, Theorem 9 in [2], establishes the existence of weak epsilon nets based on the assumption that a fractional Helly theorem holds for the family of intersections of the set system as well. This one cannot be applied to our case, since families of  $k$ -transversals of balls [caps] are not closed under intersection. But luckily  $k$ -transversals of balls [caps] have bounded VC-dimension as they can be described using polynomial inequalities of bounded degree. Hence, by the classical result of Haussler and Welzl [18], they admit weak epsilon nets, as was already observed in [30]. Since all of this has become a standard argument, we do not describe it here in more detail.

#### 3.1 Infinite versions of $(p, q)$ -theorems

We can describe all the above results in the language of hypergraphs where the vertices of the hypergraph are the objects we want to pierce and each hyperedge corresponds to a subfamily of objects that has a transversal. We say that a hypergraph satisfies the  $(p, q)$ -condition, if on every set of  $p$  vertices there is a hyperedge of size  $q$ . We use the same notation if  $p = \aleph_0$ . If there is an underlying partition of the vertices of  $H$  into color classes, and we require the condition only for heterochromatic sets of  $p$  vertices, we speak about the colorful  $(p, q)$ -condition.

Following Holmsen [22], define a complete  $t$ -tuple of missing edges as a  $q$ -uniform hypergraph on  $qt$  vertices, divided into  $q$  parts of size  $t$ , such that we have a complete  $q$ -uniform  $q$ -partite hypergraph among the parts, but no edge



inside any part. There is no restriction on the “mixed” edges that intersect more than one, but less than  $q$  parts. As in case of  $q = 2$  there are no mixed edges, the only complete  $t$ -tuple of missing edges for graphs is the biclique  $K_{t,t}$ . For  $q > 2$ , however, there are several different  $q$ -uniform graphs that can correspond to a complete  $t$ -tuple of missing edges. We denote any  $t$ -tuple of missing edges by  $M_q^{(q)}(t)$  but this will lead to confusion. Holmsen [22] proved that for any  $t \geq q$  and dense enough  $q$ -uniform hypergraph without any  $M_q^{(q)}(t)$  contains a linear size clique, thereby showing that the fractional Helly number is always at most as large as the colorful Helly number (see also [23]).

Our main result in this section is the following.

**Lemma 17.** *Let  $q$  and  $t$  be positive integers and let  $H$  be an  $M_q^{(q)}(t)$ -free  $q$ -uniform hypergraph.*

1. *If  $H$  satisfies the  $(\aleph_0, q)$ -condition, then it satisfies the  $(p, q)$ -condition for some finite  $p$ .*
2. *If  $H$  satisfies the colorful  $(\aleph_0, q)$ -condition, then it satisfies the colorful  $(p, q)$ -condition for some finite  $p$ .*

To see why Lemma 17 implies that the existence of a fractional Helly and a  $(p, q)$ -theorem implies the existence of an  $(\aleph_0, q)$ -theorem, we need the following simple observation.

**Claim 18.** *Every  $q$ -uniform hypergraphs that satisfies the fractional Helly theorem is  $M_q^{(q)}(t)$ -free for  $t > \frac{q-1}{\beta}$  where  $\beta$  belongs to  $\alpha = \frac{q!}{(2q)^q}$ .*

*Proof.* An  $M_q^{(q)}(t)$  would have  $t^q \geq \frac{q!}{(2q)^q} \binom{qt}{q}$  edges, so by the fractional Helly theorem would contain a clique of size  $\beta qt$ , but the largest clique in an  $M_q^{(q)}(t)$  is at most  $(q-1)q$ .  $\square$

Now, suppose that the  $(\aleph_0, q)$ -condition holds for a hypergraph without an induced  $M_q^{(q)}(t)$ . Then Lemma 17 and the  $(p, q)$ -theorem imply that a finite set of hyperedges cover the vertices of the hypergraph. The transversals corresponding to these hyperedges hit all our objects we want to hit.

The proof of Lemma 17 can be found in Subsection 3.2. In the following, we describe  $(\aleph_0, q)$ -theorems in various settings as consequences of fractional Helly and  $(p, q)$ -theorems according to Lemma 17.

First of all, Theorems 1 and 2 together with Lemma 17 imply Theorem 9, the result of Keller and Perles [28] mentioned in the introduction. Similarly, Theorems 12 and 15 together with the second part of Lemma 17 imply the following colorful variant, whose weaker analog (for balls whose radius is in the range  $[r, R]$ ) was proved by Ghosh and Nandi [14]. According to their definition, a heterochromatic sequence of elements from an infinite sequence of families  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots$  is an infinite sequence of elements  $B_1, B_2, \dots$  such that there exists an infinite sequence of indices  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots$  with  $B_j \in \mathcal{F}_{i_j}$ .

**Corollary 19.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots$  be families of closed balls in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . If every heterochromatic sequence of balls contains  $k + 2$  balls with a  $k$ -transversal, then there exists an  $\mathcal{F}_i$  which can be pierced by finitely many  $k$ -flats.*

We have learned via personal communication, that Keller and Perles proved the same corollary independently and with a different method. The following example, which we learned from Chaya Keller (personal communication), shows that we cannot weaken the assumption of Corollary 19 and only require that every heterochromatic sequence of balls with exactly one ball from all the families contain a subsequence of  $k + 2$  balls with a  $k$ -transversal. Let  $\mathcal{F}_0$  be an infinite family  $\{B_1, B_2, \dots\}$  of balls such that no  $k + 2$  of them have a  $k$ -transversal and for  $i > 0$  let  $\mathcal{F}_i$  be a family of balls inside  $B_i$  such that no  $k + 2$  of them have a  $k$ -transversal. In this case, there is no  $\mathcal{F}_i$  which can be pierced by finitely many  $k$ -flats, but no matter how we choose one ball from each of the families, the ball chosen from  $\mathcal{F}_0$  will intersect another chosen ball, thus some  $k + 2$  of the chosen balls will have a  $k$ -transversal.

Theorems 13 and 16 provide the following spherical variant.

**Corollary 20.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots$  be families of closed caps in  $\mathbb{S}^d$ . If every heterochromatic sequence of caps contains  $k + 2$  caps which can be hit with a great  $k$ -sphere, then there exists an  $\mathcal{F}_i$  which can be pierced by finitely many great  $k$ -spheres.*

The classical results of Katchalski and Liu [25], and of Alon and Kleitman [3] (here Theorems 3 and 4) translate to the following.

**Corollary 21.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of compact convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . If among every  $\aleph_0$  members of  $\mathcal{F}$  some  $d + 1$  intersect, then all the members of  $\mathcal{F}$  can be hit by finitely many points.*

It also has a colorful variant which is based on the colorful fractional Helly and colorful  $(p, q)$ -theorems of Bárány, Fodor, Montejano, Oliveros, and Pór [6].

**Corollary 22.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots$  be families of compact convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . If every heterochromatic sequence of convex set contains  $d + 1$  intersecting sets, then there exists an  $\mathcal{F}_i$  which can be pierced by finitely many points.*

A one-dimensional version of the example after Corollary 19 shows that the assumption here also cannot be weakened to only assume  $d + 1$  intersecting sets in heterochromatic sequences with exactly one set from each family.

The fractional Helly and  $(p, q)$ -theorems for hyperplanes by Alon and Kalai [1] (here Theorems 5 and 6) imply the following.

**Corollary 23.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of compact convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . If among every  $\aleph_0$  members of  $\mathcal{F}$  some  $d + 1$  can be hit by a hyperplane, then all the members of  $\mathcal{F}$  can be hit by finitely many hyperplanes.*

The results of Matoušek about set systems with bounded VC-dimension [30] (here Theorems 5 and 8) has the following corollary.

**Corollary 24.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an abstract set system with dual VC-dimension at most  $d$ . If among every  $\aleph_0$  member of  $\mathcal{F}$  some  $d + 1$  intersect, then all the members of  $\mathcal{F}$  can be hit by finitely many points.*

Chakraborty, Ghosh, and Nandi recently proved an  $(\aleph_0, 2)$ -theorem for axis-parallel boxes and axis-parallel hyperplanes [7]. With our method, we can even prove the following generalization of their result.

**Corollary 25.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of compact convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . If among every  $\aleph_0$  of them some 2 can be intersected with an axis-parallel hyperplane, then all the members of  $\mathcal{F}$  can be hit by finitely many hyperplanes.*

Note that the fractional Helly number of this system is 2, as follows from the one dimensional (interval) version of the original fractional Helly theorem of Katchalski and Liu, and the boundedness of the VC dimension, then implies a  $(p, 2)$ -theorem for them as well.

There are other corollaries as well, one for each case where a fractional Helly and a  $(p, q)$ -type result is known.

### 3.2 Proof of Lemma 17

Lemma 17 is implied by the following statement.

**Lemma 26.** *Let  $q$  and  $t$  be positive integers and  $H$  be a  $q$ -uniform  $M_q^{(q)}(t)$ -free hypergraph.*

1. *If  $H$  has arbitrarily large finite independent sets, then  $H$  contains a countably infinite independent set.*
2. *If  $I_1, \dots, I_n, \dots \subset V(H)$  are infinitely large disjoint independent sets, then there exists an infinitely large independent set  $I \subset \cup I_j$  with  $|I \cap I_j| \leq 1$  for all  $j$ .*

We prove Lemma 26 by induction on  $q$ . First, let  $q = 2$  and let  $H$  be a graph with arbitrarily large finite independent sets and no induced  $K_{t,t}$ .

**Claim 27.** *We can choose arbitrarily large disjoint independent sets  $V_1, V_2, \dots$  of  $H$  such that for all  $i < j$  and  $v \in V_j$  we have  $|N(v) \cap V_i| \leq t - 1$ .*

*Proof.* Assume we have already chosen  $V_1, \dots, V_{i-1}$ . To find a  $V_i$ , first choose an independent set  $S$  of size  $m$  for some sufficiently large  $m$ . Let the size of  $V_1$  be  $n$ . Since the graph has no induced  $K_{t,t}$ , the pigeonhole principle implies that at most  $t - 1$  vertices from  $S$  are connected to any  $t$ -tuple of  $V_1$ , so  $e(V_1, S) \leq (t - 1)t \binom{m}{t} + (t - 1)m$ . If  $m$  is large enough, there will be a large enough subset  $S_1 \subset S$  such that for all  $v \in S_1$  we have  $|N(v) \cap V_1| \leq t - 1$ . We may assume that  $m_1 = |S_1|$  is still large enough to similarly obtain a large enough subset  $S_2 \subset S_1$  with  $|N(v) \cap V_2| \leq t - 1$  for all  $v \in S_2$ . After repeating the process  $i - 1$  times, we have a large enough subset  $V_i = S_{i-1} \subset S$  with  $|N(v) \cap V_k| \leq t - 1$  for all  $v \in V_i$  and  $1 \leq k < i$ .  $\square$

Choose  $V_1, V_2, \dots$  according to Claim 27 with  $|V_k| \geq t2^{k+2}$ . For  $j < k$ , we call a  $v \in V_j$  *good* for  $V_k$ , if  $|N(v) \cap V_k| \leq |V_k|2^{-j-1}$ .

**Claim 28.** *For every  $j < k$  and every subset  $S \subset V_j$  with  $|S| \geq |V_j|/2$  there is an element  $v \in S$  which is good for  $V_k$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S \subset V_j$  with  $|S| \geq \frac{1}{2}|V_j|$ . Since  $e(S, V_k) \leq (t-1)|V_k|$ , there exists an element  $v \in S$  with

$$|N(v) \cap V_k| \leq \frac{(t-1)|V_k|}{|S|} \leq \frac{2(t-1)|V_k|}{|V_j|} < |V_k|2^{-j-1}.$$

This  $v$  is good for  $V_k$ . □

We will find a countably infinite independent sequence of vertices by choosing at most one element from each  $V_k$ . This will finish the proof of the first part (1.) of the statement, and it will also prove the second part (2.) (for  $q = 2$ ) because in that case we could choose  $V_i$  from  $I_i$ .

First, choose an element  $v_1 \in V_1$  which is good for infinitely many  $V_k$ s. We will choose the rest of the elements recursively. Assume we have already chosen  $v_1 \in V_{i_1}, v_2 \in V_{i_2}, \dots, v_j \in V_{i_j}$ ,  $1 = i_1 < \dots < i_j$  with the properties that  $v_1, \dots, v_j$  are independent, and there exists an infinite number of  $V_k$ s for which  $v_1, \dots, v_j$  are all good. We will call the  $V_k$ s with  $k > i_j$  for which all the  $v_1, \dots, v_j$  are good the remaining  $V_k$ s. Let  $V_{i_{j+1}}$  be the first of these.

Let  $S \subset V_{i_{j+1}} \setminus \cup_{\ell=1}^j N(v_\ell)$ . Since

$$|S| \geq |V_{i_{j+1}}|(1 - \sum_{\ell=1}^j 2^{-i_\ell-1}) \geq |V_{i_{j+1}}|(1 - \sum_{\ell=1}^j 2^{-\ell-1}) > \frac{1}{2}|V_{i_{j+1}}|,$$

there exists a  $v_{j+1} \in S$  which is good for infinitely many remaining  $V_k$ s. Note that  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{j+1}\}$  is independent. By the Axiom of Choice, we can choose a countably infinite independent set  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots\}$  in this manner. This finishes the proof for  $q = 2$ .

Now assume that  $q \geq 2$ ,<sup>2</sup> and that we have proved the lemma for  $(q-1)$ -uniform  $M_{q-1}^{(q-1)}(t)$ -free hypergraphs, and take a  $q$ -uniform  $M_q^{(q)}(t)$ -free hypergraph  $H$  with arbitrarily large finite independent sets.

**Claim 29.** *We can choose arbitrarily large independent subsets  $V_1, V_2, \dots$  such that if  $i_1 < \dots < i_q$  and  $v_j \in V_{i_j}$ , then whether  $\{v_1, \dots, v_q\} \in H$  or not, depends only on  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{q-1}\}$ .*

In other words, for any  $v_q \in V_{i_q}$  and  $v'_q \in V_{i'_q}$  with  $i_q, i'_q > i_{q-1}$ , we have  $\{v_1, \dots, v_q\} \in H$  if and only if  $\{v_1, \dots, v'_q\} \in H$ .

---

<sup>2</sup>Indeed, the induction step also works for  $q = 2$ , and we could have reduced to the trivial base case  $q = 1$ , but we have decided to include the proof of the  $q = 2$  case separately, as it helps to understand the general induction step.

*Proof.* To find  $V_1, V_2, \dots$ , we first choose even larger but otherwise arbitrary disjoint independent sets  $W_1, W_2, \dots$ , and during the construction, we delete or shrink most of them. If we delete one of them, we decrease the indices of the later  $W_i$ s, but once a  $V_i$  has been fixed, it is never changed. At first, let  $V_1 = W_1, V_2 = W_2, \dots, V_{q-1} = W_{q-1}$ .

Assume that we have already chosen  $V_1 \subset W_1, \dots, V_k \subset W_k$  with some  $i_1 < \dots < i_k$ , and enumerate all the colorful  $(q-1)$ -tuples  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{q-1}\} \subset \cup_{j=1}^k V_j$  where all the  $v_i$ s are from different  $V_j$ s. Fix a colorful  $(q-1)$ -tuple  $T$  and label the sets  $W_{k+1}, W_{k+2}, \dots$  as follows. Label  $W_\ell$  as heavy if  $|\{w \in W_\ell : T \cup \{w\} \in H\}| > |\{w \in W_\ell : T \cup \{w\} \notin H\}|$  and light otherwise. Since every  $W_\ell$  with  $\ell > k$  has a label, we have a label such that infinitely many  $W_\ell$ s have that label. Choose that label, and delete every  $W_\ell$  with the other label (we decrease the indices of the remaining  $W_\ell$ s at this point). If the chosen label is heavy, delete the elements  $w \in \cup_{\ell > k} W_\ell$  with  $T \cup \{w\} \notin H$ , otherwise delete the elements  $w \in \cup_{\ell > k} W_\ell$  with  $T \cup \{w\} \in H$ . This way all the remaining  $W_\ell$ s lose at most half their elements. Continue this process with all the colorful  $(q-1)$ -tuples  $T$ , and in the end let  $V_{k+1} = W_{k+1}$ . Since the number of times a  $W_\ell$  can lose half of its elements is bounded by its index at the beginning and the size of the union of the previous  $W_\ell$ s, if the sizes of the  $W_\ell$ s grow fast enough, we never get stuck during the process and we can even guarantee the  $V_i$ s to be as large as we wish.  $\square$

Choose large enough  $V_1, V_2, \dots$  according to Claim 29, and define a  $(q-1)$ -uniform hypergraph  $H^\downarrow$  on  $\cup V_i$  such that for any  $i_1 < \dots < i_{q-1}$  and  $v_1 \in V_{i_1}, \dots, v_{q-1} \in V_{i_{q-1}}$  we have  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{q-1}\} \in H^\downarrow$  if and only if  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{q-1}, v_q\} \in H$  for all  $i_q > i_{q-1}$  and  $v_q \in V_{i_q}$ . Since  $H^\downarrow$  is  $M_q^{(q)}(t)$ -free, it has an infinitely large independent set  $I \subset \cup V_i$  with  $|I \cap V_i| \leq 1$  by induction. The same  $I$  is an independent set of  $H$  as well.

## 4 Caps and projections on the sphere

Recall that  $C(v, \varepsilon)$  is the spherical cap centered at  $v$  with angle  $\varepsilon$ , and a great  $k$ -sphere of  $\mathbb{S}^d$  is the intersection of  $\mathbb{S}^d$  with a  $(k+1)$ -dimensional linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  [4]. A great 1-sphere is called a great circle.

If  $u \notin \{v, -v\}$ , then let  $\text{rot}(u, v)$  be the rotation  $r$  with  $r(u) = v$  which is constant on  $\text{span}(\{u, v\})^\perp$ , so we rotate with the  $uov$  angle  $\angle(u, v)$  around the center  $o$ , keeping  $\text{span}(\{u, v\})^\perp$  fixed. If  $u \notin \{v, -v\}$ , the projection of  $u \in \mathbb{S}^d$  onto the boundary  $\partial C(v, \varepsilon)$  of the cap  $C(v, \varepsilon)$  is the intersection of  $\partial C(v, \varepsilon)$  with the halfplane embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  containing  $o$  and  $v$  on its boundary, and  $u$  in its interior. In other words, it is the point of  $\partial C(v, \varepsilon)$  that is hit when we rotate  $u$  to  $v$ . It is denoted by  $\text{proj}_{C(v, \varepsilon)}(u)$ , or simply  $\text{proj}_{v, \varepsilon}(u)$ , and for any set  $X$  let  $\text{proj}_{v, \varepsilon} X = \{\text{proj}_{v, \varepsilon}(u) : u \in X \setminus \{v, -v\}\}$ .

**Claim 30.** *If  $C(v_1, \varepsilon_1), C(v_2, \varepsilon_2), \dots, C(v_n, \varepsilon_n)$  can be pierced with a great  $k$ -sphere, then  $C(v_1, 0), C(v_2, \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_1), \dots, C(v_n, \varepsilon_n + \varepsilon_1)$  can also be pierced with a great  $k$ -sphere.*

*Proof.* Take a great  $k$ -sphere  $F$  intersecting all of  $C(v_1, \varepsilon_1), \dots, C(v_n, \varepsilon_n)$ , let  $v'_1 = \text{proj}_F(v_1)$  and  $\text{rot} = \text{rot}(v'_1, v_1)$ . For any point  $u \in \mathbb{S}^d$  the spherical distance between  $u$  and  $\text{rot}(u)$  is at most  $\varepsilon_1$ . Hence, if  $u \in C(v_i, \varepsilon_i) \cap F$ , then  $r(u) \in C(v_i, \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_1) \cap \text{rot}(F)$ , thus the great  $k$ -sphere  $\text{rot}(F)$  intersects all of  $C(v_1, 0), C(v_2, \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_1), \dots, C(v_n, \varepsilon_n + \varepsilon_1)$ .  $\square$

**Claim 31.** *Let  $C(v, \varepsilon)$  and  $C(u, \delta)$  be two caps of  $\mathbb{S}^d$  with  $v, -v \notin C(u, \delta)$ . The projection  $\text{proj}_{v, \varepsilon} C(u, \delta)$  is a cap of the  $(d-1)$ -dimensional sphere  $\partial C(v, \varepsilon)$  with center  $\text{proj}_{v, \varepsilon}(u)$ ,*

*Proof.* The group of isometries of  $\mathbb{S}^d$  is the orthogonal group  $O(d+1)$ . Any action in the stabilizer of  $v$  commutes with the projection  $\text{proj}_{v, \varepsilon}$ . The stabilizer of  $\{u, v\}$  is isomorphic to  $O(d-1)$ , and it is a subgroup of the isometries of  $C(u, \delta)$ . Hence  $O(d-1)$  is a subgroup of the isometries of  $\text{proj}_{v, \varepsilon} C(u, \delta)$  as well.

Since  $\text{proj}_{v, \varepsilon}$  sends great circle arcs of  $\mathbb{S}^d$  to great circle arcs or points of  $\partial C(u, \delta)$ , the set  $\text{proj}_{v, \varepsilon} C(u, \delta)$  is a spherically convex subset of  $\partial C(u, \delta)$ . The only spherically convex subset of a  $(d-1)$ -dimensional sphere whose isometries contain  $O(d-1)$  as a subgroup is a cap.  $\square$

**Claim 32.** *For every  $d$  and  $k$  there exists a constant  $D$  such that if  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_i$  for all  $i \geq 2$  and  $C(v_2, \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon), \dots, C(v_n, \varepsilon_n + \varepsilon)$  can be intersected by a great  $k$ -sphere, then there is a family of great  $k$ -spheres of size  $D$  hitting all of  $C(v_2, \varepsilon_2), \dots, C(v_n, \varepsilon_n)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be the great  $k$ -sphere hitting all of  $C(v_2, \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon), \dots, C(v_n, \varepsilon_n + \varepsilon)$ . In this case all  $C(v_i, \varepsilon_i)$  with  $i \geq 2$  are at distance at most  $\varepsilon$  from  $F$ . We prove the following slightly more general statement via induction on  $k$ : for every  $d, k$  and  $r$ , there exists a  $D$  such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\varepsilon_2 \geq \varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon_n \geq \varepsilon$  if  $C(v_2, \varepsilon_2), \dots, C(v_n, \varepsilon_n)$  are at distance at most  $r\varepsilon$  from a great  $k$ -sphere  $F$ , then  $D(d, k, r)$  great  $k$ -spheres can pierce them. Note that the  $r = 1$  case implies Claim 32. If  $k = 0$ , then a constant size  $\varepsilon$ -net in the  $((r+1)\varepsilon)$ -neighborhood of  $F$  yields such a collection of piercing great 0-spheres.

For the induction step, let  $C(v_2, \varepsilon_2), \dots, C(v_n, \varepsilon_n)$  be caps of radius at least  $\varepsilon$  with distance at most  $r\varepsilon$  from a great  $k$ -sphere  $F$  and fix a crosspolytope  $K$  in  $F$  with  $2k$  vertices  $\{\pm w_1, \dots, \pm w_k\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_i \subset \mathcal{H} = \{C(v_2, \varepsilon), \dots, C(v_n, \varepsilon)\}$  be the set of caps  $C(v_j, \varepsilon)$  with  $|v_j \cdot w_i| \leq 1/\sqrt{k}$ . These are the caps whose centers are close to the great  $(d-1)$ -sphere  $C(w_i, \pi/2)$ , halfway between  $w_i$  and  $-w_i$ . Note that  $\cup_{i=1}^k \mathcal{H}_i = \mathcal{H}$ . We will hit the caps in  $\mathcal{H}_i$  with perturbations of  $F$  which fixes  $w_i$  and  $-w_i$ . Project the caps from  $\mathcal{H}_i$  to the great  $(d-1)$ -sphere  $C(w_i, \pi/2)$ . The projections are caps of  $C(w_i, \pi/2)$  with radius at least  $\varepsilon$  and with distance at most  $2r\varepsilon$  from the great  $(k-1)$ -sphere  $F \cap C(w_i, \pi/2)$ . Thus, the projections can be pierced by  $D(d-1, k-1, 2r)$  great  $(k-1)$ -spheres by the induction hypotheses, and these great  $(k-1)$ -spheres of  $C(w_i, \pi/2)$  can be lifted to great  $k$ -spheres of  $\mathbb{S}^d$  containing  $w_i$ . These lifted great  $k$ -spheres pierce  $\mathcal{H}_i$ . Since we can pierce each  $\mathcal{H}_i$  this way, we have  $D(d, k, r) \leq kD(d-1, k-1, 2r)$ . Thus  $D = D(d, k, 1) \leq k!D(d-k, 0, 2^{k-1}) < \infty$  as needed.  $\square$

## Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Andreas Holmsen for useful discussions, and for bringing [30] to our attention. We would also like to thank Chaya Keller for warning us that in the first version of this paper, we have stated the infinite heterochromatic results incorrectly and for providing counterexamples for the incorrectly phrased statements.

## References

- [1] Noga Alon and Gil Kalai. Bounding the piercing number. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 13:245–256, 1995.
- [2] Noga Alon, Gil Kalai, Jiří Matoušek, and Roy Meshulam. Transversal numbers for hypergraphs arising in geometry. *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, 29(1):79–101, 2002.
- [3] Noga Alon and Daniel J Kleitman. Piercing convex sets and the Hadwiger-Debrunner  $(p, q)$ -problem. *Advances in Mathematics*, 96(1):103–112, 1992.
- [4] Boris Aronov, Jacob E Goodman, and Richard Pollack. A Helly-type theorem for higher-dimensional transversals. *Computational Geometry*, 21(3):177–183, 2002.
- [5] Imre Bárány. Pairwise intersecting convex sets and cylinders in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budap. Rolando Eötvös, Sect. Math.*, 64:37–44, 2021.
- [6] Imre Bárány, Ferenc Fodor, Luis Montejano, Deborah Oliveros, and Attila Pór. Colourful and fractional  $(p, q)$ -theorems. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 51(3):628–642, 2014.
- [7] Sutanoya Chakraborty, Arijit Ghosh, and Soumi Nandi. Stabbing boxes with finitely many axis-parallel lines and flats. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.10479*, 2023.
- [8] Otfried Cheong, Xavier Goaoc, and Andreas Holmsen. Lower bounds to Helly numbers of line transversals to disjoint congruent balls. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 190(1):213–228, 2012.
- [9] Otfried Cheong, Xavier Goaoc, and Andreas Holmsen. Some new results on geometric transversals. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, online, 2023.
- [10] Otfried Cheong, Xavier Goaoc, Andreas Holmsen, and Sylvain Petitjean. Helly-type theorems for line transversals to disjoint unit balls. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 39:194–212, 2008.
- [11] Ludwig Danzer. Über ein Problem aus der kombinatorischen Geometrie. *Archiv der Mathematik*, 8(5):347–351, 1957.

- [12] Ludwig Danzer. Zur Lösung des Gallaischen Problems über Kreisscheiben in der Euklidischen Ebene. (On the solution of the problem of Gallai about circular discs in the Euclidean plane). *Stud. Sci. Math. Hung.*, 21:111–134, 1986.
- [13] Adrian Dumitrescu and Minghui Jiang. Piercing translates and homothets of a convex body. *Algorithmica*, 61(1):94–115, 2011.
- [14] Arijit Ghosh and Soumi Nandi. Heterochromatic higher order transversals for convex sets. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.14091*, 2022.
- [15] Branko Grünbaum. On intersections of similar sets. *Port. Math.*, 18:155–164, 1959.
- [16] Hugo Hadwiger and Hans Debrunner. Über eine Variante zum Hellyschen Satz. *Arch. Math.*, 8:309–313, 1957.
- [17] Sariel Har-Peled and Mitchell Jones. Stabbing convex bodies with lines and flats. In *37th International Symposium on Computational Geometry (SoCG 2021)*. Schloss Dagstuhl-Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2021.
- [18] David Haussler and Emo Welzl. Epsilon-nets and simplex range queries. In *Proceedings of the second annual symposium on Computational geometry*, pages 61–71, 1986.
- [19] Eduard Helly. Über Mengen konvexer Körper mit gemeinschaftlichen Punkten. *Jber. Deutsch. Math. Verein*, 32:175–176, 1923.
- [20] Andreas Holmsen and Jiří Matoušek. No Helly theorem for stabbing translates by lines in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 31(3):405–410, 2004.
- [21] Andreas Holmsen and Rephael Wenger. Helly-type theorems and geometric transversals. *Handbook of Discrete and Computational Geometry*, pages 91–123 (Chapter 4), 2017.
- [22] Andreas F. Holmsen. Large cliques in hypergraphs with forbidden substructures. *Combinatorica*, 40(4):527–537, 2020.
- [23] Andreas F. Holmsen and Donggyu Lee. Radon numbers and the fractional Helly theorem. *Isr. J. Math.*, 241(1):433–447, 2021.
- [24] Fritz John. Extremum problems with inequalities as subsidiary conditions. Studies Essays, pres. to R. Courant, 187-204 (1948)., 1948.
- [25] M. Katchalski and A. Liu. A problem of geometry in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 75(2):284–288, 1979.
- [26] Meir Katchalski. A conjecture of grünbaum on common transversals. *Mathematica scandinavica*, 59:192–198, 1986.



- [27] Chaya Keller and Micha A Perles. An  $(\aleph_0, k+2)$ -theorem for  $k$ -transversals. In *38th International Symposium on Computational Geometry (SoCG 2022)*. Schloss Dagstuhl-Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2022.
- [28] Chaya Keller and Micha A Perles. An  $(\aleph_0, k+2)$ -theorem for  $k$ -transversals. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.02181*, 2023.
- [29] Leonardo Martínez-Sandoval, Edgardo Roldán-Pensado, and Natan Rubin. Further consequences of the colorful Helly hypothesis. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 63(4):848–866, 2020.
- [30] Jiří Matoušek. Bounded VC-dimension implies a fractional Helly theorem. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 31:251–255, 2004.
- [31] Daniel McGinnis and Shira Zerbib. Line transversals in families of connected sets in the plane. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 36(4):2916–2919, 2022.
- [32] Lajos Stachó. A solution of Gallai’s problem on pinning down circles. *Mat. Lapok*, 32:19–47, 1984.
- [33] Helge Tverberg. Proof of Grünbaum’s conjecture on common transversals for translates. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 4:191–203, 1989.
- [34] Paul Vincensini. Figures convexes et variétés linéaires de l’espace euclidien  $n$  dimensions. *Bull. Sci. Math*, 59:163–174, 1935.
- [35] Shira Zerbib. Bounds on piercing and line-piercing numbers in families of convex sets in the plane, 2023.