Covariance-Based Activity Detection in Cooperative Multi-Cell Massive MIMO: Scaling Law and Efficient Algorithms

Ziyue Wang, Ya-Feng Liu, Zhaorui Wang, and Wei Yu

Abstract

This paper focuses on the covariance-based activity detection problem in a multi-cell massive multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) system. In this system, active devices transmit their signature sequences to multiple base stations (BSs), and the BSs cooperatively detect the active devices based on the received signals. While the scaling law for the covariance-based activity detection in the *single-cell* scenario has been extensively analyzed in the literature, this paper aims to analyze the scaling law for the covariance-based activity detection in the *multi-cell* massive MIMO system. Specifically, this paper demonstrates a quadratic scaling law in the multi-cell system, under the assumption that the exponent in the classical path-loss model is greater than 2. This finding shows that, in the multi-cell MIMO system, the maximum number of active devices that can be detected correctly in each cell increases quadratically with the length of the signature sequence and decreases logarithmically with the number of cells (as the number of antennas tends to infinity). Moreover, in addition to analyzing the scaling law for the scaling law for signature sequences generated from a finite alphabet, which are easier to generate and store. Moreover, this paper proposes two efficient accelerated coordinate descent (CD) algorithms

The materials in this paper have been presented in part at the IEEE International Workshop on Signal Processing Advances in Wireless Communications (SPAWC), Lucca, Italy, September 2021 [1], and at the IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing (ICASSP), Rhodes, Greece, June 2023 [2]. Ziyue Wang and Ya-Feng Liu are with the State Key Laboratory of Scientific and Engineering Computing, Institute of Computational Mathematics and Scientific/Engineering Computing, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China (e-mail: ziyuewang@lsec.cc.ac.cn; yafliu@lsec.cc.ac.cn). Zhaorui Wang is with the Future Network of Intelligence Institute, and the School of Science and Engineering, The Chinese University of Hong Kong (Shenzhen), Shenzhen 518172, China (e-mail: wangzhaorui@cuhk.edu.cn). Wei Yu is with The Edward S. Rogers Sr. Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Toronto, ON M5S 3G4, Canada (e-mail: weiyu@comm.utoronto.ca).

with a convergence guarantee for solving the device activity detection problem. The first algorithm reduces the complexity of CD by using an inexact coordinate update strategy. The second algorithm avoids unnecessary computations of CD by using an active set selection strategy. Simulation results show that the proposed algorithms exhibit excellent performance in terms of computational efficiency and detection error probability.

Index Terms

Accelerated coordinate descent (CD) algorithms, cooperative activity detection, massive random access, multi-cell massive multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO), scaling law analysis, signature sequence.

I. INTRODUCTION

Massive machine-type communication (mMTC) is an important application scenario in fifthgeneration (5G) cellular systems and beyond [3]. One of the main challenges in mMTC is handling massive random access, where a large number of devices are connected to the network in the uplink, but their activities are sporadic [4]. To address this challenge, an *activity detection* phase can be used, during which active devices transmit their unique preassigned signature sequences. The network then identifies the active devices by detecting which sequences are transmitted based on the received signals at the base-stations (BSs) [5].

In contrast to conventional cellular systems that provide devices with orthogonal sequences, the signature sequences preassigned to devices in mMTC are non-orthogonal due to the large number of devices and limited coherence time. The non-orthogonality of the signature sequences inevitably causes both intra-cell and inter-cell interference, making the task of device activity detection more complicated. In this paper, we investigate the problem of device activity detection in massive multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) systems, which utilize spatial dimensions for intra-cell interference mitigation [6]. We also consider a cloud-radio access network (C-RAN) architecture for inter-cell interference cancellation, where the BSs are connected to a central unit (CU) via fronthaul links and cooperate in performing device activity detection.

There are two main mathematical optimization approaches used for device activity detection. The first method takes advantage of the sporadic nature of device activities and jointly estimates the instantaneous channel state information (CSI) and device activities [6]. In this paper, we call this approach the compressed sensing (CS) technique. The second method focuses on the statistical information of the channel only, instead of the instantaneous channel realizations. It

estimates the device activities (along with the large-scale fading components of the channels) by solving a maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) problem [7]. This approach is called the covariance-based method, because the MLE formulation depends on the received signals only through the sample covariance matrix. Studies have shown that the covariance-based approach generally outperforms the CS-based approach, particularly in MIMO systems [8]. The covariance-based approach was first proposed for the single-cell scenario in the pioneering work [7] and later extended to the multi-cell scenario in [9], [10].

One significant advantage of the covariance-based approach over the CS approach is its ability to detect a larger number of active devices, due to its quadratic scaling law [8], [11]. This scaling law represents the feasible set of system parameters under which the covariance-based approach can successfully recover device activities in a massive MIMO system. Specifically, in the singlecell scenario, [11] demonstrated that given a set of signature sequences of length L (randomly and uniformly) generated from the sphere of radius \sqrt{L} , the maximum number of active devices that can be correctly detected from N potential devices scales as $K = O(L^2/\log^2(N/L^2))$ by solving a restricted MLE problem. The work in [8] further showed that the above scaling law also holds true for the more practical unrestricted MLE model. This paper aims to establish the scaling laws for the multi-cell network. In this realm, to the best of our knowledge, [9] is the first and only work to analyze the phase transition of the unrestricted MLE model/covariancebased approach in multi-cell massive MIMO. In particular, [9] conjectured and verified through simulations that the scaling law for the covariance-based approach in the multi-cell scenario is approximately the same as that in the single-cell scenario.

This paper addresses the conjecture in [9] by explicitly characterizing the scaling law for the covariance-based approach in the multi-cell massive MIMO scenario. Moreover, we consider two types of signature sequences: one where each element of the sequence is randomly and uniformly generated from a finite alphabet $\{\pm \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \pm i \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\}$, where *i* is the imaginary unit; and the other where the sequence is randomly and uniformly generated from the sphere of radius \sqrt{L} [11]. Throughout the paper, we shall call the above two types of signature sequences Type I and Type II signature sequences for short. We assume that the large-scale fading coefficients from every device to every BS in the network are known, and they satisfy the path-loss model in [12], with the path-loss exponent being greater than 2. We show that, as the number of antennas tends to infinity, the maximum number of active devices in each cell that can be detected at the

CU is

$$K = \mathcal{O}(L^2/\log^2(BN/L^2)),\tag{1}$$

where B is the total number of cells. This scaling law is approximately the same as that of the single-cell scenario [8], [11], indicating that the covariance-based approach can correctly detect almost as many active devices in each cell in the multi-cell scenario as it does in the single-cell scenario. Moreover, the inter-cell interference is not a limiting factor of the detection performance because B affects K only through $\log B$ in (1). This result also clarifies the condition under which the conjecture in [9] holds true.

In addition to the theoretical analysis, this paper also investigates the algorithmic aspect of the covariance-based activity detection. In this end, the coordinate descent (CD) algorithm [7], [9] is a commonly used algorithm, as it can achieve excellent detection performance by iteratively updating the activity indicator of each device. In the single-cell scenario, the CD algorithm is computationally efficient because each subproblem (i.e., optimizing the original objective with respect to only one of the variables) has a closed-form solution [7]. However, in the multi-cell scenario, the CD algorithm becomes less appealing because obtaining a closed-form solution to each coordinate update subproblem is generally impossible. In this case, the subproblem involves a polynomial root-finding problem whose degree depends on the number of cells [9], [10]. Moreover, the CD algorithm performs many unnecessary computations because many coordinates of the MLE solution are on the boundaries of the box constraints. To address these issues, we propose computationally efficient inexact CD and active set CD algorithms for solving the covariance-based activity detection problem in the multi-cell scenario. These two algorithms can be proved to converge, and they exhibit efficient numerical performance in simulations.

A. Related Works

The CS technique is a well-suited approach for detecting joint device activity and channel estimation. To efficiently solve the CS-based activity detection problem, sparse recovery methods such as approximate message passing (AMP) [6], [13]–[16], bilinear generalized AMP (BiGAMP) [17]–[19], group least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) [20], block coordinate descent (BCD) [21], and approximation error method (AEM) [22] have been developed. As an alternative to joint activity detection and channel estimation, these two parts of the problem can also be addressed sequentially in a three-phase protocol [23], [24], where the BSs use the covariance-based approach to identify the active devices and then schedule them in orthogonal transmission slots for channel estimation. By scheduling devices in orthogonal channels, additional performance improvements in channel estimation and transmission rate can be obtained as compared to the CS-based grant-free protocol.

Recently, there has been significant research interest in the covariance-based activity detection due to its ability to detect a larger number of active devices and its superior performance compared to the CS-based approach in the MIMO system. In particular, the pioneering work [7] introduced two formulations for the covariance-based activity detection: the non-negative least squares (NNLS) and the MLE. While the NNLS formulation has a good recovery guarantee and a quadratic scaling law [11], the MLE formulation is more popular due to its superior detection performance, as demonstrated in [8]. Several works have investigated the recovery guarantee of the MLE. For instance, [25] considered the MLE problem with box constraints on the nonzero support set and provides the conditions for accurately recovering the true support set. Meanwhile, [11] provided the quadratic scaling law for the restricted MLE problem, where the activity indicator to be estimated is binary. However, the combinatorial constraints in the MLE models presented in [25] and [11] make them challenging to optimize. To address this issue, [8] analyzed the more practical unrestricted MLE model, which provided a phase transition analysis, revealing that the unrestricted MLE shares the quadratic scaling law as the restricted MLE and the NNLS. While the above theoretical results assume the single-cell scenario, [9] extended the phase transition analysis of the unrestricted MLE to the multi-cell scenario. Specifically, [9] showed that we can determine the consistency of the MLE by numerically testing whether the intersection of two convex sets is a zero vector. Nevertheless, the phase transition result in [9] cannot directly determine the feasible region of the system parameters in which the consistency of the MLE holds. This paper aims to address this issue by characterizing the scaling law for the MLE based on the results in [9]. The theoretical results for the covariance-based activity detection are summarized in Table I.

Efficient algorithm design for solving the covariance-based activity detection problem is an important research direction. The CD algorithm is a commonly used approach for the covariance-based activity detection problem in both single-cell and multi-cell scenarios. Specifically, it has been used in [7] for the single-cell scenario and in [9], [26] for the multi-cell scenario. To accelerate the convergence of the CD algorithm, variants such as [27], [28] used sampling strategies, and [10] considered a clustering-based technique to reduce the complexity of coor-

Scenario	Theoretical results	Types of signature sequences	Large-scale fading coefficients	References
Single-cell MIMO	Accurate recovery of the support set (for the MLE with box constraints on the support set)	Sequences with specific statistical properties	Unknown	[25]
	Scaling law (for the MLE with binary constraints)	Randomly and uniformly generated	Known	[11]
	Phase transition, scaling law, and estimation error	from the sphere of radius \sqrt{L}		[8]
Multi-cell MIMO	Phase transition	Arbitrary	Known	[9]
	Scaling law and estimation error	Randomly and uniformly generated from the sphere of radius \sqrt{L} or the discrete set $\{\pm \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \pm i \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\}^L$	Known and the path-loss exponent in Assumption 2 satisfies $\gamma > 2$	This paper

A SUMMARY OF THE THEORETICAL RESULTS FOR THE COVARIANCE-BASED ACTIVITY DETECTION.

dinate updating. Other algorithms for solving the detection problem in the single-cell scenario include the expectation maximization/minimization (EM) (i.e., sparse Bayesian learning) [29], the sparse iterative covariance-based estimation (SPICE) [30], gradient descent [31]. In the multicell scenario, [32] proposed a decentralized approximate separating algorithm that exploits the similarity of activity indicators between neighboring BSs. Another approach, presented in [33], is a distributed algorithm that performs low-complexity computations in parallel at each BS. Among the above algorithms, the CD algorithm and its variants are the most popular because they are computationally efficient and easy to implement [34]. However, there is still room for improving their computational efficiency because they do not fully exploit the special structure of the solution to the MLE problem, and the complexity of updating the coordinates is high. In this paper, we aim to address these shortcomings by proposing new strategies to speed up the CD algorithm.

The device activity detection and the covariance-based approach have been widely used for many related detection problems in practical applications. For instance, in the joint activity and data detection problem, [35] analyzed the minimum transmission power required in a fading channel. The covariance-based approach for this task has been studied in [31], [36]. In asynchronous systems, where joint activity and delay detection is required, the BCD algorithm has been proposed for solving this problem in [37], while penalty-based algorithms with better detection performance have been proposed in [33], [38]. In systems with frequency offsets, the covariance-based approach was studied in [39]. The covariance-based approach has also

been extended to Rician fading channels in [40], [41]. In the orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM)-based massive grant-free access scheme, the covariance-based approach was used in [42]. The problem formulation and algorithm for device activity detection using low-resolution analog-to-digital converters were studied in [43]. In irregular repetition slotted ALOHA systems, the covariance-based approach and algorithm were studied in [44]. Finally, the book chapter in [34] provided a comprehensive overview of the theoretical analysis, algorithm design, and practical issues of the covariance-based activity detection in both single-cell and multi-cell scenarios.

In most of the works mentioned above, sourced random access is considered, which means that each device has a unique signature sequence for device identification. However, in the unsourced random access studied in [11], [45]–[47], all devices use the same codebook, and the BSs decode the transmitted messages instead of decoding device activities. This paradigm is suitable for scenarios where the transmitted messages are more important than the identities of the transmitters.

B. Main Contributions

This paper investigates the covariance-based activity detection problem in multi-cell MIMO systems, with a focus on the theoretical analysis and the algorithmic development. On the theoretical side, we establish statistical properties of a more practical type of signature sequences and characterize the scaling law for system parameters of the multi-cell MIMO system for the first time. In terms of algorithms, we propose two strategies to accelerate the CD algorithm in [9] based on the special structure of the problem. The main contributions of the paper are as follows:

• Statistical properties. We study the statistical properties of Type I signature sequences randomly and uniformly generated from a finite alphabet $\{\pm \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \pm i \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\}^L$, where L is the length of the signature sequence. Compared to Type II signature sequences on the sphere of radius \sqrt{L} , which also exhibit similar statistical properties [11], Type I signature sequences are easier to generate and store, resulting in significantly reduced hardware costs. To be specific, we establish the stable null space property (NSP) of order $\mathcal{O}(L^2)$ of the matrix whose each column is the Kronecker product of one signature sequence and itself. This property plays a crucial role in the scaling law analysis.

- Scaling law analysis. We establish the scaling law in (1) for the system parameters K, L, N, and B, based on mild assumptions of signature sequences and large-scale fading coefficients. Under this scaling law, and as the number of antennas M tends to infinity, the MLE can accurately recover active devices. Additionally, we characterize the distribution of the MLE error at finite M, providing insight into the decay rate of the MLE error as M tends to infinity. These results have important implications for understanding the performance of cooperative device activity detection in multi-cell MIMO systems.
- *Efficient accelerated CD algorithms.* We propose two computationally efficient algorithms, namely the inexact CD algorithm and the active set CD algorithm, for solving the covariance-based activity detection problem. In particular, the inexact CD algorithm speeds up the CD algorithm by approximately solving the one-dimensional subproblems with low complexity; the active set CD algorithm fully exploits the special structure of the solution and accelerates the CD algorithm using the active set selection strategy. We provide convergence and iteration complexity¹ guarantees for both algorithms. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the two accelerated strategies can be combined, resulting in significantly better performance in simulations compared to the CD algorithm [9] and its accelerated version [10].

This paper significantly extends the results presented in the two earlier conference publications [1], [2]. First, while the conference paper [2] focuses on the scaling law when using Type II signature sequences, this paper investigates the statistical properties of Type I signature sequences and extends the scaling law result to this more practical type of sequences. Second, this paper simplifies the active set selection strategy presented in [1], and provides detailed proofs of its convergence and iteration complexity properties. Finally, the paper proposes a new inexact coordinate update strategy that can be combined with the active set selection strategy to further accelerate the CD algorithm.

C. Paper Organization and Notations

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section II introduces the system model and the covariance-based activity detection problem formulation. Section III presents the asymptotic detection performance analysis, which include the statistical properties of signature sequences,

¹The iteration complexity of an algorithm is the maximum number of iterations that the algorithm needs to perform in order to return an approximate solution satisfying a given error tolerance.

the scaling law, and the distribution of the estimation error. In Section IV, we propose two efficient accelerated CD algorithms. Simulation results are provided in Section V. Finally we conclude the paper in Section VI.

In this paper, lower-case, boldface lower-case, and boldface upper-case letters denote scalars, vectors, and matrices, respectively. Calligraphic letters denote sets. Superscripts $(\cdot)^{H}$, $(\cdot)^{T}$, $(\cdot)^{*}$, $(\cdot)^{-1}$, and $(\cdot)^{\dagger}$ denote conjugate transpose, transpose, conjugate, inverse, and Moore-Penrose inverse, respectively. Additionally, I denotes the identity matrix with an appropriate dimension, $\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$ denotes expectation, $\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{X})$ denotes the trace of \mathbf{X} , $\operatorname{diag}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ (or $\operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{X}_n)$) denotes a (block) diagonal matrix formed by x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n (or $\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{X}_n$), \triangleq denotes definition, $|\cdot|$ denotes the determinant of a matrix, or the (coordinate-wise) absolute operator, or the cardinality of a set, $\|\mathbf{x}\|_p$ denotes the ℓ_p -norm of \mathbf{x} , \odot denotes element-wise product, and \otimes denotes the Kronecker product. Finally, $\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ (or $\mathcal{CN}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$) denotes a (complex) Gaussian distribution with mean $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and covariance $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$.

II. SYSTEM MODEL AND PROBLEM FORMULATION

A. System Model

Consider an uplink multi-cell massive MIMO system comprising *B* cells, where each cell includes one BS equipped with *M* antennas and *N* single-antenna devices. To mitigate inter-cell interference, we assume a C-RAN architecture, where all *B* BSs are connected to a CU via fronthaul links, and the signals received at the BSs can be collected and jointly processed at the CU. During any coherence interval, only $K \ll N$ devices are active in each cell. Each device *n* in cell *j* is preassigned a unique signature sequence $\mathbf{s}_{jn} \in \mathbb{C}^L$ with sequence length *L*. The signature sequence is generally generated from a specific distribution, such as the Type I and II signature sequences mentioned earlier. We denote a_{jn} as a binary variable with $a_{jn} = 1$ for active and $a_{jn} = 0$ for inactive devices. The channel between device *n* in cell *j* and BS *b* is represented by $\sqrt{g_{bjn}}\mathbf{h}_{bjn}$, where $g_{bjn} \ge 0$ is the large-scale fading coefficient that depends on path-loss and shadowing, and $\mathbf{h}_{bjn} \in \mathbb{C}^M$ is the independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) Rayleigh fading component following $\mathcal{CN}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$.

During the uplink pilot stage, all active devices synchronously transmit their preassigned signature sequences to the BSs as random access requests. Subsequently, the received signal at

BS b can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{Y}_{b} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_{bn} \mathbf{s}_{bn} g_{bbn}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{h}_{bbn}^{T} + \sum_{j \neq b} \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_{jn} \mathbf{s}_{jn} g_{bjn}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{h}_{bjn}^{T} + \mathbf{W}_{b}$$
$$= \mathbf{S}_{b} \mathbf{A}_{b} \mathbf{G}_{bb}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{H}_{bb} + \sum_{j \neq b} \mathbf{S}_{j} \mathbf{A}_{j} \mathbf{G}_{bj}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{H}_{bj} + \mathbf{W}_{b},$$
(2)

where $\mathbf{S}_j = [\mathbf{s}_{j1}, \mathbf{s}_{j2}, \dots, \mathbf{s}_{jN}] \in \mathbb{C}^{L \times N}$ is the signature sequence matrix of the devices in cell j, $\mathbf{A}_j = \operatorname{diag}(a_{j1}, a_{j2}, \dots, a_{jN})$ is a diagonal matrix that indicates the activity of the devices in cell j, $\mathbf{G}_{bj} = \operatorname{diag}(g_{bj1}, g_{bj2}, \dots, g_{bjN})$ contains the large-scale fading components between the devices in cell j and BS b, $\mathbf{H}_{bj} = [\mathbf{h}_{bj1}, \mathbf{h}_{bj2}, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{bjN}]^T \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times M}$ is the Rayleigh fading channel between the devices in cell j and BS b, and \mathbf{W}_b is the additive Gaussian noise that follows $\mathcal{CN}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_w^2 \mathbf{I})$ with σ_w^2 being the variance of the background noise normalized by the transmit power.

For notational simplicity, we use $\mathbf{S} = [\mathbf{S}_1, \mathbf{S}_2, \dots, \mathbf{S}_B] \in \mathbb{C}^{L \times BN}$ to denote the signature matrix of all devices, and use $\mathbf{G}_b = \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{G}_{b1}, \mathbf{G}_{b2}, \dots, \mathbf{G}_{bB}) \in \mathbb{R}^{BN \times BN}$ to denote the matrix containing the large-scale fading components between all devices and BS *b*. We also let $\mathbf{A} = \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{A}_1, \mathbf{A}_2, \dots, \mathbf{A}_B) \in \mathbb{R}^{BN \times BN}$ be a diagonal matrix that indicates the activity of all devices, and use $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{BN}$ to denote its diagonal entries. Throughout this paper we use two different notations to denote the components of \mathbf{a} (or other vectors of length BN): (i) a_i denotes the *i*-th component of \mathbf{a} , where $1 \leq i \leq BN$; and (ii) a_{bn} denotes the component of \mathbf{a} that corresponds to device *n* in cell *b*, also termed as the (b, n)-th component of \mathbf{a} , where $1 \leq b \leq B$ and $1 \leq n \leq N$.

B. Problem Formulation

The task of device activity detection is to identify the active devices from the received signals $\mathbf{Y}_b, b = 1, 2, \dots, B$. In this paper, we assume that the large-scale fading coefficients are known, i.e., the matrices \mathbf{G}_b for all *b* are known at the BSs. Under this assumption, the activity detection problem can be formulated as the estimation of the activity indicator vector \mathbf{a} .

Notice that for each BS b, the Rayleigh fading components and noises are both i.i.d. Gaussian over the antennas. Therefore, given an activity indicator vector **a**, the columns of the received signal \mathbf{Y}_b in (2), denoted by \mathbf{y}_{bm} , m = 1, 2, ..., M, are i.i.d. Gaussian vectors, i.e., $\mathbf{y}_{bm} \sim C\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{\Sigma}_b)$, where the covariance matrix $\mathbf{\Sigma}_b$ is given by

$$\Sigma_b = \frac{1}{M} \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{Y}_b \mathbf{Y}_b^H \right] = \mathbf{S} \mathbf{G}_b \mathbf{A} \mathbf{S}^H + \sigma_w^2 \mathbf{I}.$$
(3)

Therefore, the likelihood function of \mathbf{Y}_b can be expressed as

$$p(\mathbf{Y}_{b} \mid \mathbf{a}) = \prod_{m=1}^{M} \frac{1}{|\pi \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b}|} \exp\left(-\mathbf{y}_{bm}^{H} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b}^{-1} \mathbf{y}_{bm}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{|\pi \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b}|^{M}} \exp\left(-\operatorname{tr}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b}^{-1} \mathbf{Y}_{b} \mathbf{Y}_{b}^{H}\right)\right).$$
(4)

As the received signals Y_b , b = 1, 2, ..., B are independent due to the i.i.d. Rayleigh fading channels, the likelihood function be factorized as

$$p(\mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_B \mid \mathbf{a}) = \prod_{b=1}^B p(\mathbf{Y}_b \mid \mathbf{a}).$$
(5)

Therefore, the MLE problem can be reformulated as the minimization of the negative loglikelihood function $-\frac{1}{M}\sum_{b=1}^{B} \log p(\mathbf{Y}_{b} | \mathbf{a})$, which can be expressed as [9]

$$\underset{\mathbf{a}}{\text{minimize}} \qquad \sum_{b=1}^{B} \left(\log |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b}| + \operatorname{tr} \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{b}^{-1} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{b} \right) \right)$$
(6a)

subject to
$$a_{bn} \in [0, 1], \forall b, n,$$
 (6b)

where $\widehat{\Sigma}_{b} = \mathbf{Y}_{b} \mathbf{Y}_{b}^{H} / M$ is the sample covariance matrix of the received signals at BS *b*. As the device activity detection problem is solved by optimizing problem (6), which depends on the received signals only through the sample covariance matrices, this method is known as the covariance-based approach.

In this paper, we focus on the MLE problem formulated in (6). Specifically, in Section III, we investigate the detection performance limit of problem (6) as the number of antennas M approaches infinity. In Section IV, we propose efficient accelerated CD algorithms for solving problem (6) to achieve accurate and fast activity detection.

III. ASYMPTOTIC DETECTION PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

In this section, our focus is on characterizing the detection performance limit of problem (6) as the number of antennas M tends to infinity. We address three questions: (i) What are the statistical properties of the Type I signature sequences, which are generated from the discrete symbol set $\{\pm \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \pm i \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\}^L$, that would allow the detection performance guarantee of the MLE? (ii) Given the system parameters L, N, and B, how many active devices can be correctly detected by solving the MLE problem (6) as M approaches infinity? (iii) What is the asymptotic distribution of the MLE error? Answering the first question provides theoretical guarantees for the practical generation of signature sequences. The answer to the second question provides an analytic scaling

law that reveals how the inter-cell interference affects the detection performance of the MLE. Finally, the answer to the third question characterizes the error probabilities and estimates the decay rate of the MLE error as M approaches infinity.

A. Consistency of the MLE

Our analysis is closely related to and based on the consistency result of the MLE problem (6), derived in [9, Theorem 3], in a multi-cell setup.

Lemma 1 (Consistency of the MLE [9]): Consider the MLE problem (6) with a given signature sequence matrix \mathbf{S} , large-scale fading component matrices \mathbf{G}_b for all b, and noise variance σ_w^2 . Let matrix $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$ be defined as the matrix whose columns are the Kronecker product of \mathbf{s}_{bn}^* and \mathbf{s}_{bn} , i.e.,

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{S}} \triangleq [\mathbf{s}_{11}^* \otimes \mathbf{s}_{11}, \mathbf{s}_{12}^* \otimes \mathbf{s}_{12}, \dots, \mathbf{s}_{BN}^* \otimes \mathbf{s}_{BN}] \in \mathbb{C}^{L^2 \times BN}.$$
(7)

Let $\hat{\mathbf{a}}^{(M)}$ be the solution to (6) when the number of antennas M is given, and let \mathbf{a}° be the true activity indicator vector whose B(N-K) zero entries are indexed by \mathcal{I} , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{I} \triangleq \{i \mid a_i^\circ = 0\}. \tag{8}$$

Define

$$\mathcal{N} \triangleq \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{BN} \mid \widetilde{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{G}_b \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}, \forall b \},$$
(9)

$$\mathcal{C} \triangleq \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{BN} \mid x_i \ge 0 \text{ if } i \in \mathcal{I}, \, x_i \le 0 \text{ if } i \notin \mathcal{I} \}.$$
(10)

Then a necessary and sufficient condition for $\hat{\mathbf{a}}^{(M)} \to \mathbf{a}^{\circ}$ as $M \to \infty$ is that the intersection of \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{C} is the zero vector, i.e., $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{C} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Lemma 1 can be explained as follows. The subspace \mathcal{N} contains all directions from \mathbf{a}° along which the likelihood function remains unchanged, i.e.,

$$p(\mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_B \mid \mathbf{a}^\circ) = p(\mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_B \mid \mathbf{a}^\circ + t \mathbf{x})$$
(11)

holds for any small positive t and any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{N}$. The cone \mathcal{C} contains all directions starting from \mathbf{a}° towards the feasible region, i.e., $\mathbf{a}^{\circ} + t \mathbf{x} \in [0, 1]^{BN}$ holds for any small positive t and any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}$. The condition $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{C} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ implies that the likelihood function $p(\mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_B | \mathbf{a}^{\circ})$ is uniquely identifiable in the feasible neighborhood of \mathbf{a}° .

Since there is generally no closed-form characterization of $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{C}$, it is difficult to analyze its scaling law, i.e., to characterize the feasible set of system parameters under which $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{C} = \{0\}$

holds true. The signature sequences and the large-scale fading coefficients are critical in the scaling law analysis because they are involved in the definition of \mathcal{N} in Eq. (9). Therefore, taking these factors into consideration is essential for accurate scaling law analysis.

B. Statistical Properties of Signature Sequences

It turns out that the way signature sequences are generated plays a crucial role in the scaling law analysis of the MLE problem (6). In this subsection, we consider the following two ways of generating signature sequences, formally state them in the following Assumption 1, and specify their statistical properties.

Assumption 1: The signature sequence matrix S is generated from one of the following two ways, and the corresponding signature sequences are called Type I and Type II, respectively:

Type I: Drawing the components of S uniformly and independently from the set $\{\pm \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \pm$

- $i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$, i.e., drawing the columns of S randomly and uniformly from the discrete set $\{\pm\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\pm i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\}^{L}$;
- Type II: Drawing the columns of S uniformly and independently from the complex sphere of radius \sqrt{L} .

It is worth mentioning that Type I signature sequences are more cost effective for system implementation than Type II signature sequences. In contrast to Type I signature sequences, to generate Type II sequences, we first need to generate an i.i.d. Gaussian sequence of length L, and then normalize it to obtain the sequence on the sphere of radius \sqrt{L} . For storage, Type I sequences can be stored with 2L bits per sequence (only 2 bits per component), whereas each component of Type II sequences needs to be stored as high-precision floating-point numbers. Therefore, Type I is better than Type II in terms of the complexity of generating and storing the signature sequences. It is also worth stating that the Type II sequences are superior to i.i.d. Gaussian sequences at a fixed variance, as will be verified by simulation later in the paper.

In the following theorem, we show that the two types of signature sequences in Assumption 1 share the same statistical properties.

Theorem 1: For both the Type I and Type II sequences stated in Assumption 1, the following holds. For any given parameter $\bar{\rho} \in (0, 1)$, there exist constants c_1 and c_2 depending only on $\bar{\rho}$ such that if

$$s \le c_1 L^2 / \log^2(eBN/L^2),$$
 (12)

then with probability at least $1 - \exp(-c_2 L)$, the matrix $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$ defined in (7) has the stable NSP of order s with parameters $\rho \in (0, \overline{\rho})$. More precisely, for any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{BN}$ that satisfies $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$, the following inequality holds for any index set $S \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, BN\}$ with $|S| \leq s$:

$$\left\|\mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{S}}\right\|_{1} \le \rho \left\|\mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{S}^{c}}\right\|_{1},\tag{13}$$

where \mathbf{v}_{S} is a sub-vector of \mathbf{v} with entries from S, and S^{c} is the complementary set of S with respect to $\{1, 2, \ldots, BN\}$.

Proof: Please see Appendix A.

The main contribution of Theorem 1 is to establish the stable NSP of \tilde{S} when Type I signature sequences are used. For the case where Type II signature sequences are used, this conclusion was previously proved in [11], where the proof relied on the concentration properties of the signature sequences on the sphere of radius \sqrt{L} . In our proof, we build on the techniques used in [11] and leverage the concentration properties of Type I signature sequences due to their independent sub-Gaussian coordinates (see Appendix A). Therefore, the stable NSP of \tilde{S} holds for two different types of signature sequences in Assumption 1.

C. Scaling Law Analysis

In this subsection, we present one of the main results of this paper, which is the scaling law analysis of problem (6) under two types of signature sequences as specified in Assumption 1. It turns out that the scaling law analysis in the single-cell and the multi-cell scenarios is fundamentally different due to the presence of large-scale fading coefficients. To gain a better understanding of the impact of large-scale fading coefficients on the scaling law analysis, we present the scaling law results for the single-cell and the multi-cell scenarios separately.

1) Single-Cell Scenario: In this part, we focus on the single-cell scenario where there is only one cell (i.e., B = 1). To simplify the notation, we remove all the cell and BS indices b and index the devices by n only.

The following consistency result of the MLE in the single-cell scenario is a special case of Lemma 1.

Proposition 1: Consider the MLE problem (6) in the single-cell scenario with given S, G, and σ_w^2 . Let

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{S}} = [\mathbf{s}_1^* \otimes \mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{s}_2^* \otimes \mathbf{s}_2, \dots, \mathbf{s}_N^* \otimes \mathbf{s}_N] \in \mathbb{C}^{L^2 \times N}.$$
(14)

Let $\hat{\mathbf{a}}^{(M)}$ be the MLE solution when the number of antennas M is given, and let \mathbf{a}° be the true activity indicator, and $\mathcal{I}' = \{i \mid a_i^{\circ} = 0\}$. Define

$$\mathcal{N}' = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid \widetilde{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \},\tag{15}$$

$$\mathcal{C}' = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid x_i \ge 0 \text{ if } i \in \mathcal{I}', \, x_i \le 0 \text{ if } i \notin \mathcal{I}' \}.$$
(16)

Then a necessary and sufficient condition for $\hat{\mathbf{a}}^{(M)} \to \mathbf{a}^{\circ}$ as $M \to \infty$ is that $\mathcal{N}' \cap \mathcal{C}' = \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Notice that the definition of \mathcal{N}' in (15) contains only one equation, in contrast to the multicell scenario where there are B mutually coupled equations. This structural difference allows for the elimination of the large-scale fading coefficients in \mathcal{N}' , as demonstrated by the following proposition.

Proposition 2: Define \mathcal{N}'' as the null space of $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{N}'' \triangleq \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{BN} \mid \widetilde{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \}.$$
(17)

Then the condition $\mathcal{N}' \cap \mathcal{C}' = \{0\}$ in Proposition 1 holds if and only if $\mathcal{N}'' \cap \mathcal{C}' = \{0\}$.

Proof: Please see Appendix B.

Proposition 2 highlights a key observation that the large-scale fading coefficients have no impact on the consistency of the MLE in the single-cell scenario. This consistency solely depends on the null space of \tilde{S} . The stable NSP of \tilde{S} in Theorem 1 leads to the following scaling law result in the single-cell scenario, which extends the result in [8, Theorem 9] from Type II signature sequences to Type I signature sequences.

Proposition 3: For both the Type I and Type II sequences stated in Assumption 1, there exist constants c_1 and $c_2 > 0$, independent of system parameters K, L, and N, such that if

$$K \le c_1 L^2 / \log^2(eN/L^2),$$
 (18)

then the condition $\mathcal{N}'' \cap \mathcal{C}' = \{0\}$ holds with probability at least $1 - \exp(-c_2 L)$.

Proof: Please see Appendix C.

Proposition 3 demonstrates that the scaling law in the single-cell scenario holds true for both types of signature sequences in Assumption 1. Specifically, with a sufficiently large M, the maximum number of active devices that can be accurately detected by solving the MLE is in the order of L^2 . Consequently, the detection performance of the MLE remains reliable, even when using the more practical Type I signature sequences. It is worth mentioning that the scaling law in the single-cell scenario is independent of any assumptions regarding the large-scale fading

coefficients. However, as we will demonstrate later, the scaling law in the multi-cell scenario is established based on the path-loss model [12].

2) Multi-Cell Scenario: We now consider the multi-cell scenario (i.e., $B \ge 2$). The large-scale fading coefficients are incorporated in the definition of the subspace \mathcal{N} in Eq. (9) and cannot be eliminated via algebraic operations as in the single-cell scenario. As a result, the large-scale fading coefficients play a critical role in the scaling law analysis in the multi-cell scenario, i.e., determining the feasible set of system parameters under which the condition $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{C} = \{0\}$ in Lemma 1 is satisfied. To establish the scaling law in the multi-cell scenario, we must first specify the assumption made regarding the large-scale fading coefficients and the properties that result from the assumption.

Assumption 2: The multi-cell system consists of B hexagonal cells with radius R. In this system, the large-scale fading components are inversely proportional to the distance raised to the power γ [12], i.e.,

$$g_{bjn} = P_0 \left(\frac{D_0}{D_{bjn}}\right)^{\gamma},\tag{19}$$

where P_0 is the received power at the point with distance D_0 from the transmitting antenna, D_{bjn} is the BS-device distance between device n in cell j and BS b, and γ is the path-loss exponent.

Lemma 2: Suppose that Assumption 2 holds true with $\gamma > 2$. Then, there exists a constant C > 0 depending only on γ , P_0 , D_0 , and R defined in Assumption 2, such that for each BS b, the large-scale fading coefficients satisfy

$$\sum_{j=1, j \neq b}^{B} \left(\max_{1 \le n \le N} g_{bjn} \right) \le C.$$
(20)

Proof: Please see Appendix D.

According to Lemma 2, if the path-loss exponent $\gamma > 2$ in Assumption 2, then the summation in the left-hand side of (20) is upper bounded by a constant C that is independent of B. Intuitively, this means that for a particular BS b, since most of the interfering cells are far away from it, the distance D_{bjn} 's for all devices in these cells will be large. Consequently, the term $\max_n g_{bjn}$ in the summation in the left-hand side of (20) will converge to zero rapidly, rendering it negligible (due to Eq. (19)). Notably, $\gamma > 2$ is a sufficient condition for (20), and it typically holds for most channel models and application scenarios [12]. Finally, it is worth mentioning that the hexagonal structure is not an essential aspect of Lemma 2, and similar results can be obtained for other structured systems, such as squared multi-cell systems.

Building on the results presented in Theorem 1 and Lemma 2, we can now state the scaling law for the MLE, which establishes a sufficient condition for $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{C} = \{0\}$.

Theorem 2: For both Type I and Type II sequences in Assumption 1 and under Assumption 2 with $\gamma > 2$, there exist constants c_1 and $c_2 > 0$, independent of system parameters K, L, N, and B, such that if

$$K \le c_1 L^2 / \log^2(eBN/L^2),$$
 (21)

then the condition $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{C} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ in Lemma 1 holds with probability at least $1 - \exp(-c_2 L)$.

Proof: Please see Appendix E.

Theorem 2 affirms that the MLE estimator $\hat{a}^{(M)}$ converges to the true value a° with overwhelmingly high probability as M approaches infinity, under the scaling law (21). As a result, with a sufficiently large M, the MLE can accurately detect up to L^2 active devices by solving problem (6). Additionally, we observe that the scaling law (21) in the multi-cell scenario is almost identical to the scaling law (18) in the single-cell scenario. This implies that solving the MLE problem (6) can detect almost as many active devices in each cell in the multi-cell scenario as it does in the single-cell scenario. Furthermore, it indicates that the inter-cell interference is not a limiting factor of the detection performance since the total number of cells B only affects K through log B in (21).

It is important to note that Theorem 2 applies to both types of signature sequences as outlined in Assumption 1. The technical justification is that the scaling law in the multi-cell scenario is established based on the stable NSP of \tilde{S} in Theorem 1, and the normalization of the signature sequences, i.e., $\|\mathbf{s}_{bn}\|_2^2 = L$. These two factors are determined solely by the properties of signature sequences and are not impacted by the specific method of generating them.

D. Distribution of Estimation Error

In this subsection, assuming the consistency of the MLE, we characterize the distribution of the estimation error $\hat{\mathbf{a}}^{(M)} - \mathbf{a}^{\circ}$ at finite M. The following result is a generalization of [8, Theorem 4] from the single-cell case to the multi-cell case.

Theorem 3: Consider the MLE problem (6) with given S, $\{G_b\}$, σ_w^2 , at finite M. Assume that $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{C} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ as in Lemma 1 holds. The Fisher information matrix of problem (6) is given by

$$\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{a}) = M \sum_{b=1}^{B} \left(\mathbf{Q}_b \odot \mathbf{Q}_b^* \right), \tag{22}$$

where $\mathbf{Q}_{b} = \mathbf{G}_{b}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{S}^{H} (\mathbf{S} \mathbf{G}_{b} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{S}^{H} + \sigma_{w}^{2} \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{G}_{b}^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{BN}$ be a random vector sampled from $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, M \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{a}^{\circ})^{\dagger})$. Then, for each realization of \mathbf{x} , there exists a vector $\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \in \mathbb{R}^{BN}$ which is the solution to the following QP:

$$\underset{\boldsymbol{\eta}}{\operatorname{minimize}} \quad \frac{1}{M} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\eta})^T \mathbf{J} (\mathbf{a}^\circ) (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\eta}) \tag{23a}$$

subject to
$$\eta \in \mathcal{C}$$
, (23b)

where C is defined in (10), such that $\sqrt{M}(\hat{\mathbf{a}}^{(M)} - \mathbf{a}^{\circ})$ converges in distribution to the collection of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$'s as $M \to \infty$.

Proof: Please see Appendix F.

Theorem 3 can be explained as follows. Consider a sample drawn from $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, M\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{a}^\circ)^{\dagger})$. Let $\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$ be the projection of \mathbf{x} onto the cone \mathcal{C} using the distance metric defined by the quadratic function (23a). Then, the MLE error $\sqrt{M}(\hat{\mathbf{a}}^{(M)} - \mathbf{a}^\circ)$ converges in distribution to the same distribution as the projected sample $\hat{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$. This result is consistent with the fact that the MLE error is confined within the cone \mathcal{C} , since the true vector \mathbf{a}° lies on the boundary of the feasible set $[0, 1]^{BN}$.

It is not possible to analytically characterize the distribution of the MLE error analytically using Theorem 3 since the QP (23) generally does not have a closed-form solution. Nevertheless, this result provides insight into the decay rate of the MLE error, which can be approximated by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}\hat{\eta}$ for a sufficiently large M. Furthermore, it shows that we can numerically compute the distribution of the estimation error for finite M by solving the QP (23).

IV. EFFICIENT ACCELERATED CD ALGORITHMS

In this section, we focus on developing efficient algorithms for solving the MLE problem (6). While the optimization problem (6) is not convex due to the convexity of $tr(\Sigma_b^{-1}\widehat{\Sigma}_b)$ and the concavity of $\log |\Sigma_b|$, the CD algorithm that iteratively updates each coordinate of the unknown variable a while holding the others fixed has demonstrated good computational performance and detection error probability. However, when *B* and *N* are large, the CD algorithm (e.g., as proposed in [9]) can become inefficient and involve unnecessary computations, which will be discussed in detail in Section IV-A. To address these issues, we propose the use of inexact and active set strategies to accelerate the CD algorithm. The proposed algorithms are based on the following principles:

- *Inexactly solve the subproblem in CD*. The CD algorithm in [9] updates coordinates by solving the one-dimensional subproblem exactly, which can result in high complexity in the cooperative multi-cell system. To address this issue, the inexact CD algorithm uses a low-complexity algorithm to approximately solve the one-dimensional subproblem to update the coordinates. As a result, the overall complexity of inexact CD is much lower than that of CD.
- *Exploit the special structure of the solution.* The consistency of the MLE in Section III shows that the solution to problem (6) will be close to the binary vector a°. The proposed active set CD algorithm fully exploits this special structure of the solution, wherein most components of the solution will be located at the boundary of the box constraint. The active set idea can be applied to accelerate any algorithm for solving problem (6) that does not exploit the special structure of its solution.

Our goal in designing algorithms in this section is to quickly find a feasible point that satisfies the first-order optimality condition of problem (6), which is a necessary condition for its global solution. Let $F(\mathbf{a})$ denote the objective function of problem (6). For any b = 1, 2, ..., B, n = 1, 2, ..., N, the gradient of $F(\mathbf{a})$ with respect to a_{bn} is given by

$$\left[\nabla F(\mathbf{a})\right]_{bn} = \sum_{j=1}^{B} g_{jbn} \left(\mathbf{s}_{bn}^{H} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{j}^{-1} \mathbf{s}_{bn} - \mathbf{s}_{bn}^{H} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{j}^{-1} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{j} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{j}^{-1} \mathbf{s}_{bn} \right).$$
(24)

The first-order optimality condition of problem (6) is then given by

$$[\nabla F(\mathbf{a})]_{bn} \begin{cases} \geq 0, & \text{if } a_{bn} = 0; \\ \leq 0, & \text{if } a_{bn} = 1; \\ = 0, & \text{if } 0 < a_{bn} < 1, \end{cases}$$
(25)

To quantify the degree to which each coordinate of a violates condition (25), we define a non-negative vector as follows:

$$\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{a}) \triangleq |\operatorname{Proj}(\mathbf{a} - \nabla F(\mathbf{a})) - \mathbf{a}| \in \mathbb{R}^{BN}_{+},$$
(26)

where $\operatorname{Proj}(\cdot)$ is the (coordinate-wise) projection operator onto the interval [0, 1], and $|\cdot|$ is the (coordinate-wise) absolute operator. Notice that the first-order optimality condition (25) is equivalent to $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{0}$. The vector $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{a})$ will play a central role in this section; see the discussion below Proposition 4.

Algorithm 1 CD and inexact CD algorithms for solving the MLE problem (6)

1: Initialize $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}, \ \Sigma_b^{-1} = \sigma_w^{-2} \mathbf{I}, \ 1 \le b \le B, \ \text{and} \ \epsilon > 0;$

- 2: Repeat // one iteration
- 3: Randomly select a permutation $\{(b, n)_1, (b, n)_2, \dots, (b, n)_{BN}\}$ of the coordinate indices $\{(1, 1), (1, 2), \dots, (B, N)\}$ of **a**;
- 4: **For** $(b, n) = (b, n)_1$ to $(b, n)_{BN}$ **do**
- 5: If CD: Apply the root-finding algorithm [48] to solve subproblem (27) *exactly* to obtain \hat{d} , and set $d = \hat{d}$;
- 6: If inexact CD: Apply Algorithm 2 to solve subproblem (27) *inexactly* to obtain \bar{d} , and set $d = \bar{d}$:
- 7: $a_{bn} \leftarrow a_{bn} + d;$
- 8: $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{j}^{-1} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{j}^{-1} \frac{d g_{jbn} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{j}^{-1} \mathbf{s}_{bn} \mathbf{s}_{bn}^{H} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{j}^{-1}}{1 + d g_{jbn} \mathbf{s}_{bn}^{H} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{j}^{-1} \mathbf{s}_{bn}}, \ 1 \le j \le B;$
- 9: **End for**
- 10: **Until** $\|\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{a})\|_{\infty} \leq \epsilon;$
- 11: Output a.

A. Review of CD Algorithm

Random permuted CD [36] is one of the most efficient variants of the CD algorithm for solving problem (6). At each iteration, the algorithm randomly permutes the indices of all coordinates and then updates the coordinate one by one according to the order in the permutation. For any given coordinate (b, n) of device n in cell b, the algorithm solves the following one-dimensional problem [9]:

$$\underset{d\in[-a_{bn},1-a_{bn}]}{\text{minimize}} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{B} \left(\log \left(1 + d g_{jbn} \mathbf{s}_{bn}^{H} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{j}^{-1} \mathbf{s}_{bn} \right) - \frac{d g_{jbn} \mathbf{s}_{bn}^{H} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{j}^{-1} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{j} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{j}^{-1} \mathbf{s}_{bn}}{1 + d g_{jbn} \mathbf{s}_{bn}^{H} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{j}^{-1} \mathbf{s}_{bn}} \right)$$
(27)

to possibly update a_{bn} . Problem (27) does not have a closed-form solution, which is different from the single-cell case. However, it can be transformed into a polynomial root-finding problem of degree 2B - 1, which can be solved exactly by computing all eigenvalues of the companion matrix formed by the coefficients of the corresponding polynomial function [48, Chap. 6]. The computational complexity of this approach to solving problem (27) is $\mathcal{O}(B^3)$.

The termination criterion for the CD algorithm is the first-order optimality condition, which

indicates that the algorithm stops when the following condition is satisfied:

$$\left\| \mathbb{V}(\mathbf{a}) \right\|_{\infty} \le \epsilon, \tag{28}$$

where $\epsilon \ge 0$ is the error tolerance. We call the feasible point a satisfying (28) an ϵ -stationary point. When $\epsilon = 0$, the ϵ -stationary point is the first-order stationary point. The (random permuted) CD algorithm is summarized in Algorithm 1.

The dominant computational complexity of CD Algorithm 1 arises from the polynomial rootfinding and the matrix-vector multiplications in lines 5 and 8, respectively. The complexity of the polynomial root-finding is $\mathcal{O}(B^3)$, whereas the complexity of the matrix-vector multiplications is $\mathcal{O}(BL^2)$. Therefore, the overall complexity of one iteration in CD Algorithm 1 is $\mathcal{O}(BN(B^3 + BL^2))$.

B. Proposed Inexact CD Algorithm

The CD algorithm (e.g., Algorithm 1) always solves problem (27) exactly to update the coordinates, which can significantly complicate the algorithm. The purpose of the inexact CD algorithm is to solve problem (27) inexactly in a controllable fashion, which enables the coordinates to be updated with a substantially lower complexity.

Notice that the large-scale fading coefficient g_{jbn} appears in the *j*-th summation term in (27) by multiplying with *d*. Moreover, because BS *b* is the closest BS to device (b, n), the path-loss model in Assumption 2 implies that g_{bbn} is significantly larger than g_{jbn} for all $j \neq b$. As a result, for the variable a_{bn} , the *b*-th term in problem (27) dominates all the other terms, i.e., it contains most of the information of the whole objective function. The underlying idea of constructing the approximation problems of (27) is to retain the *b*-th term and approximate the other terms in order to efficiently update the variable a_{bn} .

To demonstrate the inexact algorithm for solving problem (27), we can first rewrite it as follows:

$$\underset{d \in [-a_{bn}, 1-a_{bn}]}{\text{minimize}} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{B} f_j(\mathbf{a} + d \, \mathbf{e}_{bn}), \tag{29}$$

where $f_j(\mathbf{a}) = \log |\mathbf{\Sigma}_j| + \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{\Sigma}_j^{-1} \widehat{\mathbf{\Sigma}}_j)$ is the *j*-th summation term in the objective function $F(\mathbf{a})$, and \mathbf{e}_{bn} is a vector whose (b, n)-th component is 1 and the other components are 0. Instead of directly solving problem (29) exactly, we solve an approximate problem, given by

$$d^{(i)} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{d \in [-a_{bn}, 1-a_{bn}]} \quad f_b(\mathbf{a} + d\,\mathbf{e}_{bn}) + \sum_{j=1, \, j \neq b}^{B} \left(f_j(\mathbf{a}) + [\nabla f_j(\mathbf{a})]_{bn} \, d \right) + \frac{\mu^{(i)}}{2} d^2. \tag{30}$$

Algorithm 2 An inexact algorithm for solving problem (27)

1: Initialize $\mu^{(0)} > 0$, and $\beta > 1$;

- 2: **Repeat** (i = 0, 1, ...)
- 3: $\mu^{(i)} \leftarrow \beta^i \mu^{(0)};$
- 4: Solve problem (30) with $\mu^{(i)}$ to obtain $d^{(i)}$;
- 5: Until condition (33) is satisfied;
- 6: Output \overline{d} as $d^{(i)}$.

In problem (30), we retain the dominant term $f_b(\mathbf{a} + d \mathbf{e}_{bn})$ because it dominates the objective function in (29), and approximate the other terms by a quadratic polynomial, where $\mu^{(i)}$ is a properly selected parameter, and

$$\left[\nabla f_j(\mathbf{a})\right]_{bn} = g_{jbn} \left(\mathbf{s}_{bn}^H \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_j^{-1} \mathbf{s}_{bn} - \mathbf{s}_{bn}^H \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_j^{-1} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_j \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_j^{-1} \mathbf{s}_{bn} \right)$$
(31)

is the gradient of $f_j(\mathbf{a})$ with respect to a_{bn} .

In sharp contrast to problem (29) (equivalent to problem (27)), the approximate problem (30) can be solved with complexity O(1). Specifically, by setting the derivative of (30) to zero, we get the following cubic polynomial equation:

$$(1+d\xi_{bn})^2 \left(\sum_{j=1,\,j\neq b}^{B} \left[\nabla f_j(\mathbf{a})\right]_{bn} + \mu^{(i)}d\right) + \xi_{bn}\left(1+d\xi_{bn}\right) - \zeta_{bn} = 0,\tag{32}$$

where $\xi_{bn} = g_{bbn} \mathbf{s}_{bn}^H \Sigma_b^{-1} \mathbf{s}_{bn}$, $\zeta_{bn} = g_{bbn} \mathbf{s}_{bn}^H \Sigma_b^{-1} \widehat{\Sigma}_b \Sigma_b^{-1} \mathbf{s}_{bn}$. All roots of (32) can be obtained from the cubic formula, and the optimal solution to (30) can be obtained by choosing the one with the smallest objective among the roots of (32) and the two boundary points $-a_{bn}$ and $1 - a_{bn}$.

Now we discuss the choice of the parameter $\mu^{(i)}$ in (30). In particular, the parameter $\mu^{(i)}$ in problem (30) should be chosen such that its solution $d^{(i)}$ satisfies the following sufficient decrease condition:

$$\sum_{j=1, j \neq b}^{B} f_j \left(\mathbf{a} + d^{(i)} \mathbf{e}_{bn} \right) \le \sum_{j=1, j \neq b}^{B} \left(f_j(\mathbf{a}) + \left[\nabla f_j(\mathbf{a}) \right]_{bn} d^{(i)} \right) + \frac{\mu^{(i)}}{2} \left(d^{(i)} \right)^2.$$
(33)

This condition guarantees that for each coordinate update, the inexact CD algorithm decreases the objective function; see Proposition 4 further ahead. A way of finding the desirable parameter $\mu^{(i)}$ is summarized in Algorithm 2, which involves gradually increasing the value of $\mu^{(i)}$ starting from

a sufficiently small initial value of $\mu^{(0)}$. In practice, we can exploit the second-order information of the objective function to efficiently determine $\mu^{(0)}$. To be specific, we set $\mu^{(0)}$ as

$$\mu^{(0)} = \sum_{j=1, \, j \neq b}^{B} \left[\nabla^2 f_j(\mathbf{a}) \right]_{bn,bn} \tag{34}$$

if the right-hand side of (34) is positive, where $\nabla^2 f_j(\mathbf{a})$ is the Hessian matrix of $f_j(\mathbf{a})$, and

$$\left[\nabla^2 f_j(\mathbf{a})\right]_{bn,bn} = g_{jbn}^2 \mathbf{s}_{bn}^H \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_j^{-1} \mathbf{s}_{bn} \left(2 \, \mathbf{s}_{bn}^H \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_j^{-1} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_j \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_j^{-1} \mathbf{s}_{bn} - \mathbf{s}_{bn}^H \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_j^{-1} \mathbf{s}_{bn} \right), \tag{35}$$

otherwise, we set $\mu^{(0)}$ to a small positive number, e.g., 10^{-2} . The complete inexact CD algorithm is summarized in Algorithm 1.

The following proposition demonstrates that Algorithm 2 is guaranteed to return a value of $\mu^{(i)}$ such that the solution to problem (30) satisfies the sufficient decrease condition in (33).

Proposition 4: Suppose that the parameters in Algorithm 2 satisfy $\mu^{(0)} > 0$ and $\beta > 1$. Then, the algorithm will terminate at a certain $i \leq \log_{\beta} (\eta/\mu^{(0)}) + 1$, where $\eta > 0$ is the Lipschitz constant of $\nabla F(\mathbf{a})$. Furthermore, the output \overline{d} satisfies

$$F(\mathbf{a} + \bar{d} \, \mathbf{e}_{bn}) \le F(\mathbf{a}) - \frac{\left[\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{a})\right]_{bn}^2}{2\eta(\beta + 1)},\tag{36}$$

where $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{a})$ is defined in (26).

Proof: Please see Appendix G.

Proposition 4 provides important insights into the behavior of Algorithm 2. First, inequality (36) demonstrates that the output of Algorithm 2 sufficiently decreases the objective function of the interested MLE problem (6). Second, the degree to which the objective function is decreased is positively correlated with $[\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{a})]_{bn}$, which measures the degree to which the coordinate a_{bn} violates the first-order optimality condition. Therefore, the vector $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{a})$ characterizes the potential of each coordinate for decreasing the objective function. In particular, updating the coordinate a_{bn} with a larger $[\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{a})]_{bn}$ is expected to yield a larger decrease in the objective function of problem (6). Therefore, $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{a})$ can guide the coordinate selection process in Algorithm 1 and improve its convergence speed, which will be exploited in the next subsection.

The computational complexity of inexact CD Algorithm 1 is primarily determined by matrixvector multiplications, where updating a single coordinate requires $\mathcal{O}(BL^2)$ operations. As a result, the overall complexity of one iteration in the inexact CD Algorithm 1 is $\mathcal{O}(BN \cdot BL^2)$.

TABLE II

A summary of per-iteration complexity comparison between the CD algorithm [9] and the proposed accelerated CD algorithms.

	CD [9]	Inexact CD	Active set CD	Active set inexact CD			
Total NUMBER of coordinates that need to be updated at the <i>k</i> -th iteration	al NUMBER of coordinates that need to be updated at the <i>k</i> -th iteration BN			$\left \mathcal{A}^{(k)} ight $			
Computational complexity of updating ONE coordinate	$\mathcal{O}(BL^2 + B^3)$	$\mathcal{O}(BL^2)$	$\mathcal{O}(BL^2 + B^3)$	$\mathcal{O}(BL^2)$			

C. Proposed Active Set CD Algorithm

In Algorithm 1, both CD and inexact CD treat all coordinates equally and attempt to update all of them at each iteration. However, due to the special structure of the solution to problem (6), many coordinates a_{bn} will have subproblem (27) solved (exactly or inexactly), but the corresponding coordinate update step d is zero, and as a result, a_{bn} does not change, which leads to unnecessary computations that slow down the algorithm. To address this issue and accelerate Algorithm 1 by reducing the number of coordinate updates, we propose an active set CD algorithm in this subsection.

The active set CD algorithm differs from Algorithm 1 in that, at each iteration, it first judiciously selects an active set of coordinates and then applies lines 5-8 in Algorithm 1 to update the coordinates in the active set once. Due to the special structure of the solution to problem (6), it is expected that the cardinality of the selected active set will be significantly less than the total number of devices (i.e., BN), and more importantly, will gradually decrease as the iteration goes on. Therefore, the active set CD algorithm reduces unnecessary computational cost and significantly accelerates Algorithm 1.

To select an active set that improves the objective function as much as possible, the desirable active set should contain coordinates that contribute the most to the deviation from the optimality condition in (25), corresponding to coordinates with a sufficiently large $[\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{a})]_{bn}$ in (26), as discussed in the last second paragraph of Section IV-B. However, the cardinality of the active set should be as small as possible to avoid unnecessary computation and improve computational efficiency. Therefore, there is a trade-off in selecting the coordinates with large $[\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{a})]_{bn}$ into the active set. Mathematically, we propose a selection strategy for the active set $\mathcal{A}^{(k)}$ expressed as

$$\mathcal{A}^{(k)} = \left\{ (b,n) \mid \left[\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{a}^{(k)}) \right]_{bn} \ge \omega^{(k)} \right\},\tag{37}$$

Algorithm 3 Active set CD algorithm for solving problem (6)

- 1: Initialize $\mathbf{a}^{(0)} = \mathbf{0}$, and $\epsilon > 0$;
- 2: Repeat ($k = 0, 1, \ldots$) // one iteration
- 3: Update $\omega^{(k)}$;
- 4: Select the active set $\mathcal{A}^{(k)}$ according to (37);
- 5: Apply lines 5–8 in Algorithm 1 to update all coordinates in $\mathcal{A}^{(k)}$ only once in the order of a random permutation;
- 6: Until $\left\| \mathbb{V}(\mathbf{a}^{(k)}) \right\|_{\infty} \leq \epsilon;$
- 7: Output $\mathbf{a}^{(k)}$.

where $\omega^{(k)} \ge 0$ is a properly selected threshold parameter at each iteration. As a result, at each iteration, the total number of coordinates needing to be updated in the active set CD algorithm is only $|\mathcal{A}^{(k)}|$, which could be much less than BN in Algorithm 1. The active set selection strategy in (37) is different from that proposed in our previous work [1]. The selection of the active set in [1] is based on the variable a_{bn} and the gradient $[\nabla F(\mathbf{a})]_{bn}$, but the selection strategy (37) depends only on $[\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{a}^{(k)})]_{bn}$, which is more concise and easier to implement.

The choice of the threshold parameter $\omega^{(k)}$ in (37) is crucial in achieving the balance between decreasing the objective function and reducing the cardinality of the active set (and hence the computational cost) at the k-th iteration. If the parameter $\omega^{(k)}$ is set to a large value, the cardinality of the active set at the k-th iteration will be small, and the iteration will require fewer computations. However, the decrease in the objective function will also be small. Conversely, if the parameter $\omega^{(k)}$ is set to a small value, more coordinates will be selected into the active set, resulting in a larger decrease in the objective function, but at the cost of increased computational complexity. In practice, one way of selecting the parameter $\omega^{(k)}$ is to set a relatively large initial value $\omega^{(0)}$ and then gradually decrease $\omega^{(k)}$ at each iteration.

Based on the above discussion, we propose the active set CD algorithm for solving problem (6), which is detailed in Algorithm 3. It is worth mentioning that the active set strategy can accelerate both CD and inexact CD in Algorithm 1. Furthermore, the idea of the active set can be applied to accelerate any algorithm for solving problem (6) that does not properly exploit the special structure of the solution. A comparison of the per-iteration computational complexity of the CD algorithm and the proposed algorithms is summarized in Table II.

The convergence of the proposed active set CD Algorithm 3 is presented in Theorem 4. It is important to note that a poor choice of the active set may lead to oscillations and even divergence of the algorithm. The convergence and the iteration complexity properties of Algorithm 3 are mainly due to the active set selection strategy in (37) (and the careful choices of parameters $\omega^{(k)}$), and the convergence property of Algorithm 1.

Theorem 4: For any given error tolerance $\epsilon > 0$, let $\omega^{(k)}$ satisfy the condition

$$\epsilon \le \omega^{(k)} \le \max\left\{ \left\| \mathbb{V}(\mathbf{a}^{(k)}) \right\|_{\infty}, \epsilon \right\}.$$
(38)

Then, the proposed active set CD Algorithm 3 will return a ϵ -stationary point within $O(\eta\beta/\epsilon^2)$ iterations.

Proof: Please see Appendix H.

Some remarks on the parameter setting in Theorem 4 are in order. First, setting ϵ as a lower bound on $\omega^{(k)}$ ensures that the active set always excludes coordinates with $[\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{a}^{(k)})]_{bn} < \epsilon$. The reason for setting the lower bound is that updating the coordinate a_{bn} with a sufficiently small $[\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{a}^{(k)})]_{bn}$ has little potential to decrease the objective function of problem (6). Second, the second inequality in (38) guarantees that the active set $\mathcal{A}^{(k)}$ is always non-empty unless the algorithm terminates. Specifically, if $\|\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{a}^{(k)})\|_{\infty} > \epsilon$, then the last inequality in (38) implies that $\omega^{(k)} \leq \|\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{a}^{(k)})\|_{\infty}$, and $\mathcal{A}^{(k)}$ is non-empty due to (37). Otherwise, if $\|\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{a}^{(k)})\|_{\infty} \leq \epsilon$, then the termination condition in line 6 will be satisfied.

V. SIMULATION RESULTS

In this section, we verify the theoretical results and demonstrate the efficiency of the proposed accelerated CD algorithms through simulations. We consider a multi-cell system consisting of hexagonal cells, and all potential devices within each cell are uniformly distributed. In the simulations, we set the radius of each cell as 500 m, and model the channel path-loss as $128.1 + 37.6 \log 10(d)$ (satisfying Assumption 2 with $\gamma = 3.76$), where d is the corresponding BS-device distance in km. We set the transmit power of each device as 23 dBm and the background noise power as -169 dBm/Hz over 10 MHz.

A. Numerical Validation of Theoretical Results

1) Scaling Law: We consider the covariance-based device activity detection problem and solve the linear program proposed in [9] to numerically test the condition $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{C} = \{0\}$ in Lemma 1



Fig. 1. Scaling law for the covariance-based approach for device activity detection.

under a variety of choices of L and K, given N = 200 and B = 1, 3, 7. The signature sequences of length L used are Type I or Type II in Assumption 1. Fig. 1 plots the region of $(L^2/N, K/N)$ in which the condition is satisfied or not under the two types of signature sequences. The result is obtained based on 100 random realizations of S and a° for each given K and L. The error bars indicate the range beyond which either all realizations or no realization satisfy the condition. We observe from Fig. 1 that the curves with different B's overlap with each other for a given type of signature sequences, which implies that the scaling law for $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{C} = \{0\}$ is almost independent of B. This is consistent with our analysis in Theorem 2 that the number of active devices K which can be correctly detected in each cell in the multi-cell scenario depends on B only through log B. We can also observe from Fig. 1 that K is approximately proportional to L^2 , which verifies the scaling law in (21). It is worth mentioning that the curves in Fig. 1 are symmetric. Further interpretations and discussions on the symmetry of the curves can be found in [9].

Another key observation from Fig. 1 is that the curves of the two types of signature sequences almost overlap, and Type II signature sequences seem slightly better than Type I signature sequences. This verifies Theorem 2, which states that the (same) scaling law holds for both types of signature sequences. From a practical point of view, Type I signature sequences are easier to generate and store, although slightly more active devices can be correctly detected using



Fig. 2. Probability density functions (PDFs) of the error on the zero entries and on the one entries for M = 128.

Type II signature sequences.

2) Distribution of Estimation Error: We validate the distribution of the estimation error $\hat{a}^{(M)} - a^{\circ}$ in Fig. 2 with B = 7, N = 200, K = 20, L = 20, and M = 128. For simplicity, we only use Type I signature sequences, and similar simulation results can be obtained using Type II signature sequences. We use the active set inexact CD Algorithm 3 to solve the MLE problem (6) and compare its error distribution with the theoretical distribution from solving the QP (23). For simplicity, we treat each coordinate of $\hat{a}^{(M)} - a^{\circ}$ as independent and plot the empirical distribution of the coordinate-wise error. We consider two types of coordinates corresponding to inactive and active devices, i.e., entry a_{bn}° is zero or one, and plot their corresponding distributions separately. We observe that the curves obtained from solving problem (6) by active set inexact CD match well with the curves predicted by Theorem 3 for both types of coordinates, and their error distribution is Gaussian distributed (except near point zero). Another observation is that there is a point mass in the distribution of the error for both types of coordinates, which represents the probabilities of correctly identifying an inactive or active device in the current setup.

In Fig. 3, we present the detection performance with B = 7, N = 200, K = 20, L = 20, and M = 64, 128, or 256. We compare the detection performance obtained from solving problem (6) using the active set inexact CD Algorithm 3 with the result from solving the QP (23). The probability of missed detection (PM) and probability of false alarm (PF) are traded off by



Fig. 3. Comparison of the simulated results and the analysis in terms of probability of missed detection (PM) and probability of false alarm (PF) for device activity detection.

choosing different values for the threshold. We observe from Fig. 3 that the curves obtained from the active set inexact CD algorithm match well with the theoretical ones.

B. Detection Performance Comparison of Different Types of Signature Sequences

In this subsection, we perform the covariance-based activity detection and compare the detection performance using different types of signature sequences. We consider three types of signature sequences including Type I and Type II in Assumption 1 and the following Type III: Type III: Drawing the signature sequences from an i.i.d. complex Gaussian distribution $C\mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I})$. We fix B = 7, N = 200, K = 20, and consider various values of L and M.

In Fig. 4, we show the detection performance when using these three types of signature sequences with L = 16 and M = 256. We observe that almost the same detection performance can be obtained by using Type I and Type II signature sequences. Considering the hardware cost, it is more practical to use Type I signature sequences without degrading the detection performance. Additionally, we observe from Fig. 4 that the detection performance of Type III signature sequences is worse than the other two types of sequences. It turns out that the normalization of the signature sequences (assigned to different devices) is critical to the detection performance.



Fig. 4. Detection performance comparison of the device activity detection with three different types of signature sequences for L = 16 and M = 256.

TABLE III

COMPARISON OF THE ESTIMATED ACTIVITY INDICATOR FOR THE SIGNATURE SEQUENCES WITH DIFFERENT NORMS.

	Device (b, n) is inactive: $a_{bn}^{\circ} = 0$				Device (b, n) is active: $a_{bn}^{\circ} = 1$					
Estimated a_{bn} with	0.0206 0.030	0.0300	0.0101	0.0547	0.0000	0.9091	0.9035	0.9806	1.0000	1.0000
sequence \mathbf{s}_{bn}		0.0509								
Estimated a_{bn} with	0.0891	0 1949	0.0404	0.9199	0.0000	0.9149	0.0001	0.0626	1 0000	0 7241
sequence $\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{s}_{bn}$	0.0821 0.1248	0.0404	0.2188	0.0000	0.8145	0.9091	0.9020	1.0000	0.7541	
Estimated a_{bn} with	0.0059	0.0077	0.0025	0.0127	0.0000	0.0026	0.0165	1.0000	0.0877	1 0000
sequence $2 \mathbf{s}_{bn}$	0.0052 0.0077	0.0025	0.0137	0.0000	0.9030	0.9105	1.0000	0.9877	1.0000	

To understand why this is the case, in Table III, we demonstrate the impact of the signature sequences' norm on the device activity detection performance. We assign all devices Type II signature sequences. For each column of Table III, we first generate a realization of the channel and the noise and fix them. Then, we randomly select a device (b, n) and rescale its signature sequence s_{bn} . We record the estimated activity indicator a_{bn} by solving problem (6) both before and after the rescaling process. In Table III, we present the results for inactive and active devices of 5 different realizations. We observe that if the device is inactive, the estimated a_{bn} becomes four times as large if the norm of the signature sequence is halved, and vice versa. Therefore, for an inactive device whose sequence has a significantly smaller norm than other sequences,



Fig. 5. Detection performance comparison of the device activity detection with three different types of signature sequences for different M.

the device is more likely to be identified as active and cause a false alarm. For active devices, we also observe that rescaling the signature sequence (slightly) affects the estimated a_{bn} . This result explains why sequences without the normalization, such as Type III, are more likely to cause detection errors.

To conveniently illustrate how the estimation error varies with increasing M and L, we select the threshold such that PM and PF are equal and denote the error at this point as "probability of error". Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 plot the probability of error for different values of M and L. We observe that increasing M or L can improve the detection performance when using the three types of signature sequences. Furthermore, we can see from Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 that the detection performance of Type I and Type II signature sequences is almost the same for different values of M and L. Additionally, their detection performance is increasingly better than that of Type III signature sequences as M or L increases. It is interesting to note that while Fig. 1 shows slightly different scaling law boundaries for Type I and Type II signature sequences, Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 demonstrate that when the system parameters are set such that the consistency of the MLE in Lemma 1 holds, the detection performance of the two types of sequences is the same.



Fig. 6. Detection performance comparison of the device activity detection with three different types of signature sequences for different L.

C. Computational Efficiency of Proposed Algorithms

In this subsection, we showcase the efficiency of the proposed accelerated CD algorithms for solving the device activity detection problem (6). The signature sequences used are Type I.

The algorithms use the following parameters: the error tolerance is set to $\epsilon = 10^{-3}$. For the proposed Algorithm 2, we set $\beta = 2$ and the choice of parameter $\mu^{(0)}$ is discussed in Section IV-B. In Algorithm 3, we set $\omega^{(k)}$ as

$$\omega^{(k)} = \max\left\{5^{-k-1} \left\| \mathbb{V}(\mathbf{a}^{(k)}) \right\|_{\infty}, \epsilon\right\}.$$
(39)

We consider the following two algorithms as benchmarks:

- *Vanilla CD [9]:* At each iteration, this algorithm randomly permutes the indices of all coordinates and then applies the root-finding algorithm [48] to solve subproblem (27) in order to update the coordinates, as shown in Algorithm 1.
- *Clustering-based CD [10]:* This algorithm accelerates the vanilla CD algorithm by approximately solving subproblem (27). In order to more efficiently update a variable a_{bn} in cell b, instead of considering all B cells in (27), it only considers a cluster of cells that are close to cell b and neglects those that are far away from cell b. In this case, the degree of the polynomial function associated with the derivative of the objective function of (27) will be

much smaller, which improves the efficiency of solving the corresponding subproblem. In the simulation, the number of clusters T is chosen to be 1, 2, 3 as in [10].

To demonstrate the efficiency of the two proposed acceleration strategies, i.e., the inexact and active set strategies, we consider the following three algorithms:

- *Inexact CD:* This algorithm uses the inexact Algorithm 2 to solve subproblem (27) in the vanilla CD algorithm, as shown in Algorithm 1.
- *Active set CD:* This algorithm reduces the number of coordinate updates in the vanilla CD algorithm by using the active set selection strategy, as shown in Algorithm 3.
- Active set inexact CD: This algorithm is a combination of the above two algorithms. Specifically, it uses the active set selection strategy in Algorithm 3 to select coordinates and updates each coordinate by the inexact Algorithm 2.

Fig. 7 plots the decrease in the probability of error with respect to the empirical running time of the algorithms with B = 7, N = 1000, K = 50, L = 50, and M = 128, and the result is obtained by averaging over 1000 Monte-Carlo runs. For each realization, we record the variable a and calculate the corresponding probability of error when the algorithms run to a fixed moment. As shown in Fig. 7, the clustering-based CD algorithm [10] and the proposed algorithms are significantly more efficient than vanilla CD [9], with active set inexact CD being the most efficient among them. We also observe that all the algorithms achieve the same low probability of error if their running time is allowed to be sufficiently long, except for the clustering-based CD algorithm, whose probability of error is worse than the other algorithms due to the coarse approximation. In particular, when T = 1, the clustering-based CD algorithm demonstrates high efficiency but has the worst probability of error among all compared algorithms. As T increases, the probability of error improves for the algorithm, but its computational efficiency deteriorates. The simulation results show that active set inexact CD has the best efficiency and detection performance among the compared algorithms.

To demonstrate the efficiency of the proposed inexact Algorithm 2 (i.e., the inexact acceleration strategy), Fig. 8 plots the running time comparison of the proposed algorithms with the vanilla CD algorithm [9] versus the total number of cells (i.e., B). The simulation is conducted with N = 200, K = 20, L = 20, and M = 128. As shown in Fig. 8, when B increases, the running time of the vanilla CD algorithm increases drastically, and the algorithms that solve subproblem (27) inexactly (inexact CD, and active set inexact CD) are much more efficient than



Fig. 7. Comparison of the probability of error of the proposed algorithms and the benchmark algorithms versus the running time.



Fig. 8. Average running time comparison of the proposed algorithms and the vanilla CD algorithm [9] for different B.

the other algorithms. This observation highlights that solving subproblem (27) exactly can be computationally expensive, especially when *B* is large, and the proposed inexact acceleration strategy (i.e., Algorithm 2) can significantly reduce the complexity of vanilla CD by solving subproblem (27) inexactly in a controllable fashion.

To demonstrate the efficiency of the proposed active set CD algorithm 3 (i.e., active set



Fig. 9. Average running time comparison of the proposed algorithms and the vanilla CD algorithm [9] for different N.

selection strategy), Fig. 9 plots the running time of the proposed algorithms with the vanilla CD algorithm [9] versus the total number of devices in each cell (i.e., N). The simulation is conducted with B = 7, K = 20, L = 20, and M = 128. As shown in Fig. 9, for larger N, the algorithms that use the active set selection strategy (active set CD and active set inexact CD) are significantly more efficient than the other algorithms. It is worth mentioning that as N increases, the dimension of problem (6) becomes larger and the proportion of the components of the solution are zero becomes larger. This observation illustrates that the active set selection strategy can significantly accelerate the vanilla CD algorithm by exploiting the special structure of the solution.

To provide a detailed performance of the active set selection strategy, we run vanilla CD [9] and active set CD once and plot the number of coordinate updates at each iteration in Fig. 10. The simulation is conducted with B = 7, N = 1000, K = 50, L = 50, and M = 128. As shown in Fig. 10, the number of coordinate updates of active set CD is significantly less than that of vanilla CD at each iteration, and the overall number of iterations of active set CD is slightly more than that of vanilla CD. Therefore, the total number of coordinate updates in active set CD is significantly less than that in vanilla CD, which explains why active set CD is more computationally efficient.

A summary of the numerical comparisons is as follows. First, the vanilla CD algorithm [9]



Fig. 10. Comparison of the number of coordinate updates of the vanilla CD algorithm [9] and the active set CD algorithm over the iteration.

achieves good error probability but has poor computational efficiency, especially when B or N is large. Second, the clustering-based CD algorithm [10] improves the computational efficiency of vanilla CD at the cost of its error probability. Third, the proposed two accelerated CD algorithms (inexact CD and active set CD) are significantly more efficient than vanilla CD and achieve the same low error probability as vanilla CD. Due to the different features of these acceleration algorithms, inexact CD is more efficient when B is large, while active set CD performs better when N is large. Finally, the above two acceleration strategies can be combined, and active set inexact CD performs the best in terms of computational efficiency and error probability.

VI. CONCLUSION

This paper addresses the covariance-based activity detection problem in a cooperative multicell MIMO system. The device activity detection problem is formulated as the MLE problem, and a necessary and sufficient condition for the consistency of the MLE is provided in the literature. By analyzing the statistical properties of the signature sequences generated from the finite alphabet (i.e., Type I signature sequences) and making a reasonable assumption regarding the large-scale fading coefficients, the quadratic scaling law for the MLE in the multi-cell scenario is derived for the first time. This result also provides theoretical guarantees for the use of the more practical Type I signature sequences. Moreover, this paper characterizes the distribution of the estimation error in the multi-cell scenario. Finally, two efficient accelerated CD algorithms with convergence and iteration complexity guarantees are proposed. Simulation results demonstrate that the proposed algorithms significantly outperform the vanilla CD algorithm and its accelerated version in the literature.

We conclude this paper with a short discussion on open problems. First, this paper assumes that the large-scale fading coefficients are known. It would be interesting to formulate the corresponding detection problem in the case of unknown large-scale fading coefficients and design algorithms for solving the problem. Second, the scaling law analysis in this paper is based on the assumption that we can globally solve the MLE problem (6). However, most practical algorithms can only guarantee local optimality. It would be desirable to analyze the detection performance of specific algorithms for solving the MLE problem (6).

APPENDIX A

PROOF OF THEOREM 1

In this proof, we only consider Type I signature sequences, since the result for Type II signature sequences has been proven in [11]. The proof techniques here are similar to those in [11]. We first introduce some basic definitions that will be needed in the proof.

Definition 1 (Sub-exponential random variables): A random variable *x* is called sub-exponential if

$$(\mathbb{E}[|x|^p])^{\frac{1}{p}} \le C_1 p \quad \text{for all } p \ge 1.$$
(40)

The sub-exponential norm of x is denoted by $||x||_{\psi_1}$, which is defined as the smallest constant $C_1 > 0$ that satisfies (40).

Definition 2 (Sub-exponential random vectors): A random vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is called subexponential if the one-dimensional marginals $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u} \rangle$ are sub-exponential random variables for all vectors $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The sub-exponential norm of \mathbf{x} is defined as

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\psi_1} \triangleq \sup_{\|\mathbf{u}\|_2 = 1} \|\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u} \rangle\|_{\psi_1}.$$
(41)

Definition 3 (Sub-Gaussian random variables): A random variable x is called sub-Gaussian if

$$(\mathbb{E}[|x|^p])^{\frac{1}{p}} \le C_2 \sqrt{p} \quad \text{for all } p \ge 1.$$
(42)

The sub-Gaussian norm of x is denoted by $||x||_{\psi_2}$, which is defined as the smallest constant $C_2 > 0$ that satisfies (42).

Definition 4: The s-th restricted isometry constant $\delta_s(\mathbf{A})$ of a matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ is the smallest $\delta \geq 0$ such that

$$(1 - \delta) \|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{2} \le \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{2} \le (1 + \delta) \|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{2}$$
(43)

holds for all s-sparse vectors $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$. The matrix \mathbf{A} is said to satisfy the restricted isometry property (RIP) of order s with parameter $\delta_s(\mathbf{A})$ if $0 \le \delta_s(\mathbf{A}) < 1$.

The proof consists of the following three key steps: in Step I, we establish the RIP of the auxiliary matrix

$$\widehat{\mathbf{S}} \triangleq \left[\operatorname{vec}_{\operatorname{non-diag}}(\mathbf{s}_{11}\mathbf{s}_{11}^{H}), \operatorname{vec}_{\operatorname{non-diag}}(\mathbf{s}_{12}\mathbf{s}_{12}^{H}), \dots, \operatorname{vec}_{\operatorname{non-diag}}(\mathbf{s}_{BN}\mathbf{s}_{BN}^{H}) \right] \in \mathbb{C}^{L(L-1) \times BN}, \quad (44)$$

where $\operatorname{vec}_{\operatorname{non-diag}}(\cdot)$ denotes the vectorization of the non-diagonal elements of the matrix; in Step II, we establish the stable NSP of $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}$; and in Step III, we prove that the matrix $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$ defined in (7) also satisfies the stable NSP because its null space is a subspace of the null space of $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}$.

Step I of showing the RIP of \hat{S} . The following lemma derived in [11, Theorem 5] is key to establish the RIP of matrix \hat{S} .

Lemma 3 ([11]): Let $\mathbf{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times p}$ be a random matrix whose columns $\mathbf{r}_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$ that are independent, sub-exponential, and normalized such that $\|\mathbf{r}_i\|_2^2 = m$, and $p \ge m$. For any parameter $\delta \in (0,1)$ and $\xi > \max_{1 \le i \le p} \|\mathbf{r}_i\|_{\psi_1} + 1$, there exist constants $0 < c_{\delta,\xi} \le 1$ and $\hat{c}_{\delta,\xi} > 0$ depending only on δ and ξ such that if

$$2s \le c_{\delta,\xi} \frac{m}{\log^2(ep/m)},\tag{45}$$

then the RIP constant of \mathbf{R}/\sqrt{m} satisfies $\delta_{2s}(\mathbf{R}/\sqrt{m}) < \delta$ with probability at least $1 - \exp(-\hat{c}_{\delta,\xi}\sqrt{m})$.

To apply Lemma 3, we need to construct a real matrix associated with $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}$ in (44) that satisfies: (i) its columns are normalized, (ii) its columns are independent and have bounded sub-exponential norm, and (iii) its RIP is equivalent to that of $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}$. Using a similar technique as in [11], we stack the real and imaginary parts of $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}$ to form

$$\widehat{\mathbf{S}}^{R} \triangleq \sqrt{2} \left[\operatorname{Re}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{S}}\right)^{T}, \operatorname{Im}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{S}}\right)^{T} \right]^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{2L(L-1) \times BN},$$
(46)

and prove that $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}^R$ satisfies all of the above desirable conditions, where $\operatorname{Re}(\cdot)$ denotes the real part, and $\operatorname{Im}(\cdot)$ denotes the imaginary part. Let $\widehat{\mathbf{s}}_{bn}^R$ denote the (b, n)-th column of $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}^R$.

We first show that each column $\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{bn}^R$ of matrix $\hat{\mathbf{S}}^R$ is normalized. It follows from the definitions of $\hat{\mathbf{S}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{S}}^R$ in (44) and (46), respectively, that

$$\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{bn}^{R} = \sqrt{2} \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{vec}_{\operatorname{non-diag}}(\mathbf{s}_{bn}\mathbf{s}_{bn}^{H})) \\ \operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{vec}_{\operatorname{non-diag}}(\mathbf{s}_{bn}\mathbf{s}_{bn}^{H})) \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2L(L-1)}.$$
(47)

Since $\mathbf{s}_{bn} \in \{\pm \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \pm i \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\}^L$, we can obtain from (47) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{bn}^{R} \right\|_{2}^{2} &= 2 \left\| \operatorname{vec}_{\operatorname{non-diag}}(\mathbf{s}_{bn}\mathbf{s}_{bn}^{H}) \right\|_{2}^{2} \\ &= 2 \sum_{\ell_{2}=1}^{L} \sum_{\ell_{1}=1,\,\ell_{1}\neq\ell_{2}}^{L} \left| s_{bn}^{(\ell_{1})} \left(s_{bn}^{(\ell_{2})} \right)^{*} \right|^{2} \\ &= 2L(L-1), \end{aligned}$$
(48)

where $s_{bn}^{(\ell_1)}$ and $s_{bn}^{(\ell_2)}$ denote the ℓ_1 -th and ℓ_2 -th components of s_{bn} , respectively.

We can easily see from (47) that the columns $\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{bn}^{R}$'s are independent since the sequences \mathbf{s}_{bn} 's are drawn independently. To show that the sub-exponential norm of $\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{bn}^{R}$ is bounded, we first express the signature sequence in terms of uniformly distributed binary sequences \mathbf{x}_{bn} and \mathbf{y}_{bn} :

$$\mathbf{s}_{bn} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \left(\mathbf{x}_{bn} + \imath \, \mathbf{y}_{bn} \right), \tag{49}$$

where \mathbf{x}_{bn} , $\mathbf{y}_{bn} \in \mathbb{R}^{L}$ are drawn uniformly i.i.d. from $\{\pm 1\}^{L}$. Substituting (49) into (47), we get

$$\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{bn}^{R} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{vec_{non-diag}}(\mathbf{x}_{bn}\mathbf{x}_{bn}^{T} + \mathbf{y}_{bn}\mathbf{y}_{bn}^{T}) \\ \operatorname{vec_{non-diag}}(\mathbf{y}_{bn}\mathbf{x}_{bn}^{T} - \mathbf{x}_{bn}\mathbf{y}_{bn}^{T}) \end{bmatrix}.$$
(50)

We need to prove that all marginal distributions of $\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{bn}^R$ have bounded sub-exponential norms. To this end, we consider any unit vector $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{2L(L-1)}$ and define two matrices $\mathbf{U}_1, \mathbf{U}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times L}$ whose diagonal elements are zero, such that

$$\mathbf{u} = \left[\operatorname{vec}_{\text{non-diag}}(\mathbf{U}_1)^T, \operatorname{vec}_{\text{non-diag}}(\mathbf{U}_2)^T \right]^T.$$
(51)

Combining (50) and (51), we can express the marginal distribution of $\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{bn}^R$ as

$$\langle \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{bn}^{R}, \mathbf{u} \rangle = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \left(\mathbf{x}_{bn}^{T} \mathbf{U}_{1} \mathbf{x}_{bn} + \mathbf{y}_{bn}^{T} \mathbf{U}_{1} \mathbf{y}_{bn} + \mathbf{y}_{bn}^{T} \mathbf{U}_{2} \mathbf{x}_{bn} - \mathbf{x}_{bn}^{T} \mathbf{U}_{2} \mathbf{y}_{bn} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{bn} \\ \mathbf{y}_{bn} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}_{1} & -\mathbf{U}_{2}^{T} \\ \mathbf{U}_{2} & \mathbf{U}_{1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{bn} \\ \mathbf{y}_{bn} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \mathbf{z}_{bn}^{T} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{z}_{bn},$$

$$(52)$$

where the matrix

$$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{u}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}_1 & -\mathbf{U}_2^T \\ \mathbf{U}_2 & \mathbf{U}_1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2L \times 2L}$$
(53)

only depends on \mathbf{u} and has zero diagonal elements, and the vector $\mathbf{z}_{bn} = \left[\mathbf{x}_{bn}^T, \mathbf{y}_{bn}^T\right]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{2L}$ is uniformly distributed on $\{\pm 1\}^{2L}$. We can use the following lemma to obtain an upper bound

on the sub-exponential norm of $\mathbf{z}_{bn}^T \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{z}_{bn}$, which builds upon the techniques presented in [11] and extends the result from random vectors uniformly distributed on a sphere to random vectors with independent sub-Gaussian coordinates.

Lemma 4: Let $\mathbf{v} = [v^{(1)}, v^{(2)}, \dots, v^{(n)}]^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a random vector with independent, mean zero, sub-Gaussian coordinates with $\kappa = \max_i \|v^{(i)}\|_{\psi_2}$. For any matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, the following inequality holds

$$\left\|\mathbf{v}^{T}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{v}^{T}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}]\right\|_{\psi_{1}} \leq \bar{C}\kappa^{2}\|\mathbf{A}\|_{F},\tag{54}$$

where $\bar{C} > 0$ is a universal constant, and $\|\cdot\|_F$ denotes the Frobenius norm.

Proof: The proof of Lemma 4 relies on the Hanson-Wright inequality in the following Lemma 5, which guarantees that the difference between $\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}$ and its expected value $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}]$ exhibits the following concentration properties.

Lemma 5 (Theorem 6.2.1 in [49]): In the setting of Lemma 4, it follows that for any $t \ge 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\mathbf{v}^{T}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{v}^{T}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}]\right| \ge t\right\} \le 2\exp\left(-c\min\left(\frac{t^{2}}{\kappa^{4}\|\mathbf{A}\|_{F}^{2}}, \frac{t}{\kappa^{2}\|\mathbf{A}\|_{2}}\right)\right).$$
(55)

We can bound the *p*-th absolute moment of $\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}]$ for $p \ge 1$ as follows:

$$\mathbb{E}[\left|\mathbf{v}^{T}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{v}^{T}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}]\right|^{p}] = \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\mathbf{v}^{T}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{v}^{T}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}]\right|^{p} > t\right\} dt \\
= p \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\mathbf{v}^{T}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{v}^{T}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}]\right| > t\right\} t^{p-1} dt \\
\leq 2 p \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left(-c\frac{t^{2}}{\kappa^{4}\|\mathbf{A}\|_{F}^{2}}\right) t^{p-1} dt + \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left(-c\frac{t}{\kappa^{2}\|\mathbf{A}\|_{2}}\right) t^{p-1} dt\right) \\
\leq 2 p \left(\left(\frac{\kappa^{4}\|\mathbf{A}\|_{F}^{2}}{c}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{p}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{\kappa^{2}\|\mathbf{A}\|_{2}}{c}\right)^{p} \Gamma(p)\right) \\
\leq \frac{4\kappa^{2p}\|\mathbf{A}\|_{F}^{p} \Gamma(p+1)}{\min(c,1)^{p}},$$
(56)

where the first equality is due to [49, Lemma 1.2.1], $\Gamma(p) = \int_0^{+\infty} x^{p-1} e^{-x} dx$ denotes the Gamma function, and in the last inequality we utilize the fact that $\|\mathbf{A}\|_2 \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|_F$. An upper bound on $\Gamma(p+1)$ is provided by Stirling's formula [50]:

$$\Gamma(p+1) < \sqrt{\pi} \left(\frac{p}{e}\right)^p \left(8p^3 + 4p^2 + p + \frac{1}{30}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}},$$

$$\leq \sqrt{\pi} \left(\frac{p}{e}\right)^p (2\sqrt{p}) \leq 2\sqrt{\pi} p^p,$$
(57)

where the last inequality holds because $\sqrt{p} \le e^p$ for any $p \ge 1$. Combining (56) and (57), we obtain the inequality:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\mathbf{v}^{T}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}-\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{v}^{T}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}]\right|^{p}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \frac{8\sqrt{\pi\kappa^{2}}\|\mathbf{A}\|_{F}}{\min(c,1)}p,$$
(58)

which holds for all $p \ge 1$. Recalling Definition 1, and letting $\overline{C} = 8\sqrt{\pi}/\min(c, 1)$, we can see that (54) holds true. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.

We observe that each coordinate of \mathbf{z}_{bn} is independent, mean zero, sub-Gaussian random variable with $\kappa = \max_{\ell} \|z_{bn}^{(\ell)}\|_{\psi_2} = 1$. By Lemma 4, we obtain

$$\left\|\mathbf{z}_{bn}^{T}\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{z}_{bn} - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z}_{bn}^{T}\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{z}_{bn}]\right\|_{\psi_{1}} \leq \bar{C}\|\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{u}}\|_{F},\tag{59}$$

which, together with the fact that the diagonal elements of $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{u}}$ are zero, further implies

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z}_{bn}^T \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{z}_{bn}] = \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{u}}) = 0.$$
(60)

Additionally, the definition of Q_u in (53) and the definition of u in (51) show that

$$\|\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{u}}\|_{F}^{2} = \|\mathbf{U}_{1}\|_{F}^{2} + \|\mathbf{U}_{2}\|_{F}^{2} = \|\mathbf{u}\|_{2}^{2} = 1.$$
(61)

By substituting (60) and (61) into (59) and combining it with (52), we bound the sub-exponential norm of \hat{s}_{bn}^{R} :

$$\left\| \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{bn}^{R} \right\|_{\psi_{1}} = \sup_{\left\| \mathbf{u} \right\|_{2} = 1} \left\| \left\langle \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{bn}^{R}, \mathbf{u} \right\rangle \right\|_{\psi_{1}} = \sup_{\left\| \mathbf{u} \right\|_{2} = 1} \left\| \mathbf{z}_{bn}^{T} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbf{z}_{bn} \right\|_{\psi_{1}} \le \bar{C}.$$
(62)

Based on all of the above discussion, we now can apply Lemma 3 to the matrix $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}^R$ to obtain the RIP of our interested matrix $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}$. Recalling the definition of $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}^R$ in (46), we have

$$\left\|\widehat{\mathbf{S}}^{R}\mathbf{x}\right\|_{2}^{2} = 2\left\|\operatorname{Re}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{S}}\right)\mathbf{x}\right\|_{2}^{2} + 2\left\|\operatorname{Im}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{S}}\right)\mathbf{x}\right\|_{2}^{2} = 2\left\|\widehat{\mathbf{S}}\mathbf{x}\right\|_{2}^{2}$$
(63)

holds for any vector x. Based on Definition 4, we get

$$\delta_{2s}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{S}}^{R}/\sqrt{2L(L-1)}\right) = \delta_{2s}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{S}}/\sqrt{L(L-1)}\right).$$
(64)

In Lemma 3, let $\mathbf{R} = \widehat{\mathbf{S}}^R$, p = BN, m = 2L(L-1), and fix the parameter $\xi = \overline{C} + 2$ be a universal constant. For any $\delta \in (0, 1)$, there exist two constants $0 < c_1 \leq 1$ and $c_2 > 0$ that depend only on δ such that if

$$2s \le c_1 \frac{L^2}{\log^2(eBN/L^2)},$$
 (65)

then the RIP constant

$$\delta_{2s} \left(\widehat{\mathbf{S}}^R / \sqrt{2L(L-1)} \right) < \delta \tag{66}$$

holds with probability at least $1 - \exp(-c_2 L)$, because $L^2 \le 2L(L-1)$ for $L \ge 2$. Combining (64) and (66), we get the RIP of $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}$.

Step II of establishing the stable NSP of \hat{S} . We now establish the stable NSP of \hat{S} using the following result.

Lemma 6 (Theorem 6.13 in [51]): Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ be a matrix with 2s-th restricted isometry constant $\delta_{2s}(\mathbf{A}) < \frac{4}{\sqrt{41}}$. Then, \mathbf{A} satisfies the ℓ_2 -robust NSP of order s with constants

$$\rho = \frac{\delta_{2s}(\mathbf{A})}{\sqrt{1 - \delta_{2s}(\mathbf{A})^2} - \delta_{2s}(\mathbf{A})/4} < 1$$
(67)

and $\tau > 0$, which depends only on $\delta_{2s}(\mathbf{A})$. More precisely, for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and any index set $S \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ with $|S| \leq s$, the following inequality holds:

$$\|\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{S}}\|_{2} \leq \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{s}} \|\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{S}^{c}}\|_{1} + \tau \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_{2}.$$
(68)

Based on the RIP of $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}$, we can set $\delta = \frac{4}{\sqrt{41}}\overline{\rho}$ for any given parameter $0 < \overline{\rho} < 1$. Then, there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ depending only on $\overline{\rho}$ such that the inequality

$$\delta_{2s}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{S}}/\sqrt{L(L-1)}\right) < \delta = \frac{4}{\sqrt{41}}\bar{\rho} \tag{69}$$

holds with probability at least $1 - \exp(-c_2 L)$ under (65). Then it follows from Lemma 6 that $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}/\sqrt{L(L-1)}$ satisfies the ℓ_2 -robust NSP of order s with

$$\rho = \frac{\delta_{2s}}{\sqrt{1 - \delta_{2s}^2} - \delta_{2s}/4} < \frac{\sqrt{41}}{4}\delta = \bar{\rho},\tag{70}$$

where δ_{2s} is the abbreviation of $\delta_{2s} \left(\widehat{\mathbf{S}} / \sqrt{L(L-1)} \right)$ for simplicity. The inequality in (70) holds because the denominator $\sqrt{1 - \delta_{2s}^2} - \delta_{2s} / 4 \ge \frac{4}{\sqrt{41}}$, and the numerator $\delta_{2s} < \delta$. As shown in [51, Chap. 4], the ℓ_2 -robust NSP is a sufficient condition for the stable NSP. In other words, for any vector **x** in the null space of $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}$, inequality (68) implies that

$$\|\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{S}}\|_{1} \le \sqrt{s} \|\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{S}}\|_{2} \le \rho \|\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{S}^{c}}\|_{1},\tag{71}$$

where the first inequality follows from Cauchy-Schwartz inequality. Therefore, we have established the stable NSP of \hat{S} as in (71).

Step III of proving the stable NSP \widetilde{S} . Finally, we prove the stable NSP of \widetilde{S} . Recalling the definitions of \widetilde{S} and \widehat{S} in (7) and (44), respectively, we can see that \widehat{S} is a sub-matrix formed by removing *L* rows from \widetilde{S} . Hence, for any x satisfying $\widetilde{S}x = 0$, we have $\widehat{S}x = 0$, and therefore $\|\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{S}}\|_1 \leq \rho \|\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{S}^c}\|_1$ holds. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2

To prove the proposition, we need to show that $\mathcal{N}' \cap \mathcal{C}' \neq \{0\}$ if and only if $\mathcal{N}'' \cap \mathcal{C}' \neq \{0\}$. Since **G** is a diagonal matrix with positive diagonal elements, if there exists a non-zero vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{N}' \cap \mathcal{C}'$, then we can find another non-zero vector $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{G}\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{N}'' \cap \mathcal{C}'$, and vice versa. This completes the proof of Proposition 2.

APPENDIX C

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3

The scaling law for Type II signature sequences has been proved in [8, Theorem 9]. The proof in [8] depends only on the stable NSP of \widetilde{S} and the normalization of the signature sequences, i.e., $||\mathbf{s}_n||_2^2 = L$. For Type I signature sequences, it is simple to verify that the same normalization property holds, and the stable NSP of \widetilde{S} is established in Theorem 1. Therefore, the same techniques used in [8] can be applied to prove the scaling law result for this case as well. This completes the proof of Proposition 3.

APPENDIX D

PROOF OF LEMMA 2

The proof of Lemma 2 relies mainly on the path-loss model as in Assumption 2. Let D_{bj} denote the BS-BS distance between BS b and BS j for $b \neq j$. Since the radius of hexagonal cells is R, the BS-device distance D_{bjn} from any device n in cell j to BS b is bounded by

$$D_{bjn} \ge D_{bj} - R, \quad \forall \ n. \tag{72}$$

Hence, based on the path-loss model in (19), we can obtain

$$\max_{1 \le n \le N} g_{bjn} \le P_0 \left(\frac{D_0}{D_{bj} - R}\right)^{\gamma}.$$
(73)

To show the lemma, we need to carefully study the properties of D_{bj} and upper bound the sum of the terms in the right-hand side of (73).

We first study the properties of D_{bj} . We place all BSs in the Cartesian coordinate system as shown in Fig. 11, with BS *b* located at the coordinate origin. We observe that the *x*-coordinate of any BS is an integer multiple of $\frac{3}{2}R$, i.e., its *x*-coordinate is equal to $\frac{3}{2}Rk$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with \mathbb{Z} being the set of all integers. Moreover, if *k* is even, then the *y*-coordinate of the BS is $\sqrt{3}R\ell$



Fig. 11. Base station locations in the multi-cell system.

for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$; if k is odd, then the y-coordinate of the BS is $\sqrt{3}R(\ell + \frac{1}{2})$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, the (x, y)-coordinates of all BSs are in the following set:

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{3}{2}Rk, \sqrt{3}R\left(\ell + \frac{1}{2} \text{mod}(k, 2)\right) \right) \mid (k, \ell) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \right\}.$$
(74)

Furthermore, the BS-BS distance D_{bj} for $j \neq b$ satisfies:

$$D_{bj} \in \left\{ \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2}Rk\right)^2 + \left(\sqrt{3}R\left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{mod}(k,2)\right)\right)^2} \ \middle| \ (k,\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}^2, \ (k,\ell) \neq (0,0) \right\}.$$
(75)

By combining (73) and (75), we can obtain the following upper bound:

$$\sum_{j=1, j\neq b}^{B} \left(\max_{1\leq n\leq N} g_{bjn}\right) \leq \sum_{j=1, j\neq b}^{B} P_0 \left(\frac{D_0}{D_{bj} - R}\right)^{\gamma}$$

$$\leq \sum_{\substack{(k,\ell)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\\(k,\ell)\neq(0,0)}} P_0 \left(\frac{D_0}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{2}Rk\right)^2 + \left(\sqrt{3}R\left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{mod}(k,2)\right)\right)^2} - R}\right)^{\gamma}$$

$$\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} \frac{P_0 D_0^{\gamma}}{R^{\gamma}} \sum_{\substack{(k,\ell)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\\(k,\ell)\neq(0,0)}} \left(\frac{3}{2}\sqrt{k^2 + \left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{mod}(k,2)\right)^2} - 1\right)^{-\gamma}$$

$$\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} \frac{2P_0 D_0^{\gamma}}{R^{\gamma}} \sum_{\substack{(k,\ell)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\\(k,\ell)\neq(0,0)}} \left(\frac{3}{2}\sqrt{k^2 + \ell^2} - 1\right)^{-\gamma}$$

$$\stackrel{(c)}{\leq} \frac{2P_0 D_0^{\gamma}}{R^{\gamma}} \sum_{\substack{(k,\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ (k,\ell) \neq (0,0)}} \left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{k^2 + \ell^2}\right)^{-\gamma}$$

$$= \frac{2^{\gamma+1} P_0 D_0^{\gamma}}{R^{\gamma}} \sum_{\substack{(k,\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ (k,\ell) \neq (0,0)}} \left(k^2 + \ell^2\right)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}},$$
(76)

where (a) is due to $\frac{3}{2}<\sqrt{3},~(b)$ is due to the fact that the inequality

$$\sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{3}{2} \sqrt{k^2 + \left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2} - 1 \right)^{-\gamma} \le 2 \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{3}{2} \sqrt{k^2 + \ell^2} - 1 \right)^{-\gamma}$$
(77)

holds true for any odd k, and (c) is due to the fact that $1 \leq \sqrt{k^2 + \ell^2}$.

Next, we prove that the last line in (76) is bounded when $\gamma > 2$. Since $(k^2 + \ell^2)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}$ is independent of the signs of k and ℓ , we consider (k, ℓ) in the first quartile:

$$\sum_{\substack{(k,\ell)\in\mathbb{Z}^2\\(k,\ell)\neq(0,0)}} \left(k^2 + \ell^2\right)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \le 4 \sum_{\substack{(k,\ell)\in\mathbb{Z}^2_+\\(k,\ell)\neq(0,0)}} \left(k^2 + \ell^2\right)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}},\tag{78}$$

where \mathbb{Z}_+ is the set of non-negative integers. Then, we divide all $(k, \ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$, $(k, \ell) \neq (0, 0)$ into three subsets: (i) $\{(k, \ell) \mid k, \ell \geq 1, (k, \ell) \neq (1, 1)\}$, (ii) $\{(k, 0) \mid k \geq 1\} \cup \{(0, \ell) \mid \ell \geq 1\}$, and (iii) $\{(1, 1)\}$. Then, we get

$$\sum_{\substack{(k,\ell)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^2\\(k,\ell)\neq(0,0)}} \left(k^2+\ell^2\right)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} = \sum_{\substack{k,\ell\geq 1\\(k,\ell)\neq(1,1)}} \left(k^2+\ell^2\right)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} + 2\sum_{k\geq 1} k^{-\gamma} + 2^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}.$$
(79)

It remains to bound the first sum in (79) based on the monotonicity of the integral. For all $k, \ell \ge 1, (k, \ell) \ne (1, 1)$, we have

$$(k^{2} + \ell^{2})^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \leq \int_{k-1}^{k} \int_{\ell-1}^{\ell} (x^{2} + y^{2})^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} dx dy.$$
 (80)

Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{k,\ell \ge 1\\(k,\ell) \neq (1,1)}} \left(k^2 + \ell^2\right)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \le \sum_{\substack{k,\ell \ge 1\\(k,\ell) \neq (1,1)}} \int_{k-1}^k \int_{\ell-1}^\ell \left(x^2 + y^2\right)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y$$
$$= \int_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+, \max\{x,y\} \ge 1} \left(x^2 + y^2\right)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y$$
$$\stackrel{(a)}{\le} \int_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+, x^2 + y^2 \ge 1} \left(x^2 + y^2\right)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y$$
$$\stackrel{(b)}{=} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_1^\infty \eta^{1-\gamma} \mathrm{d}\eta \, \mathrm{d}\theta \stackrel{(c)}{=} \frac{\pi}{2(\gamma-2)}, \tag{81}$$

where (a) is because of the reduced integration region, (b) is due to the polar coordinate transformation, i.e., $x = \eta \cos \theta$, $y = \eta \sin \theta$, and $dx dy = \eta d\eta d\theta$, and (c) holds for all $\gamma > 2$. Using the same arguments, we can show

$$\sum_{k\geq 1} k^{-\gamma} \leq 1 + \sum_{k\geq 2} \int_{k-1}^{k} t^{-\gamma} dt = 1 + \int_{1}^{\infty} t^{-\gamma} dt = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1}.$$
(82)

As such, the last line in (76) is bounded when $\gamma > 2$.

Finally, we can derive the desired conclusion by putting all pieces together. In particular, substituting (81) and (82) into (79), and combining (76) and (78), we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1, j \neq b}^{B} \left(\max_{1 \le n \le N} g_{bjn} \right) \le \frac{2^{\gamma+3} P_0 D_0^{\gamma}}{R^{\gamma}} \left(\frac{\pi}{2(\gamma-2)} + \frac{2\gamma}{\gamma-1} + \frac{1}{2^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}} \right).$$
(83)

Denote the right-hand side of (83) as the constant C, which is independent of the total number of cells B. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

APPENDIX E

PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Theorem 2 can be proved by contradiction. The proof can be outlined as follows: first, we use the stable NSP of the matrix \tilde{S} (in Theorem 1) to derive some useful inequalities. Then, we carefully examine these derived inequalities by exploiting the properties of sets in (9) and (10). Finally, we use the property of the path-loss model (in Lemma 2) to derive the contradiction. It is worth mentioning that the proof is applicable to both types of signature sequences in Assumption 1.

We now prove Theorem 2 by contradiction. Let us assume that there exists a non-zero vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{C}$. Using Theorem 1 repeatedly, we can obtain *B* inequalities. More specifically, we define \mathcal{K}_b as the index set of \mathbf{a}° corresponding to all active devices in cell *b*, i.e., $|\mathcal{K}_b| = K$ and

$$\mathcal{K}_b = \{i \mid a_i^\circ = 1, \, (b-1)N + 1 \le i \le bN\}, \, 1 \le b \le B.$$
(84)

From (19), we can see that

$$\min_{1 \le n \le N} g_{bbn} \ge D, \ 1 \le b \le B,\tag{85}$$

where $D \triangleq P_0 \left(\frac{D_0}{R}\right)^{\gamma}$. We set

$$\bar{\rho} = \frac{D}{D+2C} \tag{86}$$

in Theorem 1, where C is defined in (20). Recall the definition of \mathcal{N} in (9). For each cell b, we set the index set $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{K}_b$ and $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{G}_b \mathbf{x}$ in (13). This gives us B inequalities:

$$\left\| \left[\mathbf{G}_{b} \mathbf{x} \right]_{\mathcal{K}_{b}} \right\|_{1} \leq \rho \left\| \left[\mathbf{G}_{b} \mathbf{x} \right]_{\mathcal{K}_{b}^{c}} \right\|_{1}, 1 \leq b \leq B.$$
(87)

We can derive an equivalent form of (87) by studying its right-hand side. Notice that $\mathcal{K}_b^c = (\bigcup_{j=1, j \neq b}^B \mathcal{K}_j) \cup \mathcal{I}$, where \mathcal{I} is defined in (8). Then, we get

$$\left\| \left[\mathbf{G}_{b} \mathbf{x} \right]_{\mathcal{K}_{b}^{c}} \right\|_{1} = \left\| \left[\mathbf{G}_{b} \mathbf{x} \right]_{\mathcal{I}} \right\|_{1} + \sum_{j=1, j \neq b}^{B} \left\| \left[\mathbf{G}_{b} \mathbf{x} \right]_{\mathcal{K}_{j}} \right\|_{1}.$$
(88)

Since $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}$ and \mathbf{G}_b is a positive definite diagonal matrix, it follows that the two sub-vectors of $\mathbf{G}_b \mathbf{x}$ with entries from \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{I}^c are non-negative and non-positive, respectively, i.e., $[\mathbf{G}_b \mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{I}} \ge \mathbf{0}$ and $[\mathbf{G}_b \mathbf{x}]_{\mathcal{I}^c} \le \mathbf{0}$. Now let $\mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{BN}$ denote the all-one vector, then

$$\mathbf{1}^{T}\mathbf{G}_{b}\mathbf{x} = \left\| \left[\mathbf{G}_{b}\mathbf{x}\right]_{\mathcal{I}} \right\|_{1} - \left\| \left[\mathbf{G}_{b}\mathbf{x}\right]_{\mathcal{I}^{c}} \right\|_{1}.$$
(89)

Let u denote the vectorization of the $L \times L$ identity matrix, i.e., $\mathbf{u} = \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{I}) \in \mathbb{R}^{L^2}$. Then, for each column of $\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$, it holds that

$$\mathbf{u}^{T}\left(\mathbf{s}_{bn}^{*}\otimes\mathbf{s}_{bn}\right) = \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{I}\cdot\mathbf{s}_{bn}\mathbf{s}_{bn}^{H}\right) = \|\mathbf{s}_{bn}\|_{2}^{2}.$$
(90)

Using $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{N}$ and the normalization of the sequence $\|\mathbf{s}_{bn}\|_2^2 = L$, we get

$$\mathbf{u}^T \widetilde{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{G}_b \mathbf{x} = L \mathbf{1}^T \mathbf{G}_b \mathbf{x} = 0.$$
(91)

Combining (89) and (91), and noting that $\mathcal{I}^c = \bigcup_{j=1}^B \mathcal{K}_j$, we obtain

$$\left\| \left[\mathbf{G}_{b} \mathbf{x} \right]_{\mathcal{I}} \right\|_{1} = \left\| \left[\mathbf{G}_{b} \mathbf{x} \right]_{\mathcal{I}^{c}} \right\|_{1} = \sum_{j=1}^{B} \left\| \left[\mathbf{G}_{b} \mathbf{x} \right]_{\mathcal{K}_{j}} \right\|_{1}.$$
(92)

By substituting (92) into (88), we can equivalently rewrite (87) as

$$\left\| \left[\mathbf{G}_{b} \mathbf{x} \right]_{\mathcal{K}_{b}} \right\|_{1} \leq \rho \left(\left\| \left[\mathbf{G}_{b} \mathbf{x} \right]_{\mathcal{K}_{b}} \right\|_{1} + 2 \sum_{j=1, \, j \neq b}^{B} \left\| \left[\mathbf{G}_{b} \mathbf{x} \right]_{\mathcal{K}_{j}} \right\|_{1} \right), \, 1 \leq b \leq B.$$
(93)

Finally, we will derive the contradiction by putting all pieces together. Since $\rho < \bar{\rho} < 1$, it follows from (93) that

$$\left\| \left[\mathbf{G}_{b} \mathbf{x} \right]_{\mathcal{K}_{b}} \right\|_{1} \leq \frac{2\rho}{1-\rho} \sum_{j=1, \, j \neq b}^{B} \left\| \left[\mathbf{G}_{b} \mathbf{x} \right]_{\mathcal{K}_{j}} \right\|_{1}, \, 1 \leq b \leq B.$$
(94)

Since $\mathbf{G}_b = \operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{G}_{b1}, \mathbf{G}_{b2}, \dots, \mathbf{G}_{bB})$ is a diagonal matrix, its diagonal elements with entries from \mathcal{K}_b are larger than $\min_n g_{bbn}$, and those with entries from \mathcal{K}_j are smaller than $\max_n g_{bjn}$, which further implies

$$\left\| \left[\mathbf{G}_{b} \mathbf{x} \right]_{\mathcal{K}_{b}} \right\|_{1} \geq \left(\min_{n} g_{bbn} \right) \left\| \mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{K}_{b}} \right\|_{1} \geq D \left\| \mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{K}_{b}} \right\|_{1},$$
(95)

$$\left\| \left[\mathbf{G}_{b} \mathbf{x} \right]_{\mathcal{K}_{j}} \right\|_{1} \leq \left(\max_{n} g_{bjn} \right) \left\| \mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{K}_{j}} \right\|_{1}, \, \forall \, j \neq b,$$
(96)

where the last inequality in (95) is from (85). Substituting (95) and (96) into (94), we get

$$D\left\|\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{K}_{b}}\right\|_{1} \leq \frac{2\rho}{1-\rho} \sum_{j=1, j\neq b}^{B} \left(\max_{n} g_{bjn}\right) \left\|\mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{K}_{j}}\right\|_{1}, 1 \leq b \leq B.$$

$$(97)$$

In particular, consider $\bar{b} = \operatorname{argmax}_{b} \| \mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{K}_{b}} \|_{1}$. Then, we get the following contradiction:

$$D \| \mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{K}_{\bar{b}}} \|_{1} \leq \frac{2\rho}{1-\rho} \sum_{j=1, j\neq \bar{b}}^{B} (\max_{n} g_{\bar{b}jn}) \| \mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{K}_{j}} \|_{1}$$
$$\leq \frac{2\rho}{1-\rho} \sum_{j=1, j\neq \bar{b}}^{B} (\max_{n} g_{\bar{b}jn}) \| \mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{K}_{\bar{b}}} \|_{1}$$
$$\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} \frac{2\rho}{1-\rho} C \| \mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{K}_{\bar{b}}} \|_{1} \stackrel{(b)}{\leq} D \| \mathbf{x}_{\mathcal{K}_{\bar{b}}} \|_{1}, \tag{98}$$

where (a) follows from (20) and (b) results from the fact that $\rho < \bar{\rho} = \frac{D}{D+2C}$. The contradiction in (98) implies our assumption that there exists a non-zero vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{C}$ must be false, hence $\mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{C} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

APPENDIX F

PROOF OF THEOREM 3

The proof follows a similar approach to that of [8, Theorem 4], with the main difference being the dimensions and construction of the cone C. Specifically, the constraints $a_{bn} \in [0, 1]$ for all b and n in (6b) ensure that for small positive t and any $\mathbf{x}' \in C$, $\mathbf{a}^{\circ} + t \mathbf{x}'$ is still feasible, i.e., $\mathbf{a}^{\circ} + t \mathbf{x}' \in [0, 1]^{BN}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

APPENDIX G

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 4

The proof relies on the fact that every function $f_j(\mathbf{a})$ for $1 \le j \le B$ has a quadratic upper bound. The outline of the proof is as follows. First, we utilize the quadratic upper bounds of $f_j(\mathbf{a})$ for all $j \neq b$ to demonstrate that Algorithm 2 will terminate at a finite *i*. Then, we employ the quadratic upper bound of $f_b(\mathbf{a})$ to establish the sufficient decrease of the whole objective function with the output \bar{d} of Algorithm 2. Finally, we combine the properties of the quadratic upper bound to derive the desired inequality (36).

First, we prove that Algorithm 2 will terminate at a finite *i*. Since the gradient of $f_j(\mathbf{a})$ is Lipschitz continuous [32], we denote $\eta_j > 0$ as the Lipschitz constant of $\nabla f_j(\mathbf{a})$, and denote $\eta = \sum_{j=1}^{B} \eta_j$ as the Lipschitz constant of $\nabla F(\mathbf{a})$. Then, we have a quadratic upper bound on $f_j(\mathbf{a})$ [52, Proposition A.24] i.e., for any $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}' \in [0, 1]^{BN}$, the following inequalities hold:

$$f_j(\mathbf{a}') \le f_j(\mathbf{a}) + \nabla f_j(\mathbf{a})^T (\mathbf{a}' - \mathbf{a}) + \frac{\eta_j}{2} \|\mathbf{a}' - \mathbf{a}\|_2^2, \ 1 \le j \le B.$$
 (99)

Setting $\mathbf{a}' = \mathbf{a} + d \mathbf{e}_{bn}$ in (99), we obtain

$$f_j\left(\mathbf{a} + d\,\mathbf{e}_{bn}\right) \le f_j(\mathbf{a}) + \left[\nabla f_j(\mathbf{a})\right]_{bn} d + \frac{\eta_j}{2} d^2, \ 1 \le j \le B.$$
(100)

Summing all inequalities in (100) for all $j \neq b$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1, \, j \neq b}^{B} f_j\left(\mathbf{a} + d\,\mathbf{e}_{bn}\right) \le \sum_{j=1, \, j \neq b}^{B} \left(f_j(\mathbf{a}) + \left[\nabla f_j(\mathbf{a})\right]_{bn} d\right) + \frac{\eta}{2} d^2 \tag{101}$$

holds for all $d \in [-a_{bn}, 1-a_{bn}]$. We observe from (101) that the sufficient decrease condition (33) always holds true when $\mu^{(i)} = \beta^i \mu^{(0)} \ge \eta$. Therefore, there exists an integer $i_0 \le \log_\beta (\eta/\mu^{(0)}) + 1$, such that Algorithm 2 will terminate at $i = i_0$.

Next, we utilize the quadratic upper bound of $f_b(\mathbf{a})$ to establish an upper bound on the objective function value $F(\mathbf{a} + d^{(i_0)}\mathbf{e}_{bn})$. Since the sufficient decrease condition (33) holds at $i = i_0$, we add $f_b(\mathbf{a} + d^{(i_0)}\mathbf{e}_{bn})$ to both sides of (33), use the fact that $F(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{j=1}^B f_j(\mathbf{a})$, and obtain

$$F(\mathbf{a} + d^{(i_0)}\mathbf{e}_{bn}) \le f_b(\mathbf{a} + d^{(i_0)}\mathbf{e}_{bn}) + \sum_{j=1, j \ne b}^B \left(f_j(\mathbf{a}) + \left[\nabla f_j(\mathbf{a})\right]_{bn} d^{(i_0)}\right) + \frac{\mu^{(i_0)}}{2} \left(d^{(i_0)}\right)^2.$$
(102)

Since $d^{(i_0)}$ is the optimal solution to problem (30), inequality (102) still holds when $d^{(i_0)}$ in its right-hand side is replaced with any $d \in [-a_{bn}, 1 - a_{bn}]$, i.e.,

$$F(\mathbf{a} + d^{(i_0)}\mathbf{e}_{bn}) \le f_b(\mathbf{a} + d\,\mathbf{e}_{bn}) + \sum_{j=1,\,j\neq b}^B \left(f_j(\mathbf{a}) + [\nabla f_j(\mathbf{a})]_{bn}\,d\right) + \frac{\mu^{(i_0)}}{2}d^2.$$
 (103)

By substituting (100) for j = b into (103), we get the quadratic upper bound:

$$F(\mathbf{a} + d^{(i_0)}\mathbf{e}_{bn}) \le F(\mathbf{a}) + [\nabla F(\mathbf{a})]_{bn} d + \frac{\eta_b + \mu^{(i_0)}}{2} d^2 \le F(\mathbf{a}) + [\nabla F(\mathbf{a})]_{bn} d + \frac{\eta(\beta + 1)}{2} d^2,$$
(104)

where the last inequality holds because $\eta_b \leq \eta$ and $\mu^{(i_0)} \leq \beta \eta$.

Finally, we study the optimal value of the right-hand side of (104) in order to obtain the desired inequality (36). The minimum of the right-hand side of (104) over the interval $[-a_{bn}, 1 - a_{bn}]$ is reached at

$$d' = \operatorname{Proj}\left(a_{bn} - \frac{[\nabla F(\mathbf{a})]_{bn}}{\eta(\beta+1)}\right) - a_{bn}.$$
(105)

Based on (105), it is easy to verify that $[\nabla F(\mathbf{a})]_{bn}$ has the opposite sign to d', and $\eta(\beta+1)|d'| \leq |[\nabla F(\mathbf{a})]_{bn}|$ due to the nonexpansiveness of the projection operator. Hence, we have

$$[\nabla F(\mathbf{a})]_{bn} \, d' \le -\eta (\beta + 1) (d')^2. \tag{106}$$

Substituting d = d' into (104) and using (105) and (106), we obtain

$$F(\mathbf{a} + d^{(i_0)}\mathbf{e}_{bn}) \le F(\mathbf{a}) - \frac{\eta(\beta+1)}{2} \left(\operatorname{Proj}\left(a_{bn} - \frac{[\nabla F(\mathbf{a})]_{bn}}{\eta(\beta+1)}\right) - a_{bn} \right)^2.$$
(107)

Next, we analyze the right-hand side of (107). We define a function with respect to t > 0 as

$$\chi(t) \triangleq t^2 \left(\operatorname{Proj}\left(a_{bn} - \frac{[\nabla F(\mathbf{a})]_{bn}}{t} \right) - a_{bn} \right)^2,$$
(108)

which allows us to rewrite (107) as

$$F(\mathbf{a} + d^{(i_0)}\mathbf{e}_{bn}) \le F(\mathbf{a}) - \frac{\chi(\eta(\beta+1))}{2\eta(\beta+1)}.$$
(109)

Notice that $\chi(t)$ is an increasing function of t [52, Lemma 2.3.1]. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\eta(\beta + 1) \ge 1$. Applying the inequality $\chi(\eta(\beta + 1)) \ge \chi(1)$ into (109), we obtain

$$F(\mathbf{a} + d^{(i_0)}\mathbf{e}_{bn}) \le F(\mathbf{a}) - \frac{\chi(1)}{2\eta(\beta + 1)},$$
(110)

where $\chi(1) = [\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{a})]_{bn}^2$ by the definitions of $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{a})$ and $\chi(t)$ in (26) and (108), respectively. Notice that the output $\bar{d} = d^{(i_0)}$. This completes the proof of Proposition 4.

Appendix H

PROOF OF THEOREM 4

We first analyze the convergence of Algorithm 3 when the inexact Algorithm 2 is used to update each coordinate in the selected active set. We shall show that Algorithm 3 will terminate within $O(\eta\beta/\epsilon^2)$ iterations in this case. In particular, we shall first show that the active set $\mathcal{A}^{(k)}$ is non-empty when Algorithm 3 does not terminate at the k-th iteration; then we shall derive an upper bound on k after which the algorithm will terminates. Assuming that the algorithm does not terminate at the k-th iteration, we prove that $\mathcal{A}^{(k)}$ is non-empty by contradiction. If $\mathcal{A}^{(k)}$ is empty, then (37) implies that

$$\omega^{(k)} > \left\| \mathbb{V}(\mathbf{a}^{(k)}) \right\|_{\infty}.$$
(111)

From (38), we can conclude that (111) holds only if

$$\left\| \mathbb{V}(\mathbf{a}^{(k)}) \right\|_{\infty} < \epsilon.$$
(112)

This contradicts the assumption that Algorithm 3 does not terminate at the *k*-th iteration (since the algorithm would have terminated if (112) holds). Therefore, $\mathcal{A}^{(k)}$ must be non-empty.

Next, we derive an upper bound on k based on the result in Proposition 4. Let $T_k \ge 1$ be the number of coordinates in $\mathcal{A}^{(k)}$, and let $\mathbf{a}^{(k,t)}$ denote the value of $\mathbf{a}^{(k)}$ after t coordinate updates, where $0 \le t \le T_k$. Notice that $\mathbf{a}^{(k,0)} = \mathbf{a}^{(k)}$ and $\mathbf{a}^{(k,T_k)} = \mathbf{a}^{(k+1)}$. Suppose that (b, n) is the first coordinate to be updated, then using (36) in Proposition 4, we have

$$F(\mathbf{a}^{(k,1)}) \leq F(\mathbf{a}^{(k)}) - \frac{\left[\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{a}^{(k)})\right]_{bn}^{2}}{2\eta(\beta+1)}$$
$$\leq F(\mathbf{a}^{(k)}) - \frac{(\omega^{(k)})^{2}}{2\eta(\beta+1)}$$
$$\leq F(\mathbf{a}^{(k)}) - \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2\eta(\beta+1)}, \tag{113}$$

where the second inequality is due to the active set selection strategy, i.e., $[\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{a}^{(k)})]_{bn} \ge \omega^{(k)}$ in (37), and the last inequality follows from $\omega^{(k)} \ge \epsilon$ in (38). It follows from Proposition 4 that the objective function does not increase after each coordinate update by Algorithm 2, i.e.,

$$F(\mathbf{a}^{(k+1)}) = F(\mathbf{a}^{(k,T_k)}) \le F(\mathbf{a}^{(k,T_k-1)}) \le \dots \le F(\mathbf{a}^{(k,1)}).$$
(114)

Combining (113) and (114), we obtain

$$F(\mathbf{a}^{(k+1)}) \le F(\mathbf{a}^{(k)}) - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2\eta(\beta+1)}.$$
(115)

Using (115) recursively, we get

$$F^* \le F(\mathbf{a}^{(k+1)}) \le F(\mathbf{a}^{(0)}) - (k+1)\frac{\epsilon^2}{2\eta(\beta+1)},$$
(116)

where F^* denotes the optimal value of $F(\mathbf{a})$. Then it follows from (116) that k has the upper bound:

$$k+1 \le \frac{2\eta(\beta+1)}{\epsilon^2} \left(F(\mathbf{a}^{(0)}) - F^* \right), \tag{117}$$

which shows that Algorithm 3 will terminate within $\mathcal{O}(\eta\beta/\epsilon^2)$ iterations.

Finally, we consider the convergence of Algorithm 3 when the root finding algorithm [48] is employed to update the coordinates in the selected active set. Since the root-finding algorithm solves problem (27) exactly, the decrease in the objective function achieved by the root-finding algorithm when updating the first coordinate is not less than that achieved by the inexact Algorithm 2. Specifically, for the first updated coordinate (b, n) at the *k*-th iteration, the rootfinding algorithm and Algorithm 2 output \hat{d} and \bar{d} , respectively, such that

$$F(\mathbf{a} + \hat{d} \,\mathbf{e}_{bn}) \le F(\mathbf{a} + \bar{d} \,\mathbf{e}_{bn}) \le F(\mathbf{a}) - \frac{\left[\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{a})\right]_{bn}^2}{2\eta(\beta+1)}.$$
(118)

Additionally, the objective function does not increase after updating the second to T_k -th coordinates at the *k*-th iteration. Therefore, Eqs. (113)–(117) also hold if the root-finding algorithm is used to update the coordinates. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

REFERENCES

- Z. Wang, Y.-F. Liu, Z. Chen, and W. Yu, "Accelerating coordinate descent via active set selection for device activity detection for multi-cell massive random access," in *Proc. IEEE Workshop Signal Process. Adv. Wireless Commun. (SPAWC)*, Lucca, Italy, Sep. 2021, pp. 366–370.
- [2] Z. Wang, Y.-F. Liu, Z. Wang, and W. Yu, "Scaling law analysis for covariance based activity detection in cooperative multi-cell massive MIMO," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Acoust., Speech, Signal Process. (ICASSP)*, Rhodes, Greece, Jun. 2023, pp. 1–5.
- [3] C. Bockelmann, N. Pratas, H. Nikopour, K. Au, T. Svensson, Č. Stefanović, P. Popovski, and A. Dekorsy, "Massive machine-type communications in 5G: Physical and MAC-layer solutions," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 54, no. 9, pp. 59–65, Sep. 2016.
- [4] X. Chen, D. W. K. Ng, W. Yu, E. G. Larsson, N. Al-Dhahir, and R. Schober, "Massive access for 5G and beyond," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 615–637, Mar. 2021.
- [5] L. Liu, E. G. Larsson, W. Yu, P. Popovski, Č. Stefanović, and E. de Carvalho, "Sparse signal processing for grant-free massive connectivity: A future paradigm for random access protocols in the internet of things," *IEEE Signal Process. Mag.*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 88–99, Sep. 2018.
- [6] L. Liu and W. Yu, "Massive connectivity with massive MIMO —Part I: Device activity detection and channel estimation," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 66, no. 11, pp. 2933–2946, Jun. 2018.
- [7] S. Haghighatshoar, P. Jung, and G. Caire, "Improved scaling law for activity detection in massive MIMO systems," in Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory (ISIT), Vail, CO, USA, Jun. 2018, pp. 381–385.
- [8] Z. Chen, F. Sohrabi, Y.-F. Liu, and W. Yu, "Phase transition analysis for covariance-based massive random access with massive MIMO," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 68, no. 3, pp. 1696–1715, Mar. 2022.
- [9] Z. Chen, F. Sohrabi, and W. Yu, "Sparse activity detection in multi-cell massive MIMO exploiting channel large-scale fading," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 69, pp. 3768–3781, Jun. 2021.
- [10] U. K. Ganesan, E. Björnson, and E. G. Larsson, "Clustering-based activity detection algorithms for grant-free random access in cell-free massive MIMO," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 69, no. 11, pp. 7520–7530, Nov. 2021.

- [11] A. Fengler, S. Haghighatshoar, P. Jung, and G. Caire, "Non-Bayesian activity detection, large-scale fading coefficient estimation, and unsourced random access with a massive MIMO receiver," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 67, no. 5, pp. 2925–2951, May 2021.
- [12] T. S. Rappaport, Wireless Communications: Principles and Practice, 2nd ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 2002.
- [13] K. Senel and E. G. Larsson, "Grant-free massive MTC-enabled massive MIMO: A compressive sensing approach," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 66, no. 12, pp. 6164–6175, Dec. 2018.
- [14] Z. Chen, F. Sohrabi, and W. Yu, "Sparse activity detection for massive connectivity," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 66, no. 7, pp. 1890–1904, Apr. 2018.
- [15] W. Zhu, M. Tao, X. Yuan, and Y. Guan, "Message passing-based joint user activity detection and channel estimation for temporally-correlated massive access," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 71, no. 6, pp. 3576–3591, Jun. 2023.
- [16] A. Rajoriya and R. Budhiraja, "Joint AMP-SBL algorithms for device activity detection and channel estimation in massive MIMO mMTC systems," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 71, no. 4, pp. 2136–2152, Apr. 2023.
- [17] H. Iimori, T. Takahashi, K. Ishibashi, G. T. F. de Abreu, and W. Yu, "Grant-free access via bilinear inference for cell-free MIMO with low-coherence pilots," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 20, no. 11, pp. 7694–7710, Nov. 2021.
- [18] S. Zhang, Y. Cui, and W. Chen, "Joint device activity detection, channel estimation and signal detection for massive grant-free access via BiGAMP," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 71, pp. 1200–1215, Apr. 2023.
- [19] X. Bian, Y. Mao, and J. Zhang, "Joint activity detection, channel estimation, and data decoding for grant-free massive random access," *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 10, no. 16, pp. 14042–14057, Aug. 2023.
- [20] T. Li, J. Zhang, Z. Yang, Z. L. Yu, Z. Gu, and Y. Li, "Dynamic user activity and data detection for grant-free NOMA via weighted ℓ_{2,1} minimization," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 1638–1651, Mar. 2022.
- [21] L. Liu and Y.-F. Liu, "An efficient algorithm for device detection and channel estimation in asynchronous IoT systems," in *Proc. IEEE ICASSP*, Toronto, ON, Canada, Jun. 2021, pp. 4815–4819.
- [22] L. Marata, O. L. A. López, A. Hauptmann, H. Djelouat, and H. Alves, "Joint activity detection and channel estimation for clustered massive machine type communications," *arXiv*:2305.02935, 2023.
- [23] J. Kang and W. Yu, "Minimum feedback for collision-free scheduling in massive random access," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 67, no. 12, pp. 8094–8108, Dec. 2021.
- [24] —, "Scheduling versus contention for massive random access in massive MIMO systems," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 70, no. 9, pp. 5811–5824, Sep. 2022.
- [25] S. Khanna and C. R. Murthy, "On the support recovery of jointly sparse Gaussian sources via sparse Bayesian learning," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 68, no. 11, pp. 7361–7378, Nov. 2022.
- [26] D. Jiang and Y. Cui, "ML and MAP device activity detections for grant-free massive access in multi-cell networks," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 3893–3908, Jun. 2022.
- [27] J. Dong, J. Zhang, Y. Shi, and J. H. Wang, "Faster activity and data detection in massive random access: A multiarmed bandit approach," *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 9, no. 15, pp. 13664–13678, Aug. 2022.
- [28] X. Xie, Y. Wu, J. Gao, and W. Zhang, "Massive unsourced random access for massive MIMO correlated channels," in *IEEE Global Commun. Conf. (GLOBECOM)*, Taipei, Taiwan, 2020, pp. 1–6.
- [29] D. P. Wipf and B. D. Rao, "An empirical Bayesian strategy for solving the simultaneous sparse approximation problem," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 55, no. 7, pp. 3704–3716, Jul. 2007.
- [30] P. Stoica, P. Babu, and J. Li, "SPICE: A sparse covariance-based estimation method for array processing," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 59, no. 2, pp. 629–638, Feb. 2011.

- [31] Z. Wang, Z. Chen, Y.-F. Liu, F. Sohrabi, and W. Yu, "An efficient active set algorithm for covariance based joint data and activity detection for massive random access with massive MIMO," in *Proc. IEEE ICASSP*, Toronto, ON, Canada, Jun. 2021, pp. 4840–4844.
- [32] X. Shao, X. Chen, D. W. K. Ng, C. Zhong, and Z. Zhang, "Cooperative activity detection: Sourced and unsourced massive random access paradigms," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 68, pp. 6578–6593, Nov. 2020.
- [33] Y. Li, Q. Lin, Y.-F. Liu, B. Ai, and Y.-C. Wu, "Asynchronous activity detection for cell-free massive MIMO: From centralized to distributed algorithms," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 2477–2492, Apr. 2023.
- [34] Y.-F. Liu, W. Yu, Z. Wang, Z. Chen, and F. Sohrabi, "Grant-free random access via covariance-based approach," in *Next Generation Multiple Access.*, (Editors: Yuanwei Liu, Liang Liu, Zhiguo Ding, and Xuemin (Sherman) Shen), Wiley, 2023.
- [35] J. Gao, Y. Wu, S. Shao, W. Yang, and H. V. Poor, "Energy efficiency of massive random access in MIMO quasi-static Rayleigh fading channels with finite blocklength," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 69, no. 3, pp. 1618–1657, Mar. 2023.
- [36] Z. Chen, F. Sohrabi, Y.-F. Liu, and W. Yu, "Covariance based joint activity and data detection for massive random access with massive MIMO," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Commun. (ICC)*, Shanghai, China, May 2019, pp. 1–6.
- [37] Z. Wang, Y.-F. Liu, and L. Liu, "Covariance-based joint device activity and delay detection in asynchronous mMTC," *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, vol. 29, pp. 538–542, Jan. 2022.
- [38] Q. Lin, Y. Li, and Y.-C. Wu, "Sparsity constrained joint activity and data detection for massive access: A difference-ofnorms penalty framework," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 1480–1494, Mar. 2023.
- [39] W. Liu, Y. Cui, F. Yang, L. Ding, J. Xu, and X. Xu, "MLE-based device activity detection for grant-free massive access under frequency offsets," in *Proc. IEEE ICC*, Seoul, Korea, May 2022, pp. 1629–1634.
- [40] F. Tian, X. Chen, L. Liu, and D. W. K. Ng, "Massive unsourced random access over Rician fading channels: Design, analysis, and optimization," *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 9, no. 18, pp. 17675–17688, Sep. 2022.
- [41] W. Liu, Y. Cui, F. Yang, L. Ding, and J. Sun, "MLE-based device activity detection under Rician fading for massive grant-free access with perfect and imperfect synchronization," arXiv:2306.06734, 2023.
- [42] W. Jiang, Y. Jia, and Y. Cui, "Statistical device activity detection for OFDM-based massive grant-free access," *IEEE Trans. Wireless Commun.*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 3805–3820, Jun. 2023.
- [43] Z. Wang, Y.-F. Liu, Z. Wang, L. Liu, H. Pan, and S. Cui, "Device activity detection in mMTC with low-resolution ADC: A new protocol," arXiv:2304.05119, 2023.
- [44] C. R. Srivatsa and C. R. Murthy, "User activity detection for irregular repetition slotted ALOHA based mMTC," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 70, pp. 3616–3631, Jun. 2022.
- [45] Y. Polyanskiy, "A perspective on massive random-access," in Proc. IEEE ISIT, Aachen, Germany, Jun. 2017, pp. 2523–2527.
- [46] V. K. Amalladinne, J.-F. Chamberland, and K. R. Narayanan, "A coded compressed sensing scheme for unsourced multiple access," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 66, no. 10, pp. 6509–6533, Oct. 2020.
- [47] A. Fengler, P. Jung, and G. Caire, "SPARCs for unsourced random access," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 67, no. 10, pp. 6894–6915, Oct. 2021.
- [48] J. M. McNamee, Numerical Methods for Roots of Polynomials-Part I. Amsterdam: The Netherlands: Elsevier, 2007.
- [49] R. Vershynin, High-Dimensional Probability: An Introduction with Applications in Data Science. Cambridge Univ. Press, 2018, vol. 47.
- [50] E. A. Karatsuba, "On the asymptotic representation of the Euler gamma function by Ramanujan," J. Comput. Appl. Math., vol. 135, no. 2, pp. 225–240, 2001.
- [51] H. R. S. Foucart, A Mathematical Introduction to Compressive Sensing. Appl. Numer. Harmon. Anal. Birkhäuser, 2013.
- [52] D. P. Bertsekas, Nonlinear Programming, 2nd ed. Belmont, MA, USA: Athena Scientific, 1999.