

# $L_1$ APPROACH TO THE COMPRESSIBLE VISCOUS FLUID FLOWS IN THE HALF-SPACE

JOU CHUN KUO AND YOSHIHIRO SHIBATA

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we prove the local well-posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations describing the motion of isotropic barotropic compressible viscous fluid flow with non-slip boundary conditions, where the half-space  $\mathbb{R}_+^N = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid x_N > 0\}$  ( $N \geq 2$ ) is the fluid domain. The density part of our solutions belongs to  $W_1^1((0, T), B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)) \cap L_1((0, T), B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N))$  and the velocity part of our solutions  $W_1^1((0, T), B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N) \cap L_1((0, T), B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N)$ , where  $B_{q,1}^\mu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  denotes the inhomogeneous Besov space on  $\mathbb{R}_+^N$ . Namely, we solve the equations in the  $L_1$  in time and  $B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N) \times B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N$  in space maximal regularity framework. We use Lagrange transformation to eliminate the convection term  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \rho$  and we use an analytic semigroup approach. We only assume the strictly positiveness of initial mass density. An essential assumption is that  $-1 + N/q \leq s < 1/q$  and  $N - 1 < q < \infty$ . Here,  $N/q$  is the crucial order to obtain  $\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L_\infty} \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}}$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $1 < q < \infty$  and  $-1 + N/q \leq s < 1/q$ , where  $N \geq 2$  is the space dimension. In this paper, we use the  $L_1$ - $B_{q,1}^{s+1} \times B_{q,1}^s$  maximal regularity framework to show the local well-posedness of the Navier-Stokes equations describing the isotropic motion of the compressible viscous fluid flows in the half-space. Let

$$\mathbb{R}_+^N = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid x_N > 0\}, \quad \partial\mathbb{R}_+^N = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid x_N = 0\}.$$

The equations considered in this paper read as

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \rho_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N \times (0, T), \\ \rho(\mathbf{v}_t + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}) - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{v} - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} + \nabla P(\rho) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{v}|_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^N} = 0, \quad (\rho, \mathbf{v}) = (\rho_0, \mathbf{v}_0) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N. \end{cases}$$

Here,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  denote respective the viscosity coefficients and the second viscosity coefficients satisfying the conditions

$$(1.2) \quad \alpha > 0, \quad \alpha + \beta > 0,$$

and  $P(\rho)$  is a smooth function defined on  $(0, \infty)$  satisfying  $P'(\rho) > 0$ , that is, the barotropic fluid is considered.

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $N - 1 < q < \infty$  and  $-1 + N/q \leq s < 1/q$ . Let  $\rho_*$  be a positive constant describing the mass density of the reference body, and let  $\tilde{\eta}_0 \in B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ . Set  $\eta(x) = \rho_* + \tilde{\eta}_0(x)$ . Assume that there exist two positive constants  $\rho_1 < \rho_2$  such that*

$$(1.3) \quad \rho_1 < \rho_* < \rho_2, \quad \rho_1 < P'(\rho_*) < \rho_2, \quad \rho_1 < \eta_0(x) < \rho_2, \quad \rho_1 < P'(\eta_0(x)) < \rho_2 \quad (x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^N}).$$

---

*Date:* November 28, 2023.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 35Q30; Secondary: 76N10.

*Key words and phrases.* Navier-Stokes equations; maximal  $L_1$ -regularity, local wellposedness.

The second author is partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 22H01134.

Then, there exist small numbers  $T > 0$  and  $\sigma > 0$  such that for any initial data  $\rho_0 = \rho_* + \tilde{\rho}_0$  with  $\tilde{\rho}_0 \in B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  and  $\mathbf{v}_0 \in B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ , problem (1.1) admits unique solutions  $\rho$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  satisfying the regularity conditions:

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho - \rho_0 &\in L_1((0, T), B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)) \cap W_1^1((0, T), B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)), \\ \mathbf{v} &\in L_1((0, T), B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N) \cap W_1^1((0, T), B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N) \end{aligned}$$

provided that  $\|\tilde{\rho}_0 - \tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq \sigma$

**Remark 2.** The condition  $-1 + N/q \leq s < 1/q$  requires that  $-1 + N/q < 1/q$ . Thus, the condition  $N - 1 < q$  is necessary for our argument. On the other hand, the requirement of  $s < 1/q$  comes from our linear theory. To use the Abidi-Paicu-Haspot theory for the Besov space estimate of the products of functions (cf. Lemma 8 in Sect. 2 below), we have to assume that  $-N/q' < s < N/q$ . Since we assume that  $-1 + N/q \leq s$ , this condition is satisfied, because  $-N/q' < -1 + N/q$ , that is  $N > 1$ .

R. Danchin and R. Tolksdorf [9] proved the local and global well-posedness of equations (1.1) in the  $L_1$  in time and  $B_{q,1}^{N/q} \times B_{q,1}^{N/q-1}$  in space maximal regularity framework for some  $q \in (2, \min(4, 2N/(N-2)))$ , and the main assumption is that the fluid domain is bounded. In particular, they consider only the case where  $s = N/q$  in our notation for thier local well-posedness theory. To obtain the  $L_1$  in time maximal regularity of solutions to the linearized equations, so called Stokes equations in the compressible fluid flow case, in [9] they used their extended version of Da Prato and Grisvard theory [6], which was a first result concerning  $L_1$  maximal regularity for continuous analytic semigroups. In [9], they assumed that the fluid domain is bounded, which seems to be necessary to obtain the linear theory for Lamé equations cf. [9, Sect. 3] in their argument

The final goal of our study is to solve equations (1.1) if the fluid domain is a general  $C^2$  class domain. If the fluid domain is the whole space, a number of results have been established [5, 8, 1, 12] and references given therein. Thus, our interest is in the initial boundary value problem case. As a first step of our study, in this paper we consider equations in the half-space, namely the model problem for the initial boundary value problem.

**1.1. Problem Reformulation.** To prove Theorem 1, it is advantageous to transfer equations (1.1) to equations in Lagrange coordinates. In fact, the convection term  $\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \rho$  in the material derivative disappears in the equations of Lagrange coordinates.

Let  $\mathbf{u}(x, t)$  be the velocity field in Lagrange coordinates:  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$  and we consider Lagrange transformation:

$$y = X_{\mathbf{u}}(x, t) := x + \int_0^t \mathbf{u}(x, \tau) \, d\tau,$$

where equations (1.1) are written in Euler coordinates:  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_N)$ . We assume that

$$(1.5) \quad \left\| \int_0^T \nabla \mathbf{u}(\cdot, \tau) \, d\tau \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq c_0$$

with some small constant  $c_0 > 0$ , and then for each  $t \in (0, T)$ , the map:  $X_{\mathbf{u}}(x, t) = y$  is a  $C^1$  diffeomorphism from  $\mathbb{R}_+^N$  onto  $\Phi(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  under the assumption that  $\mathbf{u} \in L_1((0, T), B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N)$  with  $-1 + N/q \leq s < 1/q$  (cf. Danchin et al [7]). Moreover, using an argument due to Ströhmer [32], we have  $\Phi(\mathbb{R}_+^N) = \mathbb{R}_+^N$ , and so as a conclusion,  $\Phi(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  is a  $C^1$  diffeomorphism from  $\mathbb{R}_+^N$  onto  $\mathbb{R}_+^N$ .

We shall drive equations in Lagrange coordinates. Let  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}}$  is the Jacobi matrix of transformation:  $y = X_{\mathbf{u}}$ , that is

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}} = \frac{\partial x}{\partial y} = \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \right)^{-1} = \left( \mathbb{I} + \int_0^t \nabla \mathbf{u}(x, \tau) \, d\tau \right)^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \int_0^t \nabla \mathbf{u}(x, \tau) \, d\tau \right)^j,$$

which is well-defined under the smallness assumption (1.5), where  $\mathbb{I}$  denotes the  $N \times N$  identity matrix. We have the following well-known formulas:

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.6) \quad & \nabla_y = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}}^\top \nabla_x, \quad \operatorname{div}_y(\cdot) = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}}^\top : \nabla_x(\cdot) = \operatorname{div}_x(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}}(\cdot)), \\
& \nabla_y \operatorname{div}_y(\cdot) = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}}^\top \nabla_x((\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}}^\top - \mathbb{I}) : \nabla_x(\cdot)) + \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}}^\top \nabla_x \operatorname{div}_x(\cdot), \\
& \Delta_y(\cdot) = \operatorname{div}_y \nabla_y(\cdot) = \operatorname{div}_x(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}}^\top \nabla_x(\cdot)) = \operatorname{div}_x((\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}}^\top - \mathbb{I}) \nabla_x(\cdot)) + \Delta_x(\cdot).
\end{aligned}$$

Transformation law (1.6) transforms the system of equations (1.1) into the following system of equations:

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \rho \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = F(\rho, \mathbf{u}) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N \times (0, T), \\ \rho \partial_t \mathbf{u} - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{u} - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} + \nabla P(\rho) = \mathbf{G}(\rho, \mathbf{u}) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{u}|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} = 0, \quad (\rho, \mathbf{u})|_{t=0} = (\rho_0, \mathbf{u}_0) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N. \end{cases}$$

Here, we have set

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.8) \quad & F(\rho, \mathbf{u}) = \rho((\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}}) : \nabla \mathbf{u}) \\
& \mathbf{G}(\rho, \mathbf{u}) = (\mathbb{I} - (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}}^\top)^{-1})(\rho \partial_t \mathbf{u} - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{u}) + \alpha (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}}^\top)^{-1} \operatorname{div}((\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}}^\top - \mathbb{I}) : \nabla \mathbf{u}) \\
& \quad + \beta \nabla((\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}}^\top - \mathbb{I}) : \nabla \mathbf{u}).
\end{aligned}$$

For equations (1.7), we shall prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $N - 1 < q < \infty$  and  $-1 + N/q \leq s < 1/q$ . Let  $\eta_0 = \rho_* + \tilde{\eta}_0$  be a given initial data such that  $\tilde{\eta}_0 \in B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  and for some positive constants  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$ , the assumption (1.3) holds. Then, there exist constants  $\delta > 0$  and  $T > 0$  such that for any initial data  $\rho_0 \in B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  and  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N$ , problem (1.7) admits unique solutions  $\rho$  and  $\mathbf{u}$  satisfying the regularity conditions:*

$$\rho - \rho_0 \in W_1^1((0, T), B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)), \quad \mathbf{u} \in L_1((0, T), B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N) \cap W_1^1((0, T), B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N).$$

provided that  $\|\rho_0 - \eta_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq \sigma$ .

**1.2.  $L_1$  theory for the Stokes equations.** To prove Theorem 3, the key issue is the  $L_1$  maximal regularity theorem for the linearized equations of (1.7) at initial mass density  $\eta_0(x) = \rho_* + \tilde{\eta}_0(x)$  with  $\tilde{\eta}_0(x) \in B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ , which read as

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \Pi + \eta_0(x) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{U} = F & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N \times (0, \infty), \\ \eta_0(x) \partial_t \mathbf{U} - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{U} - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{U} + \nabla(P'(\eta_0(x))\Pi) = \mathbf{G} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N \times (0, \infty), \\ \mathbf{U}|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} = 0, \quad (\Pi, \mathbf{U})|_{t=0} = (\rho_0, \mathbf{u}_0) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N. \end{cases}$$

We shall prove the following theorem in Sect. 3 below, which will be used to prove Theorem 3.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $N - 1 < q < \infty$ ,  $-1 + N/q \leq s < 1/q$ , and  $T > 0$ . Assume that  $\tilde{\eta}_0(x) \in B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  and that the assumption (1.3) holds. Then, there exist positive constants  $\gamma > 0$  and  $C > 0$  such that for any initial data  $(\rho_0, \mathbf{u}_0)$  and right membes  $(F, \mathbf{G})$  such that  $(\rho_0, \mathbf{u}_0) \in B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N) \times B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N$ ,*

$$e^{-\gamma t} F \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+, B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)), \quad e^{-\gamma t} \mathbf{G} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+, B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N),$$

then the initial boundary problem (1.9) admits unique solutions  $(\Pi, \mathbf{U})$  with

$$e^{-\gamma t} \Pi \in W_1^1(\mathbb{R}_+, B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)), \quad e^{-\gamma t} \mathbf{U} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}_+, B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N) \cap W_1^1(\mathbb{R}_+, B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N)$$

possessing the estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|e^{-\gamma t}(\Pi, \partial_t \Pi)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}_+, B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N))} + \|e^{-\gamma t} \mathbf{U}\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}_+, B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N)} + \|e^{-\gamma t} \partial_t \mathbf{U}\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}_+, B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N)} \\
& \leq C(\|(\rho_0, \mathbf{u}_0)\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N) \times B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N} + \|e^{-\gamma t}(F, \mathbf{G})\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}_+, B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N) \times B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Here and in the sequel, we set  $\mathbb{R}_+ = (0, \infty)$ , and

$$\|e^{-\gamma t} f\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}_+, X)} = \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma t} \|f(\cdot, t)\|_X dt.$$

In order to prove Theorem 5, we use the properties of solutions to the corresponding generalized resolvent problem:

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{cases} \lambda \rho + \eta_0 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = f & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \\ \eta_0(x) \lambda \mathbf{v} - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{v} - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} + \nabla (P'(\eta_0) \rho) = \mathbf{g} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \\ \mathbf{v}|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} = 0. \end{cases}$$

To state our main result for equations (1.10), we introduce a parabolic sector  $\Sigma_\mu$  defined by setting

$$(1.11) \quad \Sigma_\mu = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \mid |\arg \lambda| \leq \pi - \mu\} \quad \Sigma_{\mu, \gamma} = \{\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu \mid |\lambda| \geq \gamma\}.$$

and functional spaces  $\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  and their norms defined by setting

$$(1.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N) &= \{(f, \mathbf{g}) \in B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N) \times B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N\}, \\ \mathcal{D}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N) &= \{(\rho, \mathbf{u}) \in B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N) \times B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N \mid \mathbf{u}|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} = 0\}, \\ \|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &= \|f\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}, \\ \|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{D}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &= \|f\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}, \end{aligned}$$

Then, we shall show the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $1 < q < \infty$  and  $-1 + N/q \leq s < 1/q$ . Assume that  $s$  satisfies (1.3). Let  $\eta_0(x) = \rho_* + \tilde{\eta}_0(x)$  with  $\tilde{\eta}_0(x) \in B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ . Then, the following three assertions hold.*

(1) *There exist constants  $\gamma > 0$  and  $C$  such that for any  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma$  and  $(f, \mathbf{g}) \in \mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ , problem (1.10) admits a unique solution  $(\rho, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathcal{D}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  possossing the estimate:*

$$(1.13) \quad \|\lambda(\rho, \mathbf{v})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + \|(\lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C \|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$$

for every  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma$ .

(2) *Let  $\sigma > 0$  be a small number such that  $-1 + 1/q < s - \sigma < s + \sigma < 1/q$ . Then, there exist  $\mathbf{v}_1$  and  $\mathbf{v}_2$  such that  $\mathbf{v}_i \in B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ),  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2$ , and there hold*

$$(1.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \mathbf{v}_1\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &\leq C |\lambda|^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}, \\ \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \partial_\lambda \mathbf{v}_1\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &\leq C |\lambda|^{-(1-\frac{\sigma}{2})} \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \end{aligned}$$

for every  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma$  and  $\mathbf{g} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ , as well as

$$(1.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \mathbf{v}_2\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &\leq C |\lambda|^{-1} \|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}, \\ \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \partial_\lambda \mathbf{v}_2\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &\leq C |\lambda|^{-2} \|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \end{aligned}$$

as for any  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma$  and  $(f, \mathbf{g}) \in \mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ .

(3) *There exist constants  $\gamma$  and  $C$  such that for every  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma$  and  $(f, \mathbf{g}) \in \mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ , there hold*

$$(1.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\rho\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &\leq C |\lambda|^{-1} \|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}, \\ \|\rho\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &\leq C |\lambda|^{-2} \|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}. \end{aligned}$$

In the statement of (1), (2) and (3), the constants  $\gamma$  and  $C$  depend on  $\rho_*$  and  $\|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}}$ .

To conclude this subsection, we explain the relationship between Theorem 4 and Theorem 5 with a simple example. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two Banach spaces such that  $X$  is continuously embedded into  $Y$  and let  $A$  be a linear closed operator from  $X$  into  $Y$ . We assume that  $A$  generates a  $C_0$  analytic semigroup  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  on  $Y$  associated with an evolution equation

$$\partial_t u - Au = 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0, \quad u|_{t=0} = f.$$

Here,  $\partial_t$  denotes the derivative with respect to  $t$ . According to semigroup theory cf. [39], we have

$$(1.17) \quad \|\partial_t T(t)f\|_Y \leq Ce^{\gamma t} t^{-1} \|f\|_Y \quad \text{for } f \in Y,$$

$$(1.18) \quad \|\partial_t T(t)f\|_Y \leq Ce^{\gamma t} \|f\|_X \quad \text{for } f \in X,$$

for some constants  $C$  and  $\gamma$ . If we consider the case where  $1 < p < \infty$ , then, we have

$$\|\partial_t T(t)f\|_Y^p \leq C^p e^{p\gamma t} t^{-p} \|f\|_Y^p \quad \text{for } f \in Y,$$

$$\|\partial_t T(t)f\|_Y^p \leq C^p e^{p\gamma t} \|f\|_X^p \quad \text{for } f \in X,$$

Thus, choosing  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  in such a way that  $1 = (1 - \theta)p$ , that is  $\theta = 1 - 1/p$ , by real interpolation theory, we see that

$$\left\{ \int_0^\infty (e^{-\gamma t} \|\partial_t T(t)f\|_Y)^p dt \right\}^{1/p} \leq C \|f\|_{(Y, X)_{1-1/p, p}}.$$

(cf. [28]). But, this idea does not apply to the  $p = 1$  case. Our idea is to find two spaces  $Y_\sigma$  and  $Y_{-\sigma}$  corresponding to a small positive constant  $\sigma$  such that  $Y_\sigma \subset Y \subset Y_{-\sigma}$  and there hold

$$\|\partial_t T(t)f\|_Y \leq Ce^{\gamma t} t^{-(1-\sigma)} \|f\|_{Y_\sigma} \quad \text{for } f \in Y_\sigma,$$

$$\|\partial_t T(t)f\|_Y \leq Ce^{\gamma t} t^{-(1+\sigma)} \|f\|_{Y_{-\sigma}} \quad \text{for } f \in Y_{-\sigma}.$$

Then, by real interpolation method, we have

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma t} \|\partial_t T(t)\|_Y dt \leq C \|f\|_{(Y_\sigma, Y_{-\sigma})_{1/2, 1}}$$

If we may choose  $Y$  in such a way that  $Y = (Y_\sigma, Y_{-\sigma})_{1/2, 1}$ , then we have

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma t} \|\partial_t T(t)\|_Y dt \leq C \|f\|_Y.$$

This is  $L_1$  in time maximal regularity for  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ .

In view of the spectral analysis point of view, we assume that there exists a  $\gamma > 0$  such that for any  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma$ , there hold

$$\|\lambda(\lambda \mathbf{I} - A)f\|_Y \leq C \|f\|_Y \quad \text{for any } f \in Y,$$

$$\|\lambda(\lambda \mathbf{I} - A)f\|_Y \leq C |\lambda|^{-\sigma} \|f\|_{Y_\sigma} \quad \text{for any } f \in Y_\sigma,$$

$$\|\lambda \partial_\lambda (\lambda \mathbf{I} - A)f\|_Y \leq C |\lambda|^{-(1-\sigma)} \|f\|_{Y_{-\sigma}} \quad \text{for any } f \in Y_{-\sigma}.$$

Then, the first estimate implies the generation of  $C_0$  analytic semigroup  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ , and the second and third estimates imply the corresponding estimates of  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ . Of course, in the compressible Stokes equations case, the situation is much complicated, but the essential idea is the same as above. Detailed proof will be given in the proof of Theorem 15 in Sect. 3 below.

**1.3. Short History.** The mathematical study of compressible viscous fluids has a long history since 1950's. In fact, the first result was a uniqueness theorem prove by Graffi [11] and Serrin [24]. A local in time existence theorem was proved by Nash [23], Itaya [13] and Vol'pert and Hudjaev [38] in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  in the Hölder continuous function space. After these works by pioneers, much study has been done with the development of modern mathematics. We do not aim to give an extensive list of references, but refer to the following references and references given therein only for unique existence theorems of strong solutions.

A local in time unique existence theorem was proved by Solonnikov [30] in  $W_q^{2,1}$  with  $N < q < \infty$ , by Tani [31] in the Hölder spaces, by Ströhmer [32] with analytic semigroup approach and by Enomoto and Shibata [10] in the  $L_p$ - $L_q$  maximal regularity class, where  $\mathcal{R}$  boundedness of solution operators have been used. If the fluid domain is  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , the local well-posedness was proved by Charve and Danchin [5] in the  $L_1$  in time framework.

A global well-posedness was proved by Matsumura and Nishida [18, 19] by energy methods and refer to the survey paper by Shibata and Enomoto [27] for several extensions of Matsumura and Nishida's work and the optimal decay properties of solutions in the whole space and exterior domains. The global well-posedness in the  $L_1$  in time framework was proved by Danchin [8] and also see Charve and Danchin [5], Abidi and Paicu [1] and Haspot [12]. The global well-posedness in the  $L_q$  maximal regularity framework ( $1 < q < \infty$ ) was proved by Mucha and Zajackowski [20] and in the  $L_p$  in time and  $L_q$  in space maximal regularity framework ( $1 < p, q < \infty$ ) by Shibata [25]. Kagei and Kobayashi [14, 15] proved the global well-posedness with optimal decay rate in the half-space and by Kagei [16] in the layer domain. Periodic solutions were treated by Valli [36], Tsuda [35] and references given therein.

**1.4. Notation.** The symbols  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  denote the set of all natural numbers, real numbers and complex numbers. Set  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Let  $L_q(\Omega)$ ,  $W_q^m(\Omega)$  and  $B_{q,r}^s(\Omega)$  denote the standard Lebesgue space, Sobolev space, and Besov space defined on a domain  $\Omega$  in  $N$  dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , while  $\|\cdot\|_{L_q(\Omega)}$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_{W_q^m(\Omega)}$ , and  $\|\cdot\|_{B_{q,r}^s(\Omega)}$  denote their norms. For time interval  $I$ ,  $L_q(I, X)$  and  $W_q^1(I, X)$  denote respective  $X$ -valued Lebesgue space and Sobolev space of order 1.  $W_q^\alpha(I, X) = (L_q(I, X), W_q^1(I, X))_{\alpha, q}$  for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Here, the real interpolation functions are denoted by  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\theta, r}$  for  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ . For  $1 \leq q < \infty$ , we write

$$\|f\|_{L_q(I, X)} = \left( \int_I \|f(t)\|_X^q dt \right)^{1/q}, \quad \|e^{-\gamma t} f\|_{L_q(I, X)} = \left( \int_I (e^{-\gamma t} \|f(t)\|_X)^q dt \right)^{1/q}.$$

Let  $BC^0(I, X)$  denote the set of all  $X$ -valued bounded continuous functions defined on  $I$ . For any integer  $m \geq 1$ ,  $BC^m(I, X)$  denotes the set of all  $X$ -valued bounded continuous functions whose derivatives exist and bounded in  $I$  up to order  $m$ . Set

$$\|f\|_{BC(I, X)} = \sup_{t \in I} \|f(t)\|_X, \quad \|f\|_{BC^m(I, X)} = \|f\|_{BC^0(I, X)} + \sum_{j=1}^m \sup_{t \in I} \|(D_t^j f)(t)\|_X.$$

For differentiation with respect to space variables  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$ ,  $D^\alpha f := \partial_x^\alpha f = \partial^{|\alpha|} f / \partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_N^{\alpha_N}$  for multi-index  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N)$  with  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_N$ . For the notational simplicity, we write  $\nabla f = \{\partial_x^\alpha f \mid |\alpha| = 1\}$ ,  $\nabla^2 f = \{\partial_x^\alpha f \mid |\alpha| = 2\}$ ,  $\bar{\nabla} f = (f, \nabla f)$ ,  $\bar{\nabla}^2 f = (f, \nabla f, \nabla^2 f)$ . For a Banach space  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  denotes the set of all bounded linear operators from  $X$  into itself and  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}$  denotes its norm. Let  $\mathbf{I}$  denote the identity operator and  $\mathbb{I}$  the  $N \times N$  identity matrix. For any Banach space  $X$  with norm  $\|\cdot\|_X$ ,  $X^N = \{\mathbf{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_N) \mid f_i \in X \ (i = 1, \dots, N)\}$  and  $\|\mathbf{f}\|_X = \sum_{i=1}^N \|f_i\|_X$ . For a vector  $\mathbf{v}$  and a matrix  $\mathbb{A}$ ,  $\mathbf{v}^\top$  and  $\mathbb{A}^\top$  denote respective the transpose of  $\mathbf{v}$  and the transpose of  $\mathbb{A}$ .

The letter  $C$  denotes a generic constant and  $C_{a,b,\dots} = C(a, b, \dots)$  denotes the constant depending on quantities  $a, b, \dots$ .  $C$ ,  $C_{a,b,\dots}$ , and  $C(a, b, \dots)$  may change from line to line.

## 2. SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 5 as a perturbation from Lamé equations, which read as

$$(2.1) \quad \eta_0(x) \lambda \mathbf{v} - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{v} - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{g} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \quad \mathbf{v}|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} = 0$$

for spectral parameter  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma$  with large enough  $\gamma > 0$ . Thus, we start with the existence theorem for equations (2.1).

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $1 < q < \infty$  and  $-1 + 1/q < s < 1/q$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a small positive number such that  $-1 + 1/q < s - \sigma < s < s + \sigma < 1/q$ . Let  $\nu = s$  or  $s \pm \sigma$ . Assume that  $\tilde{\eta}_0 \in B_{q,1}^{N/q}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ . Moreover,  $\eta_0(x)$*



and  $\rho_*$  satisfy the assumptions (1.3). Then, there exist constants  $\gamma_1 > 0$  and  $C > 0$  depending on  $s, \sigma$ , and  $\|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$  such that for any  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_1$ , problem (2.1) admits a unique solution  $\mathbf{v} \in B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N$  satisfying the estimate:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &\leq C \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}, \\ \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \partial_\lambda \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &\leq C |\lambda|^{-1} \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for any  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_1$  and  $\mathbf{g} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N$ , there holds

$$(2.3) \quad \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C(1 + \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}) |\lambda|^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$$

as well as

$$(2.4) \quad \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \partial_\lambda \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + \|\mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C(1 + \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}) |\lambda|^{-(1-\frac{\sigma}{2})} \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}.$$

**Remark 7.**  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  is dense in  $B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  provided that  $-1 + 1/q < \nu < 1/q$  and  $1 < q < \infty$ .

Before starting the proof of Theorem 6, we show a lemma concerning the Besov norm estimates of the product of functions. To this end, we start with following lemma.

**Lemma 8.** Let  $1 < q < \infty$  and  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ . If the condition  $|\nu| < N/q$  for  $q \geq 2$  holds and otherwise the condition  $-N/q' < \nu < N/q$  holds then for any  $u \in B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  and  $v \in B_{q,\infty}^{N/q}(\mathbb{R}_+^N) \cap L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ , there holds

$$(2.5) \quad \|uv\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C_\nu \|u\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \|v\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}.$$

for some constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $u$  and  $v$ .

*Proof.* For a proof, refer to [1, Cor. 2.5] and [12, Cor. 1].  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 6.** To prove Theorem 6, we shall construct an approximate solution for each point  $x_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^N}$ . Let  $\nu = s$  or  $s \pm \sigma$ . Recall that  $\eta_0(x) = \rho_* + \tilde{\eta}_0(x)$  and  $\tilde{\eta}_0 \in B_{q,1}^{N/q}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ . To construct an approximation solution, we use a theorem for unique existence of solutions of the constant coefficient Lamé equations which read as

$$(2.6) \quad \gamma_0 \lambda \mathbf{v} - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{v} - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{g} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \quad \mathbf{v}|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} = 0.$$

From Kuo [17] the following theorem follows.

**Theorem 9.** Let  $1 < q < \infty$  and  $-1 + 1/q < \nu < 1/q$ . Assume that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are constants satisfying the conditions:

$$(2.7) \quad \alpha > 0, \quad \alpha + \beta > 0.$$

Moreover, we assume that there exist positive constants  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  such that

$$M_1 \leq \gamma_0 \leq M_2.$$

Then, there exists a  $\gamma_K > 0$  independent of  $\gamma_0$  such that for any  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_K$  and  $\mathbf{g} \in B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N$ , problem (2.6) admits a unique solution  $\mathbf{v} \in B_{q,1}^{\nu+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  satisfying the estimate:

$$(2.8) \quad \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$$

for some constant  $C$ .

Moreover, let  $-1 + 1/q < s < 1/q$  and let  $\sigma > 0$  be a small positive constant such that  $-1 + 1/q < s - \sigma < s < s + \sigma < 1/q$ . Then, for any  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_K$  and  $\mathbf{g} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N$  a solution  $\mathbf{v} \in B_{q,1}^{s \pm \sigma + 2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N \cap B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N$  of equations (2.6) satisfies the following estimates:

$$(2.9) \quad \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C |\lambda|^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)},$$

$$(2.10) \quad \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \partial_\lambda \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C |\lambda|^{-(1-\frac{\sigma}{2})} \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}.$$

Here, the constants  $\gamma_K$  and  $C$  depend on  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$ , and  $\nu$ , but independent of  $\gamma_0$  as far as the assumption (4.1) holds.

**Remark 10.** (1) The same assertions hold for the whole space problem:

$$(2.11) \quad \gamma_0 \lambda \mathbf{v} - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{v} - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{g} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

(2) The reason why we choose  $-1 + 1/q < s < 1/q$  is that  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  is dense in  $B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ , cf. [21, pp.368–369], [22, p.132], and [34, Theorems 2.9.3, Theorem 2.10.3].

(3) For any  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma$  and  $\mathbf{g} \in B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ , there holds

$$(2.12) \quad \|\mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C |\lambda|^{-(1-\frac{\sigma}{2})} \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}.$$

In fact, for any  $\mathbf{g} \in B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N$ , we define an operator  $\mathcal{S}_0(\lambda)\mathbf{g}$  by  $\mathbf{v} = \mathcal{S}_0(\lambda)\mathbf{g}$ , where  $\nu = s$  or  $s \pm \sigma$ , and  $\mathbf{v}$  is a unique solution of equations (2.6). By Theorem 9,  $\mathcal{S}_0(\lambda)$  is well-defined and a  $B_{q,1}^{\nu+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  valued holomorphic function with respect to  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_K$ . Differentiating equations (2.6) with respect to  $\lambda$ , we have

$$(2.13) \quad \gamma_0 \lambda \partial_\lambda \mathbf{v} - \alpha \Delta \partial_\lambda \mathbf{v} - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \partial_\lambda \mathbf{v} = -\gamma_0 \mathbf{v} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \quad \partial_\lambda \mathbf{v}|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} = 0.$$

Thus, we have  $\partial_\lambda \mathbf{v} = -\gamma_0 \mathcal{S}_0(\lambda)\mathbf{v} = -\gamma_0 \mathcal{S}_0(\lambda)\mathcal{S}_0(\lambda)\mathbf{g}$ . Let  $D_{q,1}^{\nu+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N) = \{\mathbf{u} \in B_{q,1}^{\nu+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N) \mid \mathbf{u}|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} = 0\}$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}_0(\lambda)$  is a surjective map from  $B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  onto  $D_{q,1}^{\nu+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ , and so the inverse map  $\mathcal{S}_0(\lambda)^{-1}$  exists and it is a surjective map from  $D_{q,1}^{\nu+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  onto  $B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ . Thus,  $\mathbf{v} = -\gamma_0^{-1} \mathcal{S}_0(\lambda)^{-1} \partial_\lambda \mathbf{v}$ . By (2.10), we have

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C \|\bar{\nabla}^2 \partial_\lambda \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^s} \leq C |\lambda|^{-(1-\frac{\sigma}{2})} \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)},$$

which shows (2.12). From this consideration it follows that (2.10) and (2.12) are equivalent.

*Proof.* When  $\gamma_0 = 1$ , by a result due to Kuo [17] there exist positive constants  $C$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}$  such that the existence of solutions and (2.8)–(2.10) hold for  $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\mu, \tilde{\gamma}}$ . Here, the constants  $C$  and  $\tilde{\gamma} > 0$  depend only on  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . When  $\gamma_0 \neq 1$ , the existence of solutions and estimates (2.8)–(2.10) hold, replacing  $\lambda$  with  $\gamma_0 \lambda$ , provided that  $\gamma_0 \lambda \in \Sigma_{\mu, \tilde{\gamma}}$ . Since  $M_1 \leq \gamma_0 \leq M_2$ , we see that  $M_1 |\lambda| \leq |\gamma_0 \lambda| \leq M_2 |\lambda|$ . Thus, choosing  $\tilde{\gamma}_K = \tilde{\gamma} M_1^{-1}$ , we see that  $\gamma_0 \lambda \in \Sigma_{\mu, \tilde{\gamma}}$  if  $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\mu, \tilde{\gamma}_K}$ . From this consideration, Theorem 9 follows from the  $\gamma_0 = 1$  case by choosing  $\gamma_K$  so large that  $\Sigma_\mu + \gamma_K \subset \Sigma_{\mu, \tilde{\gamma}_K}$ . Here, the constants  $C$  and  $\gamma_K$  depend on  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ .  $\square$

We continue the proof of Theorem 6. First we consider the case where  $x_0 \in \partial \mathbb{R}_+^N$ . We write

$$B_d(x_0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid |x - x_0| \leq d\}, \quad B_d = B_d(0).$$

Let  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B_2(0))$  and  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(B_3(0))$  such that  $\varphi(x) = 1$  for  $x \in B_1(0)$  and  $\psi(x) = 1$  for  $x \in B_2(0)$  and set  $\varphi_{x_0,d}(x) = \varphi((x - x_0)/d)$  and  $\psi_{x_0,d}(x) = \psi((x - x_0)/d)$ . Notice that  $\varphi_{x_0,d}(x) = 1$  for  $x \in B_d(x_0)$  and  $\varphi_{x_0,d}(x) = 0$  for  $x \notin B_{2d}(x_0)$  and that  $\psi_{x_0,d}(x) = 1$  on  $\operatorname{supp} \varphi_{x_0,d}$  and  $\psi_{x_0,d}(x) = 0$  for  $x \notin B_{3d}(x_0)$ . In particular,  $\varphi_{x_0,d} \psi_{x_0,d} = \varphi_{x_0,d}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{v} \in B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N$  be a solution of equations:

$$(2.14) \quad \eta_0(x_0) \lambda \mathbf{v} - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{v} - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{g} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \quad \mathbf{v}|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} = 0.$$

For simplicity, we omit  $\mathbb{R}_+^N$  and  $N$  for the description of function spaces and their norms like  $B_{q,1}^\nu = B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu} = \|\cdot\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$  in what follows unless confusion may occur. We define an operator  $\mathbf{T}_{x_0}(\lambda)$  acting on  $\mathbf{g} \in B_{q,1}^\nu$  by  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{T}_{x_0}(\lambda)\mathbf{g}$ . By (1.3),  $\rho_1 < \eta_0(x_0) < \rho_2$ , and so by Theorem 9 there exist constants  $C$  and  $\gamma_{K,1}$  independent of  $x_0$  such that

$$(2.15) \quad \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \mathbf{T}_{x_0}(\lambda) \mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu} \leq C \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu}$$



for every  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_{K,1}$ . Let  $A_{x_0} = \eta_0(x_0) + \psi_{x_0}(x)(\eta_0(x) - \eta_0(x_0))$ . And then,  $\mathbf{v}$  satisfies the following equations:

$$(2.16) \quad A_{x_0} \lambda \mathbf{v} - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{v} - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{g} + \mathbf{S}_{x_0}(\lambda) \mathbf{g} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \quad \mathbf{v}|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} = 0.$$

Here, we have set

$$\mathbf{S}_{x_0}(\lambda) \mathbf{g} = \psi_{x_0,d}(x)(\eta_0(x) - \eta_0(x_0)) \lambda \mathbf{v}.$$

We now estimate  $\psi_{x_0,d}(\eta_0(x_0) - \eta_0(x)) \lambda \mathbf{v}$ . Note that  $\eta_0(x) - \eta_0(x_0) = \tilde{\eta}_0(x) - \tilde{\eta}_0(x_0)$ . By Lemma 8, we have

$$(2.17) \quad \|\psi_{x_0,d}(\cdot)(\eta_0(x_0) - \eta_0(\cdot)) \lambda \mathbf{v}(\cdot)\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu} \leq C \|\psi_{x_0,d}(\cdot)(\tilde{\eta}_0(x_0) - \tilde{\eta}_0(\cdot))\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}} \|\lambda \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu}.$$

To estimate  $\|\psi_{x_0,d}(\cdot)(\eta_0(x_0) - \eta_0(\cdot))\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}}$ , we use the following lemma due to Danchin-Tolksdorf [9, Proposition B.1].

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $f \in B_{q,1}^{N/q}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  for some  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ . Then,*

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow 0} \|\psi_{x_0,d}(\cdot)(f(\cdot) - f(x_0))\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} = 0 \quad \text{uniformly with respect to } x_0.$$

By Lemma 11, for any  $\delta > 0$  there exists a  $d > 0$  such that

$$(2.18) \quad \|\psi_{x_0,d}(\cdot)(\eta_0(x_0) - \eta_0(\cdot))\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}} \leq \delta$$

Notice that the choice of distance  $d$  is independent of  $x_0$ . From (2.17) and (2.18), it follows that

$$(2.19) \quad \|\mathbf{S}_{x_0}(\lambda) \mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu} \leq C \delta \|\lambda \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu}.$$

Choosing  $d > 0$  so small that  $C \delta \leq 1/2$ , we have  $\|\mathbf{S}_{x_0}\|_{\mathcal{L}(B_{q,1}^\nu)} \leq 1/2$ . Thus, the inverse  $(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{S}_{x_0}(\lambda))^{-1}$  of the operator  $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{S}_{x_0}(\lambda)$  exists and  $\|\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{S}_{x_0}(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{L}(B_{q,1}^\nu)}^{-1} \leq 2$ , where  $\mathbf{I}$  is the identity operator on  $B_{q,1}^\nu$ . Recalling the operator  $\mathbf{T}_{x_0}(\lambda)$  is defined by  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{T}_{x_0}(\lambda) \mathbf{g}$ , and setting  $\mathbf{w}_{x_0} = \mathbf{T}_{x_0}(\lambda)(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{S}_{x_0}(\lambda))^{-1} \mathbf{g}$ , by (2.15) we see that  $\mathbf{w}_{x_0}$  satisfies equations:

$$(2.20) \quad A_{x_0} \lambda \mathbf{w}_{x_0} - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{w}_{x_0} - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{w}_{x_0} = \mathbf{g} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \quad \mathbf{w}_{x_0}|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} = 0,$$

as well as the estimate

$$(2.21) \quad \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \mathbf{w}_{x_0}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu} \leq C \|(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{S}_{x_0}(\lambda))^{-1} \mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu} \leq 2C \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu}$$

for every  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_{K,1}$ , where  $C$  is independent of  $d$ .

Finally, we set  $\mathbf{v}_{x_0} = \varphi_{x_0,d} \mathbf{w}_{x_0}$ . Since  $\psi_{x_0,d} \varphi_{x_0,d} = \varphi_{x_0,d}$ , we have  $A_{x_0} \varphi_{x_0,d} = \eta_0(x) \varphi_{x_0,d}$ . From (2.20) it follows that

$$(2.22) \quad \eta_0(x) \lambda \mathbf{v}_{x_0} - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{v}_{x_0} - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_{x_0} = \varphi_{x_0} \mathbf{g} + \mathbf{U}_{x_0}(\lambda) \mathbf{g} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \quad \mathbf{v}_{x_0}|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} = 0,$$

where we have set

$$\mathbf{U}_{x_0}(\lambda) \mathbf{g} = -\alpha((\Delta \varphi_{x_0,d}) \mathbf{w}_{x_0} + 2(\nabla \varphi_{x_0,d}) \nabla \mathbf{w}_{x_0}) - \beta(\nabla((\nabla \varphi_{x_0,d}) \cdot \mathbf{w}_{x_0}) + (\nabla \varphi_{x_0,d}) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{w}_{x_0}).$$

From (2.21), we see that

$$(2.23) \quad \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \mathbf{v}_{x_0}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu} \leq C_d \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu},$$

as well as

$$(2.24) \quad \|\mathbf{U}_{x_0}(\lambda) \mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu} \leq C d^{-2} |\lambda|^{-1/2} \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu}$$

for every  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_{K,1}$  and  $0 < d < 1$ . Here,  $C$  is a constant independent of  $x_0$  and  $d \in (0, 1)$ .

Next, we pick up  $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$  and we choose  $d_1 > 0$  such that  $B_{3d_1}(x_1) \subset \mathbb{R}_+^N$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$  be a suitable extension of  $\mathbf{g}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}|_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} = \mathbf{g}$  and  $\|\tilde{\mathbf{g}}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu}$ . Let  $\varphi_{x_1,d_1}(x) = \varphi((x - x_1)/d_1)$

and  $\psi_{x_1, d_1}(x) = \psi((x - x_1)/d)$ . Analogously to (2.23) and (2.24), if we choose  $d_1 > 0$  small enough, there exist a  $\mathbf{w}_{x_1} \in B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$  satisfying equations

$$(2.25) \quad A_{x_1} \lambda \mathbf{w}_{x_1} - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{w}_{x_1} - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{w}_{x_1} = \tilde{\mathbf{g}} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where  $A_{x_1} = \eta_0(x_1) + \psi_{x_1, d_1}(\eta_0(x) - \eta_0(x_1))$ , and the estimate:

$$(2.26) \quad \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \mathbf{w}_{x_1}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu}$$

for any  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_{K,1}$ . Let  $\mathbf{v}_{x_1} = \varphi_{x_1} \mathbf{w}_{x_1}$  and then  $\mathbf{v}_{x_1}$  satisfies equations:

$$(2.27) \quad \eta_0(x) \lambda \mathbf{v}_{x_1} - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{v}_{x_1} - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_{x_1} = \varphi_{x_1} \mathbf{g} + \mathbf{U}_{x_1}(\lambda) \mathbf{g} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \quad \mathbf{v}_{x_1}|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} = 0,$$

where we have set

$$\mathbf{U}_{x_1}(\lambda) \mathbf{g} = -\alpha((\Delta \varphi_{x_1, d_1}) \mathbf{w}_{x_1} + 2(\nabla \varphi_{x_1, d_1}) \nabla \mathbf{w}_{x_1}) - \beta(\nabla((\nabla \varphi_{x_1, d_1}) \cdot \mathbf{w}_{x_1}) + (\nabla \varphi_{x_1, d_1}) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{w}_{x_1}).$$

Moreover, by (2.26), we have

$$(2.28) \quad \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \mathbf{v}_{x_1}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu} \leq C d_1^{-2} \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu},$$

$$(2.29) \quad \|\mathbf{U}_{x_1} \mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu} \leq C d_1^{-2} |\lambda|^{-1/2} \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu}$$

for every  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_{K,1}$  and  $d_1 \in (0, 1)$ , where  $C$  is a constant independent of  $x_1$  and  $d_1 \in (0, 1)$ .

Finally, we consider the far field case. Let  $\tilde{\psi} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  which equals to 1 for  $|x| \geq 2$  and 0 for  $|x| \leq 1$ , and set  $\psi_R(x) = \tilde{\psi}(x/R)$ . Let  $\mathbf{v}$  be a solution of equations

$$(2.30) \quad \rho_* \lambda \mathbf{v} - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{v} - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{g} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \quad \mathbf{v}|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} = 0$$

for any  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_{K,1}$ . Here, notice that  $\rho_0 < \rho_* < \rho_2$ . We define an operator  $\mathbf{T}_R(\lambda)$  by  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{T}_R(\lambda) \mathbf{g}$ . By Theorem 9, we have

$$(2.31) \quad \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \mathbf{T}_R(\lambda) \mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu} \leq C \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu}.$$

Set  $A_R = \rho_* + \psi_R(x)(\eta_0(x) - \rho_*) = \rho_* + \psi_R(x) \tilde{\eta}_0(x)$ . By (2.30), we have

$$(2.32) \quad A_R \lambda \mathbf{v} - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{v} - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{g} + \mathbf{S}_R(\lambda) \mathbf{g} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \quad \mathbf{v}|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} = 0,$$

where we have set

$$\mathbf{S}_R(\lambda) \mathbf{g} = \psi_R(x) \tilde{\eta}_0(x) \lambda \mathbf{v}.$$

By Lemma 8, we have

$$(2.33) \quad \|\mathbf{S}_R(\lambda) \mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu} \leq C \|\psi_R \tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}} \|\lambda \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu}.$$

For any  $\delta > 0$  there exists an  $R$  such that

$$(2.34) \quad \|\psi_R \tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}} \leq \delta.$$

This fact follows from the following lemma, the idea of whose proof is completely the same as in the proof of [9, Proposition B.1].

**Lemma 12.** *Let  $f \in B_{q,1}^{N/q}$  for some  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ . Then, for any  $\delta > 0$ , there exists an  $R > 1$  such that*

$$\|\psi_R f\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}} < \delta.$$

*Proof.* Let  $m$  be an integer such that  $N/q < m$ . Notice that  $W_q^m(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  is dense in  $B_{q,1}^{N/q}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ . Thus, first we assume that  $f \in W_q^m$ . Then,  $\|f\|_{W_q^m} < \infty$  and  $\|f\|_{L_q} < \infty$ , which implies that for any  $\delta > 0$ , there

exists an  $R > 0$  such that  $\|f\|_{W_q^m(B_R^c)} < \delta$  and  $\|f\|_{L_q(B_R^c)} < \delta$ . Here,  $B_R^c = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid |x| \geq R\}$ . Thus,  $\|\psi_R f\|_{W_q^m} < \delta$  and  $\|\psi_R f\|_{L_q} < \delta$ . In fact,

$$\|\psi_R f\|_{W_q^m} \leq C_m \sum_{|\beta| \leq m} R^{-(m-|\beta|)} \|D^\beta f\|_{L_q(B_R^c)} \leq C_m \|f\|_{W_q^m(B_R^c)}$$

for any  $R \geq 1$  with some constant  $C_m$  depending only on  $m$  and  $D^\alpha \tilde{\psi}$  ( $|\alpha| \leq m$ ). Thus, choosing  $R > 0$  larger if necessary, we have  $\|\psi_R f\|_{W_q^m} < \delta$ .

Since  $\|\psi_R f\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C \|\psi_R f\|_{L_q}^{1-\frac{N}{mq}} \|\psi_R f\|_{W_q^m}^{\frac{N}{mq}}$  with some constant  $C$  independent of  $R$  and  $f$ , we have

$$\|\psi_R f\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}} \leq C\delta.$$

If we choose  $R \geq 1$  larger, we have

$$\|\psi_R f\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}} \leq \delta/2.$$

Now, in the case where  $f \in B_{q,1}^{N/q}$ , we choose  $g \in W_{q,1}^m$  such that

$$\|\psi_R(g - f)\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}} < C\|g - f\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}} < \delta/2.$$

Here,  $C$  is a constant independent of  $R$ . Thus, choosing  $R > 0$  in such a way that  $\|\psi_R g\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}} < \delta/2$ , we have

$$\|\psi_R f\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}} \leq \|\psi_R(f - g)\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}} + \|\psi_R g\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}} < \delta.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 12.  $\square$

Combining (2.33) and (2.34) implies

$$(2.35) \quad \|\mathbf{S}_R(\lambda)\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu} \leq C\delta\|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu}.$$

Choosing  $\delta > 0$  in such a way that  $C\delta \leq 1/2$ , we have  $\|\mathbf{S}_R(\lambda)\|_{\mathcal{L}(B_{q,1}^\nu)} \leq 1/2$ , and so the inverse operator  $(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{S}_R(\lambda))^{-1}$  exists and  $\|(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{S}_R(\lambda))^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(B_{q,1}^\nu)} \leq 2$  for every  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_{K,1}$ . Thus, by (2.53) and (2.32),  $\mathbf{w}_R = \mathbf{T}_R(\lambda)(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{S}_R(\lambda))^{-1}\mathbf{g} \in B_{q,1}^\nu$  satisfies equations

$$(2.36) \quad A_R \lambda \mathbf{w}_R - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{w}_R - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{w}_R = \mathbf{g} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \quad \mathbf{w}_R|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} = 0,$$

as well as the estimate:

$$(2.37) \quad \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \mathbf{w}_R\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu} \leq C \|(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{S}_R)^{-1} \mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu} \leq 2C \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu}.$$

Let  $\tilde{\varphi} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  such that  $\tilde{\varphi}(x) = 1$  for  $|x| \geq 3$  and 0 for  $|x| \leq 2$  and set  $\varphi_R = \tilde{\varphi}(x/R)$ . We have  $\psi_R \varphi_R = \varphi_R$ , and so setting  $\mathbf{v}_R = \varphi_R \mathbf{w}_R \in B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ , we see that  $A_R \varphi_R \lambda \mathbf{v}_R = \eta_0(x) \lambda \mathbf{v}_R$ . Thus, by (2.36) and (2.54), we see that  $\mathbf{v}_R$  satisfies the equations:

$$(2.38) \quad \eta_0(x) \lambda \mathbf{v}_R - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{v}_R - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}_R = \varphi_R \mathbf{g} + \mathbf{U}_R(\lambda) \mathbf{g} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \quad \mathbf{v}_R|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} = 0,$$

as well as the estimate:

$$(2.39) \quad \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \mathbf{v}_R\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu} \leq C \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu}$$

for any  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_{K,1}$ . Here, we have set

$$\mathbf{U}_R(\lambda) \mathbf{g} = -\alpha((\Delta \varphi_R(x)) \mathbf{w}_R + 2(\nabla \varphi_R(x)) \nabla \mathbf{w}_R) - \beta(\nabla((\nabla \varphi_R(x)) \cdot \mathbf{w}_R) + (\nabla \varphi_R(x)) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{w}_R).$$

By (2.54), we have

$$(2.40) \quad \|\mathbf{U}_R(\lambda) \mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu} \leq C |\lambda|^{-1/2} \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu}.$$

Choose points  $x_j^0 \in \partial\mathbb{R}_+^N$  ( $j = 1, \dots, L_0$ ), and  $x_j^1 \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$  ( $j = 1, \dots, L_1$ ) and diameters  $d > d_1$  suitably such that

$$\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \subset B_R^c \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{L_0} B_d(x_j^0) \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{L_1} B_{d_1}(x_j^1).$$

Let  $\psi_0^0(x) = \psi_R(x)$ ,  $\psi_j^0(x) = \varphi((x - x_j^0)/d)$ , and  $\psi_j^1(x) = \varphi((x - x_j^1)/d_1)$ , and set

$$\Psi(x) = \psi_0^0(x) + \sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{j=1}^{L_i} \psi_j^i(x).$$

We see that  $\Psi(x) \geq 1$  for every  $x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^N}$  and  $\Psi \in C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^N})$ . Set

$$\varphi_0^0(x) = \psi_0^0(x)/\Psi(x), \quad \varphi_j^i(x) = \psi_j^i(x)/\Psi(x).$$

Obviously,  $\varphi_j^0 \in C_0^\infty(B_{2d}(x_j^0))$ ,  $\varphi_j^1 \in C_0^\infty(B_{2d_1}(x_j^1))$ ,  $\varphi_0^0(x) = 0$  for  $|x| \leq 2R$ , and

$$\varphi_0^0(x) + \sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{j=1}^{L_i} \varphi_j^i(x) = 1 \quad \text{for } x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^N}.$$

Let  $\mathbf{v}_j^i = \mathbf{v}_{x_j^i} = \varphi_j^i \mathbf{w}_{x_j^i}$ , and  $\mathbf{v}_0^0 = \mathbf{v}_R = \varphi_0^0 \mathbf{w}_R$ . Set  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_0^0 + \sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{j=1}^{L_i} \mathbf{v}_j^i$ , and then

$$(2.41) \quad \eta_0(x) \lambda \mathbf{v} - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{v} - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{g} + \mathbf{U}(\lambda) \mathbf{g} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \quad \mathbf{v}|_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^N} = 0.$$

Here, we have set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{U}(\lambda) \mathbf{g} = & -\alpha((\Delta \varphi_0^0) \mathbf{w}_R + 2(\nabla \varphi_0^0) \nabla \mathbf{w}_R) - \beta(\nabla((\nabla \varphi_0^0) \cdot \mathbf{w}_R) + (\nabla \varphi_0^0) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{w}_R) \\ & - \sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{j=1}^{L_i} \{ \alpha((\Delta \varphi_j^i) \mathbf{w}_{x_j^i} + 2(\nabla \varphi_j^i) \nabla \mathbf{w}_{x_j^i}) + \beta(\nabla((\nabla \varphi_j^i) \cdot \mathbf{w}_{x_j^i}) + (\nabla \varphi_j^i) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{w}_{x_j^i}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.23), (2.28), and (2.55), we have

$$(2.42) \quad \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu} \leq C \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu}.$$

By (2.24), (2.29), and (2.40), we have

$$(2.43) \quad \|\mathbf{U}(\lambda) \mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu} \leq C |\lambda|^{-1/2} \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu}$$

for any  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_{K,1}$ . Choosing  $\gamma_1 \geq \gamma_{K,1}$  so large that  $C((\sin \mu) \gamma_1)^{-1/2} \leq 1/2$ , we see that for any  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_1$   $(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{U}(\lambda))^{-1}$  exists and  $\|(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{U}(\lambda))^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(B_{q,1}^\nu)} \leq 2$ . If we define an operator  $\mathbf{T}(\lambda)$  by  $\mathbf{T}(\lambda) \mathbf{g} = \mathbf{v}$ , by (2.41)  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{T}(\lambda)(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{U}(\lambda))^{-1} \mathbf{g}$  satisfies equations:

$$(2.44) \quad \eta_0(x) \lambda \mathbf{v} - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{v} - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{g} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \quad \mathbf{v}|_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^N} = 0.$$

Moreover, by (2.42), we have

$$\|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \mathbf{T}(\lambda)(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{U}(\lambda))^{-1} \mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu} \leq C \|(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{U}(\lambda))^{-1} \mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu} \leq 2C \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu}$$

for any  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_1$ . This completes the proof of (2.2).

Since  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  is dense in  $B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  whenever  $-1 + 1/q < \nu < 1/q$ . Thus, we may assume that  $\mathbf{g} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  below. Problem (2.1) admits a unique solution  $\mathbf{v} \in B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  satisfying the estimates:

$$\|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$$

for  $\nu = s$  and  $\nu = s + \sigma$ . This shows the first inequality in (2.2).

We now prove (2.9) and (2.10). Since  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  is dense in  $B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ , we may assume that  $\mathbf{g} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N$ . Notice that  $\eta_0 = \rho_* + \tilde{\eta}_0$ . Applying (2.9) to

$$\rho_* \lambda \mathbf{v} - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{v} - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{g} - \tilde{\eta}_0 \lambda \mathbf{v}$$

gives that

$$\|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^s} \leq C |\lambda|^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} (\|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+\sigma}} + \|\tilde{\eta}_0 \lambda \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+\sigma}}).$$

By Lemma 8, we have

$$\|\tilde{\eta}_0 \lambda \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+\sigma}} \leq C \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}} \|\lambda \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+\sigma}} \leq C \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}} \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+\sigma}}.$$

Thus, we have (2.3).

Then problem (2.1) admits a unique solution  $\mathbf{v} \in B_{q,1}^{s+2} \cap B_{q,1}^{s+2-\sigma}$  satisfying the estimates:

$$\|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu} \leq C \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu}$$

for  $\nu = s$  and  $\nu = s - \sigma$ . Differentiating equations (2.1) with respect to  $\lambda$  yields

$$(2.45) \quad \eta_0(x) \lambda \partial_\lambda \mathbf{v} - \alpha \Delta \partial_\lambda \mathbf{v} - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \partial_\lambda \mathbf{v} = -\eta_0(x) \mathbf{v}.$$

Thus, by the first inequality in (2.2) and Lemma 8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \partial_\lambda \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu} &\leq C \|\eta_0 \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu} \leq C(\rho_* + \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}}) \|\mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu} \\ &\leq C |\lambda|^{-1} (\rho_* + \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}}) \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows the second inequality in (2.2). Applying (2.12) to

$$\rho_* \lambda \mathbf{v} - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{v} - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{g} - \tilde{\eta}_0 \lambda \mathbf{v}$$

gives that

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C |\lambda|^{-(1-\frac{\sigma}{2})} (\|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + \|\tilde{\eta}_0 \lambda \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}).$$

By Lemma 8, we have

$$\|\tilde{\eta}_0 \lambda \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \|\lambda \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}.$$

Thus, we have

$$(2.46) \quad \|\mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C(1 + \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}) |\lambda|^{-(1-\frac{\sigma}{2})} \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}.$$

To estimate  $\partial_\lambda \mathbf{v}$ , we differentiate equations (2.1) with respect to  $\lambda$ , and then we have

$$\eta_0(x) \lambda \partial_\lambda \mathbf{v} - \alpha \Delta \partial_\lambda \mathbf{v} - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \partial_\lambda \mathbf{v} = -\eta_0(x) \mathbf{v} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \quad \partial_\lambda \mathbf{v}|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} = 0.$$

Thus, applying the estimate (2.2) gives that

$$\|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \partial_\lambda \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C \|\eta_0 \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}.$$

By (2.46), we have

$$\|\eta_0 \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq (\rho_* + C \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}) \|\mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C(\rho_* + \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}) |\lambda|^{-(1-\frac{\sigma}{2})} \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)},$$

which implies that

$$\|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \partial_\lambda \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C |\lambda|^{-(1-\frac{\sigma}{2})} \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 6 □

Now, we consider problem (1.10) of the Stokes system and prove Theorem 5. We insert the relation:  $\rho = \lambda^{-1}(f - \eta_0 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v})$  obtained from the first equation in (1.10) into the second equations. Then, we have

$$(2.47) \quad \eta_0(x) \lambda \mathbf{v} - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{v} - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} - \lambda^{-1} \nabla (P'(\eta_0) \eta_0 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{h} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \quad \mathbf{u}|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} = 0,$$

where we have set  $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{g} - \lambda^{-1} \nabla (P'(\eta_0) f)$ . In what follows, restore the notation of  $\mathbb{R}_+^N$  like  $B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$  etc.

As a first step to analyze equations (2.47), we shall prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 13.** Let  $1 < q < \infty$  and  $-1 + N/q \leq s < 1/q$ . Let  $\sigma > 0$  be a small number such that  $-1 + 1/q < s - \sigma < s < s + \sigma < 1/q$ , and let  $\nu = s$  or  $s \pm \sigma$ . Let  $\eta_0(x) = \rho_* + \tilde{\eta}_0(x)$  with  $\tilde{\eta}_0 \in B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ . Let  $\gamma_1 > 0$  be the constant given in Theorem 6. Then, there exist  $\gamma_2 \geq \gamma_1$  and an operator family  $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$  such that  $\mathcal{S}(\lambda) \in \text{Hol}(\Sigma_\mu + \gamma_2, \mathcal{L}(B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N), B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)))$ , for any  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_2$  and  $\mathbf{h} \in B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$   $\mathbf{v} = \mathcal{S}(\lambda)\mathbf{h}$  is a unique solution of equations (2.47), and there hold

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2}\bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2)\mathcal{S}(\lambda)\mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &\leq C\|\mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}, \\ \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2}\bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2)\partial_\lambda\mathcal{S}(\lambda)\mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &\leq C|\lambda|^{-1}\|\mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, there are two operator families  $\mathcal{S}^i(\lambda) \in \text{Hol}(\Sigma_\mu + \gamma_2, \mathcal{L}(B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N), B_{q,1}^{\nu+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)))$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) such that  $\mathcal{S}(\lambda) = \mathcal{S}^1(\lambda) + \mathcal{S}^2(\lambda)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2}\bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2)\mathcal{S}^1(\lambda)\mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &\leq C|\lambda|^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}\|\mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}, \\ \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2}\bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2)\partial_\lambda\mathcal{S}^1(\lambda)\mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &\leq C|\lambda|^{-(1-\frac{\sigma}{2})}\|\mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_2$  and  $\mathbf{h} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2}\bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2)\mathcal{S}^2(\lambda)\mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &\leq C|\lambda|^{-1}\|\mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}, \\ \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2}\bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2)\partial_\lambda\mathcal{S}^2(\lambda)\mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &\leq C|\lambda|^{-2}\|\mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_2$  and  $\mathbf{h} \in B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ .

Here, the constants  $\gamma_2$  and  $C$  depend on  $\rho_*$  and  $\|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  is dense in  $B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  with  $1 < q < \infty$  and  $-1 + 1/q < \nu < 1/q$ . Thus, we assume that  $\mathbf{h} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  in the sequel if we do not mention the functional spaces which  $\mathbf{h}$  belongs to. First of all, we shall solve equations (2.47) for  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_2$  with large  $\gamma_2 \geq \gamma_1$ . By Lemma 8,

$$\|\nabla(P'(\eta_0)\eta_0 \text{div } \mathbf{v})\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu} \leq C(\|(P''(\eta_0)\eta_0 + P'(\eta_0))(\nabla\tilde{\eta}_0) \text{div } \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu} + \|P'(\eta_0)\eta_0 \nabla \text{div } \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu}).$$

We now use the following lemma for the Besov norm estimate of composite functions cf. [12, Proposition 2.4] and [3, Theorem 2.87].

**Lemma 14.** Let  $1 < q < \infty$ . Let  $I$  be an open interval of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\omega > 0$  and let  $\tilde{\omega}$  be the smallest integer such that  $\tilde{\omega} \geq \omega$ . Let  $F : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy  $F(0) = 0$  and  $F' \in BC^{\tilde{\omega}}(I, \mathbb{R})$ . Assume that  $v \in B_{q,r}^\omega$  has valued in  $J \subset \subset I$ . Then,  $F(v) \in B_{q,1}^\omega$  and there exists a constant  $C$  depending only on  $\nu$ ,  $I$ ,  $J$ , and  $N$ , such that

$$\|F(v)\|_{B_{q,1}^\omega} \leq C(1 + \|v\|_{L^\infty})^{\tilde{\omega}}\|F'\|_{BC^{\tilde{\omega}}(I, \mathbb{R})}\|v\|_{B_{q,1}^\omega}.$$

Recalling that  $\eta_0 = \rho_* + \tilde{\eta}_0$ , we write

$$\begin{aligned} &(P''(\eta_0)\eta_0 + P'(\eta_0)) \\ &= (P''(\rho_*) + \int_0^1 P'''(\rho_* + \ell\tilde{\eta}_0) \, d\ell\eta_0)(\rho_* + \tilde{\eta}_0) + P'(\rho_*) + \int_0^1 P''(\rho_* + \ell\tilde{\eta}_0) \, d\ell\tilde{\eta}_0 \\ &= P''(\rho_*)\rho_* + P'(\rho_*) + Q_1(\tilde{\eta}_0) \end{aligned}$$

where we have set

$$Q_1(u) = \rho_* \int_0^1 P'''(\rho_* + \ell u) \, d\ell u + (P''(\rho_*) + \int_0^1 P'''(\rho_* + \ell u) \, d\ell u)u + \int_0^1 P''(\rho_* + \ell u) \, d\ell u.$$

In view of (1.3),  $\rho_1 - \rho_* < \tilde{\eta}_0(x) < \rho_2 - \rho_*$  and  $\rho_1 - \rho_* < 0 < \rho_2 - \rho_*$ . Thus, we may assume that there exists an  $\kappa_0 > 0$  such that  $\rho_1 < \rho_* - \kappa_0 < \rho_* + \kappa_0 < \rho_2$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$  and  $|\eta_0(x)| < \kappa_0$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$ . In particular, we may assume that

$$(2.48) \quad |\ell\tilde{\eta}_0(x)| < \kappa_0$$



for any  $\ell \in [0, 1]$  and  $x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^N}$ . From this observation, we may assume that  $Q_1(u)$  is defined for  $u \in [-\kappa_0, \kappa_0]$  and  $Q_1(0) = 0$ .

By Lemmas 8 and 14 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(P''(\eta_0)\eta_0 + P'(\eta_0))\nabla\tilde{\eta}_0\operatorname{div}\mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \\ & \leq C(|P''(\rho_*)\rho_* + P'(\rho_*)|\|\nabla\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}\|\operatorname{div}\mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \\ & \quad + \|Q_1(\tilde{\eta}_0)\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}\|\nabla\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}\|\operatorname{div}\mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}) \\ & \leq C(\rho_*, \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)})\|\mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we have use the assumption that  $N/q \leq s+1$ , and  $C(\rho_*, \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)})$  denotes a constant depending on  $\rho_*$ ,  $\|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$ .

Likewise, we write

$$P'(\eta_0)\eta_0 = (P'(\rho_*) + \int_0^1 P''(\rho_* + \ell\tilde{\eta}_0) \, d\ell\tilde{\eta}_0)(\rho_* + \tilde{\eta}_0) = P'(\rho_*)\rho_* + Q_2(\tilde{\eta}_0),$$

where we have set

$$Q_2(u) = \int_0^1 P''(\rho_* + \ell u) \, d\ell u \rho_* + (P'(\rho_*) + \int_0^1 P''(\rho_* + \ell u) \, d\ell u)u.$$

$Q_2(u)$  is defined for  $u \in [-\kappa_0, \kappa_0]$  and  $Q_2(0) = 0$ . By Lemmas 8 and 14, we have

$$\|P'(\eta_0)\eta_0\nabla\operatorname{div}\mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C(|P'(\rho_*)\rho_*| + (1 + \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N)})^m\|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)})\|\mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)},$$

for some integer  $m \geq 1$ . Therefore, we have

$$(2.49) \quad \|\nabla(P'(\eta_0)\eta_0\operatorname{div}\mathbf{v})\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C(\rho_*, \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)})\|\mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}.$$

Choosing  $\gamma_2 \geq \gamma_1$  so large that  $\gamma_2^{-1}C(\rho_*, \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}) \leq 1/2$ , we have

$$|\lambda|^{-1}\|\nabla(P'(\eta_0)\eta_0\operatorname{div}\mathbf{v})\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq (1/2)\|\mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$$

for any  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_2$ .

Let  $\mathbf{v}$  be a unique solution of equations (2.1) for  $\mathbf{g}$  and let  $T(\lambda)$  be an operator defined by  $\mathbf{v} = T(\lambda)\mathbf{g}$ . For any  $\mathbf{h} \in B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ , we insert  $\mathbf{u} = T(\lambda)\mathbf{h}$  into equations (2.47), and then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \eta_0(x)\lambda T(\lambda)\mathbf{h} - \alpha\Delta T(\lambda)\mathbf{h} - \beta\nabla\operatorname{div} T(\lambda)\mathbf{h} - \lambda^{-1}\nabla(P'(\eta_0)\eta_0\operatorname{div} T(\lambda)\mathbf{h}) \\ & = \mathbf{h} - \lambda^{-1}\nabla(P'(\eta_0)\eta_0\operatorname{div} T(\lambda)\mathbf{h}) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \quad T(\lambda)\mathbf{h}|_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^N} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If we set  $R(\lambda)\mathbf{h} = \nabla(P'(\eta_0)\eta_0\operatorname{div} T(\lambda)\mathbf{h})$ , by (2.49) and (2.2), we have

$$(2.50) \quad \|R(\lambda)\mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C\|\mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}, \quad \|\partial_\lambda R(\lambda)\mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C|\lambda|^{-1}\|\mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)},$$

Choosing  $\gamma_2 \geq \gamma_1$  so large that  $\gamma_2^{-1}C \leq 1/2$ , we see that the inverse operator  $(\mathbf{I} - \lambda^{-1}R(\lambda))^{-1} = \mathbf{I} + \lambda^{-1}R(\lambda)\sum_{j=0}^\infty(\lambda^{-1}R(\lambda))^j$  exists as a bounded linear operator on  $B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ . Thus, if we define  $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$  by  $\mathcal{S}(\lambda) = T(\lambda)(\mathbf{I} - \lambda^{-1}R(\lambda))^{-1}$ , then  $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$  is a solution operator of equations (2.47), that is  $\mathbf{v} = \mathcal{S}(\lambda)\mathbf{h}$  is a unique solution of equations (2.47). Moreover, by (2.2) we have

$$(2.51) \quad \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2}\bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2)\mathcal{S}(\lambda)\mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C\|\mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$$

for any  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_2$  and  $\mathbf{h} \in B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ .

Writing  $(\mathbf{I} - \lambda^{-1}R(\lambda))^{-1} = \mathbf{I} + \lambda^{-1}R(\lambda)\sum_{j=0}^\infty(\lambda^{-1}R(\lambda))^j = \mathbf{I} + \lambda^{-1}R(\lambda)(\mathbf{I} - \lambda^{-1}R(\lambda))^{-1}$  we set

$$(2.52) \quad \mathcal{S}^1(\lambda) = T(\lambda), \quad \mathcal{S}^2(\lambda) = T(\lambda)\lambda^{-1}R(\lambda)(\mathbf{I} - \lambda^{-1}R(\lambda))^{-1}$$

Obviously,  $\mathcal{S}(\lambda) = \mathcal{S}^1(\lambda) + \mathcal{S}^2(\lambda)$ . By (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$(2.53) \quad \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \mathcal{S}^1(\lambda) \mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C \|\mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)},$$

$$(2.54) \quad \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \partial_\lambda \mathcal{S}^1(\lambda) \mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C |\lambda|^{-1} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$$

for any  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_2$  and  $\mathbf{h} \in B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  as well as

$$(2.55) \quad \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \mathcal{S}^1(\lambda) \mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C |\lambda|^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)},$$

$$(2.56) \quad \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \partial_\lambda \mathcal{S}^1(\lambda) \mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C |\lambda|^{-(1-\frac{\sigma}{2})} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$$

for any  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_2$  and  $\mathbf{h} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ .

Moreover, by (2.2) and the first inequality of (2.50) we have

$$(2.57) \quad \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \mathcal{S}^2(\lambda) \mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C |\lambda|^{-1} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$$

for any  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_2$  and  $\mathbf{h} \in B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ . We write

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\lambda \mathcal{S}^2(\lambda) &= (\partial_\lambda T(\lambda)) \lambda^{-1} R(\lambda) (\mathbf{I} - \lambda^{-1} R(\lambda)) \\ &\quad + T(\lambda) \partial_\lambda (\lambda^{-1} R(\lambda)) (\mathbf{I} - \lambda^{-1} R(\lambda))^{-1} \\ &\quad + T(\lambda) (\lambda^{-1} R(\lambda) (\mathbf{I} - \lambda^{-1} R(\lambda))^{-1} (\partial_\lambda (\lambda^{-1} R(\lambda))) (\mathbf{I} - \lambda^{-1} R(\lambda))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the estimate  $\|\partial_\lambda T(\lambda) \mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C |\lambda|^{-1} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$  as follows from (2.2) and (2.50), we have

$$(2.58) \quad \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \partial_\lambda \mathcal{S}^2(\lambda) \mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C |\lambda|^{-2} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$$

for any  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_2$  and  $\mathbf{h} \in B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ . Here, we have used  $|\lambda|^{-3} \leq (\gamma_2 \sin \epsilon)^{-1} |\lambda|^{-2}$  in the estimate of the last term. Combining (2.54) and (2.58) yields

$$(2.59) \quad \|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \partial_\lambda \mathcal{S}(\lambda) \mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C |\lambda|^{-1} \|\mathbf{h}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$$

for any  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_2$  and  $\mathbf{h} \in B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 13.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 5.** Recall the symbols defined in (1.12), which will be used below. Let  $\mathbf{v} = \mathcal{S}(\lambda)(\mathbf{g} - \lambda^{-1} \nabla(P'(\eta_0)f))$ , and then  $\mathbf{v}$  is a unique solution of equations (2.47) with  $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{g} - \lambda^{-1} \nabla(P'(\eta_0)f)$ . Using the formula  $\mathcal{S}(\lambda) = \mathcal{S}^1(\lambda) + \mathcal{S}^2(\lambda)$ , we divide  $\mathbf{v}$  as  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2$ , where

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathcal{S}^1(\lambda) \mathbf{g}, \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = \mathcal{S}^2(\lambda) \mathbf{g} - \lambda^{-1} \mathcal{S}(\lambda) \nabla(P'(\eta_0)f).$$

By Lemmas 8 and 14, and the assumption:  $N/q \leq s+1$ , we have

$$\|\nabla(P'(\eta_0)f)\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C(\rho_*, \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}) \|f\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}.$$

Combining (2.51), (2.53), (2.55), (2.56), (2.57), (2.58), (2.59), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C \|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}, \\ &\|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \partial_\lambda \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C |\lambda|^{-1} \|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}, \\ &\|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \mathbf{v}_2\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C |\lambda|^{-1} \|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}, \\ &\|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \partial_\lambda \mathbf{v}_2\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C |\lambda|^{-2} \|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \end{aligned} \quad (2.60)$$

for any  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_2$  and  $(f, \mathbf{g}) \in \mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \mathbf{v}_1\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}, \\ &\|(\lambda, \lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \partial_\lambda \mathbf{v}_1\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.61)$$

for any  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_2$  and  $\mathbf{g} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N$ .

Finally, define  $\rho$  by  $\rho = \lambda^{-1}(f - \eta_0 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v})$ . By Lemmas 8 and 14 and  $N/q \leq s+1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda \rho\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &\leq C(\|f\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + C(\rho_*, \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)})\|\mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}) \\ &\leq C(\rho_*, \|\nabla \tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}})(\|f\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}) \end{aligned}$$

for every  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_2$ , which, combined with the first inequality in (2.61), implies the required resolvent estimate:

$$\|\lambda(\rho, \mathbf{v})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + \|(\lambda^{1/2} \bar{\nabla}, \bar{\nabla}^2) \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C\|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$$

for any  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_2$  and  $(f, \mathbf{g}) \in \mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ .

We now prove (1.14) and (1.15). By Lemmas 8 and 14 and the assumption:  $N/q \leq s+1$ ,

$$\|\rho\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C|\lambda|^{-1}(\|f\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + C(\rho_*, \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)})\|\mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}) \leq C|\lambda|^{-1}\|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$$

for any  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_2$  and  $(f, \mathbf{g}) \in \mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ . Differentiating the definition of  $\rho$  with respect to  $\lambda$  implies

$$\partial_\lambda \rho = -\lambda^{-2}(f - \eta_0 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}) + \lambda^{-1} \eta_0 \operatorname{div} \partial_\lambda \mathbf{v}.$$

Since

$$\|\eta_0 \operatorname{div} \partial_\lambda^\ell \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C(\rho_*, \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)})\|\operatorname{div} \partial_\lambda \mathbf{v}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \quad (\ell = 0, 1)$$

as follows from Lemmas 8 and 14 and the assumption:  $N/q \leq s+1$ , by the first two inequality in (2.60) we have

$$\|\partial_\lambda \rho\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C|\lambda|^{-2}\|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$$

for any  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma_2$  and  $(f, \mathbf{g}) \in \mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 5.  $\square$

### 3. $L_1$ SEMIGROUP

In this section, we assume that  $1 < q < \infty$ ,  $-1 + N/q \leq s < 1/q$ ,  $\sigma > 0$ , and  $-1/q < s - \sigma < s < s + \sigma < 1/q$ . Let  $\eta_0(x) = \rho_* + \tilde{\eta}_0(x)$  with  $\tilde{\eta}_0(x) \in B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  and  $\eta_0(x)$  satisfies the conditions (1.3). In the sequel, let  $\mu \in (0, \pi/2)$  be fixed and let  $\gamma > 0$  be a constant given in Theorem 5.

In this section, we consider evolution equations:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \eta_0(x) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = F & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N \times (0, T), \\ \eta_0(x) \partial_t \mathbf{u} - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{u} - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} + \nabla(P'(\eta_0(x))\rho) = \mathbf{G} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{u}|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} = 0, \quad (\rho, \mathbf{u}) = (f, \mathbf{g}) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N. \end{cases}$$

The corresponding generalized resolvent problem to (3.1) is equations (1.10). Let  $\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  be the spaces defined in (1.12) while  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$  are their norms defined also in (1.12). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an operator defined by

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{A}(\rho, \mathbf{u}) = (\eta_0 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}, \eta_0(x)^{-1}(-\alpha \Delta \mathbf{u} - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} + \nabla(P'(\eta_0(x))\rho)))$$

for  $(\rho, \mathbf{u}) \in \mathcal{D}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ . Then, problem (1.10) reads as

$$(3.3) \quad (\lambda \mathbf{I} + \mathcal{A})(\rho, \mathbf{u}) = (f, \eta_0(x)^{-1} \mathbf{g}).$$

Noticing that  $\eta_0(x)^{-1} = \rho_*^{-1} - \tilde{\eta}_0(x)(\rho_*(\rho_* + \tilde{\eta}_0(x)))^{-1}$ , we see that there exists a constant  $c_0 > 0$  depending on  $\rho_*$  and  $\|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$  such that

$$c_0^{-1} \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq \|\eta_0^{-1} \mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq c_0 \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}.$$

for  $\nu = s$  or  $\nu = s \pm \sigma$ . Thus, Theorem 5 holds for the equations (3.3), which replaces equations (1.10). and so  $\mathcal{A}$  generates a continuous analytic semigroup  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  and solutions  $\Pi$  and  $\mathbf{U}$  of equations (1.9) are given by

$$(3.4) \quad (\Pi, \mathbf{U}) = T(t)(\rho_0, \mathbf{u}_0) + \int_0^t T(t-s)(F(\cdot, s), \rho_0(\cdot)^{-1} \mathbf{G}(\cdot, s)) \, ds.$$

We now prove the  $L_1$  in time maximal regularity of  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ . The idea of our proof here is due to Shibata [26], cf also Kuo [17] and Shibata and Watanabe [29]. Let  $T_1(t)$  and  $T_2(t)$  denote the mass density part of  $T(t)$  and the velocity part of  $T(t)$ . Namely,  $T(t)(f, \mathbf{g}) = (T_1(t)(f, \mathbf{g}), T_2(t)(f, \mathbf{g}))$  and  $\rho = T_1(t)(f, \mathbf{g})$  and  $\mathbf{u} = T_2(t)(f, \mathbf{g})$  satisfy equations (3.1) with  $F = \mathbf{G} = 0$ .

**Theorem 15.** *Let  $1 < q < \infty$  and  $-1 + N/q \leq s < 1/q$ . Let  $\tilde{\eta}_0(x) \in B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  and  $\eta_0(x) = \rho_* + \tilde{\eta}_0(x)$  satisfies the assumption (1.3). Let  $\gamma > 0$  be a constant given in Theorem 5, which depends on  $\rho_*$  and  $\|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$ . Then, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  depending on  $\rho_*$  and  $\|\eta_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$  such that for any  $(f, \mathbf{g}) \in \mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ , there holds*

$$(3.5) \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma t} (\|(\partial_t, \bar{\nabla}^2)T_2(t)(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + \|(1, \partial_t)T_1(t)(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}) \, dt \leq C\|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $(\theta, \mathbf{v}) = (\lambda + \mathcal{A})^{-1}(f, \mathbf{g})$ , then  $\theta \in B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  and  $\mathbf{v} \in B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N$  satisfy equations (3.3). Since  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  is dense in  $B_{q,1}^\nu(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  for  $-1 + 1/q < \nu < 1/q$  with  $1 < q < \infty$ , we may assume that  $(f, \mathbf{g}) \in B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N) \times C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N$  below. Thus, by Theorem 5 we know that there exists  $\mathbf{v}_i \in B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) such that  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2$  and there hold

$$(3.6) \quad \|(\lambda, \bar{\nabla}^2)\mathbf{v}_1\|_{B_{q,1}^s} \leq C|\lambda|^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)},$$

$$(3.7) \quad \|(\lambda, \bar{\nabla}^2)\mathbf{v}_1\|_{B_{q,1}^s} \leq C|\lambda|^{-(1-\frac{\sigma}{2})} \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)},$$

$$(3.8) \quad \|(\theta, \mathbf{v}_2)\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N) \times B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C|\lambda|^{-1} \|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)},$$

$$(3.9) \quad \|(\partial_\lambda \theta, \partial_\lambda \mathbf{v}_2)\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N) \times B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C|\lambda|^{-2} \|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$$

for every  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma$ . Here,  $\gamma$  and  $C$  depend on  $\rho_*$  and  $\|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$ .

Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma_+ \cup \Gamma_-$  be a contour in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$\Gamma_\pm = \{\lambda = re^{i(\pi \pm \epsilon)} \mid r \in (0, \infty)\}.$$

Here,  $\epsilon \in (0, \pi/2)$ . According to a well-known Holomorphic semigroup theory (cf. [39, p.257]),  $T(t)$  is represented by

$$T(t)(f, \mathbf{g}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma+\gamma} e^{\lambda t} (\lambda \mathbf{I} + \mathcal{A})^{-1}(f, \mathbf{g}) \, d\lambda \quad \text{for } t > 0.$$

Noticing that  $(\lambda \mathbf{I} + \mathcal{A})^{-1}(f, \mathbf{g}) = (\theta, \mathbf{v})$ , we have

$$T_1(t)(f, \mathbf{g}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma+\gamma} e^{\lambda t} \theta \, d\lambda,$$

$$T_2(t)(f, \mathbf{g}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma+\gamma} e^{\lambda t} \mathbf{v} \, d\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma+\gamma} e^{\lambda t} \mathbf{v}_i \, d\lambda.$$

Set

$$T_{21}(t)\mathbf{g} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma+\gamma} e^{\lambda t} \mathbf{v}_1 \, d\lambda, \quad T_{22}(t)(f, \mathbf{g}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma+\gamma} e^{\lambda t} \mathbf{v}_2 \, d\lambda.$$

We first estimate  $T_{21}(t)\mathbf{g}$ . By change of variable:  $\lambda t = \ell$  and by (3.6) and (3.7), we have

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\bar{\nabla}^2 T_{21}(t)\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &\leq C e^{\gamma t} t^{-1+\frac{\sigma}{2}} \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}, \\ \|\bar{\nabla}^2 T_{21}(t)(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &\leq C e^{\gamma t} t^{-1-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{B_{q,1}^{s-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, noting that  $\operatorname{Re} e^{\lambda t} = e^{t(\gamma+r \cos(\pi \pm \epsilon))} = e^{\gamma t} e^{-tr \cos \epsilon}$  for  $\lambda \in \Gamma_{\pm} + \gamma$ , by (3.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{\nabla}^2 T_{21}(t)\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &\leq C e^{\gamma t} \int_0^\infty e^{-tr \cos \epsilon} \|\bar{\nabla}^2 \mathbf{v}_1\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \, dr \\ &\leq C e^{\gamma t} \int_0^\infty e^{-tr \cos \epsilon} r^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \, dr \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \\ &= C e^{\gamma t} t^{-1+\frac{\sigma}{2}} \int_0^\infty e^{-s \cos \epsilon} s^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \, ds \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have the first inequality in (3.10). To prove the second inequality in (3.10), we write

$$\bar{\nabla}^2 T_{21}(t)\mathbf{g} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i t} \int_{\Gamma+\gamma} e^{\lambda t} \partial_\lambda (\bar{\nabla}^2 \mathbf{v}_1) \, d\lambda.$$

And then, by (3.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{\nabla}^2 T_{21}(t)\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &\leq C t^{-1} e^{\gamma t} \int_0^\infty e^{-tr \cos \epsilon} \|\bar{\nabla}^2 \partial_\lambda \mathbf{v}_1\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \, dr \\ &\leq C t^{-1} e^{\gamma t} \int_0^\infty e^{-tr \cos \epsilon} r^{-1+\frac{\sigma}{2}} \, dr \|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-\sigma}} \\ &= C e^{\gamma t} t^{-1-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \int_0^\infty e^{-s \cos \epsilon} s^{-1+\frac{\sigma}{2}} \, ds \|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{-\sigma}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have the second inequality of (3.10).

Noting that  $|\lambda| \geq \gamma \sin \epsilon$  for  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma$ , by (3.8) and (3.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\theta, \mathbf{v}_2)\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N) \times B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &\leq C |\lambda|^{-1} \|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \\ &\leq C (\gamma \sin \epsilon)^{-(1-\frac{\sigma}{2})} |\lambda|^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \\ \|(\partial_\lambda \theta, \partial_\lambda \mathbf{v}_2)\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N) \times B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &\leq C |\lambda|^{-2} \|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \\ &\leq C (\gamma \sin \epsilon)^{-(1+\frac{\sigma}{2})} |\lambda|^{-(1-\frac{\sigma}{2})} \|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \end{aligned}$$

for  $\lambda \in \Sigma_\mu + \gamma$ . Employing the same arguments as in the proof of (3.10), we have

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \|T_1(t)(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &\leq C e^{\gamma t} t^{-1+\frac{\sigma}{2}} \|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}, \\ \|T_1(t)(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &\leq C e^{\gamma t} t^{-1-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}, \\ \|T_{22}(t)(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &\leq C e^{\gamma t} t^{-1+\frac{\sigma}{2}} \|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}, \\ \|T_{22}(t)(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} &\leq C e^{\gamma t} t^{-1-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}, \end{aligned}$$

From (3.10) and (3.11), we have

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma t} \|T_{21}(t)\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \, dt &\leq C \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}, \\ \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma t} \|T_1(t)(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \, dt &\leq C \|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}, \\ \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma t} \|T_{22}(t)(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \, dt &\leq C \|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma t} \|\bar{\nabla}^2 T_{21}(t) \mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} dt \\
&= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{2^j}^{2^{(j+1)}} e^{-\gamma t} \|\bar{\nabla}^2 T_{21}(t) \mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} dt \\
&\leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{2^j}^{2^{(j+1)}} \sup_{t \in (2^j, 2^{j+1})} (e^{-\gamma t} \|\bar{\nabla}^2 T_{21}(t) \mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}) dt \\
&= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^j \sup_{t \in (2^j, 2^{j+1})} (e^{-\gamma t} \|\bar{\nabla}^2 T_{21}(t) \mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Setting  $a_j = \sup_{t \in (2^j, 2^{j+1})} e^{-\gamma t} \|\bar{\nabla}^2 T_{21}(t) \mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$ , we have

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma t} \|\bar{\nabla}^2 T_{21}(t) \mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} dt \leq 2((2^j a_j))_{\ell_1} = 2((a_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}})_{\ell_1^1}.$$

Here and in the following,  $\ell_q^s$  denotes the set of all sequences  $(2^{js} a_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|((a_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}})\|_{\ell_q^s} &= \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^{js} |a_j|)^q \right\}^{1/q} < \infty \quad \text{for } 1 \leq q < \infty, \\
\|((a_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}})\|_{\ell_\infty^s} &= \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{js} |a_j| < \infty \quad \text{for } q = \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.10), we have

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j(1-\frac{\sigma}{2})} a_j \leq C \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}, \quad \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j(1+\frac{\sigma}{2})} a_j \leq C \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$$

Namely, we have

$$\|(a_j)\|_{\ell_\infty^{1-\frac{\sigma}{2}}} \leq C \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}, \quad \|(a_j)\|_{\ell_\infty^{1+\frac{\sigma}{2}}} \leq C \|\mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}.$$

According to [4, 5.6.1.Theorem], we know that  $\ell_1^1 = (\ell_\infty^{1-\frac{\sigma}{2}}, \ell_\infty^{1+\frac{\sigma}{2}})_{1/2,1}$ , where  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\theta,q}$  denotes the real interpolation functor, and therefore we have

$$(3.13) \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma t} \|T_{21}(t) \mathbf{g}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} dt \leq C \|\mathbf{g}\|_{(B_{q,1}^{s+\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N), B_{q,1}^{s-\sigma}(\mathbb{R}_+^N))_{1/2,1}} \leq C \|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}.$$

for any  $\mathbf{g} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ . But,  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  is dense in  $B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ , so the estimate (3.13) holds for any  $\mathbf{g} \in B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ .

Employing completely the same argument, by (3.11) we have

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned}
& \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma t} \|T_1(t)(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} dt \leq C \|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}, \\
& \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma t} \|T_{22}(t)(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} dt \leq C \|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}
\end{aligned}$$

for any  $f \in B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  and  $\mathbf{g} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ . But,  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  is dense in  $B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ , so the estimate (3.14) holds for any  $(f, \mathbf{g}) \in \mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ .

By equations (3.1) with  $F = 0$  and  $\mathbf{G} = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t T_1(t)(f, \mathbf{g}) &= -\eta_0(x) \operatorname{div} T_2(t)(f, \mathbf{g}), \\
\partial_t T_2(t)(f, \mathbf{g}) &= (\eta_0)^{-1} (\alpha \Delta T_2(t)(f, \mathbf{g}) + \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} T_2(t)(f, \mathbf{g}) - \nabla (P'(\eta_0) T_1(t)(f, \mathbf{g}))).
\end{aligned}$$



Recalling that  $T_2(t)(f, \mathbf{g}) = T_{21}(t)\mathbf{g} + T_{22}(t)(f, \mathbf{g})$ , by (3.13) and (3.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma t} \|\partial_t T_1(t)(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} dt &\leq C(\rho_* + \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}) \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma t} \|\operatorname{div} T_2(t)(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} dt \\ &\leq C(\rho_* + \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}) \|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}, \\ \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma t} \|\partial_t T_2(t)(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} dt &\leq C(\rho_*, \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}}) \left\{ \int_0^\infty \|\nabla^2 T_2(t)(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. + C(\rho_*, \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}) \int_0^\infty \|T_1(t)(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} dt \right\} \\ &\leq C(\rho_*, \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}) \|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 15.  $\square$

**Corollary 16.** *Let  $1 < q < \infty$ ,  $-1 + N/q \leq s, 1/q$ , and  $T > 0$ . Let  $\eta_0(x) = \rho_* + \tilde{\eta}_0(x)$  be a function given in Theorem 1. Then, for any  $(f, \mathbf{g}) \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $F \in L_1((0, T), B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N))$  and  $\mathbf{G} \in L_1((0, T), B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N)$ , problem (3.1) admits unique solutions  $\rho$  and  $\mathbf{u}$  with*

$$\Pi \in W_1^1((0, T), B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)), \quad \mathbf{U} \in L_1((0, T), B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N) \cap W_1^1((0, T), B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N).$$

Moreover, there exist constant constants  $\gamma > 0$  and  $C$  depending on  $\rho_*$  and  $\|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$  such that  $\rho$  and  $\mathbf{u}$  satisfy the following maximal  $L_1$ - $\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  estimate:

$$\|(\partial_t, \bar{\nabla}^2)\mathbf{U}\|_{L_1((0, T), B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N))} + \|(1, \partial_t)\Pi\|_{L_1((0, T), B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N))} \leq C e^{\gamma T} (\|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|(F, \mathbf{G})\|_{L_1((0, T), \mathcal{H})}).$$

*Proof.* Let  $F_0$  and  $\mathbf{G}_0$  be zero extension of  $F$  and  $\mathbf{G}$  outside of  $(0, T)$  interval. Using  $\{T(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ , we can write

$$(\Pi, \mathbf{U})(t) = T(t)(f, \mathbf{g}) + \int_0^t T(t-s)(F_0(\cdot, s), \rho_0^{-1}(\cdot)\mathbf{G}_0(\cdot, s)) ds.$$

Let  $\gamma$  and  $C$  be the constant given in Theorem 15. By Fubini's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma t} \|\bar{\nabla}^2 \int_0^t T_2(t-\ell)(F_0, \eta_0^{-1}\mathbf{G}_0)(\ell) d\ell\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} dt \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \left\{ \int_\ell^\infty e^{-\gamma t} \|\bar{\nabla}^2 T_2(t-\ell)(F_0, \eta_0^{-1}\mathbf{G}_0)(\ell)\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} dt \right\} d\ell \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma \ell} \left\{ \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma t} \|\bar{\nabla}^2 T_2(t)(F_0, \eta_0^{-1}\mathbf{G}_0)(\ell)\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} dt \right\} d\ell \\ &\leq C \int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma \ell} \|(F_0(\cdot, \ell), \eta_0^{-1}\mathbf{G}_0(\cdot, \ell))\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} d\ell \\ &\leq C \|(F, \mathbf{G})\|_{L_1((0, T), \mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N))}. \end{aligned}$$

Employing completely the same argument, we have

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma t} \left\| \int_0^t T_1(t-\ell)(F_0, \rho_0^{-1}\mathbf{G}_0)(\ell) d\ell \right\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} dt \leq C \|(F, \mathbf{G})\|_{L_1((0, T), \mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N))}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\gamma t} (\|\rho(\cdot, t)\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + \|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}) dt \leq C (\|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|(F, \mathbf{G})\|_{L_1((0, T), \mathcal{H})}),$$

which implies that

$$e^{-\gamma T} \int_0^T (\|\rho(\cdot, t)\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + \|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}) dt \leq C (\|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|(F, \mathbf{G})\|_{L_1((0, T), \mathcal{H})}).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\int_0^T (\|\rho(\cdot, t)\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + \|\mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}) dt \leq C e^{\gamma T} (\|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|(F, \mathbf{G})\|_{L_1((0,T), \mathcal{H})}).$$

Here,  $\gamma$  and  $C$  are constants depending on  $\rho_*$ ,  $\|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$ .

To show the estimate of time derivatives, we use the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \Pi &= -\eta_0 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} + F, \\ \partial_t \mathbf{U} &= (\eta_0)^{-1} (\alpha \Delta \mathbf{U} + \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{U} - \nabla (P(\eta_0) \Pi) + \mathbf{G}), \end{aligned}$$

and then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T (\|\partial_t \Pi(\cdot, t)\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + \|\partial_t \mathbf{U}(\cdot, t)\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}) dt \\ & \leq C(\rho_*, \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}}) \left( \int_0^T (\|\Pi(\cdot, t)\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + \|\mathbf{U}(\cdot, t)\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}) dt + \|(F, \mathbf{G})\|_{L_1((0,T), \mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N))} \right) \\ & \leq C(\rho_*, \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}}) e^{\gamma T} (\|(f, \mathbf{g})\|_{\mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + \|(F, \mathbf{G})\|_{L_1((0,T), \mathcal{H}_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N))}). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Corollary 16. □

#### 4. A PROOF OF THEOREM 3

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 3. Let  $\eta_0(x) = \rho_* + \tilde{\eta}_0(x)$  with  $\tilde{\eta}_0(x) \in B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$  and assume that  $\eta_0(x)$  satisfy the assumption (1.3). Let  $\rho_0(x) = \rho_* + \tilde{\rho}_0(x)$  with  $\tilde{\rho}_0(x) \in B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ . Let  $\omega > 0$  be a small number determined later and assume that

$$(4.1) \quad \|\tilde{\rho}_0 - \tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} < \omega$$

Let  $\mathbf{u}_0 \in B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N$ . We consider equations (1.7). By setting  $\rho = \rho_0 + \theta$  we write equations (1.7) as follows:

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + \eta_0 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = (\eta_0 - \rho_0 - \theta) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} + F(\theta + \rho_0, \mathbf{u}) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N \times (0, T), \\ \eta_0 \partial_t \mathbf{u} - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{u} - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} + \nabla (P'(\eta_0) \theta) = -\nabla P(\rho_0) + \mathbf{G}(\theta + \rho_0, \mathbf{u}) + \tilde{\mathbf{G}}(\theta, \mathbf{u}) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{u}|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} = 0, \quad (\theta, \mathbf{u})|_{t=0} = (0, \mathbf{u}_0) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N, \end{cases}$$

where we have set  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}(\theta, \mathbf{u}) = (\eta_0 - \rho_0 - \theta) \partial_t \mathbf{u} - \nabla (P(\rho_0 + \theta) - P(\rho_0) - P'(\eta_0) \theta)$ .

To prove Theorem 3, we use the Banach contraction mapping principle. To this end, we introduce an energy functional  $E_T$  and an underlying space  $S_{T,\omega}$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} E_T(\eta, \mathbf{w}) &= \|(\eta, \partial_t \eta)\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N))} + \|\mathbf{w}\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N))} + \|\partial_t \mathbf{w}\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N))}, \\ S_{T,\omega} &= \left\{ (\eta, \mathbf{w}) \left| \begin{array}{l} \eta \in W_1^1((0, T), B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)), \quad \mathbf{w} \in L_1((0, T), B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N) \cap W_1^1((0, T), B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N) \\ (\eta, \mathbf{w})|_{t=0} = (0, \mathbf{u}_0), \quad E_T(\eta, \mathbf{w}) \leq \omega, \quad \int_0^T \|\nabla \mathbf{w}(\cdot, \tau)\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} d\tau \leq c_1 \end{array} \right. \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $T > 0$ ,  $\omega > 0$  and  $c_1 > 0$  are small constants chosen later. In particular,  $c_1$  is chosen in such a way that

$$\left\| \int_0^T \nabla \mathbf{w}(\cdot, \tau) d\tau \right\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C \int_0^T \|\nabla \mathbf{w}(\cdot, \tau)\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} d\tau \leq C c_1 \leq c_0,$$

where  $c_0$  is a constant appearing in (1.5). Thus, the constant  $c_1$  guarantees that the Lagrange map  $y = X_{\mathbf{w}}(x, t)$  is  $C^1$  diffeomorphism from  $\Omega$  onto  $\Omega$ .

Given  $(\theta, \mathbf{u}) \in S_{T,\omega}$ , let  $\eta$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  be solutions to the system of linear equations:

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \eta + \eta_0 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{w} = (\eta_0 - \rho_0 - \theta) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} + F(\rho_0 + \theta, \mathbf{u}) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N \times (0, T), \\ \eta_0 \partial_t \mathbf{w} - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{w} - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{w} + \nabla(P'(\eta_0)\eta) = -\nabla P(\rho_0) + \mathbf{G}(\rho_0 + \theta, \mathbf{u}) + \tilde{\mathbf{G}}(\theta, \mathbf{u}) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{w}|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} = 0, \quad (\eta, \mathbf{w})|_{t=0} = (0, \mathbf{u}_0) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\eta_{\mathbf{a}}$  and  $\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{a}}$  be solutions of the system of linear equations:

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \eta_{\mathbf{a}} + \eta_0 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{a}} = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N \times (0, \infty), \\ \eta_0 \partial_t \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{a}} - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{a}} - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{a}} + \nabla(P'(\eta_0)\eta_{\mathbf{a}}) = -\nabla P(\rho_0) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N \times (0, \infty), \\ \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{a}}|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} = 0, \quad (\eta_{\mathbf{a}}, \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{a}})|_{t=0} = (0, \mathbf{u}_0) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N. \end{cases}$$

We will choose  $T > 0$  small enough later, and so for a while we assume that  $0 < T < 1$ . By Corollary 16, we know the unique existence of solutions  $\eta_{\mathbf{a}}$  and  $\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{a}}$  satisfying the regularity conditions:

$$\eta_{\mathbf{a}} \in W_1^1((0, 1), B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)), \quad \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{a}} \in L_1((0, 1), B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N) \cap W_1^1((0, 1), B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N)$$

as well as the estimates:

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|(\eta_{\mathbf{a}}, \partial_t \eta_{\mathbf{a}})\|_{L_1((0,1), B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N))} + \|(\partial_t, \bar{\nabla}^2) \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{a}}\|_{L_1((0,1), B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N))} \\ & \leq C e^\gamma (\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} + \|\nabla P(\rho_0)\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}). \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $\gamma$  is a constant depending on  $\rho_*$ ,  $\|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$  given in Corollary 16. Here and in the following,  $C$  denotes a general constant depending at most on  $\rho_*$  and  $\|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$ , which is changed from line to line, but independent of  $\omega$  and  $T$ .

In view of (4.5),  $\eta_{\mathbf{a}}$  and  $\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{a}}$  satisfy  $E_1(\eta_{\mathbf{a}}, \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{a}}) < \infty$ , and so we choose  $T \in (0, 1)$  small enough in such a way that

$$(4.6) \quad E_T(\eta_{\mathbf{a}}, \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{a}}) \leq \omega/2.$$

Let  $\rho$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  be solutions to the system of linear equations:

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \eta_0 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = (\eta_0 - \rho_0 - \theta) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} + F(\theta + \rho_0, \mathbf{u}) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N \times (0, T), \\ \eta_0 \partial_t \mathbf{v} - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{v} - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} + \nabla(P'(\eta_0)\rho) = \mathbf{G}(\theta + \rho_0, \mathbf{u}) + \tilde{\mathbf{G}}(\theta, \mathbf{u}) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{v}|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} = 0, \quad (\rho, \mathbf{v})|_{t=0} = (0, 0) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N. \end{cases}$$

Applying Corollary 16, we see the existence of solutions  $\rho$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  of equations (4.7) satisfying the regularity condition:

$$\rho \in W_1^1((0, T), B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)), \quad \mathbf{v} \in L_1((0, T), B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N) \cap W_1^1((0, T), B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)^N)$$

as well as the estimate:

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} E_T(\rho, \mathbf{v}) & \leq C e^{\gamma T} (\|(\eta_0 - \rho_0 - \theta) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}, F(\theta + \rho_0, \mathbf{u})\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N))} \\ & \quad + \|(\mathbf{G}(\theta + \rho_0, \mathbf{u}), \tilde{\mathbf{G}}(\theta, \mathbf{u}))\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N))}). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we notice that  $\gamma$  and  $C$  depend on  $\rho_*$  and  $\|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$  but is independent of  $\omega$  and  $T$ .

Now, we shall show that there exist constants  $C > 0$  and  $\omega > 0$  such that

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|(\eta_0 - \rho_0 - \theta) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}, F(\theta + \rho_0, \mathbf{u})\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N))} + \|(\mathbf{G}(\theta + \rho_0, \mathbf{u}), \tilde{\mathbf{G}}(\theta, \mathbf{u}))\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N))} \\ & \leq C(\omega^2 + \omega^3). \end{aligned}$$

If we show (4.9), then by (4.8) we have

$$(4.10) \quad E_T(\rho, \mathbf{v}) \leq C e^{\gamma T} (\omega^2 + \omega^3).$$

Choose  $\omega > 0$  and  $T > 0$  so small that  $Ce(\omega + \omega^2) \leq 1/2$  and  $\gamma T \leq 1$ . Then, we have

$$(4.11) \quad E_T(\rho, \mathbf{v}) < \omega/2,$$

which, combined with (4.6), implies that  $\eta = \eta_{\mathbf{a}} + \rho$  and  $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{a}} + \mathbf{v}$  satisfy equations (4.3) and  $E_T(\eta, \mathbf{w}) < \omega$ . Especially,  $\omega$  is chosen so small that

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla \mathbf{w}(\cdot, \tau)\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} d\tau \leq CE_T(\eta, \mathbf{w}) \leq C\omega \leq c_1.$$

As a consequence,  $(\eta, \mathbf{w}) \in S_{T,\omega}$ . Thus, if we define the map  $\Phi$  by  $\Phi(\theta, \mathbf{u}) = (\eta, \mathbf{w})$ , then  $\Phi$  maps  $S_{T,\omega}$  into  $S_{T,\omega}$ .

Now, we shall show (4.9). For notational simplicity, we omit  $\mathbb{R}_+^N$  below. Notice that  $B_{q,1}^{N/q}$  is a Banach algebra (cf. [12, Proposition 2.3]). By Lemma 8 and the assumption:  $N/q \leq s+1$ , we see that  $B_{q,1}^{s+1}$  is also a Banach algebra. In fact,

$$\|uv\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} \leq \|(\nabla u)v\|_{B_{q,1}^s} + \|u\bar{\nabla}v\|_{B_{q,1}^s} \leq C(\|\nabla u\|_{B_{q,1}^s}\|v\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}} + \|u\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}}\|\bar{\nabla}v\|_{B_{q,1}^s}) \leq C\|u\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}}\|v\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}}.$$

We first estimate  $(\eta_0 - \rho_0 - \theta)\text{div } \mathbf{u}$  and  $F(\theta + \rho_0, \mathbf{u})$ . By Lemma 8 and (4.1), we have

$$(4.12) \quad \|(\eta_0 - \rho_0)\text{div } \mathbf{u}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} \leq C\omega\|\mathbf{u}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+2}}.$$

Since  $B_{q,1}^{s+1}$  is a Banach algebra, we have

$$\|\theta\text{div } \mathbf{u}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} \leq C\|\theta\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}}\|\text{div } \mathbf{u}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}}.$$

Since  $\theta|_{t=0} = 0$ , here and in the sequel we use the following estimate:

$$(4.13) \quad \|\theta(\cdot, t)\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} = \left\| \int_0^t \partial_s \theta(\cdot, s) ds \right\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq \|\partial_t \theta\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N))}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\|\theta\text{div } \mathbf{u}\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})} \leq C\|\partial_t \theta\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})}\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+2})}.$$

We next estimate  $F(\rho_0 + \theta, \mathbf{u}) = (\rho_0 + \theta)((\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}}) : \nabla \mathbf{u})$ . Recall that  $\mathbf{u}$  satisfies

$$(4.14) \quad \int_0^T \|\nabla \mathbf{u}(\cdot, \tau)\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/\beta}} d\tau \leq c_1.$$

Since  $B_{q,1}^{N/q} \subset L_\infty$ , we have

$$(4.15) \quad \sup_{t \in (0,T)} \left\| \int_0^t \nabla \mathbf{u}(\cdot, \tau) d\tau \right\|_{L_\infty} \leq C \int_0^T \|\nabla \mathbf{u}(\cdot, \tau)\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/\beta}} d\tau \leq Cc_1.$$

Choosing  $c_1$  so small that  $Cc_1 < 1$ . Let  $F(\ell)$  be a  $C^\infty$  function defined on  $|\ell| \leq Cc_1$  and  $F(0) = 0$ , and  $\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}} = F(\int_0^t \nabla \mathbf{u} d\ell)$ . In fact,  $F(\ell) = -\sum_{j=1}^\infty \ell^j$ . Then, by Lemma 14 and (4.15), we have

$$(4.16) \quad \sup_{t \in (0,T)} \|F(\int_0^t \nabla \mathbf{u} d\tau)\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} \leq C \int_0^T \|\nabla \mathbf{u}(\cdot, \tau)\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} d\tau.$$

Since  $B_{q,1}^{s+1}$  is a Banach algebra, using (4.16) we have

$$\|F(\rho_0 + \theta, \mathbf{u})\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} \leq C(\|\rho_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} + \|\theta(\cdot, t)\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}})\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+2})}\|\nabla \mathbf{u}(\cdot, t)\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}}.$$

Using (4.13), we have

$$\|F(\rho_0 + \theta, \mathbf{u})\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})} \leq C(\|\rho_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} + \|\theta_t\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})})\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+2})}^2.$$

Summing up, we have proved that

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.17) \quad & \|(\eta_0 - \rho_0 - \theta) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}, F(\theta + \rho_0) \mathbf{u}\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}_+^N))} \\
& \leq C \{ \omega \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+2})} + \|\partial_t \theta\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+2})} \\
& \quad + (\|\eta_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} + 1) \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+2})}^2 + \|\partial_t \theta\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+2})}^2 \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here and in the following, we use the estimate:

$$\|\rho_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} \leq \|\rho_0 - \eta_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} + \|\eta_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} \leq 1 + \|\eta_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}}.$$

Next, we estimate  $\|(\mathbf{G}(\theta + \rho_0, \mathbf{u}), \tilde{\mathbf{G}}(\theta, \mathbf{u}))\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N))}$ . By Lemma 8, the assumption:  $N/d \leq s+1$ , (4.13), and (4.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}})(\rho_0 + \theta) \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{B_{q,1}^s} \leq C \|\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}}\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}} \|\rho_0 + \theta\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}} \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{B_{q,1}^s} \\
& \leq C \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+2})} (\|\rho_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} + \|\partial_t \theta\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})}) \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{B_{q,1}^s}, \\
& \|(\mathbb{A}_u^{-1} - \mathbb{I}) \operatorname{div} (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}}^\top : \nabla \mathbf{u})\|_{B_{q,1}^s} \leq C \|\mathbb{A}_u^{-1} - \mathbb{I}\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}} (\|\operatorname{div} \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{B_{q,1}^s} + \|(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}}^\top - \mathbb{I}) : \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}}) \\
& \leq C \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+2})} (1 + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+2})}) \|\mathbf{u}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.18) \quad & \|(\mathbf{G}(\theta + \rho_0, \mathbf{u}))\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^s)} \\
& \leq C (\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+2})} (\|\rho_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} + \|\partial_t \theta\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})}) \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^s)} \\
& \quad + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+2})} (1 + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+2})}) \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+2})}).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we shall estimate  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}(\theta, \mathbf{u}) = (\eta_0 - \rho_0) \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \nabla (P(\rho_0 + \theta) - P(\rho_0) - P'(\eta_0) \theta)$ . Using Lemma 8, (4.1) and  $N/q \leq s+1$ , we have

$$\|(\eta_0 - \rho_0) \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{B_{q,1}^s} \leq C \|\tilde{\eta}_0 - \tilde{\rho}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}} \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{B_{q,1}^s} \leq C \omega \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{B_{q,1}^s}.$$

To estimate the second term, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
& P(\rho_0 + \theta) - P(\rho_0) - P'(\eta_0) \theta \\
& = P(\rho_0 + \theta) - P(\rho_0) - P'(\rho_0) \theta + (P'(\rho_0) - P'(\eta_0)) \theta \\
& = \int_0^1 (1 - \ell) P''(\rho_0 + \ell \theta) \, d\ell \theta^2 + \int_0^1 P''(\eta_0 + \ell(\rho_0 - \eta_0)) \, d\ell (\rho_0 - \eta_0) \theta.
\end{aligned}$$

Write  $\rho_0 + \ell \theta = \eta_0 + \rho_0 - \eta_0 + \ell \theta$ . By (4.1), (4.13) and  $E_T(\theta, \mathbf{u}) < \omega$ , we see that

$$\|\rho_0 - \eta_0 + \ell \theta\|_{L_\infty} \leq C \|\rho_0 - \eta_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} + \ell \|\partial_t \theta\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})} \leq C \omega$$

for  $\ell \in (0, 1)$ . In view of (1.3), if we choose  $\omega > 0$  so small that

$$\|\rho_0 - \eta_0 + \ell \theta\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} < \min(\rho_1/2, \rho_2) \quad \text{for any } \ell \in [0, 1],$$

we have

$$\rho_1/2 < \eta_0 + \rho_0 - \eta_0 + \ell \theta < 2\rho_2$$

for any  $\ell \in [0, 1]$ . Recalling that  $\eta_0 = \rho_* + \tilde{\eta}_0$ , we have

$$(4.19) \quad \rho_1/2 - \rho_* < \tilde{\eta}_0 + \rho_0 - \eta_0 + \ell \theta \leq 2\rho_2 - \rho_*$$

for any  $\ell \in (0, 1)$ . From this observation, we write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 (1 - \ell) P''(\rho_0 + \ell\theta) \, d\ell \theta^2 \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (1 - \ell) P'''(\rho_* + m(\tilde{\eta}_0 + \rho_0 - \eta_0 + \ell\theta)(\tilde{\eta}_0 + \rho_0 - \eta_0 + \ell\theta)) \, dm \, d\ell \theta^2 + \frac{1}{2} P''(\rho_*) \theta^2. \end{aligned}$$

And also, we write  $\eta_0 + \ell(\rho_0 - \eta_0) = \eta_0 + (1 - \ell)(\eta_0 - \eta_0) + \ell(\rho_0 - \eta_0)$  and observe that

$$(4.20) \quad \|\eta_0 - \eta_0 + \ell(\rho_0 - \eta_0)\|_{L_\infty} \leq C((1 - \ell)\|\eta_0 - \eta_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} + \ell\|\rho_0 - \eta_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}})$$

for any  $\ell \in (0, 1)$ . In view of (4.1), we choose  $\omega$  so small that

$$\rho_1/2 < \eta_0 + \ell(\rho_0 - \eta_0) < 2\rho_2$$

for any  $\ell \in (0, 1)$  as follows from Assumption (1.3), we have

$$(4.21) \quad \rho_1/2 - \rho_* < \tilde{\eta}_0 + \ell(\rho_0 - \eta_0) < 2\rho_2 - \rho_*$$

for any  $\ell \in (0, 1)$ . From this observation, we write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 P''(\eta_0 + \ell(\rho_0 - \eta_0)) \, d\ell(\rho_0 - \eta_0)\theta \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 P'''(\rho_* + m(\tilde{\eta}_0 + \ell(\rho_0 - \eta_0))(\tilde{\eta}_0 + \ell(\rho_0 - \eta_0)) \, d\ell \, dm(\rho_0 - \eta_0)\theta + P''(\rho_*)(\rho_0 - \eta_0)\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemmas 8 and 14, we have

$$\|\nabla(P(\rho_0 + \theta) - P(\rho_0) - P'(\eta_0)\theta)\|_{B_{q,1}^s} \leq C(\rho_*, \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}})(\|\theta\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}}^2 + \|\rho_0 - \eta_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}}\|\theta\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}}).$$

Putting these estimates together and using (4.1) and (4.13), we have

$$(4.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\tilde{\mathbf{G}}(\theta, \mathbf{u})\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^s)} &\leq C(\rho_*, \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}})\{\omega(\|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^s)} + \|\theta\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})}) \\ &\quad + \|\partial_t \theta\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})}\|\theta\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.17), (4.18), (4.22) and recalling that  $E_T(\theta, \mathbf{u}) \leq \omega$ , we have (4.9). And so, choosing  $\omega > 0$  and  $T > 0$  so small that  $Ce(\omega + \omega^2) \leq 1/2$  and  $\gamma T \leq 1$ , we have (4.11). Here,  $C$  and  $\gamma$  depends on  $\rho_*$  and  $\|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}}$ , and so the smallness of  $\omega$  and  $T > 0$  depends on  $\rho_*$  and  $\|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}}$ . Therefore, we see that  $\Phi$  maps  $S_{T,\omega}$  into itself.

We now prove that  $\Phi$  is contractive. To this end, pick up two elements  $(\theta_i, \mathbf{u}_i) \in S_{T,\omega}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) arbitrarily, and let  $(\eta_i, \mathbf{w}_i) = \Phi(\theta_i, \mathbf{u}_i) \in S_{T,\omega}$  be solutions of equations (4.3) with  $(\theta, \mathbf{u}) = (\theta_i, \mathbf{u}_i)$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta &= \eta_1 - \eta_2, \quad \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2, \\ \mathbb{F} &= (\eta_0 - \rho_0)\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2) - (\theta_1 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_1 - \theta_2 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_2) + F(\theta_1 + \rho_0, \mathbf{u}_1) - F(\theta_2 + \rho_0, \mathbf{u}_2), \\ \mathbb{G} &= \mathbf{G}(\theta_1 + \rho_0, \mathbf{u}_1) - \mathbf{G}(\theta_2 + \rho_0, \mathbf{u}_2) + \tilde{\mathbf{G}}(\theta_1, \mathbf{u}_1) - \tilde{\mathbf{G}}(\theta_2, \mathbf{u}_2). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $\Theta$  and  $\mathbf{U}$  satisfy equations:

$$(4.23) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \Theta + \eta_0 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{U} = \mathbb{F} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N \times (0, T), \\ \partial_t \mathbf{U} - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{U} - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{U} + \nabla(P'(\rho_0)\Theta) = \mathbb{G} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{U}|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} = 0, \quad (\Theta, \mathbf{U})|_{t=0} = (0, 0) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N. \end{cases}$$

From (4.8), it follows that

$$(4.24) \quad E_T(\eta_1 - \eta_2, \mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2) \leq Ce^{\gamma T}(\|\mathbb{F}\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})} + \|\mathbb{G}\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+2})}).$$

We shall prove that

$$(4.25) \quad \|\mathbb{F}\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})} + \|\mathbb{G}\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+2})} \leq C(\omega + \omega^2)E_T(\theta_1 - \theta_2, \mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2).$$



We start with estimating  $\mathbb{F}$ . Recall that  $B_{q,1}^{N/q}$  and  $B_{q,1}^{s+1}$  are Banach algebra. By Lemma 8 and (4.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\eta_0 - \rho_0) \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})} &\leq C \|\eta_0 - \rho_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} \|\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+2})} \\ &\leq C\omega \|\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+2})}. \end{aligned}$$

Writing  $\theta_1 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_1 - \theta_2 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_2 = (\theta_1 - \theta_2) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_1 + \theta_2 (\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_1 - \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_2)$  and using Lemma 8 and (4.13) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|\theta_1 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_1 - \theta_2 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_2\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} &\leq C(\|\operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_1\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} \|\theta_1 - \theta_2\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} + \|\theta_2\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} \|\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}}) \\ &\leq C(\|\mathbf{u}_1\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+2}} \|\partial_t(\theta_1 - \theta_2)\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})} + \|\partial_t \theta_2\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})} \|\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+2}}). \end{aligned}$$

Using  $E_T(\theta_i, \mathbf{u}_i) \leq \omega$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), we have

$$\|\theta_1 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_1 - \theta_2 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_2\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})} \leq C\omega E_T(\theta_1 - \theta_2, \mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2).$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned} F(\theta_1 + \rho_0, \mathbf{u}_1) - F(\theta_2 + \rho_0, \mathbf{u}_2) &= (\theta_1 - \theta_2)(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_1}) : \nabla \mathbf{u}_1 \\ &\quad - (\rho_0 + \theta_2)(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_1} - \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_2}) : \nabla \mathbf{u}_1 + (\rho_0 + \theta_2)(\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_2}) : \nabla(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2). \end{aligned}$$

Set  $\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}} = F(\int_0^t \nabla \mathbf{u})$  and write

$$\begin{aligned} F(\int_0^t \nabla \mathbf{u}_1 \, d\ell) - F(\int_0^t \nabla \mathbf{u}_2 \, d\ell) &= \int_0^1 F'(\int_0^1 (\nabla \mathbf{u}_2 + m \nabla(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)) \, d\ell) \, dm \int_0^t \nabla(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2) \, d\ell \\ &= \left\{ F'(0) + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 F''(n \int_0^1 (\nabla \mathbf{u}_2 + m \nabla(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)) \, d\ell) \, dm \, dn \right\} \int_0^t \nabla(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2) \, d\ell. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{t \in (0,T)} \left\| n \int_0^1 (\nabla \mathbf{u}_2 + m \nabla(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)) \, d\tau \right\|_{L_\infty} \\ &\leq (1-m) \sup_{t \in (0,T)} \left\| \int_0^1 \nabla \mathbf{u}_2 \, d\tau \right\|_{L_\infty} + m \sup_{t \in (0,T)} \left\| \int_0^1 \nabla \mathbf{u}_1 \, d\tau \right\|_{L_\infty} \leq Cc_1 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemmas 8 and 14, we have

$$\|F(\int_0^t \nabla \mathbf{u}_1 \, d\ell) - F(\int_0^t \nabla \mathbf{u}_2 \, d\ell)\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} \leq C \|\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+2})}.$$

Thus, by Lemma 8, (4.13) and (4.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|F(\theta_1 + \rho_0, \mathbf{u}_1) - F(\theta_2 + \rho_0, \mathbf{u}_2)\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} \\ &\leq C\{\|\theta_1 - \theta_2\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} \|\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_1}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_1\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} + \|\rho_0 + \theta_2\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} \|\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_1} - \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_2}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_1\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} \\ &\quad + \|\rho_0 + \theta_2\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} \|\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_2}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} \|\nabla(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}}\} \\ &\leq C(\|\partial_t(\theta_1 - \theta_2)\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})} \|\mathbf{u}_1\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_1\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} \\ &\quad + (\|\rho_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} + \|\partial_t \theta_2\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})}) \|\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+2})} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_1\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} \\ &\quad + (\|\rho_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} + \|\partial_t \theta_2\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})}) \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_2\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})} \|\nabla(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Using the conditions:  $E_T(\theta_i, \mathbf{u}_i) \leq \omega$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), we have

$$\|F(\theta_1 + \rho_0, \mathbf{u}_1) - F(\theta_2 + \rho_0, \mathbf{u}_2)\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})} \leq C(\omega + \omega^2) E_T(\theta_1 - \theta_2, \mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2),$$

where  $C$  depends on  $\|\eta_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}}$ . In fact, we estimate

$$\|\rho_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} + \|\partial_t \theta_2\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})} \leq \|\rho_0 - \eta_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} + \|\eta_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} + \|\partial_t \theta_2\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})} \leq 2\omega + \|\eta_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}}.$$

Summing up, we have obtained

$$(4.26) \quad \|\mathbb{F}\|_{L_1((0,T),B_{q,1}^{s+1})} \leq C(\omega + \omega^2)E_T(\theta_1 - \theta_2, \mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)$$

for some constant  $C$  depending on  $\|\eta_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}}$ .

Now, we treat  $\mathbb{G}$ . First, we estimate  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}(\theta_1, \mathbf{u}_1) - \tilde{\mathbf{G}}(\theta_2, \mathbf{u}_2)$ . Write

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{G}}(\theta_1, \mathbf{u}_1) - \tilde{\mathbf{G}}(\theta_2, \mathbf{u}_2) &= (\eta_0 - \rho_0)\partial_t(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2) \\ &+ \nabla(P(\rho_0 + \theta_1) - P(\rho_0) - P'(\eta_0)\theta_1 - (P(\rho_0 + \theta_2) - P(\rho_0) - P'(\eta_0)\theta_2)) \\ &= (\eta_0 - \rho_0)\partial_t(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2) + \nabla\left\{\int_0^1 P''(\eta_0 + \ell(\rho_0 - \eta_0)) \, d\ell(\rho_0 - \eta_0)(\theta_1 - \theta_2)\right\} \\ &+ \nabla\left\{\int_0^1 (1 - \ell)(P''(\rho_0 + \ell\theta_1) - P''(\rho_0 + \ell\theta_2)) \, d\ell\theta_1^2 + \int_0^1 (1 - \ell)(P''(\rho_0 + \ell\theta_2)) \, d\ell(\theta_1^2 - \theta_2^2)\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Writing  $\eta_0 + \ell(\rho_0 - \eta_0) = \eta_0 + (1 - \ell)(\eta_0 - \eta_0) + \ell(\rho_0 - \eta_0)$ , using (4.20) and (1.3), we may assume that

$$\rho_1/2 - \rho_* < \tilde{\eta}_0 + \ell(\rho_0 - \eta_0) < 2\rho_2 - \rho_*$$

for any  $\ell \in (0, 1)$ , and so we write

$$\int_0^1 P''(\eta_0 + \ell(\rho_0 - \eta_0)) \, d\ell = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 P'''(\rho_* + m(\tilde{\eta}_0 + \ell(\rho_0 - \eta_0))(\tilde{\eta}_0 + \ell(\rho_0 - \eta_0)) \, d\ell \, dm + P''(\rho_*).$$

Thus, by Lemmas 8 and 14 and (4.1), we have

$$\|\nabla(\int_0^1 P''(\eta_0 + \ell(\rho_0 - \eta_0)) \, d\ell(\rho_0 - \eta_0)(\theta_1 - \theta_2))\|_{B_{q,1}^s} \leq C(\rho_*, \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}})\omega\|\theta_1 - \theta_2\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}}.$$

Write

$$\rho_0 + \ell\theta_2 + m(\rho_0 + \ell\theta_1 - (\rho_0 + \ell\theta_2)) = \eta_0 + (\rho_0 - \eta_0) + \ell\theta_2 + m\ell(\theta_1 - \theta_2).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\rho_0 - \eta_0) + \ell\theta_2 + m\ell(\theta_1 - \theta_2)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C(\|\rho_0 - \eta_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} + \ell(1 - m)\|\theta_2\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} + \ell m\|\theta_1\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}}) \\ &\leq C(\omega + \sum_{i=1,2} \|\partial_t \theta_i\|_{L_1((0,T),B_{q,1}^{s+1})}) \leq C\omega, \end{aligned}$$

we may assume that

$$\rho_1/2 - \rho_* < \tilde{\eta}_0 + (\rho_0 - \eta_0) + \ell\theta_2 + m\ell(\theta_1 - \theta_2) < 2\rho_2 - \rho_*,$$

and so, we write

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 (1 - \ell)(P''(\rho_0 + \ell\theta_1) - P''(\rho_0 + \ell\theta_2)) \, d\ell\theta_1^2 \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (1 - \ell)P'''(\rho_0 + \ell\theta_2 + m\ell(\theta_1 - \theta_2))(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \, d\ell \, dm \theta_1^2 \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (1 - \ell)P''''(\rho_* + n(\tilde{\eta}_0 + (\rho_0 - \eta_0) + \ell\theta_2 + m\ell(\theta_1 - \theta_2))) \\ &\quad \times (\tilde{\eta}_0 + (\rho_0 - \eta_0) + \ell\theta_2 + m\ell(\theta_1 - \theta_2)) \, d\ell \, dm \, dn (\theta_1 - \theta_2)\theta_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}P'''(\rho_*)(\theta_1 - \theta_2)\theta_1^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 8 and 14, and (4.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\nabla(\int_0^1 (1 - \ell)(P''(\rho_0 + \ell\theta_1) - P''(\rho_0 + \ell\theta_2)) \, d\ell\theta_1^2)\|_{B_{q,1}^s} \\ &\leq C(\rho_*, \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}})\|\partial_t \theta_1\|_{L_1((0,T),B_{q,1}^{s+1})}^2 \|\theta_1 - \theta_2\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Concerning the last term, we write  $\rho_0 + \ell\theta_2 = \eta_0 + (\rho_0 - \eta_0) + \ell\theta_2$ . Since

$$\|\rho_0 - \eta_0 + \ell\theta_2\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(\|\rho_0 - \eta_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} + \|\theta_2\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}}) \leq C(\|\rho_0 - \eta_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} + \|\partial_t\theta_2\|_{L_1((0,T),B_{q,1}^{s+1})}) \leq C\omega,$$

choosing  $\omega > 0$  small enough, we may assume that

$$\rho_1/2 - \rho_* < \tilde{\eta}_0 + (\rho_0 - \eta_0) + \ell\theta_2 < 2\rho_2 - \rho_*$$

for any  $\ell \in (0, 1)$ . Thus, writing

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 (1 - \ell)P''(\rho_0 + \ell\theta_2) \, d\ell (\theta_1^2 - \theta_2^2) \\ &= \left\{ \frac{1}{2}P''(\rho_*) + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (1 - \ell)P'''(\rho_* + m(\tilde{\eta}_0 + \rho_0 - \eta_0 + \ell\theta_2))(\tilde{\eta}_0 + \rho_0 - \eta_0 + \ell\theta_2) \, d\ell \, dm \right\} \\ & \quad \times (\theta_1 - \theta_2)(\theta_1 + \theta_2), \end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 8 and 14, and (4.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla(\int_0^1 (1 - \ell)P''(\rho_0 + \ell\theta_2) \, d\ell (\theta_1^2 - \theta_2^2))\|_{B_{q,1}^s} \\ & \leq C(\rho_*, \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}})(\|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} + \|\rho_0 - \eta_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} + \|\partial_t\theta_2\|_{L_1((0,T),B_{q,1}^{s+1})}) \\ & \quad \times (\|\partial_t\theta_1\|_{L_1((0,T),B_{q,1}^{s+1})} + \|\partial_t\theta_2\|_{L_1((0,T),B_{q,1}^{s+1})})\|\theta_1 - \theta_2\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up, we have obtained

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\tilde{\mathbf{G}}(\theta_1, \mathbf{u}_1) - \tilde{\mathbf{G}}(\theta_2, \mathbf{u}_2)\|_{L_1((0,T),B_{q,1}^s)} \leq C\|\eta_0 - \rho_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}}\|\partial_t(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)\|_{L_1((0,T),B_{q,1}^s)} \\ & \quad + C(\rho_*, \|\eta_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}})(\omega + \|\partial_t\theta_1\|_{L_1((0,T),B_{q,1}^{s+1})}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\partial_t\theta_i\|_{L_1((0,T),B_{q,1}^{s+1})})\|\theta_1 - \theta_2\|_{L_1((0,T),B_{q,1}^{s+1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $E_T(\theta_i, \mathbf{u}_i) \leq \omega$ , using (3.1), we have

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{G}}(\theta_1, \mathbf{u}_1) - \tilde{\mathbf{G}}(\theta_2, \mathbf{u}_2)\|_{B_{q,1}^s} \leq C(\omega + \omega^2)E_T(\theta_1 - \theta_2, \mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2).$$

Finally, we estimate  $\mathbf{G}(\rho_0 + \theta_1, \mathbf{u}_1) - \mathbf{G}(\rho_0 + \theta_2, \mathbf{u}_2)$ . We write

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{G}(\rho_0 + \theta_1, \mathbf{u}_1) - \mathbf{G}(\rho_0 + \theta_2, \mathbf{u}_2) \\ &= ((\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_2}^\top)^{-1} - (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_1}^\top)^{-1})(\rho_0 + \theta_1)\partial_t\mathbf{u}_1 + (\mathbb{I} - (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_2}^\top)^{-1})(\theta_1 - \theta_2)\partial_t\mathbf{u}_1 \\ & \quad + (\mathbb{I} - (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_2}^\top)^{-1})(\rho_0 + \theta_2)\partial_t(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2) \\ & \quad + \alpha((\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_1}^\top)^{-1} - (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_2}^\top)^{-1})\operatorname{div}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_1}\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_1}^\top : \nabla\mathbf{u}_1) + \alpha((\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_2}^\top)^{-1} - \mathbb{I})\operatorname{div}((\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_1}\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_1}^\top - \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_2}\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_2}^\top) : \nabla\mathbf{u}_1) \\ & \quad + \alpha((\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_2}^\top)^{-1} - \mathbb{I})\operatorname{div}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_2}\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_2}^\top : \nabla(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)) + \alpha\operatorname{div}((\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_1} - \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_2})(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_1}^\top - \mathbb{I}) : \nabla\mathbf{u}_1) \\ & \quad + \alpha\operatorname{div}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_2}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_1}^\top - \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_2}^\top) : \nabla\mathbf{u}_1) + \alpha\operatorname{div}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_2}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_2}^\top - \mathbb{I}) : \nabla(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)) \\ & \quad + \beta\nabla((\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_1}^\top - \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_2}^\top) : \nabla\mathbf{u}_1) + \beta\nabla((\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_2}^\top - \mathbb{I}) : \nabla(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Employing the similar argument to the proof of (4.26), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_1}^\top)^{-1} - (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_2}^\top)^{-1}\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}} \leq C\|\nabla(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)\|_{L_1((0,T),B_{q,1}^{N/q})}, \quad \|(\mathbb{I} - (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_i}^\top)^{-1})\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}} \leq C\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_i\|_{L_1((0,T),B_{q,1}^{N/q})}, \\ & \|\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_1}^\top - \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_2}^\top\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}} \leq C\|\nabla(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)\|_{L_1((0,T),B_{q,1}^{N/q})}, \quad \|\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_i}\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_i}^\top - \mathbb{I}\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} \leq C\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_i\|_{L_1((0,T),B_{q,1}^{s+1})}, \\ & \|\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_1}\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_1}^\top - \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_2}\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_2}^\top\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}} \leq C\|\nabla(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)\|_{L_1((0,T),B_{q,1}^{N/q})}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemmas 8 and 14, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\mathbf{G}(\rho_0 + \theta_1, \mathbf{u}_1) - \mathbf{G}(\rho_0 + \theta_2, \mathbf{u}_2)\|_{B_{q,1}^s} \\
& \leq C\{(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_2}^\top)^{-1} - (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_1}^\top)^{-1}\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}}(\|\rho_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}} + \|\partial_t \theta\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{N/q})})\|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_1\|_{B_{q,1}^s} \\
& \quad + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_2\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{N/q})}\|\partial_t(\theta_1 - \theta_2)\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{N/q})}\|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_1\|_{B_{q,1}^s} \\
& \quad + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_2\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{N/q})}(\|\rho_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}} + \|\partial_t \theta_2\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{N/q})})\|\partial_t(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)\|_{B_{q,1}^s} \\
& \quad + \|(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_2}^\top)^{-1} - (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_1}^\top)^{-1}\|_{B_{q,1}^{N/q}}(1 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_1\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}})\|\mathbf{u}_1\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} \\
& \quad + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_2\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{N/q})}\|\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_1} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_1}^\top - \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_2} \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}_2}^\top\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}}\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_1\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} \\
& \quad + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_2\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{N/q})}(1 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_2\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{N/q})})\|\nabla(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} \\
& \quad + \|\nabla(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})}\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_1\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})}\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_1\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} \\
& \quad + (1 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_2\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})})\|\nabla(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})}\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_1\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} \\
& \quad + (1 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_2\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})})\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_2\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})}\|\nabla(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} \\
& \quad + \|\nabla(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})}\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_1\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_2\|_{L_1((0,T), B_{q,1}^{s+1})}\|\nabla(\mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2)\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have  $\|\rho_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} \leq \|\rho_0 - \eta_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} + \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}} \leq C\omega + \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}}$ . Thus, we have

$$\|\mathbf{G}(\rho_0 + \theta_1, \mathbf{u}_1) - \mathbf{G}(\rho_0 + \theta_2, \mathbf{u}_2)\|_{L_1(0,T), B_{q,1}^s} \leq C(\rho_*, \|\tilde{\eta}_0\|_{B_{q,1}^{s+1}})(\omega + \omega^2)E_T(\theta_1 - \theta_2, \mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2).$$

Summing up, we have obtained (4.25).

Combining (4.24) and (4.25) yields

$$E_T(\eta_1 - \eta_2, \mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2) \leq Ce^{\gamma T}(\omega + \omega^2)E_T(\theta_1 - \theta_2, \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2).$$

Thus, choosing  $\omega > 0$  and  $T > 0$  so small that  $Ce(\omega + \omega^2) \leq 1/2$  and  $\gamma T \leq 1$ , we have

$$E_T(\eta_1 - \eta_2, \mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2) \leq (1/2)E_T(\theta_1 - \theta_2, \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2),$$

which shows that  $\Phi$  is a contraction map from  $S_{T,\omega}$  into itself. Therefore, by the Banach fixed point theorem,  $\Phi$  has a unique fixed point  $(\eta, \mathbf{w}) \in S_{T,\omega}$ . In (4.3), setting  $(\eta, \mathbf{w}) = (\theta, \mathbf{u})$  and recalling  $\rho = \rho_0 + \theta$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{G}}(\theta, \mathbf{u}) = (\eta_0 - \rho_0 - \theta)\partial_t \mathbf{u} - \nabla(P(\rho_0 + \theta) - P(\rho_0) - P'(\eta_0)\theta)$ , we see that  $\theta$  and  $\mathbf{u}$  satisfy equations:

$$(4.27) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \theta + \eta_0 \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = (\eta_0 - \rho_0 - \theta) \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} + F(\rho_0 + \theta, \mathbf{u}) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N \times (0, T), \\ \eta_0 \partial_t \mathbf{u} - \alpha \Delta \mathbf{u} - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} + \nabla(P'(\eta_0)\theta) = -\nabla P(\rho_0) + \mathbf{G}(\rho_0 + \theta, \mathbf{u}) - \tilde{\mathbf{G}}(\theta, \mathbf{u}) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N \times (0, T), \\ \mathbf{u}|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^N} = 0, \quad (\eta, \mathbf{u})|_{t=0} = (0, \mathbf{u}_0) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^N. \end{cases}$$

Thus, setting  $\rho = \rho_0 + \theta$ , from (4.27) it follows that  $\rho$  and  $\mathbf{u}$  satisfy equations (1.7). Moreover,  $(\rho, \mathbf{u})$  belongs to  $S_{T,\omega}$ , which completes the proof of Theorem 3.

**A proof of Theorem 1.** As was mentioned at the beginning of Subsec. 1.1,  $y = X_{\mathbf{u}}(x, t)$  is a  $C^1$  diffeomorphism from  $\Omega$  onto itself for any  $t \in (0, T)$ , because  $\mathbf{u} \in L_1((0, T), B_{q,1}^{s+2}(\Omega)^N)$ . Let  $x = X_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}(y, t)$  be the inverse of  $X_{\mathbf{u}}$ . For any function  $F \in B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)$ ,  $1 < q < \infty$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , it follow from the chain rule that

$$\|F \circ X_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)} \leq C\|F\|_{B_{q,1}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^N)}$$

with some constant  $C > 0$  (cf. Amann [2, Theorem 2.1]). Let  $(\rho, \mathbf{v}) = (\theta, \mathbf{u}) \circ X_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}$  and  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}} = (\nabla_y X_{\mathbf{u}})^{-1}$ . Let  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}}^\top = (A_{jk})$ . There holds

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_y(\rho, \mathbf{v}) &= (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{u}}^\top \nabla_x(\theta, \mathbf{u})) \circ X_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}, \\ \partial_{y_j} \partial_{y_k} \mathbf{v} &= \sum_{\ell, \ell'} A_{j\ell} \partial_{y_\ell} (A_{k\ell'} \partial_{y_{\ell'}} \mathbf{u}) \circ X_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1} \quad (j, k = 1, \dots, N).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we rely on the relation:

$$\partial_t(\rho, \mathbf{v}) = \partial_t(\theta, \mathbf{u}) \circ X_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1} - ((\mathbf{u} \circ X_{\mathbf{u}}^{-1}) \cdot \nabla_y)(\rho, \mathbf{v}),$$

concerning the time derivative of  $\rho$  and  $\mathbf{v}$ . Therefore, by Theorem 3 and Lemma 8, we arrive at (1.4). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Abidi and M. Paicu, *Existence globale pour un fluide inhomogène*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **57** (3) (2007), 883–917
- [2] H. Amann, *On the strong solvability of the Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Math. Fluid Mech. **2**(1) (2000), 16–98.
- [3] Hajer Bahouri, Jean-Yves Chemin, and Raphaël Danchin, *Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], Vol. 343, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-16830-7.
- [4] J. Bergh, and J. Löfström, *Interpolation Spaces, An Introduction*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **223**, A Series of Comprehensive Studies in Mathematics, 1976, Springer Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York, DOI:10.1007/978-642-66451-9
- [5] F. Charve and R. Danchin, *A global existence result for the compressible Navier-Stokes equations in the critical  $L^p$  framework*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **198** (2010), 233–271.
- [6] G. Da Prato and P. Grisvard, *Sommes d’opérateurs linéaires et équations différentielles opérationnelles*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **54** (3) (1974), 305–387.
- [7] R. Danchin, M. Hieber, P. Mucha and P. Tolksdorf, *Free boundary problems via Da Prato-Grisvard theory*, Preprint: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.07918>.
- [8] R. Danchin, *Global existence in critical spaces for compressible Navier-Stokes equations*, Invent. Math. **141**(3) (2000), 579–614.
- [9] R. Danchin and P. Tolksdorf, *Critical regularity issues for the compressible Navier-Stokes system in nbounded domains*, Math. Ann. Publised online: 13 November 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-022-02501-w>
- [10] Y. Enomoto and Y. Shibata, *On the  $\mathcal{R}$ -sectoriality and the initial boundary value problem for the viscous compressible fluid flow*, Funk. Ekv. **56** (2013), 441–505. DOI: 10.1619/fesi.56.441
- [11] D. Graffi, *Il teorema di unicità nella dinamica dei fluidi compressibili*, J. Rationon Mech. Anal., **2** (1953), 99–106.
- [12] B. Haspot, *Well-posedness in critical spaces for the system of compressible Navier-Stokes in larger spaces*, J. Differential Equations **251** (8) (2011), 2262–2295. DOI: 10.1016/j.jde.2011.06.013.
- [13] N. Itaya, *On the Cauchy problem for the system of fundamental equations describing the movement of compressible viscous fluids*, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. **23** (1971), 60–120.
- [14] Y. Kagei and T. Kobayashi, *On large-time behaviour of solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations in the half space in  $\mathbb{R}^3$* , Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **165** (2002), 89–159.
- [15] Y. Kagei and T. Kobayashi, *Asymptotic behavior of solutions of the compressible Nvaier Stokes equations on the half space*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **177** (2005), 231–330, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **165** (2002), 89–159
- [16] Y. Kagei, *Large time behaviour of solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation in an infinite layer*, Hiroshima Math. J., **38** (2008), 95–124.
- [17] J. C. Kuo, *Resolvent estimate for the linearized system of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations in Besov spaces*. Master thesis of Waseda University, 2022.
- [18] A. Matsumura and T. Nishida, *The initial value problem for the equations of motion of viscous and heat-conductive gases*, J. Math. Kyoto. Univ., **20** (1980), 67–104.
- [19] A. Matsumura and T. Nishida, *Initial boundary value problems for the equations of motion of compressible viscous and heat-conductive fluids*, Comm. Math. Phys. **89** (1983), 445–464.
- [20] P. B. Mucha and W. Zajączkowski, *On a  $L_p$ -estimate for the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equations with the Dirichlet boundary conditions*. J. Diff. Eq. **186**(2) (2002), 377–393
- [21] T. Muramatsu, *On Besov spaces and Sobolev spaces of generalized functions define on a general region*, Rubl. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **9** (1974), 325–396.
- [22] T. Muramatsu, *On the dual a Besov spaces*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., **12** (1976/77), no.1, 123–140.

- [23] J. Nash, *Le problème de Cauchy pour les équations différentielles d'un fluide général*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **90** (1962), 487–497.
- [24] J. Serrin, *On the uniqueness of compressible fluid motion*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **3** (1959), 271–288.
- [25] Y. Shibata, *New thought on Matsumura-Nishida theory in the  $L_p$ - $L_q$  maximal regularity framework*, J. Math. Fluid Mech. **24**(3) Paper No. 66 (2022)
- [26] Y. Shibata, *Spectral analysis approach to the maximal regularity for the Stokes equations and free boundary problem for the Navier-Stokes equations*, RIMS Kôkyûroku. To appear.
- [27] Y. Shibata and Y. Enomoto, *Global existence of classical solutions and optimal decay rate for compressible flows via the theory of semigroups*. In: Handbook of Mathematical Analysis in Mechanics of Viscous Fluids, Springer, Cham, pp. 2085–2181 (2018)
- [28] Y. Shibata and S. Shimizu, *On the  $L_p$ - $L_q$  maximal regularity of Neumann problem for the Stokes equations in a bounded domain*, J. reine angew. Math. **615** (2008), 157–209.
- [29] Y. Shibata and K. Watanabe, *Maximal  $L_1$ -regularity of the Navier-Stokes equations with free boundary conditions via a generalized semigroup theory*, Preprint, arXiv:2311.04444 [math.AP] 8 Nov 2023.
- [30] V. Solonnikov, *Solvability of the initial boundary value problem for the equations of motion of a viscous compressible fluid*, J. Sov. Math. **14** (1980), 1120–1132.
- [31] A. Tani, *On the first initial-boundary value problem of compressible viscous fluid motion*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., **13** (1977), 193–253.
- [32] G. Ströhmer, *About a certain class of parabolic-hyperbolic systems of differential equations*, Analysis **9** (1989), 1–39.
- [33] G. Ströhmer, *About compressible viscous fluid flow in a bounded region*, Pacific J. Math., **143** (1990), 359–375.
- [34] H. Triebel, *Interpolation theory, function spaces, differential operators*, North-Holland Mathematical Library, vol. 18, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, New York, 1978.
- [35] K. Tsuda, *On the existence and stability of time periodic solution to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation on the whole space*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **219** (2016), 637–678.
- [36] A. Valli, *Periodic and stationary solutions for compressible Navier-Stokes equations via a stability method*, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze 4<sup>e</sup> série, **10**(4) (1983), 607–647.
- [37] A. Valli and W. Zajączkowski, *Navier-Stokes equations for compressible fluids: global existence and qualitative properties of the solutions in the general case*, Commun. Math. Phys., **103** (1986), 259–296.
- [38] L. R. Volpert and S. I. Hudjaev, *On the Cauchy problem for composite systems of nonlinear differential equations*, Math. USSR. SB., **16** (1972), 514–544.
- [39] L. Yosida, *Functional Analysis, Sixth Edition*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer, 1995, Reprint of the 1980 edition.

(J. KUO) SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, WASEDA UNIVERSITY, 3-4-5 OHKUBO SHINJUKU-KU, TOKYO, 169-8555, JAPAN

Email address: kuo.jouchun@asagi.waseda.jp

(Y. SHIBATA) PROFESSOR EMERITUS OF WASEDA UNIVERSITY, 3-4-5 OHKUBO SHINJUKU-KU, TOKYO, 169-8555, JAPAN., ADJUNCT FACULTY MEMBER IN THE DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING AND MATERIALS SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH, USA

Email address: yshibata325@gmail.com