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#### Abstract

Given an $r$-graph $F$ with $r \geq 2$, let ex $(n,(t+1) F)$ denote the maximum number of edges in an $n$-vertex $r$-graph with at most $t$ pairwise vertex-disjoint copies of $F$. Extending several old results and complementing prior work 31 on nondegenerate hypergraphs, we initiate a systematic study on $\operatorname{ex}(n,(t+1) F)$ for degenerate hypergraphs $F$.

For a broad class of degenerate hypergraphs $F$, we present near-optimal upper bounds for ex $(n,(t+1) F)$ when $n$ is sufficiently large and $t$ lies in intervals $\left[0, \frac{\varepsilon \cdot \operatorname{ex}(n, F)}{n^{r-1}}\right],\left[\frac{\operatorname{ex}(n, F)}{\varepsilon n^{r-1}}, \varepsilon n\right]$, and $\left[(1-\varepsilon) \frac{n}{v(F)}, \frac{n}{v(F)}\right]$, where $\varepsilon>0$ is a constant depending only on $F$. Our results reveal very different structures for extremal constructions across the three intervals, and we provide characterizations of extremal constructions within the first interval. Additionally, for graphs, we offer a characterization of extremal constructions within the second interval. Our proof for the first interval also applies to a special class of nondegenerate hypergraphs, including those with undetermined Turán densities, partially improving a result in [31].
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## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Motivation

Fix an integer $r \geq 2$, an $r$-graph $\mathcal{H}$ is a collection of $r$-subsets of some finite set $V$. We identify a hypergraph $\mathcal{H}$ with its edge set and use $V(\mathcal{H})$ to denote its vertex set. The

[^0]size of $V(\mathcal{H})$ is denoted by $v(\mathcal{H})$. Given a vertex $v \in V(\mathcal{H})$, the degree $d_{\mathcal{H}}(v)$ of $v$ in $\mathcal{H}$ is the number of edges in $\mathcal{H}$ containing $v$. We use $\delta(\mathcal{H}), \Delta(\mathcal{H})$, and $d(\mathcal{H})$ to denote the minimum degree, the maximum degree, and the average degree of $\mathcal{H}$, respectively. We will omit the subscript $\mathcal{H}$ if it is clear from the context.

Given two $r$-graphs $F$ and $\mathcal{H}$, the $F$-matching number $\nu(F, \mathcal{H})$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is the maximum of vertex-disjoint copies of $F$ in $\mathcal{H}$. This extends the definition the matching number $\nu(\mathcal{H})$ of $\mathcal{H}$ as $\nu(\mathcal{H})=\nu\left(K_{r}^{r}, \mathcal{H}\right)$, where $K_{\ell}^{r}$ denotes the complete $r$-graph with $\ell$ vertices. The study of the following problem encompasses several central topics in Extremal Combinatorics. Given an $r$-graph $F$ and integers $n, t \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { What constraints on an n-vertex } r \text {-graph } \mathcal{H} \text { force it to satisfy } \nu(F, \mathcal{H}) \geq t+1 \text { ? } \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are several classical result on this topic from the minimum degree perspective. For example, Corrádi and Hajnal [6] proved the following result for $K_{3}$.

Theorem 1.1 (Corrádi-Hajnal [6]). Suppose that $n, t \in \mathbb{N}$ are integers with $t \leq n / 3$. Then every $n$-vertex graph $G$ with $\delta(G) \geq t+\left\lfloor\frac{n-t}{2}\right\rfloor$ contains at least $t$ vertex-disjoint copies of $K_{3}$, i.e.

$$
\delta(G) \geq t+\left\lfloor\frac{n-t}{2}\right\rfloor \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nu\left(K_{3}, G\right) \geq t .
$$

Later, Theorem 1.1 was extended to all complete graphs in the classical Hajnal-Szemerédi Theorem [27], which implies that for all integers $n \geq \ell \geq 2, t \leq\lfloor n /(\ell+1)\rfloor$, and for every $n$-vertex graph $G$,

$$
\delta(G) \geq t+\left\lfloor\frac{\ell-1}{\ell}(n-t)\right\rfloor \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nu\left(K_{\ell+1}, G\right) \geq t .
$$

There are many further extensions of Theorem [1.1 to general graphs (see e.g. 3, 38]) and hypergraphs (see e.g. [49]). We refer the reader to a survey [41 by Kühn and Osthus for further related results.

Problem (娄) becomes much harder when considered from the average degree perspective. For $r=2$ and $F=K_{2}$, the celebrated Erdős-Gallai Theorem [10] states that for all integers $n, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $t+1 \leq n / 2$ and for every $n$-vertex graph $G$,

$$
|G|>\max \left\{\binom{2 t+1}{2},\binom{n}{2}-\binom{n-t}{2}\right\} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nu(G) \geq t+1 .
$$

Extending the Erdős-Gallai Theorem to $r$-graphs for $r \geq 3$ is a major open problem in Extremal Set Theory. Despite substantial effort, the following conjecture of Erdős is still open in general (see e.g. [15, 16, 17, 32] for some recent progress on this topic).

Conjecture 1.2 (Erdős [9]). Suppose that $n, t, r \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy $r \geq 3$ and $t+1 \leq n / r$. Then for every $n$-vertex $r$-graph $\mathcal{H}$,

$$
|\mathcal{H}|>\max \left\{\binom{r(t+1)-1}{r},\binom{n}{r}-\binom{n-t}{r}\right\} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nu(\mathcal{H}) \geq t+1 .
$$

In the special case where $t=1$, Problem (图) exhibits a close relationship with the Turán problem. Fix an $r$-graph $F$, we say an $r$-graph $\mathcal{H}$ is $F$-free if $\nu(F, \mathcal{H})=0$. In other words, $\mathcal{H}$ does not contains $F$ as a subgraph. The Turán number ex $(n, F)$ of $F$ is the maximum number of edges in an $F$-free $r$-graph on $n$ vertices. The Turán density of
$F$ is defined as $\pi(F):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{ex}(n, F) /\binom{n}{r}$, the existence of the limit follows from a simple averaging argument of Katona, Nemetz, and Simonovits [34]. Let EX $(n, F)$ denote the collection of all $n$-vertex $F$-free $r$-graphs with exactly ex $(n, F)$ edges. Members in $\mathrm{EX}(n, F)$ the extremal constructions of $F$. The study of $\operatorname{ex}(n, F)$ and $\mathrm{EX}(n, F)$ is a central topic in Extremal Combinatorics. The celebrated Turán Theorem states that $\operatorname{EX}\left(n, K_{\ell+1}\right)=\{T(n, \ell)\}$ for all $n \geq \ell \geq 2$, where $T(n, \ell)$ denote the balanced $\ell$-partite graph with $n$ vertices.

We call an $r$-graph $F$ nondegenerate if $\pi(F)>0$, and degenerate if $\pi(F)=0$. By a theorem of Erdős [8], an $r$-graph $F$ is degenerate iff it is $r$-partite, i.e. the vertex set of $F$ can be partitioned into $r$ parts such that every edge contains exactly one vertex from each part. The celebrated Erdős-Stone-Simonovits Theorem [13, 14] provides a satisfying bound for $\operatorname{ex}(n, F)$ when $F$ is a nondegenerate graph. However, determining ex $(n, F)$ (even asymptotically) for degenerate graphs and $r$-graphs with $r \geq 3$ remains a challenging problem in general. We refer the reader to surveys [24, 35] for more related results.

Our focus in this work lies in exploring average degree (i.e. edge density) constraints that force an $r$-graph to have large $F$-matching number, especially when $F$ is a degenerate $r$-graph. Since our results are closely related to the Turán problem of $F$, we abuse the use of notation by letting ex $(n,(t+1) F)$ denote the maximum number of edges in an $n$-vertex $r$-graph $\mathcal{H}$ with $\nu(F, \mathcal{H})<t+1$. Let us introduce some definitions and known results before stating our results.

Given two $r$-graphs $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$, we use $\mathcal{G} \sqcup \mathcal{H}$ to denote the vertex-disjoint union of $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$. The join $\mathcal{G} \& \mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ is the $r$-graph obtained from $\mathcal{G} \sqcup \mathcal{H}$ by adding all $r$-sets that have nonempty intersection with both $V(\mathcal{G})$ and $V(\mathcal{H})$. For simplicity, we define the join of an $r$-graph $\mathcal{H}$ and a family $\mathcal{F}$ of $r$-graphs as $\mathcal{H} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}:=\{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{G}: \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{F}\}$. Similar, let $\mathcal{H} \sqcup \mathcal{F}:=\{\mathcal{H} \sqcup \mathcal{G}: \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{F}\}$

In [7, Erdős considered $\operatorname{ex}\left(n,(t+1) K_{3}\right)$ and proved the following result.
Theorem 1.3 (Erdős [7). Suppose that $n, t \in \mathbb{N}$ are integers satisfying $t \leq \sqrt{n / 400}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{EX}\left(n,(t+1) K_{3}\right)=\left\{K_{t} \searrow T(n-t, 2)\right\} .
$$

Later, Moon 51 extended it to all complete graphs.
Theorem 1.4 (Moon [51). Suppose that $n, t, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ are integers satisfying $\ell \geq 2, t \leq$ $\frac{2 n-3 \ell^{2}+2 \ell}{\ell^{3}+2 \ell^{2}+\ell+1}$, and $\ell \mid(n-t)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{EX}\left(n,(t+1) K_{\ell+1}\right)=\left\{K_{t} \Sigma T(n-t, \ell)\right\} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is worth mentioning that Simonovits 59 also considered this problem and proved that if $t \geq 1$ and $\ell \geq 2$ are fixed integers, then (1) holds for all sufficiently large $n$.

Extending Theorem 1.4 to larger $t$ becomes much more challenging. Indeed, a full density version of the Corrádi-Hajnal Theorem was obtained only very recently by Allen, Böttcher, Hladký, and Piguet [2] when $n$ is large. Their results show that, interestingly, there are four different extremal constructions for four different regimes of $t$, and the construction $K_{t} \otimes T(n-t, 2)$ is extremal only for $t \leq \frac{2 n-6}{9}$. For the other three extremal constructions, we refer the reader to their paper for details. A full-density version of the Corrádi-Hajnal Theorem for larger complete graphs still seems out of reach, and it appears that there
are even no conjectures for the extremal constructions in general (see remarks in the last section of [2]).

In 31, the authors initiated a systematic study of $\operatorname{ex}(n,(t+1) F)$ for nondegenerate hypergraphs $F$. It was showed in [31] that if a nondegenerate hypergraphs $F$ satisfies the 'Smoothness' and 'Boundedness' constraints (refer to [31] for definitions), then for sufficiently large $n$ and all $t \leq \epsilon n$, where $\epsilon>0$ is a constant depending only on $F$, we have $\operatorname{EX}(n,(t+1) F)=\left\{K_{t} \nabla \operatorname{EX}(n, F)\right\}$.

To complement the work of [31], we initiate a systematic study of ex $(n,(t+1) F)$ for degenerate hypergraphs $F$ in the present paper. Let us introduce some definitions and simple facts first.


Figure 1: Three conjectured asymptotic extremal constructions for $\operatorname{ex}\left(n,(t+1) K_{2,3}\right)$ for $t$ in different intervals. We highlighted the three small intervals in which the constructions are asymptotically optimal.

Given an $r$-graph $F$ and an integer $s \in[0, v(F)]$, let

$$
F[s]:=\{F[S]: S \subset V(F) \text { and }|S|=s\}
$$

where $F[S]$ denotes the induced subgraph of $F$ on $S$. The covering number $\tau(F)$ of $F$ is defined as

$$
\tau(F):=\min \{|S|: S \subset V(F) \text { and } S \cap e \neq \emptyset \text { for all } e \in F\}
$$

For an $m$-vertex $r$-graph $F$ with covering number $\tau$, let
(i) $\mathcal{G}_{1}(n, t, F):=K_{t}^{r} \otimes \operatorname{EX}(n-t, F)$,
(ii) $\mathcal{G}_{2}(n, t, F):=K_{\tau(t+1)-1}^{r} \mathrm{E} \operatorname{EX}(n-\tau(t+1)+1, F[m-\tau+1])$,
(iii) $\mathcal{G}_{3}(n, t, F):=K_{m(t+1)-1}^{r} \sqcup \operatorname{EX}(n-m(t+1)+1, F)$.

Let $g_{i}(n, t, F)$ denote the size of a member in $\mathcal{G}_{i}(n, t, F)$ for $i \in\{1,2,3\}$. Simple calculations show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{1}(n, t, F)=\binom{n}{r}-\binom{n-t}{r}+\operatorname{ex}(n-t, F) \\
& g_{2}(n, t, F)=\binom{n}{r}-\binom{n-\tau(t+1)+1}{r}+\operatorname{ex}(n-\tau(t+1)+1, F[m-\tau+1]), \quad \text { and } \\
& g_{3}(n, t, F)=\binom{m(t+1)-1}{r}+\operatorname{ex}(n-m(t+1)+1, F)
\end{aligned}
$$

An easy observation is that the every member in the families defined above has $n$ vertices and is $(t+1) F$-free. Therefore, we have the following lower bound for ex $(n,(t+1) F)$.

Proposition 1.5. Suppose that $F$ is an m-vertex $r$-graph with covering number $\tau$. Then

$$
\operatorname{ex}(n,(t+1) F) \geq \max \left\{g_{i}(n, t, F): i \in\{1,2,3\}\right\}
$$

for all $t \geq 1$ and $n \geq m t$.
Our main results, which will be presented shortly, show that for an $r$-partite $r$-graph $F$ with part sizes $s_{r} \geq \cdots \geq s_{1}=\tau(F)$, the constructions $\mathcal{G}_{1}(n, t, F), \mathcal{G}_{2}(n, t, F)$, and $\mathcal{G}_{3}(n, t, F)$ are asymptotically optimal for ex $(n,(t+1) F)$ when $n$ is large, and $t$ lies in intervals $\left[0, \frac{\varepsilon \cdot \operatorname{ex}(n, F)}{n^{r-1}}\right],\left[\frac{\operatorname{ex}(n, F)}{\varepsilon n^{r-1}}, \varepsilon n\right]$, and $\left[(1-\varepsilon) \frac{n}{v(F)}, \frac{n}{v(F)}\right]$, respectively (see Figure (1). Here $\varepsilon>0$ is a constant depending only on $F$. We conjecture that when $F$ is the balanced complete $r$-partite $r$-graph $K_{s, \ldots, s}^{r}$, the asymptotic behavior of ex $(n,(t+1) F)$ is governed by the three constructions defined above for all feasible $t$. For general degenerate $r$ graphs, there are could be more extremal constructions (see Section 6 for more details). We hope that our results together with results in [31] may provide some insights towards a comprehensive generalization of the density version of the Corrádi-Hajnal Theorem for all hypergraphs.

### 1.2 The first interval

In this subsection, we present the result for ex $(n,(t+1) F)$ when $t$ lies in $\left[0, \frac{\varepsilon \cdot \mathrm{ex}(n, F)}{n^{r-1}}\right]$. This result shares similarities with the main result in [31, but here we only need the following weaker property of $F$.

Definition 1.6 (Boundedness). Let $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$ be two constants. An r-graph $F$ is $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ bounded if the following holds for all sufficiently large $n$. Every $n$-vertex $r$-graph $\mathcal{H}$ with

$$
\Delta(\mathcal{H}) \geq c_{1}\binom{n-1}{r-1} \quad \text { and } \quad|\mathcal{H}| \geq\left(1-c_{2}\right) \cdot \operatorname{ex}(n, F)
$$

contains a copy of $F$. We say $F$ is bounded if it is $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$-bounded for some $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$.
We will show in [30] that many well-studied degenerate hypergraphs such as even cycles $C_{2 k}$ for $k \geq 2$, complete bipartite graphs $K_{s, t}$ for $t>s(s-1) \geq 2$, and the expansion of complete bipartite graphs $K_{s, t}^{+}$for $t>(s-1)!\geq 2$ are bounded.

Theorem 1.7. Let $m \geq r \geq 2$ be integers and $F$ be an $r$-graph with $m$ vertices. Suppose that $F$ is $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$-bounded for some $c_{1}<1 / m$ and $c_{2}>0$. Then for sufficiently large $n$,

$$
\operatorname{EX}(n,(t+1) F)=K_{t}^{r} \otimes \operatorname{EX}(n-t, F)
$$

holds for all integers $t$ satisfying

$$
0 \leq t \leq \min \left\{\frac{\delta \cdot \operatorname{ex}(n, F)}{m\binom{n-1}{r-1}}, \frac{\delta(n-1)}{8 m(r-1)}\right\}, \quad \text { where } \quad \delta:=\min \left\{\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{m}-c_{1}\right), \frac{c_{2}}{4}\right\} .
$$

Definition 1.8 (Suspensions). Let $F$ be an $(r-1)$-graph. The suspension $\widehat{F}$ of $F$ is the $r$-graph defined as $\widehat{F}:=\{v \cup e: e \in F\}$, where $v$ is a new vertex not contained in $V(F)$.

[^1]Observe that the suspension $\widehat{F}$ of every hypergraph $F$ is $\left(c_{1}, 1\right)$-bounded for all $c_{1}>\pi(F)$. Thus we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.9. Let $m \geq r \geq 2$ be integers and $F$ be an ( $r-1$ )-graph on $m-1$ vertices. Suppose that $\pi(F)<1 / m$. Then for sufficiently large $n$, we have

$$
\operatorname{EX}(n,(t+1) \widehat{F})=K_{t}^{r} \boxtimes \operatorname{EX}(n-t, \widehat{F})
$$

holds for all integers $t$ satisfying

$$
0 \leq t \leq \min \left\{\frac{1-m \cdot \pi(F)}{5 m^{2}} \frac{\operatorname{ex}(n, F)}{\binom{n-1}{r-1}}, \frac{1-m \cdot \pi(F)}{40 m^{2}} \frac{n-1}{r-1}\right\} .
$$

## Remarks.

- Note that we do not require $F$ to be a degenerate hypergraph in Theorem 1.7. Thus Theorem 1.7 improves [31, Theorem 1.7] for nondegenerate $r$-graphs $F$ with $\pi(F)<$ $1 / v(F)$ since we do not need the 'Smoothness' constraint in Theorem [1.7. Also note that Corollary 1.9 improves [31, Theorem 1.7] for the suspension of nondegenerate $r$-graphs $F$ with $\pi(F)<\frac{1}{v(F)+1}$, and an illustrative example is provided in the subsequent Corollary 1.10
- For integers $r>b \geq 0$ let $Y_{r, b}$ denote the $r$-graph consistsing of two edges that intersect in exactly $b$ vertices. Gan, Han, Sun and Wang [25] (see also [28]) proved some asymptotic upper bounds for ex $\left(n,(t+1) Y_{r, b}\right)$ for $r>b \geq 1$. Since $Y_{r, b}$ is the suspension of $Y_{r-1, b-1}$ for $b \geq 1$, Corollary 1.9 improves their results for $t$ in the interval as stated in Corollary 1.9.

Let $r \geq 2$ be an integer. A notable example in hypergraph Turán problem is the generalized triangle $T_{r}$, defined as

$$
T_{r}:=\{\{1, \ldots, r-1, r\},\{1, \ldots, r-1, r+1\},\{r, r+1, \ldots, 2 r-1\}\} .
$$

The study of $\pi\left(T_{r}\right)$ has a long history (see e.g. 50, 4, 18, 58, 57, 36, 56, 55, 43, 45), although the precise value of $\pi\left(T_{r}\right)$ remains undetermined for all $r \geq 7$. Determining the $\pi\left(\widehat{T}_{r}\right)$ appears to be even more challenging in general, and the longstanding problem of determining $\pi\left(\widehat{T}_{3}\right)$, i.e. $\pi\left(K_{4}^{3-}\right)$, remains open (see [19, 52]).
In [20], Frankl-Füredi showed that $\pi\left(T_{r}\right) \leq \frac{1}{e\binom{r-1}{2}}$, which is smaller that $\frac{1}{2 r}$ when $r \geq 4$. Therefore, by Corollary 1.9ी, we obtain the following result, which appears to be the first of its kind for a hypergraph with an undetermined Turán density.

Corollary 1.10. Suppose that $r \geq 4$ is an integer. Then for sufficiently large $n$,

$$
\operatorname{EX}\left(n,(t+1) \widehat{T}_{r}\right)=K_{t}^{r} \mathrm{E} \operatorname{EX}\left(n-t, \widehat{T}_{t}\right),
$$

holds for all integers $t$ satisfying

$$
0 \leq t \leq \frac{\left(1-(2 r-1) \cdot \pi\left(\widehat{T}_{r}\right)\right) \cdot \pi\left(\widehat{T}_{r}\right)}{5 r(2 r-1)^{2}} n .
$$

The proof of Theorem 1.7 is presented in Section 3,

[^2]
### 1.3 The second interval

In this subsection, we present the result for $\operatorname{ex}(n,(t+1) F)$ when $t$ lies in $\left[\frac{\operatorname{ex}(n, F)}{\varepsilon n^{r-1}}, \varepsilon n\right]$.
Theorem 1.11. Let $r \geq 2$ and $s_{r} \geq \cdots \geq s_{1} \geq 2$ be integers. Let $s:=s_{1}+\cdots+s_{r}$ and $\mathbb{B}:=B_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}}^{r}$ be an $r$-partite $r$-graph with part sizes $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}$. For sufficiently large $n$,

$$
\operatorname{ex}(n,(t+1) \mathbb{B}) \leq\binom{ n}{r}-\binom{n-s_{1}(t+1)+1}{r}+\operatorname{ex}\left(n-s_{1}(t+1)+1, \mathbb{B}\right)
$$

holds for all integers $t$ satisfying

$$
320 e s_{1} s\left(\frac{\operatorname{ex}(n, \mathbb{B})}{\binom{n-1}{r-1}}+320 e s_{1} s^{2} r!\right) \leq t \leq \frac{n}{512 e s_{1} s^{3} r!}
$$

For graphs, we are able to obtain the following tight bound.
Theorem 1.12. Let $s_{2} \geq s_{1} \geq 2$ be integers. Let $s:=s_{1}+s_{2}$ and $\mathbb{B}:=B_{s_{1}, s_{2}}$ be an $s_{1}$ by $s_{2}$ bipartite connected graph with $\tau(B)=s_{1}$. For sufficiently large $n$,

$$
\operatorname{ex}(n,(t+1) \mathbb{B})=\binom{n}{2}-\binom{n-s_{1}(t+1)+1}{2}+\operatorname{ex}\left(n-s_{1}(t+1)+1, \mathbb{B}\left[s_{2}+1\right]\right)
$$

holds for all integers $t$ satisfying

$$
\max \left\{\sqrt{32 s_{1} s n}, \frac{12800 e s^{5}}{s_{1}}\left(\frac{\operatorname{ex}(n, \mathbb{B})}{n-1}+288 e s_{1} s^{2} r!\right)\right\} \leq t \leq \frac{n}{1024 e s_{1} s^{3}}
$$

Since the complete bipartite graph $K_{s_{1}, s_{2}}$ with $s_{2} \geq s_{1} \geq 2$ satisfies ex $\left(n, K_{s_{1}, s_{2}}\right) \geq$ $\operatorname{ex}\left(n, K_{2,2}\right)=(1 / 2-o(1)) n^{3 / 2}$ (see [5, 12, 21, 22, 23]), we obtain the following corollary of Theorem 1.12 .

Corollary 1.13. Let $s_{2} \geq s_{1} \geq 2$ be integers. Let $s:=s_{1}+s_{2}$ and $\mathbb{K}:=K_{s_{1}, s_{2}}$. For sufficiently large n,

$$
\operatorname{ex}(n,(t+1) \mathbb{K})=\binom{n}{2}-\binom{n-s_{1}(t+1)+1}{2}+\operatorname{ex}\left(n-s_{1}(t+1)+1, \mathbb{K}\left[s_{2}+1\right]\right)
$$

holds for all integers $t$ satisfying

$$
\frac{12801 e s^{5} \cdot \operatorname{ex}(n, \mathbb{K})}{s_{1}(n-1)} \leq t \leq \frac{n}{1024 e s_{1} s^{3}}
$$

The proof of Theorem 1.11 is presented in Section 5.2. The proof of Theorem 1.12 is presented in Section 5.3.

### 1.4 The third interval

We present the result for $\operatorname{ex}(n,(t+1) F)$ when $t$ lies in $\left[(1-\varepsilon) \frac{n}{v(F)}, \frac{n}{v(F)}\right]$ in this subsection.

We say an $n$-vertex $r$-graph $\mathcal{H}$ is an $m$-star for some $m \leq n$ if there exists a set $V_{1} \subset V(\mathcal{H})$ of size $m$ such that every edge in $\mathcal{H}$ contains at least one vertex in $V_{1}$. Extending the
definition of ex $(n, F)$, we let ex star $(m, n, F)$ denote the maximum number of edges in an $F$-free $r$-uniform $m$-star with $n$ vertices. Observe that $\mathrm{ex}_{\text {star }}(m, n, F) \leq \operatorname{ex}(n, F)$ for all $m \leq n$, and $\mathrm{ex}_{\text {star }}(n, n, F)=\operatorname{ex}(n, F)$.

Let $K_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}}^{r}$ denote the complete $r$-partite $r$-graph with part sizes $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}$. Using an argument analogous to Erdős' proof in [8] (refer also to Proposition [2.11), it is easy to obtain the following result, which provides an improved upper bound for $\mathrm{ex}_{\text {star }}\left(m, n, K_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}}^{r}\right)$ when $m$ is small.

Proposition 1.14. Suppose that $r \geq 2$ and $s_{r} \geq \cdots \geq s_{1} \geq 1$ are integers. Then

$$
\operatorname{ex}_{\mathrm{star}}\left(m, n, K_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}}^{r}\right) \leq \frac{\left(s_{2}+\cdots+s_{r}-r+1\right)^{\frac{1}{s_{1}}}}{r} m n^{r-1-\frac{1}{s_{1} \cdots s_{r-1}}}+\frac{s_{1}-1}{r}\binom{n}{r-1} .
$$

Our main result in this subsection is as follows.
Theorem 1.15. Let $r \geq 2$ and $s_{r} \geq \cdots \geq s_{1} \geq 1$ be integers. Let $s:=s_{1}+\cdots+s_{r}$ and $\mathbb{B}:=B_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}}^{r}$ be an $r$-partite $r$-graph with part sizes $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}$. For sufficiently large $n$,

$$
\operatorname{ex}(n,(t+1) \mathbb{B}) \leq\binom{ s(t+1)-1}{r}+\operatorname{ex}_{\text {star }}(n-s t, n, s \mathbb{B})
$$

holds for all integers $t$ satisfying

$$
\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{16 e^{2} r^{4} s^{2} \prod_{i \in[r]} s_{i}} \leq t \leq \frac{n}{s}
$$

For graphs, we are able to obtain the following better bound.
Theorem 1.16. Let $s_{2} \geq s_{1} \geq 2$ be integers. Let $s:=s_{1}+s_{2}$ and $\mathbb{B}:=B_{s_{1}, s_{2}}$ be an $s_{1}$ by $s_{2}$ bipartite graph. For sufficiently large n,

$$
\operatorname{ex}(n,(t+1) \mathbb{B}) \leq\binom{ s(t+1)-1}{2}+\operatorname{ex}(n-s(t+1)+1, \mathbb{B})+s_{1} s n
$$

holds for all integers $t$ satisfying

$$
\frac{n}{s}-\frac{n}{65 s_{1} s^{2}} \leq t \leq \frac{n}{s} .
$$

The proof of Theorem 1.16 is presented in Section 4.1 . The proof of Theorem 1.15 is presented in Section 4.2. In the next section, we present some definitions and preliminary results.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let $r \geq 2$ be an integer and $\mathcal{H}$ be an $r$-graph. Given a set $W \subset V(\mathcal{H})$, we use $\mathcal{H}[W]$ to denote the induced subgraph of $\mathcal{H}$ on $W$, and use $\mathcal{H}-W$ to denote the induced subgraph of $\mathcal{H}$ on $V(\mathcal{H}) \backslash W$. Given two disjoint sets $S, T \subset V(\mathcal{H})$, we use $\mathcal{H}[S, T]$ to denote the collection of edges in $\mathcal{H}$ that have nonempty intersection with both $S$ and $T$. We use $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ to denote the complement of $\mathcal{H}$.

The following simple inequalities will be useful.

Fact 2.1. Suppose that $n \geq r \geq 1$ and $t \in[n]$ are integers. Then

$$
\binom{n-t}{r} \leq\left(1-\frac{t}{n}\right)^{r}\binom{n}{r} \quad \text { and } \quad\binom{n}{r} \leq\left(\frac{n}{n-t-r}\right)^{r}\binom{n-t}{r}
$$

Fact 2.2 ([31, Lemma 3.4]). Suppose that $n, b, r \geq 1$ are integers satisfying $b \leq \frac{n-r^{2}+1}{r+1}$. Then

$$
\binom{n}{r} \leq \frac{n^{r}}{r!} \leq e\binom{n-b}{r}
$$

Fact 2.3. Suppose that $n, \ell, x \geq 0$ are integers satisfying $\ell+x \leq n$. Then

$$
\binom{n}{2}-\binom{n-\ell-x}{2} \geq\binom{ n}{2}-\binom{n-\ell}{2}+x(n-\ell-x)
$$

Fact 2.4. Suppose that $r \geq 1$ is an integer and $0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{4 r}$ is a real number. Then

$$
\left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right)^{r} \leq 1+4 r x
$$

Proof. This is due to

$$
\left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right)^{r} \leq(1+2 x)^{r} \leq 1+2 r x+\sum_{i=2}^{r}(2 r x)^{i} \leq 1+2 r x+2 r x \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{r-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{i} \leq 1+4 r x
$$

Fact 2.5. Suppose that $x \leq 1$ and $r \geq 1$ are real numbers. Then

$$
(1-x)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq 1-\frac{x}{r}
$$

### 2.1 Graphs

Given integers $m, n, s_{1}, s_{2} \geq 1$ and an $s_{1}$ by $s_{2}$ bipartite graph $B_{s_{1}, s_{2}}=B\left[W_{1}, W_{2}\right]$, the Zarankiewicz number $Z\left(m, n, B_{s_{1}, s_{2}}\right)$ is the maximum number of edges in an $m$ by $n$ bipartite graph without a copy of $B_{s_{1}, s_{2}}$ with the set $W_{1}$ contained in the part of size $m$ and the set $W_{2}$ contained in the part of size $n$. For convenience, we use $Z\left(m, n, s_{1}, s_{2}\right)$ to denote $Z\left(m, n, K_{s_{1}, s_{2}}\right)$.

We will use the following classical result of Kővári, Sós and Turán [39].
Theorem 2.6 (Kővári-Sós-Turán [39]). Let $m, n, s_{1}, s_{2} \geq 1$ be integers. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ex}\left(n, K_{s_{1}, s_{2}}\right) & \leq \frac{\left(s_{2}-1\right)^{\frac{1}{s_{1}}}}{2} n^{2-\frac{1}{s_{1}}}+\frac{s_{1}-1}{2} n, \quad \text { and } \\
Z\left(m, n, s_{1}, s_{2}\right) & \leq\left(s_{2}-1\right)^{\frac{1}{s_{1}}} m n^{1-\frac{1}{s_{1}}}+\left(s_{1}-1\right) n .
\end{aligned}
$$

The following result of Alon and Yuster [3] will be useful in the proof of Theorem 1.16 .
Theorem 2.7 (Alon-Yuster [3]). Let $F$ be a graph with $m$ vertices. For every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $n_{0}=n_{0}(\varepsilon, F)$ such that the following holds for all $n \geq n_{0}$. Every n-vertex graph $G$ with $\delta(G) \geq\left(1-\frac{1}{\chi(H)}+\varepsilon\right) n$ contains $\lfloor n / m\rfloor$ pairwise vertex-disjoint copies of $F$.

We also need the following simple result on $\frac{\operatorname{ex}(n, F)}{n}$ in the proof of Theorem 1.16
Proposition 2.8. Let $F$ be a connected graph. For all integers $n \geq m \geq 1$ we have

$$
\frac{\operatorname{ex}(n, F)}{n} \geq\left(1-\frac{m}{n}\right) \frac{\operatorname{ex}(m, F)}{m}
$$

Proof. Let $G_{m}$ be an $m$-vertex $F$-free graph with ex $(m, F)$ edges. Let $G_{n}$ be the union of $\lfloor n / m\rfloor$ vertex-disjoint copies of $G_{m}$ with a set of $n-m\lfloor n / m\rfloor$ isolated vertices. Since $F$ is connected, the graph $G_{n}$ is $F$-free. Therefore, we have

$$
\frac{\operatorname{ex}(n, F)}{n} \geq \frac{\left|G_{n}\right|}{n}=\frac{\lfloor n / m\rfloor \times \operatorname{ex}(m, F)}{n} \geq\left(\frac{n}{m}-1\right) \frac{m}{n} \frac{\operatorname{ex}(m, F)}{m}=\left(1-\frac{m}{n}\right) \frac{\operatorname{ex}(m, F)}{m}
$$

proving Proposition 2.8.

### 2.2 Hypergraphs

Given a $r$-graph $\mathcal{H}$ and a vertex $v \in V(\mathcal{H})$, the link $L_{\mathcal{H}}(v)$ of $v$ in $\mathcal{H}$ is defined as

$$
L_{\mathcal{H}}(v):=\left\{A \in\binom{V(\mathcal{H})}{r-1}: A \cup\{v\} \in \mathcal{H}\right\} .
$$

Recall that the degree $d_{\mathcal{H}}(v)$ of $v$ is $d_{\mathcal{H}}(v):=\left|L_{\mathcal{H}}(v)\right|$. Given a set $S \subset V(\mathcal{H})$ let

$$
L_{\mathcal{H}}(S):=\bigcap_{v \in S} L_{\mathcal{H}}(v)
$$

denote the common link of $S$ in $\mathcal{H}$, and let $d_{\mathcal{H}}(S):=\left|L_{\mathcal{H}}(S)\right|$. Let $T \subset V(\mathcal{H})$ be a set of size $r-1$, the neighborhood of $T$ is

$$
N_{\mathcal{H}}(T):=\{v \in V(\mathcal{H}): T \cup\{v\} \in \mathcal{H}\} .
$$

We will omit the subscript $\mathcal{H}$ from the notations defined above if it is clear from the context.

Extending the Kővári-Sós-Turán Theorem to hypergraphs, Erdős 8 proved the following theorem.

Theorem 2.9 (Erdős [8]). Let $n \geq r \geq 3$ and $s_{r} \geq \cdots \geq s_{1} \geq 1$ be integers. There exists a constant $C:=C\left(r, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}\right)$ such that

$$
\operatorname{ex}\left(n, K_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}}^{r}\right) \leq C n^{r-\frac{1}{s_{1} \cdots s_{r-1}}} .
$$

Remark. A detailed analysis (see Appendix (A) of the proof of Erdős in [8] shows that

$$
\operatorname{ex}\left(n, K_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}}^{r}\right) \leq \frac{\left(s_{2}+\cdots+s_{r}-r+1\right)^{\frac{1}{s_{1}}}}{r} n^{r-\frac{1}{s_{1} \cdots s_{r-1}}}+\frac{s_{1}-1}{r}\binom{n}{r-1} .
$$

We also need the following lower bound for $\operatorname{ex}\left(n, K_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}}^{r}\right)$ which comes from a simple application of the probabilistic deletion method.

Fact 2.10. Let $r \geq 2$ and $s_{r} \geq s_{r-1} \geq \cdots \geq s_{1} \geq 2$ be integers. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ex}\left(n, K_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}}^{r}\right) \geq \operatorname{ex}\left(n, K_{2, \ldots, 2}^{r}\right)=\Omega\left(n^{r-\frac{r}{2^{r-1}}}\right)=\Omega\left(n^{r-\frac{2}{3}}\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

An $r$-graph $\mathcal{H}$ is bipartite if there exists a bipartition $V_{1} \cup V_{2}=V(\mathcal{H})$ such that every edge in $\mathcal{H}$ has nonempty intersection with both $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$. A bipartite $r$-graph $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}\left[V_{1}, V_{2}\right]$ is semibipartite if every edge in $\mathcal{H}$ contains exactly one vertex from $V_{1}$. Let $\mathbb{B}:=B\left[W_{1}, \ldots, W_{r}\right]$ be an $r$-partite $r$-graph with parts $W_{1}, \ldots, W_{r}$. An ordered copy of $\mathbb{B}$ in a semibipartite $r$-graph $\mathcal{H}\left[V_{1}, V_{2}\right]$ is a copy of $\mathbb{B}$ with $W_{1}$ contained in $V_{1}$ and $W_{2}, \ldots, W_{r}$ contained in $V_{2}$. Extending the graph Zarankiewicz number to hypergraphs, we use $Z(m, n, \mathbb{B})$ to denote the maximum number of edges in an $m$ by $n$ semibipartite $r$-graph without any ordered copy of $\mathbb{B}$. For convenience, let $Z\left(m, n, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}\right):=Z\left(m, n, K_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}}^{r}\right)$.

Extending results of Kővári-Sós-Turán and Erdős, we prove the following upper bound for $Z\left(m, n, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}\right)$. Since the proof is essentially the same as that of Erdős in [8], we include in Appendix B.

Proposition 2.11. Suppose that $r \geq 3, s_{r} \geq \cdots \geq s_{1} \geq 1$, and $m, n \geq 1$ are integers. Then

$$
Z\left(m, n, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}\right) \leq \frac{\left(s_{2}+\cdots+s_{r}-r+1\right)^{\frac{1}{s_{1}}}}{r} m n^{r-1-\frac{1}{s_{1} \cdots s_{r-1}}}+\frac{s_{1}-1}{r}\binom{n}{r-1} .
$$

The following theorem of Lu and Székely [49] will be useful in the proof of Theorem 1.15,
Theorem 2.12 (Lu-Székely [49]). Let $F$ be an r-graph such that every edge in $F$ has nonempty intersection with at most $d$ other edges in $F$. Suppose that an $n$-vertex $r$-graph $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies

$$
v(F) \mid v(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text { and } \quad \delta(\mathcal{H}) \geq\left(1-\frac{1}{e\left(d+1+r^{2} \frac{|F|}{v(F)}\right)}\right)\binom{n-1}{r-1} .
$$

Then $\mathcal{H}$ contains a perfect $F$-matching. In particular, if an $n$-vertex $r$-graph $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies

$$
\sum_{i \in[r]} s_{i} \mid v(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text { and } \quad \delta(\mathcal{H}) \geq\left(1-\frac{1}{2 e r^{2} \prod_{i \in[r]} s_{i}}\right)\binom{n-1}{r-1} .
$$

Then $\mathcal{H}$ contains a perfect $K_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}}^{r}$-matching.
The following simple upper bound for the number of edges in an $(t+1) F$-free $r$-graph with bounded degree will be useful.

Lemma 2.13. Let $m \geq r \geq 2$ be integers and $F$ be an $r$-graph on $m$ vertices. For every $t \geq 0$, every $n$-vertex $(t+1) F$-free $r$-graph $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies

$$
|\mathcal{H}| \leq m t \cdot \Delta(\mathcal{H})+\operatorname{ex}(n-m t, F) .
$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an $n$-vertex $(t+1) F$-free $r$-graph. Let $\mathcal{F}=\left\{F_{1}, \ldots, F_{\ell}\right\}$ be a maximum collection of pairwise vertex-disjoint copies of $F$ in $\mathcal{H}$. Let $B:=\bigcup_{i \in[\ell]} V\left(F_{i}\right)$. Since $\mathcal{H}$ is $(t+1) F$-free, we have $\ell \leq t$ and hence, $|B| \leq m \ell$. Let $U:=V(\mathcal{H}) \backslash B$. By moving vertices from $U$ to $B$, we may assume that $|B|=m t$. Observe that $\mathcal{H}[U]$ is $F$-free. So we have

$$
|\mathcal{H}| \leq \sum_{v \in B} d_{\mathcal{H}}(v)+|\mathcal{H}[U]| \leq m t \cdot \Delta(\mathcal{H})+\operatorname{ex}(n-m t, F),
$$

proving Lemma 2.13

We will need the following simple fact regarding the monotonicity of $\binom{n}{r}-\binom{n-x}{r}+\operatorname{ex}(n-$ $x, F)$.

Fact 2.14. Let $F$ be an $r$-graph and let

$$
f(x):=\binom{n}{r}-\binom{n-x}{r}+\operatorname{ex}(n-x, F)
$$

for all integers $x \in[n]$. Then $f(\ell) \leq f(\ell+1)$ for all integers $\ell \in[n-1]$.

## 3 Proofs for theorems in the first interval

We prove Theorem 1.7 in this section. Let us start with some technical lemmas.
Lemma 3.1. Let $n \geq m \geq r \geq 2$ be integers and $\delta \in[0,1 / m]$ be a real number. Let $F$ be an m-vertex r-graph. Suppose that $t$ is a positive integer satisfying

$$
t \leq \min \left\{\frac{\delta m n}{r-1}-r, \frac{n}{m}\right\}
$$

Then every $n$-vertex $(t+1) F$-free $r$-graph $\mathcal{H}$ with $\Delta(\mathcal{H}) \leq(1 / m-\delta)\binom{n-1}{r-1}$ satisfies

$$
|\mathcal{H}| \leq\binom{ n}{r}-\binom{n-t}{r}+\operatorname{ex}(n-t, F)
$$

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Since $t \leq \frac{\delta m n}{r-1}-r \leq n-(1-\delta m)^{\frac{1}{r-1}} n-r$, we have

$$
(1-\delta m)\left(\frac{n}{n-t-r}\right)^{r-1} \leq 1
$$

Combined with Lemma 2.13 and Fact 2.1, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{H}| & \leq m t\left(\frac{1}{m}-\delta\right)\binom{n-1}{r-1}+\operatorname{ex}(n-m t, F) \\
& \leq(1-\delta m)\left(\frac{n}{n-t-r}\right)^{r-1} t\binom{n-t}{r-1}+\operatorname{ex}(n-t, F) \\
& \leq t\binom{n-t}{r-1}+\operatorname{ex}(n-t, F) \leq\binom{ n}{r}-\binom{n-t}{r}+\operatorname{ex}(n-t, F),
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves Lemma 3.1 .
Lemma 3.2. Let $n \geq m \geq r \geq 2$ be integers and $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2} \geq 0$ be real numbers. Let $F$ be a $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$-bounded $r$-graph. Suppose that $\mathcal{H}$ is an $n$-vertex $r$-graph satisfying
(i) $|\mathcal{H}| \geq\left(1-c_{2}+\delta_{1}\right) \operatorname{ex}(n, F)$, and
(ii) there exists a vertex $v \in V(\mathcal{H})$ with $d_{\mathcal{H}}(v) \geq\left(c_{1}+\delta_{2}\right)\binom{n-1}{r-1}$.

Then for every set $B \subset V(\mathcal{H}) \backslash\{v\}$ of size at most $\min \left\{\frac{\delta_{1} \cdot \operatorname{ex}(n, F)}{\binom{n-1}{r-1}}, \frac{\delta_{2}(n-1)}{r-1}\right\}$, there exists a copy of $F$ in $\mathcal{H}$ that have empty intersection with $B$.

Proof. Fix $B \subset V(\mathcal{H}) \backslash\{v\}$ of size at most $\min \left\{\frac{\delta_{1} \cdot \operatorname{ex}(n, F)}{\binom{n-1}{r-1}}, \frac{\delta_{2}(n-1)}{r-1}\right\}$. Let $U:=V(\mathcal{H}) \backslash B$, $n_{1}:=|U|$, and $\mathcal{H}_{1}:=\mathcal{H}[U]$. It follows from the assumptions that

$$
\left|\mathcal{H}_{1}\right| \geq\left(1-c_{2}+\delta_{1}\right) \operatorname{ex}(n, F)-|B|\binom{n-1}{r-1} \geq\left(1-c_{2}\right) \cdot \operatorname{ex}(n, F)
$$

and

$$
d_{\mathcal{H}_{1}}(v) \geq d_{\mathcal{H}}(v)-|B|\binom{n-2}{r-2} \geq\left(c_{1}+\delta_{2}\right)\binom{n-1}{r-1}-|B| \frac{r-1}{n-1}\binom{n-1}{r-1} \geq c_{1}\binom{n-1}{r-1}
$$

So, it follows from the $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$-boundedness of $F$ that $F \subset \mathcal{H}_{1}$.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.7

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Fix integers $m \geq r \geq 2$ and fix an $m$-vertex $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$-bounded $r$ graph $F$ with $c_{1}<1 / m$ and $c_{2}>0$. Let

$$
\delta:=\min \left\{\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{m}-c_{1}\right), \frac{c_{2}}{4}\right\}, \quad \text { and } \quad 0 \leq t \leq \min \left\{\frac{\delta \cdot \operatorname{ex}(n, F)}{m\binom{n-1}{r-1}}, \frac{\delta(n-1)}{8 m(r-1)}\right\}
$$

Let $n$ be a sufficiently large integer and $\mathcal{H}$ be an $n$-vertex $(t+1) F$-free $r$-graph with maximum number of edges. It follows from Proposition 1.5 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathcal{H}| \geq g_{1}(n, t, F)=\binom{n}{r}-\binom{n-t}{r}+\operatorname{ex}(n-t, F) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
V:=V(\mathcal{H}), \quad L:=\left\{v \in V: d_{\mathcal{H}}(v) \geq\left(c_{1}+2 \delta\right)\binom{n-1}{r-1}\right\}, \quad U:=V \backslash L, \quad \text { and } \quad \ell:=L
$$

For convenience, let us assume that $L=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\ell}\right\}$.
Claim 3.3. We have $\ell \leq t$.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $\ell \geq t+1$. We may assume that $\ell=t+1$, since otherwise we can replace $L$ by a $(t+1)$-subset. For $i \in[t+1]$ let $\mathcal{H}_{i}:=\mathcal{H}\left[U \cup\left\{v_{i}\right\}\right]$. Let $n_{1}:=n-t$. Notice from (3) that for each $i \in[t+1]$, the $r$-graph $\mathcal{H}_{i}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{H}_{i}\right| \geq|\mathcal{H}|-\left(\binom{n}{r}-\binom{n-t}{r}\right) \geq \operatorname{ex}(n, F) \geq\left(1-c_{2}+\delta\right) \operatorname{ex}(n, F) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every $i \in[t+1]$ we will find a copy of $F$ in $\mathcal{H}_{i}$, denoted by $F_{i}$, such that $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{t+1}$ are pairwise vertex-disjoint. Define $B_{0}:=\emptyset$. Suppose that we have defined $B_{i} \subset V(\mathcal{H})$ with $\left|B_{i}\right| \leq i m$ for some $i \in[0, t]$. Consider the $r$-graph $\mathcal{H}_{i+1}$. It follows from the definition of $L$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{\mathcal{H}}^{i+1}
\end{align*}\left(v_{i+1}\right) \geq d_{\mathcal{H}}\left(v_{i+1}\right)-t\binom{n-2}{r-2} \geq\left(c_{1}+2 \delta\right)\binom{n-1}{r-1}-t \frac{r-1}{n-1}\binom{n-1}{r-1} .
$$

Since

$$
\left|B_{i}\right| \leq i m \leq t m \leq \min \left\{\frac{\delta \cdot \operatorname{ex}(n, F)}{\binom{n-1}{r-1}}, \frac{\delta(n-1)}{r-1}\right\},
$$

it follows from (4), (5), and Lemma 3.2 that there exists a copy of $F$ in $\mathcal{H}_{i+1}$ that have empty intersection with $B_{i}$. Denote this copy of $F$ by $F_{i+1}$ and let $B_{i+1}:=B_{i} \cup V\left(F_{i+1}\right)$. Then $\left|B_{i+1}\right|=\left|B_{i}\right|+m \leq(i+1) m$. Inductively, we can find $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{t+1}$ as desired, contradicting the $(t+1) F$-freeness of $\mathcal{H}$.

Let $t_{1}:=t-\ell$. It follows from Claim 3.3 that $t_{1} \geq 0$.
Claim 3.4. The induced subgraph $\mathcal{H}[U]$ is $\left(t_{1}+1\right) F$-free.
Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exists $t_{1}+1$ pairwise vertex-disjoint copies of $F$, denoted by $F_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, F_{t_{+}}^{\prime}$, in $\mathcal{H}[U]$. Let $B_{0}:=\bigcup_{i \in\left[t_{1}+1\right]} V\left(F_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ and notice that $\left|B_{0}\right|=\left(t_{1}+1\right) m$. For $i \in[\ell]$ let $\mathcal{H}_{i}:=\mathcal{H}\left[U \cup\left\{v_{i}\right\}\right]$. Repeating the argument as in the proof of Claim [3.3, we find a copy of $F$, denoted by $F_{i}$, in $\mathcal{H}_{i}$ for every $i \in[\ell]$, such that $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{\ell}$ are pairwise vertex-disjoint. Moreover, we can also guarantee that each $F_{i}$ have empty intersection with $B_{0}$. However, this contradicts the $(t+1) F$-freeness of $\mathcal{H}$, since $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{\ell}, F_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, F_{t_{1}}^{\prime}$ are $t+1$ pairwise vertex-disjoint copies of $F$ in $\mathcal{H}$.

It follows from $\ell \leq t \leq \frac{\delta(n-1)}{8 m(r-1)}$ (Claim 3.3), the definition of $L$, Facts 2.1, and 2.4 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta(\mathcal{H}[U]) \leq\left(c_{1}+2 \delta\right)\binom{n-1}{r-1} & \leq\left(c_{1}+2 \delta\right)\left(\frac{n}{n-\ell-r}\right)^{r-1}\binom{n-\ell-1}{r-1} \\
& \leq\left(c_{1}+2 \delta\right)\left(1+\frac{4(r-1)(\ell+r)}{n}\right)\binom{n-\ell-1}{r-1} \\
& \leq\left(c_{1}+2 \delta\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left.1+\frac{\delta}{m}\right)\binom{n-\ell-1}{r-1} \\
\end{array} \leq\left(c_{1}+3 \delta\right)\binom{n-\ell-1}{r-1} \leq\left(\frac{1}{m}-\delta\right)\binom{n-\ell-1}{r-1},\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows from the assumption that $\delta \leq \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{m}-c_{1}\right)$. In addition, since $n$ is large, we have $\frac{\delta m(n-\ell)}{r-1}-r \geq \frac{\delta(n-1)}{8 m(r-1)} \geq t$. So it follows from Claim 3.3 and Lemma 3.1 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{H}[U]| & \leq\binom{ n-\ell}{r}-\binom{n-\ell-(t-\ell)}{r}+\operatorname{ex}(n-\ell-(t-\ell), F) \\
& =\binom{n-\ell}{r}-\binom{n-t}{r}+\operatorname{ex}(n-t, F) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, we have

$$
|\mathcal{H}| \leq\binom{ n}{r}-\binom{n-\ell}{r}+|\mathcal{H}[U]| \leq\binom{ n}{r}-\binom{n-t}{r}+\operatorname{ex}(n-t, F),
$$

proving Theorem 1.7.

## 4 Proofs for theorems in the third interval

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.15 and 1.16, Both proofs share a similar strategy, and we begin with the proof of Theorem 1.16 since it is more straightforward to comprehend compared to the hypergraph case.

### 4.1 Graphs: proof of Theorem 1.16

Let us provide a concise overview of the proof strategy for Theorem 1.16, We will start with an extremal $(t+1) \mathbb{B}$-free graph $G$, take a maximum collection of pairwise vertexdisjoint copies of $\mathbb{B}$ in $G$, and denote the union of their vertex sets by $V_{1}$. We will show that the set $L \subset V_{1}$ of large degree vertices contains most vertices in $V_{1}$. Then, a key step is to use the Alon-Yuster Theorem (Theorem [2.7) to show that the number of edges crossing $L$ and $V(G) \backslash V_{1}$ is small. Using this key property and applying some relatively trivial estimates, we will obtain the desired bound on the size of $|G|$.

Proof of Theorem 1.16. Fix integers $s_{2} \geq s_{1} \geq 2$ and an $s_{1}$ by $s_{2}$ bipartite graph $B_{s_{1}, s_{2}}=$ : $\mathbb{B}$. Let

$$
s:=s_{1}+s_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \varepsilon:=\frac{1}{65 s_{1} s^{2}} .
$$

Let $n$ be a sufficiently large integer, $t \in\left[\frac{n}{s}-\varepsilon n, \frac{n}{s}\right]$ be an integer, and $G$ be an $n$-vertex $(t+1) \mathbb{B}$-free graph with maximum number of edges. Let $\hat{t}:=s(t+1)-1$ for simplicity. Notice from Proposition 1.5 and Fact 2.14 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|G| \geq g_{3}(n, t, \mathbb{B})=\binom{\hat{t}}{2}+\operatorname{ex}(n-\hat{t}, \mathbb{B}) \geq\binom{ s t}{2}+\operatorname{ex}(n-s t, \mathbb{B}) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{B}=\left\{\mathbb{B}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbb{B}_{t}\right\}$ be a collection of pairwise vertex-disjoint copies of $\mathbb{B}$ in $G$ (the existence of $\mathcal{B}$ is guaranteed by the maximality of $G$ ). Let

$$
V:=V(G), \quad V_{1}:=\bigcup_{i \in[t]} V\left(B_{i}\right), \quad V_{2}:=V \backslash V_{1}, \quad \text { and } \quad G_{i}:=G\left[V_{i}\right] \quad \text { for } i \in\{1,2\} .
$$

Note that $\left|V_{1}\right|=$ st and $G_{2}$ is $\mathbb{B}$-free, so we have (by Theorem (2.6)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{2}\right| \leq \operatorname{ex}(n-s t, \mathbb{B}) \leq C(n-s t)^{2-\frac{1}{s_{1}}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Trivially, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G\left[V_{1}, V_{2}\right]\right| \leq\left|V_{1}\right|\left|V_{2}\right|=s t(n-s t) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\alpha:=1-\frac{1}{2 s_{1}}, \quad L:=\left\{v \in V_{1}: d_{G_{1}}(v) \geq \alpha(s t-1)\right\}, \quad S:=V_{1} \backslash L, \quad \text { and } \quad \ell:=|L| .
$$

It follows from the definition of $L$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|G_{1}\right| & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{v \in L} d_{G_{1}}(v)+\sum_{v \in S} d_{G_{1}}(v)\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}(\ell(s t-1)+(s t-\ell) \alpha(s t-1))=\binom{s t}{2}-\frac{s t-\ell}{2}(1-\alpha)(s t-1) . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Claim 4.1. We have $|S| \leq \frac{4(n-s t)}{(1-\alpha)}$.

Proof. It follows from (7), (8), and (9) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|G| & =\left|G_{1}\right|+\left|G\left[V_{1}, V_{2}\right]\right|+\left|G_{2}\right| \\
& \leq\binom{ s t}{2}-\frac{s t-\ell}{2}(1-\alpha)(s t-1)+s t(n-s t)+\operatorname{ex}(n-s t, \mathbb{B})
\end{aligned}
$$

Combined with (6), we obtain

$$
-\frac{s t-\ell}{2}(1-\alpha)(s t-1)+s t(n-s t) \geq 0
$$

which implies that

$$
|S|=s t-\ell \leq \frac{2 s t(n-s t)}{(s t-1)(1-\alpha)} \leq \frac{4(n-s t)}{1-\alpha}
$$

Let $\mathcal{B}_{S}$ be the collection of members in $\mathcal{B}$ that have nonempty intersection with $S$. Let

$$
S_{1}:=\bigcup_{B_{i} \in \mathcal{B}_{S}} V\left(B_{i}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad L_{1}:=V_{1} \backslash S_{1}
$$

Note that $S \subset S_{1}$ and $L_{1} \subset L$. Also, notice from Claim 4.1 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S_{1}\right| \leq s|S| \leq \frac{4 s(n-s t)}{1-\alpha} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2: Supplementary diagram for Claim 4.2 when $F=K_{2,3}$.
Let

$$
Y:=\left\{v \in V_{2}:\left|N_{G}(v) \cap L_{1}\right| \geq s_{1} s\right\} .
$$

Claim 4.2. We have $|Y| \leq s-1$.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $|Y| \geq s$. Fix $s$ vertices $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{s} \in Y$. We will show that there exists a collection $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}=\left\{B_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, B_{s}^{\prime}\right\}$ of pairwise vertex-disjoint copies of $\mathbb{B}$ in $G\left[L_{1} \cup V_{2}\right]$ such that $u_{i} \in B_{i}^{\prime}$ for $i \in[s]$. Indeed, it follows from the definition of $Y$ that there exists an $s_{1}$-set $N_{i} \subset N_{G}\left(u_{i}\right) \cap L_{1} \subset L$ for every $i \in[s]$ such that sets $N_{1}, \ldots, N_{s}$ are pairwise disjoint. It follows from the definition of $L$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\bigcap_{v \in N_{i}} N_{G}(v) \cap L_{1}\right| & \geq s t-s_{1}(s t-\alpha(s t-1))-\left|S_{1}\right| \\
& \geq\left(1-s_{1}(1-\alpha)\right) s t-s_{1} s-\frac{4 s(n-s t)}{1-\alpha} \geq s_{2} s
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, a simple greedy argument shows that such a collection $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ exists.
Let $L^{\prime}:=L_{1} \backslash \bigcup_{i \in[s]} V\left(B_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. Observe that $\left|L_{1}\right|=|L|-\left|S_{1}\right|-s(s-1)$ is divisible by $s$. In addition, it follows from the definition of $L$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\left(G\left[L_{1}^{\prime}\right]\right) & \geq \alpha(s t-1)-\left(\left|S_{1}\right|+s(s-1)\right) \\
& \geq \alpha(s t-1)-\left(\frac{4 s(n-s t)}{1-\alpha}+s(s-1)\right) \\
& \geq\left(1-\frac{1}{2 s_{1}}\right)(s t-1)-\frac{2 s_{1} \cdot 4 s \cdot \varepsilon s}{1-\varepsilon s} s t-s(s-1) \\
& >\left(1-\frac{1}{2 s_{1}}\right)(s t-1)-\frac{1}{8} s t-s(s-1)>\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{16}\right)(s t-1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, we used the assumption that $t \geq \frac{n}{s}-\varepsilon n, s_{1} \geq 2$, and $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{65 s_{1} s^{2}}$. So it follows from Theorem $\left[2.7\right.$ that $G\left[L_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ contains a perfect $B_{s_{1}, s_{2}}$-matching, i.e. $\frac{\left|L_{1}\right|}{s} \mathbb{B} \subset G\left[L_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. These copies of $\mathbb{B}$ together with $\mathcal{B}_{S} \cup \mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ shows that $(t+1) \mathbb{B} \subset G$ (see Figure (2), which is a contradiction.

Claim 4.2 implies that the induced bipartite graph $G\left[L_{1}, V_{2}\right]$ of $G$ on $L_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G\left[L_{1}, V_{2}\right]\right| \leq(s-1)\left|L_{1}\right|+\left(\left|V_{2}\right|-s+1\right)\left(s_{1} s-1\right)<(s-1) s t+s_{1} s(n-s t) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (77), (9), (10), and (11) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|G|= & \left|G_{1}\right|+\left|G_{2}\right|+\left|G\left[L_{1}, V_{2}\right]\right|+\left|G\left[S_{1}, V_{2}\right]\right| \\
\leq & \binom{s t}{2}-\frac{s t-\ell}{2}(1-\alpha)(s t-1)+\operatorname{ex}(n-s t, \mathbb{B}) \\
& +(s-1) s t+s_{1} s(n-s t)+s(s t-\ell)(n-s t) \\
\leq & \binom{s t}{2}+\operatorname{ex}(n-s t, \mathbb{B})+s_{1} s n-\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{2}(s t-1)-s(n-s t)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $t \geq \frac{n}{s}-\varepsilon n$ and $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{65 s_{1} s^{2}}$, we have

$$
\frac{1-\alpha}{2}(s t-1)-s(n-s t) \geq \frac{1}{4 s_{1}}(1-\varepsilon s) n-1-s \cdot \varepsilon s n>0 .
$$

Consequently,

$$
|G|<\binom{s t}{2}+\operatorname{ex}(n-s t, \mathbb{B})+s_{1} s n \leq\binom{\hat{t}}{2}+\operatorname{ex}(n-\hat{t}, \mathbb{B})+s_{1} s n,
$$

completing the proof of Theorem 1.16.

### 4.2 Hypergraphs: proof of Theorem 1.15

The proof strategy for Theorem 1.15 is similar to that of Theorem 1.16, except in the hypergraph case where we substitute Claim 4.2 with a less precise estimate, as presented in Claim 4.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.15. Fix integers $r \geq 3, s_{r} \geq \cdots \geq s_{1} \geq 2$, and an $r$-partite $r$-graph $\mathbb{B}:=B_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}}^{r}$ with part sizes $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}$. Let

$$
s:=\sum_{i \in[r]} s_{i}, \quad A:=2 e r^{2} \prod_{i \in[r]} s_{i}, \quad \text { and } \quad \varepsilon:=\frac{1}{8 e r^{2} s^{2} A} .
$$

Let $n$ be a sufficiently large integer and $t \in\left[\frac{n}{s}-\varepsilon n, \frac{n}{s}\right]$ be an integer. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a maximum $n$-vertex $(t+1) \mathbb{B}$-free $r$-graph. Let $\hat{t}:=s(t+1)-1$ for simplicity. It follows from Proposition 1.5 and Fact 2.14 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathcal{H}| \geq g_{3}(n, t, \mathbb{B})=\binom{\hat{t}}{r}+\operatorname{ex}(n-\hat{t}, \mathbb{B}) \geq\binom{ s t}{r}+\operatorname{ex}(n-s t, \mathbb{B}) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{B}=\left\{\mathbb{B}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbb{B}_{t}\right\}$ be a collection of vertex-disjoint copies of $\mathbb{B}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ (the existence of such a collection follows from the maximality of $\mathcal{H}$ ). Let

$$
V:=V(\mathcal{H}), \quad V_{1}:=\bigcup_{i \in[t]} V\left(\mathbb{B}_{i}\right), \quad V_{2}:=V \backslash V_{1}, \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{H}_{i}:=\mathcal{H}\left[V_{i}\right] \quad \text { for } i \in\{1,2\} .
$$

Observe that $\left|V_{1}\right|=s t$ and $\mathcal{H}_{2}$ is $\mathbb{B}$-free. Hence, by Theorem [2.9, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{H}_{2}\right| \leq \operatorname{ex}(n-s t, \mathbb{B}) \leq C(n-s t)^{r-\frac{1}{s_{1} \cdots s_{r-1}}} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $n-s t \leq \varepsilon s n \leq \frac{n}{2 r}$, it follows from Fact 2.2 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{H}\left[V_{1}, V_{2}\right]\right| \leq \sum_{v \in V_{2}} d_{\mathcal{H}}(v) \leq(n-s t)\binom{n-1}{r-1}<e(n-s t)\binom{s t-1}{r-1} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\alpha:=1-\frac{1}{2 A}, \quad L:=\left\{v \in V_{1}: d_{\mathcal{H}_{1}}(v) \geq \alpha\binom{s t-1}{r-1}\right\}, \quad S:=V_{1} \backslash L, \quad \text { and } \quad \ell:=|L| .
$$

It follows from the definition of $L$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\mathcal{H}_{1}\right| & =\frac{1}{r}\left(\sum_{v \in L} d_{\mathcal{H}_{1}}(v)+\sum_{v \in S} d_{\mathcal{H}_{1}}(v)\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{r}\left(\ell\binom{s t-1}{r-1}+(s t-\ell) \alpha\binom{s t-1}{r-1}\right)=\binom{s t}{r}-(1-\alpha) \frac{s t-\ell}{r}\binom{s t-1}{r-1} . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Claim 4.3. We have $|S|<\frac{e r(n-s t)}{1-\alpha}$.
Proof. It follows from (13), (14), and (15) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{H}| & =\left|\mathcal{H}_{1}\right|+\left|\mathcal{H}\left[V_{1}, V_{2}\right]\right|+\left|\mathcal{H}_{2}\right| \\
& <\binom{s t}{r}-(1-\alpha) \frac{s t-\ell}{r}\binom{s t-1}{r-1}+e(n-s t)\binom{s t-1}{r-1}+\operatorname{ex}(n-s t, \mathbb{B}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combined with (12), we obtain

$$
-(1-\alpha) \frac{s t-\ell}{r}\binom{s t-1}{r-1}+e(n-s t)\binom{s t-1}{r-1}>0
$$

which implies that $|S|=s t-\ell<\frac{e r(n-s t)}{1-\alpha}$.

Let $\mathcal{B}_{S}$ be the collection of elements in $\mathcal{B}$ that have nonempty intersection with $S$. Let

$$
S_{1}:=\bigcup_{\mathbb{B}_{i} \in \mathcal{B}_{S}} V\left(\mathbb{B}_{i}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad L_{1}:=V_{1} \backslash S_{1} .
$$

Observe that $S \subset S_{1}$ and $L_{1} \subset L$. Also observe from Claim 4.3 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S_{1}\right| \leq s|S| \leq \frac{\operatorname{ers}(n-s t)}{1-\alpha} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Claim 4.4. The r-graph $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}:=\mathcal{H}\left[L_{1} \cup V_{2}\right] \backslash \mathcal{H}\left[L_{1}\right]$ is $s \mathbb{B}$-free. In particular, we have

$$
\left|\mathcal{H}^{\prime}\right| \leq \operatorname{ex}_{\text {star }}(n-s t, n, s \mathbb{B})
$$

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a collection $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}=\left\{\mathbb{B}_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathbb{B}_{s}^{\prime}\right\}$ of pairwise vertex-disjoint copies of $\mathbb{B}$ in $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$. Let $W:=\bigcup_{i \in[s]} V\left(\mathbb{B}_{i}^{\prime}\right), W_{1}:=W \cap L_{1}$, and $W_{2}:=W \cap V_{2}$. Observe that every $\mathbb{B}_{i}^{\prime}$ contains at least one vertex from $V_{2}$ (since $L_{1}$ is an independent set in $\left.\mathcal{H}^{\prime}\right)$. So we have $\left|W_{1}\right| \leq(s-1) s$. It follows that

$$
\left|V_{1} \backslash W_{1}\right| \geq s t-(s-1) s=s(t+1-s)
$$

Fix an arbitrary subset $L_{1}^{\prime} \subset L_{1} \backslash W_{1}$ of size exactly $s(t+1-s)-\left|S_{1}\right|$. It follows from the definition of $L$ that the induced subgraph $\mathcal{H}\left[L_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\left(\mathcal{H}\left[L_{1}^{\prime}\right]\right) & \geq \alpha\binom{s t-1}{t-1}-\left|V_{1} \backslash L_{1}^{\prime}\right|\binom{s t-2}{r-2} \\
& \geq \alpha\binom{s t-1}{t-1}-\left(\left|S_{1}\right|+(s-1) s\right) \frac{r-1}{s t-1}\binom{s t-1}{r-1} \\
& >\left(\alpha-\left(\frac{e r s(n-s t)}{1-\alpha}+s^{2}\right) \frac{r-1}{s t-1}\right)\binom{s t-1}{r-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows from (16). Since $n$ is sufficiently large and $t \geq n / s-\varepsilon n$, simple calculations show that $\left(\frac{\operatorname{ers}(n-s t)}{1-\alpha}+s^{2}\right) \frac{r-1}{s t-1}<\frac{1}{2 A}$. Therefore, we have $\delta\left(\mathcal{H}\left[L_{1}^{\prime}\right]\right) \geq$ $\left(1-\frac{1}{A}\right)\binom{s t-1}{r-1}$. It follows from Theorem 2.12 that $\left(t+1-s-\left|S_{1}\right| / s\right) \mathbb{B} \subset \mathcal{H}\left[L_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. However, these $t+1-s-\left|S_{1}\right| / s$ copies of $\mathbb{B}$ together with $\mathcal{B}_{S} \cup \mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ show that $(t+1) \mathbb{B} \subset \mathcal{H}$, a contradiction.

Let $\mathcal{H}^{\prime \prime}:=\left\{e \in \mathcal{H}: e \cap S_{1} \neq \emptyset\right.$ and $\left.e \cap V_{2} \neq \emptyset\right\}$. Using Fact 2.2 and Claim 4.3, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathcal{H}^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq\left|S_{1}\right|\left|V_{2}\right|\binom{n-2}{r-2} & \leq s|S| \times(n-s t) \times e\binom{s t-2}{r-2} \\
& \leq e s(n-s t)|S| \times \frac{r}{s t}\binom{s t-1}{r-1} \\
& =\frac{\varepsilon e r^{2} s n}{(1 / s-\varepsilon) n} \frac{s t-\ell}{r}\binom{s t-1}{r-1} \leq \frac{1}{4 A} \frac{s t-\ell}{r}\binom{s t-1}{r-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows from $\varepsilon=\frac{1}{8 e r^{2} s^{2} A}$. Combined with (15) and Claim4.4, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{H}| & =\left|\mathcal{H}_{1}\right|+\left|\mathcal{H}^{\prime \prime}\right|+\left|\mathcal{H}^{\prime}\right| \\
& \leq\binom{ s t}{r}-(1-\alpha) \frac{s t-\ell}{r}\binom{s t-1}{r-1}+\frac{1}{4 A} \frac{s t-\ell}{r}\binom{s t-1}{r-1}+\mathrm{ex}_{\mathrm{star}}(n-s t, n, s \mathbb{B}) \\
& =\binom{s t}{r}-\left(\frac{1}{2 A}-\frac{1}{4 A}\right) \frac{s t-\ell}{r}\binom{s t-1}{r-1}++\mathrm{ex}_{\mathrm{star}}(n-s t, n, s \mathbb{B}) \\
& <\binom{s(t+1)-1}{r}++\operatorname{ex}_{\text {star }}(n-s t, n, s \mathbb{B}),
\end{aligned}
$$

completing the proof of Theorem 1.15

## 5 Proofs for theorems in the second interval

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.11 and 1.12, Let us start with some technical lemmas.

### 5.1 Preparations

Using Proposition 2.11, we establish the following lemma, ensuring a near-perfect $K_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}}^{r}$ matching in a semibipartite $r$-graph with a minimum degree of $\Omega\left(n^{r-1}\right)$ on one side.

Lemma 5.1. Let $r \geq 2$ and $s_{r} \geq \cdots \geq s_{1} \geq 2$ be integers and let $\alpha>0$ be a real number. Let $s:=s_{1}+\cdots+s_{r}$ and $\mathbb{K}:=K_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}}^{r}$. The following holds for sufficiently large $n$. Suppose that $\mathcal{H}$ is an $m$ by $n$ semibipartite $r$-graph on $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ with $m \leq \frac{\alpha n}{s-s_{1}}$ and $d_{\mathcal{H}}(v) \geq \alpha n^{r-1}$ for every $v \in V_{1}$. Then $\mathcal{H}$ contains at least $\left\lfloor\frac{m}{s_{1}}-\frac{4}{\alpha}\right\rfloor$ pairwise vertexdisjoint copies of ordered $\mathbb{K}$.

Proof. Let $n$ be sufficiently large and $\mathcal{H}$ be an $m$ by $n$ semibipartite $r$-graph on $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ with $n \geq\left(s-s_{1}\right) m / \alpha$ and $d_{\mathcal{H}}(v) \geq \alpha n^{r-1}$ for every $v \in V_{1}$. We may assume that $m \geq \frac{4 s_{1}}{\alpha}$, since otherwise $\left\lfloor\frac{m}{s_{1}}-\frac{4}{\alpha}\right\rfloor \leq 0$, and there is nothing to prove. Let $\mathcal{K}=\left\{K_{1}, \ldots, K_{\ell}\right\}$ be a maximum collection of pairwise vertex-disjoint copies of ordered $K$ in $\mathcal{H}$. Let $B:=$ $\bigcup_{i \in[\ell]} V\left(K_{i}\right), B_{i}:=B \cap V_{i}$, and $V_{i}^{\prime}:=V_{i} \backslash B_{i}$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$. Let $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ be the induced subgraph of $\mathcal{H}$ on $V_{1}^{\prime} \cup V_{2}^{\prime}$ and note that $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ is also semibipartite. Suppose to the contrary that $\ell \leq \frac{m}{s_{1}}-\frac{4}{\alpha}$. Then $\left|B_{1}\right|=s_{1} \ell \leq m-\frac{4 s_{1}}{\alpha}$ and $\left|B_{2}\right|=\left(s-s_{1}\right) \ell \leq\left(s-s_{1}\right) \frac{m}{s_{1}} \leq \frac{\alpha n}{s_{1}}$. Therefore, $\left|V_{1}^{\prime}\right|=m-\left|B_{1}\right| \geq \frac{4 s_{1}}{\alpha}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathcal{H}^{\prime}\right|=\sum_{v \in V_{1}^{\prime}} d_{\mathcal{H}^{\prime}}(v) & \geq \sum_{v \in V_{1}^{\prime}}\left(d_{\mathcal{H}}(v)-\left|B_{2}\right|\binom{n-1}{r-2}\right) \\
& \geq\left|V_{1}^{\prime}\right|\left(\alpha n^{r-1}-\frac{\alpha n}{s_{1}}\binom{n-1}{r-2}\right) \\
& \geq\left|V_{1}^{\prime}\right| \times \frac{\alpha}{2} n^{r-1} \\
& =\left|V_{1}^{\prime}\right| \times \frac{\alpha}{4} n^{r-1}+\left|V_{1}^{\prime}\right| \times \frac{\alpha}{4} n^{r-1} \geq C\left|V_{1}^{\prime}\right| n^{r-1-\frac{1}{s_{1} \cdots s_{r-1}}}+s_{1} n^{r-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from Proposition 2.11 that $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ contains an ordered copy of $K$, contradicting the maximality of $\mathcal{K}$.

If we extend the assumptions in Lemma 5.1 by assuming the number of vertices with nearmaximum degree is at least some constant, then we can enhance the lemma by finding a perfect $K_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}}^{r}$-matching. The proof relies on a simple absorption strategy, pairing a low-degree vertex with $s_{1}-1$ high-degree vertices.


Figure 3: Supplementary diagram for Claim 5.2 when $F=K_{3,3}$.
Lemma 5.2. Let $r \geq 2$ and $s_{r} \geq \cdots \geq s_{1} \geq 2$ be integers and $\alpha>0$ be a real number. Let $s:=s_{1}+\cdots+s_{r}$ and $\mathbb{K}:=K_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}}^{r}$. The following statement holds for sufficiently large $n$. Suppose that $\mathcal{H}$ is an $m$ by $n$ semibipartite $r$-graph on $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ that satisfies
(i) $m \leq \frac{\alpha n}{8\left(s-s_{1}\right)}$,
(ii) $d_{\mathcal{H}}(v) \geq \alpha n^{r-1}$ for every $v \in V_{1}$, and
(iii) $L:=\left\{v \in V_{1}: d(v) \geq\binom{ n}{r-1}-\frac{\alpha n^{r-1}}{2 s_{1}}\right\}$ has size at least $\min \left\{\frac{5 s_{1}\left(s_{1}-1\right)}{\alpha}, \frac{s_{1}-1}{s_{1}} m\right\}$.

Then $\mathcal{H}$ contains $\left\lfloor m / s_{1}\right\rfloor$ pairwise vertex-disjoint copies of ordered $\mathbb{K}$.

Proof. Let $S:=V_{1} \backslash L, \mathcal{H}_{S}:=\mathcal{H}\left[S \cup V_{2}\right]$, and $\mathcal{K}_{S}=\left\{\mathbb{K}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbb{K}_{\ell}\right\}$ be a maximum collection of ordered copies of $\mathbb{K}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{S}$. Let

$$
B_{S}:=\bigcup_{i \in[\ell]} V\left(\mathbb{K}_{i}\right), \quad V_{2}^{\prime}:=V_{2} \backslash B_{S}, \quad S_{1}:=S \backslash B_{S}, \quad \text { and } \quad m_{1}:=\left|S_{1}\right| .
$$

It follows from Lemma 5.1 that $\ell \geq \max \left\{\left\lfloor\frac{|S|}{s_{1}}-\frac{4}{\alpha}\right\rfloor, 0\right\}$, and hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.m_{1}=|S|-s_{1} \ell \leq|S|-s_{1} \times \max \left\{\left\lvert\, \frac{|S|}{s_{1}}-\frac{4}{\alpha}\right.\right\rfloor, 0\right\} \leq \min \left\{\frac{5 s_{1}}{\alpha},|S|\right\} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us assume that $S_{1}:=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m_{1}}\right\}$. Let $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m_{1}}$ be pairwise disjoint $\left(s_{1}-1\right)$ subsets of $L$ (the existence of such $T_{i}$ 's is guaranteed by (iii) and (17)). Let $\mathcal{H}_{i}$ denote the induced subgraph of $\mathcal{H}$ on $\left\{v_{i}\right\} \cup T_{i} \cup V_{2}^{\prime}$ for $i \in\left[m_{1}\right]$.

Let $B_{0}:=\emptyset$. Suppose that we have defined $B_{i} \subset V_{2}$ of size $i\left(s-s_{1}\right)$ for some $i \in\left[0, m_{1}-1\right]$. We will find an ordered copy of $\mathbb{K}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{i+1}^{\prime}:=\mathcal{H}_{i+1}-B_{i}$. Indeed, notice from (i) that

$$
\left|B_{i} \cup B_{S}\right| \leq \frac{m}{s_{1}}\left(s-s_{1}\right) \leq \frac{\alpha n}{4} .
$$

Combined with (ii) and (iii), we see that the common link $L_{\mathcal{H}_{i+1}^{\prime}}\left(T_{i+1} \cup\left\{v_{i+1}\right\}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{\mathcal{H}_{i+1}^{\prime}}\left(T_{i+1} \cup\left\{v_{i+1}\right\}\right) & \geq d_{\mathcal{H}}\left(v_{i+1}\right)-\sum_{u \in T_{i+1}}\left(\binom{n}{r-1}-d_{\mathcal{H}}(u)\right)-\left|B_{i} \cup B_{S}\right|\binom{n-1}{r-2} \\
& \geq \alpha n^{r-1}-\left(s_{1}-1\right) \frac{\alpha n^{r-1}}{2 s_{1}}-\frac{\alpha n}{4}\binom{n-1}{r-2} \geq \frac{\alpha n^{r-1}}{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $n$ is sufficiently large, it follows from Theorem 2.9 that $K_{s_{2}, \ldots, s_{r}}^{r-1} \subset L_{\mathcal{H}_{i+1}^{\prime}}\left(T_{i+1} \cup\right.$ $\left.\left\{v_{i+1}\right\}\right)$. This copy of $K_{s_{2}, \ldots, s_{r}}^{r-1}$ together with $T_{i+1} \cup\left\{v_{i+1}\right\}$ forms a copy of ordered $\mathbb{K}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{i+1}^{\prime}$ (see Figure 3). Denoted this copy of ordered $\mathbb{K}$ by $\mathbb{K}_{i+1}^{\prime}$. Let $B_{i+1}:=B_{i} \cup\left(V\left(\mathbb{K}_{i+1}^{\prime}\right) \cap V_{2}\right)$ and notice that $\left|B_{i+1}\right|=\left|B_{i}\right|+s-s_{1}=(i+1)\left(s-s_{1}\right)$. Inductively, we can find a copy of ordered $\mathbb{K}$, denoted by $\mathbb{K}_{i}^{\prime}$, from $\mathcal{H}_{i}$ for every $i \in\left[m_{1}\right]$ such that $\mathbb{K}_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathbb{K}_{m_{1}}^{\prime}$ are pairwise vertex-disjoint. Let $L^{\prime}:=L \backslash \bigcup_{i \in\left[m_{i}\right]} T_{i}$ and $V_{2}^{\prime \prime}:=V_{2} \backslash\left(B_{m_{1}} \cup B_{S}\right)$. Repeating the argument above to the induced subgraph of $\mathcal{H}$ on $L^{\prime} \cup V_{2}^{\prime \prime}$, we can find $\left\lfloor m / s_{1}\right\rfloor-m_{1}-\ell$ pairwise vertex-disjoint ordered copies of $\mathbb{K}$ in $\mathcal{H}\left[L^{\prime} \cup V_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right]$, showing that $\mathcal{H}$ contains at least $\left\lfloor m / s_{1}\right\rfloor$ pairwise vertex-disjoint copies of ordered $\mathbb{K}$ (see Figure 3).

A minor adjustment to the proof of Lemma 5.2 results in the following simple lemma.
Lemma 5.3. Let $r \geq 2$ and $s_{r} \geq \cdots \geq s_{1} \geq 2$ be integers and $\alpha<1 / s_{1}$ be a nonnegative real number. Let $s:=s_{1}+\cdots+s_{r}$ and $\mathbb{K}:=K_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}}^{r}$. The following statement holds for sufficiently large $n$. Suppose that $\mathcal{H}$ is an $m$ by $n$ semibipartite $r$-graph on $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ that satisfies

$$
m \leq \frac{\left(1-\alpha s_{1}\right) s_{1}}{(r-1)\left(s-s_{1}\right)} n \quad \text { and } \quad d_{\mathcal{H}}(v) \geq(1-\alpha)\binom{n}{r-1} \quad \text { for all } v \in V_{1}
$$

Then $\mathcal{H}$ contains $\left\lfloor m / s_{1}\right\rfloor$ pairwise vertex-disjoint copies of ordered $\mathbb{K}$.

Using Lemma 5.1, we can derive the following crude but useful upper bound for ex $(n,(t+$ 1) $F$ ).

Lemma 5.4. Let $r \geq 2$ and $s_{r} \geq \cdots \geq s_{1} \geq 2$ be integers. Let $s:=s_{1}+\cdots+s_{r}$ and $\mathbb{B}:=B_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}}^{r}$ be an r-partite r-graph with part sizes $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}$. For every $\alpha>0$ there exists $N_{0}$ such that the following statement holds for all $n \geq N_{0}$ and $t \leq \frac{\alpha n}{9 s^{2} r!}$. Every $n$-vertex $(t+1) \mathbb{B}$-free $r$-graph $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies

$$
|\mathcal{H}| \leq\left(s_{1} t+\frac{17 s r!}{\alpha}\right) \Delta(\mathcal{H})+\frac{\alpha s_{1}}{2 r!} t n^{r-1}+\operatorname{ex}(n-s t, \mathbb{B})
$$

If, in addition, $\Delta(\mathcal{H}) \leq(1-\alpha)\binom{n-1}{r-1}$ and $t \geq \frac{5}{\alpha s_{1}}\left(\frac{\operatorname{ex}(n, \mathbb{B})}{\binom{n-1}{r-1}}+\frac{18 s r!}{\alpha}\right)$, then

$$
|\mathcal{H}| \leq\binom{ n}{r}-\binom{n-s_{1}(t-1)}{r}
$$

Proof. Fix $\alpha>0$ and let $n$ be sufficiently large. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a maximum $n$-vertex $(t+1) \mathbb{B}$ free $r$-graph. Let $\mathcal{B}=\left\{\mathbb{B}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbb{B}_{t}\right\}$ be a collection of pairwise vertex-disjoint copies of $\mathbb{B}$ in $\mathcal{H}$. Let

$$
V:=V(\mathcal{H}), \quad V_{1}:=\bigcup_{i \in[t]} V\left(\mathbb{B}_{i}\right), \quad V_{2}:=V \backslash V_{1}, \quad \text { and } \quad L:=\left\{v \in V_{1}: d_{\mathcal{H}}(v) \geq \frac{\alpha s_{1} n^{r-1}}{2 s r!}\right\}
$$

Claim 5.5. We have $|L| \leq\left(t+1+\frac{16 s r!}{\alpha s_{1}}\right) s_{1}$.

Proof. Suppose this is not true. Let

$$
m:=\left\lceil\left(t+1+\frac{16 s r!}{\alpha s_{1}}\right) s_{1}\right\rceil \leq 2 \times \frac{\alpha n}{9 s^{2} r!} \times s_{1} \leq \frac{\alpha s_{1} n}{4 s^{2} r!} \leq \frac{\alpha(n-m)}{8 s}
$$

We may assume that $|L|=m$, since otherwise we can replace $L$ by a subset of size $m$. Let $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ be the collection of edges in $\mathcal{H}$ that have exactly one vertex in $L$. Notice that $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ is semibipartite and for every $v \in L$, we have

$$
d_{\mathcal{H}^{\prime}}(v) \geq d_{\mathcal{H}}(v)-|L|\binom{n-2}{r-2} \geq \frac{\alpha s_{1} n^{r-1}}{2 s r!}-m \frac{r-1}{n-1}\binom{n-1}{r-1} \geq \frac{\alpha s_{1} n^{r-1}}{4 s r!}
$$

Therefore, by Lemma 5.1, the semibipartite $r$-graph $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$ contains at least

$$
\left\lfloor\frac{m}{s_{1}}-4\left(\frac{\alpha s_{1}}{4 s r!}\right)^{-1}\right\rfloor \geq\left\lfloor t+1+\frac{16 s r!}{\alpha s_{1}}-\frac{16 s r!}{\alpha s_{1}}\right\rfloor=t+1
$$

copies of ordered $\mathbb{B}$, a contradiction.

It follows from Claim 5.5 and some simple calculations that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{H}| & =\sum_{v \in L} d_{\mathcal{H}}(v)+\sum_{v \in V_{1} \backslash L} d_{\mathcal{H}}(v)+\left|\mathcal{H}\left[V_{2}\right]\right| \\
& \leq\left(s_{1} t+s_{1}+\frac{16 s r!}{\alpha}\right) \Delta(\mathcal{H})+s t \times \frac{\alpha s_{1} n^{r-1}}{2 s r!}+\operatorname{ex}(n-s t, \mathbb{B}) \\
& \leq\left(s_{1} t+\frac{17 s r!}{\alpha}\right) \Delta(\mathcal{H})+\frac{\alpha s_{1}}{2 r!} t n^{r-1}+\operatorname{ex}(n-s t, \mathbb{B})
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume additionally that $\Delta(\mathcal{H}) \leq(1-\alpha)\binom{n-1}{r-1}$ and $t \geq \frac{5}{\alpha s_{1}}\left(\frac{\operatorname{ex}(n, \mathbb{B})}{\binom{n-1}{r-1}}+\frac{18 s r!}{\alpha}\right)$. Then it follows from the inequality above that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{H}| & \leq\left(s_{1} t+\frac{17 s r!}{\alpha}\right)(1-\alpha)\binom{n-1}{r-1}+\frac{\alpha s_{1}}{2 r!} t n^{r-1}+\operatorname{ex}(n-s t, \mathbb{B}) \\
& \leq s_{1} t\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\binom{n-1}{r-1}-s_{1} t \frac{\alpha}{2}\binom{n-1}{r-1}+\frac{17 s r!}{\alpha}\binom{n-1}{r-1}+\frac{\alpha s_{1}}{2 r!} t n^{r-1}+\operatorname{ex}(n, \mathbb{B})
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $n$ is large and $t \geq \frac{5}{\alpha s_{1}}\left(\frac{\operatorname{ex}(n, \mathbb{B})}{\binom{n-1}{r-1}}+\frac{18 s r!}{\alpha}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -s_{1} t \frac{\alpha}{2}\binom{n-1}{r-1}+\frac{17 s r!}{\alpha}\binom{n-1}{r-1}+\frac{\alpha s_{1}}{2 r!} t n^{r-1}+\operatorname{ex}(n, \mathbb{B}) \\
& \leq-\left(\frac{\alpha s_{1}}{2} t-\frac{18 s r!}{\alpha}-\frac{\alpha s_{1}}{2 r} t-o(1)-\frac{\operatorname{ex}(n, \mathbb{B})}{\binom{n-1}{r-1}}\right)\binom{n-1}{r-1}-s_{1}\binom{n-1}{r-1} \\
& \leq-\left(\frac{\alpha s_{1}}{5} t-\frac{18 s r!}{\alpha}-\frac{\operatorname{ex}(n, \mathbb{B})}{\binom{n-1}{r-1}}\right)\binom{n-1}{r-1}-s_{1}\binom{n-1}{r-1} \leq-s_{1}\binom{n-1}{r-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows $t \leq \frac{\alpha n}{9 s^{2} r!}$, Facts 2.1, and 2.4 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\binom{n-1}{r-1} & \leq\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\left(\frac{n}{n-s_{1} t-r}\right)^{r-1}\binom{n-s_{1} t}{r-1} \\
& \leq\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\left(1+\frac{4(r-1)\left(s_{1} t+r\right)}{n}\right)\binom{n-s_{1} t}{r-1} \\
& \leq\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\left(1+\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\binom{n-s_{1} t}{r-1} \leq\binom{ n-s_{1} t}{r-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
|\mathcal{H}| \leq s_{1}(t-1)\binom{n-s_{1} t}{r-1}+s_{1}\binom{n-1}{r-1}-s_{1}\binom{n-1}{r-1} \leq\binom{ n}{r}-\binom{n-s_{1}(t-1)}{r},
$$

completing the proof of Lemma 5.4 .

### 5.2 Hypergraphs: proof of Theorem 1.11

In this subsection, we establish the validity of Theorem 1.11. Our proof strategy begins by considering the collection $L \subset V(\mathcal{H})$ of all vertices in an $n$-vertex $(t+1) F$-free $r$-graph $\mathcal{H}$ with degree at least $\Omega\left(n^{r-1}\right)$. Our will show that a significant proportion of vertices in $L$ possess near-maximum degree. Consequently, leveraging Lemma 5.2, we can infer that the size of $L$ is less than $s_{1}(t+1)$. Subsequently, we show that the induced subgraph $\mathcal{H}-L$ cannot contains many vertex-disjoint copies of $F$. By employing the size constraint provided by Lemma 2.13 on $\mathcal{H}-L$, we derive the desired upper bound on $|\mathcal{H}|$.

Proof of Theorem 1.11. Fix integers $r \geq 2, s_{r} \geq \cdots \geq s_{1} \geq 2$, and an $r$-partite $r$-graph $B_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}}^{r}=: \mathbb{B}$ with part sizes $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}$. Let

$$
s:=\sum_{i \in[r]} s_{i}, \quad \delta_{1}:=\frac{1}{16 e s_{1} s}, \quad \delta_{2}:=\frac{1}{2 e s}, \quad \text { and } \quad \varepsilon:=\frac{\delta_{1}}{32 s^{2} r!} .
$$

Let $n$ be sufficiently large and $t$ be an integer satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{20}{\delta_{1}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{ex}(n, \mathbb{B})}{\binom{n-1}{r-1}}+\frac{20 s r!}{\delta_{1}}\right) \leq t \leq \varepsilon n . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a maximum $n$-vertex $(t+1) \mathbb{B}$-free $r$-graph with vertex $V$. Let

$$
L_{1}:=\left\{v \in V: d_{\mathcal{H}}(v) \geq\left(1-\delta_{1}\right)\binom{n-1}{r-1}\right\}, L_{2}:=\left\{v \in V \backslash L_{1}: d_{\mathcal{H}}(v) \geq \delta_{2}\binom{n-1}{r-1}\right\},
$$

and

$$
L:=L_{1} \cup L_{2}, \quad U:=V \backslash L, \quad \ell:=|L|, \quad \ell_{1}:=\left|L_{1}\right|, \quad \ell_{2}:=\left|L_{2}\right| .
$$

In addition, let

$$
\mathcal{H}_{1}:=\mathcal{H}-L_{1}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{2}:=\mathcal{H}[U], \quad n_{1}:=n-\ell_{1}, \quad \text { and } \quad t_{1}:=t-\left\lfloor\ell_{1} / s_{1}\right\rfloor .
$$

Claim 5.6. We have $\ell_{1}<s_{1}(t+1)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ is $\left(t_{1}+1\right) \mathbb{B}$-free.

Proof. First, suppose to the contrary that $\ell_{1} \geq s_{1}(t+1)$. We may assume that $\ell_{1}=s_{1}(t+1)$ since otherwise we can take a subset of $L_{1}$ of size $s_{1}(t+1)$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the collection of edges in $\mathcal{H}$ that have exactly one vertex in $L_{1}$. Notice that $\mathcal{G}$ is semibipartite, and for every $v \in L_{1}$ it follows from the assumptions on $t$ and $\delta_{1}$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{\mathcal{G}}(v) \geq d_{\mathcal{H}}(v)-\left|L_{1}\right|\binom{n-2}{r-2} & \geq\left(1-\delta_{1}\right)\binom{n-1}{r-1}-s_{1}(t+1) \frac{r-1}{n-1}\binom{n-1}{r-1} \\
& >\left(1-\frac{1}{16 s_{1} s}\right)\binom{n-1}{r-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since, in addition, $\left(1-\frac{s_{1}}{16 s_{1} s}\right) s_{1} \frac{1}{(r-1)\left(s-s_{1}\right)}\left(n-\ell_{1}\right) \geq 2 \varepsilon s_{1} n \geq \ell_{1}$ (by (18)), it follows from Lemma 5.3] that $(t+1) \mathbb{B} \subset(t+1) K_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}}^{r} \subset \mathcal{G}$, a contradiction.
Now suppose to the contrary that there exists a collection $\mathcal{B}=\left\{\mathbb{B}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbb{B}_{t_{1}+1}\right\}$ of $t_{1}+1$ pairwise vertex-disjoint copies of $\mathbb{B}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{1}$. Let $B:=\bigcup_{i \in\left[t_{1}+1\right]} V\left(\mathbb{B}_{i}\right)$ and $V^{\prime}:=V \backslash B$. Let $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ be the collection of edges in $\mathcal{H}\left[V^{\prime}\right]$ that contain exactly one vertex in $L_{1}$. Similar to the argument above, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{\mathcal{G}_{1}}(v) \geq d_{\mathcal{H}}(v)-\left|L_{1} \cup B_{1}\right|\binom{n-2}{r-2} & \geq\left(1-\delta_{1}\right)\binom{n-1}{r-1}-2 s(t+1) \frac{r-1}{n-1}\binom{n-1}{r-1} \\
& >\left(1-\frac{1}{16 s_{1} s}\right)\binom{n-1}{r-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, it follows from (18) and Lemma 5.3 that $\left\lfloor\ell_{1} / s_{1}\right] \mathbb{B} \subset \mathcal{G}_{1}$, which together with $\mathcal{B}$ implies that $(t+1) \mathbb{B} \subset \mathcal{H}$, a contradiction.

By Claim 5.6, we obtain

$$
n_{1}-r=n-\ell_{1}-r \geq n-s_{1}(t+1)-r \geq\left(1-2 \varepsilon s_{1}\right) n .
$$

Combined with the definition of $L_{1}$, Facts 2.1, and 2.4, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta\left(\mathcal{H}_{1}\right) \leq\left(1-\delta_{1}\right)\binom{n-1}{r-1} & \leq\left(1-\delta_{1}\right)\left(\frac{n}{n_{1}-r}\right)^{r-1}\binom{n_{1}-1}{r-1} \\
& \leq\left(1-\delta_{1}\right)\left(1+8 \varepsilon r s_{1}\right)\binom{n_{1}-1}{r-1} \\
& \leq\left(1-\delta_{1}\right)\left(1+\frac{\delta_{1}}{4}\right)\binom{n_{1}-1}{r-1} \leq\left(1-\frac{\delta_{1}}{2}\right)\binom{n_{1}-1}{r-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
\theta:=\frac{5}{\delta_{1} s_{1} / 2}\left(\frac{\operatorname{ex}\left(n_{1}, \mathbb{B}\right)}{\binom{n_{1}-1}{r-1}}+\frac{18 s r!}{\delta_{1} / 2}\right) \leq \frac{10}{\delta_{1} s_{1}}\left(\frac{2 \operatorname{ex}(n, \mathbb{B})}{\binom{n-1}{r-1}}+\frac{36 s r!}{\delta_{1}}\right) \leq \frac{t-s+1}{s_{1}} .
$$

If $t_{1} \geq \theta$, then it follows from $t_{1} \leq t \leq \frac{\delta_{1} n}{32 s^{2} r!} \leq \frac{\delta_{1} n_{1} / 2}{9 s^{2} r!}$ and Lemma 5.4 that

$$
\left|\mathcal{H}_{1}\right| \leq\binom{ n_{1}}{r}-\binom{n_{1}-s_{1}\left(t_{1}-1\right)}{r}=\binom{n-\ell_{1}}{r}-\binom{n-s_{1} t}{r} .
$$

Consequently,

$$
|\mathcal{H}| \leq\binom{ n}{r}-\binom{n-\ell_{1}}{r}+\left|\mathcal{H}_{1}\right| \leq\binom{ n}{r}-\binom{n-s_{1} t}{r},
$$

and we are done. So we may assume that $t_{1} \leq \theta$, which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{1} \geq s_{1}(t-\theta) \geq s_{1}\left(t-\frac{t-s+1}{s_{1}}\right)=\frac{s_{1}-1}{s_{1}} s_{1}(t+1) . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
t_{2}:=t-\left\lfloor\ell / s_{1}\right\rfloor \leq t_{1} \leq \theta
$$

Claim 5.7. We have $\ell_{1}+\ell_{2} \leq s_{1}(t+1)-1$, and $\mathcal{H}[U]$ is $\left(t_{2}+1\right) \mathbb{B}$-free.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Claim 5.6. Suppose to the contrary that Claim 5.7 is not true. If $\ell<s_{1}(t+1)$, then let $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}:=\left\{\mathbb{B}_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathbb{B}_{t_{2}+1}^{\prime}\right\}$ be a collection of pairwise vertex-disjoint copies of $\mathbb{B}$ in $\mathcal{H}[U]$ and let $B^{\prime}:=\bigcup_{i \in\left[t_{2}+1\right]} V\left(\mathbb{B}_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. If $\ell \geq s_{1}(t+1)$, then by removing vertices in $L_{2}$, we may assume that $\ell=s_{1}(t+1)$. In addition, we set $B^{\prime}:=\emptyset$ in this case. Let $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ be the collection of all edges in $\mathcal{H}\left[V \backslash B^{\prime}\right]$ that contain exactly one vertex in $L$ (recall that $L=L_{1} \cup L_{2}$ ). To build a contradiction, it suffices to show that $\left\lfloor\ell / s_{1}\right\rfloor \mathbb{B} \subset \mathcal{G}_{2}$. Indeed, observe that for every $v \in L_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{\mathcal{G}_{2}}(v) & \geq d_{\mathcal{H}}(v)-\left|L \cup B^{\prime}\right|\binom{n-2}{r-2} \\
& \geq\left(1-\delta_{1}\right)\binom{n-1}{r-1}-2 s(t+1) \frac{r-1}{n-1}\binom{n-1}{r-1} \geq\binom{ n-1}{r-1}-\frac{\delta_{2} n^{r-1}}{4 s_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and for every $u \in L_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{\mathcal{G}_{2}}(u) & \geq d_{\mathcal{H}}(u)-\left|L \cup B^{\prime}\right|\binom{n-2}{r-2} \\
& \geq \delta_{2}\binom{n-1}{r-1}-2 s(t+1) \frac{r-1}{n-1}\binom{n-1}{r-1} \geq \frac{\delta_{2} n^{r-1}}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since, in addition, $\ell \leq 2 s_{1} t \leq 2 \varepsilon s_{1} n \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\delta_{2} n / 2}{8\left(s-s_{1}\right)} \leq \frac{\delta_{2}\left(n-\left|B^{\prime}\right|\right) / 2}{8\left(s-s_{1}\right)}$, it follows from (19) and Lemma 5.2 that $\left\lfloor\ell / s_{1}\right\rfloor \mathbb{B} \subset \mathcal{G}_{2}$, a contradiction.

Since $\mathcal{H}[U]$ is $\left(t_{2}+1\right) \mathbb{B}$-free and $\Delta(\mathcal{H}[U]) \leq \delta_{2}\binom{n-1}{r-1} \leq \frac{1}{2 s}\binom{n-\ell-1}{r-1}$ (by Fact 2.3), it follows from Lemma 2.13 and simple calculations that

$$
\begin{align*}
|\mathcal{H}[U]| & \leq s t_{2} \times \frac{1}{2 s}\binom{n-\ell-1}{r-1}+\operatorname{ex}\left(n-\ell-s t_{2}, \mathbb{B}\right)  \tag{20}\\
& \leq\binom{ n-\ell}{r}-\binom{n-s_{1}(t+1)+1}{r-1}+\operatorname{ex}\left(n-s_{1}(t+1)+1, \mathbb{B}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{H}| & \leq\binom{ n}{r}-\binom{n-\ell}{r}+|\mathcal{H}[U]| \\
& \leq\binom{ n}{r}-\binom{n-\ell}{r}+\binom{n-\ell}{r}-\binom{n-s_{1}(t+1)+1}{r-1}+\operatorname{ex}\left(n-s_{1}(t+1)+1, \mathbb{B}\right) \\
& =\binom{n}{r}-\binom{n-s_{1}(t+1)+1}{r}+\operatorname{ex}\left(n-s_{1}(t+1)+1, \mathbb{B}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

completing the proof of Theorem 1.11.

### 5.3 Graphs: proof of Theorem 1.12

In this subsection, we establish the validity of Theorem 1.12. This proof is a continuation of the argument in the previous subsection. In order to achieve the precise result we desired, a more detailed analysis of the underlying structures is necessary.

Given a family $\mathcal{F}$ of $r$-graphs and an integer $t \geq 0$ let

$$
(t+1) \mathcal{F}:=\left\{F_{1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup F_{t+1}: F_{i} \in \mathcal{F} \text { for all } i \in[t+1]\right\} .
$$

We will need the following result which follows essentially from the same proof for Theorem 1.7

Proposition 5.8. Let $s_{2} \geq s_{1} \geq 2$ be integers and $\mathbb{B}:=B_{s_{1}, s_{2}}$ be an $s_{1}$ by $s_{2}$ bipartite graph. Let $\mathbb{B}^{\prime}:=\mathbb{B}\left[s_{2}+1\right]$. Suppose that $t \leq \frac{n}{2 e\left(s_{2}+1\right)}$. Then

$$
\operatorname{ex}\left(n,(t+1) \mathbb{B}^{\prime}\right) \leq \operatorname{ex}\left(n, \mathbb{B}^{\prime} \sqcup t K_{1, s_{2}}\right) \leq\binom{ n}{2}-\binom{n-t}{2}+\operatorname{ex}\left(n-t,(t+1) \mathbb{B}^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.12. Fix integers $s_{2} \geq s_{1} \geq 2$ and fix an $s_{1}$ by $s_{2}$ bipartite connected graph $B_{s_{1}, s_{2}}=: \mathbb{B}$. Let $s:=s_{1}+s_{2}, \hat{t}:=s_{1}(t+1)-1$,

$$
\delta_{1}:=\frac{1}{16 e s_{1} s}, \quad \delta_{2}:=\frac{1}{2 e s}, \quad \varepsilon:=\frac{\delta_{1}}{64 s^{2}}, \quad \text { and } \quad \theta:=\frac{20}{\delta_{1} s_{1}}\left(\frac{\operatorname{ex}(n, \mathbb{B})}{n-1}+\frac{18 s r!}{\delta_{1}}\right) .
$$

Let $n$ be sufficiently large and $t$ be an integer satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{\sqrt{32 s_{1} s n}, \frac{20 s^{2} \theta}{\delta_{3} s_{1}}\right\} \leq t \leq \varepsilon n . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $G$ be a maximum $n$-vertex $(t+1) \mathbb{B}$-free graph on $V$. Let $L_{1}, L_{2}, L, U, \ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ell, n_{1}, t_{1}, t_{2}$ be the same as defined in the proof of Theorem 1.11 (with $r=2$ ). All conclusions in the previous subsection still hold for $G$. To summarize, we have

- $s_{1}(t-\theta) \leq \ell_{1} \leq \ell \leq s_{1}(t+1)-1$,
- $t_{2}:=t-\left\lfloor\ell / s_{1}\right\rfloor \leq t_{1}:=t-\left\lfloor\ell_{1} / s_{1}\right\rfloor \leq \theta$,
- $\Delta:=\Delta(G[U]) \leq \delta_{2}(n-1)$,
- $G[U]$ is $\left(t_{2}+1\right) \mathbb{B}$-free, and hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|G[U]| \leq s t_{2} \times \delta_{2}(n-1)+\operatorname{ex}\left(n-\ell-s t_{2}, \mathbb{B}\right) \leq \frac{\theta n}{2}+\operatorname{ex}(n, \mathbb{B}) \leq \theta n . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We divide $U$ further by letting

$$
\delta_{3}:=\frac{1}{2\left(s_{2}+1\right)}, \quad S:=\left\{u \in U:\left|N_{G}(u) \cap L\right| \leq\left(1-\delta_{3}\right)|L|\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad W:=U \backslash S .
$$

In particular, since $G[S] \subset G[U]$ is $\left(t_{2}+1\right) \mathbb{B}$-free, it follows from Lemma 2.13 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|G[S]| \leq s t_{2}|S|+\operatorname{ex}(|S|, \mathbb{B}) \leq s \theta|S|+\operatorname{ex}(|S|, \mathbb{B}) . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the definition of $S$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|G[L, U]| \leq|W||L|+|S| \times\left(1-\delta_{3}\right)|L|=\ell(n-\ell)-\delta_{3} \ell|S| . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (22) and (24) that

$$
|G|=|G[L]|+|G[L, U]|+|G[U]| \leq\binom{\ell}{2}+\ell(n-\ell)-\delta_{3} \ell|S|+\theta n .
$$

Combined with $|G| \geq g_{2}(n, t, \mathbb{B}) \geq\binom{ n}{2}-\binom{n-s_{1}(t+1)+1}{2} \geq\binom{\ell}{2}+\ell(n-\ell)$, we obtain

$$
|S| \leq \frac{\theta n}{s_{1}(t-\theta)}<\frac{n}{2} .
$$

which combined with Proposition 2.8 implies that

$$
\frac{\operatorname{ex}(n, \mathbb{B})}{n} \geq\left(1-\frac{|S|}{n}\right) \frac{\operatorname{ex}(|S|, \mathbb{B})}{|S|} \geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\operatorname{ex}(|S|, \mathbb{B})}{|S|} .
$$

Consequently, by (21), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta_{3} \ell}{2}|S|-\operatorname{ex}(|S|, \mathbb{B}) \geq\left(\frac{\delta_{3}}{2} \times s_{1}(t-\theta)-2 \frac{\operatorname{ex}(n, \mathbb{B})}{n}\right)|S| \geq 0 . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta_{3} \ell}{2}-s \theta-4 s^{2} \theta \geq \frac{\delta_{3}}{2} \times s_{1}(t-\theta)-s \theta-4 s^{2} \theta>0 . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
t_{3}:=s_{1}(t+1)-1-\ell, \quad S_{1}:=\left\{v \in S:\left|N_{G}(v) \cap W\right| \geq 4 s^{2} \theta\right\}, \quad \text { and } \quad x:=\left|S_{1}\right| .
$$

It follows from (23), (25), (26) and definition of $S_{1}$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|G[S]|+|G[L, S]|+|G[S, W]| & \leq s \theta|S|+\operatorname{ex}(|S|, \mathbb{B})+\left(1-\delta_{3}\right) \ell|S|+x \Delta+4 s^{2} \theta|S| \\
& \leq \ell|S|-\left(\delta_{3} \ell-s \theta-4 s^{2} \theta\right)|S|+\operatorname{ex}(|S|, \mathbb{B})+\frac{x n}{2} \\
& \leq \ell|S|+\frac{x n}{2}-\frac{\delta_{3} \ell}{2}|S|+\operatorname{ex}(|S|, \mathbb{B}) \leq \ell|S|+\frac{x n}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{align*}
|G[L, U]|+|G[S]|+|G[S, W]| & =|G[L, W]|+|G[S]|+|G[L, S]|+|G[L, W]| \\
& \leq \ell|W|+\ell|S|+\frac{x n}{2}=\ell(n-\ell)+\frac{x n}{2} . \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

For every set $T \subset U$ let $N_{T}:=\bigcap_{u \in T} N_{G}(u) \cap L$ denote the set of common neighbors of $T$ in $L$. It follows from the definition of $W$ that for every $\left(s_{2}+1\right)$-set $T \subset U$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|N_{T}\right| \geq \ell-\sum_{u \in T}\left(\ell-\left|N_{G}(u) \cap L\right|\right) \geq\left(1-\delta_{3}\left(s_{2}+1\right)\right) \ell=\frac{\ell}{2} . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathbb{B}^{\prime}:=\mathbb{B}\left[s_{2}+1\right]$ and note that every $\mathbb{B}^{\prime}$-free is also $K_{1, s_{2}}$-free.
Claim 5.9. We have $x \leq t_{3}$ and $G[W]$ is $\left(t_{3}-x+1\right) K_{1, s_{2}-}$-free. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|G[W]| \leq \frac{t_{3}-x}{2} n+\frac{s_{2}}{2} n . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 4: Supplementary diagram for Claim 5.9 when $F=K_{2,3}$.
Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a $\left(t_{3}+1\right)$-set $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t_{3}+1}\right\} \subset S_{1}$ such that $\left|N_{G}\left(v_{i}\right) \cap W\right| \geq 4 s^{2} \theta \geq 2 s\left(t_{3}+1\right)$ for all $i \in\left[t_{3}+1\right]$. Choose an $s_{2}$-set $X_{i}$ from $N_{G}\left(v_{i}\right) \cap W$ for each $i \in\left[t_{2}+1\right]$ such that $\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{t_{3}+1}\right\}$ are pairwise disjoint. For each $N_{i}$ choose an $\left(s_{1}-1\right)$-set $Y_{i} \subset N_{X_{i}}$ such that $\left\{Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{t_{3}+1}\right\}$ are pairwise disjoint (the existence of such sets are guaranteed by (28)). Notice that $B \subset K_{s_{1}, s_{2}} \subset G\left[\left\{v_{i}\right\} \cup X_{i} \cup Y_{i}\right]$ for $i \in\left[t_{3}+1\right]$. Let $Z:=\bigcup_{i \in\left[t_{3}+1\right]}\left(\left\{v_{i}\right\} \cup X_{i} \cup Y_{i}\right)$ and $G^{\prime}$ be the induced subgraph of $G$ on $V \backslash Z$. For every $v \in L_{1} \backslash Z$ we have

$$
d_{G^{\prime}}(v) \geq d_{G}(v)-|Z| \geq\left(1-\delta_{1}\right)(n-1)-s\left(t_{3}+1\right) \geq\left(1-2 \delta_{1}\right)(n-1),
$$

and for every $u \in L_{2} \backslash Z$ we have

$$
d_{G^{\prime}}(v) \geq d_{G}(v)-|Z| \geq \delta_{2}(n-1)-s\left(t_{3}+1\right) \geq \frac{\delta_{2}}{2}(n-1) .
$$

Since, in addition, $\ell \leq s_{1}(t+1) \leq 2 \varepsilon s_{1} n \leq \frac{\delta_{2}(n-\ell-|Z|) / 2}{8\left(s-s_{1}\right)}$, it follows from Lemma 5.2 that $G^{\prime}$ contains at least

$$
\left\lfloor\frac{\ell-\left(s_{1}-1\right) t_{3}}{s_{1}}\right\rfloor=\ell-\left(s_{1}-1\right)(t+1)
$$

pairwise vertex-disjoint copies of $\mathbb{B}$. This implies that $G$ contains

$$
t_{3}+1+\ell-\left(s_{1}-1\right)(t+1)=s_{1}(t+1)-1-\ell+1+\ell-\left(s_{1}-1\right)(t+1)=t+1
$$

pairwise vertex-disjoint copies of $\mathbb{B}$, a contradiction. This proves that $x \leq t_{3}$. The proof for the $\left(t_{3}-x+1\right) K_{1, s_{2}}$-freeness of $G[W]$ is similar (see Figure [4), so we omit the details.

Recall that $\Delta(G[W]) \leq \Delta(G[U]) \leq \delta_{3} n$, so it follows from Lemma 2.13 and $\left(t_{3}-x+1\right) K_{1, s_{2}}-$ freeness of $G[W]$ that

$$
|G[W]| \leq\left(t_{3}-x\right)\left(s_{2}+1\right) \times \delta_{3} n+\operatorname{ex}\left(n, K_{1, s_{2}}\right) \leq \frac{t_{3}-x}{2} n+\frac{s_{2}}{2} n,
$$

where in the last inequality, we used the trivial bound $\operatorname{ex}\left(n, K_{1, s_{2}}\right) \leq \frac{s_{2}}{2} n$.
It follows from (23), (27), and (29) that

$$
\begin{align*}
|G| & =|G[L]|+|G[L, U]|+|G[S]|+|G[S, W]|+|G[W]| \\
& \leq\binom{\ell}{2}-|\overline{G[L]}|+\ell(n-\ell)+\frac{x n}{2}+\frac{t_{3}-x}{2} n+\frac{s_{2}}{2} n \\
& \leq\binom{ n}{2}-\binom{n-s_{1}(t+1)+1}{2}-t_{3} \times \frac{2 n}{3}+\frac{t_{3}}{2} n+\frac{s_{2}}{2} n-|\overline{G[L]}| \\
& \leq\binom{ n}{2}-\binom{n-s_{1}(t+1)+1}{2}+\frac{s_{2}}{2} n-\frac{t_{3} n}{6}-|\overline{G[L]}| . \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $|G| \geq\binom{ n}{2}-\binom{n-s_{1}(t+1)-1}{2}$, it follows from the inequality above that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{3} \leq 3 s_{2}, \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\overline{G[L]}| \leq \frac{s_{2}}{2} n \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Claim 5.10. The following statements hold.
(i) Every set $L^{\prime} \subset L$ of size at least $\sqrt{2 s_{1} s n}$ contains a copy of $K_{s_{1}-1}$,
(ii) for every $T \in\binom{W}{s_{2}+1}$, the induced graph $G\left[N_{T}\right]$ contains st ${ }_{3}$ pairwise vertex-disjoint copies of $K_{s_{1}-1}$, and
(iii) $G[W]$ is $\left(t_{3}-x+1\right) \mathbb{B}^{\prime}$-free.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a set $L^{\prime} \subset L$ of size $\sqrt{2 s_{1} s n}$ such that $G\left[L^{\prime}\right]$ is $K_{s_{1}-1}$-free. Then it follows from Turán's theorem that $\left|G\left[L^{\prime}\right]\right| \leq\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2\left(s_{1}-1\right)}\right)\left|L^{\prime}\right|^{2}$, and consequently,

$$
|\overline{G[L]}| \geq\left|\overline{G\left[L^{\prime}\right]}\right| \geq\binom{\left|L^{\prime}\right|}{2}-\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2\left(s_{1}-1\right)}\right)\left|L^{\prime}\right|^{2} \geq \frac{\left|L^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{4\left(s_{1}-1\right)}>\frac{s_{2} n}{2}
$$

contradicting (32). This proves (i),
Let $T \in\binom{W}{s_{2}+1}$. By (28), (31), and the assumption on $t$, we have

$$
\left|N_{T}\right| \geq \frac{\ell}{2} \geq \frac{s_{1}(t-\theta)}{2} \geq \frac{t}{2} \geq \frac{\sqrt{32 s_{1} s n}}{2} \geq \sqrt{2 s_{1} s n}+\left(s_{1}-1\right) s t_{3} .
$$

It follows from[(i) and a simple greedy argument that $G\left[N_{T}\right]$ contains $s t_{3}$ pairwise vertexdisjoint copies of $K_{s_{1}-1}$.
Notice that if $T \in\binom{W}{s_{2}+1}$ contains a copy of some element in $\mathbb{B}^{\prime}$, then by (i), there are disjoint ( $s_{1}-1$ )-sets $T_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, T_{s t_{3}}^{\prime}$ in $N_{T}$ such that $T \cup T_{i}^{\prime}$ contains a copy of $\mathbb{B}$ for all $i \in\left[s t_{3}\right]$. Using this fact and a similar argument as in the proof of Claim 5.9 we obtain (iii).

Similar to (30), it follows from (27), Claim 5.10 (iii), Proposition 5.8, and Fact 2.14 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|G| & \leq\binom{\ell}{2}+\ell(n-\ell)+\frac{x n}{2}+\operatorname{ex}\left(n-\ell-x,\left(t_{3}-x+1\right) \mathbb{B}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq\binom{ n}{2}-\binom{n-(\ell+x)}{2}+\operatorname{ex}\left(n-\ell-x,\left(t_{3}-x+1\right) \mathbb{B}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq\binom{ n}{2}-\binom{n-\left(\ell+x+t_{3}-x\right)}{2}+\operatorname{ex}\left(n-\ell-x-\left(t_{3}-x\right), \mathbb{B}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\binom{n}{2}-\binom{n-s_{1}(t+1)+1}{2}+\operatorname{ex}\left(n-s_{1}(t+1)+1, \mathbb{B}^{\prime}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

completing the proof of Theorem 1.12.

## 6 Concluding remarks

Fix an $r$-graph $F$ on $m$ vertices. We say a collection $\left\{\mathcal{H}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{H}_{t+1}\right\}$ of $r$-graphs on the same vertex set $V$ has a rainbow $F$-matching if there exists a collection $\left\{S_{i}: i \in[t+1]\right\}$ of pairwise disjoint $m$-subsets of $V$ such that $F \subset \mathcal{H}_{i}\left[S_{i}\right]$ for all $i \in[t+1]$. Recently, there has been considerable interest in extending some classical results to a rainbow version. See e.g. 1, 26, 32, 37, 47, 48, for some recent progress on the rainbow version of the Erdős Matching Conjecture. Rainbow versions of theorems in the present work can be obtained without too change to the corresponding proof. We omit the details and refer the reader to [31, Theorem 1.8] for more information.

Given (not necessary different) $r$-graphs $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{t+1}$, let ex $\left(n, \bigsqcup_{i \in[t+1]} F_{i}\right)$ denote the maximum number of edges in an $n$-vertex $r$-graph without pairwise vertex-disjoint copies of $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{t}$, and $F_{t+1}$. One could also use proofs in the present work (with minor changes) to obtain some upper bounds for ex $\left(n, \bigsqcup_{i \in[t+1]} F_{i}\right)$. We refer the reader to [31, Theorem 6.1] for more information.

Determining ex $(n,(t+1) F)$ for all feasible values of $t$ seems to be a challenging problem for general $r$-graphs $F$. We tend to believe that for $F=K_{s, \ldots, s}^{r}, s \geq 2$, the asymptotic behavior of ex $(n,(t+1) F)$ is governed by the three constructions $\mathcal{G}_{1}(n, t, F)$, $\mathcal{G}_{2}(n, t, F)$, and $\mathcal{G}_{3}(n, t, F)$ defined in the first section. However, for general $r$-graphs $F$, there might be other extremal constructions. Indeed, recall that for $i \in[r-1]$, a set $S \subset V(F)$ is $i$-independent in $F$ if every edge in $F$ contains at most $i$ vertices in $S$. Let the $i$-independent vertex covering number $\tau_{i}(F)$ (if it exists) of $F$ be

$$
\tau_{i}(F):=\min \{|S|: S \subset V(\mathcal{H}), S \text { is } i \text {-independent, and }|S \cap e| \neq \emptyset \text { for all } e \in \mathcal{H}\} .
$$

Observe that for $1 \leq i<j \leq r-1$, if $\tau_{i}(F)$ and $\tau_{j}(F)$ exist, then $\tau \leq \tau_{j}(F) \leq \tau_{i}(F)$. For $i \in[r]$ let

$$
\mathcal{B}(n, m, r, i):=\left\{e \in\binom{[n]}{r}: 1 \leq|e \cap[m]| \leq i\right\} .
$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{B}\left(n,(t+1) \tau_{i}(F)-1, r, i\right)$ is $(t+1) F$-free. Therefore, we have the following lower bound.

Fact 6.1. Let $F$ be an $r$-graph and suppose that $\tau_{i}(F)$ exists for some $i \in[r-1]$. Then

$$
\operatorname{ex}(n,(t+1) F) \geq \sum_{j \in[i]}\binom{(t+1) \tau_{i}(F)-1}{j}\binom{n-(t+1) \tau_{i}(F)+1}{r-j} .
$$

We hope the following natural problem could inspire further research on this topic.
Problem 6.2. Determine ex $\left(n,(t+1) C_{4}\right)$ for large $n$ and for all $t \leq n / 4$.
We would like to remind the reader that constructions in $\mathcal{G}_{3}\left(n, t, C_{4}\right)$ are not maximal. Indeed, for a member $G \in \mathcal{G}_{3}\left(n, t, C_{4}\right)$, let $V_{1} \cup V_{2}$ be the partition of $V(G)$ such that $G\left[V_{1}\right] \cong K_{2 t+1}$ and $G\left[V_{2}\right]$ is maximum $C_{4}$-free. Let $\hat{G}$ be a graph obtained from $G$ by adding a matching $M$ crossing $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ such that the set $V(M) \cap V_{2}$ is an independent set in $G\left[V_{2}\right]$. It is easy to see that $\hat{G}$ is still $(t+1) C_{4}$-free. The orthogonal polarity graphs show that the size of $M$ can have order $(n-2 t-1)^{3 / 4}$ for some special values of $n-2 t-1$ (see e.g. [5, 11, 12, 21, 22, 54]). This construction shows that determining the exact value of ex $\left(n,(t+1) C_{4}\right)$ when $t$ is close to $n / 4$ is probably challenging.

Problem 6.3. Let $n \geq \alpha \geq 1$ be integers. What is the maximum number of edges in an $n$-vertex $C_{4}$-free graph with independence number at least $\alpha$ ?

It would be interesting to know whether the lower bound $\sqrt{32 s_{2} s n}$ for $t$ in Theorem 1.12 is necessary in general. We will show that this lower bound is not necessary for a special class of bipartite graphs including even cycles in [29].
Problem 6.4. Characterize the family of bipartite graphs $B_{s_{1}, s_{2}}$ for which the lower bound $\sqrt{32 s_{2}\left(s_{1}+s_{2}\right) n}$ for $t$ in Theorem 1.12 can be omitted.

In order to refine the bound presented in Theorem 1.11, it appears essential to address the following problem first.
Problem 6.5. Let $n \geq r \geq 3, s_{r} \geq \cdots \geq s_{1} \geq 2$, and $m \leq\binom{ n-1}{r-1}$ be integers. What is the maximum number of edges in an n-vertex $K_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}}^{r}$-free r-graph $\mathcal{H}$ with an $\left(s_{1}-1\right)$-set $L \subset V(\mathcal{H})$ such that $d_{\mathcal{H}}(v) \geq\binom{ n-1}{r-1}-m$ for all $v \in L$ ?

Recall that the shadow of an $r$-graph $\mathcal{H}$ is

$$
\partial \mathcal{H}:=\left\{A \in\binom{V(\mathcal{H})}{r-1}: A \subset E \text { for some } E \in \mathcal{H}\right\} .
$$

The classical Kruskal-Katone Theorem [33, 40] determines the maximum size of an $r$ graph with bounded shadow size. It seems that Problem 6.5 is related to the following Kruskal-Katone type problem.
Problem 6.6. Let $r \geq 3$ and $F$ be a degenerate $r$-graph. Let $m \leq\binom{ n}{r-1}$ be an integer. What is the maximum number of edges in an n-vertex $F$-free $r$-graph $\mathcal{H}$ with $|\partial \mathcal{H}| \leq m$ ?

An analogous problem for nondegenerate $r$-graphs was studied systematically in [44] (see also [42, 46]).

Theorem 1.7 motivates the following analogous problem to [31, Problem 6.4].
Problem 6.7. Let $r \geq 2$ be an integers and $F$ be a degenerate $r$-graph. What is the largest value of $s(F)$ such that for sufficiently large $n$,

$$
\operatorname{ex}(n,(t+1) F)=g_{1}(n, t, F) \quad \text { holds for all } t \leq(s(F)-o(1)) \frac{\operatorname{ex}(n, F)}{\binom{n-1}{r-1}} \text { ? }
$$

Theorem 1.7 also motivates the following question for nondegenerate hypergraphs.
Problem 6.8. Let $r \geq 4$ be an integer. Find sufficient and/or necessary conditions for a nondegenerate r-graph $F$ to satisfy $\pi(F)<\frac{1}{v(F)}$.

By Erdős' theorem [8], every nondegenerate $r$-graph $F$ satisfies the lower bound $\pi(F) \geq \frac{r!}{r^{r}}$. This, together with straightforward calculations and constructions of $K_{4}^{3-}$-free 3 -graphs (see e.g. [53]), shows that there is no nondegenerate $r$-graph $F$ with $\pi(F)<\frac{1}{v(F)}$ when $r \leq 3$.
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## A Proof of Theorem 2.9

Theorem A. 1 (Erdős [8]). Suppose that $n \geq r \geq 3$ and $s_{r} \geq \cdots \geq s_{1} \geq 1$ are integers. Then

$$
\operatorname{ex}\left(n, K_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}}^{r}\right) \leq \frac{\left(s_{2}+\ldots+s_{r}-r+1\right)^{\frac{1}{s_{1}}}}{r} n^{r-\frac{1}{s_{1} \cdots s_{r-1}}}+\frac{s_{1}-1}{r}\binom{n}{r-1} .
$$

Proof. We prove this theorem by induction on $r$. The base case $r=2$ is the Kővári-SósTurán Theorem. So we may assume that $r \geq 3$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a $K_{s_{1}, \cdots, s_{r}}^{r}$-free $r$-graph on $n$ vertices. Let $X$ denote the number of copies of $K_{s_{1}, 1, \ldots, 1}^{r}$ in $\mathcal{H}$. We use a standard doublecounting argument to bound the number of edges in $\mathcal{H}$. First, it follows from Jensen's inequality that

$$
\begin{align*}
X & =\sum_{T \in\binom{n}{r-1}}\binom{|N(T)|}{s_{1}} \geq\binom{ n}{r-1}\binom{r|\mathcal{H}| /\binom{n}{r_{1}}}{s_{1}} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{s_{1}!}\binom{n}{r-1}\left(\frac{r|\mathcal{H}|}{\binom{n}{r-1}}-\left(s_{1}-1\right)\right)^{s_{1}} . \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

From the other direction, notice that for every $S \in\binom{n}{s_{1}}$, the common $\operatorname{link} L(S)$ is $K_{s_{2}, \ldots, s_{r}}^{r-1}$ free. It follows from the induction hypothesis that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|L_{\mathcal{H}}(S)\right| & \leq \frac{\left(s_{3}+\cdots+s_{r}-r+2\right)^{\frac{1}{s_{2}}}}{r-1} n^{r-1-\frac{1}{s_{2} \cdots s_{r-1}}}+\frac{s_{2}-1}{r-1}\binom{n}{r-2} \\
& \leq\left(s_{2}+\cdots+s_{r}-r+1\right) n^{r-1-\frac{1}{s_{2} \cdots s_{r-1}}} . \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\sum_{S \in\binom{n}{s_{1}}}|L(S)| \leq\left(s_{2}+\cdots+s_{r}-r+1\right) n^{r-1-\frac{1}{s_{2} \cdots s_{r-1}}}\binom{n}{s_{1}} . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (33) and (35), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{H}| & \leq \frac{1}{r}\left(\left(s_{2}+\cdots+s_{r}-r+1\right) n^{r-1-\frac{1}{s_{2} \cdots s_{r-1}}} \frac{n^{s_{1}}}{\binom{n}{r-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{s_{1}}}\binom{n}{r-1}+\frac{s_{1}-1}{r}\binom{n}{r-1} \\
& \leq \frac{\left(s_{2}+\cdots+s_{r}-r+1\right)^{\frac{1}{s_{1}}}}{r} n^{r-\frac{1}{s_{1} \cdots s_{r-1}}}+\frac{s_{1}-1}{r}\binom{n}{r-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

completing the induction.

## B Proof of Proposition 2.11

Proposition B.1. Suppose that $r \geq 3, s_{r} \geq \cdots \geq s_{1} \geq 1$, and $m, n \geq 1$ are integers. Then

$$
Z\left(m, n, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}\right) \leq \frac{\left(s_{2}+\cdots+s_{r}-r+1\right)^{\frac{1}{s_{1}}}}{r} m n^{r-1-\frac{1}{s_{1} \cdots s_{r-1}}}+\frac{s_{1}-1}{r}\binom{n}{r-1} .
$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an $m$ by $n$ semibipartite $r$-graph on $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ with $\left|V_{1}\right|=m$ and $\left|V_{2}\right|=n$. Suppose that $\mathcal{H}$ does not contain a copy of $K_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}}=K\left[W_{1}, \ldots, W_{r}\right]$ with $W_{1}$ contained in $V_{1}$ and $W_{2}, \ldots, W_{r}$ contained in $V_{2}$. We use a standard double-counting argument to bound the size of $\mathcal{H}$. Let

$$
X:=\sum_{T \in\binom{V_{2}}{r-1}}\binom{|N(T)|}{s_{1}}=\sum_{S \in\binom{V_{1}}{s_{1}}}|L(S)| .
$$

First, it follows from Jensen's inequality that

$$
\begin{align*}
X & \geq\binom{ n}{r-1}\binom{\sum_{T \in\binom{V_{2}}{r-1}}|N(T)| /\binom{n}{r-1}}{s_{1}} \\
& =\binom{n}{r-1}\binom{r|\mathcal{H}| /\binom{n}{r-1}}{s_{1}} \geq \frac{1}{s_{1}!}\binom{n}{r-1}\left(\frac{r|\mathcal{H}|}{\binom{n}{r-1}}-\left(s_{1}-1\right)\right)^{s_{1}} . \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

From the other direction, notice that for every $S \in\binom{V_{1}}{s_{1}}$, the common $\operatorname{link} L_{\mathcal{H}}(S) \subset\binom{V_{2}}{r-1}$ is $K_{s_{2}, \ldots, s_{r}}^{r-1}$-free. So it follows from (34) that

$$
\left|L_{\mathcal{H}}(S)\right| \leq\left(s_{2}+\cdots+s_{r}-r+1\right) n^{r-1-\frac{1}{s_{2} \cdots s_{r-1}}}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{align*}
X & \leq\binom{ m}{s_{1}} \times\left(s_{2}+\cdots+s_{r}-r+1\right) n^{r-1-\frac{1}{s_{2} \cdots s_{r-1}}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{s_{1}!}\left(s_{2}+\cdots+s_{r}-r+1\right) n^{r-1-\frac{1}{s_{2} \cdots s_{r-1}}} m^{s_{1}} \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (36) and (37) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{H}| & \leq \frac{1}{r}\left(\frac{\left(s_{2}+\cdots+s_{r}-r+1\right) m^{s_{1}} n^{r-1-\frac{1}{s_{2} \cdots s_{r-1}}}}{\binom{n}{r-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{s_{1}}}\binom{n}{r-1}+\frac{s_{1}-1}{r}\binom{n}{r-1} \\
& \leq \frac{\left(s_{2}+\cdots+s_{r}-r+1\right)^{\frac{1}{s_{1}}}}{r} m n^{r-1-\frac{1}{s_{1} \cdots s_{r-1}}}+\frac{s_{1}-1}{r}\binom{n}{r-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

completing the proof of Proposition 2.11.
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