

On the Landis conjecture in a cylinder

N. D. Filonov, S. T. Krymskii *

Abstract

The equation $-\Delta u + Vu = 0$ in the cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times (0, 2\pi)^d$ with periodic boundary conditions is considered. The potential V is assumed to be bounded, and both functions u and V are assumed to be *real-valued*. It is shown that the fastest rate of decay at infinity of non-trivial solution u is $O(e^{-c|w|})$ for $d = 1$ or 2 , and $O(e^{-c|w|^{4/3}})$ for $d \geq 3$. Here w is the axial variable. ¹

Introduction

0.1 Formulation of the result

Consider the equation

$$-\Delta u + Vu = 0, \quad (0.1)$$

where V is a bounded measurable function. Landis has proposed the question about the fastest speed at which a solution u can decrease at infinity if we consider the equation (0.1) in the entire space \mathbb{R}^n or outside a ball in \mathbb{R}^n . There are two settings of the question: the real case and the complex case. A complex-valued function u satisfies the equation (0.1) for a certain bounded *complex* potential V if and only if

$$|\Delta u| \leq C|u|. \quad (0.2)$$

If the potential V is real, the equation (0.1) is true for the real part $\operatorname{Re} u$ and for the imaginary part $\operatorname{Im} u$. It means that the condition that a function u satisfies the equation (0.1) for a certain bounded *real* potential V is equivalent to the estimate (0.2) with a real-valued function u .

For $n = 1$ it is easy to see that any solution which decreases superexponentially fast

$$u(x) = O(e^{-N|x|}) \quad \forall N,$$

is $u \equiv 0$. On the other hand, there exist nontrivial solutions which decrease exponentially. For example, the function $u(x) = \exp(-\sqrt{x^2 + 1})$ satisfies the estimate (0.2). Therefore, for $n = 1$ the answer is clear both in the real and in the complex cases.

The question in the multidimensional complex case was solved by Meshkov [7]. He showed that any function u satisfying (0.2) and

$$u(x) = O(e^{-N|x|^{4/3}}) \quad \forall N,$$

*This research is supported by the grant of Russian Science Foundation No 22-11-00092, <https://rscf.ru/project/22-11-00092/>

¹Keywords: Landis conjecture, real-valued case, cylinder

is $u \equiv 0$. Also for $n = 2$ he constructed a nontrivial function u satisfying the estimate (0.2) and the estimate

$$u(x) = O(e^{-c|x|^{4/3}})$$

for a certain $c > 0$.

The real multidimensional case remains an open problem. Recently in [6] it has been shown that for $n = 2$, any real function u satisfying (0.2) and

$$u(x) = O(e^{-N|x|\sqrt{\ln|x|}}), \quad \text{for } |x| \rightarrow \infty, \quad \forall N$$

is $u \equiv 0$.

We consider an analogous question in a cylinder

$$\Pi := \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^d, \quad \mathbb{T}^d = \mathbb{R}^d / (2\pi\mathbb{Z})^d.$$

Our main result is the following

Theorem 0.1. *Let $d \geq 3$, $N > 0$. There exists a real-valued function $F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^d)$, $F \not\equiv 0$, such that*

$$|\Delta F(x)| \leq C|F(x)|, \tag{0.3}$$

$$|F(x)| \leq Ce^{-N|w|^{4/3}} \tag{0.4}$$

for all $x = (w, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}^d$.

Remark 0.2. If one constructs such function $F(w, y_1, y_2, y_3)$ for $d = 3$, then the same function works also as an example for $d > 3$.

Assume that the function $F_1(w, y)$ satisfies (0.3), (0.4) with $N = 1$. Consider the function $\tilde{F}(w, y) = F_1(nw, ny)$ with some natural n . Then \tilde{F} is also (2π) -periodic in each variable y_j ,

$$\left| \Delta \tilde{F}(w, y) \right| = n^2 |\Delta F_1(nw, ny)| \leq n^2 C |F_1(w, y)|,$$

$$\left| \tilde{F}(w, y) \right| \leq Ce^{-n^{4/3}|w|^{4/3}}.$$

So, if there is a function for $N = 1$ then there is a such function for any N .

Thus, Theorem 0.1 follows from its particular case $d = 3$ and $N = 1$. In the sequel we construct an example just for $d = 3$ and $N = 1$.

Bourgain and Kenig proved the following estimate.

Theorem 0.3 ([1], Lemma 3.10). *Assume that a function u satisfies (0.2) in \mathbb{R}^n , $u \not\equiv 0$. Then*

$$\max_{|x-x_0| \leq 1} |u(x)| \geq c' \exp(-c'|x_0|^{4/3} \ln|x_0|).$$

They also asked [1, end of §3] what can be said about the quantity

$$\mathcal{L} := \limsup_{|x_0| \rightarrow \infty} \min_{|x-x_0| \leq 1} \frac{\ln |\ln |u(x)||}{\ln|x|} \tag{0.5}$$

for non-trivial solutions to (0.2). Theorem 0.3 shows that $\mathcal{L} \leq 4/3$ always. Our example shows that for $n \geq 4$ this estimate is sharp even for real-valued solutions. Indeed, extend the function F from Theorem 0.1 periodically in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} . It satisfies (0.2) in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} . Take $x = (w, 0)$. Then

$$|F(x)| \leq Ce^{-|w|^{4/3}} = Ce^{-|x|^{4/3}}, \quad \ln |\ln |F(x)|| \geq \frac{4}{3} \ln|x| - 1$$

for sufficiently large $|x|$, and therefore $\mathcal{L} = 4/3$.

0.2 Relation with an abstract question

In 2020 D. Elton proved

Theorem 0.4 ([2]). *Let \mathcal{A} be a nonnegative self-ajoint operator in the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^* \geq 0$. Suppose that the function $\phi: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$,*

$$\phi \in L_2((0, \infty); \text{Dom } \mathcal{A}) \cap W_2^1((0, \infty); \text{Dom } \sqrt{\mathcal{A}}) \cap W_2^2((0, \infty); \mathcal{H}) \quad (0.6)$$

satisfies the inequality

$$\left\| \frac{d^2 \phi(w)}{dw^2} - \mathcal{A} \phi(w) \right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq C \|\phi(w)\|_{\mathcal{H}}. \quad (0.7)$$

1) *If we also have*

$$\int_0^{\infty} \|\phi(w)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 e^{2Nw^{4/3}} dw < \infty \quad \forall N > 0,$$

then $\phi \equiv 0$.

2) *If the positive spectrum of \mathcal{A} contains arbitrarily large gaps, and*

$$\int_0^{\infty} \|\phi(w)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 e^{2Nw} dw < \infty \quad \forall N > 0,$$

then $\phi \equiv 0$.

This result is sharp. Assume that all gaps in the spectrum of a self-adjoint operator \mathcal{A} are bounded by some constant. Then there exists a non-trivial function $\phi: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ satisfying (0.6), (0.7) and the estimate $\|\phi(w)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq Ae^{-Bw^{4/3}}$, see [4, Theorem 0.2].

The equation (0.1) in the half-cylinder $[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}^d$ satisfies the condition of the Theorem 0.4, if we take as \mathcal{A} the Laplace operator over the variables y , $\mathcal{A} = -\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^d}$ in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L_2(\mathbb{T}^d)$. The spectrum of $-\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^d}$ is the set of sums of d squares,

$$\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \{n_1^2 + \dots + n_d^2; \quad n_1, \dots, n_d \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Its structure is well studied. For $d = 1$ and $d = 2$ there exist arbitrary long segments in the set of positive integers which contain no numbers from this set. If $d = 3$, the set does not contain the numbers of the form $4^a(8b+7)$ only, and for $d \geq 4$ it is the whole set of nonnegative integers $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{N}_0$. Therefore, Theorem 0.4 implies the following

Theorem 0.5. *Suppose that a complex-valued function $u \in W_2^2((0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}^d)$ satisfies the estimate*

$$|\Delta u(w, y)| \leq C|u(w, y)| \quad \text{for almost all } (w, y) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}^d.$$

1) *If we also have*

$$u(w, y) = O(e^{-Nw^{4/3}}) \quad \forall N, \quad (0.8)$$

then $u \equiv 0$.

2) *For $d = 1$ and $d = 2$ if*

$$u(w, y) = O(e^{-Nw}) \quad \forall N, \quad (0.9)$$

then $u \equiv 0$.

Of course, this Theorem is sharp in the cases $d = 1$ and $d = 2$: as an example one may consider the function $u(w, y) = e^{-w}$. In our previous work [4] we showed that this Theorem is sharp for $d \geq 3$ in the complex case. Now, Theorem 0.1 shows that in the real case it is also sharp.

In §1 we construct an explicit function f in the cylinder which satisfies bounds $f(x) = O(e^{-|w|^{4/3}})$ and $\Delta f(x) = O(e^{-|w|^{4/3}})$. In §2 we describe the domain Ω where the values of the function f are very small, $|f(x)| \leq h e^{-|w|^{4/3}}$ with a fixed number $h \ll 1$. Section 3 contains some auxiliary facts. In §4 and §5 we study the Dirichlet problem for the Poisson equation in the domain Ω . In §6 we construct first the function f^* that coincides with the function f outside Ω , and is its harmonic extension in Ω . Finally, we define the function F as the mollification of the function f^* , and prove Theorem 0.1.

We use the notations $\nabla f = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial w}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_1}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_2}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_3} \right)^t$, $\nabla_y f = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y_1}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_2}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_3} \right)^t$. By $\text{mes}_k A$ we denote the k -dimensional Lebesgue measure of the set A .

The authors thank Kévin Le Balc'h for useful discussions.

1 Functions f_0 and f

1.1 Sequence of integer vectors

Lemma 1.1. *There is a sequence $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$, $a_k \in \mathbb{Z}^3$, such that*

$$a_k^2 = 4k + 1, \quad |a_k \cdot a_{k+1}| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} |a_k| |a_{k+1}|.$$

Proof. We construct this sequence step by step. Assume that the vector a_k is already chosen. Without loss of generality we can assume that

$$a_{k,1} \geq a_{k,2} \geq a_{k,3} \geq 0.$$

Then

$$a_k^2 \geq l a_{k,l}^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad a_{k,l} \leq \frac{|a_k|}{\sqrt{l}}, \quad l = 1, 2, 3.$$

It is well known that any natural number of the form $(4m + 1)$ can be represented as a sum of three squares. Therefore, one can find a vector $b \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ such that $|b| = \sqrt{4k + 5}$. Moreover we can assume

$$0 \leq b_1 \leq b_2 \leq b_3,$$

and

$$b_l \leq \frac{|b|}{\sqrt{4-l}}, \quad l = 1, 2, 3.$$

Thus,

$$a_{k,l} b_l \leq \frac{|a_k| |b|}{\sqrt{l(4-l)}} \leq \frac{|a_k| |b|}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad l = 1, 2, 3.$$

Let us consider four sums

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k,1} b_1 + a_{k,2} b_2 + a_{k,3} b_3 &> 0, \\ a_{k,1} b_1 + a_{k,2} b_2 - a_{k,3} b_3 &, \\ a_{k,1} b_1 - a_{k,2} b_2 - a_{k,3} b_3 &, \\ -a_{k,1} b_1 - a_{k,2} b_2 - a_{k,3} b_3 &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

There are two neighbour lines where the sum in the first line is non-negative, and the sum in the second line is non-positive. They differ at most by $2|a_k||b|/\sqrt{3}$. Therefore, one of them is at most $|a_k||b|/\sqrt{3}$ in absolute value. Now, we can take as a_{k+1} the vector b with suitable signs of its coordinates. ■

Corollary 1.2. *We have $(\sin \alpha_k)^2 \geq 2/3$ where α_k is the angle between a_k and a_{k+1} .*

1.2 Function f_0

We need some auxiliary numbers and functions. Introduce numbers

$$w_k = \frac{27}{8} k^{3/2}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We have

$$(k+1)^{3/2} - k^{3/2} = \frac{3}{2} \int_k^{k+1} \sqrt{t} dt,$$

so

$$\frac{81}{16} \sqrt{k} \leq w_{k+1} - w_k \leq \frac{81}{16} \sqrt{k+1}.$$

Fix a function $\zeta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ possessing the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(w) &= 0 \quad \text{if } w \leq 0, & \zeta(w) &= 1 \quad \text{if } w \geq 1, \\ 0 \leq \zeta(w) \leq 1 \quad \forall w, & \zeta'(w) > 0 \quad \text{if } 0 < w < 1, \\ \zeta'(w) \leq 2 \quad \forall w, & |\zeta''(w)| \leq 5 \quad \forall w. \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

Fix a number

$$m = 40000.$$

For $w \geq 0$ we define the function

$$f_0(x) = \begin{cases} \cos(a_{m+1}y), & 0 \leq w \leq w_{m+1}, \\ \left(1 - \zeta\left(\frac{w-w_k}{w_{k+1}-w_k}\right)\right) \cos(a_k y) + \zeta\left(\frac{w-w_k}{w_{k+1}-w_k}\right) \cos(a_{k+1}y), & w_k \leq w \leq w_{k+1}, k \geq m+1, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where $\{a_k\}$ is the sequence of vectors constructed in Lemma 1.1. We extend f_0 as even function for $w \leq 0$,

$$f_0((w, y)) = f_0((-w, y)).$$

Lemma 1.3. *The function f_0 possesses the following properties:*

$$\begin{aligned} f_0 &\in C^\infty(\Pi), & |f_0(x)| &\leq 1 \quad \forall x, \\ \frac{\partial f_0(x)}{\partial w} &= 0, & |\nabla_y f_0(x)| &\leq |a_{m+1}|, & \text{if } |w| \leq w_{m+1}, \\ |\nabla f_0(x)| &\leq \sqrt{5k}, & \text{if } w_k \leq w \leq w_{k+1}, &k \geq m+1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. First four claims are evident. Prove the last one.

For $w_k \leq w \leq w_{k+1}$ we have

$$\frac{\partial f_0(x)}{\partial w} = \frac{1}{w_{k+1} - w_k} \zeta' \left(\frac{w - w_k}{w_{k+1} - w_k} \right) (\cos(a_{k+1}y) - \cos(a_k y)),$$

therefore,

$$\left| \frac{\partial f_0(x)}{\partial w} \right| \leq \frac{4}{w_{k+1} - w_k} \leq \frac{64}{81\sqrt{k}}.$$

Clearly,

$$|\nabla_y f_0(x)| \leq |a_{k+1}| = \sqrt{4k+5},$$

so,

$$|\nabla f_0(x)|^2 \leq 4k+5 + \frac{1}{k} \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\nabla f_0(x)| \leq \sqrt{5k}$$

if $w_k \leq w \leq w_{k+1}$, $k \geq m+1$. ■

1.3 Function f

For $w \geq 0$ define the function

$$\alpha(w) = \begin{cases} w_m, & \text{if } 0 \leq w \leq w_m, \\ \left(1 - \zeta\left(\frac{w-w_m}{w_{m+1}-w_m}\right)\right) w_m + \zeta\left(\frac{w-w_m}{w_{m+1}-w_m}\right) w, & \text{if } w_m < w < w_{m+1}, \\ w, & \text{if } w \geq w_{m+1}, \end{cases}$$

and extend it as an even function for $w \leq 0$,

$$\alpha(w) = \alpha(-w).$$

Lemma 1.4. *The function α possesses the following properties:*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad \alpha(w) \geq w_m \quad \forall w, \quad \alpha(w) \leq w \quad \text{if } w \geq w_m, \\ |\alpha'(w)| \leq 3, \quad |\alpha''(w)| \leq \frac{3}{\alpha(w)^{1/3}} \quad \forall w. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

Proof. First three claims are evident. Inequalities (1.3) are also evident for $|w| \leq w_m$ and for $|w| \geq w_{m+1}$.

For $w_m \leq w \leq w_{m+1}$ we have

$$\alpha'(w) = \frac{w - w_m}{w_{m+1} - w_m} \zeta' \left(\frac{w - w_m}{w_{m+1} - w_m} \right) + \zeta \left(\frac{w - w_m}{w_{m+1} - w_m} \right), \quad (1.4)$$

so $0 \leq \alpha'(w) \leq 3$. Moreover,

$$\alpha''(w) = \frac{w - w_m}{(w_{m+1} - w_m)^2} \zeta'' \left(\frac{w - w_m}{w_{m+1} - w_m} \right) + \frac{2}{w_{m+1} - w_m} \zeta' \left(\frac{w - w_m}{w_{m+1} - w_m} \right),$$

and by virtue of (1.1)

$$|\alpha''(w)| \leq \frac{9}{w_{m+1} - w_m} \leq \frac{16}{9\sqrt{m}} = \frac{8}{3w_m^{1/3}} \leq \frac{3}{w_{m+1}^{1/3}} \leq \frac{3}{\alpha(w)^{1/3}}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Corollary 1.5. *We have*

$$|\alpha(w)^{4/3} - \alpha(\tilde{w})^{4/3}| \leq 4|w - \tilde{w}| \max(\alpha(w), \alpha(\tilde{w}))^{1/3}.$$

Put

$$f(x) = f_0(x) e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}}.$$

Lemma 1.6. *The function f possesses the following properties:*

$$f((w, y)) = f((-w, y)), \quad f \in C^\infty(\Pi), \quad |f(x)| \leq e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}} \quad \forall x \in \Pi,$$

$$|\Delta f(x)| \leq 40m|f(x)| \quad \text{if } |w| \leq w_{m+1}, \quad |\Delta f(x)| \leq 10e^{-|w|^{4/3}}, \quad \text{if } |w| \geq w_{m+1}.$$

Proof. First three claims are evident. If $|w| \leq w_m$ then

$$f(x) = \cos(a_{m+1}y) e^{-w_m^{4/3}},$$

and

$$\Delta f(x) = -(4m + 5)f(x) \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\Delta f(x)| \leq 40m|f(x)|.$$

Now, let $w_k \leq w \leq w_{k+1}$, $k \geq m$. We have

$$\left(e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}}\right)' = -\frac{4}{3}\alpha(w)^{1/3}\alpha'(w)e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}},$$

and

$$\left(e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}}\right)'' = \left(\frac{16}{9}\alpha^{2/3}(\alpha')^2 - \frac{4}{9}\alpha^{-2/3}(\alpha')^2 - \frac{4}{3}\alpha^{1/3}\alpha''\right) e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}}. \quad (1.5)$$

If $k = m$ and $w_m \leq w \leq w_{m+1}$ then

$$f(x) = \cos(a_{m+1}y) e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta f(x) &= -a_{m+1}^2 \cos(a_{m+1}y) e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}} + \cos(a_{m+1}y) \left(e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}}\right)'' \\ &= \left(\frac{16}{9}\alpha^{2/3}(\alpha')^2 - (4m + 5) - \frac{4}{9}\alpha^{-2/3}(\alpha')^2 - \frac{4}{3}\alpha^{1/3}\alpha''\right) f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$w_m \leq \alpha(w) \leq w_{m+1}, \quad 0 \leq \alpha'(w) \leq 3, \quad |\alpha''(w)| \leq 3\alpha(w)^{-1/3},$$

therefore,

$$|\Delta f(x)| \leq 16w_{m+1}^{2/3}|f(x)| = 36(m + 1)|f(x)| \leq 40m|f(x)|.$$

Finally, if $w_k \leq w \leq w_{k+1}$, $k \geq m + 1$, then the formula (1.5) transforms into

$$\left(e^{-w^{4/3}}\right)'' = \left(\frac{16}{9}w^{2/3} - \frac{4}{9}w^{-2/3}\right) e^{-w^{4/3}},$$

and

$$\Delta \left(e^{-w^{4/3}} \cos(a_k y)\right) = \left(\frac{16}{9}w^{2/3} - \frac{4}{9}w^{-2/3} - a_k^2\right) e^{-w^{4/3}} \cos(a_k y).$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{16}{9} w^{2/3} \in [4k, 4k + 4], \quad a_k^2 = 4k + 1,$$

so

$$\left| \Delta \left(e^{-w^{4/3}} \cos(a_k y) \right) \right| \leq 3e^{-w^{4/3}}.$$

In the same manner,

$$\left| \Delta \left(e^{-w^{4/3}} \cos(a_{k+1} y) \right) \right| \leq 6e^{-w^{4/3}}.$$

By (1.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta f(x) &= \left(1 - \zeta \left(\frac{w - w_k}{w_{k+1} - w_k} \right) \right) \Delta \left(e^{-w^{4/3}} \cos(a_k y) \right) \\ &\quad + \zeta \left(\frac{w - w_k}{w_{k+1} - w_k} \right) \Delta \left(e^{-w^{4/3}} \cos(a_{k+1} y) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{w_{k+1} - w_k} \zeta' \left(\frac{w - w_k}{w_{k+1} - w_k} \right) \left(e^{-w^{4/3}} \right)' (\cos(a_{k+1} y) - \cos(a_k y)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(w_{k+1} - w_k)^2} \zeta'' \left(\frac{w - w_k}{w_{k+1} - w_k} \right) e^{-w^{4/3}} (\cos(a_{k+1} y) - \cos(a_k y)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta f(x)| &\leq 6e^{-w^{4/3}} + \frac{8}{w_{k+1} - w_k} \cdot \frac{4}{3} w^{1/3} e^{-w^{4/3}} + \frac{10}{(w_{k+1} - w_k)^2} e^{-w^{4/3}} \\ &\leq \left(6 + \frac{256\sqrt{k+1}}{81\sqrt{k}} + \frac{2560}{6561k} \right) e^{-w^{4/3}} \leq 10e^{-w^{4/3}}. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 1.7. For all $x \in \Pi$

$$|\Delta f(x)| \leq 40me^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}}.$$

2 Domain Ω

Denote

$$h = 10^{-8} \tag{2.1}$$

and introduce the set

$$\Omega = \{x \in \Pi : |f_0(x)| < 2h\}.$$

2.1 The boundary of Ω

Lemma 2.1. If $|f_0(x)| = 2h$ then $\nabla f_0(x) \neq 0$.

Proof. It is sufficient to consider $w \geq 0$ only.

For $0 \leq w \leq w_{m+1}$ we have

$$f_0(x) = \cos(a_{m+1} y), \quad \nabla_y f_0(x) = -\sin(a_{m+1} y) a_{m+1},$$

so if $|\cos(a_{m+1} y)| = 2h$ then $\nabla_y f_0(x) \neq 0$.

The same argument works for the case $x = (w_k, y)$.

Now, consider the case $w_k < w < w_{k+1}$, $k \geq m + 1$. Due to (1.2)

$$\frac{\partial f_0(x)}{\partial w} = \frac{1}{w_{k+1} - w_k} \zeta' \left(\frac{w - w_k}{w_{k+1} - w_k} \right) (\cos(a_{k+1}y) - \cos(a_k y)),$$

$$\nabla_y f_0(x) = - \left(1 - \zeta \left(\frac{w - w_k}{w_{k+1} - w_k} \right) \right) \sin(a_k y) a_k - \zeta \left(\frac{w - w_k}{w_{k+1} - w_k} \right) \sin(a_{k+1} y) a_{k+1}.$$

Now, assume that $\nabla f_0(x) = 0$. The vectors a_k and a_{k+1} are linearly independent, so by virtue of (1.1) we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \cos(a_{k+1}y) - \cos(a_k y) = 0, \\ \sin(a_k y) = 0, \\ \sin(a_{k+1}y) = 0. \end{cases}$$

This implies

$$f_0(x) = \cos(a_k y) = \pm 1.$$

Thus, $|f_0(x)| \neq 2h$, a contradiction. ■

Corollary 2.2. *The boundary $\partial\Omega$ is C^∞ -smooth.*

2.2 “Sparsity” of Ω

Lemma 2.3. *For $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ and $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ denote*

$$A_\sigma := \{\theta \in [0, \pi] : \cos \theta \in [\sigma - \eta, \sigma]\}.$$

Then $\text{mes}_1 A_\sigma \leq 2\sqrt{\eta}$.

Proof. Without loss of generality one can assume $\sigma \leq 1$. Moreover,

$$A_{\eta-\sigma} = \{\pi - \theta : \theta \in A_\sigma\},$$

therefore $\text{mes}_1 A_{\eta-\sigma} = \text{mes}_1 A_\sigma$. So, one can assume that $\sigma \geq \eta/2$. Then we have

$$\text{mes}_1 A_\sigma = \arccos(\sigma - \eta) - \arccos \sigma,$$

$$\frac{d(\text{mes}_1 A_\sigma)}{d\sigma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \sigma^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - (\sigma - \eta)^2}} \geq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{\eta}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1.$$

Thus,

$$\text{mes}_1 A_\sigma \leq \text{mes}_1 A_1 = \arccos(1 - \eta) \leq 2\sqrt{\eta},$$

because the function

$$\varphi(\eta) := 2\sqrt{\eta} - \arccos(1 - \eta)$$

increases on $[0, 1]$ and $\varphi(0) = 0$. ■

Corollary 2.4. *Let $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$.*

a) We have

$$\text{mes}_1 \{\theta \in [-\pi, \pi] : \cos \theta \in [\sigma - \eta, \sigma]\} \leq 4\sqrt{\eta}.$$

b) Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\text{mes}_1 \{ \theta \in [\alpha - \pi, \alpha + \pi] : \cos \theta \in [\sigma - \eta, \sigma] \} \leq 4\sqrt{\eta}.$$

c) Let $\mu > 0$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\text{mes}_1 \left\{ \tau \in \left[-\frac{\pi}{\mu}, \frac{\pi}{\mu} \right] : \cos(\alpha + \mu\tau) \in [\sigma - \eta, \sigma] \right\} \leq \frac{4\sqrt{\eta}}{\mu}.$$

d) Let $\mu \geq \pi$, $A > 0$. Then

$$\text{mes}_1 \{ \tau \in [-A, A] : \cos(\alpha + \mu\tau) \in [\sigma - \eta, \sigma] \} \leq \frac{4(A+1)\sqrt{\eta}}{\pi}.$$

Proof. a) Follows from the evenness of cosine.

b) Follows from the periodicity of cosine.

c) The change of variables $\theta = \alpha + \mu\tau$ transforms the set

$$\left\{ \tau \in \left[-\frac{\pi}{\mu}, \frac{\pi}{\mu} \right] : \cos(\alpha + \mu\tau) \in [\sigma - \eta, \sigma] \right\}$$

into the set

$$\{ \theta \in [\alpha - \pi, \alpha + \pi] : \cos \theta \in [\sigma - \eta, \sigma] \}.$$

d) After the same change of variables $\theta = \alpha + \mu\tau$ we have to consider the set

$$\{ \theta \in [\alpha - \mu A, \alpha + \mu A] : \cos \theta \in [\sigma - \eta, \sigma] \}.$$

We cover the interval $[\alpha - \mu A, \alpha + \mu A]$ by $\left(\left[\frac{\mu A}{\pi}\right] + 1\right)$ intervals of length 2π . Then by the claim of the point b)

$$\text{mes}_1 \{ \tau \in [-A, A] : \cos(\alpha + \mu\tau) \in [\sigma - \eta, \sigma] \} \leq \left(\left[\frac{\mu A}{\pi} \right] + 1 \right) \frac{4\sqrt{\eta}}{\mu}.$$

By assumption $\mu \geq \pi$, so

$$\left[\frac{\mu A}{\pi} \right] + 1 \leq \frac{\mu(A+1)}{\pi}.$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\left[\frac{\mu A}{\pi} \right] + 1 \right) \frac{4\sqrt{\eta}}{\mu} \leq \frac{4(A+1)\sqrt{\eta}}{\pi}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Lemma 2.5. a) Let

$$y_* \in \mathbb{T}^3, \quad 0 \leq w \leq w_{m+1} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < R \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{4m+5}}.$$

Then

$$\text{mes}_3 \{ y \in \mathbb{T}^3 : |y - y_*| \leq R, (w, y) \in \Omega \} \leq \frac{8\sqrt{h}}{\sqrt{4m+5}} \pi R^2.$$

b) Let

$$y_* \in \mathbb{T}^3, \quad w_k \leq w \leq w_{k+1}, \quad k \geq m+1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < R \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{4k+5}}.$$

Then

$$\text{mes}_3 \{ y \in \mathbb{T}^3 : |y - y_*| \leq R, (w, y) \in \Omega \} \leq \frac{8\sqrt{3h}}{\sqrt{4k+1}} \pi R^2.$$

Proof. a) We consider the set of $y \in \mathbb{T}^3$ such that $|y - y_*| \leq R$ and $|\cos(a_{m+1}y)| \leq 2h$. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{mes}_3 \{y \in \mathbb{T}^3 : |y - y_*| \leq R, |\cos(a_{m+1}y)| \leq 2h\} \\ & \leq \pi R^2 \text{mes}_1 \{\tau \in [-R, R] : |\cos(y_* a_{m+1} + |a_{m+1}| \tau)| \leq 2h\} \leq \frac{8\sqrt{h}}{\sqrt{4m+5}} \pi R^2 \end{aligned}$$

due to Corollary 2.4 c) with $\eta = 4h$, $\alpha = y_* a_{m+1}$ and $\mu = |a_{m+1}|$.

b) We have either

$$\zeta\left(\frac{w - w_k}{w_{k+1} - w_k}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad (2.2)$$

or

$$1 - \zeta\left(\frac{w - w_k}{w_{k+1} - w_k}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (2.3)$$

First, assume (2.3). Denote by e_k the unit vector that belongs to the plane generated by the vectors a_k and a_{k+1} , and such that $a_{k+1} \cdot e_k = 0$ and $a_k \cdot e_k \geq 0$. Then

$$a_k \cdot e_k = |a_k| |\sin \alpha_k| \geq \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} |a_k| \quad (2.4)$$

by virtue of Corollary 1.2. Introduce the set

$$Q_R := \{y = y_* + z + \tau e_k : z \perp e_k, |z| \leq R, |\tau| \leq R\}.$$

We have

$$\{y \in \mathbb{T}^3 : |y - y_*| \leq R\} \subset Q_R.$$

If $y = y_* + z + \tau e_k$ and $(w, y) \in \Omega$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & |f_0(w, y)| \\ & = \left| \left(1 - \zeta\left(\frac{w - w_k}{w_{k+1} - w_k}\right)\right) \cos(a_k(y_* + z + \tau e_k)) + \zeta\left(\frac{w - w_k}{w_{k+1} - w_k}\right) \cos(a_{k+1}(y_* + z)) \right| \\ & \leq 2h. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (2.3) we obtain

$$\left| \cos(a_k(y_* + z) + \tau a_k \cdot e_k) + \frac{\zeta\left(\frac{w - w_k}{w_{k+1} - w_k}\right)}{1 - \zeta\left(\frac{w - w_k}{w_{k+1} - w_k}\right)} \cos(a_{k+1}(y_* + z)) \right| \leq 4h. \quad (2.5)$$

Now we apply Corollary 2.4 c) with

$$\eta = 8h, \quad \alpha = a_k(y_* + z), \quad \mu = a_k \cdot e_k \in \left[\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} |a_k|, |a_k| \right],$$

where the last inclusion is due to (2.4). Taking into account the assumption

$$R \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{4k+5}} < \frac{\pi}{\mu},$$

we get that for any fixed vector z

$$\text{mes}_1 \{ \tau \in [-R, R] : \text{the estimate (2.5) holds} \} \leq \frac{4\sqrt{\eta}}{\mu} \leq \frac{8\sqrt{3h}}{\sqrt{4k+1}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{mes}_3 \{ y \in \mathbb{T}^3 : |y - y_*| \leq R, (w, y) \in \Omega \} \\ & \leq \text{mes}_3 \{ y \in Q_R : (w, y) \in \Omega \} \leq \pi R^2 \frac{8\sqrt{3h}}{\sqrt{4k+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

In the same way, if (2.2) holds true then the estimate (2.6) is also fulfilled. ■

Corollary 2.6. *Let $x_* = (w_*, y_*)$.*

a) *If $0 \leq w_* \leq w_{m+1}$ and $0 < R_* \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{4m+9}}$ then*

$$\text{mes}_4 (B_R(x_*) \cap \Omega) \leq \frac{16\sqrt{3h}}{\sqrt{4m+5}} \pi R^3.$$

b) *If $w_k \leq w_* \leq w_{k+1}$, $k \geq m+1$ and $0 < R \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{4k+9}}$ then*

$$\text{mes}_4 (B_R(x_*) \cap \Omega) \leq \frac{16\sqrt{3h}}{\sqrt{4k-3}} \pi R^3.$$

Proof. a) If $|w - w_*| < R$ then $|w| \leq w_{m+2}$. For such w we have

$$\text{mes}_3 \{ y \in \mathbb{T}^3 : |y - y_*| \leq R, (w, y) \in \Omega \} \leq \frac{8\sqrt{3h}}{\sqrt{4m+5}} \pi R^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\text{mes}_4 (B_R(x_*) \cap \Omega) \leq \frac{16\sqrt{3h}}{\sqrt{4m+5}} \pi R^3.$$

b) If $|w - w_*| < R$ then $w_{k-1} \leq w \leq w_{k+2}$,

$$\text{mes}_3 \{ y \in \mathbb{T}^3 : |y - y_*| \leq R, (w, y) \in \Omega \} \leq \frac{8\sqrt{3h}}{\sqrt{4k-3}} \pi R^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\text{mes}_4 (B_R(x_*) \cap \Omega) \leq \frac{16\sqrt{3h}}{\sqrt{4k-3}} \pi R^3. \quad \blacksquare$$

Corollary 2.7. *Assume that either the conditions of Corollary 2.6 a) are fulfilled and $R \geq \frac{64\sqrt{3h}}{\pi\sqrt{4m+5}}$, or the conditions of Corollary 2.6 b) are fulfilled and $R \geq \frac{64\sqrt{3h}}{\pi\sqrt{4k-3}}$. Then*

$$\text{mes}_4 (B_R(x_*) \cap \Omega) \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{mes}_4 B_R.$$

Lemma 2.8. *For any $w \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$\text{mes}_3 \{ y \in \mathbb{T}^3 : (w, y) \in \Omega \} \leq \pi^3.$$

Proof. If $|w| \leq w_{m+1}$ then

$$\{y \in \mathbb{T}^3 : (w, y) \in \Omega\} = \{y \in \mathbb{T}^3 : |\cos(a_{m+1}y)| < 2h\}.$$

We have

$$\text{diam}[-\pi, \pi]^3 = 2\pi\sqrt{3},$$

therefore,

$$[-\pi, \pi]^3 \subset \left\{ y = z + \tau \frac{a_{m+1}}{|a_{m+1}|} : z \perp a_{m+1}, |z| \leq \pi\sqrt{3}, |\tau| \leq \pi\sqrt{3} \right\}.$$

By virtue of Corollary 2.4 d) with

$$A = \pi\sqrt{3}, \quad \alpha = 0, \quad \mu = |a_{m+1}|, \quad \eta = 4h$$

we have

$$\text{mes}_1 \left\{ \tau \in [-\pi\sqrt{3}, \pi\sqrt{3}] : |\cos(\tau|a_{m+1}|)| \leq 2h \right\} \leq \frac{8(\pi\sqrt{3} + 1)\sqrt{h}}{\pi}.$$

Therefore,

$$\text{mes}_3 \{y \in \mathbb{T}^3 : |\cos(a_{m+1}y)| < 2h\} \leq 24\pi^2(\pi\sqrt{3} + 1)\sqrt{h} < \pi^3$$

due to (2.1).

Now, let $w_k \leq w \leq w_{k+1}$, $k \geq m + 1$. First, assume (2.3) to be fulfilled. Fix the vector e_k as in the proof of Lemma 2.5. Then

$$[-\pi, \pi]^3 \subset \left\{ y = z + \tau e_k : z \perp e_k, |z| \leq \pi\sqrt{3}, |\tau| \leq \pi\sqrt{3} \right\}.$$

If $(w, y) \in \Omega$ then $|f_0(x)| \leq 2h$ and the inequality (2.5) holds true with $y_* = 0$. Now we apply Corollary 2.4 d) with

$$A = \pi\sqrt{3}, \quad \eta = 8h, \quad \alpha = a_k \cdot z, \quad \mu = a_k \cdot e_k \in \left[\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}|a_k|, |a_k| \right].$$

We obtain that for any fixed vector z

$$\text{mes}_1 \left\{ \tau \in [-\pi\sqrt{3}, \pi\sqrt{3}] : \text{the estimate (2.5) holds} \right\} \leq \frac{4(\pi\sqrt{3} + 1)\sqrt{8h}}{\pi} < 32\sqrt{h}.$$

The same is true if the inequality (2.2) is fulfilled. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{mes}_3 \{y \in \mathbb{T}^3 : (w, y) \in \Omega\} \\ & \leq \text{mes}_3 \left\{ y = z + \tau e_k : z \perp e_k, |z| \leq \pi\sqrt{3}, |\tau| \leq \pi\sqrt{3}, (w, y) \in \Omega \right\} \\ & \leq \pi(\pi\sqrt{3})^2 \cdot 32\sqrt{h} < \pi^3 \end{aligned}$$

by definition (2.1) of the number h . ■

3 Auxiliary facts

Lemma 3.1. *If \mathcal{O} is an open subset of \mathbb{T}^3 and $\text{mes}_3 \mathcal{O} \leq \pi^3$ then*

$$\|u\|_{L_2(\mathcal{O})}^2 \leq \frac{15}{7} \|\nabla u\|_{L_2(\mathcal{O})}^2 \quad \forall u \in \mathring{W}_2^1(\mathcal{O}).$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that

$$\|u\|_{L_2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 \leq \frac{15}{7} \|\nabla u\|_{L_2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2$$

for all $u \in W_2^1(\mathbb{T}^3)$ such that

$$\text{mes}_3 \{y \in \mathbb{T}^3 : u(y) = 0\} \geq 7\pi^3.$$

Represent a function u as a sum

$$u(y) = u_0 + v(y),$$

where u_0 is a constant, and $\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} v(y) dy = 0$. Then

$$\|u\|_{L_2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 = 8\pi^3 |u_0|^2 + \|v\|_{L_2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2, \quad \|v\|_{L_2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 \geq 7\pi^3 |u_0|^2,$$

and

$$\|u\|_{L_2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 \leq \frac{15}{7} \|v\|_{L_2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2. \tag{3.1}$$

Expanding the function v into the Fourier series we see that

$$\|v\|_{L_2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 \leq \|\nabla v\|_{L_2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2 = \|\nabla u\|_{L_2(\mathbb{T}^3)}^2.$$

The reference to (3.1) completes the proof. ■

Lemma 3.2 (Friedrichs' inequality for Ω). *We have*

$$\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^2 dx \leq \frac{15}{7} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla_y u(x)|^2 dx \quad \forall u \in \mathring{W}_2^1(\Omega).$$

Proof. Follows from Lemma 2.8 and Lemma 3.1. ■

Theorem 3.3 ([3], §5.7.1, Lemma 1). *Let $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a domain with smooth boundary, $\eta \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $x_0 \in \mathcal{O}$. Then for almost all $r \in (0, \infty)$*

$$\int_{\mathcal{O} \cap B_r(x_0)} \text{div } \eta dx = \int_{\partial \mathcal{O} \cap B_r(x_0)} \eta \cdot \nu dS(x) + \int_{\mathcal{O} \cap \partial B_r(x_0)} \eta \cdot \nu dS(x).$$

Here $\nu(x)$ is the vector of outward unit normal at the point x to $\partial \mathcal{O}$ in the first integral and to $\partial B_r(x_0)$ in the second integral in the right hand side.

Remark 3.4. The same is true for functions $\eta \in C^1(\overline{\mathcal{O}}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ because we can extend such functions to the entire space \mathbb{R}^n via the smooth boundary $\partial \mathcal{O}$.

Corollary 3.5 (Green's identities). *Let*

$$\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \partial\mathcal{O} \in C^\infty, \quad x_0 \in \mathcal{O}, \quad u, v \in C^2(\overline{\mathcal{O}}).$$

For almost all $r \in (0, \infty)$ we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{O} \cap B_r(x_0)} \Delta v(x) dx = \int_{\partial\mathcal{O} \cap B_r(x_0)} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} dS(x) + \int_{\mathcal{O} \cap \partial B_r(x_0)} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} dS(x), \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{O} \cap B_r(x_0)} (u \Delta v - v \Delta u) dx \\ &= \int_{\partial\mathcal{O} \cap B_r(x_0)} \left(u \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} - v \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right) dS(x) + \int_{\mathcal{O} \cap \partial B_r(x_0)} \left(u \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} - v \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right) dS(x). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Recall that in four-dimensional case the Green function for the Laplace operator is

$$\frac{1}{4\pi^2|x - x_0|^2};$$

on the boundary $\partial B_r(x_0)$ we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \frac{1}{4\pi^2|x - x_0|^2} = \frac{-1}{2\pi^2|x - x_0|^3}.$$

Corollary 3.6. *Let*

$$n = 4, \quad \mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^4, \quad \partial\mathcal{O} \in C^\infty, \quad x_0 \in \mathcal{O}, \quad v \in C^2(\overline{\mathcal{O}}).$$

Then for almost all $r \in (0, \infty)$

$$\begin{aligned} v(x_0) &= - \int_{\mathcal{O} \cap B_r(x_0)} \frac{\Delta v(x) dx}{4\pi^2|x - x_0|^2} \\ &+ \int_{\partial\mathcal{O} \cap B_r(x_0)} \left(\frac{\partial v(x)}{\partial \nu} \frac{1}{4\pi^2|x - x_0|^2} - v(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu(x)} \frac{1}{4\pi^2|x - x_0|^2} \right) dS(x) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4\pi^2 r^2} \int_{\mathcal{O} \cap \partial B_r(x_0)} \frac{\partial v(x)}{\partial \nu} dS(x) + \frac{1}{2\pi^2 r^3} \int_{\mathcal{O} \cap \partial B_r(x_0)} v(x) dS(x). \end{aligned}$$

4 Dirichlet problem in Ω

4.1 Functional J

Let $\varphi \in L_2(\Omega)$. We consider the functional

$$J[v] = \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\nabla v|^2}{2} - \varphi v \right) dx, \quad v \in \mathring{W}_2^1(\Omega). \quad (4.1)$$

By Lemma 3.2 we have

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \varphi v dx \right| \leq \|v\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \|\varphi\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \leq \sqrt{\frac{15}{7}} \|\nabla v\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \|\varphi\|_{L_2(\Omega)},$$

and therefore, the functional J is semi-bounded from below,

$$J[v] \geq \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla v\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 - \frac{15}{7} \|\varphi\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2.$$

In a standard way we have the following

Theorem 4.1. *Let the functional J be defined by (4.1) with $\varphi \in L_2(\Omega)$.*

- a) *Functional J attains its minimum on $\dot{W}_2^1(\Omega)$.*
- b) *The Dirichlet problem*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u(x) = \varphi(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

has a unique solution $u \in \dot{W}_2^1(\Omega)$.

- c) *This function u is a minimizer of the functional J . The minimizer is unique.*

Remark 4.2. Under the conditions of Theorem 4.1

$$\|u\|_{W_2^1(\Omega)} \leq 3\|\varphi\|_{L_2(\Omega)}. \quad (4.3)$$

Indeed,

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 = \int_{\Omega} u \varphi \, dx \leq \|u\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \|\varphi\|_{L_2(\Omega)}.$$

By virtue of Lemma 3.2 $\|u\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \leq \sqrt{\frac{15}{7}} \|\nabla u\|_{L_2(\Omega)}$, therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u\|_{L_2(\Omega)} &\leq \sqrt{\frac{15}{7}} \|\varphi\|_{L_2(\Omega)}, \\ \|u\|_{L_2(\Omega)} &\leq \frac{15}{7} \|\varphi\|_{L_2(\Omega)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

and so

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 + \|u\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \left(\frac{15}{7} + \frac{225}{49} \right) \|\varphi\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2 \leq 9\|\varphi\|_{L_2(\Omega)}^2.$$

Lemma 4.3. *If under the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 $\varphi(x) \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω , then $u(x) \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω .*

Proof. The function u is the minimizer of J . Consider the function

$$u_+(x) = \max(u(x), 0).$$

It is well known that $u_+ \in \dot{W}_2^1(\Omega)$ and

$$\nabla u_+(x) = \begin{cases} \nabla u(x), & \text{if } u(x) > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } u(x) \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$J[u_+] = \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\nabla u_+|^2}{2} - \varphi u_+ \right) dx \leq J[u]$$

due to the assumption $\varphi \geq 0$. Thus, the function u_+ is also a minimizer for the functional J . Therefore, $u_+ = u$. ■

4.2 Dirichlet problem in Ω

Lemma 4.4. *Let u be the solution to the problem (4.2) with $\varphi \in L_2(\Omega) \cap C^\gamma(\overline{\Omega})$, $\gamma > 0$. Then $u \in C^{2+\gamma}(\overline{\Omega})$.*

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\varphi \in L_2(\Omega) \cap C^\gamma(\overline{\Omega})$, $\gamma > 0$, and $\varphi(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \Omega$. Let $u \in \dot{W}_2^1(\Omega)$ be the solution to the Dirichlet problem (4.2). Let $x_0 = (w_0, y_0)$. Then for almost all $r \in (0, 1]$ we have*

$$u(x_0) \leq \int_{\Omega \cap B_r(x_0)} \frac{\varphi(x) dx}{4\pi^2|x-x_0|^2} + \frac{1}{2\pi^2r^3} \int_{\Omega \cap \partial B_r(x_0)} u(x) dS(x). \quad (4.5)$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.4 $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$. Now we use the identity (3.2) and Corollary 3.6. For almost all $r > 0$

$$0 = \int_{\Omega \cap B_r(x_0)} \varphi(x) dx + \int_{\partial \Omega \cap B_r(x_0)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} dS(x) + \int_{\Omega \cap \partial B_r(x_0)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} dS(x), \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u(x_0) &= \int_{\Omega \cap B_r(x_0)} \frac{\varphi(x) dx}{4\pi^2|x-x_0|^2} + \int_{\partial \Omega \cap B_r(x_0)} \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial \nu} \frac{1}{4\pi^2|x-x_0|^2} dS(x) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4\pi^2r^2} \int_{\Omega \cap \partial B_r(x_0)} \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial \nu} dS(x) + \frac{1}{2\pi^2r^3} \int_{\Omega \cap \partial B_r(x_0)} u(x) dS(x), \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

where we used the boundary condition $u|_{\partial \Omega} = 0$. Multiplying (4.6) by $\frac{1}{4\pi^2r^2}$ and subtracting from (4.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u(x_0) &= \int_{\Omega \cap B_r(x_0)} \frac{\varphi(x)}{4\pi^2} \left(\frac{1}{|x-x_0|^2} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial \Omega \cap B_r(x_0)} \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial \nu} \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left(\frac{1}{|x-x_0|^2} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right) dS(x) + \frac{1}{2\pi^2r^3} \int_{\Omega \cap \partial B_r(x_0)} u(x) dS(x). \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

The first term in the right hand side is not greater than $\int_{\Omega \cap B_r(x_0)} \frac{\varphi(x) dx}{4\pi^2|x-x_0|^2}$. By virtue of Lemma 4.3 $u(x) \geq 0$ in Ω . Therefore, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}|_{\partial \Omega} \leq 0$, and the second term in the right hand side is non-positive, as $|x-x_0|^2 \leq r^2$ for $x \in B_r(x_0)$. Now, (4.5) follows from (4.8). ■

Corollary 4.6. *Let $\varphi \in L_2(\Omega) \cap C^\gamma(\overline{\Omega})$, $\gamma > 0$, and $\varphi(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \Omega$. Let $u \in \dot{W}_2^1(\Omega)$ be the solution to the Dirichlet problem (4.2). Let $x_0 = (w_0, y_0)$, $0 < R \leq 1$. Then*

$$u(x_0) \leq \int_{\Omega \cap B_R(x_0)} \frac{\varphi(x) dx}{4\pi^2|x-x_0|^2} + \frac{2}{\pi^2R^4} \int_{\Omega \cap B_R(x_0)} u(x) dx.$$

Proof. For almost all $r \in (0, R)$ we have

$$2\pi^2r^3u(x_0) \leq 2\pi^2r^3 \int_{\Omega \cap B_r(x_0)} \frac{\varphi(x) dx}{4\pi^2|x-x_0|^2} + \int_{\Omega \cap \partial B_r(x_0)} u(x) dS(x).$$

Integrating this inequality with respect to r from 0 to R we get

$$\frac{\pi^2R^4}{2}u(x_0) \leq \frac{\pi^2R^4}{2} \int_{\Omega \cap B_R(x_0)} \frac{\varphi(x) dx}{4\pi^2|x-x_0|^2} + \int_{\Omega \cap B_R(x_0)} u(x) dx. \quad \blacksquare$$

Lemma 4.7. *Let*

$$\varphi \in L_2(\Omega) \cap L_\infty(\Omega) \cap C^\gamma(\bar{\Omega}), \quad \gamma > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(x) \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

Let $u \in \dot{W}_2^1(\Omega)$ *be the solution to the Dirichlet problem (4.2). Then* $u \in L_\infty(\Omega)$ *and*

$$\|u\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{4} \|\varphi\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)} + \|\varphi\|_{L_2(\Omega)}.$$

Proof. Let $x_0 = (w_0, y_0) \in \Omega$. By Corollary 4.6 with $R = 1$ we have

$$u(x_0) \leq \int_{\Omega \cap B_1(x_0)} \frac{\varphi(x) dx}{4\pi^2 |x - x_0|^2} + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \int_{\Omega \cap B_1(x_0)} u(x) dx. \quad (4.9)$$

The first term in the right hand side of (4.9) is not greater than

$$\int_{\Omega \cap B_1(x_0)} \frac{\varphi(x) dx}{4\pi^2 |x - x_0|^2} \leq \frac{\|\varphi\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)}}{4\pi^2} \int_{B_1(x_0)} \frac{dx}{|x - x_0|^2} = \frac{\|\varphi\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)}}{4}.$$

For the second term in the right hand side of (4.9) we have due to (4.4)

$$\frac{2}{\pi^2} \int_{\Omega \cap B_1(x_0)} u(x) dx \leq \frac{2}{\pi^2} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{2} \right)^{1/2} \|u\|_{L_2(\Omega \cap B_1(x_0))} \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \cdot \frac{15}{7} \|\varphi\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \leq \|\varphi\|_{L_2(\Omega)}.$$

Thus,

$$0 \leq u(x_0) \leq \frac{1}{4} \|\varphi\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)} + \|\varphi\|_{L_2(\Omega)}. \quad \blacksquare$$

5 Dirichlet problem in Ω with exponentially decaying RHS

Introduce the following notations

$$R(w) = \begin{cases} 50\sqrt{h} w_m^{-1/3}, & \text{if } |w| < w_m, \\ 50\sqrt{h} |w|^{-1/3}, & \text{if } |w| \geq w_m, \end{cases} \quad B(x) := B_{R(w)}(x), \quad x = (w, y).$$

Lemma 5.1. *For all* $w \geq 0$ *we have*

$$R(w - R(w)) \leq \frac{10}{9} R(w)$$

and

$$\alpha(w)^{4/3} - \alpha(w - R(w))^{4/3} \leq 200\sqrt{h}. \quad (5.1)$$

Proof. If $0 \leq w \leq w_m$ then $R(w - R(w)) = R(w)$.

If $w \geq w_m$ then $w - R(w) \geq \left(\frac{9}{10}\right)^3 w$, and therefore,

$$R(w - R(w)) \leq \frac{50\sqrt{h}}{(w - R(w))^{1/3}} \leq \frac{500\sqrt{h}}{9 w^{1/3}} = \frac{10}{9} R(w).$$

For the second inequality we have due to Corollary 1.5

$$\alpha(w)^{4/3} - \alpha(w - R(w))^{4/3} \leq 4\alpha(w)^{1/3} R(w) \leq 200\sqrt{h}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Assume that a given smooth function φ in Ω satisfies the inequality

$$0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 10 e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}}. \quad (5.2)$$

Lemma 5.2. *If a function φ satisfies (5.2) then the function*

$$\Phi(x) = \int_{\Omega \cap B(x)} \frac{\varphi(\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x}}{4\pi^2|x - \tilde{x}|^2} \quad (5.3)$$

satisfies the estimate

$$\Phi(x) \leq 3R(w)^2 e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}}.$$

Proof. It is enough to prove the estimate for $w \geq 0$. By assumption

$$\Phi(x) \leq 10 e^{-\alpha(w-R(w))^{4/3}} \int_{B(x)} \frac{d\tilde{x}}{4\pi^2|x - \tilde{x}|^2}.$$

We have also

$$\int_{B(x)} \frac{d\tilde{x}}{4\pi^2|x - \tilde{x}|^2} = \frac{R(w)^2}{4}.$$

Finally, by virtue of the preceding Lemma

$$e^{-\alpha(w-R(w))^{4/3}} \leq e^{200\sqrt{h}} e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}}.$$

The claim follows. ■

Let the function Φ be defined by (5.3) with φ satisfying (5.2). In the space $L_\infty(\Omega)$ we consider the map T defined by the formula

$$(T\rho)(x) = \Phi(x) + \frac{2}{\pi^2 R(w)^4} \int_{\Omega \cap B(x)} \rho(\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x}. \quad (5.4)$$

Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} |(T\rho_1)(x) - (T\rho_2)(x)| &= \left| \frac{2}{\pi^2 R(w)^4} \int_{\Omega \cap B(x)} (\rho_1(\tilde{x}) - \rho_2(\tilde{x})) d\tilde{x} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\text{mes}_4(\Omega \cap B(x))}{\text{mes}_4 B(x)} \|\rho_1 - \rho_2\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\rho_1 - \rho_2\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)} \end{aligned}$$

due to Corollary 2.7. Thus, the map T is a contraction, and hence, there exists a unique function $\rho_* \in L_\infty(\Omega)$ such that $T\rho_* = \rho_*$.

Lemma 5.3. *a) If $u \in L_\infty(\Omega)$ satisfies the inequality*

$$u(x) \leq (Tu)(x) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega,$$

then $u(x) \leq \rho_(x)$ a.e. in Ω .*

b) If $u \in L_\infty(\Omega)$ satisfies the inequality

$$u(x) \geq (Tu)(x) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega,$$

then $u(x) \geq \rho_(x)$ a.e. in Ω .*

Proof. a) Let us consider a function

$$\rho_0(x) = \text{const} > \|u\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)}.$$

Put $\rho_k = T^k \rho_0$. Clearly,

$$\rho_k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} \rho_* \quad \text{in } L_\infty(\Omega).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$u(x) \leq \rho_k(x) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega$$

by induction in k . Taking a limit $k \rightarrow \infty$ we get $u(x) \leq \rho_*(x)$.

b) Now, take a function

$$\rho_0(x) = \text{const} < -\|u\|_{L_\infty(\Omega)},$$

and $\rho_k = T^k \rho_0$. In the same way,

$$u(x) \geq \rho_k(x) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega,$$

and therefore, $u(x) \geq \rho_*(x)$ a. e. in Ω . ■

Lemma 5.4. *Put*

$$\Psi(x) = 10R(w)^2 e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}}.$$

Then

$$\frac{2}{\pi^2 R(w)^4} \int_{\Omega \cap B(x)} \Psi(\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x} \leq \frac{7}{10} \Psi(x).$$

Proof. It is enough to consider the case $w \geq 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{\pi^2 R(w)^4} \int_{\Omega \cap B(x)} \Psi(\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x} \\ & \leq \frac{2}{\pi^2 R(w)^4} \cdot 10 R(w - R(w))^2 e^{-\alpha(w - R(w))^{4/3}} \cdot \text{mes}_4(\Omega \cap B(x)) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot 10 \cdot \frac{100}{81} R(w)^2 e^{200\sqrt{h}} e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}} \end{aligned}$$

due to Corollary 2.7 and Lemma 5.1. ■

Theorem 5.5. *Let*

$$\varphi \in C^\gamma(\bar{\Omega}), \quad \gamma > 0, \quad 0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 10 e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}} \quad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

Let u be the solution to the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u(x) = \varphi(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$0 \leq u(x) \leq 10 R(w)^2 e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}} \quad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

Proof. Let Φ be the function defined by (5.3) with the given function φ , and T be the map defined by (5.4). By Corollary 4.6 $u(x) \leq (Tu)(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$. On the other hand, for the function Ψ defined in the preceding Lemma we have due to Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.4

$$(T\Psi)(x) = \Phi(x) + \frac{2}{\pi^2 R(w)^4} \int_{\Omega \cap B(x)} \Psi(\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x} \leq 3 R(w)^2 e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}} + \frac{7}{10} \Psi(x) = \Psi(x).$$

Now, Lemma 5.3 implies

$$u(x) \leq \rho_*(x) \leq \Psi(x). \quad \blacksquare$$

Corollary 5.6. *Let $u \in \dot{W}_2^1(\Omega)$ be the solution to the Dirichlet problem*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u(x) = \Delta f(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases}$$

with the function f defined in §1. Then

$$|u(x)| \leq 10 R(w)^2 e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}} \quad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

Proof. For $x \in \Omega$ we have the estimate $|\Delta f(x)| \leq 10e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}}$. Indeed, if $|w| \geq w_{m+1}$ then it follows directly from Lemma 1.6. If $|w| \leq w_{m+1}$ then by Lemma 1.6

$$|\Delta f(x)| \leq 40m|f(x)| \leq 80mhe^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}} < 10e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}}$$

by definition of the numbers m and h .

Now, denote

$$(\Delta f)_+(x) = \max(\Delta f(x), 0), \quad (\Delta f)_-(x) = \max(0, -\Delta f(x)).$$

Then

$$\Delta f = (\Delta f)_+ - (\Delta f)_-, \quad (\Delta f)_\pm(x) \geq 0 \quad \forall x.$$

By Lemma 1.6 $\Delta f \in C^\infty(\Pi)$, therefore, $(\Delta f)_\pm \in C^\gamma(\Pi)$ for all $\gamma < 1$.

Denote by $u^{(\pm)} \in \dot{W}_2^1(\Omega)$ the solutions to the Dirichlet problems for the equations $-\Delta u^{(\pm)} = (\Delta f)_\pm$. By Theorem 5.5

$$0 \leq u^{(\pm)}(x) \leq 10 R(w)^2 e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}}.$$

The function $u^{(+)} - u^{(-)}$ is a solution to the Dirichlet problem for the equation $-\Delta u = \Delta f$. Due to uniqueness of the solution we have $u = u^{(+)} - u^{(-)}$. Therefore, $|u(x)| \leq 10R(w)^2 e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}}$. \blacksquare

Remark 5.7. The function u is even, $u((w, y)) = u((-w, y))$.

6 Function F

6.1 Construction of the function F

Define in Ω the function

$$g(x) = f(x) + u(x),$$

where the functions f and u are defined in §1 and in Corollary 5.6 respectively. The function g solves the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta g(x) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ g|_{\partial\Omega} = f|_{\partial\Omega}. \end{cases}$$

In the whole cylinder Π we define the function

$$f^*(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & \text{if } x \notin \Omega, \\ g(x), & \text{if } x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $f^* \in C(\Pi)$ and

$$|f^*(x)| \leq 2e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}} \quad \forall x \in \Pi. \quad (6.1)$$

Introduce the radius

$$\rho := \frac{h}{4}$$

where h is defined by (2.1). Fix a function $\psi \in C_0^\infty(B_\rho)$, $B_\rho \subset \mathbb{R}^4$, such that $\int_{B_\rho} \psi(x) dx = 1$, $\psi(x) \geq 0$ everywhere, and this function is radially symmetric, $\psi(x) = \hat{\psi}(|x|)$. Noting that

$$\alpha(w)^{4/3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \psi((x - \tilde{x})\alpha(w)^{1/3}) d\tilde{x} = 1, \quad (6.2)$$

we define the function

$$F(x) := \alpha(w)^{4/3} \int_{\Pi} \psi((x - \tilde{x})\alpha(w)^{1/3}) f^*(\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x}.$$

Clearly, $F \in C^\infty(\Pi)$. It is also clear that the functions g , f^* and F are even.

Lemma 6.1. *We have*

$$|F(x)| \leq 3e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}}.$$

Proof. It follows from (6.1), (5.1) and (6.2). ■

Lemma 6.2. *If $|x - \tilde{x}| \leq \rho \alpha(w)^{-1/3}$ then $|f_0(x) - f_0(\tilde{x})| \leq h/2$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality one can assume $\tilde{w} \geq 0$. If $w \leq w_m$ then $\alpha(w) = w_m$, $\tilde{w} \leq w_{m+1}$, and due to Lemma 1.3

$$|f_0(x) - f_0(\tilde{x})| \leq \sqrt{4m+5} |y - \tilde{y}| \leq \sqrt{4m+5} \rho w_m^{-1/3} = \frac{\sqrt{4m+5} h}{6\sqrt{m}} < \frac{h}{2}.$$

If $w_k \leq w \leq w_{k+1}$, $k \geq m$, then $\alpha(w) \geq w_k$, $\tilde{w} \in [w_{k-1}, w_{k+2}]$ and by virtue of Lemma 1.3

$$|f_0(x) - f_0(\tilde{x})| \leq \sqrt{5(k+1)} |x - \tilde{x}| \leq \sqrt{5(k+1)} \rho w_k^{-1/3} = \frac{\sqrt{5(k+1)} h}{6\sqrt{k}} < \frac{h}{2}. \quad \blacksquare$$

We consider also the set

$$U := \{x \in \Pi : |f_0(x)| > h\}.$$

Clearly,

$$U \cup \Omega = \Pi.$$

Lemma 6.3. *If $x \in U$ then*

$$|F(x)| \geq \frac{h}{8} e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}}.$$

Proof. Once again, it is sufficient to consider $w \geq 0$. Assume first that $f_0(x) > h$. Consider the points $\tilde{x} \in B_{\rho\alpha(w)^{-1/3}}(x)$. By the preceding Lemma

$$f_0(\tilde{x}) > \frac{h}{2}.$$

If $\tilde{x} \notin \Omega$ then $f_0(\tilde{x}) > 2h$, and $f^*(\tilde{x}) = f(\tilde{x}) > 2he^{-\alpha(\tilde{w})^{4/3}}$. If $\tilde{x} \in \Omega$ then

$$f^*(\tilde{x}) = g(\tilde{x}) \geq f(\tilde{x}) - |u(\tilde{x})| \geq \left(\frac{h}{2} - 10R(\tilde{w})^2 \right) e^{-\alpha(\tilde{w})^{4/3}} \geq \frac{h}{6} e^{-\alpha(\tilde{w})^{4/3}}$$

due to Corollary 5.6. In both cases

$$f^*(\tilde{x}) \geq \frac{h}{6} e^{-\alpha(\tilde{w})^{4/3}}.$$

Therefore,

$$F(x) \geq \frac{h}{6} e^{-\alpha(w+\rho\alpha(w)^{-1/3})^{4/3}} \geq \frac{h}{8} e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}},$$

because due to Corollary 1.5

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(w + \rho\alpha(w)^{-1/3})^{4/3} - \alpha(w)^{4/3} &\leq 4\rho\alpha(w)^{-1/3} (\alpha(w) + 3\rho\alpha(w)^{-1/3})^{1/3} \\ &= 4\rho (1 + 3\rho\alpha(w)^{-4/3})^{1/3} \leq 4\rho (1 + 3\rho w_m^{-4/3})^{1/3} < \ln \frac{4}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

In the case $f_0(x) < -h$ a similar argument shows that

$$F(x) \leq -\frac{h}{8} e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Lemma 6.4. *If $x \notin U$ then $F(x) = g(x)$.*

Proof. Let $x \notin U$. By definition $|f_0(x)| \leq h$. By Lemma 6.2 $|f_0(\tilde{x})| \leq \frac{3h}{2}$ if $|\tilde{x} - x| \leq \rho\alpha(w)^{-1/3}$, thus

$$B_{\rho\alpha(w)^{-1/3}}(x) \subset \Omega.$$

Therefore,

$$F(x) = \alpha(w)^{4/3} \int_{B_{\rho\alpha(w)^{-1/3}}(x)} \psi((x - \tilde{x})\alpha(w)^{1/3}) g(\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x}.$$

In spherical coordinates

$$\tilde{x} - x = (t; \theta), \quad t \in [0, \infty), \quad \theta \in S^3,$$

we have

$$F(x) = \alpha(w)^{4/3} \int_0^{\rho\alpha(w)^{-1/3}} \int_{S^3} \hat{\psi}(t\alpha(w)^{1/3}) g(x + (t; \theta)) t^3 dt dS(\theta).$$

The function g is harmonic in Ω , so by the mean value property

$$\int_{S^3} g(x + (t; \theta)) dS(\theta) = 2\pi^2 g(x).$$

Moreover,

$$2\pi^2 \int_0^{\rho\alpha(w)^{-1/3}} \hat{\psi}(t\alpha(w)^{1/3}) t^3 dt = \int_{|z| \leq \rho\alpha(w)^{-1/3}} \psi(z\alpha(w)^{1/3}) dz = \alpha(w)^{-4/3}.$$

Therefore, $F(x) = g(x)$. ■

Corollary 6.5. *If $x \notin U$ then $\Delta F(x) = 0$.*

6.2 Laplacian of F

Lemma 6.6. *We have*

$$|\Delta_x (\alpha(w)^{4/3} \psi((x - \tilde{x})\alpha(w)^{1/3})) - \Delta_{\tilde{x}} (\alpha(w)^{4/3} \psi((x - \tilde{x})\alpha(w)^{1/3}))| \leq C_1 \alpha(w)^{2/3} \quad (6.3)$$

and

$$|\Delta_x (\alpha(w)^{4/3} \psi((x - \tilde{x})\alpha(w)^{1/3}))| \leq C_1 \alpha(w)^2. \quad (6.4)$$

Here C_1 is a constant that depends on the choice of the function ψ only.

Proof. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta_y (\alpha(w)^{4/3} \psi((x - \tilde{x})\alpha(w)^{1/3})) \\ &= \alpha(w)^2 (\Delta_y \psi) ((x - \tilde{x})\alpha(w)^{1/3}) \\ &= \Delta_{\tilde{y}} (\alpha(w)^{4/3} \psi((x - \tilde{x})\alpha(w)^{1/3})) \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

and

$$\partial_w^2 (\alpha(w)^{4/3} \psi((x - \tilde{x})\alpha(w)^{1/3})) = \alpha(w)^2 (\partial_w^2 \psi) ((x - \tilde{x})\alpha(w)^{1/3}). \quad (6.6)$$

We have also

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_w (\alpha(w)^{4/3} \psi((x - \tilde{x})\alpha(w)^{1/3})) \\ &= \frac{4}{3} \alpha(w)^{1/3} \alpha'(w) \psi((x - \tilde{x})\alpha(w)^{1/3}) \\ &+ \alpha(w)^{4/3} \left(\alpha(w)^{1/3} + \frac{1}{3} (w - \tilde{w}) \alpha(w)^{-2/3} \alpha'(w) \right) (\partial_w \psi) ((x - \tilde{x})\alpha(w)^{1/3}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{3} \alpha(w)^{2/3} \alpha'(w) \sum_{j=1}^3 (y_j - \tilde{y}_j) (\partial_{y_j} \psi) ((x - \tilde{x})\alpha(w)^{1/3}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_w^2 (\alpha(w)^{4/3} \psi((x - \tilde{x})\alpha(w)^{1/3})) \\ &= \left(\alpha(w) + \frac{1}{3} (w - \tilde{w}) \alpha'(w) \right)^2 (\partial_w^2 \psi) ((x - \tilde{x})\alpha(w)^{1/3}) + O(\alpha(w)^{2/3}), \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

where we have taken into account the relations

$$\begin{aligned} |x - \tilde{x}| &\leq \rho\alpha(w)^{-1/3}, \quad \tilde{w} = O(\alpha(w)), \quad \psi, \nabla\psi, \nabla^2\psi = O(1), \\ \alpha'(w) &= O(1), \quad \alpha''(w) = O(\alpha(w)^{-1/3}). \end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$\left(\alpha(w) + \frac{1}{3} (w - \tilde{w}) \alpha'(w) \right)^2 - \alpha(w)^2 = O(\alpha(w)^{2/3}).$$

Now, the result follows from (6.5), (6.6) and (6.7). ■

Let us consider also the function

$$G(x) := \alpha(w)^{4/3} \int_{\Pi} \psi((x - \tilde{x})\alpha(w)^{1/3}) f(\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x}.$$

Clearly, $G \in C^\infty(\Pi)$.

Lemma 6.7. *We have*

$$|\Delta G(x)| \leq C_2 e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}},$$

where the constant C_2 depends on the choice of the function ψ only.

Proof. It is sufficient to consider $w \geq 0$. Represent ΔG in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta G(x) &= \int (\Delta_x (\alpha(w)^{4/3} \psi((x - \tilde{x})\alpha(w)^{1/3})) - \Delta_{\tilde{x}} (\alpha(w)^{4/3} \psi((x - \tilde{x})\alpha(w)^{1/3}))) f(\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x} \\ &\quad + \int \Delta_{\tilde{x}} (\alpha(w)^{4/3} \psi((x - \tilde{x})\alpha(w)^{1/3})) f(\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x} =: I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Estimate the first integral using (6.3):

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1(x)| &\leq C_1 \alpha(w)^{2/3} \int_{|\tilde{x}-x| \leq \rho \alpha(w)^{-1/3}} |f(\tilde{x})| d\tilde{x} \\ &\leq C_1 \alpha(w)^{2/3} e^{-\alpha(w - \rho \alpha(w)^{-1/3})^{4/3}} \frac{\pi^2 \rho^4 \alpha(w)^{-4/3}}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 1.5

$$\alpha(w)^{4/3} - \alpha(w - \rho \alpha(w)^{-1/3})^{4/3} \leq 4\rho = h. \quad (6.8)$$

Therefore,

$$|I_1(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2} C_1 \pi^2 \rho^4 \alpha(w)^{-2/3} e^h e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}}.$$

Next, integrating by parts we obtain

$$I_2(x) = \int \alpha(w)^{4/3} \psi((x - \tilde{x})\alpha(w)^{1/3}) \Delta f(\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x}.$$

Therefore, by virtue of Corollary 1.7, (6.2) and (6.8)

$$|I_2(x)| \leq 40m e^{-\alpha(w - \rho \alpha(w)^{-1/3})^{4/3}} \leq 40m e^h e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Lemma 6.8. *We have*

$$|\Delta F(x)| \leq C_3 e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}},$$

where the constant C_3 depends on the choice of the function ψ only.

Proof. Once again we consider $w \geq 0$. We have

$$\Delta F(x) - \Delta G(x) = \int_{\Pi} \Delta_x (\alpha(w)^{4/3} \psi((x - \tilde{x})\alpha(w)^{1/3})) (f^*(\tilde{x}) - f(\tilde{x})) d\tilde{x}.$$

If $\tilde{x} \notin \Omega$ then $f^*(\tilde{x}) - f(\tilde{x}) = 0$. If $\tilde{x} \in \Omega$ then

$$|f^*(\tilde{x}) - f(\tilde{x})| = |u(\tilde{x})| \leq 10R(\tilde{w})^2 e^{-\alpha(\tilde{w})^{4/3}}$$

due to Corollary 5.6. Taking into account (6.4) and (6.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Delta F(x) - \Delta G(x)| &\leq 10C_1\alpha(w)^2 \int_{|\tilde{x}-x|\leq\rho\alpha(w)^{-1/3}} R(\tilde{w})^2 e^{-\alpha(\tilde{w})^{4/3}} d\tilde{x} \\
&\leq 10C_1\alpha(w)^2 R(w - \rho\alpha(w)^{-1/3})^2 e^{-\alpha(w - \rho\alpha(w)^{-1/3})^{4/3}} \frac{\pi^2 \rho^4 \alpha(w)^{-4/3}}{2} \\
&\leq 5C_1\alpha(w)^{2/3} R(w - \rho\alpha(w)^{-1/3})^2 \pi^2 \rho^4 e^h e^{-\alpha(w)^{4/3}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

Show that

$$\alpha(w)^{1/3} R(w - \rho\alpha(w)^{-1/3}) \leq 1. \tag{6.10}$$

Indeed, if $w \leq w_m$ then

$$\alpha(w)^{1/3} R(w - \rho\alpha(w)^{-1/3}) = 50\sqrt{h}.$$

If $w_m \leq w \leq w_{m+1}$, then

$$\alpha(w)^{1/3} R(w - \rho\alpha(w)^{-1/3}) \leq 50\sqrt{h} w_m^{-1/3} w_{m+1}^{1/3}.$$

If $w_k \leq w \leq w_{k+1}$, $k \geq m + 1$ then

$$\alpha(w)^{1/3} R(w - \rho\alpha(w)^{-1/3}) \leq 50\sqrt{h} w_{k-1}^{-1/3} w_{k+1}^{1/3}.$$

In all cases (6.10) follows. Now, the claim follows from (6.9), (6.10) and Lemma 6.7. ■

Proof of Theorem 0.1. The existence of such function F follows from Lemma 6.1, Lemma 6.3, Corollary 6.5 and Lemma 6.8. ■

References

- [1] Bourgain J., Kenig C. E., *On localization in the continuous Anderson-Bernoulli model in higher dimension*, Invent. math. 161 (2005), 389–426.
- [2] Elton D. M., *Decay rates at infinity for solutions to periodic Schrödinger equations*, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **150** (2020), no. 3, 1113–1126.
- [3] Evans L. C., Gariepy R. F., *Measure theory and fine properties of functions*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1992.
- [4] Filonov N. D., Krymskii S. T., *On the rate of decay at infinity for solutions to the Schrödinger equation in a half-cylinder*, St. Petersburg Math. J. 34 (2023), no. 1, 79–92.
- [5] Ladyzhenskaya O. A., Ural'tseva N. N., *Linear and quasilinear elliptic equations*, Academic Press, New York - London, 1968.
- [6] Logunov A., Malinnikova E., Nadirashvili N., Nazarov F., *The Landis conjecture on exponential decay*, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2007.07034.pdf> ?
- [7] Meshkov V. Z., *On the possible rate of decrease at infinity of the solutions of second-order partial differential equations*, Mat. Sb. **182** (1991), no. 3, 364–383; English transl., Math. USSR-Sb. **72** (1992), no. 2, 343–361.