Notes on generalized special Lagrangian equation

Xingchen Zhou*

Department of Mathematical Sciences

Tsinghua University

November 27, 2023

Abstract

We obtain a prior $C^{1,1}$ estimates for some Hessian (quotient) equations with positive Lipschitz right hand sides, through studying a twisted special Lagrangian equation. The results imply the interior $C^{2,\alpha}$ regularity for C^0 viscosity solutions to $\sigma_2 = f^2(x)$ in dimension 3, with positive Lipschitz f(x).

1 Introduction

In this paper we will study the following generalized special Lagrangian equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \arctan \frac{\lambda_i}{f(x)} = \Theta \tag{1}$$

in general dimensions $n \geq 3$, Θ is the phase constant. Let u be its solution, we denote $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$ the eigenvalues of D^2u . We are interested if the twist f is only positive and Lipschitz continuous. When f=1 it is the classical special Lagrangian equation that originates in the special Lagrangian geometry of Harvey-Lawson [HL82] and enjoys good regularity. Equation (1) is equivalent to the following Hessian (quotient) equations with (n-1)-convex solutions $(n=3,4;\Theta=\frac{\pi}{2},\pi)$ respectively)

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_2 = f^2(x) & n = 3, & (a) \\ \frac{\sigma_3}{\sigma_1} = f^2(x) & n = 3, 4. & (b) \end{cases}$$
 (2)

Following Yuan [Yua06], we call the phase $|\Theta| = \frac{\pi}{2}(n-2)$ critical (">" supercritical and "<" subcritical) since the level set $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n | \arctan \lambda = c\}$ is convex only when $|c| \geq \frac{\pi}{2}(n-2)$. Singular solutions on subcritical phases exist, see Nadirashvili-Vlådu [NV10], Wang-Yuan

^{*}zxc3zxc4zxc5@stu.xjtu.edu.cn

[WY13], and Mooney-Savin [MS23] for non C^1 examples.

We state our main results in the following:

Theorem 1.1. Let u be a smooth solution to equation (1) in $B_4(0)$ with $|\Theta| \geq \frac{\pi}{2}(n-2)$, $n \geq 3$. Suppose that f is smooth and positive in $B_4(0)$, then we have

$$|D^2u(0)| \le C(n, \operatorname{osc}_{B_3(0)}u, ||f^{-1}||_{L^{\infty}(B_3(0))}, ||f||_{C^{0,1}(B_3(0))}).$$

Theorem 1.2. Let u be a smooth solution to equation (1) in $B_2(0)$ with $|\Theta| \geq \frac{\pi}{2}(n-2)$, $n \geq 3$. Suppose that f is smooth and positive in $B_2(0)$, then we have

$$|Du(0)| \le C(n)(||Df/f||^2_{L^{\infty}(B_1(0))} + 1) \underset{B_1(0)}{osc} u.$$

A simple example $w = \frac{1}{\alpha(1+\alpha)}|x_1|^{1+\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}(x_2^2 + x_3^2)$ shows that Theorem 1.1 is sharp for the quotient equation (2b). The situation for σ_2 equation remains open for us.

Theorem 1.3. Let $f(x) \in C^{0,1}(\overline{B}_1(0))$, $f \ge 1$ in $B_1(0)$. Let $\varphi(x) \in C^0(\partial B_1(0))$, $\alpha \in (0,1)$. The following Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2u, x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \arctan \frac{\lambda_i}{f} = \Theta & B_1(0), \\ u = \varphi & \partial B_1(0). \end{cases}$$

has an unique solution $u \in C^0(\overline{B}_1(0)) \cap C^{2,\alpha}(B_1(0))$ if the constant $\Theta \in [\frac{\pi}{2}(n-2), \frac{\pi}{2}n)$.

One application of the above results is the interior regularity for C^0 viscosity solutions to equation (2a),

Theorem 1.4 (Interior Regularity). Let u be a C^0 viscosity solution for three dimensional equation $\sigma_2 = f^2(x)$ in $B_1(0)$. Suppose that $f \in C^{0,1}(B_1(0))$, f > 0 in $B_1(0)$. Then $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(B_1(0))$ for each $\alpha \in (0,1)$, and

$$||u||_{C^{2,\alpha}(B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0))} \leq C(3,\alpha, \operatorname{osc}_{B_{\frac{3}{4}}(0)}u, ||f^{-1}||_{L^{\infty}(B_{\frac{3}{4}}(0))}, ||f||_{C^{0,1}(\overline{B}_{\frac{3}{4}}(0))}).$$

In the past, various integral techniques combined with Sobolev inequalities were developed in gradient estimates, for minimal surface equations and quasilinear equations, in Bombieri-De Giorgi-Miranda [BDM69], Ladyzhenskaya-Ural'tseva [LU70], Simon [Sim76], and also Trudinger [Tru72] [Tru73]. These approaches were further explored for Hessian estimates to special Lagrangian equations in Warren-Yuan [WY09], Chen-Warren-Yuan [CWY09] and Wang-Yuan [WY11].

The generalized special Lagrangian equation (1) was first brought up by Yu Yuan in the study of σ_2 equations with variable right hand sides. In an earlier joint work with Yingfeng Xu, we tried to get Hessian estimates with $f \in C^{1,1}$ in Wang-Yuan's way. In this study we push our goal further, using integral techniques to reduce the minimal regularity of f to Lipschitz in Hessian estimates. Previously this is known to be $C^{1,1}$ for (2), and some times also requires strict convex solutions. The $C^{1,1}$ condition is imageable, since generally one

need to differentiate the equation twice to see the behavior of D^2u , but then the second order derivatives of f appear. A key observation in this work is that the trace of D^2u admits a strong subharmonicity, say Jacobi inequality on the Lagrangian graph which contains a divergence structure Δf . This gives us an advantage in estimating the Hessian, since under integral sense we have a chance to bound the term containing Δf with the Lipschitz norm of f.

To perform such idea, two basic tools in need will be Sobolev inequality and mean value inequality on the Lagrangian graph (x, Du). Given the special form of (1), it is natural to consider it in the weighted Euclidean space $(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, \overline{g} = f^2(x)dx^2 + dy^2)$ (Qiu [Qiu17] made this observation when deriving Hessian estimates for (2a) for $C^{1,1}$ f(x)). Recall we have these two inequalities on submanifolds of \mathbb{R}^n in Michael-Simon [MS73], and on general manifolds in Hoffman-Spruck [HS74]. The later requires a prior information for sectional curvature and volume, which are not available in our situation. We develop these tools by introducing an intuitive vector field on the Lagrangian graph. It determines regions proportional to geodesic balls on the Lagrangian graph, and leads to a monotonicity formula only concerning with the weighted volume. The weighted volume is in fact the integral of nonnegative sysmetric functions σ_k each having a divergence structure and can be calculated. Then we use the covering in Michael-Simon [MS73] to get the desired results.

Once we get the $C^{1,1}$ estimates, the equations become uniformly elliptic. We refer to a prior $C^{2,\alpha}$ estimates by Yu Yuan technical in [Yua01], for equation (2a) with C^{α} f. The existence results can be found in Caffarelli-Nirenberg-Spruck [CNS85], Theorem 1.3, the comparison principle for continuous viscosity solutions is in Trudinger [Tru90], Theorem 2.2. Then the interior $C^{2,\alpha}$ regularity can be obtained by a standard approximating process.

The $C^{2,\alpha}$ estimates for equation (1) follow from the $C^{1,1}$ estimates and Caffarelli [Caf89]. For completeness of the story, we also establish a Dirichlet problem for (1), following Caffarelli-Nirenberg-Spruck [CNS85] and a lecture note on special Lagrangian equations by Yu Yuan, 2016.

Heinz [Hei59] derived a Hessian bound for Monge-Ampère type equation including equation (1) in dimension 2. Pogorelov [Pog78] and Chou-Wang [CW01] established Hessian estimates to Monge-Ampère equations and σ_k equations for $k \geq 2$ with certain strict convexity constraints. Urbas [Urb00] [Urb01] obtained Hessian estimates for $W^{2,p}$ weak solutions to σ_k equations in terms of certain Hessian integrals. Bao-Chen-Guan-Ji [BCGJ03] obtained pointwise Hessian estimates to strictly convex solutions for quotient equations σ_n/σ_k in terms of certain integrals of the Hessian. Pointwise Hessian estimates for $\sigma_2 = 1$ in dimension 3 were obtained in Warren-Yuan [WY09]. Qiu [Qiu17] (n = 3) also Guan-Qiu [GQ19] (u is convex or $\sigma_3 > -A$) proved Hessian estimates for quadratic Hessian equations with a $C^{1,1}$ variable right hand side. Hessian estimates for semi-convex solutions to $\sigma_2 = 1$ were derived by a compactness argument in McGonagle-Song-Yuan [MSY19]. Shankar-Yuan [SY20a] [SY20b] [SY22] proved regularity for almost convex viscosity solutions, semi-convex solutions and semi-convex entire solutions to $\sigma_2 = 1$ respectively. Mooney [Moo21] proved regularity for convex viscosity solutions to $\sigma_2 = 1$ using a new approach.

Notation 1.5. The following notations will be used throughout this paper.

 $u_i = \partial_i u$, $u_{ij} = \partial_{ij} u$, and $\lambda_{i,j} = \partial_j \lambda_i$, $\lambda_{i,jk} = \partial_{jk} \lambda_i$, etc.

 $V = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{1 + \lambda_i^2}$ is the volume element. $T_{g^{-1}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_i^2}$ is the trace of the inverse metric.

 $|f|_{\infty}$ is the L^{∞} norm of f when the region is implicit.

 C_f denotes various constants depending only on n and $||f||_{C^{0,1}}$.

preliminary inequalities on the Lagrangian graph 2

In this section we will study the geometric futures of the Lagrangian graph (x, Du), and prove two basic inequalities on it: Sobolev inequality and mean value inequality. Here we consider the general dimension $n \geq 3$.

2.1 **Preliminaries**

We introduce in the following the weighted Euclidean space $(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, \overline{g} = f^2(x)dx^2 + dy^2)$. Let $z=(x,y), x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n$, the Christoffel symbols of the weighted Euclidean space are

$$\overline{\Gamma}_{ij}^{k} = \frac{1}{2} \overline{g}^{kl} \left(\frac{\partial \overline{g}_{lj}}{\partial z_i} + \frac{\partial \overline{g}_{il}}{\partial z_j} - \frac{\partial \overline{g}_{ij}}{\partial z_l} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{f} (f_i \delta_{kj} + f_j \delta_{ik} - f_k \delta_{ij}).$$

We have $\overline{\Gamma}_{ij}^k = 0$ when one of the indexes k, i, j > n. Equipped with a metric g induced from the weighted Euclidean space, the graph (\mathcal{M}, g) becomes a submanifold which is not necessarily minimal, in contrast to the classical Lagrangian graph in Euclidean space. The tangent vector of \mathcal{M} is

$$\partial_i = \partial_i(x, Du) = \partial_{x_i} + \sum_{\alpha} D_{\alpha} u_i \partial_{y_{\alpha}},$$

where ∂_{x_i} , ∂_{y_α} are unit tangent vectors $\mathbf{e}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, $\mathbf{e}_{\alpha+n} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ respectively. Choose a coordinate system such that D^2u is diagonal at a point $p \in \mathcal{M}$, the induced metric is

$$g_{ij} = f^2 \delta_{ij} + Du_i \cdot Du_j \stackrel{p}{=} (f^2 + \lambda_i^2) \delta_{ij}.$$

The the Beltrami-Laplace operator on \mathcal{M} is given by

$$\Delta_{g} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \partial_{j} (\sqrt{g} g^{ij} \partial_{i}) = g^{ij} \partial_{ij} + \partial_{j} g^{ij} \partial_{i} + \frac{1}{2g} \partial_{j} g g^{ij} \partial_{i}$$

$$= g^{ij} \partial_{ij} - g^{il} g^{sj} \partial_{j} g_{ls} \partial_{i} + \frac{1}{2} g^{ls} g^{ij} \partial_{j} g_{ls} \partial_{i}$$

$$\stackrel{p}{=} g^{ii} \partial_{ii} + g^{ii} g^{jj} (f f_{i} - 2f f_{j} \delta_{ij} - \lambda_{i} \lambda_{j,i}) \partial_{i}$$

$$\stackrel{\Delta}{=} g^{ii} \partial_{ii} + \psi^{i} g^{ii} \partial_{i}, \qquad (3)$$

where $\psi^i \stackrel{p}{=} \sum_{j=1}^n g^{jj} (ff_i - 2ff_j \delta_{ij} - \lambda_i \lambda_{j,i})$. Next we calculate the mean curvature of \mathcal{M} . The Riemannian connection $\overline{\nabla}$ on the weighted Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ is

$$\overline{\nabla}_{\partial_i}\partial_j = \overline{\nabla}_{(\partial_{x_i} + \sum_{\alpha} D_{\alpha} u_i \partial_{y_{\alpha}})} (\partial_{x_j} + \sum_{\beta} D_{\beta} u_j \partial_{y_{\beta}}) = \overline{\Gamma}_{ij}^k \partial_{x_k} + \sum_{\beta} D_{\beta} u_{ij} \partial_{y_{\beta}}$$

$$= \sum_k \frac{1}{f} (f_i \delta_{kj} + f_j \delta_{ik} - f_k \delta_{ij}) (e_k, 0) + \sum_{\beta} D_{\beta} u_{ij} (0, e_{\beta}).$$

Let $e_s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be the unit vector, the unit normal vector in $(T\mathcal{M})^{\perp}$ is

$$N_s = \frac{(-Du_s, f^2 e_s)}{f\sqrt{|Du_s|^2 + f^2}} \stackrel{p}{=} \frac{(-\lambda_s e_s, f^2 e_s)}{f\sqrt{\lambda_s^2 + f^2}}.$$

The mean curvature of \mathcal{M} is

$$\begin{split} H &\stackrel{p}{=} g^{ii} < \overline{\nabla}_{\partial_{i}} \partial_{i}, N_{j} > N_{j} \stackrel{p}{=} f^{-2} g^{ii} g^{jj} < \overline{\nabla}_{\partial_{i}} \partial_{i}, \ (-\lambda_{j} e_{j}, f^{2} e_{j}) > (-\lambda_{j} e_{j}, f^{2} e_{j}) \\ &\stackrel{p}{=} \sum_{k} < \frac{1}{f} (2 f_{i} \delta_{ki} - f_{k}) (e_{k}, 0) + \lambda_{i,k} (0, e_{k}), \ (-\lambda_{j} e_{j}, f^{2} e_{j}) > f^{-2} g^{ii} g^{jj} (-\lambda_{j} e_{j}, f^{2} e_{j}) \\ &\stackrel{p}{=} g^{ii} g^{jj} [\frac{1}{f} \lambda_{j} (-2 f_{i} \delta_{ji} + f_{j}) + \lambda_{i,j}] (-\lambda_{j} e_{j}, f^{2} e_{j}). \end{split}$$

Lemma 2.1. The mean curvature of the gradient graph \mathcal{M} is bounded by

$$|H| \le C(n)|Df| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{f^2 + \lambda_i^2} = C(n)|Df|T_{g^{-1}}.$$

Proof. Choose a coordinate system such that D^2u is diagonal at a point $p \in \mathcal{M}$. Differentiate equation (1) once with respect to x_j at p:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{i,j} f - \lambda_i f_j}{f^2 + \lambda_i^2} = 0, \quad or \ \lambda_{i,j} g^{ii} = \frac{1}{f} \lambda_i f_j g^{ii}. \tag{4}$$

By the formula of H and (4), we have

$$|H| \stackrel{p}{=} g^{ii}g^{jj}\frac{1}{f}[\lambda_j(-2f_i\delta_{ji} + f_j) + \lambda_i f_j](-\lambda_j e_j, f^2 e_j)| \le C(n)|Df|\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{f^2 + \lambda_i^2}.$$

Notice that if $Df \equiv 0$, then $H \equiv 0$.

2.2 Sobolev inequality on M

We introduce the following definition from Hoffman-Spruck [HS74]:

Definition 2.2. The divergence of a vector field X: $\mathcal{M} \to T(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ on \mathcal{M} is the trace of $\overline{\nabla}X$ on $T\mathcal{M}$. In local coordinates, we have

$$\operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{M}} X = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g^{ij} < \overline{\nabla}_{\partial_i} X, \ \partial_j > .$$

Lemma 2.3 (Hoffman-Spruck [HS74]). If $X : M \to T(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a vector field on \mathcal{M} , f is a C^1 function on \mathcal{M} , then

- i) $\int_{\mathcal{M}} \operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{M}} X dv_g = -\int_{\mathcal{M}} \langle X, H \rangle dv_g + \int_{\partial \mathcal{M}} \langle X, \nu \rangle dv_g$
- ii) $\operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{M}} fX = f \operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{M}} X + \langle X, \nabla_g f \rangle$, where ν is the exterior normal vector field to \mathcal{M} on $\partial \mathcal{M}$.

Fix a point $z^0 \in \mathcal{M}$, consider the vector field $z \in \mathcal{M} \to T(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$: $Z(z, z_0) = \sum_{l=1}^{2n} (z_l - z_l^0) \partial_{z_l}$, and denote r = |Z|. Notice that it is not necessarily the usual radial vector field unless f is a constant. We are interested in the divergence of Z. Choose a coordinate system such that D^2u is diagonal at z, by definition 2.2 we have

$$\operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{M}} \partial_{z_{l}} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g^{ij} < \overline{\nabla}_{\partial_{i}} \partial_{z_{l}}, \ \partial_{j} > = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \sum_{k,s=1}^{2n} g^{ij} < \overline{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial z_{k}}{\partial x_{i}} \partial_{z_{k}}} \partial_{z_{l}}, \ \frac{\partial z_{s}}{\partial x_{j}} \partial_{z_{s}} >$$

$$= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \sum_{k,m,s=1}^{2n} g^{ij} \overline{g}_{ms} \frac{\partial z_{k}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial z_{s}}{\partial x_{j}} \overline{\Gamma}_{kl}^{m}.$$

Notice that $\overline{\Gamma}_{kl}^m = 0$ when one of the indexes m, k, l > n, we have

$$\operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{M}} Z = \sum_{l=1}^{2n} (z_{l} - z_{l}^{0}) \operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{M}} \partial_{z_{l}} + \sum_{l=1}^{2n} \langle \partial_{z_{l}}, \nabla_{g} (z_{l} - z_{l}^{0}) \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^{2n} (z_{l} - z_{l}^{0}) \operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{M}} \partial_{z_{l}} + \sum_{l,k=1}^{2n} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \langle g^{ij} \frac{\partial z_{l}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial z_{k}}{\partial x_{j}} \partial z_{k}, \partial_{z_{l}} \rangle$$

$$\stackrel{z}{=} n + f^{2} \sum_{i,l=1}^{n} (z_{l} - z_{l}^{0}) g^{ii} \overline{\Gamma}_{il}^{i}.$$
(5)

In the following we will prove the main theorem in this section.

Propersition 2.4 (Sobolev inequality on \mathcal{M}). Let ϕ be a non-negative $C^1(U)$ function vanishing outside a compact subset of $U \subset \mathcal{M}$. Suppose that $f \geq 1$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(n, ||f||_{C^{0,1}(U)})$ such that

$$\left[\int_{\mathcal{M}} \phi^{\frac{n}{n-1}} dv_g\right]^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq C\left[1 + \left(\int_{\text{supp } \phi} T_{g^{-1}} dv_g\right)^{\frac{1}{n(n-1)}}\right] \int_{\mathcal{M}} \left[\left|\nabla_g \phi\right| + \phi(|H| + \sqrt{T_{g^{-1}}})\right] dv_g.$$

Lemma 2.5. Suppose χ is a non-decreasing $C^1(\mathbb{R})$ function that $\chi(t) = 0$ when $t \leq 0$. Let $z_0 \in \mathcal{M}$, $\rho > 0$ be a constant, define functions φ_0 , ψ_0 , by

$$\varphi_0(\rho) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \phi(x) \chi(\rho - r) dv_g,$$

$$\psi_0(\rho) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} [|\nabla_g \phi(x)| + \phi(x) (|H| + \sqrt{T_{g^{-1}}})] \chi(\rho - r) dv_g,$$

where r = |Z| is the norm of the vector with respect to z_0 . Then there exists a constant $C = C(n)|Df(1+f+|Df|)^3|_{\infty}$ such that for all $\rho > 0$,

$$-\frac{d}{d\rho}\left(\frac{\varphi_0(\rho)}{\rho^n}\right) \le (1+C)\frac{\psi_0(\rho)}{\rho^n} + C\frac{1}{\rho^{n-1}} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \sqrt{T_{g^{-1}}} \phi(x) \chi'(\rho-r) dv_g.$$

Proof. We begin by calculating that

$$\operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{M}}[\chi(\rho - r)\phi Z] = \chi(\rho - r)\phi \operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{M}} Z + \langle \nabla_{g}[\chi(\rho - r)\phi], Z \rangle$$

= $\chi(\rho - r)\phi \operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{M}} Z - \chi'(\rho - r)\phi \langle \nabla_{g}r, Z \rangle + \chi(\rho - r) \langle \nabla_{g}\phi, Z \rangle$.

We integrate the above equality over \mathcal{M} and get

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} \chi(\rho - r)\phi \operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{M}} Z dv_g = -\int_{\mathcal{M}} \chi(\rho - r)\phi < Z, H > dv_g
+ \int_{\mathcal{M}} \chi'(\rho - r)\phi < \nabla_g r, Z > dv_g - \int_{\mathcal{M}} \chi(\rho - r) < \nabla_g \phi, Z > dv_g.$$
(6)

Define functions $\alpha_k(t) = t$ when $k \leq n$, $\alpha_k(t) = 1$ when k > n, and $\beta_k(t) = t$ when $k \leq n$, $\beta_k(t) = 0$ when k > n. Choose a coordinate system such that D^2u is diagonal at z. We have $r = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{2n} \alpha_k(f^2)(z_k - z_k^0)^2}$, notice $f \geq 1$ implies $T_{g^{-1}} \leq n\sqrt{T_{g^{-1}}}$,

$$|\nabla_{g}r|^{2} \stackrel{z}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{g^{ii}}{r^{2}} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{2n} \alpha_{k}(f^{2}) \frac{\partial z_{k}}{\partial x_{i}} (z_{k} - z_{k}^{0}) + \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \beta_{k}(ff_{i}) (z_{k} - z_{k}^{0})^{2} \right]^{2}$$

$$\stackrel{z}{\leq} 1 + C(n) \left[\rho(|fDf| + |f^{3}Df|) \sqrt{T_{g^{-1}}} + \rho^{2} |fDf|^{2} T_{g^{-1}} \right) \leq (1 + C_{1}\rho \sqrt{T_{g^{-1}}})^{2},$$

$$(7)$$

where the coefficient $C_1 = C(n)|Df(1+f+|Df|)^3|_{\infty}$. Now we use (5), (7) to estimate equality (6). Notice that $|\overline{\Gamma}_{ij}^i| \leq \frac{|Df|}{f}$, by (5) we have $|\operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{M}} Z| \geq n - C(n)|fDf|rT_{g^{-1}}$,

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} \chi(\rho - r)\phi(x)[n - C(n)\rho|fDf|T_{g^{-1}}]dv_g \leq \int_{\mathcal{M}} \chi(\rho - r)\phi(x)\rho|H|dv_g + \int_{\mathcal{M}} \chi'(\rho - r)\phi(x)(1 + C_1\rho\sqrt{T_{g^{-1}}})\rho dv_g + \int_{\mathcal{M}} \chi(\rho - r)\rho|\nabla_g\phi(x)|dv_g.$$

Thus we have

$$n\varphi_0(\rho) - \rho\varphi_0'(\rho) \le (1 + C_1)\rho\psi_0(\rho) + C_1\rho^2 \int_{\mathcal{M}} \sqrt{T_{g^{-1}}}\phi(x)\chi'(\rho - r)dv_g.$$

Multiply the above inequality by ρ^{-n-1} and we get the conclusion.

Remark 2.6. If $Df \equiv 0$, we have $\operatorname{div}_{\mathcal{M}} Z = n$, $C_1 = 0$, then Lemma 2.5 reduces to Michael-Simon [MS73], Lemma 2.2. We can show that this is still true in the following proof by accurately calculating the related coefficients, but for simplicity we won't do this. We only point out that to get a consistent result to Michael-Simon [MS73], the critical step is the monotonicity formula,

$$-\frac{d}{d\rho}(\frac{\varphi_0(\rho)}{\rho^n}) \le \frac{\psi_0(\rho)}{\rho^n}.$$

In the following we will denote $S_{\rho}(z_0) = \{z \in \mathcal{M} | |Z(z, z_0)| \leq \rho\}$, where the vector Z is defined as before. Notice that since $f \geq 1$, we have $S_{\rho}(z_0) \supset \mathcal{B}_{\frac{\rho}{|f|_{\infty}}}(z_0)$, the later is the geodesic ball on M with radius $\frac{\rho}{|f|_{\infty}}$.

Lemma 2.7. Let $U \subset \mathcal{M}$ be an open subset. Let $\phi(x) \in C_0^1(U)$ satisfy $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$, $z_0 \in U$ be a point such that $\phi(z_0) = 1$, $\lim_{\rho \to 0} \rho^{-n} |S_{\rho}(z_0)| \geq (||f||_{L^{\infty}(U)})^{-n} \omega_n$. Let $\tau > 1$ be an arbitrary constant. Define $\overline{\varphi}_0$, $\overline{\psi}_0$, J_{ϕ} , T_{ϕ} by

$$\overline{\varphi}_0(\rho) = \int_{S_{\rho}(z_0)} \phi(x) dv_g,$$

$$\overline{\psi}_0(\rho) = \int_{S_{\rho}(z_0)} [|\nabla_g \phi(x)| + \phi(x)(|H| + \sqrt{T_{g^{-1}}})] dv_g,$$

$$J_{\phi} = [\omega_n^{-1} \int_{M} \phi(x) dv_g]^{\frac{1}{n}}, \ T_{\phi} = \int_{\text{supp}(\phi)} T_{g^{-1}} dv_g.$$

then there exist positive constants μ , κ depending only on $||f||_{C^{0,1}(U)}$ such that for some constant $0 < \rho < \kappa(1 + T_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{n(n-1)}})J_{\phi}$,

$$\overline{\varphi}_0(\tau\rho) \le \mu \tau^n (1 + T_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{n(n-1)}}) J_{\phi} \overline{\psi}_0(\rho).$$

Proof. Let $\rho_0 = \kappa J$ where κ is to be chosen later, let $\sigma \in (0, \rho_0)$ be a constant. By Lemma 2.5 we have

$$\sigma^{-n}\varphi_0(\sigma) \leq \rho_0^{-n}\varphi_0(\rho_0) + C\left[\int_0^{\rho_0} \rho^{-n}\psi_0(\rho)d\rho + \int_\sigma^{\rho_0} \frac{1}{\rho^{n-1}} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \sqrt{T_{g^{-1}}}\phi(x)\chi'(\rho - r)dv_g d\rho\right]$$

$$\leq \rho_0^{-n}\varphi_0(\rho_0) + C_2\left[\int_0^{\rho_0} \rho^{-n}\psi_0(\rho)d\rho + \rho_0^{1-n} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \sqrt{T_{g^{-1}}}\phi(x)\chi(\rho_0 - r)dv_g\right],$$

where $C = 1 + C(n)|Df(1 + f + |Df|)^3|_{\infty}$ and $C_2 = C(n)C$. Let $\epsilon \in (0, \sigma)$, we require the function $\chi(t)$ in Lemma 2.5 to satisfy $\chi(t) = 1$ when $t \geq \epsilon$. It follows that $\overline{\varphi}_0(\sigma - \epsilon) \leq \varphi_0(\sigma) \leq \overline{\varphi}_0(\sigma)$, $\psi_0(\rho) \leq \overline{\psi}_0(\rho)$, thus

$$\sigma^{-n}\overline{\varphi}_0(\sigma - \epsilon) \le \rho_0^{-n}\overline{\varphi}_0(\rho_0) + C_2\left[\int_0^{\rho_0} \rho^{-n}\overline{\psi}_0(\rho)d\rho + \rho_0^{1-n} \int_{S_{\rho_0}(z_0)} \sqrt{T_{g^{-1}}}\phi(x)dv_g\right].$$

Since ϵ , σ are arbitrary, we have

$$\sup_{\sigma \in (0,\rho_0)} \sigma^{-n} \overline{\varphi}_0(\sigma) \le \rho_0^{-n} \overline{\varphi}_0(\rho_0) + C_2 \left[\int_0^{\rho_0} \rho^{-n} \overline{\psi}_0(\rho) d\rho + \rho_0^{1-n} \int_{S_{\rho_0}(z_0)} \sqrt{T_{g^{-1}}} \phi(x) dv_g \right]. \tag{8}$$

To prove that inequality (8) implies the conclusion, we argue by contradiction. Suppose for all $\rho \in (0, \rho_0)$, $\overline{\psi}_0(\rho) < \mu^{-1} \rho_0^{-1} \tau^{-n} \overline{\varphi}_0(\tau \rho)$. We estimate separately the terms at the right hand side of (8). We have

$$\int_{0}^{\rho_{0}} \rho^{-n} \overline{\psi}_{0}(\rho) d\rho \leq \mu^{-1} \rho_{0}^{-1} \tau^{-n} \int_{0}^{\rho_{0}} \rho^{-n} \overline{\varphi}_{0}(\tau \rho) d\rho$$

$$= \mu^{-1} \rho_{0}^{-1} \tau^{-1} \int_{0}^{\rho_{0}} \sigma^{-n} \overline{\varphi}_{0}(\sigma) d\sigma + \mu^{-1} \rho_{0}^{-1} \tau^{-1} \int_{\rho_{0}}^{\tau \rho_{0}} \sigma^{-n} \overline{\varphi}_{0}(\sigma) d\sigma$$

$$\leq \mu^{-1} \tau^{-1} \sup_{\sigma \in (0, \rho_{0})} \sigma^{-n} \overline{\varphi}_{0}(\sigma) + \mu^{-1} \rho_{0}^{-n} \overline{\varphi}_{0}(\tau \rho_{0}).$$

Recall $\phi \leq 1$, $f \geq 1$, we have

$$\rho_0^{1-n} \int_{S_{\rho}(z_0)} \sqrt{T_{g^{-1}}} \phi(x) dv_g \leq \rho_0^{1-n} \left(\int_{\text{supp}(\phi)} T_{g^{-1}}^{\frac{n}{2}} dv_g \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(\int_{\text{supp}(\phi)} \phi^{\frac{n}{n-1}}(x) dv_g \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \\
\leq C(n) \omega_n^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \kappa^{1-n} T_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

Let $C_3 = C(n)\omega^{-\frac{1}{n}}C_2$, (8) becomes

$$(1 - C_2 \mu^{-1} \tau^{-1}) \sup_{\sigma \in (0, \rho_0)} \sigma^{-n} \overline{\varphi}_0(\sigma) \le (1 + C_2 \mu^{-1}) \kappa^{-n} \omega_n + C_3 \kappa^{1-n} T_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{n}} \omega_n.$$

Since $\tau > 1$ and $\sup_{\sigma \in (0,\rho_0)} \sigma^{-n} \overline{\varphi}_0(\sigma) \ge \lim_{\rho \to 0} \rho^{-n} |S_\rho(z_0)| \ge (|f|_\infty)^{-n} \omega_n$, we can choose

$$\mu \ge 4(1+C_2), \ \mu = C(n)[1+|Df(1+f+|Df|)^3|_{\infty}],$$

$$\kappa^n \ge 8|f|_{\infty}^n, \ \kappa^{n-1} \ge 8C_3T_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{n}}|f|_{\infty}^n,$$

$$\kappa = C(n)[1+|Df(1+f+|Df|)^3|_{\infty}]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}|f|_{\infty}^{\frac{n}{n-1}}(1+T_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{n(n-1)}}),$$

and get a contradiction.

Proof of Proposition 2.2:

Proof. We follow essentially the argument of Michael-Simon [MS73]. Let $\alpha \geq \epsilon > 0$ be constants, let $\hat{\chi}(t)$ be a non-decreasing $C^1(\mathbb{R})$ function such that $\hat{\chi}(t) = 0$ when $t \leq -\epsilon$, $\hat{\chi}(t) = 1$ when $t \geq 0$. Consider the set $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha} = \{z \in \mathcal{M} | \hat{\chi}(\phi - \alpha) \geq 1\} \setminus \mathcal{M}_0$ where \mathcal{M}_0 is a set of measure zero that the condition $\lim_{\rho\to 0} \rho^{-n} |\mathcal{B}_{\rho}(z_0)| \geq \omega_n$ fails. We substitute $\phi(x)$ in Lemma 2.7 by $\hat{\chi}(\phi - \alpha)$, let $\rho_i = 2^{-i+1}\kappa(1 + T_{\hat{\chi}(\phi-\alpha)}^{\frac{1}{n(n-1)}})J_{\hat{\chi}(\phi-\alpha)}$, i = 1, 2, ..., where we use the same definition as in Lemma 2.7. Notice that $\operatorname{supp}(\hat{\chi}(\phi-\alpha)) \subset \operatorname{supp}(\phi)$, we denote

$$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^{i} = \{ z_0 \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} | \overline{\varphi}_0(2\tau\rho) \leq \mu(2\tau)^n (1 + T_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{n(n-1)}}) J_{\hat{\chi}(\phi-\alpha)} \overline{\psi}_0(\rho) \text{ for some } \rho \in (2^{-1}\rho_i, \rho_i] \}.$$

It follows that $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha} = \bigcup_{i} \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^{i}$. Next we define inductively a sequence of subsets F_{0} , F_{1} ... as follows:

- (1) $F_0 = \emptyset$;
- (2) Let $k \geq 1$ such that $F_0, F_1, ..., F_{k-1}$ have been defined. Let $G_k = \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^k \bigcup_{i=0}^{k-1} \bigcup_{z \in F_i} S_{\tau \rho_i}$. If $G_k = \emptyset$, then $F_k = \emptyset$. Else choose F_k to be a finite subset of G_k such that $G_k \subset$ $\bigcup_{z\in F_k} S_{\tau\rho_k}(z)$ and $S_{\rho_k}(z)$, $z\in F_k$ are pairwise disjoint. We can choose $\tau=2|f|_{\infty}^2$, since $S_{2|f|_{\infty}\rho}(z_0)\supset \mathcal{B}_{2\rho}(z_0)\supset \mathcal{B}_{\rho}(z_0)\supset S_{\frac{\rho}{|f|_{\infty}}}(z_0)$ for all $\rho>0, z_0\in\mathcal{M}$, where \mathcal{B}_{ρ} is geodesic the ball on \mathcal{M} . It follows that
- (a) $F_i \subset M_{\alpha}^i, i = 1, 2, ...,$
- (b) $M_{\alpha} \subset \bigcup_{i=1} \bigcup_{z \in F_i} S_{\tau \rho_i}(z)$, (c) all the sets of $S_{\rho_i}(z)$, $z \in F_i$, i = 1, 2, ... are pairwise disjoint.
- By (a) we have for each $z_0 \in F_i$, $\overline{\varphi}_0(2\tau\rho) \leq \mu(2\tau)^n (1 + T_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{n(n-1)}}) J_{\hat{\chi}(\phi-\alpha)} \overline{\psi}_0(\rho)$ for some $\rho \in$

 $(2^{-1}\rho_i, \rho_i]$. Summing over all $z \in F_i$ and i = 1, 2, ..., and denote $C_{\phi} = C(n)\mu\tau^n(1 + T_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{n(n-1)}})$, by (b) (c) we have

$$|M_{\alpha}| \leq C_{\phi} J_{\hat{\chi}(\phi-\alpha)} \int_{M} [|\nabla_{g} \hat{\chi}(\phi-\alpha)| + \hat{\chi}(\phi-\alpha)(|H| + \sqrt{T_{g^{-1}}})] dv_{g}$$

$$\leq C_{\phi} [\int_{M} \hat{\chi}(\phi-\alpha) dv_{g}]^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_{M} [|\nabla_{g} \hat{\chi}(\phi-\alpha)| + \hat{\chi}(\phi-\alpha)(|H| + \sqrt{T_{g^{-1}}})] dv_{g}.$$

We multiply the above inequality by $\alpha^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$ and integrate over $(0,\infty)$,

$$\int_{M} \phi^{\frac{n}{n-1}} dv_{g} \leq C_{\phi} \left[\int_{M} (\phi + \epsilon)^{\frac{n}{n-1}} dv_{g} \right]^{\frac{1}{n}} \int_{M} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[|\nabla_{g} \hat{\chi}(\phi - \alpha)| + \hat{\chi}(\phi - \alpha)(|H| + \sqrt{T_{g^{-1}}}) \right] d\alpha dv_{g},$$

where we use the fact that $\hat{\chi}(\phi - \alpha) = 0$ when $\phi + \epsilon \leq \alpha$. Finally we calculate that

$$|\nabla_q \hat{\chi}(\phi - \alpha)| = \hat{\chi}'(\phi - \alpha)|\nabla_q \phi|,$$

$$\int_0^\infty \hat{\chi}(\phi - \alpha) d\alpha = \int_0^\infty \alpha \hat{\chi}'(\phi - \alpha) d\alpha \le (\phi + \epsilon) \int_0^\infty \hat{\chi}'(\phi - \alpha) d\alpha \le \phi + \epsilon,$$

and let $\epsilon \to 0$ we get the desired result.

2.3 Mean value inequality on \mathcal{M}

Let U be an open subset of M. Consider a nonnegative integrable function $\phi \geq 0$ on M satisfying $\Delta_g \phi \geq 0$ in the sense that for each non-negative function $\gamma \in C_0^{\infty}(U)$, the following inequality holds:

$$\int_{M} \phi \Delta_g \gamma dv_g \ge 0. \tag{9}$$

The proof of the monotonicity formula in Lemma 2.5 implies a mean value inequality for ϕ on M, which we will discuss in the following.

Propersition 2.8. Suppose that ϕ is a nonnegative integrable function on M satisfying inequality (9). There exists a constant C depending $||f||_{C^{0,1}(U)}$ such that for a.e. $z_0 \in U$ and all $0 < \rho \le 1$ with geodesic ball $\mathcal{B}_{\rho}(z_0) \subset \subset U$,

$$\phi(z_0) \le C\rho^{-n} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho}(z_0)} \phi(z) dv_g.$$

Proof. We may assume that $z_0 = 0$. Denote r = |Z(z,0)|. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be an arbitrary constant, let $\chi(t)$ be a non-decreasing $C^1(\mathbb{R})$ function that $\chi(t) = 0$ when $t \leq 0$, and $\chi(t) = 1$ when $t \geq \epsilon$. Define functions φ_0 , $\overline{\varphi}_0$ as in Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.7. Define a test function γ by

$$\gamma(s) = \int_{s}^{\infty} t \chi(\rho - t) dt.$$

Define function $\alpha_k(t)$, $\beta_k(t)$ as in the proof of Lemma 2.5. We calculate that

$$\frac{\partial \gamma(r)}{\partial x_i} = -\chi(\rho - r) \sum_{k=1}^{2n} [\alpha_k(h^2) z_k \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial x_i} + \beta_k(hh_i) z_k^2],$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \gamma(r)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = -\chi(\rho - r) \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \alpha_k(h^2) \left[\frac{\partial z_k}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial x_j} + z_k \frac{\partial^2 z_k}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right]$$

$$-\chi(\rho - r) \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \left[2\beta_k(ff_j) z_k \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial x_i} + 2\beta_k(ff_i) z_k \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial x_j} + \beta_k(ff_{ij} + f_i f_j) z_k^2 \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{r} \chi'(\rho - r) \sum_{k,s=1}^{2n} \left[\alpha_k(f^2) z_k \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial x_i} + \beta_k(ff_i) z_k^2 \right] \left[\alpha_s(f^2) z_s \frac{\partial z_s}{\partial x_i} + \beta_s(ff_i) z_s^2 \right].$$

Choose a coordinate system such that D^2u is diagonal at z, by the definition of Δ_g (3) and equality (4), we have

$$\Delta_g \gamma(r) \le [-n + C(r+r^2) - f\Delta_g f|x|^2] \chi(\rho - r) + [r + C_f(r^2 + r^3)] \chi'(\rho - r), \tag{10}$$

where C_f is a constant depending on $||f||_{C^{0,1}(U)}$. We choose the test function in (9) to be $\gamma(r)$,

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} \phi(x) \Delta_g \gamma(r) dv_g \ge 0.$$

Use inequality (10) and the fact that $|\nabla_g r| \leq C_f$, we have

$$n \int_{\mathcal{M}} \phi(x) \chi(\rho - r) dv_g - \rho \int_{\mathcal{M}} \phi(x) \chi'(\rho - r) dv_g$$

$$\leq C_f(\rho + \rho^2) \int_{\mathcal{M}} \phi(x) \chi(\rho - r) dv_g + C_f(\rho^2 + \rho^3) \int_{\mathcal{M}} \phi(x) \chi'(\rho - r) dv_g.$$

Notice that $\gamma(r) \leq \rho^2 \chi(\rho - r)$, $\rho \leq 1$, we have

$$-\frac{d}{d\rho}\left(\frac{\varphi_0(\rho)}{\rho^n}\right) \le C\left[\frac{\varphi_0(\rho)}{\rho^n} + \frac{\varphi_0'(\rho)}{\rho^{n-1}}\right] = C_f\left[(n+1)\frac{\varphi_0(\rho)}{\rho^n} + \rho\frac{d}{d\rho}\left(\frac{\varphi_0(\rho)}{\rho^n}\right)\right].$$

Multiply the above inequality by $\rho^n[\varphi_0(\rho)]^{-1}$,

$$-(1+C_f\rho)\frac{d}{d\rho}\ln(\frac{\varphi_0(\rho)}{\rho^n}) \le C_f(1+n).$$

Choose $\rho_0 \in (0, 1)$, and integrate the above inequality over (σ, ρ_0) , we get for arbitrarily small constants $0 < \epsilon < \sigma$,

$$\sigma^{-n}\overline{\varphi}_0(\sigma-\epsilon) < e^{(n+1)C_f}\rho_0^{-n}\overline{\varphi}_0(\rho_0).$$

Recall $\mathcal{B}_{\frac{\rho_0}{|f|_{\infty}}}(0) \subset S_{\rho_0}(0) \subset \mathcal{B}_{\rho_0|f|_{\infty}}(0)$, for general $0 < \rho < 1$ we have

$$\phi(0) \le C_f \rho^{-n} \int_{\mathcal{B}_2(0)} \phi(z) dv_g.$$

3 Trace Jacobi Inequality For Gslag

In this section we prove trace Jacobi inequalities on critical and supercritical phases for generalized special Lagrangian equation (1). It is another crucial step to get Hessian estimates with Lipschitz twist besides the Sobolev inequality. Notice that the result applies to convex solutions as well.

Lemma 3.1. Let u be a smooth solution to equation (1) with $\Theta \ge \frac{\pi}{2}(n-2)$. Suppose that f is smooth, $f \ge 1$, denote $\Delta \cdot = \Delta u$. Then we have

$$\Delta_{g} \ln |A + \Delta \cdot| - \frac{\epsilon}{2} |\nabla_{g} \ln |A + \Delta \cdot||^{2}
\geq 3\delta |A + \Delta \cdot|^{-2} \sum_{\gamma,i=1}^{n} g^{ii} u_{ii\gamma}^{2} + |A + \Delta \cdot|^{-1} \frac{\Delta f}{f} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g^{ii} \lambda_{i} - C_{f} T_{g^{-1}},$$
(11)

where ϵ, δ, A, C_f are positive constants depending only on n and $||f||_{C^{0,1}}$. The same conclusion holds when u is convex.

By approximation we suppose that the eigenvalues of D^2u are pairwise distinct at $p \in \mathcal{M}$. Choose a coordinate system such that D^2u is diagonal at p,

$$\lambda_{\gamma,ii} = \sum_{s,t=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \lambda_{\gamma}}{\partial u_{st}} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{st}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} + \sum_{s,t,k,l=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} \lambda_{\gamma}}{\partial u_{st} \partial u_{kl}} \frac{\partial u_{st}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u_{kl}}{\partial x_{i}}$$

$$= \lambda_{i,\gamma\gamma} + 2\left(\sum_{t \neq \gamma} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\gamma} - \lambda_{t}} u_{it\gamma}^{2} - \sum_{t \neq i} \frac{1}{\lambda_{i} - \lambda_{t}} u_{it\gamma}^{2}\right).$$
(12)

Taking derivative of equation (1) with respect to x_{γ} twice, we have at p

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i,\gamma\gamma} g^{ii} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{f} [2(ff_{\gamma} + \lambda_{i} u_{ii\gamma})(u_{ii\gamma} f - \lambda_{i} f_{\gamma}) g^{ii} g^{ii} + \lambda_{i} f_{\gamma\gamma} g^{ii}].$$
 (13)

Summing up (12)× g^{ii} and (13), and denote $\Delta \cdot = \Delta u$, $\overline{\Delta}_g = g^{ij}\partial_{ij} \stackrel{p}{=} g^{ii}\partial_{ii}$,

$$\overline{\Delta}_g(\Delta \cdot) \ge \sum_{\gamma=1}^n \left[\sum_{i=1}^n 2\lambda_i g^{ii} g^{ii} u_{ii\gamma}^2 + \sum_{i \ne \gamma}^n 2(\lambda_i + \lambda_\gamma) g^{ii} g^{\gamma \gamma} u_{ii\gamma}^2 \right] + L, \tag{14}$$

where L is the linear part of $u_{\clubsuit \clubsuit \spadesuit}$. Let $C_f = C(n, ||f||_{C^{0,1}}), \ \delta = \delta(n) > 0, \ A > 1,$

$$L = -C_f \sum_{\gamma,i=1}^n g^{ii}(|u_{ii\gamma}| + 1) + \frac{\Delta f}{f} \sum_{i=1}^n g^{ii} \lambda_i$$

$$\geq -\delta |A + \Delta \cdot|^{-1} g^{ii} u_{ii\gamma}^2 + \frac{\Delta f}{f} \sum_{i=1}^n g^{ii} \lambda_i - \frac{C_f}{\delta} T_{g^{-1}} |A + \Delta \cdot|.$$

Recall $\Delta_g \stackrel{p}{=} g^{ii}\partial_{ii} + \psi^i g^{ii}\partial_i$, $|\psi^i| = |\sum_{j=1}^n g^{jj}(ff_i - ff_j\delta_{ij} - \lambda_i\lambda_{j,i})| \le C_f \sum_{j=1}^n (1 + |\lambda_i\lambda_j|)g^{jj}$. Let $\epsilon = \epsilon(n) > 0$ be small, we have

$$|(\Delta_g - \overline{\Delta}_g)\Delta \cdot| = |\sum_{i=1}^n \psi^i g^{ii} D_i \Delta \cdot| \le \frac{\epsilon}{2} \frac{|\nabla_g \Delta \cdot|^2}{A + \Delta \cdot} + \frac{C_f}{\epsilon} T_{g^{-1}} |A + \Delta \cdot|,$$

$$\Delta_g(\Delta \cdot) \ge \overline{\Delta}_g(\Delta \cdot) - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \frac{|\nabla_g \Delta \cdot|^2}{A + \Delta \cdot} - \frac{C_f}{\epsilon} T_{g^{-1}} |A + \Delta \cdot|.$$

Now we prove a weak trace Jacobi inequality. We have at p

$$\Delta_{g}|A + \Delta \cdot | - (1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \frac{|\nabla_{g}\Delta \cdot|^{2}}{A + \Delta \cdot} \ge -\delta \sum_{\gamma,i=1}^{n} \frac{g^{ii}u_{ii\gamma}^{2}}{A + \Delta \cdot} + \frac{\Delta f}{f} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g^{ii}\lambda_{i} - \frac{C_{f}}{\epsilon \delta} T_{g^{-1}}|A + \Delta \cdot |$$

$$+ \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n} \{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} [2\lambda_{i}g^{ii}g^{ii} + 2(1 - \delta_{i\gamma})(\lambda_{i} + \lambda_{\gamma})g^{ii}g^{\gamma\gamma}]u_{ii\gamma}^{2} - \frac{1 + \epsilon}{A + \Delta \cdot}g^{\gamma\gamma}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{ii\gamma})^{2} \}.$$

$$(15)$$

The constant ϵ, δ, A will be decided later. We consider the following quadratic form with redefined terms $u_{ii\gamma} = u_{ii\gamma} - \frac{f_{\gamma}}{f}\lambda_i$ which will give us a convenient equality $\sum_{i=1}^n g^{ii}u_{ii\gamma} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i, \gamma \leq n$,

$$Q_{\gamma} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[2\lambda_{i} g^{ii} g^{ii} + 2(1 - \delta_{i\gamma})(\lambda_{i} + \lambda_{\gamma}) g^{ii} g^{\gamma\gamma} \right] u_{ii\gamma}^{2} - \frac{1 + \epsilon}{A + \Delta} g^{\gamma\gamma} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{ii\gamma} \right)^{2}.$$
 (16)

3.1 Proof to Lemma 3.1 when $n = 3, \Theta \ge \frac{\pi}{2}$

Proof. Let c(3) > 0 be some small constant. We want to show the nonnegativity of Q_{γ} for all $1 \le \gamma \le 3$. We will discuss according to the value of λ_3 .

Case 1. $\lambda_3 < 0$. We have

$$\frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan(\lambda_1/f) + \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan(\lambda_2/f) \ge \frac{\pi}{2} + \arctan(\lambda_3/f). \tag{17}$$

Thus $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \leq 0$. Let $\lambda_2 = (1+\nu)|\lambda_3|$, $\lambda_1 = (1+\nu_1)|\lambda_3|$, then $\nu_1 \geq \max(\nu^{-1}, 1)$. We also have the following inequality since $\sum_{i=1}^{3} g^{ii} u_{ii\gamma} = 0$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_i g^{ii} g^{ii} u_{ii\gamma}^2 \ge 0.$$

Denote $\tau_{ij} = g_{ii}/g_{jj}$, the negative part of (16) can be reduced slightly,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} u_{ii\gamma}\right)^{2} = \left[(1 - \tau_{31})u_{11\gamma} + (1 - \tau_{32})u_{22\gamma} \right]^{2}. \tag{18}$$

When $\gamma = 3$, we consider the quadratic form

$$\hat{Q}_3 = \sum_{i=1}^2 2(\lambda_i + \lambda_3)(A + \Delta \cdot)g^{ii}u_{ii3}^2 - (1 + \epsilon)\left[\sum_{i=1}^2 (1 - \tau_{3i})u_{ii3}\right]^2.$$
 (19)

Our goal is to prove $\hat{Q}_3 \geq 0$ for some $\epsilon = 10^{-1}$. By the diagonalize lemma (see the appendix) we have

$$1 - (1 + \epsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{(1 - \tau_{3i})^{2} g_{ii}}{2(\lambda_{i} + \lambda_{3}) \Delta} \ge 1 - (1 + \epsilon) \frac{1}{2\Delta} \sum_{i=1}^{2} (\lambda_{i} - \lambda_{3}) (1 - \frac{\lambda_{3}^{2}}{\lambda_{i}^{2}})$$

$$\ge 1 - (1 + \epsilon) \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \frac{1}{1 + \nu + \nu^{-1}} \left(3 - \frac{2 + \nu}{(1 + \nu)^{2}} - \frac{2 + \nu^{-1}}{(1 + \nu^{-1})^{2}} \right) \right]$$

$$\ge 1 - \frac{5}{6} (1 + \epsilon) \ge 0.$$

Thus for a smaller $\epsilon(3) > 0$, there exist constants $\hat{\delta}(3), \delta(|f|_{\infty}) > 0$ such that

$$Q_3 \ge \hat{\delta}(3) \sum_{i=1}^{2} (\lambda_i + \lambda_3) g^{ii} g^{33} u_{ii3}^2 \ge 3\delta \sum_{i=1}^{3} (\Delta \cdot)^{-1} g^{ii} u_{ii3}^2.$$
 (20)

When $\gamma = 1$, let $0 < \hat{\epsilon} < 1$, we split (16) into two parts, and consider the following two quadratic forms,

$$\hat{Q}_{1}^{(1)} = (A + \Delta \cdot)[(1 + \hat{\epsilon})\lambda_{1}g^{11}u_{111}^{2} + 2\lambda_{2}g^{22}u_{221}^{2}] - (1 + \epsilon)[\sum_{i=1}^{2}(1 - \tau_{3i})u_{ii1}]^{2},$$

$$\hat{Q}_{1}^{(2)} = (1 - \hat{\epsilon})\lambda_{1}g^{11}g^{11}u_{111}^{2} + 2(\lambda_{2} + \lambda_{1}\tau_{21})g^{22}g^{22}u_{221}^{2} + 2[\lambda_{3} + (\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{3})\tau_{31}]g^{33}g^{33}u_{331}^{2}.$$
(21)

We need to determine $\hat{\epsilon}$, ϵ such that the following two inequalities holds,

$$\begin{split} &1 - (1+\epsilon) \big[\frac{1}{1+\hat{\epsilon}} \frac{(1-\tau_{31})^2 g_{11}}{\lambda_1 (A+\Delta \cdot)} + \frac{(1-\tau_{32})^2 g_{22}}{2\lambda_2 (A+\Delta \cdot)} \big] \\ &\geq 1 - (1+\epsilon) \frac{1}{\Delta \cdot} \big[\frac{1}{1+\hat{\epsilon}} \lambda_1 \big(1 - \frac{\lambda_3^2}{\lambda_1^2} \big) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 \big(1 - \frac{\lambda_3^2}{\lambda_2^2} \big) \big] \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{1+\epsilon}{1+\nu+\nu_1} \big[\frac{1+\nu_1}{1+\hat{\epsilon}} + \frac{1+\nu}{2} \big(1 - \frac{1}{(1+\nu)^2} \big) \big] \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{1+\epsilon}{1+\hat{\epsilon}} \big[1 - \frac{\nu}{1+\nu+\nu_1} \big(1 - \frac{1+\hat{\epsilon}}{2} \frac{2+\nu}{1+\nu} \big) \big] \geq 0. \end{split}$$

$$[2(1-\hat{\epsilon})^{-1}-1]\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}} + [(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{1}\tau_{21})^{-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{2}}] + [\lambda_{3}+(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3})\tau_{31}]^{-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{3}}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}[\frac{1+\hat{\epsilon}}{1-\hat{\epsilon}} - \frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}] + \frac{1}{\lambda_{3}}[\frac{1}{1+\frac{\lambda_{3}}{\lambda_{1}} + \frac{\lambda_{3}^{2}}{\lambda_{1}^{2}}} - 1]$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}[\frac{1+\hat{\epsilon}}{1-\hat{\epsilon}} - \frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}} - \frac{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3}}{\frac{5}{4}\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3}}] \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}[\frac{1+\hat{\epsilon}}{1-\hat{\epsilon}} - \frac{7}{6}] \leq 0.$$

In the second inequality we assume that $\lambda_3 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_3)\tau_{31} < 0$. Now the first inequality holds with $1 > \hat{\epsilon} = 2\epsilon = 2 \times 10^{-2}$, the second inequality allows $\hat{\epsilon} \le \frac{1}{13}$. Thus for a smaller $\epsilon(3) > 0$, the first inequality implies $\hat{Q}_1^{(1)} \ge \hat{\delta}(3)(\sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_i g^{ii} g^{ii} u_{ii\gamma}^2)(A + \Delta \cdot)$. Recall $\sum_{i=1}^3 g^{ii} u_{ii\gamma} = 0$, then for $A = A(|f|_{\infty})$, $\delta = \delta(|f|_{\infty}) > 0$,

$$Q_1 \ge \frac{1}{4}\hat{\delta}(3)\sum_{i=1}^3 |\lambda_i| g^{ii} g^{ii} u_{ii1}^2 \ge 3\delta \sum_{i=1}^3 (A + \Delta \cdot)^{-1} g^{ii} u_{ii1}^2.$$
 (22)

When $\gamma = 2$, we consider the following quadratic forms with new parameters $\hat{\epsilon}$, ϵ ,

$$\hat{Q}_{2}^{(1)} = (A + \Delta \cdot)[2\lambda_{1}g^{11}u_{112}^{2} + (1 - \hat{\epsilon})\lambda_{2}g^{22}u_{222}^{2}] - (1 + \epsilon)[\sum_{i=1}^{2}(1 - \tau_{3i})u_{ii2}]^{2},$$

$$\hat{Q}_{2}^{(2)} = 2(\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2}) \sum_{i=1}^{1} \frac{1}{2}(1 - \tau_{3i})u_{ii2}]^{2},$$
(23)

 $\hat{Q}_{2}^{(2)} = 2(\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2}\tau_{12})g^{11}g^{11}u_{112}^{2} + (1+\hat{\epsilon})\lambda_{2}g^{22}g^{22}u_{222}^{2} + 2[\lambda_{3} + (\lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3})\tau_{32}]g^{33}g^{33}u_{332}^{2}.$

We want to determine the sign of $\hat{Q}_2^{(1)}, \hat{Q}_2^{(2)}$. We calculate that

$$\begin{split} P_1 = & 1 - (1+\epsilon) \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{(1-\tau_{31})^2 g_{11}}{\lambda_1 (A+\Delta \cdot)} + \frac{1}{1-\hat{\epsilon}} \frac{(1-\tau_{32})^2 g_{22}}{\lambda_2 (A+\Delta \cdot)} \right] \\ & \geq 1 - (1+\epsilon) \frac{1}{\Delta \cdot} \left[\frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 (1-\frac{\lambda_3^2}{\lambda_1^2}) + \frac{1}{1-\hat{\epsilon}} \lambda_2 (1-\frac{\lambda_3^2}{\lambda_2^2}) \right]. \\ P_2 = & \left[\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \tau_{12} \right]^{-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \left(\frac{2}{1+\hat{\epsilon}} - 1 \right) \frac{1}{\lambda_2} + \left[\lambda_3 + (\lambda_2 + \lambda_3) \tau_{32} \right]^{-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda_3} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_2} \frac{1-\hat{\epsilon}}{1+\hat{\epsilon}} - \frac{1}{\lambda_2} \frac{1+\frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_2}}{1+\frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_2} + \frac{\lambda_3^2}{\lambda_2^2}}. \end{split}$$

We choose $\hat{\epsilon} = \frac{\lambda_3^2}{\lambda_3^2}$, recall $\lambda_1 = (1 + \nu_1)|\lambda_3| \ge 2|\lambda_3|$, $\lambda_2 = (1 + \nu)|\lambda_3|$,

$$P_{1} \geq 1 - \frac{1+\epsilon}{1+\nu+\nu_{1}} \left[\frac{1}{2} (1+\nu_{1}) + 1 + \nu - \frac{1}{2(1+\nu_{1})} \right]$$

$$\geq 1 - (1+\epsilon) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\nu_{1} - 1}{1+\nu+\nu_{1}} - \frac{1}{2(1+\nu_{1})} \right) \geq 1 - \frac{11}{12} (1+\epsilon) \geq 0,$$

$$P_{2} = -\frac{1}{\lambda_{2}} \left[\frac{\nu(1+\nu)}{1+\nu(1+\nu)} - \frac{\nu(2+\nu)}{1+(1+\nu)^{2}} \right] \leq 0,$$

if we choose $\epsilon = \epsilon(3)$ small. Similar to $\gamma = 1$, for a smaller $\epsilon = \epsilon(3) > 0$ we have

$$Q_2 \ge \hat{\delta}(3) \left[\lambda_1 g^{11} g^{22} u_{112}^2 + \lambda_2 (1 - \frac{\lambda_3^2}{\lambda_2^2}) g^{22} g^{22} u_{222}^2\right] \ge 3\delta (A + \Delta \cdot)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^3 g^{ii} u_{ii2}^2. \tag{24}$$

Finally, we return to the original $u_{ii\gamma}$ in Q_{γ} and get the trace Jacobi inequality by (15) (16) (20) (22) (24),

$$\Delta_{g}|A + \Delta \cdot | - (1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \frac{|\nabla_{g}|A + \Delta \cdot ||^{2}}{A + \Delta \cdot}$$

$$\geq \delta|A + \Delta \cdot ||^{-1} \sum_{\gamma,i=1}^{3} g^{ii} u_{ii\gamma}^{2} + \frac{\Delta f}{f} \sum_{i=1}^{3} g^{ii} \lambda_{i} - C_{f} T_{g^{-1}} |A + \Delta \cdot |.$$

Case 2. $\lambda_3 \geq 0$. We leave the proof to the next subsection for all $n \geq 3$.

3.2 Proof to Lemma 3.1 when a) $\Theta \geq \frac{\pi}{2}(n-2), n \geq 4$; b) $\lambda_n \geq 0, n \geq 3$

Proof. When $n \geq 4$ the situation may get a little better. The argument is based on the special case n = 3.

Case 1. $\lambda_n < 0$. We assume that $n \geq 4$. We still have the equality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} 2\lambda_i g^{ii} g^{ii} u_{ii\gamma}^2 \ge 0,$$

since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \leq 0$ (Wang-Yuan [WY11], Lemma 2.1), and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} g^{ii} u_{ii\gamma} = 0$ for all $1 \leq \gamma \leq n$. We also have a basic fact that $\lambda_i \geq (n-i)|\lambda_n|$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ (Wang-Yuan [WY11], Lemma 2.1), in particular we get $\lambda_{n-2} \geq 2|\lambda_n|$. Let $\lambda_i = (1+\nu_i)|\lambda_n|$, $\tau_{ij} = g_{ii}/g_{jj}$, $1 \leq i,j \leq n$. We have

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{ii\gamma}\right)^{2} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - \tau_{ni}) u_{ii\gamma}\right]^{2}.$$
 (25)

When $\gamma = n$, we consider the quadratic form

$$\hat{Q}_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 2(\lambda_i + \lambda_n)(A + \Delta \cdot)g^{ii}u_{iin}^2 - (1 + \epsilon)\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - \tau_{ni})u_{iin}\right]^2.$$
 (26)

Our goal is to prove $\hat{Q}_n \geq 0$ for some $\epsilon = \epsilon(n) > 0$. We have

$$1 - (1 + \epsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{(1 - \tau_{ni})^2 g_{ii}}{2(\lambda_i + \lambda_n) \Delta} \ge 1 - (1 + \epsilon) \frac{1}{2\Delta} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_i - \lambda_n) (1 - \frac{\lambda_n^2}{\lambda_i^2})$$
$$\ge 1 - (1 + \epsilon) \left[1 - \frac{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \nu_i - 1}{n - 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \nu_i}\right] \ge 1 - (1 + \epsilon) (1 - \frac{1}{6}) \ge 0.$$

Thus for a smaller $\epsilon(n) > 0$ and constants $\hat{\delta} = \hat{\delta}(n), \delta = \delta(n, |f|_{\infty}) > 0$ such that

$$Q_{\gamma} \ge \hat{\delta}(n) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\lambda_i + \lambda_n) g^{ii} g^{nn} u_{iin}^2 \ge 3\delta \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\Delta \cdot)^{-1} g^{ii} u_{iin}^2.$$
 (27)

When $\gamma \leq n-2$, let $0 < \hat{\epsilon} < 1$, we split (16) into two parts, and consider the following two quadratic forms,

$$\hat{Q}_{\gamma}^{(1)} = (A + \Delta \cdot)[(1 + \hat{\epsilon})\lambda_{\gamma}g^{\gamma\gamma}u_{\gamma\gamma\gamma}^{2} + 2\sum_{i \neq \gamma}^{n-1}\lambda_{i}g^{ii}u_{ii\gamma}^{2}] - (1 + \epsilon)[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(1 - \tau_{ni})u_{ii\gamma}]^{2},
\hat{Q}_{\gamma}^{(2)} = (1 - \hat{\epsilon})\lambda_{\gamma}g^{\gamma\gamma}g^{\gamma\gamma}u_{\gamma\gamma\gamma}^{2} + 2\sum_{i \neq \gamma}^{n-1}(\lambda_{i} + \lambda_{\gamma}\tau_{i\gamma})g^{ii}g^{ii}u_{ii\gamma}^{2}
+ 2[\lambda_{n} + (\lambda_{\gamma} + \lambda_{n})\tau_{n\gamma}]g^{nn}g^{nn}u_{nn\gamma}^{2}.$$
(28)

Assume that $\lambda_n + (\lambda_{\gamma} + \lambda_n)\tau_{n\gamma} < 0$, we need to determine $\hat{\epsilon} < 1$, ϵ such that the following two inequalities holds,

$$\begin{split} &1 - (1+\epsilon) \left[\frac{1}{1+\hat{\epsilon}} \frac{(1-\tau_{n\gamma})^2 g_{\gamma\gamma}}{\lambda_{\gamma} (A+\Delta \cdot)} + \sum_{i \neq \gamma}^{n-1} \frac{(1-\tau_{ni})^2 g_{ii}}{2\lambda_i (A+\Delta \cdot)} \right] \\ &\geq 1 - (1+\epsilon) \frac{1}{\Delta \cdot} \left[\frac{1}{1+\hat{\epsilon}} \lambda_{\gamma} (1-\frac{\lambda_n^2}{\lambda_\gamma^2}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq \gamma}^{n-1} \lambda_i (1-\frac{\lambda_n^2}{\lambda_i^2}) \right] \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{1+\epsilon}{n-2+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \nu_i} \left[\frac{1+\nu_{\gamma}}{1+\hat{\epsilon}} (1-\frac{1}{(1+\nu_{\gamma})^2}) + \sum_{i \neq \gamma}^{n-1} \frac{1+\nu_i}{2} (1-\frac{1}{(1+\nu_i)^2}) \right] \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{1+\epsilon}{1+\hat{\epsilon}} \left[1 - \frac{1}{n-2+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \nu_i} (n-3+\frac{1}{1+\nu_{\gamma}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq \gamma}^{n-1} \frac{(1-\hat{\epsilon})\nu_i^2 - 2\hat{\epsilon}\nu_i}{1+\nu_i}) \right] \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{1+\epsilon}{1+\hat{\epsilon}} \left[1 - \frac{1}{n-2+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \nu_i} (n-4+\frac{1}{1+\nu_{\gamma}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq \gamma}^{n-2} \frac{(1-\hat{\epsilon})\nu_i^2 - 2\hat{\epsilon}\nu_i}{1+\nu_i}) \right] \geq 0. \\ &\left[2(1-\hat{\epsilon})^{-1} - 1 \right] \frac{1}{\lambda_{\gamma}} + \sum_{i \neq \gamma}^{n-1} \left[(\lambda_i + \lambda_{\gamma}\tau_{i\gamma})^{-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \right] + \left[\lambda_n + (\lambda_{\gamma} + \lambda_n)\tau_{n\gamma} \right]^{-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{\gamma}} \left[\frac{1+\hat{\epsilon}}{1-\hat{\epsilon}} - \frac{\lambda_{\gamma}}{\lambda_{\gamma} + \lambda_{n-1}} \right] + \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \left[\frac{1}{1+\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_{\gamma}} + \frac{\lambda_n^2}{\lambda_{\gamma}^2}} - 1 \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{\gamma}} \left[\frac{1+\hat{\epsilon}}{1-\hat{\epsilon}} - \frac{\lambda_{\gamma}}{\lambda_{\gamma} + \lambda_{n-1}} - \frac{\delta_{\gamma} + \lambda_n}{\frac{\delta}{i} \lambda_{\gamma} + \lambda_n} \right] \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{\gamma}} \left[\frac{1+\hat{\epsilon}}{1-\hat{\epsilon}} - \frac{7}{6} \right] \leq 0. \end{split}$$

The first inequality holds with $\hat{\epsilon} = 2\epsilon = 10^{-2}$ since $\nu_{n-2} \geq 1$, the second inequality allows $\hat{\epsilon} \leq \frac{1}{13}$, where we use $\lambda_{n-2} \geq 2|\lambda_n|$. Thus for a smaller $\epsilon(n) > 0$, we have constants $\hat{\delta}(n)$, $A = A(n, |f|_{\infty})$, $\delta = \delta(n, |f|_{\infty}) > 0$ such that,

$$Q_{\gamma} \ge \hat{\delta}(n) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |\lambda_i| g^{ii} g^{ii} u_{ii1}^2 \ge 3\delta \sum_{i=1}^n (A + \Delta \cdot)^{-1} g^{ii} u_{ii1}^2.$$
 (29)

When $\gamma = n - 1$, we consider the following quadratic forms with new $\hat{\epsilon}$, ϵ ,

$$\hat{Q}_{\gamma}^{(1)} = (A + \Delta \cdot) \left[2 \sum_{i \neq \gamma}^{n-1} \lambda_{i} g^{ii} u_{ii\gamma}^{2} + (1 - \hat{\epsilon}) \lambda_{\gamma} g^{\gamma \gamma} u_{\gamma \gamma \gamma}^{2} \right] - (1 + \epsilon) \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - \tau_{ni}) u_{ii\gamma} \right]^{2},$$

$$\hat{Q}_{\gamma}^{(2)} = 2 \sum_{i \neq \gamma}^{n-1} (\lambda_{i} + \lambda_{\gamma} \tau_{i\gamma}) g^{ii} g^{ii} u_{ii\gamma}^{2} + (1 + \hat{\epsilon}) \lambda_{\gamma} g^{\gamma \gamma} g^{\gamma \gamma} u_{\gamma \gamma \gamma}^{2} + 2 [\lambda_{n} + (\lambda_{\gamma} + \lambda_{n}) \tau_{n\gamma}] g^{nn} g^{nn} u_{nn\gamma}^{2}.$$
(30)

We want to determine the sign of $\hat{Q}_{\gamma}^{(1)}$, $\hat{Q}_{\gamma}^{(2)}$. Let $\hat{\epsilon} = \frac{\lambda_n^2}{\lambda_{\gamma}^2}$, we need to choose ϵ such that the

following two inequalities hold,

$$P_{1} = 1 - (1 + \epsilon) \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq \gamma}^{n-1} \frac{(1 - \tau_{ni})^{2} g_{ii}}{\lambda_{i} (A + \Delta \cdot)} + \frac{1}{1 - \hat{\epsilon}} \frac{(1 - \tau_{n\gamma})^{2} g_{\gamma\gamma}}{\lambda_{\gamma} (A + \Delta \cdot)} \right]$$

$$\geq 1 - (1 + \epsilon) \frac{1}{A + \Delta \cdot} \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq \gamma}^{n-1} \lambda_{i} (1 - \frac{\lambda_{n}^{2}}{\lambda_{i}^{2}}) + \frac{1}{1 - \hat{\epsilon}} \lambda_{\gamma} (1 - \frac{\lambda_{n}^{2}}{\lambda_{\gamma}^{2}}) \right]$$

$$\geq 1 - (1 + \epsilon) \frac{1}{n - 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \nu_{i}} \left[\frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \nu_{i} + \frac{3}{4} (n - 2) \right] \geq 1 - \frac{3}{4} (1 + \epsilon) \geq 0.$$

$$P_{2} = \sum_{i \neq \gamma}^{n-1} \left[(\lambda_{i} + \lambda_{\gamma} \tau_{i\gamma})^{-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}} \right] + \left(\frac{2}{1 + \hat{\epsilon}} - 1 \right) \frac{1}{\lambda_{\gamma}} + \left[\lambda_{n} + (\lambda_{\gamma} + \lambda_{n}) \tau_{n\gamma} \right]^{-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{n}}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{\gamma}} \frac{1 - \hat{\epsilon}}{1 + \hat{\epsilon}} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{\gamma}} \left[\frac{1 + \frac{\lambda_{n}}{\lambda_{\gamma}}}{1 + \frac{\lambda_{n}}{\lambda_{\gamma}} + \frac{\lambda_{n}^{2}}{\lambda_{\gamma}^{2}}} \right] = \frac{1}{\lambda_{\gamma}} \left[\frac{\nu_{\gamma} (2 + \nu_{\gamma})}{1 + (1 + \nu_{\gamma})^{2}} - \frac{\nu_{\gamma} (1 + \nu_{\gamma})}{1 + \nu_{\gamma} + \nu_{\gamma}^{2}} \right] \leq 0.$$

We can choose $\epsilon = \frac{1}{4}$. Thus for a smaller $\epsilon = \epsilon(n)$ and $\delta = \delta(n, |f|_{\infty}) > 0$

$$Q_{\gamma} \ge \hat{\delta}(n) \left[\sum_{i \ne \gamma}^{n-1} \lambda_i g^{ii} g^{\gamma \gamma} u_{ii\gamma}^2 + \lambda_{\gamma} (1 - \frac{\lambda_n^2}{\lambda_{\gamma}^2}) g^{\gamma \gamma} g^{\gamma \gamma} u_{\gamma \gamma \gamma}^2 \right] \ge 3\delta (A + \Delta \cdot)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n g^{ii} u_{ii\gamma}^2. \tag{31}$$

By (27) (29) (31) we get for $\epsilon = \epsilon(n), A = A(n, |f|_{\infty}) > 0, \delta = \delta(n, |f|_{\infty}) > 0,$

$$Q_{\gamma} \ge 3\delta(A + \Delta \cdot)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g^{ii} u_{ii\gamma}^{2}. \tag{32}$$

Case 2. $\lambda_n \geq 0$. Here we prove the general convex case for all dimension $n \geq 3$. Let m = m(n) < 1, M = M(n) > 1 be some small/large constants. Recall we have $\sum_{i=1}^{n} g^{ii} u_{ii\gamma} = 0$ by reducing the derivative of f to $u_{ii\gamma}$, we consider the quadratic form

$$Q_{\gamma} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2\lambda_i (A + \Delta \cdot) g^{ii} u_{ii\gamma}^2 - (1 + \epsilon) (\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{ii\gamma})^2.$$

If $\lambda_n \geq m(n)$, to verify $Q_{\gamma} \geq 0$ we only need $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$, $A = A(n, |f|_{\infty})$ large such that

$$1 - (1 + \epsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{g_{ii}}{2\lambda_i (A + \Delta \cdot)} \ge 1 - \frac{1 + \epsilon}{2(A + \Delta \cdot)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\lambda_i + \frac{1}{m} |f|_{\infty}^2) \ge 1 - \frac{1 + \epsilon}{2} \ge 0.$$

If $\lambda_1 \leq M(n)$, we verify directly that for A = nM,

$$(\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{ii\gamma})^{2} = [\sum_{i=1}^{n} (u_{ii\gamma} - f^{2}g^{ii}u_{ii\gamma})]^{2} \le nM \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}g^{ii}u_{ii\gamma}^{2} \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}(A + \Delta \cdot)g^{ii}u_{ii\gamma}^{2}.$$

Otherwise $\lambda_n < m(n)$, $\lambda_1 > M(n)$, we split $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$ into two parts,

$$\begin{cases} (\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_k) & \lambda_k \ge m \\ (\lambda_{k+1}, \cdots, \lambda_n) & \lambda_{k+1} < m \end{cases},$$

where $k \leq n-1$. We have the following relation,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{u_{ii\gamma}^2}{(f^2 + \lambda_i^2)^2} \ge \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{u_{ii\gamma}}{f^2 + \lambda_i^2} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{u_{ii\gamma}}{f^2 + \lambda_i^2} \right)^2 \\
\ge \frac{1}{n^2 f^4} \left(\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} u_{ii\gamma} \right)^2 - n^2 m \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \lambda_i g^{ii} u_{ii\gamma}^2.$$
(33)

We choose $A \ge 4n^6 |f|_{\infty}^4/m$, by (33) we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{2} \lambda_i (A + \Delta \cdot) g^{ii} u_{ii\gamma}^2 + \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} 2\lambda_i (A + \Delta \cdot) g^{ii} u_{ii\gamma}^2 \ge n^2 (\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} u_{ii\gamma})^2.$$

We get

$$Q_{\gamma} \ge \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{3}{2} \lambda_i (A + \Delta \cdot) g^{ii} u_{ii\gamma}^2 + n^2 (\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} u_{ii\gamma})^2 - (1+\epsilon) (\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{ii\gamma})^2.$$

Now we choose $A = \frac{1}{m}(4n^6|f|_{\infty}^4 + n|f|_{\infty}^2)$, $\epsilon = \frac{1}{6}$,

$$1 - (1 + \epsilon) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{2g_{ii}}{3\lambda_i (A + \Delta \cdot)} + \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \ge 1 - \frac{2}{3} \frac{1 + \epsilon}{(A + \Delta \cdot)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\lambda_i + \frac{1}{m} |f|_{\infty}^2) + \frac{1 + \epsilon}{n^2}$$
$$\ge 1 - \frac{5}{6} (1 + \epsilon) \ge 0.$$

Thus for a smaller $\epsilon(n)$, and $A = A(n, |f|_{\infty}), \ \delta = \delta(n, |f|_{\infty}) > 0$,

$$Q_{\gamma} \ge \delta(n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\lambda_i| g^{ii} g^{ii} u_{ii\gamma}^2 \ge 3\delta(A + \Delta \cdot)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} g^{ii} u_{ii\gamma}^2.$$
(34)

Finally, we return to the original $u_{ii\gamma}$ in Q_{γ} and get the trace Jacobi inequality by (15) (32) (34) that

$$\Delta_g |A + \Delta \cdot| - \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) \frac{|\nabla_g |A + \Delta \cdot||^2}{|A + \Delta \cdot|}$$

$$\geq 3\delta |A + \Delta \cdot|^{-1} \sum_{\gamma, i=1}^n g^{ii} u_{ii\gamma}^2 + \frac{\Delta f}{f} \sum_{i=1}^n g^{ii} \lambda_i - C_f T_{g^{-1}} |A + \Delta \cdot|.$$

4 A Prior Interior Hessian Estimates

4.1 Proof to Theorem 1.1

Proof. Step 1. Up to a scale we will assume $f \geq 1$. We prove a mean value inequality similar to Proposition 2.8, to eliminate the term Δf . Let $U \subset \mathcal{M}$ be a open set, $\varphi(x) \in C_0^{\infty}(U)$ be a nonnegative function. Let $A \geq 3$, by (11) we have

$$\int_{U} \ln|A + \Delta \cdot |\Delta_{g}\varphi dv_{g} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int_{U} |\nabla_{g} \ln|A + \Delta \cdot ||^{2} \varphi dv_{g} + \delta \int_{U} \frac{\sum_{\gamma,i=1}^{n} g^{ii} u_{ii\gamma}^{2}}{|A + \Delta \cdot |^{2}} \varphi dv_{g} - n \int_{U} \frac{|D\varphi Df|}{|A + \Delta \cdot |} dv_{g} - \int_{U} Df D(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} g^{ii} \lambda_{i}}{f|A + \Delta \cdot |} V) \varphi dx - C_{f} \int_{U} T_{g^{-1}} \varphi dv_{g}.$$

where $V = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \sqrt{1 + \lambda_i^2}$. Pointwisely we can choose a coordinate system such that D^2u is diagonal, and

$$|DfDV| = |\sum_{\gamma,i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_i \lambda_{i,\gamma}}{1 + \lambda_i^2} V D_{\gamma} f| \le \frac{1}{4n} \delta \frac{\sum_{\gamma,i=1}^{n} g^{ii} u_{ii\gamma}^2}{A + \Delta} V + C_f |A + \Delta \cdot |V,$$

$$|DfD\Delta \cdot | \le \frac{1}{4n} \epsilon |\nabla_g |A + \Delta \cdot ||^2 + C_f |A + \Delta \cdot |^2,$$

$$|DfD\sum_{i=1}^{n}g^{ii}\lambda_{i}| \leq C(n)\sum_{\gamma,i=1}^{n}\left|\frac{|\lambda_{i,\gamma}| + C_{f}}{1 + \lambda_{i}^{2}}D_{\gamma}f\right| \leq \frac{1}{4}\delta\frac{\sum_{\gamma,i=1}^{n}g^{ii}u_{ii\gamma}^{2}}{A + \Delta} + C_{f}|A + \Delta\cdot|.$$

Let $b = \ln |A + \Delta \cdot| > 1$, notice that $f \ge 1, |\sum_{i=1}^n g^{ii} \lambda_i| \le n$, we get

$$\int_{U} b\Delta_{g}\varphi dv_{g} \ge -n \int_{U} \frac{|D\varphi Df|}{A + \Delta} dv_{g} - C_{f} \int_{U} \varphi dv_{g}.$$
(35)

Suppose that Du(0) = 0, let $r = \sqrt{f^2|x|^2 + |Du|^2}$, $S_{\rho}(0) = \{x \in \mathcal{M}|r < \rho\} \subset U$. Let $\chi(t) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ be a non-decreasing function such that $\chi(t) = 0$ when $t \leq 0$, $\chi(t) = 1$ when $t > \mu$ for some small constant $\mu > 0$. Let $\rho < 1$, we choose

$$\varphi(r) = \int_{r}^{+\infty} t\chi(\rho - t)dt.$$

We have $0 \le \varphi \le \rho^2 \chi(\rho - r)$, $|D\varphi(x)| \le C(n)\rho |A + \Delta \cdot |\chi(\rho - r)|$, $|\nabla_g r| \le C_f$, and

$$\Delta_g \varphi(r) \le (-n + C_f r - f \Delta_g f|x|^2) \chi(\rho - r) + (r + C_f r^2) \chi'(\rho - r).$$

Since b > 1, (35) becomes

$$\int_{U} b[n\chi(\rho - t) - \rho\chi'(\rho - r)]dv_g \le C_f[\rho \int_{U} b\chi(\rho - r)dv_g + \rho^2 \int_{U} b\chi'(\rho - r)dv_g].$$

Multiply the above inequality by ρ^{-n-1} .

$$-(1+C_f\rho)\frac{d}{d\rho}\ln\left[\frac{1}{\rho^n}\int_U b\chi(\rho-t)dv_g\right] \le (n+1)C_f.$$

Choose $\rho_0 \in (0, 1)$, integrate the above inequality over (σ, ρ_0) for arbitrarily small constants $0 < \mu < \sigma$, we get

$$\sigma^{-n} \int_{S_{\sigma-\mu}(0)} b dv_g \le C_f \rho_0^{-n} \int_{S_{\rho_0}(0)} b dv_g.$$

Let $\mathcal{B}_{\rho}(0) \subset U$ be the geodesic ball on \mathcal{M} with radius ρ , we have $\mathcal{B}_{\frac{\rho}{|f|_{\infty}}}(0) \subset S_{\rho}(0) \subset \mathcal{B}_{\rho|f|_{\infty}}(0)$. For general $0 < \rho < 1$ we get

$$b(0) \le C_f \rho^{-n} \int_{\mathcal{B}_{\rho}(0)} b dv_g. \tag{36}$$

Step 2. We follow the technique developed in Wang-Yuan [WY11] to get the final result. We substitute U by $B_2(0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and choose the smooth test function φ such that $\varphi \geq 0$ in $B_2(0)$, $\varphi = 1$ in $B_1(0)$, $|D\varphi| < 2$. Repeat the proof at the beginning of Step 2. we get for $C_f = C_f(n, ||f||_{C^{0,1}(B_3(0))})$

$$\int_{B_2(0)} \Delta_g b \varphi^2 dv_g - \frac{\epsilon}{4} \int_{B_2(0)} |\nabla_g b|^2 \varphi^2 dv_g \ge -C_f \int_{B_2(0)} \varphi^2 dv_g.$$

Recall $T_{g^{-1}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} g^{ii}$, we get the gradient estimate for b,

$$\int_{B_2(0)} |\nabla_g b|^2 \varphi^2 dv_g \le C_f \int_{B_2(0)} \varphi^2 dv_g. \tag{37}$$

We use mean value inequality and Sobolev inequality (Proposition 2.4) to bound b(0),

$$b(0) \leq C_* \left(\int_{B_1(0)} b^{\frac{n}{n-2}} dv_g \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq C_* \left[\int_{B_2(0)} (\varphi^{n+1} b^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dv_g \right]^{\frac{n-2}{n}}$$

$$\leq C_* T_0 \left[\int_{B_2(0)} |\nabla_g (\varphi^{n+1} b^{\frac{1}{2}})|^2 dv_g + \int_{B_2(0)} b(|H|^2 + T_{g^{-1}}) \varphi^{2n} dv_g \right],$$

$$(38)$$

where $C_* = C_f \cdot (\int_{B_1(0)} dv_g)^{\frac{2}{n}}$, $T_0 = 1 + (\int_{B_2(0)} T_{g^{-1}} dv_g)^{\frac{1}{n(n-1)}}$. By Lemma 2.1 the mean curvature H is bounded by $T_{g^{-1}}$. Let $\hat{\lambda}_i = \lambda_i/f$, $\hat{\sigma}_k = \sigma_k(\hat{\lambda}_1, \dots, \hat{\lambda}_n)$. By Wang-Yuan [WY11], Theorem 1.1, step 3,

$$T_{g^{-1}}Vf^{2-n} = \cos\Theta \sum_{1 \le 2k+1 \le n} (-1)^k (n-2k)\hat{\sigma}_{2k} - \sin\Theta \sum_{0 \le 2k \le n} (-1)^k (n-2k+1)\hat{\sigma}_{2k-1},$$

Then by (37) (38) and the fact that b > 1,

$$b(0) \le C_* T_0 \left[\int_{B_2(0)} b(1 + \dots + \sigma_{n-1}) \varphi^{2n} dx + C_f \int_{B_2(0)} \varphi^2 dv_g \right]. \tag{39}$$

Here we need the divergence structure of σ_k ,

$$k\sigma_k(D^2u) = \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\frac{\partial \sigma_k}{\partial u_{ij}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}) = div(L_{\sigma_k}Du).$$

$$\int_{B_2(0)} b\sigma_k \varphi^{2n} dx = -\frac{1}{k} \int_{B_2(0)} \langle \varphi^{2n} Db + 2nb\varphi^{2n-1} D\varphi, L_{\sigma_k} Du \rangle dx.$$

Since $|\langle Db, L_{\sigma_k}Du \rangle| \leq C(n)|Du|(|\nabla_g b|^2 + 1)V$, $\partial \sigma_k/\partial \lambda_i \geq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and all $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ by Wang-Yuan [WY11], Lemma 2.1. Recall the gradient estimates (37), we have

$$\int_{B_2(0)} b\sigma_k \varphi^{2n} dx \le C(n) ||Du||_{L^{\infty}(B_2(0))} \left(\int_{B_2(0)} b\sigma_{k-1} \varphi^{2n-1} dx + C_f \int_{B_2(0)} dv_g \right).$$

Notice that $b \leq A + \Delta$, by iteration and the definition of C_*, T_0 , we get

$$b(0) \le C_f \cdot (1 + ||Du||_{L^{\infty}(B_2(0))}^n) \left[1 + \left(\int_{B_2(0)} dv_g\right)^{1 + \frac{3}{n}}\right].$$

Repeat the above process with b=1 to estimate the volume $\int dv_g$, we get

$$b(0) \le C(n, ||Du||_{L^{\infty}(B_3(0))}, ||f||_{C^{0,1}(B_3(0))}).$$

4.2 A Prior Interior Gradient Estimates

Lemma 4.1. Let u satisfies equation (1) with f > 0. If $\lambda_n/f \leq -1$, we have

$$\frac{\lambda_i}{(f^2 + \lambda_i^2)} + \frac{\lambda_n}{(f^2 + \lambda_n^2)} \le 0, \ 1 \le i \le n - 1.$$

Proof. We have $\frac{\lambda_i}{f^2 + \lambda_i^2} = \frac{1}{2f} \sin 2\theta_i$, $1 \le i \le n$. Then $\pi + 2\theta_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\pi - 2\theta_i)$, $2\theta_i \ge -2\theta_n$ by Wang-Yuan [WY11], Lemma 2.1, thus $\sin(2\theta_i) \le -\sin(2\theta_n)$ if $\theta_n \le -\frac{\pi}{4}$.

4.3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Proof. The proof follows Warren-Yuan [WY10]. Set $M = \underset{B_1(0)}{osc} u$. We may assume $0 < M \le u \le 2M$ in $B_1(0)$. Let

$$w = \eta |Du| + Au^2 + Bu$$

with $\eta = 1 - |x|^2$, A = n/M, $B = 1 + n||Df/f||_{L^{\infty}(B_1(0))}$. If w attains its maximum on $\partial B_1(0)$, the conclusion is straightforward. Otherwise let $x^* \subset B_1(0)$ be a maximum point, choose a coordinate system such that $D^2u(x^*)$ is diagonal. Assume that $u_n(x^*) \geq \frac{|Du(x^*)|}{\sqrt{n}} > 0$, we have at x^*

$$0 = w_i = \eta |Du|_i + \eta_i |Du| + 2Auu_i + Bu_i,$$

which leads to

$$|Du|_i = \frac{u_i \lambda_i}{|Du|} = -\frac{\eta_i |Du| + 2Auu_i + Bu_i}{\eta}.$$

In particular we get $\lambda_n < 0$ at x^* . More precisely,

$$\lambda_n \ge -\frac{1}{\eta} \frac{|Du|^2}{|u_n|} (|2Au| + B + |\eta_n|) \ge -\sqrt{n}(B + 4n + 2) \frac{|Du|}{\eta},\tag{40}$$

$$\lambda_n \le -\frac{1}{\eta} \frac{|Du|^2}{|u_n|} (\frac{2Au}{\sqrt{n}} - |\eta_n|) \le -(2\sqrt{n} - 2) \frac{|Du|}{\eta}. \tag{41}$$

If $\lambda_n \geq -f$, we already get the conclusion by (41). Thus in the following we assume that $\lambda_n < -f$. According to Wang-Yuan [WY11], Lemma 2.1, we may assume that $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n-1} \geq |\lambda_n|$ at x^* . We denote $\overline{\Delta}_g = g^{ij}\partial_{ij}$, by equality (4) and Lemma 4.1 we have

$$\eta \overline{\Delta}_{g} |Du| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\eta \frac{(|Du|^{2} - u_{i}^{2}) \lambda_{i}^{2} g^{ii}}{|Du|^{3}} + \eta \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{u_{k} \lambda_{i,k} g^{ii}}{|Du|} \right] \\
\geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \eta \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{f_{k}}{f} \frac{u_{k}}{|Du|} \lambda_{i} g^{ii} \geq -C(n) B |\lambda_{n}| g^{nn}. \tag{42}$$

Finally we can estimate |Du| at x^* using (40) and (42),

$$0 \ge \Delta_g w \ge |Du|\overline{\Delta}_g \eta + \eta \overline{\Delta}_g |Du| + \sum_{i=1}^n 2g^{ii} \eta_i |Du|_i + 2Au\overline{\Delta}_g u + B\overline{\Delta}_g u + \sum_{i=1}^n 2Ag^{ii} u_i^2$$

$$\ge -2ng^{nn} |Du| - C(n)B|\lambda_n|g^{nn} - \sum_{i=1}^n 2g^{ii} \eta_i \frac{1}{\eta} (\eta_i |Du| + 2Auu_i + Bu_i) + 2Ag^{nn} |u_n|^2$$

$$\ge -2ng^{nn} |Du| - C(n)(B^2 + 1) \frac{1}{\eta} g^{nn} |Du| - C(n)(B + 1) \frac{1}{\eta} g^{nn} |Du| + \frac{2}{M} g^{nn} |Du|^2.$$

Thus when $\lambda_n < -f(x^*)$ we have

$$\frac{2}{M}\eta |Du(x^*)| - C(n)(B^2 + 1) \le 0.$$

Recall $|Du(x^*)| \leq C(n)f(x^*)$ when $\lambda_n \geq -f(x^*)$, we have

$$|Du(0)| \le C(n) (\|\frac{Df}{f}\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1(0))}^2 + ||f||_{L^{\infty}(B_1(0))} + 1) \underset{B_1(0)}{osc} u.$$

Finally we scale by $\hat{u} = u/||f||_{L^{\infty}(B_1(0))}$, $\hat{f} = f/||f||_{L^{\infty}(B_1(0))}$ and get the result.

5 Dirichlet Problem for Generalized Slag

We denote $F(D^2u) = \sum_{k=1}^n \arctan \lambda_k$. In the case of special Lagrangian equation, we have the fact that

$$F_{m_{ij}}D_{ij}u_{\gamma\gamma} \geq 0,$$

due to the critical and supercritical phase condition. This means that the maximal point of $u_{\gamma\gamma}$ will attain at the boundary, thus we can get the $C^{1,1}$ estimates with the help of boundary data. Another observation made in Wang-Yuan [WY11] is $\Delta_g \lambda_{\text{max}} \geq 0$.

There are problems in getting similar results for the generalized special Lagrangian equation even if $f \in C^2$. Notice equality (13), we have for some bounded C_0

$$F_{m_{ij}}D_{ij}u_{\gamma\gamma} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{2}{f^2 + \lambda_k^2} [\lambda_k \lambda_{k,\gamma}^2 + (-\lambda_k^2 f_{\gamma} + f^2 f_{\gamma})\lambda_{i,\gamma}]_* + C_0.$$

we can only expect

$$F_{m_{ij}}D_{ij}u_{\gamma\gamma} \ge -\delta^2\lambda_1.$$

In this way we can not restrict λ_{max} to the boundary. We turn to another observation. We have proved the trace Jacobi inequality (11), we can get a weaker conclusion,

$$\Delta_g \max(|A + \Delta u| + K(|x|^2 - 1) \ge 0,$$

for $K = K(n, ||f||_{C^{1,1}})$ large. Using the argument in a lecture note on special Lagrangian equations by Yu Yuan, 2016, we get an existence theorem for $f \in C^2$, by approximation it leads to $f \in C^{0,1}$.

Theorem 5.1. Let $f(x) \in C^2(\overline{B}_1(0)), f \geq 1$. Let $\varphi(x) \in C^4(\partial B_1(0)), \alpha \in (0,1)$. The following Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2v, x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \arctan \frac{\lambda_i}{f} = \Theta & B_1(0), \\ v = \varphi & \partial B_1(0). \end{cases}$$

has an unique solution $v \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{B}_1(0))$ if the constant $\Theta \in (\frac{\pi}{2}(n-2), \frac{\pi}{2}n)$.

Proof. The uniqueness comes from the comparison principle. For the existence, let $t \in [0, 1]$, $f_t = 1 - t + tf$. Consider the following Dirichlet problem,

$$\begin{cases}
F(D^2u, x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \arctan \frac{\lambda_i}{f_t} = \Theta & B_1(0), \\
u = \varphi & \partial B_1(0).
\end{cases}$$
(43)

Let $I = \{t \in [0,1] | (43) \text{ has a solution } u_t \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{B}_1(0))\}$. Notice that $0 \in I$. We prove that I is both closed and open. Let $t_0 \in I$. It follows from Schauder theory that the linearized operator of F is invertible on $\overline{B}_1(0)$. By implicit function theorem, (43) is solvable near t_0 , thus I is open. The fact that I is closed comes from the following $C^{2,\alpha}$ estimates,

$$||u||_{C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{B}_1(0))} \le C(\alpha, \Theta, \operatorname{osc}_{B_1(0)}u, ||f||_{C^2(\overline{B}_1(0))}, ||\varphi||_{C^4(\partial B_1(0))}).$$

 L^{∞} bound.

$$-|\varphi|_{\infty} + \frac{1}{2}|f|_{\infty} \tan \frac{\Theta}{2n}(|x|^2 - 1) \le u \le |\varphi|_{\infty} + \frac{1}{2} \tan \frac{\Theta}{2n}(|x|^2 - 1).$$

Gradient bound.

Notice that $\lambda_n \geq -\cot[\Theta - \frac{\pi}{2}(n-2)]$, by differentiating equation (2) once we have

$$F_{m_{ij}}D_{ij}[u_{\gamma} + cu + C(|x|^2 - 1)] \ge 0, \ F_{m_{ij}}D_{ij}[u_{\gamma} - cu - C(|x|^2 - 1)] \le 0,$$
 (44)

where c, C depend on $\Theta, ||f||_{C^1}$, the region is implicit. Thus we only need to estimate |Du| at the boundary. We have

$$L_{-} \le \varphi \le L_{+},\tag{45}$$

for some linear functions L_+, L_- depending on $||\varphi||_{C^2}$, "=" holds at $y \in \partial B_1(0)$). Let $e \in \partial B_1(0)$, we estimate that at y,

$$D_e L_-(y) \le u_e(y) \le D_e L_+(y).$$

We have $|Du|_{\infty} \leq C(\Theta, ||f||_{C^1}, |\varphi||_{C^2}).$

Hessian bound.

First we estimate the double tangential derivatives u_{TT} and the mixed derivatives u_{TN} at the boundary. Notice (44) and the fact that $u_T = \varphi_\theta$ at the boundary, we have $|u_{TT}|_{\infty}, |u_{TN}|_{\infty} \le C(\Theta, ||f||_{C^1}, ||\varphi||_{C^3})$ similar to the gradient bound. We have

$$D^2 u \sim \left[\begin{array}{cc} \lambda' & u_{TN} \\ u_{TN} & u_{NN} \end{array} \right].$$

We assume that u_{NN} is sufficiently large (depending also on $\Theta - \frac{\pi}{2}(n-2)$). By the linear algebra, we have $f'(\lambda') = \arctan(\lambda'/f) \ge \frac{\pi}{2}(n-3)$. Let y_0 be the point such that $f'(\lambda')$ attains its minimal at $\partial B_1(0)$. By Yuan [Yua06], Lemma 2.1, the level set $\{\lambda'|f'(\lambda')=0\}$ is convex, we have at y_0

$$< Df'(\lambda'_0), \lambda' - \lambda'_0 > \ge 0,$$

or

$$\langle Df'(\lambda'_0), \lambda' \rangle \geq \langle Df'(\lambda'_0), \lambda'_0 \rangle = c_0 = c_0(\varphi_{\theta\theta}, u_r).$$

From the above inequality and the relation $(n-1)u_r + \mathrm{tr} D^2 \varphi|_T = \mathrm{tr} D^2 u|_T$ we get

$$u_r \ge \frac{c_0}{n-1} - \frac{1}{n-1} \operatorname{tr} D^2 \varphi|_T$$
, "=" at y_0 ,

where r denotes the radius variable. Then by (44) there exists a linear function L_0 depending on Θ , $||f||_{C^1}$, $||\varphi||_{C^4}$ such that

$$u_r \ge L_0$$
,

"=" holds at y_0 . We have for r < 1

$$\frac{u_r - u_r(y_0)}{r - 1} \le \frac{L_0 - L_0(y_0)}{r - 1},$$

and $u_{rr}(y_0) \leq C(\Theta, ||f||_{C^1}, ||\varphi||_{C^4})$. Finally we have

$$\lim_{a \to \infty} F(D^2 u + ae_N \otimes e_N, y_0) \ge F(D^2 u + 100e_N \otimes e_N, y_0) \ge F(D^2 u, y_0) + \delta_0 = \Theta + \delta_0,$$
$$\arctan[\lambda'/f](y_0) \ge \Theta - \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\delta_0}{2},$$

where $\delta_0 = \delta_0(\Theta, ||f||_{C^1}, ||\varphi||_{C^4}) > 0$. Then for $x \in \partial B_1(0)$, assume that u_{rr} is large,

$$F(D^{2}u, x) = \arctan[(\lambda' + o(1))/f] + \arctan[(u_{nn} + O(1))/f]$$

$$\geq \Theta - \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\delta_{0}}{4} + o(1) + \arctan[(u_{nn} + O(1))/f].$$

Thus u_{nn} is bounded, otherwise there will be a contradiction.

Remark 5.2. We did not use the fact that $f \in C^2(\overline{B}_1(0))$ when estimating D^2u at the boundary, but we need it to narrow our estimates down to the boundary. Since we have derived the interior $C^{1,1}$ estimates (and $C^{2,\alpha}$ by Caffarelli [Caf89]) for equation (1), by approximation we prove Theorem 1.3.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to express great gratitude to professor Yu Yuan, for proposing this subject to us, and further spends considerable time guiding and discussing with us this study through all these five years.

A The diagonalize lemma

Let $\{a_1, \dots a_n\}$ be positive constants, $n \geq 1$, $L \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Consider the following $n \times n$ symmetric matrix,

$$\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i e_i^T e_i - L^T L. \tag{46}$$

The fact that $\Lambda \geq 0$ is equivalent to the following inequality,

$$1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{a_i} | \langle L, e_i \rangle |^2 \ge 0.$$

We refer to Shankar-Yuan [SY22] and our recent work on Lagrangian mean curvature equations. Here we give a brief indication.

Proof. Suppose that $a_n \ge a_i > 0, 1 \le i \le n-1$. Let $\eta_i = -\sqrt{a_n - a_i}$,

$$\Lambda = a_n I_n - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (a_n - a_i) e_i^T e_i - L^T L.$$
(47)

Consider

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \eta_1 < L, e_1 > \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 \cdots & \cdots & a_{n-1} & \eta_{n-1} < L, e_{n-1} > \\ \eta_1 < L, e_1 > & \cdots & \eta_{n-1} < L, e_{n-1} > & a_n - |L|^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

 Λ has no negative eigenvalue is equivalent to the following inequality,

$$a_n - |L|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \eta_i^2| < L, e_i > |^2 a_i^{-1} = a_n - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_n}{a_i}| < L, e_i > |^2 \ge 0.$$

References

[BCGJ03] Bao J, Chen J, Guan B, Ji M. Liouville property and regularity of a Hessian quotient equation, American Journal of Mathematics, 125 (2003), no. 2, 301-316.

[CNS85] Caffarelli L, Nirenberg L, Spruck J. The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second order elliptic equations, III: Functions of the eigenvalues of the Hessian, Acta Math, 155 (1985), no. 3-4, 261-301.

[Caf89] Caffarelli L A. Interior a priori estimates for solutions of fully nonlinear equations, Annals of Mathematics, 130 (1989), no. 1, 189-213.

[CW01] Chou K, Wang X. A variational theory of the Hessian equation, Communications on Pure & Applied Mathematics, 54 (2001), no. 9, 1029-1064.

[CWY09] Chen J, Warren M, Yuan Y. A priori estimate for convex solutions to special Lagrangian equations and its application, Communications on Pure & Applied Mathematics 62 (2009), no. 4, 583-595.

[GQ19] Guan P, Qiu G. Interior C2 regularity of convex solutions to prescribing scalar curvature equations, Duke University Press, 168 (2019), no. 9, 1641-1663.

[Hei59] Heinz E. On elliptic Monge-Ampère Equations and Weyl's embedding problem, Journal D'analyse Mathématique, 7 (1959), no. 1, 1-52.

[HL82] Harvey F R, Lawson H B. Calibrated geometries, Acta Mathematica 148(1982), no. 1, 47-157.

[HS74] Hoffman D, Spruck J. Sobolev and isoperimetric inequalities for riemannian submanifolds. Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, 27 (1975), 715-727.

[LU70] Ladyzhenskaya O A, Ural'tseva N N. Local estimates for the gradients of solutions of nonuniformly elliptic and parabolic equations, Commun. pure appl. Math, 23 (1970), 677-703.

[Moo21] Mooney C. Strict 2-convexity of convex solutions to the quadratic Hessian equation. (English summary) Proc. Amer. Math. Soc, 149 (2021), no. 6, 2473-2477.

[MS73] Michael J H, Simon L M. Sobolev and mean-value inequalities on generalized submanifolds of Rn, Comm. Pure Appl. Math, 26 (1973), 361-379.

- [MS23] Mooney C, Savin O. Non C^1 solutions to the special Lagrangian equation, arXiv:2303.14282, 2023.
- [MSY19] McGonagle T, Song C, Yuan Y. Hessian estimates for convex solutions to quadratic Hessian equation, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Lineaire 36 (2019), no. 2, 451-454.
- [NV10] Nadirashvili N, Vlăduţ S. Singular Solution to Special Lagrangian Equations, Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincare (C) Non Linear Analysis, 27 (2010), no. 5, 1179-1188.
- [Pog78] Pogorelov A. V. The Minkowski multidimensional problem. V. H. Winston & Sons, Washington, D.C.; Halsted Press [John Wiley & Sons], New York-Toronto-London. Translated from the Russian by Vladimir Oliker, Introduction by Louis Nirenberg, Scripta Series in Mathematics, 1978.
- [Qiu17] Qiu G. Interior Hessian estimates for sigma-2 equations in dimension three, arXiv:1711.00948, 2017.
- [Saf84] Safonov, M V. The classical solution of the elliptic Bellman equation, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 278, 1984, 810-813; English translation in Soviet Math Doklady, 30 (1984), 482-485.
- [Sim76] Simon L. Interior gradient bounds for nonuniformly elliptic equations, Indiana University Mathematics Journal, 25 (1976), no. 9, 821-855.
- [SY20a] Shankar R, Yuan Y. Regularity for almost convex viscosity solutions of the sigma-2 equation. Journal of Mathematical Study, 54 (2020), no. 2, 164-170.
- [SY20b] Shankar R, Yuan Y. Hessian estimate for semiconvex solutions to the sigma-2 equation. Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations, 59 (2020), no. 1, Paper No. 30, 12 pp.
- [SY22] Shankar R, Yuan Y. Rigidity for general semiconvex entire solutions to the sigma-2 equation, Duke Math. J, 171 (2022), no. 15, 3201-3214.
- [Tru72] Trudinger N S. A new proof of the interior gradient bound for the minimal surface equation in n dimensions. Proc. nat. Acad. Sci. USA, 69 (1972), 821-823.
- [Tru73] Trudinger N S. Gradient Estimates and Mean Curvature, Math. Z. 131 (1973), 165 175.
- [Tru90] Trudinger N S. The Dirichlet problem for the prescribed curvature equations, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 111(1990), no. 2, 153-179.
- [Urb00] Urbas J. Some interior regularity results for solutions of Hessian equations, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 11 (2000), no. 1, 1-31.
- [Urb01] Urbas J. An interior second derivative bound for solutions of Hessian equations, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 12 (2001), no. 4, 417-431.

- [WY09] Warren M, Yuan Y. Hessian estimates for the sigma-2 equation dimension 3, Communications on Pure & Applied Mathematics, 62 (2009), no. 3, 305-321.
- [WY10] Warren M, Yuan Y. Hessian and gradient estimates for three dimensional special Lagrangian equations with large phase. American Journal of Mathematics, 132 (2010), no. 3, 751-770.
- [WY11] Wang D, Yuan Y. Hessian estimates for special Lagrangian equations with critical and supercritical phases in general dimensions, American Journal of Mathematics, 136 (2011), no. 2, 481-499.
- [WY13] Wang D, Yuan Y. Singular solutions to special Lagrangian equations with subcritical phases and minimal surface systems, American Journal of Mathematics, 135 (2013), no. 5, 1157-1177.
- [Yua01] Yuan Y. A priori estimates for solutions of fully nonlinear special lagrangian equations, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Anal. non linéaire, 2 (2001), no. 18, 261-270.
- [Yua06] Yuan Y. Global solutions to special Lagrangian equations, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 134 (2006), no. 5, 1355-1358.