

Stable critical point of the Robin function and bubbling phenomenon for a slightly subcritical elliptic problem

Habib Fourti

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, College of Science, King Faisal University, Al-Ahsa 31982, Saudi Arabia,
University of Monastir, Faculty of Sciences of Monastir, Tunisia,
Laboratory LR 13 ES 21, University of Sfax, Faculty of Sciences of Sfax, Tunisia
e-mail: hfourti@kfu.edu.sa, habib.fourti@fsm.rnu.tn*

Rabeh Ghoudi

*University of Gabes, Faculty of Sciences of Gabes, Tunisia
e-mail: ghoudi.rabeh@yahoo.fr*

Abstract. In this paper, we deal with the boundary value problem $-\Delta u = |u|^{4/(n-2)}u/[\ln(e+|u|)]^\varepsilon$ in a bounded smooth domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$ with homogenous Dirichlet boundary condition. Here $\varepsilon > 0$. Clapp et al. in Journal of Diff. Eq. (Vol 275) built a family of solution blowing up if $n \geq 4$ and ε small enough. They conjectured in their paper the existence of sign changing solutions which blow up and blow down at the same point. Here we give a confirmative answer by proving that our slightly subcritical problem has a solution with the shape of sign changing bubbles concentrating on a stable critical point of the Robin function for ε sufficiently small.

Key words: Critical Sobolev exponent, Bubble towers solution, Finite-dimensional reduction, Subcritical nonlinearity, Robin function.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 35J20, 35J60.

1 Introduction and results

Let Ω be a smooth open bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$. This paper deals with the analysis of solutions of the boundary value problem

$$(P_\varepsilon) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = f_\varepsilon(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where

$$f_\varepsilon(u) := \frac{|u|^{p-1}u}{[\ln(e+|u|)]^\varepsilon}, \quad \varepsilon \geq 0, \quad \text{and } p = \frac{n+2}{n-2}. \quad (1.1)$$

Here $p+1 = 2n/(n-2)$ is the critical Sobolev exponent for the embedding of $H_0^1(\Omega)$ into $L^{p+1}(\Omega)$. Observe that solutions for (P_ε) correspond to critical points in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ of the energy functional

$$I_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 - \int_\Omega F_\varepsilon(u), \quad \text{where } F_\varepsilon(s) = \int_0^s f_\varepsilon(t) dt. \quad (1.2)$$

The nonlinearity f_ε where $\varepsilon > 0$ has been studied in several works (see for instance [5], [7],[12],[13],[17]). The existence of solutions for (P_ε) when $\varepsilon > 0$ is guaranteed by a variational argument developed in [12]. Despite the noncompactness of the embedding of $H_0^1(\Omega)$ into $L^{p+1}(\Omega)$, the Euler functional I_ε satisfies Palais Smale condition. Thus problem (P_ε) is considered as a slightly subcritical one.

The critical case corresponds to $\varepsilon = 0$ and we get $f_0(u) = |u|^{p-1}u$. In such a situation, the Euler functional I_0 does not satisfy Palais Smale condition and thus the corresponding variational problem happens to be lacking of compactness. The obtained problem (P_0) is especially meaningful in geometry. In fact, the Yamabe problem is a version of this problem on manifolds.

In the sequel we list some known results concerning the critical case $\varepsilon = 0$. When Ω is starshaped, Pohozaev proved in [20] that problem (P_0) has no positive solutions. However, when Ω is an annulus, Kazdan and Warner provided in [11] the existence of a positive radial solution. By using critical points at infinity theory, Bahri and Coron [2] proved that such a problem has a positive solution, under the condition that Ω has nontrivial topology. In this paper, we deal with the slightly subcritical case, i.e. $\varepsilon > 0$. In order to state old and new results, it is useful to recall some well known definitions.

The space $H_0^1(\Omega)$ is equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ and its corresponding inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is defined by

$$\|u\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2; \quad \langle u, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v, \quad u, v \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

For $a \in \Omega$ and $\lambda > 0$, let

$$\delta_{(a,\lambda)}(y) = \frac{c_0 \lambda^{(n-2)/2}}{(1 + \lambda^2 |y - a|^2)^{(n-2)/2}}, \quad \text{where } c_0 := (n(n-2))^{(n-2)/4}. \quad (1.3)$$

The constant c_0 is chosen such that $\delta_{(a,\lambda)}$ is the family of solutions of the following problem

$$-\Delta u = u^{(n+2)/(n-2)}, \quad u > 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (1.4)$$

Notice that the family $\delta_{(a,\lambda)}$ achieves the best Sobolev constant

$$S_n := \inf \{ \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \|u\|_{L^{2n/(n-2)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-2} : u \not\equiv 0, \nabla u \in (L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))^n \text{ and } u \in L^{2n/(n-2)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \}. \quad (1.5)$$

We denote by $P\delta_{(a,\lambda)}$ the projection of $\delta_{(a,\lambda)}$ onto $H_0^1(\Omega)$, defined by

$$-\Delta P\delta_{(a,\lambda)} = -\Delta \delta_{(a,\lambda)} \text{ in } \Omega, \quad P\delta_{(a,\lambda)} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \quad (1.6)$$

We will denote by G the Green's function and by H its regular part, that is

$$G(x, y) = |x - y|^{2-n} - H(x, y) \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in \Omega^2, \quad (1.7)$$

and for $x \in \Omega$, H satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta H(x, \cdot) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ H(x, y) = |x - y|^{2-n}, & \text{for } y \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

We define the Robin function as $R(x) = H(x, x)$, $x \in \Omega$.

The first paper establishing the existence of blowing up solutions to problem (P_ε) is [7] where the authors proved that any x_0 non-degenerate critical point of R generates a family of single-peak solutions concentrating around x_0 as ε goes to 0. This family of solutions has the following form

$$u_\varepsilon = P\delta_{(a_\varepsilon, \lambda_\varepsilon)} + v_\varepsilon,$$

where $a_\varepsilon \rightarrow x_0$, $\lambda_\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty$ and $\|v_\varepsilon\| \rightarrow 0$ as ε goes to 0.

In [17], the asymptotic behavior of radially symmetric solutions of (P_ε) was analyzed when Ω is a ball. Very recently, we provided in [5] the existence of positive as well as changing sign solutions that blow up and/or blow down at different points in Ω . Our solutions have the following expansion

$$u_\varepsilon = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_{i,\varepsilon} \gamma_i P\delta_{(a_{i,\varepsilon}, \lambda_{i,\varepsilon})} + v$$

where $\gamma_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$, $\alpha_{i,\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1$, $\lambda_{i,\varepsilon} \rightarrow \infty$, $v_\varepsilon \in E_{(a,\lambda)}$ and $\|v_\varepsilon\| \rightarrow 0$ as ε goes to 0. Here

$$E_{(a,\lambda)} := \left\{ v \in H_0^1(\Omega) : \left\langle v, P\delta_i \right\rangle = \left\langle v, \frac{\partial P\delta_i}{\partial \lambda_i} \right\rangle = \left\langle v, \frac{\partial P\delta_i}{\partial (a_i)_j} \right\rangle = 0 \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq n, \forall 1 \leq i \leq k \right\}, \quad (1.8)$$

where $P\delta_i = P\delta_{(a_{i,\varepsilon}, \lambda_{i,\varepsilon})}$ and $(a_{i,\varepsilon})_j$ is the j^{th} component of $a_{i,\varepsilon}$. Furthermore, the concentration points $a_{1,\varepsilon}, \dots, a_{k,\varepsilon}$ are far away from each other and converge to distinct points in Ω , which form a non-degenerate critical point of a function defined explicitly in terms of the Green function and its regular part.

In this paper, we focus on a new kind of solutions. In fact, we prove the existence of sign-changing bubble towers solutions. These solutions are constituted by superposition of positive and negative bubbles with different blow up orders and closed concentration points.

Musso and Pistoia have proved in [16] the existence of such solutions for the following nonlinear subcritical elliptic problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = |u|^{p-1-\varepsilon}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$. As mentioned in [7] and [5], there is an interesting analogy between results obtained for (P_ε) and those known for the usual elliptic equation (1.9). In this direction, we prove here that (P_ε) shares also with problem (1.9), the existence of tower bubbles solutions of different blow-up orders, as conjectured in [7].

Let us review some known facts related to tower bubbles solutions. This phenomenon was firstly discovered by del Pino, Dolbeault and Musso in [9], where the authors dealt with the slightly supercritical Brezis-Nirenberg problem. They proved the existence of positive bubble tower radial solutions obtained by a superposition of several bubbles centered at the origin point but with different scaling parameters.

Their method strongly relies on the symmetry of the problem. Later, the construction described above was extended by Ge, Jing and Pacard in [10] to a more general class of domains, namely domains such that the associated Robin function R admits a non-degenerate critical point in the case of one tower of bubble. They also proved the existence of multiple towers of bubbles under a non-degeneracy condition on a critical point of a certain functional of point of the domain. In [16], the authors studied the same problem and they were able to remove the assumption on the domain. Indeed, they proved that, in any bounded domain Ω , the slightly supercritical Brezis-Nirenberg problem does admit solutions with the shape of a tower of bubbles. Their idea was the following: given any domain Ω , its Robin function is smooth, positive and unbounded as x approaches the boundary, thus it has a minimum in Ω , and hence at least one critical point.

In the same paper [16], as we mentioned before, Musso and Pistoia have constructed sign-changing bubble tower solutions for problem (1.9) which blow up at the minimum of the Robin function R . This work was an extension of that of Pistoia and Weth [18] where they proved that if Ω is symmetric with respect to the x_1, \dots, x_n axes, problem (1.9) has a sign-changing solution with the shape of a tower of bubbles with alternate signs, centered at the center of symmetry of the domain. Finally, we mention the work in [8], where the authors studied the existence of bubble towers changing sign solutions for the counterpart of (1.9) when the Laplacian operator is replaced by the biharmonic one.

Before introducing our result, we recall the following definition as stated in [14].

Definition 1.1 *Let $g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^1 -function. We say that ξ_0 is a stable critical point of g if $\nabla g(\xi_0) = 0$ and there exists a neighborhood $U \subset \subset \Omega$ of ξ_0 such that $\nabla g(x) \neq 0, \forall x \in \partial U$,*

$$\nabla g(x) = 0, x \in U \Rightarrow g(x) = g(\xi_0),$$

and

$$\deg(\nabla g, \overline{U}, 0) \neq 0,$$

where \deg denotes the Brouwer degree.

It is easy to see that, if ξ_0 is an isolated minimum or maximum point of the function g , then ξ_0 is a stable critical point of g . Moreover, a non-degenerate critical point of g is stable according to the previous definition.

As we previously mentioned, the aim of the current paper is, by applying the Liapunov-Schmidt reduction method, proving the existence of bubble tower sign-changing solutions of (P_ε) concentrating at a stable critical point of the Robin function R . Precisely speaking, our main result can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.2 Assume that $n \geq 3$ and let ξ_0 be a stable critical point of the Robin function R . Then, given an integer $k \geq 1$, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for each $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, problem (P_ε) has a solution u_ε of the form

$$u_\varepsilon = \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^i \alpha_{i,\varepsilon} P\delta_{(\xi_{i,\varepsilon}, \lambda_{i,\varepsilon})} + v_\varepsilon, \quad (1.10)$$

where $\xi_{i,\varepsilon} = \xi_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\lambda_{i,\varepsilon}} \sigma_{i,\varepsilon}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, $\sigma_{1,\varepsilon} = 0$; $\sigma_{i,\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for $i = 2, \dots, k$ and $v_\varepsilon \in E_{(\xi, \lambda)}$. Furthermore, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\alpha_{i,\varepsilon} \rightarrow 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k; \quad \xi_\varepsilon \rightarrow \xi_0; \quad \sigma_{i,\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } i = 2, \dots, k$$

and the variables $\lambda_{i,\varepsilon}$ and v_ε satisfy

$$\lambda_{i,\varepsilon}^{n-2} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \right)^{2(k-i)+1} = C(k, i, \xi_0)(1 + o(1)) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k;$$

$$\|v_\varepsilon\| = \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon \ln |\ln \varepsilon|), & \text{if } n \leq 6; \\ O\left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|}\right)^{\frac{n+2}{2(n-2)}}\right), & \text{if } n > 6. \end{cases}$$

Here $C(k, i, \xi_0)$ is positive constant which depends on k , i and ξ_0 .

We point out that one can remove the assumption R admits a stable critical point by using the same idea of Musso and Pistoia namely that the Robin function has a minimum in any given domain Ω , and hence it has at least one stable critical point.

Arguing as in [15], one can exhibit an example of a contractible domain for which such an assumption holds true. Indeed, following the idea of perturbing domains, Musso and Pistoia constructed a domain for which the function R has a stable critical point.

We also mention that the non-degeneracy of the critical point of the Robin function implies the existence of this kind of solutions, since by applying implicit function theorem one may prove that a non-degenerate critical point is a stable one. Thus, our condition is weaker than the non-degeneracy condition. In fact, when $k = 1$ our result improves the one of Clapp et al. in [7].

Note that our family of solutions (u_ε) converges weakly to zero and its blow up rate satisfies

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_\infty \sim c(|\ln \varepsilon| \varepsilon^{-1})^{k-1/2} \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Furthermore, the concentration speeds λ_i 's verify

$$\lambda_i \text{ is of order } (|\ln \varepsilon| \varepsilon^{-1})^{(2(k-i)+1)/(n-2)}, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k \text{ and } \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_{i+1}} \sim c(|\ln \varepsilon| \varepsilon^{-1})^{2/(n-2)}, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k-1.$$

This choice will be justified by exploiting some balancing conditions for the parameters of the concentration given in Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3. Indeed, taking λ_k the smallest concentration speed by analyzing these balancing conditions, we obtain that $(|\ln \varepsilon| \varepsilon^{-1})^{1/(n-2)}$ and λ_k needed to be of the same order. This will be the subject of Proposition 3.1 where we investigate the asymptotic profile of a family of sign changing bubble tower solutions u_ε which blows up in the interior of the domain Ω . Let us point out that, the obtained relations between the parameter λ_i 's and ε goes along the argument developed in [16] concerning (1.9). In fact, Musso and Pistoia have chosen that the smallest concentration speed satisfies $\lambda_k \sim c\varepsilon^{-1/(n-2)}$ instead of the quantity $c(|\ln \varepsilon| \varepsilon^{-1})^{1/(n-2)}$ in our case.

To prove our main result, we apply the Liapunov-Schmidt Reduction method (see for instance [19] and the references therein). Thanks to the analysis of the gradient of the energy functional performed in [5], we were able to adopt some arguments developed in [16] and that by choosing suitable concentration rates and concentration points. After this choice we have also improved the expansion of the gradient with respect to the new variables. Note that our proof requires also the expansion of the energy functional I_ε which is given in Proposition 2.6. In contrast with the nonlinear term $|u|^{p-1-\varepsilon}u$ in problem (1.9), one can not write the explicit expression of F_ε the antiderivative of the nonlinearity f_ε of problem (P_ε) (see (1.1) and (1.2)). We were able to overcome this

technical difficulty and to obtain the asymptotic expansion of I_ε , as ε goes to 0. From this expansion we get a new functional defined on finite space. By applying degree theory and taking into account the stability of the critical point of the Robin function we conclude on this new functional.

We mention that our new result in Proposition 2.6 is quite involving. We think that this expansion will be useful to study the existence and to describe the blow up profile of some positive and changing sign solutions, as ε goes to zero.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we collect some basic tools which includes the asymptotic expansions of the energy functional and its gradient. We would like to warn the reader that the expansion of the gradient is quoted from [5] and we did not repeat the proofs. However, we provide the proof of the expansion of the energy functional in case of close concentration points. The description of the appropriate solutions is obtained in Section 3 and that by analyzing the asymptotic profile of sign changing bubble tower solutions. In Section 4 we introduce the precise profile of our searched solutions and we study its remainder term. Then we derive an asymptotic expansion for the reduced energy functional in Section 5. Finally, we complete the proof of our main result in Section 6. Section 7 is an appendix where we collect some technical Lemmas used in this work. Throughout this paper, we use the same c to denote various generic positive constants independent of ε .

2 The Technical Framework

Recall that each critical point of the energy functional I_ε (defined by (1.2)) is a solution of (P_ε) . To construct solutions of the form (1.10), our argument require the expansion of I_ε and its gradient for $u = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \gamma_i P\delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)} + v$ i.e. u belongs to a neighborhood of potential concentration sets. Here $\gamma_i \in \{-1, 1\}$. We mention that the expansions introduced in this section will be given in general setting i.e. we will not use, at this stage, the parameters information presented in Theorem 1.2.

For $\eta > 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k) \in \{-1, 1\}^k$, let us define

$$V(k, \eta) = \left\{ u \in H_0^1(\Omega) / \exists \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \in \Omega, \exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k > \eta^{-1}, \exists \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k > 0 \text{ with } \right. \\ \left. \| u - \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \gamma_i P\delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)} \| < \eta; |\alpha_i - 1| < \eta, \lambda_i d(\xi_i, \partial\Omega) > \eta^{-1} \forall i, \varepsilon_{ij} < \eta \forall i \neq j \right\},$$

where

$$\varepsilon_{ij} := \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} + \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i} + \lambda_i \lambda_j |\xi_i - \xi_j| \right)^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \quad (2.1)$$

Note that, the variable ε_{ij} comes from the scalar product

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)} \cdot \nabla \delta_{(\xi_j, \lambda_j)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^p \delta_{(\xi_j, \lambda_j)} = O(\varepsilon_{ij}) \text{ for } i \neq j, \quad (2.2)$$

(see [1] page 4).

For simplicity, we denote $P\delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}$ by $P\delta_i$. Recall that simple computations show that

$$|\lambda_i \frac{\partial P\delta_i}{\partial \lambda_i}|, |\frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial P\delta_i}{\partial (\xi_i)_\ell}| \leq c P\delta_i \leq c \delta_i \text{ and } |\lambda_i \frac{\partial \delta_i}{\partial \lambda_i}|, |\frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial \delta_i}{\partial (\xi_i)_\ell}| \leq c \delta_i \text{ for } \ell = 0, \dots, n. \quad (2.3)$$

As in [5], we are looking for a solution of (P_ε) in a small neighbourhood of $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \gamma_i P\delta_i$. The authors in [5] investigated the gradient of the functional I_ε in $V(k, \eta)$. In the sequel, we recall some expansions extracted from [5].

Proposition 2.1 *Let $n \geq 3$ and $u = \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \gamma_j P\delta_j + v \in V(k, \eta)$ such that $v \in E_{(\xi, \lambda)}$. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we have the following expansion*

$$\langle \nabla I_\varepsilon(u), P\delta_i \rangle = \gamma_i \alpha_i (1 - \alpha_i^{p-1}) S_n^{\frac{n}{2}} + O\left(\varepsilon \ln(\ln \lambda_i) + \sum_j \frac{1}{(\lambda_j d_j)^{n-2}} + \sum_{j \neq i} \varepsilon_{ij} + \|v\|\right),$$

where S_n is the best Sobolev constant defined in (1.5), $d_j := d(\xi_j, \partial\Omega)$ and ε_{ij} is introduced in (2.1).

Proposition 2.2 Let $n \geq 3$ and $u = \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \gamma_j P \delta_j + v \in V(k, \eta)$ such that $v \in E_{(\xi, \lambda)}$. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, we have the following expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \nabla I_\varepsilon(u), \lambda_i \frac{\partial P \delta_i}{\partial \lambda_i} \right\rangle &= \gamma_i \Gamma_1 \frac{\alpha_i^p \varepsilon}{\ln \lambda_i} + (n-2) \bar{c}_1 \frac{\gamma_i \alpha_i}{2} (1 - 2\alpha_i^{p-1}) \frac{H(\xi_i, \xi_i)}{\lambda_i^{n-2}} \\ &\quad + \bar{c}_1 \sum_{j \neq i} \gamma_j \alpha_j (1 - \alpha_j^{p-1} - \alpha_i^{p-1}) \left(\lambda_i \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial \lambda_i} + \frac{n-2}{2} \frac{H(\xi_i, \xi_j)}{(\lambda_i \lambda_j)^{(n-2)/2}} \right) \\ &\quad + O \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\ln(\lambda_i)^2} + \frac{1}{(\lambda_i d_i)^{2n-4}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{(1-\tau)n} d_i^{2n}} + \sum_{j=1}^k \varepsilon^2 \ln(\ln \lambda_j)^2 \right) \\ &\quad + O \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \ln(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}) + \sum_{j \neq i} \varepsilon_{ij}^2 \ln(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1})^{\frac{2(n-2)}{n}} + \|v\|^2 \right) + \begin{cases} O \left(\sum_{l=1}^k \frac{\ln(\lambda_l d_l)}{(\lambda_l d_l)^n} \right) & \text{if } n \geq 4; \\ O \left(\sum_{l=1}^k \frac{1}{(\lambda_l d_l)^2} \right) & \text{if } n = 3, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{c}_1 := c_0^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(1+|x|^2)^{(n+2)/2}} dx$, $\Gamma_1 := c_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta_{(0,1)}^p(y) \ln(\delta_{(0,1)}(y)) \frac{1-|y|^2}{(1+|y|^2)^{n/2}} dy = \frac{(n-2)^2}{4n} S_n^{n/2}$ and τ is a positive constant small enough.

Proposition 2.3 Let $n \geq 3$ and $u = \sum_{l=1}^k \alpha_l \gamma_l P \delta_l + v \in V(k, \eta)$ such that $v \in E_{(\xi, \lambda)}$. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have the following expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \nabla I_\varepsilon(u), \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial P \delta_i}{\partial (\xi_i)_j} \right\rangle &= \gamma_i \left(\alpha_i^p - \frac{\alpha_i}{2} \right) \frac{\bar{c}_1}{\lambda_i^{n-1}} \frac{\partial H(\xi_i, \xi_i)}{\partial (a)_j} \\ &\quad + \bar{c}_1 \sum_{l=1, l \neq i}^k \gamma_l \alpha_l (1 - \alpha_l^{p-1} - \alpha_i^{p-1}) \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{il}}{\partial (\xi_i)_j} - \frac{1}{(\lambda_i \lambda_l)^{(n-2)/2}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial (a)_j}(\xi_i, \xi_l) \right) \\ &\quad + O \left(\sum_{l=1}^k \varepsilon^2 \ln(\ln \lambda_l)^2 + \sum_{l \neq i} \lambda_l |\xi_i - \xi_l| \varepsilon_{il}^{\frac{n+1}{n-2}} + \sum_{l \neq i} \varepsilon_{il}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \ln(\varepsilon_{il}^{-1}) + \sum_{l \neq i} \varepsilon_{il}^2 \ln(\varepsilon_{il}^{-1})^{\frac{2(n-2)}{n}} + \|v\|^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \begin{cases} O \left(\sum_{l=1}^k \frac{\ln(\lambda_l d_l)}{(\lambda_l d_l)^n} \right) & \text{if } n \geq 4; \\ O \left(\sum_{l=1}^k \frac{1}{(\lambda_l d_l)^2} \right) & \text{if } n = 3, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{\partial H}{\partial (a)_j}$ denotes the partial derivative of H with respect to the j -th component of the first variable.

Now, our aim is to obtain the expansion of the energy functional I_ε around $u \in V(k, \eta)$ with close concentration points. We start by the following useful lemmas.

Lemma 2.4 Let $\xi \in \Omega$ and $\lambda_i > 0$ be such that $\lambda_i d(\xi, \partial \Omega)$ is very large. We denote

$$\xi_i := \xi + \frac{\sigma_i}{\lambda_i} \text{ and } P \delta_i := P \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}, \quad (2.4)$$

where σ_i belongs to a compact set in \mathbb{R}^n .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} [f_\varepsilon(\alpha_i \gamma_i P \delta_i) - f_0(\alpha_i \gamma_i P \delta_i)] (x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i \\ &= \frac{n-2}{2} \gamma_i \alpha_i^p S_n^{n/2} \varepsilon \ln(\ln \lambda_i^{\frac{n-2}{2}}) + O \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\ln \lambda_i} + \frac{\varepsilon \ln \ln \lambda_i}{(\lambda_i d_i)^{n-2}} + \varepsilon^2 \ln(\ln \lambda_i)^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Taking into account (2.4), it is easy to see that $\lambda_i d(\xi, \partial \Omega) \rightarrow \infty$ is equivalent to $\lambda_i d_i := \lambda_i d(\xi_i, \partial \Omega) \rightarrow \infty$. By using Lemma 7.3 and (2.4), we have

$$(x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i = (x - \xi_i) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i + (\xi_i - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i = O(\delta_i). \quad (2.5)$$

Taylor's expansion with respect to ε yields,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} [f_{\varepsilon}(\alpha_i \gamma_i P \delta_i) - f_0(\alpha_i \gamma_i P \delta_i)](x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i \\
&= -\gamma_i \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \alpha_i^p P \delta_i^p \ln \ln(e + \alpha_i P \delta_i)(x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i + O\left(\varepsilon^2 \int_{\Omega} P \delta_i^p [\ln \ln(e + \alpha_i P \delta_i)]^2 |(x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i|\right) \\
&= -\gamma_i \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \alpha_i^p P \delta_i^p \ln \ln(e + \alpha_i P \delta_i)(x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i + O(\varepsilon^2 (\ln \ln \lambda_i)^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where we have used (2.5), $\int_{\Omega} \delta_i^p = O(1)$ and the fact that

$$0 \leq \ln \ln(e + \alpha_i P \delta_i) \leq c \ln(\ln \lambda_i). \tag{2.7}$$

Taking account of Proposition 7.2 (a), the mean value theorem and (2.7) yield

$$P \delta_i^p \ln \ln(e + \alpha_i P \delta_i) = \delta_i^p \ln \ln(e + \alpha_i \delta_i) + O(\delta_i^{p-1} \varphi_i \ln \ln \lambda_i). \tag{2.8}$$

Hence, by using (2.8) and the fact that $\nabla_x \delta_i = -\frac{\partial \delta_i}{\partial \xi_i}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} P \delta_i^p \ln \ln(e + \alpha_i P \delta_i)(x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \delta_i^p \ln \ln(e + \alpha_i \delta_i)(x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x \delta_i + O\left(\ln \ln \lambda_i \int_{\Omega} \delta_i^{p-1} \varphi_i |(x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i| + \ln \ln \lambda_i \int_{\Omega} \delta_i^p |(x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x \varphi_i|\right) \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \delta_i^p \ln \ln(e + \alpha_i \delta_i)(x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x \delta_i + O\left(\ln \ln \lambda_i \left(\int_{B_i^c} \delta_i^{p+1} + \|\varphi_i\|_{L^\infty(B_i)} \int_{B_i} \delta_i^p + \|\nabla_x \varphi_i\|_{L^\infty(B_i)} \int_{B_i} \delta_i^p |x - \xi_i|\right)\right) \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \delta_i^p \ln \ln(e + \alpha_i \delta_i)(x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x \delta_i + O\left(\frac{\ln \ln \lambda_i}{(\lambda_i d_i)^n} + \frac{\ln \ln \lambda_i}{(\lambda_i d_i)^{n-2}}\right) \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \delta_i^p \ln \ln(e + \alpha_i \delta_i)(x - \xi_i) \cdot \nabla_x \delta_i - \sum_{\ell=1}^n ((\xi_i)_\ell - (\xi)_\ell) \int_{\Omega} \delta_i^p \ln \ln(e + \alpha_i \delta_i) \frac{\partial \delta_i}{\partial (\xi_i)_\ell} + O\left(\frac{\ln \ln \lambda_i}{(\lambda_i d_i)^{n-2}}\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where B_i denotes the ball of center ξ_i and radius $d_i/2$. Note that we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \delta_i^p \ln \ln(e + \alpha_i \delta_i) \frac{\partial \delta_i}{\partial (\xi_i)_\ell} = \int_{B_i} \delta_i^p \ln \ln(e + \alpha_i \delta_i) \frac{\partial \delta_i}{\partial (\xi_i)_\ell} + \int_{B_i^c} \delta_i^p \ln \ln(e + \alpha_i \delta_i) \frac{\partial \delta_i}{\partial (\xi_i)_\ell} = O\left(\frac{\lambda_i \ln \ln \lambda_i}{(\lambda_i d_i)^n}\right) \tag{2.10}$$

since the function $\delta_i^p \ln \ln(e + \alpha_i \delta_i) \frac{\partial \delta_i}{\partial (\xi_i)_\ell}$ is antisymmetric with respect to $(x - \xi_i)_\ell$ in B_i .

We point out that we have

$$(\xi_i - x) \cdot \nabla_x \delta_i = \frac{n-2}{2} \delta_i - \lambda_i \frac{\partial \delta_i}{\partial \lambda_i}. \tag{2.11}$$

Furthermore, the following integral is needed in the proof of Proposition 2.2 and it was computed in [5]. We have

$$\int_{\Omega} \delta_i^p \ln \ln(e + \alpha_i \delta_i) \lambda_i \frac{\partial \delta_i}{\partial \lambda_i} = \frac{\Gamma_1}{\ln \lambda_i} + O\left(\frac{1}{(\ln \lambda_i)^2} + \frac{\ln \ln \lambda_i}{(\lambda_i d_i)^n}\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{\ln \lambda_i} + \frac{\ln \ln \lambda_i}{(\lambda_i d_i)^n}\right) \tag{2.12}$$

(for more details see the proof of Lemma 2.9 in [5]).

Let $\Omega_{\lambda_i} := \lambda_i(\Omega - \xi_i)$. We recall that

$$\delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)} = \lambda_i^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \delta_{(0,1)}(\lambda_i(\cdot - \xi_i)).$$

An easy computation shows that for any $U > 0$ and λ large enough we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\ln \ln\left(e + \lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} U\right) &= \ln \ln\left(\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\right) + O\left(\frac{\ln(e^{1-\frac{n-2}{2} \ln \lambda} + U)}{\ln \lambda}\right) \\
&= \ln \ln\left(\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\right) + O\left(\frac{1 + \ln(U)}{\ln \lambda}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Using (2.13) with taking $U = \alpha_i \delta_{(0,1)}$, together with (2.11) and (2.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\ell=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \delta_i^p \ln \ln(e + \alpha_i \delta_i)(x_{\ell} - (\xi_i)_{\ell}) \frac{\partial \delta_i}{\partial x_{\ell}} \\
&= -\frac{n-2}{2} \int_{\Omega} \delta_i^{p+1} \ln \ln(e + \alpha_i \delta_i) + \int_{\Omega} \delta_i^p \ln \ln(e + \alpha_i \delta_i) \lambda_i \frac{\partial \delta_i}{\partial \lambda_i} \\
&= -\frac{n-2}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\lambda_i}} \delta_{(0,1)}^{p+1}(y) \ln \ln(e + \lambda_i^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \alpha_i \delta_{(0,1)}(y)) dy + O\left(\frac{1}{\ln \lambda_i} + \frac{\ln \ln \lambda_i}{(\lambda_i d_i)^n}\right) \\
&= -\frac{n-2}{2} \ln \ln\left(\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\right) \int_{\Omega_{\lambda_i}} \delta_{(0,1)}^{p+1}(y) dy + O\left(\int_{\Omega_{\lambda_i}} \delta_{(0,1)}^{p+1}(y) \frac{1 + \ln(\alpha_i \delta_{(0,1)}(y))}{\ln \lambda} dy\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\ln \lambda_i} + \frac{\ln \ln \lambda_i}{(\lambda_i d_i)^n}\right) \\
&= -\frac{n-2}{2} \ln \ln\left(\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta_{(0,1)}^{p+1}(y) dy + O\left(\ln \ln \lambda_i \int_{B(0, \lambda_i d_i)^c} \delta_{(0,1)}^{p+1}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\ln \lambda_i} + \frac{\ln \ln \lambda_i}{(\lambda_i d_i)^n}\right) \\
&= -\frac{(n-2)}{2} \ln \ln\left(\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\right) S_n^{n/2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\ln \lambda_i} + \frac{\ln \ln \lambda_i}{(\lambda_i d_i)^n}\right). \tag{2.14}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the desired result follows from (2.6), (2.9), (2.10) and (2.14). \square

Lemma 2.5 *Let $\xi \in \Omega$ and $\lambda_i, \lambda_j > 0$ be such that $\min(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)d(\xi, \partial\Omega)$ is very large. We denote*

$$\xi_l := \xi + \frac{\sigma_l}{\lambda_l} \text{ for } l = i, j \tag{2.15}$$

where σ_l is in compact set of \mathbb{R}^n . Moreover, we suppose that ε_{ij} is very small. Then, there hold

$$\int_{\Omega} P\delta_i^p(x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P\delta_i = -\frac{n-2}{2} S_n^{\frac{n}{2}} + n\bar{c}_1 \frac{H(\xi_i, \xi_i)}{\lambda_i^{n-2}} + \begin{cases} O\left(\frac{1}{(\lambda_i d_i)^2}\right), & \text{if } n = 3; \\ O\left(\frac{\ln(\lambda_i d_i)}{(\lambda_i d_i)^n}\right), & \text{if } n \geq 4, \end{cases} \tag{2.16}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} P\delta_j^p(x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P\delta_i &= -\frac{n-2}{2} \bar{c}_1 \left(\varepsilon_{ij} - \frac{H(\xi_i, \xi_j)}{(\lambda_i \lambda_j)^{(n-2)/2}} \right) - \bar{c}_1 \left(\lambda_j \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial \lambda_j} + \frac{n-2}{2} \frac{H(\xi_i, \xi_j)}{(\lambda_i \lambda_j)^{(n-2)/2}} \right) \\
&+ \bar{c}_1 \sum_{\ell=1}^n ((\xi_j)_{\ell} - (\xi)_{\ell}) \left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial (\xi_j)_{\ell}} - \frac{1}{(\lambda_i \lambda_j)^{(n-2)/2}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial (b)_{\ell}}(\xi_i, \xi_j) \right) \\
&+ \begin{cases} O\left(\varepsilon_{ij}^2 \ln(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1})^{\frac{2}{3}} + \sum_{l=i,j} \frac{1}{(\lambda_l d_l)^2}\right), & \text{if } n = 3; \\ O\left(\varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \ln(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}) + \sum_{l=i,j} \frac{\ln(\lambda_l d_l)}{(\lambda_l d_l)^n}\right), & \text{if } n \geq 4, \end{cases} \tag{2.17}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
p \int_{\Omega} P\delta_i^{p-1} P\delta_j(x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P\delta_i &= -\frac{n+2}{2} \bar{c}_1 \left(\varepsilon_{ij} - \frac{H(\xi_i, \xi_j)}{(\lambda_i \lambda_j)^{(n-2)/2}} \right) + \bar{c}_1 \left(\lambda_i \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial \lambda_i} + \frac{n-2}{2} \frac{H(\xi_i, \xi_j)}{(\lambda_i \lambda_j)^{(n-2)/2}} \right) \\
&- \bar{c}_1 \sum_{\ell=1}^n ((\xi_i)_{\ell} - (\xi)_{\ell}) \left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial (\xi_i)_{\ell}} - \frac{1}{(\lambda_i \lambda_j)^{(n-2)/2}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial (a)_{\ell}}(\xi_i, \xi_j) \right) \\
&+ \begin{cases} O\left(\varepsilon_{ij}^2 \ln(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1})^{\frac{2}{3}} + \sum_{l=i,j} \frac{1}{(\lambda_l d_l)^2}\right), & \text{if } n = 3; \\ O\left(\varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \ln(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}) + \sum_{l=i,j} \frac{\ln(\lambda_l d_l)}{(\lambda_l d_l)^n}\right), & \text{if } n \geq 4, \end{cases} \tag{2.18}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{\partial H}{\partial (a)_{\ell}}$ and $\frac{\partial H}{\partial (b)_{\ell}}$ denote the partial derivatives of H with respect to the ℓ -th components of the first variable and the second variable.

Proof. Taking into account (2.15), it is easy to see that $\min(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)d(\xi, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow \infty$ is equivalent to $\lambda_l d_l := \lambda_l d(\xi_l, \partial\Omega) \rightarrow \infty$ for $l = i, j$.

We remark that

$$(x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x \varphi_i = O(\delta_i) \tag{2.19}$$

since (2.5) holds and we have $(x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x \delta_i = O(\delta_i)$ which derive from (2.11), (2.15) and the fact that $\nabla_x \delta_i = -\frac{\partial \delta_i}{\partial \xi_i}$. Let us compute the first integral. Integrating by parts and using (1.6), (2.5), (2.19) and Holder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} P \delta_i^p (x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i &= \sum_{\ell=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \delta_i^p (x_{\ell} - (\xi)_{\ell}) \frac{\partial P \delta_i}{\partial x_{\ell}} - p \int_{\Omega} \delta_i^{p-1} \varphi_i (x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x \delta_i \\
&\quad + O \left(\int_{B_i} \delta_i^{p-1} \varphi_i^2 + \int_{B_i} \delta_i^{p-1} \varphi_i |(x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x \varphi_i| + \int_{B_i^c} \delta_i^{p+1} \right) \\
&= - \sum_{\ell=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\ell}} ((x_{\ell} - (\xi)_{\ell}) \delta_i^p) P \delta_i - p \int_{\Omega} \delta_i^{p-1} \varphi_i (x - \xi_i) \cdot \nabla_x \delta_i - p \int_{\Omega} \delta_i^{p-1} \varphi_i (\xi_i - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x \delta_i \\
&\quad + O \left(\int_{B_i} \delta_i^{p-1} \varphi_i^2 + \int_{B_i} \delta_i^{p-1} \varphi_i |(x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x \varphi_i| + \frac{1}{(\lambda_i d_i)^n} \right) \\
&= -n \int_{\Omega} \delta_i^p P \delta_i - p \int_{\Omega} \delta_i^{p-1} P \delta_i (x - \xi_i) \cdot \nabla_x \delta_i - p \int_{\Omega} \delta_i^{p-1} P \delta_i (\xi_i - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x \delta_i \\
&\quad - p \int_{\Omega} \delta_i^{p-1} \varphi_i (x - \xi_i) \cdot \nabla_x \delta_i - p \int_{\Omega} \delta_i^{p-1} \varphi_i (\xi_i - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x \delta_i + \begin{cases} O \left(\frac{1}{(\lambda_i d_i)^2} \right), & \text{if } n = 3; \\ O \left(\frac{\ln(\lambda_i d_i)}{(\lambda_i d_i)^n} \right), & \text{if } n \geq 4. \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

Using (2.20), (2.11), (2.15), [5, Lemma2.4] and the fact that $\nabla_x \delta_i = -\frac{\partial \delta_i}{\partial \xi_i}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} P \delta_i^p (x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i &= -\frac{n-2}{2} \int_{\Omega} \delta_i^p P \delta_i - p \int_{\Omega} \delta_i^{p-1} \lambda_i \frac{\partial \delta_i}{\partial \lambda_i} P \delta_i + p \int_{\Omega} \delta_i^{p-1} P \delta_i (\xi_i - \xi) \cdot \frac{\partial \delta_i}{\partial \xi_i} \\
&\quad + \frac{n+2}{2} \int_{\Omega} \delta_i^p \varphi_i - p \int_{\Omega} \delta_i^{p-1} \varphi_i \lambda_i \frac{\partial \delta_i}{\partial \lambda_i} + p \int_{\Omega} \delta_i^{p-1} \varphi_i (\xi_i - \xi) \cdot \frac{\partial \delta_i}{\partial \xi_i} \\
&\quad + \begin{cases} O \left(\frac{1}{(\lambda_i d_i)^2} \right), & \text{if } n = 3; \\ O \left(\frac{\ln(\lambda_i d_i)}{(\lambda_i d_i)^n} \right), & \text{if } n \geq 4, \end{cases} \\
&= -\frac{n-2}{2} \int_{\Omega} \delta_i^{p+1} + n \int_{\Omega} \delta_i^p \varphi_i - 2p \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta_i^p \lambda_i \frac{\partial \delta_i}{\partial \lambda_i} + 2p \int_{B_i} \delta_i^p (\xi_i - \xi) \cdot \frac{\partial \delta_i}{\partial \xi_i} \\
&\quad + \begin{cases} O \left(\frac{1}{(\lambda_i d_i)^2} \right), & \text{if } n = 3; \\ O \left(\frac{\ln(\lambda_i d_i)}{(\lambda_i d_i)^n} \right), & \text{if } n \geq 4, \end{cases} \\
&= -\frac{n-2}{2} S_n^{n/2} + n \bar{c}_1 \frac{H(\xi_i, \xi_i)}{\lambda_i^{n-2}} + \begin{cases} O \left(\frac{1}{(\lambda_i d_i)^2} \right), & \text{if } n = 3; \\ O \left(\frac{\ln(\lambda_i d_i)}{(\lambda_i d_i)^n} \right), & \text{if } n \geq 4, \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

since the two last integrals are equal to 0. Thus (2.16) follows.

We focus now on Eq. (2.17). Using an integration by parts, (1.6), (2.11), (2.15), the fact that $\nabla_x \delta_i = -\frac{\partial \delta_i}{\partial \xi_i}$ and

[5, Lemma 2.4], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} P\delta_j^p(x-\xi) \cdot \nabla_x P\delta_i \\
&= \sum_{\ell=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \delta_j^p(x_{\ell} - (\xi)_{\ell}) \frac{\partial P\delta_i}{\partial x_{\ell}} + O\left(\int_{\Omega} \delta_j^{p-1} \varphi_j \delta_i\right) \\
&= -\sum_{\ell=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\ell}} (\delta_j^p(x_{\ell} - (\xi)_{\ell})) P\delta_i + O\left(\int_{\Omega} \delta_j^{p-1} \varphi_j \delta_i\right) \\
&= -n \int_{\Omega} \delta_j^p P\delta_i - p \int_{\Omega} \delta_j^{p-1} P\delta_i (x - \xi_j) \cdot \nabla_x \delta_i - p \sum_{\ell=1}^n ((\xi_j)_{\ell} - (\xi)_{\ell}) \int_{\Omega} \delta_j^{p-1} \frac{\partial \delta_j}{\partial x_{\ell}} P\delta_i + O\left(\int_{\Omega} \delta_j^{p-1} \varphi_j \delta_i\right) \\
&= -\frac{n-2}{2} \int_{\Omega} \delta_j^p P\delta_i - p \int_{\Omega} \delta_j^{p-1} \lambda_j \frac{\partial \delta_j}{\partial \lambda_j} P\delta_i + p \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{(\sigma_j)_{\ell}}{\lambda_{\ell}} \int_{\Omega} \delta_j^{p-1} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \frac{\partial \delta_j}{\partial (\xi_j)_{\ell}} P\delta_i + O\left(\int_{\Omega} \delta_j^{p-1} \varphi_j \delta_i\right) \\
&= -\frac{n-2}{2} \bar{c}_1 \left(\varepsilon_{ij} - \frac{H(\xi_i, \xi_j)}{(\lambda_i \lambda_j)^{(n-2)/2}} \right) - \bar{c}_1 \left(\lambda_j \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial \lambda_j} + \frac{n-2}{2} \frac{H(\xi_i, \xi_j)}{(\lambda_i \lambda_j)^{(n-2)/2}} \right) \\
&\quad + \bar{c}_1 \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{(\sigma_j)_{\ell}}{\lambda_{\ell}} \left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial (\xi_j)_{\ell}} - \frac{1}{(\lambda_i \lambda_j)^{(n-2)/2}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial (b)_{\ell}}(\xi_i, \xi_j) \right) + \begin{cases} O\left(\varepsilon_{ij}^2 \ln(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1})^{\frac{2}{3}} + \sum_{l=i,j} \frac{1}{(\lambda_l d_l)^2}\right), & \text{if } n=3; \\ O\left(\varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \ln(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}) + \sum_{l=i,j} \frac{\ln(\lambda_l d_l)}{(\lambda_l d_l)^n}\right), & \text{if } n \geq 4. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we prove (2.18). Integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
p \int_{\Omega} P\delta_i^{p-1} P\delta_j(x-\xi) \cdot \nabla_x P\delta_i &= \sum_{\ell=1}^n \int_{\Omega} P\delta_j(x_{\ell} - (\xi)_{\ell}) \frac{\partial (P\delta_i^p)}{\partial x_{\ell}} \\
&= -\sum_{\ell=1}^n \int_{\Omega} P\delta_i^p \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\ell}} ((x_{\ell} - (\xi)_{\ell}) P\delta_j) \\
&= -n \int_{\Omega} P\delta_i^p P\delta_j - \sum_{\ell=1}^n \int_{\Omega} P\delta_i^p (x_{\ell} - (\xi)_{\ell}) \frac{\partial P\delta_j}{\partial x_{\ell}} \\
&= -n \int_{\Omega} P\delta_i^p P\delta_j - \int_{\Omega} P\delta_i^p (x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P\delta_j. \tag{2.21}
\end{aligned}$$

We know that

$$\int_{\Omega} P\delta_i^p P\delta_j = \bar{c}_1 \left(\varepsilon_{ij} - \frac{H(a_i, a_j)}{(\lambda_i \lambda_j)^{(n-2)/2}} \right) + \begin{cases} O\left(\varepsilon_{ij}^2 \ln(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1})^{\frac{2}{3}} + \frac{1}{(\lambda_j d_j)^2}\right), & \text{if } n=3; \\ O\left(\varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \ln(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}) + \sum_{l=i,j} \frac{\ln(\lambda_l d_l)}{(\lambda_l d_l)^n}\right), & \text{if } n \geq 4, \end{cases} \tag{2.22}$$

see for instance [5, Lemma 2.5]. The result of (2.18) follows from (2.21), (2.17) and (2.22).

Thus the proof of the lemma is thereby completed. \square

Our result, concerning the expansion of I_{ε} , can be stated as follows.

Proposition 2.6 *Let $n \geq 3$ and $u = \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \gamma_j P\delta_j + v \in V(k, \eta)$ such that $v \in E_{(\xi, \lambda)}$. Assume that*

$$\xi_i = \xi + \frac{\sigma_i}{\lambda_i} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k,$$

where ξ is some point in Ω and σ_i is in compact set of \mathbb{R}^n for each $i = 1, \dots, k$.

We have the following expansion

$$\begin{aligned}
I_\varepsilon(u) = & \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{\alpha_i^2}{2} - \frac{\alpha_i^{p+1}}{p+1} \right) S_n^{\frac{n}{2}} - \bar{c}_1 \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{\alpha_i^2}{2} - \alpha_i^{p+1} \right) \frac{H(\xi_i, \xi_i)}{\lambda_i^{n-2}} + \frac{\varepsilon(n-2)S_n^{\frac{n}{2}}}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i^{p+1} \ln(\ln \lambda_i^{\frac{n-2}{2}}) \\
& - \bar{c}_1 \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j \neq i} \gamma_i \gamma_j \left(\frac{n+2}{2n} \alpha_i^p \alpha_j + \frac{n-2}{2n} \alpha_j^p \alpha_i - \frac{\alpha_i \alpha_j}{2} \right) \left(\varepsilon_{ij} - \frac{H(\xi_i, \xi_j)}{(\lambda_i \lambda_j)^{(n-2)/2}} \right) \\
& + \frac{\bar{c}_1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j \neq i} \gamma_i \gamma_j \left(\alpha_i^p \alpha_j \lambda_i \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial \lambda_i} - \alpha_j^p \alpha_i \lambda_j \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial \lambda_j} + \frac{n-2}{2} (\alpha_i^p \alpha_j - \alpha_j^p \alpha_i) \frac{H(\xi_i, \xi_j)}{(\lambda_i \lambda_j)^{(n-2)/2}} \right) \\
& + \frac{\bar{c}_1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_i \alpha_j^p \gamma_i \gamma_j \sum_{\ell=1}^n ((\xi_j)_\ell - (\xi)_\ell) \left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial (\xi_j)_\ell} - \frac{1}{(\lambda_i \lambda_j)^{(n-2)/2}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial (b)_\ell}(\xi_i, \xi_j) \right) \\
& - \frac{\bar{c}_1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_i^p \alpha_j \gamma_i \gamma_j \sum_{\ell=1}^n ((\xi_i)_\ell - (\xi)_\ell) \left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{ij}}{\partial (\xi_i)_\ell} - \frac{1}{(\lambda_i \lambda_j)^{(n-2)/2}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial (a)_\ell}(\xi_i, \xi_j) \right) + \frac{1}{2} Q(v, v) - f(v) \\
& + o(\|v\|^2) + O(\varepsilon^2 \ln(\ln \lambda_{\max})^2) + \begin{cases} O\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{(\lambda_i d_i)^2} + \sum_{j \neq i} \varepsilon_{ij}^2 \ln(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1})^{\frac{2}{3}}\right), & \text{if } n = 3; \\ O\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\ln(\lambda_i d_i)}{(\lambda_i d_i)^n} + \sum_{j \neq i} \varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \ln(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1})\right), & \text{if } n \geq 4. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

where S_n is the best Sobolev constant, \bar{c}_1 is introduced in Proposition 2.2 and

$$\begin{cases} Q(v, v) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 - \frac{n+2}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\Omega} \delta_i^{p-1} v^2, \\ f(v) = \int_{\Omega} f_\varepsilon \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \gamma_i P \delta_i \right) v. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Recall that $\underline{u} := \sum_{j=1}^k \alpha_j \gamma_j P \delta_j$. Integrating by parts and using (1.1) and (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_\varepsilon(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \langle u, u \rangle - \int_{\Omega} F_\varepsilon(u) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \langle \underline{u}, \underline{u} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle v, v \rangle - \int_{\Omega} \left[F_\varepsilon(u) - F_\varepsilon(\underline{u}) - F'_\varepsilon(\underline{u})v - \frac{1}{2} F''_\varepsilon(\underline{u})v^2 \right] \\
&\quad - \int_{\Omega} F_\varepsilon(\underline{u}) - \int_{\Omega} F'_\varepsilon(\underline{u})v - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} F''_\varepsilon(\underline{u})v^2 \\
&= I_\varepsilon(\underline{u}) - \int_{\Omega} F'_\varepsilon(\underline{u})v + \frac{1}{2} \langle v, v \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} F''_0(\underline{u})v^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (F''_\varepsilon(\underline{u}) - F''_0(\underline{u}))v^2 - \int_{\Omega} \left[F_\varepsilon(u) - F_\varepsilon(\underline{u}) - F'_\varepsilon(\underline{u})v - \frac{1}{2} F''_\varepsilon(\underline{u})v^2 \right] \\
&= I_\varepsilon(\underline{u}) - \int_{\Omega} f_\varepsilon(\underline{u})v + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 - \frac{n+2}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\Omega} \delta_i^{p-1} v^2 \right\} + R_{\varepsilon, \alpha, a, \lambda}(v), \tag{2.23}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\varepsilon, \alpha, \lambda, a} &:= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (F''_\varepsilon(\underline{u}) - F''_0(\underline{u}))v^2 - \int_{\Omega} \left[F_\varepsilon(u) - F_\varepsilon(\underline{u}) - F'_\varepsilon(\underline{u})v - \frac{1}{2} F''_\varepsilon(\underline{u})v^2 \right] \\
&\quad - \frac{n+2}{2(n-2)} \int_{\Omega} \left(|\underline{u}|^{p-1} - \sum_{i=1}^k \delta_i^{p-1} \right) v^2 \\
&= o(\|v\|^2). \tag{2.24}
\end{aligned}$$

Using (1.2) and integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_\varepsilon(\underline{u}) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \underline{u}|^2 - \int_{\Omega} F_\varepsilon(\underline{u}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \underline{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^n \int_{\Omega} f_\varepsilon(\underline{u})(x_\ell - (\xi)_\ell) \frac{\partial \underline{u}}{\partial x_\ell} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \underline{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \gamma_i \int_{\Omega} f_\varepsilon(\underline{u})(x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

On one hand, using [5, Lemma 2.2] and [5, Lemma 2.4], we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \underline{u}|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i^2 \|P \delta_i\|^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \gamma_i \gamma_j \langle P \delta_i, P \delta_j \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i^2 \left(S_n^{\frac{n}{2}} - \bar{c}_1 \frac{H(\xi_i, \xi_i)}{\lambda_i^{n-2}} \right) + \sum_{i \neq j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \gamma_i \gamma_j \bar{c}_1 \left(\varepsilon_{ij} - \frac{H(\xi_i, \xi_j)}{(\lambda_i \lambda_j)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} \right) \\
&\quad + O \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\ln(\lambda_i d_i)}{(\lambda_i d_i)^n} + \sum_{j \neq i} \varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \ln(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

On the other hand, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega} f_\varepsilon(\underline{u})(x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \left[f_\varepsilon(\underline{u}) - f_\varepsilon(\alpha_i \gamma_i P \delta_i) - f_\varepsilon\left(\sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j \gamma_j P \delta_j\right) - f'_\varepsilon(\alpha_i \gamma_i P \delta_i) \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j \gamma_j P \delta_j \right] (x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} f_\varepsilon(\alpha_i \gamma_i P \delta_i)(x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i + \int_{\Omega} f_\varepsilon\left(\sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j \gamma_j P \delta_j\right)(x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} f'_\varepsilon(\alpha_i \gamma_i P \delta_i) \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j \gamma_j P \delta_j\right)(x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i \\
&=: B_1 + B_2 + B_3 + B_4.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

We start with the last integral. Using (7.3), (2.3), (2.5), (2.7) and (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
B_4 &:= \int_{\Omega} f'_\varepsilon(\alpha_i \gamma_i P \delta_i) \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j \gamma_j P \delta_j\right)(x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i \\
&= \int_{\Omega} f'_0(\alpha_i \gamma_i P \delta_i) \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j \gamma_j P \delta_j\right)(x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i + \int_{\Omega} [f'_\varepsilon(\alpha_i \gamma_i P \delta_i) - f'_0(\alpha_i \gamma_i P \delta_i)] \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j \gamma_j P \delta_j\right)(x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i \\
&= p \int_{\Omega} (\alpha_i P \delta_i)^{p-1} \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j \gamma_j P \delta_j\right)(x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i + O \left(\varepsilon \ln(\ln \lambda_i) \sum_{j \neq i} \int_{\Omega} \delta_i^p \delta_j \right) \\
&= \sum_{j \neq i} \gamma_j \alpha_i^{p-1} \alpha_j p \int_{\Omega} P \delta_i^{p-1} P \delta_j (x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i + O \left(\varepsilon \ln(\ln \lambda_i) \sum_{j \neq i} \varepsilon_{ij} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

Using the first claim of Lemma 7.1, (2.3), (2.5) and the fact that $\ln \ln(e + |\sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j \gamma_j P \delta_j|) = O(\ln \ln \lambda_{\max})$ where

$\lambda_{\max} := \max(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
B_3 &:= \int_{\Omega} f_{\varepsilon} \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j \gamma_j P \delta_j \right) (x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i \\
&= \int_{\Omega} f_0 \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j \gamma_j P \delta_j \right) (x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i + \int_{\Omega} \left[f_{\varepsilon} \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j \gamma_j P \delta_j \right) - f_0 \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j \gamma_j P \delta_j \right) \right] (x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i \\
&= \int_{\Omega} f_0 \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j \gamma_j P \delta_j \right) (x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i + O \left(\varepsilon \ln(\ln \lambda_{\max}) \sum_{j \neq i} \int_{\Omega} \delta_j^p \delta_i \right) \\
&= \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j^p \gamma_j \int_{\Omega} P \delta_j^p (x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i + O \left(\sum_{l \neq j, l, j \neq i} \int_{\Omega} P \delta_j^{p-1} \inf(P \delta_j, P \delta_l) \delta_i + \varepsilon \ln(\ln \lambda_{\max}) \sum_{j \neq i} \varepsilon_{ij} \right) \\
&= \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j^p \gamma_j \int_{\Omega} P \delta_j^p (x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i + O \left(\sum_{l \neq j} \varepsilon_{lj}^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \ln \varepsilon_{lj}^{-1} + \varepsilon^2 \ln(\ln \lambda_{\max})^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} \varepsilon_{ij}^2 \right). \tag{2.29}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, Lemma 2.4 implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
B_2 &:= \int_{\Omega} f_{\varepsilon}(\alpha_i \gamma_i P \delta_i)(x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i \\
&= \int_{\Omega} [f_{\varepsilon}(\alpha_i \gamma_i P \delta_i) - f_0(\alpha_i \gamma_i P \delta_i)](x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i + \int_{\Omega} f_0(\alpha_i \gamma_i P \delta_i)(x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i \\
&= \frac{n-2}{2} \gamma_i \alpha_i^p S_n^{n/2} \varepsilon \ln(\ln \lambda_i^{\frac{n-2}{2}}) + \gamma_i \alpha_i^p \int_{\Omega} P \delta_i^p (x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i + O \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\ln \lambda_i} + \frac{\varepsilon \ln \ln \lambda_i}{(\lambda_i d_i)^{n-2}} + \varepsilon^2 \ln(\ln \lambda_i)^2 \right). \tag{2.30}
\end{aligned}$$

In the sequel, we compute B_1 . Let $\Omega_1 := \{x : |\sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j \gamma_j P \delta_j(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha_i P \delta_i(x)\}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1 &:= \int_{\Omega} \left[f_{\varepsilon}(\underline{u}) - f_{\varepsilon}(\alpha_i \gamma_i P \delta_i) - f'_{\varepsilon} \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j \gamma_j P \delta_j \right) - f'_{\varepsilon}(\alpha_i \gamma_i P \delta_i) \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j \gamma_j P \delta_j \right] (x - \xi) \cdot \nabla_x P \delta_i \\
&= \int_{\Omega_1} \dots + \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_1} \dots \\
&= B_{11} + B_{12}. \tag{2.31}
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that, in Ω_1 , it holds $1/2 \alpha_i P \delta_i \leq |\alpha_i \gamma_i P \delta_i + \theta \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j \gamma_j P \delta_j| \leq 3/2 \alpha_i P \delta_i$ for each $\theta \in (0, 1)$. By using the mean value theorem and (7.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_{11}| &\leq \int_{\Omega_1} \left| f_{\varepsilon}(\underline{u}) - f_{\varepsilon}(\alpha_i \gamma_i P \delta_i) - f'_{\varepsilon}(\alpha_i \gamma_i P \delta_i) \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j \gamma_j P \delta_j \right| \delta_i + \int_{\Omega_1} |f_{\varepsilon}(\sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j \gamma_j P \delta_j)| \delta_i \\
&\leq c \int_{\Omega_1} \left| f''_{\varepsilon}(\alpha_i \gamma_i P \delta_i + \theta \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j \gamma_j P \delta_j) \right| \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j P \delta_j \right)^2 \delta_i + \int_{\Omega_1} (\sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j P \delta_j)^p \delta_i, \text{ for some } \theta \in (0, 1) \\
&\leq c \int_{\Omega_1} \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j P \delta_j \right)^2 \delta_i^{p-1} + c \sum_{j \neq i} \int_{\Omega_1} (\delta_j \delta_i)^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \\
&\leq c \begin{cases} O \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \varepsilon_{ij}^2 \ln(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1})^{\frac{2}{3}} \right), & \text{if } n = 3; \\ O \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \ln(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}) \right), & \text{if } n \geq 4. \end{cases} \tag{2.32}
\end{aligned}$$

Using again the mean value theorem, (2.5), (7.2) and the fact that $|\alpha_j - 1| < \eta$ for each j , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|B_{12}| &\leq \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_1} \left(|f_\varepsilon(\alpha_i \gamma_i P \delta_i)| + |f'_\varepsilon(\alpha_i \gamma_i P \delta_i)| \left| \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j \gamma_j P \delta_j \right| \right) \delta_i + \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_1} |f_\varepsilon(\underline{u}) - f_\varepsilon(\sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j \gamma_j P \delta_j)| \delta_i \\
&\leq c \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_1} \alpha_i^p P \delta_i^p \delta_i + \alpha_i^{p-1} P \delta_i^{p-1} \left| \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j \gamma_j P \delta_j \right| \delta_i + \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_1} |f'_\varepsilon(\sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j \gamma_j P \delta_j + \theta \alpha_i \gamma_i P \delta_i)| \alpha_i P \delta_i \delta_i, \text{ for some } \theta \in (0, 1) \\
&\leq c \sum_{j \neq i} \varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \ln(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}) + c \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_1} \left| \sum_{j \neq i} \alpha_j \gamma_j P \delta_j \right|^{p-1} P \delta_i \delta_i \\
&\leq c \begin{cases} O\left(\sum_{j \neq i} \varepsilon_{ij}^2 \ln(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1})^{\frac{2}{3}}\right), & \text{if } n = 3; \\ O\left(\sum_{j \neq i} \varepsilon_{ij}^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \ln(\varepsilon_{ij}^{-1})\right), & \text{if } n \geq 4. \end{cases} \tag{2.33}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.23)-(2.33) and Lemma 2.5, the result of Proposition 2.6 follows. \square

3 Asymptotic behavior

In this section, we investigate the asymptotic profile of a family of sign changing bubble tower solutions u_ε blowing up in the interior of the domain Ω . Our aim is to look for suitable conditions on the parameters λ_i and ξ_i to construct such solution in the next sections.

For simplicity, we will assume that u_ε is a solution of (P_ε) having the following form

$$u_\varepsilon = \gamma_1 P \delta_{(\xi_{1,\varepsilon}, \lambda_{1,\varepsilon})} + \gamma_2 P \delta_{(\xi_{2,\varepsilon}, \lambda_{2,\varepsilon})} + v_\varepsilon \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\gamma_1 = -\gamma_2, |\gamma_1| = 1, \tag{3.2}$$

$$d(\xi_i, \partial\Omega) > c \text{ for } i = 1, 2 \tag{3.3}$$

and v_ε satisfies

$$\|v_\varepsilon\| = \min_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \xi_1, \xi_2} \|u_\varepsilon - \gamma_1 P \delta_{(\xi_{1,\varepsilon}, \lambda_{1,\varepsilon})} - \gamma_2 P \delta_{(\xi_{2,\varepsilon}, \lambda_{2,\varepsilon})}\|. \tag{3.4}$$

Therefore we get $\langle v_\varepsilon, \frac{\partial P \delta_i}{\partial \lambda_i} \rangle = \langle v_\varepsilon, \frac{\partial P \delta_j}{\partial (\xi_i)_j} \rangle = 0 \forall 1 \leq j \leq n, \forall 1 \leq i \leq 2$ and by multiplying the equation $-\Delta u_\varepsilon = f_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon)$ by v_ε and integrating by parts the following estimate holds

$$\|v_\varepsilon\| \leq c \sum_{j=1}^2 \varepsilon \ln(\ln \lambda_j) + c \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{1}{\lambda_j^{n-2}} + \varepsilon_{12} \ln(\varepsilon_{12}^{-1}) & \text{if } n < 6, \\ \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{\ln \lambda_j}{\lambda_j^4} + \varepsilon_{12}^{\frac{n+2}{2(n-2)}} \ln(\varepsilon_{12}^{-1}) & \text{if } n = 6, \\ \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{1}{\lambda_j^{\frac{n+2}{2}}} + \varepsilon_{12}^{\frac{n+2}{2(n-2)}} \ln(\varepsilon_{12}^{-1}) & \text{if } n > 6. \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

The proof of (3.5) is similar to that of Eq. (3.7) in [5].

Our main result in this section is stated as follows.

Proposition 3.1 *Let $n \geq 6$ and let (u_ε) be a family of sign-changing solutions of (P_ε) having the expansion (3.1) and satisfying (3.2)-(3.4). Assume that*

$$\frac{\lambda_{1,\varepsilon}}{\lambda_{2,\varepsilon}} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \text{ such that } \ln \lambda_{1,\varepsilon} \leq c' \ln \lambda_{2,\varepsilon} \text{ for some } c' > 1. \tag{3.6}$$

Then the concentration points $\xi_{1,\varepsilon}$, $\xi_{2,\varepsilon}$ and the concentration speeds $\lambda_{1,\varepsilon}$, $\lambda_{2,\varepsilon}$ satisfy

$$\lambda_{2,\varepsilon} |\xi_{1,\varepsilon} - \xi_{2,\varepsilon}| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_2}{3} \varepsilon \frac{\lambda_{2,\varepsilon}^{n-2}}{\ln \lambda_{2,\varepsilon}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\bar{\Lambda}^2}, \quad \xi_{\varepsilon,i} \rightarrow \xi_0 \in \Omega \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \text{ and } \frac{\lambda_{1,\varepsilon}}{\lambda_{2,\varepsilon}^3} \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda}^{\frac{4}{n-2}}, \quad (3.7)$$

where Γ_2 is a positive constant defined in (3.14).

Furthermore, ξ_0 is a critical point of the Robin function R and $\bar{\Lambda}$ satisfies

$$R(\xi_0) \bar{\Lambda}^2 = 4. \quad (3.8)$$

We point out that the condition $\ln \lambda_{1,\varepsilon} \leq c' \ln \lambda_{2,\varepsilon}$ is very helpful in our argument. In fact, thanks to this condition and $\lambda_{1,\varepsilon}/\lambda_{2,\varepsilon} \rightarrow \infty$ which implies $\ln \lambda_{2,\varepsilon} \leq \ln \lambda_{1,\varepsilon}$, we derive that the quantities $\ln \lambda_{i,\varepsilon}$'s are of the same order, and this will help us to analyze the balancing conditions introduced in Lemma 3.2.

For simplicity we shall write $P\delta_i$ for $P\delta_{(\xi_{i,\varepsilon}, \lambda_{i,\varepsilon})}$, ξ_i for $\xi_{i,\varepsilon}$ and λ_i for $\lambda_{i,\varepsilon}$. Throughout this section, we restrict ourselves to the case $n \geq 6$.

Next, we provide two balancing conditions, that need to be satisfied by the parameters of concentration, required in the proof of Proposition 3.1. The first one concerns the concentration speeds. Multiplying the equation $-\Delta u_\varepsilon = f_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon)$ by $\lambda_i \frac{\partial P\delta_i}{\partial \lambda_i}$ and integrating by parts with taking into account (3.5) and (3.3), we obtain

Lemma 3.2 *Let $n \geq 6$ and $u_\varepsilon = \gamma_1 P\delta_1 + \gamma_2 P\delta_2 + v_\varepsilon$ a solution of (P_ε) . For each $i, l \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $l \neq i$, we have the following expansion*

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma_i \Gamma_1 \frac{\alpha_i^p \varepsilon}{\ln \lambda_i} - (n-2) \bar{c}_1 \frac{\gamma_i}{2} \frac{H(\xi_i, \xi_i)}{\lambda_i^{n-2}} - \bar{c}_1 \gamma_l \left(\lambda_i \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{il}}{\partial \lambda_i} + \frac{n-2}{2} \frac{H(\xi_i, \xi_l)}{(\lambda_i \lambda_l)^{(n-2)/2}} \right) \\ &= O \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\ln(\lambda_i)^2} + \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{2n-4}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{(1-\tau)n}} + \varepsilon^2 \ln(\ln \lambda_1)^2 + \varepsilon^2 \ln(\ln \lambda_2)^2 + \frac{\ln \lambda_1}{\lambda_1^n} + \frac{\ln \lambda_2}{\lambda_2^n} + \varepsilon_{12}^{\frac{n-2}{n-2}} \ln(\varepsilon_{12}^{-1}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where Γ_1 and \bar{c}_1 are the same constant defined in Proposition 2.2 and τ is a positive constant small enough.

The proof of Lemma (3.2) that we omit here looks like the expansion of $\langle \nabla I_\varepsilon(u), \lambda_i \frac{\partial P\delta_i}{\partial \lambda_i} \rangle$ given in Proposition 2.2. Indeed, being a solution of (P_ε) , u_ε is a critical point of I_ε and therefore we have $\langle \nabla I_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon), \lambda_i \frac{\partial P\delta_i}{\partial \lambda_i} \rangle = 0$. Our second balancing condition focus on the concentration points and it is obtained in similar way. Namely, we have

Lemma 3.3 *Let $n \geq 6$ and $u_\varepsilon = \gamma_1 P\delta_1 + \gamma_2 P\delta_2 + v_\varepsilon$ a solution of (P_ε) . For each $i, l \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $l \neq i$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have the following expansion*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\gamma_i}{2} \frac{\bar{c}_1}{\lambda_i^{n-1}} \frac{\partial H(\xi_i, \xi_i)}{\partial(a)_j} - \bar{c}_1 \gamma_l \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{il}}{\partial(\xi_i)_j} - \frac{1}{(\lambda_i \lambda_l)^{(n-2)/2}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial(a)_j}(\xi_i, \xi_l) \right) \\ &= O \left(\varepsilon^2 \ln(\ln \lambda_1)^2 + \varepsilon^2 \ln(\ln \lambda_2)^2 + \frac{\ln \lambda_1}{\lambda_1^n} + \frac{\ln \lambda_2}{\lambda_2^n} + \lambda_l |\xi_i - \xi_l| \varepsilon_{12}^{\frac{n+1}{n-2}} + \varepsilon_{12}^{\frac{n-2}{n-2}} \ln(\varepsilon_{12}^{-1}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{\partial H}{\partial(a)_j}$ denotes the partial derivative of H with respect to the j -th component of the first variable.

Proof of Proposition 3.1 : We recall that we have $\lambda_1/\lambda_2 \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. From Proposition 3.2, it is easy to obtain the following estimate

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{\ln \lambda_i} = O \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n-2}} + \varepsilon_{12} \right), \text{ for } i = 1, 2. \quad (3.9)$$

Let K be a compact set in Ω . It is easy to see that

$$0 < c \leq H(x, y) \leq C, \quad \forall x, y \in K.$$

Note that the concentration points ξ_1 and ξ_2 satisfy (3.3). Thus, there exists a compact set K in Ω such that $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in K$ for any ε and therefore

$$0 < c \leq H(\xi_i, \xi_j) \leq C \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, 2. \quad (3.10)$$

We start by the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4 *Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.1, we have*

$$\lambda_2|\xi_1 - \xi_2| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Note that from (3.6), we have $\ln \lambda_2 < \ln \lambda_1 \leq c' \ln \lambda_2$ and up to subsequence we get

$$\ln \lambda_1 = \kappa \ln \lambda_2 (1 + o(1)) \quad (3.11)$$

for some real number $\kappa \geq 1$. In the sequel, we distinguish two cases: $\kappa > 1$ and $\kappa = 1$.

Let us start by the case $\kappa > 1$. In a first step, we prove that $\lambda_2|\xi_1 - \xi_2|$ is bounded. In fact, arguing by contradiction, we assume that $\lambda_2|\xi_1 - \xi_2| \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Then $\lambda_1|\xi_1 - \xi_2| \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ since λ_1 and λ_2 satisfy (3.6). Thus, we get

$$\varepsilon_{12} = \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 \lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^2)^{(n-2)/2}} + o(\varepsilon_{12}), \quad (3.12)$$

$$\lambda_i \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{12}}{\partial \lambda_i} = -\frac{n-2}{2} \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 \lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^2)^{(n-2)/2}} + o(\varepsilon_{12}). \quad (3.13)$$

Using (3.9) and (3.13), Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 become

$$\frac{H(\xi_i, \xi_i)}{\lambda_i^{n-2}} + \frac{G(\xi_1, \xi_2)}{(\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^{(n-2)/2}} - \Gamma_2 \frac{\varepsilon}{\ln \lambda_i} = o\left(\varepsilon_{12} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n-2}}\right), \text{ here } \Gamma_2 = \frac{2\Gamma_1}{\bar{c}_1(n-2)} > 0, \quad (3.14)$$

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_i^{n-1}} \frac{\partial H(\xi_i, \xi_i)}{\partial a} + \frac{2}{\lambda_i} \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} \frac{\partial G(\xi_i, \xi_i)}{\partial a} = o\left(\varepsilon_{12}^{\frac{n-1}{n-2}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n-1}}\right). \quad (3.15)$$

Multiplying (3.14) by $\ln \lambda_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ and we subtract, we get

$$-\frac{H(\xi_2, \xi_2)}{\lambda_2^{n-2}} + (\kappa - 1) \frac{G(\xi_1, \xi_2)}{(\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^{(n-2)/2}} = o\left(\varepsilon_{12} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n-2}}\right),$$

where we have used (3.6), (3.11) and (3.10). This equation, with taking into account (3.6), (1.7) and (3.10), implies

$$c\varepsilon_{12} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n-2}} \leq C\varepsilon_{12}, \quad (3.16)$$

i.e. $\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n-2}}$ and ε_{12} are comparable. Using (1.7), (3.12), (3.16) together with (3.6) and the fact that $|\partial H(\xi_1, \xi_2)/\partial \xi_i| \leq c$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{(\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^{(n-2)/2}} \left| \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \xi_i}(\xi_1, \xi_2) \right| \geq c\varepsilon_{12}^{\frac{n-1}{n-2}} \text{ for } i = 1, 2. \quad (3.17)$$

Clearly, (3.15) for $i = 1$, (3.16) and (3.17) give a contradiction and therefore $\lambda_2|\xi_1 - \xi_2|$ is bounded.

On the second step, we claim that $\lambda_2|\xi_1 - \xi_2| = o(1)$. In fact, arguing by contradiction, we assume that $\lambda_2|\xi_1 - \xi_2| \not\rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Thus using the first step, there exist two positive constants c'_1 and c'_2 such that $c'_1 < \lambda_2|\xi_1 - \xi_2| < c'_2$. In this case, we have

$$\varepsilon_{12} \text{ and } (\lambda_2/\lambda_1)^{(n-2)/2} \text{ are of the same order,} \quad (3.18)$$

$$\varepsilon_{12} = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^2\right)^{(n-2)/2}} + o(\varepsilon_{12}), \quad (3.19)$$

$$\lambda_1 \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{12}}{\partial \lambda_1} = -\frac{n-2}{2} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^2\right)^{(n-2)/2}} + o(\varepsilon_{12}),$$

$$\lambda_2 \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{12}}{\partial \lambda_2} = \frac{(n-2)\lambda_1/\lambda_2}{\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^2\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}} - \frac{(n-2)/2}{\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^2\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} + o(\varepsilon_{12}).$$

Thus, using Lemma 3.2 through (3.6), (3.9) and (3.10), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^2\right)^{(n-2)/2}} - \Gamma_2 \frac{\varepsilon}{\ln \lambda_1} = o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n-2}} + \varepsilon_{12}\right), \quad (3.20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{H(\xi_2, \xi_2)}{\lambda_2^{n-2}} + \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^2\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} \\ & - \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \frac{2}{\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^2\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}} - \Gamma_2 \frac{\varepsilon}{\ln \lambda_2} = o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n-2}} + \varepsilon_{12}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Multiplying (3.21) by $\ln \lambda_2$ and (3.20) by $\ln \lambda_1$ and we subtract, we obtain

$$\frac{H(\xi_2, \xi_2)}{\lambda_2^{n-2}} + \frac{1 - \kappa}{\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^2\right)^{(n-2)/2}} - \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \frac{2}{\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^2\right)^{n/2}} = o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n-2}} + \varepsilon_{12}\right), \quad (3.22)$$

since we have used (3.9) and (3.11).

Recall that $\kappa > 1$ and we have $c \leq H(\xi_2, \xi_2) \leq C$ from (3.10). So (3.22) and (3.19) imply that ε_{12} and $1/\lambda_2^{n-2}$ are of the same order. One one hand, using Lemma 3.3 for $i = 2$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n-1}} \frac{\partial H(\xi_2, \xi_2)}{\partial a} - \frac{1}{\lambda_2} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{12}}{\partial \xi_2} = o\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{12}^{\frac{n-1}{n-2}}}\right). \quad (3.23)$$

On the other hand, since we have assumed that $c'_1 \leq \lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \leq c'_2$, an easy computations show that

$$\left| \frac{1}{\lambda_2} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{12}}{\partial \xi_2} \right| \geq c \varepsilon_{12}; \quad \frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n-1}} \frac{\partial H(\xi_2, \xi_2)}{\partial a} = O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n-1}}\right) = o(\varepsilon_{12}). \quad (3.24)$$

We note that (3.23) and (3.24) give a contradiction.

Thus, we get $\lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ which completes the proof for the case $\kappa > 1$.

When $\kappa = 1$, we argue similarly. We follow the same steps, but without multiplying the equations obtained from Lemma 3.2 by the coefficient $\ln \lambda_i$. In fact, to get rid of the case $\lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \rightarrow +\infty$ and to prove that it is bounded, we argue by contradiction. Subtracting the equations (3.14) for $i = 1, 2$ and using (3.11) (with $\kappa = 1$), we obtain that $1/\lambda_2^{n-2} = o(\varepsilon_{12})$ instead of $1/\lambda_2^{n-2}$ and ε_{12} are of the same order, found in the first case. This will help us to conclude in the first step. Concerning the second step, namely excluding the case $c'_1 < \lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2| < c'_2$, we subtract the equations (3.20) and (3.21) we get, as in the case $\kappa > 1$, $1/\lambda_2^{n-2}$ and ε_{12} are of the same order and we conclude similarly. \square

Notice that Lemma 3.4 implies, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, that

$$\varepsilon_{12} = \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} + o(\varepsilon_{12}), \quad (3.25)$$

$$\lambda_1 \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{12}}{\partial \lambda_1} = -\frac{n-2}{2} \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} + o(\varepsilon_{12}) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_2 \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{12}}{\partial \lambda_2} = \frac{n-2}{2} \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} + o(\varepsilon_{12}). \quad (3.26)$$

Using Lemma 3.2, (3.26), (3.6) and (3.9), we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^{(n-2)/2} - \Gamma_2 \frac{\varepsilon}{\ln \lambda_1} = o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n-2}} + \varepsilon_{12}\right), \quad (3.27)$$

$$\frac{H(\xi_2, \xi_2)}{\lambda_2^{n-2}} - \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^{(n-2)/2} - \Gamma_2 \frac{\varepsilon}{\ln \lambda_2} = o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n-2}} + \varepsilon_{12}\right). \quad (3.28)$$

We start by proving that the constant κ introduced in (3.11) need to be greater than 1. We argue by contradiction. Assume that $\kappa = 1$. Subtracting Equations (3.27) and (3.28) and using (3.9) and (3.11), we get rid of the terms $\varepsilon/\ln \lambda_i$. More precisely, we obtain

$$2 \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^{(n-2)/2} - \frac{H(\xi_2, \xi_2)}{\lambda_2^{n-2}} = o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n-2}} + \varepsilon_{12}\right) \quad (3.29)$$

Taking into account (3.25), (3.29) and (3.10), we obtain $(\lambda_2/\lambda_1)^{(n-2)/2}$ and $1/\lambda_2^{n-2}$ are of the same order, which implies that λ_1 and λ_2^3 are of the same order. This contradicts (3.11) with $\kappa = 1$. Thus we have $\kappa > 1$.

Subtracting now the products of (3.27) with $\ln \lambda_1$ and Eq. (3.28) multiplied by $\ln \lambda_2$ and taking into account (3.25) and (3.26) together with (3.9) and (3.11), we derive as previously that

$$\varepsilon_{12} \text{ and } 1/\lambda_2^{n-2} \text{ are of the same order,} \quad (3.30)$$

which implies that λ_1 and λ_2^3 are of the same order. We deduce the existence of a positive constant k_0 such that

$$\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2^3} = k_0(1 + o(1)). \quad (3.31)$$

This result asserts that $\kappa = 3$ and we get

$$\ln \lambda_1 = 3 \ln \lambda_2 (1 + o(1)). \quad (3.32)$$

Furthermore, Lemma 3.3 for $i = 2$ and (3.6) imply

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n-1}} \frac{\partial H(\xi_2, \xi_2)}{\partial a} - \frac{1}{\lambda_2} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{12}}{\partial \xi_2} = o\left(\varepsilon_{12}^{\frac{n-1}{n-2}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n-1}}\right). \quad (3.33)$$

Under the previous assumptions, we obtain the following result.

Lemma 3.5

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_1} \left| \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{12}}{\partial (\xi_1)_\ell} \right| = o\left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n-1}}\right), \text{ for each } 1 \leq \ell \leq n.$$

Proof. For sake of simplicity, we may assume that $\ell = 1$. The same argument holds true for $\ell = 2, \dots, n$. Let $P\psi_1^1 := \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial P\delta_1}{\partial (\xi_1)_1}$ and $\underline{u} := \sum_{j=1}^2 \gamma_j P\delta_j$. On one hand, we have

$$\langle \nabla I_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon), P\psi_1^1 \rangle = 0 \quad (3.34)$$

since u_ε is a solution of (P_ε) . On the other hand, arguing as in the proof of [5, Proposition 2.11], we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla I_\varepsilon(u), P\psi_1^1 \rangle &= \langle u, P\psi_1^1 \rangle - \int_{\Omega} f_\varepsilon(u) P\psi_1^1 \\ &= \langle u, P\psi_1^1 \rangle - \int_{\Omega} [f_\varepsilon(u) - f_\varepsilon(\underline{u}) - f'_\varepsilon(\underline{u})v] P\psi_1^1 - \int_{\Omega} f_\varepsilon(\underline{u}) P\psi_1^1 - \int_{\Omega} f'_\varepsilon(\underline{u})v P\psi_1^1 \\ &= \langle \underline{u}, P\psi_1^1 \rangle - A - B - C \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

since $v \in E_{(\xi, \lambda)}$. Note that [5, Proposition 2.11] concerns the expansion of $\langle \nabla I_\varepsilon(u), \lambda_i \partial P\delta_i / \partial \lambda_i \rangle$. Thanks to the fact that $P\psi_1^1$ satisfies $|P\psi_1^1| \leq c\delta_1$ as well, some remaining terms in (3.35) may be computed as in [5].

By the mean value theorem, there exists $\theta = \theta(x) \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$A = \int_{\Omega} [f'_\varepsilon(\underline{u} + \theta v) - f'_\varepsilon(\underline{u})] v P\psi_1^1.$$

As computed in [5], we have

$$|A| \leq c \begin{cases} \|v\|^2 & \text{if } n \leq 6; \\ \|v\|^2 + (\|v\|^p + \varepsilon\|v\|)\varepsilon_{12}^{1/2} \ln(\varepsilon_{12}^{-1})^{(n-2)/(2n)} & \text{if } n > 6. \end{cases}$$

Thus we obtain

$$A = o\left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n-1}}\right) \text{ for } n \geq 6, \quad (3.36)$$

where we have used (3.5), (3.9), (3.31) and the fact that ε_{12} and $1/\lambda_2^{n-2}$ are of the same order.

We also compute C as in [5]. Note that $\ln \ln(e + |\underline{u}|) = O(\ln \ln \lambda_1) = O(\ln \ln \lambda_2)$ since we have (3.32). Using (7.3) and the fact that

$$f'_0(\underline{u}) = p[P\delta_1]^{p-1} + O([P\delta_2]^{p-1} \mathbf{1}_{\{P\delta_1 \leq P\delta_2\}} + [P\delta_1]^{p-2} P\delta_2 \mathbf{1}_{\{P\delta_2 \leq P\delta_1\}}),$$

we get, in view of (3.5), (3.9), (3.30) and (3.31) the following

$$\begin{aligned}
C &:= \int_{\Omega} f'_{\varepsilon}(\underline{u}) v P \psi_1^1 \\
&= \int_{\Omega} f'_0(\underline{u}) v P \psi_1^1 + \int_{\Omega} [f'_{\varepsilon}(\underline{u}) - f'_0(\underline{u})] v P \psi_1^1 \\
&= p \int_{\Omega} [P \delta_1]^{p-1} P \psi_1^1 v + O \left(\int_{P \delta_1 \leq P \delta_2} [P \delta_2]^{p-1} P \delta_1 |v| + \int_{P \delta_2 \leq P \delta_1} [P \delta_1]^{p-1} P \delta_2 |v| + \|v\| \varepsilon \ln \ln \lambda_2 \right) \\
&= C_1 + O \left(\varepsilon^2 \ln(\ln \lambda_2)^2 + \|v\|^2 + \varepsilon_{12}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \ln(\varepsilon_{12}^{-1})^{\frac{n+2}{n}} + \varepsilon_{12}^2 \ln(\varepsilon_{12}^{-1})^{\frac{2(n-2)}{n}} \right) \\
&= C_1 + o \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n-1}} \right). \tag{3.37}
\end{aligned}$$

As in [5], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1 &= \begin{cases} O \left(\|v\| \frac{\ln(\lambda_1 d_1)}{(\lambda_1 d_1)^4} \right), & \text{if } n = 6; \\ O \left(\frac{\|v\|}{(\lambda_1 d_1)^{(n+2)/2}} \right), & \text{if } n > 6, \end{cases} \\
&= o \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n-1}} \right) \tag{3.38}
\end{aligned}$$

since v satisfies (3.5) and we have (3.9), (3.31) and (3.30) i.e. ε_{12} and $1/\lambda_2^{n-2}$ are of the same order. From (3.37) and (3.38), we get

$$C = o \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n-1}} \right). \tag{3.39}$$

Now, we compute B . Using (3.3), (3.6) and Proposition 7.2, we write

$$\underline{u} = \gamma_1 \delta_1 + \gamma_2 \delta_2 + O \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} \right)$$

and, by (7.1), we get

$$f_{\varepsilon}(\underline{u}) = f_{\varepsilon}(\gamma_1 \delta_1 + \gamma_2 \delta_2) + O \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} \left[|\gamma_1 \delta_1 + \gamma_2 \delta_2|^{p-1} + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} \right)^{p-1} \right] \right).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
B &:= \int_{\Omega} f_{\varepsilon}(\underline{u}) P \psi_1^1 \\
&= \int_{\Omega} f_{\varepsilon}(\underline{u}) \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial(\xi_1)_1} + \int_{\Omega} f_{\varepsilon}(\underline{u}) \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial(\xi_1)_1} \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \left(f_{\varepsilon}(\gamma_1 \delta_1 + \gamma_2 \delta_2) + O \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} \left[(\gamma_1 \delta_1 + \gamma_2 \delta_2)^{p-1} + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} \right)^{p-1} \right] \right) \right) \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial(\xi_1)_1} \\
&\quad + O \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\Omega} f_{\varepsilon}(\underline{u}) \right) \\
&= \int_{\Omega} f_{\varepsilon}(\gamma_1 \delta_1 + \gamma_2 \delta_2) \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial(\xi_1)_1} + O \left(\int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} (\delta_1^{p-1} + \delta_2^{p-1}) + \frac{1}{\lambda_2^{\frac{n+2}{2}}} \right] \left| \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial(\xi_1)_1} \right| \right) + O \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{\frac{3n}{2}}} \right) \tag{3.40}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Proposition 7.2, (3.31) and the fact that $\int_{\Omega} f_{\varepsilon}(\underline{u}) = o(1)$.

Note that, using (3.31), we have

$$o \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n-1}} \right) = o \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n+1}} \right).$$

In the sequel, we will write the estimates of the remaining terms of B in their λ_2 -orders. Taking into account (3.40), (3.31), the fact that

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \left| \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial (\xi_1)_1} \right| \leq c \int_{\Omega} |x - \xi_1| \delta_1^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \leq \frac{c}{\lambda_1^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{|x - \xi_1|^{n-1}} \leq \frac{c}{\lambda_1^{\frac{n}{2}}}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} \delta_1^{p-1} \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \left| \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial (\xi_1)_1} \right| \leq c \int_{\Omega} |x - \xi_1| \delta_1^{\frac{n+4}{n-2}} \leq \frac{c}{\lambda_1^{\frac{n-2}{2}}},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \int_{\Omega} f_{\varepsilon}(\gamma_1 \delta_1 + \gamma_2 \delta_2) \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial (\xi_1)_1} + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n+1}}\right) \\ &=: B' + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n+1}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.41)$$

Now, we introduce a new function, that is

$$\tilde{\delta}_2 := \delta_{(\xi_1, \lambda_2)}.$$

We write $\gamma_1 \delta_1 + \gamma_2 \delta_2 = \gamma_1 \delta_1 + \gamma_2 \tilde{\delta}_2 + \gamma_2 (\delta_2 - \tilde{\delta}_2)$ and thus, by the mean value theorem there exists some $\theta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\varepsilon}(\gamma_1 \delta_1 + \gamma_2 \delta_2) &= f_{\varepsilon}(\gamma_1 \delta_1 + \gamma_2 \tilde{\delta}_2) + f'_{\varepsilon}(\gamma_1 \delta_1 + \gamma_2 \tilde{\delta}_2) \gamma_2 (\delta_2 - \tilde{\delta}_2) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} f''_{\varepsilon}(\gamma_1 \delta_1 + \gamma_2 \tilde{\delta}_2 + \theta \gamma_2 (\delta_2 - \tilde{\delta}_2)) (\delta_2 - \tilde{\delta}_2)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

An easy computation, through the result of Lemma 3.4, gives us the following

$$|\delta_2 - \tilde{\delta}_2| \leq c \lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \tilde{\delta}_2. \quad (3.43)$$

By using (3.42) and (3.43), the integral B' becomes

$$\begin{aligned} B' &= \int_{\Omega} f_{\varepsilon}(\gamma_1 \delta_1 + \gamma_2 \tilde{\delta}_2) \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial (\xi_1)_1} + \int_{\Omega} f'_{\varepsilon}(\gamma_1 \delta_1 + \gamma_2 \tilde{\delta}_2) \gamma_2 (\delta_2 - \tilde{\delta}_2) \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial (\xi_1)_1} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} f''_{\varepsilon}(\gamma_1 \delta_1 + \gamma_2 \tilde{\delta}_2 + \theta \gamma_2 (\delta_2 - \tilde{\delta}_2)) (\delta_2 - \tilde{\delta}_2)^2 \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial (\xi_1)_1} \\ &=: B'_1 + B'_2 + B'_3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

Recall that we have $d_1 = d(\xi_1, \partial\Omega) > c$ from assumption (3.3). We get

$$\begin{aligned} B'_1 &:= \int_{\Omega} f_{\varepsilon}(\gamma_1 \delta_1 + \gamma_2 \tilde{\delta}_2) \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial (\xi_1)_1} \\ &= \int_{B(\xi_1, d_1/2)} \dots + \int_{\Omega \setminus B(\xi_1, d_1/2)} \dots \\ &= O\left(\int_{B(\xi_1, d_1/2)^c} \delta_1^{p+1} + \tilde{\delta}_2^p \frac{\delta_1}{\lambda_1 |x - \xi_1|}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

since the function $f_{\varepsilon}(\gamma_1 \delta_1 + \gamma_2 \tilde{\delta}_2) \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial (\xi_1)_1}$ is antisymmetric with respect to $(x - \xi_1)_1$ in $B(\xi_1, d_1/2)$ and we have used that

$$\left| \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial (\xi_1)_1}(x) \right| \leq c \frac{\delta_1(x)}{\lambda_1 |x - \xi_1|}.$$

A simple computations lead to

$$\int_{B(\xi_1, d_1/2)^c} \delta_1^{p+1} = O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1^n}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{B(\xi_1, d_1/2)^c} \tilde{\delta}_2^p \frac{\delta_1}{\lambda_1 |x - \xi_1|} = O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1^{\frac{n}{2}} \lambda_2^{\frac{n+2}{2}}}\right).$$

Hence, (3.45) and (3.31) assert that

$$B'_1 = o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n+1}}\right). \quad (3.46)$$

Now, expanding as follows

$$f'_\varepsilon(\gamma_1\delta_1 + \gamma_2\tilde{\delta}_2) = f'_\varepsilon(\gamma_1\delta_1) + f'_\varepsilon(\gamma_2\tilde{\delta}_2) + O\left(\inf(\delta_1, \tilde{\delta}_2)^{p-1}\right),$$

the second integral B'_2 is written

$$\begin{aligned} B'_2 &= \int_{\Omega} \gamma_2 f'_\varepsilon(\gamma_1\delta_1)[\delta_2 - \tilde{\delta}_2] \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial(\xi_1)_1} + \int_{\Omega} \gamma_2 f'_\varepsilon(\gamma_2\tilde{\delta}_2)[\delta_2 - \tilde{\delta}_2] \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial(\xi_1)_1} \\ &\quad + O\left(\int_{\Omega} \inf(\delta_1, \tilde{\delta}_2)^{p-1} |\delta_2 - \tilde{\delta}_2| \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \left|\frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial(\xi_1)_1}\right| \right) \\ &=: B'_{21} + B'_{22} + B'_{23}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.47)$$

Observe that

$$\tilde{\delta}_2(x) \leq \delta_1(x) \Leftrightarrow \lambda_1 \lambda_2 |x - \xi_1|^2 \leq 1 \Leftrightarrow x \in B(\xi_1, \frac{1}{\lambda_2}). \quad (3.48)$$

Taking into account Lemma 3.4, (3.31), (3.43), (3.48) and the fact that $|\frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial(\xi_1)_1}(x)| \leq c|x - \xi_1| \delta_1^{\frac{n}{n-2}}(x)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} B'_{23} &= \int_{\tilde{\delta}_2 \leq \delta_1} \inf(\delta_1, \tilde{\delta}_2)^{p-1} |\delta_2 - \tilde{\delta}_2| \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \left|\frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial(\xi_1)_1}\right| + \int_{\delta_1 \leq \tilde{\delta}_2} \inf(\delta_1, \tilde{\delta}_2)^{p-1} |\delta_2 - \tilde{\delta}_2| \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \left|\frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial(\xi_1)_1}\right| \\ &\leq c\lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \left(\int_{\tilde{\delta}_2 \leq \delta_1} \tilde{\delta}_2^p(x) |x - \xi_1| \delta_1^{\frac{n}{n-2}} dx + \int_{\delta_1 \leq \tilde{\delta}_2} \tilde{\delta}_2(x) |x - \xi_1| \delta_1^{\frac{n+4}{n-2}}(x) dx \right) \\ &\leq c\lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \left(\int_{B(\xi_1, 1/\lambda_2^2)} \lambda_2^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \frac{dx}{\lambda_1^{\frac{n}{2}} |x - \xi_1|^{n-1}} + \int_{B(\xi_1, 1/\lambda_2^2)^c} \lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \frac{dx}{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+4}{2}} |x - \xi_1|^{n+3}} \right) \\ &\leq c\lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \left(\frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n+2}{2}}}{\lambda_1^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_0^{1/\lambda_2^2} dr + \frac{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}}}{\lambda_1^{\frac{n+4}{2}}} \int_{\frac{1}{\lambda_2^2}}^\infty \frac{dr}{r^4} \right) \\ &\leq c\lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \left(\left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{1}{\lambda_2} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n+7}} (\lambda_2^2)^3 \right) \\ &=: o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n+1}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.49)$$

In view of (7.3), (3.43), (3.9), (3.30), (3.31), Lemma 3.4 and the fact that $\ln \ln(\delta_1) \leq c \ln \ln \lambda_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B'_{21} &:= \int_{\Omega} \gamma_2 f'_\varepsilon(\gamma_1\delta_1)[\delta_2 - \tilde{\delta}_2] \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial(\xi_1)_1} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \gamma_2 f'_0(\gamma_1\delta_1)[\delta_2 - \tilde{\delta}_2] \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial(\xi_1)_1} + O\left(\varepsilon \ln \ln \lambda_2 \int_{\Omega} \delta_1^{p-1} \lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \tilde{\delta}_2 \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial(\xi_1)_1}\right) \\ &= \gamma_2 p \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta_1^{p-1} \delta_2 \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial(\xi_1)_1} + O\left(\int_{\Omega^c} \delta_1^{p+1} + \varepsilon \ln \ln \lambda_2 \lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \int_{\Omega} \delta_1^p\right) \\ &= \frac{\gamma_2 \bar{c}_1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{12}}{\partial(\xi_1)_1} + O(\lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \varepsilon^{\frac{n+1}{n-2}}) + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1^n}\right) + o\left(\left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \varepsilon \ln \ln \lambda_2\right) \\ &= \frac{\gamma_2 \bar{c}_1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{12}}{\partial(\xi_1)_1} + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n+1}}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.50)$$

where we have used the fact that $\delta_1^{p-1} \tilde{\delta}_2 \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial(\xi_1)_1}$ is antisymmetric with respect to $(x - \xi_1)_1$ in \mathbb{R}^n and the following result

$$p \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta_1^{p-1} \delta_2 \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial(\xi_1)_1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta_2^p \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial(\xi_1)_1} = \frac{\bar{c}_1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{12}}{\partial(\xi_1)_1} + O(\lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \varepsilon^{\frac{n+1}{n-2}}) \quad (3.51)$$

given in [1, Estimate F11]. Here \bar{c}_1 is the positive constant introduced in Proposition 2.2. Using again (7.3) and (3.43) and Lemma 3.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
B'_{22} &:= \int_{\Omega} \gamma_2 f'_\varepsilon(\gamma_2 \tilde{\delta}_2) [\delta_2 - \tilde{\delta}_2] \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial (\xi_1)_1} \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \gamma_2 f'_0(\gamma_2 \tilde{\delta}_2) [\delta_2 - \tilde{\delta}_2] \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial (\xi_1)_1} + O\left(\lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \varepsilon \ln \ln \lambda_2 \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\delta}_2^p \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial (\xi_1)_1}\right) \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \gamma_2 \tilde{\delta}_2^{p-1} [\delta_2 - \tilde{\delta}_2] \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial (\xi_1)_1} + o\left(\varepsilon \ln \ln \lambda_2 \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}\right) \\
&=: B''_{22} + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n+1}}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.52}$$

where we have used (3.9), (3.31) and the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} \tilde{\delta}_2^p \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \left| \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial (\xi_1)_1} \right| &\leq c \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\delta}_2^p |x - \xi_1| \delta_1^{\frac{n}{n-2}} \\
&\leq \frac{c}{\lambda_1^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\tilde{\delta}_2^p}{|x - \xi_1|^{n-1}} \\
&\leq \frac{c}{\lambda_1^{\frac{n}{2}}} \frac{1}{\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} \lambda_2^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{dy}{(1 + |y|^2)^{\frac{n+2}{2}} |y|^{n-1}} \\
&\leq c \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \int_0^\infty \frac{dr}{(1 + |r|^2)^{\frac{n+2}{2}}} \\
&\leq c \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.53}$$

Observe that, using (3.43), we get

$$\delta_2^p = \tilde{\delta}_2^p + p \tilde{\delta}_2^{p-1} (\delta_2 - \tilde{\delta}_2) + O\left(\lambda_2^2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^2 \tilde{\delta}_2^p\right).$$

Thus, using (3.51), Lemma 3.4 and the antisymmetry of the function $\tilde{\delta}_2^p \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial (\xi_1)_1}$ with respect to $(x - \xi_1)_1$ in $B(\xi_1, d_1/2)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
B''_{22} &:= \gamma_2 \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\delta}_2^{p-1} [\delta_2 - \tilde{\delta}_2] \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial (\xi_1)_1} \\
&= \frac{\gamma_2}{p} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\delta}_2^p \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial (\xi_1)_1} - \frac{\gamma_2}{p} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\delta}_2^p \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial (\xi_1)_1} + O\left(\lambda_2^2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^2 \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\delta}_2^p \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \left| \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial (\xi_1)_1} \right| \right) \\
&= \frac{\gamma_2}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{\delta}_2^p \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial (\xi_1)_1} - \frac{\gamma_2}{p} \int_{B(\xi_1, d_1/2)} \tilde{\delta}_2^p \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial (\xi_1)_1} + O\left(\int_{B(\xi_1, d_1/2)^c} \tilde{\delta}_2^p \delta_1 + \lambda_2^2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^2 \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\delta}_2^p |x - \xi_1| \delta_1^{\frac{n}{n-2}}\right) \\
&= \frac{\gamma_2 \bar{c}_1}{p} \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{12}}{\partial (\xi_1)_1} + O\left(\lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \varepsilon_{12}^{\frac{n+1}{n-2}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_1^{n+1}} + \lambda_2^2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^2 \frac{1}{\lambda_1^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\delta}_2^p \frac{1}{|x - \xi_1|^{n-1}}\right) \\
&= \frac{\gamma_2 \bar{c}_1}{p} \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{12}}{\partial (\xi_1)_1} + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n+1}} + \lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}\right) \\
&= \frac{\gamma_2 \bar{c}_1}{p} \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{12}}{\partial (\xi_1)_1} (1 + o(1)) + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n+1}}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.54}$$

where we have used, in the last equality, (3.25) i.e. ε_{12} and $(\lambda_2/\lambda_1)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}$ are of the same order and the fact that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_1} \left| \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{12}}{\partial (\xi_1)_1} \right| = (n-2) \lambda_2 |(\xi_1 - \xi_2)_1| \varepsilon_{12}^{\frac{n}{n-2}}.$$

In view of (3.47), (3.49)-(3.52) and (3.54), we get

$$B'_2 = \gamma_2 \bar{c}_1 \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{12}}{\partial (\xi_1)_1} (1 + o(1)) + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n+1}}\right). \quad (3.55)$$

To estimate B'_3 , we split the integral as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} B'_3 &:= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} f''_{\varepsilon}(\gamma_1 \delta_1 + \gamma_2 \tilde{\delta}_2 + \theta \gamma_2 (\delta_2 - \tilde{\delta}_2)) (\delta_2 - \tilde{\delta}_2)^2 \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial (\xi_1)_1} \\ &= \int_{\Omega_1} \dots + \int_{\Omega_1^c} \dots \\ &=: B'_{31} + B'_{32}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.56)$$

where $\Omega_1 := \{x : |\gamma_1 \delta_1(x) + \gamma_2 \delta_2(x)| \leq M \lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \tilde{\delta}_2(x)\}$. Here M is a positive constant greater than $4c$ where c is the constant introduced in (3.43). Using this fact, it is easy to see that in Ω_1^c we have

$$|\gamma_1 \delta_1 + \gamma_2 \tilde{\delta}_2 + \theta \gamma_2 (\delta_2 - \tilde{\delta}_2)| \geq \frac{M}{2} |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \tilde{\delta}_2 \text{ for each } \theta \in (0, 1).$$

Thus in view of (3.43), (7.5), Lemma 3.4 and the fact that $p - 2 \leq 0$ for $n \geq 6$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} B'_{32} &= O\left(\int_{\Omega_1^c} \left(\lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \tilde{\delta}_2\right)^{p-2} (\delta_2 - \tilde{\delta}_2)^2 \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \left|\frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial (\xi_1)_1}\right|\right) \\ &= O\left((\lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2|)^{p-1} \lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\delta}_2^p \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \left|\frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial (\xi_1)_1}\right|\right) \\ &= o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1} \left|\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{12}}{\partial (\xi_1)_1}\right|\right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.57)$$

where we have used (3.53) and the fact that ε_{12} and $(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1})^{\frac{n}{2}}$ are of the same order.

Observe that, in Ω_1 , it holds $|\gamma_1 \delta_1 + \gamma_2 \tilde{\delta}_2| \leq c \lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \tilde{\delta}_2$, by using (3.43). Therefore, the expansion (3.42), (7.2), (3.43) and (3.53) imply

$$\begin{aligned} B'_{31} &= \int_{\Omega_1} \left(f_{\varepsilon}(\gamma_1 \delta_1 + \gamma_2 \delta_2) - f_{\varepsilon}(\gamma_1 \delta_1 + \gamma_2 \tilde{\delta}_2) - f'_{\varepsilon}(\gamma_1 \delta_1 + \gamma_2 \tilde{\delta}_2) \gamma_2 (\delta_2 - \tilde{\delta}_2)\right) \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial (\xi_1)_1} \\ &= O\left(\int_{\Omega} \left(\lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \tilde{\delta}_2\right)^p \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \left|\frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial (\xi_1)_1}\right|\right) \\ &= O\left((\lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2|)^{p-1} \lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\delta}_2^p \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \left|\frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial (\xi_1)_1}\right|\right) \\ &= o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1} \left|\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{12}}{\partial (\xi_1)_1}\right|\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.58)$$

Hence, (3.56), (3.57) and (3.58) assert

$$B'_3 = o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1} \left|\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{12}}{\partial (\xi_1)_1}\right|\right). \quad (3.59)$$

Lastly, we compute $\langle \underline{u}, P\psi_1^1 \rangle$. In view of Proposition 7.2, (2.3), (3.31), (3.51) and Holder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \underline{u}, P\psi_1^1 \rangle &= \langle \gamma_1 \delta_1 + \gamma_2 \delta_2, \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial(\xi_1)_1} \rangle + \langle \underline{u}, \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial(\xi_1)_1} \rangle \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \gamma_2 \delta_2^p \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial(\xi_1)_1} + \int_{\Omega} \gamma_1 \delta_1^p \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial(\xi_1)_1} + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1^{\frac{p}{2}}} \int_{\Omega} \delta_1^p + \delta_2^p\right) \\
&= \gamma_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta_2^p \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial(\xi_1)_1} + \int_{B(\xi_1, d_1/2)} \gamma_1 \delta_1^p \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \delta_1}{\partial(\xi_1)_1} + O\left(\int_{\Omega^c} \delta_2^p \delta_1 + \int_{B(\xi_1, d_1/2)^c} \delta_1^{p+1}\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{\frac{3n}{2}}}\right) \\
&= \gamma_2 \frac{\bar{c}_1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{12}}{\partial(\xi_1)_1} + O\left(\lambda_2 |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \varepsilon_{12}^{\frac{n+1}{n-2}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_1^{\frac{n-2}{2} \lambda_2^{\frac{n+2}{2}}}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_1^n}\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n+1}}\right) \\
&= \gamma_2 \frac{\bar{c}_1}{\lambda_1} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{12}}{\partial(\xi_1)_1} + o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n+1}}\right). \tag{3.60}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.34)-(3.36), (3.39), (3.41), (3.44), (3.46), (3.55), (3.59) and (3.60), the proof of Lemma 3.5 is completed. \square

Now, we will prove (3.7) and (3.8). From Lemma 3.5, we derive

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_2} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{12}}{\partial \xi_2} = o\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^{n-1}}\right). \tag{3.61}$$

Let us introduce the following change of variables

$$\left(\frac{\ln \lambda_2}{\lambda_2^{n-2}}\right)^{1/2} = \Lambda \left(\frac{\Gamma_2}{3} \varepsilon\right)^{1/2} \quad \text{where } \Lambda > 0. \tag{3.62}$$

Note that, multiplying (3.27), (3.28) by respectively $\ln \lambda_1$ and $\ln \lambda_2$, and using (3.31) (3.32) and (3.62), we obtain

$$\frac{\Lambda^2}{k_0^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} - 1 = o(\Lambda^2); \quad H(\xi_2, \xi_2) \Lambda - \frac{4}{\Lambda} = o(\Lambda). \tag{3.63}$$

Taking into account (3.61), (3.62) and the fact that $\varepsilon_{1,2}$ and $1/\lambda_2^{n-2}$ are of the same order, (3.33) implies

$$\frac{\partial H(\xi_2, \xi_2)}{\partial a} \Lambda^2 = o(\Lambda^2). \tag{3.64}$$

Recall that we have $d(\xi_2, \partial\Omega) \geq c$. Thus, the functions H and its derivatives are bounded. Furthermore, the function H satisfies (3.10). Therefore, from (3.63), it is easy to see that Λ is bounded from above and it is also bounded from below by some positive constant. Hence, Λ converges to $\bar{\Lambda} > 0$ (up to a subsequence). Let ξ_0 be the limit of ξ_2 when ε goes to 0. Passing to the limit in (3.63) and (3.64), we get

$$k_0 = \bar{\Lambda}^{\frac{4}{n-2}}; \quad H(\xi_0, \xi_0) \bar{\Lambda} - 4 \bar{\Lambda}^{-1} = 0; \quad \frac{\partial H(\xi_0, \xi_0)}{\partial a} = 0, \tag{3.65}$$

which imply that ξ_0 is a critical point of the Robin function R and $\bar{\Lambda}$ satisfies (3.8). Therefore, in view of Lemma 3.4 and (3.31), the conclusion in (3.7) holds. The proof of Proposition 3.1 is thereby completed. \square

4 Description of the solution and its lower order term

We denote by $i^* : L^{\frac{2n}{n+2}}(\Omega) \rightarrow H_0^1(\Omega)$ the adjoint operator of the embedding $i : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\Omega)$, i.e. if $w \in L^{\frac{2n}{n+2}}(\Omega)$ then $u = i^*(w)$ in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ is the unique solution of the equation $-\Delta u = w$ in Ω and $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. By the definition of the operator i^* , it is clear that (P_ε) can be written as follows:

$$u = i^*[f_\varepsilon(u)], \quad u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \tag{4.1}$$

where f_ε is introduced in (1.1).

Next, we describe the shape of the solutions we are looking for.

Let ξ be a point in Ω and, given an integer number k , let λ_j for $j = 1, \dots, k$, be positive parameters defined as multiple of proper power of $\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|}$, namely

$$\lambda_j = \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \right)^{-\frac{2(k-j)+1}{n-2}} \rho_j, \quad \text{with } \rho_j > 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Let ξ_j , for $j = 1, \dots, k$, also be k points in Ω given by

$$\xi_j = \xi + \lambda_j^{-1} \sigma_j, \quad \text{with } \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } \sigma_1 = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

Let α_j , for $j = 1, \dots, k$, be positive parameters. Fix a small $\eta > 0$ and assume that

$$d(\xi, \partial\Omega) > \eta, \quad |\alpha_j - 1| < \eta, \quad \eta < \rho_j < \frac{1}{\eta} \quad \text{and} \quad |\sigma_j| \leq \frac{1}{\eta} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, k. \quad (4.4)$$

It is an immediate observation that

$$\lambda_k = \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \right)^{-\frac{1}{n-2}} \rho_k, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_{j+1}} = \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \right)^{-\frac{2}{n-2}} \frac{\rho_j}{\rho_{j+1}}. \quad (4.5)$$

We look for a tower of sign-changing bubbles solution to (P_ε) , of the form:

$$u(x) = V(x) + v(x), \quad V(x) = V_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\rho}, \bar{\sigma}, \xi}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^j \alpha_j P\delta_{(\xi_j, \lambda_j)}(x), \quad (4.6)$$

where we set $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \in \mathbb{R}_+^k$, $\bar{\rho} = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_k) \in \mathbb{R}_+^k$ and $\bar{\sigma} = (\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{(k-1)n}$.

The term v has to be thought as a remainder term of lower order. Let

$$E := \left\{ v \in H_0^1(\Omega) : \langle v, P\delta_i \rangle = \langle v, \frac{\partial P\delta_i}{\partial \lambda_i} \rangle = \langle v, \frac{\partial P\delta_i}{\partial (\xi_i)_j} \rangle = 0 \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq n, \forall 1 \leq i \leq k \right\}, \quad (4.7)$$

where $P\delta_i = P\delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}$ and ξ_i^j is the j^{th} component of ξ_i .

Let $\Pi : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow E^\perp$ and $\Pi^\perp : H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow E$ be the orthogonal projections. In order to solve (4.1) we will solve the couple of equations:

$$\Pi^\perp (V + v - i^* [f_\varepsilon(V + v)]) = 0; \quad (4.8)$$

$$\Pi (V + v - i^* [f_\varepsilon(V + v)]) = 0. \quad (4.9)$$

Given ξ , $\bar{\alpha}$, $\bar{\rho}$ and $\bar{\sigma}$ satisfying conditions (4.4), one can solve uniquely (4.8) in $v \in E$. This solution, which will be denoted by \bar{v} is the lower order term in the description of the ansatz (4.6). This is the content of:

Proposition 4.1 *There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $\xi \in \Omega$, $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}_+^k$, $\bar{\rho} \in \mathbb{R}_+^k$, $\bar{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{(k-1)n}$ satisfying (4.2)-(4.4) and for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ there exists a unique function $\bar{v} = \bar{v}(\varepsilon, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\rho}, \bar{\sigma}, \xi) \in E$ such*

$$I_\varepsilon(V + \bar{v}(\varepsilon, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\rho}, \bar{\sigma}, \xi)) = \inf \{ I_\varepsilon(V + v) : v \in E \}.$$

Moreover \bar{v} satisfies

$$\|\bar{v}\| = \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon \ln |\ln \varepsilon|), & \text{if } n \leq 6; \\ O\left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}\right), & \text{if } n > 6. \end{cases} \quad (4.10)$$

In addition, there exists $(A, B, C) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^k \times (\mathbb{R}^n)^k$ such that the following holds

$$-\Delta \bar{v}(\varepsilon, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\rho}, \bar{\sigma}, \xi) = f_\varepsilon(V + \bar{v}(\varepsilon, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\rho}, \bar{\sigma}, \xi)) - (-\Delta V) + \sum_{i=1}^k (-\Delta) \left(A_i P\delta_i + B_i \frac{\partial P\delta_i}{\partial \lambda_i} + \sum_{j=1}^n C_{ij} \frac{\partial P\delta_i}{\partial (\xi_i)_j} \right). \quad (4.11)$$

The proof of such a result is contained in [5] (see the proof of [5, Proposition 3.2]). In the following, \bar{v} is the solution of (4.8).

As in [5], we estimate the numbers A , B and C . Note that the functions $\frac{\partial P \delta_i}{\partial \lambda_i}$ and $\frac{\partial P \delta_i}{\partial \xi_i^j}$, which appear in (4.11), are multiplied respectively by λ_i and $\frac{1}{\lambda_i}$ in [5]. Taking into account this change and the ε -orders of the λ_i 's given in (4.2), we get the following.

Proposition 4.2 *For $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$, we have*

$$\begin{cases} A_i = \begin{cases} O(|1 - \alpha_i| + \varepsilon \ln |\ln \varepsilon|), & \text{if } n \leq 6; \\ O\left(|1 - \alpha_i| + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}\right), & \text{if } n > 6, \end{cases} \\ B_i = O\left(\frac{\lambda_i \varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|}\right), \\ C_{ij} = O\left(\frac{|1 - \alpha_i| \varepsilon}{\lambda_i |\ln \varepsilon|} + \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{n-2}}\right). \end{cases}$$

As in [21] and [16], since $|f_\varepsilon(u)| \leq |u|^p$, we have the following estimate.

Lemma 4.3 *It holds*

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial \nu}\right)^2 dw = \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon^2 \ln^2 |\ln \varepsilon|), & \text{if } n \leq 6; \\ O\left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|}\right)^p\right), & \text{if } n > 6. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We consider a smooth function $\zeta : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$0 \leq \zeta \leq 1, \quad \zeta(y) = 0 \quad \text{for } |y - \xi| \leq \varrho, \quad \zeta(y) = 1 \quad \text{for } |y - \xi| \geq 2\varrho$$

for some $\varrho > 0$ (we choose $\varrho > 0$ such that $\varrho < d(\xi, \partial\Omega)/4$). It is elementary to see that $\zeta \bar{v}$ is a solution of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta(\zeta \bar{v}) = g & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \zeta \bar{v} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (4.12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g := & \zeta \left(f_\varepsilon(V + \bar{v}) - \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^p \right) + \zeta \sum_{i=1}^k \left(A_i \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^p + p B_i \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^{p-1} \frac{\partial \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}}{\partial \lambda_i} \right. \\ & \left. + p \sum_{j=1}^n C_{ij} \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^{p-1} \frac{\partial \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}}{\partial (\xi_i)^j} \right) - \Delta \zeta \bar{v} - 2 \nabla \zeta \nabla \bar{v}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Since $\zeta \bar{v}$ is a solution of (4.12), the following inequality holds:

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} (\zeta \bar{v}) \right|_{2, \partial\Omega}^2 = \left| \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial \nu} \right|_{2, \partial\Omega}^2 \leq C |g|_{\frac{2n}{n+1}, \Omega}^2. \quad (4.14)$$

Hence, we need to estimate $|g|_{2n/(n+1)}^2$. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} g = & O \left(\zeta |\bar{v}|^p + \sum_{i=1}^k \zeta \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^k \left(|A_i| \zeta \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^p + |B_i| \zeta \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^{p-1} \left| \frac{\partial \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}}{\partial \lambda_i} \right| \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \sum_{j=1}^n |C_{ij}| \zeta \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^{p-1} \left| \frac{\partial \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}}{\partial (\xi_i)^j} \right| \right) + |\Delta \zeta \bar{v}| + |\nabla \zeta \nabla \bar{v}| \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Let us denote $q := 2n/(n+1)$. We have to estimate each term of (4.15) in the $L^q(\Omega)$ -norm. It holds

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^k \zeta \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^p \right|_q^2 = O\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \int_{|x-\xi| \geq \varrho} \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^{pq} \right)^{2/q} \right) = O\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{n+2}} \right)$$

and by using Proposition 4.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \left(|A_i| \zeta \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^p + |B_i| \zeta \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^{p-1} \frac{\partial \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}}{\partial \lambda_i} + \sum_{j=1}^n |C_{ij}| \zeta \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^{p-1} \frac{\partial \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}}{\partial \xi_i^j} \right) \right|_q^2 \\ &= O\left(\sum_{i=1}^k |A_i|^2 \left(\int_{|x-\xi| \geq \varrho} \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^{pq} \right)^{2/q} + \sum_{i=1}^k |B_i|^2 \left(\int_{|x-\xi| \geq \varrho} \left| \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^{p-1} \frac{\partial \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}}{\partial \lambda_i} \right|^q \right)^{2/q} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^n |C_{ij}|^2 \left(\int_{|x-\xi| \geq \varrho} \left| \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^{p-1} \frac{\partial \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}}{\partial \xi_i^j} \right|^q \right)^{2/q} \right) \\ &= O\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \left(|A_i|^2 + \frac{|B_i|^2}{\lambda_i^2} + \lambda_i^2 \sum_{j=1}^n |C_{ij}|^2 \right) \left(\int_{|x-\xi| \geq \varrho} \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^{pq} \right)^{2/q} \right) \right) = O\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{n+2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, since $q < 2 < p+1$, by Holder's inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Delta \zeta|^q |\bar{v}|^q \right)^{2/q} &= O\left(\left(\int_{\Omega} |\bar{v}|^{p+1} \right)^{2/(p+1)} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Delta \zeta|^{\frac{q(p+1)}{p+1-q}} \right)^{\frac{2(p+1-q)}{q(p+1)}} \right) = O(\|\bar{v}\|^2), \\ \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \zeta|^q |\nabla \bar{v}|^q \right)^{2/q} &= O\left(\|\bar{v}\|^2 \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \zeta|^{\frac{2q}{2-q}} \right)^{\frac{2-q}{q}} \right) = O(\|\bar{v}\|^2). \end{aligned}$$

The only remaining term is $\left(\int_{\Omega} (\zeta |\bar{v}|^p)^q \right)^{2/q}$ which is the most difficult to estimate because $pq > p+1$. We multiply equation (4.11) by $\zeta^{\frac{2(n-2)}{n+1}} |\bar{v}|^{\frac{2}{n+1}} \bar{v}$, and we integrate on Ω . Arguing as in [21] (see also [16]), integration by parts and the Sobolev embedding theorem lead to the inequality

$$- \int_{\Omega} \Delta \bar{v} \zeta^{\frac{2(n-2)}{n+1}} |\bar{v}|^{\frac{2}{n+1}} \bar{v} \geq C \left(\int_{\Omega} (\zeta |\bar{v}|^p)^q \right)^{2/q} + O(\|\bar{v}\|^{2(n+2)/(n+1)}).$$

On the other hand, we write

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\varepsilon}(u) - \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^i \alpha_i \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^p &+ \sum_{i=1}^k \left(A_i \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^p + p B_i \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^{p-1} \frac{\partial \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}}{\partial \lambda_i} + p \sum_{j=1}^n C_{ij} \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^{p-1} \frac{\partial \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}}{\partial \xi_i^j} \right) \\ &= O\left(|\bar{v}|^p + \sum_{i=1}^k \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^k \left(|A_i| \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^p + |B_i| \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^{p-1} \frac{\partial \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}}{\partial \lambda_i} + \sum_{j=1}^n |C_{ij}| \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^{p-1} \frac{\partial \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}}{\partial \xi_i^j} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Arguing exactly as in [21, Appendix C], we get:

$$\int_{\Omega} \zeta^{\frac{2(n-2)}{n+1}} |\bar{v}|^{p+\frac{n+3}{n+1}} = O\left(\left(\int_{\Omega} |\bar{v}|^{\frac{(p-1)n}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \left(\int_{\Omega} (\zeta |\bar{v}|^p)^q \right)^{\frac{2}{p+1}} \right) = o\left(\left(\int_{\Omega} (\zeta |\bar{v}|^p)^q \right)^{\frac{2}{p+1}} \right).$$

By using Holder's inequality, the definition of the function ζ and Proposition (4.2), we also have the validity of the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\Omega} \zeta^{\frac{2(n-2)}{n+1}} |\bar{v}|^{\frac{n+3}{n+1}} \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^p &= O\left(\left(\int_{\Omega} |\bar{v}|^{p+1} \right)^{\frac{n+3}{(n+1)(p+1)}} \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\int_{|x-\xi| \geq \varrho} \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^{\frac{2n(n+1)p}{n^2+n+6}} \right)^{\frac{n^2+n+6}{2n(n+1)}} \right) \\ &= O\left(\|\bar{v}\|^{\frac{n+3}{n+1}} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{\frac{n+2}{2}}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{\Omega} \zeta^{\frac{2(n-2)}{n+1}} |\bar{v}|^{\frac{n+3}{n+1}} \left(|A_i| \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^p + |B_i| \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^{p-1} \frac{\partial \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}}{\partial \lambda_i} + \sum_{j=1}^n |C_{ij}| \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^{p-1} \frac{\partial \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}}{\partial (\xi_i)^j} \right) \\
&= O \left(\|\bar{v}\|^{\frac{n+3}{n+1}} \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\int_{|x-\xi| \geq \rho} \left(|A_i| \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^p + |B_i| \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^{p-1} \frac{\partial \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}}{\partial \lambda_i} + \sum_{j=1}^n |C_{ij}| \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}^{p-1} \frac{\partial \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)}}{\partial (\xi_i)^j} \right)^{\frac{2n(n+1)}{n^2+n+6}} \right)^{\frac{n^2+n+6}{2n(n+1)}} \right) \\
&= O \left(\|\bar{v}\|^{\frac{n+3}{n+1}} \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} \left(|A_i| + \frac{|B_i|}{\lambda_i} + \lambda_i \sum_{j=1}^n |C_{ij}| \right) \right) = O \left(\frac{\|\bar{v}\|^{\frac{n+3}{n+1}}}{\lambda_k^{\frac{n+2}{2}}} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

so that finally

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} (\zeta |\bar{v}|^p)^q \right)^{2/q} = O \left(\|\bar{v}\|^{\frac{2(n+2)}{n+1}} + \frac{\|\bar{v}\|^{\frac{n+3}{n+1}}}{\lambda_k^{\frac{n+2}{2}}} \right).$$

Taking account of (4.2) and (4.10), the desired result follows. \square

5 The reduced energy

We are left now to solve (4.9), more precisely to find the point ξ , the points $\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and the parameters ρ_1, \dots, ρ_k and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ so that (4.9) is satisfied. It happens that this problem has a variational structure, in the sense that solving (4.9) is reduced to find critical points to some given explicit finite dimensional functional.

Let $\tilde{I}_{\varepsilon} : \mathbb{R}_+^k \times \mathbb{R}_+^k \times \mathbb{R}^{(k-1)n} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$\tilde{I}_{\varepsilon}(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\rho}, \bar{\sigma}, \xi) := I_{\varepsilon} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^i \alpha_i P \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)} + \bar{v} \right). \quad (5.1)$$

As in Part 1 of [16, Proposition 2.2], we have the following: if $(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\rho}, \bar{\sigma}, \xi)$ is a critical point of \tilde{I}_{ε} , then $u := \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^i \alpha_i P \delta_{(\xi_i, \lambda_i)} + \bar{v}$ is a critical point of I_{ε} . This result reduces the existence of solutions for (P_{ε}) to the problem of finding critical points of the reduced energy functional \tilde{I}_{ε} .

Now, we introduce the asymptotic expansion for the reduced energy functional \tilde{I}_{ε} and its partial derivative in terms of the parameters $(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\rho}, \bar{\sigma}, \xi)$.

Using Propositions 2.1 and 4.1, we have the following.

Proposition 5.1 *For $h = 1, \dots, k$, we have*

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{I}_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \alpha_h}(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\rho}, \bar{\sigma}, \xi) = \alpha_h (1 - \alpha_h^{p-1}) S_n^{n/2} + \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon \ln |\ln \varepsilon|), & \text{if } n \leq 6; \\ O \left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right), & \text{if } n > 6, \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{I}_{\varepsilon}}{\partial \alpha_h}(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\rho}, \bar{\sigma}, \xi) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \alpha_h = 1 + \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon \ln |\ln \varepsilon|), & \text{if } n \leq 6; \\ O \left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right), & \text{if } n > 6, \end{cases} \quad (5.3)$$

C^0 -uniformly with respect to ξ in compact sets of Ω , $\bar{\sigma}$ in compact sets of $\mathbb{R}^{(k-1)n}$, $\bar{\alpha}$ in compact sets of \mathbb{R}_+^k and $\bar{\rho}$ in compact sets of \mathbb{R}_+^k .

The expansion of \tilde{I}_{ε} can be stated as follows.

Proposition 5.2 *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{I}_{\varepsilon}(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\rho}, \bar{\sigma}, \xi) &= \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{\alpha_i^2}{2} - \frac{\alpha_i^{p+1}}{p+1} \right) S_n^{\frac{n}{2}} + k \frac{(n-2) S_n^{\frac{n}{2}}}{2n} \varepsilon \ln |\ln \varepsilon| \left(1 + \frac{1}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \right) + \varepsilon \frac{(n-2) S_n^{\frac{n}{2}}}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^k \ln \left(\frac{2(k-i)+1}{2} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \Psi(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\sigma}, \xi) + o \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

C^0 -uniformly with respect to ξ in compact sets of Ω , $\bar{\sigma}$ in compact sets of $\mathbb{R}^{(k-1)n}$, $\bar{\alpha}$ in compact sets of \mathbb{R}_+^k and $\bar{\rho}$ in compact sets of \mathbb{R}_+^k . Here

$$\Psi(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\sigma}, \xi) = \frac{\bar{c}_1}{2} \frac{H(\xi, \xi)}{\rho_k^{n-2}} + \frac{(n-2)S_n^{\frac{n}{2}}}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\ln \rho_i}{2(k-i)+1} + \bar{c}_1 \sum_{i=2}^k \left(\frac{\rho_i}{\rho_{i-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \frac{1}{(1+|\sigma_i|^2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}}. \quad (5.4)$$

Proof. We estimate $\varepsilon_{i(i+1)}$, using (2.1), (4.3) and (5.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{i(i+1)} &= \frac{\left(\frac{\lambda_{i+1}}{\lambda_i} \right)^{(n-2)/2}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{\lambda_{i+1}}{\lambda_i} \right)^2 + \left| \frac{\lambda_{i+1}}{\lambda_i} \sigma_i - \sigma_{i+1} \right|^2 \right)^{(n-2)/2}} \\ &= \frac{\left(\frac{\lambda_{i+1}}{\lambda_i} \right)^{(n-2)/2}}{\left(1 + |\sigma_{i+1}|^2 + \left(\frac{\lambda_{i+1}}{\lambda_i} \right)^2 + \left| \frac{\lambda_{i+1}}{\lambda_i} \sigma_i \right|^2 - 2 \frac{\lambda_{i+1}}{\lambda_i} \langle \sigma_i, \sigma_{i+1} \rangle \right)^{(n-2)/2}} \\ &= \left(\frac{\lambda_{i+1}}{\lambda_i} \right)^{(n-2)/2} \frac{1}{(1+|\sigma_{i+1}|^2)^{(n-2)/2}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\lambda_{i+1}}{\lambda_i} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \left(\frac{\rho_{i+1}}{\rho_i} \right)^{(n-2)/2} \frac{1}{(1+|\sigma_{i+1}|^2)^{(n-2)/2}} + o\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Note that, from the previous computation we derive that $\varepsilon_{i(i+1)}$ and $(\lambda_{i+1}/\lambda_i)^{(n-2)/2}$ are of the same order. Using (5.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_h \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{h(h+1)}}{\partial \lambda_h} &= -\frac{n-2}{2} \left\{ \frac{\lambda_h}{\lambda_{h+1}} - \frac{\lambda_{h+1}}{\lambda_h} + \lambda_h \lambda_{h+1} |\xi_h - \xi_{h+1}|^2 \right\} \varepsilon_{h(h+1)}^{n/(n-2)} \\ &= -\frac{n-2}{2} \frac{\lambda_h}{\lambda_{h+1}} \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{\lambda_{h+1}}{\lambda_h} \right)^2 + \left| \frac{\lambda_{h+1}}{\lambda_h} \sigma_h - \sigma_{h+1} \right|^2 \right\} \varepsilon_{h(h+1)}^{n/(n-2)} \\ &= -\frac{n-2}{2} \frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \left(\frac{\rho_{h+1}}{\rho_h} \right)^{(n-2)/2} \frac{1}{(1+|\sigma_{h+1}|^2)^{(n-2)/2}} + o\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \right), \\ \lambda_h \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{h(h-1)}}{\partial \lambda_h} &= -\frac{n-2}{2} \left\{ \frac{\lambda_h}{\lambda_{h-1}} - \frac{\lambda_{h-1}}{\lambda_h} + \lambda_h \lambda_{h-1} |\xi_h - \xi_{h-1}|^2 \right\} \varepsilon_{h(h-1)}^{n/(n-2)} \\ &= -\frac{n-2}{2} \frac{\lambda_{h-1}}{\lambda_h} \left\{ \left(\frac{\lambda_h}{\lambda_{h-1}} \right)^2 - 1 + \left| \sigma_h - \frac{\lambda_h}{\lambda_{h-1}} \sigma_{h-1} \right|^2 \right\} \varepsilon_{h(h-1)}^{n/(n-2)} \\ &= \frac{n-2}{2} \frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \left(\frac{\rho_h}{\rho_{h-1}} \right)^{(n-2)/2} \frac{1 - |\sigma_h|^2}{(1+|\sigma_h|^2)^{n/2}} + o\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \right), \\ \frac{1}{\lambda_h} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{h(h+1)}}{\partial \xi_h} &= -(n-2) \left(\frac{\lambda_{h+1}}{\lambda_h} \sigma_h - \sigma_{h+1} \right) \varepsilon_{h(h+1)}^{n/(n-2)} = o\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \right), \\ \frac{1}{\lambda_h} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{h(h-1)}}{\partial (\xi_h)_j} &= -(n-2) \frac{\lambda_{h-1}}{\lambda_h} \left((\sigma_h)_j - \frac{\lambda_h}{\lambda_{h-1}} (\sigma_{h-1})_j \right) \varepsilon_{h(h-1)}^{n/(n-2)} \\ &= -(n-2) \frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \left(\frac{\rho_h}{\rho_{h-1}} \right)^{(n-2)/2} \frac{(\sigma_h)_j}{(1+|\sigma_h|^2)^{n/2}} + o\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \right), \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(\ln \lambda_i^{\frac{n-2}{2}}) &= \ln \ln \left(\frac{n-2}{2} \right) + \ln |\ln \varepsilon| + \ln \left(\frac{2(k-i)+1}{n-2} \right) + \ln \left(1 + \frac{\ln |\ln \varepsilon|}{|\ln \varepsilon|} + \frac{n-2}{2(k-i)+1} \frac{\ln \rho_i}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \right) \\ &= \ln |\ln \varepsilon| \left(1 + \frac{1}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \right) + \ln \left(\frac{2(k-i)+1}{2} \right) + \frac{n-2}{2(k-i)+1} \frac{\ln \rho_i}{|\ln \varepsilon|} + O\left(\frac{(\ln |\ln \varepsilon|)^2}{(\ln \varepsilon)^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

Using Proposition 2.6, (4.2), (4.3), (4.4), (5.3), (5.6) and (5.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{I}_\varepsilon(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\rho}, \bar{\sigma}, \xi) &= \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{\alpha_i^2}{2} - \frac{\alpha_i^{p+1}}{p+1} \right) S_n^{\frac{n}{2}} + k \frac{(n-2)S_n^{\frac{n}{2}}}{2n} \varepsilon \ln |\ln \varepsilon| \left(1 + \frac{1}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \right) + \varepsilon \frac{(n-2)S_n^{\frac{n}{2}}}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^k \ln \left(\frac{2(k-i)+1}{2} \right) \\
&\quad - \bar{c}_1 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \gamma_i \gamma_{i+1} \left(\frac{n+2}{2n} \alpha_i^p \alpha_{i+1} + \frac{n-2}{2n} \alpha_{i+1}^p \alpha_i - \frac{\alpha_i \alpha_{i+1}}{2} \right) \varepsilon_{i(i+1)} \\
&\quad - \bar{c}_1 \sum_{i=2}^k \gamma_i \gamma_{i-1} \left(\frac{n+2}{2n} \alpha_i^p \alpha_{i-1} + \frac{n-2}{2n} \alpha_{i-1}^p \alpha_i - \frac{\alpha_i \alpha_{i-1}}{2} \right) \varepsilon_{i(i-1)} \\
&\quad + \frac{\bar{c}_1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \gamma_i \gamma_{i+1} \left(\alpha_i^p \alpha_{i+1} \lambda_i \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{i(i+1)}}{\partial \lambda_i} - \alpha_{i+1}^p \alpha_i \lambda_{i+1} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{i(i+1)}}{\partial \lambda_{i+1}} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\bar{c}_1}{n} \sum_{i=2}^k \gamma_i \gamma_{i-1} \left(\alpha_i^p \alpha_{i-1} \lambda_i \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{i(i-1)}}{\partial \lambda_i} - \alpha_{i-1}^p \alpha_i \lambda_{i-1} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{i(i-1)}}{\partial \lambda_{i-1}} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \frac{\bar{c}_1}{2} \frac{H(\xi, \xi)}{\rho_k^{n-2}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \frac{(n-2)^2 S_n^{\frac{n}{2}}}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{2(k-i)+1} \ln \rho_i + o\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|}\right). \tag{5.8}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (5.8), (5.5), (5.6) and Proposition 5.1, the proof of Proposition 5.2 follows. \square

Proposition 5.3 *We have for $h = 2, \dots, k-1$ and $r = 2, \dots, k$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \tilde{I}_\varepsilon}{\partial \rho_h}(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\rho}, \bar{\sigma}, \xi) &= \frac{(n-2)\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma_1}{(2(k-h)+1)\rho_h} - \frac{\bar{c}_1}{2d_h} \left(\frac{\rho_{h+1}}{\rho_h} \right)^{(n-2)/2} + \frac{\bar{c}_1}{2\rho_h} \left(\frac{\rho_h}{\rho_{h-1}} \right)^{(n-2)/2} \right\} + o\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|}\right), \\
\frac{\partial \tilde{I}_\varepsilon}{\partial \rho_1}(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\rho}, \bar{\sigma}, \xi) &= \frac{(n-2)\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma_1}{(2k-1)\rho_1} - \frac{\bar{c}_1}{2\rho_1} \left(\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1} \right)^{(n-2)/2} \right\} + o\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|}\right), \\
\frac{\partial \tilde{I}_\varepsilon}{\partial \rho_k}(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\rho}, \bar{\sigma}, \xi) &= \frac{(n-2)\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma_1}{\rho_k} + \frac{\bar{c}_1}{2\rho_k} \left(\frac{\rho_k}{\rho_{k-1}} \right)^{(n-2)/2} - \frac{\bar{c}_1}{2\rho_k} \frac{H(\xi, \xi)}{\rho_k^{n-2}} \right\} + o\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|}\right), \\
\frac{\partial \tilde{I}_\varepsilon}{\partial (\sigma_r)_j}(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\rho}, \bar{\sigma}, \xi) &= -\frac{(n-2)\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \left(\frac{\rho_r}{\rho_{r-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} (\sigma_r)_j + o\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

C^0 -uniformly with respect to ξ in compact sets of Ω , $\bar{\sigma}$ in compact sets of $\mathbb{R}^{(k-1)n}$, $\bar{\alpha}$ in compact sets of \mathbb{R}_+^k and $\bar{\rho}$ in compact sets of \mathbb{R}_+^k .

Proof. Let ∂_s denote ∂_{ρ_h} for $h = 1, \dots, k$ and $\partial_{(\sigma_r)_j}$ for $r = 2, \dots, k$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$. We have

$$\partial_s \tilde{I}_\varepsilon(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\rho}, \bar{\sigma}, \xi) = \nabla I_\varepsilon(V + \bar{v})[\partial_s V + \partial_s \bar{v}] \tag{5.9}$$

where ∂_s is the partial derivative with respect to the variable s .

For $h = 1, \dots, k$, in view of (4.2) and (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla I_\varepsilon(V + \bar{v})[\partial_{\rho_h} V] &= \alpha_h \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \right)^{-\frac{2(k-h)+1}{n-2}} \left(\nabla I_\varepsilon(V + \bar{v}), (-1)^h \frac{\partial P \delta_h}{\partial \lambda_h} \right) \\
&\quad - \alpha_h \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \right)^{\frac{2(k-h)+1}{n-2}} \rho_h^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^n (\sigma_h)_j \left(\nabla I_\varepsilon(V + \bar{v}), (-1)^h \frac{\partial P \delta_h}{\partial (\xi_h)_j} \right). \tag{5.10}
\end{aligned}$$

An easy computations show that

$$\ln \lambda_h = \frac{(2(k-h)+1)|\ln \varepsilon|}{n-2} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\ln |\ln \varepsilon|}{|\ln \varepsilon|}\right) \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\ln \lambda_h} = \frac{n-2}{(2(k-h)+1)|\ln \varepsilon|} + O\left(\frac{\ln |\ln \varepsilon|}{|\ln \varepsilon|^2}\right). \tag{5.11}$$

Therefore, using Propositions 2.2, 2.3, (5.10), (5.11) and (5.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla I_\varepsilon(V + \bar{v})[\partial_{\rho_h} V] &= (n-2) \frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma_1}{(2(k-h)+1)\rho_h} - \frac{\bar{c}_1}{2\rho_h} \left(\frac{\rho_{h+1}}{\rho_h} \right)^{(n-2)/2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\bar{c}_1}{2\rho_h} \left(\frac{\rho_h}{\rho_{h-1}} \right)^{(n-2)/2} \right\} + o\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \right) \quad \text{for } h = 2, \dots, k-1, \\
\nabla I_\varepsilon(V + \bar{v})[\partial_{\rho_1} V] &= (n-2) \frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma_1}{(2m-1)\rho_1} - \frac{c_1}{2\rho_1} \left(\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1} \right)^{(n-2)/2} \right\} + o\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \right), \\
\nabla I_\varepsilon(V + \bar{v})[\partial_{\rho_k} V] &= (n-2) \frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma_1}{\rho_k} + \frac{\bar{c}_1}{2\rho_k} \left(\frac{\rho_k}{\rho_{k-1}} \right)^{(n-2)/2} - \frac{\bar{c}_1}{2\rho_k} \frac{H(\xi, \xi)}{\rho_k^{n-2}} \right\} + o\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \right). \tag{5.12}
\end{aligned}$$

In similar way, from Proposition 2.3 we derive, for $r = 2, \dots, k$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla I_\varepsilon(V + \bar{v})[\partial_{(\sigma_r)_j} V] &= (-1)^r \alpha_r \left(\nabla I_\varepsilon(V + \bar{v}), \frac{1}{\lambda_r} \frac{\partial P \delta_r}{\partial (\xi_r)_j} \right) \\
&= -\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} (n-2) \left(\frac{\rho_r}{\rho_{r-1}} \right)^{(n-2)/2} (\sigma_r)_j + o\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \right). \tag{5.13}
\end{aligned}$$

We shall prove now

$$\nabla I_\varepsilon(V + \bar{v})[\partial_s \bar{v}] = o\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \right). \tag{5.14}$$

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla I_\varepsilon(V + \bar{v})[\partial_s \bar{v}] &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^k \left(A_i P \delta_i + B_i \frac{\partial P \delta_i}{\partial \lambda_i} + \sum_{j=1}^n C_{ij} \frac{\partial P \delta_i}{\partial (\xi_i)_j} \right), \partial_s \bar{v} \right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^k A_i \langle P \delta_i, \partial_s \bar{v} \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^k B_i \left\langle \frac{\partial P \delta_i}{\partial \lambda_i}, \partial_s \bar{v} \right\rangle + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^n C_{ij} \left\langle \frac{\partial P \delta_i}{\partial (\xi_i)_j}, \partial_s \bar{v} \right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^k A_i \langle \partial_s P \delta_i, \bar{v} \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^k B_i \left\langle \partial_s \frac{\partial P \delta_i}{\partial \lambda_i}, \bar{v} \right\rangle + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^n C_{ij} \left\langle \partial_s \frac{\partial P \delta_i}{\partial (\xi_i)_j}, \bar{v} \right\rangle \tag{5.15}
\end{aligned}$$

since $\bar{v} \in E$. Moreover, in view of (4.2) and (4.3), it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_{\rho_h} P \delta_h\| &= O(1), \quad \|\partial_{(\sigma_h)_j} P \delta_h\| = O(1), \quad \|\partial_{(\xi_h)_j} P \delta_h\| = O(\lambda_h), \\
\left\| \partial_{\rho_h} \frac{\partial P \delta_h}{\partial \lambda_h} \right\| &= O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_h} \right), \quad \left\| \partial_{(\sigma_h)_j} \frac{\partial P \delta_h}{\partial \lambda_h} \right\| = O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_h} \right), \quad \left\| \partial_{(\xi_h)_j} \frac{\partial P \delta_h}{\partial \lambda_h} \right\| = O(1), \\
\left\| \partial_{\rho_h} \frac{\partial P \delta_h}{\partial \xi_h} \right\| &= O(\lambda_h), \quad \left\| \partial_{(\sigma_h)_j} \frac{\partial P \delta_h}{\partial \xi_h} \right\| = O(\lambda_h), \quad \left\| \partial_{(\xi_h)_j} \frac{\partial P \delta_h}{\partial \xi_h} \right\| = O(\lambda_h^2), \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n. \tag{5.16}
\end{aligned}$$

Through (5.15), (5.16) and Proposition 4.2, for $s = \rho_h$, we get

$$\nabla I_\varepsilon(V + \bar{v})[\partial_{\rho_h} \bar{v}] = O\left(\left(|A_h| \|\partial_{\rho_h} P \delta_h\| + |B_h| \left\| \partial_{\rho_h} \left(\frac{\partial P \delta_h}{\partial \lambda_h} \right) \right\| + \sum_{j=1}^n |C_{hj}| \left\| \partial_{\rho_h} \left(\frac{\partial P \delta_h}{\partial (\xi_h)_j} \right) \right\| \right) \|\bar{v}\| \right) = o\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \right),$$

and for $s = (\sigma_r)_j$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla I_\varepsilon(V + \bar{v})[\partial_{(\sigma_r)_j} \bar{v}] &= O\left(\left(|A_r| \|\partial_{(\sigma_r)_j} P \delta_r\| + |B_r| \left\| \partial_{(\sigma_r)_j} \left(\frac{\partial P \delta_r}{\partial \lambda_r} \right) \right\| + \sum_{\ell=1}^n |C_{r\ell}| \left\| \partial_{(\sigma_r)_j} \left(\frac{\partial P \delta_r}{\partial (\xi_r)_\ell} \right) \right\| \right) \|\bar{v}\| \right) \\
&= o\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (5.14) follows. Finally, combining (5.9) and (5.12)-(5.14), the proof of Proposition 5.3 is completed. \square

Proposition 5.4 For $i = 1, \dots, n$, we have

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{I}_\varepsilon}{\partial(\xi)_i}(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\rho}, \bar{\sigma}, \xi) = \frac{\bar{c}_1}{2\rho_k^{n-2}} \frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \partial_{(x)_i} R(\xi) + o\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|}\right).$$

C^0 -uniformly with respect to ξ in compact sets of Ω , $\bar{\sigma}$ in compact sets of $\mathbb{R}^{(k-1)n}$, $\bar{\alpha}$ in compact sets of \mathbb{R}_+^k and $\bar{\rho}$ in compact sets of \mathbb{R}_+^k .

Proof. Let $u = V + \bar{v}$ and set

$$S(u) := -\Delta u - \frac{|u|^{p-1}u}{[\ln(e + |u|)]^\varepsilon} = -\sum_{m=1}^k \Delta \left(A_m P \delta_m + B_m \frac{\partial P \delta_m}{\partial \lambda_m} + \sum_{j=1}^n C_{mj} \frac{\partial P \delta_m}{\partial (\xi_m)_j} \right) \quad (5.17)$$

because of (4.11).

Using formulas (2.7) and (2.9) in [21], for $m = 1 \dots k$ and $i = 1 \dots, n$, we have

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla P \delta_{(\xi_m, \lambda_m)}|^2 \nu_i dw = \frac{\bar{c}_1}{\lambda^{n-2}} \partial_{(x)_i} R(\xi_m) + O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_m^{n-1}}\right), \quad (5.18)$$

$$|\nabla P \delta_{(\xi_m, \lambda_m)}|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} = O(\lambda_m^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}), \quad (5.19)$$

since the concentration points ξ_1, \dots, ξ_k are close to the point ξ which is far away from the boundary of Ω .

Let $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we claim that

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{I}_\varepsilon}{\partial(\xi)_i}(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\rho}, \bar{\sigma}, \bar{\xi}) = \nabla I_\varepsilon(V + \bar{v})[\partial_{(\xi)_i} V + \partial_{(\xi)_i} \bar{v}] = \int_{\Omega} S(u) \partial_{(\xi)_i} u = - \int_{\Omega} S(u) \partial_{(x)_i} u + o\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|}\right). \quad (5.20)$$

In fact, by integrating by parts and using (5.17), (1.6), (4.3), (5.19) and Proposition 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} S(u) \partial_{(\xi)_i} V &= - \sum_{m=1}^k \int_{\Omega} \Delta \left(A_m P \delta_m + B_m \frac{\partial P \delta_m}{\partial \lambda_m} + \sum_{j=1}^n C_{mj} \frac{\partial P \delta_m}{\partial (\xi_m)_j} \right) \partial_{(\xi)_i} V \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^k \int_{\Omega} \left(A_m P \delta_m + B_m \frac{\partial P \delta_m}{\partial \lambda_m} + \sum_{j=1}^n C_{mj} \frac{\partial P \delta_m}{\partial (\xi_m)_j} \right) \partial_{(\xi)_i} (-\Delta V) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^k \int_{\Omega} \left(A_m P \delta_m + B_m \frac{\partial P \delta_m}{\partial \lambda_m} + \sum_{j=1}^n C_{mj} \frac{\partial P \delta_m}{\partial (\xi_m)_j} \right) \partial_{(x)_i} (\Delta V) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^k \int_{\Omega} \Delta \left(A_m P \delta_m + B_m \frac{\partial P \delta_m}{\partial \lambda_m} + \sum_{j=1}^n C_{mj} \frac{\partial P \delta_m}{\partial (\xi_m)_j} \right) \partial_{(x)_i} V \\ &\quad - \sum_{m=1}^k \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left(A_m P \delta_m + B_m \frac{\partial P \delta_m}{\partial \lambda_m} + \sum_{j=1}^n C_{mj} \frac{\partial P \delta_m}{\partial (\xi_m)_j} \right) \partial_{(x)_i} V \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} S(u) \partial_{(x)_i} V + o\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using (5.17), (1.6), $\bar{v} \in E$, (4.3) and integration by parts, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} S(u) \partial_{(\xi)_i} \bar{v} &= \sum_{m=1}^k \left\langle \left(A_m P \delta_m + B_m \frac{\partial P \delta_m}{\partial \lambda_m} + \sum_{j=1}^n C_{mj} \frac{\partial P \delta_m}{\partial (\xi_m)_j} \right), \partial_{(\xi)_i} \bar{v} \right\rangle \\
&= - \sum_{m=1}^k \left\langle \partial_{(\xi)_i} \left(A_m P \delta_m + B_m \frac{\partial P \delta_m}{\partial \lambda_m} + \sum_{j=1}^n C_{mj} \frac{\partial P \delta_m}{\partial (\xi_m)_j} \right), \bar{v} \right\rangle \\
&= - \sum_{m=1}^k \int_{\Omega} \left(A_m \partial_{(\xi)_i} (\delta_m^p) + p B_m \partial_{(\xi)_i} (\delta_m^{p-1} \frac{\partial \delta_m}{\partial \lambda_m}) + p \sum_{j=1}^n C_{mj} \partial_{(\xi)_i} (\delta_m^{p-1} \frac{\partial \delta_m}{\partial (\xi_m)_j}) \right) \bar{v} \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^k \int_{\Omega} \left(A_m \partial_{(x)_i} (\delta_m^p) + p B_m \partial_{(x)_i} (\delta_m^{p-1} \frac{\partial \delta_m}{\partial \lambda_m}) + p \sum_{j=1}^n C_{mj} \partial_{(x)_i} (\delta_m^{p-1} \frac{\partial \delta_m}{\partial (\xi_m)_j}) \right) \bar{v} \\
&= - \sum_{m=1}^k \int_{\Omega} \left(A_m \delta_m^p + p B_m \delta_m^{p-1} \frac{\partial \delta_m}{\partial \lambda_m} + p \sum_{j=1}^n C_{mj} \delta_m^{p-1} \frac{\partial \delta_m}{\partial (\xi_m)_j} \right) \partial_{x_i} \bar{v} \\
&= - \int_{\Omega} S(u) \partial_{(x)_i} \bar{v}.
\end{aligned}$$

Our claim (5.20) is proved.

Moreover, using integration by parts, we have

$$- \int_{\Omega} \Delta u \partial_{(x)_i} u = - \int_{\Omega} u \Delta (\partial_{(x)_i} u) - \int_{\partial \Omega} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right)^2 \nu_i dw = \int_{\Omega} \partial_{(x)_i} u \Delta u - \int_{\partial \Omega} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right)^2 \nu_i dw,$$

thus

$$\int_{\Omega} S(u) \partial_{(x)_i} u = - \int_{\Omega} \Delta u \partial_{(x)_i} u - \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p-1} u}{[\ln(e + |u|)]^\varepsilon} \partial_{(x)_i} u = - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \nu_i dw \quad (5.21)$$

since $\int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{p-1} u}{[\ln(e + |u|)]^\varepsilon} \partial_{(x)_i} u = \int_{\Omega} \partial_{(x)_i} (F_\varepsilon(u(x))) = 0$.

Now, by using (4.2), (5.18), (5.19) and Lemma 4.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\partial \Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \nu_i dw &= \int_{\partial \Omega} |\nabla V|^2 \nu_i dw + \int_{\partial \Omega} |\nabla \bar{v}|^2 \nu_i dw + 2 \int_{\partial \Omega} \nabla V \nabla \bar{v} \nu_i dw \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^k \int_{\partial \Omega} |\nabla P \delta_m|^2 \nu_i dw + \sum_{l, h=1, l \neq h}^k \int_{\partial \Omega} \nabla P \delta_h \nabla P \delta_l \nu_i dw + \int_{\partial \Omega} |\nabla \bar{v}|^2 \nu_i dw \\
&\quad + O \left(\sum_{m=1}^k |\nabla P \delta_m|_{2, \partial \Omega} |\nabla \bar{v}|_{2, \partial \Omega} \right) \\
&= \int_{\partial \Omega} |\nabla P \delta_k|^2 \nu_i dw + o \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \right) \\
&= \frac{\bar{c}_1}{\rho_k^{n-2}} \frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \partial_{(x)_i} R(\xi_k) + o \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \right). \quad (5.22)
\end{aligned}$$

The desired result follows by (5.20), (5.21), (5.22) and (4.3). \square

6 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We recall that, in the previous section, we have mentioned that our aim is prove that the reduced energy has a critical point. This fact is equivalent to the existence of the requested solution.

Let us perform the change of variables:

$$s_i = \rho_{i+1}/\rho_i, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k-1 \quad \text{and} \quad s_k = \rho_k.$$

The function Ψ in the new variables $\bar{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_k)$ reads as

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Psi}(\bar{s}, \bar{\sigma}, \xi) := & -\frac{(n-2)^2 S_n^{\frac{n}{2}}}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{l=k-(i-1)}^k \frac{1}{2l-1} \ln s_i + \bar{c}_1 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} s_i^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \frac{1}{(1+|\sigma_{i+1}|^2)^{(n-2)/2}} \\ & + \frac{(n-2)^2 S_n^{\frac{n}{2}}}{2n} \ln s_k \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{1}{2l-1} + \frac{\bar{c}_1}{2} \frac{H(\xi, \xi)}{s_k^{n-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let ξ_0 be a stable critical point of the Robin function. For any $\bar{\sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{(k-1)n}$ and for any $\xi \in B(\xi_0, \varrho)$ the function $\bar{s} \rightarrow \widehat{\Psi}(\bar{s}, \bar{\sigma}, \xi)$ has exactly one critical point $\bar{s}^{(1)}(\bar{\sigma}, \xi)$. More precisely

$$\begin{aligned} s_q^{(1)} &= \left[\frac{(n-2)^2 S_n^{\frac{n}{2}}}{n \bar{c}_1} \sum_{l=k-(q-1)}^k \frac{1}{2l-1} (1+|\sigma_{q+1}|^2)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \right]^{\frac{2}{n-2}}, \quad \text{for } q = 1, \dots, k-1, \\ s_k^{(1)} &= \left[\frac{n \bar{c}_1}{(n-2) S_n^{\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{1}{2l-1}} \frac{H(\xi, \xi)}{s_k^{n-2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

It is useful to point out that $s_k^{(1)} \rightarrow \infty$ as $\xi \rightarrow \partial\Omega$. Moreover, it is easy to check that it is non-degenerate, i.e. the matrix $(\partial_{s_h s_l}^2 \widehat{\Psi}(\bar{s}^{(1)}, \bar{\sigma}, \xi))_{h,l=1,\dots,k}$ is invertible.

Now, let us consider the reduced function:

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{\sigma}, \xi) \rightarrow \widehat{\Psi}(\bar{s}^{(1)}, \bar{\sigma}, \xi) &= \frac{(n-2)^2 S_n^{\frac{n}{2}}}{2n} \ln s_k^{(1)} \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{1}{2l-1} + \frac{(n-2)^2 S_n^{\frac{n}{2}}}{2n} \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{1}{2l-1} + \frac{(n-2)^2 S_n^{\frac{n}{2}}}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{l=k-(i-1)}^k \frac{1}{2l-1} \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{n-2} \ln \left(\frac{(n-2)^2 S_n^{\frac{n}{2}}}{n \bar{c}_1} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{l=k-(i-1)}^k \frac{1}{2l-1} \right) - \frac{(n-2)^2 S_n^{\frac{n}{2}}}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{l=k-(i-1)}^k \frac{1}{2l-1} \ln(1+|\sigma_{i+1}|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Since ξ_0 is a stable critical point of $\xi \rightarrow \ln s_k^{(1)}$ and each $\sigma_i = 0$ is a strict maximum point of $\sigma_i \rightarrow -\ln(1+|\sigma_i|^2)$, by degree theory we easily deduce that the point $(0, \xi_0)$ is a C^1 -stable critical point of the reduced function, in the sense that small C^1 -perturbations of the reduced function still have a critical point, close to $(0, \xi_0)$.

Concerning the variable $\bar{\alpha}$, let us denote the functions

$$\phi(\alpha) := \frac{\alpha^2}{2} - \frac{\alpha^{p+1}}{p+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(\bar{\alpha}) := S_n^{\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^k \phi(\alpha_i)$$

defined on \mathbb{R}_+ and $(\mathbb{R}_+)^k$ respectively. ϕ is a C^2 -function satisfying $\phi'(1) = 0$ and $\phi''(1) = 1-p < 0$. Thus 1 is a non-degenerate maximum point of ϕ and we derive that $\bar{\alpha}^{(1)} := (1, \dots, 1)$ is C^1 -stable critical point of Φ .

Therefore, we can conclude that, the point $(\bar{\alpha}^{(1)}, \bar{s}^{(1)}, 0, \xi_0)$ is a C^1 -stable critical point for $\Phi + \frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \widehat{\Psi}$, in the sense that small C^1 -perturbations of the function $\Phi + \frac{\varepsilon}{|\ln \varepsilon|} \widehat{\Psi}$ still have a critical point, close to $(\bar{\alpha}^{(1)}, \bar{s}^{(1)}, 0, \xi_0)$. Propositions 5.2, 5.3 and 5.4 conclude the proof of Theorem 1.2.

7 Appendix

In this section, we collect some technical Lemmas used in this paper. The first one concerns the nonlinearity f_ε and its derivatives. It is contained in [7] and [5].

Lemma 7.1 *1. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, and any $U \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $|f_\varepsilon(U) - f_0(U)| \leq \varepsilon |U|^p \ln \ln(e + |U|)$.*

2. There exists $c > 0$ such that, for ε small enough and any $U, V \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|f_\varepsilon(U+V) - f_\varepsilon(U)| \leq c(|U|^{p-1} + |V|^{p-1})|V|, \quad \forall n \geq 3. \quad (7.1)$$

3. For ε small enough, and any $U \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f'_\varepsilon(U)| \leq c|U|^{p-1}, \quad (7.2)$$

and

$$|f'_\varepsilon(U) - f'_0(U)| \leq \varepsilon|U|^{p-1} \left(p \ln \ln(e + |U|) + \frac{1}{\ln(e + |U|)} \right). \quad (7.3)$$

4. There exists $c > 0$ such that, for ε small enough and any $U, V \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f'_\varepsilon(U + V) - f'_\varepsilon(U)| \leq \begin{cases} c(|U|^{p-2} + |V|^{p-2})|V| & \text{if } n \leq 6, \\ c(|V|^{p-1} + \varepsilon|U|^{p-1}) & \text{if } n > 6. \end{cases} \quad (7.4)$$

5. For ε small enough, and any $U \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f''_\varepsilon(U)| \leq c|U|^{p-2}, \quad \forall n \geq 3. \quad (7.5)$$

We state the preliminary result given by [21, Proposition 1] as follows.

Proposition 7.2 *Let $a \in \Omega$ and $\lambda > 0$ such that $\lambda d := \lambda d(a, \partial\Omega)$ is large enough. For $\varphi_{(a,\lambda)} = \delta_{(a,\lambda)} - P\delta_{(a,\lambda)}$, we have the following estimates*

$$(a) \quad 0 \leq \varphi_{(a,\lambda)} \leq \delta_{(a,\lambda)}, \quad (b) \quad \varphi_{(a,\lambda)} = c_0 \frac{H(a, \cdot)}{\lambda^{(n-2)/2}} + f_{(a,\lambda)},$$

where c_0 is defined in (1.3) and $f_{(a,\lambda)}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} f_{(a,\lambda)} &= O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} d^n}\right), \quad \lambda \frac{\partial f_{(a,\lambda)}}{\partial \lambda} = O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} d^n}\right), \\ \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial f_{(a,\lambda)}}{\partial a} &= O\left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{n+4}{2}} d^{n+1}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (c) \quad |\varphi_{(a,\lambda)}|_{2n/(n-2)} &= O\left(\frac{1}{(\lambda d)^{(n-2)/2}}\right), \quad \left|\lambda \frac{\partial \varphi_{(a,\lambda)}}{\partial \lambda}\right|_{2n/(n-2)} = O\left(\frac{1}{(\lambda d)^{(n-2)/2}}\right), \\ \|\varphi_{(a,\lambda)}\| &= O\left(\frac{1}{(\lambda d)^{(n-2)/2}}\right), \quad \left|\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \varphi_{(a,\lambda)}}{\partial a}\right|_{2n/(n-2)} = O\left(\frac{1}{(\lambda d)^{n/2}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $|\cdot|_q$ denotes the usual norm in $L^q(\Omega)$ for each $1 \leq q \leq \infty$.

We also need the following lemma.

Lemma 7.3 (a) *For every $y \in \Omega$, we have:*

$$(y - a) \cdot \nabla_y P\delta_{(a,\lambda)}(y) = O(\delta_{(a,\lambda)}).$$

(b) *For every $y \in \Omega$, we have:*

$$\nabla_y P\delta_{(a,\lambda)}(y) = O(\lambda \delta_{(a,\lambda)}).$$

Proof. We have

$$|\nabla_y P\delta_{(a,\lambda)}(y)| = \left| \int_{\Omega} \nabla_y G(x, y) \delta_{(a,\lambda)}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}(x) dx \right| \leq c \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-1}} \delta_{(a,\lambda)}^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}(x) dx,$$

where we have used that $|\nabla_y G(x, y)| \leq c/|x - y|^{n-1}$.

(a) To prove (a) we distinguish two cases.

First case: if $\lambda|y - a| \geq 1$. In this case we get

$$1/(\lambda^{(n-2)/2} |y - a|^{n-2}) \leq c\delta_{(a,\lambda)}(y). \quad (7.6)$$

We have

$$I := |y - a| \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-1}} \delta_{(a,\lambda)}^{\frac{n+2}{2}}(x) dx = |y - a| \int_{|x-y| \leq |y-a|/2} \dots + |y - a| \int_{|x-y| \geq |y-a|/2} \dots =: I_1 + I_2.$$

Concerning I_1 , we have $\delta_{(a,\lambda)}(x) \leq c\delta_{(a,\lambda)}(y)$ since $|x - a| \geq |y - a|/2$. This implies that:

$$I_1 \leq c\delta_{(a,\lambda)}^{\frac{n+2}{2}}(y)|y - a| \int_{|x-y| \leq |y-a|/2} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-1}} dx \leq c\delta_{(a,\lambda)} \frac{\lambda^2 |y - a|^2}{(1 + \lambda^2 |y - a|^2)^2} \leq c\delta_{(a,\lambda)}.$$

For I_2 , since $|x - y| \geq \frac{1}{2}|y - a|$, then

$$I_2 \leq \frac{1}{|y - a|^{n-2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \delta_{(a,\lambda)}^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \leq \frac{c}{\lambda^{(n-2)/2} |y - a|^{n-2}} \leq c\delta_{(a,\lambda)}(y)$$

by using (7.6). Hence we get the desired result in this case.

Second case: If $\lambda|y - a| \leq 1$. In this case we have:

$$\delta_{(a,\lambda)}(y) \geq c\lambda^{(n-2)/2}. \quad (7.7)$$

We split the integral I as follows

$$I := |y - a| \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-1}} \delta_{(a,\lambda)}^{\frac{n+2}{2}}(x) dx = |y - a| \int_{|x-a| \leq \frac{M}{\lambda}} \dots + |y - a| \int_{|x-a| \geq \frac{M}{\lambda}} \dots =: J_1 + J_2,$$

where M is a large positive constant.

Concerning J_2 , since we have: x satisfies $\lambda|x - a| \geq M$, we obtain $\lambda|x - y| \geq M - 1$ and $|x - y| \geq c|a - x|$. Thus, in view of (7.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &\leq |y - a| \int_{|x-a| \geq \frac{M}{\lambda}} \frac{\lambda^{(n+2)/2}}{|a - x|^{n-1} (1 + \lambda^2 |x - a|^2)^{(n+2)/2}} \\ &\leq c\lambda^{n/2} |y - a| \int_M^\infty \frac{1}{(1 + r^2)^{(n+2)/2}} \leq c\lambda^{(n-2)/2} \leq c\delta_{(a,\lambda)}(y). \end{aligned} \quad (7.8)$$

For J_1 , we have:

$$J_1 \leq c|y - a| \lambda^{(n+2)/2} \int_{|x-y| \leq \frac{M+1}{\lambda}} \frac{dx}{|x - y|^{n-1}} \leq c|y - a| \lambda^{n/2} \leq c\lambda^{(n-2)/2} \leq c\delta_{(a,\lambda)}(y) \quad (7.9)$$

where the last inequality follow from (7.7).

(7.8) and (7.9) prove part (a) of the lemma in this case.

(b) The proof of (b) is similar to that of (a) taking into account power changes.

□

References

- [1] A. Bahri, *Critical point at infinity in some variational problems*, Pitman Res. Notes Math, Ser **182**, Longman Sci. Tech. Harlow 1989.
- [2] A. Bahri, J.M. Coron, *On a nonlinear Elliptic equation Involving the critical Sobolev Exponent: The effect of the topology on the Domain*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **41** (1988), 253-294.
- [3] A. Bahri, YY. Li and O. Rey, *On a variational problem with lack of compactness: The topological effect of the critical points at infinity*, Calculus of Variation and Partial Diff. Equa. **3** (1995), 67-94.
- [4] T. Bartsch, A.M. Micheletti, A. Pistoia, *On the existence and the profile of nodal solutions of elliptic equations involving critical growth*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **26** (2006), no. 3, 265-282.

- [5] M. Ben Ayed, H. Fourti, R. Ghouli, *Multispoke solutions for a slightly subcritical elliptic problem with non-power nonlinearity*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 43(2), (2023), 661-687.
- [6] M. Ben Ayed and R. Ghouli, *Profile and existence of sign-changing solutions to an elliptic subcritical equation*, Comm. Contemporary Math., **10** (6) (2008), 1183-1216.
- [7] M. Clapp, R. Pardo, A. Pistoia, A. Saldana, *A solution to a slightly subcritical elliptic problem with non-power nonlinearity*, Journal of Differential Equations, 275 (2021), 418-446.
- [8] Y. Dammak, R. Ghouli, *Sign-changing tower of bubbles to an elliptic subcritical equation*, Commun. Contemp. Math., 21 (2019), 1850052.
- [9] M. del Pino, J. Dolbeault, M. Musso, "Bubble-tower" radial solutions in the slightly supercritical Brezis-Nirenberg problem, J. Differential Equations 193 (2) (2003) 280-306.
- [10] Y. Ge, R. Jing, F. Pacard, *Bubble towers for supercritical semilinear elliptic equations*, J. Funct. Anal. 221 (2) (2005) 251-302.
- [11] J. Kazdan, F. Warner, *Remarks on some quasilinear elliptic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 28, (1975), 567-597.
- [12] A. Harrabi, *On the Palais-Smale condition*, Journal of Functional Analysis, 267 (2014), 2995-3015.
- [13] Z. Liu, Z. Liu, W. Xu, *Non-power type perturbation for the critical Hénon problem*, J. Math. Phys., 64(2), (2023), Paper No. 021509, 19 pp.
- [14] R. Molle, A. Pistoia, *Concentration phenomena in elliptic problems with critical and supercritical growth*, Adv. Differential Equations 8 (5) (2003) 547-570.
- [15] M. Musso, A. Pistoia, *Multispoke Solutions for a Nonlinear Elliptic Problem Involving the Critical Sobolev Exponent*, Indiana University Mathematics Journal, 2002, Vol. 51, No. 3 (2002), 541-579.
- [16] M. Musso, A. Pistoia, *Tower of bubbles for almost critical problems in general domains*, J. Math. Pures Appl., (**93**) (2010), 1-40.
- [17] R. Pardo, A. Sanjuan, *Asymptotic behavior of positive radial solutions to elliptic equations approaching critical growth*, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, Vol. 2020 (2020), No. 114,1-17.
- [18] A. Pistoia, T. Weth, *Sign changing bubble tower solutions in a slightly subcritical semilinear Dirichlet problem*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 24 (2007), no. 2, 325-340.
- [19] A. Pistoia, *The Ljapunov-Schmidt reduction for some critical problems*, Concentration analysis and applications to PDE, . Trends Math., Birkhauser/Springer, Basel, 2013, 69-83.
- [20] S. Pohozaev, *Eigenfunctions of the equation $\Delta u + \lambda f(u) = 0$* , Soviet Math Dokl, (1965), 6: 1408-1411
- [21] O. Rey, *The role of Green's function in a nonlinear elliptic equation involving the critical Sobolev exponent*, J. Funct. Anal. **89** (1990), 1-52.