

# On the tensor product of enriched $\infty$ -categories

Rune Haugseng

November 23, 2023

## Abstract

We show that the tensor product of  $\infty$ -categories enriched in a suitable monoidal  $\infty$ -category preserves colimits in each variable, fixing a mistake in an earlier paper of Gepner and the author. We also prove that essentially surjective and fully faithful functors form a factorization system on enriched  $\infty$ -categories, and that the tensor product and internal hom are compatible with this.

## Contents

1	Introduction	I
2	Enriched $\infty$ -categories as algebroids	3
3	The tensor product via algebroids	5
4	Enriched $\infty$ -categories as Segal presheaves	9
5	Comparison for presheaf enrichment	12
6	Inner anodyne maps	16
7	Tensor products and colimits: the presheaf case	18
8	Tensor products and colimits: the presentable case	21
9	Tensor products and colimits: the general case	27
10	Essentially surjective and fully faithful functors	29

## I Introduction

If  $V$  is a symmetric monoidal category, then we can define a tensor product of  $V$ -enriched categories: for  $V$ -categories  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $\mathbb{B}$  with sets of objects  $S$  and  $T$ ,

respectively, their tensor product  $\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{B}$  has objects  $S \times T$ , and Hom objects

$$(\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{B})((s, t), (s', t')) \cong \mathbb{A}(s, s') \otimes \mathbb{B}(t, t').$$

The  $\infty$ -categorical version of this tensor product was defined in [GH15], where Gepner and the author introduced enriched  $\infty$ -categories, but there is an unfortunate mistake in our treatment of the tensor product: In [GH15, Proposition 5.7.16] we claim that the functor that assigns to a presentably monoidal  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbb{V}$  the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbb{V})$  of  $\mathbb{V}$ -enriched  $\infty$ -categories is lax monoidal with respect to the cocomplete tensor product. This means, in particular, that if  $\mathbb{V}$  is presentably symmetric monoidal, then so is  $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbb{V})$ , i.e. the tensor product of  $\mathbb{V}$ - $\infty$ -categories preserves colimits in each variable. However, we do not actually give a proof of this! To explain the issue, we need to unpack the construction a bit:

- The  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbb{V})$  is obtained as a localization of a larger  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{cat}}(\mathbb{V})$  of *categorical algebras*.<sup>1</sup> The tensor product is obtained by restricting one on  $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{cat}}(\mathbb{V})$ , and [GH15, Proposition 5.7.16] follows from the analogous statement for  $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{cat}}(\mathbb{V})$  [GH15, Corollary 4.3.16].
- The desired colimit-preserving lax monoidal structure map

$$\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{cat}}(\mathbb{V}) \otimes \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{cat}}(\mathbb{V}') \longrightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{cat}}(\mathbb{V} \otimes \mathbb{V}')$$

corresponds to a functor

$$\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{cat}}(\mathbb{V}) \times \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{cat}}(\mathbb{V}') \longrightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{cat}}(\mathbb{V} \otimes \mathbb{V}')$$

that preserves colimits in each variable. The latter is defined as the composite

$$\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{cat}}(\mathbb{V}) \times \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{cat}}(\mathbb{V}') \xrightarrow{\boxtimes} \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{cat}}(\mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}') \xrightarrow{\mu_*} \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{cat}}(\mathbb{V} \otimes \mathbb{V}') \quad (\mathfrak{I})$$

of an “external product”  $\boxtimes$  and a functor given by composing with a canonical monoidal functor  $\mu: \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}' \rightarrow \mathbb{V} \otimes \mathbb{V}'$ .

- In the proof of [GH15, Corollary 4.3.16], the statement that  $(\mathfrak{I})$  preserves colimits in each variable is asserted to follow from [GH15, Proposition 4.3.15], which says that the external product preserves colimits in each variable, and [GH15, Proposition 4.3.14], which says that if  $F: \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}'$  is a colimit-preserving monoidal functor, then the induced functor

$$F_*: \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{cat}}(\mathbb{U}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{cat}}(\mathbb{U}')$$

preserves colimits.

---

<sup>1</sup>In the body of the paper we will instead refer to these objects as *algebroids*. Other names used in the literature are *V-precategories* and *flagged V- $\infty$ -categories*.

- However, this argument does not work since<sup>2</sup> the functor  $\mu$  certainly does *not* preserve colimits! (Instead, it preserves colimits *in each variable*.)

The goal of this note is to give a correct proof that (I) preserves colimits in each variable, for any cocomplete monoidal  $\infty$ -categories  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{V}'$  whose tensor products preserve small colimits in each variable.

## Overview

In §2 we recall the definition of enriched  $\infty$ -categories via algebroids (or categorical algebras), and in §3 we review the definition of tensor products of enriched  $\infty$ -categories in this setting. To understand the behaviour of the tensor product we will make use of an alternative model of  $\infty$ -categories enriched in presheaves with Day convolution using “Segal presheaves”, which we recall in §4. We can also define a tensor product in this setting, and we relate this to the one for algebroids in §5. We then discuss inner anodyne maps in Segal presheaves in §6 and use these to prove our main result in the case of enrichment in presheaves in §7. Building on this we extend the result to presentable  $\infty$ -categories in §8 and then to general cocomplete  $\infty$ -categories in §9. Finally, in §10 we show that the essentially surjective and the fully faithful functors form a factorization system on enriched  $\infty$ -categories that is compatible with the tensor product.

## Notation

We write  $\mathbf{Spc}$  for the  $\infty$ -category of spaces,  $\mathbf{MonCat}_\infty$  for that of monoidal  $\infty$ -categories (and strong monoidal functors), and  $\mathbf{MonCat}_\infty^{\text{lax}}$  for that of monoidal  $\infty$ -categories and lax monoidal functors. We often denote the unit of a monoidal  $\infty$ -category by  $\mathbb{1}$ .

## Acknowledgments

I thank Fernando Abellán García and David Gepner for helpful conversations about this paper. I also thank Bastiaan Cnossen and Adrian Clough for the proof of Proposition 10.3 and Louis Martini for inspiring that of Corollary 10.8.

## 2 Enriched $\infty$ -categories as algebroids

In this section we will briefly review the main definitions of enriched  $\infty$ -categories from [GH15]. We assume the reader is already familiar with the algebraic

---

<sup>2</sup>Let us note that there are also issues with the proof of [GH15, Proposition 4.3.15]: This relies on [GH15, Lemma 3.6.15], but writing out the relevant colimit formulas for operadic left Kan extensions we see that this can only be true under strong cofinality assumptions.

framework of (generalized) non-symmetric  $\infty$ -operads; see [GH15, §2] for motivation and [GH15, §3] for a detailed discussion of these objects<sup>3</sup>.

**Definition 2.1.** For  $X \in \mathbf{Spc}$ , let  $\Delta_X^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \Delta^{\text{op}}$  denote the left fibration for the functor  $\Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Spc}$  obtained from  $X$  by right Kan extension along the inclusion  $\{[0]\} \hookrightarrow \Delta^{\text{op}}$ . Then  $(\Delta_X^{\text{op}})_{[n]} \simeq X^{\times(n+1)}$ , and  $\Delta_X^{\text{op}}$  is a double  $\infty$ -category (and so in particular a generalized non-symmetric  $\infty$ -operad). An algebra for  $\Delta_X^{\text{op}}$  we call a  $\Delta^{\text{op}}$ -algebroid<sup>4</sup>, or in this paper just *algebroid*, with space of objects  $X$ . If  $\mathbf{C}^{\otimes}$  is a monoidal  $\infty$ -category, we will write  $\mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{Alg}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Spc}$  for the cartesian fibration corresponding to the functor  $X \mapsto \mathbf{Alg}_{\Delta_X^{\text{op}}}(\mathbf{C})$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\mathbf{Alg}/\mathbf{Opd}_{\infty}^{\text{gen,ns}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Opd}_{\infty}^{\text{gen,ns}} \times \mathbf{Opd}_{\infty}^{\text{gen,ns}}$  denote the orthofibration (in the sense of [HHLN23]) for the functor

$$\mathbf{Alg}_{(-)}(-): (\mathbf{Opd}_{\infty}^{\text{gen,ns}})^{\text{op}} \times \mathbf{Opd}_{\infty}^{\text{gen,ns}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}.$$

We can then define  $\mathbf{Alg}d \rightarrow \mathbf{Spc} \times \mathbf{MonCat}_{\infty}^{\text{lax}}$  as the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Alg}d & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{Opd}_{\infty}^{\text{gen,ns}}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{Spc} \times \mathbf{MonCat}_{\infty}^{\text{lax}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Opd}_{\infty}^{\text{gen,ns}} \times \mathbf{Opd}_{\infty}^{\text{gen,ns}}. \end{array}$$

In other words,  $\mathbf{Alg}d \rightarrow \mathbf{MonCat}_{\infty}^{\text{lax}}$  is the cocartesian fibration for the functor  $\mathbf{C}^{\otimes} \mapsto \mathbf{Alg}d(\mathbf{C})$ ; we write  $F_*: \mathbf{Alg}d(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}d(\mathbf{D})$  for the functor induced by composition with a lax monoidal functor  $F: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ .

Next, we want to define enriched  $\infty$ -categories as a full subcategory of algebroids; this requires introducing some notation:

**Definition 2.3.** For a space  $S$ , let  $E_S$  denote the unique algebroid with  $S$  as space of objects in the terminal generalized non-symmetric  $\infty$ -operad  $\Delta^{\text{op}}$  (which is also the unique monoidal structure on the terminal category); this gives an equivalence  $E_-: \mathbf{Spc} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Alg}d(*)$ . For any monoidal  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{C}^{\otimes}$ , the unit gives the unique monoidal functor  $u_{\mathbf{C}}: \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\otimes}$ . We write  $E_{S,\mathbf{C}} := u_{\mathbf{C},*}E_S$  (and we denote this also by  $E_S$  when  $\mathbf{C}$  is clear from the context), so  $E_{S,\mathbf{C}}(x, y) \simeq \mathbb{1}$  for all  $x, y \in S$ . When  $S$  is the set  $\{0, \dots, n\}$  we write  $E^n$  for  $E_S$ , so that we have a cosimplicial object  $E^{\bullet}: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{Alg}d(\mathbf{C})$  for any monoidal  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{C}$ . Let  $\mathbb{A} \in \mathbf{Alg}d(\mathbf{C})$  be an algebroid with underlying space  $X$ ; we write

$$\iota_n \mathbb{A} := \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{Alg}d(\mathbf{C})}(E^n, \mathbb{A}),$$

<sup>3</sup>But note that here we will denote the  $\infty$ -category of algebras for a generalized non-symmetric  $\infty$ -operad  $\mathbf{O}$  in a monoidal  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{V}$  as just  $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{V})$ .

<sup>4</sup>In [GH15], these were called *categorical algebras*.

so that  $\iota_\bullet \mathbb{A}$  is a simplicial space, and we define  $\iota \mathbb{A}$  to be its colimit. Here  $\iota_0 \mathbb{A}$  is the underlying space  $X$ , and we say that  $\mathbb{A}$  is *complete* if the canonical map  $\iota_0 \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \iota \mathbb{A}$  is an equivalence. Equivalently (by [GH15, Corollary 5.2.10]),  $\mathbb{A}$  is complete if and only if it is local with respect to the degeneracy map  $E^1 \rightarrow E^0$ .

**Remark 2.4.** We refer to [GH15, §5.2] for further discussion of this definition. In particular, note that  $\mathbb{A}$  is complete if and only if its underlying  $\mathbf{Spc}$ -enriched  $\infty$ -category, i.e. its image under the lax monoidal functor  $\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbb{1}, -)$ , corresponds to a Segal space that is complete in the sense of Rezk [Rez01].

**Notation 2.5.** We denote the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{C})$  spanned by the complete objects by  $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{C})$ ; we will also refer to its objects as  *$\mathbf{C}$ -enriched  $\infty$ -categories*. We also write  $\mathbf{Enr}$  for the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{Algd}$  spanned by the complete algebroids in all monoidal  $\infty$ -categories.

**Observation 2.6.** By [GH15, Theorem 5.6.6] the full subcategory  $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{C})$  is a localization of  $\mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{C})$  for any  $\mathbf{C}$ . From this it follows ([GH15, Proposition 5.7.1]) that the restricted projection  $\mathbf{Enr} \rightarrow \mathbf{MonCat}_{\infty}^{\text{ lax}}$  is still a cocartesian fibration, and the inclusion  $\mathbf{Enr} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Algd}$  has a left adjoint that preserves cocartesian morphisms. (In other words, the cocartesian transport functor  $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{D})$  over  $F: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$  is given by composing with  $F$  and then completing in  $\mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{D})$ .) Note, however, that the other projection  $\mathbf{Enr} \rightarrow \mathbf{Spc}$  is no longer a cartesian fibration (but we will see in §10 that it has cartesian morphisms over monomorphisms in  $\mathbf{Spc}$ ).

**Definition 2.7.** We say a morphism  $F: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$  in  $\mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{C})$  is *fully faithful* if for all objects  $x, y \in \mathbb{A}$ , the induced map

$$\mathbb{A}(x, y) \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}(Fx, Fy)$$

is an equivalence in  $\mathbf{C}$ , and *essentially surjective* if the morphism of spaces  $\iota \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \iota \mathbb{B}$  is surjective on  $\pi_0$ .

**Observation 2.8.** By [GH15, Lemma 5.3.2], the fully faithful morphisms are precisely the cartesian morphisms for the projection  $\mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Spc}$ .

**Remark 2.9.** By [GH15, Theorem 5.6.6], the full subcategory  $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{C})$  is the localization of  $\mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{C})$  with respect to the fully faithful and essentially surjective morphisms. In particular, a morphism  $F: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$  between *complete* algebroids is an equivalence if and only if it is fully faithful and essentially surjective.

### 3 The tensor product via algebroids

In this section we recall the tensor products of algebroids and of enriched  $\infty$ -categories, as defined in [GH15]. Following [Hei23], we will define this by internalizing the cartesian product in an  $\infty$ -category of algebroids in varying monoidal  $\infty$ -categories, starting with an observation about cartesian products in fibrations:

**Proposition 3.1.** *Suppose  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  are  $\infty$ -categories with finite products, and suppose  $F: \mathbf{A}^{\text{op}} \times \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}$  is a functor such that  $F(a, -): \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}$  preserves products for all  $a \in \mathbf{A}$ . Then if  $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}$  is the corresponding orthofibration, the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{E}$  has cartesian products, and these are preserved by the projections to  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$ . Specifically, for  $u \in F(a, b)$  and  $v \in F(a', b')$ , their cartesian product in  $\mathbf{E}$  is the image of  $(u, v)$  under the composite functor*

$$F(a, b) \times F(a', b') \xrightarrow{F(\pi_a, b) \times F(\pi_{a'}, b)} F(a \times a', b) \times F(a \times a', b') \downarrow_{(F(a \times a', \pi_b), F(a \times a', \pi_{b'}))^{-1}} F(a \times a', b \times b');$$

in other words, we have

$$\pi_{b,!}(u \times v) \simeq \pi_a^* u, \quad \pi_{b',!}(u \times v) \simeq \pi_{a'}^* v.$$

*Proof.* Let  $q$  be the object of  $\mathbf{E}$  that we claim is the product of  $u$  and  $v$ . Then we must show that composition with the maps

$$q \longrightarrow \pi_{b,!} q \simeq \pi_a^* u \longrightarrow u, \quad q \longrightarrow \pi_{b',!} q \simeq \pi_{a'}^* v \longrightarrow v$$

induces an equivalence

$$\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{E}}(x, q) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{E}}(x, u) \times \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{E}}(x, v)$$

for every object  $x \in \mathbf{E}$  over  $(s, t) \in \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}$ . We note that this composition map lies over the equivalence

$$\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{A}}(s, a \times a') \times \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{B}}(t, b \times b') \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{A}}(s, a) \times \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{B}}(t, b) \times \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{A}}(s, a') \times \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{B}}(t, b').$$

Given maps  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2): s \rightarrow a \times a'$  and  $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2): t \rightarrow b \times b'$ , it suffices to show that we get an equivalence on fibres over  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . Since  $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$  is a cocartesian fibration, we can identify

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{E}}(x, q)_{\beta} &\simeq \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{E}_{b \times b'}}(\beta_! x, q) \\ &\simeq \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{E}_b}(\beta_{1,!} x, \pi_{b,!} q) \times \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{E}_{b'}}(\beta_{2,!} x, \pi_{b',!} q) \\ &\simeq \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{E}}(x, \pi_a^* u)_{\beta_1} \times \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{E}}(x, \pi_{a'}^* v)_{\beta_2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equivalence uses that  $F$  preserves products in the second variable, and the composite equivalence is given by composition with our cocartesian morphisms. Next we use that  $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  is a cartesian fibration to conclude that composition with our cartesian morphisms gives equivalences

$$\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{E}}(x, \pi_a^* u)_{\alpha} \simeq \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{E}}(x, u)_{\alpha_1}, \quad \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{E}}(x, \pi_{a'}^* v)_{\alpha} \simeq \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{E}}(x, v)_{\alpha_2}.$$

Taking fibres over  $(\alpha, \beta)$  we can combine these two equivalences to see that our composition map indeed gives an equivalence

$$\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{E}}(x, q)_{(\alpha, \beta)} \simeq \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{E}}(x, u)_{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)} \times \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{E}}(x, v)_{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)},$$

as required.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *The  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{Algd}$  has cartesian products. The product of  $\mathbb{A}: \Delta_X^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\otimes$  and  $\mathbb{B}: \Delta_Y^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^\otimes$  is the algebroid*

$$\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}: \Delta_{X \times Y}^{\text{op}} \simeq \Delta_X^{\text{op}} \times_{\Delta^{\text{op}}} \Delta_Y^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{A} \times_{\Delta^{\text{op}}} \mathbb{B}} \mathbf{C}^\otimes \times_{\Delta^{\text{op}}} \mathbf{D}^\otimes \simeq (\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D})^\otimes.$$

*Proof.* Apply Proposition 3.1 to the functor  $\mathbf{Alg}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}(-)}: \mathbf{Spc} \times \mathbf{MonCat}_\infty^{\text{lax}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty$  (which preserves products in the second variable since  $\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(-)$  is right adjoint to the tensoring of the generalized non-symmetric  $\infty$ -operad  $\mathbf{O}$  with  $\infty$ -categories).  $\square$

To see that the product on  $\mathbf{Algd}$  restricts to  $\mathbf{Enr}$  we make some observations:

**Lemma 3.3.**

(i) *For  $\mathbb{A} \in \mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{C})$  and  $\mathbb{B} \in \mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{D})$ , we have a natural equivalence*

$$\iota_\bullet(\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}) \simeq \iota_\bullet(\mathbb{A}) \times \iota_\bullet(\mathbb{B})$$

*of simplicial spaces.*

(ii) *If  $\mathbb{A} \in \mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{C})$  and  $\mathbb{B} \in \mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{D})$  are both complete, then  $\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}$  is also complete.*

(iii) *If  $F: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}'$  and  $G: \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}'$  are both either fully faithful or essentially surjective in  $\mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{C})$  and  $\mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{D})$ , respectively, then so is*

$$F \boxtimes G: \mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}' \boxtimes \mathbb{B}'$$

*in  $\mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D})$ .*

*Proof.* For any space  $S$ , we have a natural commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{Algd}}(E_S, \mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{Algd}}(E_S, \mathbb{A}) \times \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{Algd}}(E_S, \mathbb{B}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{MonCat}_\infty^{\text{lax}}}(*, \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{MonCat}_\infty^{\text{lax}}}(*, \mathbf{C}) \times \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{MonCat}_\infty^{\text{lax}}}(*, \mathbf{D}), \end{array}$$

where the horizontal morphisms are equivalences since  $\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}$  is a product in  $\mathbf{Algd}$ . Taking fibres over the unit in  $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}$ , we get a natural equivalence

$$\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D})}(E_{S, \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}}, \mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}) \simeq \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{C})}(E_{S, \mathbf{C}}, \mathbb{A}) \times \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{D})}(E_{S, \mathbf{D}}, \mathbb{B}).$$

This gives in particular the equivalence of simplicial spaces

$$\iota_\bullet(\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}) \simeq \iota_\bullet(\mathbb{A}) \times \iota_\bullet(\mathbb{B})$$

required for (i). It is then immediate that  $\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}$  is complete if  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $\mathbb{B}$  are so. Moreover, for the essential surjectivity case in (iii) we have

$$\iota(F \boxtimes G) \simeq \iota F \times \iota G,$$

which is indeed surjective on  $\pi_0$  if  $F$  and  $G$  are essentially surjective. For the fully faithful case we note that for  $(x, y)$  and  $(x', y')$  in  $\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}$  the induced map

$$(\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B})((x, y), (x', y')) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{A}' \boxtimes \mathbb{B}')((F \boxtimes G)(x, y), (F \boxtimes G)(x', y'))$$

is the tensor product of the maps for  $F$  and  $G$ , so this is an equivalence if these are both fully faithful.  $\square$

Combining Corollary 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, we have:

**Corollary 3.4.** *The  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{Enr}$  has cartesian products, and these are preserved by the inclusion in  $\mathbf{Algd}$  and by the localization  $\mathbf{Algd} \rightarrow \mathbf{Enr}$ .*  $\square$

We can now internalize these external products:

**Corollary 3.5.** *Suppose  $\mathbf{C}$  is an  $\mathbf{O} \times \Delta^{\text{op}}$ -monoidal  $\infty$ -category. Then  $\mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{C})$  has a canonical  $\mathbf{O}$ -monoidal structure, given as the pullback*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{C})^{\otimes} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Algd}^{\times} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{O} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{C}} & (\mathbf{MonCat}_{\infty}^{\text{lax}})^{\times}, \end{array}$$

where the bottom horizontal map views the  $\mathbf{O} \times \Delta^{\text{op}}$ -monoidal  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{C}$  as an  $\mathbf{O}$ -algebra in monoidal  $\infty$ -categories.

**Observation 3.6.** In particular, if  $\mathbf{C}$  is symmetric (or  $E_{n+1}$ -)monoidal then  $\mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{C})$  is symmetric (or  $E_n$ -)monoidal; the tensor product of  $\mathbb{A}: \Delta_X^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\otimes}$  and  $\mathbb{B}: \Delta_Y^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\otimes}$  is the composite

$$\Delta_{X \times Y}^{\text{op}} \simeq \Delta_X^{\text{op}} \times_{\Delta^{\text{op}}} \Delta_Y^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{A} \times_{\Delta^{\text{op}}} \mathbb{B}} \mathbf{C}^{\otimes} \times_{\Delta^{\text{op}}} \mathbf{C}^{\otimes} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\otimes},$$

where the last map is the tensor product on  $\mathbf{C}$  viewed as a commutative (or  $E_n$ -)algebra in monoidal  $\infty$ -categories.

**Remark 3.7.** In fact, to get an  $\mathbf{O}$ -monoidal structure on  $\mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{C})$ , it suffices for  $\mathbf{C}$  to be an  $\mathbf{O}$ -monoid in  $\mathbf{MonCat}_{\infty}^{\text{lax}}$ , which means that  $\mathbf{C}$  has both an  $\mathbf{O}$ -monoidal structure and a monoidal structure that are compatible in a lax sense. Such “duoidal” structures on  $\infty$ -categories have been studied by Torii [Tor21].

**Corollary 3.8.** *Suppose  $\mathbf{C}$  is an  $\mathbf{O} \times \Delta^{\text{op}}$ -monoidal  $\infty$ -category. Then  $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{C})$  has a canonical  $\mathbf{O}$ -monoidal structure, given as the pullback*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{C})^{\otimes} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Enr}^{\times} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{O} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{C}} & \mathbf{MonCat}_{\infty}^{\text{lax}}. \end{array}$$

Moreover, the localization  $\mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{C})$  is an  $\mathbf{O}$ -monoidal functor, with the inclusion  $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{C})$  as its lax  $\mathbf{O}$ -monoidal right adjoint.  $\square$

Our aim in this paper is to prove that if  $\mathbf{C}$  is an  $\mathbf{O} \otimes \mathbb{E}_1$ -monoidal  $\infty$ -category that is compatible with small colimits, then so is the induced  $\mathbf{O}$ -monoidal structures on  $\mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{C})$  and  $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{C})$ . More generally, if  $\mu: \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  is a monoidal functor that preserves colimits in each variable, we want to show that the induced functor

$$\mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{D}) \xrightarrow{\boxtimes} \mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}) \xrightarrow{\mu_*} \mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{E})$$

preserves colimits in each variable, and similarly for its restriction to complete algebroids.

**Observation 3.9.** If  $F: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$  is a monoidal functor that preserves colimits, then the induced functor  $F_*: \mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{D})$  preserves colimits by [GH15, Proposition 3.6.10], as does the functor  $F_*: \mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{D})$  by [GH15, Lemma 5.7.7]. Now recall that the tensor product of cocomplete  $\infty$ -categories [Lur17, §4.8.1] provides for cocomplete  $\infty$ -categories  $\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}$  a universal functor  $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{D}$  that preserves colimits in each variable: composition with this gives an equivalence between functors  $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  that preserve colimits in each variable and functors  $\mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$  that preserve colimits. To prove our desired result it therefore suffices to prove the universal case, namely that the functor

$$\mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{D}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{D})$$

preserves colimits in each variable, as does the corresponding functor on  $\mathbf{Cat}(-)$ .

We will take a rather indirect route to this result, however: since colimits in algebroids are complicated to describe, we will instead consider an alternative description of enriched  $\infty$ -categories as certain presheaves satisfying Segal conditions.

## 4 Enriched $\infty$ -categories as Segal presheaves

In this section we recall the alternative description of enriched  $\infty$ -categories as “Segal presheaves”, first introduced in [GH15, §4.5].

**Notation 4.1.** Suppose  $\mathbf{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \Delta^{\text{op}}$  is a monoidal  $\infty$ -category. We write  $p: \mathbf{C}_\otimes \rightarrow \Delta$  for the cartesian fibration corresponding to the same functor as this; note that the opposite  $(\mathbf{C}_\otimes)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \Delta^{\text{op}}$  is the cocartesian fibration for the canonical monoidal structure on  $\mathbf{C}^{\text{op}}$ , so we will also write  $\mathbf{C}^{\text{op}, \otimes} := (\mathbf{C}_\otimes)^{\text{op}}$ . An object of  $\mathbf{C}_\otimes$  over  $[n]$  that corresponds to  $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$  under the equivalence  $\mathbf{C}_{\otimes, [n]} \simeq \mathbf{C}^{\times n}$  will be denoted  $[n](\mathbf{c})$ ; given a morphism  $\phi: [m] \rightarrow [n]$  in  $\Delta$  and an object  $[n](\mathbf{c})$  of  $\mathbf{C}_{\otimes, [n]}$ , we will write

$$\phi^{\mathbf{c}}: [m](\phi^* \mathbf{c}) \longrightarrow [n](\mathbf{c})$$

for a cartesian morphism over  $\phi$ ; here we have

$$(\phi^* \mathbf{c})_j \simeq \bigotimes_{\phi(j-1) < i \leq \phi(j)} c_i.$$

We will also write  $\Delta^n(\mathbf{c})$  for the presheaf on  $\mathbf{C}_\otimes$  represented by  $[n](\mathbf{c})$ .

**Observation 4.2.** In  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes)$  we have a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^m(\phi^* \mathbf{c}) & \longrightarrow & \Delta^n(\mathbf{c}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ p^* \Delta^m & \longrightarrow & p^* \Delta^n, \end{array}$$

as a special case of [CH20, Lemma 2.7.10].

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $\Delta_{\text{Seg}}^n$  denote the spine of  $\Delta^n$ , that is the iterated pushout  $\Delta^1 \amalg_{\Delta^0} \cdots \amalg_{\Delta^0} \Delta^1$ , which maps to  $\Delta^n$  via the inert maps  $[0], [1] \rightarrow [n]$ . Then we define  $\Delta_{\text{Seg}}^n(\mathbf{c})$  as the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_{\text{Seg}}^n(\mathbf{c}) & \longrightarrow & \Delta^n(\mathbf{c}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ p^* \Delta_{\text{Seg}}^n & \longrightarrow & p^* \Delta^n. \end{array}$$

Since  $p^*$  preserves colimits and  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes)$  is locally cartesian closed, we see that

$$\Delta_{\text{Seg}}^n(\mathbf{c}) \simeq \Delta^1(c_1) \amalg_{\Delta^0} \cdots \amalg_{\Delta^0} \Delta^1(c_n).$$

An object  $\Phi \in \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes)$  is then called a *Segal presheaf* if it is local with respect to all maps  $\Delta_{\text{Seg}}^n(\mathbf{c}) \rightarrow \Delta^n(\mathbf{c})$ . In other words,  $\Phi$  is a Segal presheaf if all of the maps

$$\Phi([n](\mathbf{c})) \longrightarrow \Phi([1](c_1)) \times_{\Phi([0])} \cdots \times_{\Phi([0])} \Phi([1](c_n))$$

are equivalences. We write  $\mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes)$  for the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes)$  spanned by the Segal presheaves and  $L_{\text{Seg}}$  for the localization functor.

**Remark 4.4.** The Segal presheaves are precisely the Segal  $\mathbf{C}^{\text{op}, \otimes}$ -spaces in the terminology of [CH23].

**Observation 4.5.** Let  $\Phi: \mathbf{C}_\otimes \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_\otimes$  be an oplax monoidal functor, that is a functor over  $\Delta$  that preserves inert cartesian morphisms. Then for the induced adjunction

$$\Phi_! : \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D}_\otimes) : \Phi^*$$

on presheaves, we have that the image of the Segal morphism  $\Delta_{\text{Seg}}^n(\mathbf{c}) \rightarrow \Delta^n(\mathbf{c})$  is precisely the Segal morphism

$$\Delta_{\text{Seg}}^n(\Phi(\mathbf{c})) \longrightarrow \Delta^n(\Phi(\mathbf{c})),$$

since  $\Phi_!$  restricts to  $\Phi$  on representable presheaves and preserves colimits; the presheaf  $\Phi_!(\Delta^n(\mathbf{c}))$  is represented by  $\Phi([n](\mathbf{c}))$ , which is  $[n](\Phi(\mathbf{c}))$  where  $\Phi(\mathbf{c})_i = \Phi(c_i)$ , since  $\Phi$  preserves inert cartesian morphisms. It follows that  $\Phi$  induces an adjunction

$$L_{\text{Seg}}\Phi_! : \mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\mathbf{C}_{\otimes}) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\mathbf{D}_{\otimes}) : \Phi^*$$

on Segal presheaves.

Although Segal presheaves are functorial in oplax monoidal functors, to compare this functoriality to that for algebroids we would need to enter into some  $(\infty, 2)$ -categorical considerations. Since this is not necessary to prove our desired result on tensor products, we will restrict ourselves to (strong) monoidal functors:

**Definition 4.6.** Let  $\mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}} \rightarrow \mathbf{MonCat}_{\infty}$  be the fibration for the functor  $\mathbf{C} \mapsto \mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\mathbf{C}_{\otimes})$ ; this is a cocartesian fibration for the covariant functoriality and cartesian for the contravariant functoriality of  $\mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(-)$  in monoidal functors.

**Observation 4.7.** We can describe the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}$  explicitly in terms of right fibrations, as follows: Let  $\mathbf{RFib}$  denote the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{Ar}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty})$  spanned by the right fibrations; then  $\text{ev}_1 : \mathbf{RFib} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}$  is the fibration for the functor taking  $\mathbf{C}$  to  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C})$ . We can define  $\mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}$  as the full subcategory of the pullback  $\mathbf{MonCat}_{\infty} \times_{\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}} \mathbf{RFib}$  of  $\text{ev}_1$  and  $(-)_{\otimes} : \mathbf{MonCat}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}$  spanned by the right fibrations that correspond to Segal presheaves. Here we can identify  $\mathbf{MonCat}_{\infty}$  (in terms of cartesian fibrations) with a subcategory of  $\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty/\Delta}$ , so that  $\mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}$  corresponds to a subcategory of

$$\mathbf{Ar}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}) \times_{\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}} \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty/\Delta} \simeq \mathbf{Ar}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty/\Delta}).$$

We can now introduce the (external) tensor product of Segal presheaves:

**Notation 4.8.** Let  $\mathbf{C}^{\otimes}, \mathbf{D}^{\otimes}$  be monoidal  $\infty$ -categories. For objects  $X \in \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}_{\otimes}), Y \in \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D}_{\otimes})$  we write  $X \boxtimes Y$  for the composite

$$(\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D})^{\text{op}, \otimes} := (\mathbf{C}_{\otimes} \times_{\Delta} \mathbf{D}_{\otimes})^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow (\mathbf{C}_{\otimes})^{\text{op}} \times (\mathbf{D}_{\otimes})^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{X \times Y} \mathbf{Spc} \times \mathbf{Spc} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Spc},$$

where the last functor is the cartesian product.

**Observation 4.9.** The external product is characterized by the equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Map}(\Delta^n(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}), X \boxtimes Y) &\simeq (X \boxtimes Y)([n](\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})) \\ &\simeq X([n], \mathbf{c}) \times Y([n], \mathbf{d}) \\ &\simeq \text{Map}(\Delta^n(\mathbf{c}), X) \times \text{Map}(\Delta^n(\mathbf{d}), Y). \end{aligned}$$

Since colimits in functor  $\infty$ -categories are computed pointwise, and the cartesian product of spaces preserves colimits in each variable, we see that the external product

$$-\boxtimes -: \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes) \times \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D}_\otimes) \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes \times_\Delta \mathbf{D}_\otimes)$$

preserves colimits in each variable. Moreover, it also preserves limits in  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes) \times \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D}_\otimes)$  (i.e., diagrams that are limits in both variables are taken to limits by  $\boxtimes$ ).

**Lemma 4.10.** *If  $X \in \mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes)$  and  $Y \in \mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\mathbf{D}_\otimes)$ , then the presheaf  $X \boxtimes Y$  is a Segal presheaf on  $(\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D})_\otimes$ .*

*Proof.* We must show that  $X \boxtimes Y$  is local with respect to  $\Delta_{\text{Seg}}^n(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}) \rightarrow \Delta^n(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})$ . But we have a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Map}(\Delta^n(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}), X \boxtimes Y) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Map}(\Delta^n(\mathbf{c}), X) \times \text{Map}(\Delta^n(\mathbf{d}), Y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Map}(\Delta_{\text{Seg}}^n(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}), X \boxtimes Y) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Map}(\Delta_{\text{Seg}}^n(\mathbf{c}), X) \times \text{Map}(\Delta_{\text{Seg}}^n(\mathbf{d}), Y), \end{array}$$

where the right vertical map is an equivalence if  $X$  and  $Y$  are Segal presheaves. Then the left vertical map is also an equivalence, as required.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.11.** *The external tensor product is the cartesian product on the  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}$ , and this is preserved by the projection to  $\mathbf{MonCat}_\infty$ .*

*Proof.* To see this, consider the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{Ar}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty/\Delta})$  spanned by the right fibrations. This obviously has a cartesian product, given by taking pullbacks over  $\Delta$ , and if  $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$  and  $\mathbf{E}' \rightarrow \mathbf{B}'$  are right fibrations where  $\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B}'$  live over  $\Delta$ , then their cartesian product  $\mathbf{E} \times_\Delta \mathbf{E}' \rightarrow \mathbf{B} \times_\Delta \mathbf{B}'$  is precisely the right fibration corresponding to our external product. Via the description of  $\mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}$  from Observation 4.7, we can identify this with a subcategory of  $\mathbf{Ar}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty/\Delta})$ , which we know is closed under cartesian products from Lemma 4.10.  $\square$

Applying the same pullback construction as in Corollary 3.5, we get:

**Corollary 4.12.** *If  $\mathbf{C}$  is an  $\mathbf{O} \otimes E_1$ -monoidal  $\infty$ -category, then  $\mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes)$  has an  $\mathbf{O}$ -monoidal structure.  $\square$*

## 5 Comparison for presheaf enrichment

In this section we first recall the equivalence between Segal presheaves on  $\mathbf{C}_\otimes$  and algebroids valued in presheaves on  $\mathbf{C}$  equipped with Day convolution; this was first discussed in [GH15, §4.5], and is also a special case of the results of [CH23, §2.5]. We then explicate the relation between the cartesian product on  $\mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}$  and that on  $\mathbf{Algd}$  under this correspondence.

First, recall that for any small monoidal  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{C}^\otimes$  we can define a *Day convolution* monoidal structure on  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C})$ . This can be defined as the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C})^\otimes & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{RFib}^\times \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow^{\text{ev}_1} \\ \Delta^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{c}} & \mathbf{Cat}_\infty^\times, \end{array}$$

where  $\mathbf{RFib}$  is the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{Ar}(\mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$  spanned by the right fibrations and the bottom horizontal map is the algebra in  $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty$  corresponding to the monoidal  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{C}^\otimes$ . The Day convolution has the universal property that for any generalized non-symmetric  $\infty$ -operad  $\mathbf{O}$  we have a natural equivalence

$$\mathbf{Alg}_{\mathbf{O}}(\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C})) \simeq \mathbf{Mon}_{\mathbf{O} \times_{\Delta^{\text{op}}} \mathbf{C}^{\text{op}, \otimes}}(\mathbf{Spc}).$$

We refer to [CH22, §6] for a discussion of this construction (but note that it is originally due to Heine [Hei18]), or see [Glat6] and [Lur17, §2.2.6] for alternative approaches. In particular, we have

$$\mathbf{Alg}_{\Delta_X^{\text{op}}}(\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C})) \simeq \mathbf{Mon}_{\mathbf{C}_X^{\text{op}, \otimes}}(\mathbf{Spc}),$$

where  $\mathbf{C}_X^{\text{op}, \otimes} := \Delta_X^{\text{op}} \times_{\Delta^{\text{op}}} \mathbf{C}^{\text{op}, \otimes}$ . From this it is easy to prove the following, which is also a special case of [CH23, Corollary 2.5.2]:

**Proposition 5.1.** *There is an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories*

$$\mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes) \simeq \mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}))$$

for every small monoidal  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{C}$ . □

Moreover, this equivalence is natural in monoidal functors:

**Definition 5.2.** Let  $\mathbf{Algd}_{/\text{PSh}}$  be the  $\infty$ -category defined by the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Algd}_{/\text{PSh}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Algd} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{MonCat}_\infty & \xrightarrow{\text{Pl}} & \widehat{\mathbf{MonCat}_\infty}. \end{array}$$

**Proposition 5.3.** *There is an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories*

$$\mathbf{Algd}_{/\text{PSh}} \simeq \mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}$$

over  $\mathbf{MonCat}_\infty$ .

*Proof.* This is a special case of [CH23, Corollary 2.6.12]. □

In the previous section we saw that the cartesian product on  $\mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}$  induced monoidal structures on Segal presheaves, and we now want to relate this to the monoidal structures we constructed in §3. For this we need to understand how the cartesian product on  $\mathbf{Algd}/\mathbf{PSh}$  relates to that on  $\mathbf{Algd}$ .

**Observation 5.4.** A functor  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D}) \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  that preserves colimits in each variable is determined by its restriction to  $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}$ , and so is the same thing as a colimit-preserving functor  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}) \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ . This shows that  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D})$  is the cocomplete tensor product of  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C})$  and  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D})$ . Thus, there is a canonical functor  $\mu: \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D}) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D})$  that witnesses this universal property of the target. Explicitly, this is the functor that takes  $(F, G)$  to the presheaf  $F \times G$  given by  $(c, d) \mapsto F(c) \times G(d)$ : indeed, this functor preserves colimits in each variable (since the cartesian product in  $\mathbf{Spc}$  preserves these in each variable, and colimits in presheaves are computed objectwise), and restricts on representables to the Yoneda embedding for  $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}$ , since

$$\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}}((-, -), (c, d)) \simeq \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{C}}(-, c) \times \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{D}}(-, d).$$

We also have a functor  $\pi: \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D})$ , given by left Kan extension along the two projections from  $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}$  to  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{D}$ , and we claim that  $\pi$  is the left adjoint of  $\mu$ . In terms of right fibrations, if  $F \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  and  $G \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$  are the fibrations for  $F$  and  $G$ , then  $\mu(F, G)$  corresponds to  $F \times G \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}$ . Given a right fibration  $E \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}$  corresponding to  $E \in \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D})$ , then we have

$$\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D})}(E, \mu(F, G)) \simeq \mathbf{Map}_{/\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}}(E, F \times G) \simeq \mathbf{Map}_{/\mathbf{C}}(E, F) \times \mathbf{Map}_{/\mathbf{D}}(E, G).$$

Here we can identify the right-hand side with  $\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D})}(\pi E, (F, G))$ , since the functors given by left Kan extensions along the projections correspond on fibrations to composing with the projections and then forcing the result to be a right fibration.

**Observation 5.5.** If  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{D}$  are monoidal  $\infty$ -categories, then  $\pi: \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D})$  is a monoidal functor with respect to Day convolution (since left Kan extension along a monoidal functor gives a monoidal functor on Day convolution, and the cartesian product is the limit also in monoidal  $\infty$ -categories). It follows that the right adjoint  $\mu$  is lax monoidal.

**Lemma 5.6.** *If  $L: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$  is a monoidal functor with (lax monoidal) right adjoint  $R: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ , then composition with  $L$  and  $R$  gives an adjunction*

$$L_* : \mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{C}) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{D}) : R_*.$$

*Proof.* Immediate from the definition, since  $\mathbf{Algd}(-)$  is a functor of  $(\infty, 2)$ -categories.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.7.** *The  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{Alg}_{/\text{PSh}}$  has finite cartesian products which are preserved by the projections to  $\mathbf{MonCat}_\infty$  and  $\mathbf{Spc}$ . The product of  $\mathbb{A} \in \mathbf{Alg}_{\Delta_X^{\text{op}}}(\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}))$  and  $\mathbb{B} \in \mathbf{Alg}_{\Delta_Y^{\text{op}}}(\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D}))$  is given by*

$$\mu_*(\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}) : \Delta_{X \times Y}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{A} \times_{\Delta^{\text{op}}} \mathbb{B}} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C})^\otimes \times_{\Delta^{\text{op}}} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D})^\otimes \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D})^\otimes.$$

*Proof.* Given  $\mathbb{X} \in \mathbf{Alg}_{\Delta_Z^{\text{op}}}(\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{V}))$ , we must show that the map

$$\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{Alg}_{/\text{PSh}}}(\mathbb{X}, \mu_*(\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B})) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{Alg}_{/\text{PSh}}}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{A}) \times \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{Alg}_{/\text{PSh}}}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{B}),$$

induced by composition with the cocartesian morphisms

$$\mu_*(\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}) \longrightarrow \pi_{1,!} \mu_*(\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}) \simeq \mathbb{A},$$

$$\mu_*(\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}) \longrightarrow \pi_{2,!} \mu_*(\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}) \simeq \mathbb{B},$$

is an equivalence. This map fits in a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Map}(\mathbb{X}, \mu_*(\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B})) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Map}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{A}) \times \mathbf{Map}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{B}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{Map}(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbf{Map}(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{C}) \times \mathbf{Map}(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{D}), \end{array}$$

so it suffices to check that the map on fibres over  $(\Phi, \Psi) : \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}$  is an equivalence. The left-hand fibre we can identify with

$$\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}))}((\Phi!, \Psi!)_* \mathbb{X}, \mu_*(\mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B})).$$

By Lemma 5.6 we have an adjunction  $\pi_* \dashv \mu_*$  on algebroids, so this is equivalent to

$$\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D}))}(\pi_*(\Phi!, \Psi!)_* \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{A} \boxtimes \mathbb{B}) \simeq \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}))}(\Phi! \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{A}) \times \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D}))}(\Psi! \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{B}),$$

just as required.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.8.** *Under the equivalence of Segal presheaves and algebroids in presheaves, the external product*

$$\mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes) \times \mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\mathbf{D}_\otimes) \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}((\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D})_\otimes)$$

*corresponds to the composite*

$$\mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C})) \times \mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D})) \xrightarrow{\boxtimes} \mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D})) \xrightarrow{\mu_*} \mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D})).$$

We saw in Observation 3.9 that we are interested in proving that for co-complete monoidal  $\infty$ -categories  $\mathbf{U}$  and  $\mathbf{V}$ , the composite

$$\mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{V}) \times \mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{W}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{W}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Alg}(\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{W})$$

preserves colimits in each variable. We have now seen that in the case of presheaves this is equivalent to the external product on Segal presheaves preserving colimits in each variable. In the next two sections we will prove the latter statement, and we will then deduce the general one from this.

## 6 Inner anodyne maps

Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be a monoidal  $\infty$ -category, and let  $p: \mathbf{C}_\otimes \rightarrow \Delta$  be the corresponding cartesian fibration. In this section we will discuss *inner anodyne maps* in  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes)$ , closely following [CH20, §2.7], where the corresponding notion was discussed in an operadic context.

**Definition 6.1.** Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be a cocomplete  $\infty$ -category. We say a class of morphisms in  $\mathbf{C}$  is *weakly saturated* if it is closed under cobase change, transfinite compositions, and retracts. Given a class  $\mathbb{S}$  of morphisms in  $\mathbf{C}$ , we can consider the smallest weakly saturated class that contains  $\mathbb{S}$ , which we call the weakly saturated class *generated* by  $\mathbb{S}$ .

**Definition 6.2.** For  $[n](\mathbf{c}) \in \mathbf{C}_\otimes$  we define a presheaf  $\Lambda_k^n(\mathbf{c})$  by the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_k^n(\mathbf{c}) & \longrightarrow & \Delta^n(\mathbf{c}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ p^*\Lambda_k^n & \longrightarrow & p^*\Delta^n, \end{array}$$

where the right vertical map is the unit morphism  $\Delta^n(\mathbf{c}) \rightarrow p^*p_!\Delta^n(\mathbf{c}) \simeq p^*\Delta^n$ . Similarly, we define  $\partial\Delta^n(\mathbf{c})$  by the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial\Delta^n(\mathbf{c}) & \longrightarrow & \Delta^n(\mathbf{c}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ p^*\partial\Delta^n & \longrightarrow & p^*\Delta^n. \end{array}$$

We refer to the inclusions  $\Lambda_k^n(\mathbf{c}) \rightarrow \Delta^n(\mathbf{c})$  for  $0 < k < n$  as the *inner horn inclusions* in  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes)$ , and we say a map is *inner anodyne* if it lies in the weakly saturated class generated by the inner horn inclusions.

**Definition 6.3.** We say a morphism in  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes)$  is a *Segal equivalence* if its image under the localization functor to  $\mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes)$  is an equivalence. Equivalently, a morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a Segal equivalence if and only if composition with  $f$  gives an equivalence  $\mathbf{Map}(Y, Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{Map}(X, Z)$  for every Segal presheaf  $Z$ , or if and only if  $f$  lies in the strongly saturated class generated by the spine inclusions  $\Delta_{\text{Seg}}^n(\mathbf{c}) \rightarrow \Delta^n(\mathbf{c})$ .

Our goal is then to prove that inner anodyne maps in  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes)$  are Segal equivalences. The starting point for this is the following result about simplicial sets, which can be extracted from [Joy08, Proposition 2.12 and 2.13]:

**Proposition 6.4.** *For all  $0 < k < n$ , the inclusion  $\Delta_{\text{Seg}}^n \hookrightarrow \Delta_k^n$  has a filtration by pushouts of inner horn inclusions of dimension  $< n$ .  $\square$*

Applying [CH20, Proposition 2.7.8] (or the fact that colimits in presheaves are universal), we get:

**Corollary 6.5.** *For all  $[n](\mathbf{c}) \in \mathbf{C}_\otimes$  and  $0 < k < n$ , the morphism*

$$\Delta_{\text{Seg}}^n(\mathbf{c}) \hookrightarrow \Lambda_k^n(\mathbf{c})$$

*has a filtration by pushouts of inner horn inclusions of dimension  $< n$ .*  $\square$

**Corollary 6.6.** *Inner anodyne maps in  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes)$  are Segal equivalences, and an object of  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes)$  is a Segal presheaf if and only if it is local with respect to the inner horn inclusions.*

*Proof.* We first show by induction on  $n$  that the inner horn inclusion  $\Lambda_k^n(\mathbf{c}) \hookrightarrow \Delta^n(\mathbf{c})$  is a Segal equivalence: This is immediate for  $n = 2$ , since  $\Lambda_1^2 = \Delta_{\text{Seg}}^2$ . Assuming we know the statement for inner horns of dimension  $< n$ , it follows from Corollary 6.5 that  $\Delta_{\text{Seg}}^n(\mathbf{c}) \hookrightarrow \Lambda_k^n(\mathbf{c})$  is a Segal equivalence, and hence so is  $\Lambda_k^n(\mathbf{c}) \hookrightarrow \Delta^n(\mathbf{c})$  by the 2-out-of-3 property. It follows that a Segal presheaf is necessarily local with respect to all inner horn inclusions, so suppose  $X \in \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes)$  has the latter property; then  $X$  is also local with respect to all inner anodyne maps. It is immediate from Corollary 6.5 that the spine inclusions are inner anodyne, so  $X$  is then indeed a Segal presheaf.  $\square$

We also have a useful way to construct inner anodyne maps in  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes)$  from ones in  $\mathbf{P}(\Delta)$ . To state this we first need to recall some definitions from [CH20]:

**Definition 6.7.** For  $X \in \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes)$  and  $F \in \mathbf{P}(\Delta)$ , we say a morphism  $X \rightarrow p^*(F)$  in  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes)$  is *simple* if for every map  $\sigma: \Delta^n \rightarrow F$  in  $\mathbf{P}(\Delta)$  we have that in the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sigma^*X & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ p^*\Delta^n & \xrightarrow{p^*\sigma} & p^*F, \end{array}$$

the presheaf  $\sigma^*X$  is representable and the adjoint map  $p_!\sigma^*X \rightarrow \Delta^n$  is an equivalence (so  $\sigma^*X \simeq \Delta^n(\mathbf{c})$  for some  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{C}^{\times n}$ ).

**Example 6.8.** By [CH20, Remark 2.7.12], the counit map  $\Delta^n(\mathbf{c}) \rightarrow p^*\Delta^n$  is simple for all  $\mathbf{c}$ , as is any pullback of such a map.

By applying [CH20, Lemma 2.7.14] to the set of inner horn inclusions in  $\mathbf{P}(\Delta)$ , we obtain the following:

**Proposition 6.9.** *If  $f: X \rightarrow p^*L$  is a simple map in  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes)$  and  $K \rightarrow L$  is an inner anodyne map in  $\mathbf{P}(\Delta)$ , then its base change  $p^*K \times_{p^*L} X \rightarrow X$  is inner anodyne in  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes)$  (and so in particular a Segal equivalence, by Corollary 6.6).  $\square$*

## 7 Tensor products and colimits: the presheaf case

Let  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{D}$  be monoidal  $\infty$ -categories, with corresponding cartesian fibrations  $p: \mathbf{C}_\otimes \rightarrow \Delta$  and  $q: \mathbf{D}_\otimes \rightarrow \Delta$ . In this section we will use the preceding discussion of inner anodyne maps to prove that the external product

$$\mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes) \times \mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\mathbf{D}_\otimes) \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}((\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D})_\otimes)$$

preserves colimits in each variable.

**Proposition 7.1.** *Given a simplex  $\alpha = (\sigma, \tau): \Delta^k \rightarrow \Delta^n \times \Delta^m$  and objects  $[n](\mathbf{c}) \in \mathbf{C}_\otimes$ ,  $[m](\mathbf{d}) \in \mathbf{D}_\otimes$ , we have a pullback square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^k(\sigma^* \mathbf{c}, \tau^* \mathbf{d}) & \longrightarrow & \Delta^n(\mathbf{c}) \boxtimes \Delta^m(\mathbf{d}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ r^* \Delta^k & \longrightarrow & r^*(\Delta^n \times \Delta^m), \end{array}$$

where  $r$  denotes the cartesian fibration  $r := p \times_\Delta q: \mathbf{C}_\otimes \times_\Delta \mathbf{D}_\otimes \rightarrow \Delta$ .

*Proof.* We first compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{Map}(\Delta^l(\mathbf{c}', \mathbf{d}'), \Delta^n(\mathbf{c}) \boxtimes \Delta^m(\mathbf{d})) \\ & \simeq (\Delta^n(\mathbf{c}) \boxtimes \Delta^m(\mathbf{d})) ([l](\mathbf{c}', \mathbf{d}')) \\ & \simeq \Delta^n(\mathbf{c})([l](\mathbf{c}')) \times \Delta^m(\mathbf{d})([l](\mathbf{d}')) \\ & \simeq \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{C}_\otimes}([l](\mathbf{c}'), [n](\mathbf{c})) \times \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{D}_\otimes}([l](\mathbf{d}'), [m](\mathbf{d})) \\ & \simeq \left( \coprod_{\phi: [l] \rightarrow [n]} \prod_{i=1}^l \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{C}}(c'_i, (\phi^* \mathbf{c})_i) \right) \\ & \quad \times \left( \coprod_{\psi: [l] \rightarrow [m]} \prod_{i=1}^l \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{D}}(d'_i, (\psi^* \mathbf{d})_i) \right) \\ & \simeq \coprod_{\substack{\phi: [l] \rightarrow [n], \\ \psi: [l] \rightarrow [m]}} \prod_{i=1}^l \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{C}}(c'_i, (\phi^* \mathbf{c})_i) \times \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{D}}(d'_i, (\psi^* \mathbf{d})_i). \end{aligned}$$

From this, it is easy to identify  $\mathbf{Map}(\Delta^l(\mathbf{c}', \mathbf{d}'), \alpha^*(\Delta^n(\mathbf{c}) \boxtimes \Delta^m(\mathbf{d})))$  as

$$\coprod_{\gamma: [l] \rightarrow [k]} \prod_{i=1}^l \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{C}_\otimes}(c'_i, (\gamma^* \sigma^* \mathbf{c})_i) \times \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{D}_\otimes}(d'_i, (\gamma^* \tau^* \mathbf{d})_i),$$

which is naturally equivalent to  $\mathbf{Map}(\Delta^l(\mathbf{c}', \mathbf{d}'), \Delta^k(\sigma^* \mathbf{c}, \tau^* \mathbf{d}))$ , as required.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.2.** For  $[n](\mathbf{c}) \in \mathbf{C}^\otimes$ ,  $[m](\mathbf{d}) \in \mathbf{D}^\otimes$ , the map

$$\Delta^n(\mathbf{c}) \boxtimes \Delta^m(\mathbf{d}) \longrightarrow r^*(\Delta^n \times \Delta^m)$$

is simple. □

**Observation 7.3.** We have a natural equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Map}(\Delta^k(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}), p^* \Delta^n \boxtimes q^* \Delta^m) &\simeq (p^* \Delta^n \boxtimes q^* \Delta^m)([k](\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d})) \\ &\simeq (p^* \Delta^n)([k](\mathbf{c})) \times (q^* \Delta^m)([k], \mathbf{d}) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Map}_\Delta([k], [n]) \times \mathrm{Map}_\Delta([k], [m]) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Map}(\Delta^k(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}), r^*(\Delta^n \times \Delta^m)), \end{aligned}$$

so that  $p^* \Delta^n \boxtimes q^* \Delta^m \simeq r^*(\Delta^n \times \Delta^m)$ .

**Corollary 7.4.** For all  $[n](\mathbf{c}) \in \mathbf{C}_\otimes$ ,  $[m](\mathbf{d}) \in \mathbf{D}_\otimes$  and  $0 < k < m$ , the pushout-product

$$\partial \Delta^n(\mathbf{c}) \boxtimes \Delta^m(\mathbf{d}) \amalg_{\partial \Delta^n(\mathbf{c}) \boxtimes \Lambda_k^m(\mathbf{d})} \Delta^n(\mathbf{c}) \boxtimes \Lambda_k^m(\mathbf{d}) \longrightarrow \Delta^n(\mathbf{c}) \boxtimes \Delta^m(\mathbf{d})$$

is inner anodyne, and so a Segal equivalence.

*Proof.* We know that the inclusion  $\partial \Delta^n \times \Delta^m \amalg_{\partial \Delta^n \times \Lambda_k^m} \Delta^n \times \Lambda_k^m \rightarrow \Delta^n \times \Delta^m$  is inner anodyne in  $\mathbf{P}(\Delta)$ ; see for example the explicit filtration by pushouts of inner horn inclusions in [DSII, Lemma A.I]. We claim that we have a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial \Delta^n(\mathbf{c}) \boxtimes \Delta^m(\mathbf{d}) \amalg_{\partial \Delta^n(\mathbf{c}) \boxtimes \Lambda_k^m(\mathbf{d})} \Delta^n(\mathbf{c}) \boxtimes \Lambda_k^m(\mathbf{d}) & \longrightarrow & \Delta^n(\mathbf{c}) \boxtimes \Delta^m(\mathbf{d}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ r^*(\partial \Delta^n \times \Delta^m \amalg_{\partial \Delta^n \times \Lambda_k^m} \Delta^n \times \Lambda_k^m) & \longleftarrow & r^*(\Delta^n \times \Delta^m). \end{array}$$

Indeed, this holds because pullbacks preserve colimits (since presheaves form an  $\infty$ -topos), and  $\boxtimes$  takes diagrams that are pullbacks in both variables to pullbacks by Observation 4.9. We can therefore conclude by Proposition 6.9 that the top horizontal map is again inner anodyne, as required. □

**Corollary 7.5.** If a morphism  $X' \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes)$  is inner anodyne, then

$$X' \boxtimes Y \longrightarrow X \boxtimes Y$$

is a Segal equivalence in  $\mathbf{P}((\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D})_\otimes)$  for all  $Y \in \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D}_\otimes)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\boxtimes$  on presheaves preserves colimits in each variable, and Segal equivalences are closed under colimits, it suffices to consider  $Y$  of the form  $\Delta^m(\mathbf{d})$ .

Similarly, in the first variable it suffices to consider the case where we have a single pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_k^n(\mathbf{c}) & \longrightarrow & \Delta_k^n(\mathbf{c}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X' & \longrightarrow & X, \end{array}$$

and applying  $- \boxtimes \Delta^m(\mathbf{d})$  to this we see that it is furthermore enough to show that  $\Lambda_k^n(\mathbf{c}) \boxtimes \Delta^m(\mathbf{d}) \rightarrow \Delta^n(\mathbf{c}) \boxtimes \Delta^m(\mathbf{d})$  is a Segal equivalence. For this we induct on  $m$ , noting that for  $m = 0$  the formula for mapping spaces in the proof of Corollary 7.2 implies that we get  $\Lambda_k^n(\mathbf{c}, \mathbb{1}) \rightarrow \Delta_k^n(\mathbf{c}, \mathbb{1})$  where  $\mathbb{1}$  is the unit in  $\mathbf{D}$ . Assuming we have proved the equivalence in dimensions  $< m$ , decomposing the boundary of  $\Delta^m$  as a colimit of lower-dimensional simplices implies that

$$\Lambda_k^n(\mathbf{c}) \boxtimes \partial\Delta^m(\mathbf{d}) \longrightarrow \Delta_k^n(\mathbf{c}) \boxtimes \partial\Delta^m(\mathbf{d})$$

is a Segal equivalence. Our map for  $\Delta^m$  decomposes as a composition

$$\Lambda_k^n(\mathbf{c}) \boxtimes \Delta^m(\mathbf{d}) \longrightarrow \Lambda_k^n(\mathbf{c}) \boxtimes \Delta^m(\mathbf{d}) \amalg_{\Lambda_k^n(\mathbf{c}) \boxtimes \partial\Delta^m(\mathbf{d})} \Delta_k^n(\mathbf{c}) \boxtimes \Delta^m(\mathbf{d}) \longrightarrow \Delta_k^n(\mathbf{c}) \boxtimes \Delta^m(\mathbf{d}).$$

Here the first map is a cobase change of the map we just saw was a Segal equivalence, while the second map is inner anodyne by Corollary 7.4.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.6.** *The exterior product*

$$- \boxtimes -: \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes) \times \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D}_\otimes) \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes \times_\Delta \mathbf{D}_\otimes)$$

*preserves Segal equivalences in each variable.*

*Proof.* Since  $\boxtimes$  preserves colimits in each variable, it suffices to show that  $\Delta_{\text{Seg}}^n(\mathbf{c}) \boxtimes Y \rightarrow \Delta^n(\mathbf{c}) \boxtimes Y$  is a Segal equivalence for all  $\mathbf{c}$  and  $Y$ . This follows from Corollary 7.5 since  $\Delta_{\text{Seg}}^n(\mathbf{c}) \rightarrow \Delta^n(\mathbf{c})$  is inner anodyne.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.7.** *The exterior product of Segal presheaves*

$$- \boxtimes -: \mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes) \times \mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\mathbf{D}_\otimes) \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes \times_\Delta \mathbf{D}_\otimes)$$

*preserves colimits in each variable.*

*Proof.* From Lemma 4.10 we have a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes) \times \mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\mathbf{D}_\otimes) & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes} & \mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}((\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D})_\otimes) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}_\otimes) \times \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D}_\otimes) & \xrightarrow{\boxtimes} & \mathbf{P}((\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D})_\otimes). \end{array}$$

If we write  $L_{\text{Seg}}$  for the localization functors  $\mathbf{P}(-) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(-)$ , left adjoint to the fully faithful inclusions  $i_{\text{Seg}}: \mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(-) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}(-)$ , we get a mate transformation

$$L_{\text{Seg}}(- \boxtimes -) \longrightarrow L_{\text{Seg}}(-) \boxtimes L_{\text{Seg}}(-),$$

which for objects  $X, Y$  fits in a commutative triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X \boxtimes Y & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ L_{\text{Seg}}(X \boxtimes Y) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & L_{\text{Seg}}X \boxtimes L_{\text{Seg}}Y. \end{array}$$

Here the left diagonal arrow is a Segal equivalence, and by Corollary 7.6 so is the right diagonal arrow. It follows that the bottom arrow is an equivalence, since it is a Segal equivalence between Segal presheaves. Now recall that a colimit in Segal presheaves is computed by taking the colimit in presheaves and then applying  $L_{\text{Seg}}$ . Given a diagram  $\phi: \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\mathbf{C}_{\otimes})$  and  $Y \in \mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\mathbf{D}_{\otimes})$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{colim}_{\mathbf{K}} \phi) \boxtimes Y &\simeq L_{\text{Seg}}(\text{colim}_{\mathbf{K}} i_{\text{Seg}}\phi) \boxtimes L_{\text{Seg}}i_{\text{Seg}}Y \\ &\simeq L_{\text{Seg}}((\text{colim}_{\mathbf{K}} i_{\text{Seg}}\phi) \boxtimes i_{\text{Seg}}Y) \\ &\simeq L_{\text{Seg}}(\text{colim}_{\mathbf{K}}(i_{\text{Seg}}\phi \boxtimes i_{\text{Seg}}Y)) \\ &\simeq \text{colim}_{\mathbf{K}} L_{\text{Seg}}(i_{\text{Seg}}\phi \boxtimes i_{\text{Seg}}Y) \\ &\simeq \text{colim}_{\mathbf{K}}(\phi \boxtimes Y), \end{aligned}$$

so that  $\boxtimes$  indeed preserves colimits of Segal presheaves in each variable.  $\square$

Combining this with Corollary 5.8, we get:

**Corollary 7.8.** *Suppose  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{D}$  are small monoidal  $\infty$ -categories. Then the composite*

$$\text{Algd}(\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C})) \times \text{Algd}(\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D})) \xrightarrow{\boxtimes} \text{Algd}(\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D})) \xrightarrow{\mu_*} \text{Algd}(\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}))$$

*preserves colimits in each variable.*  $\square$

## 8 Tensor products and colimits: the presentable case

We now want to generalize Corollary 7.8 from presheaves to more general presentable  $\infty$ -categories. Recall that a *presentably monoidal*  $\infty$ -category is a monoidal  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{V}^{\otimes}$  such that the underlying  $\infty$ -category  $\mathbf{V}$  is presentable and the tensor product preserves colimits in each variable. In this case we can find a presentation of  $\mathbf{V}$  as a localization of a presheaf  $\infty$ -category that is compatible with the monoidal structure:

**Definition 8.1.** Let  $\mathbf{C}$  be a small monoidal  $\infty$ -category. Then we say a set  $\mathbb{S}$  of morphisms in  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C})$  is *compatible with the monoidal structure* if the Day convolution tensor product of a morphism in  $\mathbb{S}$  with an identity morphism lies in the strongly saturated class  $\overline{\mathbb{S}}$  generated by  $\mathbb{S}$ . (For convenience, we will assume the set  $\mathbb{S}$  always includes the equivalences in  $\mathbf{C}$ .) In this case, the full subcategory  $\mathbf{P}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{C})$  of  $\mathbb{S}$ -local presheaves is a monoidal localization of  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C})$  by [CH22, Corollary 7.20], meaning that the full subcategory  $\mathbf{P}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{C})^{\otimes} \subseteq \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C})^{\otimes}$ , spanned by lists of  $\mathbb{S}$ -local presheaves, is a monoidal  $\infty$ -category, the inclusion is lax monoidal, and its left adjoint is strong monoidal.

**Proposition 8.2.** *Suppose  $\mathbf{V}$  is a presentably monoidal  $\infty$ -category. Then there exists a small full monoidal subcategory  $\mathbf{C}$  of  $\mathbf{V}$  and a set  $\mathbb{S}$  of morphisms in  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C})$  that is compatible with the monoidal structure, such that the Yoneda extension  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$  induces an equivalence of monoidal  $\infty$ -categories*

$$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{C}) \simeq \mathbf{V}.$$

*Proof.* This follows from [CH22, Corollary 7.16] (which says that we can take  $\mathbf{C}$  to be the full subcategory of  $\kappa$ -compact objects for some regular cardinal  $\kappa$ ).  $\square$

We can also identify the cocomplete tensor product in terms of such presentations:

**Lemma 8.3.** *Suppose we have presentations  $\mathbf{V} \simeq \mathbf{P}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{C})$ ,  $\mathbf{W} \simeq \mathbf{P}^{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbf{D})$  of presentable  $\infty$ -categories. Then their cocomplete tensor product is given by*

$$\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{W} \simeq \mathbf{P}^{\mathbb{S} \odot \mathbb{T}}(\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}),$$

where  $\mathbb{S} \odot \mathbb{T}$  can be taken to consist of  $\mathbb{S} \times \text{id}_d$  for  $d \in \mathbf{D}$  together with  $\text{id}_c \times \mathbb{T}$  for  $c \in \mathbf{C}$ . The canonical map  $\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{W}$  is given by the composition

$$\mathbf{P}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathbf{P}^{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbf{D}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D}) \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{\mathbb{S} \odot \mathbb{T}}(\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}).$$

*Proof.* This follows from the proof of [Lur17, Proposition 4.8.1.15].  $\square$

**Observation 8.4.** The inclusion  $\mathbf{P}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C})$  and its left adjoint induce an adjunction

$$\text{Algd}(\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C})) \rightleftarrows \text{Algd}(\mathbf{P}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{C})),$$

where the right adjoint is again fully faithful, so that we can identify  $\text{Algd}(\mathbf{P}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{C}))$  as a full subcategory of  $\text{Algd}(\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}))$ . In the situation of Lemma 8.3, we then have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Algd}(\mathbf{P}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{C})) \times \text{Algd}(\mathbf{P}^{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbf{D})) & \longrightarrow & \text{Algd}(\mathbf{P}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathbf{P}^{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbf{D})) & \longrightarrow & \text{Algd}(\mathbf{P}^{\mathbb{S} \odot \mathbb{T}}(\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ \text{Algd}(\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C})) \times \text{Algd}(\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D})) & \longrightarrow & \text{Algd}(\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D})) & \longrightarrow & \text{Algd}(\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D})). \end{array}$$

Our goal is to show that the composite in the top row preserves colimits in each variable. To deduce this, we need to understand this diagram in terms of Segal presheaves.

**Proposition 8.5.** *The equivalence  $\text{Algd}(\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C})) \simeq \mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\mathbf{C}_{\otimes})$  restricts to an equivalence*

$$\text{Algd}(\mathbf{P}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{C})) \simeq \mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{C}_{\otimes}),$$

where  $\mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{C}_{\otimes})$  is the full subcategory of Segal presheaves  $X$  such that the restricted presheaf  $X|_{\mathbf{C}^{\text{op}}}$  lies in  $\mathbf{P}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{C})$ .

*Proof.* This is a special case of [CH23, Proposition 2.5.10].  $\square$

Next, we want to describe the full subcategory  $\mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{C}_{\otimes})$  as a localization of  $\mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\mathbf{C}_{\otimes})$ . This requires introducing some notation:

**Observation 8.6.** Suppose  $p: \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$  is a cocartesian fibration. Given  $b \in \mathbf{B}$  and a functor  $\phi: \mathbf{E}_b \rightarrow \mathbf{Spc}$ , consider the left Kan extension  $i_{b,!}\phi: \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{Spc}$  along the fibre inclusion  $i_b: \mathbf{E}_b \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$ . Its value at  $x \in \mathbf{E}$  is a colimit over  $\mathbf{E}_{b/x} := \mathbf{E}_b \times_{\mathbf{E}} \mathbf{E}_{/x}$ , which maps via  $p$  to  $\{b\} \times_{\mathbf{B}} \mathbf{B}_{/b'} \simeq \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{B}}(b, b')$ , where  $b' := p(x)$ . The functor  $\mathbf{E}_{b/x} \rightarrow \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{B}}(b, b')$  is a cocartesian fibration (since its target is an  $\infty$ -groupoid), and its fibre at  $\beta: b \rightarrow b'$  can be identified with the fibre product  $E_b \times_{E_{b'}} E_{b'/x}$  along the cocartesian transport functor  $\beta_!: E_b \rightarrow E_{b'}$ . We can thus rewrite the colimit formula for  $i_{b,!}\phi(x)$  in two steps, as

$$\text{colim}_{\beta \in \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{B}}(b, b')} \text{colim}_{(y, \beta_!, y \rightarrow x)} \phi(y).$$

Now we apply this to the cocartesian fibration  $(\mathbf{C}_{\otimes})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \Delta^{\text{op}}$ : Given  $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)$  where  $\phi_i \in \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C})$ , we write

$$\Delta^n(\phi) := i_{[n],!}\Phi$$

where  $\Phi := \phi_1 \times \dots \times \phi_n: (\mathbf{C}_{\otimes}^{\text{op}})_{[n]} \simeq (\mathbf{C}^{\text{op}})^{\times n} \rightarrow \mathbf{Spc}$ . This presheaf satisfies

$$\Delta^n(\phi)([m](\mathbf{c})) \simeq \text{colim}_{\alpha \in \mathbf{Map}_{\Delta}([m], [n])} \text{colim}_{(d, \mathbf{c} \rightarrow \alpha^* d)} \phi_1(d_1) \times \dots \times \phi_n(d_n).$$

Here the inner colimit is over  $(\mathbf{C}^{\times n} \times_{\mathbf{C}^{\times m}} \mathbf{C}_{c'}^{\times m})^{\text{op}}$  along the cartesian transport functor  $\alpha^*$ , which we can identify with  $\prod_{i=1}^m (\mathbf{C}^{\times n_i} \times_{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{C}_{c_i})^{\text{op}}$ , where each fibre product is over an iterated tensor product. Using that the cartesian product commutes with colimits in each variable, we can rewrite the inner colimit in terms of the Day convolution tensor product as

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \text{colim}_{(d, c_i \rightarrow \otimes d_j)} \prod_j \phi_j(d_j) \simeq \prod_{i=1}^m \left( \bigotimes_{\alpha(i-1) < j \leq \alpha(i)} \phi_j \right)(c_i).$$

In other words, we have pullback squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \prod_{i=1}^m \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C})}(c_i, \bigotimes_{\alpha(i-1) < j \leq \alpha(i)} \phi_j) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}_{\otimes})}(\Delta^m(\mathbf{c}), \Delta^n(\phi)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \{\alpha\} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Map}_{\Delta}([m], [n]). \end{array} \quad (2)$$

**Observation 8.7.** From the definition of  $\Delta^n(\phi)$  as a left Kan extension, we have

$$\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}_{\otimes})}(\Delta^n(\phi), X) \simeq \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}^{\times n})}(\Phi, X|_{\mathbf{C}^{\times n, \text{op}}}), \quad (3)$$

where  $\Phi$  is the presheaf  $\phi_1 \times \cdots \times \phi_n$ . In particular, for  $n = 1$  we have

$$\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}_{\otimes})}(\Delta^1(\phi), X) \simeq \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C})}(\phi, X|_{\mathbf{C}^{\text{op}}}).$$

It follows that  $\mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{C})$  can be identified with the localization of  $\mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\mathbf{C})$  at  $\Delta^1(\phi) \rightarrow \Delta^1(\psi)$  for  $\phi \rightarrow \psi$  in  $\mathbb{S}$ .

**Lemma 8.8.** *Given  $\alpha: [m] \rightarrow [n]$  let us write  $\alpha^*\phi$  for the list  $\bigotimes_{\alpha(i-1) < j \leq \alpha(i)} \phi_j$ . Then we have pullback squares*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^m(\alpha^*\phi) & \longrightarrow & \Delta^n(\phi) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ p^*\Delta^m & \longrightarrow & p^*\Delta^n. \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Follows from the description of mapping spaces in (2).  $\square$

**Lemma 8.9.** *For presheaves  $\phi_i \in \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C})$  we have*

$$\Delta^n(\phi) \simeq \text{colim}_{\mathbf{c} \in \prod \mathbf{C}_{/\phi_i}} \Delta^n(\mathbf{c}).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi = \phi_1 \times \cdots \times \phi_n$ , so that we have

$$\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}_{\otimes})}(\Delta^n(\phi), X) \simeq \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}^{\times n})}(\Phi, X|_{\mathbf{C}^{\times n, \text{op}}})$$

by Observation 8.7. In  $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}^{\times n})$ , the presheaf  $\Phi$  is a colimit of representables over  $(\mathbf{C}^{\times n})_{/\Phi}$ ; here  $(\mathbf{C}^{\times n})_{/\Phi} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\times n}$  is the right fibration for  $\Phi$ , which is the product  $\prod_i \mathbf{C}_{/\phi_i}$ . We thus have

$$\mathbf{Map}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}_{\otimes})}(\Delta^n(\phi), X) \simeq \lim_{\mathbf{c} \in (\prod_i \mathbf{C}_{/\phi_i})^{\text{op}}} \mathbf{Map}(\Delta^n(\mathbf{c}), X),$$

which by Yoneda implies the required colimit description of  $\Delta^n(\phi)$ .  $\square$

**Observation 8.10.** If we define

$$\Delta_{\text{Seg}}^n(\boldsymbol{\phi}) := \Delta^1(\phi_1) \amalg_{\Delta^0} \cdots \amalg_{\Delta^0} \Delta^1(\phi_n),$$

then we have a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_{\text{Seg}}^n(\boldsymbol{\phi}) & \longrightarrow & \Delta^n(\boldsymbol{\phi}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ p^* \Delta_{\text{Seg}}^n & \longrightarrow & p^* \Delta^n, \end{array}$$

using Lemma 8.8 and the fact that pullbacks preserve colimits in presheaves. On the other hand, applying the colimit description of  $\Delta^n(\boldsymbol{\phi})$  from Lemma 8.9 to the same pullback square implies that the top horizontal morphism  $\Delta_{\text{Seg}}^n(\boldsymbol{\phi}) \rightarrow \Delta^n(\boldsymbol{\phi})$  is the map on colimits

$$\text{colim}_{\mathbf{c} \in \prod C/\phi_i} \Delta_{\text{Seg}}^n(\mathbf{c}) \longrightarrow \text{colim}_{\mathbf{c} \in \prod C/\phi_i} \Delta^n(\mathbf{c}).$$

It follows that any Segal presheaf on  $\mathbf{C}_{\otimes}$  is also local with respect to  $\Delta_{\text{Seg}}^n(\boldsymbol{\phi}) \rightarrow \Delta^n(\boldsymbol{\phi})$ .

**Lemma 8.11.** *A Segal presheaf  $X \in \mathbf{P}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{C}_{\otimes})$  is also local with respect to the map  $\Delta^n(\boldsymbol{\phi}) \rightarrow \Delta^n(\boldsymbol{\psi})$  induced by a list of morphisms  $\phi_i \rightarrow \psi_i$  in  $\mathbb{S}$ .*

*Proof.* We have a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_{\text{Seg}}^n(\boldsymbol{\phi}) & \longrightarrow & \Delta_{\text{Seg}}^n(\boldsymbol{\psi}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Delta^n(\boldsymbol{\phi}) & \longrightarrow & \Delta^n(\boldsymbol{\psi}). \end{array}$$

Here  $X$  is local with respect to the top horizontal morphism since it is a colimit of maps with respect to which  $X$  is local by assumption. Moreover,  $X$  is local with respect to the vertical morphisms by Observation 8.10 since  $X$  is a Segal presheaf. It follows that  $X$  is indeed also local with respect to the bottom horizontal morphism.  $\square$

**Proposition 8.12.** *For every simplex  $\alpha = (\sigma, \tau): \Delta^k \rightarrow \Delta^n \times \Delta^m$ , we have pullback squares*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^k(\sigma^* \boldsymbol{\phi}, \tau^* \boldsymbol{\psi}) & \longrightarrow & \Delta^n(\boldsymbol{\phi}) \boxtimes \Delta^m(\boldsymbol{\psi}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ r^* \Delta^k & \longrightarrow & r^*(\Delta^n \times \Delta^m). \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Compute mapping spaces as in the proof of Proposition 7.1.  $\square$

We can then lift the description of  $\Delta^1 \times \Delta^1$  as a pushout  $\Delta^2 \amalg_{\Delta^1} \Delta^2$ :

**Corollary 8.13.** *We have a pushout*

$$\Delta^1(\phi) \boxtimes \Delta^1(\psi) \simeq \Delta^2(\phi \times \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1} \times \psi) \amalg_{\Delta^1(\phi \times \psi)} \Delta^2(\mathbb{1} \times \psi, \phi \times \mathbb{1})$$

in  $\mathbf{P}((\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D})_{\otimes})$  for all  $\phi \in \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C})$ ,  $\psi \in \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{D})$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 8.14.** *The external product  $\mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\mathbf{D}) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D})$  takes  $\mathbb{S}$ -local Segal equivalences in the first variable and  $\mathbb{T}$ -local Segal equivalences in the second variable to  $\mathbb{S} \odot \mathbb{T}$ -local Segal equivalences.*

*Proof.* Since the external product preserves colimits in each variable, it suffices to consider the case

$$\Delta^1(\phi) \boxtimes \Delta^1(\psi) \longrightarrow \Delta^1(\phi') \boxtimes \Delta^1(\psi')$$

for  $\phi \rightarrow \phi'$  in  $\mathbb{S}$  and  $\psi \rightarrow \psi'$  in  $\mathbb{T}$ . Here it follows from the colimit decomposition in Corollary 8.13 and Lemma 8.11 that this is indeed an  $\mathbb{S} \odot \mathbb{T}$ -local Segal equivalence.  $\square$

**Corollary 8.15.** *The composite*

$$\mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{C}_{\otimes}) \times \mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}^{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbf{D}_{\otimes}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\mathbf{C}_{\otimes}) \times \mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\mathbf{D}_{\otimes}) \xrightarrow{\boxtimes} \mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}((\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D})_{\otimes}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}^{\mathbb{S} \odot \mathbb{T}}((\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D})_{\otimes})$$

*preserves colimits in each variable.*

*Proof.* Let us write  $L^{\mathbb{S}}$  for the localization  $\mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}(\mathbf{C}_{\otimes}) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{C}_{\otimes})$  and  $i^{\mathbb{S}}$  for its fully faithful left adjoint. We then want to show that the functor  $L^{\mathbb{S} \odot \mathbb{T}}(i^{\mathbb{S}}(-) \boxtimes i^{\mathbb{T}}(-))$  preserves colimits in each variable. We consider the colimit of a diagram  $p: \mathbf{K} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}^{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbf{C}_{\otimes})$ , which can be described as  $L^{\mathbb{S}}(\text{colim}_{\mathbf{K}} i^{\mathbb{S}} p)$ . By Corollary 8.14 we know that the map

$$(\text{colim}_{\mathbf{K}} i^{\mathbb{S}} p) \boxtimes i^{\mathbb{T}} Y \longrightarrow i^{\mathbb{S}} L^{\mathbb{S}}(\text{colim}_{\mathbf{K}} i^{\mathbb{S}} p) \boxtimes i^{\mathbb{T}} Y \simeq i^{\mathbb{S}}(\text{colim}_{\mathbf{K}} p) \boxtimes i^{\mathbb{T}} Y$$

is an  $\mathbb{S} \odot \mathbb{T}$ -local Segal equivalence for any  $Y$  in  $\mathbf{P}_{\text{Seg}}^{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbf{D}_{\otimes})$ . We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} L^{\mathbb{S} \odot \mathbb{T}}(i^{\mathbb{S}}(\text{colim}_{\mathbf{K}} p) \boxtimes i^{\mathbb{T}} Y) &\simeq L^{\mathbb{S} \odot \mathbb{T}}(\text{colim}_{\mathbf{K}} i^{\mathbb{S}} p \boxtimes i^{\mathbb{T}} Y) \\ &\simeq \text{colim}_{\mathbf{K}} L^{\mathbb{S} \odot \mathbb{T}}(i^{\mathbb{S}} p \boxtimes i^{\mathbb{T}} Y), \end{aligned}$$

since  $\boxtimes$  preserves colimits in each variable and  $L^{\mathbb{S} \odot \mathbb{T}}$  is a left adjoint.  $\square$

Combining this with Proposition 8.2, Lemma 8.3, and Observation 8.4, we get:

**Corollary 8.16.** *If  $\mathbf{V}$  and  $\mathbf{W}$  are presentably monoidal  $\infty$ -categories, then the composite*

$$\text{Algd}(\mathbf{V}) \times \text{Algd}(\mathbf{W}) \longrightarrow \text{Algd}(\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{W}) \longrightarrow \text{Algd}(\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{W})$$

*preserves colimits in each variable.*  $\square$

Together with Observation 3.9, this gives:

**Corollary 8.17.** *If  $F: V \times W \rightarrow U$  is a monoidal functor between presentably monoidal  $\infty$ -categories that preserves colimits in each variable, then*

$$\mathrm{Algd}(V) \times \mathrm{Algd}(W) \xrightarrow{\boxtimes} \mathrm{Algd}(V \times W) \xrightarrow{F_*} \mathrm{Algd}(U)$$

*also preserves colimits in each variable.*

## 9 Tensor products and colimits: the general case

We now want to extend Corollary 8.16 to the case of general cocomplete  $\infty$ -categories; in other words, we want to drop the assumption of presentability. For this we pass to a larger universe: let  $\widehat{\mathrm{Spc}}$  be the (very large)  $\infty$ -category of large spaces, and write  $\widehat{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{C}) := \mathrm{Fun}(\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{op}}, \widehat{\mathrm{Spc}})$ . If  $\mathbf{C}$  is cocomplete, we get a fully faithful functor  $\mathbf{C} \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{C})$  that preserves small colimits, where  $\mathbf{S}$  consists of the maps  $\mathrm{colim}_{\mathbf{K}} y(p) \rightarrow y(\mathrm{colim}_{\mathbf{K}} p)$  for a generating (large) set of small diagrams  $p$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ .

If  $\mathbf{D}$  is another cocomplete  $\infty$ -category, with a corresponding embedding  $\mathbf{D} \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{D})$ , then the construction of the cocomplete tensor product in [Lur17, §4.8.1] shows that the canonical functor  $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{D}$  fits in a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{D} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widehat{\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{C}) \times \widehat{\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{D}) & \longrightarrow & \widehat{\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{S} \circ \mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D}), \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are fully faithful and the right-hand one preserves small colimits. If  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{D}$  are monoidal  $\infty$ -categories where the tensor products are compatible with small colimits, then the vertical maps are strong monoidal, and we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Algd}(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathrm{Algd}(\mathbf{D}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Algd}(\mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{D}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Algd}(\widehat{\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{C})) \times \mathrm{Algd}(\widehat{\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{D})) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Algd}(\widehat{\mathbf{P}}^{\mathbf{S} \circ \mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{D})), \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are compatible with small colimits in the appropriate senses. Since the bottom horizontal map preserves (large) colimits in each variable by Corollary 8.16 (applied in a larger universe), we conclude that the top horizontal map preserves small colimits in each variable. This proves the following:

**Corollary 9.1.** *If  $\mathbf{V}$  and  $\mathbf{W}$  are cocomplete monoidal  $\infty$ -categories whose tensor products are compatible with small colimits, then the composite*

$$\mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{V}) \times \mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{W}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{W}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{W})$$

*preserves colimits in each variable.*  $\square$

Together with Observation 3.9, this gives:

**Corollary 9.2.** *Let  $\mathbf{V}$  and  $\mathbf{W}$  be cocomplete monoidal  $\infty$ -categories whose tensor products are compatible with small colimits. If  $F: \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$  is a monoidal functor that preserves colimits in each variable, then*

$$\mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{V}) \times \mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{W}) \xrightarrow{\boxtimes} \mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{W}) \xrightarrow{F_*} \mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{U})$$

*also preserves colimits in each variable.*  $\square$

We can also deduce the corresponding results for complete algebroids:

**Corollary 9.3.** *Let  $\mathbf{V}$  and  $\mathbf{W}$  be cocomplete monoidal  $\infty$ -categories whose tensor products are compatible with small colimits.*

(i) *The composite*

$$\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{V}) \times \mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{W}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{W}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{W})$$

*preserves colimits in each variable.*

(ii) *If  $F: \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$  is a monoidal functor that preserves colimits in each variable, then*

$$\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{V}) \times \mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{W}) \xrightarrow{\boxtimes} \mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{W}) \xrightarrow{F_*} \mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{U})$$

*also preserves colimits in each variable.*

*Proof.* The first part follows from Lemma 3.3(iii), by the same argument as in the proof of Corollary 7.7. We get the second part by combining this with Observation 3.9.  $\square$

**Corollary 9.4.** *Suppose  $\mathbf{V}$  is a cocomplete  $\mathbf{O} \times \mathbb{E}_1$ -monoidal  $\infty$ -category that is compatible with small colimits. Then the induced  $\mathbf{O}$ -monoidal structures on  $\mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{V})$  and  $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{V})$  are also compatible with small colimits.*  $\square$

**Remark 9.5.** If  $\mathbf{V}$  is a presentably  $\mathbb{E}_{n+1}$ -monoidal  $\infty$ -category (for  $n \geq 1$ ) it now follows from the adjoint functor theorem that  $\mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{V})$  and  $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{V})$  are closed  $\mathbb{E}_n$ -monoidal  $\infty$ -categories. For  $n \geq 2$  let  $\mathbf{FUN}^{\mathbf{V}}(-, -)$  denote the corresponding internal Hom on  $\mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{V})$ . (We ignore the case  $n = 1$  only because this requires considering different adjoints in the two variables.) It follows from [GH15, Proposition 5.5.9] that if  $\mathbb{B}$  is complete, then  $\mathbf{FUN}^{\mathbf{V}}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B})$  is also complete for any algebroid  $\mathbb{A}$ ; hence  $\mathbf{FUN}^{\mathbf{V}}(-, -)$  is also the internal Hom on  $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{V})$ .

## 10 Essentially surjective and fully faithful functors

In this section we first show that essentially surjective and fully faithful morphisms form a factorization system on  $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{V})$ , and then prove that the tensor product (and internal Hom in the presentable case) are compatible with this factorization system.

**Proposition 10.1.** *The essentially surjective and the fully faithful  $\mathbf{V}$ -functors form a factorization system on  $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{V})$ . In other words, any  $\mathbf{V}$ -functor  $F: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  factors essentially uniquely as an essentially surjective functor followed by a fully faithful one.*

The proof relies on some preliminary results:

**Observation 10.2.** Recall that a morphism in  $\mathbf{Spc}$  is an *epimorphism* if it is surjective on  $\pi_0$  and a *monomorphism* if it is an inclusion of connected components. These classes of maps form a factorization system on  $\mathbf{Spc}$  [Luro9, Example 5.2.8.16]. Moreover, the epimorphisms are precisely the effective epimorphisms when we regard  $\mathbf{Spc}$  as an  $\infty$ -topos.

**Proposition 10.3.** *Suppose  $\mathbf{X}$  is an  $\infty$ -topos,  $X_\bullet, Y_\bullet: \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$  are groupoid objects, and  $f: X_\bullet \rightarrow Y_\bullet$  is a map such that the commutative square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & Y_1 \\ (d_1, d_0) \downarrow & & \downarrow (d_1, d_0) \\ X_0^{\times 2} & \xrightarrow{f_0^{\times 2}} & Y_0^{\times 2} \end{array}$$

is cartesian. Then the induced map on colimits

$$f_{-1}: X_{-1} := \text{colim}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}} X_\bullet \longrightarrow \text{colim}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}} Y_\bullet =: Y_{-1}$$

is a monomorphism.

I thank Bastiaan Cnossen and Adrian Clough for explaining the following proof. It is convenient to first spell out a key part of the argument separately:

**Observation 10.4.** Suppose  $\mathbf{X}$  is an  $\infty$ -topos, and we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A' & \longrightarrow & B' & \longrightarrow & C' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \longrightarrow & C \end{array}$$

in  $\mathbf{X}$ , where the left square and the outer square are cartesian, and  $f$  is an effective epimorphism. Then the right square is also cartesian: We want to show that the canonical map  $B' \rightarrow C' \times_C B$  is an equivalence. Since pullback along an effective epimorphism is conservative by [Luro9, Lemma 6.2.3.16] and this map lives over  $B$ , we can check this after pulling back along  $f$ . But then since the left square is cartesian we get the canonical map  $A' \rightarrow C' \times_C A$ , which is an equivalence since the outer square is cartesian.

*Proof of Proposition 10.3.* We want to show that the diagonal  $X_{-1} \rightarrow X_{-1} \times_{Y_{-1}} X_{-1}$  is an equivalence, or equivalently that the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{-1} & \longrightarrow & Y_{-1} \\ \downarrow \Delta & & \downarrow \Delta \\ X_{-1}^{\times 2} & \longrightarrow & Y_{-1}^{\times 2} \end{array}$$

is cartesian.

Since  $\mathbf{X}$  is an  $\infty$ -topos, the map  $X_0 \rightarrow X_{-1}$  is an effective epimorphism and the groupoid object  $X_\bullet$  is effective, which means that the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & \xrightarrow{d_0} & X_0 \\ \downarrow d_1 & & \downarrow \\ X_0 & \longrightarrow & X_{-1} \end{array}$$

is cartesian, and similarly for  $Y_\bullet$ . Equivalently, the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & \longrightarrow & X_{-1} \\ \downarrow (d_1, d_0) & & \downarrow \Delta \\ X_0^{\times 2} & \longrightarrow & X_{-1}^{\times 2} \end{array}$$

is cartesian, so that we have a commutative cube

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_1 & \longrightarrow & Y_1 & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ & X_{-1} & \longrightarrow & Y_{-1} & \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \\ X_0^{\times 2} & \longrightarrow & Y_0^{\times 2} & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \searrow & \\ & X_{-1}^{\times 2} & \longrightarrow & Y_{-1}^{\times 2} & \end{array}$$

where the left, right and back faces are cartesian. Since  $X_0^{\times 2} \rightarrow X_{-1}^{\times 2}$  is an effective epimorphism, the front face is also cartesian by Proposition 10.3.  $\square$

**Corollary 10.5.** *Suppose  $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  is a fully faithful morphism in  $\mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{V})$ . Then  $\iota_0 \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \iota_0 \mathbb{D}$  is a monomorphism in  $\mathbf{Spc}$ .*

*Proof.* By [GH15, Lemma 5.3.5], the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \iota_1 \mathbb{C} & \longrightarrow & \iota_1 \mathbb{D} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \iota_0 \mathbb{C} \times \iota_0 \mathbb{C} & \longrightarrow & \iota_0 \mathbb{D} \times \iota_0 \mathbb{D} \end{array}$$

is cartesian. Since  $\iota_{\bullet}\mathbb{C}$  and  $\iota_{\bullet}\mathbb{D}$  are groupoid objects in the  $\infty$ -topos  $\mathbf{Spc}$ , this implies that the map on colimits  $\iota\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \iota\mathbb{D}$  is a monomorphism by Proposition 10.3.  $\square$

**Lemma 10.6.** *Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be a complete algebroid in  $\mathbf{V}$ , and let  $f: X \rightarrow \iota\mathbb{C}$  be a monomorphism in  $\mathbf{Spc}$ . Then the cartesian transport  $f^*\mathbb{C}$  in  $\mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{V})$  is also complete.*

*Proof.* Consider the commutative triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & & \\ \downarrow & \searrow f & \\ \iota(f^*\mathbb{C}) & \hookrightarrow & \iota\mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

Here the bottom horizontal map is a monomorphism by Corollary 10.5, while the left vertical map is an epimorphism. These maps must therefore give the unique epimorphism/monomorphism factorization of  $f$ . Since  $f$  is by assumption a monomorphism, it follows that  $X \rightarrow \iota(f^*\mathbb{C})$  must be an equivalence, i.e. that  $f^*\mathbb{C}$  is complete.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 10.1.* Since  $\mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{V}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Spc}$  is by definition a cartesian fibration, by [Lur17, Proposition 2.1.2.5] we can lift the epi-/monomorphism factorization system on  $\mathbf{Spc}$  to a factorization system on  $\mathbf{Algd}(\mathbf{V})$  where the left class consists of all morphisms that map to epimorphisms in  $\mathbf{Spc}$  and the right class consists of *cartesian* morphisms over monomorphisms in  $\mathbf{Spc}$ , that is fully faithful morphisms given by monomorphisms on spaces of objects. It follows from Lemma 10.6 that this restricts to a factorization system on the full subcategory  $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{V})$ . The left class clearly consists of the essentially surjective morphisms in  $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{V})$ , so it only remains to observe that the right class consists precisely of the fully faithful ones, since a fully faithful morphism between complete algebroids always lies over a monomorphism in  $\mathbf{Spc}$  by Corollary 10.5.  $\square$

**Lemma 10.7.** *If  $F: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  is an essentially surjective morphism in  $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{V})$ , then so is*

$$F \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{A}}: \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D} \otimes \mathbb{A}$$

for any  $\mathbf{V}$ - $\infty$ -category  $\mathbb{A}$ .

*Proof.* By construction of  $\otimes$  on  $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{V})$ , it is given by pushing forward the external tensor product in  $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{V})$  along the tensor product functor  $\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{V}$  and then completing. We therefore have a commutative square of  $\infty$ -groupoids

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \iota\mathbb{C} \times \iota\mathbb{A} & \longrightarrow & \iota\mathbb{D} \times \iota\mathbb{A} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \iota(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{A}) & \longrightarrow & \iota(\mathbb{D} \otimes \mathbb{A}) \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are surjective on  $\pi_0$ . Moreover, the top horizontal map is surjective on  $\pi_0$  since  $\pi_0$  preserves products. It follows that the bottom horizontal map is also surjective on  $\pi_0$ , i.e.  $F \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{A}}$  is essentially surjective.  $\square$

**Corollary 10.8.** *Let  $\mathbf{V}$  be a presentably  $\mathbb{E}_{n+1}$ -monoidal  $\infty$ -category for  $n \geq 2$ . If a  $\mathbf{V}$ -functor  $F: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  is a fully faithful morphism in  $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{V})$ , then so is*

$$F_*: \mathbf{FUN}^{\mathbf{V}}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{FUN}^{\mathbf{V}}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{D})$$

for any  $\mathbf{V}$ - $\infty$ -category  $\mathbb{A}$ .

*Proof.* Since essentially surjective and fully faithful  $\mathbf{V}$ -functors form a factorization system on  $\mathbf{Cat}(\mathbf{V})$ , it suffices to show that any commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{I} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{FUN}^{\mathbf{V}}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{C}) \\ G \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow F_* \\ \mathbb{J} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{FUN}^{\mathbf{V}}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{D}) \end{array}$$

where  $G$  is essentially surjective, has an essentially unique diagonal filler. By adjunction, this is equivalent to showing there is an essentially unique filler in the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{I} \boxtimes \mathbb{A} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} \\ G \boxtimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{A}} \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow F \\ \mathbb{J} \boxtimes \mathbb{A} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{D}. \end{array}$$

This follows since here the right vertical morphism is fully faithful and the left vertical morphism is essentially surjective by Lemma 10.7.  $\square$

## References

- [CH20] Hongyi Chu and Rune Haugseng, *Enriched  $\infty$ -operads*, Adv. Math. **361** (2020), 106913, 85, available at [arXiv:1707.08049](https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.08049).
- [CH22] ———, *Free algebras through Day convolution*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **22** (2022), no. 7, 3401–3458, available at [arXiv:2006.08269](https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.08269).
- [CH23] ———, *Enriched homotopy-coherent structures* (2023), available at [arXiv:2308.11502](https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.11502).
- [DS11] Daniel Dugger and David I. Spivak, *Mapping spaces in quasi-categories*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **11** (2011), no. 1, 263–325.
- [GH15] David Gepner and Rune Haugseng, *Enriched  $\infty$ -categories via non-symmetric  $\infty$ -operads*, Adv. Math. **279** (2015), 575–716, available at [arXiv:1312.3178](https://arxiv.org/abs/1312.3178).
- [Gla16] Saul Glasman, *Day convolution for  $\infty$ -categories*, Math. Res. Lett. **23** (2016), no. 5, 1369–1385, available at [arXiv:1308.4940](https://arxiv.org/abs/1308.4940).
- [HHLN23] Rune Haugseng, Fabian Hebestreit, Sil Linskens, and Joost Nuiten, *Lax monoidal adjunctions, two-variable fibrations and the calculus of mates*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **127** (2023), no. 4, 889–957, available at [arXiv:2011.08808](https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.08808).

- [Hei18] Hadrian Heine, *Restricted  $L_\infty$ -algebras* (2018). Ph.D. thesis, University of Osnabrück. Available at <https://repositorium.ub.uni-osnabrueck.de/handle/urn:nbn:de:gbv:700-201909201996>.
- [Hei23] ———, *An equivalence between enriched  $\infty$ -categories and  $\infty$ -categories with weak action*, *Adv. Math.* **417** (2023), Paper No. 108941, 140, available at [arXiv:2009.02428](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.02428).
- [Joy08] André Joyal, *The theory of quasi-categories and its applications*, Advanced course on simplicial methods in higher categories, CRM Quaderns, vol. 45-2, 2008, available at <http://mat.uab.cat/~kock/crm/hocat/advanced-course/Quadern45-2.pdf>.
- [Lur09] Jacob Lurie, *Higher Topos Theory*, *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, vol. 170, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009. Available from <http://math.ias.edu/~lurie/>.
- [Lur17] ———, *Higher Algebra*, 2017. Available at <http://math.ias.edu/~lurie/>.
- [Rez01] Charles Rezk, *A model for the homotopy theory of homotopy theory*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **353** (2001), no. 3, 973–1007.
- [Tor21] Takeshi Torii, *On duoidal  $\infty$ -categories* (2021), available at [arXiv:2106.14121](https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.14121).