

# Pair circulas modelling for multivariate circular time series\*

Hiroaki Ogata

November 23, 2023

## Abstract

Modelling multivariate circular time series is considered. The cross-sectional and serial dependence is described by circulas, which are analogs of copulas for circular distributions. In order to obtain a simple expression of the dependence structure, we decompose a multivariate circula density to a product of several pair circula densities. Moreover, to reduce the number of pair circula densities, we consider strictly stationary multi-order Markov processes. The real data analysis, in which the proposed model is fitted to multivariate time series wind direction data is also given.

## 1 Introduction

Circular data stands for the data that take its value on a unit circle. The typical example is a directional data. The direction is expressed as an angle from a certain origin point, and it can be represented by a point on a unit circle. The directional data is observed in many research field. The wind direction is observed in environmental science or meteorology, the movement direction of a certain animal is recorded in biology, the direction of river flow is considered in geography, to name a few. Due to its periodic feature, we cannot apply the usual statistical techniques, such as arithmetic mean, multiplication etc., to the circular data. Therefore, the specific treatment ought to be applied. As introductions of the circular statistics, we can refer to Jammalamadaka and Sengupta (2001) and Mardia and Jupp (2009), for example.

---

\*The research reported herein was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 18K11193.

In practice, the circular data is often the time series data, too. The wind direction data observed during a certain time period is one of the examples. For statistical modelling of circular time series data, Breckling (1989) introduced the von Mises process and the wrapped autoregressive process. Fisher and Lee (1994) proposed the projected Gaussian process and the processes derived using link functions. Wehrly and Johnson (1980) proposed the stationary circular Markov process and Abe et al. (2017) elucidated the structure of the circular autocorrelation function of this model. Ogata and Shiohama (2023) extended the circular Markov model considered in Abe et al. (2017) to a multi-order circular Markov process, in which the conditional distribution given by all past values depends on not only the previous value but also several adjacent past values.

More generally, we can consider the multivariate circular time series data, such as the wind direction data at several different points observed during a certain time period. In this paper, we attempt to model the multivariate circular time series. It is accomplished by use of the circula, which is an analog of the copula for circular distribution. The concept of this circular version copula originally come from Wehrly and Johnson (1980), and it was named circula by Jones et al. (2015). In order to enable the simple interpretation of the dependence structure, we decompose the multivariate circula to a product of several pair circulas. This technique is similar to that of vine copulas. Readers can refer, e.g., Joe (1996), Bedford and Cooke (2001) and Aas et al. (2009) on several types of vine copulas and related works. In addition, we assume the strictly stationary multi-order circular Markov process to make the model not too large. For linear random variables, Beare and Seo (2015) and Smith (2015) consider the vine copula specifications for stationary multivariate Markov chains and stationary multivariate Markov processes, respectively.

The paper is organized as follows. The construction of multi-order circular Markov process by use of pair circulas is described in Section 2. In Section 3, we fit the second-order circular Markov process to real wind direction data observed at different three locations, and estimate parameters by MCMC.

## 2 Model

Let  $\Theta_t = (\Theta_{1,t}, \dots, \Theta_{m,t})' \in \mathbb{C}^m$  be a continuous-valued circular random vector, observed at times  $t = 1, \dots, T$ , where  $\mathbb{C}$  denote a unit circle. Put the all elements in one column and denote it as  $\Theta = (\Theta'_1, \dots, \Theta'_T)' \in \mathbb{C}^{Tm}$ . For simplicity, we also use another expression  $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_N)' = \Theta$  with  $N = Tm$ , that is,  $\Theta_{j,t} = \Phi_{(t-1)m+j}$ .

For modelling multivariate circular time series, we use the circulas, which are analogs of copulas for circular distributions. A general  $N$ -variate circular density  $f$  on  $\mathbb{C}^N$  can be written by its circula density  $c$  on  $\mathbb{C}^N$  and its marginal circular density and distribution functions  $f_j, F_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, N$ ) on  $\mathbb{C}$ , as

$$f(\phi) = (2\pi)^N c(v_1, \dots, v_N) \prod_{i=1}^N f_i(\phi_i). \quad (1)$$

Here,  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_N)' = (2\pi F_1(\phi_1), \dots, 2\pi F_N(\phi_N))' = (\psi'_1, \dots, \psi'_T)' = \boldsymbol{\psi}$  where  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_t = (\psi_{1,t}, \dots, \psi_{m,t})'$  is a vector for time  $t$ . The all marginal distributions of circula are circular uniform distributions, that is

$$c(v_j) = \int_{[0, 2\pi)^{N-1}} c(v_1, \dots, v_N) d\mathbf{v}_{-j} = \frac{1}{2\pi}$$

where  $\mathbf{v}_{-j}$  indicates the vector excluding  $j$ th component from  $\mathbf{v}$ . Furthermore, the circula density requires the periodicity:

$$c(v_1 + 2k_1\pi, \dots, v_N + 2k_N\pi) = c(v_1, \dots, v_N), \quad k_j \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, N.$$

Due to this periodicity, the circula is different from the rescaled linear copula density. The bivariate case is introduced in Jones et al. (2015). The expression (1) is its direct extension to general  $N$ -dimensional case.

Hereafter, for  $a \geq b$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_{a:b}$  indicates the vector  $(v_a, v_{a-1}, \dots, v_b)'$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{-(a:b)}$  indicates the vector,

excluding  $\mathbf{v}_{a:b}$  from  $\mathbf{v}$ . The joint circula density of  $\mathbf{v}_{a:b}$  is

$$c(\mathbf{v}_{a:b}) = \int_{[0,2\pi]^{N-a+b-1}} c(\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v}_{-(a:b)}$$

is also a circula density. Following the manner of Smith (2015), 'c' and 'C' exclusively denote density functions and distribution functions of circulas.

For  $a - 1 \geq b + 1$ , the conditional circula density of  $v_a$  given  $\mathbf{v}_{a-1:b+1}$ , the conditional circula density of  $v_b$  given  $\mathbf{v}_{a-1:b+1}$ , and conditional joint circula density of  $v_a$  and  $v_b$  given  $\mathbf{v}_{a-1:b+1}$  are

$$f(v_a|\mathbf{v}_{a-1:b+1}) = \frac{c(\mathbf{v}_{a:b+1})}{c(\mathbf{v}_{a-1:b+1})}, \quad (2)$$

$$f(v_b|\mathbf{v}_{a-1:b+1}) = \frac{c(\mathbf{v}_{a-1:b})}{c(\mathbf{v}_{a-1:b+1})}, \quad (3)$$

$$f(v_a, v_b|\mathbf{v}_{a-1:b+1}) = \frac{c(\mathbf{v}_{a:b})}{c(\mathbf{v}_{a-1:b+1})} \quad (4)$$

respectively. Note that these conditional circula densities are not circula densities any more because their marginals are not circular uniform. Corresponding conditional circula distribution functions of (2)-(4) are denoted by  $F(v_a|\mathbf{v}_{a-1:b+1})$ ,  $F(v_b|\mathbf{v}_{a-1:b+1})$  and  $F(v_a, v_b|\mathbf{v}_{a-1:b+1})$ , respectively. When  $a - 1 < b + 1$ , we treat them as unconditional ones. For example,  $f(v_a|\mathbf{v}_{a-1:b+1}) = f(v_a) = c(v_a) = (2\pi)^{-1}$ . Strictly speaking, these functions themselves should be also indexed, like  $c_{a:b}(\mathbf{v}_{a:b})$ ,  $f_{a|a-1:b+1}(v_a|\mathbf{v}_{a-1:b+1})$ ,  $f_{a,b|a-1:b+1}(v_a, v_b|\mathbf{v}_{a-1:b+1})$ , and so on, but we omit them for simple expressions.

Now, we consider to decompose the  $N$ -dimensional circula density function  $c$  into several pair circula density functions  $c_{i,j}^*$  as

$$c(\mathbf{v}) = (2\pi)^{-N} \prod_{i=2}^N \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (2\pi)^2 c_{i,j}^* (2\pi F(v_i|\mathbf{v}_{i-1:j+1}), 2\pi F(v_j|\mathbf{v}_{i-1:j+1})). \quad (5)$$

The calculation for (5) is given in Appendix. By this decomposition, we can easily interpret the dependence structure among the variables.

These  $N(N-1)/2$  pair circulas can be grouped together into some blocks in order to distinguish

the serial and cross-sectional dependence. For different time points  $t_1 > t_2$ , define

$$K_{t_1, t_2}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{t_2}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{t_1}) = \prod_{i=a(t_1)}^{b(t_1)} \prod_{j=a(t_2)}^{b(t_2)} (2\pi)^2 c_{i,j}^* (2\pi F(v_i | \mathbf{v}_{i-1:j+1}), 2\pi F(v_j | \mathbf{v}_{i-1:j+1})) \quad (6)$$

and for same time point  $t$ , define

$$K_{t,t}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_t) = \prod_{i=a(t)+1}^{b(t)} \prod_{j=a(t)}^{i-1} (2\pi)^2 c_{i,j}^* (2\pi F(v_i | \mathbf{v}_{i-1:j+1}), 2\pi F(v_j | \mathbf{v}_{i-1:j+1})). \quad (7)$$

Here,  $a(t) = (t-1)m + 1$  and  $b(t) = tm$ . (6) and (7) describe serial and cross-sectional dependence, respectively. Then, the joint circula  $c(\mathbf{v})$  can be expressed as

$$c(\mathbf{v}) = c(\boldsymbol{\psi}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\psi}_T) = \left\{ \prod_{t=1}^T K_{t,t}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_t) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{t=2}^T \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} K_{t,t-i}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{t-i}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\psi}_t) \right\}. \quad (8)$$

When  $T$  is large, the model is too huge to handle because it includes  $N(N-1)/2$  different pair circulas. The remedy for it is to assume the strictly stationary structure. If the process  $\{\Theta_t\}_{t=1, \dots, T}$  is strictly stationary, the dependence structure between  $\Theta_t$  and  $\Theta_{t-k}$  depends on only the time difference  $k$ . Therefore, the expressions  $K_{t,t}$  and  $K_{t,t-k}$  in (8) become  $K_0$  and  $K_k$ , respectively. In addition, if we assume the  $p$ -th order Markov structure,  $\Theta_t$  and  $\Theta_{t-k}$  becomes independent when  $k > p$ , which leads to  $K_k = 1$  for  $k > p$ . In sum, if  $\{\Theta_t\}_{t=1, \dots, T}$  is strictly stationary  $p$ -th order Markov process, the expression of joint circula  $c(\mathbf{v})$  in (8) becomes

$$c(\mathbf{v}) = c(\boldsymbol{\psi}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\psi}_T) = \left\{ \prod_{t=1}^T K_0(\boldsymbol{\psi}_t) \right\} \left\{ \prod_{t=2}^T \prod_{k=1}^{\min(t-1, p)} K_k(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{t-k}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\psi}_t) \right\}, \quad (9)$$

which contains  $m(m-1)/2 + m^2 p$  possibly different pair circula density functions.

## 2.1 Constructing pair circulas

Jones et al. (2015) proposes a way to construct the pair circulas. Let  $\Theta_1$  follow the circular uniform distribution and  $\Omega$  follow a circular distribution with density  $g$ , independently of  $\Theta_1$ . If we define  $\Theta_2 = \Omega + q\Theta_1$ , where  $q \in \{-1, 1\}$  is non-random, then  $\Theta_2$  follows the circular uniform distribution

and the conditional density of  $\Theta_2|\Theta_1 = \theta_1$  is  $g(\theta_2 - q\theta_1)$ . This means we can construct a circular density which links  $\Theta_1$  and  $\Theta_2$  as

$$c(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi}g(\theta_2 - q\theta_1). \quad (10)$$

We call  $g$  the binding density.

If we choose the circular uniform density, which is the most diffuse circular distribution, as the binding density, then the circular density becomes the independent circular, that is,  $c(\theta_1, \theta_2) = (2\pi)^{-2}$ . Conversely, if we choose a highly concentrated binding density, the circular density produces highly dependent structure between two circular random variables. This means the resultant length of the binding density  $g$  can be used as a measure of dependence of the circular  $c$  defined in (10). Refer to Section 2.3 of Jones et al. (2015) for the relationships between the resultant length of the binding density and other pre-existing dependence measures of circular random variables.

### 3 Real data analysis

We fit the multivariate circular stationary Markov process with order  $p = 2$  to wind direction data recorded in Pine Grove, Hood River and Brookings in USA. The data are available from the United States Bureau of Reclamation website<sup>1</sup>. We obtained hourly and quarter-hourly data with the period 6th Feb. 2015 - 7th Feb. 2015 (two days). The numbers of time points are  $T = 48$  for hourly data and  $T = 192$  for quarter-hourly data, respectively. Both are three dimensional data ( $m = 3$ ), therefore, total numbers of observations are  $N = 144$  for hourly data and  $N = 576$  for quarter-hourly data, respectively. The excerpts of these data are shown in Tables 1-2. The histograms (rose diagrams) of the data are given in Figure 1. The locations of the above three weather stations are given in Table 3. Note that Pine Grove and Hood River are very close each other.

---

<sup>1</sup><https://www.usbr.gov/pn/agrimet/webagdayread.html>.

Time index	Time	1. Pine Grove	2. Hood River	3. Brookings
1	Feb. 6 00:00	6.2169	2.4155	2.6791
2	Feb. 6 01:00	5.2639	3.9305	2.8257
3	Feb. 6 02:00	1.5429	0.4655	2.8327
4	Feb. 6 03:00	6.1331	5.8119	2.8938
5	Feb. 6 04:00	3.5448	3.8991	2.8571
6	Feb. 6 05:00	2.1450	2.3562	3.1870
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
43	Feb. 7 18:00	1.3898	1.2634	3.4854
44	Feb. 7 19:00	1.3409	2.1886	2.8135
45	Feb. 7 20:00	0.5227	1.2137	2.9252
46	Feb. 7 21:00	4.7665	5.9324	1.9827
47	Feb. 7 22:00	4.4070	2.5709	1.9076
48	Feb. 7 23:00	3.8153	4.2220	2.0769

Table 1: Hourly wind direction data (radians)

We fit the model (1) with the circula density  $c(\mathbf{v})$  in (9). Concretely, it can be written down

$$f(\phi) = (2\pi)^N \left\{ \prod_{t=1}^T \prod_{i=a(t)+1}^{b(t)} \prod_{j=a(t)}^{i-1} (2\pi)^2 c_{\ell_1, \ell_2, 0, 0}^* (2\pi F(v_i | \mathbf{v}_{i-1:j+1}), 2\pi F(v_j | \mathbf{v}_{i-1:j+1})) \right\} \\ \left\{ \prod_{t=2}^T \prod_{k=1}^{\min(t-1, p)} \prod_{i=a(t)}^{b(t)} \prod_{j=a(t-k)}^{b(t-k)} (2\pi)^2 c_{\ell_1, \ell_2, k, k}^* (2\pi F(v_i | \mathbf{v}_{i-1:j+1}), 2\pi F(v_j | \mathbf{v}_{i-1:j+1})) \right\} \\ \prod_{j=1}^m \prod_{t=1}^T f_j(\theta_{j,t})$$

where  $\ell_1 = i - m(t - 1)$  and  $\ell_2, k = j - m(t - k - 1)$ . Here we set  $p = 2$ . After all, the functions to be specified are given in Table 4. The construction of pair circula densities is done by (10) with  $q = 1$ . Here we use the wrapped Cauchy distribution whose location parameter is zero for binding densities. That is,

$$c_{\ell_1, \ell_2, k, k}^*(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{wC}(\theta_2 - \theta_1; 0, \rho_{\ell_1, \ell_2, k, k})$$

where

$$\text{wC}(\theta; \mu, \rho) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1 - \rho^2}{1 + \rho^2 - 2\rho \cos(\theta - \mu)}.$$

Time index	Time	1. Pine Grove	2. Hood River	3. Brookings
1	Feb. 6 00:00	6.2169	2.4155	2.6791
2	Feb. 6 00:15	6.1261	0.0641	2.7855
3	Feb. 6 00:30	4.9253	0.0134	2.7943
4	Feb. 6 00:45	3.1032	3.4854	2.8641
5	Feb. 6 01:00	5.2639	3.9305	2.8257
6	Feb. 6 01:15	4.2010	3.4278	2.8047
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
187	Feb. 7 22:30	0.5505	2.6861	1.7541
188	Feb. 7 22:45	4.0457	2.6686	1.8884
189	Feb. 7 23:00	3.8153	4.2220	2.0769
190	Feb. 7 23:15	2.6930	0.9488	2.0961
191	Feb. 7 23:30	5.0894	2.1398	2.1328
192	Feb. 7 23:45	0.3925	2.9060	2.2951

Table 2: Quarter-hourly wind direction data (radians)

	1. Pine Grove	2. Hood River	3. Brookings
Latitude	45.65222 N	45.68444 N	42.03 N
Longitude	-121.50916 W	-121.51805 W	-124.24083 W

Table 3: Locations of the three weather stations

For marginal distributions, we fit the wrapped Cauchy distribution with possibly non-zero location parameter:

$$f_j(\theta) = \text{wC}(\theta; \mu_j, \rho_j).$$

The reason of this choice lies in its representability of the circular distribution function. The main burden in computing the pair circulars is the evaluation of the arguments, which include the circular distribution function. Unfortunately, many of preexisting circular models have no analytical forms of the distribution functions, and the wrapped Cauchy is one of the few exceptions. Due to Fisher (1995), the distribution function of the wrapped Cauchy is given by

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \arccos \left( \frac{(1 + \rho^2) \cos(\theta - \mu) - 2\rho}{1 + \rho^2 - 2\rho \cos(\theta - \mu)} \right)$$

We estimate all the parameters by Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method. The setting for MCMC estimation is given in Table 5 and the summary of the posterior distributions for hourly

$f_1$	$f_2$	$f_3$	marginals
$c_{2,1,0}^*$	$c_{3,1,0}^*$	$c_{3,2,0}^*$	controlling cross sectional dependence
$c_{1,1,1}^*$	$c_{1,2,1}^*$	$c_{1,3,1}^*$	controlling serial dependence (lag1)
$c_{2,1,1}^*$	$c_{2,2,1}^*$	$c_{2,3,1}^*$	
$c_{3,1,1}^*$	$c_{3,2,1}^*$	$c_{3,3,1}^*$	
$c_{1,1,2}^*$	$c_{1,2,2}^*$	$c_{1,3,2}^*$	controlling serial dependence (lag2)
$c_{2,1,2}^*$	$c_{2,2,2}^*$	$c_{2,3,2}^*$	
$c_{3,1,2}^*$	$c_{3,2,2}^*$	$c_{3,3,2}^*$	

Table 4: Functions to be specified

Chains	Iteration	Warmup	Thinning	Prior
3	3,000	100	1	Non informative

Table 5: The setting for MCMC estimation

data and quarter-hourly data are given in Table 6.

Let us use a posterior mean as an estimator of the parameters. For lag 0, the posterior mean of  $\rho_{12,0}$  is much larger than those of  $\rho_{13,0}$  and  $\rho_{23,0}$  for both hourly and quarter-hourly data. This implies the cross-sectional dependence between 1. Pine Grove and 2. Hood River are much higher than those between 1. Pine Grove and 3. Brookings, and between 2. Hood River and 3. Brookings. Considering the closeness between 1. Pine Grove and 2. Hood River, the result is convincing. For lag 1, the significant feature is the strong auto-dependence of 3. Brookings, implied by large posterior means of  $\rho_{33,1}$  for both hourly and quarter-hourly data. For lag 2, although the posterior mean of  $\rho_{23,2}$  for hourly data is somewhat large, we cannot find strong auto-dependence for both hourly and quarter-hourly data.

For marginal distributions, the posterior means of  $\rho_3$  are larger than those of  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  for both hourly and quarter-hourly data. This implies the data of 3. Brookings is more concentrated to its mean direction than those of 1. Pine Grove and 2. Hood River. For hourly data, the fitted wrapped Cauchy density functions and histograms in polar representation are displayed in Figure 1. All seem to fit to the data well.

		Hourly			Quarter-hourly			
		mean	sd	median	mean	sd	median	
margi- nals	$\mu_1$	3.4687	1.0307	3.5141	3.7353	1.0635	3.8258	
	$\mu_2$	3.7930	0.7829	3.8284	3.5661	0.7520	3.5586	
	$\mu_3$	2.8958	0.0407	2.8952	2.9306	0.0213	2.9306	
	$\rho_1$	0.1395	0.0911	0.1297	0.0710	0.0461	0.0656	
	$\rho_2$	0.1859	0.0964	0.1864	0.0965	0.0517	0.0954	
	$\rho_3$	0.8260	0.0308	0.8280	0.8270	0.0152	0.8275	
lag 0	$\rho_{12,0}$	0.5488	0.0718	0.5581	0.3692	0.0421	0.3700	
	$\rho_{13,0}$	0.0096	0.0074	0.0081	0.0062	0.0037	0.0058	
	$\rho_{23,0}$	0.0371	0.0227	0.0367	0.0061	0.0037	0.0057	
lag 1	$\rho_{11,1}$	0.0886	0.0696	0.0731	0.1853	0.0490	0.1854	
	$\rho_{12,1}$	0.2610	0.1141	0.2611	0.2736	0.0476	0.2746	
	$\rho_{13,1}$	0.0108	0.0094	0.0082	0.0039	0.0031	0.0031	
	$\rho_{21,1}$	0.2271	0.0876	0.2283	0.1546	0.0557	0.1557	
	$\rho_{22,1}$	0.0871	0.0615	0.0783	0.3103	0.0490	0.3103	
	$\rho_{23,1}$	0.0085	0.0078	0.0063	0.0024	0.0020	0.0019	
	$\rho_{31,1}$	0.0779	0.0649	0.0619	0.0622	0.0420	0.0561	
	$\rho_{32,1}$	0.0760	0.0632	0.0593	0.0450	0.0334	0.0383	
	$\rho_{33,1}$	0.8637	0.0318	0.8671	0.9283	0.0073	0.9288	
	lag 2	$\rho_{11,2}$	0.1055	0.0756	0.0916	0.0234	0.0206	0.0178
		$\rho_{12,2}$	0.0896	0.0662	0.0766	0.0722	0.0437	0.0673
		$\rho_{13,2}$	0.0555	0.0486	0.0419	0.0375	0.0291	0.0310
$\rho_{21,2}$		0.1730	0.1057	0.1633	0.0482	0.0348	0.0419	
$\rho_{22,2}$		0.1010	0.0755	0.0866	0.0447	0.0334	0.0384	
$\rho_{23,2}$		0.2557	0.1137	0.2518	0.0330	0.0263	0.0270	
$\rho_{31,2}$		0.2181	0.1079	0.2129	0.0544	0.0377	0.0484	
$\rho_{32,2}$		0.1026	0.0752	0.0876	0.0466	0.0340	0.0403	
$\rho_{33,2}$		0.1021	0.0787	0.0859	0.0595	0.0399	0.0538	

Table 6: Summary of posterior distributions

## Appendix

The  $N$ -dimensional circular density is decomposed as

$$c(\mathbf{v}) = c(v_1) \prod_{i=2}^N \frac{c(\mathbf{v}_{i:1})}{c(\mathbf{v}_{i-1:1})}. \quad (11)$$

For  $i > j$ , we have

$$\frac{c(\mathbf{v}_{i:j})}{c(\mathbf{v}_{i-1:j})} = \frac{c(\mathbf{v}_{i:j})}{c(\mathbf{v}_{i-1:j+1})} \frac{c(\mathbf{v}_{i-1:j+1})}{c(\mathbf{v}_{i-1:j})} = f(v_i, v_j | \mathbf{v}_{i-1:j+1}) \frac{1}{f(v_j | \mathbf{v}_{i-1:j+1})}$$




Figure 1: Histograms and fitted circular density functions in polar representation. (Left) 1. Pine Grove. (Center) 2. Hood River. (Right) 3. Brookings. All figures are for hourly data.

The conditional bivariate circular density  $f(v_i, v_j | \mathbf{v}_{i-1:j+1})$  is reexpressed with another pair circular density  $c_{i,j}^*$  as

$$f(v_i, v_j | \mathbf{v}_{i-1:j+1}) = (2\pi)^2 c_{i,j}^* (2\pi F(v_i | \mathbf{v}_{i-1:j+1}), 2\pi F(v_j | \mathbf{v}_{i-1:j+1})) f(v_i | \mathbf{v}_{i-1:j+1}) f(v_j | \mathbf{v}_{i-1:j+1}).$$

Therefore, we have the expression

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c(\mathbf{v}_{i:j})}{c(\mathbf{v}_{i-1:j})} &= (2\pi)^2 c_{i,j}^* (2\pi F(v_i | \mathbf{v}_{i-1:j+1}), 2\pi F(v_j | \mathbf{v}_{i-1:j+1})) f(v_i | \mathbf{v}_{i-1:j+1}) \\ &= (2\pi)^2 c_{i,j}^* (2\pi F(v_i | \mathbf{v}_{i-1:j+1}), 2\pi F(v_j | \mathbf{v}_{i-1:j+1})) \frac{c(\mathbf{v}_{i:j+1})}{c(\mathbf{v}_{i-1:j+1})}, \end{aligned}$$

Recursive calculation, and  $c(\mathbf{v}_{i-1:i-1}) = c(v_i) = (2\pi)^{-1}$  leads to

$$\frac{c(\mathbf{v}_{i:1})}{c(\mathbf{v}_{i-1:1})} = (2\pi)^{2i-3} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} c_{i,j}^* (2\pi F(v_i | \mathbf{v}_{i-1:j+1}), 2\pi F(v_j | \mathbf{v}_{i-1:j+1})).$$

Substituting this into (11) together with  $c(v_1) = (2\pi)^{-1}$ , we have the expression (5).

## References

- Aas, K., C. Czado, A. Frigessi, and H. Bakken (2009). Pair-copula constructions of multiple dependence. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics* 44(2), 182–198.
- Abe, T., H. Ogata, T. Shiohama, and H. Taniai (2017). Circular autocorrelation of stationary

- circular markov processes. *Statistical Inference for Stochastic Processes* 20(3), 275–290.
- Beare, B. and J. Seo (2015). Vine copula specifications for stationary multivariate markov chains. *Journal of Time Series Analysis* 36(2), 228–246.
- Bedford, T. and R. Cooke (2001). Probability density decomposition for conditionally dependent random variables modeled by vines. *Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence* 32(1), 245–268.
- Breckling, J. (1989). *The analysis of directional time series: applications to wind speed and direction*, Volume 61 of *Lecture Notes in Statistics*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Fisher, N. (1995). *Statistical Analysis of Circular Data*. Statistical Analysis of Circular Data. Cambridge University Press.
- Fisher, N. and A. Lee (1994). Time series analysis of circular data. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)* 56(2), 327–339.
- Jammalamadaka, S. R. and A. Sengupta (2001). *Topics in circular statistics*, Volume 5. world scientific.
- Joe, H. (1996). Families of  $m$ -variate distributions with given margins and  $m(m - 1)/2$  bivariate dependence parameters. In L. Rüschendorf, B. Schweizer, and M. D. Taylor (Eds.), *Distributions with Fixed Marginals and Related Topics*, Volume 28, Hayward, CA, pp. 120–141. Institute of Mathematical Statistics: Institute of Mathematical Statistics.
- Jones, M., A. Pewsey, and S. Kato (2015). On a class of circulars: copulas for circular distributions. *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics* 67(5), 843–862.
- Mardia, K. V. and P. E. Jupp (2009). *Directional Statistics*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.
- Ogata, H. and T. Shiohama (2023). A mixture transition distribution modeling for higher-order circular markov processes. doi:10.48550/arXiv.2304.00874.
- Smith, M. S. (2015). Copula modelling of dependence in multivariate time series. *International Journal of Forecasting* 31(3), 815–833.

Wehrly, T. E. and R. A. Johnson (1980). Bivariate models for dependence of angular observations and a related markov process. *Biometrika* 67(1), 255–256.