

Axial Symmetry and Refined Spike Profiles of Ground States for Rotating Two-Component Bose Gases

Yongshuai Gao*, and Yong Luo†

School of Mathematics and Statistics,

Hubei key Laboratory of Mathematical Sciences,

Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, P. R. China

November 22, 2023

Abstract

This paper considers ground states of two-component Bose gases confined in an anharmonic trap rotating at the velocity $0 < \Omega < \infty$, where the intraspecies interaction $(-a_1, -a_2)$ and the interspecies interaction $-\beta$ are both attractive, i.e. a_1, a_2 and β are all positive. We prove the axially symmetry and the refined spike profiles of ground states as $\beta \nearrow \beta^* := a^* + \sqrt{(a^* - a_1)(a^* - a_2)}$, where $0 < a_1, a_2 < a^* := \|Q\|_2^2$ are fixed and $Q > 0$ is the unique positive solution of $-\Delta u + u - u^3 = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Keywords: Axial symmetry; Spike profiles; Ground states; Bose gases; Rotational velocity

MSC2020: 35Q40; 35J60; 46N50

1 Introduction

In this paper, we study ground states of the following two coupled rotational Gross-Pitaevskii equations:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_1 + V(x)u_1 + i\Omega(x^\perp \cdot \nabla u_1) = \mu u_1 + a_1|u_1|^2 u_1 + \beta|u_2|^2 u_1 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ -\Delta u_2 + V(x)u_2 + i\Omega(x^\perp \cdot \nabla u_2) = \mu u_2 + a_2|u_2|^2 u_2 + \beta|u_1|^2 u_2 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|u_1|^2 + |u_2|^2) dx = 1, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $x^\perp = (-x_2, x_1)$ holds for $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, and $\mu = \mu(a_1, a_2, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}$ is the chemical potential. The system (1.1) is used to model the rotating two-component Bose gases [16, 27, 29, 30], where $V(x) \geq 0$ is a trapping potential rotating at the velocity $\Omega > 0$, $a_j > 0$ (resp. < 0) represents the attractive (resp. repulsive) intraspecies interaction of cold atoms inside the j^{th} component ($j = 1, 2$), and while $\beta > 0$ (resp. < 0) describes the attractive (resp. repulsive) interspecies interaction of cold atoms between two components.

When there is no rotation for the system, i.e. $\Omega = 0$, (1.1) can be reduced to the real-valued problem. In this case, there are many rich results, including the existence and nonexistence, the limiting behavior, symmetry breaking and the local uniqueness of spike solutions for (1.1), see [5, 19, 21, 31, 34, 37, 38] and the references therein. In particular, the authors recently proved

*Email: ysgao@mails.ccn.u.edu.cn. Y. Gao is partially supported by the Graduate Education Innovation Funds #2022CXZZ088 at Central China Normal University in P. R. China.

†Email: yluo@ccnu.edu.cn. Y. Luo is partially supported by NSFC under Grant 12201231.

in [38] the single speak solutions of (1.1) are cylindrically symmetric and unique for the ring-shaped potential $V(x) = (|x| - 1)^2$.

When there is rotation for the system, i.e. $\Omega > 0$, ground states of (1.1) are no longer real-valued. For the following harmonic trapping potential:

$$V(x) = \lambda_1^2 x_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 x_2^2, \quad \text{where } \lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0,$$

there exist some interesting works [1–4, 15] on the existence, the limiting behavior and the nonexistence of vortices for ground states. Specially, by developing the argument of refined expansions, we recently proved in [15] that the nonexistence of vortices for ground states in the two-component focusing (i.e., $a_1, a_2, \beta > 0$) Bose gases. We remark that the above works mainly focused on the case $0 < \Omega < \Omega^*$, where $\Omega^* := 2 \min\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$ is the critical rotational speed.

In order to investigate the rotating Bose-Einstein condensates at arbitrarily large rotational speed $\Omega > 0$, physicists usually replace the harmonic potential by the following anharmonic potential:

$$V(x) = |x|^4 + \Lambda_1 x_1^2 + \Lambda_2 x_2^2, \quad \text{where } \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.2)$$

As for the rotating Bose-Einstein condensates confined in the potential (1.2), we refer to [8, 13, 14, 26, 32, 35, 36] and the references therein.

Motivated by the above works mentioned [15, 20, 22–24], in the present paper we study ground states of (1.1), where the intraspecies interaction and interspecies interaction are both attractive, i.e., $a_1, a_2, \beta > 0$, and $V(x) \geq 0$ is an anharmonic trapping potential satisfying

$$V(x) = |x|^4 + \frac{\Omega^2 - 8}{4} |x|^2 + 1, \quad \text{where } 0 < \Omega < \infty \text{ is fixed.} \quad (1.3)$$

Under the anharmonic trapping potential (1.3), the system (1.1) can be rewritten as the following form

$$\begin{cases} -\left(\nabla - i\frac{\Omega}{2}x^\perp\right)^2 u_1 + (|x|^2 - 1)^2 u_1 = \mu u_1 + a_1 |u_1|^2 u_1 + \beta |u_2|^2 u_1 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ -\left(\nabla - i\frac{\Omega}{2}x^\perp\right)^2 u_2 + (|x|^2 - 1)^2 u_2 = \mu u_2 + a_2 |u_2|^2 u_2 + \beta |u_1|^2 u_2 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|u_1|^2 + |u_2|^2) dx = 1, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where $-\left(\nabla - i\frac{\Omega}{2}x^\perp\right)^2 = -\Delta + i\Omega x^\perp \cdot \nabla + \frac{\Omega^2}{4}|x|^2$. When $\Omega = 0$ and the ring-shaped potential $(|x|^2 - 1)^2$ is replaced by some potentials $V(x)$ with some non-degenerate critical points, the authors in [19, 21] investigated the existence and uniqueness of the spike solutions for (1.4). One can note that the ring-shaped potential $(|x|^2 - 1)^2$ in (1.4) has infinitely critical points on the sphere $|x| = 1$ and its directional derivative along the tangent direction is always zero at each critical point lying on the sphere $|x| = 1$. Thus, the critical points of the ring-shaped potential $(|x|^2 - 1)^2$ do not satisfy the non-degenerate conditions of [19, 21]. Therefore, the arguments of [19, 21] is not applicable to the analysis of (1.4).

Similar to [21, Proposition A.1], ground states of (1.1) under the anharmonic trapping potential (1.3) can be described equivalently by minimizers of the following complex-valued constraint variational problem:

$$e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta) := \inf_{(u_1, u_2) \in \mathcal{M}} F_{\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta}(u_1, u_2), \quad \Omega > 0, \quad a_1 > 0, \quad a_2 > 0, \quad \beta > 0, \quad (1.5)$$

where the energy functional $F_{\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta}(u_1, u_2)$ is given by

$$F_{\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta}(u_1, u_2) := \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[\left| \left(\nabla - i \frac{\Omega}{2} x^\perp \right) u_j \right|^2 + (|x|^2 - 1)^2 |u_j|^2 - \frac{a_j}{2} |u_j|^4 \right] dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \beta |u_1|^2 |u_2|^2 dx, \quad (1.6)$$

and the space \mathcal{M} is defined as

$$\mathcal{M} := \left\{ (u_1, u_2) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} : \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|u_1|^2 + |u_2|^2) dx = 1 \right\}. \quad (1.7)$$

Here $(iu_j, \nabla u_j) = i(u_j \nabla \bar{u}_j - \bar{u}_j \nabla u_j)/2$, and

$$\mathcal{H} := \left\{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|x|^2 - 1)^2 |u(x)|^2 dx < \infty \right\}.$$

In order to study ground states of (1.1), we shall therefore focus on minimizers of (1.5) in this paper.

Throughout the whole paper, we always use $Q = Q(|x|) > 0$ (cf. [17, 28]) to denote the unique positive solution of the following equation

$$-\Delta u + u - u^3 = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}), \quad (1.8)$$

and denote $\beta^* > 0$ by

$$\beta^* := \beta^*(a_1, a_2) = a^* + \sqrt{(a^* - a_1)(a^* - a_2)}, \quad 0 < a_1, a_2 < a^* := \|Q\|_2^2. \quad (1.9)$$

When the trapping potential $V(x) \geq 0$ satisfies (1.3), it follows immediately from [15, Theorems 2.1 and 2.2] the following existence and nonexistence of minimizers for $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$:

Theorem A.1 (Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 in [15]) *Suppose $V(x)$ satisfies (1.3). Then for any given $0 < \Omega < \infty$, $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$ admits minimizers if and only if $0 < a_1, a_2 < a^*$ and $0 < \beta < \beta^*$.*

Let $(u_{1\beta}, u_{2\beta})$ be a minimizer of $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$, we then obtain from the variational theory that $(u_{1\beta}, u_{2\beta})$ solves the following Euler-Lagrange system

$$\begin{cases} -\left(\nabla - i \frac{\Omega}{2} x^\perp \right)^2 u_{1\beta} + (|x|^2 - 1)^2 u_{1\beta} = \mu_\beta u_{1\beta} + a_1 |u_{1\beta}|^2 u_{1\beta} + \beta |u_{2\beta}|^2 u_{1\beta} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ -\left(\nabla - i \frac{\Omega}{2} x^\perp \right)^2 u_{2\beta} + (|x|^2 - 1)^2 u_{2\beta} = \mu_\beta u_{2\beta} + a_2 |u_{2\beta}|^2 u_{2\beta} + \beta |u_{1\beta}|^2 u_{2\beta} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|u_{1\beta}|^2 + |u_{2\beta}|^2) dx = 1, \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

where $\mu_\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ is a suitable Lagrange multiplier and satisfies

$$\mu_\beta = e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{a_1}{2} |u_{1\beta}|^4 + \frac{a_2}{2} |u_{2\beta}|^4 + \beta |u_{1\beta}|^2 |u_{2\beta}|^2 \right) dx. \quad (1.11)$$

Similar to the arguments of (3.12) and (3.13) in [15], one can deduce that

$$\mu_\beta \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_{j\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \rightarrow \infty \quad (j = 1, 2) \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*. \quad (1.12)$$

The main purpose of this paper is to investigate *the axial symmetry and the refined spike profiles of minimizers for (1.5) as $\beta \nearrow \beta^*$.*

1.1 Main results

In this subsection, we shall introduce the main results of the present paper. For convenience, we denote $\gamma_j > 0$ and $\alpha_\beta > 0$ by

$$\gamma_j := 1 - \frac{\sqrt{a^* - a_j}}{\sqrt{a^* - a_1} + \sqrt{a^* - a_2}} \in (0, 1), \quad 0 < a_1, a_2 < a^*, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (1.13)$$

and

$$\alpha_\beta := \left(\frac{8\gamma_1\gamma_2(\beta^* - \beta)}{(\Omega^2 + 8) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 Q^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} > 0, \quad 0 < \beta < \beta^*. \quad (1.14)$$

Using the above notations, our first result is to prove the following limiting behavior of minimizers for $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$ as $\beta \nearrow \beta^*$.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose $V(x)$ satisfies (1.3), and assume $0 < \Omega < \infty$. Let $(u_{1\beta}, u_{2\beta})$ be a complex-valued minimizer of $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$, where $0 < a_1, a_2 < a^*$ and $0 < \beta < \beta^*$. Then for $j = 1, 2$, we have*

$$\tilde{v}_{j\beta}(x) := \sqrt{a^*} \alpha_\beta u_{j\beta}(\alpha_\beta x + x_\beta) e^{-i\left(\frac{\alpha_\beta \Omega}{2} x \cdot x_\beta^\perp - \tilde{\theta}_{j\beta}\right)} \rightarrow \sqrt{\gamma_j} Q(x) \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^* \quad (1.15)$$

strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$, where $\alpha_\beta > 0$ is given by (1.14), $\gamma_j \in (0, 1)$ is as in (1.13), $\tilde{\theta}_{j\beta} \in [0, 2\pi)$ is a properly chosen constant, and $x_\beta \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is the unique maximal point of $|u_{1\beta}|^2 + |u_{2\beta}|^2$ and satisfies

$$x_\beta \rightarrow P_0 \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^* \quad (1.16)$$

for some $P_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ satisfying $|P_0| = 1$.

Theorem 1.1 tells us that the minimizers concentrate at a minimal point P_0 of the potential $(|x|^2 - 1)^2$. Due to the degeneracy of the potential $(|x|^2 - 1)^2$, it is difficult to obtain the blow up rate by applying the energy estimate methods used in [21, 25]. To overcome this difficulty, we shall prove (1.15) by applying Pohozaev identities. We remark that our method is more simpler and direct than the energy estimate methods used in [21, 25].

Based on Theorem 1.1, the second result of this paper is the axial symmetry of minimizers for $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$, which is stated as the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose $V(x)$ satisfies (1.3), and assume $0 < \Omega < \infty$ and $0 < a_1, a_2 < a^*$ are fixed. Let $(u_{1\beta}, u_{2\beta})$ be a complex-valued minimizer of $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$ and $x_\beta \in \mathbb{R}^2$ be the unique maximal point of $|u_{1\beta}|^2 + |u_{2\beta}|^2$. Then up to the constant phase, the minimizer $(u_{1\beta}, u_{2\beta})$ must be axially symmetric with respect to the Ox_β -line when $\beta^* - \beta > 0$ is small enough.*

To the best of our knowledge, there are few works prove the symmetry of complex-valued solutions about the equation involved magnetic Laplacian, see [6, 7]. However, their methods is not applicable to deal with ring-shaped potentials since it is not monotonic. Moreover, it is hard to use the symmetry argument about (1.1) with $\Omega = 0$ in [38] to deal with our case $\Omega > 0$. As far as we know, this seems the first work on the axial symmetry of solutions for the system of GP equations.

Remark 1.1. As a byproduct, we obtain the local uniqueness of minimizers in the sense that up to the constant phase and the rotational transformation, there is a unique minimizer $(u_{1\beta}, u_{2\beta})$ of $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$ when $\beta^* - \beta > 0$ is small enough, see details in Proposition 3.1. Without loss

of generality, we may assume that the unique maximal point x_β of $|u_{1\beta}|^2 + |u_{2\beta}|^2$ lies on the x_2 -axis, i.e., $x_\beta = (0, p_\beta)$, then the axially symmetric in Theorem 1.2 means that

$$(u_{1\beta}(-x_1, x_2), u_{2\beta}(-x_1, x_2)) \equiv (u_{1\beta}(x)e^{i\phi_{1\beta}}, u_{2\beta}(x)e^{i\phi_{2\beta}})$$

for some constant phase $(\phi_{1\beta}, \phi_{2\beta}) \in [0, 2\pi) \times [0, 2\pi)$ when $\beta^* - \beta > 0$ is small enough.

Main idea of proving Theorem 1.2. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is divided into two steps.

In the first step, we shall prove in Proposition 3.1 that up to the constant phase and rotational transformation, the minimizers of $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$ must be unique when $\beta^* - \beta > 0$ is small enough. Motivated by [15, 20, 22, 23], we shall achieve it by constructing various Pohozaev identities and employing the non-degeneracy of (1.8). Compared with [18, 33, 38], since $(|x|^2 - 1)^2$ is degenerate, one cannot obtain the useful information from the Pohozaev identities corresponding to the tangent direction of the sphere $|x| = 1$. We shall overcome this difficulty by making full use of the rotational invariance of the system (1.1) and the new transformation (3.7).

Let $(u_{1\beta}, u_{2\beta})$ be a minimizer of $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume the unique maximal point x_β of $|u_{1\beta}|^2 + |u_{2\beta}|^2$ lies on the x_2 -axis, i.e., $x_\beta = (0, p_\beta)$. In the second step, we shall prove that $(u_{1\beta}(-x_1, x_2), u_{2\beta}(-x_1, x_2))$ is also a minimizer of $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$. Following Proposition 3.1, we finally obtain that

$$(u_{1\beta}(-x_1, x_2), u_{2\beta}(-x_1, x_2)) \equiv (u_{1\beta}(x)e^{i\phi_{1\beta}}, u_{2\beta}(x)e^{i\phi_{2\beta}})$$

for some constant phase $(\phi_{1\beta}, \phi_{2\beta}) \in [0, 2\pi) \times [0, 2\pi)$ when $\beta^* - \beta > 0$ is small enough. This implies that Theorem 1.2 holds true.

The third result of this paper is the refined spike profiles of minimizers for $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$ in terms of α_β as $\beta \nearrow \beta^*$, where $\alpha_\beta > 0$ is as in (1.14). For convenience, we denote $\psi_1(x) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$ to be the unique solution of the following equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\psi_1(x) + \psi_1(x) - Q^2\psi_1(x) - 2\operatorname{Re}e(Q\psi_1(x))Q = -\left(\frac{\Omega^2}{4}|x|^2 + 4x_2^2\right)Q & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \nabla\operatorname{Re}e(\psi_1(0)) = 0, \quad \operatorname{Re}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\psi_1(iQ) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.17)$$

where $Q > 0$ is the unique positive solution of (1.8). We also denote $C_1(x)$ and $C_2(x)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(x) &:= \frac{B_2}{4B_1}(Q + x \cdot \nabla Q), \\ C_2(x) &:= \frac{8B_1^2B_4 - 7B_2^2}{32B_1^2}(Q + x \cdot \nabla Q) + \frac{B_2^2}{32B_1^2}x^T(\nabla^2 Q)x + \frac{B_2^2}{16B_1^2}(x \cdot \nabla Q) + \psi_1(x), \end{aligned} \quad (1.18)$$

where $Q > 0$ is the unique positive solution of (1.8), $\psi_1(x) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$ is the unique solution of (1.17), and B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4 are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &:= \frac{\Omega^2 + 8}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 Q^2, \quad B_2 := 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|x|^4 + 4A|x|^2) Q^2, \\ B_3 &:= 3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{\Omega^2}{4}|x|^2 + 4x_2^2\right) Q\psi_1, \quad B_4 := \frac{B_3}{B_1} + \frac{(1 - 4\gamma_1\gamma_2)B_1}{(2\beta^* - a_1 - a_2)4\gamma_1^2\gamma_2^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.19)$$

Here $A < 0$ is given by

$$A := -\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 Q^2 dx}{a^*} < 0, \quad (1.20)$$

and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in (0, 1)$ are as in (1.13). Furthermore, we denote $\rho_{j\beta} > 0$ by

$$\rho_{j\beta} := \sqrt{\frac{a^*(\beta - a_m)}{\beta^2 - a_1 a_2}} > 0 \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad j, m = 1, 2 \text{ and } j \neq m. \quad (1.21)$$

Then we have the following refined spike profiles of minimizers.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose $V(x)$ satisfies (1.3), and assume $0 < \Omega < \infty$. Let $(u_{1\beta}, u_{2\beta})$ be the unique complex-valued minimizer of $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$, where $0 < a_1, a_2 < a^*$ and $0 < \beta < \beta^*$. Then we have for $j = 1, 2$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v}_{j\beta}(x) &:= \sqrt{a^*} \alpha_\beta u_{j\beta}(\alpha_\beta x + x_\beta) e^{-i\left(\frac{\alpha_\beta \Omega}{2} x \cdot x_\beta^\perp - \tilde{\theta}_{j\beta}\right)} \\ &= \rho_{j\beta} \left(Q(x) + \alpha_\beta^2 C_1(x) + \alpha_\beta^4 C_2(x) \right) + o(\alpha_\beta^4) \text{ in } L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \end{aligned} \quad (1.22)$$

where $\alpha_\beta > 0$ is given by (1.14), $x_\beta \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is the unique maximal point of $|u_{1\beta}|^2 + |u_{2\beta}|^2$ and satisfies (1.16), $\tilde{\theta}_{j\beta} \in [0, 2\pi)$ is a properly chosen constant, and $\rho_{j\beta} > 0$ is as in (1.21). Here the functions $C_1(x)$ and $C_2(x)$ are defined by (1.18), and B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4 are as in (1.19).

We remark that the second term $\alpha_\beta^2 C_1(x)$ of the expansion is bigger than those in [15, 22] due to the degeneracy of the ring-shaped potentials. Theorem 1.3 provides a more refined characterization of minimizers for $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$ as $\beta \nearrow \beta^*$. Its proof needs the refined expansions of $x_\beta, v_{j\beta}(x)$ and ε_β , where $v_{j\beta}(x)$ and ε_β are as in (2.9) and (2.7) below, respectively, see Lemmas 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 for details. Moreover, we shall use the Pohozaev identity about the magnetic translation to estimate the global maximal point x_β of $|u_{1\beta}|^2 + |u_{2\beta}|^2$. It should point out that our method of deriving the expansion is more efficient than [15, 22].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we shall complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 on the limiting behavior of minimizers for $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$. Applying the limiting behavior of minimizers for $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$ in Theorem 1.1, we shall complete the proof of Theorem 1.2 on the axial symmetry of minimizers for $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$ in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to proving Theorem 1.3 on the refined spike profiles of minimizers for $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$ as $\beta \nearrow \beta^*$.

2 Limiting Behavior of Minimizers

In this section, we shall address the proof of Theorem 1.1 on the limiting behavior of minimizers for $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$ as $\beta \nearrow \beta^*$, where $0 < \Omega < \infty$ and $0 < a_1, a_2 < a^*$ are fixed. Towards this purpose, we first recall from [9, Lemma 8.1.2] and [17, Proposition 4.1] that the unique positive solution Q of the equation (1.8) satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} Q^2 dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} Q^4 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla Q|^2 dx, \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$Q(x), |\nabla Q(x)| = O(|x|^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-|x|}) \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.2)$$

Recall also from [10, 11] that Q is non-degenerate in the sense that its linearized operator

$$\mathcal{L} := -\Delta + 1 - Q^2 - 2\text{Re}(Q \cdot)Q \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) \quad (2.3)$$

satisfies

$$\ker \mathcal{L} = \text{span} \left\{ iQ, \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_2} \right\}. \quad (2.4)$$

We now introduce the following system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_1 + u_1 - \frac{a_1}{a^*} u_1^3 - \frac{\beta}{a^*} u_2^2 u_1 = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ -\Delta u_2 + u_2 - \frac{a_2}{a^*} u_2^3 - \frac{\beta}{a^*} u_1^2 u_2 = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \end{cases} \quad (u_1, u_2) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}), \quad (2.5)$$

where $a_1, a_2 > 0$ and $\beta > \max\{a_1, a_2\}$. It then follows from [37, Theorem 1.3] that (2.5) admits a unique positive solution $(Q_{1\beta}, Q_{2\beta})$ (i.e., $Q_{1\beta} > 0$ and $Q_{2\beta} > 0$) satisfying

$$(Q_{1\beta}, Q_{2\beta}) = (\rho_{1\beta} Q, \rho_{2\beta} Q), \quad (2.6)$$

where $\rho_{j\beta} > 0$ is given by (1.21), and Q is the unique positive solution of the equation (1.8).

Let $(u_{1\beta}, u_{2\beta})$ be a minimizer of $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$, where $0 < \Omega < \infty$, $0 < a_1, a_2 < a^*$ and $0 < \beta < \beta^*$. Recall from (1.12) that the Lagrange multiplier μ_β in (1.11) satisfies $\mu_\beta \rightarrow -\infty$ as $\beta \nearrow \beta^*$. Denote

$$\varepsilon_\beta := \sqrt{\frac{1}{-\mu_\beta}} > 0 \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad (2.7)$$

so that

$$\varepsilon_\beta \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*. \quad (2.8)$$

Define

$$v_{j\beta}(x) := \sqrt{a^*} \varepsilon_\beta u_{j\beta}(\varepsilon_\beta x + x_\beta) e^{-i\left(\frac{\varepsilon_\beta \Omega}{2} x \cdot x_\beta^\perp - \theta_{j\beta}\right)}, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (2.9)$$

where $x_\beta \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is a global maximal point of $|u_{1\beta}|^2 + |u_{2\beta}|^2$, and the constant phase $\theta_{j\beta} \in [0, 2\pi)$ is chosen such that

$$\|v_{j\beta} - \sqrt{\gamma_j} Q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \min_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} \|\sqrt{a^*} \varepsilon_\beta u_{j\beta}(\varepsilon_\beta x + x_\beta) e^{-i\left(\frac{\varepsilon_\beta \Omega}{2} x \cdot x_\beta^\perp - \theta\right)} - \sqrt{\gamma_j} Q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \quad (2.10)$$

where $\gamma_j \in (0, 1)$ is given by (1.13). It then follows from (2.10) that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v_{j\beta}(iQ) \right) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (2.11)$$

Similar to the arguments of proving [15, Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5], one can derive from (2.8)–(2.11) that

$$v_{j\beta}(x) \rightarrow \sqrt{\gamma_j} Q(x) \text{ strongly in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (2.12)$$

and the global maximal point $x_\beta \in \mathbb{R}^2$ of $|u_{1\beta}|^2 + |u_{2\beta}|^2$ is unique and satisfies

$$x_\beta \rightarrow P_0 \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^* \quad (2.13)$$

for some $P_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ satisfying $|P_0| = 1$. Note that the system (1.1) is invariant under the rotational transformation. Without loss of generality, we may assume that x_β lies on the x_2 -axis, i.e., $x_\beta = (0, p_\beta)$. Following (1.10), one can deduce that $(v_{1\beta}(x), v_{2\beta}(x))$ defined by (2.9) satisfies the following system

$$\begin{cases} -\left(\nabla - i\frac{\varepsilon_\beta^2 \Omega}{2} x^\perp\right)^2 v_{1\beta} + \left[\varepsilon_\beta^2 (|\varepsilon_\beta x + x_\beta|^2 - 1)\right]^2 \\ + 1 - \frac{a_1}{a^*} |v_{1\beta}|^2 - \frac{\beta}{a^*} |v_{2\beta}|^2 \Big] v_{1\beta} = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ -\left(\nabla - i\frac{\varepsilon_\beta^2 \Omega}{2} x^\perp\right)^2 v_{2\beta} + \left[\varepsilon_\beta^2 (|\varepsilon_\beta x + x_\beta|^2 - 1)\right]^2 \\ + 1 - \frac{a_2}{a^*} |v_{2\beta}|^2 - \frac{\beta}{a^*} |v_{1\beta}|^2 \Big] v_{2\beta} = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2. \end{cases} \quad (2.14)$$

By the comparison principle, one can derive from (2.12)–(2.14) that

$$|v_{j\beta}(x)| \leq Ce^{-\frac{2}{3}|x|}, \quad |\nabla v_{j\beta}(x)| \leq Ce^{-\frac{1}{2}|x|} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (2.15)$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of $0 < \beta < \beta^*$.

We now rewrite $v_{j\beta}(x)$ as

$$v_{j\beta}(x) := \sqrt{a^*} \varepsilon_\beta u_{j\beta}(\varepsilon_\beta x + x_\beta) e^{-i\left(\frac{\varepsilon_\beta \Omega}{2} x \cdot x_\beta^\perp - \theta_{j\beta}\right)} = \rho_{j\beta} Q(x) + \hat{u}_{j\beta}(x), \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (2.16)$$

where $\rho_{j\beta} > 0$ is as in (1.21). Note that $\rho_{j\beta} \rightarrow \sqrt{\gamma_j}$ as $\beta \nearrow \beta^*$, where $\gamma_j \in (0, 1)$ is defined by (1.13). We then obtain from (2.12) that

$$\|\hat{u}_{j\beta}(x)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (2.17)$$

Following (2.5), (2.6) and (2.14), we derive from (2.16) that $(\hat{u}_{1\beta}, \hat{u}_{2\beta})$ satisfies the following system

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{1\beta}(\hat{u}_{1\beta}, \hat{u}_{2\beta}) = F_{1\beta}(x) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \mathcal{L}_{2\beta}(\hat{u}_{2\beta}, \hat{u}_{1\beta}) = F_{2\beta}(x) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \end{cases} \quad (2.18)$$

where the operators $\mathcal{L}_{1\beta}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2\beta}$ are given by

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{1\beta}(\phi_1, \phi_2) := -\Delta\phi_1 + \phi_1 - \frac{a_1}{a^*}|Q_{1\beta}|^2\phi_1 - \frac{2a_1}{a^*}Re(Q_{1\beta}\phi_1)Q_{1\beta} \\ \quad - \frac{\beta}{a^*}|Q_{2\beta}|^2\phi_1 - \frac{2\beta}{a^*}Re(Q_{2\beta}\phi_2)Q_{1\beta} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \mathcal{L}_{2\beta}(\phi_2, \phi_1) := -\Delta\phi_2 + \phi_2 - \frac{a_2}{a^*}|Q_{2\beta}|^2\phi_2 - \frac{2a_2}{a^*}Re(Q_{2\beta}\phi_2)Q_{2\beta} \\ \quad - \frac{\beta}{a^*}|Q_{1\beta}|^2\phi_2 - \frac{2\beta}{a^*}Re(Q_{1\beta}\phi_1)Q_{2\beta} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \end{cases} \quad (2.19)$$

and $F_{j\beta}(x)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} F_{j\beta}(x) := & -\left(\frac{\varepsilon_\beta^4 \Omega^2}{4}|x|^2 + \varepsilon_\beta^2(|\varepsilon_\beta x + x_\beta|^2 - 1)^2\right)\rho_{j\beta}Q - i\varepsilon_\beta^2 \Omega(x^\perp \cdot \nabla \hat{u}_{j\beta}) \\ & - \left(\frac{\varepsilon_\beta^4 \Omega^2}{4}|x|^2 + \varepsilon_\beta^2(|\varepsilon_\beta x + x_\beta|^2 - 1)^2\right)\hat{u}_{j\beta} \\ & + \frac{a_j}{a^*}|\hat{u}_{j\beta}|^2\hat{u}_{j\beta} + \frac{2a_j}{a^*}Re(\rho_{j\beta}Q\hat{u}_{j\beta})\hat{u}_{j\beta} + \frac{a_j}{a^*}|\hat{u}_{j\beta}|^2\rho_{j\beta}Q \\ & + \frac{\beta}{a^*}|\hat{u}_{m\beta}|^2\hat{u}_{j\beta} + \frac{2\beta}{a^*}Re(\rho_{m\beta}Q\hat{u}_{m\beta})\hat{u}_{j\beta} + \frac{\beta}{a^*}|\hat{u}_{m\beta}|^2\rho_{j\beta}Q, \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

where $j, m = 1, 2$ and $j \neq m$.

2.1 Refined estimates of $(v_{1\beta}, v_{2\beta})$

In this subsection, we shall derive the refined estimates of $(v_{1\beta}, v_{2\beta})$ defined in (2.9). We first give the estimates of $\hat{u}_{j\beta}(x)$ given by (2.16) as $\beta \nearrow \beta^*$ for $j = 1, 2$.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose $V(x)$ satisfies (1.3), and assume $0 < \Omega < \infty$ and $0 < a_1, a_2 < a^*$ are fixed. Then the function $\hat{u}_{j\beta}(x)$ in (2.16) satisfies*

$$|\hat{u}_{j\beta}(x)| \leq C\varepsilon_\beta^2 e^{-\frac{1}{4}|x|}, \quad |\nabla \hat{u}_{j\beta}(x)| \leq C\varepsilon_\beta^2 e^{-\frac{1}{8}|x|} \quad \text{uniformly in } \mathbb{R}^2 \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (2.21)$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of $0 < \beta < \beta^*$.

Proof. We first claim that

$$\|\hat{u}_{j\beta}(x)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C\varepsilon_\beta^2 \quad \text{uniformly in } \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (2.22)$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of $0 < \beta < \beta^*$. By contradiction, we assume that

$$\lim_{\beta \nearrow \beta^*} \frac{\|\hat{u}_{j\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}}{\varepsilon_\beta^2} = \infty, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (2.23)$$

Define

$$U_{j\beta}(x) := \frac{\hat{u}_{j\beta}(x)}{\max\{\|\hat{u}_{1\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \|\hat{u}_{2\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}\}}, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (2.24)$$

We then obtain from (2.18) and (2.24) that $(U_{1\beta}, U_{2\beta})$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{1\beta}(U_{1\beta}, U_{2\beta}) = \frac{F_{1\beta}(x)}{\max\{\|\hat{u}_{1\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \|\hat{u}_{2\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}\}} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \mathcal{L}_{2\beta}(U_{2\beta}, U_{1\beta}) = \frac{F_{2\beta}(x)}{\max\{\|\hat{u}_{1\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \|\hat{u}_{2\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}\}} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \end{cases} \quad (2.25)$$

where the operators $\mathcal{L}_{1\beta}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2\beta}$ are as in (2.19), and $F_{j\beta}(x)$ is given by (2.20) for $j = 1, 2$. Using the comparison principle, one can derive from (2.2), (2.18)–(2.20) that

$$|\hat{u}_{j\beta}(x)| \leq C\delta_\beta e^{-\frac{2}{3}|x|}, \quad |\nabla \hat{u}_{j\beta}(x)| \leq C\delta_\beta e^{-\frac{1}{2}|x|} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (2.26)$$

where δ_β satisfies $\delta_\beta = o(1)$ as $\beta \nearrow \beta^*$. By (2.2) and (2.26), we deduce from (2.20) that for $j = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|F_{j\beta}(x)|}{\max\{\|\hat{u}_{1\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \|\hat{u}_{2\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}\}} \\ & \leq \frac{C\varepsilon_\beta^2}{\max\{\|\hat{u}_{1\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \|\hat{u}_{2\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}\}} \\ & \quad + C \max\{\|\hat{u}_{1\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \|\hat{u}_{2\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}\} e^{-\frac{2}{3}|x|} \quad \text{uniformly in } \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*. \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

Note from (2.24) that $\|U_{j\beta}(x)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq 1$. Using (2.17), (2.23) and (2.27), the elliptic regularity theory then yields from (2.25) that there exists a constant $C > 0$, independent of $0 < \beta < \beta^*$, such that $\|U_{j\beta}\|_{C_{loc}^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})} \leq C$ for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $j = 1, 2$. Therefore, one can deduce that up to a subsequence if necessary,

$$U_{j\beta} \rightarrow U_{j0} \quad \text{in } C_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (2.28)$$

where (U_{10}, U_{20}) satisfies the following system

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_1(U_{10}, U_{20}) := -\Delta U_{10} + U_{10} - \frac{a_1\gamma_1}{a^*}Q^2U_{10} - \frac{2a_1\gamma_1}{a^*}Re(QU_{10})Q \\ \quad - \frac{\beta^*\gamma_2}{a^*}Q^2U_{10} - \frac{2\beta^*\sqrt{\gamma_1\gamma_2}}{a^*}Re(QU_{20})Q = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \mathcal{L}_2(U_{20}, U_{10}) := -\Delta U_{20} + U_{20} - \frac{a_2\gamma_2}{a^*}Q^2U_{20} - \frac{2a_2\gamma_2}{a^*}Re(QU_{20})Q \\ \quad - \frac{\beta^*\gamma_1}{a^*}Q^2U_{20} - \frac{2\beta^*\sqrt{\gamma_1\gamma_2}}{a^*}Re(QU_{10})Q = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2. \end{cases} \quad (2.29)$$

Here $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in (0, 1)$ are as in (1.13). Following [12, Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 3.1], one can derive from (2.29) that

$$\begin{pmatrix} U_{10} \\ U_{20} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ib_0^1 \sqrt{\gamma_1} Q \\ ib_0^2 \sqrt{\gamma_2} Q \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{l=1}^2 b_l \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\gamma_1} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_l} \\ \sqrt{\gamma_2} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_l} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.30)$$

where $b_0^1, b_0^2, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ are some constants.

We now prove that the constants b_0^j, b_j in (2.30) satisfy

$$b_0^j = b_j = 0, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (2.31)$$

By (2.11), we derive from (2.16) and (2.24) that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} U_{j\beta}(iQ) \right) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

We then deduce from (2.28), (2.30) and above that

$$0 = \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} U_{j0}(iQ) \right) = -b_0^j \sqrt{\gamma_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} Q^2, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

which implies that $b_0^j = 0$ for $j = 1, 2$. Note that $x = 0$ is the unique global maximal point of $|v_{1\beta}|^2 + |v_{2\beta}|^2$, we then obtain from (2.16) that

$$0 = \left(\nabla(|v_{1\beta}|^2 + |v_{2\beta}|^2) \right)(0) = \sum_{j=1}^2 \left(\nabla(\rho_{j\beta}^2 Q^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}(\hat{u}_{j\beta} \rho_{j\beta} Q) + |\hat{u}_{j\beta}|^2) \right)(0),$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{j=1}^2 \operatorname{Re}(\rho_{j\beta} \nabla \hat{u}_{j\beta}(0)) = - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^2 \operatorname{Re}(\nabla \hat{u}_{j\beta}(0) \overline{\hat{u}_{j\beta}(0)})}{Q(0)}. \quad (2.32)$$

Using (2.26) and (2.32), we derive from (2.24) that

$$\sum_{j=1}^2 \operatorname{Re}(\rho_{j\beta} \nabla U_{j\beta}(0)) = - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^2 \operatorname{Re}(\nabla \hat{u}_{j\beta}(0) \overline{\hat{u}_{j\beta}(0)})}{Q(0) \cdot \max\{\|\hat{u}_{1\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \|\hat{u}_{2\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}\}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*. \quad (2.33)$$

Combining (2.28), (2.30) with (2.33) then yields that

$$(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) \sum_{l=1}^2 b_l \frac{\partial^2 Q(0)}{\partial x_l \partial x_k} = 0, \quad k = 1, 2,$$

where we also have used the fact that $\rho_{j\beta} \rightarrow \sqrt{\gamma_j} > 0$ as $\beta \nearrow \beta^*$. Since $\det\left(\frac{\partial^2 Q(0)}{\partial x_l \partial x_k}\right) \neq 0$, we derive from above that $b_1 = b_2 = 0$. Therefore, we conclude from above that (2.31) holds true.

Following (2.30) and (2.31), we obtain that $U_{j0} \equiv 0$ in \mathbb{R}^2 , where $j = 1, 2$. On the other hand, using the comparison principle, we derive from (2.23), (2.25) and (2.27) that there exists a constant $C > 0$, independent of $0 < \beta < \beta^*$, such that

$$|U_{j\beta}(x)| \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{4}|x|} \quad \text{uniformly in } \mathbb{R}^2 \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (2.34)$$

Taking $x_\beta \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that

$$\max\{U_{1\beta}(x_\beta), U_{2\beta}(x_\beta)\} = 1. \quad (2.35)$$

By (2.34), we deduce that $|x_\beta| \leq C$ uniformly in β . Using (2.28), we then obtain that

$$\max\{\|U_{10}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \|U_{20}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}\} \geq 1,$$

which contradicts with $U_{j0} \equiv 0$ in \mathbb{R}^2 , and hence (2.22) holds true.

Using (2.2), (2.15) and (2.22), we derive from (2.20) that

$$|F_{j\beta}(x)| \leq C\varepsilon_\beta^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}|x|} \quad \text{uniformly in } \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (2.36)$$

By the comparison principle, we deduce from (2.18), (2.22) and (2.36) that

$$|\hat{u}_{j\beta}(x)| \leq C\varepsilon_\beta^2 e^{-\frac{1}{4}|x|} \quad \text{uniformly in } \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (2.37)$$

Moreover, using the comparison principle again, one can derive from (2.18) and (2.37) that

$$|\nabla \hat{u}_{j\beta}(x)| \leq C\varepsilon_\beta^2 e^{-\frac{1}{8}|x|} \quad \text{uniformly in } \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (2.38)$$

It then follows from (2.37) and (2.38) that (2.21) holds true. Lemma 2.1 is thus proved. \square

We next establish the expansion of x_β in terms of ε_β , where x_β is the unique maximal point of $|u_{1\beta}|^2 + |u_{2\beta}|^2$.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose $V(x)$ satisfies (1.3), and assume $0 < \Omega < \infty$ and $0 < a_1, a_2 < a^*$ are fixed. Let $(u_{1\beta}, u_{2\beta})$ be a minimizer of $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$ and $x_\beta = (0, p_\beta)$ be the unique maximal point of $|u_{1\beta}|^2 + |u_{2\beta}|^2$. Then we have*

$$p_\beta - 1 = A\varepsilon_\beta^2 + o(\varepsilon_\beta^2) \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad (2.39)$$

where $A = -\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 Q^2 dx}{a^*} < 0$ is as in (1.20).

Proof. Similar to the argument of proving [15, Lemma A.1], one can derive from (2.14) and (2.15) that when β close to β^* enough,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\partial(|\varepsilon_\beta x + x_\beta|^2 - 1)^2}{\partial x_2} (|v_{1\beta}|^2 + |v_{2\beta}|^2) = 0. \quad (2.40)$$

On the other hand, we derive from (2.16) and Lemma 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\partial(|\varepsilon_\beta x + x_\beta|^2 - 1)^2}{\partial x_2} |v_{j\beta}|^2 dx \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 4\varepsilon_\beta (|\varepsilon_\beta x + x_\beta|^2 - 1)(\varepsilon_\beta x_2 + p_\beta) |\rho_{j\beta} Q + \hat{u}_{j\beta}|^2 dx \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 4\varepsilon_\beta (\varepsilon_\beta^2 |x|^2 + 2\varepsilon_\beta x_2 p_\beta + p_\beta^2 - 1)(\varepsilon_\beta x_2 + p_\beta) (\rho_{j\beta}^2 Q^2 + 2Re(\rho_{j\beta} Q \hat{u}_{j\beta}) + |\hat{u}_{j\beta}|^2) dx \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^2 \rho_{j\beta}^2 \left[8\varepsilon_\beta^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 Q^2 dx + 8\varepsilon_\beta (p_\beta - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} Q^2 dx \right] + o(\varepsilon_\beta |p_\beta - 1|) + o(\varepsilon_\beta^3) \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*. \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

Combining (2.40) with (2.41) then yields that (2.39) holds true, and Lemma 2.2 is therefore proved. \square

Based on Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we now establish the expansion of $(v_{1\beta}(x), v_{2\beta}(x))$ in terms of ε_β .

Lemma 2.3. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.2, and let $v_{j\beta}(x)$ be defined by (2.9), where $j = 1, 2$. Then we have*

$$v_{j\beta}(x) = \rho_{j\beta}Q(x) + \rho_{j\beta}\varepsilon_\beta^4\psi_1(x) + o(\varepsilon_\beta^4) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (2.42)$$

where $\rho_{j\beta} > 0$ is as in (1.21), and $\psi_1(x) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$ solves uniquely

$$\mathcal{L}\psi_1(x) = -\left(\frac{\Omega^2}{4}|x|^2 + 4x_2^2\right)Q(x) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \nabla \operatorname{Re}(\psi_1(0)) = 0, \quad \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \psi_1(iQ) = 0, \quad (2.43)$$

where the operator \mathcal{L} is defined by (2.3).

Proof. Using Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we derive from (2.20) that

$$|F_{j\beta}(x)| \leq C\varepsilon_\beta^4 e^{-\frac{1}{8}|x|} \text{ uniformly in } \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (2.44)$$

Similar to the argument of proving Lemma 2.1, by the comparison principle, one can deduce from (2.18) and (2.44) that

$$|\hat{u}_{j\beta}(x)| \leq C\varepsilon_\beta^4 e^{-\frac{1}{8}|x|}, \quad |\nabla \hat{u}_{j\beta}(x)| \leq C\varepsilon_\beta^4 e^{-\frac{1}{16}|x|} \text{ uniformly in } \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (2.45)$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of $0 < \beta < \beta^*$.

Denote

$$\mathcal{U}_{j\beta}(x) := \hat{u}_{j\beta}(x) - \rho_{j\beta}\varepsilon_\beta^4\psi_1(x), \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (2.46)$$

where $\rho_{j\beta} > 0$ is defined by (1.21), $\hat{u}_{j\beta}(x)$ is as in (2.16), and $\psi_1(x) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$ is a solution of (2.43). It then follows from (2.4) and (2.43) that $\psi_1(x)$ is unique. Following (2.18) and (2.43), we obtain that $(\mathcal{U}_{1\beta}, \mathcal{U}_{2\beta})$ defined by (2.46) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{1\beta}(\mathcal{U}_{1\beta}, \mathcal{U}_{2\beta}) = F_{1\beta}(x) + \rho_{1\beta}\varepsilon_\beta^4\left(\frac{\Omega^2}{4}|x|^2 + 4x_2^2\right)Q := \mathcal{F}_{1\beta}(x) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \mathcal{L}_{2\beta}(\mathcal{U}_{2\beta}, \mathcal{U}_{1\beta}) = F_{2\beta}(x) + \rho_{2\beta}\varepsilon_\beta^4\left(\frac{\Omega^2}{4}|x|^2 + 4x_2^2\right)Q := \mathcal{F}_{2\beta}(x) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2. \end{cases} \quad (2.47)$$

By (2.2) and Lemma 2.2, we deduce from (2.45) that the terms $\mathcal{F}_{1\beta}(x)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{2\beta}(x)$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} |\mathcal{F}_{1\beta}(x)| := \left|F_{1\beta}(x) + \rho_{1\beta}\varepsilon_\beta^4\left(\frac{\Omega^2}{4}|x|^2 + 4x_2^2\right)Q\right| \leq C_{1\beta}\varepsilon_\beta^4 e^{-\frac{1}{16}|x|} \\ |\mathcal{F}_{2\beta}(x)| := \left|F_{2\beta}(x) + \rho_{2\beta}\varepsilon_\beta^4\left(\frac{\Omega^2}{4}|x|^2 + 4x_2^2\right)Q\right| \leq C_{2\beta}\varepsilon_\beta^4 e^{-\frac{1}{16}|x|} \end{cases} \text{ uniformly in } \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad (2.48)$$

where $C_{j\beta} > 0$ satisfies $C_{j\beta} = o(1)$ as $\beta \nearrow \beta^*$ for $j = 1, 2$. Similar to (2.22) in Lemma 2.1, one can derive from (2.45), (2.47) and (2.48) that

$$|\mathcal{U}_{j\beta}(x)| \leq C_{j\beta}\varepsilon_\beta^4 \text{ uniformly in } \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (2.49)$$

where $C_{j\beta} > 0$ satisfies $C_{j\beta} = o(1)$ as $\beta \nearrow \beta^*$. Combining (2.46) with (2.49) yields that

$$\hat{u}_{j\beta}(x) = \rho_{j\beta}\varepsilon_\beta^4\psi_1(x) + o(\varepsilon_\beta^4) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (2.50)$$

It then follows from (2.16) and (2.50) that (2.42) holds true, and the proof of Lemma 2.3 is therefore complete. \square

2.2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

The main purpose of this subsection is to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. We first give the blow up rate of minimizers for $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$ in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.2, the term ε_β in (2.7) satisfies*

$$\varepsilon_\beta = \alpha_\beta + o(\alpha_\beta) \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad (2.51)$$

where $\alpha_\beta > 0$ is as in (1.14).

Proof. Multiplying the first equation of (2.14) by $x \cdot \nabla \overline{v_{1\beta}}$ and the second equation of (2.14) by $x \cdot \nabla \overline{v_{2\beta}}$, integrating over \mathbb{R}^2 and taking their real parts, we derive from (2.15) that $(v_{1\beta}, v_{2\beta})$ defined by (2.9) satisfies the following Pohozaev identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{a_j}{2a^*} |v_{j\beta}|^4 - |v_{j\beta}|^2 \right) + \frac{\beta}{a^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v_{1\beta}|^2 |v_{2\beta}|^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_\beta^4 \Omega^2}{2} |x|^2 + \varepsilon_\beta^2 (|\varepsilon_\beta x + x_\beta|^2 - 1) \right)^2 \\ & \quad + 2\varepsilon_\beta^3 (|\varepsilon_\beta x + x_\beta|^2 - 1) (\varepsilon_\beta |x|^2 + x \cdot x_\beta) |v_{j\beta}|^2 \\ & \quad - \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \operatorname{Re} [i\varepsilon_\beta^2 \Omega (x^\perp \cdot \nabla v_{j\beta}) (x \cdot \nabla \overline{v_{j\beta}})]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.52)$$

We shall first estimate the left hand side of (2.52). Using (1.21) and (2.1), we deduce from (2.16) and Lemma 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} & L. H. S. \text{ of (2.52)} \\ &= \frac{(a^*)^2 (2\beta - a_1 - a_2)}{\beta^2 - a_1 a_2} - a^* \\ & \quad + \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{a_j}{2a^*} \left(2\rho_{j\beta}^2 Q^2 |\hat{u}_{j\beta}|^2 + 4\operatorname{Re}(\rho_{j\beta}^3 Q^3 \hat{u}_{j\beta}) + 4(\operatorname{Re}(\rho_{j\beta} Q \hat{u}_{j\beta}))^2 \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{\beta}{a^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\rho_{1\beta}^2 Q^2 |\hat{u}_{2\beta}|^2 + \rho_{2\beta}^2 Q^2 |\hat{u}_{1\beta}|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}(\rho_{1\beta}^2 \rho_{2\beta} Q^3 \hat{u}_{2\beta}) + 2\operatorname{Re}(\rho_{1\beta} \rho_{2\beta}^2 Q^3 \hat{u}_{1\beta}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + 4\operatorname{Re}(\rho_{1\beta} \rho_{2\beta} Q^2 \hat{u}_{1\beta} \hat{u}_{2\beta}) \right) + o(\varepsilon_\beta^4) \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \end{aligned} \quad (2.53)$$

where we also have used the fact that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|v_{1\beta}|^2 + |v_{2\beta}|^2) = a^* \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|u_{1\beta}|^2 + |u_{2\beta}|^2) = a^*$. Furthermore, we obtain from (2.5), (2.6) and (2.18) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{2a_j}{a^*} \operatorname{Re}(\rho_{j\beta}^3 Q^3 \hat{u}_{j\beta}) + \frac{2\beta}{a^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\operatorname{Re}(\rho_{1\beta}^2 \rho_{2\beta} Q^3 \hat{u}_{2\beta}) + \operatorname{Re}(\rho_{1\beta} \rho_{2\beta}^2 Q^3 \hat{u}_{1\beta}) \right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \operatorname{Re}((-\Delta(\rho_{j\beta} Q) + \rho_{j\beta} Q) \hat{u}_{j\beta}) = 2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \operatorname{Re}((-\Delta \hat{u}_{j\beta} + \hat{u}_{j\beta}) \rho_{j\beta} Q) \\ &= 3 \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{2a_j}{a^*} \operatorname{Re}(\rho_{j\beta}^3 Q^3 \hat{u}_{j\beta}) + \frac{2\beta}{a^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\operatorname{Re}(\rho_{1\beta}^2 \rho_{2\beta} Q^3 \hat{u}_{2\beta}) + \operatorname{Re}(\rho_{1\beta} \rho_{2\beta}^2 Q^3 \hat{u}_{1\beta}) \right) \right\} \\ & \quad + 2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \operatorname{Re}(F_{j\beta} \rho_{j\beta} Q), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{2a_j}{a^*} \operatorname{Re}(\rho_{j\beta}^3 Q^3 \hat{u}_{j\beta}) + \frac{2\beta}{a^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\operatorname{Re}(\rho_{1\beta}^2 \rho_{2\beta} Q^3 \hat{u}_{2\beta}) + \operatorname{Re}(\rho_{1\beta} \rho_{2\beta}^2 Q^3 \hat{u}_{1\beta}) \right) \\
&= - \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \operatorname{Re}(F_{j\beta} \rho_{j\beta} Q).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.54}$$

We then derive from (2.20) and (2.54) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{2a_j}{a^*} \operatorname{Re}(\rho_{j\beta}^3 Q^3 \hat{u}_{j\beta}) + \frac{2\beta}{a^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\operatorname{Re}(\rho_{1\beta}^2 \rho_{2\beta} Q^3 \hat{u}_{2\beta}) + \operatorname{Re}(\rho_{1\beta} \rho_{2\beta}^2 Q^3 \hat{u}_{1\beta}) \right) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ \left(\frac{\varepsilon_\beta^4 \Omega^2}{4} |x|^2 + \varepsilon_\beta^2 (|\varepsilon_\beta x + x_\beta|^2 - 1)^2 \right) \rho_{j\beta}^2 Q^2 \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \left(\frac{\varepsilon_\beta^4 \Omega^2}{4} |x|^2 + \varepsilon_\beta^2 (|\varepsilon_\beta x + x_\beta|^2 - 1)^2 \right) \hat{u}_{j\beta} \rho_{j\beta} Q \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \frac{a_j}{a^*} |\hat{u}_{j\beta}|^2 \hat{u}_{j\beta} \rho_{j\beta} Q - \frac{2a_j}{a^*} \left(\operatorname{Re}(\rho_{j\beta} Q \hat{u}_{j\beta}) \right)^2 - \frac{a_j}{a^*} |\hat{u}_{j\beta}|^2 \rho_{j\beta}^2 Q^2 \right\} \right) \\
&\quad - \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ \frac{\beta}{a^*} |\hat{u}_{2\beta}|^2 \hat{u}_{1\beta} \rho_{1\beta} Q + \frac{4\beta}{a^*} \operatorname{Re}(\rho_{1\beta} \rho_{2\beta} Q^2 \hat{u}_{1\beta} \hat{u}_{2\beta}) + \frac{\beta}{a^*} |\hat{u}_{2\beta}|^2 \rho_{1\beta}^2 Q^2 \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \frac{\beta}{a^*} |\hat{u}_{1\beta}|^2 \hat{u}_{2\beta} \rho_{2\beta} Q + \frac{\beta}{a^*} |\hat{u}_{1\beta}|^2 \rho_{2\beta}^2 Q^2 \right\} \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.55}$$

where we have used the fact that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} -i \varepsilon_\beta^2 \Omega (x^\perp \cdot \nabla \hat{u}_{j\beta}) \rho_{j\beta} Q \right) = \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} i \varepsilon_\beta^2 \Omega (x^\perp \cdot \nabla (\rho_{j\beta} Q)) \hat{u}_{j\beta} \right) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we deduce from (1.21), (2.53) and (2.55) that as $\beta \nearrow \beta^*$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& L. H. S. \text{ of (2.52)} \\
&= \frac{(a^*)^2 (2\beta - a_1 - a_2)}{\beta^2 - a_1 a_2} - a^* \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ \left(\frac{\varepsilon_\beta^4 \Omega^2}{4} |x|^2 + \varepsilon_\beta^2 (|\varepsilon_\beta x + x_\beta|^2 - 1)^2 \right) \rho_{j\beta}^2 Q^2 \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \left(\frac{\varepsilon_\beta^4 \Omega^2}{4} |x|^2 + \varepsilon_\beta^2 (|\varepsilon_\beta x + x_\beta|^2 - 1)^2 \right) \rho_{j\beta} Q \hat{u}_{j\beta} \right\} \right) + o(\varepsilon_\beta^4) \\
&= \frac{(a^*)^2 (2\beta - a_1 - a_2)}{\beta^2 - a_1 a_2} - a^* \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_\beta^4 \Omega^2}{4} |x|^2 + \varepsilon_\beta^2 (\varepsilon_\beta^2 |x|^2 + 2\varepsilon_\beta x_2 p_\beta + p_\beta^2 - 1)^2 \right) \rho_{j\beta}^2 Q^2 \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_\beta^4 \Omega^2}{4} |x|^2 + \varepsilon_\beta^2 (\varepsilon_\beta^2 |x|^2 + 2\varepsilon_\beta x_2 p_\beta + p_\beta^2 - 1)^2 \right) \rho_{j\beta}^2 Q (\varepsilon_\beta^4 \psi_1 + o(\varepsilon_\beta^4)) \right) \\
&\quad + o(\varepsilon_\beta^4) \\
&= \frac{(a^*)^2 (2\beta - a_1 - a_2)}{\beta^2 - a_1 a_2} - a^* + \frac{a^* (2\beta - a_1 - a_2)}{\beta^2 - a_1 a_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\varepsilon_\beta^4 (\Omega^2 + 8)}{4} |x|^2 Q^2 + o(\varepsilon_\beta^4).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.56}$$

We now estimate the right hand side of (2.52). By Lemma 2.3, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \operatorname{Re} \left[i\varepsilon_\beta^2 \Omega(x^\perp \cdot \nabla v_{j\beta})(x \cdot \nabla \overline{v_{j\beta}}) \right] \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^2 \rho_{j\beta}^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(i\varepsilon_\beta^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [x^\perp \cdot \nabla (Q + \varepsilon_\beta^4 \psi_1 + o(\varepsilon_\beta^4))] [x \cdot \nabla (Q + \varepsilon_\beta^4 \psi_1 + o(\varepsilon_\beta^4))] \right) \\
&= o(\varepsilon_\beta^4) \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.57}$$

Applying (1.21) and Lemmas 2.1–2.3, we derive from (2.16) and (2.57) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{R. H. S. of (2.52)} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_\beta^4 \Omega^2}{2} |x|^2 + \varepsilon_\beta^2 (|\varepsilon_\beta x + x_\beta|^2 - 1)^2 + 2\varepsilon_\beta^3 (|\varepsilon_\beta x + x_\beta|^2 - 1)(\varepsilon_\beta |x|^2 + x \cdot x_\beta) \right) |v_{j\beta}|^2 \\
&\quad + o(\varepsilon_\beta^4) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ \left[\frac{\varepsilon_\beta^4 \Omega^2}{2} |x|^2 + \varepsilon_\beta^2 (\varepsilon_\beta^2 |x|^2 + 2\varepsilon_\beta x_2 p_\beta + p_\beta^2 - 1)^2 \right] \cdot [\rho_{j\beta}^2 Q^2 + 2\rho_{j\beta}^2 Q \varepsilon_\beta^4 \psi_1 + o(\varepsilon_\beta^4)] \right\} \right) \\
&\quad + 2\varepsilon_\beta^3 \sum_{j=1}^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ [\varepsilon_\beta^3 |x|^4 + (3\varepsilon_\beta^2 |x|^2 + p_\beta^2 - 1) x_2 p_\beta + 2\varepsilon_\beta x_2^2 p_\beta^2 + \varepsilon_\beta (p_\beta^2 - 1) |x|^2] \right. \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. \left. \cdot [\rho_{j\beta}^2 Q^2 + 2\rho_{j\beta}^2 Q \varepsilon_\beta^4 \psi_1 + o(\varepsilon_\beta^4)] \right\} \right) + o(\varepsilon_\beta^4) \\
&= \frac{a^*(2\beta - a_1 - a_2)}{\beta^2 - a_1 a_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\varepsilon_\beta^4 (\Omega^2 + 8)}{2} |x|^2 Q^2 + o(\varepsilon_\beta^4) \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.58}$$

Combining (2.56) and (2.58) then yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(a^*)^2 (2\beta - a_1 - a_2)}{\beta^2 - a_1 a_2} - a^* \\
&= \frac{a^*(2\beta - a_1 - a_2)}{\beta^2 - a_1 a_2} \varepsilon_\beta^4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{(\Omega^2 + 8)}{4} |x|^2 Q^2 + o(\varepsilon_\beta^4) \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*,
\end{aligned}$$

from which we deduce that

$$\frac{a^* - \frac{\beta^2 - a_1 a_2}{2\beta - a_1 - a_2}}{\varepsilon_\beta^4 \frac{\Omega^2 + 8}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 Q^2} = 1 + o(1) \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*. \tag{2.59}$$

Denote $F(\beta) := \frac{\beta^2 - a_1 a_2}{2\beta - a_1 - a_2}$. Using Taylor's expansion, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
F(\beta) &= F(\beta^*) + F'(\beta^*)(\beta - \beta^*) + o(|\beta - \beta^*|) \\
&= a^* + 2\gamma_1 \gamma_2 (\beta - \beta^*) + o(|\beta - \beta^*|) \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.60}$$

where $\beta^* > 0$ is as in (1.9), and $\gamma_j \in (0, 1)$ for $j = 1, 2$ is defined by (1.13). It then follows from (2.59) and (2.60) that

$$\frac{2\gamma_1 \gamma_2 (\beta^* - \beta) + o(|\beta - \beta^*|)}{\frac{(\Omega^2 + 8) \varepsilon_\beta^4}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 Q^2} = 1 + o(1) \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*. \tag{2.61}$$

Set $\alpha_\beta := \left(\frac{8\gamma_1 \gamma_2 (\beta^* - \beta)}{(\Omega^2 + 8) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 Q^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$, it then follows from (2.61) that (2.51) holds true, and the proof of Lemma 2.4 is therefore complete. \square

We are now already to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Using Lemma 2.4, we derive from (2.9) and (2.12) that for $j = 1, 2$,

$$\sqrt{a^*} \alpha_\beta u_{j\beta}(\alpha_\beta x + x_\beta) e^{-i\left(\frac{\alpha_\beta \Omega}{2} x \cdot x_\beta^\perp - \theta_{j\beta}\right)} \rightarrow \sqrt{\gamma_j} Q(x) \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^* \quad (2.62)$$

strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$, where $\alpha_\beta > 0$ is defined by (1.14), $x_\beta = (0, p_\beta)$ is the unique maximal point of $|u_{1\beta}|^2 + |u_{2\beta}|^2$, $\theta_{j\beta}$ is chosen such that (2.10) holds, and $\gamma_j \in (0, 1)$ is given by (1.13). In view of (2.62), to prove (1.15), i.e., for $j = 1, 2$,

$$\tilde{v}_{j\beta}(x) := \sqrt{a^*} \alpha_\beta u_{j\beta}(\alpha_\beta x + x_\beta) e^{-i\left(\frac{\alpha_\beta \Omega}{2} x \cdot x_\beta^\perp - \tilde{\theta}_{j\beta}\right)} \rightarrow \sqrt{\gamma_j} Q(x) \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*$$

strongly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$, we only need to prove that $\lim_{\beta \nearrow \beta^*} |\tilde{\theta}_{j\beta} - \theta_{j\beta}| = 0$, $j = 1, 2$.

Define

$$\tilde{v}_{j\beta}(x) := \sqrt{a^*} \alpha_\beta u_{j\beta}(\alpha_\beta x + x_\beta) e^{-i\left(\frac{\alpha_\beta \Omega}{2} x \cdot x_\beta^\perp - \tilde{\theta}_{j\beta}\right)}, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (2.63)$$

where $\alpha_\beta > 0$ is defined by (1.14), $x_\beta = (0, p_\beta)$ is the unique maximal point of $|u_{1\beta}|^2 + |u_{2\beta}|^2$, and $\tilde{\theta}_{j\beta} \in [0, 2\pi)$ is chosen such that

$$\|\tilde{v}_{j\beta} - \sqrt{\gamma_j} Q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \min_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} \left\| \sqrt{a^*} \alpha_\beta u_{j\beta}(\alpha_\beta x + x_\beta) e^{-i\left(\frac{\alpha_\beta \Omega}{2} x \cdot x_\beta^\perp - \theta\right)} - \sqrt{\gamma_j} Q \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \quad (2.64)$$

We then derive from (2.62), (2.63) and (2.64) that for $j = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\beta \nearrow \beta^*} \left\| \sqrt{a^*} \alpha_\beta u_{j\beta}(\alpha_\beta x + x_\beta) e^{-i\left(\frac{\alpha_\beta \Omega}{2} x \cdot x_\beta^\perp\right)} (e^{i\tilde{\theta}_{j\beta}} - e^{i\theta_{j\beta}}) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ & \leq \lim_{\beta \nearrow \beta^*} \left\| \sqrt{a^*} \alpha_\beta u_{j\beta}(\alpha_\beta x + x_\beta) e^{-i\left(\frac{\alpha_\beta \Omega}{2} x \cdot x_\beta^\perp - \tilde{\theta}_{j\beta}\right)} - \sqrt{\gamma_j} Q \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ & \quad + \lim_{\beta \nearrow \beta^*} \left\| \sqrt{a^*} \alpha_\beta u_{j\beta}(\alpha_\beta x + x_\beta) e^{-i\left(\frac{\alpha_\beta \Omega}{2} x \cdot x_\beta^\perp - \theta_{j\beta}\right)} - \sqrt{\gamma_j} Q \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ & \leq 2 \lim_{\beta \nearrow \beta^*} \left\| \sqrt{a^*} \alpha_\beta u_{j\beta}(\alpha_\beta x + x_\beta) e^{-i\left(\frac{\alpha_\beta \Omega}{2} x \cdot x_\beta^\perp - \theta_{j\beta}\right)} - \sqrt{\gamma_j} Q \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} = 0 \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \end{aligned}$$

which yields that

$$\lim_{\beta \nearrow \beta^*} |\tilde{\theta}_{j\beta} - \theta_{j\beta}| = 0, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (2.65)$$

It then follows from (2.62) and (2.65) that (1.15) holds true. Furthermore, we obtain from (2.13) that (1.16) holds true. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is therefore complete. \square

3 Axial Symmetry of Minimizers

Suppose $V(x)$ satisfies (1.3), and assume $0 < \Omega < \infty$, $0 < a_1, a_2 < a^*$ are fixed. Following the limiting behavior of minimizers for $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$ established in Section 2, the main purpose of this section is to complete the proof of Theorem 1.2 on the axial symmetry of minimizers for $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$. We shall first establish the following proposition on the local uniqueness of minimizers for $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$ when $\beta^* - \beta > 0$ is small enough.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose $V(x)$ satisfies (1.3), and assume $0 < \Omega < \infty$ and $0 < a_1, a_2 < a^*$ are fixed. If $(u_{1,1\beta}, u_{1,2\beta})$ and $(u_{2,1\beta}, u_{2,2\beta})$ are two complex-valued minimizers of $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$. Then we have*

$$(u_{1,1\beta}(x), u_{1,2\beta}(x)) \equiv (u_{2,1\beta}(\mathcal{R}x) e^{i\phi_{1\beta}}, u_{2,2\beta}(\mathcal{R}x) e^{i\phi_{2\beta}})$$

for some constant phase $(\phi_{1\beta}, \phi_{2\beta}) \in [0, 2\pi) \times [0, 2\pi)$ and a suitable rotation \mathcal{R} from \mathbb{R}^2 to \mathbb{R}^2 when $\beta^* - \beta > 0$ is small enough.

In order to prove Proposition 3.1, by contradiction, suppose that there exist two different minimizers $(u_{1,1\beta}, u_{1,2\beta})$ and $(u_{2,1\beta}, u_{2,2\beta})$ of $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$ as $\beta \nearrow \beta^*$. Without loss of generality, we may assume

$$u_{1,1\beta}(x) \not\equiv u_{2,1\beta}(\mathcal{R}x)e^{i\psi_{1\beta}} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \quad (3.1)$$

for any rotation $\mathcal{R} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ and the constant phase $\psi_{1\beta} \in [0, 2\pi)$. Let $x_{j,\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ be the unique maximal point of $|u_{j,1\beta}|^2 + |u_{j,2\beta}|^2$ for $j = 1, 2$. Note that the system (1.1) is invariant under the rotational transformation. Without loss of generality, we may assume $x_{j,\beta}$ lies on the x_2 -axis, i.e., $x_{j,\beta} = (0, p_{j,\beta})$.

Similar to the arguments of Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4, one can deduce that

$$p_{j,\beta} - 1 = A\alpha_\beta^2 + o(\alpha_\beta^2) \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (3.2)$$

where $A < 0$ is as in (1.20). It then follows that

$$|x_{1,\beta} - x_{2,\beta}| = |p_{1,\beta} - p_{2,\beta}| = o(\alpha_\beta^2) \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*. \quad (3.3)$$

Define

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{v}_{j,1\beta}(x) := \sqrt{a^*} \alpha_\beta u_{j,1\beta}(\alpha_\beta x + x_{1,\beta}) e^{-i\left(\frac{\alpha_\beta \Omega}{2} x \cdot x_{1,\beta}^\perp - \tilde{\theta}_{j,1\beta}\right)}, \\ \tilde{v}_{j,2\beta}(x) := \sqrt{a^*} \alpha_\beta u_{j,2\beta}(\alpha_\beta x + x_{1,\beta}) e^{-i\left(\frac{\alpha_\beta \Omega}{2} x \cdot x_{1,\beta}^\perp - \tilde{\theta}_{j,2\beta}\right)}, \end{cases} \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (3.4)$$

where $\alpha_\beta > 0$ is as in (1.14), and the constant phase $(\tilde{\theta}_{j,1\beta}, \tilde{\theta}_{j,2\beta}) \in [0, 2\pi) \times [0, 2\pi)$ is chosen such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{v}_{j,1\beta}(iQ) \right) = \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{v}_{j,2\beta}(iQ) \right) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (3.5)$$

Using (3.3), we obtain from Theorem 1.1 that $(\tilde{v}_{j,1\beta}(x), \tilde{v}_{j,2\beta}(x))$ satisfies for $j = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{v}_{j,1\beta}(x) \rightarrow \sqrt{\gamma_1} Q(x) \\ \tilde{v}_{j,2\beta}(x) \rightarrow \sqrt{\gamma_2} Q(x) \end{cases} \quad \text{strongly in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad (3.6)$$

where $\gamma_1 > 0$ and $\gamma_2 > 0$ are defined by (1.13).

Denote

$$\begin{cases} w_{1,1\beta}(x) := \tilde{v}_{1,1\beta}(x) = R_{1,1\beta}(x) + iI_{1,1\beta}(x), \\ w_{1,2\beta}(x) := \tilde{v}_{1,2\beta}(x) = R_{1,2\beta}(x) + iI_{1,2\beta}(x), \\ w_{2,1\beta}(x) := \sqrt{a^*} \alpha_\beta u_{2,1\beta}(\mathcal{R}_0(\alpha_\beta x + x_{1,\beta})) e^{-i\left(\frac{\alpha_\beta \Omega}{2} x \cdot x_{1,\beta}^\perp - \theta_{2,1\beta}\right)} = R_{2,1\beta}(x) + iI_{2,1\beta}(x), \\ w_{2,2\beta}(x) := \sqrt{a^*} \alpha_\beta u_{2,2\beta}(\mathcal{R}_0(\alpha_\beta x + x_{1,\beta})) e^{-i\left(\frac{\alpha_\beta \Omega}{2} x \cdot x_{1,\beta}^\perp - \theta_{2,2\beta}\right)} = R_{2,2\beta}(x) + iI_{2,2\beta}(x), \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

where $\mathcal{R}_0 : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is a suitable rotation such that $\mathcal{R}_0(x_{1,\beta})$ satisfies

$$|u_{2,1\beta}(\mathcal{R}_0(x_{1,\beta}))|^2 + |u_{2,2\beta}(\mathcal{R}_0(x_{1,\beta}))|^2 = \max_{|x|=|x_{1,\beta}|} (|u_{2,1\beta}(x)|^2 + |u_{2,2\beta}(x)|^2), \quad (3.8)$$

and $(\theta_{2,1\beta}, \theta_{2,2\beta}) \in [0, 2\pi) \times [0, 2\pi)$ is chosen such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} w_{2,1\beta}(iQ) \right) = \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} w_{2,2\beta}(iQ) \right) = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Here $(R_{j,1\beta}, R_{j,2\beta})$ and $(I_{j,1\beta}, I_{j,2\beta})$ denote the real and imaginary parts of $(w_{j,1\beta}, w_{j,2\beta})$ for $j = 1, 2$, respectively. Following (3.4), (3.7) and (3.8), we deduce that

$$\left. \frac{\partial (|w_{j,1\beta}(x)|^2 + |w_{j,2\beta}(x)|^2)}{\partial x_1} \right|_{x=0} = 0, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (3.10)$$

Similar to the argument of [20, Lemma A.2], one can derive from (3.3)–(3.9) that

$$\begin{cases} w_{2,1\beta}(x) \rightarrow \sqrt{\gamma_1}Q(x) \\ w_{2,2\beta}(x) \rightarrow \sqrt{\gamma_2}Q(x) \end{cases} \text{ strongly in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*. \quad (3.11)$$

Combining (3.6), (3.7) and (3.11) then yields that $(w_{j,1\beta}(x), w_{j,2\beta}(x))$ satisfies for $j = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{cases} w_{j,1\beta}(x) \rightarrow \sqrt{\gamma_1}Q(x) \\ w_{j,2\beta}(x) \rightarrow \sqrt{\gamma_2}Q(x) \end{cases} \text{ strongly in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*. \quad (3.12)$$

Note from (1.10), (1.11) and (3.7) that for $j = 1, 2$, $(w_{j,1\beta}, w_{j,2\beta})$ satisfies the following system

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_\beta w_{j,1\beta}(x) = \alpha_\beta^2 \mu_{j\beta} w_{j,1\beta}(x) + \frac{a_1}{a^*} |w_{j,1\beta}|^2 w_{j,1\beta}(x) + \frac{\beta}{a^*} |w_{j,2\beta}|^2 w_{j,1\beta}(x) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \mathcal{L}_\beta w_{j,2\beta}(x) = \alpha_\beta^2 \mu_{j\beta} w_{j,2\beta}(x) + \frac{a_2}{a^*} |w_{j,2\beta}|^2 w_{j,2\beta}(x) + \frac{\beta}{a^*} |w_{j,1\beta}|^2 w_{j,2\beta}(x) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

where the operator \mathcal{L}_β is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_\beta := -\Delta + i \alpha_\beta^2 \Omega(x^\perp \cdot \nabla) + \frac{\alpha_\beta^4 \Omega^2}{4} |x|^2 + \alpha_\beta^2 (|\alpha_\beta x + x_{1,\beta}|^2 - 1)^2 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (3.14)$$

and $\mu_{j\beta} \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$\mu_{j\beta} = e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta) - \frac{1}{(a^*)^2 \alpha_\beta^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{a_1}{2} |w_{j,1\beta}|^4 + \frac{a_2}{2} |w_{j,2\beta}|^4 + \beta |w_{j,1\beta}|^2 |w_{j,2\beta}|^2 \right) dx. \quad (3.15)$$

Similar to the argument of Lemma 2.4, one can deduce that the term $\mu_{j\beta} \alpha_\beta^2$ in (3.13) satisfies

$$\alpha_\beta^2 \mu_{j\beta} \rightarrow -1 \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (3.16)$$

By the comparison principle, one can derive from (3.12), (3.13) and (3.16) that for $j, l = 1, 2$,

$$|w_{j,l\beta}(x)| \leq C e^{-\frac{2}{3}|x|} \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla w_{j,l\beta}(x)| \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{2}|x|} \text{ uniformly in } \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad (3.17)$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of $0 < \beta < \beta^*$. Moreover, by the same argument of proving (5.10) in [15], one can deduce from (3.12)–(3.17) that

$$C_1 \|w_{2,2\beta} - w_{1,2\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \|w_{2,1\beta} - w_{1,1\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C_2 \|w_{2,2\beta} - w_{1,2\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad (3.18)$$

where the constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ are independent of β . Using (2.2), (3.5), (3.9), (3.12), (3.16) and (3.17), the similar argument of [23, Lemma 2.3] yields from (3.13) that the imaginary parts $I_{j,l\beta}$ of $w_{j,l\beta}$ satisfies for $j, l = 1, 2$,

$$|I_{j,l\beta}(x)| \leq C_{jl}(\alpha_\beta) e^{-\frac{1}{4}|x|}, \quad |\nabla I_{j,l\beta}(x)| \leq C_{jl}(\alpha_\beta) e^{-\frac{1}{8}|x|} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad (3.19)$$

where the constant $C_{jl}(\alpha_\beta) > 0$ satisfies $C_{jl}(\alpha_\beta) = o(\alpha_\beta^2)$ as $\beta \nearrow \beta^*$.

Under the assumption (3.1), we obtain from (3.4), (3.7) and (3.18) that

$$w_{1,1\beta} \not\equiv w_{2,1\beta} \text{ and } w_{1,2\beta} \not\equiv w_{2,2\beta} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (3.20)$$

Applying (3.12) and (3.16)–(3.20), in the following we shall complete the proof of Proposition 3.1.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. In view of (3.20), we define

$$\begin{cases} \xi_{1\beta}(x) := \frac{w_{2,1\beta}(x) - w_{1,1\beta}(x)}{\|w_{2,1\beta} - w_{1,1\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w_{2,2\beta} - w_{1,2\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}} = R_{\xi_{1\beta}}(x) + iI_{\xi_{1\beta}}(x), \\ \xi_{2\beta}(x) := \frac{w_{2,2\beta}(x) - w_{1,2\beta}(x)}{\|w_{2,1\beta} - w_{1,1\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w_{2,2\beta} - w_{1,2\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}} = R_{\xi_{2\beta}}(x) + iI_{\xi_{2\beta}}(x). \end{cases} \quad (3.21)$$

We then derive from (3.13) that $(\xi_{1\beta}, \xi_{2\beta})$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_\beta \xi_{1\beta} = \alpha_\beta^2 \mu_{2\beta} \xi_{1\beta} + \frac{\alpha_\beta^2 (\mu_{2\beta} - \mu_{1\beta})}{\|w_{2,1\beta} - w_{1,1\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w_{2,2\beta} - w_{1,2\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}} w_{1,1\beta} \\ \quad + \frac{a_1}{a^*} |w_{2,1\beta}|^2 \xi_{1\beta} + \frac{a_1}{a^*} (w_{2,1\beta} \overline{\xi_{1\beta}} + \xi_{1\beta} \overline{w_{1,1\beta}}) w_{1,1\beta} \\ \quad + \frac{\beta}{a^*} |w_{2,2\beta}|^2 \xi_{1\beta} + \frac{\beta}{a^*} (w_{2,2\beta} \overline{\xi_{2\beta}} + \xi_{2\beta} \overline{w_{1,2\beta}}) w_{1,1\beta} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \mathcal{L}_\beta \xi_{2\beta} = \alpha_\beta^2 \mu_{2\beta} \xi_{2\beta} + \frac{\alpha_\beta^2 (\mu_{2\beta} - \mu_{1\beta})}{\|w_{2,1\beta} - w_{1,1\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w_{2,2\beta} - w_{1,2\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}} w_{1,2\beta} \\ \quad + \frac{a_1}{a^*} |w_{2,2\beta}|^2 \xi_{2\beta} + \frac{a_1}{a^*} (w_{2,2\beta} \overline{\xi_{2\beta}} + \xi_{2\beta} \overline{w_{1,2\beta}}) w_{1,2\beta} \\ \quad + \frac{\beta}{a^*} |w_{2,1\beta}|^2 \xi_{2\beta} + \frac{\beta}{a^*} (w_{2,1\beta} \overline{\xi_{1\beta}} + \xi_{1\beta} \overline{w_{1,1\beta}}) w_{1,2\beta} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \end{cases} \quad (3.22)$$

where the operator \mathcal{L}_β is given by (3.14). Furthermore, we obtain from (3.18) that $(\xi_{1\beta}, \xi_{2\beta})$ satisfies

$$0 \leq |\xi_{1\beta}(x)|, |\xi_{2\beta}(x)| \leq C < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad |\xi_{1\beta}(x)\xi_{2\beta}(x)| \leq 1 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (3.23)$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of β .

We are now ready to carry out the proof of Proposition 3.1 by the following four steps:

Step 1. *Passing to a subsequence if necessary, the function $(\xi_{1\beta}, \xi_{2\beta})$ defined by (3.21) satisfies*

$$\xi_{j\beta}(x) \rightarrow \sqrt{\gamma_j} \left(c_0(Q + x \cdot \nabla Q) + b_0^j(iQ) + \sum_{l=1}^2 b_l \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_l} \right) \quad \text{in } C_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad (3.24)$$

where $j = 1, 2$, $\gamma_j \in (0, 1)$ is as in (1.13), c_0 , b_0^j , b_1 and b_2 are some constants independent of β .

We first claim that

$$\frac{|\alpha_\beta^2 (\mu_{2\beta} - \mu_{1\beta})|}{\|w_{2,1\beta} - w_{1,1\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w_{2,2\beta} - w_{1,2\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad (3.25)$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of β . Indeed, we obtain from (3.15) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\alpha_\beta^2 (\mu_{2\beta} - \mu_{1\beta})}{\|w_{2,1\beta} - w_{1,1\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w_{2,2\beta} - w_{1,2\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &= -\operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[\frac{a_1}{2(a^*)^2} (|w_{2,1\beta}|^2 + |w_{1,1\beta}|^2) (w_{2,1\beta} + w_{1,1\beta}) \overline{\xi_{1\beta}} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \frac{a_2}{2(a^*)^2} (|w_{2,2\beta}|^2 + |w_{1,2\beta}|^2) (w_{2,2\beta} + w_{1,2\beta}) \overline{\xi_{2\beta}} \right] \right) \\ & \quad - \frac{\beta}{(a^*)^2} \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[|w_{2,2\beta}|^2 (w_{2,1\beta} + w_{1,1\beta}) \overline{\xi_{1\beta}} + |w_{1,1\beta}|^2 (w_{2,2\beta} + w_{1,2\beta}) \overline{\xi_{2\beta}} \right] \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

Using (3.17) and (3.23), we deduce from (3.26) that (3.25) holds true.

By the same argument of proving (5.15) in [15], one can deduce from (3.16), (3.17), (3.22), (3.23) and (3.25) that for $j = 1, 2$,

$$|\xi_{j\beta}(x)| \leq Ce^{-\frac{2}{3}|x|} \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla \xi_{j\beta}(x)| \leq Ce^{-\frac{1}{2}|x|} \quad \text{uniformly in } \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad (3.27)$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of $0 < \beta < \beta^*$. It follows from (3.27) that $(x^\perp \cdot \nabla \xi_{j\beta})$ is bounded uniformly and decays exponentially for sufficiently large $|x|$ as $\beta \nearrow \beta^*$. Applying (3.16), (3.17), (3.25) and (3.27), the standard elliptic regularity theory then yields from (3.22) that $\|\xi_{j\beta}\|_{C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})} \leq C$ uniformly as $\beta \nearrow \beta^*$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Therefore, up to a subsequence if necessary,

$$\xi_{j\beta} \rightarrow \xi_{j0} \quad \text{in } C_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (3.28)$$

Using (3.12), (3.27) and (3.28), we derive from (3.26) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\beta \nearrow \beta^*} \frac{\alpha_\beta^2(\mu_{2\beta} - \mu_{1\beta})}{\|w_{2,1\beta} - w_{1,1\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w_{2,2\beta} - w_{1,2\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &= -\frac{1}{a^*} Re \left\{ \left(\frac{2a_1\gamma_1^{\frac{3}{2}}}{a^*} + \frac{2\beta^*\gamma_2\sqrt{\gamma_1}}{a^*} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} Q^3 \overline{\xi_{10}} + \left(\frac{2a_2\gamma_2^{\frac{3}{2}}}{a^*} + \frac{2\beta^*\gamma_1\sqrt{\gamma_2}}{a^*} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} Q^3 \overline{\xi_{20}} \right\} \\ &= -Re \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\gamma_1}}{a^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} Q^3 \overline{\xi_{10}} + \frac{2\sqrt{\gamma_2}}{a^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} Q^3 \overline{\xi_{20}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

where $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in (0, 1)$ are as in (1.13), and we have used the facts that $a_1\gamma_1 + \beta^*\gamma_2 = a^*$ and $a_2\gamma_2 + \beta^*\gamma_1 = a^*$. By (3.12), (3.16) and (3.27)–(3.29), we deduce from (3.22) that (ξ_{10}, ξ_{20}) solves the following system

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_1(\xi_{10}, \xi_{20}) = -\sqrt{\gamma_1} Q Re \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\gamma_1}}{a^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} Q^3 \overline{\xi_{10}} + \frac{2\sqrt{\gamma_2}}{a^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} Q^3 \overline{\xi_{20}} \right) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \mathcal{L}_2(\xi_{20}, \xi_{10}) = -\sqrt{\gamma_2} Q Re \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\gamma_2}}{a^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} Q^3 \overline{\xi_{20}} + \frac{2\sqrt{\gamma_1}}{a^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} Q^3 \overline{\xi_{10}} \right) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \end{cases} \quad (3.30)$$

where \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 are defined by (2.29). Following [12, Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 3.1], we obtain that the solution of the following linearized system

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_1(\phi_1, \phi_2) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \mathcal{L}_2(\phi_2, \phi_1) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \end{cases} \quad (3.31)$$

is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ib_0^1 \sqrt{\gamma_1} Q \\ ib_0^2 \sqrt{\gamma_2} Q \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{l=1}^2 b_l \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\gamma_1} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_l} \\ \sqrt{\gamma_2} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_l} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.32)$$

for some constants $b_0^j, b_l \in \mathbb{R}$, where $j, l = 1, 2$. Moreover, one can check that

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_1(\sqrt{\gamma_1}(Q + x \cdot \nabla Q), \sqrt{\gamma_2}(Q + x \cdot \nabla Q)) = -2\sqrt{\gamma_1} Q, \\ \mathcal{L}_2(\sqrt{\gamma_2}(Q + x \cdot \nabla Q), \sqrt{\gamma_1}(Q + x \cdot \nabla Q)) = -2\sqrt{\gamma_2} Q. \end{cases} \quad (3.33)$$

We then derive from (3.30)–(3.33) that there exist constants c_0, b_0^j and b_l , where $j, l = 1, 2$, such that

$$\xi_{j0} = \sqrt{\gamma_j} \left(c_0(Q + x \cdot \nabla Q) + b_0^j(iQ) + \sum_{l=1}^2 b_l \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_l} \right) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

together with (3.28) then yields that (3.24) holds true.

Step 2. The constants b_0^1, b_0^2 and b_2 in (3.24) satisfy $b_0^1 = b_0^2 = b_2 = 0$.

Note from (3.5), (3.7) and (3.9) that $Re\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \xi_{j\beta}(iQ)\right) = 0$ for $j = 1, 2$. We then derive from (3.24) and (3.27) that

$$Re\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sqrt{\gamma_j} \left(c_0(Q + x \cdot \nabla Q) + b_0^j(iQ) + \sum_{l=1}^2 b_l \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_l}\right)(iQ)\right) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

which implies that $b_0^j = 0$ for $j = 1, 2$.

We next prove that $b_2 = 0$. Multiplying the first equation of (3.13) by $\frac{\partial \overline{w_{j,1\beta}}}{\partial x_2} + \frac{-i\alpha_\beta^2 \Omega x_1 \overline{w_{j,1\beta}}}{2}$ and multiplying the second equation of (3.13) by $\frac{\partial \overline{w_{j,2\beta}}}{\partial x_2} + \frac{-i\alpha_\beta^2 \Omega x_1 \overline{w_{j,2\beta}}}{2}$, integrating over \mathbb{R}^2 and taking its real part, the same argument of proving [15, Lemma A.1] shows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\partial(|\alpha_\beta x + x_{1,\beta}|^2 - 1)^2}{\partial x_2} (|w_{j,1\beta}|^2 + |w_{j,2\beta}|^2) = 0 \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (3.34)$$

By (3.2), (3.12) and (3.24), we derive from (3.34) that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= Re\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\partial(|\alpha_\beta x + x_{1,\beta}|^2 - 1)^2}{\partial x_2} \left[(w_{2,1\beta} + w_{1,1\beta})\overline{\xi_{1\beta}} + (w_{2,2\beta} + w_{1,2\beta})\overline{\xi_{2\beta}}\right]\right) \\ &= Re\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 4\alpha_\beta (|\alpha_\beta x + x_{1,\beta}|^2 - 1)(\alpha_\beta x_2 + p_{1,\beta}) \left[(w_{2,1\beta} + w_{1,1\beta})\overline{\xi_{1\beta}} + (w_{2,2\beta} + w_{1,2\beta})\overline{\xi_{2\beta}}\right]\right) \\ &= Re\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 4\alpha_\beta (\alpha_\beta^2 |x|^2 + p_{1,\beta}^2 - 1 + 2\alpha_\beta x_2 p_{1,\beta})(\alpha_\beta x_2 + p_{1,\beta}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left[(w_{2,1\beta} + w_{1,1\beta})\overline{\xi_{1\beta}} + (w_{2,2\beta} + w_{1,2\beta})\overline{\xi_{2\beta}}\right]\right) \\ &= Re\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 8\alpha_\beta^2 x_2 \left[(w_{2,1\beta} + w_{1,1\beta})\overline{\xi_{1\beta}} + (w_{2,2\beta} + w_{1,2\beta})\overline{\xi_{2\beta}}\right] + o(\alpha_\beta^2)\right) \\ &= 16\alpha_\beta^2 (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x_2 Q \left[c_0(Q + x \cdot \nabla Q) + \sum_{l=1}^2 b_l \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_l}\right] + o(\alpha_\beta^2) \\ &= -8\alpha_\beta^2 b_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} Q^2 + o(\alpha_\beta^2) \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $b_2 = 0$.

Step 3. The constant c_0 in (3.24) satisfies $c_0 = 0$.

Similar to the argument of (2.52), one can deduce from (3.13) that $(w_{j,1\beta}, w_{j,2\beta})$ satisfies the following Pohozaev identity

$$\begin{aligned} &Re\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[i\alpha_\beta^2 \Omega(x^\perp \cdot \nabla w_{j,1\beta})(x \cdot \nabla \overline{w_{j,1\beta}}) + i\alpha_\beta^2 \Omega(x^\perp \cdot \nabla w_{j,2\beta})(x \cdot \nabla \overline{w_{j,2\beta}})\right]\right) \\ &= -\alpha_\beta^2 \mu_{j\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|w_{j,1\beta}|^2 + |w_{j,2\beta}|^2) + \alpha_\beta^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{\alpha_\beta^2 \Omega^2}{2} |x|^2 + (|\alpha_\beta x + x_{1,\beta}|^2 - 1)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\alpha_\beta (|\alpha_\beta x + x_{1,\beta}|^2 - 1)(\alpha_\beta |x|^2 + x \cdot x_{1,\beta})\right) (|w_{j,1\beta}|^2 + |w_{j,2\beta}|^2) \\ &\quad - \frac{a_1}{2a^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |w_{j,1\beta}|^4 - \frac{a_2}{2a^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |w_{j,2\beta}|^4 - \frac{\beta}{a^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |w_{j,1\beta}|^2 |w_{j,2\beta}|^2, \quad j = 1, 2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

We then derive from (3.35) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{A_{2\beta} - A_{1\beta}}{\|w_{2,1\beta} - w_{1,1\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w_{2,2\beta} - w_{1,2\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &= \frac{(B_{2\beta} - B_{1\beta}) + (C_{2\beta} - C_{1\beta})}{\|w_{2,1\beta} - w_{1,1\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w_{2,2\beta} - w_{1,2\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

where $A_{j\beta}$, $B_{j\beta}$ and $C_{j\beta}$ for $j = 1, 2$ are defined by

$$A_{j\beta} := \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[i \alpha_\beta^2 \Omega(x^\perp \cdot \nabla w_{j,1\beta})(x \cdot \nabla \overline{w_{j,1\beta}}) + i \alpha_\beta^2 \Omega(x^\perp \cdot \nabla w_{j,2\beta})(x \cdot \nabla \overline{w_{j,2\beta}}) \right] \right),$$

$$\begin{aligned} B_{j\beta} := & -\alpha_\beta^2 \mu_{j\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|w_{j,1\beta}|^2 + |w_{j,2\beta}|^2) + \alpha_\beta^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{\alpha_\beta^2 \Omega^2}{2} |x|^2 + (|\alpha_\beta x + x_{1,\beta}|^2 - 1) \right. \\ & \left. + 2\alpha_\beta (|\alpha_\beta x + x_{1,\beta}|^2 - 1)(\alpha_\beta |x|^2 + x \cdot x_{1,\beta}) \right) (|w_{j,1\beta}|^2 + |w_{j,2\beta}|^2), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$C_{j\beta} := -\frac{a_1}{2a^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |w_{j,1\beta}|^4 - \frac{a_2}{2a^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |w_{j,2\beta}|^4 - \frac{\beta}{a^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |w_{j,1\beta}|^2 |w_{j,2\beta}|^2.$$

We are now going to estimate all the terms in (3.36). By (3.16), (3.17), (3.19) and (3.25), the similar argument of [23, Proposition 3.4] yields from (3.22) that

$$\|\nabla I_{\xi_{j\beta}}(x)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} = O(\alpha_\beta^2) \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (3.37)$$

Using (3.7) and (3.21), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{A_{2\beta} - A_{1\beta}}{\|w_{2,1\beta} - w_{1,1\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w_{2,2\beta} - w_{1,2\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[i \alpha_\beta^2 \Omega(x^\perp \cdot \nabla \xi_{1\beta})(x \cdot \nabla \overline{w_{2,1\beta}}) + i \alpha_\beta^2 \Omega(x^\perp \cdot \nabla w_{1,1\beta})(x \cdot \nabla \overline{\xi_{1\beta}}) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + i \alpha_\beta^2 \Omega(x^\perp \cdot \nabla \xi_{2\beta})(x \cdot \nabla \overline{w_{2,2\beta}}) + i \alpha_\beta^2 \Omega(x^\perp \cdot \nabla w_{1,2\beta})(x \cdot \nabla \overline{\xi_{2\beta}}) \right] \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \alpha_\beta^2 \Omega(x^\perp \cdot \nabla R_{\xi_{1\beta}})(x \cdot \nabla I_{2,1\beta}) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \alpha_\beta^2 \Omega(x^\perp \cdot \nabla I_{\xi_{1\beta}})(x \cdot \nabla R_{2,1\beta}) \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \alpha_\beta^2 \Omega(x^\perp \cdot \nabla R_{1,1\beta})(x \cdot \nabla I_{\xi_{1\beta}}) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \alpha_\beta^2 \Omega(x^\perp \cdot \nabla I_{1,1\beta})(x \cdot \nabla R_{\xi_{1\beta}}) \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \alpha_\beta^2 \Omega(x^\perp \cdot \nabla R_{\xi_{2\beta}})(x \cdot \nabla I_{2,2\beta}) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \alpha_\beta^2 \Omega(x^\perp \cdot \nabla I_{\xi_{2\beta}})(x \cdot \nabla R_{2,2\beta}) \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \alpha_\beta^2 \Omega(x^\perp \cdot \nabla R_{1,2\beta})(x \cdot \nabla I_{\xi_{2\beta}}) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \alpha_\beta^2 \Omega(x^\perp \cdot \nabla I_{1,2\beta})(x \cdot \nabla R_{\xi_{2\beta}}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \alpha_\beta^2 \Omega[x^\perp \cdot \nabla(x \cdot \nabla(\sqrt{\gamma_1}Q))] I_{\xi_{1\beta}} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \alpha_\beta^2 \Omega[x^\perp \cdot \nabla(x \cdot \nabla(\sqrt{\gamma_2}Q))] I_{\xi_{2\beta}} + o(\alpha_\beta^4) \\ &= o(\alpha_\beta^4) \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

where we have used (3.12), (3.19), (3.27) and (3.37) in the third equality, and the fact that $Q(x)$ is radially symmetric in the last equality. As for the term containing $B_{j\beta}$, applying (3.2), we

derive from (3.12), (3.24), (3.27) and Step 2 that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{B_{2\beta} - B_{1\beta}}{\|w_{2,1\beta} - w_{1,1\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w_{2,2\beta} - w_{1,2\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
&= - \frac{\alpha_\beta^2 (\mu_{2\beta} - \mu_{1\beta}) a^*}{\|w_{2,1\beta} - w_{1,1\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w_{2,2\beta} - w_{1,2\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
&+ \alpha_\beta^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ \frac{\alpha_\beta^2 \Omega^2}{2} |x|^2 + (|\alpha_\beta x + x_{1,\beta}|^2 - 1)^2 \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + 2\alpha_\beta (|\alpha_\beta x + x_{1,\beta}|^2 - 1) (\alpha_\beta |x|^2 + x \cdot x_{1,\beta}) \right\} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \cdot \left[(\xi_{1\beta} \overline{w_{2,1\beta}} + w_{1,1\beta} \overline{\xi_{1\beta}}) + (\xi_{2\beta} \overline{w_{2,2\beta}} + w_{1,2\beta} \overline{\xi_{2\beta}}) \right] \right) \\
&= - \frac{\alpha_\beta^2 (\mu_{2\beta} - \mu_{1\beta}) a^*}{\|w_{2,1\beta} - w_{1,1\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w_{2,2\beta} - w_{1,2\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
&+ 2(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) \alpha_\beta^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\frac{\alpha_\beta^2 \Omega^2}{2} |x|^2 + 8\alpha_\beta^2 x_2^2 \right) \left[Q \left(c_0 (Q + x \cdot \nabla Q) + b_1 \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_1} \right) \right] + o(\alpha_\beta^4) \\
&= - \frac{\alpha_\beta^2 (\mu_{2\beta} - \mu_{1\beta}) a^*}{\|w_{2,1\beta} - w_{1,1\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w_{2,2\beta} - w_{1,2\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
&- \alpha_\beta^4 (\Omega^2 + 8) c_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 Q^2 + o(\alpha_\beta^4) \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

where γ_j is as in (1.13) for $j = 1, 2$, and we have used the facts that $\rho_{j\beta} \rightarrow \sqrt{\gamma_j}$ as $\beta \nearrow \beta^*$, and $Q(x)$ is radially symmetric. For the term containing $C_{j\beta}$, we deduce from (3.26) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{C_{2\beta} - C_{1\beta}}{\|w_{2,1\beta} - w_{1,1\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w_{2,2\beta} - w_{1,2\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
&= - \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[\frac{a_1}{2a^*} (|w_{2,1\beta}|^2 + |w_{1,1\beta}|^2) (w_{2,1\beta} + w_{1,1\beta}) \overline{\xi_{1\beta}} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \frac{a_2}{2a^*} (|w_{2,2\beta}|^2 + |w_{1,2\beta}|^2) (w_{2,2\beta} + w_{1,2\beta}) \overline{\xi_{2\beta}} \right] \right) \\
&- \frac{\beta}{a^*} \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[|w_{2,2\beta}|^2 (w_{2,1\beta} + w_{1,1\beta}) \overline{\xi_{1\beta}} + |w_{1,1\beta}|^2 (w_{2,2\beta} + w_{1,2\beta}) \overline{\xi_{2\beta}} \right] \right) \\
&= \frac{\alpha_\beta^2 (\mu_{2\beta} - \mu_{1\beta}) a^*}{\|w_{2,1\beta} - w_{1,1\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w_{2,2\beta} - w_{1,2\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

Following (3.38)–(3.40), we obtain from (3.36) that

$$\alpha_\beta^4 (\Omega^2 + 8) c_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 Q^2 = o(\alpha_\beta^4) \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*,$$

which implies that $c_0 = 0$.

Step 4. The constant b_1 in (3.24) satisfies $b_1 = 0$.

Multiplying $\xi_{1\beta}$ by $\overline{w_{2,1\beta} + w_{1,1\beta}}$ and multiplying $\xi_{2\beta}$ by $\overline{w_{2,2\beta} + w_{1,2\beta}}$, one can deduce from (3.21) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \xi_{1\beta} (\overline{w_{2,1\beta} + w_{1,1\beta}}) + \xi_{2\beta} (\overline{w_{2,2\beta} + w_{1,2\beta}}) \\
&= \frac{|w_{2,1\beta}|^2 + |w_{2,2\beta}|^2 - (|w_{1,1\beta}|^2 + |w_{1,2\beta}|^2)}{\|w_{2,1\beta} - w_{1,1\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w_{2,2\beta} - w_{1,2\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
&+ \xi_{1\beta} \overline{w_{1,1\beta}} - w_{1,1\beta} \overline{\xi_{1\beta}} + \xi_{2\beta} \overline{w_{1,2\beta}} - w_{1,2\beta} \overline{\xi_{2\beta}} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

Denote $\partial_1 f := \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}$, we then derive from (3.10) and (3.41) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_1 \left[\xi_{1\beta} (\overline{w_{2,1\beta} + w_{1,1\beta}}) + \xi_{2\beta} (\overline{w_{2,2\beta} + w_{1,2\beta}}) \right] \Big|_{x=0} \\
&= \partial_1 \left[\xi_{1\beta} \overline{w_{1,1\beta}} - w_{1,1\beta} \overline{\xi_{1\beta}} + \xi_{2\beta} \overline{w_{1,2\beta}} - w_{1,2\beta} \overline{\xi_{2\beta}} \right] \Big|_{x=0} \\
&= \left[(\partial_1 \xi_{1\beta}) \overline{w_{1,1\beta}} + \xi_{1\beta} (\partial_1 \overline{w_{1,1\beta}}) - (\partial_1 \overline{\xi_{1\beta}}) w_{1,1\beta} - (\partial_1 w_{1,1\beta}) \overline{\xi_{1\beta}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (\partial_1 \xi_{2\beta}) \overline{w_{1,2\beta}} + \xi_{2\beta} (\partial_1 \overline{w_{1,2\beta}}) - (\partial_1 \overline{\xi_{2\beta}}) w_{1,2\beta} - (\partial_1 w_{1,2\beta}) \overline{\xi_{2\beta}} \right] \Big|_{x=0}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

By Steps 2 and 3, we obtain that the constants c_0, b_0^1, b_0^2, b_2 in (3.24) satisfies $c_0 = b_0^1 = b_0^2 = b_2 = 0$. We then deduce from (3.12) and (3.24) that

$$R. H. S. \text{ of (3.42)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*. \tag{3.43}$$

On the other hand, using (3.12) and (3.24) again, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
L. H. S. \text{ of (3.42)} &= \partial_1 \left[\xi_{1\beta} (\overline{w_{2,1\beta} + w_{1,1\beta}}) + \xi_{2\beta} (\overline{w_{2,2\beta} + w_{1,2\beta}}) \right] \Big|_{x=0} \\
&= \left[\partial_1 \xi_{1\beta} (\overline{w_{2,1\beta} + w_{1,1\beta}}) + \xi_{1\beta} \partial_1 (\overline{w_{2,1\beta} + w_{1,1\beta}}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \partial_1 \xi_{2\beta} (\overline{w_{2,2\beta} + w_{1,2\beta}}) + \xi_{2\beta} \partial_1 (\overline{w_{2,2\beta} + w_{1,2\beta}}) \right] \Big|_{x=0} \\
&\rightarrow 2Q(0)b_1 \frac{\partial^2 Q(0)}{\partial x_1^2} \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.44}$$

where we have used the fact that $\rho_{j\beta} \rightarrow \sqrt{\gamma_j}$ as $\beta \nearrow \beta^*$ for $j = 1, 2$. It then follows from (3.43) and (3.44) that $b_1 = 0$.

We now take (x_β, y_β) such that $|\xi_{1\beta}(x_\beta)\xi_{2\beta}(y_\beta)| = \|\xi_{1\beta}\xi_{2\beta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} = 1$. Applying the exponential decay of $\xi_{1\beta}$ and $\xi_{2\beta}$ in (3.27), we deduce that $|x_\beta| \leq C$ and $|y_\beta| \leq C$ uniformly in β . Furthermore, one can conclude that $|\xi_{j\beta}| \rightarrow \xi_{j0} \not\equiv 0$ uniformly in $C_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ as $\beta \nearrow \beta^*$, where $j = 1, 2$. However, we obtain from Steps 1–4 that $\xi_{j0} \equiv 0$, this is a contradiction, and hence the assumption (3.1) is false. We then have

$$(u_{1,1\beta}(x), u_{1,2\beta}(x)) \equiv (u_{2,1\beta}(\mathcal{R}x)e^{i\phi_{1\beta}}, u_{2,2\beta}(\mathcal{R}x)e^{i\phi_{2\beta}})$$

for some constant phase $(\phi_{1\beta}, \phi_{2\beta}) \in [0, 2\pi) \times [0, 2\pi)$ and a suitable rotation \mathcal{R} from \mathbb{R}^2 to \mathbb{R}^2 when $\beta^* - \beta > 0$ is small enough. The proof of Proposition 3.1 is therefore complete. \square

Following Proposition 3.1, we now give the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $(u_1, u_2) \in \mathcal{M}$, where \mathcal{M} is as in (1.7). Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x^\perp \cdot (iu_j, \nabla u_j) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} Re(x^\perp \cdot iu_j \nabla \bar{u}_j) \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} Re(x^\perp \cdot i\bar{u}_j \nabla u_j) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x^\perp \cdot (i\bar{u}_j, \nabla \bar{u}_j), \quad j = 1, 2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.45}$$

Here and below \bar{f} denotes the conjugate of f . We then derive from (1.6) and (3.45) that

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{-\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta}(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2) &= \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[|\nabla \bar{u}_j|^2 + V(x)|\bar{u}_j|^2 - \frac{a_j}{2} |\bar{u}_j|^4 + \Omega x^\perp \cdot (i\bar{u}_j, \nabla \bar{u}_j) \right] dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \beta |\bar{u}_1|^2 |\bar{u}_2|^2 dx \\
&= F_{\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta}(u_1, u_2),
\end{aligned}$$

which further yields that

$$e(-\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta) = e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta). \quad (3.46)$$

On the other hand, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x^\perp \cdot (iu_j(-x_1, x_2), \nabla u_j(-x_1, x_2)) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \operatorname{Re}(x^\perp \cdot iu_j(-x_1, x_2) \overline{\nabla u_j(-x_1, x_2)}) \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \operatorname{Re}(x^\perp \cdot iu_j(x_1, x_2) \overline{\nabla u_j(x_1, x_2)}) \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x^\perp \cdot (iu_j(x_1, x_2), \nabla u_j(x_1, x_2)), \quad j = 1, 2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.47)$$

By (3.47), we derive from (1.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{-\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta}(u_1(-x_1, x_2), u_2(-x_1, x_2)) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[|\nabla u_j(-x_1, x_2)|^2 + V(x)|u_j(-x_1, x_2)|^2 - \frac{a_j}{2}|u_j(-x_1, x_2)|^4 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \Omega x^\perp \cdot (iu_j(-x_1, x_2), \nabla u_j(-x_1, x_2)) \right] dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \beta |u_1(-x_1, x_2)|^2 |u_2(-x_1, x_2)|^2 dx \\ &= F_{\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta}(u_1(x_1, x_2), u_2(x_1, x_2)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.48)$$

Let $(u_{1\beta}, u_{2\beta})$ be a minimizer of $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$ and $x_\beta = (0, p_\beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ be the unique maximal point of $|u_{1\beta}|^2 + |u_{2\beta}|^2$. Using (3.46) and (3.48), we obtain that $(u_{1\beta}(-x_1, x_2), u_{2\beta}(-x_1, x_2))$ is also a minimizer of $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$. It then follows from Proposition 3.1 that

$$(u_{1\beta}(-x_1, x_2), u_{2\beta}(-x_1, x_2)) \equiv (u_{1\beta}(\mathcal{R}x)e^{i\phi_{1\beta}}, u_{2\beta}(\mathcal{R}x)e^{i\phi_{2\beta}}) \quad (3.49)$$

for some constant phase $(\phi_{1\beta}, \phi_{2\beta}) \in [0, 2\pi) \times [0, 2\pi)$ and a suitable rotation \mathcal{R} from \mathbb{R}^2 to \mathbb{R}^2 when $\beta^* - \beta > 0$ is small enough. Furthermore, one can derive from (3.49) that $x_\beta = (0, p_\beta)$ is also the maximal point of $|u_{1\beta}(-x_1, x_2)|^2 + |u_{2\beta}(-x_1, x_2)|^2$. By the uniqueness of the maximal point $x_\beta = (0, p_\beta)$, we derive from (3.49) that \mathcal{R} is an identity transformation, i.e. $\mathcal{R} = id$. Therefore, we further obtain that

$$(u_{1\beta}(-x_1, x_2), u_{2\beta}(-x_1, x_2)) \equiv (u_{1\beta}(x)e^{i\phi_{1\beta}}, u_{2\beta}(x)e^{i\phi_{2\beta}}) \quad (3.50)$$

for some constant phase $(\phi_{1\beta}, \phi_{2\beta}) \in [0, 2\pi) \times [0, 2\pi)$ when $\beta^* - \beta > 0$ is small enough. In view of (3.50), we obtain that Theorem 1.2 holds true. \square

4 Refined Spike Profiles of Minimizers

Suppose $V(x)$ satisfies (1.3), and assume $0 < \Omega < \infty$, $0 < a_1, a_2 < a^*$ are fixed. Let $(u_{1\beta}, u_{2\beta})$ be the unique minimizer of $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$ and x_β be the unique maximal point of $|u_{1\beta}|^2 + |u_{2\beta}|^2$. Note that the system (1.1) is invariant under the rotational transformation. Without loss of generality, we may assume that x_β lies on the x_2 -axis, i.e., $x_\beta = (0, p_\beta)$. The main purpose of this section is to establish Theorem 1.3 on the refined spike profiles of minimizers $(u_{1\beta}, u_{2\beta})$ for $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$ as $\beta \nearrow \beta^*$.

Let $v_{j\beta}(x) := \sqrt{a^*} \varepsilon_\beta u_{j\beta}(\varepsilon_\beta x + x_\beta) e^{-i\left(\frac{\varepsilon_\beta \Omega}{2} x \cdot x_\beta^\perp - \theta_{j\beta}\right)}$ be defined by (2.9), where ε_β is as in (2.7), $x_\beta = (0, p_\beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is the unique maximal point of $|u_{1\beta}|^2 + |u_{2\beta}|^2$, and the constant phase

$\theta_{j\beta} \in [0, 2\pi)$ is chosen such that (2.10) holds true. We then obtain from (2.16), Lemma 2.3 and (2.45) that

$$v_{j\beta}(x) := \rho_{j\beta}Q(x) + \hat{u}_{j\beta}(x) = \rho_{j\beta}Q(x) + \rho_{j\beta}\varepsilon_\beta^4\psi_1(x) + o(\varepsilon_\beta^4) \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\rho_{j\beta} > 0$ is as in (1.21), $\psi_1(x)$ is the unique solution of (2.43), and $\hat{u}_{j\beta}(x)$ satisfies

$$|\hat{u}_{j\beta}(x)| \leq C\varepsilon_\beta^4 e^{-\frac{1}{8}|x|}, \quad |\nabla \hat{u}_{j\beta}(x)| \leq C\varepsilon_\beta^4 e^{-\frac{1}{16}|x|} \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (4.2)$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of $0 < \beta < \beta^*$. Furthermore, we obtain from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4 that the maximal point $x_\beta = (0, p_\beta)$ of $|u_{1\beta}|^2 + |u_{2\beta}|^2$ satisfies

$$p_\beta - 1 = A\varepsilon_\beta^2 + o(\varepsilon_\beta^2) \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad (4.3)$$

where $A = -\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |x|^2 Q^2 dx}{a^*} < 0$ is given by (1.20) and

$$\varepsilon_\beta = \alpha_\beta + o(\alpha_\beta) \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad (4.4)$$

where ε_β and $\alpha_\beta > 0$ are as in (2.7) and (1.14), respectively.

4.1 Refined spike profiles of $(v_{1\beta}, v_{2\beta})$

In this subsection, we shall derive the refined spike profiles of $(v_{1\beta}, v_{2\beta})$ defined in (2.9). We first give the more refined expansion of the maximal point x_β for $|u_{1\beta}|^2 + |u_{2\beta}|^2$ than (4.3) as $\beta \nearrow \beta^*$.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose $V(x)$ satisfies (1.3), and assume $0 < \Omega < \infty$ and $0 < a_1, a_2 < a^*$ are fixed. Let $(u_{1\beta}, u_{2\beta})$ be the unique minimizer of $e(\Omega, a_1, a_2, \beta)$ and $x_\beta = (0, p_\beta)$ be the unique maximal point of $|u_{1\beta}|^2 + |u_{2\beta}|^2$. Then we have*

$$p_\beta - 1 = A\varepsilon_\beta^2 - \frac{A^2}{2}\varepsilon_\beta^4 + o(\varepsilon_\beta^4) \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad (4.5)$$

where $A < 0$ is as in (1.20).

Proof. Recall from (2.40) that when β close to β^* enough,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\partial(|\varepsilon_\beta x + x_\beta|^2 - 1)^2}{\partial x_2} (|v_{1\beta}|^2 + |v_{2\beta}|^2) = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

Denote

$$B_\beta := p_\beta - 1 - A\varepsilon_\beta^2. \quad (4.7)$$

By (4.1)–(4.3), we derive from (4.6) and (4.7) that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\partial(|\varepsilon_\beta x + x_\beta|^2 - 1)^2}{\partial x_2} |v_{j\beta}|^2 dx \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 4\varepsilon_\beta (|\varepsilon_\beta x + x_\beta|^2 - 1)(\varepsilon_\beta x_2 + p_\beta) |\rho_{j\beta} Q + \hat{u}_{j\beta}|^2 dx \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 4\varepsilon_\beta (\varepsilon_\beta^2 |x|^2 + 2\varepsilon_\beta x_2 p_\beta + p_\beta^2 - 1)(\varepsilon_\beta x_2 + p_\beta) (\rho_{j\beta}^2 Q^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}(\rho_{j\beta} Q \hat{u}_{j\beta}) + |\hat{u}_{j\beta}|^2) dx \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^2 \rho_{j\beta}^2 \left[4\varepsilon_\beta^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|x|^2 + 2A + 2x_2^2) Q^2 dx + 4\varepsilon_\beta^5 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (3A^2 + A|x|^2 + 2Ax_2^2) Q^2 dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 8\varepsilon_\beta B_\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} Q^2 dx \right] + o(\varepsilon_\beta^5) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^2 \rho_{j\beta}^2 (4\varepsilon_\beta^5 A^2 a^* + 8\varepsilon_\beta B_\beta a^*) + o(\varepsilon_\beta^5) \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*,
\end{aligned}$$

which yields that

$$B_\beta = -\frac{A^2}{2} \varepsilon_\beta^4 + o(\varepsilon_\beta^4) \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*. \quad (4.8)$$

It then follows from (4.7) and (4.8) that (4.5) holds true, and Lemma 4.1 is thus proved. \square

Based on Lemma 4.1, we are devoted to deriving the refined expansion of $(v_{1\beta}(x), v_{2\beta}(x))$ in terms of ε_β .

Lemma 4.2. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 4.1, let $v_{j\beta}(x)$ be defined by (2.9), where $j = 1, 2$. Then we have for $j = 1, 2$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
v_{j\beta}(x) &:= \rho_{j\beta} Q(x) + \hat{u}_{j\beta}(x) \\
&= \rho_{j\beta} Q(x) + \rho_{j\beta} \varepsilon_\beta^4 \psi_1(x) + \rho_{j\beta} \varepsilon_\beta^5 \psi_2(x) + \rho_{j\beta} \varepsilon_\beta^6 \psi_3(x) + o(\varepsilon_\beta^6) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2
\end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

as $\beta \nearrow \beta^*$, where $\rho_{j\beta} > 0$ is as in (1.21), $\psi_k(x) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$ solves uniquely

$$\mathcal{L}\psi_k(x) = f_k(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \nabla \operatorname{Re}(\psi_k(0)) = 0, \quad \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \psi_k(iQ) = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \quad (4.10)$$

where $f_k(x)$ satisfies

$$f_k(x) = \begin{cases} -\left(\frac{\Omega^2}{4}|x|^2 + 4x_2^2\right)Q(x), & \text{if } k = 1; \\ -(4|x|^2 + 8A)x_2Q(x), & \text{if } k = 2; \\ -(|x|^4 + 4A|x|^2 + 8Ax_2^2 + 4A^2)Q(x) - i\Omega(x^\perp \cdot \nabla\psi_1), & \text{if } k = 3. \end{cases}$$

Here $A < 0$ and the operator \mathcal{L} are as in (1.20) and (2.3), respectively.

Proof. Set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{j\beta}(x) := \hat{u}_{j\beta}(x) - \rho_{j\beta} \varepsilon_\beta^4 \psi_1(x) - \rho_{j\beta} \varepsilon_\beta^5 \psi_2(x) - \rho_{j\beta} \varepsilon_\beta^6 \psi_3(x), \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (4.11)$$

where $\rho_{j\beta} > 0$ is defined by (1.21), and $\psi_k(x) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$ is a solution of (4.10) for $k = 1, 2, 3$. It then follows from (2.4) and (4.10) that $\psi_k(x)$ is unique for $k = 1, 2, 3$. Note from

(2.18), (2.20) and (4.10)–(4.11) that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L}_{1\beta}(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{1\beta}, \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{2\beta}) = F_{1\beta}(x) + \rho_{1\beta}\varepsilon_\beta^4 \left(\frac{\Omega^2}{4}|x|^2 + 4x_2^2\right)Q + \rho_{1\beta}\varepsilon_\beta^5(4|x|^2 + 8A)x_2Q \\ \quad + \rho_{1\beta}\varepsilon_\beta^6 \left[(|x|^4 + 4A|x|^2 + 8Ax_2^2 + 4A^2)Q + i\Omega(x^\perp \cdot \nabla\psi_1) \right] \\ \quad := \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{1\beta}(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \mathcal{L}_{2\beta}(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{2\beta}, \tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{1\beta}) = F_{2\beta}(x) + \rho_{2\beta}\varepsilon_\beta^4 \left(\frac{\Omega^2}{4}|x|^2 + 4x_2^2\right)Q + \rho_{2\beta}\varepsilon_\beta^5(4|x|^2 + 8A)x_2Q \\ \quad + \rho_{2\beta}\varepsilon_\beta^6 \left[(|x|^4 + 4A|x|^2 + 8Ax_2^2 + 4A^2)Q + i\Omega(x^\perp \cdot \nabla\psi_1) \right] \\ \quad := \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{2\beta}(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \end{array} \right. \quad (4.12)$$

where the operators $\mathcal{L}_{1\beta}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2\beta}$ are as in (2.19), and $F_{j\beta}(x)$ is given by (2.20) for $j = 1, 2$. Using (2.2) and Lemma 4.1, we deduce from (4.1) and (4.2) that the term $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{j\beta}(x)$ satisfies for $j = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{j\beta}(x)| &:= \left| F_{j\beta}(x) + \rho_{j\beta}\varepsilon_\beta^4 \left(\frac{\Omega^2}{4}|x|^2 + 4x_2^2\right)Q + \rho_{j\beta}\varepsilon_\beta^5(4|x|^2 + 8A)x_2Q \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \rho_{j\beta}\varepsilon_\beta^6 \left[(|x|^4 + 4A|x|^2 + 8Ax_2^2 + 4A^2)Q + i\Omega(x^\perp \cdot \nabla\psi_1) \right] \right| \\ &\leq C_{j\beta}\varepsilon_\beta^6 e^{-\frac{1}{10}|x|} \quad \text{uniformly in } \mathbb{R}^2 \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

where $C_{j\beta} > 0$ satisfies $C_{j\beta} = o(1)$ as $\beta \nearrow \beta^*$ for $j = 1, 2$. Similar to the argument of (2.22), one can deduce from (4.2), (4.12) and (4.13) that

$$|\tilde{\mathcal{U}}_{j\beta}(x)| \leq C_{j\beta}\varepsilon_\beta^6 \quad \text{uniformly in } \mathbb{R}^2 \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (4.14)$$

We then conclude from (4.11) and (4.14) that (4.9) holds true, and the proof of Lemma 4.2 is therefore complete. \square

4.2 Proof of Theorem 1.3

In this subsection, we shall complete the proof of Theorem 1.3. We shall first use the refined expansions of $x_\beta = (0, p_\beta)$ and $(v_{1\beta}(x), v_{2\beta}(x))$ established in Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 to derive the following refined expansions of ε_β and μ_β in terms of α_β .

Lemma 4.3. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 4.1, the term ε_β defined by (2.7) satisfies*

$$\varepsilon_\beta = \alpha_\beta - \frac{B_2}{4B_1}\alpha_\beta^3 + \frac{9B_2^2 - 8B_1^2B_4}{32B_1^2}\alpha_\beta^5 + o(\alpha_\beta^5) \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad (4.15)$$

where $\alpha_\beta > 0$ is given by (1.14), and B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4 are as in (1.19).

Proof. We shall use the Pohozaev identity (2.52) to prove (4.15). For the left hand side of (2.52), using (1.21), (4.2), Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, the similar argument of proving (2.56) yields

that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{L. H. S. of (2.52)} \\
&= \frac{(a^*)^2(2\beta - a_1 - a_2)}{\beta^2 - a_1 a_2} - a^* \\
&+ \frac{a^*(2\beta - a_1 - a_2)}{\beta^2 - a_1 a_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[\frac{\varepsilon_\beta^4(\Omega^2 + 8)}{4} |x|^2 Q^2 + \varepsilon_\beta^6(|x|^4 + 8A|x|^2 + 4A^2) Q^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \varepsilon_\beta^8 \left(\frac{\Omega^2}{4} |x|^2 + 4x_2^2 \right) Q \psi_1 \right] + o(\varepsilon_\beta^8) \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

As for the right hand side of (2.52), we first obtain from Lemma 4.2 that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \text{Re} [i\varepsilon_\beta^2 \Omega (x^\perp \cdot \nabla v_{j\beta})(x \cdot \nabla \bar{v}_{j\beta})] \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^2 \rho_{j\beta}^2 \text{Re} \left(i\varepsilon_\beta^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [x^\perp \cdot \nabla (Q + \varepsilon_\beta^4 \psi_1 + \varepsilon_\beta^5 \psi_2 + \varepsilon_\beta^6 \psi_3 + o(\varepsilon_\beta^6))] \right. \\
&\quad \left. \cdot [x \cdot \nabla (Q + \varepsilon_\beta^4 \psi_1 + \varepsilon_\beta^5 \psi_2 + \varepsilon_\beta^6 \psi_3 + o(\varepsilon_\beta^6))] \right) \\
&= o(\varepsilon_\beta^8) \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

where ψ_k are given by (4.10) for $k = 1, 2, 3$, and we have used the fact that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (x^\perp \cdot \nabla \psi_k)(x \cdot \nabla Q) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \psi_k x^\perp \cdot \nabla (x \cdot \nabla Q) = 0.$$

By (1.21), (4.2), Lemma 4.1 and 4.2, and (4.17), the similar argument of proving (2.58) shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{R. H. S. of (2.52)} \\
&= \frac{a^*(2\beta - a_1 - a_2)}{\beta^2 - a_1 a_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[\frac{\varepsilon_\beta^4(\Omega^2 + 8)}{2} |x|^2 Q^2 + \varepsilon_\beta^6(3|x|^4 + 16A|x|^2 + 4A^2) Q^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \varepsilon_\beta^8(\Omega^2|x|^2 + 16x_2^2) Q \psi_1 \right] + o(\varepsilon_\beta^8) \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

Combining (4.16) and (4.18) then yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(a^*)^2(2\beta - a_1 - a_2)}{\beta^2 - a_1 a_2} - a^* \\
&= \frac{a^*(2\beta - a_1 - a_2)}{\beta^2 - a_1 a_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[\frac{\varepsilon_\beta^4(\Omega^2 + 8)}{4} |x|^2 Q^2 + 2\varepsilon_\beta^6(|x|^4 + 4A|x|^2) Q^2 + 3\varepsilon_\beta^8 \left(\frac{\Omega^2}{4} |x|^2 + 4x_2^2 \right) Q \psi_1 \right] \\
&\quad + o(\varepsilon_\beta^8) \\
&:= \frac{a^*(2\beta - a_1 - a_2)}{\beta^2 - a_1 a_2} (B_1 \varepsilon_\beta^4 + B_2 \varepsilon_\beta^6 + B_3 \varepsilon_\beta^8) + o(\varepsilon_\beta^8) \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*,
\end{aligned}$$

from which we deduce that

$$\frac{a^* - \frac{\beta^2 - a_1 a_2}{2\beta - a_1 - a_2}}{B_1 \varepsilon_\beta^4} = 1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} \varepsilon_\beta^2 + \frac{B_3}{B_1} \varepsilon_\beta^4 + o(\varepsilon_\beta^4) \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*. \tag{4.19}$$

Denote $F(\beta) := \frac{\beta^2 - a_1 a_2}{2\beta - a_1 - a_2}$. Using Taylor's expansion, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
F(\beta) &= F(\beta^*) + F'(\beta^*)(\beta - \beta^*) + \frac{F''(\beta^*)}{2}(\beta - \beta^*)^2 + o(|\beta - \beta^*|^2) \\
&= a^* - 2\gamma_1 \gamma_2 (\beta^* - \beta) + \frac{1 - 4\gamma_1 \gamma_2}{2\beta^* - a_1 - a_2} (\beta^* - \beta)^2 + o(|\beta^* - \beta|^2) \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\beta^* > 0$ is as in (1.9), and $\gamma_j \in (0, 1)$ for $j = 1, 2$ is defined by (1.13). Note from (4.4) that

$$\varepsilon_\beta = \alpha_\beta + o(\alpha_\beta) \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad (4.20)$$

where $\alpha_\beta > 0$ is defined by (1.14). We then deduce from (1.14) and (4.19)–(4.20) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\alpha_\beta}{\varepsilon_\beta}\right)^4 &= 1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1}\varepsilon_\beta^2 + \left(\frac{B_3}{B_1} + \frac{(1 - 4\gamma_1\gamma_2)B_1}{(2\beta^* - a_1 - a_2)4\gamma_1^2\gamma_2^2}\right)\varepsilon_\beta^4 + o(\varepsilon_\beta^4) \\ &:= 1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1}\varepsilon_\beta^2 + B_4\varepsilon_\beta^4 + o(\varepsilon_\beta^4) \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*. \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

Substituting (4.20) into (4.21) yields that

$$\left(\frac{\alpha_\beta}{\varepsilon_\beta}\right)^4 = 1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1}\alpha_\beta^2 + o(\alpha_\beta^2) \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad (4.22)$$

which further implies that

$$\varepsilon_\beta = \alpha_\beta - \frac{B_2}{4B_1}\alpha_\beta^3 + o(\alpha_\beta^3) \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*. \quad (4.23)$$

Similar to (4.22), one can obtain from (4.21) and (4.23) that

$$\left(\frac{\alpha_\beta}{\varepsilon_\beta}\right)^4 = 1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1}\alpha_\beta^2 + \frac{2B_1^2B_4 - B_2^2}{2B_1^2}\alpha_\beta^4 + o(\alpha_\beta^4) \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*,$$

from which we derive that (4.15) holds true, and Lemma 4.3 is thus proved. \square

Following Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, we are now going to complete the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We first obtain from Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} v_{j\beta}(x) &:= \sqrt{a^*\varepsilon_\beta} u_{j\beta}(\varepsilon_\beta x + x_\beta) e^{-i\left(\frac{\varepsilon_\beta\Omega}{2}x \cdot x_\beta^\perp - \theta_{j\beta}\right)} \\ &= \rho_{j\beta} Q(x) + \rho_{j\beta} \alpha_\beta^4 \psi_1(x) + o(\alpha_\beta^4) \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad j = 1, 2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

where $\varepsilon_\beta > 0$ is defined by (2.7), $x_\beta = (0, p_\beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is the unique maximal point of $|u_{1\beta}|^2 + |u_{2\beta}|^2$, $\theta_{j\beta} \in [0, 2\pi)$ is chosen such that (2.10) holds true, $\rho_{j\beta} > 0$ is as in (1.21), $\alpha_\beta > 0$ is defined by (1.14), and $\psi_1(x) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{C})$ is uniquely given by (4.10).

Note from Lemma 4.3 that

$$\frac{\alpha_\beta}{\varepsilon_\beta} = 1 + \frac{B_2}{4B_1}\alpha_\beta^2 + \frac{8B_1^2B_4 - 7B_2^2}{32B_1^2}\alpha_\beta^4 + o(\alpha_\beta^4) \quad \text{as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*. \quad (4.25)$$

We then deduce from (4.24) and (4.25) that for $j = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{a^*} \alpha_\beta u_{j\beta}(\alpha_\beta x + x_\beta) e^{-i\left(\frac{\alpha_\beta \Omega}{2} x \cdot x_\beta^\perp - \theta_{j\beta}\right)} \\
&= \frac{\alpha_\beta}{\varepsilon_\beta} v_{j\beta} \left(\frac{\alpha_\beta}{\varepsilon_\beta} x \right) \\
&= \frac{\alpha_\beta}{\varepsilon_\beta} \rho_{j\beta} \left(Q \left(\frac{\alpha_\beta}{\varepsilon_\beta} x \right) + \alpha_\beta^4 \psi_1 \left(\frac{\alpha_\beta}{\varepsilon_\beta} x \right) + o(\alpha_\beta^4) \right) \\
&= \left(1 + \frac{B_2}{4B_1} \alpha_\beta^2 + \frac{8B_1^2 B_4 - 7B_2^2}{32B_1^2} \alpha_\beta^4 + o(\alpha_\beta^4) \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \rho_{j\beta} \left[Q(x) + \left(\frac{\alpha_\beta}{\varepsilon_\beta} - 1 \right) (x \cdot \nabla Q) + \left(\frac{\alpha_\beta}{\varepsilon_\beta} - 1 \right)^2 \frac{x^T (\nabla^2 Q) x}{2} + \alpha_\beta^4 \psi_1(x) + o(\alpha_\beta^4) \right] \quad (4.26) \\
&= \rho_{j\beta} \left\{ Q(x) + \alpha_\beta^2 \frac{B_2}{4B_1} (Q + x \cdot \nabla Q) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \alpha_\beta^4 \left[\frac{8B_1^2 B_4 - 7B_2^2}{32B_1^2} (Q + x \cdot \nabla Q) + \frac{B_2^2}{32B_1^2} x^T (\nabla^2 Q) x + \frac{B_2^2}{16B_1^2} (x \cdot \nabla Q) + \psi_1(x) \right] \right\} \\
&\quad + o(\alpha_\beta^4) \\
&:= \rho_{j\beta} \left(Q(x) + \alpha_\beta^2 C_1(x) + \alpha_\beta^4 C_2(x) \right) + o(\alpha_\beta^4) \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*.
\end{aligned}$$

Define for $j = 1, 2$,

$$\tilde{v}_{j\beta}(x) := \sqrt{a^*} \alpha_\beta u_{j\beta}(\alpha_\beta x + x_\beta) e^{-i\left(\frac{\alpha_\beta \Omega}{2} x \cdot x_\beta^\perp - \tilde{\theta}_{j\beta}\right)}, \quad (4.27)$$

where $\alpha_\beta > 0$ is defined by (1.14), $x_\beta = (0, p_\beta)$ is the unique maximal point of $|u_{1\beta}|^2 + |u_{2\beta}|^2$, and $\tilde{\theta}_{j\beta} \in [0, 2\pi)$ is chosen such that

$$\|\tilde{v}_{j\beta} - \sqrt{\gamma_j} Q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \min_{\theta \in [0, 2\pi)} \left\| \sqrt{a^*} \alpha_\beta u_{j\beta}(\alpha_\beta x + x_\beta) e^{-i\left(\frac{\alpha_\beta \Omega}{2} x \cdot x_\beta^\perp - \theta\right)} - \sqrt{\gamma_j} Q \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \quad (4.28)$$

By (4.28), we obtain that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{v}_{j\beta}(iQ) \right) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (4.29)$$

Similar to (2.65), one can deduce from (4.24) and (4.26)–(4.28) that

$$\lim_{\beta \nearrow \beta^*} |\tilde{\theta}_{j\beta} - \theta_{j\beta}| = 0, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (4.30)$$

Using (4.26), we derive from (4.27) and (4.29) that for $j = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{v}_{j\beta}(iQ) \right) \\
&= \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sqrt{a^*} \alpha_\beta u_{j\beta}(\alpha_\beta x + x_\beta) e^{-i\left(\frac{\alpha_\beta \Omega}{2} x \cdot x_\beta^\perp - \tilde{\theta}_{j\beta}\right)} (iQ) \right) \\
&= \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sqrt{a^*} \alpha_\beta u_{j\beta}(\alpha_\beta x + x_\beta) e^{-i\left(\frac{\alpha_\beta \Omega}{2} x \cdot x_\beta^\perp - \theta_{j\beta}\right)} e^{-i(\theta_{j\beta} - \tilde{\theta}_{j\beta})} (iQ) \right) \\
&= \rho_{j\beta} \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(Q(x) + \alpha_\beta^2 C_1(x) + \alpha_\beta^4 C_2(x) \right) e^{-i(\theta_{j\beta} - \tilde{\theta}_{j\beta})} (iQ) \right) + o(\alpha_\beta^4) \\
&= \rho_{j\beta} \sin(\theta_{j\beta} - \tilde{\theta}_{j\beta}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(Q(x) + \alpha_\beta^2 C_1(x) + \alpha_\beta^4 C_2(x) \right) Q + o(\alpha_\beta^4) \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*,
\end{aligned}$$

together with (4.30) then yield that

$$|\tilde{\theta}_{j\beta} - \theta_{j\beta}| = o(\alpha_\beta^4) \text{ as } \beta \nearrow \beta^*, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (4.31)$$

Combining (4.26) with (4.31) then yields that (1.22) holds true, and the proof of Theorem 1.3 is therefore complete. \square

References

- [1] A. Aftalion, *Vortices in Bose-Einstein condensates*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 67. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2006.
- [2] A. Aftalion, P. Mason and J. C. Wei, *Vortex-peak interaction and lattice shape in rotating two-component Bose-Einstein condensates*, Phys. Rev. A **85** (2012), 033614.
- [3] A. Aftalion, B. Noris and C. Sourdis, *Thomas-Fermi approximation for coexisting two component Bose-Einstein condensates and nonexistence of vortices for small rotation*, Comm. Math. Phys. **336** (2015), no. 2, 509–579.
- [4] A. Aftalion and E. Sandier, *Vortex patterns and sheets in segregated two component Bose-Einstein condensates*, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Eqns. **59** (2020), No. 19, 38 pp.
- [5] W. Z. Bao and Y. Y. Cai, *Mathematical theory and numerical methods for Bose-Einstein condensation*, Kinet. Relat. Models **6** (2013), 1–135.
- [6] D. Bonheure, J. Dolbeault, M. J. Esteban, A. Laptev, M. Loss, *Symmetry results in two-dimensional inequalities for Aharonov-Bohm magnetic fields*, Comm. Math. Phys. **375** (2020), 2071–2087.
- [7] D. Bonheure, M. Nys and J. Van Schaftingen, *Properties of ground states of non-linear Schrödinger equations under a weak constant magnetic field*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **124** (2019), 123–168.
- [8] V. Bretin, S. Stock, Y. Seurin and J. Dalibard, *Fast rotation of a Bose-Einstein condensate*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92** (2004), 050403.
- [9] T. Cazenave, *Semilinear Schrödinger Equations*, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol. 10, Courant Institute of Mathematical Science/AMS, New York, 2003.
- [10] S. Cingolani and S. Secchi, *Semiclassical limit for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with electromagnetic fields*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **275** (2002), 108–130.
- [11] S. Cingolani and S. Secchi, *Semiclassical states for NLS equations with magnetic potentials having polynomial growths*, J. Math. Phys. **46** (2005), 053503.
- [12] E. N. Dancer and J. C. Wei, *Spike solutions in coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations with attractive interaction*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **361** (2009), 1189–1208.
- [13] A. L. Fetter, *Rotating vortex lattice in a Bose-Einstein condensate trapped in combined quadratic and quartic radial potentials*, Phys. Rev. A **64** (2001), 063068.
- [14] A. L. Fetter, B. Jackson and S. Stringari, *Rapid rotation of a Bose-Einstein condensate in a harmonic plus quartic trap*, Phys. Rev. A **71** (2005), 013605.
- [15] Y. S. Gao, Y. J. Guo, Y. Li and Y. Luo, *Nonexistence of Vortices for Rotating Two-Component Focusing Bose Gases*, submitted. (2022), 59 pages, arXiv:2211.14808.
- [16] J. J. García-Ripoll, V. M. Pérez-García and F. Sols, *Split vortices in optically coupled Bose-Einstein condensates*, Phys. Rev. A **66** (2002), 021602.

- [17] B. Gidas, W. M. Ni and L. Nirenberg, *Symmetry of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^n* , Mathematical analysis and applications Part A, Adv. Math. Suppl. Stud. Vol. 7, Academic Press, New York (1981), 369–402.
- [18] M. Grossi, *On the number of single-peak solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **19** (2002), 261–280.
- [19] Q. Guo and H. F. Xie, *Existence and local uniqueness of normalized solutions for two-component Bose-Einstein condensates*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **72** (2021), 189.
- [20] Y. J. Guo, Y. Li, Y. Luo and S. J. Peng, *Axial Symmetry of Normalized Solutions for Magnetic Gross-Pitaevskii Equations with Anharmonic Potentials*, submitted (2023), 40 pages, arXiv:2310.00556.
- [21] Y. J. Guo, S. Li, J. C. Wei and X. Y. Zeng, *Ground states of two-component attractive Bose-Einstein condensates I: Existence and uniqueness*, J. Funct. Anal. **276** (2019), 183–230.
- [22] Y. J. Guo, C. S. Lin and J. C. Wei, *Local uniqueness and refined spike profiles of ground states for two-dimensional attractive Bose-Einstein condensates*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **49** (2017), 3671–3715.
- [23] Y. J. Guo, Y. Luo and S. J. Peng, *Local uniqueness of ground states for rotating Bose-Einstein condensates with attractive interactions*, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Eqns. **60** (2021), 237.
- [24] Y. J. Guo, Y. Luo and W. Yang, *The nonexistence of vortices for rotating Bose-Einstein condensates with attractive interactions*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **238** (2020), 1231–1281.
- [25] Y. J. Guo, X. Y. Zeng and H. S. Zhou, *Energy estimates and symmetry breaking in attractive Bose-Einstein condensates with ring-shaped potentials*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **33**, (2016), 809–828.
- [26] E. Ö. Karabulut, F. Malet, G. M. Kavoulakis and S. M. Reimann, *Phase diagram of a rapidly rotating two-component Bose gas*, Phys. Rev. A **87** (2013), 043609.
- [27] K. Kasamatsu, M. Tsubota and M. Ueda, *Vortex phase diagram in rotating two-component Bose-Einstein condensates*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91** (2003), 150406.
- [28] M. K. Kwong, *Uniqueness of positive solutions of $\Delta u - u + u^p = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N* , Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **105** (1989), 243–266.
- [29] E. H. Lieb and R. Seiringer, *Derivation of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation for rotating Bose gases*, Comm. Math. Phys. **264** (2006), 505–537.
- [30] E. H. Lieb and J. P. Solovej, *Ground state energy of the two-component charged Bose gas*, Comm. Math. Phys. **252** (2004), 485–534.
- [31] T. C. Lin and J. C. Wei, *Spikes in two-component systems of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with trapping potentials*, J. Differ. Eqns. **229** (2006), 538–569.

- [32] Y. Liu and S. Y. Zhang, *The ground states and pseudospin textures of rotating two-component Bose-Einstein condensates trapped in harmonic plus quartic potential*, Chin. Phys. B **25** (2016), 090304.
- [33] P. Luo, S. J. Peng, J. C. Wei and S. S. Yan, *Excited states of Bose-Einstein condensates with degenerate attractive interactions*, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Eqns. **60** (2021), Paper No. 155, 33 pp.
- [34] J. Royo-Letelier, *Segregation and symmetry breaking of strongly coupled two component Bose-Einstein condensates in a harmonic trap*, Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Eqns. **49** (2014), 103–124.
- [35] S. Stock, V. Bretin, F. Chevy and J. Dalibard, *Shape oscillation of a rotating Bose-Einstein condensate*, Europhys. Lett. **65** (2004), 594–600.
- [36] H. Takeuchi, K. Kasamatsu and M. Tsubota, *Vortex Structures in Rotating Two-Component Bose-Einstein Condensates in an Anharmonic Trapping Potential*, AIP Conference Proceedings **850**, (2006), 57–58.
- [37] J. C. Wei and W. Yao, *Uniqueness of positive solutions to some coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **11** (2012), 1003–1011.
- [38] X. Zeng and H. Zhou, *Uniqueness of single peak solutions for coupled nonlinear Gross-Pitaevskii equations with potentials*, submitted (2022), 31 pages, arXiv:2204.11711.