

A note on the bicategory of Landau-Ginzburg models (\mathcal{LG}_K)

Yves Baudelaire Fomatati^{a*}

Abstract

The bicategory of Landau-Ginzburg models denoted by \mathcal{LG}_K possesses adjoints and this helps in explaining a certain duality that exists in the setting of Landau-Ginzburg models in terms of some specified relations. The construction of \mathcal{LG}_K is reminiscent of, but more complex than, the construction of the bicategory of associative algebras and bimodules. In this paper, we review this complex but very inspiring construction in order to expose it more to pure mathematicians. In particular, we spend some time explaining the intricate construction of unit morphisms in this bicategory from a new vantage point. Besides, we briefly discuss how this bicategory could be constructed in more than one way using the variants of the Yoshino tensor product. Furthermore, without resorting to Atiyah classes, we prove that the left and right unitors in this bicategory have direct right inverses but do not have direct left inverses.

Keywords. Bicategory of Landau-Ginzburg models, Matrix factorizations, tensor product, polynomials.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020). 15A23, 18N10.

1 Introduction

A Landau-Ginzburg model is a model in solid state physics for superconductivity. Superconductivity is a quantum mechanical phenomenon of exactly zero electrical resistance and expulsion of magnetic flux field occurring in superconductors when cooled below their critical temperature (i.e., a temperature at and above which their vapor cannot be liquefied no matter how much pressure is applied). Some excellent textbooks and papers giving a detailed account on superconductivity are [22] and [19].

Landau-Ginzburg models play a significant role in several areas of pure mathematics and mathematical physics including singularity theory, representation theory, and conformal or topological field theory. The connection between these areas motivates the study of Landau-Ginzburg models. In [5], it is explained how Landau-Ginzburg models give rise to a bicategory with adjoints (also called duals) and the structure maps in this bicategory are described, which include the units and counits of adjunction in terms of basic invariants called *Atiyah classes* (section 3 of [5]). The authors of [5] studied this bicategory of

^a. Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Ottawa, yfomatat@uottawa.ca .

Landau-Ginzburg models and showed that the bicategorical perspective offers a certain unified approach to Landau-Ginzburg models. They used Atiyah classes to prove that the unitors in this bicategory have homotopy inverses .

In this paper, we elucidate the intricate construction of the unit morphisms and without resorting to Atiyah classes, we prove that the unitors have direct right inverses. Unfortunately, they do not have direct left inverses. As described in [5], this bicategory has *potentials* (definition 2.4 of [5]) as objects and matrix factorizations as 1–morphisms.

But, unlike [5] we do not restrict ourselves to *potentials* which are polynomials satisfying some conditions (definition 2.4 p.8 of [5])¹. Potentials have many applications in quantum algebra [16] and mathematical physics [5]. It turns out that the authors of [5] used potentials to suit their purposes because even if we take the objects of \mathcal{LG}_K to be polynomials rather than potentials and then apply the construction of \mathcal{LG}_K given in [5], we obtain virtually the same bicategory except that we now have more objects. Moreover, in this new category whose objects are polynomials (without restrictions), the unit construction of the bicategory of Landau-Ginzburg models is done the same way. Therefore, in our presentation, the objects of \mathcal{LG}_K are polynomials in general.

The composition of 1–morphisms in \mathcal{LG}_K is done using the Yoshino tensor product of matrix factorizations. We are going to observe that in place of this product, any of its variants could also be used thereby yielding variants of the bicategory \mathcal{LG}_K .

In the next section, we give a short note on linear factorizations and in section 3, the construction of \mathcal{LG}_K is reviewed.

Except otherwise stated, $R = K[x]$ where $x = x_1, \dots, x_n$ and K is a commutative ring with unity.

2 Matrix factorizations and the Yoshino tensor product

In this section, we set the stage for the review of the bicategory \mathcal{LG}_K (cf. section 3). First, we give some useful definitions and make some observations. Next, we recall Yoshino tensor product of matrix factorizations and its variants. We also recall the notion of homotopic linear factorizations. In the third subsection, we briefly discuss factorizations of finite rank. Finally, in the last subsection we revisit the tensor product of matrix factorizations. In fact, after recalling two different ways in which a matrix factorization can be defined, we show how the Yoshino tensor product can be used on matrix factorizations of two polynomials to produce a matrix factorization of their sum.

2.1 Some definitions and observations

Definition 2.1. (p.8 of [5]) *Linear factorization*

A **linear factorization** of $f \in R$ is a \mathbb{Z}_2 –graded R –module $X = X^0 \oplus X^1$ together with an odd (i.e., grade reversing) R –linear endomorphism $d : X \longrightarrow X$ such that $d^2 = f \cdot id_X$.

$f \cdot id_X$ stands for the endomorphism $x \mapsto f \cdot x$, $\forall x \in X$.

d is called a *twisted differential* in [4]. d is actually a pair of maps (d^0, d^1) that we may

¹For an earlier reference, see section 3 p.17-18 of [16].

depict as follows:

$$X^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} X^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} X^0$$

such that $d^0 \circ d^1 = f \cdot id_{X^1}$ and $d^1 \circ d^0 = f \cdot id_{X^0}$.

Example 2.1. Keeping to the notations of definition 2.1, let $R = \mathbb{C}[x]$ consider $f = x^3 \in R$. Then take X to be the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded $\mathbb{C}[x]$ -module $\mathbb{C}[x] \oplus \mathbb{C}[x]$. Then define $d : X \rightarrow X$ as follows:

$$X^0 \xrightarrow{M} X^1 \xrightarrow{M} X^0$$

where some of the choices for M are the following:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x \\ x^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

or

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ x^3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Here, $d^0 = d^1$ and M is the matrix corresponding to the R -linear endomorphism d^0 . In general, if $f = x^n$, then we can take M to be

$$M_q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x^q \\ x^{n-q} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Clearly $d^2 = f \cdot id_X$.

Remark 2.1. If X is a free R -module, then the pair (X, d) is called a matrix factorization. If M_0 and M_1 are respectively matrices of the R -linear endomorphisms d^0 and d^1 , then the pair (M_0, M_1) would be a matrix factorization of f according to definition 2.1 of [12].

Eisenbud [10] was the first to introduce the notion of matrix factorizations. He related them to maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules (cf. (Chap. 7 of [24]), [20]).

The original definition of a matrix factorization given by Eisenbud (p.15 of [10]) is as follows: a matrix factorization of an element f in a ring R (with unity) is an ordered pair of maps of free R -modules $\phi : F \rightarrow G$ and $\psi : G \rightarrow F$ s.t., $\phi\psi = f \cdot 1_G$ and $\psi\phi = f \cdot 1_F$. From this definition of Eisenbud, it is clear that to obtain a linear factorization from a matrix factorization, we need to have F and G represent respectively the even and the odd components of a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded R -module X such that ϕ and ψ are grade reversing maps. Just like in [5], in this section, we will often refer to a matrix factorization (X, d_X) by X without mentioning the differential d_X .

Given a basis for X , it is sometimes more convenient to identify d_X with its associated block matrix

$$d_X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & d_X^1 \\ d_X^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Two remarkable operations can be carried out on matrix factorizations, namely *direct sum* and *tensor product*. The direct sum of two matrix factorizations X and Y is defined in the obvious way:

$$(X \oplus Y)^i = X^i \oplus Y^i \quad \text{and} \quad d_{X \oplus Y}^i = d_X^i + d_Y^i$$

As for the operation of tensor product it is presented in the following subsection.

2.2 Yoshino's tensor product of matrix factorization and its variants

Here, we recall the Yoshino tensor product of matrix factorization and its variants. First, the definition of the category of matrix factorization of a power series is recalled.

Definition 2.2. [23]

An $n \times n$ **matrix factorization** of a power series $f \in R$ is a pair of $n \times n$ matrices (ϕ, ψ) such that $\phi\psi = \psi\phi = fI_n$, where I_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix and the coefficients of ϕ and of ψ are taken from R .

Also recall (§1 of [23]) the definition of the category of matrix factorizations of a power series $f \in R = K[[x]] := K[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ denoted by $MF(R, f)$ or $MF_R(f)$, (or even $MF(f)$ when there is no risk of confusion):

- The objects are the matrix factorizations of f .
- Given two matrix factorizations of f ; (ϕ_1, ψ_1) and (ϕ_2, ψ_2) respectively of sizes n_1 and n_2 , a morphism from (ϕ_1, ψ_1) to (ϕ_2, ψ_2) is a pair of matrices (α, β) each of size $n_2 \times n_1$ which makes the following diagram commute [23]:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 K[[x]]^{n_1} & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & K[[x]]^{n_1} & \xrightarrow{\phi_1} & K[[x]]^{n_1} \\
 \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \alpha \\
 K[[x]]^{n_2} & \xrightarrow{\psi_2} & K[[x]]^{n_2} & \xrightarrow{\phi_2} & K[[x]]^{n_2}
 \end{array}$$

That is,

$$\begin{cases} \alpha\phi_1 = \phi_2\beta \\ \psi_2\alpha = \beta\psi_1 \end{cases}$$

More details on this category are found in chapter 2 of [12].

Definition 2.3. [23] Let $X = (\phi, \psi)$ be an $n \times n$ matrix factorization of $f \in R$ and $X' = (\phi', \psi')$ an $m \times m$ matrix factorization of $g \in S$. These matrices can be considered as matrices over $L = K[[x, y]]$ and the **tensor product** $\widehat{X \otimes X'}$ is given by

$$\left(\begin{bmatrix} \phi \otimes 1_m & 1_n \otimes \phi' \\ -1_n \otimes \psi' & \psi \otimes 1_m \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \psi \otimes 1_m & -1_n \otimes \phi' \\ 1_n \otimes \psi' & \phi \otimes 1_m \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

where each component is an endomorphism on $L^n \otimes L^m$.

$\widehat{X \otimes X'}$ is in fact an object of $MF_L(f + g)$ of size $2nm$.

Remark 2.2. When $n = 1$, we get a 1×1 matrix factorization of f , i.e., $f = [g][h]$ which is simply a factorization of f in the classical sense. But in case f is not reducible, this is not interesting, that is why we will mostly consider $n > 1$.

Variants of Yoshino's tensor product of matrix factorizations

Definition 2.4. [13] Let $X = (\phi, \psi)$ be an $n \times n$ matrix factorization of $f \in R$ and $X' = (\phi', \psi')$ an $m \times m$ matrix factorization of $g \in S$. These matrices can be considered as matrices over $L = K[[x, y]]$ and the **tensor products** $\widehat{X \otimes' X'}$, $\widehat{X \otimes'' X'}$ and $\widehat{X \otimes''' X'}$ are

respectively given by

$$\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1_n \otimes \phi' & \psi \otimes 1_m \\ \phi \otimes 1_m & -1_n \otimes \psi' \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1_n \otimes \psi' & \psi \otimes 1_m \\ \phi \otimes 1_m & -1_n \otimes \phi' \end{bmatrix} \right),$$

$$\left(\begin{bmatrix} \psi \otimes 1_m & -1_n \otimes \psi' \\ 1_n \otimes \phi' & \phi \otimes 1_m \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \phi \otimes 1_m & 1_n \otimes \psi' \\ -1_n \otimes \phi' & \psi \otimes 1_m \end{bmatrix} \right) \text{ and}$$

$$\left(\begin{bmatrix} -1_n \otimes \psi' & \phi \otimes 1_m \\ \psi \otimes 1_m & 1_n \otimes \phi' \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1_n \otimes \phi' & \phi \otimes 1_m \\ \psi \otimes 1_m & 1_n \otimes \psi' \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

where each component is an endomorphism on $L^n \otimes_L L^m$.

$X \widehat{\otimes}' X'$, $X \widehat{\otimes}'' X'$ and $X \widehat{\otimes}''' X'$ are in fact objects of $MF_L(f+g)$, each of size $2nm$. These objects are mutually distinct.

Definition 2.5. [13] $\widehat{\otimes}$, $\widehat{\otimes}''$ and $\widehat{\otimes}'''$ are respectively called the first, second and third variant of the Yoshino tensor product.

Proposition 2.1. [13] $\widehat{\otimes}$, $\widehat{\otimes}''$ and $\widehat{\otimes}'''$ are functorial operations.

Definition 2.6. (p.9 [5]) *Morphism of linear factorizations*

A *morphism of linear factorizations* (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) is an even (i.e., a grade preserving) R -linear map $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $d_Y \phi = \phi d_X$.

Concretely (see page 19 of [16]), ϕ is a pair of maps $X^0 \xrightarrow{\phi^0} Y^0$ and $X^1 \xrightarrow{\phi^1} Y^1$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X^0 & \xrightarrow{d_X^0} & X^1 & \xrightarrow{d_X^1} & X^0 \\ \downarrow \phi^0 & & \downarrow \phi^1 & & \downarrow \phi^0 \\ Y^0 & \xrightarrow{d_Y^0} & Y^1 & \xrightarrow{d_Y^1} & Y^0 \end{array}$$

Remark 2.3. The family of R -linear maps $\text{Hom}_R(X, Y)$ between two linear factorizations X and Y is an R -module with action $r(\phi^0, \phi^1) = (r\phi^0, r\phi^1)$, $r \in R$.

Since X and Y are linear factorizations of $f \in R$, they are \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded modules, thus we can write $X = X^0 \oplus X^1$ and $Y = Y^0 \oplus Y^1$. Hence maps in $\text{Hom}_R(X, Y)$ are of degree one or zero. Thus, we can write $\text{Hom}_R(X, Y) = \text{Hom}_R^1(X, Y) \oplus \text{Hom}_R^0(X, Y)$.

Proposition 2.2. Let X and Y be two linear factorizations of an element $f \in R$. Then $\text{Hom}_R(X, Y)$ is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded complex with differential

$$d : \phi \mapsto d_Y \circ \phi - (-1)^{|\phi|} \phi \circ d_X$$

where $|\phi|$ is the degree of the map ϕ .

N.B. we will drop the R in $\text{Hom}_R(X, Y)$ for ease of notation.

Proof. see Prop. 5.1 of [12].

□

One of the main goals of this paper is to review the construction of the bicategory \mathcal{LG}_K and in particular to elucidate the intricate construction of the unit in this bicategory. To that end, we need more ingredients. Besides the notion of tensor products of matrix factorizations we will need the notion of homotopy between linear factorizations.

Notations 2.1. Let R be a commutative ring and $f \in R$. We will write $F(R, f)$ for the category whose objects are linear factorizations of f and morphisms are homomorphisms of linear factorizations.

Definition 2.7. (p.9 [5]) *homotopic linear factorizations*

Let (X, d_X) and (Y, d_Y) be linear factorizations. Two morphisms $\varphi, \psi : X \rightarrow Y$ are **homotopic** if there exists an odd R -linear map $\lambda : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $d_Y \lambda + \lambda d_X = \psi - \varphi$.

More precisely, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X_1 & \xrightarrow{d_X} & X_0 & \xrightarrow{d_X} & X_1 \\
 \downarrow \psi - \varphi & \nearrow \lambda_0 & \downarrow \psi - \varphi & \nearrow \lambda_1 & \downarrow \psi - \varphi \\
 Y_1 & \xrightarrow{d_Y} & Y_0 & \xrightarrow{d_Y} & Y_1
 \end{array} \quad \dagger$$

i.e.,

$$d_Y \circ \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 \circ d_X = \psi - \varphi$$

Recall that given a category C , a *congruence relation* R on C , is an equivalence relation R_{XY} on $Hom_C(X, Y)$ for objects X, Y s.t. the equivalence relations are compatible with composition. Moreover, we know that given a congruence relation \mathcal{R} on C , we can define the quotient category C/\mathcal{R} whose objects are those of C but morphisms are equivalence classes of morphisms in C . Composition of morphisms in C/\mathcal{R} is well defined since \mathcal{R} is a congruence relation.

It is easy to see that equality up to homotopy is an equivalence relation. This relation is compatible with composition and so one can form the quotient category denoted by $HF(R, f)$ in [5].

When defining a matrix factorization in the previous section, we did not talk about the rank of a matrix factorization, it is time to do so.

2.3 A glimpse of factorizations of finite rank

This subsection mostly relies on work done in [16] (p.18, 20) and [5]). It is reproduced here for the sake of completeness.

If X is a matrix factorization, then it is not always the case that the ranks of the R -modules X^0 and X^1 be finite. This notion of finiteness of the rank of a matrix factorization was crucial in the study of Morita contexts in the bicategory \mathcal{LG}_K [11].

Definition 2.8. We say that a matrix factorization is of *finite rank* if its underlying free R -module is of *finite rank*.

Given a basis for the R -module $X = X^0 \oplus X^1$, the differential d_X is sometimes identified with the matrix

$$d_X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & d_X^1 \\ d_X^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus,

$$d_X^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & d_X^1 \\ d_X^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & d_X^1 \\ d_X^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d_X^1 d_X^0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_X^0 d_X^1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f \cdot id_{X^0} & 0 \\ 0 & f \cdot id_{X^1} \end{pmatrix} = f \begin{pmatrix} id_{X^0} & 0 \\ 0 & id_{X^1} \end{pmatrix}$$

So, $d_X^2 = fId$ where $Id = \begin{pmatrix} id_{X^0} & 0 \\ 0 & id_{X^1} \end{pmatrix}$.

Notations 2.2. We keep the following notations used in [5]:

- As alluded above, $HF(R, f)$ denotes the category of linear factorizations of $f \in R$ modulo homotopy.
- We also denote by $HMF(R, f)$ its full subcategory of matrix factorizations.
- Furthermore, we write $hmf(R, f)$ for the full subcategory of finite rank matrix factorizations, viz. the matrix factorizations whose underlying R -module is free of finite rank. Recall that the category of matrix factorizations of f is denoted $MF(R, f)$ (or sometimes simply denoted by $MF(f)$ when there is no risk of confusion).

$$Hom_{HMF(R, f)}(X, Y) = Hom_{MF(R, f)}(X, Y) / \{\text{Null-homotopic maps}\}$$

A null-homotopic map is a map that is homotopic to the zero map. $MF(R, f)$ is additive and R -linear (p.19 [16])².

If we choose bases in the free R -modules X^0 and X^1 , then we can write the maps d_X^0 and d_X^1 as $m \times m$ matrices D_X^0 and D_X^1 with coefficients in R . These matrices satisfy the equalities:

$$D_X^0 D_X^1 = f \cdot Id, \quad D_X^1 D_X^0 = f \cdot Id$$

where Id stands for the identity $m \times m$ matrix. Alternatively, we can describe this factorization by a $2m \times 2m$ matrix with off-diagonal blocks D^0 and D^1 :

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D^1 \\ D^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad D^2 = f \cdot Id, \text{ or written simply } D^2 = fId,$$

where we dropped the subscripts on D for ease of notation. The Id in the last equality above is the identity matrix of size $2m$.

Matrix description of objects in $hmf(R, f)$ extends to infinite rank factorizations. Matrices D^0 and D^1 then have infinite rank, but each of their columns has only finitely many non-zero entries. If factorizations X and Y are written in matrix form, $X = (D_X^0, D_X^1)$ and $Y = (D_Y^0, D_Y^1)$, then a homomorphism $g : X \rightarrow Y$ is a pair of matrices (G_0, G_1) such that $G_1 D_X^0 = D_Y^0 G_0$ and $G_0 D_X^1 = D_Y^1 G_1$.

Remark 2.4. The two equations $G_1 D_X^0 = D_Y^0 G_0$ and $G_0 D_X^1 = D_Y^1 G_1$ are equivalent (p.20 [16], p.174 [17]). We give a proof of the equivalence here.

²In [16], the author writes MF_f^{all} instead of $MF(R, f)$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_0 D_X^1 = D_Y^1 G_1 &\Rightarrow G_0 D_X^1 D_X^0 = D_Y^1 G_1 D_X^0 \\
&\Rightarrow D_Y^0 G_0 D_X^1 D_X^0 = D_Y^0 D_Y^1 G_1 D_X^0 \\
&\Rightarrow D_Y^0 G_0 f \cdot Id = f \cdot Id G_1 D_X^0 \\
&\Rightarrow f \cdot D_Y^0 G_0 Id = f \cdot Id G_1 D_X^0 \\
&\Rightarrow f \cdot Id D_Y^0 G_0 = f \cdot Id G_1 D_X^0 \text{ since } D_Y^0 G_0 Id = Id D_Y^0 G_0 \\
&\Rightarrow (f \cdot Id)(D_Y^0 G_0 - G_1 D_X^0) = 0 \\
&\Rightarrow D_Y^0 G_0 = G_1 D_X^0 \quad \text{as desired.}
\end{aligned}$$

The third implication above holds because $D_X^1 D_X^0 = f \cdot Id = D_Y^0 D_Y^1$. The fourth holds because $f \in R$ and so can be moved across matrices. The last implication is true because here f is an arbitrary element of R and $f \cdot Id$ cannot be zero for all f . Similarly, one can show that $D_Y^0 G_0 = G_1 D_X^0 \Rightarrow G_0 D_X^1 = D_Y^1 G_1$. Hence the equivalence holds.

2.4 Tensor Products of Matrix Factorizations (Revisited)

In this subsection, we show how to use the Yoshino tensor product of matrix factorizations to produce a factorization of sum of two polynomials when their respective factorizations are given in the form (X, d_X) as defined in Definition 2.1. In addition, we show that variants of the Yoshino tensor product can be used to produce new factorizations which all differ at the level of their differentials. We explain how to obtain these differentials. This explanation helps understand how the composition of 1–morphisms in the bicategory \mathcal{LG}_K could be performed using variants of the Yoshino tensor product. As discussed in section 3, this gives rise to variants of \mathcal{LG}_K .

The notion of tensor product of matrix factorizations first appeared in Yoshino’s paper [23]. Recall that Yoshino [23] defines an $n \times n$ matrix factorization of a polynomial f to be a pair of matrices (P, Q) such that $PQ = f \cdot I_n$ (see definition 2.2).

N.B. Though Yoshino’s tensor product is written with a hat, henceforth we will sometimes drop the hat of $\widehat{\otimes}$ and simply write \otimes for ease of notation whenever there will be no risk of confusion. Likewise, we will also sometimes write its three variants without their hats \otimes', \otimes'' and \otimes''' .

Section 2 of [5] gives another definition of matrix factorizations in terms of \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded free modules. In fact, a matrix factorization of $f \in R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ can also be defined as a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded free R -module $X = X^0 \oplus X^1$ together with an odd R -linear endomorphism also called differential map, d_X s.t. $d_X^2 = f \cdot 1_X$ (cf. section 2 of [5]). Thus, if we consider the pair of matrices representing the (even and odd) components of such a differential map, we recover the definition of a matrix factorization of f as earlier recalled (cf. definition 2.2).

Henceforth in this paper, we will often simply write ”factorization(s)” in place of ”matrix factorization(s)”.

We are soon going to recall (cf. section 3) that a 1–morphism in the bicategory \mathcal{LG}_K is a factorization of the difference of two polynomials. It is important to recall that the definition of matrix factorization used in [5] is the one given in section 2 of [5], i.e., a pair (X, d_X) where X is a graded module and d_X is the differential map as explained above. We saw in definition 2.4 how to construct a factorization of the sum of two polynomials from

their respective factorizations. But also remark that in definition 2.4, the factorizations are given as pairs of matrices and not in the form (X, d_X) as defined in [5].

So, the question is: how do we use the Yoshino tensor product on say (X, d_X) (a factorization of f) and (Y, d_Y) (a factorization of g) to produce a factorization, say (Z, d_Z) , of $f + g$? Such details are not given in [5]. These details are important to have a better understanding of the composition of 1-morphisms in the bicategory of Landau Ginzburg models.

In this subsection, we explain how it is done. To that end, we need to prove lemma 2.1 below, which actually shows how to produce a factorization of the sum of two polynomials from their respective factorizations, when the factorizations are defined as pairs (X, d_X) as earlier explained.

Furthermore, using the variants of the Yoshino tensor product (one at a time), we also show how to produce a factorization of the sum of two polynomials from their respective factorizations, when the factorizations are defined as pairs (X, d_X) .

Let R, T and S be commutative K -algebras with $f \in R = K[x]$ and $g \in S = K[y]$. Let (X, d_X) be a factorization of $f \in K[x]$ and (Y, d_Y) be a factorization of $g \in K[y]$, where we assume that X is an R - S -bimodule and Y is an S - T -bimodule. If we use the same notation for a map and the matrix representing it (precisely for d_X and d_Y), then, we can use the Yoshino tensor product to define a new factorization $(X, d_X) \widehat{\otimes} (Y, d_Y) := (X \otimes Y, d_{X \otimes Y} = D)$ as follows:

$$(X \otimes Y)^0 = (X^0 \otimes Y^0) \oplus (X^1 \otimes Y^1) \quad (X \otimes Y)^1 = (X^0 \otimes Y^1) \oplus (X^1 \otimes Y^0)$$

where the tensor product between the components of X and Y is taken over S . The matrix D of the differential is obtained as follows: Observe that looking at the matrices representing the Yoshino tensor product of matrix factorizations, and mindful of the fact that (ϕ, ψ) and (ϕ', ψ') in that definition are actually represented here by (d_X^0, d_X^1) and (d_Y^0, d_Y^1) , if we write $D = (D^0, D^1)$ the matrix representing the map $d_{X \otimes Y}$, then, we can write:

$$D^1 = \begin{pmatrix} d_X^1 \otimes id_{Y^1} & -id_{X^0} \otimes d_Y^0 \\ id_{X^1} \otimes d_Y^1 & d_X^0 \otimes id_{Y^0} \end{pmatrix} \quad D^0 = \begin{pmatrix} d_X^0 \otimes id_{Y^1} & id_{X^1} \otimes d_Y^0 \\ -id_{X^0} \otimes d_Y^1 & d_X^1 \otimes id_{Y^0} \end{pmatrix}$$

where we used the same notation for a matrix and its map. In fact, for $i \in \{0, 1\}$, d_Y^i and d_X^i are respectively the matrices of the maps $d_Y^i : Y^i \rightarrow Y^{i+1}$ and $d_X^i : X^i \rightarrow X^{i+1}$. Likewise id_{Y^i} and id_{X^i} are the matrices of the corresponding identity maps.

In the sequel, we will denote the units of R and S by 1_R and 1_S respectively.

Lemma 2.1. *$(X \otimes Y, D)$ as defined above, determines an object of $MF(R \otimes S, f \otimes 1_S + 1_R \otimes g)$*

Proof. We need to verify that $(X \otimes Y, D)$ is a factorization of $f \otimes 1_S + 1_R \otimes g \in R \otimes S$. Let $h = f \otimes 1_S + 1_R \otimes g \in R \otimes S$.

Before proceeding with the proof of this lemma, recall what we saw immediately after definition 2.8, namely that for a matrix factorization $X = X^0 \oplus X^1$, its differential d_X could be viewed as a matrix (that we still denote by d_X) and the condition to be fulfilled should then be $d_X^2 = f \cdot Id$. Now that we have the module

$$X \otimes Y = (X^0 \otimes Y^0) \oplus (X^1 \otimes Y^1) \oplus (X^0 \otimes Y^1) \oplus (X^1 \otimes Y^0).$$

In order to prove the lemma, we will need to prove that $D^2 = h \cdot Id$.

We know that:

$$D^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D^1 \\ D^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D^1 \\ D^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} D^1 D^0 & 0 \\ 0 & D^0 D^1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the sequel, we are going to implicitly use the mixed-product property (cf. Lemma 4.2.10 of [14]) of the tensor product.

Lemma 2.2. (cf. Lemma 4.2.10 of [14])

If A, B, C and D are matrices of such size that one can form the matrix products AC and BD , then the product of two tensor products yields another tensor product $(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = AC \otimes BD$.

$$\begin{aligned}
D^1 D^0 &= \begin{pmatrix} d_X^1 \otimes id_{Y^1} & -id_{X^0} \otimes d_Y^0 \\ id_{X^1} \otimes d_Y^1 & d_X^0 \otimes id_{Y^0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_X^0 \otimes id_{Y^1} & id_{X^1} \otimes d_Y^0 \\ -id_{X^0} \otimes d_Y^1 & d_X^1 \otimes id_{Y^0} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} d_X^1 d_X^0 \otimes id_{Y^1} id_{Y^1} + id_{X^0} id_{X^0} \otimes d_Y^0 d_Y^1 & d_X^1 id_{X^1} \otimes id_{Y^1} d_Y^0 - id_{X^0} d_X^1 \otimes d_Y^0 id_{Y^0} \\ id_{X^1} d_X^0 \otimes d_Y^1 id_{Y^1} - d_X^0 id_{X^0} \otimes id_{Y^0} d_Y^1 & id_{X^1} id_{X^1} \otimes d_Y^1 d_Y^0 + d_X^0 d_X^1 \otimes id_{Y^0} id_{Y^0} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} f \cdot id_{X^0} \otimes id_{Y^1} + id_{X^0} \otimes g \cdot id_{Y^1} & d_X^1 \otimes d_Y^0 - d_X^1 \otimes d_Y^0 \\ d_X^0 \otimes d_Y^1 - d_X^0 \otimes d_Y^1 & id_{X^1} \otimes g \cdot id_{Y^0} + f \cdot id_{X^1} \otimes id_{Y^0} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} f \cdot id_{X^0} \otimes id_{Y^1} + id_{X^0} \otimes g \cdot id_{Y^1} & 0 \\ 0 & id_{X^1} \otimes g \cdot id_{Y^0} + f \cdot id_{X^1} \otimes id_{Y^0} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} (f \otimes 1_S) \cdot (id_{X^0} \otimes id_{Y^1}) + (1_R \otimes g) \cdot (id_{X^0} \otimes id_{Y^1}) & 0 \\ 0 & (1_R \otimes g) \cdot (id_{X^1} \otimes id_{Y^0}) + (f \otimes 1_S) \cdot (id_{X^1} \otimes id_{Y^0}) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} (f \otimes 1_S + 1_R \otimes g) \cdot (id_{X^0} \otimes id_{Y^1}) & 0 \\ 0 & (f \otimes 1_S + 1_R \otimes g) \cdot (id_{X^1} \otimes id_{Y^0}) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= (f \otimes 1_S + 1_R \otimes g) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} id_{X^0 \otimes Y^1} & 0 \\ 0 & id_{X^1 \otimes Y^0} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= h \cdot \begin{pmatrix} id_{X^0 \otimes Y^1} & 0 \\ 0 & id_{X^1 \otimes Y^0} \end{pmatrix} \quad \dots \quad (i) \\
D^0 D^1 &= \begin{pmatrix} d_X^0 \otimes id_{Y^1} & id_{X^1} \otimes d_Y^0 \\ -id_{X^0} \otimes d_Y^1 & d_X^1 \otimes id_{Y^0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_X^1 \otimes id_{Y^1} & -id_{X^0} \otimes d_Y^0 \\ id_{X^1} \otimes d_Y^1 & d_X^0 \otimes id_{Y^0} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} d_X^0 d_X^1 \otimes id_{Y^1} id_{Y^1} + id_{X^1} id_{X^1} \otimes d_Y^0 d_Y^1 & -d_X^0 id_{X^0} \otimes id_{Y^1} d_Y^0 + id_{X^1} d_X^0 \otimes d_Y^0 id_{Y^0} \\ -id_{X^0} d_X^1 \otimes d_Y^1 id_{Y^1} + d_X^1 id_{X^1} \otimes id_{Y^0} d_Y^1 & id_{X^0} id_{X^0} \otimes d_Y^1 d_Y^0 + d_X^1 d_X^0 \otimes id_{Y^0} id_{Y^0} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} f \cdot id_{X^1} \otimes id_{Y^1} + id_{X^1} \otimes g \cdot id_{Y^1} & -d_X^0 \otimes d_Y^0 + d_X^0 \otimes d_Y^0 \\ -d_X^1 \otimes d_Y^1 + d_X^1 \otimes d_Y^1 & id_{X^0} \otimes g \cdot id_{Y^0} + f \cdot id_{X^0} \otimes id_{Y^0} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} f \cdot id_{X^1} \otimes id_{Y^1} + id_{X^1} \otimes g \cdot id_{Y^1} & 0 \\ 0 & id_{X^0} \otimes g \cdot id_{Y^0} + f \cdot id_{X^0} \otimes id_{Y^0} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} (f \otimes 1_S) \cdot (id_{X^1} \otimes id_{Y^1}) + (1_R \otimes g) \cdot (id_{X^1} \otimes id_{Y^1}) & 0 \\ 0 & (1_R \otimes g) \cdot (id_{X^0} \otimes id_{Y^0}) + (f \otimes 1_S) \cdot (id_{X^0} \otimes id_{Y^0}) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} (f \otimes 1_S + 1_R \otimes g) \cdot (id_{X^1} \otimes id_{Y^1}) & 0 \\ 0 & (f \otimes 1_S + 1_R \otimes g) \cdot (id_{X^0} \otimes id_{Y^0}) \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (f \otimes 1_S + 1_R \otimes g) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} id_{X^1 \otimes Y^1} & 0 \\ 0 & id_{X^0 \otimes Y^0} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= h \cdot \begin{pmatrix} id_{X^1 \otimes Y^1} & 0 \\ 0 & id_{X^0 \otimes Y^0} \end{pmatrix} \quad \dots \quad (ii)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, putting (i) and (ii) together, we get the desired result, that is;

$$D^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D^1 \\ D^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D^1 \\ D^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} D^1 D^0 & 0 \\ 0 & D^0 D^1 \end{pmatrix} = h \cdot \begin{pmatrix} id_{X^0 \otimes Y^1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & id_{X^1 \otimes Y^0} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & id_{X^1 \otimes Y^1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & id_{X^0 \otimes Y^0} \end{pmatrix} = h \cdot Id$$

□

Keeping the notations above, we can use any of the three variants of the Yoshino tensor product to define new factorizations which differ at the level of their differentials. Thus for the first variant we have: $(X, d_X) \otimes' (Y, d_Y) := (X \otimes' Y, d_{X \otimes' Y} = \underline{D})$ and

$$(X \otimes' Y)^0 = (X^0 \otimes Y^0) \oplus (X^1 \otimes Y^1) \quad (X \otimes' Y)^1 = (X^0 \otimes Y^1) \oplus (X^1 \otimes Y^0)$$

where the tensor product between the components of X and Y is taken over S . The matrix $\underline{D} = (\underline{D}^0, \underline{D}^1)$ of the differential is obtained as follows:

\underline{D}^0 is obtained by "rotating" D^0 anticlockwise once, while \underline{D}^1 is obtained by "rotating" D^1 clockwise once. Thus:

$$\underline{D}^1 = \begin{pmatrix} id_{X^1} \otimes d_Y^1 & d_X^1 \otimes id_{Y^1} \\ d_X^0 \otimes id_{Y^0} & -id_{X^0} \otimes d_Y^0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \underline{D}^0 = \begin{pmatrix} id_{X^1} \otimes d_Y^0 & d_X^1 \otimes id_{Y^0} \\ d_X^0 \otimes id_{Y^1} & -id_{X^0} \otimes d_Y^1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where we used the same notation for a matrix and its map as done in the case of the Yoshino tensor product above.

Analogously, for the second variant, the matrix $\underline{\underline{D}} = (\underline{\underline{D}}^0, \underline{\underline{D}}^1)$ of the differential is obtained as follows:

$\underline{\underline{D}}^0$ is obtained by "rotating" D^0 anticlockwise twice, while $\underline{\underline{D}}^1$ is obtained by "rotating" D^1 clockwise twice. Thus:

$$\underline{\underline{D}}^1 = \begin{pmatrix} d_X^0 \otimes id_{Y^0} & id_{X^1} \otimes d_Y^1 \\ -id_{X^0} \otimes d_Y^0 & d_X^1 \otimes id_{Y^1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \underline{\underline{D}}^0 = \begin{pmatrix} d_X^1 \otimes id_{Y^0} & -id_{X^0} \otimes d_Y^1 \\ id_{X^1} \otimes d_Y^0 & d_X^0 \otimes id_{Y^1} \end{pmatrix}$$

where we used the same notation for a matrix and its map.

We omit the case of the third variant, because it is analogously obtained. In fact, to find the matrix of the differential, it suffices to "rotate" three times D^0 anticlockwise and D^1 clockwise.

An analogue of Lemma 2.1 can now be stated for each of the three variants. We do it only for the second variant since the other ones are proved similarly.

Lemma 2.3. $(X \otimes'' Y, D)$ as defined above, determines an object of $MF(R \otimes S, f \otimes 1_S + 1_R \otimes g)$

Proof. (A sketch)

We need to prove that $\underline{\underline{D}}^2 = h \cdot Id$, where $h = f \otimes 1_S + 1_R \otimes g \in R \otimes S$.

We know that:

$$\underline{\underline{D}}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \underline{\underline{D}}^1 \\ \underline{\underline{D}}^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \underline{\underline{D}}^1 \\ \underline{\underline{D}}^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\underline{D}}^1 \underline{\underline{D}}^0 & 0 \\ 0 & \underline{\underline{D}}^0 \underline{\underline{D}}^1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the sequel, we are going to implicitly use the mixed-product property (cf. Lemma 4.2.10 in [14]) of the tensor product.

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\underline{D}}^0 \underline{\underline{D}}^1 &= \begin{pmatrix} d_X^1 \otimes id_{Y^0} & -id_{X^0} \otimes d_Y^1 \\ id_{X^1} \otimes d_Y^0 & d_X^0 \otimes id_{Y^1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_X^0 \otimes id_{Y^0} & id_{X^1} \otimes d_Y^1 \\ -id_{X^0} \otimes d_Y^0 & d_X^1 \otimes id_{Y^1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} d_X^1 d_X^0 \otimes id_{Y^0} + id_{X^0} \otimes d_Y^1 d_Y^0 & 0 \\ 0 & id_{X^1} \otimes d_Y^0 d_Y^1 + d_X^0 d_X^1 \otimes id_{Y^1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} f \cdot id_{X^0} \otimes id_{Y^0} + id_{X^0} \otimes g \cdot id_{Y^0} & 0 \\ 0 & id_{X^1} \otimes g \cdot id_{Y^1} + f \cdot id_{X^1} \otimes id_{Y^1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (f \otimes 1_S + 1_R \otimes g) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} id_{X^0 \otimes Y^0} & 0 \\ 0 & id_{X^1 \otimes Y^1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= h \cdot \begin{pmatrix} id_{X^0 \otimes Y^0} & 0 \\ 0 & id_{X^1 \otimes Y^1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \dots \quad (i) \\ \underline{\underline{D}}^1 \underline{\underline{D}}^0 &= \begin{pmatrix} d_X^0 d_X^1 \otimes id_{Y^0} + id_{X^1} \otimes d_Y^1 d_Y^0 & 0 \\ 0 & id_{X^0} \otimes d_Y^0 d_Y^1 + d_X^1 d_X^0 \otimes id_{Y^1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} f \cdot id_{X^1} \otimes id_{Y^0} + id_{X^1} \otimes g \cdot id_{Y^0} & 0 \\ 0 & id_{X^0} \otimes g \cdot id_{Y^1} + f \cdot id_{X^0} \otimes id_{Y^1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= h \cdot \begin{pmatrix} id_{X^1 \otimes Y^0} & 0 \\ 0 & id_{X^0 \otimes Y^1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \dots \quad (ii) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, putting (i) and (ii) together, we get the desired result, that is;

$$\underline{\underline{D}}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \underline{\underline{D}}^1 \\ \underline{\underline{D}}^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \underline{\underline{D}}^1 \\ \underline{\underline{D}}^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\underline{D}}^1 \underline{\underline{D}}^0 & 0 \\ 0 & \underline{\underline{D}}^0 \underline{\underline{D}}^1 \end{pmatrix} = h \cdot \begin{pmatrix} id_{X^1 \otimes Y^0} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & id_{X^0 \otimes Y^1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & id_{X^0 \otimes Y^0} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & id_{X^1 \otimes Y^1} \end{pmatrix} = h \cdot Id$$

□

The case of the first and third variant are proved similarly. But it is important to note that in these two cases one needs to observe (thanks to the discussion preceding lemma 2.1) that the identity matrices id_{X^0} and id_{X^1} are of the same size. The same holds for id_{Y^0} and id_{Y^1} .

We will soon allude to this subsection 2.4 when defining the composition of 1-morphisms in \mathcal{LG}_K which are matrix factorizations. In fact, we will observe that instead of using the Yoshino tensor product as done in [5] to define the composition of two matrix factorizations, we could also use any of its three variants as discussed above. This will naturally give rise to three variants of \mathcal{LG}_K .

The following properties are proved in [23] and the tensor product here is the Yoshino tensor product.

Lemma 2.4. (section 2 of [23])

- If $h : (X, d) \rightarrow (Y, d')$ is a morphism of factorizations of $f \in R$ and (Z, d'') is a factorization of $g \in S$, then there is an evident morphism of factorizations $h \otimes Z$. Likewise, if $r : (T, d_1) \rightarrow (L, d'_1)$ is a morphism of factorizations of $g \in S$ and (Z_1, d_1'') is a factorization of $f \in R$, then there is an evident morphism of factorizations $Z_1 \otimes r$.
- Let (X, d) be a factorization of f and (Y, d') be a factorization of g . Then there is an isomorphism $X \otimes Y \cong Y \otimes X$.
- There is an isomorphism of factorizations $(X \otimes Y) \otimes Z \cong X \otimes (Y \otimes Z)$
- The category $F(R, f)$ has biproducts which are obtained in the evident way, and tensor distributes over this biproduct:

$$X \otimes (Y \oplus Z) \cong (X \otimes Y) \oplus (X \otimes Z)$$

It is time to review the bicategory \mathcal{LG}_K constructed in [5]. As mentioned at the beginning of this paper, in our presentation of \mathcal{LG}_K , objects are polynomials without the restrictions imposed on them in section 2.2 of [5]. This poses no problem to what we want to do.

3 A review of the construction of the bicategory \mathcal{LG}_K

We proceed in steps. First, we recall the definition of a bicategory. Next, we construct a structure that has all the ingredients of a bicategory except for the existence of identity one-cells. Thereafter, we develop a sophisticated machinery to elucidate the intricate construction of the entity that has to act as unit in the bicategory \mathcal{LG}_K . Finally, we prove that there is no direct inverse for the unitors (i.e., the right and left identities, see [5]) thereby explaining why in [5], their construction is done at the level of homotopy.

Definition 3.1. [1]

A *bicategory* \mathcal{B} is made up of the following data:

1. A class of objects A, B, C, \dots
2. For each pair $\langle A, B \rangle$ of objects, a small (hom-)category $\mathcal{B}(A, B)$ with arrows p, q, r, \dots from A to B as objects and arrows $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots$ between them, referred to as 2-cells. The arrows p, q, r, \dots are called 1-cells. An example of a 2-cell is denoted as follows: $\alpha : p \Rightarrow q$. Composition in $\mathcal{B}(A, B)$ is denoted by a dot “ \cdot ” and the identity on p for each $p : A \rightarrow B$, by $1_p : p \Rightarrow p$.
3. For each object A , a functor that returns the identity on A .

$I_A : 1 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(A, A)$, where 1 stands for the final object in the category Cat of small categories.

If we write $1 = \{*\}$, that is the unique object of 1 is denoted $*$, then $\mathbf{I}_A(*)$ is the 1-cell $1_A : A \rightarrow A$.

4. For each triple $\langle A, B, C \rangle$ of objects, a composition law given by a functor $\star_{A,B,C} : \mathcal{B}(A, B) \times \mathcal{B}(B, C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(A, C)$.
5. For each quadruple $\langle A, B, C, D \rangle$ of objects of \mathcal{B} , a natural isomorphism

$$a_{A,B,C,D} : \star_{A,B,D} \circ (Id \times \star_{B,C,D}) \Longrightarrow \star_{A,C,D} \circ (\star_{A,B,C} \times Id)$$

where Id is the identity functor.

The components of this isomorphism are the invertible 2-cells defined as follows:
For $p : A \longrightarrow B$, $q : B \longrightarrow C$, $r : C \longrightarrow D$ and $t : D \longrightarrow E$, we have

$$a_{p,q,r} := a_{A,B,C,D}(p, q, r) : \star_{A,B,D} \circ (Id \times \star_{B,C,D})(p, q, r) \xrightarrow{\cong} \star_{A,C,D} \circ (\star_{A,B,C} \times Id)(p, q, r)$$

$$\text{i.e., } a_{p,q,r} : p \star (q \star r) \xrightarrow{\cong} (p \star q) \star r$$

6. For each pair $\langle A, B \rangle$ of objects of \mathcal{B} , two natural isomorphisms $l(A, B)$ and $r(A, B)$, called left and right isomorphisms or identities or unit laws (or unitors in [5]). They are given respectively by:

$$l_{A,B} : \star_{A,B,B} \circ (Id \times I_B) \Longrightarrow Id$$

$$r_{A,B} : \star_{A,A,B} \circ (I_A \times Id) \Longrightarrow Id$$

The components of these isomorphisms are the invertible 2-cells defined as follows:
For $p : A \longrightarrow B$, we have

$$r_p : 1_A \star p \xrightarrow{\cong} p$$

and

$$l_p : p \star 1_B \xrightarrow{\cong} p$$

The families of natural isomorphisms $a_{A,B,C,D}$, $r_{A,B}$ and $l_{A,B}$ are furthermore required to satisfy the associativity and identity coherence laws (cf. definition 1.1 [12]).

The following lemma is useful.

Lemma 3.1. [6]

1. Let $K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ and $K[x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_m]$ be free K -modules with respective bases $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{e'_j\}_{j=1}^m$. Then $\{e_i \otimes e'_j\}_{i=1, \dots, n; j=1, \dots, m}$ is a basis of $K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] \otimes K[x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_m]$.
2. The K -modules $K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] \otimes K[x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_m]$ and $K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_m]$ are isomorphic as K -modules.
3. If we let x stand for x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n and $x^{(l)}$ stand for $x_1^{(l)}, x_2^{(l)}, \dots, x_n^{(l)}$, where $n, l, n_l \in \mathbb{N}$, $n = n_0$, and $\{x^{(l)}\}$ means x with l primes, e.g $x^{(2)} = x''$, $x^{(0)} := x$.
Then more generally, we have:

$$K[x, x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(l)}] \cong \bigotimes_{p=0, \dots, l} K[x^{(p)}].$$

3.1 Bicategorical structure

Here, we introduce the notion of B -category (cf. definition 3.2) in order to facilitate the discussion on the bicategorical structure of \mathcal{LG}_K .

Definition 3.2. *B -category*

A B -category \mathcal{B} is an algebraic structure that has all the structure of a bicategory as defined in definition 3.1 except for the existence of identity one-cells.

That is, \mathcal{B} is made up of the data given in definition 3.1 except point 3, and we should also stress in point 2 that identity one-cells are not required to exist.

Before we continue, we make the following useful remark about the homotopy category of matrix factorizations ($HMF(R, f)$) and one of its interesting full subcategories; namely the homotopy category of finite rank matrix factorizations that is denoted by $hmf(R, f)$.

Remark 3.1. (p.9 of [5]) $HMF(R, f)$ is idempotent complete ([2], [18]). Since we work with polynomials rather than power series, $hmf(R, f)$ is not necessarily idempotent complete [15]. The idempotent closure of $hmf(R, f)$ denoted by $hmf(R, f)^\omega$ is a full subcategory of $HMF(R, f)$ whose objects are those matrix factorizations which are direct summands of finite-rank matrix factorizations in the homotopy category. Moreover, $hmf(R, f)^\omega$ is an idempotent complete category.

As explained in [5] (p.9), taking the idempotent completion is necessary because the composition of 1-morphisms in \mathcal{LG}_K results in matrix factorisations which, while not finite-rank, are summands in the homotopy category of something finite-rank. There are two natural ways to resolve this: work throughout with power series rings and completed tensor products, or work with idempotent completions.

We construct a B -category which we call $B-Fac$. The objects of $B-Fac$ are polynomials f denoted by pairs (R, f) where $f \in R = K[x]$. Let $(R = K[x], f)$ and $(S = K[z], g)$ be elements of $B-Fac$. We then define the small category $B-Fac((R, f), (S, g))$ as follows:

$$B-Fac((R, f), (S, g)) := hmf(R \otimes S, 1_R \otimes g - f \otimes 1_S)^\omega = hmf(K[x, z], g - f)^\omega$$

viz. a 1-morphism between two polynomials f and g is a matrix factorization of $g - f$. (Recall that $hmf(K[x, z], g - f)^\omega$ is a subcategory of the category of matrix factorizations modulo homotopy denoted by $HMF(K[x, z], g - f)$).

Then given two composable 1-cells $X \in B-Fac((R, f), (S, g))$ and $Y \in B-Fac((S, g), (T, h))$, we can define their composition using Yoshino's tensor product as it is done in [5]. In fact, by remark 2.1.8 on p.29 of [3], $Y \otimes_S X$ is a free module of infinite rank over $R \otimes_K T$. However, the argument of Section 12 of [9] shows that it is naturally isomorphic to a direct summand in the homotopy category of something finite-rank. Thus, we may define $Y \circ X := Y \otimes_S X \in hmf(R \otimes_K T, 1_R \otimes h - f \otimes 1_T)^\omega = B-Fac((R, f), (T, h))$. We can also define their composition using variants of Yoshino's tensor product as discussed in subsection 2.4.

So, $(Y, d_Y) \circ (X, d_X)$ could be chosen to be any of the following four tensor products: $(Y, d_Y) \widehat{\otimes} (X, d_X) = (Y \otimes_S X, d_{Y \widehat{\otimes} X})$, $(Y, d_Y) \widehat{\otimes}' (X, d_X) = (Y \otimes_S X, d_{Y \widehat{\otimes}' X})$, $(Y, d_Y) \widehat{\otimes}'' (X, d_X) = (Y \otimes_S X, d_{Y \widehat{\otimes}'' X})$ and $(Y, d_Y) \widehat{\otimes}''' (X, d_X) = (Y \otimes_S X, d_{Y \widehat{\otimes}''' X})$ which are all objects of $HMF(R \otimes_K T, 1_R \otimes h - f \otimes 1_T)$ and are \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded modules, where

$$(Y \otimes_S X)^0 = (Y^0 \otimes_S X^0) \oplus (Y^1 \otimes_S X^1) \quad \text{and} \quad (Y \otimes_S X)^1 = (Y^0 \otimes_S X^1) \oplus (Y^1 \otimes_S X^0)$$

The differentials for these tensor products (Yoshino's product and its variants) are different and they are defined in subsection 2.4 above.

N.B. The above different ways to define composition of 1-morphisms give rise to three variants of the bicategory \mathcal{LG}_K . In fact, each variant of the Yoshino tensor product gives rise to a variant of \mathcal{LG}_K . In the presentation of the construction of this bicategory below, one can observe that if any of the foregoing variants is used in the construction of \mathcal{LG}_K , then we will obtain virtually the same bicategory. The result is simply a variant of \mathcal{LG}_K . So, we have four versions of \mathcal{LG}_K which only differ at the level of the definition of the composition of 1-cells.

It is now time to define the tensor product of morphisms of matrix factorizations. Let X_1, X_2 be objects of $B-Fac((R, f), (S, g))$ and Y_1, Y_2 be objects of $B-Fac((S, g), (T, h))$. Let $\alpha : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ and $\beta : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ be two morphisms, then we define their tensor product in the obvious way $\beta \otimes \alpha : Y_1 \otimes X_1 \rightarrow Y_2 \otimes X_2$ in $B-Fac((R, f), (T, h))$.

With the above data, the composition (bi-)functor is entirely determined in our B-category:

$$\star_{(R,f),(S,g),(T,h)} : B-Fac((R, f), (S, g)) \times B-Fac((S, g), (T, h)) \rightarrow B-Fac((R, f), (T, h)), \\ (X, Y) \mapsto Y \otimes_S X.$$

The definition of the associativity morphism is easy to state. In fact, for $X \in B-Fac((R, f), (S, g))$, $Y \in B-Fac((S, g), (T, h))$ and $Z \in B-Fac((T, h), (P, r))$, the associator is the 2-isomorphism

$$a_{Z,Y,X} : Z \otimes (Y \otimes X) \rightarrow (Z \otimes Y) \otimes X$$

given by the usual formula

$$z \otimes (y \otimes x) \rightarrow (z \otimes y) \otimes x$$

where $x \in X$, $y \in Y$ and $z \in Z$.

Lemma 3.2. *The structure $B-Fac$ is a B-category.*

This lemma is proved by showing that all the conditions required to obtain a bicategory are met except for the existence of units and the left and right unit actions. The proof though not difficult is lengthy and we would rather focus more on the units construction which is one of our main points of interest in the review of the bicategory of Landau-Ginzburg models.

The rest of the paper is reserved to the construction of the unit 1-morphisms of \mathcal{LG}_K and to the proof that the right and left unit maps are natural. We will prove that these unit actions do not possess direct inverses thereby accounting for the fact that their inverses are found only up to homotopy in [5].

In order to obtain the bicategory \mathcal{LG}_K from the B-category $B-Fac$, we need to define the unit 1-morphisms, the left and the right unitors.

3.2 Unit 1-morphisms in \mathcal{LG}_K

In this subsection, we will construct the identity 1-cells including all the intricacies involved. Recall that $R = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$.

From lemma 3.1, we have in particular that

$$R \otimes_K R \cong K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_n].$$

where $x_i = x_i \otimes 1$ and $x'_i = 1 \otimes x_i$.

The subscript "K" in \otimes_K will be very often omitted for ease of notation. We need an object $\Delta_f : (R, f) \rightarrow (R, f)$ in $hmf(R \otimes R, f \otimes id - id \otimes f)$ or equivalently, $hmf(K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_n], h(x, x'))$, where $h(x, x') = f(x) - f(x')$, where id stands for 1_R . In the remainder of this paper, id denotes the identity in the ring under consideration.

Recall (cf. section 5.5 of [21]): The exterior algebra $\wedge(V)$ of a vector space V over a field K is defined as the quotient algebra of the tensor algebra; $T(V) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} T^i(V) = K \bigoplus V \bigoplus (V \otimes V) \bigoplus (V \otimes V \otimes V) \bigoplus \dots$, by the two-sided ideal I generated by all elements of the form $x \otimes x$ for $x \in V$. Symbolically, $\wedge(V) = T(V)/I$. The exterior product \wedge of two elements of $\wedge(V)$ is the product induced by the tensor product \otimes of $T(V)$. That is, if

$$\pi : T(V) \rightarrow \wedge(V) = T(V)/I$$

is the canonical surjection, and if a and b are in $\wedge(V)$, then there are α and β in $T(V)$ such that $a = \pi(\alpha)$ and $b = \pi(\beta)$ and $a \wedge b = \pi(\alpha \otimes \beta)$. Let $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n$ be formal symbols that is, they are linearly independent by definition. We consider the $R \otimes R$ -module:

$$\Delta_f = \bigwedge \left\{ \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (R \otimes R)\theta_i \right\}$$

This is an exterior algebra generated by n anti-commuting variables, the θ_i s modulo the relations that the θ 's anti-commute, that is $\theta_i \wedge \theta_j = -\theta_j \wedge \theta_i$. Typically, we will omit the wedge product and write for instance $\theta_i \wedge \theta_j$ simply as $\theta_i \theta_j$. Here, the " \wedge " product is taken over K just like the tensor product. A typical element of Δ_f is $(r \otimes r')\theta_{i_1}\theta_{i_2}\dots\theta_{i_k}$ or equivalently $h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_n)\theta_{i_1}\theta_{i_2}\dots\theta_{i_k}$ where $i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_n) \in K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_n]$.

Δ_f as an algebra is finitely generated by the set of formal symbols $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n\}$.

Δ_f as an $R \otimes R$ -module is generated by the set containing the empty list and all products of the form $\theta_{i_1}\dots\theta_{i_k}$ where $i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. This set of generators must be finite because by definition of Δ_f , $\theta_{i_p}\theta_{i_q} = -\theta_{i_q}\theta_{i_p}$ and $\theta_{i_p}\theta_{i_q} = 0$ if $p = q$ ($i_p, i_q \in \{1, \dots, n\}$). Moreover we know that since $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n\}$ is a set of formal symbols, it follows that the generating set of the $R \otimes R$ -module Δ_f will be linearly independent and hence will form a finite basis. Whence, Δ_f is a finite rank $R \otimes R$ -module. In fact:

The action of $R \otimes R$ is the obvious one. That is, for example, if $r_1 \otimes r_2 \in R \otimes R$, its left action on an element $(r \otimes r')\theta_{i_1}\theta_{i_2}\dots\theta_{i_k}$ of Δ_f simply yields $(r_1 \otimes r_2)(r \otimes r')\theta_{i_1}\theta_{i_2}\dots\theta_{i_k}$. The right action would yield $(r \otimes r')(r_1 \otimes r_2)\theta_{i_1}\theta_{i_2}\dots\theta_{i_k}$ which is in fact the same as the left action since R is commutative.

Δ_f is endowed with the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading given by θ -degree (where $\deg\theta_i = 1$ for each i). Thus $\deg\theta_i^2 = 0$ and $\deg\theta_i\theta_j = 0$.

Next, we define the differential as follows:

$$d : \Delta_f \longrightarrow \Delta_f$$

$$d(-) = \sum_{i=1}^n [(x_i - x'_i)\theta_i^*(-) + \partial_i(f)\theta_i \wedge (-)] \quad \dots \quad \natural$$

Where θ_i^* is the unique derivation extending the map $\theta_i^*(\theta_j) = \delta_{ij}$ and as mentioned, it acts on an element $\theta_{i_1}\theta_{i_2}\cdots\theta_{i_k}$ of the exterior algebra by the Leibniz rule with Koszul signs. Here, we elucidate what this means. In fact,

$$\theta_i^*(\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_k}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \neq j, \forall j \in \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k\} \\ (-1)^{p+1}\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\theta_{j_k} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\hat{\theta}_i$ signifies that θ_i has been removed, and p is the position of θ_i in $\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_k}$.

N.B. As earlier mentioned ([5]), θ_i^* acts on an element $\theta_{i_1}\cdots\theta_{i_k}$ of the exterior algebra by the Leibniz rule with Koszul sign. So, $\theta_i^*(\theta_j\theta_k) = \theta_i^*(\theta_j)\theta_k + (-1)^{|\theta_j|}\theta_j\theta_i^*(\theta_k)$. Clearly, $\theta_i^*(\theta_j\theta_k) = \theta_i^*(-\theta_k\theta_j) = -\theta_i^*(\theta_k\theta_j)$. Moreover, observe that the following elements are in the same equivalence class $\theta_i\theta_j\theta_k$, $\theta_j\theta_k\theta_i$ and $\theta_k\theta_i\theta_j$. Hence, they have the same image under θ^* .

To illustrate this, let's compute for example $\theta_4^*(\theta_2\theta_4\theta_7)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_4^*(\theta_2\theta_4\theta_7) &= \theta_4^*(\theta_2)\theta_4\theta_7 + (-1)^{|\theta_2|}\theta_2\theta_4^*(\theta_4\theta_7) \\ &= 0 - \theta_2[\theta_4^*(\theta_4)\theta_7 + (-1)^{|\theta_4|}\theta_4\theta_4^*(\theta_7)] \text{ since } \theta_4^*(\theta_2) = 0 \\ &= -\theta_2\theta_7 \text{ since } \theta_4^*(\theta_7) = 0, |\theta_4| = 1 \text{ and } \theta_4^*(\theta_4) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

In order to complete the description of d , we need to say what ∂ is.

$$\partial_i : k[x_1, \dots, x_n, x'_1, \dots, x'_n] \longrightarrow k[x_1, \dots, x_n, x'_1, \dots, x'_n]$$

is defined by,

$$\partial_i(h) = \frac{h(x'_1, \dots, x'_{i-1}, x_i, \dots, x_n, x'_1, \dots, x'_n) - h(x'_1, \dots, x'_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n, x'_1, \dots, x'_n)}{x_i - x'_i}$$

where for ease of notation we wrote h as argument of ∂_i instead of the more cumbersome notation $\partial_i(h(x_1, \dots, x_n, x'_1, \dots, x'_n))$, we will do same in what follows. But first, observe that ∂_i is well defined because its numerator will always have $(x_i - x'_i)$ as a factor. Thus, ensuring that ∂_i is a polynomial.

In the sequel, we will sometimes write x for x_1, \dots, x_n and x' for x'_1, \dots, x'_n .

Just like in [5], we can write:

$$\partial_i(h) = \frac{t_1 \cdots t_{i-1} h(x_1, \dots, x_n, x'_1, \dots, x'_n) - t_1 \cdots t_i h(x_1, \dots, x_n, x'_1, \dots, x'_n)}{x_i - x'_i}$$

where $t_i(-) : K[x, x'] \rightarrow K[x, x']$, $h \mapsto h|_{x_i \rightarrow x'_i}$

is a variable changing map which in any polynomial, replaces the variable x_i by the variable x'_i .

So, in particular for the f in Δ_f , which is also the same f used in defining the differential map d (see \S above), we have $f \in K[x] \subseteq K[x, x']$ and

$$\partial_i(f) = \frac{t_1 \cdots t_{i-1} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) - t_1 \cdots t_i f(x_1, \dots, x_n)}{x_i - x'_i}$$

Example 3.1. Let $f = x - y \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$.

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_1(f(x, y)) &= \frac{f(x, y) - f(x', y)}{x - x'} = \frac{(x - y) - (x' - y)}{x - x'} = 1. \\ \partial_2(f(x, y)) &= \frac{f(x', y) - f(x', y')}{y - y'} = \frac{(x' - y) - (x' - y')}{y - y'} = -1.\end{aligned}$$

We now prove the following lemma whose proof is omitted in [5].

Lemma 3.3. [5] For³ $f, g \in K[x, x']$, we have

$$\partial_i(fg) = \partial_i(f)^{(t_1 \cdots t_i)} g + ({}^{t_1 \cdots t_{i-1}} f) \partial_i(g).$$

Proof. First of all, observe that by definition of ${}^i(-)$, it is obvious that

$${}^{t_1 \cdots t_i}(fg) = ({}^{t_1 \cdots t_i} f)({}^{t_1 \cdots t_i} g).$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial_i f(x, x'))({}^{t_1 \cdots t_i} g(x, x')) + ({}^{t_1 \cdots t_{i-1}} f(x, x'))(\partial_i g) \\ &= \frac{{}^{t_1 \cdots t_{i-1}} f(x, x') {}^{t_1 \cdots t_i} g(x, x') - {}^{t_1 \cdots t_i} f(x, x') {}^{t_1 \cdots t_i} g(x, x')}{x_i - x'_i} \\ &+ \frac{{}^{t_1 \cdots t_{i-1}} f(x, x') {}^{t_1 \cdots t_{i-1}} g(x, x') - {}^{t_1 \cdots t_{i-1}} f(x, x') {}^{t_1 \cdots t_i} g(x, x')}{x_i - x'_i} \\ &= \frac{{}^{t_1 \cdots t_{i-1}} f(x, x') {}^{t_1 \cdots t_{i-1}} g(x, x') - {}^{t_1 \cdots t_i} f(x, x') {}^{t_1 \cdots t_i} g(x, x')}{x_i - x'_i} \\ &= \frac{{}^{t_1 \cdots t_{i-1}} (f(x, x') g(x, x')) - {}^{t_1 \cdots t_i} (f(x, x') g(x, x'))}{x_i - x'_i} \end{aligned}$$

$= \partial_i(fg)$
as desired.

The first two equalities hold by definition of ∂_i . □

It is worth mentioning at this point in time that the authors in [5] state what the differential d for Δ_f is, but they do not prove that (Δ_f, d) determines a matrix factorization of $f \otimes id - id \otimes f$ (and this is important in order to see that (Δ_f, d) is the unit matrix factorization with respect to the tensor product of matrix factorizations).

We now have enough ingredients to produce such a proof. We state the following lemma which shows that (Δ_f, d) determines a finite rank matrix factorization of $f \otimes id - id \otimes f$. The proof is a bit lengthy.

Lemma 3.4. The $R \otimes R$ -module Δ_f together with the differential d defined above, determine a finite rank matrix factorization of $f \otimes id - id \otimes f$ (which is equivalent to both $h(x, x')$ and $f(x) - f(x')$).

³the f in this lemma should not be confused with the f before this lemma or after this lemma.

Proof. As already discussed under subsection 3.2, Δ_f is a finite rank $R \otimes R$ -module. That is why we will conclude by the end of this proof that (Δ_f, d) is a finite rank matrix factorization given that its underlying module is of finite rank.

Next, we need to show that d is an odd degree map and that $d^2 = h(x, x') \cdot id$, where $h(x, x') = f(x) - f(x')$

d is an odd degree map: In fact, since Δ_f is generated by the symbols $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ it suffices to consider the action of d on an arbitrary product of elements from the set $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n\}$.

Case 1:

Let $p = \theta_{j_1} \theta_{j_2} \cdots \theta_{j_k}$ be an odd degree element, i.e., k is odd. We also have $\theta_{j_l} \in \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n\}$ with $l \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

Claim 1: For each i , $(x_i - x'_i)\theta_i^*(p)$ is either of even degree or is zero. This is easy to see as it is a direct consequence of the definition of θ_i^* . In fact, we know by definition of θ_i^* that when it is applied to p , if p contains no θ_i , then the result would be zero. Now, if p contains θ_i , then this θ_i will no longer appear among the θ s in $\theta_i^*(p)$, but the other θ s that were in p will remain. Thus, an even number of θ s will remain in $\theta_i^*(p)$. For e.g. we saw after computation above that, $\theta_4^*(\theta_2\theta_4\theta_7) = -\theta_2\theta_7$ hence $(x_4 - x'_4)\theta_4^*(\theta_2\theta_4\theta_7) = -(x_4 - x'_4)\theta_2\theta_7$ which is of even degree. We also have for e.g. $\theta_4^*(\theta_2) = 0$ hence $(x_4 - x'_4)\theta_4^*(\theta_2) = 0$.

Claim 2: For each i , $\partial_i(f)\theta_i \wedge (p)$ is of even degree. Observe that the degree of $\partial_i(f)\theta_i$ is $\deg\theta_i = 1$ since $\partial_i(f)$ contains no θ . Thus, $\deg[\partial_i(f)\theta_i \wedge (p)] = \deg[\theta_i \wedge (p)] = \deg(p) + 1$. So the claim is proved.

It now follows that when p is of odd degree, $d(p)$ is the summation of even degree elements and so is of even degree.

Case 2:

Let $p = \theta_{j_1} \theta_{j_2} \cdots \theta_{j_k}$ be an even degree element, i.e., k is even. We also have $\theta_{j_l} \in \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n\}$ with $l \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

Claim 3: For each i , $(x_i - x'_i)\theta_i^*(p)$ is of odd degree or zero.

A similar reasoning to that of claim 1 above can be applied to show that when p is of even degree, $(x_i - x'_i)\theta_i^*(p)$ is of odd degree or zero.

Claim 4: For each i , $\partial_i(h)\theta_i \wedge (p)$ is of odd degree. A similar reasoning to that of claim 2 can be applied to show that when p is of even degree, $\partial_i(h)\theta_i \wedge (p)$ is of odd degree.

Hence, when p is of even degree, $d(p)$ is the summation of odd degree elements and so is of odd degree.

Consequently, d is an odd degree map.

The following definition will be needed in the sequel.

Definition 3.3. If $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\theta_i \in \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$; we say that θ_i is **even** in $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ if θ_i occupies an even position in $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$

Example 3.2. θ_5 is even in $\theta_2\theta_5\theta_6$ and θ_6 is odd in $\theta_2\theta_5\theta_6$.

We now show that $d^2 = h(x, x') \cdot id$ viz., $d^2 = f(x) - f(x') \cdot id$. The author of [16] simply writes $d^2 = w$, omitting the "id". So, according to this notation, we want to show $d^2 = h(x, x') = f(x) - f(x')$.

To that end, define

$$A_i = (x_i - x'_i)\theta_i^* \text{ and } B_i = \partial_i(f)\theta_i \wedge (-)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & d(d(H)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - x'_i)\theta_i^* (\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - x'_j)\theta_j^*(H) + \partial_j(f)\theta_j \wedge (H)) + \\ & \partial_i(f)\theta_i \wedge (\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - x'_j)\theta_j^*(H) + \partial_j(f)\theta_j \wedge (H)) \\ &= (x_1 - x'_1)\theta_1^* ((x_1 - x'_1)\theta_1^*(H) + \partial_1(f)\theta_1 \wedge (H) + (x_2 - x'_2)\theta_2^*(H) + \\ & \partial_2(f)\theta_2 \wedge (H) + \cdots + (x_n - x'_n)\theta_n^*(H) + \partial_n(f)\theta_n \wedge (H)) \\ & + \partial_1(f)\theta_1 \wedge ((x_1 - x'_1)\theta_1^*(H) + \partial_1(f)\theta_1 \wedge (H) + (x_2 - x'_2)\theta_2^*(H) + \\ & \partial_2(f)\theta_2 \wedge (H) + \cdots + (x_n - x'_n)\theta_n^*(H) + \partial_n(f)\theta_n \wedge (H)) \\ & + (x_2 - x'_2)\theta_2^* ((x_1 - x'_1)\theta_1^*(H) + \partial_1(f)\theta_1 \wedge (H) + (x_2 - x'_2)\theta_2^*(H) + \\ & \partial_2(f)\theta_2 \wedge (H) + \cdots + (x_n - x'_n)\theta_n^*(H) + \partial_n(f)\theta_n \wedge (H)) \\ & + \partial_2(f)\theta_2 \wedge ((x_1 - x'_1)\theta_1^*(H) + \partial_1(f)\theta_1 \wedge (H) + (x_2 - x'_2)\theta_2^*(H) + \\ & \partial_2(f)\theta_2 \wedge (H) + \cdots + (x_n - x'_n)\theta_n^*(H) + \partial_n(f)\theta_n \wedge (H)) \\ & + \cdots + \\ & + (x_n - x'_n)\theta_n^* ((x_1 - x'_1)\theta_1^*(H) + \partial_1(f)\theta_1 \wedge (H) + (x_2 - x'_2)\theta_2^*(H) + \\ & \partial_2(f)\theta_2 \wedge (H) + \cdots + (x_n - x'_n)\theta_n^*(H) + \partial_n(f)\theta_n \wedge (H)) \\ & + \partial_n(f)\theta_n \wedge ((x_1 - x'_1)\theta_1^*(H) + \partial_1(f)\theta_1 \wedge (H) + (x_2 - x'_2)\theta_2^*(H) + \\ & \partial_2(f)\theta_2 \wedge (H) + \cdots + (x_n - x'_n)\theta_n^*(H) + \partial_n(f)\theta_n \wedge (H)) \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that

$$A_i = (x_i - x'_i)\theta_i^* \text{ and } B_i = \partial_i(f)\theta_i \wedge (-)$$

we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & d(d(-)) \\ &= A_1 \circ A_1 + A_1 \circ B_1 + A_1 \circ A_2 + A_1 \circ B_2 + \cdots + A_1 \circ A_n + A_1 \circ B_n \\ & + B_1 \circ A_1 + B_1 \circ B_1 + B_1 \circ A_2 + B_1 \circ B_2 + \cdots + B_1 \circ A_n + B_1 \circ B_n \\ & + A_2 \circ A_1 + A_2 \circ B_1 + A_2 \circ A_2 + A_2 \circ B_2 + \cdots + A_2 \circ A_n + A_2 \circ B_n \\ & + B_2 \circ A_1 + B_2 \circ B_1 + B_2 \circ A_2 + B_2 \circ B_2 + \cdots + B_2 \circ A_n + B_2 \circ B_n \\ & + \cdots \\ & + A_n \circ A_1 + A_n \circ B_1 + A_n \circ A_2 + A_n \circ B_2 + \cdots + A_n \circ A_n + A_n \circ B_n \\ & + B_n \circ A_1 + B_n \circ B_1 + B_n \circ A_2 + B_n \circ B_2 + \cdots + B_n \circ A_n + B_n \circ B_n \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get:

$$d^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n [A_i \circ A_j + A_i \circ B_j + B_i \circ A_j + B_i \circ B_j]$$

Observations:

- For all i , $A_i \circ A_i = B_i \circ B_i = 0$.

We first show that $A_i \circ A_i = 0$, i.e., $A_i \circ A_i(H) = 0$ for all $H \in \Delta_f$, where $H = h(x, x')\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2} \cdots \theta_{j_k} = h(x, x')p$, with $p = \theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2} \cdots \theta_{j_k}$; and $\theta_{j_1}, \theta_{j_2}, \cdots, \theta_{j_k} \in \{\theta_1, \theta_2, \cdots, \theta_n\}$. We have $(A_i \circ A_i)(H) = h(x, x')(A_i \circ A_i)(p)$ since $h(x, x') \in K[x, x']$ and θ^* as a derivation, is $(K[x, x']-)$ linear⁴ i.e., a $K[x, x']$ -module.

⁴ θ^* is an endomorphism on Δ_f which is an $R \otimes R$ -module

We always have $(A_i \circ A_i)(p) = 0$. In fact, if p contains θ_i , then $A_i(p)$ will not contain any θ_i , and so $(A_i \circ A_i)(p) = 0$. Now, if p does not contain θ_i , then $A_i(p) = 0$, hence $(A_i \circ A_i)(p) = A_i(0) = 0$.

Next, to prove $B_i \circ B_i = 0$, recall that $\theta_i \wedge \theta_i = \theta_i \theta_i = 0$.

$$B_i \circ B_i(H) = \partial_i(f)\theta_i \wedge (\partial_i(f)\theta_i \wedge (H)) = \partial_i(f)\partial_i(f)(\theta_i \wedge \theta_i) \wedge H = 0.$$

- If $i \neq j$, then $A_i \circ B_j = -B_j \circ A_i$. Since Δ_f is generated by the θ_i s, it suffices to verify that this equality holds for an arbitrary $p = \theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_k} \in \Delta_f$. It is good to keep in mind the assumption $i \neq j$.

$$\begin{aligned} A_i \circ B_j(\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_k}) &= A_i(\partial_j(f)\theta_j \wedge (\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_k})) \\ &= (x_i - x'_i)\theta_i^*(\partial_j(f)\theta_j \wedge (\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_k})) \\ &= (x_i - x'_i)\partial_j(f)\theta_i^*(\theta_j \wedge (\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_k})) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & i \notin \{j_1, \dots, j_k\} \\ (x_i - x'_i)\partial_j(f)(\theta_j(\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\theta_{j_k})), & \theta_i \text{ is even in } \theta_{j_1}\cdots\theta_{j_k} \\ -(x_i - x'_i)\partial_j(f)(\theta_j(\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\theta_{j_k})), & \theta_i \text{ is odd in } \theta_{j_1}\cdots\theta_{j_k} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Next, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} B_j \circ A_i(\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_k}) &= \partial_j(f)\theta_j \wedge ((x_i - x'_i)\theta_i^*(\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_k})) \\ &= (x_i - x'_i)\partial_j(f)\theta_j \wedge (\theta_i^*(\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_k})) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & i \notin \{j_1, \dots, j_k\} \\ -(x_i - x'_i)\partial_j(f)(\theta_j(\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\theta_{j_k})), & \theta_i \text{ is even in } \theta_{j_1}\cdots\theta_{j_k} \\ (x_i - x'_i)\partial_j(f)(\theta_j(\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\theta_{j_k})), & \theta_i \text{ is odd in } \theta_{j_1}\cdots\theta_{j_k} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $A_i \circ B_j(\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_k}) = -B_j \circ A_i(\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_k})$. So, $A_i \circ B_j = -B_j \circ A_i$ as desired.

- If $i \neq j$, then $A_i \circ A_j = -A_j \circ A_i$.

We consider p as before and verify that $A_i \circ A_j(p) = -A_j \circ A_i(p)$.

First of all, if either i or j is not in $I = \{j_1, \dots, j_k\}$, then $A_i \circ A_j = 0 = A_j \circ A_i$, and so $A_i \circ A_j = -A_j \circ A_i$ as desired.

Next, suppose that $i, j \in I$ and $j \leq i$ without loss of generality. We distinguish four cases.

Case I: θ_i and θ_j are both even in $\{\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_k}\}$

$$\begin{aligned} A_i \circ A_j(\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_k}) &= (x_i - x'_i)\theta_i^*((x_j - x'_j)\theta_j^*(\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_k})) \\ &= -(x_i - x'_i)(x_j - x'_j)\theta_i^*(\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\hat{\theta}_j\cdots\theta_i\cdots\theta_{j_k}), \theta_j \text{ is even in } \theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_k} \\ &= -(x_i - x'_i)(x_j - x'_j)(\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\hat{\theta}_j\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\theta_{j_k}), \theta_i \text{ is odd in } \theta_{j_1}\cdots\hat{\theta}_j\cdots\theta_i\cdots\theta_{j_k} \end{aligned}$$

Next, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_j \circ A_i(\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_k}) &= (x_j - x'_j)\theta_j^*((x_i - x'_i)\theta_i^*(\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_k})) \\
&= -(x_j - x'_j)\theta_j^*(x_i - x'_i)(\theta_{j_1}\cdots\theta_{j_k}\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\theta_{j_k}), \theta_i \text{ is even in } \theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_k} \\
&= (x_j - x'_j)(x_i - x'_i)(\theta_{j_1}\cdots\hat{\theta}_j\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\theta_{j_k}), \theta_j \text{ is even in } \theta_{j_1}\cdots\theta_{j_k}\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\theta_{j_k}
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $(A_j \circ A_i)(\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_k}) = -(A_i \circ A_j)(\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_k})$, thus $A_j \circ A_i = -A_i \circ A_j$ as desired.

Case 2: θ_i and θ_j are both odd.

The result holds here by following a reasoning completely similar to that of case 1.

Case 3: θ_i and θ_j are respectively odd and even.

$$\begin{aligned}
A_i \circ A_j(\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_k}) &= (x_i - x'_i)\theta_i^*((x_j - x'_j)\theta_j^*(\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_k})) \\
&= -(x_i - x'_i)(x_j - x'_j)\theta_i^*(\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\hat{\theta}_j\cdots\theta_i\cdots\theta_{j_k}), \theta_j \text{ is even in } \theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_k} \\
&= (x_i - x'_i)(x_j - x'_j)(\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\hat{\theta}_j\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\theta_{j_k}), \theta_i \text{ is even in } \theta_{j_1}\cdots\hat{\theta}_j\cdots\theta_i\cdots\theta_{j_k}
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_j \circ A_i(\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_k}) &= (x_j - x'_j)\theta_j^*((x_i - x'_i)\theta_i^*(\theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_k})) \\
&= (x_j - x'_j)\theta_j^*(x_i - x'_i)(\theta_{j_1}\cdots\theta_{j_k}\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\theta_{j_k}), \theta_i \text{ is odd in } \theta_{j_1}\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_k} \\
&= -(x_j - x'_j)(x_i - x'_i)(\theta_{j_1}\cdots\hat{\theta}_j\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\theta_{j_k}), \theta_j \text{ is even in } \theta_{j_1}\cdots\theta_{j_k}\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\theta_{j_k}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, under this case too, $A_j \circ A_i = -A_i \circ A_j$ as desired.

Case 4: θ_i and θ_j are respectively even and odd.

This case is analogous to case 3.

Hence, we always have $A_j \circ A_i = -A_i \circ A_j$.

- If $i \neq j$, then $B_i \circ B_j = -B_j \circ B_i$.

In fact, since $\theta_i\theta_j = -\theta_j\theta_i$, we have:

$$B_i \circ B_j(-) = \partial_i(f)\theta_i \wedge (\partial_j(f)\theta_j \wedge (-)) = -\partial_i(f)\partial_j(f)\theta_j\theta_i(-) = -B_j \circ B_i(-)$$

- If $H = r_1 \otimes r_2\theta_{i_1}\cdots\theta_{i_n} \in \Delta_f$, then either $A_i \circ B_i(H) = 0$ or $B_i \circ A_i(H) = 0$. In either case the result will be of the form $(x_i - x'_i)\partial_i(f)H$.

Case 1: $i \in \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$

$A_i \circ B_i(H) = (x_i - x'_i)\theta_i^*(\partial_i(f)\theta_i \wedge r_1 \otimes r_2\theta_{i_1}\cdots\theta_{i_n}) = 0$. Since we will have the product $\theta_i\theta_i$ in this expression, and this product is clearly 0 and $\theta_i^*(0) = 0$ as θ_i^* is a derivation. So the result follows.

Still under this case,

$$\begin{aligned}
B_i \circ A_i(r_1 \otimes r_2 \theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_n}) &= \partial_i(f) \theta_i \wedge ((x_i - x'_i) \theta_i^*(r_1 \otimes r_2 \theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_n})) \\
&= \begin{cases} -(x_i - x'_i) \partial_i(f) \theta_i \wedge (r_1 \otimes r_2 \theta_{i_1} \cdots \hat{\theta}_i \cdots \theta_{i_n}), \theta_i \text{ is even in } \theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_n} \\ (x_i - x'_i) \partial_i(f) \theta_i \wedge (r_1 \otimes r_2 \theta_{i_1} \cdots \hat{\theta}_i \cdots \theta_{i_n}), \theta_i \text{ is odd in } \theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_n} \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} -(x_i - x'_i) \partial_i(f) \wedge (-r_1 \otimes r_2 \theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_i \cdots \theta_{i_n}) \\ (x_i - x'_i) \partial_i(f) \wedge (r_1 \otimes r_2 \theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_i \cdots \theta_{i_n}) \end{cases} \\
&= (x_i - x'_i) \partial_i(f) H
\end{aligned}$$

The sign in the first part (respectively second part) of the second to the last equality is due to the fact θ_i is moved an odd number of times (respectively an even number of times) to occupy its position, and each move is affected by a minus sign.

Case 2: $i \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$

$B_i \circ A_i(r_1 \otimes r_2 \theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_n}) = \partial_i(f) \theta_i \wedge (x_i - x'_i) \theta_i^*(r_1 \otimes r_2 \theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_n})$. This yields 0 since $\theta_i^*(r_1 \otimes r_2 \theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_n}) = 0$ as $i \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$.

Still under this case,

$$\begin{aligned}
A_i \circ B_i(H) &= (x_i - x'_i) \theta_i^*(\partial_i(f) \theta_i \wedge r_1 \otimes r_2 \theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_n}) \\
&= (x_i - x'_i) \partial_i(f) r_1 \otimes r_2 \theta_i^*(\theta_i \theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_n}) \\
&= (x_i - x'_i) (\partial_i(f) r_1 \otimes r_2 \theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_n}), \text{ by definition of } \theta_i^* \\
&= (x_i - x'_i) \partial_i(f) H
\end{aligned}$$

So, $A_i \circ B_i(H) = 0$ or $B_i \circ A_i(H) = 0$, and in either case the result is in the desired form, $(x_i - x'_i) \partial_i(f) H$.

The foregoing work helps to simplify the expression of d^2 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
d^2 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n [A_i \circ A_j + A_i \circ B_j + B_i \circ A_j + B_i \circ B_j] \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n A_1 \circ A_j + A_1 \circ B_j + B_1 \circ A_j + B_1 \circ B_j + A_2 \circ A_j + A_2 \circ B_j + B_2 \circ A_j + B_2 \circ B_j + \\
&\quad \cdots + A_n \circ A_j + A_n \circ B_j + B_n \circ A_j + B_n \circ B_j \\
&= A_1 \circ A_1 + A_1 \circ B_1 + B_1 \circ A_1 + B_1 \circ B_1 + A_1 \circ A_2 + A_1 \circ B_2 + B_1 \circ A_2 + B_1 \circ B_2 + \\
&\quad \cdots + A_1 \circ A_n + A_1 \circ B_n + B_1 \circ A_n + B_1 \circ B_n + A_2 \circ A_1 + A_2 \circ B_1 + B_2 \circ A_1 + B_2 \circ B_1 \\
&\quad + A_2 \circ A_2 + A_2 \circ B_2 + B_2 \circ A_2 + B_2 \circ B_2 + \cdots + A_2 \circ A_n + A_2 \circ B_n + B_2 \circ A_n + B_2 \circ B_n + \\
&\quad \cdots + A_n \circ A_1 + A_n \circ B_1 + B_n \circ A_1 + B_n \circ B_1 + A_n \circ A_2 + A_n \circ B_2 + B_n \circ A_2 + B_n \circ B_2 + \\
&\quad \cdots + A_n \circ A_n + A_n \circ B_n + B_n \circ A_n + B_n \circ B_n \\
&= A_1 \circ B_1 + B_1 \circ A_1 + A_2 \circ B_2 + B_2 \circ A_2 + \cdots + A_n \circ B_n + B_n \circ A_n \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n [A_i \circ B_i + B_i \circ A_i]
\end{aligned}$$

The second to the last equality above results from applying the identities obtained above namely $A_i \circ A_i = B_i \circ B_i = 0$, and if $i \neq j$ then $A_i \circ B_j = -B_j \circ A_i$, $A_i \circ A_j = -A_j \circ A_i$ and $B_i \circ B_j = -B_j \circ B_i$.

Thus the sum representation for d^2 reduces to:

$$d^2(H) = \sum_{i=1}^n [A_i \circ B_i(H) \text{ or } B_i \circ A_i(H)]$$

Since $A_i \circ B_i = 0$ or $B_i \circ A_i = 0$.

This sum is telescoping, in fact:

$$\begin{aligned} d^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n [A_i \circ B_i \text{ or } B_i \circ A_i] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - x'_i) \partial_i f \\ &= (x_1 - x'_1) \partial_1 f + (x_2 - x'_2) \partial_2 f + \cdots + (x_{n-1} - x'_{n-1}) \partial_{n-1} f + (x_n - x'_n) \partial_n f \\ &= f(x_1, \cdots, x_n) - f(x'_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) \\ &\quad + f(x'_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) - f(x'_1, x'_2, x_3, \cdots, x_n) \\ &\quad + \cdots \\ &\quad + f(x'_1, \cdots, x'_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x_n) - f(x'_1, \cdots, x'_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\quad + f(x'_1, \cdots, x'_{n-1}, x_n) - f(x'_1, \cdots, x'_n) \\ &= f(x_1, \cdots, x_n) - f(x'_1, \cdots, x'_n) \\ &= f(x) - f(x') \end{aligned}$$

So $d^2 = (f(x) - f(x')) \cdot id$ as desired. □

We call Δ_f the unit matrix factorization as it is the unit with respect to the tensor product of matrix factorizations. It is also referred to as the stabilised diagonal [8] or Koszul model of the diagonal [5]. The diagonal here refers to R as an $R \otimes R$ -module, with multiplication giving the module structure, which is a matrix factorization of $f \otimes id - id \otimes f$ (or equivalently $f(x) - f(x')$) with differential zero. We give it the zero differential structure, which works, since $f(x) - f(x')$ acts as 0 on this module. Thus, we have a canonical object in $hmf(R \otimes R, f(x) - f(x'))$ namely $(R, 0)$.

Since we are constructing a bicategory, we would like to verify at this level that for $f \in R = K[x]$ and for each object (R, f) of \mathcal{LG}_K , there is a functor $I_{(R,f)}$ that returns the identity Δ_f on (R, f) .

Define $I_{(R,f)} : 1 = \{*\} \longrightarrow hmf(R \otimes R, id \otimes f - f \otimes id)^\omega$, $* \mapsto \Delta_f$.

$I_{(R,f)}$ is a functor. In fact:

Clearly Δ_f is an object of $hmf(R \otimes R, id \otimes f - f \otimes id)$ as discussed above.

Next, let $1_* : * \longrightarrow *$, then clearly $I_{(R,f)}(1_*) = 1_{\Delta_f} = 1_{I_{(R,f)}(*)}$.

Now, consider two maps F and G in the small category $\{*\}$, which is the final object in the category Cat of small categories, then clearly the only possibility for the two maps is

$F = G = 1_*$. We clearly have:

$$I_{(R,f)}(F \circ G) = I_{(R,f)}(1_* \circ 1_*) = I_{(R,f)}(1_*) = 1_{\Delta_f} \dots \text{ (i)}$$

$$I_{(R,f)}(F) \circ I_{(R,f)}(G) = I_{(R,f)}(1_*) \circ I_{(R,f)}(1_*) = 1_{\Delta_f} \circ 1_{\Delta_f} = 1_{\Delta_f} \dots \text{ (ii)}$$

(i) and (ii) show that $I_{(R,f)}(F \circ G) = I_{(R,f)}(F) \circ I_{(R,f)}(G)$, and this completes the proof that $I_{(R,f)}$ is a functor.

There is an important observation made by [5] that we state here as lemma 3.5 below.

Lemma 3.5. *There is a canonical map of factorizations*

$\pi : \Delta_f \longrightarrow R$ given by $\pi[(r \otimes r')\theta_{i_1}\theta_{i_2} \cdots \theta_{i_k}] = \delta_{k,0}rr'$. π is in fact the composition of the projection $\pi^* : \Delta_f \longrightarrow R \otimes R$ to θ -degree 0, followed by the multiplication map $m : R \otimes R \longrightarrow R = R_0 \oplus R_1$, where we endow R with the trivial grading i.e., $R_0 = R$ and $R_1 = \{0\}$.

Proof. To show that π is a map of factorizations, we need to show that it is grade preserving, $R \otimes R$ -linear and satisfies $d_{R\pi} = \pi d$, where d and d_R are respectively the differentials given to Δ_f and R , and $d_R = 0$ as explained above.

1. π is an even map, i.e., a grade preserving map.

It suffices to show that π sends elements of even (resp. odd) degree in Δ_f to elements of even (resp. odd) degree in R .

In fact, since the degree of the empty word (i.e., the word $\theta_{i_1}\theta_{i_2} \cdots \theta_{i_k}$, where $k < 1$) is zero, we have that $r \otimes r'$ is of degree 0 and its image is also of degree 0 because $\pi(r \otimes r') = rr' \in R = R_0$.

Next, we see by definition of π that all odd degree elements are mapped to $0 \in R_1 = \{0\}$.

Moreover, if $k \neq 0$ and is even, then $(r \otimes r')\theta_{i_1}\theta_{i_2} \cdots \theta_{i_k}$ is of even degree and its image under π is also of even degree since $\pi[(r \otimes r')\theta_{i_1}\theta_{i_2} \cdots \theta_{i_k}] = 0 \in R = R_0$

So, π is a degree preserving map.

2. π is $R \otimes R$ -linear. Let $r_1 \otimes r'_1 \in R \otimes R$.

We need to show that $\pi((r_1 \otimes r'_1)(r \otimes r')\theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_k}) = (r_1 \otimes r'_1)\pi((r \otimes r')\theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_k})$:

Now,

if $k \geq 1$ then:

$$\pi((r_1 \otimes r'_1)(r \otimes r')\theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_k}) = \pi((r_1 r \otimes r'_1 r')\theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_k}) = 0 \quad \dots \quad *$$

and if $k < 1$ we have:

$$\pi((r_1 \otimes r'_1)(r \otimes r')\theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_k}) = \pi((r_1 r \otimes r'_1 r')\theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_k}) = r_1 r r'_1 r' \quad \dots \quad **$$

Next,

if $k \geq 1$ then:

$$(r_1 \otimes r'_1)\pi((r \otimes r')\theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_k}) = (r_1 \otimes r'_1)(0) = 0 \quad \dots \quad *'$$

and for $k < 1$ we have:

$$(r_1 \otimes r'_1)\pi((r \otimes r')\theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_k}) = (r_1 \otimes r'_1)rr' = r_1 r'_1 rr' = r_1 r r'_1 r' \quad \dots \quad **'$$

This last equality is by commutativity in R , and the second to the last equality is due to the fact that the $R \otimes R$ -module structure on R is given by multiplication.

Since $*$ and $*'$ are the same, and $**$ is same as $**'$, it follows that

$$\pi((r_1 \otimes r'_1)(r \otimes r')\theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_k}) = (r_1 \otimes r'_1)\pi((r \otimes r')\theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_k})$$

as desired.

To end the proof that π is $R \otimes R$ -linear, we need to show that it is additive. Now, this is true by definition of π .

3. Finally, we show that $d_R \pi = \pi d$.

Recall that $d_R = 0$ and so $d_R \pi = 0$. Thus, it suffices to show that $\pi d = 0$.

Let $H = (r \otimes r')\theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_k} \in \Delta_f$.

$$\begin{aligned} \pi d(H) &= \pi(\sum_{i=1}^n [(x_i - x'_i)\theta_i^*(r \otimes r')\theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_k} + \partial_i(h)\theta_i \wedge (r \otimes r')\theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_k}]) \\ &= \pi((x_1 - x'_1)(r \otimes r')\theta_{i_1} \cdots \hat{\theta}_1 \cdots \theta_{i_k} + \cdots + (x_n - x'_n)(r \otimes r')\theta_{i_1} \cdots \hat{\theta}_n \cdots \theta_{i_k} \\ &\quad + \partial_1(h)(r \otimes r')\theta_1\theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_k} + \cdots + \partial_n(h)(r \otimes r')\theta_n\theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_k}) \end{aligned}$$

The image under π of the expression in blue is zero because for each summand:

Either $\theta_i \in \{\theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_k}\}$ and so we will have θ_i^2 in that summand which will cause the summand to boil down to zero or $\theta_i \notin \{\theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_k}\}$ and so the image under π of what is left will be zero by definition of π since $n \geq 1$.

The image under π of the expression in brown is zero because for each summand:

If in the summand there is a θ_{i_l} , ($l \in \{1, \dots, k\}$), then by definition of π , the image would be zero.

If in the summand there is no θ_{i_l} , ($l \in \{1, \dots, k\}$), then its image under π is $\pi((x_i - x'_i)(r \otimes r')) = (r \otimes r')\pi(x_i - x'_i)$ which is zero because $\pi(x_i - x'_i) = \pi(x_i) - \pi(x'_i) = 0$ in R . \square

We now work out (Δ_f, d_{Δ_f}) for a specific f . We will simply write d for d_{Δ_f} .

Example 3.3. Let $f = x \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ be a one variable polynomial over the polynomial ring $\mathbb{R}[x]$, where \mathbb{R} is the set of real numbers. Let $R = \mathbb{R}[x]$. We have the $R \otimes R$ -module:

$$\Delta_f = \bigwedge \left\{ \bigoplus (R \otimes R)\theta \right\}$$

This is an exterior algebra generated by the variable θ .

To be more precise, it is the free $R \otimes R$ -module generated by the empty list and the symbol θ , modulo the relation that the $\theta^2 = 0$ that is $\theta \wedge \theta = 0$. Here, the " \wedge " product is taken over K just like the tensor product. A typical element of Δ_f^1 is $(r \otimes r')\theta$ or equivalently $h(x, x')\theta$ where $h(x, x') \in \mathbb{R}[x, x']$. A typical element of Δ_f^0 is $(r \otimes r')$ or equivalently $h(x, x')$ where $h(x, x') \in \mathbb{R}[x, x']$.

The action of $R \otimes R$ is the obvious one. In fact, for example, if $r_1 \otimes r_2 \in R \otimes R$, its left and right actions on an element $(r \otimes r')\theta$ of Δ_f simply yield $(r_1 \otimes r_2)(r \otimes r')\theta$ since R is commutative.

Δ_f is endowed with the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading given by θ -degree (where $\deg \theta = 1$). Thus $\deg \theta^2 = \deg 0 = 0$.

Next, we define the differential as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} d : \Delta_f &\longrightarrow \Delta_f \\ d(-) &= [(x - x')\theta^*(-) + \partial(f)\theta \wedge (-)] \\ \partial(f(x)) &= \frac{f(x) - f(x')}{x - x'} = \frac{x - x'}{x - x'} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

We now show that $d^0 \circ d^1 = (f(x) - f(x')) \cdot id_{\Delta^1}$ and $d^1 \circ d^0 = (f(x) - f(x')) \cdot id_{\Delta^0}$. But first, $d^0 : \Delta_f^0 \longrightarrow \Delta_f^1$ and $d^0(h(x, x')) = (x - x')\theta^*(h(x, x')) + \partial(x)\theta \wedge (h(x, x')) = \theta h(x, x')$

since $\partial(x) = \partial f(x) = 1$ and $\theta^*(h(x, x')) = 0$ as θ^* is a derivation w.r.t θ .

Next, $d^1 : \Delta_f^1 \longrightarrow \Delta_f^0$ and $d^1(h(x, x')\theta) = (x - x')\theta^*(h(x, x')\theta) + \partial(x)\theta \wedge (h(x, x')\theta) = (x - x')h(x, x')$ since $\theta^*(\theta) = 1$ and $\theta \wedge (h(x, x')\theta) = 0$ as $\theta\theta = 0$.

We now compute

$$\begin{aligned} d^0 \circ d^1(h(x, x')\theta) &= d^0((x - x')(h(x, x')) \\ &= (x - x')\theta^*(x - x')(h(x, x')) + \partial(x)\theta \wedge (x - x')(h(x, x')) \\ &= \theta(x - x')(h(x, x')) \text{ since } \theta^*(x - x')(h(x, x')) = 0 \text{ and } \partial(x) = 1 \\ &= (x - x')(h(x, x'))\theta \\ &= (x - x') \cdot id_{\Delta^1}[(h(x, x'))\theta] \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{i.e., } d^0 \circ d^1 = (x - x') \cdot id_{\Delta^1} = (f(x) - f(x')) \cdot id_{\Delta^1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d^1 \circ d^0(h(x, x')) &= d^1((h(x, x')\theta) \\ &= (x - x')\theta^*(h(x, x')\theta) + \partial(x)\theta \wedge (h(x, x')\theta) \\ &= (x - x')(h(x, x')) \text{ since } \theta^*(\theta) = 1 \text{ and } \theta^2 = 0 \\ &= (x - x')(h(x, x')) \\ &= (x - x') \cdot id_{\Delta^0}[(h(x, x'))] \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{i.e., } d^1 \circ d^0 = (x - x') \cdot id_{\Delta^0} = (f(x) - f(x')) \cdot id_{\Delta^0}$$

Example 3.4. Let $f = x - y \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$ be a two-variable polynomial over the polynomial ring $\mathbb{R}[x, y]$. Let $R = \mathbb{R}[x, y]$. We have the $R \otimes R$ -module:

$$\Delta_f = \bigwedge \left\{ \bigoplus_{i=1}^2 (R \otimes R)\theta_i \right\}$$

This is an exterior algebra generated by 2 anti-commuting variables, θ_1 and θ_2 .

To be more precise, for $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$; it is the free $R \otimes R$ -module generated by the set containing the empty list and the symbols θ_i , modulo the relations that the θ 's anti-commute, that is $\theta_i \wedge \theta_j = -\theta_j \wedge \theta_i$. Typically, we will omit the wedge product and write for instance $\theta_i \wedge \theta_j$ simply as $\theta_i\theta_j$. Here, the " \wedge " product is taken over \mathbb{R} just like the tensor product. A typical element of Δ_f^0 is either of the form $(r \otimes r')$ (or equivalently $h(x, y, x', y')$) or $(r \otimes r')\theta_i\theta_j$ (or equivalently $h(x, y, x', y')\theta_i\theta_j$) where $h(x, y, x', y') \in \mathbb{R}[x, y, x', y']$. A typical element of Δ_f^1 is $(r \otimes r')\theta_i$ or equivalently $h(x, y, x', y')\theta_i$ where $h(x, y, x', y') \in \mathbb{R}[x, y, x', y']$.

The action of $R \otimes R$ is the obvious one. That is, for example, if $r_1 \otimes r_2 \in R \otimes R$, its left and right actions on an element $(r \otimes r')\theta_i\theta_j$ of Δ_f simply yield $(r_1 \otimes r_2)(r \otimes r')\theta_i\theta_j = (r_1 r \otimes r_2 r')\theta_i\theta_j$ since R is commutative.

Δ_f is endowed with the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading given by θ -degree (where $\deg\theta_i = 1$ for each i). Thus $\deg\theta_i^2 = 0$ and $\deg\theta_i\theta_j = 0$.

Next, we define the differential as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} d : \Delta_f &\longrightarrow \Delta_f \\ d(-) &= \sum_{i=1}^2 [(x_i - x'_i)\theta_i^*(-) + \partial_i(f)\theta_i \wedge (-)] \\ \partial_1(f(x, y)) &= \frac{f(x, y) - f(x', y)}{x - x'} = \frac{(x - y) - (x' - y)}{x - x'} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

$$\partial_2(f(x, y)) = \frac{f(x', y) - f(x', y')}{y - y'} = \frac{(x' - y) - (x' - y')}{y - y'} = -1.$$

We now need to show that $d^0 \circ d^1 = [(x - y) - (x' - y')] \cdot id_{\Delta^1}$ and $d^1 \circ d^0 = [(x - y) - (x' - y')] \cdot id_{\Delta^0}$. We will not show all the details because it is computed in a manner similar to the calculations in the above example. We only specify d^0 and d^1 .

$d^0 : \Delta_f^0 \rightarrow \Delta_f^1$ and $d^0(-) = (x - x')(\theta_1^*)^0(-) + \partial_1(f)\theta_1 \wedge (-) + (y - y')(\theta_2^*)^0(-) + \partial_2(f)\theta_2 \wedge (-)$ i.e., $d^0(-) = (x - x')(\theta_1^*)^0(-) + \theta_1 \wedge (-) + (y - y')(\theta_2^*)^0(-) - \theta_2 \wedge (-)$ since $\partial_1(f) = 1$ and $\partial_2(f) = -1$.

Next, $d^1 : \Delta_f^1 \rightarrow \Delta_f^0$ and $d^1(-) = (x - x')(\theta_1^*)^1(-) + \partial_1(f)\theta_1 \wedge (-) + (y - y')(\theta_2^*)^1(-) + \partial_2(f)\theta_2 \wedge (-)$ i.e., $d^1(-) = (x - x')(\theta_1^*)^1(-) + \theta_1 \wedge (-) + (y - y')(\theta_2^*)^1(-) - \theta_2 \wedge (-)$ since $\partial_1(f) = 1$ and $\partial_2(f) = -1$.

3.3 The left and the right units of \mathcal{LG}_K

In this subsection, we define the left and right identities for our bicategory \mathcal{LG}_K . After proving their naturality with respect to 2-morphisms, we prove that they do not have direct inverses thereby justifying the fact that their inverses in [5] are found only up to homotopy.

We denote the right (respectively left) unit by ρ (respectively λ). In the whole of this subsection, we will be dealing only with the left unit ρ and we will omit the statements and proofs of the results for λ because they are similar to the ones presented for ρ .

Consider a 1-morphism $X \in \text{hmf}(R \otimes S, 1_R \otimes g - f \otimes 1_S)^\omega = \text{hmf}(R \otimes S, id \otimes g - f \otimes id)^\omega$.

Thus, X is a matrix factorization of $id \otimes g - f \otimes id$ and is also an $R \otimes S$ -module.

Let $1_X : X \rightarrow X$ be the identity map and π be the projection defined in lemma 3.5.

Remark 3.2. Observe that any S -module N can be considered as an R -module by letting $rn := f(r)n$ where $f : R \rightarrow S$ is a homomorphism of commutative rings. Observe that the $R \otimes S$ -module X can be considered as an R -module via the following K -homomorphism of commutative unitary rings $f : R \rightarrow R \otimes S$ defined by $f(r) = r \otimes 1_S$, and hence one can also see X as an $R \otimes R$ -module by means of the following multiplicative map which is a K -homomorphism of commutative unitary rings $m : R \otimes R \rightarrow R$ defined by $m(r \otimes r') = rr'$. Moreover, observe that the $R \otimes R$ -module Δ_f can be considered as an R -module via the following homomorphism of commutative unitary rings $f : R \rightarrow R \otimes R$ defined by $f(r) = r \otimes 1_R$.

Thanks to this remark, it makes sense to form the following tensor product over R : $X \otimes_R R$ and $X \otimes_R \Delta_f$ since X and Δ_f can be viewed as R -modules. Consequently, we will simply write $X \otimes R$ and $X \otimes \Delta_f$ for ease of notation.

Similarly, since the $R \otimes S$ -module X and the $S \otimes S$ -module Δ_g can be viewed as S -modules, we can form the module $\Delta_g \otimes_S X$ that we simply write as $\Delta_g \otimes X$.

We also consider the map $u : X \otimes R \rightarrow X$ defined by $u(x \otimes r) = xr$. This definition makes sense since X can be viewed as an R -module. u is an isomorphism (See example 1 page 363 of [7]).

Now, define $\rho_X : X \otimes \Delta_f \rightarrow X$ by $\rho_X := u \circ (1_X \otimes \pi)$ and $\lambda_X : \Delta_g \otimes X \rightarrow X$ by $\lambda_X := u \circ (\pi \otimes 1_X)$.

ρ_X and λ_X are clearly morphisms in $hmf(R \otimes S, id \otimes g - f \otimes id)^\omega$.

We show that ρ and λ are natural w.r.t. 2–morphisms in the variable X and we explain why there is no direct inverse for ρ_X and λ_X , for each X . In [5], it is proved that the left and right unit maps are isomorphisms up to homotopy, but the reason why they are not direct isomorphisms is not given explicitly, so we give that reason at the end of this paper.

Lemma 3.6. *ρ and λ are natural w.r.t. 2–morphisms in the variable X .*

Proof. The proof for ρ is given and the one for λ is omitted because it is similar.

In fact:

1. ρ is natural in X .

In fact, we have the functor

$$F := (-) \otimes \Delta_f : hmf(R \otimes S, id \otimes g - f \otimes id)^\omega \longrightarrow hmf(R \otimes S, id \otimes g - f \otimes id)^\omega,$$

$$X \mapsto X \otimes \Delta_f.$$

F is well defined because $X \otimes \Delta_f$ is an $R \otimes S$ –module since X is an $R \otimes S$ –module and Δ_f is an $R \otimes R$ –module.

We also have the identity functor:

$$G := Id : hmf(R \otimes S, id \otimes g - f \otimes id)^\omega \longrightarrow hmf(R \otimes S, id \otimes g - f \otimes id)^\omega,$$

$$X \mapsto X.$$

For each object $X \in hmf(R \otimes S, id \otimes g - f \otimes id)^\omega$, $\rho_X : X \otimes \Delta_f \longrightarrow X$ is a map in $hmf(R \otimes S, id \otimes g - f \otimes id)^\omega$.

Components ρ_X of ρ are such that for each $p : X \longrightarrow Y$, $\rho_Y \circ F(p) = G(p) \circ \rho_X$, viz. $\rho_Y \circ p \otimes id = p \circ \rho_X$ because the following diagram obviously commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \otimes \Delta_f & \xrightarrow{p \otimes id} & Y \otimes \Delta_f & \text{(we wrote } id \text{ for } id_{\Delta_f}) \\ \rho_X \downarrow & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow \rho_Y & \\ Id(X) = X & \xrightarrow[G(p)=p]{} & Id(Y) = Y & \end{array}$$

$i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Recalling that $\rho_X = u \circ (1_X \otimes \pi)$, $u : X \otimes R \xrightarrow{\cong} X$, $x \otimes r \mapsto xr$; and also that π is the θ –degree 0 map, we have on the one hand:

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad \rho_Y \circ (p \otimes id)(x \otimes (r \otimes_K r') \theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_k}) &= \rho_Y(p(x) \otimes (r \otimes_K r') \theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_k}) \\ &= u(1_Y \otimes \pi)(p(x) \otimes (r \otimes_K r') \theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_k}) \\ &= u(p(x) \otimes \pi((r \otimes_K r') \theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_k})) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \geq 1, \\ u(p(x) \otimes rr') = p(x)rr', & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (ii) \quad p \circ \rho_X(x \otimes (r \otimes_K r') \theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_k}) &= p \circ u(1_X \otimes \pi)(x \otimes (r \otimes_K r') \theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_k}) \\ &= p \circ u(x \otimes \pi((r \otimes_K r') \theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_k})) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \geq 1, \\ p(u(x \otimes rr')) = p(xrr') = p(x)rr' & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The very last equality above is obtained by R -linearity of p which is a map of factorization.

The work done in (i) and (ii) shows that $\rho_Y \circ (p \otimes id) = p \circ \rho_X$. So ρ is indeed a natural transformation.

2. ρ_X is a morphism of factorizations, viz. an R -linear even map commuting with the differentials.

• $\rho_X = u \circ (1_X \otimes \pi)$ is an R -linear even map.

In fact, The projection map π was shown to be linear and even, in the proof of lemma 3.5, and we know that the identity map 1_X is clearly linear and even, so $1_X \otimes \pi$ is a linear even map.

Moreover, u is a linear map (see example 1, page 363 of [7]). Hence ρ_X is linear as composition of linear maps.

It now remains to show that u is an even map. We give the trivial grading to R , i.e., $R = R_0 \oplus \{0\}$. Now, $(X_0 \oplus X_1) \otimes R = X_0 \otimes R \oplus X_1 \otimes R$. We have:

$u : (X_0 \oplus X_1) \otimes R = X_0 \otimes R \oplus X_1 \otimes R \longrightarrow X_0 \oplus X_1$ defined by: $u(x_0 \otimes r_0) = x_0 r_0 \in X_0$ and $u(x_1 \otimes r_0) = x_1 r_0 \in X_1$, since X is an R -module. This shows that u is an even map.

• ρ_X commutes with the differentials.

Let $Z = X \otimes \Delta_f$ and let's write each factorization with its pair of differentials as follows:

(X, d_X^0, d_X^1) , (Z, d_Z^0, d_Z^1) and $(\Delta_f, d_{\Delta}^0, d_{\Delta}^1)$. Since X and $Z = X \otimes \Delta_f$ are factorizations of $h := id \otimes g - f \otimes id$, the following hold:

$$\begin{cases} d_X^1 d_X^0 = h \cdot id_{X^0} \\ d_X^0 d_X^1 = h \cdot id_{X^1} \\ d_Z^1 d_Z^0 = h \cdot id_{Z^0} \\ d_Z^0 d_Z^1 = h \cdot id_{Z^1} \end{cases}$$

We have the following pair of maps

$$Z^0 \xrightarrow{\rho^0} X^0 \quad \text{and} \quad Z^1 \xrightarrow{\rho^1} X^1.$$

To show that ρ_X commutes with the differentials, it suffices to show that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z^0 & \xrightarrow{d_Z^0} & Z^1 & \xrightarrow{d_Z^1} & Z^0 \\ \rho^0 \downarrow & & \rho^1 \downarrow & & \rho^0 \downarrow \\ X^0 & \xrightarrow{d_X^0} & X^1 & \xrightarrow{d_X^1} & X^0 \end{array}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} \rho^0 d_Z^1 = d_X^1 \rho^1 \dots (i) \\ d_X^0 \rho^0 = \rho^1 d_Z^0 \dots (ii) \end{cases}$$

It suffices to prove only one of these equalities because they are equivalent⁵.

⁵We proved something quite similar using matrix representations in Remark 2.4.

We briefly discuss (ii) without giving a full proof because of the amount of the details involved:

First recall that $Z^0 = (X \otimes \Delta_f)^0 = (X^0 \otimes \Delta_f^0) \oplus (X^1 \otimes \Delta_f^1)$.

Also recall that the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded $R \otimes S$ -module $X \otimes \Delta_f$ is a finite rank matrix factorization of the polynomial $g - f$ and it comes with an odd $R \otimes S$ -linear endomorphism $d_Z : Z \rightarrow Z$ s.t. $d_Z^2 = (g - f) \cdot id_Z$. We have

$$d_Z^0 : Z^0 = (X^0 \otimes \Delta_f^0) \oplus (X^1 \otimes \Delta_f^1) \rightarrow (X^0 \otimes \Delta_f^1) \oplus (X^1 \otimes \Delta_f^0) = Z^1.$$

Now, if for ease of notation we write id_Δ for id_{Δ_f} then $d_Z^0 = (d_X \otimes id_\Delta + id_X \otimes d_\Delta)^0$ where $id_X \otimes d_\Delta$ has the usual Koszul signs when applied to elements. We have:

$$\rho^0 = (u \circ (1_X \otimes \pi))^0 = u^0 \circ (1_X \otimes \pi)^0 + u^1 \circ (1_X \otimes \pi)^1.$$

$$\rho^1 = (u \circ (1_X \otimes \pi))^1 = u^1 \circ (1_X \otimes \pi)^0 + u^0 \circ (1_X \otimes \pi)^1.$$

$$(1_X \otimes \pi)^0 = (1_X^0 \otimes \pi^0) \oplus (1_X^1 \otimes \pi^1), (1_X \otimes \pi)^1 = (1_X^0 \otimes \pi^1) \oplus (1_X^1 \otimes \pi^0).$$

With all these data, the proof of ii) follows. □

The authors of [5] give a difficult proof in which they exhibit the homotopy inverses of ρ_X and λ_X but they do not show why the direct inverses of ρ_X and λ_X do not exist.

In the following theorem, we show that ρ_X and λ_X do not have direct inverses. This helps to understand why ρ_X and λ_X can only have inverses up to homotopy.

Theorem 3.1. *ρ_X and λ_X do not have direct inverses.*

Proof. The proof for ρ_X is presented and the one for λ_X is omitted because it is similar.

We find a direct right inverse of ρ_X that we call ψ_X , next we prove that ψ_X is not a direct left inverse of ρ_X and then proceed by contradiction to show that ρ_X has no direct inverse.

• Define $\psi_X : X \rightarrow X \otimes \Delta_f$ by $x \mapsto x \otimes 1_{R \otimes R}$.

First, it is important to show that ψ is natural in X . It is easy to see that $\psi_X : X \rightarrow X \otimes \Delta_f$ is a map in $hmf(R \otimes S, id \otimes g - f \otimes id)^\omega$. Let X and $Y \in hmf(R \otimes S, id \otimes g - f \otimes id)^\omega$ and $j : X \rightarrow Y$. We need to show that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Id(X) = X & \xrightarrow{j} & Id(Y) = Y \\ \psi_X \downarrow & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow \psi_Y \\ X \otimes \Delta_f & \xrightarrow{j \otimes id} & Y \otimes \Delta_f \end{array}$$

$$\text{i.e., } \psi_Y \circ j = j \otimes id \circ \psi_X.$$

Now, $\psi_Y \circ j(x) = j(x) \otimes 1_{R \otimes R}$ by definition of ψ . $\dots \dagger$

$$j \otimes id \circ \psi_X(x) = j \otimes id(x \otimes 1_{R \otimes R}) = j(x) \otimes id(1_{R \otimes R}) = j(x) \otimes 1_{R \otimes R} \dots \ddagger.$$

\dagger and \ddagger yield the desired equality. Hence ψ is a natural transformation.

Now, $\psi_X = \psi_X^0 \oplus \psi_X^1$, where for $x = x_0 \oplus x_1$, we have:

$$\psi_X^0 : X^0 \rightarrow (X \otimes \Delta_f)^0 = (X^0 \otimes \Delta_f^0) \oplus (X^1 \otimes \Delta_f^1), \text{ defined by } \psi_X^0(x_0) = x_0 \otimes 1_{R \otimes R} = x_0 \otimes (1_R \otimes 1_R)$$

$$\psi_X^1 : X^1 \rightarrow (X \otimes \Delta_f)^1 = (X^0 \otimes \Delta_f^1) \oplus (X^1 \otimes \Delta_f^0), \text{ defined by } \psi_X^1(x_1) = (x_1 \otimes 1_{R \otimes R}) = x_1 \otimes (1_R \otimes 1_R)$$

• It is easy to see that ψ_X is an R -linear map. ψ_X is an even map by construction.

• We now show that ψ_X commutes with the differentials. This condition is represented diagrammatically by the commutativity of the following diagram where $Z = X \otimes \Delta_f$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
X^0 & \xrightarrow{d_X^0} & X^1 & \xrightarrow{d_X^1} & X^0 \\
\downarrow \psi^0 & & \downarrow \psi^1 & & \downarrow \psi^0 \\
Z^0 & \xrightarrow{d_Z^0} & Z^1 & \xrightarrow{d_Z^1} & Z^0
\end{array}$$

That is, we need to show that:

$$\begin{cases} \psi^1 d_X^0 = d_Z^0 \psi^0 \dots (i)' \\ \psi^0 d_X^1 = d_Z^1 \psi^1 \dots (ii)' \end{cases}$$

It suffices to prove only one of these equalities because they are equivalent⁶.

We prove (i)':

$$\begin{aligned}
d_Z^0 \psi^0(x_0) &= (d_X^0 \otimes id + id \otimes d_\Delta^0)(x_0 \otimes 1_{R \otimes R}) \\
&= d_X^0(x_0) \otimes 1_{R \otimes R} + (-1)^{|x_0|} id(x_0) \otimes d_\Delta^0(1_{R \otimes R}) \\
&= d_X^0(x_0) \otimes 1_{R \otimes R} + x_0 \otimes 0 \text{ since } d_\Delta^0(1_{R \otimes R}) = 0 \text{ and } |x_0| = 0 \\
&= \psi^1 d_X^0(x_0)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence (i)' holds. So ψ_X is a map of factorization.

- ψ_X is a direct right inverse of ρ_X .

We need to show that $\rho_X \circ \psi_X = id_X$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_X \circ \psi_X(x_0 \oplus x_1) &= \rho_X(\psi_X(x_0) \oplus \psi_X(x_1)), \psi_X \text{ is linear} \\
&= u(1_X \otimes \pi)(x_0 \otimes 1_{R \otimes R} \oplus x_1 \otimes 1_{R \otimes R}) \text{ by definition of } \rho_X \text{ and } \psi_X \\
&= u((1_X \otimes \pi)(x_0 \otimes 1_{R \otimes R}) \oplus (1_X \otimes \pi)(x_1 \otimes 1_{R \otimes R})) \\
&= u(x_0 \otimes 1_R 1_R \oplus x_1 \otimes 1_R 1_R) \text{ as } \pi(1_{R \otimes R}) = \pi(1_R \otimes 1_R) = 1_R 1_R. \\
&= u(x_0 \otimes 1_R \oplus x_1 \otimes 1_R) \\
&= u(x_0 \otimes 1_R) \oplus u(x_1 \otimes 1_R), \text{ since } u \text{ is linear} \\
&= x_0 1_R \oplus x_1 1_R \text{ by definition of } u \\
&= x_0 \oplus x_1 \text{ as desired}
\end{aligned}$$

So $\rho_X \circ \psi_X = id_X$.

i.e., ψ_X is a direct right inverse of ρ_X .

- We now show that ψ_X is not a (direct) left inverse to ρ_X . All we need show is that $\psi_X \circ \rho_X \neq id_Z$. Let $x \otimes (r \otimes r')\theta_1 \in Z = X \otimes \Delta_f$, then:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_X \circ \rho_X(x \otimes (r \otimes r')\theta_1) &= \psi_X(u(1_X \otimes \pi)(x \otimes (r \otimes r')\theta_1)) \\
&= \psi_X(u(x \otimes \pi(r \otimes r')\theta_1)) \\
&= \psi_X(0), \text{ since } \pi((r \otimes r')\theta_1) = 0 \\
&= 0 \\
&\neq id_Z(x \otimes (r \otimes r')\theta_1)
\end{aligned}$$

- Finally, suppose towards a contradiction that ρ_X has an inverse (i.e., a map that is both a right and a left direct inverse of ρ_X), call it $\chi_X : X \mapsto X \otimes \Delta_f$.

Then: $\rho_X \circ \chi_X = id_X \dots \#$

and $\chi_X \circ \rho_X = id_{X \otimes \Delta_f} \dots \#'$ by definition of the inverse of a map.

And $\rho_X \circ \psi_X = id_X \dots \#''$ since ψ_X is a right inverse of ρ_X .

from $\#$ and $\#''$ we have:

⁶We proved something quite similar using matrix representations in Remark 2.4.

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_X \circ \psi_X = \rho_X \circ \chi_X &\Rightarrow \chi_X \circ (\rho_X \circ \psi_X) = \chi_X \circ (\rho_X \circ \chi_X) \\
&\Rightarrow (\chi_X \circ \rho_X) \circ \psi_X = (\chi_X \circ \rho_X) \circ \chi_X, \text{ by associativity} \\
&\Rightarrow (id_{X \otimes \Delta_f}) \circ \psi_X = (id_{X \otimes \Delta_f}) \circ \chi_X \text{ since } \chi \circ \rho_X = id_{X \otimes \Delta_f} \\
&\Rightarrow \psi_X = \chi_X
\end{aligned}$$

But this last equality is a contradiction since ψ_X which is not a left inverse of ρ_X cannot be equal to χ_X which is a left inverse of ρ_X .

So ρ_X is not invertible as claimed. \square

A similar work with the left unitor (or unit action) $\lambda_X : \Delta_g \otimes X \rightarrow X$ shows that it is not directly invertible.

We refer the reader to sections 3 and 4 of [5] for a proof showing that the unitors have inverses up to homotopy. The authors in [5] used *Atiyah classes* (cf. section 3 of [5]) in their proof. But they never explained why it does not work at a non-homotopic setting. We did it in the foregoing lemma.

Remark 3.3. Observe that ψ_X is a right homotopy inverse of ρ_X . In fact, we need to verify that $\rho_X \circ \psi_X \sim id_X$ where \sim stands for the homotopy relation.

(Recall that: $\rho_X \circ \psi_X, id_X : X \rightarrow X$).

We need to find an odd degree R -linear map $\alpha : X \rightarrow X$ s.t., $d_X \alpha + \alpha d_X = \rho_X \circ \psi_X - id_X$.

It suffices to take α to be the zero map (which is clearly an R -linear odd degree map).

In fact, if $\alpha = 0$ then:

$d_X \alpha + \alpha d_X = \rho_X \circ \psi_X - id_X \iff 0 = \rho_X \circ \psi_X - id_X$, i.e., $\rho_X \circ \psi_X = id_X$ which is true since ψ_X is the right direct inverse of ρ .

A similar work can be done for the left unit map λ_X .

References

- [1] Barbosa, L. S. (2003). A brief introduction to bicategories. *Techn. Report DI-PURE-03*, 12(01).
- [2] Bökstedt, M. and Neeman, A. (1993). Homotopy limits in triangulated categories. *Compositio Mathematica*, 86(2):209–234.
- [3] Camacho, A. R. (2015). Matrix factorizations and the landau-ginzburg/conformal field theory correspondence. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1507.06494*.
- [4] Carqueville, N. and Murfet, D. (2015). A toolkit for defect computations in landau-ginzburg models. In *Proc. Symp. Pure Math*, volume 90, page 239.
- [5] Carqueville, N. and Murfet, D. (2016). Adjunctions and defects in landau–ginzburg models. *Advances in Mathematics*, 289:480–566.
- [6] Conrad, K. (2016). Tensor products. *Notes of course, available on-line*.
- [7] Dummit, D. S. and Foote, R. M. (2004). *Abstract algebra*, volume 3. Wiley Hoboken.
- [8] Dyckerhoff, T. et al. (2011). Compact generators in categories of matrix factorizations. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 159(2):223–274.

- [9] Dyckerhoff, T., Murfet, D., et al. (2013). Pushing forward matrix factorizations. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 162(7):1249–1311.
- [10] Eisenbud, D. (1980). Homological algebra on a complete intersection, with an application to group representations. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 260(1):35–64.
- [11] Fomatati, Y. (2021). Necessary conditions for the existence of morita contexts in the bicategory of landau-ginzburg models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.10490*.
- [12] Fomatati, Y. B. (2019). *Multiplicative Tensor Product of Matrix Factorizations and Some Applications*. PhD thesis, Université d’Ottawa/University of Ottawa.
- [13] Fomatati, Y. B. (2022). On tensor products of matrix factorizations. *Journal of Algebra*.
- [14] Horn, R. A. and Johnson, C. R. (2012). *Matrix analysis*. Cambridge university press.
- [15] Keller, B., Murfet, D., and Van den Bergh, M. (2011). On two examples by iyama and yoshino. *Compositio Mathematica*, 147(2):591–612.
- [16] Khovanov, M. and Rozansky, L. (2008). Matrix factorizations and link homology ii. *Geometry & Topology*, 12(3):1387–1425.
- [17] Leuschke, G. J. and Wiegand, R. (2012). *Cohen-Macaulay representations*. Number 181. American Mathematical Soc.
- [18] Neeman, A. (2001). *Triangulated categories*. Princeton University Press.
- [19] Onnes, H. (1938). Akad. van wetenschappen 14 (113), 818 (1911). jf allen and ad misener. *Nature*, 141:75.
- [20] Schreyer, F.-O. (1987). Finite and countable cm-representation type. In *Singularities, representation of algebras, and vector bundles*, pages 9–34. Springer.
- [21] Smith, R. A. (2011). Introduction to vector spaces, vector algebras, and vector geometries. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1110.3350*.
- [22] Tilley, D. R. (2019). *Superfluidity and superconductivity*. Routledge.
- [23] Yoshino, Y. (1998). Tensor products of matrix factorizations. *Nagoya Mathematical Journal*, 152:39–56.
- [24] Yoshino, Y. and Yoshino, Y. (1990). *Maximal Cohen-Macaulay Modules Over Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, volume 146. Cambridge University Press.