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#### Abstract

Algebraic structures with multiple copies of a given type of operations interrelated by various compatibility conditions have long being studied in mathematics and mathematical physics. They are broadly referred as linearly compatible, matching, and totally compatible structures. This paper gives a unified approach to these structures in the context of operads. We first generalize the process of polarization for polynomials in invariant theory to the one for operads, leading to the general notion of linearly compatible operads. Refining the polarization by partitioning it into foliations, we obtain a notion of matching operads consolidating those appeared recently from applications of regularity structures, and Volterra integral equations. Distinguished among them is the leveled matching compatibility, which is unique with respect to a fix ordering of the vertices in tree monomials. Equating all matching compatibilities of a given operad leads to the totally compatible operad of this operad.

For unary/binary quadratic operads, the linear compatibility and the total compatibility are in Koszul dual to each other, and there is a Koszul self-duality among the matching compatibilities. In particular, the leveled matching compatibility is Koszul self-dual. For binary quadratic operads, these three compatible operads can also be obtained by taking Manin black and white products. For finitely generated binary quadratic operad, we prove that the Koszulity is preserved under taking each of the linear and total compatibilities, as well as the leveled matching compatibility.
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## 1. Introduction

This paper extends the classical notion of polarization of polynomials in invariant theory to operads in order to give a uniform formulation of algebraic structures with replicated copies of operations satisfying various compatible conditions among the copies. The relations of compatible structures with Manin products and Koszul duality are established and, as an application, a large class of Koszul operads is obtained.
1.1. Compatibilities of algebraic structures with replicated operations. Traditionally, a compatible structure is referring to a linearly compatible structure, equipping a vector space with two identical copies of operations in a given algebraic structure, so that the sum of the two copies of operations still gives the same algebraic structure. Together with several other algebraic compatible structures, they have been widely studied in mathematics and mathematical physics.

Linear compatibility is already behind the linear or infinitesimal deformations, when a linear perturbation $\mu+a v$ of an operation $\mu$ is still the same kind of operation. The deformation of algebraic structures began with the seminal work of Gerstenhaber [14] for associative algebras, and has since been developed for many algebraic structures and for algebraic operads [22].

As an independent structure, linear compatibility first appeared in the pioneering work [25] of Magri on bi-Hamiltonian systems, in which a Poisson algebra has two linearly compatible Poisson (Lie) brackets. Such a structure was abstracted to the notion of a bi-Hamiltonian algebra and was studied in the context of operads and Koszul duality [1], 10]. Linearly compatible Lie algebras have been studied in connection with integrable systems, the classical Yang-Baxter equation, loop algebras and elliptic theta functions [17, 18, 19, 27]. The Koszul property of the linearly compatible Lie operad was verified in [1] applying posets of weighted partitions.

In the associative context, the linearly compatible structures were studied in [28] for matrix algebras and especially for linear deformations. Further a linearly compatible algebra gives rise to a hierarchy of integrable systems of ODEs via the Lenard-Magri scheme [25, 31].

In [|]], quantum bi-Hamiltonian systems were built on linearly compatible associative algebras. In [0], free associative algebras with two linearly compatible multiplications were studied as $S_{n}$-modules, were constructed in terms of rooted trees and grafting, and were further related to the Hopf algebras of Connes-Kreimer, Grossman-Larson and Loday-Ronco. Homotopy linearly compatible algebras were introduced and the homotopy transfer theorem was proved in [37].

Linear compatibility of algebraic structures was generalized to binary quadratic operads in [32] where it was also shown that the linear compatibility for binary quadratic operads is in Koszul duality to another naturally defined type of compatibility conditions called the total compatibility. Totally compatible associative algebras and Lie algebras with two multiplications were further studied in [39] in connection with tridendriform algebras and post-Lie algebras.

A third compatible condition, called the matching compatibility, has shown its importance recently. It first appeared for the associative operad as $A s^{(2)}$ [42] and was further studied in [38]. The significance of such structures was revealed by the appearances of matching pre-Lie algebra (first called multiple pre-Lie algebra) emerged in the remarkable work of Bruned, Hairer and Zambotti [ [13] on algebraic renormalization of regularity structures, and matching Rota-Baxter algebras in the algebraic study of Volterra integral equations [21]. Algebraic properties of matching Rota-Baxter algebras, dendriform algebras and pre-Lie algebras were studied in [15, 40].

Motivated by the path Hopf algebra described by A.B. Goncharov [20], the notion of bimatching dialgebras was introduced in [[2]. Linear compatibilities of nontraditional algebraic structures have also be studied, such as for the $L_{\infty}$-algebras and the Nijenhuis structure introduced by Merkulov from differential geometry [7, 26, 33].
1.2. Operadic studies of compatible structures. All these recent developments of algebraic structures with compatible operations call for a uniform approach to the various constructions. After the operadic approach in [32] on the linear compatibility and total compatibility for binary quadratic operads, a systematic study was presented in [41] on all the three types of compatible structures, for nonsymmetric algebraic operads with both unary and binary operations that satisfy cubic as well as quadratic relations. Also the Koszul duality was established between the linear compatibility and total compatibility, and a Koszul self-duality was obtained for the matching compatibility.

The present study generalizes the previous treatments in two directions. First we remove the restrictions on algebraic operads, including the binary quadratic conditions in [32] and the nonsymmetric condition in [41]. Furthermore, a general notion of matching compatibilities is introduced to unify the various known structures of this kind and to be used as the building blocks for other compatibility structures, such as [ $]$ ]. We next elaborate on these two aspects.
1.2.1. Polarization and linear compatibility. Considering the conceptual and notational complexities already presented in defining linear compatible structures for special operads in [32, 41], new notions and frameworks are needed to treat the general case.

For this purpose, we extend the notion of polarization for polynomials to operads. Polarization of polynomials is a basic notion in classical invariant theory [23, 30, 36]. Given a homogeneous polynomial, polarization produces a unique symmetric multilinear form from which the original polynomial can be recovered by evaluating along a certain diagonal call the restitution. In addition to being essential in invariant theory, e.g., Weyl's polarization theorem [8, 36], the notion has applications in other areas of mathematics, including algebraic geometry, Lie algebras and representation theory. See Section 5 for a brief summary.

As it turns out, linearly compatible structures for general operads are precisely encoded by extending polarization and the more general quasipolarizations for algebras to operads. In this sense, compatible structures could be part of an invariant theory for operads that is to be developed. In meeting the present goal, quasipolarizations for operads allows a uniform definition of the linear compatibility of an arbitrary locally homogeneous operad. In particular, the approach applies to the compatible Nijenhuis structures [33] and the compatible $L_{\infty}$-algebras [7].
1.2.2. Foliations and matching compatibilities. In the past, various matching compatibilities have been defined in an ad hoc way depending on the particular algebraic structure. A general approach was taken in [41] for nonsymmetric operads. But it does not cover the matching dendriform algebras which naturally arose from Volterra integral operators [40]. See Remark 2.22.

By introducing a partition technique of a quasipolarization, called the foliation which also has an interesting interpretation for polynomial polarization and quadratic algebras (see Section5), we give a more general notion of matching compatibility applicable to all locally homogeneous operads. The notion covers the existing instances including the ones in [38] and [40] from Volterra integral operators mentioned above, as well as the special case of nonsymmetric operads in 41].

It is worth noting that, by combining matching compatibilities from various foliations as building blocks, even more compatibility structures can be obtained. One of them is the multi-Novikov algebra newly emerged from singular stochastic PDEs [3]. See Example 2.23](d)].

### 1.3. Outline of the paper. The paper is organized as follows.

In Section 4 , we first recall the needed background and notions on linear operads in $\S 2.1$. We then introduce in the notion of quasipolarizations of an operad (Definition 2.4) by extending the classical notion of quasipolarizations of polynomials. This notion is applied to define the linear
compatibility of an arbitrary operad (Definition 2.10) and show that it satisfies the linear compatibility condition (Theorem 2.12). We then introduce the notion of a foliation of a quasipolarization (Definition 2.14) as a partition of the quasipolarization, and apply it to define a matching compatibility of the operad (Definition 2.19). We finally define the total compatibility (Definition 2.28) by adding permutation invariant relations to a matching compatibility.

Focusing on quadratic operads with unary or binary operations in Section 3, the Koszul duality between the linear compatibility and the total compatibility is established (Theorem 3.2). A Koszul self-duality is also obtained for matching compatibilities (Theorem 3.4). For a binary quadratic operad, each of the compatibilities can be obtained by taking one of the Manin products (Propositions 3.6 and 3.7.)

In Section 4, we show that the Koszulity of a binary quadratic operad is preserved by taking the linear, leveled matching and total compatibilities (Theorem 4.6). As an application, a large class of Koszul operads is obtained (Corollary 4.7.)

Section 5 is an appendix recalling the notion quasipolarizations for polynomials and introducing the refined notion of their foliations. It serves as a prototype to help understanding the corresponding notions for operads though it is interesting on its own right. The reader is encouraged to consult it first though it is not required for the main body of the paper.

Notations. Throughout this paper, we fix a field $\mathbf{k}$ of characteristic zero which will serve as the base field of all vector spaces, algebras, tensor products, as well as linear maps. The cardinality of a finite set $S$ is denoted by $|S|$. For a positive integer $n$, denote $[n]:=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. For a function $f(X)$ with variables $x_{i} \in X$, let $\left.f(X)\right|_{x_{i} \mapsto a}$ denote the evaluation of $f$ replacing each variable $x_{i}$ by $a$. Let $X \sqcup Y$ denote the disjoint union of sets $X$ and $Y$.

## 2. Compatibllities of locally homogeneous operads

In this section, we apply the operadic polarization to give the general notions of the linear compatibility, matching compatibility and total compatibility of a locally homogeneous operad.
2.1. Linear operads. Following [2, 22], we fix the notations on symmetric operads.

An $\mathbb{S}$-module over $\mathbf{k}$ is a family

$$
M:=\{M(n)\}_{n \geq 0}=\{M(0), M(1), \ldots M(n), \ldots\}
$$

of right modules $M(n)$ over the symmetric group $S_{n}, n \geq 0$. A (symmetric) operad is an $\mathbb{S}$ module $\mathscr{P}=\{\mathscr{P}(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ equipped with an element id $\in \mathscr{P}(1)$ and composition maps

$$
\gamma:=\gamma_{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}}^{k}: \mathscr{P}(k) \otimes \mathscr{P}\left(n_{1}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathscr{P}\left(n_{k}\right) \longrightarrow \mathscr{P}\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+\cdots+n_{k}\right)
$$

satisfying the equivariance with respect to the symmetric groups, associativity and unitarity. A morphism of operads from $\mathscr{P}$ to $\mathscr{Q}$ is a family of $S_{n}$-equivariant maps $f_{n}: \mathscr{P}(n) \rightarrow \mathscr{Q}(n)$ that is compatible with the compositions of operads. An operad $\mathscr{P}$ is said to be reduced if $\mathscr{P}(0)=0$.

In this paper, all operads is assumed to be reduced.
For a vector space $V$, the endomorphism operad $\operatorname{End}_{V}$ is given by $\operatorname{End}_{V}(n):=\operatorname{Hom}\left(V^{\otimes n}, V\right)$, where the action of $S_{n}$ on $\operatorname{End}_{V}(n)$ is given by

$$
(f \cdot \sigma)\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)=f\left(\sigma \cdot\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)\right):=f\left(v_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, v_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}\right)
$$

The composition map $\gamma$ is given by the usual composition of multivariate functions.
Let $\mathscr{P}$ be an operad. A $\mathscr{P}$-algebra is a vector space $V$ with a morphism $\rho: \mathscr{P} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{V}$ of operads. We say that the operad $\mathscr{P}$ encodes the $\mathscr{P}$-algebra.

The free symmetric operad $\mathscr{T}(M)$ on an $\mathbb{S}$-module $M$ can be described as follows. For a rooted tree $t$, denote by $V(t)$ the set of its internal vertices (not the leaves and root), by $L(t)$ the set of its
leaves, and by $\operatorname{in}(v)$ the set of inputs of the vertex $v \in V(t)$. Let $T_{n}^{m}$ be the set of planar rooted trees with $m$ internal vertices and $n$ leaves labeled by $[n]$.

Definition 2.1. Let $M$ be an $\mathbb{S}$-module with a basis $X=\{X(1), \ldots, X(n), \ldots\}$. An $X$-decorated tree is a pair $(t, d)$ with $t$ in $T_{n}^{m}$ and $d: V(t) \rightarrow X$, such that $d(v)$ is in $X(|\operatorname{in}(v)|)$. By convention, the empty tree is an $X$-decorated rooted tree.

By multi-linearity, the notion $(t, d)$ is also defined for a map $d: V(t) \rightarrow M$.
Let $M$ be an $\mathbb{S}$-module with a basis $X$. Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{n}^{m}(X) & =\left\{(t, d) \mid t \in T_{n}^{m}, d: V(t) \rightarrow X\right\}, \\
\mathscr{T}(X)(1) & =\bigsqcup_{m=0}^{\infty} T_{1}^{m}(X), \mathscr{T}(X)(n)=\bigsqcup_{m=1}^{\infty} T_{n}^{m}(X) \text { for } n \geq 2, \\
\mathscr{T}(M)(n) & =\mathbf{k} \mathscr{T}(X)(n) \text { for } n \geq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The symmetric group action on $\mathscr{T}(M)(n)$ is given by


Then there is the following construction of free operads [2].
Theorem 2.2. The $\mathbb{S}$-module $\mathscr{T}(M)$, together with the composition product $\gamma$ given by the grafting of rooted trees and the natural embedding $i: M \rightarrow \mathscr{T}(M)$, is the free symmetric operad on an $\mathbb{S}$-module $M$.

Any operad $\mathscr{P}$ can be presented as the quotient of a free operad modulo an operadic ideal:

$$
\mathscr{P}=\mathscr{P}(M, R):=\mathscr{T}(M) /\langle R\rangle,
$$

where the $\mathbb{S}$-modules $M=\{0, M(1), \ldots, M(n), \ldots\}$ and $R=\{0, R(1), \ldots, R(n), \ldots\}$ are called the generators and relations of $\mathscr{P}$, respectively. The operad $\mathscr{P}$ is called finitely generated if $M(n)$ is finite dimensional for each $n \geq 1$.

For $(t, d) \in \mathscr{T}(X)$, its weight $|(t, d)|$ is defined to be the number of internal vertices of $t$. Denote by $\mathscr{T}(X)^{(m)}$ (resp. $\left.\mathscr{T}(X)_{n}^{(m)}\right)$ the subset of $\mathscr{T}(X)$ (resp. $\left.\mathscr{T}(X)_{n}\right)$ of weight $m$. Then

$$
\mathscr{T}(M)^{(m)}:=\mathbf{k} \mathscr{T}(X)^{(m)}=\left\{0, \mathscr{T}(M)^{(m)}(1), \ldots, \mathscr{T}(M)^{(m)}(n), \ldots\right\} \text { where } \mathscr{T}(M)^{(m)}(n):=\mathbf{k} T_{n}^{m}(X) .
$$

In particular, $\mathscr{T}(M)^{(0)}=\mathbf{k} T_{1}^{0}(X)$ and $\mathscr{T}(M)^{(1)}=\left\{0, \mathbf{k} T_{1}^{1}(X), \ldots, \mathbf{k} T_{n}^{1}(X), \ldots\right\}=M$.
In this paper, we focus on locally homogeneous operads described as follows.
Definition 2.3. Let $\mathscr{P}=\mathscr{T}(M) /\langle R\rangle$ be an operad. A relation in $R$ is called homogeneous (of weight $m$ ) if it is in $\mathscr{T}(M)^{(m)}$ for some $m \geq 0$. If $R$ is in $\mathscr{T}(M)^{(m)}$, then the operad $\mathscr{P}$ is called homogeneous. In particular, if $R$ is in $\mathscr{T}(M)^{(2)}$, then $\mathscr{P}$ is called quadratic. If the operadic ideal $\langle R\rangle$ is generated by homogeneous relations, then the operad $\mathscr{P}$ is called locally homogeneous.

A homogeneous relation $r \in \mathscr{T}(M)^{(m)}(n)=\mathbf{k} T_{n}^{m}(X)$ can be uniquely expressed as

$$
r=\sum_{(t, d) \in T_{n}^{m}(X)} \alpha_{t, d}(t, d) .
$$

In this case, denote $|r|:=m$, called the weight of $r$.

### 2.2. Polarizations for operads and linear compatibility.

2.2.1. Polarizations for operads. We next introduce the notion of quasipolarizations for operads. In analog to the polarizations for a polynomial (see $\S(\boxed{5} 1)$, the polarizations for a tree polynomial can be defined as the formal coefficients of a formal expansion.

Let $\Omega$ be a nonempty set and $X=\{X(1), \ldots, X(n), \ldots\}$ a family of sets. For $\omega \in \Omega$, let

$$
X_{\omega}(n):=\left\{x_{\omega} \mid x \in X(n), \omega \in \Omega\right\}
$$

be a replica of $X(n)$, labeled by $\omega$ for distinction. Define

$$
X_{\omega}:=\left\{X_{\omega}(1), \ldots, X_{\omega}(n), \ldots\right\} \text { and } X_{\Omega}:=\bigsqcup_{\omega \in \Omega} X_{\omega}=X \times \Omega .
$$

Definition 2.4. Let $M$ be an $\mathbb{S}$-module with a basis $X$.
(a) An $\Omega$-weak composition of $m \geq 1$ is a tuple $\left(c_{\omega}\right)=\left(c_{\omega}\right)_{\omega \in \Omega}$ representing a map $c: \Omega \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} c_{\omega}=m$. Let $\mathrm{C}(\Omega, m)$ be the set of $\Omega$-weak compositions of $m$.
(b) Consider a decorated tree polynomial of homogeneous weight $m$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(X):=\sum_{(t, d) \in T_{n}^{m}(X)} \alpha_{t, d}(t, d) \in \mathscr{T}(M)^{(m)}(n) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Regarding $\left(\lambda_{\omega}\right)_{\omega \in \Omega}$ as formal variables, we have the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.f(X)\right|_{x \mapsto \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \lambda_{\omega} x_{\omega}}=\sum_{c=\left(c_{\omega}\right) \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega, m)} f_{c}\left(X_{\Omega}\right) \prod_{\omega \in \Omega} \lambda_{\omega}^{c_{\omega}} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The tree polynomial $f_{c}\left(X_{\Omega}\right)$ is called the quasipolarization of $f(X)$ of type $c \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega, m)$. A quasipolarization is called a full polarization if $c_{\omega}=0$ or 1 , for each $\omega \in \Omega$.

Remark 2.5. If an operad is concentrated in arity 1 with commuting operators, then it is a commutative algebra. In this case, we recover the concept of a polynomial (full or quasi) polarization for $|\Omega|=m$ recalled in § 5.1 .

To facilitate later computations, we next give an explicit form of quasipolarizations which requires some preliminary notations. We denote the projections

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi:= & \pi_{X}: X_{\Omega} \rightarrow X, \quad x_{\omega} \mapsto x, \\
& \pi_{\Omega}: X_{\Omega} \rightarrow \Omega, \quad x_{\omega} \mapsto \omega, \quad x_{\omega} \in X_{\Omega} . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Definition 2.6. Let $\Omega$ be a nonempty set. Let $M$ be an $\mathbb{S}$-module with a basis $X$.
(a) For a decorated tree $(t, d) \in T_{n}^{m}(X)$, an ( $\left.\Omega-\right)$ coloring of $d$ is a coloring of $d$ on its vertices by elements of $\Omega$. More precisely, the coloring is a map $D: V(t) \rightarrow X_{\Omega}$ such that $D \circ \pi=d$ :


Denote by $\operatorname{Col}(d)$ the set of colorings of $d$.
(b) Let $(t, d) \in T_{n}^{m}(X)$ be a decorated tree and $D$ a coloring of $d$. Define a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
c: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \quad \omega \mapsto c_{\omega}:=\left|\left(\pi_{\Omega} \circ D\right)^{-1}(\omega)\right|, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which will be denoted as a tuple $c=\left(c_{\omega}\right)$. With this notation, we say that the coloring $D$ is of type $c=\left(c_{\omega}\right)$. Note that $c$ is in $\mathrm{C}(\Omega, m)$.
(c) For the map defined by Eq. (5):

$$
T: \operatorname{Col}(d) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}(\Omega, m), \quad D \mapsto c=\left(c_{\omega}\right),
$$

let $\operatorname{Col}(d, c)$ be the inverse image of $c \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega, m)$, i.e., the set of colorings of $d$ of type $c$.

Remark 2.7. Note that $|\operatorname{Col}(d, c)|=\binom{m}{c}:=\frac{m!}{\prod_{\omega \in \Omega} c_{\omega}!}$ for an $\Omega$-weak composition $c$.
We have the following constructions for operadic quasipolarizations.
Proposition 2.8. Let $M$ be an $\mathbb{S}$-module with a basis $X=\{X(1), \ldots, X(n), \ldots\}$ and $\Omega$ be a finite nonempty set. Let $f(X) \in \mathscr{T}(M)^{(m)}(n)$ be given in Eq. (2)).
(a) For an $\Omega$-weak composition $c \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega, m)$, applying the formal partial derivatives, we have

$$
f_{c}\left(X_{\Omega}\right)=\left.\left(\frac{\partial^{m}}{\prod_{\omega \in \Omega} \partial^{c_{\omega}} \lambda_{\omega}}\left(\left.f(X)\right|_{x \mapsto \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \lambda_{\omega} x_{\omega}}\right)\right)\right|_{\lambda_{\omega}=0}, \text { where } \lambda_{\omega} \in \mathbf{k} \text {. }
$$

(b) For an $\Omega$-weak composition $c \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega, m)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{c}\left(X_{\Omega}\right)=\sum_{(t, d) \in T_{n}^{m}(X)} \alpha_{t, d} \sum_{D \in \operatorname{Col}(d, c)}(t, D) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, the quasipolarization of a tree monomial $(t, d)$ is given by

$$
(t, d)_{c}=\sum_{D \in \operatorname{Col}(d, c)}(t, D)=\sum_{\left|\left(\pi_{\Omega} \circ D\right)^{-1}(\omega)\right|=c_{\omega}}(t, D) .
$$

(c) For an $\Omega$-weak composition $c \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega, m)$, we have the restitution of $f$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.f_{c}\left(X_{\Omega}\right)\right|_{x_{\omega} \mapsto x}=\binom{m}{c} f(X) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for a full polarization $f_{c}\left(X_{\Omega}\right)$, we have $\left.f_{c}\left(X_{\Omega}\right)\right|_{x_{\omega} \mapsto x}=m!f(X)$.
(d) A full polarization $f_{c}\left(X_{\Omega}\right)$ is multilinear in each variable, and is symmetric in a variable set $X_{\omega}$ for which $c_{\omega}=1$.
Proof. To represent a decorated tree with a specified decoration, we fix an ordering ( $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}$ ) of the vertices of the tree. Then the decorated tree $(t, d)$ can be uniquely written as

$$
(t, d)=t\left(d\left(v_{1}\right), \ldots, d\left(v_{m}\right)\right), \text { for } v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m} \in V(t)
$$

(a) By Eq.(3), we obtain

$$
\left.\frac{\partial^{m}}{\prod_{\omega \in \Omega} \partial^{c_{\omega}} \lambda_{\omega}}\left(\left.f(X)\right|_{\left.x \mapsto \Sigma_{\omega \in \Omega} \lambda_{\omega} x_{\omega}\right)}\right)\right|_{\lambda_{\omega}=0}=\left.\frac{\partial^{m}}{\prod_{\omega \in \Omega} \partial^{c_{\omega}} \lambda_{\omega}}\left(\sum_{c=\left(c_{\omega}\right) \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega, m)} f_{c}\left(X_{\Omega}\right) \prod_{\omega \in \Omega} \lambda_{\omega}^{c_{\omega}}\right)\right|_{\lambda_{\omega}=0}=f_{c}\left(X_{\Omega}\right) .
$$

(b) By Eq. (2) and multi-linearity of decorated tree polynomials, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.f(X)\right|_{x \mapsto \sum_{\omega \in \Omega}} \lambda_{\omega} x_{\omega} & =\left.\sum_{(t, d) \in T_{n}^{m}(X)} \alpha_{t, d}(t, d)\right|_{x \mapsto \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \lambda_{\omega} x_{\omega}} \\
& =\left.\sum_{(t, d) \in T_{n}^{m}(X)} \alpha_{t, d} t\left(d\left(v_{1}^{t}\right), \ldots, d\left(v_{m}^{t}\right)\right)\right|_{x \mapsto \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \lambda_{\omega} x_{\omega}} \\
& =\sum_{(t, d) \in T_{n}^{m}(X)} \alpha_{t, d} t\left(\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \lambda_{\omega} d\left(v_{1}^{t}\right)_{\omega}, \ldots, \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \lambda_{\omega} d\left(v_{m}^{t}\right)_{\omega}\right) \\
& =\sum_{(t, d) \in T_{n}^{m}(X)} \alpha_{t, d} \sum_{\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{m} \in \Omega} t\left(d\left(v_{1}^{t}\right)_{\omega_{1}}, \ldots, d\left(v_{m}^{t}\right)_{\omega_{m}}\right) \lambda_{\omega_{1}} \cdots \lambda_{\omega_{m}} \\
& =\sum_{(t, d) \in T_{n}^{T_{n}^{m}}(X)} \sum_{D \in \operatorname{Col}(d, c)} \alpha_{t, d}(t, D) \prod_{\omega \in \Omega} \lambda_{\omega}^{c_{\omega}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Further by Eq. (3), the equality holds.
(c) By Eq. (6) and $|\operatorname{Col}(d, c)|=\binom{m}{c}$, we have

$$
\left.f_{c}\left(X_{\Omega}\right)\right|_{x_{\omega} \mapsto x}=\left.\sum_{(t, d) \in T_{n}^{m}(X)} \alpha_{t, d} \sum_{D \in \operatorname{Col}(d, c)}(t, D)\right|_{x_{\omega} \mapsto x}=\sum_{(t, d) \in T_{n}^{m}(X)} \alpha_{t, d}\binom{m}{c}(t, d)=\binom{m}{c} f(X) .
$$

(d) By the definition of full polarizations, each decorative element in $X_{\omega}$ appears exactly once in $f_{c}\left(X_{\Omega}\right)$. Thus a full polarization is multilinear and symmetric in $X_{\omega}$ with $c_{\omega}=1$.
2.2.2. Linear compatibility. We first recall the concept of linear compatibility of algebras. See [4]] and the references therein for further details.

Definition 2.9. Let $\Omega$ be a nonempty set. Let $\mathscr{P}$ be an operad with generators $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{k}$. For each $\omega \in \Omega$, let $\mu_{\omega, 1}, \ldots, \mu_{\omega, k}$ be a replica of $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{k}$. Let $V$ be a vector space such that, for each $\omega \in \Omega$, the tuple

$$
A_{\omega}:=\left(V, \mu_{\omega, 1}, \ldots, \mu_{\omega, k}\right)
$$

is a $\mathscr{P}$-algebra. Then $V$ is called an $(\Omega$-)linearly compatible $\mathscr{P}$-algebra if, for every linear combination $v_{i}:=\sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \lambda_{\omega} \mu_{\omega, i} \in \oplus_{\omega \in \Omega} \mathbf{k} u_{\omega, i}, i=1, \ldots, k$, with finitely many coefficients nonzero, the tuple

$$
A:=A_{\left(\lambda_{\omega}\right)}:=\left(V, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)
$$

is still a $\mathscr{P}$-algebra.
We next determine the operad governing linearly compatible $\mathscr{P}$-algebras.
Definition 2.10. Let $\Omega$ be a nonempty set. Let $M$ be an $\mathbb{S}$-module with a basis $X$ and let $\mathscr{P}=$ $\mathscr{T}(M) /\langle R\rangle$ be a locally homogeneous operad. We denote by $R_{\mathrm{LC}}$ the set of quasipolarizations of all homogeneous polynomials $r(X) \in R$. Explicitly,

$$
R_{\mathrm{LC}}:=R_{\mathrm{LC}, \Omega}:=\left\{r_{c}\left(X_{\Omega}\right) \in \mathscr{T}\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\omega}\right) \mid r(X) \in R, c \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega,|r(X)|)\right\} .
$$

We call the operad

$$
\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}:=\mathscr{T}\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\omega}\right) /\left\langle R_{\mathrm{LC}}\right\rangle
$$

the linearly compatible operad of $\mathscr{P}$ with the parameter set $\Omega$.
We give an example from the commutative operad $\mathscr{C}$ om of commutative algebras.
Example 2.11. The commutative operad $\mathscr{C} o m=\mathscr{T}(M) /\left\langle R_{\text {Com }}\right\rangle$ is given by

$$
M=M_{2}=\mathbf{k}\left\{Y^{1}=Y^{2} Y^{1}\right\}
$$

and

$$
R_{\mathrm{Com}}=\mathbf{k}\left\{r:=r(\cdot):=Y^{2}-Y^{3}, s:=s(\cdot):=Y^{2}-Y^{2}\right\} .
$$

So $X=\{\cdot\}$. Take $\Omega=\left\{\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right\}=\{\circ, \bullet\}$ and identify $X_{\Omega}=\left\{\cdot{ }_{\circ}, \cdot \bullet\right\}$ with $\Omega$. We list all quasipolarizations $r_{i_{\circ}, i_{\bullet}}=r_{\left(i_{\bullet}, i_{\bullet}\right)}(\circ, \bullet)$ and $s_{\left(i_{0}, i_{\bullet}\right)}=s_{\left(i_{0}, i_{\bullet}\right)}(\circ, \bullet)$ for $\left(i_{\circ}, i_{\bullet}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{2}$ with $i_{\circ}+i_{\bullet}=2$ as follows.


Then

$$
\left.\mathscr{C} o m_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}=\mathscr{T}\left(M_{\circ} \oplus M_{\bullet}\right) /\left\langle R_{\mathrm{LCCom},}\right\rangle=\mathscr{T}(\mathbf{k}\rangle^{2} \oplus \mathbf{k}^{1} \cdot^{2}\right) /\left\langle r_{(0,2)}, r_{(1,1)}, r_{(2,0)}, s_{(0,2)}, s_{(1,1)}, s_{(2,0)}\right\rangle
$$

Theorem 2.12. Let $\Omega$ be a nonempty set and $\mathscr{P}=\mathscr{T}(M) /\langle R\rangle$ be a locally homogeneous operad. A vector space V is a $\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}$-algebra if and only if it is an $\Omega$-linearly compatible $\mathscr{P}^{\text {-algebra. }}$

Proof. We only need to prove that, for any relation $r(X) \in R$, the space spanned by the quasipolarizations of $r(X)$ is equal to the space spanned by the linearly compatible relations of $r(X)$. Here the linearly compatible relations are induced by $\left.r(X)\right|_{x \mapsto \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \lambda_{\omega} x_{\omega}}$ for any choice of $\lambda_{\omega} \in \mathbf{k}$ with finitely many being nonzero. Thus we are left to verify

$$
\mathbf{k}\left\{\left.r(X)\right|_{x \mapsto \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \lambda_{\omega} x_{\omega}}\right\}=\mathbf{k}\left\{r_{c}\left(X_{\Omega}\right) \mid c \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega,|r(X)|)\right\},
$$

which, by Eq. (3) and the arbitrariness of $\lambda_{\omega} \in \mathbf{k}$, follows from

$$
\mathbf{k}\left\{\left.r(X)\right|_{x \mapsto \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \lambda_{\omega} x_{\omega}}\right\}=\mathbf{k}\left\{\sum_{c \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega,|r(X)|)}\left(\prod \lambda_{\omega}{ }^{c_{\omega}} r_{c}\left(X_{\Omega}\right)\right)\right\}=\mathbf{k}\left\{r_{c}\left(X_{\Omega}\right) \mid c \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega,|r(X)|)\right\} .
$$

An iteration of taking linear compatibility yields another linear compatibility as follows.
Proposition 2.13. For a nonempty set $\Omega$ and a locally homogeneous operad $\mathscr{P}=\mathscr{T}(M) /\langle R\rangle$,

$$
\left(\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}\right)_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}=\mathscr{P}_{\Omega^{2}}^{\mathrm{LC}} .
$$

Proof. By Definition 2.10, the generators of the operads $\left(\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}\right)_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}$ and $\mathscr{P}_{\Omega^{2}}^{\mathrm{LC}}$ are identified:

$$
\bigoplus_{\mu \in \Omega}\left(\bigoplus_{v \in \Omega} M_{v}\right)_{\mu}=\bigoplus_{(\mu, v) \in \Omega^{2}} M_{(\mu, v)}
$$

We also have the identification $\left(X_{\Omega}\right)_{\Omega} \cong X \times \Omega \times \Omega \cong X_{\Omega^{2}}$. So it suffices to prove that, for any relation $r(X) \in R^{(m)}$ for some $m \geq 1$, the set of linearly compatible relations

$$
\mathbf{k}\left\{f_{\left(d_{v}\right)}\left(X_{\Omega^{2}}\right) \mid f\left(X_{\Omega}\right) \in R_{\mathrm{LC}}, \sum_{v \in \Omega} d_{v}=m\right\}
$$

of linearly compatible relations of $r(x)$ with parameter $\Omega$ coincides with the set of linearly compatible relations

$$
\mathbf{k}\left\{r_{\left(c_{\mu, \nu)}\right)}\left(X_{\Omega^{2}}\right) \mid \sum_{(\mu, v) \in \Omega^{2}} c_{(\mu, v)}=m\right\}
$$

of $r(X)$ with parameter $\Omega^{2}$. Indeed, this follows from

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{k}\left\{f_{\left(d_{v}\right)}\left(X_{\Omega^{2}}\right) \mid f\left(X_{\Omega}\right) \in R_{\mathrm{LC}}, \sum_{v \in \Omega} d_{v}=m\right\} & =\mathbf{k}\left\{f_{\left(d_{v}\right)}\left(X_{\Omega^{2}}\right) \mid f\left(X_{\Omega}\right) \in \mathbf{k}\left\{r_{\left(c_{\mu}\right)}\left(X_{\Omega}\right) \mid \sum_{\mu \in \Omega} c_{\mu}=m\right\}, \sum_{v \in \Omega} d_{v}=m\right\} \\
& =\mathbf{k}\left\{\left(r_{\left(c_{\mu}\right)}\left(X_{\Omega}\right)\right)_{\left(d_{v}\right)}\left(X_{\Omega^{2}}\right) \mid \sum_{\mu \in \Omega} c_{\mu}=m, \sum_{v \in \Omega} d_{v}=m\right\} \\
& =\mathbf{k}\left\{r_{\left(c_{(\mu, v)}\right)}\left(X_{\Omega^{2}}\right) \mid \sum_{(\mu, v) \in \Omega^{2}} c_{(\mu, v)}=m\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

2.3. Foliations for operads and matching compatibilities. In this subsection, we consider a stronger compatibility, called the matching compatibility using the refined notion of foliation from polarization. See $\S 5.2$ for the "baby model" for polynomials.

As above, let $\Omega$ be a nonempty set. Let $M$ be an $\mathbb{S}$-module with a basis $X$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(X):=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq s} \alpha_{i}\left(t_{i}, d_{i}\right) \in \mathscr{T}(M)^{(m)}(n) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $0 \neq \alpha_{i} \in \mathbf{k}$. Fix $c \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega, m)$. For notational clarity, denote

$$
\mathbf{b}:=\mathbf{b}_{c}:=\binom{m}{c}=\left|\operatorname{Col}\left(d_{i}, c\right)\right| .
$$

By Eq. (7), for an $\Omega$-weak composition $c=\left(c_{\omega}\right) \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega, m)$, we have the restitution of $f$ :

$$
\left.f_{c}\left(X_{\Omega}\right)\right|_{x_{\omega} \mapsto x}=\binom{m}{c} f(X) .
$$

A foliation of $f_{c}$ is a partition of $f_{c}$ into parts $f_{c, k}, 1 \leq k \leq \mathbf{b}$, with the property that

$$
\left.f_{c, k}\left(X_{\Omega}\right)\right|_{x_{\omega} \mapsto x}=f(X) .
$$

We next give an explicit construction of the other foliations, each defines a matching compatibility. This process needs further notations, but we are awarded with a uniformly defined class of matching compatibilities which is wide enough to cover previously known compatibility constructions. By combining different foliations, we also obtain the newly introduced multi-Novikov algebra from stochastic PDEs [3]. See Example 2.23, especially Item (d).

Applying Definition 2.6 and Remark 2.7, list the set of colorings of $d_{i}$ of type $c$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Col}\left(d_{i}, c\right)=\left\{D_{i, 1}, D_{i, 2}, \ldots, D_{i, \mathbf{b}}\right\}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq s \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we abbreviate to column vectors $\vec{D}_{i}, 0 \leq i \leq s$. Likewise, let $\left(t_{i}, \vec{D}_{i}\right)$ denote the column vector $\left(\left(t_{i}, D_{i, 1}\right), \ldots,\left(t_{i}, D_{i, \mathbf{b}}\right)\right)^{T}$. Then $\left(t_{i}, d_{i}\right)_{c}$ is the sum of the entries of $\left(t_{i}, \vec{D}_{i}\right)$, and the quasipolarization of $f(X)$ of type $c$ is obtained from $f(X)$ in replacing $\left(t_{i}, d_{i}\right)$ in Eq. (8) by $\left(t_{i}, d_{i}\right)_{c}$ :

$$
f_{c}\left(X_{\Omega}\right)=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq s} \alpha_{i}\left(t_{i}, d_{i}\right)_{c}=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq s} \alpha_{i} \sum_{D \in \operatorname{Col}\left(d_{i}, c\right)}\left(t_{i}, D\right)=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq s} \alpha_{i} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \mathbf{b}}\left(t_{i}, D_{i, j}\right)=\sum_{1 \leq j \leq \mathbf{b}} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq s} \alpha_{i}\left(t_{i}, D_{i, j}\right) .
$$

Thus the sum $f_{c}\left(X_{\Omega}\right)$ is naturally partitioned into the system

$$
\left\{\sum_{0 \leq i \leq s} \alpha_{i}\left(t_{i}, D_{i, j}\right) \mid 1 \leq j \leq \mathbf{b}\right\}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\left(t_{0}, D_{0,1}\right) & \left(t_{1}, D_{1,1}\right) & \cdots & \left(t_{s}, D_{s, 1}\right)  \tag{10}\\
\left(t_{0}, D_{0,2}\right) & \left(t_{1}, D_{1,2}\right) & \cdots & \left(t_{s}, D_{s, 2}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\left(t_{0}, D_{0, \mathbf{b}}\right) & \left(t_{1}, D_{1, \mathbf{b}}\right) & \cdots & \left(t_{s}, D_{s, \mathbf{b}}\right)
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\alpha_{0} \\
\alpha_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\alpha_{s}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We call this system the standard foliation of $f_{c}\left(X_{\Omega}\right)$ (with respect to the ordering in Eq. (9)).
Next for $\sigma \in S_{\mathbf{b}}$, the symmetric group on $\mathbf{b}$ letters, let $\left(t_{i}, \vec{D}\right)^{\sigma}$ denote the permutation of the $i$-th column the matrix in Eq. (10) by $\sigma$ :

$$
\left(t_{i}, \vec{D}\right)^{\sigma}:=\left(t_{i}, \vec{D}^{\sigma}\right):=\left(\left(t_{i}, D_{i, \sigma(1)}\right), \ldots,\left(t_{i}, D_{i, \sigma(\mathbf{b})}\right)\right)^{T}
$$

Replacing the matrix $F$ in Eq. (10) by the one with the $i$-column permuted by $\sigma_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq s$, we obtain another foliation of $f_{c}\left(X_{\Omega}\right)$ shown below. To keep track with multiple $f$ and $c$ which might share the same value $\mathbf{b}$, we denote $\Lambda_{c, f(X)}:=S_{\mathbf{b}}{ }^{s}$.
Definition 2.14. Let $f(X)=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq s} \alpha_{i}\left(t_{i}, d_{i}\right) \in \mathscr{T}(M)^{(m)}(n)$ and $\vec{\sigma}=\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{s}\right) \in \Lambda_{c, f(X)}:=S_{\mathbf{b}}{ }^{s}$.
Define the foliation of the quasipolarization $f_{c}\left(X_{\Omega}\right)$ twisted by $\vec{\sigma}$ to be the set

$$
f(X)^{\vec{\sigma}}:=\alpha_{0}\left(t_{0}, \vec{D}_{0}\right)+\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} \alpha_{i}\left(t_{i}, \vec{D}_{i}^{\sigma_{i}}\right)=\left\{\alpha_{0}\left(t_{0}, D_{0, j}\right)+\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} \alpha_{i}\left(t_{i}, D_{i, \sigma_{i}(j)}\right) \mid 1 \leq j \leq \mathbf{b}\right\} .
$$

Remark 2.15. Let $f_{c}\left(X_{\Omega}\right)$ be a quasipolarization of $f(X) \in \mathscr{T}(M)^{(m)}(n)$ of type $c \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega, m)$.
(a) From $\left|S_{\mathbf{b}}{ }^{s}\right|=(\mathbf{b}!)^{s}$, there are $(\mathbf{b}!)^{s}$ foliations of the quasipolarization $f_{c}\left(X_{\Omega}\right)$.
(b) By Eq. (\#), we have $f(X)^{\vec{\sigma}} \cdot \rho=\alpha_{0}\left(t_{0} \cdot \rho, \vec{D}_{0}\right)+\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} \alpha_{i}\left(t_{i} \cdot \rho, \vec{D}_{i}^{\sigma_{i}}\right)=(f(X) \cdot \rho)^{\vec{\sigma}}$ for $\rho \in S_{n}$.

Let $f(X) \in \mathscr{T}(M)$ be given in Eq. (2). The support $\operatorname{Supp}(f(X))$ of $f(X)$ is defined to be the set of all decorated trees $(t, d)$ with $\alpha_{t, d} \neq 0$.

Definition 2.16. Let $\Omega$ be a nonempty set. Let $M$ be an $\mathbb{S}$-module with a basis $X$ and $\mathscr{P}=$ $\mathscr{T}(M) /\langle R\rangle$ be a locally homogeneous operad.
(a) Let $r=r(X) \in \mathscr{T}(M)^{(m)}$. For better distinction, for $c \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega, m)$, denote $\Lambda_{c, r}=S_{\mathbf{b}}{ }^{|\operatorname{Supp}(r)|-1}$ even though the set is independent of $c$. Define

$$
\Lambda_{r}:=\prod_{c \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega, m)} \Lambda_{c, r}=\prod_{c \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega, m)} S_{\mathbf{b}}{ }^{|\operatorname{Supp}(r)|-1}=\left(S_{\mathbf{b}}^{|\operatorname{Supp}(r)|-1)}\right)^{|\mathrm{C}(\Omega, m)|} .
$$

Given $\sigma:=\left(\vec{\sigma}_{c}\right)_{c \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega, m)} \in \Lambda_{r}$ with $\vec{\sigma}_{c} \in \Lambda_{c, r}$, the matching compatibility of $r(X)$ with respect to $\sigma$ is the union of the foliations
(b) Let $R \subseteq \mathscr{T}(M)$. Define

$$
r(X)_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}:=\bigcup_{c \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega, m)} r(X)^{\vec{\sigma}_{c}} .
$$

$$
\Lambda(R):=\prod_{r \in R} \Lambda_{r}=\prod_{r \in R} \prod_{c \in C(\Omega,|r|)} \Lambda_{c, r}=\prod_{r \in R} \prod_{c \in C(\Omega,|r|)} S_{\mathbf{b}}|\operatorname{Supp}(r)|-1
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{R}:=\left\{\sigma \in \Lambda(R) \mid r \in R, \rho \in S_{n},\left(r(X)_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}\right) \cdot \rho=(r(X) \cdot \rho)_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}\right\} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matching compatibility of $R$ with respect to $\sigma \in \Lambda_{R}$ is the set

$$
R_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}:=\bigcup_{r(X) \in R} r(X)_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}=\bigcup_{r(X) \in R ; c \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega, m)} r(X)^{\vec{\sigma}_{c, r}} .
$$

Elements of $R_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}$ are called the matching relations of $R$ with respect to $\sigma$.
Remark 2.17. (a) The matching compatibility from the standard foliation is precisely the one introduced in [41] for nonsymmetric operads.
(b) Since $R_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}} \neq R_{\mathrm{MT}^{\tau}}$ as long as $\sigma \neq \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \Lambda_{R}$, there are $\left|\Lambda_{R}\right|$ matching compatibilities of $R$.
(c) Let $\bar{R}$ be an $\mathbb{S}$-basis of $R$. From Eq. (11) and $\left|S_{\mathbf{b}_{c}}\right| \Lambda^{|\operatorname{Supp}(r)|-1} \mid=\left(\mathbf{b}_{c}!\right)^{|\operatorname{Supp}(r)|-1}$, there are $\left|\Lambda_{R}\right|=\left|\Lambda_{\bar{R}}\right|=\prod_{r \in \bar{R}} \prod_{c \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega,|r|)}\left(\mathbf{b}_{c}!\right)^{|\operatorname{Supp}(r)|-1}$ matching compatibilities of $R$.
(d) The condition in Eq. (11) is imposed so that the concept of matching algebras is welldefined. Indeed, let $A$ be a $\mathscr{P}$-algebra. For any $n$-arity operations $r \in R, \rho \in S_{n}$ and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in A$, we have

$$
r\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=0 \Leftrightarrow r \cdot \rho\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=0,
$$

by the arbitrariness of $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in A$. Hence
$\left(r_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}\right) \cdot \rho\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=0 \Leftrightarrow\left(r_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}\right)\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=0 \Leftrightarrow(r \cdot \rho)_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=0$.
Notice that $\left(r_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}\right) \cdot \rho$ and $(r \cdot \rho)_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}$ have the same underlying tree. So we expect the invariant relation

$$
\left(r_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}\right) \cdot \rho=(r \cdot \rho)_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}} .
$$

Example 2.18. Let $X=\{<,>\}$. Let $R=\left\{r(X) \cdot \rho \mid \rho \in S_{3}\right\}$ with $r(X)=\left(t_{0}, d_{0}\right)-\left(t_{1}, d_{1}\right)-\left(t_{2}, d_{2}\right)$ where

$$
\left(t_{0}, d_{0}\right)=\mathcal{K}^{2}, \quad\left(t_{1}, d_{1}\right)=Y^{3} 2^{2}, \quad\left(t_{2}, d_{2}\right)=Y^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{2} .
$$

Take $\Omega=\{\circ, \bullet\}$. First for $c=\left(c_{0}, c_{\bullet}\right)=(1,1)$, we have $\mathbf{b}_{c}=\binom{2}{1}=2$. So for each $\left(t_{i}, d_{i}\right), 0 \leq i \leq 2$, we have

$$
\left(t_{i}, \vec{D}_{i}\right)=\left(\left(t_{i}, D_{i, 1}\right),\left(t_{i}, D_{i, 2}\right)\right)
$$

Then there are four foliations of $r_{c}\left(X_{\Omega}\right)$, determined by the four pairs of permutations $\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right) \in$ $\Lambda_{c, r(X)}=S_{2}{ }^{2}$ :

$$
r(X)^{\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)}:=\left(t_{0}, \vec{D}_{0}\right)-\left(t_{1}, \vec{D}_{1}^{\sigma_{1}}\right)-\left(t_{2}, \vec{D}_{2}^{\sigma_{2}}\right) .
$$

One of the foliations is


Next for $c=(2,0)$ or $(0,2)$, we have $\left|\Lambda_{c, r(X)}\right|=1$. So they only give one foliation $r\left(X_{\circ}\right)$ or $r\left(X_{\bullet}\right)$ of $r_{c}\left(X_{\circ}, X_{\bullet}\right)$. Therefore we have the following four matching compatibilities of $r(X)$

$$
\left\{r\left(X_{\circ}\right), r\left(X_{\bullet}\right)\right\} \cup\left\{r(X)^{\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)}\right\}, \text { for }\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right) \in S_{2}^{2}
$$

Moreover, for $\rho \in S_{3}$, we have

$$
r(X) \cdot \rho=\left(t_{0} \cdot \rho, d_{0}\right)-\left(t_{1} \cdot \rho, d_{1}\right)-\left(t_{2} \cdot \rho, d_{2}\right)=
$$



By Remark 2.17(c), $\left|\Lambda_{R}\right|=1 \times 1 \times 2^{2}=4$. Thus there are four matching compatibilities of $R$ :

$$
\left\{r\left(X_{\circ}\right) \cdot \rho, r\left(X_{\bullet}\right) \cdot \rho \mid \rho \in S_{3}\right\} \cup\left\{\left(r(X)^{\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)}\right) \cdot \rho \mid \rho \in S_{3}\right\}, \text { for }\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right) \in S_{2}^{2} .
$$

Definition 2.19. Let $\Omega$ be a nonempty set. Let $\mathscr{P}=\mathscr{T}(M) /\langle R\rangle$ be a locally homogeneous operad and let $\sigma \in \Lambda_{R}$ be as given in Eq. (11).
(a) We call the operad

$$
\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}:=\mathscr{T}\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\omega}\right) /\left\langle R_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}\right\rangle
$$

the matching operad of $\mathscr{P}$ with parameters $\Omega$ and $\sigma$.
(b) Let $\leq_{\mathrm{t}}$ be a total order of the vertices of each planar rooted tree $t$. Let $c \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega, m)$ and $r(X)=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq s} \alpha_{i}\left(t_{i}, d_{i}\right) \in R^{(m)}$. A matching relation

$$
\sum_{0 \leq i \leq s} \alpha_{i} t_{i}\left(D_{i}\left(v_{i, 1}\right), \cdots, D_{i}\left(v_{i, m}\right)\right) \in R_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}, \quad v_{i, 1}<_{\mathrm{t}} \cdots<_{\mathrm{t}} v_{i, m} \in V\left(t_{i}\right)
$$

of $r(X)$ is called leveled (with respect to $\leq_{\mathrm{t}}$ ) if for any $0 \leq k, \ell \leq s$ and any $1<j<m$, we have $\pi_{\Omega} \circ D_{k}\left(v_{k, j}\right)=\pi_{\Omega} \circ D_{\ell}\left(v_{\ell, j}\right)$, where $\pi_{\Omega}$ is the projection in Eq. (4).
(c) A matching compatibility $R_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}$ of $R$ is called leveled (with respect to $\leq_{\mathrm{t}}$ ) if each matching relation is leveled. Let $R_{\mathrm{LMT}}$ denote this leveled matching compatibility of $R$.
(d) A matching operad $\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}=\mathscr{T}\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\omega}\right) /\left\langle R_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}\right\rangle$ is called leveled (with respect to $\leq_{\mathrm{t}}$ ) if $R_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}$ is leveled. Denote by $\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMT}}$ the leveled matching operad (with respect to $\leq_{\mathrm{t}}$ ).

Remark 2.20. For a given total order $\leq_{t}$ of the vertices, there is unique leveled matching operad. As an example, we consider a concrete order $\leq_{t}$, namely, the (depth-first) preorder traversal of a tree $t$, by first visiting the root vertex and then the subtrees from left to right [6]: $V(t)=$ $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right)$. Then the pair $(t, d)$ can be uniquely written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t, d)=t\left(d\left(v_{1}\right), \ldots, d\left(v_{m}\right)\right) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For convenience, we work with the leveled matching operad with respect to this preorder in the following, even though the results remain true for other total orders.

In practice, we can quite easily list all matching compatibilities of a locally homogeneous operad, for any coloring set $\Omega$. We take the dendriform algebra as an example.

Example 2.21. For the dendriform algebra, the generator set is $X=\{<,>\}$ and the relation set is

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{1}:= & (x<y)<z-x<(y<z)-x<(y>z), \\
& r_{2}:=(x<y)>z-x>(y<z), \\
r_{3}:= & (x<y)>z+(x>y)>z-x>(y>z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\Omega$ with $|\Omega|=2$. For $c=\left(c_{\omega_{1}}, c_{\omega_{2}}\right)=(1,1), \omega_{1} \neq \omega_{2}$, there are four (resp. four, resp. two) foliations of $r_{1}$ (resp. $r_{2}$, resp. $r_{3}$ ), determined by the pairs of permutations $\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right) \in \Lambda_{c, r_{1}}=S_{2}{ }^{2}$ (resp. $\sigma \in \Lambda_{c, r_{2}}=S_{2}$, resp. $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \in \Lambda_{c, r_{3}}=S_{2}^{2}$ ):

Notice that the condition in Eq. (ח1) can be obtained by the arbitrariness of $x, y, z$. Therefore there exist $32=2^{2} \times 2 \times 2^{2}$ types of the matching dendriform algebras with parameter $\Omega$. Moreover, the unique leveled matching dendriform algebra (with respect to the preorder given in Eq. (12)) is determined by the relations $r_{1}^{(e, e)}, r_{2}^{e}, r_{3}^{(e, e)}$, where $e$ is the unit of $S_{2}$. It first appeared in [41].

Remark 2.22. We pay give special attention to the naturally arisen matching dendriform algebra introduced in [40] from Volterra integral operators [21]. Let $A$ be the $\mathbb{R}$-algebra of continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}$. For $k_{\omega}(x) \in A, \omega \in \Omega$, consider the Volterra integral operators with kernel $k_{\omega}$ :

$$
I_{\omega}(f)(x):=\int_{0}^{x} k_{\omega}(t) f(t) d t, \quad f \in A
$$

Define

$$
f<_{\omega} g:=f(x) \int_{0}^{x} k_{\omega}(t) g(t) d t, \quad f \succ_{\omega} g:=\left(\int_{0}^{x} k_{\omega}(t) f(t) d t\right) g(x) .
$$

Then $\left.\left(A,<_{\omega},\right\rangle_{\omega} \mid \omega \in \Omega\right)$ is a matching dendriform algebra in the sense of [40]. Another importance of this construction is that, by antisymmetrization, this matching dendriform algebra gives rise to the matching (multiple) pre-Lie algebra [13, 40] from the landmark work [ 4$]$ on regularity structures. Unfortunately, this construction does not correspond to the matching compatibility defined in [41]. Being able to cover this natural construction of compatibility is a primary motivation for our foliation approach to a more general notion of matching compatibilities. Now this construction corresponds to the matching compatibility $r_{1}^{(e,(12))}, r_{2}^{e}, r_{3}^{((12),(12))}$ in Eq. (13).

We give more examples for later applications and to show that the other previous instances of matching compatibilities are special cases of our general notion.
Example 2.23. Let $\Omega$ be a set with $|\Omega|=2$.
(a) The leveled matching compatible operad (with respect to the preorder given in Eq. (12))

$$
\mathscr{C} o m_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMT}}=\mathscr{T}\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\omega}\right) /\left\langle R_{\mathrm{LMT}}\right\rangle
$$

of the commutative operad is given by

$$
M_{\omega}=\mathbf{k}\left\{\frac{1}{\omega} Y^{2}=2^{2} Y^{1}\right\}, \quad \omega \in \Omega
$$

(b) Consider the associative operad $\mathscr{A} s$ of associative algebras defined by the associative relation $r=Y^{2}-Y^{2}$. We get two matching compatibilities of $r$, for $\sigma \in S_{2}$ :

The matching associative algebra with relations $r_{\mathrm{MT}^{(12)}}$ was studied in [38, 41]. The matching relation $r_{\mathrm{MT}}{ }^{\text {e }}$ is leveled.

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{1}^{\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)}=\left\{\left(x<_{\omega_{1}} y\right)<_{\omega_{2}} z-x<_{\omega_{\sigma_{1}(1)}}\left(y<_{\omega_{\sigma_{1}(2)}} z\right)-x<_{\omega_{\sigma_{2}(1)}}\left(y>_{\omega_{\sigma_{2}(2)}} z\right) \mid \omega_{1} \neq \omega_{2} \in \Omega\right\},  \tag{13}\\
& r_{1}^{\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)}=\left\{\left(x<_{\omega_{1}} y\right)>_{\omega_{2}} z-\left(x>_{\omega_{\tau_{1}(1)}} y\right)>_{\omega_{\tau_{1}(2)}} z-x>_{\omega_{\tau_{2}(1)}}\left(y>_{\omega_{\tau_{2}(2)}} z\right) \mid \omega_{1} \neq \omega_{2} \in \Omega\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

(c) Let $\mathscr{P}$ re $\mathscr{L}=\mathscr{T}(M) /\left\langle R_{\mathrm{PL}}\right\rangle$ be the operad of (left) pre-Lie algebras with relation

Then there are eight matching compatibilities of $R_{\mathrm{PL}}$ parameterized by $S_{2}{ }^{3}$. More precisely, for $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right) \in S_{2}{ }^{3}$, take

The matching pre-Lie algebra with relation $R_{\mathrm{PL}, \mathrm{MT}}{ }^{\sigma}$ for $\sigma=\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right)=(e,(12),(12)) \in$ $S_{2}{ }^{3}$, first appeared in [4] and further studied in [13, 40].
(d) The operad $\operatorname{Nov}$ of Novikov algebras is given by a binary operation and the Novikov relations $R_{\mathrm{Nov}}:=R_{\mathrm{PL}} \cup\left\{\sum^{\rho(1) \rho(2)}-\sum^{\rho(3)} \mid \omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in \Omega, \rho \in S_{3}\right\}$. Thus the matching compatibilities of $R_{\text {Nov }}$ are parameterized by $\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right), \sigma_{4}\right) \in S_{2}{ }^{3} \times S_{2}$ :

Furthermore, taking the union $R_{\mathrm{Nov}, \mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}} \cup R_{\mathrm{Nov}, \mathrm{MT}}{ }^{\tau}$ for

$$
\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right), \sigma_{4}\right)=((e,(12),(12)),(12)) \in S_{2}^{3} \times S_{2}
$$

and

$$
\tau=\left(\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \tau_{3}\right), \tau_{4}\right)=((e, e, e),(12)) \in S_{2}^{3} \times S_{2}
$$

we obtain the multi-Novikov relation newly introduced in the context of singular stochastic partial differential equations [3].
(e) It can be verified that the matching Rota-Baxter algebra introduced in [21, 40] from Volterra integral equations is also one of our matching structures from a foliation.

The following result shows that a matching operad is a linearly compatible operad.
Proposition 2.24. Let $\Omega$ be a nonempty set and $\mathscr{P}$ be a locally homogeneous operad. Then for each matching compatibility $R_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}$ with $\sigma \in \Lambda_{R}$, there is an operad epimorphism $\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}$.
Proof. By the definition of foliation, we have $\mathbf{k}\left\{R_{\mathrm{LC}}\right\} \subseteq \mathbf{k}\left\{R_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}\right\}$ and so the result follows.
Theorem 2.25. Let $\Omega$ be a nonempty set. Let $\mathscr{P}=\mathscr{T}(M) /\langle R\rangle$ be a locally homogeneous operad. Then

$$
\left(\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}\right)_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMT}}=\left(\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMT}}\right)_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}} \text { and }\left(\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMT}}\right)_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMT}}=\mathscr{P}_{\Omega^{2}}^{\mathrm{LMT}} .
$$

Proof. We just check the first one, as the second one is similar to the proof of Proposition 2.13.
Since the generators of both the operads $\left(\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}\right)_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMT}}$ and $\left(\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMT}}\right)_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}$ are $\bigoplus_{(\mu, v) \in \Omega^{2}} M_{(\mu, v)}$, we only need to prove that for any relation $r(X)=\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} \alpha_{i}\left(t_{i}, d_{i}\right) \in R^{(m)}$, the set of leveled matching relations

$$
\left\{r_{\left(c_{\mu}\right)}\left(X_{\Omega}\right) \mid\left(c_{\mu}\right) \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega, m)\right\}_{\mathrm{LMT}}
$$

of the linearly compatible relations of $r(X)$ with parameter $\Omega$ coincides with the set of linearly compatible relations

$$
\left\{f_{\left(b_{v}\right)}\left(X_{\Omega^{2}}\right) \mid f \in r(X)_{\mathrm{LMT}},\left(b_{v}\right) \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega, m)\right\}
$$

of the leveled matching relations of $r(X)$ with parameter $\Omega$. For this purpose, we check

$$
=\left\{f_{\left(c_{\mu}\right)}\left(X_{\Omega^{2}}\right) \mid f\left(X_{\Omega}\right) \in r(X)_{\mathrm{LMT}},\left(c_{\mu}\right) \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega, m)\right\},
$$

as required.
Remark 2.26. The above theorem might not hold without the leveled matching compatibility, because the fifth equation in the above proof is not valid for general matching compatibilities.
2.4. Total compatibility. In this subsection, we introduce the third compatibility of an operad, the total compatibility. It is obtained by adding more relations to the matching compatibility, in analogue to the symmetrized foliation of polynomials in $\S 5.2$.

Let $R$ be a set of relations of an operad. Write

$$
r(X)=\sum_{(t, d) \in T_{n}^{m}(X)} \alpha_{t, d}(t, d) \in R^{(m)}
$$

We define

$$
r_{\mathrm{TC}, c}(X):=\{(t, \delta)-(t, \tau) \mid t \in \operatorname{Supp}(r(X)) \text { and } \delta, \tau \in \operatorname{Col}(d, c)\} \text { for } c \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega, m),
$$

$$
R_{\mathrm{TC}}:=\bigcup_{r(X) \in R, c \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega,|r(X)|)}\left\{r_{\mathrm{TC}, c}(X)\right\} .
$$

For example, consider $\Omega=\{1,2,3\}, c_{1}=c_{2}=c_{3}=1$ and $r(X)=x^{2}$. We have

$$
\{(t, D) \mid D \in \operatorname{Col}(d, c)\}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{1}
\end{array} x_{3}^{z_{2}}, y_{1}^{y_{1}} x_{2}^{z_{3}},{ }^{y_{3}} y_{x_{1}}^{z_{2}},{ }^{y_{3}} x_{x_{2}}^{z_{1}},{ }^{y_{2}}\left\{x_{3}^{z_{1}},{ }^{y_{2}}\left\{x_{1}^{z}\right\} .\right.\right.
$$

So some elements of $r_{\mathrm{TC}, c}(X)$ are


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{r_{\left(c_{\mu}\right)}\left(X_{\Omega}\right) \mid\left(c_{\mu}\right) \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega, m)\right\}_{\mathrm{LMT}} \\
& =\left\{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} \alpha_{i}\left(t_{i}, d_{i}\right)\left(c_{\mu}\right) \mid\left(c_{\mu}\right) \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega, m)\right\}_{\mathrm{LMT}} \\
& =\left\{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} \alpha_{i} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \leq \operatorname{Col}\left(d_{i,}\left(c_{\mu}\right)\right) \mid}\left(t_{i}, D_{i, k}\right) \mid\left(c_{\mu}\right) \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega, m), D_{i, k} \in \operatorname{Col}\left(d_{i},\left(c_{\mu}\right)\right)\right\}_{\mathrm{LMT}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 2.27. Let $\Omega$ be a nonempty set. For two matching operads of a locally homogeneous operad $\mathscr{P}$,

$$
\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}:=\mathscr{T}\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\omega}\right) /\left\langle R_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}\right\rangle \text { and } \mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{MT}^{\tau}}:=\mathscr{T}\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\omega}\right) /\left\langle R_{\mathrm{MT}^{\tau}}\right\rangle,
$$

with $\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \Lambda_{R}$. We have

$$
\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}}=\mathscr{T}\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\omega}\right) /\left\langle R_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}} \cup R_{\mathrm{TC}}\right\rangle=\mathscr{T}\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\omega}\right) /\left\langle R_{\mathrm{MT}^{\tau}} \cup R_{\mathrm{TC}}\right\rangle .
$$

Proof. Let $r(X)=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq s} \alpha_{i}\left(t_{i}, d_{i}\right) \in R^{(m)}$ and $r_{c}\left(X_{\Omega}\right)$ be a quasipolarization of $r(X)$ of type $c \in$ $\mathrm{C}(\Omega, m)$. By Definition 2.14, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r(X)^{\vec{\sigma}}=\left\{\alpha_{0}\left(t_{0}, D_{0, j}\right)+\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} \alpha_{i}\left(t_{i}, D_{i, \sigma_{i}(j)}\right) \mid 1 \leq j \leq \mathbf{b}\right\} \\
& r(X)^{\vec{\tau}}=\left\{\alpha_{0}\left(t_{0}, D_{0, j}\right)+\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} \alpha_{i}\left(t_{i}, D_{i, \tau_{i}(j)}\right) \mid 1 \leq j \leq \mathbf{b}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\sigma_{i}, \tau_{i} \in S_{\mathbf{b}}$ and $\operatorname{Col}\left(d_{i}, c\right)=\left\{D_{i, 1}, D_{i, 2}, \ldots, D_{i, \mathbf{b}}\right\}$ with $0 \leq i \leq s$. By the definition of totally compatible relations $r_{\mathrm{TC}, c}(X)$, the relations $\left(t_{i}, D_{i, j}\right)-\left(t_{i}, D_{i, j^{\prime}}\right)$ are in $r_{\mathrm{TC}, c}(X)$ for $1 \leq j, j^{\prime} \leq \mathbf{b}$ and $0 \leq i \leq s$. Thus,

$$
\mathbf{k}\left\{r(X)^{\vec{\sigma}}, r_{\mathrm{TC}, c}(X)\right\}=\mathbf{k}\left\{r(X)^{\vec{\tau}}, r_{\mathrm{TC}, c}(X)\right\}, \quad c \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega, m)
$$

and so $\mathbf{k}\left\{R_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}} \cup R_{\mathrm{TC}}\right\}=\mathbf{k}\left\{R_{\mathrm{MT}^{\tau}} \cup R_{\mathrm{TC}}\right\}$.
Now we are ready to introduce the total compatibility.
Definition 2.28. Let $\Omega$ be a nonempty set. Let $\mathscr{P}=\mathscr{T}(M) /\langle R\rangle$ be a locally homogeneous operad and $R_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}$ a matching compatibility with any $\sigma \in \Lambda_{R}$. We call

$$
\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}}:=\mathscr{T}\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\omega}\right) /\left\langle R_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}} \cup R_{\mathrm{TC}}\right\rangle
$$

the totally compatible operad of $\mathscr{P}$ with parameter $\Omega$.
By Proposition 2.27, the totally compatible operad is independent of the choice of $R_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}$.
Example 2.29. Let $\Omega$ be a set with $|\Omega|=2$.
 and the two matching compatibilities of $R$ are

Thus $\mathbf{k}\left\{R_{\mathrm{MT}^{e}} \cup R_{\mathrm{TC}}\right\}=\mathbf{k}\left\{R_{\mathrm{MT}^{(12)}} \cup R_{\mathrm{TC}}\right\}$ and so

$$
\mathscr{A} s_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}}=\mathscr{T}\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\omega}\right) /\left\langle R_{\mathrm{MT}^{e}} \cup R_{\mathrm{TC}}\right\rangle=\mathscr{T}\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\omega}\right) /\left\langle R_{\mathrm{MT}^{(12)}} \cup R_{\mathrm{TC}}\right\rangle .
$$

(b) For the dendriform operad in Example 2.21, its totally compatible relations are

$$
R_{\mathrm{TC}}=\left\{\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left(x \circ_{\omega_{1}} y\right) \bullet \omega_{\omega_{2}} z-\left(x \circ_{\omega_{2}} y\right) \bullet \bullet_{\omega_{1}} z, \\
x \circ_{\omega_{1}}\left(y \bullet_{\omega_{2}} z\right)-x \circ_{\omega_{2}}\left(y \bullet_{\omega_{1}} z\right)
\end{array} \right\rvert\, \omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in \Omega,(\circ, \bullet) \in\{(<,<),(<,>),(>,>),(>,<)\}\right\} .
$$

We evidently have

Proposition 2.30. Let $\Omega$ be a nonempty set. Let $\mathscr{P}$ be a locally homogeneous operad. Then there is an epimorphism of operads $\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}} \longrightarrow \mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}}$.

## 3. Koszul duality and Manin products of compatible operads

We first establish the Koszul duality between the linear compatibility and total compatibility. We then present a self-duality among the matching compatibilities. We finally show that compatibilities for binary quadratic operads can be achieved by taking the Manin products.
3.1. Koszul duality between the linear compatibility and total compatibility. Denote by $\operatorname{sgn}:=\left\{0, \operatorname{sgn}_{1}, \operatorname{sgn}_{2}, 0, \cdots\right\}$, where $\operatorname{sgn}_{1}$ and $\operatorname{sgn}_{2}$ are the sign representations of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$, respectively. Recall that a unary binary quadratic operad is a quadratic operad with generators concentrated in arities 1 and 2.

Lemma 3.1. [35](Koszul dual operad of a unary binary quadratic operad) Let $\mathscr{P}=\mathscr{P}(M, R)$ be a unary binary quadratic operad, generated by a reduced $\mathbb{S}$-module $M$ that is finite-dimensional in each arity. Then the Koszul dual operad $\mathscr{P}$ ! admits a quadratic presentation of the form

$$
\mathscr{P}!=\mathscr{P}\left(M^{\vee}, R^{\perp}\right),
$$

where $M^{\vee}=M^{*} \underset{\mathrm{H}}{\otimes \operatorname{sgn}}$ and $R^{\perp}$ is the orthogonal subspace of $R$ in $\mathscr{T}\left(M^{\vee}\right)^{(2)}$.
Strohmayer [32, Proposition 1.14] proved that $\left(\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}\right)^{!}=(\mathscr{P}!)_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}}$ and $\left(\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}}\right)^{!}=(\mathscr{P}!)_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}$ for $|\Omega|=2$ and a binary quadratic symmetric operad $\mathscr{P}$. The case of arbitrary nonempty finite set $\Omega$ and unary binary quadratic nonsymmetric operad $\mathscr{P}$ was obtained in [41]. We generalize it to arbitrary unary binary quadratic symmetric operads, for any nonempty finite set $\Omega$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\Omega$ be a nonempty finite set. Let $\mathscr{P}=\mathscr{T}(M) /\langle R\rangle$ be a unary binary quadratic symmetric operad. If $M(1)$ and $M(2)$ are finitely dimensional, then $\left(\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}\right)^{!}=(\mathscr{P}!)_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}}$ and $\left(\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}}\right)^{!}=\left(\mathscr{P}^{\prime}\right)_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}$.

Proof. We first prove $\left(\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}\right)^{!}=\left(\mathscr{P}^{!}\right)_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}}$. Let $M=\{0, M(1), M(2), 0, \ldots, 0, \ldots\}$, where $M(1)$ is spanned by

$$
\left\{\left.\right|_{p_{1}}, p_{p_{2}}, \ldots,\left.\right|_{p_{1}}\right\}
$$

for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and $M(2)$ is spanned by

for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Write $R:=R(1) \cup R(2) \cup R(3)$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& R(1)=\left\{r_{1}^{n}\left(P_{k}, P_{\ell}\right):=\sum_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq t} \alpha_{k, \ell}^{n} \varphi_{P_{t}}^{P_{P_{t}}} \mid 1 \leq n \leq m_{1}\right\}, \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

By Definitions 2.10, 2.28 and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$
\left(\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}\right)^{!}=\mathscr{T}\left(\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\omega}\right)^{\vee}\right) /\left\langle\left(R_{\mathrm{LC}}\right)^{\perp}\right\rangle, \quad\left(\mathscr{P}^{!}\right)_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}}=\mathscr{T}\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\omega}^{\vee}\right) /\left\langle\left(R^{\perp}\right)_{\mathrm{MT}} \cup\left(R^{\perp}\right)_{\mathrm{TC}}\right\rangle .
$$

By $\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\omega}\right)^{\vee} \cong \bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\omega}^{\vee}$, we can identify $\mathscr{T}\left(\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\omega}\right)^{\vee}\right)$ with $\mathscr{T}\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\omega}^{\vee}\right)$. So we only need to show

$$
\left\langle\left(R_{\mathrm{LC}}\right)^{\perp}\right\rangle=\left\langle\left(R^{\perp}\right)_{\mathrm{MT}} \cup\left(R^{\perp}\right)_{\mathrm{TC}}\right\rangle,
$$

which follows from the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{k}\left(R_{\mathrm{LC}}\right)^{\perp}=\mathbf{k}\left(\left(R^{\perp}\right)_{\mathrm{MT}} \cup\left(R^{\perp}\right)_{\mathrm{TC}}\right) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

To check Eq. (15), on the one hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{k}\left(R_{\mathrm{LC}}\right)^{\perp}= \mathbf{k}\left\{r_{1}^{n}\left(P_{k}^{\omega}, P_{\ell}^{\tau}\right)+r_{1}^{n}\left(P_{k}^{\tau}, P_{\ell}^{\omega}\right), r_{2}^{n}\left(P_{k}^{\omega}, \mu_{i}^{\tau}\right)+r_{2}^{n}\left(P_{k}^{\tau}, \mu_{i}^{\omega}\right), r_{3}^{n}\left(\mu_{i}^{\omega}, v_{j}^{\tau}\right)+r_{3}^{n}\left(\mu_{i}^{\tau}, v_{j}^{\omega}\right)\right. \\
&=\left.\mid \omega, \tau \in \Omega, r_{p}^{n} \in R(p), p=1,2,3\right\}^{\perp} \\
&\left\{r_{1}^{n}\left(P_{k}^{\omega}, P_{\ell}^{\tau}\right)+r_{1}^{n}\left(P_{k}^{\tau}, P_{\ell}^{\omega}\right) \mid \omega, \tau \in \Omega, r_{1}^{n} \in R(1)\right\}^{\perp} \\
& \cup\left\{r_{2}^{n}\left(P_{k}^{\omega}, \mu_{i}^{\tau}\right)+r_{2}^{n}\left(P_{k}^{\tau}, \mu_{i}^{\omega}\right) \mid \omega, \tau \in \Omega, r_{2}^{n} \in R(2)\right\}^{\perp} \\
&\left.\cup\left\{r_{3}^{n}\left(\mu_{i}^{\omega}, v_{j}^{\tau}\right)+r_{3}^{n}\left(\mu_{i}^{\tau}, v_{j}^{\omega}\right) \mid \omega, \tau \in \Omega, r_{3}^{n} \in R(3)\right\}^{\perp}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{k}\left(\left(R^{\perp}\right)_{\mathrm{MT}} \cup\left(R^{\perp}\right)_{\mathrm{TC}}\right) \\
= & \mathbf{k}\left(\left(R(1)^{\perp}\right)_{\mathrm{MT}} \cup\left(R(1)^{\perp}\right)_{\mathrm{TC}} \cup\left(R(2)^{\perp}\right)_{\mathrm{MT}} \cup\left(R(2)^{\perp}\right)_{\mathrm{TC}} \cup\left(R(3)^{\perp}\right)_{\mathrm{MT}} \cup\left(R(3)^{\perp}\right)_{\mathrm{TC}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So Eq. (15) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{k}\left\{r_{1}^{n}\left(P_{k}^{\omega}, P_{\ell}^{\tau}\right)+r_{1}^{n}\left(P_{k}^{\tau}, P_{\ell}^{\omega}\right) \mid \omega, \tau \in \Omega, r_{1}^{n} \in R(1)\right\}^{\perp}=\mathbf{k}\left(\left(R(1)^{\perp}\right)_{\mathrm{MT}} \cup\left(R(1)^{\perp}\right)_{\mathrm{TC}}\right), \\
\mathbf{k}\left\{r_{2}^{n}\left(P_{k}^{\omega}, \mu_{i}^{\tau}\right)+r_{2}^{n}\left(P_{k}^{\tau}, \mu_{i}^{\omega}\right) \mid \omega, \tau \in \Omega, r_{2}^{n} \in R(2)\right\}^{\perp}=\mathbf{k}\left(\left(\left(R(2)^{\perp}\right)_{\mathrm{MT}} \cup\left(R(2)^{\perp}\right)_{\mathrm{TC}}\right),\right.  \tag{16}\\
\mathbf{k}\left\{r_{3}^{n}\left(\mu_{i}^{\omega}, v_{j}^{\tau}\right)+r_{3}^{n}\left(\mu_{i}^{\tau}, v_{j}^{\omega}\right) \mid \omega, \tau \in \Omega, r_{3}^{n} \in R(3)\right\}^{\perp}=\mathbf{k}\left(\left(R(3)^{\perp}\right)_{\mathrm{MT}} \cup\left(R(3)^{\perp}\right)_{\mathrm{TC}}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

We just check the first one in Eq. (16), as the other two are similarly verified. Writing explicitly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(1)^{\perp}=\left\{\sum_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq t} \lambda_{k, \ell}^{n} \boldsymbol{Q}_{p_{i}}^{p_{i}} \mid 1 \leq n \leq p_{1}\right\} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the first one in Eq. (16) follows from

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{k}\left\{r_{1}^{n}\left(P_{k}^{\omega}, P_{\ell}^{\tau}\right)+r_{1}^{n}\left(P_{k}^{\tau}, P_{\ell}^{\omega}\right) \mid \omega, \tau \in \Omega, r_{1}^{n} \in R(1)\right\}^{\perp} \\
& =\mathbf{k}\left\{\alpha_{k, \ell}^{n} \oint_{p_{k}^{p}}^{p_{i}}+\left.\alpha_{k, \ell}^{n}\right|_{p_{t}^{p}} ^{p_{p}} \mid \omega, \tau \in \Omega\right\}^{\perp} \quad \text { (by Eq. (14)) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\mathbf{k}\left(\left(R(1)^{\perp}\right)_{\mathrm{MT}} \cup\left(R(1)^{\perp}\right)_{\mathrm{TC}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of the first equation $\left(\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}\right)^{!}=\left(\mathscr{P}^{!}\right)_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}}$ in the theorem. Further by $(\mathscr{P}!)^{!}=\mathscr{P}$, we obtain

$$
\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}=\left(\left(\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}\right)^{!}\right)^{!}=\left(\left(\mathscr{P}^{!}\right)_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}}\right)^{!} \text {and so }\left(\mathscr{P}^{!}\right)_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}=\left(\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}}\right)^{!},
$$

proving the second equation in the theorem.

Specializing to binary quadratic symmetric operads, we obtain the following result of Strohmayer. Corollary 3.3. [32] Let $\Omega$ be a set with $|\Omega|=2$. Let $\mathscr{P}=\mathscr{T}(M) /\langle R\rangle$ be a binary quadratic symmetric operad. If M(2) is finite dimensional, then $\left(\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}\right)^{!}=\left(\mathscr{P}^{!}\right)_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}}$ and $\left(\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}}\right)^{!}=(\mathscr{P}!)_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}$.
3.2. Self duality among the matching compatibilities. We now prove that the duality of operads leads to a duality among the matching compatible operads. In particular, the leveled matching compatibility is self dual.

Notice that a matching relation of

$$
r(X)=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq s} \alpha_{i}\left(t_{i}, d_{i}\right)=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq s} \alpha_{i} t_{i}\left(x^{i}, y^{i}\right) \in R \subseteq \mathscr{T}(M)^{(2)} \text { for } x^{i}, y^{i} \in X, 0 \neq \alpha_{i} \in \mathbf{k}
$$

is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0} t_{0}\left(x_{\omega_{1}}^{0}, y_{\omega_{2}}^{0}\right)+\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} \alpha_{i} t_{i}\left(x_{\omega_{\sigma_{i}(1)}}^{i}, y_{\omega_{\sigma_{i}(2)}}^{i}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in \Omega, c \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega, 2)$ and $\vec{\sigma}=\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{s}\right) \in S_{\mathbf{b}}{ }^{s}$, where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\sigma_{i} \in S_{2}, & \text { if } c=\left(c_{\omega_{1}}, c_{\omega_{2}}\right)=(1,1), & 1 \leq i \leq s \\
\sigma_{i}=e \in S_{1}, & \text { if } c=\left(c_{\omega_{1}}, c_{\omega_{2}}\right)=(2,0) \text { or }(0,2), & 1 \leq
\end{array}\right.
$$

We will use the linear dual notation $(V, W)^{*}:=\left(V^{*}, W^{*}\right)$ for a pair $(V, W)$ of vector spaces.
Theorem 3.4. Let $\Omega$ be a nonempty finite set. Let $\mathscr{P}=\mathscr{T}(M) /\langle R\rangle$ be a finitely generated unary binary quadratic operad and $\mathscr{P}!=\mathscr{T}\left(M^{\vee}\right) /\left\langle R^{\perp}\right\rangle$ the Koszul dual operad of $\mathscr{P}$.
(a) For any $\sigma \in \Lambda_{R}$, there exists $\tau \in \Lambda_{R^{\perp}}$ such that $\left(\mathscr{P}^{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}\right)^{!}=\left(\mathscr{P}^{!}\right)^{\mathrm{MT}^{\tau}}$.
(b) For the leveled matching compatible operad $\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMf}}$, we have $\left(\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{EMT}}\right)^{!}=\left(\mathscr{P}^{\mathrm{L}}\right)_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMT}}$.
(c) If the operad $\mathscr{P}$ is self-dual (that is $\mathscr{P} \cong \mathscr{P}$ !), then $\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}$ is also, for all $\sigma \in \Lambda_{R}$.

Proof. (a) Write

$$
\sigma=\left\{\vec{\sigma}_{c, r}\right\}_{c \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega, 2), r \in R}=\left\{\left(\sigma_{c, r, 1}, \ldots, \sigma_{c, r,|\operatorname{Supp}(\mathrm{r})|-1}\right)\right\}_{c \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega, 2), r \in R} \in \Lambda_{R} .
$$

with $\sigma_{c, r, 1}, \ldots, \sigma_{c, r,|\operatorname{Supp}(\mathrm{r})|-1} \in S_{\binom{2}{c}}$. Define

$$
\boldsymbol{\tau}=\left\{\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\tau}}_{c, r^{\prime}}\right\}_{c \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega, 2), r^{\prime} \in R^{\perp}}=\left\{\left(\tau_{c, r^{\prime}, 1}, \ldots, \tau_{c, r^{\prime},\left|\operatorname{Supp}\left(r^{\prime}\right)\right|-1}\right)\right\}_{c \in \mathrm{C}(\Omega, 2), r^{\prime} \in R^{\perp}} \in \Lambda_{R^{\perp}}
$$

by

$$
\begin{equation*}
t\left(x_{\omega_{\tau}, r^{\prime}(1)}^{*}, y_{\omega_{\tau_{c, r^{\prime}}(2)}^{*}}^{*}\right)=t\left(x_{\omega_{\sigma_{c, r}(1)}}, y_{\omega_{\sigma_{c, r}(2)}}\right)^{*}=t\left(x_{\omega_{\sigma_{c, r}(1)}}^{*}, y_{\left.\left.\omega_{\sigma_{\left.c, r^{(2}\right)}}^{*}\right), \quad \sigma_{c, r}, \tau_{c, r^{\prime}} \in S_{\binom{2}{c}}\right) .}\right. \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t(x, y) \in \operatorname{Supp}(r)$ and $t\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right) \in \operatorname{Supp}\left(r^{\prime}\right)$.
By Definition 2.19 and Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$
\left(\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}\right)^{!}=\mathscr{T}\left(\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\omega}\right)^{\vee}\right) /\left\langle\left(R_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}\right)^{\perp}\right\rangle, \quad(\mathscr{P}!)_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{MT}^{\tau}}=\mathscr{T}\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\omega}^{\vee}\right) /\left\langle\left(R^{\perp}\right)_{\mathrm{MT}^{\tau}}\right\rangle
$$

Under the identification $\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\omega}\right)^{\vee} \cong \bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\omega}^{\vee}$, we can identify $\mathscr{T}\left(\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\omega}\right)^{\vee}\right)$ with $\mathscr{T}\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\omega}^{\vee}\right)$. Consequently, we only need to check

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left(\left(R_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}\right)(i)\right)^{\perp}\right\}=\left\{\left(R^{\perp}(i)\right)_{\mathrm{MT}^{\tau}}\right\}, \quad i=1,2,3 . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We just verify Eq. (20) for $i=1$, as the other two cases are similarly verified. We first write

$$
R(1)=\left\{r_{n}:=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq s_{n}} \alpha_{i, n}\left(t_{i}, d_{i}\right)=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq s_{n}} \alpha_{i, n} t_{i}\left(x^{i}, y^{i}\right) \mid x^{i}, y^{i} \in X(1), 0 \neq \alpha_{i, n} \in \mathbf{k}, 1 \leq n \leq p\right\}
$$

and

$$
R^{\perp}(1)=\left\{r_{n}^{\prime}:=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq s_{n}} \beta_{i, n}\left(t_{i}, d_{i}^{*}\right)=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq s_{n}} \beta_{i, n} t_{i}\left(\left(x^{i}\right)^{*},\left(y^{i}\right)^{*}\right) \mid\left(x^{i}\right)^{*},\left(y^{i}\right)^{*} \in X(1)^{*}, 0 \neq \beta_{i, n} \in \mathbf{k}, 1 \leq n \leq q\right\} .
$$

On the one hand, by Eq. (18) we have

$$
\left(R_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}\right)(1)=\left\{\alpha_{0, n} t_{0}\left(x_{\omega_{1}}^{0}, y_{\omega_{2}}^{0}\right)+\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s_{n}} \alpha_{i, n} t_{i}\left(x_{\omega_{\sigma_{i}(1)}}^{i}, y_{\omega_{\sigma_{i}(2)}}^{i}\right) \mid \omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in \Omega, \sigma_{i} \in S_{2}\right\}
$$

which yields

$$
\left(\left(R_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}\right)(1)\right)^{\perp}=\left\{\beta_{0, n} t_{0}\left(\left(x_{\omega_{1}}^{0}\right)^{*},\left(y_{\omega_{2}}^{0}\right)^{*}\right)+\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s_{n}} \beta_{i, n} t_{i}\left(\left(x_{\omega_{\sigma_{i}(1)}}^{i}\right)^{*},\left(y_{\omega_{\sigma_{i}(2)}}^{i}\right)^{*}\right) \mid \omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in \Omega, \sigma_{i} \in S_{2}\right\} .
$$

On the other hand, by Eqs. (18) and (19), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(R^{\perp}(1)\right)_{\mathrm{MT}^{\tau}} & =\left\{\beta_{0, n} t_{0}\left(\left(x_{\omega_{1}}^{0}\right)^{*},\left(y_{\omega_{2}}^{0}\right)^{*}\right)+\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s_{n}} \beta_{i, n} t_{i}\left(\left(x_{\omega_{\tau_{i}(1)}}^{i}\right)^{*},\left(y_{\omega_{\tau_{i}(2)}}^{i}\right)^{*}\right) \mid \omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in \Omega, \tau_{i} \in S_{2}\right\} \\
& =\left\{\beta_{0, n} t_{0}\left(\left(x_{\omega_{1}}^{0}\right)^{*},\left(y_{\omega_{2}}^{0}\right)^{*}\right)+\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s_{n}} \beta_{i, n} t_{i}\left(\left(x_{\omega_{\sigma_{i}(1)}}^{i}\right)^{*},\left(y_{\omega_{\sigma_{i}(2)}}^{i}\right)^{*}\right) \mid \omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in \Omega, \sigma_{i} \in S_{2}\right\} \\
& =\left(\left(R_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}\right)(1)\right)^{\perp} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\left(R_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}(1)\right)^{\perp}=\left(R^{\perp}(1)\right)^{\mathrm{MT}^{\tau}}$ and so $\left(R_{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}\right)^{\perp}=\left(R^{\perp}\right)_{\mathrm{MT}^{\tau}}$.
(b) It is a special case of the proof of Item (a) for

$$
t\left(x_{\omega_{\tau_{c, r^{\prime}}(1)}^{*}}^{*}, y_{\omega_{\omega_{c, r^{\prime}}(2)}^{*}}^{*}\right)=t\left(x_{\omega_{\sigma_{c, r^{(1)}}}}, y_{\omega_{\sigma_{c, r^{(2)}}}}\right)^{*}=t\left(x_{\omega_{1}}^{*}, y_{\omega_{2}}^{*}\right) .
$$

(c) For $\sigma \in \Lambda_{R}$, let $\tau \in \Lambda_{R^{\perp}}$ be as defined by Eq. (19). Identifying $R$ with $R^{\perp}$, then $\sigma=\tau$. By Item (a), we have $\left(\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}\right)^{!}=(\mathscr{P}!)_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{MT}^{\tau}} \cong \mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{MT}^{\tau}}=\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{MT}^{\sigma}}$, completing the proof.
3.3. Compatible operads and Manin products. We now show that the compatibilities of arbitrary finitely generated binary quadratic operad can be obtained by the Manin product of that operad with some specific compatible structures.

To fix notations, we start with the definition of the Manin products of a pair of operads as can be found in [22, 35]. Let $\mathscr{P}=\mathscr{T}(M) /\langle R\rangle$ and $\mathscr{Q}=\mathscr{T}(N) /\langle S\rangle$ be finitely generated binary quadratic operads. Write
and

$$
S=\left\{\sum \gamma_{k, \ell}^{1, n} \gamma_{k, \ell}^{2}+\gamma_{k, \ell}^{3, n} v_{k}^{2}, v_{\ell} \in N(2)=N, \gamma_{k, \ell}^{1, n}, \gamma_{k, \ell}^{2, n}, \gamma_{k, \ell}^{3, n} \in \mathbf{k}\right\} .
$$

Define

and

$$
R \bigcirc S:=\Phi^{-1}(R \otimes \mathscr{T}(N)(3)+\mathscr{T}(M)(3) \otimes S)
$$

where the map $\Phi: \mathscr{T}(M \otimes N)(3) \rightarrow \mathscr{T}(M)(3) \otimes \mathscr{T}(N)(3)$ is given by

The above map $\Phi$ essentially comes from the map $M^{\otimes 2} \otimes N^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow(M \otimes N)^{\otimes 2}$.

Definition 3.5. [22, 35] Let $\mathscr{P}=\mathscr{T}(M) /\langle R\rangle$ and $\mathscr{Q}=\mathscr{T}(N) /\langle S\rangle$ be finitely generated binary quadratic operads.
(a) The Manin black product of $\mathscr{P}$ and $\mathscr{Q}$ is the operad $\mathscr{P} \bigcirc \mathscr{Q}:=\mathscr{T}(M \otimes N) /\langle R \bigcirc S\rangle$.
(b) The Manin white product of $\mathscr{P}$ and $\mathscr{Q}$ is the operad $\mathscr{P} \bigcirc \mathscr{Q}:=\mathscr{T}(M \otimes N) /\langle R \bigcirc S\rangle$.

It is well known that $(\mathscr{P} \bigcirc \mathscr{Q})^{!}=\mathscr{P} \bigcirc \bigcirc \mathscr{Q}^{!}$for finitely generated binary quadratic operads $\mathscr{P}$ and $\mathscr{Q}$. Denote by $\mathscr{L}$ ie (resp. $\mathscr{C}$ om) the operad of Lie algebras (resp. commutative algebras).

Proposition 3.6. Let $\Omega$ be a nonempty finite set. Let $\mathscr{P}$ be a finitely generated binary quadratic operad. Then

$$
\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}} \cong \mathscr{L} i e_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}} \bigcirc \mathscr{P} \text { and } \mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}} \cong \mathscr{C} \text { om }_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}} \bigcirc \mathscr{P} .
$$

Proof. It suffices to prove $\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}} \cong \mathscr{L}$ ie $e_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}} \mathscr{P}$, as then $\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}} \cong \mathscr{C}$ om $m_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}} \bigcirc \mathscr{P}$ follows from the facts $(\mathscr{P} \bigcirc \mathscr{Q})^{!}=\mathscr{P} \bigcirc \bigcirc \mathscr{Q}^{!}, \mathscr{L}$ ie $e^{!}=\mathscr{C}$ om and Theorem 3.2.

First, the operad $\mathscr{L}$ ie is presented as $\mathscr{L}$ ie $=\mathscr{T}\left(M_{\text {Lie }}\right) /\left\langle R_{\text {Lie }}\right\rangle$, where

$$
M_{\text {Lie }}=\{0,0, \mathbf{k} Y, \cdots\}, \quad R_{\text {Lie }}=\left\{Y^{2}+Y^{3}+Y^{2}+Y^{2}\right\} .
$$

By a direct calculation, we obtain

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(2)=\mathbf{k}\left\{Y^{\mu_{1}}, Y^{\mu_{2}}, \ldots, Y^{\mu_{s}}\right\}, 1<s<\infty, \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

By Definition 3.5(a), we have
where

$$
\mathscr{L} i e_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}} \cdot \mathscr{P}=\mathscr{T}\left(\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\mathrm{Lie}, \omega}\right) \otimes M\right) /\left\langle\left(R_{\mathrm{Lie}}\right)_{\mathrm{LC}} \bullet R\right\rangle,
$$

$$
\left.\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\mathrm{Lie}, \omega}\right) \otimes M=\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} \zeta^{\omega}\right) \otimes\left(\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq s} \Psi^{\mu_{i}}\right)=\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega, 1 \leq i \leq s} \omega \otimes \mu_{i}\right\rangle \cong \bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega, 1 \leq i \leq s} i^{\mu_{i}^{i}}=\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\omega},
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =R_{\mathrm{LC}} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\mathscr{L} i e_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}} \odot \mathscr{P}=\mathscr{T}\left(\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\mathrm{Lie}, \omega}\right) \otimes M\right) /\left\langle\left(R_{\mathrm{Lie}}\right)_{\mathrm{LC}} \bigcirc R\right\rangle \cong \mathscr{T}\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\omega}\right) /\left\langle R_{\mathrm{LC}}\right\rangle=\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}
$$

This completes the proof.
Proposition 3.7. Let $\Omega$ be a nonempty finite set. Let $\mathscr{P}=\mathscr{T}(M) /\langle R\rangle$ be a finitely generated binary quadratic operad. Then we have

$$
\mathscr{L} i e_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMT}} \bigcirc \mathscr{P} \cong \mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMT}} \cong \mathscr{C} o m_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMT}} \bigcirc \mathscr{P} .
$$

Proof. By a direct calculation, we obtain

With the notations in Eqs. (21) and (22), it follows from Definition 3.5 (a) that

$$
\mathscr{L} i e_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMT}} \mathscr{P}=\mathscr{T}\left(\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\mathrm{Lie}, \omega}\right) \otimes M\right) /\left\langle\left(R_{\mathrm{Lie}}\right)_{\mathrm{LMT}} \bigcirc R\right\rangle,
$$

where

$$
\left.\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\mathrm{Lie}, \omega}\right) \otimes M=\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} \stackrel{y}{c}_{\omega}\right) \otimes\left(\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq s} \Psi^{\mu_{i}}\right)=\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega, 1 \leq i \leq s} \omega \otimes \mu_{i}\right\rangle \cong \bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega, 1 \leq i \leq s} i^{\mu_{i}^{i}}=\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\omega},
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { and } \\
& \left(R_{\text {Lie }}\right)_{\mathrm{LMT}} \bullet R=\{\underbrace{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\mid \mu_{i}^{\omega}, \mu_{j}^{\tau} \in \bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\omega}, \kappa_{i, j}^{1, m}, \kappa_{i, j}^{2, m}, \kappa_{i, j}^{3, m} \in \mathbf{k}\right\} \\
& =R_{\text {LMT }} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\mathscr{L} i e_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMT}} \mathscr{P}=\mathscr{T}\left(\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\mathrm{Lie}, \omega}\right) \otimes M\right) /\left\langle\left(R_{\mathrm{Lie}}\right)_{\mathrm{LMT}} \bullet R\right\rangle \cong \mathscr{T}\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} M_{\omega}\right) /\left\langle R_{\mathrm{LMT}}\right\rangle=\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMT}} .
$$

It follows from $\mathscr{L}$ ie ${ }^{!}=\mathscr{C}$ om and Theorem 3.4 that

Therefore $\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMT}} \cong \mathscr{C} o m_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMT}} \bigcirc \mathscr{P}$.

## 4. Koszulness of binary quadratic compatible structures

In this section, we prove that the three compatibility constructions preserve the Koszulity of a binary quadratic operad.

Definition 4.1. [32] The binary quadratic operad $\mathscr{P}=\mathscr{T}(M) /\langle R\rangle$ is called weakly associative
 $\mathscr{T}(M)(3)$ such that ${ }^{\mu,} \mu_{\mu}{ }_{\mu}^{\mu l}$ is in $R$.

Example 4.2. Let $\Omega$ be a set. The totally compatible operad

$$
\mathscr{C} \text { om } \Omega_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}}=\mathscr{T}\left(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} \pitchfork\right) /\left\langle R_{\mathrm{LMT}} \cup R_{\mathrm{TC}}\right\rangle
$$

of the commutative operad is weakly associative. Here $R_{\mathrm{LMT}} \cup R_{\mathrm{TC}}$ is given by

and

Lemma 4.3. [32] Let $\mathscr{P}=\mathscr{T}(M) /\langle R\rangle$ be a finitely generated binary quadratic operad. Then $\mathscr{P}$ is weakly associative if and only if $\mathscr{P} \bigcirc \mathscr{Q}$ is isomorphic to the Hadamard product $\mathscr{P} \underset{\mathrm{H}}{\otimes} \mathscr{Q}$ for every binary quadratic operad $\mathscr{Q}$.

The following result provides a method to prove the Koszulness of an operad.
Lemma 4.4. [16, Theorem 4.2.5] A quadratic operad $\mathscr{P}$ is Koszul if and only if, for any $\mathbf{k}$-space $V$, the operadic homology of the free $\mathscr{P}$-algebra $\mathscr{P}(V)$ is given by

$$
H_{n}^{\mathscr{P}}(\mathscr{P}(V))= \begin{cases}V, & \text { if } n=0 \\ 0, & \text { if } n \geq 1 .\end{cases}
$$

Here the operadic homology is induced by the operadic chain complex given by the bar construction of $\mathscr{P}(V)$ :

$$
C_{\mathscr{P}}^{\mathscr{P}}(\mathscr{P}(V)):=B_{\kappa} \mathscr{P}(V)=\left(\mathscr{P}{ }^{i}(\mathscr{P}(V)), d_{\mathscr{P}}\right) .
$$

Theorem 4.5. Let $\mathscr{P}$ and $\mathscr{Q}$ be binary quadratic Koszul operads and one of them is weakly associative. Then the operad $\mathscr{P} \bigcirc \mathscr{Q}$ is Koszul.
Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathscr{Q}$ is weakly associative. By Lemma 4.3, $\mathscr{P} \bigcirc \mathscr{Q} \cong \mathscr{P} \underset{\mathrm{H}}{\otimes} \mathscr{Q}$. Moreover, the operadic chain complex of the operad $\mathscr{P} \bigcirc \mathscr{Q}$ is equal to the tensor product of the operadic chain complexes of the operads $\mathscr{P}$ and $\mathscr{Q}$. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{\bullet}^{\mathscr{P} \bigcirc \mathscr{Q}}((\mathscr{P} \bigcirc \mathscr{Q})(V))=C_{\bullet}^{\mathscr{H}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathscr{Q}}}((\mathscr{P} \underset{\mathrm{H}}{\otimes \mathscr{Q})(V))} \\
& =\left((\mathscr{P} \underset{\mathrm{H}}{\otimes} \mathscr{Q})^{i} \circ(\mathscr{P} \underset{\mathrm{H}}{\otimes} \mathscr{Q})(V), d_{\mathscr{P}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathscr{Q}}\right) \\
& =\left(\left(\mathscr{P}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\otimes} \underset{\mathrm{Q}}{ } \operatorname{Qi}^{\mathrm{i}}\right) \circ(\mathscr{\mathrm { P }} \underset{\mathrm{H}}{\otimes} \mathscr{Q})(V), d_{\mathscr{P} \otimes \mathscr{Q}}\right) \\
& \cong\left((\mathscr{P} \mathrm{i} \circ \mathscr{P}) \underset{\mathrm{H}}{\otimes}(\mathscr{Q} \mathrm{i} \circ \mathscr{Q})(V), d_{\mathscr{P}} \otimes \mathrm{id}+\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{~d}_{\mathscr{Q}}\right) \\
& =\left(\left(\mathscr{P}^{\mathrm{i}} \circ \mathscr{P}(\mathbf{k})\right) \otimes\left(\mathscr{Q}^{\mathrm{i}} \circ \mathscr{Q}(V)\right), d_{\mathscr{P}} \otimes \mathrm{id}+\mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{~d}_{\mathscr{Q}}\right) \\
& =C_{\bullet}^{\mathscr{P}}(\mathscr{P}(\mathbf{k})) \otimes C_{\bullet}^{\mathscr{}}(\mathscr{Q}(V)) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathscr{P}$ and $\mathscr{Q}$ are Koszul, it follows from Lemma 4.4 that
and

$$
H_{n}^{\mathscr{P}}(\mathscr{P}(\mathbf{k})):=H_{n}\left(C_{\mathscr{P}}^{\mathscr{P}}(\mathscr{P}(\mathbf{k}))\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{k}, \text { if } n=0,  \tag{23}\\
0, \text { if } n \geq 1,
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
H_{n}^{\mathscr{Q}}(\mathscr{Q}(V)):=H_{n}\left(C_{\bullet}^{\mathscr{Q}}(\mathscr{Q}(V))\right)= \begin{cases}V, & \text { if } n=0,  \tag{24}\\ 0, & \text { if } n \geq 1 .\end{cases}
$$

Following [22], the operadic homology of the free $\mathscr{P} \bigcirc \mathscr{Q}$-algebra $(\mathscr{P} \bigcirc \mathscr{Q})(V)$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{n}^{\mathscr{P} \bigcirc \mathscr{Q}((\mathscr{P} \bigcirc \mathscr{Q})(V))} & :=H_{n}\left(C_{\bullet}^{\mathscr{P} \bigcirc \mathscr{Q}}((\mathscr{P} \bigcirc \mathscr{Q})(V))\right) \\
& =H_{n}\left(C_{\bullet}^{\mathscr{P}}(\mathscr{P}(\mathbf{k})) \otimes C_{\bullet}^{\mathscr{Q}}(\mathscr{Q}(V))\right) \\
& =H_{n}\left(C_{\bullet}^{\mathscr{P}}(\mathscr{P}(\mathbf{k}))\right) \otimes H_{n}\left(C_{\bullet}^{\mathscr{Q}}(\mathscr{Q}(V))\right) \quad \text { (by Künneth formula (24)) } \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
V, \text { if } n=0, \\
0, \\
\text { if } n \geq 1 .
\end{array} \quad\right. \text { (by Eqs. (23) and (24)) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus by Lemma 4.4, the operad $\mathscr{P} \bigcirc \mathscr{Q}$ is Koszul.
The above theorem gives rise to the following Koszul operads.
Theorem 4.6. Let $\mathscr{P}$ be a binary quadratic Koszul operad and let $\Omega$ be a nonempty finite set. Then the operads $\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}, \mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}}$ and $\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMT}}$ are Koszul.

Proof. By Propositions 3.6 and 3.7, we have

$$
\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}} \cong \mathscr{C} \mathrm{om}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}} \bigcirc \mathscr{P} \text { and } \mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMT}} \cong \mathscr{C} \text { om }_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMT}} \bigcirc \mathscr{P} .
$$

The operads $\mathscr{C}$ om ${ }_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}}$ and $\mathscr{C} \mathrm{om}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMT}}$ in Example 4.2 are weakly associative. We will use the rewriting process method [22] to prove that the operads $\mathscr{C} \mathrm{om}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}}$ and $\mathscr{C}$ om ${ }_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMT}}$ in Example 4.2 are Koszul. For the operad $\mathscr{C}$ om ${ }_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}}$ when $|\Omega|=2$, the result was obtained by Strohmayer [32] using the poset method of Vallette [34].

Claim 4.1. Let $\Omega$ be a finite ordered nonempty set. The operads $\mathscr{C}$ om ${ }_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}}$ and $\mathscr{C}$ om ${ }_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMT}}$ are Koszul.
Proof. Write the ordered set $\Omega$ as $\left\{\omega_{1}<\omega_{2}<\cdots<\omega_{n}\right\}$, where $|\Omega|=n$. We use the pathlexicographic order $\leq_{\mathrm{pl}}$ on binary shuffle trees [22, Section. 8.4.1]. Restricting this order to all 2-vertices binary shuffle trees gives


Then the rewriting rules of the operad $\mathscr{C}$ om $m_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}}$ are


There are five types of critical monomials:


$$
\cup\left\{\left(\omega_{j}, \omega_{k}, \omega_{j}\right),\left(\omega_{j}, \omega_{\ell}, \omega_{j}\right) \mid \omega_{\ell}<\omega_{i}<\omega_{k}, \omega_{\ell}<\omega_{j}<\omega_{k} \in \Omega\right\}
$$



The above critical monomials are all confluent. Explicitly,
 for $((p, q, s, t),(\mu, v, \tau)) \in\left\{(1,2,3,4) \times S_{1},(1,2,4,3) \times S_{2}\right\}$,
 for $((p, q, s, t),(\mu, v, \tau)) \in\left\{(1,2,3,4) \times S_{3},(1,3,2,4) \times S_{4}\right\}$,


The rewriting rules of the operad $\mathscr{C}$ om ${ }_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMT}}$ are

for $\omega_{i}, \omega_{j} \in \Omega$. There are two types of critical monomials


The fact that these critical monomials are confluent follows from

for $(p, q, s, t) \in\{(1,2,3,4),(1,2,4,3)\}$.
Therefore, by [22, Theorem 8.3.1], the operads $\mathscr{C}$ om $m_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}}$ and $\mathscr{C}$ om $\Omega_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMT}}$ are Koszul.
Now by Theorem 4.5, $\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}}$ and $\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMT}}$ are Koszul. The Koszulness of $\mathscr{P}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}$ follows from Theorem 3.2 and the fact that the Koszul dual operad of a Koszul operad is also Koszul.

Based on the above result, we obtain a large class of Koszul operads.

Corollary 4．7．Let $\Omega$ be a nonempty finite set．The following operads are Koszul．

| operads | linearly compatible operads | totally compatible operads | leveled matching operads |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathscr{L}$ ie | $\mathscr{L} i e_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}$ | $\mathscr{L} i e_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}}$ | $\mathscr{L}$ ie $e_{\Omega}^{\text {LMT }}$ |
| $\mathscr{C o m}$ | $\mathscr{C o m}{ }_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}$ | $\mathscr{C o m}{ }_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}}$ | $\mathscr{C}$ om ${ }_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMT}}$ |
| $\mathscr{P r e \mathscr { L }}$ ie | $\mathscr{P} r e \mathscr{L} i_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}$ | $\mathscr{P} r e \mathscr{L} i_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}}$ | $\mathscr{P r e \mathscr { L }} \mathrm{ie}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMT}}$ |
| $\mathscr{P}$ erm | $\mathscr{P} \operatorname{erm}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}$ | $\mathscr{P} \operatorname{erm}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}}$ | $\mathscr{P} \operatorname{erm}_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMT}}$ |
| $\mathscr{L}$ eib | $\mathscr{L}_{\text {ei }}{ }_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}$ | $\mathscr{L}_{\text {eib }}{ }_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}}$ | $\mathscr{L}$ eib ${ }_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMT}}$ |
| $\mathscr{Z}$ inb | $\mathscr{Z}$ inb ${ }_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}$ | $\mathscr{Z}$ inb ${ }_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}}$ | $\mathscr{Z}$ inb ${ }_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LMT}}$ |
| $\mathscr{P}$ ois | $\mathscr{P}_{\text {ois }}{ }_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{LC}}$ | $\mathscr{P}_{\text {ois }}{ }_{\Omega}^{\mathrm{TC}}$ | $\mathscr{P}_{\text {ois }}{ }_{\Omega}^{\text {LMT }}$ |

Proof．The operads in the first column are Koszul by［42］．So the compatible operads of these operads are Koszul by Theorem 4．6．

5．Appendix：polarizations of polynomials and their foliations
Here we recall the polarization of polynomials［30］in invariant theory and then introduce the refined notion of foliation from a polarization．Though interesting on their own rights，we provide them here as the＂baby model＂for the corresponding concepts for operads in Section $⿴ 囗 ⿱ 一 一 ⿱ 宀 八$ used to introduce various compatibilities of operads．

5．1．Polarizations of polynomials．Let $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a vector of variables and $f(x)=$ $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ a homogeneous polynomial of degree $m$ ．Denote $x^{(i)}:=\left(x_{1}^{(i)}, \ldots, x_{n}^{(i)}\right), 1 \leq i \leq m$ ， with distinct variables．

For $\lambda_{i} \in \mathbf{k}, 1 \leq i \leq m$ ，denote

$$
\lambda_{1} x^{(1)}+\cdots+\lambda_{m} x^{(m)}:=\left(\lambda_{1} x_{1}^{(1)}+\cdots+\lambda_{m} x_{1}^{(m)}, \ldots, \lambda_{1} x_{n}^{(1)}+\cdots+\lambda_{m} x_{n}^{(m)}\right) .
$$

Regarding $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}$ as formal variables（for example by taking $\mathbf{k}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}\right)$ ），we have the polynomial expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\lambda_{1} x^{(1)}+\cdots+\lambda_{m} x^{(m)}\right)=\sum_{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}} f_{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}}\left(x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(m)}\right) \lambda_{1}^{c_{1}} \cdots \lambda_{m}^{c_{m}}, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is over tuples $c:=\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{k}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i}=m$ ，that is，the weak compo－ sitions of $m$ ．Thus $f_{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}}\left(x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(m)}\right)$ is the contribution to $f\left(x^{(1)}+\cdots+x^{(m)}\right)$ from monomials in $x_{i}^{(j)}$ whose total degree in $x_{1}^{(i)}, \ldots, x_{n}^{(i)}$ is $c_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq m$ ．The polynomial $f_{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}}\left(x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(m)}\right)$ is called the quasipolarization of $f$ of type $c$ ．The unique multilinear polynomial

$$
F\left(x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(m)}\right):=f_{1, \ldots, 1}\left(x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(m)}\right)
$$

is called the full polarization of $f$ ．
Eq．（25）shows that a quasipolarization can also be given by the polarization operator：

$$
f_{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}}\left(x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(m)}\right)=\left.\frac{\partial^{c_{1}}}{\partial \lambda_{1}^{c_{1}}} \cdots \frac{\partial^{c_{m}}}{\partial \lambda_{m}^{c_{m}}} f\left(\lambda_{1} x^{(1)}+\cdots+\lambda_{m} x^{(m)}\right)\right|_{\lambda_{i}=0}, \text { where } \lambda_{i} \in \mathbf{k}, 1 \leq i \leq m
$$

Here $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{i}}$ is the formal partial derivation treating $\lambda_{i}$ as variables．
Notice that the set $\left\{f_{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}}\left(x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(m)}\right) \mid \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i}=m\right\}$ of quasipolarizations is symmetrically closed in the sense that it is closed under permutations of the variables．In fact，

$$
f_{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}}\left(x^{(\sigma(1))}, \ldots, x^{(\sigma(m))}\right)=f_{c_{\sigma^{-1}(1), \ldots, c_{\sigma^{-1}(m)}}}\left(x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(m)}\right), \quad \sigma \in S_{m} .
$$

Moreover, we have the restitution

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}}\left(x^{(1)}, \ldots,\left.x^{(m)}\right|_{x^{(i)} \mapsto x}=\binom{m}{\left(c_{i}\right)} f(x)\right. \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the multinomial coefficients $\binom{m}{\left(c_{i}\right)}:=\binom{m}{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}}$. In particular, $F(x, \ldots, x)=m!f(x)$.
Example 5.1. Consider the quadratic form $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=2 x_{1}^{2}+3 x_{1} x_{2}+4 x_{2}^{2}$. For $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in \mathbf{k}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(\lambda_{1}\left(x_{1}^{(1)}, x_{2}^{(1)}\right)+\lambda_{2}\left(x_{1}^{(2)}, x_{2}^{(2)}\right)\right)=f\left(\lambda_{1} x_{1}^{(1)}+\lambda_{2} x_{1}^{(2)}, \lambda_{1} x_{2}^{(1)}+\lambda_{2} x_{2}^{(2)}\right) \\
= & \lambda_{1}^{2}\left(2 x_{1}^{(1)^{2}}+3 x_{1}^{(1)} x_{2}^{(1)}+4 x_{2}^{(1)^{2}}\right)+\lambda_{2}^{2}\left(2 x_{1}^{(2)^{2}}+3 x_{1}^{(2)} x_{2}^{(2)}+4 x_{2}^{(2)^{2}}\right) \\
& +\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}\left(2\left(x_{1}^{(1)} x_{1}^{(2)}+x_{1}^{(2)} x_{1}^{(1)}\right)+3\left(x_{1}^{(1)} x_{2}^{(2)}+x_{1}^{(2)} x_{2}^{(1)}\right)+4\left(x_{2}^{(1)} x_{2}^{(2)}+x_{2}^{(2)} x_{2}^{(1)}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{2,0} & =2 x_{1}^{(1)^{2}}+3 x_{1}^{(1)} x_{2}^{(1)}+4 x_{2}^{(1)^{2}}, \\
f_{0,2} & =2 x_{1}^{(2)^{2}}+3 x_{1}^{(2)} x_{2}^{(2)}+4 x_{2}^{(2)^{2}}, \\
F:=f_{1,1} & =2\left(x_{1}^{(1)} x_{1}^{(2)}+x_{1}^{(2)} x_{1}^{(1)}\right)+3\left(x_{1}^{(1)} x_{2}^{(2)}+x_{1}^{(2)} x_{2}^{(1)}\right)+4\left(x_{2}^{(1)} x_{2}^{(2)}+x_{2}^{(2)} x_{2}^{(1)}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

with the last one being the full polarization. Observe that $F\left(x_{1}^{(1)}, x_{2}^{(1)}, x_{1}^{(2)}, x_{2}^{(2)}\right)$ is multilinear, symmetric in $\left(x_{1}^{(1)}, x_{2}^{(1)}\right)$ and in $\left(x_{1}^{(2)}, x_{2}^{(2)}\right)$ respectively. Moreover, $F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=2 f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$.
5.2. Foliations and symmetrized foliations of polarizations. A foliation is a refinement of the quasipolarization. For a weak composition $c:=\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{k}$ of $m$, recall that quasipolarization $f_{c}$ has the restitution in Eq. (26): $\left.f_{c}\left(x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(m)}\right)\right|_{x^{(i)} \mapsto x}=\binom{m}{\left(c_{i}\right)} f(x)$. It is natural to find a partition of $f_{c}$ such that the restriction of each part is exactly $f(x)$. Thus we define a foliation of $f_{c}$ to be a partition $\left\{f_{\Lambda, k} \left\lvert\, 1 \leq k \leq\binom{ m}{\left(c_{i}\right)}\right.\right\}$ of $f_{c}$ (in the sense that $\left.f_{c}=f_{c, 1}+\cdots+f_{c,\binom{m}{\left(c_{i}\right)}}\right)$ such that

$$
\left.f_{c, k}\right|_{x^{(i)} \mapsto x}=f, \quad 1 \leq k \leq\binom{ m}{\left(c_{i}\right)} .
$$

We next give a classification of all the foliations of a given quasipolarization, first presenting an example as a demonstration of the general procedure.
Example 5.2. Continuing Example 5.1, there the polarization of $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=2 x_{1}^{2}+3 x_{1} x_{2}+4 x_{2}^{2}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{1,1}= & 2\left(x_{1}^{(1)} x_{1}^{(2)}+x_{1}^{(2)} x_{1}^{(1)}\right)+3\left(x_{1}^{(1)} x_{2}^{(2)}+x_{1}^{(2)} x_{2}^{(1)}\right)+4\left(x_{2}^{(1)} x_{2}^{(2)}+x_{2}^{(2)} x_{2}^{(1)}\right) \\
& \quad 2 x_{1}^{(1)} x_{1}^{(2)}+3 x_{1}^{(1)} x_{2}^{(2)}+4 x_{2}^{(1)} x_{2}^{(2)} \\
= & +2 x_{1}^{(2)} x_{1}^{(1)}+3 x_{1}^{(2)} x_{2}^{(1)}+4 x_{2}^{(2)} x_{2}^{(1)} . \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the restitution of $f_{1,1}$ is $\left.f_{1,1}\right|_{x^{(i)} \mapsto x}=\binom{2}{1} f=2 f$, a foliation of $f_{1,1}$ is a partition $f_{1,1}=$ $f_{1,1,1}+f_{1,1,2}$ such that $\left.f_{1,1, k}\right|_{x^{(i)} \mapsto x}=f, k=1,2$. As displayed in Eq. (27), the obvious one is

$$
\left\{f_{1,1,1}, f_{1,1,2}\right\}:=\left\{2 x_{1}^{(1)} x_{1}^{(2)}+3 x_{1}^{(1)} x_{2}^{(2)}+4 x_{2}^{(1)} x_{2}^{(2)}, \quad 2 x_{1}^{(2)} x_{1}^{(1)}+3 x_{1}^{(2)} x_{2}^{(1)}+4 x_{2}^{(2)} x_{2}^{(1)}\right\} .
$$

Furthermore, by exchanging the entries in the second (resp. the third, resp. both) columns in Eq. (27), before summing up the two rows, we obtain three more foliations:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left\{2 x_{1}^{(1)} x_{1}^{(2)}+3 x_{1}^{(2)} x_{2}^{(1)}+4 x_{2}^{(1)} x_{2}^{(2)},\right. & \left.2 x_{1}^{(2)} x_{1}^{(1)}+3 x_{1}^{(1)} x_{2}^{(2)}+4 x_{2}^{(2)} x_{2}^{(1)}\right\}, \\
\left\{2 x_{1}^{(1)} x_{1}^{(2)}+3 x_{1}^{(1)} x_{2}^{(2)}+4 x_{2}^{(2)} x_{2}^{(1)},\right. & \left.2 x_{1}^{(2)} x_{1}^{(1)}+3 x_{1}^{(2)} x_{2}^{(1)}+4 x_{2}^{(1)} x_{2}^{(2)}\right\}, \\
\left\{2 x_{1}^{(1)} x_{1}^{(2)}+3 x_{1}^{(2)} x_{2}^{(1)}+4 x_{2}^{(2)} x_{2}^{(1)},\right. & \left.2 x_{1}^{(2)} x_{1}^{(1)}+3 x_{1}^{(1)} x_{2}^{(2)}+4 x_{2}^{(1)} x_{2}^{(2)}\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

These are all the foliations of $f_{1,1}$.

In general, write a homogeneous polynomial $f$ of degree $m$ as

$$
f(x)=f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq s} \alpha_{i} q_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right),
$$

where $\alpha_{i} \in \mathbf{k}$ and $q_{i}$ is a monomial of degree $m$. Let $c$ be a weak partition of $m$. For notational clarity, we denote

$$
\mathbf{b}:=\binom{m}{c}=\binom{m}{\left(c_{i}\right)}=\binom{m}{\left.c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}\right)} .
$$

Note that the quasipolarization $q_{i, c}$ of the monomial $q_{i}$ has the unique foliation $\left\{q_{i, c, k} \mid 1 \leq k \leq\right.$ b). Then

$$
f_{c}\left(x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(m)}\right)=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq s} \alpha_{i} q_{i, c}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq s} \alpha_{i} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \mathbf{b}} q_{i, c, k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{1 \leq k \leq \mathbf{b}} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq s} \alpha_{i} q_{i, c, k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right),
$$

giving the natural partition of $f_{c}$ into the system

$$
\left\{\sum_{0 \leq i \leq s} \alpha_{i} q_{i, c, k} \mid 1 \leq k \leq \mathbf{b}\right\}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
q_{0, c, 1} & q_{1, c, 1} & \cdots & q_{s, c, 1}  \tag{28}\\
q_{0, c, 2} & q_{1, c, 2} & \cdots & q_{s, c, 2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
q_{0, c, \mathbf{b}} & q_{1, c, \mathbf{b}} & \cdots & q_{s, c, \mathbf{b}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\alpha_{0} \\
\alpha_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\alpha_{s}
\end{array}\right)
$$

We call this system the standard foliation of $f_{c}$, with respect to the natural ordering of the partition $\left\{q_{i, c, k} \mid 1 \leq k \leq \mathbf{b}\right\}$ for $0 \leq i \leq s$.

Starting with the standard foliation one can construct other foliations of $f_{c}$. Indeed, let $S_{\mathbf{b}}$ denote the symmetric group on $\mathbf{b}$ letters. Then replacing the $\mathbf{b} \times(s+1)$-matrix in Eq. (28) by the one with the $i$-column permuted by $\sigma_{i} \in S_{\mathbf{b}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$, we obtain another foliation of $f_{c}$

$$
\left\{\alpha_{0} q_{0, c, k}+\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} \alpha_{i} q_{i, c, \sigma_{i}(k)} \mid 1 \leq k \leq \mathbf{b}\right\}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
q_{0, c, 1} & q_{1, c, \sigma_{1}(1)} & \cdots & q_{s, c, \sigma_{s}(1)}  \tag{29}\\
q_{0, c, 2} & q_{1, c, \sigma_{1}(2)} & \cdots & q_{s, c, \sigma_{s}(2)} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
q_{0, c, \mathbf{b}} & q_{1, c, \sigma_{1}(\mathbf{b})} & \cdots & q_{s, c, \sigma_{s}(\mathbf{b})}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
\alpha_{0} \\
\alpha_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\alpha_{s}
\end{array}\right)
$$

called the foliation of $f_{c}$ with respect to $\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{s}\right)$.
Finally, as a prototype of the total compatibility of operads in $\S 2.4$, we add additional linear forms to the system of a foliation in Eq. (29), so that all the foliations become the same, independent of the permutations $\sigma_{i} \in S_{\mathbf{b}}$. This is achieved by adding $q_{i, c, \sigma(i)}-q_{i, c, \tau(i)}$ for all $\sigma, \tau \in S_{\mathbf{b}}$ and $0 \leq i \leq s$. The resulting system is called the symmetrized foliation of $f_{c}$.

Example 5.3. In Example 5.2, there are four foliations of $f_{1,1}$. The symmetrized foliation of $f_{1,1}$ is given by adding

$$
x_{1}^{(1)} x_{1}^{(2)}-x_{1}^{(2)} x_{1}^{(1)}, \quad x_{1}^{(1)} x_{2}^{(2)}-x_{1}^{(2)} x_{2}^{(1)}, \quad x_{2}^{(1)} x_{2}^{(2)}-x_{2}^{(2)} x_{2}^{(1)}
$$

to either of the four foliations. The resulting set becomes independent of the choice of the foliations.

As a model of the dualities for operadic compatibilities established in Section 3, the three classes of polynomials (quasipolarizations, foliations and symmetrized foliations) for noncommutative homogeneous quadratic polynomials are related by the Koszul duality for homogeneous quadratic algebras, as introduced by Stewart Priddy in [29]. We just give a remark and leave the general treatment elsewhere.

Remark 5.4. Let $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{0 \leq i \leq s} \alpha_{i} q_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a noncommutative homogeneous quadratic polynomial. Let $\Omega=\{1,2\}$ and $c=(1,1)$. Then the symmetrized foliation of a quasipolarization $f_{c}$ in $\mathbf{k}\left\langle x_{1}^{(1)}, x_{2}^{(2)}, \ldots, x_{n}^{(1)}, x_{n}^{(2)}\right\rangle$ is the set

$$
J_{c}:=\left\{\alpha_{0} q_{0, c, k}+\sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} \alpha_{i} q_{i, c, \sigma_{i}(k)} \mid 1 \leq k \leq 2\right\} \cup\left\{q_{i, c, 1}-q_{i, c, 2} \mid 0 \leq i \leq s\right\} .
$$

Then the two quadratic algebras

$$
A:=\mathbf{k}\left\langle x_{1}^{(1)}, x_{1}^{(2)} \cdots, x_{n}^{(1)}, x_{n}^{(2)}\right\rangle /\left\langle f_{c}\right\rangle, \quad B:=\mathbf{k}\left\langle x_{1}^{(1)}, x_{1}^{(2)} \cdots, x_{n}^{(1)}, x_{n}^{(2)}\right\rangle /\left\langle J_{c}\right\rangle
$$

are in Koszul dual of each other.
Acknowledgments. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (12071191), the Natural Science Foundation of Gansu Province (20JR5RA249) and the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (ZR2020MA002).
Declaration of interests. The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.
Data availability. Data sharing is not applicable as no new data were created or analyzed.

## References

[1] M. Bershtein, V. Dotsenko and A. Khoroshkin, Quadratic algebras related to the bi-Hamiltonian operad, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2007) rnm122, 30 pp.
[2] M. Bremner and V. Dotsenko, Algebraic Operads: An Algorithmic Companion, Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2016. 4. 5
[3] Y. Bruned and V. Dotsenko, Novikov algebras and multi-indices in regularity structures, arXiv:2311.09091 3, 10, 14
[4] Y. Bruned, M. Hairer and L. Zambotti, Algebraic renormalisation of regularity structures, Invent. Math. 215 (2019), 1039-1156. $2,13,14$
[5] J. F. Cariñena, J. Grabowski and G. Marmo, Quantum bi-Hamiltonian systems, Internat. J. Modern Phys. A. 15 (2000), 4797-4810. 2
[6] T. H. Cormen, C. E. Leiserson, R. L. Rivest and C. Stein, Introduction to Algorithms, The MIT Press, 3rd edition, 2009. 12
[7] A. Das, Compatible $L_{\infty}$-algebras, Journal of Algebra, 610 (2022), 241-269. 2, , 3
[8] H. Derksen and V. Makam, Weyl's polarization theorem in positive characteristic, Transformation Groups 26 (2021), 1241-1260. 3
[9] V. Dotsenko, Compatible associative products and trees, Algebra Number Theory 3 (2009), 567-586. 3
[10] V. Dotsenko and A. Khoroshkin, Character formulas for the operad of two compatible brackets and for the bihamiltonian operad, Funct. Anal. Appl. 41 (2007), 1-17. 2
[11] V. Dotsenko and A. Khoroshkin, Gröbner bases for operads, Duke Math. J. 153 (2010), 363-396. 1
[12] S. M. Flores, Compatible algebras, Doctoral dissertation, Universidad de Talca (Chile) (2017). 2
[13] L. Foissy, Algebraic structures on typed decorated rooted trees, SIGMA 17 (2021), 086, 23 pages. 2. 13, 14
[14] M. Gerstenhaber, The cohomology structure of an associative ring, Ann. Math. 78 (1963), 267-288.
[15] X. Gao, L. Guo and Y. Zhang, Commutative matching Rota-Baxter operators, shuffle products with decorations and matching Zinbiel algebras, J. Algebra, 586 (2021), 402-432.
[16] V. Ginzburg and M. Kapranov, Koszul duality for operads, Duke Math. J. 76 (1994), 203-272. 23
[17] I. Z. Golubchik and V. V. Sokolov, Compatible Lie brackets and integrable equations of the principal chiral model type, Funct. Anal. Appl. 36 (2002), 172-181. 2
[18] I. Z. Golubchik and V. V. Sokolov, Compatible Lie brackets and the Yang-Baxter equation, Theor. Math. Phys. 146 (2006), 159-169. 2
[19] I. Z. Golubchik and V. V. Sokolov, Factorization of the loop algebras and compatible Lie brackets, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 12 (2005), 343-350. 2
[20] A. B. Goncharov, Galois symmetries of fundamental groupoids and noncom-mutative geometry, Duke Math. J. 128 (2005), 209-284. 1
[21] L. Guo, R. Gustavson and Y. Li, An algebraic study of Volterra integral equations and their operator linearity, J. Algebra, 595 (2022),398-433. 2, 13, 14
[22] J.-L. Loday and B. Vallette, Algebraic Operads, Springer, 2012. $2,4,20,21,24,25$
[23] M. Losik, P. W. Michor and V. L. Popov, On polarizations in invariant theory, J. Algebra 301 (2006), 406-424.3]
[24] S. MacLane, Homology, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. 24
[25] F. Magri, A simple model of the integrable Hamiltonian equation, J. Math. Phys. 19 (1978), 1156-1162. 2
[26] S. A. Merkulov, Nijenhuis infinity and contractible differential graded manifolds,(English summary) Compos. Math. 141(5)(2005), 1238-1254. 1
[27] A. V. Odesskii and V. V. Sokolov, Compatible Lie brackets related to elliptic curve, J. Math. Phys. 47 (2006), 013506.2
[28] A. V. Odesskii and V. V. Sokolov, Algebraic structures connected with pairs of compatible associative algebras, Int Math Res Not. IMRN. 2006 (2006), 1-35. 2
[29] S. B. Priddy, Koszul resolutions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 152 (1970), 39-60. 28
[30] C. Procesi, Lie Groups: An Approach through Invariants and Representations, Springer, 2007. 3. 26
[31] V. Sokolov, Algebraic structures related to integrable differential equations, Ensaios Matemáticos 31 (2017), 1-108. 2
[32] H. Strohmayer, Operads of compatible structures and weighted partitions, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 212 (2008), 2522-2534. 2, , 3, 17, 19, 22, 23, 24
[33] H. Strohmayer, Operad profiles of Nijenhuis structures, Differential Geom. Appl. 27(6) (2009),780-792 2., 3
[34] B. Vallette, Homology of generalized partition posets, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 208 (2007), 699-725. 24
[35] B. Vallette, Manin products, Koszul duality, Loday algebras and Deligne conjecture, J. Reine Angew. Math. 620 (2008), 105-164. 17, 20, 21
[36] H. Weyl, The Classical Groups, Princeton University Press, 1946. 3
[37] Y. Zhang, Homotopy Transfer Theorem for linearly compatible di-algebras, J. Homotopy Relat. Struct. 8 (2013) 141-150.
[38] Y. Zhang, C. Bai and L. Guo, The category and operad of matching dialgebras, Appl. Categ. Structures 21 (2013), 851-865. 2, 3, 13
[39] Y. Zhang, C. Bai and L. Guo, Totally compatible associative and Lie dialgebras, tridendriform algebras and PostLie algebras, Sci. China Math. 57 (2014), 259-273. 2
[40] Y. Zhang, X. Gao and L. Guo, Matching Rota-Baxter algebras, matching dendriform algebras and matching pre-Lie algebras, J. Algebra 552 (2020), 134-170. 2, 3, 13, 14
[41] H. Zhang, X. Gao and L. Guo, Compatible structures of nonsymmetric operads, Manin products and Koszul duality, Appl. Categ. Struct., accepted, arXiv:2104.04425. 3, 8, 11, 13, 17
[42] G. W. Zinbiel, Encyclopedia of types of algebras 2010, In "Operads and Universal Algebra" Nankai Series in Pure, Applied Math. and Theor. Phys. 9 (2012), 217-298. World Scientific. 2,26

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, Gansu 730000, China
Email address: zhanghh20@lzu.edu.cn
School of Mathematics and Statistics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, 730000, China; Gansu Provincial Research Center for Basic Disciplines of Mathematics and Statistics, Lanzhou, 730000, China; School of Mathematics and Statistics Qinghai Nationalities University, Xining, 810007, China

Email address: gaoxing@lzu.edu.cn
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Rutgers University, Newark, NJ 07102, United States
Email address: liguo@rutgers.edu


[^0]:    Date: November 21, 2023.
    2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 18M70, 14L24, 05C05, 37K10, 17B37, 35R60 .
    Key words and phrases. Operad, linearly compatible algebra; polarization; matching compatibility; total compatibility; Koszul duality; Manin product; Koszul operad.

