

Total Skin Electron Therapy Stanford technique evolution with Monte Carlo simulation toward personalized treatments for cutaneous lymphoma

Tullio Basaglia, Patrizia Boccacci, Stéphane Chauvie, Manuela Chessa, Daniele D'Agostino, Monica Gambaro, Filippo Grillo Ruggieri, Gabriela Hoff, Maria Grazia Pia, Paolo Saracco, Piero Schiapparelli, Giuseppe Scielzo, Evgueni Tcherniaev and Daniele Zefiro

Abstract—Current Total Skin Electron Therapy (TSET) Stanford technique for cutaneous lymphoma, established in the 70's, involves a unique irradiation setup, i.e. patient's position and beam arrangement, for all patients with ensuing great variability in dose distribution and difficult dose optimization. A Geant4-based simulation has been developed to explore the possibility of personalizing the dose to each patient's anatomy. To achieve this optimization of the treatment method, this project enrolls different aspects of the clinical and computational techniques: starting with the knowledge of the experimental parameters involving TSET practice, passing through an innovative approach to model the patient's anatomy, a precise description of the electron beam and a validated configuration of the physics models handling the interactions of the electrons and of secondary particles. The Geant4-based simulation models the patient as a tessellated solid derived from the optical scan of her/his body, realistically reproduces the irradiation environment in detail and calculates the energy deposition corresponding to each facet of the patient's scanned surface. The resulting three-dimensional dose distribution constitutes the basis for the personalization of the medical treatment as appropriate to each patient's specific characteristics.

Index Terms—Geant4, simulation, Total Skin Electron Therapy, computational dosimetry

This work was supported in part by Compagnia di San Paolo, Italy. T. Basaglia is with CERN, Geneva, Switzerland (e-mail: tullio.basaglia@cern.ch)

P. Boccacci, M. Chessa and D. D'Agostino are with DIBRIS, University of Genova, and INFN Sezione di Genova Genova, Italy (e-mail: patrizia.boccacci@unige.it, daniele.dagostino@unige.it,)

M. Chessa is with DIBRIS, University of Genova, Genova, Italy (e-mail: Manuela.chessa@unige.it)

S. Chauvie is with Santa Croce e Carle Hospital, Cuneo, Italy (e-mail: chauvie.s@ospedale.cuneo.it)

M. Gambaro and P. Schiapparelli are with Galliera Hospital, Genova, Italy (e-mail: monica.gambaro@galliera.it and piero.schiapparelli@galliera.it)

F. Grillo Ruggieri is with the Department of Radiation Oncology, Proton Therapy Center at Paul Scherrer Institute, Villigen, Switzerland; he was with the Department of Radiation Oncology, Galliera Hospital, Genova, Italy (e-mail: f.grilloruggieri@gmail.com)

G. Hoff, M. Grazia Pia and P. Saracco are with INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy (e-mail: gabriela.hoff@ge.infn.it, mariagrazia.Pia@ge.infn.it and paolo.saracco@ge.infn.it)

G. Scielzo is with Policlinico di Monza, Medical Physics Consultant, Monza, Italy (e-mail: giuseppescielzo@gmail.com)

E. Tcherniaev is with Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russia and CERN, Geneva, Switzerland (e-mail: Evgueni.Tcherniaev@cern.ch)

D. Zefiro is with the IRCCS AOU Policlinico San Martino (e-mail: daniele.zefiro@hsanmartino.it)

I. INTRODUCTION

Total Skin Electron Therapy (TSET) is a special radiotherapy technique to treat cutaneous lymphoma. It is commonly based on a method originally developed at Stanford in the eighties of the past century [1]–[5]: the standing patient is exposed at several angled orientations to large electrons fields obtained using a 6 MeV linear accelerator. Under this conditions it is assumed that the delivered dose distribution of a few millimeters in depth is sufficiently homogeneous to cover the tumor infiltration of cutaneous lymphoma.

The treatment consists of a single standard technique for all patients: in the application of this technique, geometrical fields setup, dose contribution, and patient position are fixed, resulting in standard non-modifiable dose distributions. Different degrees of tumor infiltration and extension, patient size, and shape variability among different patients are not taken into account in current practice. This is the opposite of what happens with other radiotherapy techniques, where the successful trend is the adaptation of every single treatment to patient-specific normal and disease anatomy.

The possibility to adapt and personalize the treatment for TSET is related to the development of fast and reliable calculation procedures of the skin dose distribution made on patient-specific surface anatomy. In this way, it would be possible to transform this technique from a “one size fit all” therapy to a finely personalized treatment, adapted to subtle differences in the disease involvement - e.g., in the trunk rather than in the arms or head and other combinations, also planning different dose contributions to the upper or lower body part and/or surface.

To address this challenging dose calculation requirements, a Monte Carlo simulation approach has been devised in the context of the SkinScan project. The peculiarities of this radiotherapy technique required innovative modeling methods of the patient, which, to the best of our knowledge, are applied in a radiotherapy simulation for the first time. They also required rigorous validation of the physics modeling involved in the simulation, to ensure that it would produce reliable dose distributions in thin layers corresponding to the patient's skin.

This conference paper highlights the main features of the R&D project and reports the first results.

II. SIMULATION

The Monte Carlo simulation of TSET was developed using the Geant4 [6]–[8] toolkit, version 11.0p01. It faithfully reproduces a real-life irradiation environment at the Galliera Hospital in Genova, Italy and adopts an innovative approach to realistically represent the patient.

A. Model of the TSET setup

The simulation models the real-life setup described in [5]; a schematic view is illustrated in Fig. 1.




Fig. 1: Scheme of Total Skin Electron Therapy, as currently applied according to the guidelines of the Stanford technique. Lateral view (left) illustrating the Source to detector Surface Distance (SSD) of 353 cm to the treatment plane, a beam degrader placed at 320 cm from the source and the two beams, with polar angle $\alpha = 19^\circ$. Top view (right) showing the six beam angles around the patient to perform the complete treatment. Figures 1(c) and 1(d) from [5].

The TSET irradiation configuration involves six dual beams of electrons produced by a 6 MeV clinical linear accelerator, which encompass the entire body height of a standardized patient in vertical position.

The simulation initially implemented a simplified model of the electron beam delivered by a Varian 2100 C/D linear accelerator, equipped with the special procedure for HDTSe-high dose rate total skin electron mode (6 MeV). An investigation to model primary electrons using the IAEA database for external radiotherapy [9] is in progress. The primary electrons are degraded through the passage in air and by a plastic screen from the initial energy of 6 MeV down to about 2 MeV at the surface of the skin.

B. Model of the patient anatomy

The project developed a novel computational approach to the patient’s geometry: it creates a 3-dimensional model of the body surface from an optical scan of the patient. The coordinates of the scanned data points on the patient’s surface define a numerical lattice, which is the basis to build the geometry of a tessellated solid.

This innovative computational solution overcomes the drawbacks of conventional anthropomorphic phantom models derived from CT (computed tomography) scans: it efficiently satisfies the peculiar TSET requirements of precisely modeling

the patient’s surface, while avoiding the unnecessary computational burden of internal anatomy details.

The requirements of this novel approach motivated improvements to the functionality and the computational performance of Geant4 tessellated solids. A major requirement concerned the ability to score observables of dosimetric interest in identifiable components of tessellated geometries; it was satisfied by the development of additional capabilities in Geant4 tessellated solid.

C. Physics modeling and validation

The simulation requires rigorous experimental validation to assess its reliability in a sensitive application context such as TSET. For this purpose, comparisons have been made with experimental data, both at the level of Geant4 physics components and at the macroscopic level with dosimetric measurements.

A thorough validation test was performed to identify the state of the art among all the Geant4 physics modeling options relevant to the simulation of the energy deposited in thin layers of materials by electrons in the energy range relevant to TSET [10].

The complete simulation application was validated using regular quality control dosimetric measurements and experimental data specific to the characterization of the TSET technique reported in [5].

The validation process exploits rigorous methods of statistical inference.

D. Dosimetry

Geant4 provides a variety of means to evaluate the energy deposited by primary and secondary particles in sensitive volumes, i.e., in the patient in the TSET scenario. In a preliminary approximation, electrons are assumed to deposit all their energy in correspondence to the facet of the patient’s tessellated solid where they enter. Further evaluations are in progress to optimize the estimate of the energy deposition in the patient, considering both the precision and the computational performance of the simulation.

III. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Some preliminary results of the simulation are illustrated here.

The dual beam irradiation configuration modeled in the simulation is shown in Fig. 2; in this picture, the target is a simple cylinder of water.

Fig. 3 illustrates the simulated three-dimensional deposited energy in a frontally irradiated phantom, represented by a tessellated solid derived from the optical scan of a man’s surface. It focuses on an anatomical part where the achievement of uniform dose distribution is especially difficult due to the complexity of irradiating areas that involve maximal changes of the body shape and skin surface orientation to the electron beam. The map represents the distribution of the energy deposition associated with the facets of the tessellated solid, normalized to the surface of each facet of the solid, consistent with a defined the colour scale.




Fig. 2: Illustration of the dual beam irradiation configuration showing (a) bottom surface irradiation, (b) top surface irradiation and (c) an angled view of the dual beam irradiation configuration. This is a simplified geometry: the beam degrader is represented as a cyan thin parallelepiped volume and the phantom as a cylindrical volume in pink. The electron tracks are shown in yellow and the photon tracks in green.

The ability of the simulation to quantify and localize the energy deposition, and consequently the dose distribution, in a realistic geometrical model of each patient allows the optimization of the irradiation according to the specific characteristics of each patient.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The peculiarities of the TSET radiotherapy technique involved the development of innovative computational solutions in the context of the SkinScan project to perform a realistic simulation of the irradiation scenario.

The patient modeling method and its computational realization through a tessellated solid are applied in a radiotherapy simulation for the first time, to the best of our knowledge. The ability to calculate the energy deposited by facet of the phantom required the development of additional functionality in Geant4 tessellated solid.

Preliminary results demonstrate the capability to simulate three dimensional maps of realistic dose distributions in patient-specific geometries, which open the path towards the personalization and optimization of TSET.

The Geant4-based simulation developed by the SkinScan project represents a significant contribution to fully personalized treatment in TSET practice. Detailed description of the SkinScan software system and simulation application results will be documented in forthcoming journal publications.




Fig. 3: Three-dimensional map of the normalized deposited energy for the tessellated anthropomorphic phantom for frontal dual beam irradiation of the patient in the TSET Stanford technique: (a) frontal view, (b) lateral view (c) angled view and (d) the color scale of the three-dimensional maps.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank the Computing Service and the Administration of the INFN Section of Genova for valuable support, and the INFN National Computational Services for providing fundamental infrastructure for the software development. The CERN Library has provided substantial assistance and essential reference material for this research.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. J. Karzmark et al., "Total skin electron therapy: Technique and dosimetry," AAPM Radiation Therapy Committee Task Group 30, Report No. 23, 1987.
- [2] C. J. Karzmark et al., "A technique for large-field, superficial electron therapy," *Radiology*, vol. 74, pp. 633–644, 1960.
- [3] A. Niroomand-Rad et al., "Dose distribution in total skin electron beam irradiation using the six-field technique," *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol., Biol., Phys.*, vol. 12, pp. 415–419, 1986.
- [4] E. El-Khatib et al., "Variation of electron beam uniformity with beam angulation and scatterer position for total skin irradiation with the Stanford technique," *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol., Biol., Phys.*, vol. 33, pp. 469–474, 1995.
- [5] P. Schiapparelli et al., "Total skin electron therapy (TSET): A reimplementation using radiochromic films and IAEA TRS-398 code of practice," *Rad. Ther. Phys.*, vol. 37, no. 7, Part 1, pp. 3510–3517, 2010.
- [6] S. Agostinelli et al., "Geant4 - A Simulation Toolkit," *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A*, vol. 506, pp. 250–303, 2003.
- [7] J. Allison et al. "Geant4 Developments and Applications", *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 270–278, 2006.
- [8] J. Allison et al., "Recent Developments in Geant4", *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A*, vol. 835, pp. 186–225, 2016.
- [9] IAEA NAPC Nuclear Data Section, "Phase-space database for external beam radiotherapy", Online. Available: <https://www-nds.iaea.org/phsp/phsp.htmlx>.
- [10] T. Basaglia et al., "Physics, transport schemes and empirical algorithms in the simulation of electron energy deposition", *Proc. 2022 IEEE Nucl. Sci. Symp.*, 2022.