

Tight lower bound on $|A + \lambda A|$ for algebraic integer λ

Dmitry Krachun, Fedor Petrov

November 17, 2023

Abstract

We prove an asymptotically tight lower bound on $|A + \lambda A|$ for $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ and algebraic integer λ . The proof combines strong version of Freiman's theorem, structural theorem on dense subsets of a hypercubic lattice and a generalisation of the continuous result on tight bound for the measure of $K + \tau K$ for a compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of unit Lebesgue measure and a fixed linear operator $\tau: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, obtained by the authors in [7].

1 Introduction

For a subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ and a real number $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ we define the set $A + \lambda A$ to be

$$A + \lambda A := \{a_1 + \lambda a_2 : a_1, a_2 \in A\}.$$

The question of finding the asymptotically minimal possible size of $A + \lambda A$ in term of the size of A and λ has received considerable attention over recent years.

When $\lambda = p/q$ is a rational number with coprime integers p, q , Bukh [2] proved that

$$|A + \frac{p}{q}A| \geq (|p| + |q|) \cdot |A| - o(A),$$

and the error term was later improved to a constant $C = C(p, q)$ in the work of Balog and Shakan [1]. This is the best possible up to the dependence of C on p, q .

For transcendental λ (it is easy to see that the bound does not depend on λ in this case) the lower bound is no longer linear. Indeed, Konyagin and Laba [6] showed that

$$|A + \lambda A| \geq C \frac{|A| \log |A|}{\log \log |A|}$$

for an absolute constant C .

This bound was then improved by Sanders [8] to $|A| \log^{4/3 - o(1)} |A|$, then by Schoen [10] to $(\log |A|)^{c \log \log |A|} |A|$ and again by Sanders [9] to $e^{\log^c |A|} |A|$ for some $c > 0$. All these bounds relied on the quantitative refinements of Freiman's theorem. Very recently Conlon and Lim [4] improved the bound to $e^{c\sqrt{\log |A|}} |A|$ for an absolute constant $c > 0$,

using much more elementary methods. This bound is tight up to value of the constant $c > 0$, as follows from a construction from [6].

For the case of algebraic λ we formulated a conjecture [7, Conjecture 1] about the value of $\liminf |A + \lambda A|/|A|$ and proved the upper bound, see Conjecture 2 below. We also proved the conjecture for the specific case $\lambda = \sqrt{2}$. For the case $\lambda := (p/q)^{1/d}$ this conjecture was proved by Conlon and Lim [3]. In this paper we prove the conjecture for all algebraic integers λ .

To formulate the conjecture for arbitrary algebraic λ we need the following

Definition 1.1. For an irreducible polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree $d \geq 1$ (irreducibility in particular means that the coefficients of f do not have a common integer divisor greater than 1) denote

$$H(f) = \prod_{i=1}^d (|a_i| + |b_i|),$$

where $f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^d (a_i x + b_i)$ is a full complex factorization of f .

For arbitrary polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ we define $H(f)$ to be equal to $\min_{g|f} H(g)$, where the minimum is taken over all irreducible polynomials $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that g divides f in $\mathbb{C}[x]$. In the case when f has no non-constant divisors with integer coefficients we define $H(f) := \infty$.

For a linear operator $\mathcal{T} \in \text{End}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we define $H^\circ(\mathcal{T})$ to be equal to $H(f)$, where f is the characteristic polynomial of \mathcal{T} .

We also define, for a linear operator $\mathcal{T} \in \text{End}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $H(\mathcal{T})$ to be equal to $\prod_{i=1}^d (1 + |\lambda_i|)$, where λ_i 's are the eigenvalues of \mathcal{T} .

Clearly, the value of $H(f)$ is well-defined, i.e. does not depend on the factorization.

Remark 1.1. We note that $H(\mathcal{T})$ is not in general equal to $H^\circ(\mathcal{T})$. The former corresponds to the continuous problem of bounding the measure of $\Omega + \mathcal{T}\Omega$ for a set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of measure 1, whereas the latter conjecturally corresponds to discrete problem of bounding $A + \mathcal{T}A$ for large sets A of fixed size. In the case when $\mathcal{T} \in \text{End}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ has no invariant subspaces we have $H(\mathcal{T}) = H^\circ(\mathcal{T})$, see Proposition 1.

With this definition, [7, Conjecture 2] reads as

Conjecture 1. Let $\mathcal{T} \in \text{End}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a linear operator. Then

$$\liminf_{|A| \rightarrow \infty, A \subset \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|A + \mathcal{T}A|}{|A|} = H^\circ(\mathcal{T}).$$

This conjecture yields the following result for the behaviour of $\liminf |A + \lambda A|/|A|$ for algebraic λ , see [7] for details.

Conjecture 2. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ be an algebraic number with minimal polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. Then

$$\liminf_{|A| \rightarrow \infty, A \subset \mathbb{C}} \frac{|A + \lambda A|}{|A|} = H(f).$$

The main goal of the paper is to prove Conjecture 1 for the case of $\mathcal{T} \in \text{End}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ and as a corollary, prove Conjecture 2 for the case of algebraic integer λ . We prove the following

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathcal{T} \in \text{End}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a linear operator such that $\mathcal{T}(\mathbb{Z}^d) \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$. Then*

$$\liminf_{|A| \rightarrow \infty, A \subset \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|A + \mathcal{T}A|}{|A|} = H^\circ(\mathcal{T}).$$

Theorem 2. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ be an algebraic integer number with minimal polynomial f . Then*

$$\liminf_{|A| \rightarrow \infty, A \subset \mathbb{C}} \frac{|A + \lambda A|}{|A|} = H(f) = \prod (1 + |\lambda_i|), \quad (1)$$

where λ_i 's are all algebraic conjugates of λ .

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we relate $H^\circ(\mathcal{T})$ to $H(\mathcal{T})$ for $\mathcal{T} \in \text{End}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, deduce Theorem 2 from Theorem 1, and prove the upper bound in Theorem 1. Then in Section 3, we reduce Theorem 1 to the special case when the set A is a subset of a $\mathbb{Z}^n \cap [0, N]^n$ of density at least $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\mathcal{T})$. This reduction relies on a certain refinement of Freiman's theorem. Finally, in Section 4, we complete the proof of Theorem 1 by using a structural lemma on the dense subsets of a hypercube, see Lemma 4.2, together with the continuous version of Theorem 1.

2 Preliminary observations

In this section we establish a relation between $H^\circ(\mathcal{T})$ and $H(\mathcal{T})$ for endomorphisms of the \mathbb{Z}^d lattice, deduce Theorem 2 from Theorem 1 and also prove an upper bound in Theorem 1.

Proposition 1. *Let $\mathcal{T} \in \text{End}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ then*

$$H^\circ(\mathcal{T}) = \min_{\alpha: \mathcal{T}\alpha \subset \alpha} H(\mathcal{T}|_\alpha),$$

where the minimum is taken over all invariant subspaces α of \mathbb{Q}^d and \mathcal{T} , as well as $\mathcal{T}|_\alpha$, is identified, with a slight abuse of notation, with its extension to a linear operator on \mathbb{Q}^d and \mathbb{R}^d .

Proof. Let f be the characteristic polynomial of \mathcal{T} . We first show that the minimum is at least $H^\circ(\mathcal{T})$. Take any invariant subspace α , and let g be the characteristic polynomial of $\mathcal{T}|_\alpha$. Clearly g is a divisor of f , and taking rational basis of α and writing the matrix of \mathcal{T} in this basis one sees that g has rational coefficients. Moreover, since $\pm g$ is monic and all its roots are algebraic integers, it, in fact, has integer coefficients. So we have

$$\min_{\alpha: \mathcal{T}\alpha \subset \alpha} H(\mathcal{T}|_\alpha) \geq \min_{g|f} H(g) =: H^\circ(\mathcal{T}).$$

In the other direction, let g be an irreducible divisor of f of degree m with integer coefficients. In particular, $\pm g$ is monic. Take the subspace $\beta := \text{Ker}(g(\mathcal{T}))$ of \mathbb{Q}^d which is non-trivial since $g(\mathcal{T})$ is singular. Then take an arbitrary non-zero vector $v \in \beta$ and consider the subspace $\beta' := \langle v, \mathcal{T}v, \dots, \mathcal{T}^{m-1}v \rangle$ which is an invariant subspace of \mathcal{T} , as follows from the fact that $g(\mathcal{T})v = 0$. Note that the characteristic polynomial of $\mathcal{T}|_{\beta'}$ is $\pm g$ since any eigenvalue of $\mathcal{T}|_{\beta'}$ is a root of g , the dimension of $\mathcal{T}|_{\beta'}$ is at most m , and g is monic. Hence, we have

$$H^\circ(\mathcal{T}) := \min_{g|f} H(g) \geq \min_{\alpha: \mathcal{T}\alpha \subset \alpha} H(\mathcal{T}|_\alpha).$$

□

Remark 1. Since $H(\mathcal{T}|_\alpha)$ is non-decreasing in α under the partial ordering given by inclusion of subspaces, the invariant subspace α of minimal possible dimension among those with the minimal value of $H(\mathcal{T}|_\alpha)$ additionally does not have any non-trivial invariant subspaces of $\mathcal{T}|_\alpha$.

Proof of Theorem 2 given Theorem 1. The observation made in [7, Lemma 2.1] implies that we may work with subsets of $\mathbb{Q}[\lambda]$, namely, that

$$\liminf_{|A| \rightarrow \infty, A \subset \mathbb{C}} \frac{|A + \lambda A|}{|A|} = \liminf_{|A| \rightarrow \infty, A \subset \mathbb{Q}[\lambda]} \frac{|A + \lambda A|}{|A|} = \liminf_{|A| \rightarrow \infty, A \subset \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]} \frac{|A + \lambda A|}{|A|},$$

where the last equality follows by dilating A . Since λ is an algebraic integer, the linear operator \mathcal{T}_λ defined by $x \mapsto \lambda x$ is an endomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$. Furthermore, \mathcal{T}_λ does not have invariant subspaces and its characteristic polynomial is equal, up to a sign, to the minimal polynomial f of λ . So by Theorem 1 we have

$$\liminf_{|A| \rightarrow \infty, A \subset \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]} \frac{|A + \lambda A|}{|A|} = H^\circ(\mathcal{T}_\lambda) = H(f),$$

as desired. □

Recall that a very similar argument is used in [7, Proposition 1].

Proof of the upper bound in Theorem 1. Using Remark 1, choose a \mathcal{T} -invariant subspace $\alpha \subset \mathbb{Q}^d$ satisfying $H^\circ(\mathcal{T}) = H(\mathcal{T}|_\alpha)$, and such that α has no non-trivial invariant subspaces of $\mathcal{T}|_\alpha$. Again, with some abuse of notation we identify α with a subspace of \mathbb{R}^d . It then suffices to construct large sets $A \subset \alpha$ such that $|A + \mathcal{T}|_\alpha A| \geq H(\mathcal{T}|_\alpha) \cdot |A| - o(|A|)$, since \mathcal{T} and $\mathcal{T}|_\alpha$ coincide on α . So passing to $\mathcal{T}|_\alpha$ if needed, without loss of generality we may assume that the operator \mathcal{T} itself has no non-trivial invariant subspaces and so $H^\circ(\mathcal{T}) = H(\mathcal{T})$.

Fix some small $\varepsilon > 0$. As explained after the proof of [7, Theorem 2], the inequality $\mu_\star(\Omega + \mathcal{T}\Omega)/\mu_\star(\Omega) \geq H(\mathcal{T})$ is sharp and we can consider a convex compact set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ which satisfies $\mu(\Omega + \mathcal{T}\Omega)/\mu(\Omega) \leq H(\mathcal{T}) + \varepsilon$. Take M large enough and consider the set $\Omega_M := \mathbb{Z}^d \cap M \cdot \Omega$. We have $|\Omega_M| = \mu(\Omega) \cdot M^d + o(M^d)$, and since $\Omega_M + \mathcal{T}\Omega_M \subset (\Omega + \mathcal{T}\Omega) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$

we have $|\Omega_M + \mathcal{T}\Omega_M| \leq \mu(\Omega + \mathcal{T}\Omega) \cdot M^d + o(M^d)$, where we used the fact that both Ω and $\Omega + \mathcal{T}\Omega$ are convex to approximate the number of integer points in their dilates. This immediately implies that $|\Omega_M + \mathcal{T}\Omega_M|/|\Omega_M| \leq H(\mathcal{T}) + \varepsilon + o_M(1)$, and since we can take ε to be arbitrary small, the upper bound follows. \square

3 Reduction to the case of a dense subset of a box

To prove the lower bound in Theorem 1 we first want to reduce the problem to the case of a set A which forms a dense subset of a cube, i.e to the following statement

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{T} : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^d$ be a linear operator and $\varepsilon > 0$. For any subset $A \subset \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}^d$ of size $|A| \geq \varepsilon \cdot N^d$ we have*

$$|A + \mathcal{T}A| \geq H^\circ(\mathcal{T}) \cdot |A| - o(|A|),$$

where the implied constant in $o(\cdot)$ may depend both on \mathcal{T} and ε .

To deduce Theorem 1 from Lemma 3.1 we need a strong version of Freiman's theorem which we now state and prove.

3.1 Freiman's theorem

Definition 3.1. *Let $(G, +)$ be an abelian group. A set $P \subset G$ is a generalised arithmetic progression¹ (GAP) of dimension $d \geq 1$ if it has the form*

$$P = \{v_0 + \ell_1 v_1 + \dots + \ell_d v_d : 0 \leq \ell_j \leq L_j\}, \quad (2)$$

where $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_d \in G, L_1, L_2, \dots, L_d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. The generalised arithmetic progression P is said to be proper if all sums in (2) are distinct (in which case $|P| = (L_1 + 1)(L_2 + 1) \dots (L_d + 1)$). We say that P is k -proper if

$$k \cdot P := \{v_0 + \ell_1 v_1 + \dots + \ell_d v_d : 0 \leq \ell_j \leq kL_j\}, \quad (3)$$

has all elements on the RHS distinct, i.e. if P is proper and $|k \cdot P| = \prod_{j=1}^d (kL_j + 1)$.

It will be convenient for us to work with GAPs which are (almost) symmetric with respect to the origin. So we use the following

Definition 3.2. *Let $(G, +)$ be an abelian group. We call a set $P \subset G$ is a centered generalised arithmetic progression (c -GAP) of dimension $d \geq 1$ if it has the form*

$$P = \{\ell_1 v_1 + \dots + \ell_d v_d : -L_j \leq \ell_j \leq L_j\}, \quad (4)$$

¹Strictly speaking, a generalised arithmetic progression is not just a set but the collection of data $(G; P; d; v_0, v_1, \dots, v_d; L_1, \dots, L_d)$ but this would be cumbersome to write so with some abuse of notation we just write P to denote this collection of data.

where $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_d \in G, L_1, L_2, \dots, L_d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. For $k \geq 1$ and a centered GAP P we write

$$k \star P := \{\ell_1 v_1 + \dots + \ell_d v_d : -kL_j \leq \ell_j \leq kL_j\}. \quad (5)$$

We say that P is k -proper if all elements on the RHS of (5) are pairwise distinct.

Remark 3.1. Note that any centered GAP P can be seen as a GAP with $v_0 = -\sum L_j v_j$ and in this case $k \cdot P \neq k \star P$ for $k \geq 2$. However, the notion of being k -proper coincides for these two points of view, and this slight ambiguity should hopefully cause no confusion.

The following result is taken from [5, Theorem 1.1].

Lemma 3.2. For every $K > 0$ there exist constants $d = d(K)$ and $f = f(K)$ such that for any abelian group G and any subset $A \subset G$ with doubling constant at most K (i.e. such that $|A + A| \leq K|A|$) there exists a proper arithmetic progression $P \subset G$ containing A which has dimension at most $d(K)$ and size at most $f(K)|A|$.

We need the following strengthening of this theorem, which ensures that not only P itself is proper but also its large multiple is proper. Note that in this case we require the group G to be torsion-free.

Lemma 3.3. Let $\gamma : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be an arbitrary function. For any $K > 0$ there exist constants $d = d(K)$ and $F = F(K, \gamma)$ such that for any torsion-free abelian group G and any subset $A \subset G$ with doubling constant at most K (i.e. such that $|A + A| \leq K|A|$) there exists a generalized arithmetic progression $P \subset G$ containing A which has dimension at most $d(K)$, size at most $F(K, \gamma)|A|$, and is k -proper with $k := \gamma(\lfloor |P|/|A| \rfloor, d(P))$, where $d(P)$ is the dimension of P .

Proof. We use [11, Theorem 3.40] which states that any d -dimensional GAP P in a torsion-free abelian group G can be embedded in a proper GAP P' of size at most $d^{C_0 d^3} |P|$ for fixed constant C_0 , and that if P is non-proper, then P' can be taken to have dimension at most $d - 1$. Note a caveat that in [11, Theorem 3.40] this latter statement about the decrease in the dimension is stated for any abelian group G but it, in fact, only holds, and is proved, for the torsion-free case.

Now, we prove the lemma with the same $d(K)$ as in Lemma 3.2. First, consider a proper arithmetic progression P_0 of dimension $d_0 \leq d(K)$ and size at most $f(K)|A|$ which contains A . If P_0 is k_0 -proper with $k_0 := \gamma(\lfloor |P_0|/|A| \rfloor, d)$ we stop. Otherwise, consider a GAP $P_1 \supset k_0 \cdot P_0$ of dimension $d_1 \leq d_0 - 1$ and size at most $d^{C_0 d^3} |k_0 P_0|$. Again, if P_1 is k_1 -proper with $k_1 := \gamma(\lfloor |P_1|/|A| \rfloor, d_1)$ we stop, otherwise we consider $P_2 \supset k_1 \cdot P_1$ of dimension $d_2 \leq d_1 - 1$ and size at most $d^{C_0 d^3} |k_1 P_1|$, etc. After some $s \leq d(K)$ steps we stop and obtain a GAP P_s of dimension $d_s \leq d$ which is k_s proper with $k_s := \gamma(\lfloor |P_s|/|A| \rfloor, d_s)$. Moreover, $|P_s|/|A|$ is bounded by a function which only depends on $d(K)$, $F(K)$ and γ . \square

3.2 Lemma 3.1 implies Theorem 1

Recall that it only remains to prove the lower bound in Theorem 1. Before proving the reduction to the case of a dense subset of a cube, we observe that we may assume that $A \subset \mathbb{Q}^d$, see Lemma 3.4, and then show that if $|A + \mathcal{T}A| \ll |A|$ then the set $A \cup \mathcal{T}A$ can be embedded in a centered generalised arithmetic progression P which is k -proper for some large k , see Lemma 3.5. We also prove a simple lemma which is then used in the proof of the reduction.

Lemma 3.4. *The lower bound in Theorem 1 follows from the lower bound in the special case when $A \subset \mathbb{Q}^d$.*

Proof. Take an arbitrary finite set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ write all relations of the form $a + \mathcal{T}b = c + \mathcal{T}d$ for $(a, b, c, d) \in A^4$ which are satisfied in coordinates. This gives a system of homogeneous linear equations over \mathbb{Q} . Together with all conditions ensuring that all points of A are distinct (for any two points $a \neq b \in A$ we take a condition of non-equality type $a_j \neq b_j$ for certain coordinate index j) this gives us a system of equalities and non-equalities that, since solvable over \mathbb{R} (by elements of A) is also solvable over \mathbb{Q} giving us a set $A' \subset \mathbb{Q}$ for which $|A' + \mathcal{T}A'| \leq |A + \mathcal{T}A|$. □

So from now on we assume that $A \subset \mathbb{Q}^d$ and using induction we further assume that the statement has been proved for all operators in dimensions $1, 2, \dots, d-1$.

Lemma 3.5. *For any function $\gamma : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, an operator $\mathcal{T} \in \text{End}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, and $K > 0$ there exist constants $n_0 = n_0(\mathcal{T}, K)$ and $F = F(\gamma, \mathcal{T}, K)$ such that the following holds. Assume that $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ satisfies $|A + \mathcal{T}A| \leq K \cdot |A|$. Then there exists a centred generalised arithmetic progression P in \mathbb{Z}^d of dimension $n \leq n_0$ which has size at most $F \cdot |A|$, contains $(A - x) \cup \mathcal{T}(A - x)$ for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, and is k -proper with $k := \gamma(\lfloor |P|/|A| \rfloor, n)$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that γ is increasing in each variable. By Plünnecke inequality the set $B := A \cup \mathcal{T}A$ satisfies $|B + B| \leq (2K^2 + K) \cdot |B|$ and so by Lemma 3.3 we can embed both A and $\mathcal{T}A$ in some GAP P of dimension $n = O_K(1)$ and size $O_K(|A|)$ which is $\gamma'(\lfloor |P|/|A| \rfloor, n) := 2 \cdot \gamma(2^n \cdot \lfloor |P|/|A| \rfloor, n)$ proper.

Take arbitrary $x \in A$ and consider $A' := A - x$. Since $A, \mathcal{T}A, \{x\}, \{\mathcal{T}x\} \subset P$, we have $A', \mathcal{T}A' \subset P - P =: P'$ which is a centred GAP. Also P' has size at most $4^n |P|$ and is $k/2$ proper whenever P is k -proper. It remains to note that $\gamma(\lfloor |P'|/|A'| \rfloor, n) \leq \gamma'(\lfloor |P|/|A| \rfloor, n)/2$ by the definition of γ' . □

Lemma 3.6. *Let $v_1, \dots, v_d, v \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ be vectors such that $v \in \langle v_1, \dots, v_d \rangle$. Assume that all coordinates of all v_j are bounded, in absolute value, by some constant C . Then there exist integers s, s_1, \dots, s_d such that*

$$sv = \sum_{j=1}^d s_j v_j$$

where $s_j = O_{C,n}(\|v\|_{L^\infty})$ for each $j = 1, \dots, d$ and $s = O_{C,n}(1)$.

Proof. Take some minimal subset S of vectors among v_1, \dots, v_d which linearly span v . Then vectors from S are linearly independent and so we can augment them with several vectors of the standard basis of \mathbb{Q}^n to form a basis S' of \mathbb{Q}^n . It then remains to consider the unique linear combination of vectors in S' giving v . All vectors that we added to S will come with zero coefficients and so we will obtain a linear combination of vectors in S giving v in which all coefficients are rational numbers with denominators of size $O_{C,n}(1)$ and numerators of size $O_{C,n}(\|v\|_{L^\infty})$ as changing one basis to another multiplies the vector of coefficients by some fixed matrix with entries having bounded numerators and denominators. \square

Proof of Theorem 1 given Lemma 3.1. By Lemma 3.4 and dilating A if necessary, we assume that $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$. We also induct on the dimension d assuming that statement has been proved for all smaller dimensions. Note that for the base case $d = 1$ equivalence of Theorem 1 and Lemma 3.1 immediately follows from Freiman's theorem.

For a large finite set $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ we want to show that

$$|A + \mathcal{T}A| \geq H^\circ(\mathcal{T}) \cdot |A| + o(|A|).$$

In proving this we may assume the contrary, so $|A + \mathcal{T}A| \leq K \cdot |A|$ with $K = K(\mathcal{T}) = H^\circ(\mathcal{T})$. Since $|A + \mathcal{T}A| = |(A-x) + \mathcal{T}(A-x)|$ for every $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, using Lemma 3.5 we may assume that both A and $\mathcal{T}A$ are inside some centred generalised arithmetic progression P of dimension n which is k -proper with $k := \mathbf{k}(\lfloor |P|/|A| \rfloor, n)$ with function \mathbf{k} to be defined later, and such that $|A|/|P| \geq \varepsilon = \varepsilon(\mathbf{k}, \mathcal{T})$.

Let w be the basis vector of P corresponding to the largest L_j . For this vector we know that $w, 2w, \dots, Lw \in P$ where $L := L_j \gg |A|^{1/n}$. Since $L \gg |A|^{1/n}$, we may assume that L is large enough in terms of \mathcal{T} for our argument to work. Consider vectors $w_0 := w, w_1 := \mathcal{T}w, w_2 := \mathcal{T}^2w, \dots, w_{d-1} := \mathcal{T}^{d-1}w$. We consider two cases depending on whether these vectors are linearly independent or not.

Case 1: Vectors w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{d-1} are linearly dependent in \mathbb{Q}^d . Then the hyperplane α spanned by these vectors has dimension smaller than d and is such that the set A lies in at most $O(|A|/L) = O(|A|^{1-1/n})$ translates of α . So we may write $A = A_1 \sqcup A_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_m$ where $A_i \subset x_i + \alpha$, and $m \leq C(\mathcal{T}) \cdot |A|^{1-1/n}$. We may assume that $\lambda = -1$ is not an eigenvalue of \mathcal{T} , as otherwise $H^\circ(\mathcal{T}) = 2$ and the inequality $|A + \mathcal{T}A| \geq H^\circ(\mathcal{T}) \cdot |A| - 1$ follows from the torsion-free version of Cauchy–Davenport theorem. Note that for $i \neq j$ we have $(A_i + \mathcal{T}A_i) \cap (A_j + \mathcal{T}A_j) = \emptyset$ as otherwise we would have $[\text{Id} + \mathcal{T}](x_i - x_j) \in \alpha$ and since $\text{Id} + \mathcal{T}$ is invertible and $\mathcal{T}\alpha \subset \alpha$ this would imply $x_i - x_j \in \alpha$ contradicting the fact that translates $x_i + \alpha$ and $x_j + \alpha$ are distinct.

For the sets $B_i := A_i - x_i \subset \alpha$ we have $|A_i + \mathcal{T}A_i| = |B_i + \mathcal{T}|_\alpha B_i|$ and so the lower bound for the operator $\mathcal{T}|_\alpha$, which has dimension smaller than d , and sets B_1, \dots, B_m gives us

$$|A + \mathcal{T}A| = \sum_{j=1}^m |B_j + \mathcal{T}|_\alpha B_j| \geq \sum_{j=1}^m H^\circ(\mathcal{T}|_\alpha) \cdot |B_j| - o\left(\sum_{j=1}^m |B_j|\right) - O(m),$$

where the last term comes from all the sets B_j of constant size. Since $\sum |B_j| = |A|$ and $m \ll |A|^{1-1/n}$ this immediately implies the result for the operator \mathcal{T} since $H^\circ(\mathcal{T}_\alpha) \leq H^\circ(\mathcal{T})$ by Proposition 1.

Case 2: Vectors w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{d-1} are linearly independent in \mathbb{Q}^d . We proceed in several steps:

Step 1: We show that there exist some constants $\lambda = \lambda(\mathcal{T}, d, n, \varepsilon)$ and $k' = k'(\mathcal{T}, d, n, \varepsilon)$ such that all vectors $\ell \lambda w_i$ with $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$ and $\ell \in [0 \dots L]$ are going to be in a multiple $k' \star P$ of P .

It suffices to show the existence of such λ_j and k_j for each w_j separately and then take $\lambda := \prod \lambda_j$ and $k' := \lambda \cdot \max_j \{k_j\}$. We induct on j . For $w_0 := w$ this follows from the construction as we took w to be the basis vector with the largest coordinate L . Now, assume that for w_{j-1} we have some values λ_{j-1}, k_{j-1} . Then $\lambda_{j-1} w_{j-1}, 2\lambda_{j-1} w_{j-1}, \dots, L\lambda_{j-1} w_{j-1}$ are all in $k_{j-1} \star P$. We want to show that there exist some λ_j, k_j such that $\lambda_j s w_j \in k_j \star P$ for any $s \in [1, \dots, L]$. To prove this, note that it is sufficient to find λ_j, k_j such that $\lambda_j s w_j \in k_j \star P$ holds for any $s \in [1, \dots, \delta L]$ with some constant $\delta = \delta(\lambda_{j-1}, k_{j-1}, \varepsilon, n) > 0$ and then multiply k_j by $\lceil 1/\delta \rceil$ to cover all $s \in [1, \dots, L]$. Indeed, this follows from a trivial observation that any $s \in [1, \dots, L]$ can be written as a sum of at most $\lceil 1/\delta \rceil$ summands, each of which is in $[1, \dots, \delta L]$, and the fact that we have L large enough. We now show how to construct such λ_j, k_j for $\delta := \varepsilon / (k_{j-1} + 1)^n$, where we recall that ε is a lower bound for $|A|/|P|$.

To this end, choose arbitrary $s \in [1, \dots, \delta L]$ and let $w = w(s) := s w_{j-1}$. Consider the following shifts of A :

$$A, A + \lambda_{j-1} w, A + 2\lambda_{j-1} w, \dots, A + \frac{(k_{j-1} + 1)^n}{\varepsilon} \cdot \lambda_{j-1} w.$$

Since $A \subset P$, by induction hypothesis and the fact that $s \cdot \frac{(k_{j-1} + 1)^n}{\varepsilon} \leq L$, all these sets are in $P + k_{j-1} \star P$. As each of these sets has size $|A| \geq \varepsilon |P|$ and the set $P + k_{j-1} \star P$ has size smaller than $(1 + k_{j-1})^n |P|$, by Dirichlet's principle two of the sets must intersect and so we have, for some $c = c(s) \leq \frac{(k_{j-1} + 1)^n}{\varepsilon}$, that $c \lambda_{j-1} w \in A - A$. Since $\mathcal{T}(A - A) = \mathcal{T}A - \mathcal{T}A \subset P - P \subset 2 \star P$, this implies that $c \cdot s \lambda_{j-1} w_j = \mathcal{T}(c \lambda_{j-1} w) \in 2 \star P$. Which implies that for $C := \text{lcm}(1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{(k_{j-1} + 1)^n}{\varepsilon} \rfloor)$ we have

$$C \cdot s \lambda_{j-1} w_j \in 2C \star P.$$

Since $s \in [1, \dots, \delta L]$ was arbitrary, we can take $\lambda_j := C \lambda_{j-1}$ and $k_j := 2C$. As mentioned above, to cover all $s \in [1, \dots, L]$ it is then sufficient to multiply k_j by $\lceil 1/\delta \rceil$. This completes the proof of the induction step.

Step 2: We show that, for λ and k' as above, all $\lambda \cdot w_j$'s (which are in $k' \star P$) have only small coordinates in the basis of P , and all non-zero coordinates correspond to dimensions with $L_j \gg L$. Indeed, write $\lambda w_j = \sum_{s=1}^n x_s v_s$, where $v_s \in [-k' L_s, k' L_s]$. Then with $t := 1 + \min_s k' \cdot L_s / |v_s|$ (where the minimum is taken over the coordinates with $v_s \neq 0$) we have $t \lambda w_j \in (2k') \star P \setminus k' \star P$ by the fact that P is at least $2k'$ proper. This implies that we must have $t > L$ which in turn implies that $|k' L_s / v_s| > L$ for each

coordinate s where $v_s \neq 0$. Since $L = \max L_j$, this is only possible if $|v_s| \leq k'$ and $L_s \geq L/k'$, proving the claim.

Step 3: Now, consider a natural embedding ι of P into $\mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathbb{Q}^n$ (i.e. ι maps basic vectors of P to the standard basis of \mathbb{Z}^n) which by properness can be extended to $k \star P$, and consider a linear subspace $\alpha \subset \mathbb{Q}^n$ spanned by $\{\iota\lambda w_0, \dots, \iota\lambda w_{d-1}\}$. Split $\iota A \subset \iota P$ into subsets given by the intersections with shifts of this linear subspace. We claim that the corresponding subdivision $A := A_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_m$ satisfies $(A_i + \mathcal{T}A_i) \cap (A_j + \mathcal{T}A_j) = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$.

Indeed, arguing from contradiction, we assume that $y_i + \mathcal{T}x_i = y_j + \mathcal{T}x_j$ for certain $x_i, y_i \in A_i$ and $x_j, y_j \in A_j$. Then $\iota(\text{Id} + \mathcal{T})(x_i - x_j) = \iota(x_i - y_i - x_j + y_j) \in \alpha$ and $\iota(x_i - x_j) \notin \alpha$.

Since $x_i, x_j \in A \subset P$ and also $\mathcal{T}A \subset P$, we have $z := (\text{Id} + \mathcal{T})(x_i - x_j) \in P + P - P - P = 4 \star P$. We also know that $\iota z \in \alpha$ and ιz has all coordinates in \mathbb{Z}^n at most $4L$ in absolute value. Since $\iota\lambda w_j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ has all coordinates of size $O_{\mathcal{T}, \varepsilon}(1)$ for each $j = 0, 1, \dots, d-1$ by the argument in the second step of the proof, we can apply Lemma 3.6 to deduce that $\iota\lambda'z = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} s_j \iota w_j$ with $s_j = O_{\mathcal{T}, \varepsilon}(L)$ and $\lambda' = O_{\mathcal{T}, \varepsilon}(1)$. Since ι is well defined on $k \star P$, choosing the function \mathbf{k} correctly this ensures that $\lambda'z = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} s_j w_j$.

Now, as $(\text{Id} + \mathcal{T})^{-1}$ can be written as a polynomial of \mathcal{T} with integer coefficients of size $O_{\mathcal{T}}(1)$, call it $f(\mathcal{T})$, we have

$$\lambda'(x_i - x_j) = f(\mathcal{T})[\lambda'(\text{Id} + \mathcal{T})(x_i - x_j)] = f(\mathcal{T})[\lambda'z]$$

Reducing $f(x) \cdot (\sum_j s_j x^j)$ modulo the minimal polynomial of \mathcal{T} , we can rewrite the latter expression as a linear combination of w_0, \dots, w_{d-1} with integer coefficients of size $O_{\mathcal{T}, \varepsilon}(L)$. Again, assuming function \mathbf{k} was chosen large enough, this linear combination is in $k \star P \cap \iota^{-1}\alpha$, and so this implies that $\iota(x_i - x_j) \in \alpha$, giving a contradiction. This completes the proof of the fact that $A_i \cap \mathcal{T}A_i$ are pairwise disjoint.

Step 4: Second step in this proof ensures that in the representation $A := A_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_m$ we have $m = O_{\mathcal{T}, \varepsilon}(|P|/L^d)$, and so it suffices to prove that $|A_j + \mathcal{T}A_j| \geq H^\circ(\mathcal{T}) \cdot |A_j| - o(L^d)$ for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and then sum all these inequalities. After shifting A_j by some $x_j \in A_j$ we have $\iota(A_j - x_j) \subset \alpha \cap [-2L, 2L]^n \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ and by Lemma 3.6 and the argument in the second step of this proof we know that for some constants $\lambda'' = O_{\mathcal{T}, \varepsilon}(1)$ and $L_0 = O_{\mathcal{T}, \varepsilon}(L)$ we have that

$$\lambda''(A_j - x_j) \subset \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} m_k w_k \mid m_k \in [-L_0, L_0] \right\},$$

which gives us a natural linear map $\iota' : \lambda''(A_j - x_j) \rightarrow [-L_0, L_0]^d \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $\iota'\mathcal{T} = \tilde{\mathcal{T}}\iota'$ with the operator $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ acting on the standard basis $\{e_0, \dots, e_{d-1}\}$ of \mathbb{Z}^d as $e_i \mapsto e_{i+1}$ for $i = 0, \dots, d-2$ and $e_{d-1} \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \alpha_k e_k$ where $x^n - \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \alpha_k x^k$ is the characteristic polynomial of \mathcal{T} . Let B_j be the image of $A_j - x_j$ in \mathbb{Z}^d under ι' . Since $H^\circ(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}) = H^\circ(\mathcal{T})$ we have, by Lemma 3.1,

$$|A_j + \mathcal{T}A_j| = |\lambda''(A_j - x_j) + \mathcal{T}[\lambda''(A_j - x_j)]| = |B_j + \tilde{\mathcal{T}}B_j| \geq H^\circ(\mathcal{T})|B_j| + o(L^d),$$

where we note that the last inequality is trivially true if $|B_j| = o(L^d)$. □

4 The case of a dense subset of a box

In this section we prove Lemma 3.1. In order to do so, we approximate a discrete set $A \subset [0, N)^d \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ by a continuous density function and then use the following generalisation of [7, Theorem 2]

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{T} \in \text{End}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a compact set. Assume that measurable non-negative functions $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and $h : K + \mathcal{T}K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfy, for any $x, y \in K$, the inequality $h(x + \mathcal{T}y) \geq f(x)$. Then one has*

$$\int_{K+\mathcal{T}K} h(z) d\mu(z) \geq H(\mathcal{T}) \cdot \int_K f(x) d\mu(x),$$

where $H(\mathcal{T}) := \prod_{i=1}^d (1 + |\lambda_i|)$ with λ_i 's being eigenvalues of \mathcal{T} , and μ is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^d .

Remark 4.1. [7, Theorem 2] bounds the volume of $K + \mathcal{T}K$ from below as $H(\mathcal{T})$ times the volume of K . In other words, it exactly coincides with the case $f = \mathbb{1}_K$ and $h = \mathbb{1}_{K+\mathcal{T}K}$.

Proof. Consider the set $K_f \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ defined by $K_f := \{(x, t) : x \in K, 0 \leq t \leq f(x)\}$, and the operator $\mathcal{T}' \in \text{End}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ defined by $\mathcal{T}'(x, t) := (\mathcal{T}(x), 0)$. Then the inequality $h(x + \mathcal{T}y) \geq f(x)$ implies the inclusion

$$K_f + \mathcal{T}'(K_f) \subset (K + \mathcal{T}K)_h,$$

and so it suffices to apply [7, Theorem 2] to the set K_f of measure equal to $\int_K f(x) d\mu(x)$ and the map \mathcal{T}' which satisfies $H(\mathcal{T}') = H(\mathcal{T})$. □

To approximate a discrete set A by a continuous density function, we need the following structural result. In the following, for an integer M by an M -cube we mean a cube $[0, M)^d$ shifted by an element of $(M\mathbb{Z})^d$.

Lemma 4.2. *For any $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ and $d \geq 1$ there exists $B_0 = B_0(\varepsilon, \delta, d)$ such that the following holds. Let $\mathcal{P} := [0, N)^d \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ be a cube and let $A \subset \mathcal{P}$ be a set of size at least $\varepsilon|\mathcal{P}|$. Then there exist $B \leq B_0$ and a collection $\{\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_s\}$ of disjoint N/B -cubes such that the set $A' := A \cap (\cup \mathcal{P}_i)$ satisfies*

- $|A'| \geq (1 - \delta)|A|$
- A' is topologically δ -dense in each \mathcal{P}_i , in the sense that $\forall x \in \mathcal{P}_i \exists y \in A' \cap \mathcal{P}_i : |x_j - y_j|_{L^\infty} \leq \delta N/B$ for $j = 1, \dots, d$.

Proof. In the following we tacitly assume $1/\delta$ to be an integer. For $\ell \geq 0$ let $B_\ell := \delta^{-\ell}$. For each ℓ split \mathcal{P} into B_ℓ^d equal parts and let $\mathcal{P}^{(\ell)} := \cup_{i=1}^{s_\ell} \mathcal{P}_i^{(\ell)}$ be the union of parts which contain at least one point of A . By construction we have $\mathcal{P}^{(\ell)} \subset \mathcal{P}^{(\ell-1)}$.

Notice that $|\mathcal{P}^{(\ell)}| \geq |A| \geq \varepsilon \cdot |\mathcal{P}|$ and so for some $\ell \leq \frac{\log \varepsilon}{\log(1-\delta^{d+1}\varepsilon)}$ we must have $|\mathcal{P}^{(\ell+1)}| \geq (1 - \delta^{d+1}\varepsilon)|\mathcal{P}^{(\ell)}|$. This means that at least $1 - \varepsilon\delta$ fraction of $\mathcal{P}_i^{(\ell)}$'s are subsets of $\mathcal{P}^{(\ell+1)}$ (i.e. we kept all δ^{-d} smaller parts of them). Let $\mathcal{Q}^{(\ell)} \subset \mathcal{P}^{(\ell)}$ be the union of such $\mathcal{P}_i^{(\ell)}$'s and define $A' := A \cap \mathcal{Q}^{(\ell)}$. Then the second condition of the lemma is satisfied and we also have

$$|A'| \geq |A| - |\mathcal{P}^{(\ell)} \setminus \mathcal{Q}^{(\ell)}| \geq |A| - \varepsilon\delta \cdot |\mathcal{P}^{(\ell)}| \geq |A| - \varepsilon\delta|\mathcal{P}| \geq (1 - \delta)|A|.$$

Moreover, by construction we have $B = \delta^{-\ell} \leq \exp \left\{ \frac{-\log \varepsilon \cdot \log \delta}{\log(1-\delta^{d+1}\varepsilon)} \right\}$ □

Remark 4.2. *By throwing away additionally at most $\varepsilon|A|$ points from A' we could ask the density of A' to be at least $\varepsilon^2/2$ in each of the \mathcal{P}_i .*

We now turn to proving Lemma 3.1 which we restate for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{T} : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^d$ be a linear operator and $\varepsilon > 0$. For any subset $A \subset \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}^d$ of size $|A| \geq \varepsilon \cdot N^d$ we have*

$$|A + \mathcal{T}A| \geq H^\circ(\mathcal{T}) \cdot |A| - o_N(|A|),$$

where the implied constant in $o_N(\cdot)$ may depend both on \mathcal{T} and ε .

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Let $\delta > 0$ be small enough. Since $A \subset [0, N]^d$, we have $\mathcal{T}A \subset [-CN, CN]^d$ for some $C = C(\mathcal{T})$. Using Lemma 4.2 we construct $\mathcal{T}A' \subset \mathcal{T}A$ of size at least $(1 - \delta)|A|$ and a collection $\{\mathcal{Q}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Q}_s\}$ of cubes of size N/B , where $B < B_0(\varepsilon, \delta, d, C)$, such that $\mathcal{T}A'$ is topologically δ -dense in each of \mathcal{Q}_i 's.

Now, consider a set $\mathcal{T}^{-1}(\cup \mathcal{Q}_i)$ and approximate it with a collection of $\delta'N/B$ -cubes $\{\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{s'}\}$ by taking all $\delta'N/B$ -cubes inside each of the sets $\mathcal{T}^{-1}\mathcal{Q}_j$. For any δ' small enough in terms of \mathcal{T} we can ensure that

$$|\mathcal{Q}_j \setminus (\cup_{j'=1}^{s'} \mathcal{P}_{j'})| \leq C_1(\mathcal{T}) \cdot \delta' \cdot |\mathcal{Q}_j|,$$

with some constant $C_1(\mathcal{T})$ depending only on \mathcal{T} .

We now consider the set $K := \cup_{i=1}^{s'} \mathcal{P}_i$ and a piece-wise constant function $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ defined on it by $f(x) := |A \cap \mathcal{P}_i|/|\mathcal{P}_i|$ for each $x \in \mathcal{P}_i$. We then cover $K + \mathcal{T}K$ by $\delta'N/B$ cubes $\{\mathcal{R}_1, \dots, \mathcal{R}_{s''}\}$ and consider a piece-wise constant function $h : K + \mathcal{T}K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ defined by $h(z) := |(A + \mathcal{T}A) \cap \mathcal{R}_i|/|\mathcal{R}_i| + (1 + \delta/\delta')^d - 1$ for each $z \in \mathcal{R}_i$.

Claim: Functions f, h satisfy the assumption of Lemma 4.1, i.e for any $x \in K$ and $y \in K$ one has $h(x + \mathcal{T}y) \geq f(x)$.

Proof of the claim: Indeed, consider a $\delta'N/B$ -cubes $\mathcal{P}_{j'}$ containing x and $\mathcal{R}_{j''}$ containing $x + \mathcal{T}y$. For some $y_0 \in (\delta'N/B \cdot \mathbb{Z})^d$ we have $\mathcal{R}_{j''} = y_0 + \mathcal{P}_{j'}$. Since $x + \mathcal{T}y$ lies both in $\mathcal{P}_{j'} + \mathcal{T}y$ and $\mathcal{R}_{j''} = y_0 + \mathcal{P}_{j'}$, we must have $\|y_0 - \mathcal{T}y\|_{L^\infty} \leq \delta'N/B$.

By construction we have $\mathcal{T}K \subset \cup \mathcal{Q}_i$, so there exists some $j \in [1, \dots, s]$ such that $\mathcal{T}y \in \mathcal{Q}_j$. The fact that $y_0 \in (\delta'N/B\mathbb{Z})^d$ and $\|y_0 - \mathcal{T}y\|_{L^\infty} \leq \delta'N/B$ implies that y_0 also lies in (the closer of) \mathcal{Q}_j . Since $\mathcal{T}A'$ is δ -dense in \mathcal{Q}_j there exists $y' \in A'$ such that $\|\mathcal{T}y' - y_0\| \leq \delta N/B$. We then have

$$|(A + \mathcal{T}A) \cap \mathcal{R}_{j''}| \geq |((A \cap \mathcal{P}_{j'}) + \mathcal{T}y') \cap \mathcal{R}_{j''}| \geq |A \cap \mathcal{P}_{j'}| - |(\mathcal{P}_{j'} + \mathcal{T}y') \setminus \mathcal{R}_{j''}| \quad (6)$$

Since $\mathcal{R}_{j''} = y_0 + \mathcal{P}_{j'}$ and $\|\mathcal{T}y' - y_0\| \leq \delta N/B$ we can bound the last term by

$$(\delta'N/B + \delta N/B)^d - (\delta'N/B)^d \leq |\mathcal{R}_{j''}| \cdot ((1 + \delta/\delta')^d - 1).$$

Dividing (6) by $|\mathcal{R}_{j''}| = |\mathcal{P}_{j'}|$ we infer that $h(x + \mathcal{T}y) \geq f(x)$. This concludes the proof of the claim.

Now by Lemma 4.1 we know that

$$\int_{K+\mathcal{T}K} h(z) d\mu(z) \geq H(\mathcal{T}) \cdot \int_K f(x) d\mu(x). \quad (7)$$

Recalling the definition of h we can upper bound the LHS by

$$|A + \mathcal{T}A| + ((1 + \delta/\delta')^d - 1) \cdot |K + \mathcal{T}K| \leq |A + \mathcal{T}A| + ((1 + \delta/\delta')^d - 1) \cdot |A|/\varepsilon \cdot C_2(\mathcal{T}).$$

Whereas for the integral on the right we have a lower bound of

$$\begin{aligned} |A'| - |\mathcal{T}^{-1}(\cup \mathcal{Q}_j) \setminus (\cup \mathcal{P}_{j'})| &\geq (1 - \delta)|A| - C_1(\mathcal{T}) \cdot \delta^{1/d} \cdot |\cup \mathcal{Q}_j| \\ &\geq |A| - \delta|A| - C_3(\mathcal{T}) \cdot (|A|/\varepsilon) \cdot \delta^{1/d}, \end{aligned}$$

where for the last inequality we used the fact that $|A|/\varepsilon \geq N^d$ and that all cubes \mathcal{Q}_j are inside $[-CN, CN]^d$ for $C = C(\mathcal{T})$. It then remains to choose first δ' small enough in terms of \mathcal{T} and ε and then δ small enough in terms of $\delta', \varepsilon, \mathcal{T}$ to conclude that (7) implies that

$$|A + \mathcal{T}A| \geq H(\mathcal{T}) \cdot |A| - o(|A|).$$

□

Acknowledgement: We would like to thank Ilya Losev for useful discussions and useful comments on earlier version of the paper.

References

- [1] Antal Balog and George Shakan. On the sum of dilations of a set. *Acta Arithmetica*, 164(2):153–162, 2014.
- [2] Boris Bukh. Sums of dilates. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 17(05):627–639, June 2008.

- [3] David Conlon and Jeck Lim. Sums of linear transformations. arXiv:2203.09827v1, 2022.
- [4] David Conlon and Jeck Lim. Sums of transcendental dilates. arXiv:2212.10128v1, 2022.
- [5] Ben Green and Imre Z. Ruzsa. Freiman’s theorem in an arbitrary abelian group. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 75(1):163–175, January 2007.
- [6] Sergei Konyagin and Izabella Łaba. Distance sets of well-distributed planar sets for polygonal norms. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 152(1):157–179, December 2006.
- [7] Dmitry Krachun and Fedor Petrov. On the size of $A + \lambda A$ for algebraic λ . *Mosc. J. Comb. Numb. Th.*, 12(2):117–126, 2023.
- [8] Tom Sanders. Appendix to “Roth’s theorem on progressions revisited”, by J. Bourgain. *Journal d’Analyse Mathématique*, 104(1):193–206, January 2008.
- [9] Tom Sanders. On the Bogolyubov–Ruzsa lemma. *Anal. PDE*, 5(3):627–655, 2012.
- [10] Tomasz Schoen. Near optimal bounds in Freiman’s theorem. *Duke Math. J.*, 158(1):1–12, 05 2011.
- [11] Terence Tao and Van H. Vu. *Additive Combinatorics*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2006.